Transcriptome Dynamics in Mouse Amygdala under Acute and Chronic Stress Revealed
by Thiol-labeled RNA Sequencing

Dan Zhao[1#], Lu Zhang[1#], Yang Yang[1,2*]

1. School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 201210, China.

2. State Key Laboratory of Advanced Medical Materials and Devices, ShanghaiTech University,
Shanghai 201210, China

# These authors contributed equally to this work

* Correspondence: yangyang2@shanghaitech.edu.cn

Abstract
Both acute and chronic stress have significant impact on brain functions. The amygdala is essential
in mediating stress responses, but how its transcriptomic dynamics change under stress remains
elusive. To overcome the difficulties in detecting subtle stress-induced changes by evaluating total
RNA using classic RNA sequencing, we conducted thiol-labeled RNA sequencing (SLAM-seq).
We injected 4-thiouridine (4sU) into mouse amygdala followed by SLAM-seq to detect nascent
mRNA induced by acute and chronic restraint stress, and found that SLAM-seq could label
actively transcribed genes in the major neuronal and glial subtypes. We also found that acute stress
induced active transcription of 6 gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors and only 1
glutamate receptor, indicating an imminent increase of inhibitory control in the stressed amygdala.
Conversely, chronic stress led to active transcription of 3 glutamate receptors and 4 GABA
receptors, suggesting a release of inhibitory control and hyperactivity of the amygdala. SLAM-seq
also identified genes associated with myelination under chronic stress, and this finding is
confirmed by immunostaining showing increased myelination in chronically stressed amygdala.
Additionally, genes detected by SLAM-seq and RNA-seq only partially overlapped, with SLAM-
seq particularly sensitive to transcriptional changes in genes with high basal transcription levels.
Thus, by applying SLAM-seq *in vivo*, we obtained a rich dataset of nascently transcribed genes in
the amygdala under stress, and revealed distinct transcriptional dynamics associated with acute
and chronic stress.

Introduction

The impact of stress on the brain is profound and has been linked to an increased risk of mental illnesses, including depression and anxiety disorders [1, 2]. Both acute and chronic stress can induce adaptive changes in various brain regions [3-9], including the amygdala, which plays a key role in processing physiological and behavioral responses to stress [10, 11]. In its resting state, the amygdala is tightly regulated through inhibitory mechanisms mediated by gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) [12]. Previous research showed that stress leads to amygdala disinhibition, resulting in its heightened activity [13, 14]. While many studies have demonstrated that stress affects amygdala function, the underlying molecular mechanisms responsible for these changes remain elusive. To understand the stress-induced effects in the amygdala at the molecular level, it is essential to study how gene expression in amygdala is influenced by acute and chronic stress.

It has long been established that neuronal activities lead to fast expression of immediate early genes, which further regulates transcription of thousands of downstream genes over an extended period [15-17]. Such activity-dependent transcription dynamics are crucial for modulating neuronal functions and long-term connectivity changes [18, 19]. Studies have shown that glial cells in the brain also exhibit significant functional changes induced by neuronal activities. For example, oligodendrocytes undergo activity-dependent myelination [20, 21]. However, the abundance of gene transcripts for maintaining cellular functions, and the complex morphology of neurons and glia, made the classic RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) method unsuitable for studying the dynamic transcriptomic changes of these cells [22].

The thiol-labeled RNA sequencing (SLAM-seq) method specifically label newly transcribed mRNAs [23], and has been widely applied in cultured cells [24, 25]. Recently, SLAM-seq has also been extended to *in vivo* studies to explore genomic changes during zebrafish embryo development [26], and to identify cell type-specific transcriptome in mouse tissues in a Cre-dependent manner (SLAM-ITseq, [27]). Although SLAM-ITseq provides a solution to metabolically label RNA with 4-thiouracil (4-TU) in a specific cell type *in vivo*, this method requires usage of double-transgenic mouse lines to achieve cell type-specific expression of uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (UPRT), to transform 4-TU to 4-thiouridine (4sU) in Cre-expressing cells, limiting the applicability.

By conducting SLAM-seq with direct stereotactic injection of 4sU, we detected newly transcribed

genes in the amygdala under acute and chronic stress. Bioinformatic analyses showed that the

injected 4sU was integrated into the transcription of genes expressed in major brain cell types. In

addition, acute stress and chronic stress induced differential transcription of GABA and glutamate

receptors, indicating diverse inhibitory control in the amygdala under acute and chronic stress.

Furthermore, compared to RNA-seq, SLAM-seq is more sensitive to transcriptional changes in

genes expressed in high basal levels.

Results

Adapt SLAM-seq for the brain through stereotactic injection of 4sU

Neuronal activity is known to quickly trigger the transcription of many genes [15-17]. Seeking to

detect gene transcription in response to diverse conditions of neuronal activity in different brain

regions, we adapted the SLAM-seq protocol *in vivo* by injecting 4sU directly into the brain region

of interest. The stereotactic injection method was used to ensure the target delivery of 4sU, a

nucleotide analog that can be imported into animal cells by equilibrate nucleoside transporters [28],

in selected brain regions (See Methods for details). To estimate the 4sU incorporation rate in brain

cells, we first injected 4sU (100mM, dissolved in 10% DMSO, 1uL) into the mouse auditory cortex

(**Fig. 1a**), and performed biotin dot blot essay with mRNAs extracted from the cortex at 2 h, 8 h,

and 24 h after 4sU injection [29]. The labeling strength increased with labeling time (**Fig. 1b**), and

at 24 h post injection, the labeling is highly efficient. Thus, we determined that 24 h is a sufficient

duration to allow 4sU diffusion into brain cells to support SLAM-seq.

To evaluate the extent of tissue damage potentially caused by the injection of 4sU, we used TUNEL

(Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP Nick End Labeling) staining to assess cell death in

the injected brain region [30]. We injected 4sU (100mM, dissolved in 10% DMSO, 1uL) and

DMSO (10%, 1uL) into the mouse auditory cortex and amygdala, and 24 h later, extracted the

mouse brains for slicing and TUNEL staining (Methods). We did not see dead cells in the brain

slices near the injection sites, for both 4sU and DMSO injections, suggesting that neither 4sU nor

DMSO induced detectable cell death or tissue damage in the injected brain regions (**Fig. 1c, Supp.**

Fig. 1).

We also assessed whether the presence of 4sU had any impact on the transcriptome by performing

150bp paired-end sequencing of total mRNA extracted from the isolated mouse auditory cortex

and amygdala at 24 h after stereotactic 4sU or DMSO injections (**Supp. Fig. 2a, 2b**). By comparing

the read counts for all genes [23], we did not observe any significant difference in gene expression

levels between 4sU (denoted as 4sU+) and DMSO (denoted as 4sU-) groups (**Fig.1d, Supp. Fig.**

2c). These findings support that local injection of 4sU into specific brain regions enables efficient

incorporation into transcription in brain cells, without obviously affecting the gene expression.

