A dual DNA/RNA-binding factor regulates co-transcriptional splicing through target RNA

interaction and modulates splicing factor dynamics.

Mukulika Ray[*], Julia Zaborowsky[*], Pranav Mahableshwarkar, Smriti Vaidyanathan, Jasmine

Shum, Annie Huang, Renjith Viswanathan, Isabelle Pilo, Victoria Chen, Kaitlyn Cortez, Ashley

M. Conard, Szu-Huan Wang, Victoria Johnson, Noah Wake, Alexander E. Conicella, Ryan

Puterbaugh, Nicolas L. Fawzi[†] and Erica Larschan[†]

[*] Co-first Authors

[†]Co-Corresponding authors

Summary

How RNA splicing events are targeted to the correct genomic locations in specific cellular contexts

to generate context-specific transcript diversity remains very poorly understood. We show that a

functionally conserved GA-rich DNA-binding transcription factor (TF), CLAMP, targets distinct

RNA molecules in male and female cells to precisely regulate sex-specific splicing events through

physical and functional interaction with RNA and RNA-binding proteins (RBPs). The prion-like

domain of CLAMP (PrLD) and a stem-loop structure in the target RNA are essential for the

CLAMP-RNA interaction. Moreover, the CLAMP PrLD domain regulates sex-specific splicing

by modulating the dynamics of an hnRNPA2/B1 family protein that regulates alternative splicing.

Thus, we demonstrate that a TF targets co-transcriptional splicing to the correct genomic locations

by directly linking DNA binding sites to RNA targets and modulating the dynamics of RBP

partners that drive alternative splicing.

Introduction

Mechanisms that drive precise co-transcriptional alternative splicing at thousands of genomic loci are critical to establishing sex and cell type-specific transcriptome diversity. Chromatin-bound transcription factors (TFs) and RNA binding proteins (RBPs) co-transcriptionally regulate alternative splicing[1-4]. Most TFs bind DNA and RNA[5-7] and interact with diverse RBPs[8,9]. However, the mechanisms by which TFs and RBPs coordinate transcription and alternative splicing at specific genomic locations in a particular cell type to drive specific alternative splicing events remain poorly understood. Also, how interactions between TFs, RNA, and RBPs generate the specific alternatively spliced transcripts essential for making developmental decisions remains unknown. We hypothesized that TFs are critical for targeting the correct co-transcriptional splicing events to specific genomic locations due to their unique ability to bind chromatin, RNA, and spliceosomal RBPs.

We test this hypothesis by defining the role of GA-binding TF CLAMP (chromatin-linked adapter for MSL Proteins) in targeting sex-specific splicing to the correct genomic locations on chromatin in *Drosophila* because we can simultaneously genetically manipulate the system, conduct high-throughput genomic experiments *in vivo,* and live imaging experiments in living tissue. CLAMP has several properties that suggest that it could target sex-specific alternative splicing events to chromatin: 1) CLAMP binds to specific GA-enriched DNA motifs via its mapped DNA binding domain, and its binding sites differ between males and females[10-13]; 2) CLAMP is a pioneer TF that regulates sex-specific splicing in embryos at genes where it does not regulate transcription[14]; 3) CLAMP is enriched at the intronic regions of CLAMP-dependent sex-specifically spliced genes[11,14]; 4) CLAMP is associated with RBPs that are spliceosome components[9].

Here, we combine diverse *in vivo* and *in vitro* approaches to define a new mechanism by which a TF can regulate co-transcriptional splicing. We define direct CLAMP-RNA interactions and compare them to CLAMP-DNA interactions to identify associations between TF-DNA and TF-RNA binding at genes where CLAMP regulates sex-specific alternative splicing. We have identified essential protein and RNA domains that promote direct CLAMP-RNA interactions using NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) spectroscopy and RNA-protein EMSAs (Electrophoretic

Mobility Shift Assays). Furthermore, we determined that CLAMP directly regulates the
biophysical properties of Hrp38 its RBP interaction partner which is an hnRNPA2/B1 homolog
known to regulate alternative splicing as part of nuclear splicing condensates. Thus, overall, our
data support a model in which transcription factors with specific DNA and RNA binding capacity
can target unique RNA molecules to specific genomic locations and alter the biophysical properties
of RBP partner proteins to regulate context-specific alternative splicing.

Results

CLAMP binds RNA to chromatin

Because CLAMP is a DNA-binding protein enriched at the intronic regions of CLAMP-dependent
sex-specifically spliced genes, which interacts with multiple splicing factors[11,14], we hypothesized
that CLAMP functions as a regulator of co-transcriptional splicing. To test this hypothesis, we first
investigated whether CLAMP regulates sex-specific splicing by directly interacting with target
RNAs. Therefore, we defined RNA species interacting with CLAMP at high resolution across
different cellular compartments: the nucleoplasm vs. chromatin. If we identified CLAMP-RNA
interactions in the chromatin fraction involving RNAs that require CLAMP for alternative splicing,
this would support a model in which CLAMP plays a role in co-transcriptional splicing. To further
define a potential co-transcriptional function for CLAMP, we wanted to identify the spatial
distribution of CLAMP RNA binding with respect to its DNA binding across the genome by
comparing CLAMP-RNA binding sites with CLAMP DNA binding sites.

To achieve these objectives, we performed fractionation iCLIP (**i**ndividual-nucleotide resolution
Cross**L**inking and **I**mmuno**P**recipitation) to identify CLAMP RNA targets using anti-CLAMP
antibody in chromatin fractions (ChF) and nucleoplasmic fractions (NF) of male (S2) and female
(Kc) *Drosophila* cell lines (Fig 1A). Kc and S2 cells are well-established cell lines for studying
sex-specific processes such as dosage compensation [15,16].

We found that most CLAMP interactions with RNA occurs on chromatin (91.9% in males and

58.4% in females) with unique sex-specific targets, with more RNA transcripts binding to CLAMP

in males (N=654) than females (N=286) (Fig 1B, Table 1a). Interestingly, CLAMP also directly

interacts with spliceosomal RNAs sex-specifically (Table 1a). In the male chromatin fraction,

CLAMP interacts with the catalytic step 2 spliceosome consisting of U2, U5, and U6 snRNAs

(FDR:1.7E-3). In contrast, the female chromatin fraction is enriched for transcripts that encode

proteins that bind to the U1-U2 snRNAs (FDR:1.1E-2), suggesting that CLAMP may regulate

splicing differently in males and females by interacting with different spliceosomal RNAs.

Next, we asked how the CLAMP interaction with DNA correlates with its interaction with RNA

on chromatin. Therefore, we used Bindcompare, a tool we developed for comparing protein

binding to nucleic acids[52], to plot the frequency of CLAMP RNA binding peaks on chromatin

within a region ±1 kb of the closest CLAMP DNA binding peak. Using Bindcompare, we defined

the following categories of binding sites: a) complete overlap (CRO) of RNA peaks with DNA

peaks; b) partial overlap of RNA peak with the ends of a DNA peak (ORF or ORE); and c) RNA

peaks nearby (±1 kb) DNA peaks (PXP) (Fig 1C, Fig S1a-c, Table 1b).

We found that most of the total CLAMP RNA peaks identified (71.4% in males and 89.5% in

females) are either proximal to (~1 kb) or overlapping with CLAMP-DNA peaks (Fig 1D). It is

important to note that the number of CLAMP-RNA peaks identified (Fig 1D) is greater than the

number of RNA targets (Fig 1B) since many targets have multiple CLAMP-binding sites (Table

1b). Notably, almost 50% of these proximal or overlapping peaks were actually within 250 bp of

the middle of the nearest CLAMP DNA peak in both male and female cells, indicated by high

counts of CLAMP-RNA peaks plotted to the nearest CLAMP-DNA peak (Fig 1C, E), suggesting

that CLAMP links RNA to DNA during co-transcriptional splicing at a subset of its target genes

(Table 1c) identified in early embryos and cell lines[14]. Notably, only a subset of CLAMP-

dependent spliced genes in the embryo and cell lines are direct CLAMP-RNA targets. A subgroup

of the CLAMP-RNA targets overlaps with CLAMP-DNA targets in males (Table 1c). These

observations reveal that CLAMP directly contacts DNA and RNA at a subset of the target genes

regulating splicing, indicating a direct function in co-transcriptional splicing.

The CLAMP prion-like domain (PrLD) is essential for CLAMP-RNA interaction.

After identifying that CLAMP binds to RNA, we examined the CLAMP protein domain structure

to identify domains that may be responsible for its ability to bind RNA. CLAMP does not have a

canonical **R**NA **r**ecognition **m**otif (**RRM**). However, CLAMP contains a prion-like domain

(PrLD), a subclass of intrinsically disordered domains (IDR) enriched in polar residues similar to

those found in yeast prion proteins (Fig 1A). Many RBPs and TFs contain PrLDs [17,18] that can

promote RNA binding and drive the formation of phase-separated biomolecular condensates [19].

Some PrLDs in TFs promote co-assembly with RBPs to regulate transcription[20-22]. However, the

mechanisms by which the PrLD regions within TFs regulate alternative splicing remained

unknown. Therefore, we expressed and purified full-length CLAMP protein (CLAMP[WT]-FL) and

CLAMP protein in which we deleted the PrLD domain (CLAMP[delPrLD]-FL) (Fig 2A). We also

generated CLAMP1-300 aa, which removes the mapped DNA binding domain but includes the

PrLD domain (154-300 a.a). Next, we demonstrated the disordered nature of this domain (Fig 2B)

using NMR spectroscopy. We also generated an NMR spectrum of a mixture of total yeast RNA

extract and isolated CLAMP PrLD and found that CLAMP PrLD directly interacts with RNA (Fig

1C). Overall, we showed that the CLAMP 1-300 aa region is sufficient for direct interaction with

RNA.

