Title

Cell-type-specific origins of locomotor rhythmicity at different speeds in larval zebrafish

Running title

Spinal rhythmogenesis during zebrafish swimming

Authors

Moneeza A. Agha[1,2], Sandeep Kishore[1], and David L. McLean[1,2,*]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Neurobiology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60201

[2] Interdisciplinary Biological Sciences Graduate Program, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60201

* Correspondence: david-mclean@northwestern.edu

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to Katelyn Young for technical support. Financial support provided by NIH R21

NS125187 and NIH R21 NS125207.

Abstract

Different speeds of locomotion require heterogeneous spinal populations, but a common mode of rhythm

generation is presumed to exist. Here, we explore the cellular versus synaptic origins of spinal rhythmicity

at different speeds by performing electrophysiological recordings from premotor excitatory interneurons

in larval zebrafish. Chx10-labeled V2a neurons are divided into at least two morphological subtypes

proposed to play distinct roles in timing and intensity control. Consistent with distinct rhythm generating

and output patterning functions within the spinal V2a population, we find that descending subtypes are

recruited exclusively at slow or fast speeds and exhibit intrinsic cellular properties suitable for

rhythmogenesis at those speeds, while bifurcating subtypes are recruited more reliably at all speeds and

lack appropriate rhythmogenic cellular properties. Unexpectedly, however, phasic firing patterns during

locomotion in rhythmogenic and non-rhythmogenic V2a neurons alike are best explained by distinct

modes of synaptic inhibition linked to cell-type and speed. At fast speeds reciprocal inhibition in

descending V2a neurons supports phasic firing, while recurrent inhibition in bifurcating V2a neurons

helps pattern motor output. In contrast, at slow speeds recurrent inhibition in descending V2a neurons

supports phasic firing, while bifurcating V2a neurons rely on reciprocal inhibition alone to pattern output.

Our findings suggest cell-type-specific, not common, modes of rhythmogenesis generate and coordinate

different speeds of locomotion.

Introduction

All forms of vertebrate locomotion rely on rhythmic activity in muscles operating as functional antagonists (Grillner, 1985). For instance, swimming in fishes relies on left-right alternation of axial muscles across the body, while walking in mammals relies on flexor-extensor alternation along it. A landmark hypothesis posed over a century ago argued for corresponding excitatory 'half-centers' within spinal cord (e.g., left-right or flexor-extensor), with reciprocal, feedforward inhibition between half-centers generating rhythmic output (Brown, 1911). Since then reciprocal inhibition has been relegated to patterning activity between so-called 'unit burst generators' capable of rhythmogenesis via recurrent, feedback excitatory connections (Grillner and Kozlov, 2021).

The idea of solitary unit burst generators reliant on recurrent connectivity disconnects rhythmogenesis from activity in other unit burst generators, which helps explain the flexible patterns of coordination necessary to effectively navigate through unpredictable environments. For example, simulations adjusting the synaptic coupling strength between segmental axial unit burst generators can explain changes in the speed and direction of swimming in lampreys (Kozlov et al., 2009). Likewise, simulations altering the synaptic coupling between segmental limb unit burst generators can replicate different speeds and gaits of locomotion in cats (Grillner, 1981).

Another theory explaining speed-dependent changes in coordination stratifies 'rhythm' and 'pattern' control (Perret and Cabelguen, 1980; Koshland and Smith, 1989; Kriellaars et al., 1994; Burke et al., 2001; Lafreniere-Roula and McCrea, 2005), where populations of interneurons generating higher or lower frequency rhythms feed into separate populations coordinating distinct patterns of motor output (McCrea and Rybak, 2008; Ausborn et al., 2019). In support, studies in zebrafish and mice suggest separate spinal populations are active at different locomotor speeds with distinct patterns of motor output (McLean et al., 2008; Talpalar et al., 2013; Satou et al., 2020; Picton et al., 2022). Critically, however, rhythmogenesis is presumed to originate from a common mechanism regardless of speed, reliant on cellular properties or synaptic drive or both (Guertin, 2009; Brocard et al., 2010; Ryczko et al., 2010; Ziskind-Conhaim and Hochman, 2017; El Manira, 2023).

Here, we have explored the origins of spinal rhythmogenesis at different speeds using the larval zebrafish model system, where it is possible to link the identity, physiology and connectivity of interneurons to their recruitment patterns at different speeds of locomotion. Like all fish, larval zebrafish generate two basic modes of forward swimming or 'gaits' (Di Santo et al., 2021). Anguilliform mode is typically reserved for escaping predators and uses higher frequency/amplitude oscillations of the trunk, while carangiform mode utilizes lower frequency/amplitude oscillations of the tail for exploration and pursuing prey (Budick and O'Malley, 2000; Muller and van Leeuwen, 2004; Thorsen et al., 2004; Patterson et al., 2013; Bhattacharyya et al., 2021).

Swimming at different speeds is generated by axial motor neurons organized into fast, slow and intermediate units distinguished by birth order (Myers et al., 1986), size (McLean et al., 2007), relative

innervation of fast and slow muscle fibers (Bello-Rojas et al., 2019), and molecular signatures (D'Elia et

al., 2023; Pallucchi et al., 2024). Current-clamp and voltage-clamp recordings from motor neurons have

revealed differences in rhythmogenic cellular properties and synaptic drive between the different types

(Menelaou and McLean, 2012; Kishore et al., 2014), suggesting different forms of rhythmogenesis could

be responsible for different speeds of locomotion. To test this idea, we performed current-clamp and

voltage-clamp recordings from Chx10-labeled spinal V2a interneurons, which provide a major source of

phasic premotor excitation during locomotion in zebrafish and mice (McLean and Dougherty, 2015).

We find that morphologically distinct V2a neurons sort into rhythm-generating and pattern-forming

subtypes based on distinct cellular properties linked to distinct patterns of recruitment and synaptic drive.

Critically, among descending V2a neurons that qualify as rhythm-generating, reciprocal inhibition

originating from contralateral sources supports phasic firing patterns at fast speeds, while recurrent

inhibition from ipsilateral sources supports phasic firing patterns at slow speeds. For bifurcating V2a

neurons considered pattern-forming, the opposite is true – recurrent inhibition supports phasic firing at

fast speeds and reciprocal inhibition at slow speeds. Ultimately, our findings reveal unexpected cell-type-

specific and speed-dependent complexity in the mechanisms of spinal rhythmogenesis underlying

locomotion.

Results

Identifying V2a neurons for recordings during 'fictive' escape swimming

From our previous work studying V2a neurons in larval zebrafish we know they are organized into: 1) fast

and slow subtypes based on dorso-ventral soma position and birth order (McLean et al., 2007; McLean et

al., 2008; McLean and Fetcho, 2009), and 2) distinct subtypes that provide stronger direct versus indirect

control of motor neuron recruitment (Menelaou et al., 2014; Menelaou and McLean, 2019). Specifically,

V2a neurons with descending axons (V2a-D) provide stronger inputs to other premotor interneurons,

while V2a neurons with bifurcating axons (V2a-B) provide stronger inputs to motor neurons (Menelaou

and McLean, 2019), in line with differential rhythm and pattern control. However, we do not know if the

same mechanisms of rhythmogenesis shape the phasic activation of distinct V2a types at different speeds.

To record from distinct types of V2a neurons, we targeted GFP-positive neurons located at midbody in the

Tg(Chx10:GFP) line (Fig. 1A,B)(Kimura et al., 2006), since midbody neurons would be recruited during

both fast anguilliform and slow carangiform modes (Muller and van Leeuwen, 2004; Thorsen et al.,

2004). V2a-D and V2a-B neurons recruited at fast speeds can be distinguished by levels of Chx10

expression in transgenic lines (Menelaou and McLean, 2019), as reported in mice (Hayashi et al., 2018).

To get a better sense of differences in the number and spatial distribution of distinct types, we split GFP-

positive neurons into two categories based on a bimodal distribution of fluorescence intensity and

normalized their soma positions to dorso-ventral and medio-lateral axes in the spinal cord. Larger,

dimmer V2a neurons intermingled with smaller, brighter neurons along the dorso-ventral axis (Fig. 1C,

left), but occupied more lateral positions (Fig. 1C, *right*). Statistical analysis confirmed these observations,

with no significant difference in either dorso-ventral distribution (Fig. 1D, *left*) or number per segment
between the low or high GFP-positive types (Fig. 1D, *right*), but a significant difference in mediolateral
position (Fig. 1E).

To evaluate firing patterns and synaptic drive responsible for rhythmogenesis in different types, we
recorded from V2a neurons during 'fictive' swimming evoked by a mild electrical shock, while
simultaneously monitoring rhythmic motor output using ventral rootlet recordings (Fig. 1F). During real
swimming escape responses decelerate (Video 1), beginning in fast anguilliform mode and ending in slow
carangiform mode (McLean et al., 2008; McLean and Fetcho, 2009). Plots of ventral rootlet burst
frequencies with time confirm this pattern during 'fictive' escape responses, where we observe the highest
frequencies at the beginning of the swim episode immediately following the stimulus (Fig. 1G, *left*).
Notably, zebrafish swimming is episodic (McHenry and Lauder, 2006; Soto and McHenry, 2020) and so it
can continue intermittently after the initial escape swim episode. Subsequent episodes (Swim 2+) rarely
exceeded peak firing frequencies observed in the first stimulus-evoked episode (> 60 Hz in swim 1) and
there was more variability in frequency (Fig. 1G, *right*). For purposes of analysis we pooled data from the
first (Swim 1) and subsequent (Swim 2+) episodes to capture as broad a frequency range as possible.

Thus, V2a neurons can be easily distinguished for targeting purposes using levels of GFP expression and
we can assess their firing and synaptic drive during fast anguilliform and slow carangiform modes
distinguished by frequency and temporal sequence within fictive escape swim episodes. This set the stage
for asking if the same mechanism of rhythmogenesis drives the phasic activation of distinct V2a types at
different speeds.

Differences in intrinsic rhythmogenesis related to V2a neuron type

Rhythmogenesis can originate from cellular properties or synaptic drive or some combination of the two
(Marder and Calabrese, 1996; Marder and Bucher, 2001). In theory, neurons that are intrinsically
rhythmogenic can create patterned outputs from unpatterned inputs. Thus, to explore the intrinsic
rhythmicity of V2a neurons we performed whole-cell current-clamp recordings from high and low GFP-
positive V2a neurons and tested their firing responses to stable current steps. By including dye in our
recording pipette, we confirmed post-hoc that all high GFP neurons we targeted for recordings were V2a-
Ds and all low GFP neurons were V2a-Bs (Fig. 2A), as we would expect based on our prior work
(Menelaou and McLean, 2019). Differences in sizes and dorso-ventral distributions of V2a-D and V2a-B
neurons in our current-clamp dataset (n = 47) were consistent with our analysis of high and low GFP
neurons in stable lines (Fig. 2B, *left*). Also, measurements of input resistance and rheobase current were
consistent with differences in sizes and excitability between the two types (Fig. 2C, *left*). Thus, we were
confident of a sufficient sampling of V2a neurons to explore differences in rhythmogenic capacity related
to type and recruitment order.

V2a-D neurons with 'tonic' responses and V2a-B neurons with higher frequency 'chattering' ones were
distributed dorsally and had the lowest input resistances in their respective types (Fig. 2B-E). In tonic

V2a-Ds, firing above rheobase current was observed consistently throughout the current step (Fig. 2E,

top), with instantaneous spike rates increasing linearly with increasing current, starting around 40 Hz

and reaching 200 Hz (Fig. 2D). In chattering V2a-Bs, firing above rheobase current was intermittent

throughout the step (Fig. 2F, *top*), with instantaneous rates starting around 200 Hz and reaching 600 Hz

(Fig. 2D). These observations are consistent with previous recordings from fast V2a-D and V2a-B neurons

(Menelaou and McLean, 2019).

On the other hand, V2a-D and V2a-B neurons with 'burst' responses were distributed ventrally and had

the highest input resistances within their respective types (Fig. 2B-E). In bursting V2a neurons, firing

above rheobase was characterized by higher frequency spikes superimposed on lower frequency

membrane potential oscillations (Fig. 2E-F, *bottom*). While the frequency of the slower oscillations

increased with current (Fig. 2E-F, *bottom*), instantaneous spike rates were already close to peak values at

rheobase (500-600 Hz; Fig. 2D). Beyond 2-3x rheobase current, slower oscillations were no longer

obvious and the firing pattern more closely resembled the chattering one (data not shown), as observed

previously for motor neurons (Menelaou and McLean, 2012).