Identify 4sU-incorporated mRNA with SLAM-seq under basal conditions

Recently, in addition to cultured cells [24, 25], SLAM-seq has also been applied *in vivo* by

injecting 4sU into zebrafish embryo [26], or injecting 4-thiouracil (4-TU) intraperitoneally into

transgenic mice expressing UPRT in selected cell types [27]. Given that local injection of 4sU into

the mouse brain has never been reported, we needed to empirically determine whether the

delivered 4sU can be incorporated into the nascently transcribed RNA molecules. We injected 4sU

(100mM, 1uL, dissolved in 10% DMSO) and DMSO (10%, 1uL) into the mouse amygdala 24 h

prior to tissue dissection and RNA extraction (**Fig. 2a**), to establish a baseline (BL) for nascent

RNA transcription in normal behaving mice. We then subjected the aforementioned total RNA

extracts to iodoacetamide (IAA) treatment (Methods, **Supp. Fig. 3a**), to covalently attach a

carboxyamidomethyl group to 4sU by nucleophilic substitution [23], and then performed 150bp

paired-end RNA-seq (**Supp. Fig. 3b**). During the reverse transcription step of RNA-seq library

preparation, a guanine (G), instead of an adenine (A), is base paired to an alkylated 4sU, leading

to the thymine (T) to cytosine (C) base conversion (T>C conversion) at the corresponding T

position in mRNA [23]. To control for the background T>C conversion rate without 4sU, RNA

obtained from DMSO-injected amygdala was subjected to the same procedures before RNA-seq.

We calculated the T>C conversion rates for each gene in both the 4sU-injected and DMSO-injected

samples using SLAM-DUNK software [31]. At the whole transcriptome level, the average T>C

conversion rate of the 4sU-injected group was significantly higher than that of DMSO-injected

group (**Fig. 2b**), indicating that the injected 4sU was incorporated into transcription. We identified

414 genes with significantly higher T>C conversion rates in the 4sU group than in the DMSO

group (**Fig. 2c**), which can be plausibly interpreted as genes undergoing active transcription. Note

that these mice were not subjected to any behavioral or physiological perturbations, therefore, the

414 differentially 4sU-labeled genes were actively transcribed under normal baseline conditions.

Indeed, these genes included sodium channels (*Scn1b*), potassium channels (*Kcnab2, Kcnc2*),

cation transport ATPases (*Atp1a2, Atp1b2)*, calcium channels (*Cacng7*) and Calmodulin (*Calm3*),

all of which are essential for maintaining basic neuronal functions [32].

Determine the 4sU labeling efficiency in distinct cell types

Identification of genes encoding neuron-specific ion channels indicated that 4sU was successfully

incorporated into transcription of genes in the amygdala neurons. To additionally evaluate the

efficiency of 4sU incorporation into the transcription of other cell types in amygdala, we cross-

referenced the 414 differentially 4sU-labeled genes with known marker genes of major cell types

as reported in single-cell RNA sequencing studies [33, 34]. We found that the 414 differentially

labeled genes under baseline conditions covered marker genes of excitatory (*Slc17a7, Camk2a*)

and inhibitory neurons (*Gad1, Gad2*), astrocytes (*Gfap, S100b*), microglia (*Fcer1g, Lyz2*) and

oligodendrocytes (*Mobp, Plp1*). The T>C conversion rates of these genes were significantly higher

in 4sU-injected than DMSO-injected amygdala (**Fig. 2d**). These results indicate that 4sU has been

imported into major neuronal and glial cell types of the amygdala, and could be effectively

incorporated into the transcription of these cells to signal nascent transcription.

Transcriptome dynamics of the amygdala under acute stress

The amygdala has been extensively implicated in stress responses [10, 11]. To identify genes that

were actively transcribed upon acute stress (AS), we subjected 4sU- and DMSO-injected mice to

1.5 h of restraint stress [35], and immediately after the stress treatment, we isolated the amygdala

and extracted total RNAs (Methods, **Fig. 3a**). We treated the RNA samples with IAA for SLAM-

seq, and identified the differentially 4sU-labeled genes using SLAM-DUNK by comparing T>C

conversion rates between 4sU- and DMSO-injected amygdala samples (**Supp. Fig. 4a**).

Meanwhile, we conducted a separate bulk RNA-seq analysis, using DESeq2 software package [36]

to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in acute stress condition, compared to baseline.

By comparing the T>C conversion rates of genes between the 4sU and DMSO groups under AS,

we identified 728 differentially 4sU-labeled genes with significantly higher T>C conversion rates

in the 4sU group (FDR<0.05, $\log_2$FC>1, **Fig. 3b**. FDR: false discovery rate. FC: fold change). A

gene ontology (GO) analysis of the differentially 4sU-labeled genes in the AS samples indicated

enrichment for terms including "vesicle-mediated transport in synapse", "regulation of

neurotransmitter levels", and "synaptic vesicle cycle", all of which are closely associated with

synaptic vesicle functions (**Fig. 3c, Supp. Fig. 4b**). Among these are genes tightly linked to

synaptic vesicle release, including *Git1, Syn1, Synj1* [37-39] (**Fig. 3b**). GO analysis also revealed

enrichment for terms such as "regulation of synaptic plasticity" and "learning or memory", and

related genes included *Vgf, Prkcg, Snap25, Snap47* [40-42] (**Fig. 3b, 3c**).

A KEGG pathway analysis showed that the most significant pathways include "glutamatergic

synapse" and "GABAergic synapse", suggesting that AS had a strong influence on both excitatory

and inhibitory synaptic functions (**Fig. 3d**). Comparing genes identified under BL condition with

genes identified under AS, we found only one GABA receptor gene (*Gabra1*) among the

differentially 4sU-labeled genes under BL, in contrast to 6 GABA receptor genes under AS

(*Gabbr1, Gabra1, Gabra3, Gabrb2, Gabrg1, Gabrg2*), representing a higher transcription rate for

GABAergic receptors in the amygdala after acute stress. Conversely, only one glutamate receptor

gene (*Grina*) was detected under AS, and none under BL (**Fig. 3e**). Consistent with previous

studies linking amygdala GABAergic inhibitory control to stress response [14, 43], our results

suggested a strong influence on GABAergic neurons in the amygdala by acute stress, in the form

of quickly elevating GABA receptor expression.

Sensitivity of SLAM-seq vs. RNA-seq

Neurons require high abundance of transcripts for maintaining normal functions, while also exhibit

highly dynamic activity-induced transcription, making it hard to detect the subtle changes by

evaluating the total RNA amount using classic RNA-seq [22]. Indeed, none of the differentially

4sU-labeled GABA receptor and glutamate receptor genes identified by SLAM-seq were detected

using the differential gene expression analysis, even with a lenient standard (FDR<0.1,

$\log_2$FC>0.1, **Fig. 3f, Supp. Fig. 4c**). In total, 374 significantly upregulated and 279 downregulated

genes were identified using DESeq2 by comparing RNA read counts between AS and BL

conditions. 44 overlapping genes were identified by intersecting these AS-upregulated genes with

differentially 4sU-labeled genes under AS (**Fig. 3g**). These genes include known stress-related

genes such as *Cldn11, Vamp1, Glud1* and *Etnppl* [44-47]. For all of the overlapped genes, fold

change is bigger for SLAM-seq analysis than RNA-seq (**Fig. 3h**).