Next, we further defined the role of the PrLD domain in promoting CLAMP-RNA interactions by

performing RNA-Protein EMSAs assays using specific RNA probes for a well-studied candidate

RNA that we identified as a CLAMP interactor by iCLIP (Fig. 2D). CLAMP is associated with

the Male Sex-lethal Complex (MSL), which regulates dosage compensation in males and contains

the *roX* (RNA on the X) long non-coding RNAs[12,23]. However, it was not known whether CLAMP

directly interacts with the *roX* RNAs. Because the *roX* RNAs have a defined structure and

function[24], we used *roX2,* identified by iCLIP as an *in vivo* male-specific CLAMP interactor (Table

1a), to determine whether CLAMP directly interacts with RNA *in vitro*. Therefore, we used a full-

length *roX2* probe (411 nt) for RNA-Protein EMSA gel shift assays (Fig 2D) with full-length

CLAMP[WT] and CLAMP[delPrLD] proteins that were expressed and purified from *E. coli*. At the same

protein and RNA concentrations, the CLAMP[delPrLD] protein binds to RNA less efficiently than

CLAMP[WT] (Fig. 2E). Therefore, the CLAMP PrLD region promotes the binding of CLAMP to

RNA.

Next, we wanted to test how RNA sequence and known secondary structure regulate the CLAMP-

RNA interaction. In our iCLIP experiments, we identified the CLAMP-binding region on the *roX2*

RNA (23nt, Fig. 2D). This region is just 12 nt downstream of a functionally important stem-loop

structure (Fig. 2D), which is essential for *roX2* interaction with RNA helicase A, a component of

both the MSL complex and the spliceosome[24]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the *roX2* stem loop

promotes the interaction of *roX2* RNA with CLAMP. Hence, we used two additional *roX2* RNA

probes, which either completely delete both the stem-loop and the CLAMP binding region (rox2-

RNA[mut]) or delete only the CLAMP binding region identified by iCLIP (rox2-RNA[delCLAMP binding])

(Fig. 2D) for CLAMP-RNA Gel-shift assays.

We found that: 1) the *roX2* probe (342nt) lacking both the stem-loop and the iCLIP CLAMP

binding region (69nt) reduced CLAMP binding to the *roX2* RNA (Fig 1F); 2) In contrast, the *roX2*

RNA[delCLAMP binding] probe (399nt) lacking only the iCLIP CLAMP binding region (25nt) but

retaining the stem-loop, did not qualitatively affect CLAMP binding to the *roX2* RNA *in vitro* (Fig

S2). Therefore, the presence of the *roX2* RNA stem-loop and the CLAMP PrLD increase the ability

of CLAMP to directly interact with RNA.

The CLAMP PrLD domain is important for CLAMP-dependent sex-specific splicing.

We combined *in vitro* approaches with our *in vivo* data to define the functional role of the CLAMP

PrLD domain in alternative splicing. We defined the role of the CLAMP PrLD by generating

complete (CLAMP Δ154-290 aa) and partial (CLAMP Δ160-290 aa) PrLD deleted mutant fly lines

at the endogenous CLAMP locus using CRISPR/Cas9 genomic mutagenesis and homologous

repair[39] (Fig 3A). Complete deletion of the PrLD (*clamp[delPrLD]*) results in embryonic lethality. In

contrast, partial deletion (*clamp[delPrLD+6Q]*) mutants that retain a stretch of 6 glutamines survive,

suggesting that these glutamines (aa154-160) are essential for viability (Fig 3A). Therefore, we

assayed   sex-specific   alternative   splicing   in   trans-heterozygous   mutants

(*clamp*^{delPrLD}/*clamp*^{delPrLD+6Q}) to assure viability until it is possible to define the sex of animals at

the larval stage (Fig 3A, B). Analysis of RNA sequencing data using the time2splice pipeline[14]

from trans-heterozygous mutants (*clamp*^{delPrLD}/*clamp*^{delPrLD+6Q}) identifies CLAMP PrLD-

dependent female- and male-specific splicing (Fig 3B, Table 2a, b). More than 70% of the CLAMP

prLD domain-dependent alternative splicing was sex-specific, with ~40-50% identified as new

splicing events (Fig 3B). Therefore, the CLAMP PrLD is essential for the viability and impacts

sex-specific alternative splicing.

To determine whether the CLAMP PrLD regulates sex-specific alternative splicing of RNAs that

are directly bound by CLAMP (Table 2a, b), we compared the RNAs bound to CLAMP *in vivo*

identified by iCLIP (Table 1a) with CLAMP PrLD-dependent sex-specifically spliced genes

(Table 2a, b). Due to the large sample required, performing iCLIP in mutant L3 larvae was not

possible, so we used cell line iCLIP targets. We determined that 14 CLAMP PrLD-dependent sex-

specifically spliced genes are direct CLAMP RNA interactors, identifying a smaller subset of key

genes for further analysis (Table 3). Moreover, most of these 14 direct targets are themselves

regulators of alternative splicing (Table 3). Therefore, it is possible that CLAMP, which is heavily

maternally deposited[25] and regulates splicing of 60% of all sex-specific spliced isoforms[14],

functions as an essential upstream splicing regulator by directly regulating the splicing of splicing

factors, which then regulates alternative splicing of additional target genes.

We further analyzed the functional role of the CLAMP PrLD domain in regulating alternative

splicing at the *hrp38* gene locus, the hnRNPA2B1 orthologue, which encodes a key regulator of

alternative splicing[26]. The hrp38 transcript is one of the 14 CLAMP PrLD-dependent spliced genes

that is a direct CLAMP RNA target (Table 2a, 3). Integrating iCLIP with alternative splicing

analysis suggested that CLAMP binds to exon 1 of the *hrp38* transcript in males on chromatin,

which undergoes splicing to remove exon 2 (Fig. 3C, D). Moreover, CLAMP binds DNA as well

as RNA at the *hrp38* gene locus (Fig. 3C), and CLAMP-RNA gel shift assays (Fig. 2) predict that

in the CLAMP *PrLD* mutant, CLAMP binding at the exon 1 of *the hrp38* transcript should be

affected (Fig. 3D). We found that in *clamp*^{delPrLD}/*clamp*^{delPrLD+6Q} mutants, splicing of intron

AF:r6:3R:28600568:28600718:+ between exon2 and exon4 (Fig 3D) is regulated by CLAMP as

predicted by genome-wide splicing analysis (Table 2a). In contrast, in *clamp*^{delPrLD}/*clamp*^{delPrLD+6Q}

mutants, the *hrp38* transcript retains exon2 (Fig 3E, F). Next, we validated that the alternative

splicing of the *hrp38* gene is sex-specifically regulated by the CLAMP PrLD domain *in vivo* during

development at the third instar larval stage in males and females. In female and male mutants,

splicing of intron AF:r6:3R:28600568:28600718:+ occurs. However, in males, splicing was more

efficient than in females (Fig 3F). Our *in vivo* splicing analysis, thus, demonstrates that the

CLAMP PrLD domain is important for *hrp38* RNA splicing during male and female development.

Since our iCLIP data shows CLAMP binds to exon1 of *hrp38* RNA, we compared CLAMP[WT]

binding to *hrp38* exon1 and exon2 probes using RNA EMSAs and found that at the same protein

and RNA concentrations, CLAMP binds strongly to *hrp38* exon1 RNA with no unbound RNA

remaining (Fig 3G). Furthermore, the PrLD domain of CLAMP is important for CLAMP-*hrp38*

RNA binding (Fig 3H). Interestingly, CLAMP[WT] still binds to *hrp38* exon2 *in vitro* even though it

does not bind *in vivo,* suggesting that other RBPs modulate the specificity of CLAMP-RNA

interactions *in vivo*.

Thus, we defined how CLAMP regulates the sex-specific splicing of the *hrp38* transcript.

Previously, we have demonstrated using proteomics that CLAMP sex-specifically interacts with

the Hrp38 protein, a component of highly mobile nuclear splicing speckles that regulate alternative

splicing[27-30]. Therefore, due to the functional interaction between CLAMP and Hrp38 at both the

RNA and protein levels, we determined how CLAMP regulates the sex-specific dynamics of

Hrp38, one of CLAMP's essential target gene and interactors, to define how CLAMP regulates

sex-specific splicing beyond directly interacting with the RNA of target genes.

CLAMP regulates the nuclear dynamics of the alternative splicing regulator hnRNPA2/B1

orthologue Hrp38

PrLD domains can drive the phase separation of proteins[19] and promote the formation of

subnuclear bio-condensates involved in transcription[20,31] and splicing[19,32]. Therefore, we

performed biochemical phase separation assays to determine how the CLAMP PrLD regulates the

phase separation behavior of CLAMP (Fig. 4A). We found that the CLAMP PrLD contributes to

phase separation of the N-terminal half of CLAMP (residues 1-300). Many RBPs involved in

splicing form splicing condensates, and TFs form transcription condensates, but the mechanisms

by which transcription and splicing condensates interact to promote the fidelity of co-

transcriptional splicing remain very poorly understood[33]. Although the PrLDs of TFs can promote

co-assembly with RBPs, current work does not yet explain how TF-RBP interactions regulate the

dynamics of splicing condensates, which is linked to their function.

The hnRNPA1/B2 orthologue Hrp38 is a strong candidate to function with CLAMP to promote

alternative splicing because it is associated with CLAMP in three ways: 1) CLAMP interacts with

the *hrp38* RNA transcript in our iCLIP experiments (Table 1a); 2) We demonstrate that CLAMP

regulates alternative splicing of the *hrp38* transcript (Table 2, Fig 3), and 3) CLAMP interacts with

Hrp38 protein[9]. Hrp38 forms dynamic nuclear splicing condensates or speckles[27-30]. Therefore, we

hypothesized that CLAMP PrLD-mediated phase separation affects the dynamics of Hrp38

condensates. We tested our hypothesis by combining *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches to measure

condensate formation, stability, and dynamics.