Next, we compared the firing properties of distinct types of V2a neurons to their recruitment patterns

during fictive escape swimming. As expected (Menelaou and McLean, 2019), both tonic V2a-D and

chattering V2a-B neurons were recruited at the beginning of the episode at median swim frequencies

above 30 Hz (Fig. 2G-H), consistent with recruitment during fast anguilliform mode (Thorsen et al.,

2004; McLean et al., 2008; McLean and Fetcho, 2009). In contrast, burst V2a-Ds fired more reliably at

the end of the episode at median frequencies that rarely exceeded 30 Hz (Fig. 2G-H), consistent with

participation in slow carangiform mode (Thorsen et al., 2004; McLean et al., 2008; McLean and Fetcho,

2009). Burst V2a-Bs were recruited just below 30 Hz, and fired more reliably throughout the episode and

over a broader frequency range (Fig. 2G-H), particularly compared to the narrow range of bursting V2a-

Ds (two sample Kolmogrov-Smirnov test on density distributions; D = 0.157, p < 0.001; BB, n = 6, BD, n =

8). A comparison between the step firing and swim firing patterns among V2a-D neurons revealed a

remarkable correspondence (Fig. 2G), with median spike frequencies (tonic) or oscillation frequencies

(burst) overlapping median recruitment frequencies during swimming (Fig. 2I, *left*). However, the link

between step firing and swim firing patterns was less obvious for V2a-B neurons (Fig. 2G). For example,

while bursting V2a-B neurons recruited at swim frequencies exceeding 60 Hz had higher frequency

oscillations, step bursting rarely exceeded 20 Hz (Fig. 2I, *right*). For chattering V2a-B neurons, there were

no clear subthreshold oscillations to calculate rhythmicity and the broad range of spike frequencies

resulted in large standard deviations (±74–130 Hz, n = 5) that rendered median values less interpretable.

Thus, distinct electrophysiological properties define distinct V2a types recruited at different speeds and

V2a-D neurons are better suited to providing intrinsic rhythmogenic activity during fast and slow

swimming modes. This is consistent with their proposed rhythm-generating and pattern-forming roles

(Menelaou and McLean, 2019), and suggests V2a-B neurons rely more on rhythmic synaptic drive to
shape their phasic firing patterns during swimming.

Both types of V2a neuron operate at slow and fast speeds

Our current-clamp data suggest that different types would rely differently on cellular properties versus
synaptic drive for rhythmogenesis. To test this idea more directly, we performed voltage-clamp recordings
during fictive escape swimming. To more effectively isolate synaptic currents, we included cesium in the
patch solution. Since this blocks voltage-gated channels and spiking, we needed to classify fast and slow
V2a-D and V2a-B neurons subtypes by first monitoring spikes in cell-attached mode. Consistent with our
current-clamp recordings, in our voltage-clamp dataset (n = 39) we found V2a-D and V2a-B neurons that
fired at the beginning of the escape episode or nearer the end (Fig. 3A). V2a-D neurons fired more
sparsely (Fig. 3A, *left*) and were divided into two distinct groups: 1) neurons that fired exclusively at the
beginning of the episode at median swim frequencies exceeding 40 Hz, and 2) neurons that fired later on
in the episode at median swim frequencies rarely exceeding 30 Hz (Fig. 3B, *top and bottom left*; 3C). On
the other hand, V2a-B neurons fired more reliably (Fig. 3A, *right*) and median values were more
continuous, making it more difficult to group neurons based on location within the episode (Fig. 3B, *top
and bottom right*) or swim frequency (Fig. 3D).

To better segregate both types of V2a neurons based on speed, we used the frequency-dependent
transition between anguilliform and carangiform modes to define V2a neurons recruited more reliably
below 35 Hz as 'slow' and V2a neurons recruited more reliably above 35 Hz as 'fast' (Fig. 4A). Consistent
with our current-clamp recordings, slow V2a-D and V2a-B neurons in our voltage-clamp recordings were
more ventrally distributed with higher resistances than fast V2a-D and V2a-B neurons (Fig. 4B). A closer
examination of spike timing relative to individual ventral rootlet bursts revealed median spike
distributions in advance of the center of the motor burst, as expected for premotor excitatory
interneurons involved in rhythmogenesis (Fig. 4C). Plots of spike densities normalized to center burst
revealed that V2a-B neurons begin to fire slightly in advance of V2a-D neurons (Fig. 4D), consistent with
differences in membrane resistance related to size, where neurons with faster time constants respond
more quickly to synaptic inputs (Menelaou and McLean, 2019).

Next, to explore recruitment probability as a function of speed in more depth we divided the full range of
fictive swimming frequencies into four bins distributed around 35 Hz. For V2a-D neurons, fast neurons
were rarely recruited below 35 Hz and increased to a maximum of 50% recruitment reliability per motor
burst above 35 Hz (Fig. 4E, *top left*). Similarly, slow V2a-D neurons did not exceed 50% recruitment
reliability per motor burst below 35 Hz and rarely fired above it (Fig. 4E, *top right*). When collapsed into
two bins below and above 35 Hz, these differences in recruitment probability were significant (Fig. 4F,
top). For V2a-B neurons, fast neurons fired more reliably below 35Hz than fast V2a-Ds and increased to
100% recruitment reliability per motor burst above 35 Hz (Fig. 4E, *bottom left*). Similarly, slow V2a-B
neurons fired more reliably above 35 Hz than slow V2a-Ds, and at 100% reliability below it (Fig. 4E,

bottom right). Binning of recruitment among V2a-Bs revealed significant differences related to speed
among the fast subtypes only (Fig. 4F, *bottom*). Among the slow V2a-Bs, decreases in recruitment
reliability were observed in the majority of neurons (n = 5 of 6), however the difference was not significant
when pooled (Fig. 4F, *bottom*).

In further support of the speed-based modular organization of V2a neurons, in slow types higher
instantaneous spike rates were observed at lower burst frequencies, while in fast types higher spike rates
were observed at higher burst frequencies (Fig. 4G, *top*). Critically, spike rates observed during fictive
swimming overlap with those observed during current steps between 1-2x rheobase, ranging from in 200-
600 Hz (Fig. 4H, *top*), with the fastest spike rates observed in fast V2a-B neurons. This suggests that the
firing patterns observed during current injections between 1-2x rheobase are functionally relevant. When
we measured spike numbers per burst, lower numbers were consistently observed at higher burst
frequencies regardless of type or speed class (Fig. 4G, *bottom*) consistent with less time to spike during
shorter cycle periods. In addition, V2a-B neurons fired significantly more spikes per burst than V2a-Ds on
average (Fig. 4H, *bottom*), consistent with their higher recruitment probabilities.

Thus, within our voltage-clamp dataset we have V2a-D and V2a-B neurons operating during anguilliform
and carangiform swimming modes. While V2a-D neurons fire more sparsely and in a stricter modular
fashion, V2a-B neurons fire more reliably and over a broader range of frequencies, consistent with
occupation of a pattern forming layer downstream of distinct fast and slow rhythmic signals.

Differences in the levels of synaptic drive mirror differences in speed modularity

To get a better sense of the relative segregation of synaptic inputs to V2a-D and V2a-B neurons based on
speed, we first focused on the maximum amplitude of excitatory and inhibitory currents recorded during
fictive escape swimming. Clamping V2a neurons at a potential to isolate excitatory post-synaptic currents
(EPSCs) revealed faster time course, compound EPSCs superimposed on a slower, tonic inward current
(Fig. 5A,B). While tonic excitation was observed the entirety of the episode, fast V2a-Ds received
compound EPSCs exclusively during high frequency swimming at the beginning (Fig. 5A, *top*), while slow
V2a-D's received compound EPSCs exclusively during slower swimming later in the episode (Fig. 5A,
bottom), consistent with their recruitment patterns. A similar pattern was observed among V2a-Bs,
however compound EPSCs were observed over a broader range of frequencies (Fig. 5B), also consistent
with their recruitment patterns. We also noted differences in maximum levels of current related to type.
When we plotted maximum current (tonic current + compound EPSCs) versus input resistance, we
observed a significant negative correlation, with lower resistance V2a-Bs receiving orders of magnitude
more excitation than higher resistance V2a-Ds (Fig. 5C). This is consistent with peak excitatory drive
normalized to cellular excitability, as reported previously (Menelaou et al., 2022).

Next, clamping V2a neurons at a potential to isolate inhibitory post-synaptic currents (IPSCs) revealed
faster time course compound IPSCs superimposed on a slower, tonic outward current (Fig. 5D-E). In all
V2a types tonic inhibition persisted the entirety of the episode and compound IPSCs were larger at higher

frequencies near the beginning (Fig. 5D-E). Like excitation, plots of maximum current (tonic current + compound IPSCs) revealed a significant negative correlation between inhibitory current and input resistance (Fig. 5F), meaning that peak inhibitory drive is also normalized to cellular excitability. Given these large differences in maximum current values, to compare frequency-dependent changes in excitation and inhibition, we normalized the currents for each cycle to the maximum currents for the neuron (Fig. 5C, 5F). So, maximum EPSCs or IPSCs in a given cycle are expressed as a percentage of the maximum value in all cycles at any speed.

For fast V2a-D and V2a-B neurons, maximum excitatory drive increased with increasing frequency (Fig. 5G, *left*). Differences in maximum excitation were significant for both types when data were pooled (Fig. 5H), consistent with their recruitment patterns (Fig. 4F). Inhibition also followed the same pattern for fast V2a neurons (Fig. 5I, *left*), significantly increasing at fast speeds (Fig. 5J). For slow V2a-D neurons excitation was significantly lower at higher frequencies (Fig. 5G, *top right*; 5H, *top*) and inhibition was significantly higher (Fig. 5I, *top right*; 5J, *top*). However, for slow V2a-B neurons neither excitation (Fig. 5G, *bottom right*; 5H, *bottom*) nor inhibition (Fig. 5I, *bottom right*; 5J, *bottom*) changed significantly when pooled, despite the decreases in excitation (n = 4 of 6) and increases in inhibition (n = 5 of 6) in the majority of neurons. These patterns of excitation and inhibition are consistent with recruitment patterns, where slow V2a-D neurons are silenced at fast speeds and slow V2a-B neurons are recruited albeit with lower reliability (Fig. 4F).

While the increase in inhibition at fast speeds to slow V2a neurons makes sense in terms of their disengagement during fast anguilliform mode (McLean et al., 2008), the increase in inhibition to fast V2a neurons clearly does not have a similar impact, despite being normalized to excitability. Indeed, when we compared the maximum excitation and inhibition to V2a-Ds (Fig. 5K) and V2a-Bs (Fig. 5L), maximum inhibition was orders of magnitude larger than maximum excitation. Even when controlling for differences in driving forces experienced at resting potentials, it would be difficult for V2a neurons to fire if excitation and inhibition arrived concurrently.

Thus, measurements of maximum levels of excitatory and inhibitory drive that include fast phasic and slower tonic components are consistent with recruitment patterns. These data also suggest the timing of inhibition plays a critical role in shaping the rhythmic output of V2a neurons more broadly.

Differences in the timing of synaptic drive better explain rhythmic V2a recruitment

To compare the timing of compound EPSCs and IPSCs we normalized the amplitude to the maximum (1) and minimum (0) values for that cycle and normalized the timing to the phase of the swim cycle, with the centers of two successive motor bursts demarcating phase 0 and 1 (Fig. 6A). To simplify comparisons between peaks in excitation and troughs in inhibition, we inverted the sign of excitation. By convention, synaptic currents arriving between 0.75 – 0.25 are described as 'in-phase' with local motor output, while currents arriving 0.25 – 0.75 are described as 'anti-phase'. In frog tadpoles and adult lampreys, in-phase currents are assumed to arise from recurrent sources on the ipsilateral side, while anti-phase currents

arise from the reciprocal sources on the contralateral side (Soffe and Roberts, 1982; Russell and Wallen,
1983). This means that differences in the timing of peaks of excitation and inhibition can be used to draw
inferences about patterns of connectivity along and across the body, with phase-advanced inputs from
more rostral regions and phase-delayed inputs from more caudal ones.

First, to assess differences in the timing of compound EPSCs and IPSCs, we low pass filtered the raw
current trace to identify peaks in-phase and anti-phase (Fig. 6A, *black dots*), which were then plotted on a
circular axis and compared to cell-attached spiking activity (Fig. 6B-D). For all V2a neurons, spikes were
exclusively distributed in-phase with local motor output (Fig. 6B), with largely overlapping distributions
that were broader for fast V2a-Ds (Fig. 6B, *top*) and slow V2a-Bs (Fig. 6B, *bottom*), consistent with spike
distributions observed in real-time (Fig. 4D). However, there were type-specific differences in the
distributions of peak excitation and inhibition.