Further investigation revealed that the overlapped genes detected by SLAM-seq and RNA-seq had

relatively high expression levels (**Fig. 3i, Supp. Fig. 4d**). In fact, the genes with very high

expression levels that were marginally upregulated as determined by DESeq2 ($Log_2FC < 0.5$) were

detected by SLAM-seq (red data points in **Fig. 3i**) with bigger fold changes and higher significance

levels (**Fig. 3h, k**). In addition, genes identified by SLAM-seq had higher expression levels than

genes detected by RNA-seq (**Fig. 3j**, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $p < 0.05$). These results suggest

that compared to RNA-seq, SLAM-seq is more sensitive to genes with high expression levels, and

is therefore useful for detecting the increased active transcription of genes that can be masked by

the high abundance of transcripts required for normal functioning. This is of particular importance

for neurons, given the high basal expression level of many genes [22].

Transcriptome dynamics of amygdala under chronic stress

Chronic stress (CS) poses prolonged impact in the brain [48]. For chronic stress experiments, we

subjected mice to 7 days of restraint stress, 2 h each day [49, 50] (Methods, **Fig. 4a**). To examine

the long-lasting transcriptomic changes under CS, we extracted the amygdala at 10 h after the final

restraint stress session on day 7. We reasoned that by shifting 10 h, we reduced the possibility of

detecting acute changes induced by the last session of restraint stress. 4sU was injected into the

amygdala 24 h before brain extraction, same as BL and AS experiments. By comparing T>C

conversion rates between 4sU and DMSO injected groups, we identified 815 differentially 4sU-

labeled genes under CS (**Fig. 4b, Supp. Fig. 5a**). We performed a GO analysis for these genes,

and found that in addition to terms associated with neuronal functions such as "synaptic vesicle

cycle" and "neurotransmitter secretion", there is also enrichment for terms closely linked to glial

cells, especially oligodendrocytes and microglia (**Fig. 4c**). These terms include "myelination",

"ensheathment of neurons" and "axon ensheathment", which are tightly linked to the function of

oligodendrocytes, as well as "autophagy" and "autophagosome", which are associated with

microglia (**Supp. Fig. 5b**). Among these genes, *Mag* and *Dag1* are associated with myelination,

and *Rragb* and *Usp36* are associated with autophagy [51].

Regarding sensitivity for SLAM-seq vs. RNA-seq, we noted a similar trend for CS data to AS.
DESeq2 analysis detected 53 significantly up-regulated and 11 down-regulated genes for CS
(FDR<0.1, Log$_2$FC>0.1, **Fig. 4d**). Intersection of the differentially 4sU-labeled genes identified
by SLAM-seq and upregulated genes identified by RNA-seq revealed 11 overlapping genes (**Fig.**
4e), all with high expression levels (**Fig. 4f, Supp. Fig. 5c**). Expression of six out of the 11
overlapped genes is affected by chronic stress (*Esrrg, Gabarapl2, Cct8, Vamp1, Nrsn1, Cplx1*) [47,
52-54]. These genes all show bigger fold changes and 9 out of 11 genes also show higher
significance levels for SLAM-seq than for RNA-seq (**Fig. 4h, 4i**). Similar to AS, genes identified
by SLAM-seq in CS also had higher expression levels (**Fig. 4g**, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p <
0.05), again demonstrating the sensitivity of SLAM-seq for detecting actively transcribed genes
with high abundance. The GO analysis of the genes identified by SLAM-seq indicated enrichment
for terms closely linked to glial functions, but these terms did not show in GO analysis of genes
identified by RNA-seq (**Supp. Fig. 6**). Thus, the low-magnitude yet persistent transcriptome
changes associated with CS are more likely to be captured by SLAM-seq.

Comparison of differentially 4sU-labeled genes among BL, AS and CS conditions
By comparing the differentially 4sU-labeled genes under BL, AS and CS conditions, we found that
a majority of genes (363 out of 413) labeled under BL were also identified in either AS or CS
conditions, including known housekeeping genes such as *Sdha, Ywhaz, Gfap* [55] (**Fig. 5a**). These
genes were actively transcribed regardless of stress conditions, indicating their important roles in
maintaining normal cellular functions. In contrast to BL, we identified many more condition-
specific genes after stress treatments, namely, 224 AS-specific genes and 333 CS-specific genes
(**Fig. 5a**). Among the 172 AS-CS overlapped genes, 29 were associated with synaptic transmission,
synaptic vesicle cycle and synaptic regulations (**Fig. 5a**). Thus, both acute and chronic stress
strongly affected synaptic functions in amygdala neurons. Notably, compared to CS, AS triggered
active transcription of a higher proportion of synapse-related genes, among which were genes
associated with protein localization to postsynaptic membrane (*Git1, Mapk10, Stx1b, Kif1a*) [39,
56, 57], highlighting immediate postsynaptic plasticity caused by acute stress (**Fig. 5b**).

As described in an earlier section, we detected 6 GABA receptor genes (*Gabbr1, Gabra1, Gabra3,*
Gabrb2, Gabrg1, Gabrg2) and 1 glutamate receptor gene (*Grina*) under AS, representing an

imminent effect of AS on GABAergic inhibitory control of the amygdala. In comparison, we found

4 GABA receptor genes (*Gabbr1, Gabra1, Gabra3, Gabrg2*) and 3 glutamate receptor genes

(*Gria3, Gria4, Grina*) among the differentially 4sU-labeled genes under CS (**Fig. 5c**). The increase

in excitatory receptor transcription and relatively lower transcription of GABAergic receptors

might underlie hyperactivity of the amygdala [13, 14], caused by stress-induced removal of

GABAergic inhibitory control.

Validation of SLAM-seq results by quantitative immunofluoresence

Recent studies suggested that elevated neuronal and axonal activity could trigger myelination [20,

21], a phenomenon known as "activity-dependent myelination". In line with these results, CS-

specific genes detected by SLAM-seq included a large proportion of genes related to myelination,

including *Fyn, Dag1, Mag, Epb41l3,* and *Ndrg1* (**Fig. 5d**), and the enriched GO terms included

"myelination", "positive regulation of myelination", and "myelin sheath" (**Fig. 5e**). These genes

were not detected by RNA-seq (**Supp. Fig. 7**), possibly due to their high baseline expression levels.

To examine whether the increased transcription of myelination related genes after CS is indicative

of increased myelination in the amygdala, we stained brain slices of chronically stressed mice and

control mice with a myelin marker, the myelin basic protein (MBP), and compared the

immunofluorescence signal intensity between the two groups (**Fig. 5f**). We found that MBP signal

is indeed significantly stronger in the chronically stressed amygdala than the control (p<0.01,

Student's t-test, **Fig. 5g**), validating the SLAM-seq results that chronic stress led to increased

myelination in the mouse amygdala.