In *vitro*, CLAMP 1-300^{WT} and Hrp38 co-phase-separate into liquid-like condensates (Fig 4B). To

complement *in vitro* studies, we performed *in vivo* analysis of Hrp38 nuclear speckles in fixed and

live conditions in *Drosophila* third instar larval tissue (Fig 4C). Salivary gland (SG) and

malpighian tubule (MT) tissue nuclei were used since they have large polyploid or polytene nuclei,

making it easier to visualize and track nuclear speckles. Prior studies[35-38] suggest that larger

aggregates of hnRNPs are more immobile and have decreased function in regulating alternative

splicing. Therefore, we did the following experiments in males and females to test our hypothesis

that the CLAMP PrLD domain regulates they dynamics of Hrp38 to drive changes in sex-specific

splicing: 1) We analyzed fixed SG nuclei expressing Hrp38-GFP samples to determine the effect

of the CLAMP PrLD domain on Hrp38 nuclear speckle size (Fig 4C-F); 2) We performed live

imaging to define how the CLAMP PrLD domain regulates the mobility of Hrp38-GFP nuclear

speckles (Fig 4C, G) 3) We performed FRAP analysis in MT nuclei to measure the mobility of

the Hrp38 protein in the nucleus by measuring the fraction of Hrp38 that remains bound (Fig 4C,

H). We also analyzed the co-localization of CLAMP and Hrp38 *in vivo* (Fig 4I-J) to determine

how frequently they interact and compare e with our *in vitro* interaction findings (Fig 4B).

Compared with wild type controls, in *clamp*^{delPrLD} mutants, we observe larger Hrp38 speckles (Fig 4D-F) with lower mobility (Figs. 4G,H). The Hrp38 protein forms nuclear speckles (Fig 4D), which are very dynamic (Movie 1a); however, in *clamp*^{delPrLD}/*clamp*$^{delPrLD+6Q}$ mutants, Hrp38 protein forms bigger nuclear aggregates in both females and males compared to controls (Fig 4E), with median speckle size in males slightly higher than in females. Hrp38 nuclear size negatively correlated with speckle dynamics (Movie 1b, Fig 4G, H). FRAP analysis shows that in *clamp*^{delPrLD}/*clamp*$^{delPrLD+6Q}$ mutant male and female individuals, Hrp38 remains more immobile compared with matched wild-type controls (Fig. 4G, Fig. S2, Movie 2). Therefore, the CLAMP PrLD domain reduces the size and increases the dynamics of the Hrp38 speckles.

Next, we analyzed the trajectories of moving Hrp38 speckles over time with live image analysis (Movie 3) to determine whether CLAMP regulates their mobility. More restricted tracks denote more immobile speckles, while freely moving particles represent more mobile speckles[34]. Interestingly, we found that in wild-type controls, female Hrp38 speckles are less mobile than male Hrp38 speckles. Without the CLAMP PrLD domain, Hrp38 speckles in males become less mobile (Fig 4H), therefore becoming more similar to those in females. Thus, the CLAMP PrLD regulates the dynamics of Hrp38 speckles sex-specifically in live tissues, promoting their enhanced mobility in males. We identified partial co-localization between CLAMP and Hrp38, which is significantly increased in *clamp*^{delPrLD} mutant males (Fig. 4I- J). Therefore, the CLAMP PrLD domain in males also prevents colocalization with Hrp38, supporting its differential role in influencing Hrp38 dynamics in males and females.

Thus, the CLAMP PrLD reduces the size of Hrp38 splicing condensates, which have been shown to increase their ability to mediate alternative splicing with high fidelity. Therefore, our data support a model in which the CLAMP PrLD modulates Hrp38 condensates' ability to regulate alternative splicing. Furthermore, the CLAMP PrLD promotes the formation of CLAMP liquid droplets (Fig. 4A), which can co-localize with Hrp38 splicing condensates (Fig. 2I- J). Deletion of CLAMP PrLD promotes CLAMP phase separation *in vitro* (Fig. 2G) and sex-specifically regulates the dynamics of Hrp38 speckles *in vivo* (Fig. 2K- O). Overall, our data suggest that the CLAMP

PrLD alters the dynamic properties of Hrp38, preventing it from forming large, nonfunctional
aggregates.

Discussion

Very little is understood about how alternative splicing is targeted to the right place at the right
time within a highly compacted genome. We hypothesized that transcription factors that interact
with RNA binding proteins would be strong candidate factors to target alternative splicing to the
correct genomic locations in a developmental context. To test our hypothesis, we examined the
CLAMP TF as a model to reveal new mechanisms by which the hundreds of TFs interacting with
RNA and RNA binding proteins regulate splicing because it regulates sex-specific splicing (Ray,
elife).

CLAMP is a pioneer TF that interacts with the male-specific MSL complex to regulate file dosage
compensation. It is essential for MSL targeting at GA-rich chromatin entry sites (CES) on
chromatin, thus helping to target the MSL complex to the X chromosome. Interestingly, CES
evolved from polypyrimidine tracts, suggesting a further link with splicing (**Quinn, Howard**
Chang). We found that, similar to its role in dosage compensation, where it recruits a
ribonucleoprotein complex containing the *roX* non-coding RNAs, CLAMP directly links RNA to
DNA at target genes, at which it regulates sex-specific splicing targets RNA, mainly on chromatin
at a subset of genomic locations where it binds DNA. We found that CLAMP regulates alternative
splicing at a subset of these sites. Because not all CLAMP-dependent sex-specific spliced genes
are both direct CLAMP-RNA and CLAMP-DNA targets, CLAMP regulates alternative splicing
through multiple mechanisms that may be regulated by the presence of the MSL complex in males
but not females. Interestingly, CLAMP regulates the splicing of many RBPs that are components
of alternative splicing complexes, including *hrp38*. The alternatively spliced forms of these
proteins can result in protein isoforms with different functions. CLAMP-regulated alternate first
(AF) alternative splicing of *hrp38* transcript gives rise to two different protein isoforms (Fig 3).
How these two protein isoforms differ in their physical and functional properties needs to be
determined.

We define CLAMP-regulated RBP protein dynamics as an alternative mechanism by which

CLAMP regulates splicing. We show that the CLAMP PrLD domain is essential for determining

the size of Hrp38 protein condensates, which in turn regulates their function. We hypothesize that

CLAMP affects Hrp38 splicing speckles by regulating the biophysical phase separation properties

of Hrp38 condensates. Our data support a model in which the CLAMP PrLD domain differentially

regulates CLAMP-Hrp38 interactions to regulate splicing condensate properties in males and

females. We propose that the interaction of CLAMP with the MSL complex in males but not

females promotes sex differences in Hrp38 function. The following evidence supports this model:

1) Hrp38 mobility is different between males and females (Fig 4J) as is the CLAMP-Hrp38 protein

interaction which we identified as female-enriched from proteomic analysis (Urban et al); 2) Hrp38

splicing condensate mobility is more dysregulated males than in females in *clamp PrLD* mutants

(Fig 4J); 3) The colocalization between CLAMP and Hrp38 is decreased in males versus females,

potentially due to competition from the CLAMP-MSL interaction. (Figs 4H, J). Overall, our data

support a model in which CLAMP sex-specifically regulates the dynamics of the hnRNP

orthologue Hrp38.

Thus, one determinant of the sex-specificity of CLAMP-dependent alternative splicing is sex-

dependent variability in Hrp38 condensate dynamics. CLAMP interacts with the Hrp38 protein

differentially between sexes [9]. Also, the PrLD domain of CLAMP regulates Hrp38 condensates

more strongly in males, predicting that the CLAMP PrLD domain is important for these male-

biased interactions. This might be due to CLAMP interacting with the MSL complex in males,

thereby inhibiting its interaction with other proteins, such as Hrp38. However, the absence of this

complex would make such associations possible. The biomolecular interactions by which the PrLD

domain of CLAMP regulates Hrp38 and determines CLAMP-Hrp38 interactions require further

study.

Another determinant of the sex-specificity of CLAMP-dependent alternative splicing is that

CLAMP has different RNA targets in males and females, with the PrLD domain again playing an

important role. We hypothesize that sex-specific CLAMP-RNA targets result from CLAMP

having different RBP interactors in males and females, especially closer to chromatin. Again, this

might result from differential interactions between CLAMP and different riboprotein complexes
like MSL2 complex (MSL proteins and rox RNA) and spliceosomal complex (RBPs and snRNAs)
on chromatin. In the future, new techniques like RD-SPRITE that identify the distribution of
different nuclear clusters, including splicing hubs on DNA, could be used to determine the global
as well as specific local role of CLAMP in establishing site-specific RNA splicing in male
compared with female cells.

We found substantial new splicing events occurring in the absence of CLAMP (Ref) (Fig 3B),
which indicates that at most genomic sites, CLAMP acts as an inhibitor of alternative splicing
events. Thus, overall, our data support a model where sex-specific differences in alternative
splicing arise from differential TF-RNA and TF-RBP interactions (Figs. 1 and 4). We show for
the first time that an intrinsically disordered domain (IDR) in a TF regulates alternative splicing
by regulating the dynamics of splicing condensates. Also, our data suggest that TFs that bind to
specific DNA motifs and have RNA binding properties are strong candidates for understanding
how specific alternative splicing events are regulated in specific cellular contexts.

Methods:

Cell culture: Kc and S2 cells were maintained at 25°C in Schneider's media supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum and 1.4X Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Thermofisher Scientific, USA). Cells were passaged every three days to maintain an appropriate cell density.