For both fast and slow V2a-Ds phasic excitation began early in anti-phase, while the distribution of phasic
excitation in fast and slow V2a-Bs was more coherent and trailed that of V2a-Ds (Fig. 6C). This is
consistent with the proposed hierarchical organization of V2a neurons, with V2a-Ds occupying a position
upstream of V2a-Bs, which is not as obvious based purely on spike distributions (Fig. 4D, 6B). For fast
V2a-Ds, phasic inhibition was also broadly distributed, with a dip that coincided with the peak
distributions of both excitation and spiking activity (Fig. 6D, *top*). For slow V2a-Ds, there were two clear
peaks in phasic inhibition, one immediately trailing in-phase excitation (Fig. 6D, *bottom arrow*) and one
peaking anti-phase. Remarkably, for V2a-B neurons, we observed the opposite pattern for phasic
inhibition. Fast V2a-Bs received peaks in-phase and anti-phase (Fig. 6D, *top arrow*), while slow V2a-Bs
received predominantly anti-phase inhibition (Fig. 6D, *bottom*). Notably, the presence of in-phase
inhibition was also associated with a more coherent distribution of spikes in slow V2a-Ds and fast V2a-Bs
(c.f., Fig. 6B).

Next, to get a better sense of how patterns of phasic excitation and inhibition ultimately shape phasic
firing patterns within different types at different speeds, we binned the data by frequency as above and
examined averages of normalized raw current traces. Among the V2a-Ds, fast subtypes exhibited
reciprocal inhibition at all frequencies, with phasic peaks in excitation and inhibition most obvious at
higher frequencies coincident with spiking activity (Fig. 6E, *top*). Among slow V2a-Ds, coherent peaks in
reciprocal and recurrent inhibition were most obvious at slow speeds coincident with phasic excitation
and spiking behavior (Fig. 6E, *bottom*). Among the V2a-Bs, patterns of reciprocal and recurrent inhibition
were consistent across all speed bins, with peaks in phasic excitation and dips in phasic inhibition
coincident with spiking activity (Fig. 6F).

Thus, despite differences in cellular rhythmogenic capacity, these observations suggest all types of V2a
neurons rely on phasic excitation and inhibition to sculpt their rhythmic firing behavior during
swimming. Peaks in phasic excitation arrive earlier among V2a-D neurons consistent with their
hierarchical positioning upstream from V2a-B neurons, and the relative coherence of phasic excitatory

and inhibitory drive at different frequencies is consistent with speed modularity in this population.
Among V2a-B neurons, the coherence of phasic excitatory and inhibitory drive over the entire frequency
range is consistent with a role integrating inputs from the different speed modules. Critically, these data
also suggest reciprocal inhibition plays a key role in rhythmogenesis at fast speeds, while recurrent
inhibition plays a more dominant role in rhythmogenesis at slow speeds.

Synaptic silencing of reciprocal inhibition has speed-dependent effects on rhythm versus pattern

Our data thus far suggest that reciprocal inhibition plays a key role in rhythmogenesis at fast speeds via
V2a-D neurons, while it plays a more dominant role patterning output at slow speeds via V2a-B neurons.
To test this idea, we used a genetic approach to synaptically silence commissural, glycinergic Dmrt3a-
labeled dI6 neurons (Fig. 7A,B), which participate in swimming over a range of speeds in larvae (Kishore
et al., 2020; Satou et al., 2020). Specifically, we crossed compound Tg[Dmrt3a:Gal4;UAS:pTagRFP] fish
(Satou et al., 2013; Kishore et al., 2020) with Tg[UAS:BoTxBLC-GFP] fish (Sternberg et al., 2016), to
express botulinum toxin (light chain) fused with GFP (BoTx-GFP) selectively in dI6 neurons and
monitored the impact on locomotor rhythmogenesis using contralateral ventral rootlet recordings. As
controls, we performed recordings from heterozygous sibling larvae that lacked expression of BoTx-GFP.

First, to confirm the efficacy of synaptic silencing, we analyzed the distribution of dI6 neurons expressing
GFP-tagged BoTx compared to the entire population of BoTx-negative dI6 neurons labeled by pTagRFP
(Fig. 7C). BoTx-GFP labeled neurons were observed throughout the dorso-ventral range of the dI6
population (Fig. 7C, *left and middle*), with a significantly higher proportion observed more ventrally (Fig.
7C, middle and *right*). Since dI6 neurons located more ventrally participate in faster swimming
movements (Kishore et al., 2020), we expected the impact of synaptic silencing would be more obvious at
higher frequencies.

Consistent with this idea, in dI6 BoTx-GFP fish we frequently observed synchronous bilateral activity at
the beginning of swim episodes when frequency is typically highest (*cf.*, Fig. 1G), which could transition to
rhythmic, alternating activity nearer the end of the episode (Fig. 7D). In control larvae, left-right phase
values in the first and subsequent swim episodes had peak distributions that rarely deviated from 0.5, as
you would expect for perfect alternation during locomotor rhythmogenesis (Fig. 7E, *left*). On the other
hand, for BoTx-GFP fish, left-right phase values were more broadly distributed, especially at the
beginning of the episode, which was more obvious in the initial swim episode compared to subsequent
ones (Fig. 7E, *right*). This is also consistent with differences in peak frequencies observed near the
beginning of swim episodes, where they are always higher in the episode immediately following the
stimulation (*cf.*, Fig. 1G).

The lack of coordinated rhythmogenic output across the body at fast speeds was also apparent when
comparing ventral rootlet burst frequencies. In dI6-GFP fish, higher frequency activity overlapping higher
frequency activity in controls was observed at the beginning of swim episodes (Fig. 7D, black dots),
however this was rarely associated with higher frequency activity in the corresponding cycle on the other

side (Fig. 7F). In controls, cyclical frequencies on the left and right side were symmetrical, with most of

the datapoints falling along unity (Fig. 7F). Spinal motor neurons exhibit a range of rhythmogenic cellular

properties themselves (Menelaou and McLean, 2012), which likely contribute to the higher frequency

bursts observed unilaterally. Thus, the disorganized unilateral bursting during bilaterally synchronous

output at the onset of swimming in dI6 BoTx-GFP fish is consistent with the selective disruption of

rhythmogenesis at fast speeds.

Finally, we turned our attention to lower frequency swimming observed nearer the end of swimming,

which was less impacted by BoTx expression. For purposes of analysis, we divided the dataset into the

initial (Swim 1) and subsequent (Swim 2+) swim episodes, and focused on ventral rootlet burst

frequencies below 35 Hz. A comparison of burst frequency and phase revealed no significant differences

between dI6 BoTx-GFP and control fish, consistent with a lack of impact on timing and rhythm

generation at low frequencies (Fig. 7G-H). However, we did observe an increase in burst duration (Fig.

7I), which increased the percentage of the swim cycle occupied by motor output, or duty cycle (Fig. 7J).

These effects on were most obvious in the initial swim episode, where duty cycles could reach 90% (Fig.

7J). Normally, duty cycles must not exceed 50% of the cycle period to avoid synchronous bilateral

contractions during swimming (Grillner, 1974). Thus, at slow speeds the dominant impact of synaptic

silencing of dI6 neurons is on motor output, not rhythmogenesis. Collectively, these observations are

consistent with the idea that reciprocal inhibition plays a more dominant role in rhythm generation at fast

speeds and a more dominant role in pattern formation at slow speeds.

Discussion

Our work was motivated by the opaque origins of spinal rhythmicity at different locomotor speeds and the

potential for zebrafish to clarify this fundamental issue. We focused on Chx10-labeled spinal V2a neurons

given their conserved role in locomotion in zebrafish and mice (McLean and Dougherty, 2015). In mice,

increases in speed involve graded increases in the cyclical frequency of rhythmic activity within limbs and

abrupt changes in the coordination between them that define different gaits, like walking, trotting, and

bounding (Bellardita and Kiehn, 2015). In larval zebrafish, there are two 'gaits' during forward swimming

as defined by limb coordination – slow carangiform mode which involves cyclical abduction/adduction of

pectoral fins that alternates across the body and fast anguilliform mode which involves bilateral fin

adduction (Thorsen et al., 2004). The transition in fin coordination occurs abruptly between tail beat

frequencies of 30-40 Hz in larvae, with graded increases in the cyclical frequency and intensity of body

bends leading to increased yaw displacement of the head at faster speeds (Thorsen et al., 2004; McLean et

al., 2008).

Earlier studies of larval zebrafish found that V2a neurons active at slow speeds are inhibited as V2a

neurons active at fast speeds are recruited (McLean et al., 2008). The idea that different gears or modules

are responsible for different speeds of locomotion is easier to understand in the context of different gaits.

For swimming, faster speeds require not only higher frequency oscillations, but also larger amplitude

body bends that propagate more quickly to generate reactive thrust against the water column for effective
propulsion (Grillner, 1974). Among descending V2a neurons, those recruited at faster speeds have longer
intersegmental axons (Menelaou et al., 2014) and form stronger connections with other V2a neurons
(Menelaou and McLean, 2019). Among bifurcating V2a neurons, intersegmental axon projection
distances are more homogeneous, membrane time constants and axon conduction velocities are faster,
and connections to motor neurons are stronger and more reliable (Menelaou et al., 2014; Menelaou and
McLean, 2019; Menelaou et al., 2022). Thus, as V2a-D neurons with longer axons and V2a-B neurons
with stronger premotor connections are engaged at higher frequencies to achieve higher amplitude, faster
propagating body bends, V2a neurons whose segmental output is temporally incompatible with fast
whole-body coordination are disengaged.

While modular organization makes sense in terms of coordination, the origin of rhythmicity at different
speeds was assumed to be the same, whether via cellular properties or synaptic drive or some
combination of the two (Marder and Calabrese, 1996; Marder and Bucher, 2001). From our recordings of
spinal motor neurons (Menelaou and McLean, 2012; Kishore et al., 2014), we were expecting that cellular
properties are more important for rhythmogenesis at slow speeds, while synaptic drive dominates at fast
speeds, which could also vary based on V2a type. Our recordings revealed that all V2a neurons
demonstrate some form of rhythmicity in response to current steps. The idea that different types of V2a
interneurons exhibit different firing properties is not unexpected (Dougherty and Kiehn, 2010; Zhong et
al., 2010; Menelaou and McLean, 2019; Song et al., 2020). However, thanks to our ability to link cellular
properties to natural recruitment patterns we reveal V2a-Ds alone would qualify as 'pacemakers' (Marder
and Calabrese, 1996; Marder and Bucher, 2001), with speed-dependent differences in intrinsic firing
mode within V2a-Ds. Specifically, V2a-D neurons recruited at swim frequencies below ~40 Hz during
carangiform swimming generate intrinsic bursting at those frequencies, with higher frequency spikes
riding atop lower frequency membrane oscillations. Intrinsic oscillations are more sensitive to levels of
current injection than spike rates, so increases in tonic excitatory drive would lead to increased burst
frequency and thus swim frequency. V2a-D neurons recruited at frequencies above ~40 Hz during
anguilliform swimming generate tonic spiking at those frequencies, so increases in tonic excitatory drive
would lead to increases in firing frequency and thus swim frequency. In V2a-B neurons, chattering and
bursting properties in response to current steps do not reflect their natural firing patterns during
swimming, and so we expected they would rely more on pacemaking inputs from V2a-Ds to shape their
rhythmicity.

Surprisingly, however, despite clear differences in cellular properties appropriate to generate swimming
rhythms, phasic firing in both V2a-Ds and V2a-Bs is best explained by phasic patterns of synaptic
excitation and inhibition. Since phasic excitatory input is not tonic and already rhythmic by the time it
arrives, this suggests rhythms are generated by more rostral V2a-Ds. Optogenetic stimulation of V2a
neurons and spinal lesion studies support a rostral bias in spinal rhythmicity in larval zebrafish (Wiggin et
al., 2012; Kimura et al., 2013), consistent with studies of spinal locomotor networks in lampreys and

tadpoles, where neurons nearer the hindbrain/spinal cord boundary have a higher capacity for
rhythmogenesis (Li et al., 2010; Buchanan, 2018). Our synaptic silencing of dI6 neurons also supports
this idea. Previous work using diphtheria toxin to kill dI6 neurons employed an elegant Hox-based
strategy to limit expression to the spinal cord (Satou et al., 2020). In contrast to our observations,
zebrafish larvae with ablated spinal dI6 neurons can still generate fast swimming. Notwithstanding
differences in cellular ablation vs. synaptic silencing approaches and their relative sensitivity to potential
compensatory mechanisms (Schnerwitzki et al., 2018; Del Pozo et al., 2020), our strategy also included
dI6 neurons that extended into the hindbrain (Fig. 7A, *arrow*). By subtractive reasoning, this argues that
reciprocal inhibition nearer the hindbrain/spinal cord is essential for generating high frequency rhythms.