Discussion

By directly injecting 4- thiouridine (4sU) into the amygdala followed by SLAM-seq, we were able

to identify newly transcribed genes under acute and chronic stress. By cross-referencing these

genes with known cell type-specific marker genes, we found that the injected 4sU was integrated

into the transcription of major subtypes of neurons and glia cells in the amygdala. Analyses

revealed that acute stress resulted in increased transcription of GABA receptors in neurons, while

chronic stress had a stronger impact on transcription of glutamate receptors and genes associated

with myelination, both in line with heightened activity of the amygdala [14]. Furthermore, we

observed that SLAM-seq exhibited greater sensitivity to transcriptional changes in genes with high

expression levels compared to RNA-seq. Thus, by applying SLAM-seq to the amygdala, we
generated a rich dataset which can be used in future studies to dissect the functions of genes related
to stress responses.

Neurons have highly specialized morphology, including axons and dendrites that can span long
distances, with functional subcellular compartments such as dendritic spines and axonal boutons
[58]. The transcriptional networks in neurons are dynamically modulated by synaptic activities,
through coupling of a small subset of active synapses to the nucleus [59, 60]. Given the abundance
of gene transcripts for maintaining fundamental neuronal functions such as action potential firing
[19], the transcriptional modulation that lead to activation of relevant gene expression may not
alter the total mRNA of these genes [22]. Therefore, the most common method for gene expression
profiling, RNA-seq, may not be suited for accessing the highly dynamic transcriptional changes
under fast-varying physiological, behavioral or pathological conditions.

SLAM-seq, a metabolic RNA labeling method for identifying newly synthesized RNA molecules,
is an alternative approach to gain insights into the transcriptional regulation regardless of the
steady-state abundance of genes [24-26]. A previously published method, SLAM-ITseq, extended
SLAM-seq to mouse tissues by crossing Cre-dependent UPRT mice with Cre lines [27]. This
method requires usage of transgenic animals, and the cell types that can be investigated are
restricted by available Cre lines. Instead of intravenously injecting 4-thiouracil and relying on Cre-
dependent UPRT expression for cell-specific labeling, we used stereotactic 4sU injection to target
all cell types in selected brain regions. Our data showed that 4sU can be effectively imported into
major cell types [28], and incorporated into the transcription, without causing detectable tissue
damage or cell death. This is a simplified method that can be applied to any brain region in mice
and possibly other species using stereotaxic injection, without the need for transgenic animals.

We used this method to investigate the RNA dynamics of a key brain region involved in stress
conditions, the amygdala, under acute and chronic restraint stress. We found partial overlap in
genes detected by SLAM-seq and RNA-seq, and over half of the overlapped up-regulated genes
under CS condition had been implicated in chronic stress by previous studies [52-54, 61-64].
Notably, for all these genes, SLAM-seq showed higher sensitivity than RNA-seq, shown by higher

fold changes and significance levels. The upregulated genes with high abundance were detected
by SLAM-seq with high significance level, while barely meets the lenient criteria in RNA-seq. It
supports the notion that for genes with high expression rate, physiological condition (restraint
stress) may not cause a noticeable change in the total amount of mRNA for relevant genes. One
such example is the ensemble of genes related to myelination. The high abundance of these genes
precluded detection by RNA-seq following CS treatment, although immunostaining of myelin-
specific protein revealed increased myelination, consistent with the SLAM-seq results. Therefore,
SLAM-seq serves as a better method for screening the dynamics of highly expressed genes.
317
Competition and cooperation between excitation and inhibition is crucial for balancing neural
activity[65, 66]. Using SLAM-seq, we identified 6 GABA receptors under AS, compared to 1
under BL and 4 under CS, suggesting an imminent inhibition drive induced by AS. Conversely, 3
glutamate receptors were identified under CS, while only 1 under AS and 0 under BL, suggesting
an increase in elevated activity of the amygdala. Furthermore, CS also induced transcription of
many genes associated with myelination. Myelination has been shown to be initiated by neuronal
activities [20, 21], and can in turn facilitate signal transduction in neurons [51, 67]. These results
are consistent with previous findings that stress could cause hyperactivity of the amygdala [14, 68,
69]. Therefore, our findings indicated that acute and chronic stress could differentially modulate
the transcription level of GABA and glutamate receptors as well as proteins constituting the myelin
sheath, and in turn influence the excitation-inhibition balance of the amygdala neurons.
329
330 We provided a rich dataset of molecular responses of amygdala to acute and chronic stress, and
331 confirmed that CS can induce increased myelination through immunostaining. Future studies will
332 be essential for understanding the functions of other genes identified in this study.
333

Acknowledgements
We thank Drs. Margaret Ho and Dan-Qian Liu for comments on the manuscript. We thank Dr. Hao
Wu and Ms. Liang He for assistance in data analysis and experiments. We thank the animal facility
of ShanghaiTech for their excellent care of mice. This work was supported by grants from the
Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2022ZD0204900), Central Guidance on Local

Science and Technology Development Fund (YDZX20233100001002), and ShanghaiTech

University Startup Fund to Y.Y.

References

1.   Caspi, A., et al., Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. Science, 2003. 301(5631): p. 386-9.
2.   Weger, M. and C. Sandi, High anxiety trait: A vulnerable phenotype for stress-induced depression. Neurosci Biobehav Rev, 2018. 87: p. 27-37.
3.   de Kloet, E.R., M. Joëls, and F. Holsboer, Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. Nat Rev Neurosci, 2005. 6(6): p. 463-75.
4.   McEwen, B.S., Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. Physiol Rev, 2007. 87(3): p. 873-904.
5.   Xiao, Q., et al., A new GABAergic somatostatin projection from the BNST onto accumbal parvalbumin neurons controls anxiety. Mol Psychiatry, 2021. 26(9): p. 4719-4741.
6.   Davis, M., et al., Phasic vs sustained fear in rats and humans: role of the extended amygdala in fear vs anxiety. Neuropsychopharmacology, 2010. 35(1): p. 105-35.
7.   Mah, L., C. Szabuniewicz, and A.J. Fiocco, Can anxiety damage the brain? Curr Opin Psychiatry, 2016. 29(1): p. 56-63.
8.   Liu, W.Z., et al., Identification of a prefrontal cortex-to-amygdala pathway for chronic stress-induced anxiety. Nat Commun, 2020. 11(1): p. 2221.
9.   Liu, T., et al., Stress induces microglia-associated synaptic circuit alterations in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex. Neurobiol Stress, 2021. 15: p. 100342.
10.   Roozendaal, B., B.S. McEwen, and S. Chattarji, Stress, memory and the amygdala. Nat Rev Neurosci, 2009. 10(6): p. 423-33.
11.   Zhang, J.Y., et al., Chronic Stress Remodels Synapses in an Amygdala Circuit-Specific Manner. Biol Psychiatry, 2019. 85(3): p. 189-201.
12.   Quirk, G.J. and D.R. Gehlert, Inhibition of the amygdala: key to pathological states? Ann N Y Acad Sci, 2003. 985: p. 263-72.
13.   Liu, Z.P., et al., Chronic stress impairs GABAergic control of amygdala through suppressing the tonic GABAA receptor currents. Mol Brain, 2014. 7: p. 32.
14.   Zhang, X., et al., Stress-Induced Functional Alterations in Amygdala: Implications for Neuropsychiatric Diseases. Front Neurosci, 2018. 12: p. 367.
15.   Herdegen, T. and J.D. Leah, Inducible and constitutive transcription factors in the mammalian nervous system: control of gene expression by Jun, Fos and Krox, and CREB/ATF proteins. Brain Res Brain Res Rev, 1998. 28(3): p. 370-490.
16.   O'Donovan, K.J., et al., The EGR family of transcription-regulatory factors: progress at the interface of molecular and systems neuroscience. Trends Neurosci, 1999. 22(4): p. 167-73.
17.   West, A.E. and M.E. Greenberg, Neuronal activity-regulated gene transcription in synapse development and cognitive function. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 2011. 3(6).
18.   Gallo, F.T., et al., Immediate Early Genes, Memory and Psychiatric Disorders: Focus on c-Fos, Egr1 and Arc. Front Behav Neurosci, 2018. 12: p. 79.
19.   Yap, E.L. and M.E. Greenberg, Activity-Regulated Transcription: Bridging the Gap between Neural Activity and Behavior. Neuron, 2018. 100(2): p. 330-348.
20.   Mount, C.W. and M. Monje, Wrapped to Adapt: Experience-Dependent Myelination. Neuron, 2017. 95(4): p. 743-756.
21.   Fields, R.D., A new mechanism of nervous system plasticity: activity-dependent myelination. Nat Rev Neurosci, 2015. 16(12): p. 756-67.
22.   Lee, P.R. and R.D. Fields, Activity-Dependent Gene Expression in Neurons. Neuroscientist, 2021. 27(4): p. 355-366.