Fly stocks and husbandry: *Drosophila melanogaster* fly stocks were maintained at 24°C on standard corn flour sucrose media. Fly strains with complete (CLAMP Δ154-290a.a) and partial (CLAMP Δ160-290a.a) PrLD deleted mutant fly lines using CRISPR/Cas9 genomic mutagenesis and homologous repair[39]. We used the flyCRISPR Optimal Target Finder tool from the University of Wisconsin to design a CRISPR target sequence for *clamp[delPrLD]* and *clamp[delPrLD+6Q39]*. We cloned target sequence oligonucleotides (one gRNA) for *clamp[delPrLD]* (sense:5'CTTCGGGTACAACGCCAAAGCGAG3';antisense:3'CCCATGTTGCGGTTTCGCTC-CAAA5') and two gRNAs for *clamp[delPrLD+6Q]* (sense: 5'CTTCG-AATAGAATCGCCGCCCGCT3';antisense:3'CTTATCTTAGCGGCGGGCGACAAA5')and(sense:5'CTTC-GTTGTGGCTGCACAGACTGG3';antisense:3'CAACACCGACGTGTCTGACC-CAAA5') into the pU6-BbsI-chiRNA plasmid (Addgene no. 45946), following the protocol outlined on the flyCRISPR website. We validated the correct ligation of the *clamp* CRISPR target sequence into the pU6-BbsI-chiRNA plasmid by Sanger sequencing using universal M13 primers. For homologous repair, we used ssODN15'ACATAAGCTTTAAGTGTGACGTATGTTCAGATATGTTCCCTCATTTGGCACTTCTTAATGCTCATAGAGAGGCGAGCAGTGGGTCTGGCCATCATCCTGTGAAAAAACGAAATTCCCAGCAGATGACCAAAT3' for *clamp[delPrLD]*and ssODN2 5'CTTCTTAATGCTCATAAGCGGATGCATACAGACGGGGAACAGCAGCAACAACAGC

AACATAACGCCCAAGCTGGCGGTACAACGCCAAAGCGAGAGGCGAGCAGTGGGTCT

GGCCATCATCCTGTGAAAAAA3' for *clamp^delPrLD+6Q*.The commercial service, BestGene Inc.,

microinjected the validated pU6-BbsI-chiRNA plasmid containing the *clamp* target sequence into

germline-expressing Cas9 flies Bloomington stock #51324. Flies containing a single mutation

were returned balanced over the *Curly of Oster* (*CyO)* second chromosome balancer. From these

progenies, we identified the CRISPR/Cas9-generated mutations by PCR across the target region

(forward:5′-GATATGTTCCCTCATTTGGCAC-3′,   reverse:5′-

CACTCCCATGCTTCACACAG-3′). We isolated two independent clamp alleles from this

validation: (1) *y^1*, *w^1118*; *clamp^delPrLD*/*CyO*; and (2) *y^1*, *w^1118*; *clamp^delPrLD+6Q*/*CyO*. These were

crossed to obtain male and female *clamp^delPrLD+6Q*/*clamp^delPrLD* genotypes. Crisper/Cas9 generated

fly mutants and fly strain y^1 w^1118; P{w[+mC] =PTT-GC} Hrb98DE[ZCL0588] expressing

Hrp38GFP (Bloomington #6822) were crossed to obtain *clamp^delPrLD+6Q*/*clamp^delPrLD*male and

female expressing GFP tagged Hrp38 protein.

CUT&RUN in cell lines: Cells were allowed to grow to confluency and harvested. An equal

number of cells for each category were suspended in wash buffer and subjected to Cut&Run assay

according to Skene et al. 2018[40] using rabbit anti-CLAMP (5µg) to immunoprecipitate CLAMP

bound DNA fragments from male (S2) and female (Kc) cell lines. Three replicates for males and

females were run, but one female sample was dropped during later stages due to insufficient

starting material. Rabbit IgG was used as a control for each male and female cell line sample. 1ng

CUT&RUN DNA was used to generate libraries using the Kapa Hyper prep kit (Roche, USA) and

SeqCapAdapter Kit A (Roche, USA). 14 PCR cycles were used to amplify the libraries. AMPure

XP beads (Beckman Coulter, USA) were used for library purification, and fragment analysis was

performed to check the library quality. Paired-end 2x25 bp Illumina Hi-seq sequencing was

performed.

RNA-seq in third instar larvae (L3): Total RNA was extracted from control (y^1 w^1118;

hrp38GFP) and *clamp* mutant (*yw, clamp^delPrLD+6Q*/*clamp^delPrLD*; *hrp38GFP*) male and female third

instar larvae (3 each) using Trizol (Invitrogen, USA). Four replicates were in each category

prepared. Messenger RNA was purified from total RNA using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic

beads. After fragmentation, the first strand of cDNA was synthesized using random hexamer

primers, followed by the second cDNA synthesis. The library was ready after end repair, A-tailing,

adapter ligation, size selection, amplification, and purification, followed by paired-end RNA-

sequencing in Illumina Novaseq 6000. The sequencing data was run through a SUPPA-based

time2splice pipeline[14] to identify CLAMP-dependent sex-specific splicing events. Data is to be

submitted to the GEO repository.

iCLIP: Cells were allowed to grow to confluency and UV crosslinked using 254 nm UV light in

Stratalinker 2400 on ice (Stratagene, USA). UV-treated cells were lysed to get different cellular

fractions (Cytoplasmic, Nucleoplasmic, and Chromatin) according to the Fr-iCLIP (fractionation-

iCLIP) protocol from Brugiolo et al 2017[42]. Chromatin and nucleoplasmic fractions were sonicated

with a Branson digital sonicator at 30% amplitude for 30 seconds (10 sec on and 20 sec off) to

disrupt DNA before IP. All three fractions were separately centrifuged at 20,000 xg for 5 min at

4°C. Fractions were tested by Western blotting using RNApolI for Chromatin Fraction and Actin

for Cytoplasmic Fraction. Protein quantification for each fraction was done using the

manufacturer's protocol for Pierce 660 nm protein assay reagent (Thermo Scientific, USA). Each

Fraction was subjected to iCLIP protocol as described in Huppertz et al. 2014[41] using rabbit-

CLAMP antibody to immunoprecipitate bound RNAs extracted using proteinase K and phenol:

chloroform. Custom cDNA libraries were prepared according to Huppertz et al. 2014[41] using

distinct primers Rt1clip-Rt16clip for separate samples containing individual 4nt-barcode

sequences that allow multiplexing of samples. cDNA libraries for each sample were amplified

separately using 31 cycles of PCR, mixed later, and sequenced using standard Illumina protocols.

Heyl et al. 2020[42] methods using the Galaxy CLIP-Explorer were followed to preprocess, perform

quality control, post-process, and peak calling.

Validation of hrp38 splicing using RT-PCR assay: Total RNA was extracted from 5 third instar

larvae (L3) female and male embryos expressing $clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ and y^1, w^{1118}.

Following the manufacturer's protocol, we reverse-transcribed one microgram of total RNA using

the SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Life Technologies, USA). We amplified target

sequences by PCR using primers designed to span alternatively spliced junctions (FP-

5'AGAACGGCAACTCCAATGGC3' and RP-5'GCCAGTCTCCTTGTCAATGA3') and Quick

load Taq 2X Master mix (#M0271L, NEB, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol (28

cycles). 10ul of PCR product of each replicate for each gene was loaded in separate wells in 2%

agarose gels and imaged using a ChemiDoc^{TM} MP Imaging system (BioRad, USA). All replicates

for each gene were loaded on the same gel. The gel images were quantified using the densitometry

steps with the Fiji image analysis tool. Student's t-tests were performed to determine significant

differences between groups (two samples at a time). Three replicates for RT-PCR samples were

performed.

CLAMP Protein Expression and Purification: Vectors encoding maltose binding protein

(MBP)-tagged CLAMP 1-300 and MBP-tagged CLAMP 1-300 delPrLD were produced by

cloning into the pTHMT vector. Plasmids were transformed into BL21 Star (DE3) cells, and

bacteria cultures were grown in a minimal M9 medium supplemented with ^{15}N ammonium

chloride. Cultures were grown at 37°C and 200 RPM to an optical density of 0.6-0.8 and

subsequently induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 4 hours at

37°C. Cell pellets were harvested by centrifugation at 6,000 RPM, resuspended in lysis buffer (20

mM Tris pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 10 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT, and one EDTA-free protease inhibitor

tablet (Roche) per liter of culture), and lysed by sonication. The lysed cell suspension was cleared

by centrifugation at 20,000 RPM for 50 min. The supernatant was filtered using a 0.2 μm syringe

filter and loaded onto a HisTrap HP 5 ml column. The HisTrap column was first washed with 20

mM Tris pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 10 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT Buffer, and then the bound protein was

eluted with 20 mM Tris pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 500 mM Imidazole, 1 mM DTT buffer. Fractions

containing MBP-CLAMP 1-300 were collected and purified on a Superdex 200 (26/600) column

equilibrated in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 1.0 M NaCl buffer. As above, CLAMP PrLD (154-290) was

expressed and purified using HisTrap HP. Following that , CLAMP PrLD was collected from the

HisTrap HP, concentrated to 1 mL, and cleaved overnight with TEV protease to separate CLAMP

PrLD from the MBP in 20 mM Tris pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 10 mM Imidazole, and 1 mM DTT Buffer.

The cleaved protein was separated from the HisTag by a subtraction step, flowing the cleaved

protein over the HisTrap HP column using the same Tris buffer previously described and collecting

the flow-through. MBP-tagged Full-Length CLAMP was grown following the same methods

above. Once the Full-Length CLAMP cell lysate supernatant was loaded onto HisTrap HP 5 ml

column, the protein was eluted with a gradient from 10 to 500 mM imidazole in pH 5.5 20 mM

MES, and 361 mM CaCl₂. Fractions containing MBP-CLAMP FL were collected and purified on

a Superdex 200 (26/600) column equilibrated in pH 5.5, 20 mM MES, and 361 mM CaCl2 buffer.