Regardless, while all midbody V2a neurons rely on synaptic drive to fire rhythmically at all speeds, the
patterns of synaptic excitation and inhibition responsible for rhythmogenesis varies in a cell-type- and
speed-dependent manner. Our results combined with prior work allow us to put together a potential
model explaining the cellular and synaptic origins of rhythmogenesis at different speeds of swimming. In
zebrafish and mice (Hayashi et al., 2018; Menelaou and McLean, 2019), V2a neurons have been
categorized into descending (I) and bifurcating (II) subtypes (Fig. 8A, *top*). Our data only strengthen
cross-species links by demonstrating that type I and II V2a neurons in larval zebrafish not only differ in
axon trajectories, rostro-caudal distribution, size, Chx10-levels, and connectivity, but also in their medio-
lateral distribution in the spinal cord (Fig. 1C).

Since both V2a subtypes project ipsilaterally, recurrent (or feedback) inhibition must originate from
ipsilateral sources, while reciprocal (or feedforward) inhibition originates from commissural sources. In
zebrafish, as in mice, there are at least two potential sources of ipsilateral inhibition, V1 neurons
(Higashijima et al., 2004) and V2b neurons (Callahan et al., 2019), and two potential sources of
commissural inhibition (Satou et al., 2020), dI6 neurons and Vod neurons (Fig. 8A, *bottom*). In our
model, we include the two specific types of V2a neurons and single generic sources of recurrent and
reciprocal inhibition, each of which has the potential to form connections with the other types (Sengupta
and Bagnall, 2023). We do not include conserved sources of commissural excitation here (e.g., Vov and
V3 neurons), but these will be important to include in any future models exploring intersegmental
coordination and signal amplification (Bohm et al., 2022; Kawano et al., 2022; Wiggin et al., 2022).
Notably, the operational definition of reciprocal versus recurrent inhibition would be flipped for
commissural excitatory populations, with feedforward inhibition via ipsilateral interneurons and feedback
inhibition via commissural interneurons.

The simplest scenario is that the wiring diagrams for slow and fast modules are mirror duplications
distinguished only by the inclusion of slow or fast motor pools (Fig. 8B). Consistent with this idea, our
cell-attached and voltage-clamp data support distinct slow and fast type I V2a-Ds defined by selective
within module connectivity. These neurons in turn converge on slow and fast type II V2a-Bs, which relay
integrated frequency information to their respective motor pools (Fig. 8C). In the fast module,

rhythmogenesis orchestrated by type I V2a neurons relies on reciprocal inhibition. This is consistent with cell-type-specific optical ablation and silencing studies in adult lampreys (Buchanan and McPherson, 1995) and embryonic frogs (Moult et al., 2013) that suggest reciprocal inhibition is essential for rhythmogenesis during anguilliform swimming. Rhythmic activity can also be generated in surgically isolated hemi-cords and surgically divided spinal cords of lampreys and frog embryos (Kahn and Roberts, 1982; Soffe, 1989; Cangiano and Grillner, 2003, 2005; Li et al., 2010). However, the functional relevance of these rhythms and the impact of lesion-induced plasticity on excitability and connectivity are still debated (Cangiano et al., 2012; Messina et al., 2017; Parker, 2017; Cangiano and Grillner, 2018; McClellan, 2018).

In the fast module, recurrent inhibition helps limit motor output via type II V2a-Bs. Type II V2a-Bs have relatively strong connections to motor neurons (Menelaou and McLean, 2019), so limiting their firing would ensure that motor output does not exceed 50% of the duty cycle and risk bilateral co-contraction as the interval between cyclical bursts decreases with increased speed (Grillner, 1974). On the other hand, the cell-type-dependent dominance of reciprocal versus recurrent inhibition is flipped when considering the slow module. Instead, recurrent inhibition is more obvious in type I V2a-D neurons, while type II V2a-B neurons rely exclusively on reciprocal inhibition to pattern their activity (Fig. 8C). Until now recurrent inhibition was linked to limiting motor output (Hultborn et al., 1979), not to rhythmogenesis (see, however, Linden and Berg, 2021).

It remains to be seen why reciprocal inhibition would be favored for rhythmogenesis at fast speeds and recurrent inhibition at slow speeds. One possibility is that feedforward inhibition is necessary to properly coordinate bilateral rhythmogenesis among type I V2a-D neurons with longer-range intersegmental connectivity. On the other hand, feedback inhibition among shorter-range type I V2a-D neurons provides an opportunity for local segmental rhythms that rely less on contralateral activity. Since slow type II V2a-B neurons lack feedback inhibition, their recruitment patterns rely exclusively on feedforward inhibition from the opposite side. This means stronger activity on one side should limit output on the other side without impacting timing and vice versa. In support, we recently explored the contribution of spinal V2a neurons to biased swimming during optomotor responses (Jay et al., 2023). We found that type I V2a-D neurons are direction-agnostic, but type II V2a-B neurons are direction-sensitive, with higher recruitment probabilities on the turning side associated with weaker motor output on the opposite side.

Interestingly, in contrast to low recruitment probabilities observed for type I V2a-D neurons during swimming evoked by somatosensory stimulation here (<50%; Fig. 5E,F), for swimming during optomotor responses V2a-D neurons are recruited with much higher probabilities (>75%). This is not speed related since swim frequencies during optomotor responses rarely exceeded 35 Hz. Notably, the phase distribution of V2a-D spikes during optomotor responses (Fig. 5H in Jay et al., 2023) better aligns with the phase distribution of EPSCs reported here (Fig. 6C, *bottom*), meaning that type I V2a-Ds fire well in advance of type II V2a-Bs during optomotor responses. Thus, it appears that during somatosensory-

evoked swimming, where intensity and frequency are coupled over a broad range of speeds, type I and
type II V2a neurons fire more synchronously than during visually-evoked swimming, where intensity and
frequency are uncoupled over a more narrow speed range. While this highlights the modular nature of
timing (rhythm) and intensity (pattern) control within the spinal V2a population, future experiments
testing this idea will rely on identifying molecular markers that distinguish slow and fast type I and II V2a
neurons. Fortunately, recent work has identified promising candidates (Pallucchi et al., 2024).

Another open question is what role differences in cellular properties play during rhythmogenesis. First,
our recordings were performed at midbody. It could be that rhythmogenic bursting and spiking properties
are a general feature of type I V2a-D neurons, so more rostral neurons have identical cellular properties
and rely less on phasic synaptic drive for fast and slow rhythmogenesis. Future recordings from V2a
neurons in rostral spinal cord should help resolve this issue, however our dI6 synaptic silencing
experiments suggest that reciprocal inhibition will be critical for rostral rhythmogenesis, at least at fast
speeds. On the other hand, bursting properties could serve as a source of amplification for synaptic inputs
to ensure reliable recruitment of rhythmogenic neurons or provide redundant sources of rhythmogenesis
in cases where synaptic drive fails or the spinal cord is damaged. In this sense, cellular properties and
synaptic drive would provide synergistic roles amplifying and sharpening rhythmic signals as they
propagate segmentally.

In juvenile/adult zebrafish, V2a and motor neurons have been grouped into slow, intermediate, fast and
escape modules based on patterns of recruitment and phasic synaptic drive during fictive swimming (El
Manira, 2023). In larvae, we also observe recruitment patterns among V2a neurons that would qualify as
slow, intermediate, fast and escape based on their criteria (c.f., Fig. 2G). However, we also find that
recruitment probability varies based on morphological type, with V2a-D neurons always firing more
sparsely than V2a-B neurons, regardless of speed. Thus, low firing probability recorded at slow speeds
does not necessarily predict high firing probability at fast speeds. Collectively, these observations suggest
that increases in speed in juveniles/adults and larvae are initially accomplished via more reliable V2a
neuron recruitment, as also reported in mice (Zhong et al., 2011). This fits studies of motor neurons,
where relatively low initial forces rely on recruitment (Enoka and Duchateau, 2017; Jay et al., 2023),
while higher forces are achieved by increasing firing rates (Bhatt et al., 2007; Enoka and Duchateau,
2017), in addition to silencing more easily recruited neurons (Smith et al., 1980; Kyriakatos et al., 2011;
Menelaou and McLean, 2012).

In sum, our findings suggest that cell-type-specific modes of spinal rhythmogenesis are responsible for
different speeds, suggesting a common mechanism of rhythmogenesis is insufficient to explain the full
operational range of locomotion. Experiments combining computational modeling with acute segmental
perturbations of distinct interneuron types are now needed to explore the functional impact of stratified
spinal locomotor circuits with cell-type-specific feedforward and feedback inhibitory motifs.

Materials and methods

Fish husbandry

Tg[Chx10:GFP] fish (ZFIN ID: ZDB-ALT-061204-2) were used to target V2a neurons in the spinal cord (Kimura et al., 2006), and compound Tg[Dmrt3a:Gal4;UAS:pTagRFP] fish (ZFIN IDs: ZDB-FISH-150901-3557; ZDB-TGCONSTRUCT-210826-11) crossed with Tg[UAS:BoTxBLC-GFP] fish (ZFIN ID: ZDB-FISH-160816-31) were used to express botulinum-toxin light chain fused with GFP in commissural inhibitory dI6 interneurons (Satou et al., 2013; Sternberg et al., 2016; Kishore et al., 2020). Fish were maintained at 28.5°C on a 14 hr light/10 hr dark cycle in an aquatics facility staffed by Northwestern University's Center for Comparative Medicine. All experiments were performed at room temperature between 4 and 5 days post fertilization (dpf) when zebrafish larvae are free swimming. Because 4-5 dpf larvae have not yet developed secondary sexual characteristics, their sex cannot be easily determined. Therefore, experiments were performed on larvae of either sex. All experimental protocols conform to National Institutes of Health guidelines on animal experimentation and were approved by the Northwestern University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IS00019359 and IS00019319).

Electrophysiology and analysis

Electrophysiological recordings were acquired using a Multiclamp 700A amplifier (Molecular Devices), a Digidata series 1322A digitizer (Molecular Devices), and pClamp 10 software (Molecular Devices). The intrinsic firing patterns of V2a neurons were assessed by performing 500 ms long current steps at 1-2x rheobase in current-clamp mode. To compare the intrinsic firing properties of V2a neurons to their recruitment profiles during 'fictive' escape swimming, we performed simultaneous whole cell current-clamp recordings of V2a neurons and extracellular recordings of the peripheral ventral rootlet. Synaptic currents during fictive swimming were assessed in dual whole voltage-clamp and extracellular rootlet recordings. Swimming was evoked by applying a mild and brief electrical shock (2-10 V; 0.1-0.4 ms) using a bipolar tungsten electrode placed over the tail fin.

For recordings larvae were first paralyzed using alpha-bungarotoxin (1 mg/mL in extracellular solution containing 134 mM NaCl, 2.9 mM KCl, 1.2 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM glucose, and 2.1 mM $CaCl_2$, adjusted to pH 7.8 with NaOH), immersed in extracellular solution containing anesthetic (MS-222), and secured left side up on a Sylgard lined glass bottom dish using custom etched tungsten pins through the notochord. To record the activity of the peripheral ventral rootlets, the skin over the muscle tissue at the midbody was removed using fine forceps. For access to spinal V2a neurons, the muscle tissue over one spinal segment was dissected away using a tool fashioned from sharpened tungsten wire. After the dissection, the preparation was rinsed and immersed in anesthetic-free extracellular solution. For cell-attached and whole cell recordings, standard-wall (1 mm outer diameter) borosilicate capillaries (Sutter Instrument) were pulled to resistances between 5–16 MΩ using a micropipette puller (Flaming/Brown; Sutter Instrument). For peripheral motor nerve recordings, electrodes were made by pulling a patch electrode, breaking the tip, and then heat polishing and bending it using a microforge (MF-830; Narishige) to offset the approach angle for better contact between the electrode and muscle tissue.