23.   Herzog, V.A., et al., Thiol-linked alkylation of RNA to assess expression dynamics. Nat
Methods, 2017. 14(12): p. 1198-1204.
24.   Alalam, H., J.A. Zepeda-Martinez, and P. Sunnerhagen, Global SLAM-seq for accurate
mRNA decay determination and identification of NMD targets. RNA, 2022. 28(6): p. 905-915.
25.   Muhar, M., et al., SLAM-seq defines direct gene-regulatory functions of the BRD4-MYC
axis. Science, 2018. 360(6390): p. 800-805.
26.   Bhat, P., et al., SLAMseq resolves the kinetics of maternal and zygotic gene expression
during early zebrafish embryogenesis. Cell Rep, 2023. 42(2): p. 112070.
27.   Matsushima, W., et al., SLAM-ITseq: sequencing cell type-specific transcriptomes without
cell sorting. Development, 2018. 145(13).
28.   Yao, S.Y., et al., Nucleobase transport by human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1
(hENT1). J Biol Chem, 2011. 286(37): p. 32552-62.
29.   Radle, B., et al., Metabolic labeling of newly transcribed RNA for high resolution gene
expression profiling of RNA synthesis, processing and decay in cell culture. J Vis Exp, 2013(78).
30.   Gorczyca, W., et al., Presence of DNA strand breaks and increased sensitivity of DNA in
situ to denaturation in abnormal human sperm cells: analogy to apoptosis of somatic cells. Exp
Cell Res, 1993. 207(1): p. 202-5.
31.   Neumann, T., et al., Quantification of experimentally induced nucleotide conversions in
high-throughput sequencing datasets. BMC Bioinformatics, 2019. 20(1): p. 258.
32.   Goaillard, J.M. and E. Marder, Ion Channel Degeneracy, Variability, and Covariation in
Neuron and Circuit Resilience. Annu Rev Neurosci, 2021. 44: p. 335-357.
33.   Hochgerner, H., et al., Neuronal types in the mouse amygdala and their transcriptional
response to fear conditioning. Nat Neurosci, 2023. 26(12): p. 2237-2249.
34.   Yao, Z., et al., A high-resolution transcriptomic and spatial atlas of cell types in the whole
mouse brain. Nature, 2023. 624(7991): p. 317-332.
35.   Li, S., et al., Effects of acute restraint stress on different components of memory as assessed
by object-recognition and object-location tasks in mice. Behav Brain Res, 2012. 227(1): p. 199-
207.
36.   Love, M.I., W. Huber, and S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol, 2014. 15(12): p. 550.
37.   Baldelli, P., et al., Lack of synapsin I reduces the readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles
at central inhibitory synapses. J Neurosci, 2007. 27(49): p. 13520-31.
38.   Trapani, J.G., et al., Synaptojanin1 is required for temporal fidelity of synaptic transmission
in hair cells. PLoS Genet, 2009. 5(5): p. e1000480.
39.   Montesinos, M.S., et al., Presynaptic Deletion of GIT Proteins Results in Increased
Synaptic Strength at a Mammalian Central Synapse. Neuron, 2015. 88(5): p. 918-925.
40.   Jurado, S., et al., LTP requires a unique postsynaptic SNARE fusion machinery. Neuron,
2013. 77(3): p. 542-58.
41.   Irfan, M., et al., SNAP-25 isoforms differentially regulate synaptic transmission and long-
term synaptic plasticity at central synapses. Sci Rep, 2019. 9(1): p. 6403.
42.   Bozdagi, O., et al., The neurotrophin-inducible gene Vgf regulates hippocampal function
and behavior through a brain-derived neurotrophic factor-dependent mechanism. J Neurosci, 2008.
28(39): p. 9857-69.
43.   Andolina, D., et al., Prefrontal/amygdalar system determines stress coping behavior
through 5-HT/GABA connection. Neuropsychopharmacology, 2013. 38(10): p. 2057-67.