For each CLAMP construct, fractions containing the desired protein were verified using SDS-

PAGE, concentrated using a 10 kDa centrifugation filter (Millipore), aliquoted, and flash frozen

in liquid nitrogen.

Hrp38 protein purification and phase separation assay: Maltose binding protein (MBP)-tagged

Hrp38 was expressed in BL21 Star (DE3) *E. coli* cells. The cells were resuspended in 20 mM NaPi

at pH 7.4 with 300 mM NaCl and 10 mM imidazole, and the lysate was cleared by centrifuging at

20,000 rpm for 60 mins at 4° C. The supernatant was filtered using 0.2 µM filters and loaded on a

5 ml HisTrap HP column. The protein was eluted using an imidazole gradient of 10-300 mM over

5-column volumes. The protein fractions were pooled and loaded on a Superdex 200 (26/600)

column for size exclusion. 20 mM NaPi with 300 mMNaCl at pH 7.4 was used for size exclusion

chromotography and storage. Protein was flash frozen as aliquots of 1 mM. Fluorescent labeling

of the CLAMP 1-300 was done using AlexaFluor 488 maleimide dye, while Hrp38 was labeled

using 555 maleimide. The protein was diluted to 100 µM in 20 mM Tris buffer at pH 7.4 with 50

mM NaCl, and a 5-fold concentration (500 µM) of the dye dissolved in DMSO was added to 5%

of the total volume. The reaction mixture was incubated for 1 hour, and then, unbound AlexaFluor

was removed using 1 ml Zeba spin desalting columns. The proteins were concentrated to 1 mM,

flash frozen, and stored. For phase separation assay and microscopy, Hrp38 was buffer exchanged

to 20 mM Tris buffer at pH 7.4 with 50 mM NaCl to a final concentration of 20 µM. Stock and

sample concentrations were measured using aNanoDrop spectrophotometer using the extinction

coefficient 130,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for Hrp38 and 78270 for MBP CLAMP 1-300. 1 µM of AlexaFluor

labeled CLAMP was added to Hrp38, and TEV protease was added to cleave the MBP tag. Less

than 1% fluorescent protein was used in all samples. A Nikon spinning-disc confocal microscope

(Nikon Eclipse Ti2) was used for imaging at 20X magnification with 1.5X zoom. The images were

processed using ImageJ.

NMR Sample Preparation and Spectroscopy: ^{15}N isotopically labeled samples of CLAMP 1-

300 were prepared at 50 µM in a buffer containing 20 mM MES pH 5.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM

DTT, and 5% D₂O and then moved into a 5 mm NMR tube using a glass pipette. CLAMP

concentration was determined by measuring absorbance at 280 nm (and then dividing absorbance
by the extinction coefficient estimated by the Expasy ProtParam). NMR spectra were recorded on
Bruker Avance 850 MHz ^{1}H Larmor frequency spectrometer with HCN TCI z-gradient
cryoprobes. A two-dimensional ^{1}H^{15}N HSQC was acquired using spectral widths of 10.5 ppm and
30.0 ppm in the direct and indirect dimensions, with 3072 and 512 total points and acquisition time
of 172 ms and 99.0 ms, respectively. Samples of isotopically labeled CLAMP PrLD were prepared
at 20 µM in a buffer containing 50 mM MES pH 5 and 5% D$_2$O. Because the PrLD domain of
CLAMP contains no tyrosines or tryptophans, the A$_{280}$ absorbance could not be measured to
determine the protein concentration. Instead, sample concentration was estimated by measuring
the absorbance at 230 nm and calculating the extinction coefficient to be 300 M^{-1}cm^{-1} per peptide
bond (40.5 mM^{-1}cm^{-1} for CLAMP PrLD). Torula yeast was added to one PrLD sample at a 1:1
Protein to RNA ratio by weight, and NMR spectra were acquired in 5 mm NMR tubes on a Bruker
Avance 850 MHz ^{1}H Larmor frequency spectrometer. Both two-dimensional ^{1}H^{15}N HSQC spectra
were acquired using spectral widths of 14.0 ppm and 25.0 ppm in the direct and indirect
dimensions, with 2048 and 256 total points and acquisition time of 86.0 ms and 59.4 ms,
respectively. All data was processed and analyzed using NMRPipe and CCPNMR Analysis 2.5
software[50,51].

RNA Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays: *rox2*RNA probes at 100 nM and *hrp38* RNA
probes at 50nM were incubated with MBP-tagged FL CLAMP^{WT} protein or MBP-tagged FL
CLAMP^{delPrLD} protein in REMSA binding buffer provided with the LightShift Chemiluminescent
RNA EMSA kit (Thermo Scientific, USA) at room temperature for 30 min according to
manufacturer's protocol. Reactions were loaded onto 6% TBE retardation gels (Thermo Fisher
Scientific) and run in 0.5× Tris–borate–EDTA buffer for one hour. RNA-Protein complex was
transferred to the Nylon membrane using the iBlot transfer system (ThermoFisher Scientific), and
the probe signal was detected using a Chemiluminescent Nucleic acid detection module (#80880,
ThermoFisher Scientific).

Immunostaining of *Drosophila* tissue:
Third instar larval salivary gland tissue from control and *clamp$^{delPrLD+6Q}$/clamp^{delPrLD}* male and
female dissected in 1XPBS (Phosphate Buffer Saline, 10X PBS, Boston Bioproducts Inc, USA)

and fixed in 4% PFA in 1XPBS (Paraformaldehyde, Sigma Aldrich, USA) for 20 min. Incubated

in 1% PBST (Triton-X in 1XPBS) for 10 minutes and washed twice in 0.1% PBST for 10 minutes

each. They were incubated in a moist chamber with a blocking solution (3% BSA, bovine serum

albumin in 0.1%PBST) for 2 hours at RT. Tissues were then incubated in rabbit anti-CLAMP

(1:500, SDIX) overnight at 4 degrees Celsius in a blocking solution in a moist chamber. Washed

tissues in 0.1% PBST three times for 15 minutes each. Secondary anti-rabbit Alexa fluor 568 at

1:200 dilution was used to incubate tissue for 2 hrs at RT in a blocking solution in a moist chamber

and again, washed in 0.1% PBST three times for 15 minutes each, followed by DAPI staining

(1ug/ml in 1XPBS) for 10 min at RT in the dark. After two washes in 0.1% PBST for 10 min each,

tissues were mounted on a slide in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories Inc, USA).

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP):

In vivo (Malphighian tubule principal cell nucleus expressing Hrp38GFP in control and

$clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ male and female third instar larvae) FRAP was performed on a Nikhon

Spin-disc Confocal Microscope with a 488 nm laser on a 60× objective taking frames without

delay (short time course and fast-recovering control) with 3-sec acquisition pre-bleaching,

bleaching using 473 nm laser at 100%- and 2-minutes acquisition post-bleach. Malphighian

tubules (MTs) were dissected in Grace's Insect media with 1:50 dilution of ProlongLive

(antifading agent). A 6% slurry of low melting agarose (A9414-5G) in Grace's Insect media was

used to stabilize the MTs during imaging on a bridge slide with a cavity to pour the agarose, which

was allowed to solidify, forming a soft base to mount the tissue. The intensities recorded on

selected regions of interest were obtained using NIS element software. Data fitting and immobile

fraction analysis were obtained with the NIS element software FRAP analysis module.

In-vivo live imaging: Salivary gland expressing Hrp38GFP (nuclear) in control and

$clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ male and female third instar larvae were dissected in Grace's Insect

media with 1:50 dilution of ProlongLive (antifading agent) and mounted in the dissecting media

on a bridge slide with low melting agarose (A9414-5G) in Grace's Insect media as a base. Moving

Hrp38GFP condensates in the nucleus were imaged using a 488 nm laser on a Nikon Spin-disc

Confocal Microscope at 60X magnification for 2 minutes each without any delay in acquisition.

Computational Methods

CUT&RUN Data analysis: Sequenced reads were run through FASTQC[43](fastqc replicate_R1_001.fastq.gz replicate_R2_001.fastq.gz) with default parameters to check the quality of raw sequence data and filter out any sequences flagged for poor quality. Sequences were trimmed and reassessed for quality using TrimGalore (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore/issues/25) and FastQC[43], respectively. All Illumina lanes of the same flow cell. fastq files were merged, and sequenced reads were mapped to release 6 *Drosophila melanogaster* genome (dm6). We compared Bowtie2[44], HISAT2[45], and BWA[46]. We found the best alignment quality with BWA and thus used the results of this method downstream. Next, we performed conversion to bam and sorting (e.g., using: bowtie2 -x dm6_genome -1 replicate_R1_001.fastq.gz -2 replicate_R2_001.fastq.gz -S out. sam> stout.txt 2> alignment_info.txt; samtools view -bSout.sam>out.bam; rm -rfout.sam; samtools sort out.bam -o out.sorted.bam). We removed reads (using samtools) with a MAPQ less than 30 and any reads with PCR duplicate reads (identified using MarkDuplicates Picard -2.20.2). Peaks identified using MACS2[47](macs2 callpeak -t out.sorted.bam -B -f BAM --nomodel --SPMR --keep-dup all -g dm --trackline -n outname --cutoff-analysis --call-summits -p 0.01 --outdiroutdir) and keep duplicates separate**.** To calculate fold-enrichment macs2 is rerun (macs2 bdgcmp -t $treat -c $control -o $out.sorted.bam_FE.bdg -m FE 2> $ out.sorted.bam_FE.log; macs2 bdgcmp -t $treat -c $control -o $out.sorted.bam_logLR.bdg -m logLR -p 0.00001 2). For motif analysis, the MEME[48] suite was used. Data was submitted to the GEO repository (#GSE174781, #GSE220981 and #GSE220053).