Peripheral motor nerve recordings were made no more than two segments caudal to the site of cellular
recording.

For current clamp recordings, patch electrodes were filled with intracellular solution containing (125–130
mM K-gluconate, 2–4 mM MgCl2, 0.2–10 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM Na2ATP, adjusted to pH 7.3
with KOH). For voltage clamp recordings, the intracellular solution consisted of 122 mM CsMeSO$_3$, 0.1–1
mM QX314-Cl, 1 mM TEA-Cl, 3 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM EGTA, and 4 mM Na$_2$-ATP. CsMeSO$_3$
and QX-314 Cl were included in the solution to block voltage dependent channels. Alexa Fluor 568
hydrazide (50 μmol/l) was also added to the intracellular solution for the post-hoc confirmation of cell
identity. Only neurons whose morphologies could be positively identified were included in this study.

V2a neurons were targeted on an epifluorescent microscope (Axio Examiner) under a 40×/1.0 NA water-
immersion objective (W Plan-Apochromat; Zeiss) using a CCD camera (Rolera-XR; QImaging). The
electrode was positioned using a motorized micromanipulator (MP-225; Sutter Instrument or Patchstar,
Scientifica), while positive pressure was maintained using a pneumatic transducer (Model DPM-1B; Bio-
Tek Instruments) to prevent the tip from clogging as the neuron was approached. The neuron was held at
-65 mV during GΩ seal formation. Once the seal was achieved, for voltage-clamp experiments cell
attached recordings were performed to obtain the recruitment patterns of V2a neurons during fictive
escape swimming before breaking into the neuron to record synaptic currents. A 5 mV hyperpolarizing
pulse was then administered at a holding potential of -65 mV, and the steady state current injected during
the pulse was used to compute input resistance according to Ohm's law.

Excitatory currents were clamped at the reversal potential for inhibition calculated from our solutions,
which is -75 mV and inhibitory currents were clamped at the reversal potential for excitation, which is +
10 mV. These values were corrected for a calculated junction potential of −11 mV. Thus, neurons were
alternately held at the corrected potentials of -64 mV for EPSCs and 21 mV for IPSCs. To monitor series
resistance throughout the experiment, recordings were alternately performed without and with 60%
series resistance compensation. Standard corrections for bridge balance and electrode capacitance were
applied for current clamp recordings. Whole-cell electrical signals were filtered at 10 kHz and digitized at
100 kHz at a gain of 10 (feedback resistor, 500 MΩ). Extracellular signals from the peripheral motor
nerves were amplified at a gain of 1000 and digitized with low-frequency and high-frequency cutoffs set at
300 and 5000 Hz, respectively.

Whole cell and extracellular recordings were annotated in Dataview to label individual spikes, ventral
rootlet bursts and swimming episodes. The onset and duration of spikes, ventral root bursts and
swimming episodes were then batch exported into text files, which were then read into MATLAB
(Mathworks) for further analysis. Instantaneous spike frequencies are the inverse of the period between
two successive action potentials. The recruitment patterns of V2a neurons were quantified by computing
the probability of observing spikes for all cycles present within each 5 Hz ventral root burst frequency bin
from 10 Hz to 65 Hz. The recruitment probability was then plotted as a function of ventral root burst

frequency. The V2a neurons with an upward sloping recruitment reliability plot were classified as fast and
those with a downward sloping plot were categorized as slow (cf. trendlines in Fig. 4E)

Maximum excitatory and inhibitory currents reflect the maximum current received at any ventral root
burst frequency. To compare frequency dependent changes in synaptic currents across neuron types, they
were normalized against the maximum synaptic current the neuron received. Normalized currents were
then averaged in four discrete frequency bins spanning carangiform and anguilliform swimming modes
(15-25, 25-35, 35-45, 45+ Hz). To analyze the phasic timing of spiking and synaptic currents, they were
normalized to the period of the corresponding cycle, such that the centers of successive motor bursts
marked phase 0 and phase 1. Synaptic currents arriving between 0.75 to 0.25 , were considered in-phase
and those between 0.25 and 0.75 considered anti-phase. To analyze the relative timing of excitation and
inhibition, we normalized amplitudes to the maximum current received in each cycle, such that the final
values of normalized current ranged between 0 and 1. Currents were then averaged for all cycles present
within the four frequency bins

To quantify peaks in synaptic currents corresponding to the phasic inputs received during a swim cycle,
current traces were low pass filtered at the cutoff frequency of 1000 Hz using the butterworth (second
order) and zero phase digital filters in MATLAB. The latter was used to prevent the raw current values
from undergoing a phase shift. Peaks were detected using the findpeaks() function in MATLAB. Counts of
V2a spikes, EPSC's and IPSC's were plotted on a circular axis from phase 0 to 1. Data visualization and
statistical analysis were performed in R.

To quantify left-right alternation in control and botulinum-toxin expressing dI6 neurons, dual ventral
rootlet recordings were rectified and annotated in DataView. Owing to the highly irregular nature of the
ventral rootlet activity in the dI6-silenced fish, automatic event detection parameters used to distinguish
motor bursts in control conditions were unable to accurately demarcate the boundaries of motor bursts in
BoTx-GFP fish. Therefore, all annotations for controls and BoTx-GFP were performed manually. Ventral
root bursts at frequencies above a 100 Hz were excluded from analysis since this is the upper bound of
their natural swimming range (Muller and van Leeuwen, 2004). Stimulus, burst and bout onset and offset
times for all recordings were batch exported into text files. Custom written MATLAB code was then used
to classify Swim 1 and Swim 2+ episodes and identify corresponding bursts in the dual ventral rootlet
recordings to compute phase values. Phase values were calculated from the center of the ventral rootlet
motor burst.

Imaging and analysis

After each electrophysiological recording, a series of epifluorescent and differential interference contrast
images were captured using a CCD camera (Rolera-XR) and QCapture Suite imaging software (QImaging)
to track the axonal trajectories of V2a neurons and confirm their morphology. Images were later analyzed
in ImageJ. Confocal z-stacks of Tg[Chx10:GFP] and Tg[Dmrt3a:GFP] fish were captured on using a Zeiss
LSM710 microscope using established protocols (Menelaou et al., 2014).

Soma size and dorsoventral locations of individually labeled V2a neurons were measured in ImageJ.
Dorsoventral (DV) and mediolateral (ML) positions of V2a neurons in Tg[Chx10:GFP] were computed by
obtaining the relevant positional coordinates from confocal images in Imaris (Oxford Instruments).
Briefly, the spot detection tool was used to obtain the coordinates of the centers of all somata and
orthogonal slicers were used in conjunction with measurement points to extract the coordinates of the
dorsoventral and lateral boundaries of the spinal cord as well as the central canal. The positions of the
somata were then respectively normalized against the positions of the dorsoventral and mediolateral
boundaries to get the final DV and ML positions. V2a somata were classified into two groups based on the
intensity of GFP expression. The GFP fluorescence intensity of V2a somata was exported from Imaris, and
its density distribution was plotted in R. Because the density distribution of GFP fluorescence intensity
was bimodal, the minimum between the two modes of the distribution was used as a cut off to split V2a
neurons into classes of low and high GFP expression. Dorsoventral positions of dI6 neurons in compound
Tg[Dmrt3a:Gal4;UAS:pTagRFP] x Tg[UAS:BoTxBLC-GFP] larvae were calculated using the relevant
positional coordinates from confocal images in Imaris and normalizing them to the dorsal and ventral
boundaries of spinal cord determined using differential contrast images.

High speed filming

661 To illustrate the differences in body shape and frequency with time, we include a video of a freely
662 swimming 5 day old larva captured at 2000 frames/sec using a FASTCAM Mini AX50 (Photron), evoked
663 using a sharpened tungsten pin to stimulate somatosensory afferents (Video 1).

Statistics

All data were first tested for normality to select the appropriate statistical test. None of the data were
normally distributed, so nonparametric tests were used to assess statistical significance. The difference
between the density distributions of mediolateral and dorsoventral somatic positions was tested using the
Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Correlations were measured using Spearman's rank correlation
test. Comparisons between two groups of paired or unpaired data were performed using the Wilcoxon
signed-rank test and the Wilcoxon rank sum test, respectively. For data comprised of more than two
groups, differences were assessed using the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by pairwise comparisons using
Dunn's test with a post-hoc Benjamini-Hochberg false-discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple
comparisons. For circular plots, Watson's two-sample test of homogeneity was used to determine whether
the spike and post synaptic current distributions in two conditions were significantly different from each
other. Statistically significant differences are denoted as follows: $*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$; $***p < 0.001$. Note
that it was not always possible to collect cell-attached data and voltage-clamp recordings of excitation and
inhibition in the same cells, so n numbers reported in the text can vary between conditions. The same
holds true for measured parameters in current-clamp recordings, where soma size, dorso-ventral position,
input resistance, rheobase, spike rates, and recruitment order in the same neurons were not always
possible.

References

Ausborn J, Shevtsova NA, Caggiano V, Danner SM, Rybak IA (2019) Computational modeling of brainstem circuits controlling locomotor frequency and gait. Elife 8:e43587.

Bellardita C, Kiehn O (2015) Phenotypic characterization of speed-associated gait changes in mice reveals modular organization of locomotor networks. Curr Biol 25:1426-1436.

Bello-Rojas S, Istrate AE, Kishore S, McLean DL (2019) Central and peripheral innervation patterns of defined axial motor units in larval zebrafish. J Comp Neurol 527:2557-2572.

Bhatt DH, McLean DL, Hale ME, Fetcho JR (2007) Grading movement strength by changes in firing intensity versus recruitment of spinal interneurons. Neuron 53:91-102.

Bhattacharyya K, McLean DL, MacIver MA (2021) Intersection of motor volumes predicts the outcome of ambush predation of larval zebrafish. J Exp Biol 224:jeb235481.

Bohm UL, Kimura Y, Kawashima T, Ahrens MB, Higashijima SI, Engert F, Cohen AE (2022) Voltage imaging identifies spinal circuits that modulate locomotor adaptation in zebrafish. Neuron 110:1211-1222 e1214.

Brocard F, Tazerart S, Vinay L (2010) Do pacemakers drive the central pattern generator for locomotion in mammals? Neuroscientist 16:139-155.

Brown TG (1911) The intrinsic factors in the act of progression in the mammal. Proc R Soc B – Biol Sci 84:308-319.

Buchanan JT (2018) Swimming rhythm generation in the caudal hindbrain of the lamprey. J Neurophysiol 119:1681-1692.

Buchanan JT, McPherson DR (1995) The neuronal network for locomotion in the lamprey spinal cord: evidence for the involvement of commissural interneurons. J Physiol Paris 89:221-233.

Budick SA, O'Malley DM (2000) Locomotor repertoire of the larval zebrafish: swimming, turning and prey capture. J Exp Biol 203:2565-2579.

Burke RE, Degtyarenko AM, Simon ES (2001) Patterns of locomotor drive to motoneurons and last-order interneurons: clues to the structure of the CPG. J Neurophysiol 86:447-462.

Callahan RA, Roberts R, Sengupta M, Kimura Y, Higashijima SI, Bagnall MW (2019) Spinal V2b neurons reveal a role for ipsilateral inhibition in speed control. Elife 8.

Cangiano L, Grillner S (2003) Fast and slow locomotor burst generation in the hemispinal cord of the lamprey. J Neurophysiol 89:2931-2942.

Cangiano L, Grillner S (2005) Mechanisms of rhythm generation in a spinal locomotor network deprived of crossed connections: the lamprey hemicord. J Neurosci 25:923-935.

Cangiano L, Grillner S (2018) Commentary: elimination of left-right reciprocal coupling in the adult lamprey spinal cord abolishes the generation of locomotor activity. Front Neural Circuits 12:34.

Cangiano L, Hill RH, Grillner S (2012) The hemisegmental locomotor network revisited. Neuroscience 210:33-37.

D'Elia KP, Hameedy H, Goldblatt D, Frazel P, Kriese M, Zhu Y, Hamling KR, Kawakami K, Liddelow SA,
Schoppik D, Dasen JS (2023) Determinants of motor neuron functional subtypes important for
locomotor speed. Cell Rep 42:113049.

Del Pozo A, Manuel R, Iglesias Gonzalez AB, Koning HK, Habicher J, Zhang H, Allalou A, Kullander K,
Boije H (2020) Behavioral characterization of dmrt3a mutant zebrafish reveals crucial aspects of
vertebrate locomotion through phenotypes related to acceleration. eNeuro 7.