44.   Flati, T., et al., A gene expression atlas for different kinds of stress in the mouse brain. Sci
Data, 2020. 7(1): p. 437.
45.   Kolbasi, B., et al., Chronic unpredictable stress disturbs the blood-testis barrier affecting
sperm parameters in mice. Reprod Biomed Online, 2021. 42(5): p. 983-995.
46.   Asraf, K., et al., Synergistic, long-term effects of glutamate dehydrogenase 1 deficiency
and mild stress on cognitive function and mPFC gene and miRNA expression. Transl Psychiatry,
2023. 13(1): p. 248.
47.   Muller, H.K., et al., Differential expression of synaptic proteins after chronic restraint stress
in rat prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Brain Res, 2011. 1385: p. 26-37.
48.   McEwen, B.S., Neurobiological and Systemic Effects of Chronic Stress. Chronic Stress
(Thousand Oaks), 2017. 1.
49.   Mozhui, K., et al., Strain differences in stress responsivity are associated with divergent
amygdala gene expression and glutamate-mediated neuronal excitability. J Neurosci, 2010. 30(15):
p. 5357-67.
50.   Chen, C.C., et al., Selective activation of parvalbumin interneurons prevents stress-induced
synapse loss and perceptual defects. Mol Psychiatry, 2018. 23(7): p. 1614-1625.
51.   Stadelmann, C., et al., Myelin in the Central Nervous System: Structure, Function, and
Pathology. Physiol Rev, 2019. 99(3): p. 1381-1431.
52.   Gautam, A., et al., Acute and chronic plasma metabolomic and liver transcriptomic stress
effects in a mouse model with features of post-traumatic stress disorder. PLoS One, 2015. 10(1):
p. e0117092.
53.   Kuehner, J.N., et al., Social defeat stress induces genome-wide 5mC and 5hmC alterations
in the mouse brain. G3 (Bethesda), 2023. 13(8).
54.   Mingardi, J., et al., Involvement of miR-135a-5p Downregulation in Acute and Chronic
Stress Response in the Prefrontal Cortex of Rats. Int J Mol Sci, 2023. 24(2).
55.   Kosuth, J., et al., Selection of Reliable Reference Genes for Analysis of Gene Expression
in Spinal Cord during Rat Postnatal Development and after Injury. Brain Sci, 2019. 10(1).
56.   Pelkey, K.A., et al., Pentraxins Coordinate Excitatory Synapse Maturation and Circuit
Integration of Parvalbumin Interneurons. Neuron, 2016. 90(3): p. 661.
57.   Fan, R. and K.O. Lai, Understanding how kinesin motor proteins regulate postsynaptic
function in neuron. FEBS J, 2022. 289(8): p. 2128-2144.
58.   Gerfen, C.R. and P.E. Sawchenko, An anterograde neuroanatomical tracing method that
shows the detailed morphology of neurons, their axons and terminals: immunohistochemical
localization of an axonally transported plant lectin, Phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin (PHA-L).
Brain Res, 1984. 290(2): p. 219-38.
59.   Frey, U. and R.G. Morris, Synaptic tagging and long-term potentiation. Nature, 1997.
385(6616): p. 533-6.
60.   Rangaraju, V., S. Tom Dieck, and E.M. Schuman, Local translation in neuronal
compartments: how local is local? EMBO Rep, 2017. 18(5): p. 693-711.
61.   Issler, O., et al., MicroRNA 135 is essential for chronic stress resiliency, antidepressant
efficacy, and intact serotonergic activity. Neuron, 2014. 83(2): p. 344-360.
62.   Jaffe, A.E., et al., Decoding Shared Versus Divergent Transcriptomic Signatures Across
Cortico-Amygdala Circuitry in PTSD and Depressive Disorders. Am J Psychiatry, 2022. 179(9):
p. 673-686.
63.   Ochi, S. and Y. Dwivedi, Dissecting early life stress-induced adolescent depression through
epigenomic approach. Mol Psychiatry, 2023. 28(1): p. 141-153.

64.   McMurray, K.M.J., et al., Identification of a novel, fast-acting GABAergic antidepressant.
Mol Psychiatry, 2018. 23(2): p. 384-391.
65.   Bhatia, A., S. Moza, and U.S. Bhalla, Precise excitation-inhibition balance controls gain
and timing in the hippocampus. Elife, 2019. 8.
66.   Wang, X., et al., Metabolic tuning of inhibition regulates hippocampal neurogenesis in the
adult brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2020. 117(41): p. 25818-25829.
67.   Suminaite, D., D.A. Lyons, and M.R. Livesey, Myelinated axon physiology and regulation
of neural circuit function. Glia, 2019. 67(11): p. 2050-2062.
68.   Pitman, R.K., et al., Biological studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. Nat Rev Neurosci,
2012. 13(11): p. 769-87.
69.   Roozendaal, B., J.M. Koolhaas, and B. Bohus, The role of the central amygdala in stress
and adaption. Acta Physiol Scand Suppl, 1997. 640: p. 51-4.
490
491

Figure legends

Fig. 1: SLAM-seq for mouse brain cells by stereotactic injection of 4sU into the brain.

(a) Schematic showing SLAM-seq procedure, including stereotactic 4sU injection, RNA extraction, iodoacetamide (IAA) treatment, RNA sequencing and T-C conversion detection.

(b) Dot blot essay of RNA extracted from mouse brain labeled with 4sU for different durations. Left to right: biotin-oligo (positive control); RNA samples extracted from mouse auditory cortex with no 4sU injection (negative control); 2 h, 8 h, and 24 h after 4sU injection.

(c) TUNEL staining of brain slices for positive control (top panels), and brain slices with DMSO (middle) and 4sU (bottom) injections in auditory cortex. Green signals denoted dead cells. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(d) Correlation of RNA levels extracted from DMSO (denoted as 4sU-) and 4sU (denoted as 4sU+) injected auditory cortex samples. ρ, spearman correlation coefficient.

Fig. 2: Identifying 4sU-labeled genes with SLAM-seq and match to different cell types

(a) Time line showing stereotactic 4sU injection and brain extraction under baseline (BL) condition. Shading demonstrates nascent mRNA that can be detected by SLAM-seq.

(b) T>C Conversion rates of total mRNA extracted from DMSO (n=5) and 4sU (n=4) injected amygdala under baseline condition.

(c) Volcano plots showing T>C conversion rates of transcripts under baseline, with or without 4sU injection. Red dots represent transcripts with significant higher T>C conversion rates in the 4sU+ group (FDR < 0.05 and $\log_2 FC > 1$); blue dots represent transcripts with significant lower T>C conversion rates in the 4sU+ group (FDR < 0.05 and $\log_2 FC < -1$).

(d) T>C Conversion rates of marker genes for multiple neuron and glia cell types.

Fig. 3: Transcriptome dynamics of mouse amygdala under acute stress.

(a) Time line of acute stress experiment, including time points for 4sU injection and brain extraction.

(b) Volcano plots showing T>C conversion rates of transcripts under AS, with or without 4sU injection. Red dots represent transcripts with significant higher T>C conversion rates in the 4sU+ group (FDR < 0.05 and $\log_2 FC > 1$); blue dots represent transcripts with significant lower T>C conversion rates in the 4sU+ group (FDR < 0.05 and $\log_2 FC < -1$).

(c) GO term analysis for genes detected by SLAM-seq.

(d) KEGG analysis for genes detected by SLAM-seq.

(e) Fold changes of T>C conversion rates for GABA and glutamate receptors detected by SLAM-

seq. Student's t-test, *** $P < 0.001$.

(f) Volcano plots showing differentially expressed genes (DEGs) under AS compared to BL. Red

dots represent significantly AS-upregulated genes (FDR < 0.1 and $\log_2$FC > 0.1); blue dots

represent significantly AS-downregulated genes (FDR<0.1 and $\log_2$FC < -0.1). ns, no

significant difference; up, significantly upregulated; down, significantly downregulated.

(g) Venn diagram showing intersection of AS-specific genes identified by SLAM-seq (red dots in

b) and RNA-seq (red dots in **f**).

(h) Fold changes of T>C conversion rates for 4sU+ vs. 4sU- (SLAM), and of expression levels for

AS vs. BL (DEG) of all overlapped genes in (**g**). Paired t-test, *** $P < 0.001$.

(i) Correlation between FC-DEG and expression levels of AS-upregulated genes in **3g**, with the

color and size of each data point denoting the significance level (FDR-SLAM) and fold change

(FC-SLAM) of each AS-upregulated gene.

(j) Distribution for expression levels of genes detected by SLAM-seq and RNA-seq under AS.

Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.05

(k) Significance levels of T>C conversion rates for 4sU+ vs. 4sU- (SLAM), and of expression

levels for AS vs. BL (DEG) of all overlapped genes in (**g**). Paired t-test, *** $P < 0.001$.

Fig. 4: Transcriptome dynamics of mouse amygdala under chronic stress.

(a) Time line of chronic stress experiment, including time points for 4sU injection and brain

extraction.

(b) Volcano plots showing T>C conversion rates of transcripts under CS, with or without 4sU

injection. Red dots represent transcripts with significant higher T>C conversion rates in the

4sU+ group (FDR < 0.05 and $\log_2$FC > 1); blue dots represent transcripts with significant lower

T>C conversion rates in the 4sU+ group (FDR < 0.05 and $\log_2$FC < -1).

(c) GO term analysis for genes detected by SLAM-seq.

(d) Volcano plots showing differentially expressed genes (DEGs) under CS compared to BL. Red

dots represent significantly AS-upregulated genes (FDR < 0.1 and $\log_2$FC > 0.1); blue dots

represent significantly AS-downregulated genes (FDR<0.1 and $\log_2$FC < -0.1).

(e) Venn diagram showing intersection of AS-specific genes identified by SLAM-seq (red dots in **b**) and RNA-seq (red dots in **d**).

(f) Correlation between FC-DEG and expression levels of AS-upregulated genes in **e**, with the color and size of each data point denoting the significance level (FDR-SLAM) and fold change (FC-SLAM) of each CS-upregulated gene.

(g) Distribution for expression levels of genes detected by SLAM-seq and RNA-seq under CS. Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $p < 0.05$.

(h) Fold changes of T>C conversion rates for 4sU+ vs. 4sU- (SLAM), and of expression levels for AS vs. BL (DEG) of all overlapped genes in (**e**). Paired t-test, *** $P < 0.001$.

(i) Significance levels of T>C conversion rates for 4sU+ vs. 4sU- (SLAM), and of expression levels for AS vs. BL (DEG) of all overlapped genes in (**e**). Paired t-test, * $P < 0.05$.

Fig. 5：Comparison of AS and CS-associated genes and validation of SLAM-seq results

(a) Venn diagram showing intersections of genes identified by SLAM-seq under BL, AS and CS conditions. Genes associated with synapse, postsynaptic plasticity, autophagy and myelination are listed.

(b) GO term analysis for AS-specific genes detected by SLAM-seq.

(c) Fold changes of T>C conversion rates for GABA and glutamate receptors detected by SLAM-seq under CS. Student's t-test, *** $P < 0.001$.

(d) Fold changes of T>C conversion rates for myelination related genes detected by SLAM-seq under CS. Student's t-test, *** $P < 0.001$.

(e) GO term analysis for CS-specific genes detected by SLAM-seq.

(f) Example images showing immunostaining of myelin basic protein (MBP) in the amygdala of control (BL) and chronically stressed (CS) mice. Scale bar, 20 μm.

(g) Increased MBP signal intensity in CS mice (n=5) compared to BL mice (n=5), measured by mean gray value. Student's t-test, ** $p<0.01$.

Materials and methods

Animals

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Slac Laboratory Animals. Mice were housed and bred in a 12

h light-dark cycle (7 am - 7 pm light) in the animal facility of ShanghaiTech University. Eight- to

ten-week-old male mice were used for the experiments. All procedures were approved by the

Animal Committee of ShanghaiTech University.

4sU Injection

The 4sU powder was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to reach a concentration of 1 M,

and the solution was aliquoted, frozen, and stored at -20°C. Before injection, the solution was 1:10

diluted with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). For injection, mice were anesthetized with

isoflurane (1.5-2 %) and positioned in a stereotaxic frame (RWD Life Science Co.). Body

temperature was maintained at 37 °C using a heating pad. 4sU solution (1 μL) were injected using

a glass micropipette with a tip diameter of 15–20 μm, through a small skull opening (<0.5 mm2),

with a microinjector (Nanoject III), at a rate of 1 nL/s. In baseline, acute stress and chronic stress

experiments, 4sU was injected into bilateral amygdala 24 h before brain extraction.

TUNEL Staining

The TUNEL assay was performed following the one-step method outlined in the Promega TUNEL

Cell Apoptosis Detection Kit. Mice were intracranially injected with 1 μL of 100 mM 4sU into the

auditory cortex (M/L=-4.4 mm, A/P=-2.2 mm, D/V=-1.1 mm) or amygdala (M/L=±3.25 mm,

A/P=-1.1 mm, D/V=-3.95 mm), as described above. After 24 h, mice were deeply anesthetized and

transcardially perfused with PBS and paraformaldehyde (PFA), followed by brain extraction. The

extracted brains were postfixed in PFA at 4°C for 12 hours and embedded in agarose for vibratome

sectioning at 70 μm per slice. Brain slices were collected for TUNEL staining, in which terminal

deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT) labels DNA strand breaks with fluorophore-labeled

nucleotides. Stained brain slices were mounted on glass slides and imaged using confocal

fluorescence microscopy (Leica).

Tissue RNA Extraction

Mice were deeply anesthetized and transcardially perfused with cold PBS. Each mouse was then quickly decapitated, and the head was immersed in ice-cold PBS for rapid cooling for 10 seconds. The mouse brain was then carefully extracted using forceps, placed in a pre-chilled mouse brain mold (RWD Life Science Inc.), and a 2 mm-thick brain tissue block containing the target region (auditory cortex or amygdala) was sliced using a razor blade. The brain slice was then placed on a 6 mm petri dish and dropped into liquid nitrogen briefly for 7-8 s. Then, the auditory cortex or amygdala was cut out using a 15 G needle, and promptly transferred to cryotubes for rapid freezing in liquid nitrogen, and subsequent storage at -80°C.

RNA extraction from mouse brain tissue was performed using the Trizol method. The frozen tissue in cryotubes was thawed, and 1 mL of Trizol (MagZol Reagent R4801-02) was added to each tube. Tissue homogenization was performed using an electric homogenizer, with a 10-second pause every 10 seconds to prevent overheating. The mixture was then left at room temperature for 5 minutes for tissue digestion, and the liquid was transferred to 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes. To each tube, 200 μL of chloroform (one-fifth of the Trizol volume) was added, and after thorough vortex mixing, the mixture was left at room temperature for 15 minutes. The mixture was then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. Then, the supernatant containing RNA was carefully aspirated into new tubes, an equal volume of pre-cooled isopropanol was added, along with 1/100 volume of 10 mM DTT (final concentration 0.1 mM) and 1 μL glycogen (20 mg/mL). After thorough mixing, the mixture was left at -20°C for 1 hour for RNA precipitation. Subsequently, it was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C, resulting in a white gel-like RNA substance at the bottom. The RNA pellet was washed once with pre-cooled 75% ethanol (containing 5 μL 10 mM DTT), and after removing the supernatant, the RNA pellet was air-dried for approximately 3-5 minutes. Finally, the RNA was dissolved in 20 μL RNase-free water, and the concentration was determined using Nanodrop 2000.