iCLIP Data analysis: The method from Heyl et al. 2020[42] using the Galaxy CLIP-Explorer was followed to preprocess, perform quality control, post-process and perform peak calling. UMI tools were used for preprocessing, and then UMI tools and Cutadapt were used for Adapter, Barcode, and UMI removal. Cutadapt (Galaxy version 3.5) was used for filtering with a custom adaptersequenceAGATCGGAAGAGCGGTTCAGCAGGAATGCCGAGACCGATCTCGTAT GCCGTCTTCTGCTTG. All other settings followed the Heyl et al. 2020 Galaxy iCLIP-explorer workflow. UMI-Tools Extract (Galaxy Version 1.1.2+galaxy2) was then used with a barcode pattern of NNNXXXXNN. No unpaired reads were allowed. The barcode was on the 3' end. Je-Demultiplex (Galaxy Version 1.2.1) was then used for demultiplexing. FastQC was used for

quality control. Mapping was done by RNA STAR (Galaxy version 2.5.2b-2) using dm6. All

settings were chosen based on the existing parameters from the iCLIP-explorer settings. We

selected FALSE for the option to use end-to-end read alignments with no soft-clipping. bedtools

used for Read-Filtering, and UMI-Tools (Galaxy version 0.5.3.0) for de-duplication. PEAKachu

was used for Peak Calling to generate bed files. The PEAKachu settings were followed using the

Galaxy CLIP-explorer workflow. The maximum insert size was set to 150, the minimum cluster

expression fraction was set to 0.01, the minimum block overlap was set to 0.5, and the minimum

block expression was set to 0.1. The Mad Multiplier was set to 0.0, the Fold Change Threshold

was set to 2.0, and the adjusted p-value threshold was set to 0.05. Peaks were annotated using

RCAS[49] (RNA Centric Annotation System), an R package using Rstudio. MEME Suite is used for

motif detection. RCAS was used for functional analysis of the transcriptomes isolated by iCLIP,

such as transcript features. Data was submitted to the GEO repository (#GSE205987).

Integrating CUT&RUN and iCLIP data: A Python script was created that iterates through all

of the DNA peak bed files for CLAMP DNA binding sites in Kc and S2 cell lines (CUT&RUN

data, #GSE220053) as a reference and tests for overlap with CLAMP-bound RNA peaks (each

sequence is between 25-50bp in size) in the Kc and S2 (iCLIP data, (#GSE205987). The overlaps

are categorized into four main categories based upon the location of the overlap: 1) completely

overlapping (purple lines in frequency plot), 2) partially overlapping at the DNA peak start site

(red lines in frequency plot); 3) partially overlapping at the DNA peak end site (blue lines in

frequency plot) and 4) non-overlapping, i.e. when there is an overlap in a region outside the DNA

binding site (yellow lines in frequency plot). This extended region is defined by the *scope* variable

in the script, allowing the overlap to look for binding sites near the DNA binding site (this scope

is 2 kb, including the DNA binding site). We note that multiple RNA peaks can be found on one

DNA peak. These overlaps are placed onto a [-scope, scope] region. Then, each type of overlap

shown with a different color is overlaid and plotted onto a frequency plot. So, if the frequency at

a given base pair is 5, five overlaps contain that base pair within the region defined by the scope.

Analysis of Imaging data:

***In vivo* co-localization of CLAMP and Hrp38** Images of the fixed salivary glands immunostained for CLAMP (magenta, anti-CLAMP antibody) and Hrp38 (green, GFP) were acquired as z-stacks and saved as nd2 files. The raw image data from each nd2 file was converted to 3D numpy arrays for each specified channel (magenta and green) employing the nd2 reader Python package. Colocalization analysis was then performed to determine the Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC) and corresponding p-value between the two channels of each nd2 file using the scikit-image Python package (scikit-image 0.22.0), indicating the degree of overlap between the proteins (CLAMP and Hrp38). The PCC and p-value were obtained for 20 male control, 38 male $clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$, 22 female control, and 28 female $clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ nd2 files. The mean PCC and standard error of the mean (calculated using SciPy) for each background across all nd2 files were then plotted using the matplotlib Python package. Statistical analysis was performed comparing the colocalization coefficients across different experimental conditions, including pairwise p-values and t-values for each experimental background against the others.

All image processing and analysis for colocalization was done through a custom Python script. (https://github.com/smritivaidyanathan/3D_Speckle_Volume_Quantifier/blob/main/scripts/coloc alizer.py).

Quantification of speckle dynamics; Bound Fraction calculations Live-imaging movies (2 min long) of dynamically moving GFP tagged Hrp38 protein were pre-processed using Fiji (ImageJ) Python macro script, involving Fiji Plugins and built-in software on the Hrp38 condensate (green channel) to distinguish Hrp38 phase condensates from the cell background. Simple Ratio bleach correction was applied, and the minimum and maximum intensity adjustment was executed based on the mean intensity and standard deviation of intensity. The specific adjustment method varied depending on the image quality, ensuring optimal visibility of Hrp38 condensate speckles. Afterward, background subtraction was performed with a rolling ball radius of 120 pixels. The conversion to a binary image involved max entropy thresholding, although the minimum thresholding method was employed for some images to accommodate diverse lighting conditions. The thresholded images were saved as TIFF files for use in the TrackIt tracking software[34], a program for tracking and analyzing single-molecule experiments developed by the Gebhardt lab. In total, 29 male control movies, 55 male $clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ mutant movies, 23 female

control movies, and 65 female $clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ mutant movies were utilized in

subsequent analyses.

For tracking analysis, TIFF movies from each experimental condition were loaded into TrackIt[34],

and analysis was performed using MATLAB on a Windows 10 PC, utilizing default settings with

specific parameter adjustments: threshold (4), tracking radius (8), minimum track length (2), one

gap frame allowed, and minimum track length before the gap (3). Each experimental condition

was analyzed using TrackIt's MATLAB-based data visualization tool, with settings further edited

for formatting in a MATLAB script. Tracking data outputs were used for bound fraction analysis

according to TrackIt package[34]. TrackIt provided information on tracked events, including long,

short, linked, and nonlinked events. The calculation of bound fractions by TrackIt involved

determining the ratio of the sum of short and long events to non-linked events. Movie-wise bound

fraction values, and the pooled fraction were plotted (sum over all movies for each type of event).

Statistical analysis comparing the bound fraction of Hrp38 speckles across different experimental

conditions was performed using paired student's t-test for each experimental background against

the others. Error bars for the pooled fraction values were calculated using linear error propagation,

following the formula indicated in the TrackIt Manual[34] (https://gitlab.com/GebhardtLab/TrackIt/-

/blob/master/TrackIt_manual.pdf?ref_type=heads).

Quantification of speckles volume Z-stack confocal images from fixed third instar salivary gland

tissue of male and female wildtype control and $clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ mutant sample

expressing GFP tagged Hrp38 protein in the nucleus were used to determine the size of Hrp38

nuclear speckles in the presence of CLAMP[WT] and CLAMP[delPrLD]. We wrote Python script for pre-

processing step to create a binary image, with all areas covered by a fluorescently tagged Hrp38

condensate to be represented by a 1 and all others to be marked as 0 using the following steps - a.

Conversion of the z-stacks to a 3D array using the nd2reader python package. b. Smoothing the

image to reduce noise using a Gaussian filter with a sigma value of 1.0. c. Thresholding the image

using the formula, where the threshold was calculated as follows:

Each pixel in the smoothed image is represented by an intensity value ranging from 0 (black) to

255 (white). Following the application of a smoothing algorithm, the arithmetic average (μ) and

standard deviation (σ) are calculated across all pixel intensities in the smoothed image.

Thresholding was performed to generate a binary image using these calculated parameters. The

threshold value (T) is defined as:

$T = \mu + (n \times \sigma)$

`where n is a user-defined constant, with n≥0, for each pixel in the`

`smoothed image, if the intensity was greater than T, the corresponding`

`pixel in the binary image was assigned a value of 1 (white). Conversely,`

`if the intensity was less than or equal to T, the corresponding pixel in`

`the binary image was assigned a value of 0 (black). The resulting binary`

`image retained the same dimensions and pixel count as the original`

`smoothed image.`

d. Storing and sending to the next step of the pipeline. In the above function, the value of n varied

between datasets. It was refined through a visual inspection of the thresholded 3D image using the

Napari Viewer python package (https://napari.org), and n was set to equal to 7. In total, 17 male

control, 15 male $clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ mutant, 17 female control, and 25 female

$clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}/clamp^{delPrLD}$ mutant z-stack movies were processed and analyzed. To account for

irregular shapes of speckles, the segmentation algorithm union-find (van der Walt et al., 2014)

with modified connectivity parameter set as None, meaning that the program will not limit the

number of jumps, set to input.ndim, or the size of the array itself (Skimage. measure, 2024) was

used. The mean speckle size for each category was plotted with the 95% confidence intervals,

calculated using a Z-score of 1.96. The same was done for medians, except using bootstrapping

with 1000 samples to calculate the error bars, again calculated using a Z-score of 1.96. The

pairwise p-values and t-values were calculated using an independent sample t-test (student t-test).

Details of the 3D volume quantification scripts can be found on the Github page:

https://github.com/smritivaidyanathan/3D_Speckle_Volume_Quantifier.

Competing Interest Statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by R35GM126994 to E.N.L. from NIH, and by National Science Foundation (Directorate for Biological Sciences) 1845734 and R01GM147677 to N.L.F. A.M.C is funded by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship and CCMB, Brown University. We thank Bloomington Stock Center for fly lines. We thank Steve Henikoff for the pAMNase protein and spike-in DNA for Cut and Run.