Di Santo V, Goerig E, Wainwright DK, Akanyeti O, Liao JC, Castro-Santos T, Lauder GV (2021)
Convergence of undulatory swimming kinematics across a diversity of fishes. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 118:e2113206118.

Dougherty KJ, Kiehn O (2010) Firing and cellular properties of V2a interneurons in the rodent spinal
cord. J Neurosci 30:24-37.

El Manira A (2023) Modular circuit organization for speed control of locomotor movements. Curr Opin
Neurobiol 82:102760.

Enoka RM, Duchateau J (2017) Rate coding and the control of muscle force. Cold Spring Harb Perspect
Med 7.

Grillner S (1974) On the generation of locomotion in the spinal dogfish. Exp Brain Res 20:459-470.

Grillner S (1981) Control of locomotion in bipeds, tetrapods, and fish. In: Handbook of Physiology, The
Nervous System, Motor Control (Terjung R, ed).

Grillner S (1985) Neurobiological bases of rhythmic motor acts in vertebrates. Science 228:143-149.

Grillner S, Kozlov A (2021) The CPGs for limbed locomotion-facts and fiction. Int J Mol Sci 22:5882.

Guertin PA (2009) The mammalian central pattern generator for locomotion. Brain Res Rev 62:45-56.

Hayashi M, Hinckley CA, Driscoll SP, Moore NJ, Levine AJ, Hilde KL, Sharma K, Pfaff SL (2018) Graded
arrays of spinal and supraspinal V2a interneuron subtypes underlie forelimb and hindlimb motor
control. Neuron 97:869-884 e865.

Higashijima S, Masino MA, Mandel G, Fetcho JR (2004) Engrailed-1 expression marks a primitive class
of inhibitory spinal interneuron. J Neurosci 24:5827-5839.

Hultborn H, Lindstrom S, Wigstrom H (1979) On the function of recurrent inhibition in the spinal cord.
Exp Brain Res 37:399-403.

Jay M, MacIver MA, McLean DL (2023) Spinal basis of direction control during locomotion in larval
zebrafish. J Neurosci 43:4062-4074.

Kahn JA, Roberts A (1982) Experiments on the central pattern generator for swimming in amphibian
embryos. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 296:229-243.

Kawano K, Kato K, Sugioka T, Kimura Y, Tanimoto M, Higashijima SI (2022) Long descending
commissural V0v neurons ensure coordinated swimming movements along the body axis in larval
zebrafish. Sci Rep 12:4348.

Kimura Y, Okamura Y, Higashijima S (2006) alx, a zebrafish homolog of Chx10, marks ipsilateral
descending excitatory interneurons that participate in the regulation of spinal locomotor circuits.
J Neurosci 26:5684-5697.

Kimura Y, Satou C, Fujioka S, Shoji W, Umeda K, Ishizuka T, Yawo H, Higashijima S (2013) Hindbrain
V2a neurons in the excitation of spinal locomotor circuits during zebrafish swimming. Curr Biol
23:843-849.

Kishore S, Bagnall MW, McLean DL (2014) Systematic shifts in the balance of excitation and inhibition
coordinate the activity of axial motor pools at different speeds of locomotion. J Neurosci
34:14046-14054.

Kishore S, Cadoff EB, Agha MA, McLean DL (2020) Orderly compartmental mapping of premotor
inhibition in the developing zebrafish spinal cord. Science 370:431-436.

Koshland GF, Smith JL (1989) Mutable and immutable features of paw-shake responses after hindlimb
deafferentation in the cat. J Neurophysiol 62:162-173.

Kozlov A, Huss M, Lansner A, Kotaleski JH, Grillner S (2009) Simple cellular and network control
principles govern complex patterns of motor behavior. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:20027-
20032.

Kriellaars DJ, Brownstone RM, Noga BR, Jordan LM (1994) Mechanical entrainment of fictive
locomotion in the decerebrate cat. J Neurophysiol 71:2074-2086.

Kyriakatos A, Mahmood R, Ausborn J, Porres CP, Buschges A, El Manira A (2011) Initiation of locomotion
in adult zebrafish. J Neurosci 31:8422-8431.

Lafreniere-Roula M, McCrea DA (2005) Deletions of rhythmic motoneuron activity during fictive
locomotion and scratch provide clues to the organization of the mammalian central pattern
generator. J Neurophysiol 94:1120-1132.

Li WC, Roberts A, Soffe SR (2010) Specific brainstem neurons switch each other into pacemaker mode to
drive movement by activating NMDA receptors. J Neurosci 30:16609-16620.

Linden H, Berg RW (2021) Why firing rate distributions are important for understanding spinal central
pattern generators. Front Hum Neurosci 15:719388.

Marder E, Calabrese RL (1996) Principles of rhythmic motor pattern generation. Physiol Rev 76:687-717.

Marder E, Bucher D (2001) Central pattern generators and the control of rhythmic movements. Curr Biol
11:R986-996.

McClellan AD (2018) Response: commentary: elimination of left-right reciprocal coupling in the adult
lamprey spinal cord abolishes the generation of locomotor activity. Front Neural Circuits 12:62.

McCrea DA, Rybak IA (2008) Organization of mammalian locomotor rhythm and pattern generation.
Brain Res Rev 57:134-146.

McHenry MJ, Lauder GV (2006) Ontogeny of form and function: locomotor morphology and drag in
zebrafish (Danio rerio). J Morphol 267:1099-1109.

McLean DL, Fetcho JR (2009) Spinal interneurons differentiate sequentially from those driving the
fastest swimming movements in larval zebrafish to those driving the slowest ones. J Neurosci
29:13566-13577.

McLean DL, Dougherty KJ (2015) Peeling back the layers of locomotor control in the spinal cord. Curr
Opin Neurobiol 33:63-70.

McLean DL, Fan J, Higashijima S, Hale ME, Fetcho JR (2007) A topographic map of recruitment in
spinal cord. Nature 446:71-75.
McLean DL, Masino MA, Koh IY, Lindquist WB, Fetcho JR (2008) Continuous shifts in the active set of
spinal interneurons during changes in locomotor speed. Nat Neurosci 11:1419-1429.
Menelaou E, McLean DL (2012) A gradient in endogenous rhythmicity and oscillatory drive matches
recruitment order in an axial motor pool. J Neurosci 32:10925-10939.
Menelaou E, McLean DL (2019) Hierarchical control of locomotion by distinct types of spinal V2a
interneurons in zebrafish. Nat Commun 10:4197.
Menelaou E, VanDunk C, McLean DL (2014) Differences in the morphology of spinal V2a neurons reflect
their recruitment order during swimming in larval zebrafish. J Comp Neurol 522:1232-1248.
Menelaou E, Kishore S, McLean DL (2022) Mixed synapses reconcile violations of the size principle in
zebrafish spinal cord. Elife 11.
Messina JA, St Paul A, Hargis S, Thompson WE, McClellan AD (2017) Elimination of left-right reciprocal
coupling in the adult lamprey spinal cord abolishes the generation of locomotor activity. Front
Neural Circuits 11:89.
Moult PR, Cottrell GA, Li WC (2013) Fast silencing reveals a lost role for reciprocal inhibition in
locomotion. Neuron 77:129-140.
Muller UK, van Leeuwen JL (2004) Swimming of larval zebrafish: ontogeny of body waves and
implications for locomotory development. J Exp Biol 207:853-868.
Myers P, Eisen J, Westerfield M (1986) Development and axonal outgrowth of identified motorneurons in
the zebrafish. J Neurosci 6:2278-2289.
Pallucchi I, Bertuzzi M, Madrid D, Fontanel P, Higashijima SI, El Manira A (2024) Molecular blueprints
for spinal circuit modules controlling locomotor speed in zebrafish. Nat Neurosci 27:78-89.
Parker D (2017) The lesioned spinal cord is a "new" spinal cord: evidence from functional changes after
spinal injury in lamprey. Front Neural Circuits 11:84.
Patterson BW, Abraham AO, MacIver MA, McLean DL (2013) Visually guided gradation of prey capture
movements in larval zebrafish. J Exp Biol 216:3071-3083.
Perret C, Cabelguen JM (1980) Main characteristics of the hindlimb locomotor cycle in the decorticate cat
with special reference to bifunctional muscles. Brain Res 187:333-352.
Picton LD, Bjornfors ER, Fontanel P, Pallucchi I, Bertuzzi M, El Manira A (2022) Developmental switch
in the function of inhibitory commissural V0d interneurons in zebrafish. Curr Biol 32:3515-3528.
Russell DF, Wallen P (1983) On the control of myotomal motoneurones during "fictive swimming" in the
lamprey spinal cord in vitro. Acta Physiol Scand 117:161-170.
Ryczko D, Dubuc R, Cabelguen JM (2010) Rhythmogenesis in axial locomotor networks: an interspecies
comparison. Prog Brain Res 187:189-211.
Satou C, Kimura Y, Hirata H, Suster ML, Kawakami K, Higashijima S (2013) Transgenic tools to
characterize neuronal properties of discrete populations of zebrafish neurons. Development
140:3927-3931.

Satou C, Sugioka T, Uemura Y, Shimazaki T, Zmarz P, Kimura Y, Higashijima SI (2020) Functional
diversity of glycinergic commissural inhibitory neurons in larval zebrafish. Cell Rep 30:3036-
3050.
Schnerwitzki D, Perry S, Ivanova A, Caixeta FV, Cramer P, Gunther S, Weber K, Tafreshiha A, Becker L,
Vargas Panesso IL, Klopstock T, Hrabe de Angelis M, Schmidt M, Kullander K, Englert C (2018)
Neuron-specific inactivation of Wt1 alters locomotion in mice and changes interneuron
composition in the spinal cord. Life Sci Alliance 1:e201800106.
Sengupta M, Bagnall MW (2023) Spinal interneurons: diversity and connectivity in motor control. Annu
Rev Neurosci 46:79-99.
Smith JL, Betts B, Edgerton VR, Zernicke RF (1980) Rapid ankle extension during paw shakes: selective
recruitment of fast ankle extensors. J Neurophysiol 43:612-620.
Soffe SR (1989) Roles of glycinergic Inhibition and N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor mediated excitation in
the locomotor rhythmicity of one half of the Xenopus embryo central nervous system. Eur J
Neurosci 1:561-571.
Soffe SR, Roberts A (1982) Tonic and phasic synaptic input to spinal cord motoneurons during fictive
locomotion in frog embryos. J Neurophysiol 48:1279-1288.
Song J, Pallucchi I, Ausborn J, Ampatzis K, Bertuzzi M, Fontanel P, Picton LD, El Manira A (2020)
Multiple rhythm-generating circuits act in tandem with pacemaker properties to control the start
and speed of locomotion. Neuron 105:1048-1061.
Soto AP, McHenry MJ (2020) Pursuit predation with intermittent locomotion in zebrafish. J Exp Biol
223:jeb230623.
Sternberg JR, Severi KE, Fidelin K, Gomez J, Ihara H, Alcheikh Y, Hubbard JM, Kawakami K, Suster M,
Wyart C (2016) Optimization of a neurotoxin to investigate the contribution of excitatory
interneurons to speed modulation in vivo. Curr Biol 26:2319-2328.
Talpalar AE, Bouvier J, Borgius L, Fortin G, Pierani A, Kiehn O (2013) Dual-mode operation of neuronal
networks involved in left-right alternation. Nature 500:85-88.
Thorsen DH, Cassidy JJ, Hale ME (2004) Swimming of larval zebrafish: fin-axis coordination and
implications for function and neural control. J Exp Biol 207:4175-4183.
Wiggin TD, Anderson TM, Eian J, Peck JH, Masino MA (2012) Episodic swimming in the larval zebrafish
is generated by a spatially distributed spinal network with modular functional organization. J
Neurophysiol 108:925-934.
Wiggin TD, Montgomery JE, Brunick AJ, Peck JH, Masino MA (2022) V3 Interneurons Are Active and
Recruit Spinal Motor Neurons during In Vivo Fictive Swimming in Larval Zebrafish. eNeuro 9.
Zhong G, Sharma K, Harris-Warrick RM (2011) Frequency-dependent recruitment of V2a interneurons
during fictive locomotion in the mouse spinal cord. Nat Commun 2:274.
Zhong G, Droho S, Crone SA, Dietz S, Kwan AC, Webb WW, Sharma K, Harris-Warrick RM (2010)
Electrophysiological characterization of V2a interneurons and their locomotor-related activity in
the neonatal mouse spinal cord. J Neurosci 30:170-182.