Dot Blot Assay

Four RNA samples with different 4sU injection conditions were used in this experiment: 1) RNA extracted from cortex with no 4sU injection, 2) RNA extracted from cortex dissected 2 h after 4sU injection, 3) RNA extracted from cortex dissected 8 h after 4sU injection, 4) RNA extracted from cortex dissected 24 h after 4sU injection. Positive control was 5′-biotin labeled DNA oligo.

Positive control and the extracted RNA samples (1 µg for each sample) were used for dot blot

essay following the standard protocol for Chemiluminescent Biotin-labeled Nucleic Acid

Detection Kit (Beyotime, D3308), using EZ-Link™ HPDP-Biotin (Thermo Scientific, 21341).

Restraint Stress Experiment

Mice were acclimated in the animal facility for at least one week before the initiation of restraint

stress experiments.

Acute stress group:

Each mouse was carefully removed from its home cage and put in a Falcon 50 mL centrifuge tube,

with 8 small ventilation holes drilled on the tube walls. Once the mouse entered the tube, the rear

was sealed with a clean tissue, and the tube was capped with the lid, preventing forward or

backward movements. Following the 1.5-hour restraint, the mouse was perfused and the brain was

extracted.

Chronic stress group:

Each mouse was carefully removed from its home cage and put in a 50 mL tube, as described in

the acute stress experiment. Daily restraint sessions lasting 2 hours (from 10:00 am to 12:00 pm)

were conducted for one week. On the 7th day, 10 hours after the final restraint session ended, the

mouse was perfused and the brain was extracted.

Baseline group:

Mice did not undergo any restraint stress, and remained in their home cages until they were

perfused.

IAA treatment

Extracted RNA was reacted with IAA to alkylate the thiol group following [1]. For a 50 µL reaction:

2-3 µg RNA, 25 µL DMSO, 5 µL 100 mM iodoacetamide (IAA), and 5 µL 500 mM sodium

phosphate (pH=8), and RNase-free water. IAA was freshly prepared and diluted with anhydrous

ethanol. The prepared mixture was incubated at 50°C for 15 minutes, followed by the addition of

1 M dithiothreitol (DTT) to terminate the reaction. Then, 125 µL anhydrous ethanol, 1 µL glycogen

(20 mg/mL), and 5 µL sodium acetate (3 M, pH=5.2) were added to the reaction system. After

thorough mixing, the solution was left at -80°C for 30 minutes, followed by centrifugation at

12,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C, resulting in a white gel-like RNA substance at the bottom. The

RNA pellet was washed once with pre-cooled 75% ethanol. After removing the supernatant, the

RNA pellet was air-dried for approximately 3-5 minutes, and dissolved in 20 μL RNase-free water.

RNA sequencing

The IAA-methylated RNA was stored in a -80°C freezer, and library construction and sequencing

were conducted by Suzhou Geneseeq Technology Inc. The libraries were sequenced using the

Illumina NovaSeq for 150-base pair paired-end sequencing, generating approximately 6 GB of

sequencing data for each RNA sample.

Bioinformatics Analysis

Preprocessing of RNA-Seq Data:

Raw data obtained from RNA-Seq was preprocessed using Cutadapt v3.1. Adapter sequences in

paired-end sequencing were eliminated, and sequences shorter than 15 nucleotides were discarded.

The preprocessed data was then aligned to the NCBI reference mouse genome (mm10) using

Hisat2 v2.1.0. The resulting alignment data in SAM format was converted to BAM format using

Samtools v1.9.

SLAM-Seq Analysis:

The software SLAM-DUNK v0.2.4 (tneumann.github.io/slamdunk/), designed for SLAM-Seq

analysis, was used to compute the T>C mutation counts for each sample [2]. Annotation data for

3' UTR regions were obtained from the RefSeq and Ensembl databases. To identify significantly

4sU-labeled transcripts, a two-step filtering process was implemented. Firstly, genes with zero T

read counts were excluded. Secondly, only genes with read counts > 5 in all samples were kept for

further analyses. Statistical analysis was performed using the ibb R package (version 13.06), as

described in [3]. To control for the false discovery rate (FDR), adjusted P-values were calculated

using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Transcripts with an FDR below 0.05 were considered

significantly labeled.

Differential Gene Expression (DEG) Analysis:

Quantification of gene expression was performed using FeatureCounts (v2.0.1). The Transcripts

Per Million (TPM) values for each gene were calculated using the formula:

TPM=RPK/∑RPK×10⁶

Here, RPK (Reads Per Kilobase) represents the reads per thousand base pairs, and ∑RPK is the

sum of RPK values for all genes in the sample. Differential expression analysis was performed

using the DESeq2 package in R 3.6.1. The standard DESeq2 workflow was followed for data

normalization, variance estimation, and statistical modeling. Identification of significantly

differentially expressed genes was based on the criterion of adjusted P-values < 0.05 or < 0.1.

GO term enrichment analysis

List of genes was used as input for PANTHER 13.1 (pantherdb.org/) to perform 'Statistical

enrichment test' with GO terms for biological processes, cellular components and molecular

functions. The enriched GO terms obtained were further selected based on the P-values for

visualization.

Immunofluorescence

Chronic stressed mice were perfused 2 weeks after the final restraint session ended, with 0.01M

PBS and 4% PFA. Control mice were perfused without any stress treatment. Brains were sliced (at

50 μm) using a vibratome (Leica VT1200S) after post-fixed for 5 d in 4% PFA at 4 °C. Brain slices

including the amygdala were permeabilized with 0.4% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 minutes,

blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 1 h at RT, and incubated with rat anti-

MBP (1:200; MCA409S, Serotec) in 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA in PBS for 40 h at 4°C, then

washed with PBS 3 × 10 minutes. Sections were incubated with DAPI (1:2500) and fluorophore-

conjugated secondary antibodies (1:500; AB150165, abcam) in 0.1% Triton X-100 and 1% BSA

in PBS for 2h at RT, then washed with PBS 3 × 10 minutes. Images were acquired at a Leica

STELLARIS 8 FALCON, with a HC FLUOTAR L VISIR 25x/0.95 water objective; pinhole was

set to 1 AU, pixel size to 113.55nm × 113.55nm and z-stack interval to 1 μm.

Image processing and quantification were performed using FIJI. For the quantitative

immunofluorescence analysis, the mean grey value of each field of view was first thresholded for

identification of myelin structures, and then calculated for 11 optical planes of acquired z-stacks

(step 1 μm). For each mouse, the mean grey value is averaged across two sections containing the

amygdala, one from each hemisphere.

References

1. Herzog, V.A., et al., *Thiol-linked alkylation of RNA to assess expression dynamics.* Nat Methods, 2017. **14**(12): p. 1198-1204.
2. Neumann, T., et al., *Quantification of experimentally induced nucleotide conversions in high-throughput sequencing datasets.* BMC Bioinformatics, 2019. **20**(1): p. 258.
3. Pham, T.V., et al., *On the beta-binomial model for analysis of spectral count data in label-free tandem mass spectrometry-based proteomics.* Bioinformatics, 2010. **26**(3): p. 363-9.

Figure 1

Figure 2

a

b

c

d

Cell type markers

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5