Author Contributions

M.R., **N. L. F,** and **E.N.L**. planned experiments, analyzed results, and wrote the manuscript. **J.Z** did all protein purifications and the NMR and phase separation assays for CLAMP. **M.R.** conducted the experimental work and collected data for Cut and Run, iCLIP, FRAP, Live imaging, RNA-EMSA, RNA isolation for RNA-sequencing, and generated fly mutants. **P.M** analyzed third instar larval RNA-seq data using the time2splice pipeline to identify CLAMP-dependent splicing events, integrated CLAMP iCLIP data with CLAMP CUT&RUN in cell lines, and performed the splicing assay for *hrp38*. **S.V.** analyzed all the imaging data sets. **R.V.** performed the co-LLPS assay with Hrp38 and CLAMP. **J.S** cloned CLAMP1-300 and CLAMP1-300del PrLD clones. **J.S.**, **V.C., I.P.,** and **K.C.** helped with RNA-EMSA assays. **A.H.** analyzed the iCLIP-seq data. **A.M.C** analyzed CUT&RUN data. **S.H.W.** helped with NMR analysis. **V.J.** and **N.W.** helped with CLAMP Protein Expression and Purification. **A.E.C** and **R.P** helped with the protein and NMR assay

References

1. Boumpas, P., Merabet, S. & Carnesecchi, J. Integrating transcription and splicing into cell fate: transcription factors on the block. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: RNA***14**, e1752 (2023).

2. Han, H. et al. Multilayered control of alternative splicing regulatory networks by transcription factors. *Molecular cell***65**, 539-553. e7 (2017).

3. Saulnier, O. et al. ERG transcription factors have a splicing regulatory function involving RBFOX2 that is altered in the EWS-FLI1 oncogenic fusion. *Nucleic Acids Research***49**, 5038-5056 (2021).

4. Jabre, I. et al. Does co-transcriptional regulation of alternative splicing mediate plant stress responses? *Nucleic Acids Research***47**, 2716-2726 (2019).

5. Oksuz, O. et al. Transcription factors interact with RNA to regulate genes. *Molecular Cell***83**, 2449-2463. e13 (2023).

6. He, C. et al. High-resolution mapping of RNA-binding regions in the nuclear proteome of embryonic stem cells. *Molecular cell***64**, 416-430 (2016).

7. Cassiday, L.A. & Maher III, L.J. Having it both ways: transcription factors that bind DNA and RNA. *Nucleic acids research***30**, 4118-4126 (2002).

8. Göös, H. et al. Human transcription factor protein interaction networks. *Nature communications***13**, 766 (2022).

9. Urban, J.A., Urban, J.M., Kuzu, G. & Larschan, E.N. The Drosophila CLAMP protein associates with diverse proteins on chromatin. *PloS one***12**, e0189772 (2017).

10. Kuzu, G. et al. Expansion of GA dinucleotide repeats increases the density of CLAMP binding sites on the X-chromosome to promote Drosophila dosage compensation. *PLoS genetics***12**, e1006120 (2016).

11. Kaye, E.G. et al. Differential occupancy of two GA-binding proteins promotes targeting of the drosophila dosage compensation complex to the male X chromosome. *Cell reports***22**, 3227-3239 (2018).

12. Soruco, M.M. et al. The CLAMP protein links the MSL complex to the X chromosome during Drosophila dosage compensation. *Genes & development***27**, 1551-1556 (2013).

13. Albig, C. et al. Factor cooperation for chromosome discrimination in Drosophila. *Nucleic acids research***47**, 1706-1724 (2019).

14. Ray, M. et al. Sex-specific splicing occurs genome-wide during early Drosophila embryogenesis. *eLife***12**, e87865 (2023).

15. Straub, T., Zabel, A., Gilfillan, G.D., Feller, C. & Becker, P.B. Different chromatin interfaces of the Drosophila dosage compensation complex revealed by high-shear ChIP-seq. *Genome research***23**, 473-485 (2013).

16. Alekseyenko, A.A. et al. A sequence motif within chromatin entry sites directs MSL establishment on the Drosophila X chromosome. *Cell***134**, 599-609 (2008).

17. March, Z.M., King, O.D. & Shorter, J. Prion-like domains as epigenetic regulators, scaffolds for subcellular organization, and drivers of neurodegenerative disease. *Brain research***1647**, 9-18 (2016).

18. Harrison, A.F. & Shorter, J. RNA-binding proteins with prion-like domains in health and disease. *Biochemical Journal***474**, 1417-1438 (2017).

19. Gotor, N.L. et al. RNA-binding and prion domains: the Yin and Yang of phase separation. *Nucleic acids research***48**, 9491-9504 (2020).

20. Wang, Y. et al. A prion-like domain in transcription factor EBF1 promotes phase
separation and enables B cell programming of progenitor chromatin. *Immunity***53**, 1151-
1167. e6 (2020).

21. Yang, J. et al. A prion-like domain of TFEB mediates the co-aggregation of TFEB and
mHTT. *Autophagy***19**, 544-550 (2023).

22. Brodsky, S., Jana, T. & Barkai, N. Order through disorder: The role of intrinsically
disordered regions in transcription factor binding specificity. *Current opinion in structural*
*biology***71**, 110-115 (2021).

23. Eggers, N., Gkountromichos, F., Krause, S., Campos-Sparr, A. & Becker, P.B. Physical
interaction between MSL2 and CLAMP assures direct cooperativity and prevents
competition at composite binding sites. *Nucleic Acids Research***51**, 9039-9054 (2023).

24. Ilik, I.A. et al. A mutually exclusive stem–loop arrangement in roX2 RNA is essential for
X-chromosome regulation in Drosophila. *Genes & development***31**, 1973-1987 (2017).

25. Duan, J. et al. CLAMP and Zelda function together to promote Drosophila zygotic genome
activation. *Elife***10**, e69937 (2021).

26. Li, S. et al. Genetic interaction of hnRNPA2B1 and DNAJB6 in a Drosophila model of
multisystem proteinopathy. *Human molecular genetics***25**, 936-950 (2016).

27. Blanchette, M. et al. Genome-wide analysis of alternative pre-mRNA splicing and RNA-
binding specificities of the Drosophila hnRNP A/B family members. *Molecular cell***33**,
438-449 (2009).

28. Borah, S., Wong, A.C. & Steitz, J.A. Drosophila hnRNP A1 homologs Hrp36/Hrp38
enhance U2-type versus U12-type splicing to regulate alternative splicing of the prospero
twintron. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences***106**, 2577-2582 (2009).

29. Herold, N. et al. Conservation of the protein composition and electron microscopy structure
of Drosophila melanogaster and human spliceosomal complexes. *Molecular and cellular*
biology (2009).

30. Singh, A.K. & Lakhotia, S.C. Dynamics of hnRNPs and omega speckles in normal and
heat shocked live cell nuclei of Drosophila melanogaster. *Chromosoma***124**, 367-383
(2015).

31. Mann, R. & Notani, D. Transcription factor condensates and signaling driven transcription.
*Nucleus***14**, 2205758 (2023).

32. Hennig, S. et al. Prion-like domains in RNA binding proteins are essential for building
subnuclear paraspeckles. *Journal of Cell Biology***210**, 529-539 (2015).

33. Guo, Y.E. et al. Pol II phosphorylation regulates a switch between transcriptional and
splicing condensates. *Nature***572**, 543-548 (2019).

34. Kuhn, T., Hettich, J., Davtyan, R. & Gebhardt, J.C.M. Single molecule tracking and
analysis framework including theory-predicted parameter settings. *Scientific Reports***11**,
9465 (2021).

35. Forman-Kay, J.D., Ditlev, J.A., Nosella, M.L. & Lee, H.O. What are the distinguishing
features and size requirements of biomolecular condensates and their implications for
RNA-containing condensates? *RNA***28**, 36-47 (2022).

36. Deshaies, J.-E. et al. TDP-43 regulates the alternative splicing of hnRNP A1 to yield an
aggregation-prone variant in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain***141**, 1320-1333 (2018).

37. Shin, Y. et al. Spatiotemporal control of intracellular phase transitions using light-activated
optoDroplets. *Cell***168**, 159-171. e14 (2017).

38. Shin, Y. & Brangwynne, C.P. Liquid phase condensation in cell physiology and disease.
*Science***357**, eaaf4382 (2017).
39. Gratz, S.J., Rubinstein, C.D., Harrison, M.M., Wildonger, J. & O'Connor-Giles, K.M.
CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing in Drosophila. *Current protocols in molecular biology***111**,
31.2. 1-31.2. 20 (2015).
40. Skene, P.J., Henikoff, J.G. & Henikoff, S. Targeted in situ genome-wide profiling with
high efficiency for low cell numbers. *Nature protocols***13**, 1006-1019 (2018).
41. Huppertz, I. et al. iCLIP: protein–RNA interactions at nucleotide resolution. *Methods***65**,
274-287 (2014).
42. Heyl, F., Maticzka, D., Uhl, M. & Backofen, R. Galaxy CLIP-Explorer: a web server for
CLIP-Seq data analysis. *GigaScience***9**, giaa108 (2020).
43. Andrews, S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 2010.
(2017).
44. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S.L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature*
*methods***9**, 357-359 (2012).
45. Kim, D., Paggi, J.M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S.L. Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nature biotechnology***37**,
907-915 (2019).
46. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
transform. *bioinformatics***25**, 1754-1760 (2009).
47. Zhang, Y. et al. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome biology***9**, 1-9 (2008).
48. Bailey, T.L., Johnson, J., Grant, C.E. & Noble, W.S. The MEME suite. *Nucleic acids*
*research***43**, W39-W49 (2015).
49. Uyar, B. et al. RCAS: an RNA centric annotation system for transcriptome-wide regions
of interest. *Nucleic acids research***45**, e91-e91 (2017).
50. Delaglio, F. et al. NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on
UNIX pipes. *Journal of biomolecular NMR***6**, 277-293 (1995).
51. Skinner, S.P. et al. CcpNmr AnalysisAssign: a flexible platform for integrated NMR
analysis. *Journal of biomolecular NMR***66**, 111-124 (2016).
52. Mahableshwarkar, P., Shum, J., Ray, M., Larschan, E., Bind Compare: a novel integrated
protein–nucleic acid binding analysis platform, *Bioinformatics*, 40 (11, (2024) btae668.