Ziskind-Conhaim L, Hochman S (2017) Diversity of molecularly defined spinal interneurons engaged in

870   mammalian locomotor pattern generation. J Neurophysiol 118:2956-2974.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Identification of V2a neurons for recordings during 'fictive' swimming

A) Composite DIC/epifluorescence image of Tg[Chx10:GFP] larval zebrafish at 5 days post fertilization (5d). Dorsal is up and rostral is left. Scale bar, 0.5 mm.

B) Confocal image of the Tg[Chx10:GFP] spinal cord from segments 10-15 at midbody (purple box in *A*). Scale bar, 30 μm.

C) Pseudo-colored images of V2a somata based on high (H, white) and low (L, purple) GFP intensity. Left panel: sagittal section, right panel: coronal section. Dashed lines indicate boundaries of spinal cord, which are normalized to 0-1 in the dorso-ventral (DV) and medio-lateral (ML) axes. White arrowheads indicate large, lateral V2a somata with low levels of GFP expression. Scale bar, 20 μm.

D) Plots of numbers of low and high intensity V2a neurons per midbody segment (n = 5-6 segments from 3 fish). Left panel: Bar plot of average number (+/- SEM) of low and high GFP V2a neurons along the dorsoventral (DV) axis. There is no significant difference in the medians of the dorso-ventral distributions of high and low GFP expressing V2a neurons (Wilcoxon rank-sum rest; W = 684, p = 0.698, n = 347 high and 401 low GFP neurons from 3 fish). Right panel: Box and whisker plot showing average number of high and low GFP neurons per segment (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; W = 88, p = 0.053, n = 17 segments in 3 fish).

E) Two-dimensional contour density plots showing the mediolateral (ML) and dorsoventral (DV) distributions of V2a neurons expressing low or high levels of GFP. Density distributions of ML positions of V2a neurons are shown at the bottom of the contour plots, which are significantly different (***, Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; D = 0.164 p <0.001, n = 347 high and 401 low GFP neurons from 3 fish).

F) Top panel: an example of an extracellular ventral rootlet recording of three consecutive swim episodes evoked by a mild electrical stimulus (s) to the tail fin (at arrowhead, artifact blanked). Scale bar, 500 ms. Bottom left panel: a cartoon of the locations of the stimulus and the recording electrodes. Bottom right panel: individual ventral rootlet bursts (vrb) on an expanded timescale. Black dots mark the center of each vrb used to calculate swim frequency in Hz (1/s), which is indicative of swimming speed. Scale bar, 20 ms.

G) Scatter plot of vrb frequency (Hz) versus the time in swim episode (ms). The swimming episode immediately following the stimulus is called Swim 1 (left), and any subsequent swimming episodes are called Swim 2+ (right).

Figure 2: Cell-type-specific electrophysiological properties linked to recruitment order

A) Composite DIC/epifluorescent images of post-hoc fills of V2a-Descending (V2a-D, top) and V2a-Bifurcating (V2a-B, bottom) neurons. Arrows indicate continuation of axons outside the field of view. Scale bar, 10 μm.

B) Left panel: scatter plot of soma diameter of V2a-D and V2a-B neurons normalized to dorsoventral (DV) soma position, with 1 demarcating the dorsal and 0 the ventral boundaries of the spinal cord. The soma diameters of V2a-Ds (n = 22) and V2a-Bs (n = 25) are significantly different according to the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (W = 401, p < 0.001). Right panel: boxplots of DV soma positions of V2a-D and V2a-B neurons classified as tonic, bursting, or chattering based on their step-firing responses in panels *E* and *F*. TD=tonic V2a-D (n = 14), CB=chattering V2a-B (n = 12), BB=bursting V2a-B (n = 13), BD=bursting V2a-D (n = 8). The differences in the DV soma positions of TD and CB (z = -3.202, p < 0.01), TD and BB (z = -4.714, p < 0.001), and TD and BD (z = -4.892, p < 0.001) are significantly different according to Dunn's test following post-hoc Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

C) Left panel: scatter plot of input resistance (GΩ) versus the rheobase (pA) of V2a-B (black) and V2a-D (blue) neurons. The input resistance (W = 30, p <0.001) and rheobase (W = 361.5, p < 0.001) of V2a-Bs (n = 18) and V2a-Ds (n = 21) are significantly different according to the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Right panel: Box plots of input resistance (GΩ) of BD (n = 7), TD (n =14), BB (n = 11), and CB (n = 7) V2a neurons. The differences in the input resistance of BD and BB (z = -3.531, p <0.001), BD and CB (z = 4.734, p < 0.001), CB and TD neurons (z = -3.559, p < 0.01) are significantly different according to Dunn's test following post-hoc Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

D) Mean (+/− SD) instantaneous spike rates (Hz) between 1-2x rheobase for TD, CB, BD and BB neurons.

E) Top panel: step-firing responses of V2a-D neurons defined as tonic (TD). Bottom panel: step-firing responses of V2a-D neurons defined as bursting (BD). Grey dots depict the midpoint of slower membrane oscillations driving the bursting spiking behavior. Same scale as panel *F*.

F) Top panel: step-firing responses of V2a-B neurons defined as chattering (CB). Bottom panel: V2a-B neurons defined as bursting (BB). Grey dots depict the midpoint of slower membrane oscillations driving the bursting spiking behavior. Scale bars, 20 mV, 200 pA, 200 ms.

G) Top panels: Step-firing responses close to rheobase of TD, BD, CB, and BB neurons. Bottom panels: Swim firing responses evoked by a mild electrical shock shown on the same time scales. Black dots on ventral root bursts (grey) indicate swim frequency. Black arrows mark the stimulation artifact. Scale bars, 10 mV, 50 ms.

H) Scatter plot of median swim firing frequency (Hz) versus the input resistance (GΩ) of CB, TD, BB, and BD on logarithmic x- and y-scales. Shaded grey box indicates 30-40 Hz range reflecting transition between anguilliform and carangiform swim modes. There is a significant negative correlation between the median swim firing frequency and input resistance of TD and BD V2a neurons (Spearman's rank correlation test, r_s = -0.723, p <0.001, n = 21) as well as CB and BB V2a neurons (r_s = -0.624, p < 0.01, n = 18).

I) A comparison of median swim-firing and median step-firing frequencies between 1-2x rheobase (+/− SD) for TD, BD, and BB neurons. For TD neurons, step frequencies represent spike rates, while for BD and BB neurons they represent burst rates. CB neurons were too variable in step firing frequency to obtain reliable median values. Shaded grey box indicates 30-40 Hz range reflecting transition between anguilliform and carangiform swim modes. There is a significant positive correlation between the

median swim-firing and median step-firing frequencies of TD and BD neurons (Spearman's rank
correlation test; r_s = 0.768, p < 0.001, n = 21), but not BB neurons (Spearman's rank correlation test;
r_s = 0.318, p = 0.289, n = 13).

Figure 3: Differences in recruitment patterns among the distinct V2a types

A) Left panel: Cell-attached (ca) recordings of descending V2a (V2a-D) neurons during fictive swimming
evoked by a brief stimulus (at black arrowhead). Black dots on ventral root (vr) bursts (grey) indicate
swim frequency. The top neuron fires immediately after the stimulus during high frequency
swimming, while the bottom neuron fires near the end of the episode at lower frequencies. Right
panel: As shown to the left, but for bifurcating V2a (V2a-B) neurons. Scale bar, 100 ms.

B) Top panel: spike timing of V2a-D (left) and V2a-B (right) neurons relative to the start of swimming
ordered by the median of the distribution. Bottom panel: A scatterplot of vrb frequency (Hz) as a
function of time for all episodes (Swim 1 and 2+) during which the V2a-D (left) or V2a-B (right)
neurons were recruited.

C) Raster plots of ventral root burst frequencies (Hz) over which V2a-Ds were recruited ordered by the
median vrb recruitment frequency (Hz). Shaded box indicates 30-40 Hz range reflecting transition
between anguilliform and carangiform swim modes.

D) Raster plots of ventral root burst frequencies (Hz) over which V2a-Bs were recruited ordered by the
median vrb recruitment frequency (Hz). Shaded box indicates 30-40 Hz range reflecting transition
between anguilliform and carangiform swim modes.

Figure 4: Distinct types of slow and fast V2a neurons distinguished based on recruitment

A) Top panel: Cell-attached (ca) and ventral rootlet (vr) recordings during slow (< 35 Hz) and fast (> 35
Hz) swimming illustrate V2a-D neurons (blue) that fire exclusively at slow and fast speeds. Bottom
panel: V2a-B neurons (black) fire more reliably at both slow and fast speeds. Scale bar, 25 ms.

B) Plots of the mean (+/− SD) dorsoventral (DV) positions of fast (FD) and slow (SD) V2a-Ds, and fast
(FB) and slow (SB) V2a-Bs versus their mean (+/− SD) input resistance (Rin).

C) Spike timing of slow (S) and fast (F) V2a-D and V2a-B neurons relative to the center of the ventral root
burst (vrb) depicted by the dashed vertical line. Tick marks represent individual spikes from multiple
cycles and circles represent median spike timing.

D) Density plots of spike timing relative to the center burst for slow and fast V2a-B and V2a-D neurons
illustrated in panel *C*.

E) Top panel: Box plots of the percentage of ventral root bursts with spikes (vrb spikes %) as a function of
vrb frequency (Hz) for fast (FD, n = 9) and slow (SD, n = 8) V2a-D neurons. For box plots, the ventral
root burst frequency was binned at 15 Hz intervals starting at 15 Hz, and the final interval of 45+Hz
consisted of a 20 Hz range spanning from 45-65 Hz. Box plots are superimposed on trendlines from
individual neurons fit to data binned at 5 Hz intervals, whose slopes define whether they are fast

(positive) or slow (negative). Dashed line indicates transition between slow carangiform and fast anguilliform modes. Bottom panel: As above but for fast (FB, n = 16) and slow (SB, n = 6) V2a-B neurons.

F) Top panel: Box plots of vrb spikes % for slow and fast V2a-D neurons from panel E collapsed into single slow (<35 Hz) and (>35 Hz) bins for purposes of statistical analysis (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; FD, V = 1, p <0.01, n = 9; SD, V = 36, p = < 0.01, n = 8). Bottom panel: As above but for V2a-B neurons (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; FB, V = 0, p <0.001, n = 16; SB, V = 20, p = 0.063, n = 6).

G) Top panel: Scatter plots of instantaneous spike rates versus vrb frequency (Hz) for V2a-D (left) and V2a-B (right) neurons color coded as fast (dark) and slow (light). FD, n = 9; SD, n = 8; FB, n = 16; SB; n = 6, Bottom panel: Scatter plots of the number of spikes per ventral root burst (#) as a function of vrb frequency (Hz), organized and color coded as above.

H) Top panel: Box plots of maximum instantaneous spike rates for fast (n = 5) and slow (n = 7) V2a-D and fast (n = 16) and slow (n = 5) V2a-B neurons. Note, only a subset of V2a neurons that fired two or more spikes per cycle were included in this analysis (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; FB-FD, W = 73, p <0.01; FB-SD, W = 90, p < 0.05). Bottom panel: Box plots of the maximum number of spikes/vrb (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; FB-FD, W = 133, p <0.001; FB-SD, W = 100, p < 0.05; FD-SB, W = 50, p < 0.01; FD, n = 9; SD, n = 8; FB, n = 16; SB, n = 6).

Figure 5: Differences in levels of synaptic drive related to speed and V2a cell type

A) Voltage-clamp (vc) recordings of excitatory post-synaptic currents (EPSCs) received by fast (top, FD) and slow (bottom, SD) V2a-D neurons along with ventral root (vr) recordings during fictive swimming triggered by an electrical stimulus (black arrowheads, artifact blanked). Black dots indicate burst intervals for frequency measures. Grey shaded boxes indicate region expanded inset, illustrating the holding potential (dashed line, -75 mV) and individual EPSCs (tick marks). Scale bars, 25 pA, 100 ms (20 ms inset).

B) As in *A*, but for fast (top, FB) and slow (bottom, SB) V2a-B neurons.

C) Scatter plot of maximum EPSC amplitude (pA) as a function of input resistance (GΩ) on logarithmic x- and y-scales. Dashed logarithmic trendlines are included for illustrative purposes (Spearman's rank correlation test, r_s = -0.873, p < 0.001, n = 39).

D) Voltage-clamp recordings of inhibitory post-synaptic currents (IPSCs) at a holding potential of 10 mV from fast (top, FD) and slow (bottom, SD) V2a-D neurons, organized as detailed in panel A. Scale bars, 100 pA, 100 ms (20 ms inset).