Figure 1. **CLAMP is a dual DNA/RNA-binding protein with sex-specific RNA targets. A.** Schematic showing the design of the fractionation iCLIP experiment to determine CLAMP RNA targets in different nuclear fractions of male and female *Drosophila* cell lines. **B**. Venn diagrams show the distribution of CLAMP RNA targets between male (S2) and female (Kc) cell types and between chromatin (ChF) and nucleoplasm (NF) fractions (**four replicates** for each category performed except **three replicates** for the Kc nucleoplasm fraction). The number within each circle/overlap region denotes the number of RNA targets/genes, and the percentage of RNA targets/gene is shown within the parentheses in each category. **C.** Frequency distribution of CLAMP RNA binding peaks represented as peak counts (right side secondary Y-axis) from iCLIP data (**four replicates** male and female chromatin fraction) plotted over a region (±1kb across the middle of the DNA peak) spanning CLAMP DNA binding peaks (black line, CUT&RUN data, **three replicates** for males, **two replicates** for females). Complete overlaps (CRO) are denoted by magenta, proximal (within ±1kb across the DNA peak),non-overlaps (PXP) in yellow, partial overlaps in red (near the starting boundary of DNA peaks, ORF), and blue (near the ending boundary of DNA peaks, ORE). **D-E.** Bar plots (**D**) and pie-chart (**E**) show the distribution of the number of CLAMP-RNA peaks that overlap with CLAMP-DNA peaks or are within a ±1kb region of CLAMP-DNA peaks (proximal peaks, PXP). Overlapping peaks are sub-categorized into complete RNA peaks overlapping with DNA peaks (CRO), overlapping RNA peaks with DNA peak front (5' end, ORF), and overlapping RNA peaks with DNA peak 3' end (ORE).

961 **Figure 2**. **The PrLD domain of CLAMP is important for Clamp-RNA interaction A.**

962 Schematic of full-length (FL) CLAMP depicting the location of the PrLD (residues 154-290 amino

acids) and other essential features in the presence (left) and absence (right) of the PrLD **B.** The ^{1}H^{15}N HSQC spectrum of 1-300aa CLAMP at 50 µM demonstrates the largely disordered nature of the protein that lacks the DNA binding domain. Dispersed resonances in the region from 7.9 – 7.0 ppm in the ^{1}H and 115-122 ppm in the ^{15}N likely arise from the folded N-terminal zinc finger **C.** ^{1}H^{15}N HSQC spectra of CLAMP PrLD at 20 µM with (red) and without (blue) torula yeast RNA, showing changes consistent with binding. **D.** Schematic shows the length of *roX2* RNA probes used to study the role of RNA sequence/structure in CLAMP-RNA interaction with RNAl shift assays (RNA-EMSA). **E.** RNA-EMSA showing the binding of increasing amounts of MBP fusion CLAMP-Full length and CLAMP PrLD domain deleted protein to *roX2* RNA biotinylated probes (100 nM). Concentrations (µM) of CLAMP protein increase from left to right. **F.** RNA-EMSA showing difference in binding of full-length (FL) CLAMP (fused to MBP) to *rox2-RNA* probe with (411nt) and without (342nt) stem-loop and CLAMP-roX2 RNA binding region identified from iCLIP. Concentrations (µM) of CLAMP FL increase from left to right. Concentrations (µM) of CLAMP FL and CLAMPdel PrLD increase from left to right.

Figure 3. The CLAMP PrLD domain regulates sex-specific splicing in *Drosophila* third instar larvae A. Region of CLAMP-Full length protein deleted to create fly mutants expressing full (*clamp^delPrLD*) and partial (*clamp^delPrLD+6Q*) PrLD deleted CLAMP protein using Crisper-Cas genome editing. Schematic shows corresponding stages till they survive and within parenthesis the Male vs female ratio in adults of those that survive. **B.** Pie chart showing proportion of CLAMP PrLD domain dependent alternative splicing events that is sex-specific in male and female third instar larvae. The number of splicing events are noted within parenthesis. **C.** Schematic of *hrp38* transcript showing CLAMP binding at exon1 and alternative splicing of intron AF:r6:3R:28600568:28600718:+ in *clamp^delPrLD*/*clamp^delPrLD+6Q* mutant male. **D-E.** The agarose electrophoretic gel image is shown in **D** and the corresponding bar plot in **E** showing the change in levels of intron AF:r6:3R:28600568:28600718:+ spliced isoforms resulting from alternative splicing events in male and female L3 larvae under control *clamp^WT*(green) and *clamp^delPrLD*/*clamp^delPrLD+6Q* (orange) conditions. The isoform transcript levels are normalized by the levels of *gapdh* housekeeping gene transcript. p-values (paired student's t-test) for groups showing significant differences are noted at the top of the line connecting the compared groups (**three replicates** for each category). **F.** CLAMP-DNA binding (CUT&RUN data) and CLAMP-RNA binding in chromatin fraction (iCLIP data) peaks visualized in the IGV genome browser at the *hrp38* gene location in female (Kc cells, red) and male (S2 cells, blue). **G-H.** RNA EMSA mobility shift showing a difference in the efficiency of CLAMP full-length protein-*hrp38* exon1 and exon2 binding (**G**) and that of CLAMP-PrLD domain deleted and CLAMP full-length protein with *hrp38* exon1(**H**). CLAMP^delPrLD and CLAMP^WT-Full length MBP fusions were used at increasing concentrations of 0, 3.1, 6.2, and 12.5 µM with 50 nM biotinylated RNA probes.

1005

Figure 4. The CLAMP PrLD domain regulates the dynamics of hnRNPA2 homolog Hrp38
biomolecular condensatezs. A. DIC micrograph of CLAMP 1-300 with or without PrLD domain
(100 μM) at 150 mM salt concentration with 5% PEG. **B**. Single plane confocal images showing
the co-phase separation of Alexa Fluor 488 maleimide dye-labeled Hrp38 with a single cysteine
added for labeling (green) and Alexa Fluor 555 maleimide dye-labeled 1-300 CLAMP (pseudo
color, magenta) under phase separating conditions for Hrp38. **C**. Schematic showing experimental
design setup for studying Hrp38 nuclear condensates in-vivo using fixed and live tissue imaging
techniques. **D-F.** Confocal image of a single plane of third instar larval salivary gland nuclei
expressing Hrp83-GFP in *clamp*[WT](green) and *clamp*[delPrLD]/*clamp*[delPrLD+6Q] conditions in **D** with
bar plots show mean (**E**) and median (**F**) Hrp38 speckle/condensate size in control and
clamp[delPrLD]/*clamp*[delPrLD+6Q] male and females. P-values (paired student's t-test) for groups

showing significant differences in mean speckle size are noted at the top of the line connecting the compared groups. **G.** Bar plots showing the percentage of Hrp38-GFP in the immobile phase (FRAP analysis) in male and female L3 larvae under control $clamp^{WT}$ and $clamp^{delPrLD}/clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}$ conditions. p-values (paired student's t-test) for groups showing significant differences marked by asterisk are noted at the top of the line connecting the compared groups—**n**=Number of Malphighian tubule principal cell nuclei, **N**= Number of individuals. **H.** Mean bound fraction of Hrp38GFP speckles (Number of Hrp38GFP speckles bound, i.e., immobile track lengths/the total number of Hrp38GFP speckles with all types of track lengths over a fixed time-period) from live imaging of salivary gland nuclei expressing Hrp38-GFP in male and female $clamp^{WT}$ and $clamp^{delPrLD}/clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}$ individuals. Each dot **N** represents each individual (movie), and 'n' denotes the number of Hrp38 speckles identified in each category. p-values (paired student's t-test) for groups showing significant differences in the mean fraction of bound Hrp38 speckles are noted at the top of the line connecting the compared groups. **I-J.** Confocal single plane images of immune-stained third instar larval salivary gland nuclei showing in vivo partial co-localization of CLAMP (magenta) and Hrp38 (green) speckles in control and mutant ($clamp^{delPrLD}/clamp^{delPrLD+6Q}$) males in **I** with corresponding bar plots in **J** showing the Pearson's colocalization coefficient between CLAMP (magenta) and Hrp38 (green) in both males and females. p-values (paired student's t-test) for groups showing significant differences are noted at the top of the line connecting the compared groups.

Table legends

Table 1a: CLAMP RNA targets identified in the nuclear fractions of male (S2) and female (Kc) cells.

Table 1b: List of genes and genomic locations where CLAMP RNA peaks completely overlap with CLAMP DNA peaks (CRO), CLAMP RNA peaks overlap with 5' and 3' ends of DNA peaks (ORF and ORE), and CLAMP RNA peaks are proximal to DNA peaks (PXP) in male (S2) and female (Kc) cells.

Table 2a: List of all CLAMP PrLD-dependent differentially spliced genes in *Drosophila* female third instar larvae (L3).

Table 2b: List all CLAMP PrLD-dependent differentially spliced genes in *Drosophila* male third instar larvae (L3).

Table 3: List of CLAMP PrLD-dependent male and female specifically spliced genes whose RNA isoforms are direct targets of the CLAMP protein.