E) As in D, but fast (top, FB) and slow (bottom, SB) V2a-B neurons.

F) Scatter plot of maximum IPSC amplitude as a function of input resistance (GΩ) on logarithmic x- and y-scales (Spearman's rank correlation test; r_s = -0.633, p < 0.001, n = 36).

G) Top panel: Box plots of the maximum EPSC per cycle as a percentage of the maximum current (max EPSC%) for fast (FD, n = 9) and slow (SD, n = 6) V2a-D neurons, organized into 4 bins based on

1022 frequency. Dashed line indicates transition between slow carangiform and fast anguilliform modes.
1023 Bottom panel: as above, but for fast (FB, n = 11) and slow (SB, n = 5) V2a-B neurons.

H) Top panel: Box plots of max EPSC% for fast and slow V2a-D neurons from panel G, collapsed into single slow (<35 Hz) and fast (>35 Hz) bins for purposes of statistical analysis (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; FD, V = 0, p < 0.01, n = 9; SD, V = 21, p < 0.05, n = 6). Bottom panel: As above but for V2a-B neurons (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; FB, V = 2, p < 0.01, n = 11; SB, V = 15, p = 0.063, n = 5). Boxed plots are superimposed on trendlines from individual neurons. Note, 1 cell each for V2a-D and V2a-B slow subtypes behaved more like fast subtypes (dashed lines).

I) Top panel: Box plots of the maximum IPSC per cycle as a percentage of the maximum current (max IPSC%) for fast (FD, n = 7) and slow (SD, n = 7) V2a-Ds organized as in panel G. Bottom panel: As above but for fast (FB, n = 12) and slow (SB, n = 6) V2a-B neurons.

J) Top panel: Box plots of max IPSC% for fast and slow V2a-D neurons from panel *I*, collapsed into single slow (<35 Hz) and fast (>35 Hz) bins for purposes of for statistical analysis (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; FD, V = 0, p < 0.05, n = 7; SD, V = 1, p < 0.05, n = 7). Bottom panel: as above, but for fast and slow V2a-B neurons (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; FB, V = 0, p < 0.001, n = 12; SB, V = 4, p = 0.219, n = 6).

K) Box plots of maximum excitatory and inhibitory current for FD (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; V = 0, p < 0.01, n = 9 for excitation, n = 8 for inhibition) and SD (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; V = 0, p < 0.05, n = 8 for excitation, n = 7 for inhibition) neurons.

L) As in *K* but for FB (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; V = 1, p < 0.001, n = 16 for excitation, n = 15 for inhibition) and SB (Wilcoxon signed-rank test; V = 0, p < 0.05, n = 6 for excitation, n = 6 for inhibition) neurons.

Figure 6: Differences in timing of synaptic drive related to speed and V2a cell type

A) Raw (grey) and low-pass filtered (black) traces of post-synaptic current (PSC), normalized to the peak (1) and trough (0) of phasic excitation (left) and inhibition (right). Corresponding ventral root (vr) bursts used to define in- and anti-phase (gray shaded boxes) currents are shown below. Block dots denote the peaks identified by the findpeaks() function in MATLAB. Note, excitation has been inverted to simplify comparisons to inhibition.

B) Top panel: Circular bar plots of spike phase for fast descending (FD, n = 9) and bifurcating (FB, n = 16) V2a neurons (Watson's two-sample test of homogeneity; F = 0.483, p< 0.001). Bottom panel: Circular bar plots of spike phase for slow descending (SD, n = 8) and bifurcating (SB, n = 6) neurons (Watson's two-sample test of homogeneity; F = 3.711, p< 0.001). Scale bars, 10% total distribution.

C) Top panel: Circular bar plots of phase normalized peaks in low pass filtered EPSCs for fast descending (FD, n = 9) and fast bifurcating (FB, n = 11) V2a neurons (Watson's two-sample test of homogeneity; F = 5.941, p < 0.001). Bottom panel: Circular bar plots of phase normalized peaks in low pass filtered EPSCs for slow descending (SD, n = 7) and slow bifurcating (SB, n = 6) neurons (Watson's two-sample test of homogeneity; F = 2.548, p < 0.001). Scale bars, 10% total distribution.

D) Top panel: Circular bar plots of phase normalized peaks in low pass filtered IPSCs for fast descending (FD, n = 7) and fast bifurcating (FB, n = 12) neurons (Watson's two-sample test of homogeneity; F = 3.646, p < 0.001). Arrowhead indicates prominent in-phase IPSC component for fast V2a-Bs. Bottom panel: Circular bar plots of phase normalized peaks in low pass filtered IPSCs for slow descending (SD, n = 7) and slow bifurcating (SB, n = 6) neurons (Watson's two-sample test of homogeneity; F = 5.426, p < 0.001). Scale bars, 10% total distribution. Arrowhead indicates prominent in-phase IPSC component for slow V2a-Ds. Scale bars, 10% total distribution.

E) Line plots from V2a-D neurons of averaged excitation (Ex; FD, n = 9; SD, n = 6), averaged inhibition (In; FD, n = 7; SD, n = 7), and spike densities (S; FD, n = 7; SD, n = 7) broken into four ventral root burst frequency (Hz) bins. Post synaptic current was normalized on a cycle-by-cycle basis, such that the minimum and maximum current per cycle equaled 0 and 1 respectively. Scale bar for spike densities, 50% total distribution.

F) As in panel *E*, but averaged excitation (Ex; FB, n = 11; SB, n = 5), inhibition (In; FB, n = 12; SB, n = 6), and spike densities (S; FB, n = 16; SB, n = 6) for V2a-B neurons.

Figure 7. Speed-dependent impact of silencing dI6 neurons on rhythm vs. pattern

A) Composite DIC/epifluorescence image of Tg[Dmrt3a:GFP] larval zebrafish at 4 days post fertilization (4d). Dorsal is up and rostral is left. Scale bar, 0.5 mm

B) Left panel: Confocal image of the Tg[Dmrt3a:GFP] spinal cord from segments 10-12 at midbody (purple box in *A*). Scale bar, 20 μm. Right panel: Coronal view of confocal image to the left illustrating commissural axons of dI6 neurons (white arrowhead). Dashed lines indicate boundaries of spinal cord. Scale bar, 20 μm.

C) Left panel: Composite confocal image of all dI6 somata labeled with pTagRFP (purple) and subsets of dI6 somata labeled with botulinum toxin tagged GFP (green), using a combination of compound transgenic lines (noted below). Boundaries of spinal cord are normalized to 0-1 in the dorso-ventral (DV) axis. Scale bar, 30 μm. Middle panel: Bar plots of average numbers (+/- SEM) of pTagRFP labeled dI6 neurons (purple) and BoTx-GFP labeled dI6 neurons (green) per midbody segment (n = 5-6 segments from 3 fish) along the dorso-ventral axis. The DV distributions of pTagRFP expressing (n = 517) and BoTx-GFP expressing dI6 neurons (n = 842) are significantly different (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; W = 184452, p < 0.001). Right panel: Line plot of percentage of total dI6 neurons labeled with BoTx-GFP along the dorso-ventral axis. Dashed grey line indicates 50 percent of the distribution.

D) Top panels: examples of extracellular ventral rootlet recordings recorded on the left (L) and right (R) sides in sibling control larvae lacking BoTx-GFP expression. Two consecutive swim episodes are illustrated, the first (Swim 1) is evoked by a mild electrical stimulus (s) to the tail fin (at arrowhead, artifact blanked), with subsequent episodes occurring intermittently after the first (Swim 2+). Scale bar, 500 ms. Bottom panels: examples from BoTx-GFP fish with coincident bilateral activity. Black dots illustrate ipsilateral bursts that match frequencies observed in controls. Shaded purple and green boxes illustrate left-right alternation.

E) Left panel: Scatter plot of left-right (L-R) phase versus the time in the swim episode (s) in control
siblings. Superimposed on pooled data are density plots from the swimming episode immediately
following the stimulus (Swim 1) and subsequent swim episodes (Swim 2+), which are not significantly
different following a Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (D = 0.084, p = 0.305, n = n = 330 cycles
for Swim 1 from 5 fish and 219 cycles for Swim 2+ from 4 fish). Right panel: Scatter plot of BoTxBLC-
GFP fish illustrating collapse of left-right alternation and rhythmicity primarily at the beginning of
the swimming episodes. In this case, density plots for Swim 1 versus Swim 2+ are significantly
different (***, Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; D = 0.163, p < 0.001, n = 428 cycles for Swim 1
from 11 fish and 540 cycles for Swim 2+ from 10 fish).

F) Scatter plot analyzing ventral root burstlet (vrb) frequency for control (purple) and BoTx-GFP fish
(green) on the left and right sides on a cycle-by-cycle basis.

G) Box plots of ventral rootlet burstlet frequency for control and BoTx-GFP fish during carangiform
swimming below 35 Hz for Swim 1 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; W = 35, p = 0.454, n = 11 BoTx-GFP and
5 control fish) and Swim 2+ (W = 26, p = 0.453, n = 10 BoTx-GFP and 4 control fish).

H) Box plots of ventral rootlet burstlet left-right phase for control and BoTx-GFP fish during carangiform
swimming below 35 Hz for Swim 1 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; W = 18, p = 0.439, n = 11 BoTx-GFP and
5 control fish) and Swim 2+ (W =17, p=0.733, n = 10 BoTx-GFP and 4 control fish).

I) Box plots of ventral rootlet burstlet duration for control and BoTx-GFP fish during carangiform
swimming below 35 Hz for Swim 1 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; *, W = 48, p < 0.05, n = 11 BoTx-GFP
and 5 control fish) and Swim 2+ (W = 27, p = 0.374, n = 10 BoTx-GFP and 4 control fish).

J) Box plots of ventral rootlet burstlet duty cycle for control and BoTx-GFP fish during carangiform
swimming below 35 Hz for Swim 1 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test; **, W = 52, p < 0.01, n = 11 BoTx-GFP
and 5 control fish) and Swim 2+ (*, W = 27, p < 0.05, n = 10 BoTx-GFP and 4 control fish).

Figure 8. Summary diagrams of cell-type-specific origins of locomotor rhythmicity

A) Schematic illustrating the major spinal interneuron types that provide excitation (top) and inhibition
(bottom) during locomotion. Dorsal is up and rostral is left. See Discussion for details.

B) Schematic illustrating the potential wiring diagrams between major spinal interneuron types (+,
excitatory; –, inhibitory) for fast swimming (top) and slow swimming (bottom). Snapshots from high-
speed videos are illustrated to the left for fast anguilliform and slow carangiform swimming. F, fast
motor pools; S, slow motor pools. Dashed vertical line is midline. Reciprocal populations are shaded
grey for simplicity.

C) Schematic focusing on the major connections that differentiate fast and slow swimming circuits.
Rhythm-generating circuitry is in blue and pattern-forming circuitry is in black.

1130

1131 **Video 1. High-speed video of real escape swimming in a 5 day old larva**

1132 A) Larva is touched near the head by a sharpened tungsten pin, which triggers a rapid escape bend,
1133   followed by high-frequency anguilliform swimming and then ending with low-frequency carangiform

1134 swimming. Video was captured at 2000 frames per second and has been slowed down 40X for
1135 purposes of visualization (playback at 50 frames per second).
1136

A

B

C Tg(Chx10:GFP) – 5d

L ████ H (GFP intensity)

D/V position

ML position

D

D/V position

Low High

Number

Number (seg-1)

Low High

E

D/V position

Low High

ML position

***

F

Swim 1 Swim 2 Swim 3

◁ v2a

vrb

vrb

G

Swim 1

vrb freq (Hz)

Time in swim (s)

Swim 2+

Time in swim (s)

A Tg(Dmrt3a:GFP) ~ 4d

B

C dI6 neurons

Tg[Dmrt3a:Gal4;UAS:pTagRFP] + Tg[UAS:BoTx-Gfp-UFP]

BoTx-GFP
pTagRFP

D Control

Swim 1+   Swim 2+

R →
L ←

dI6 BoTx

50 ms

E Swim 1+   dI6 BoTx

Phase (L-R)

Time in bout (s)

F

Right vrb freq (Hz)

Left vrb freq (Hz)

G Control
dI6 BoTx

vrb freq (Hz)

< 35 Hz
S1   S2+

H

Phase (L-R)

< 35 Hz
S1   S2+

I

vrb duration (s)

< 35 Hz
S1   S2+

J

Duty cycle (%)

< 35 Hz
S1   S2+