Differential tissue deformability underlies shape divergence of the embryonic brain and spinal cord under fluid pressure

Susannah B.P. McLaren[1,2,*], Shi-Lei Xue[3,4], Siyuan Ding[1,2], Alex Winkel[2], Oscar Baldwin[1,2], Shreya Dwarakacherla[1,2], Kristian Franze[2,5], Edouard Hannezo[3], Fengzhu Xiong[1,2,*]

[1] Wellcome Trust / CRUK Gurdon Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

[2] Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

[3] Institute of Science and Technology Austria, Klosterneuburg, Austria

[4] School of Engineering, Westlake University, Hangzhou, China

[5] Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany; Max-Planck-Zentrum für Physik und Medizin, Germany

*Correspondence: sbm35@cam.ac.uk; fx220@cam.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

An expanded brain enables the complex behaviours of vertebrates that promote their adaptation in diverse ecological niches[1–3]. Initial morphological differences between the brain and spinal cord emerge as the antero-posteriorly patterned neural plate folds to form the neural tube[4–7] during embryonic development. Following neural tube closure, a dramatic expansion of the brain diverges its shape from the spinal cord[8], setting their distinct morphologies for further development[9,10]. How the brain and the spinal cord expand differentially remains unclear. Here, using the chicken embryo as a model, we show that the hindbrain expands through dorsal tissue thinning under a positive hydrostatic pressure from the neural tube lumen[11,12] while the dorsal spinal cord shape resists the same pressure. Using magnetic droplets and atomic force microscopy, we reveal that the dorsal tissue in the hindbrain is more fluid than in the spinal cord. The

dorsal hindbrain harbours more migratory neural crest cells[13] and exhibits reduced

apical actin and a disorganised laminin matrix compared to the dorsal spinal cord.

Blocking the activity of neural crest-associated matrix metalloproteinases inhibited

dorsal tissue thinning, leading to abnormal brain morphology. Transplanting early

dorsal hindbrain cells to the spinal cord was sufficient to create a region with expanded

brain-like morphology including a thinned-out roof. Our findings open new questions in

vertebrate head evolution and neural tube defects, and suggest a general role of

mechanical pre-pattern in creating shape differences in epithelial tubes.

MAIN

The neural tube gives rise to the brain and spinal cord during vertebrate embryonic

development. In avian embryos, the volumetric expansion of the brain over a relatively stable

spinal cord takes place shortly after neural tube closure (Figure 1A) and depends on the

hydrostatic pressure from the neural tube lumen[14,11]. In the stages prior to brain expansion

(before Hamburg-Hamilton (HH) stage 13[8]), the neural tube has large openings at both the

anterior and posterior ends that allow fluid to move between the tube lumen and its

surroundings (Figure 1B). These openings narrow and close concomitant with the onset of

brain expansion around HH13[15], such that the neural tube lumen becomes a closed and

continuous fluid filled cavity (Figure S1A). Consistent with pioneering studies[16], we recorded

a positive internal lumen pressure of ~15Pa in the initial stages of brain expansion (HH13-

15), which increased to ~25Pa as brain expansion progressed (HH19-21. Figure 1C and S1B).

To assess how neural tube morphology changes as internal lumen pressure increases, we

measured tissue thickness around the circumference of the lumen in cross sections of the

hindbrain and spinal cord prior to and after brain expansion (HH11 and HH16, respectively.

Figure 1D-F). Dorsal hindbrain tissue approximately halved in thickness and formed a single-

cell thick epithelium concomitant with brain expansion, whilst little dorsal thinning or tissue

shape change was observed in the spinal cord (Figure S1C and D).

To test the role of lumen pressure in dorsal thinning, we intubated[14] embryos in the brain or

spinal cord just prior to brain expansion (HH11), leaving the tube lumen connected to the

embryo's surroundings to equate the pressure (Figure S1E). Although we observed an

increase in hindbrain cross-sectional area, in line with proliferation and tissue growth, dorsal

hindbrain thinning and expansion were inhibited in intubated embryos following 20 hours of

incubation (Figure 1G, I and J). Not only brain-intubated but also a spinal cord-intubated

embryo showed inhibited hindbrain thinning, confirming that the lumen is connected along

the anterior-posterior axis of the neural tube and the tissues experience the same hydrostatic

pressure. Conversely, treating embryos with β-D-xyloside (BDX) [17], which has been found to

osmotically increase lumen pressure by ~30% [12], led to an increase in expansion and

extension of the thinned dorsal roof in the hindbrain and a moderately enlarged spinal lumen

with no dorsal thinning (Figure 1H, K and L). Together these findings demonstrate that neural

tube lumen pressure drives dorsal tissue thinning in the hindbrain but is resisted in the dorsal

spinal cord, leading to brain expansion relative to the spinal cord.

The differential thinning of dorsal tissue between the hindbrain and the spinal cord may result

from a few scenarios. First, different initial tissue curvature at the onset of pressure increase

(Figure 1D) can lead to diverging dynamics of thinning and volume expansion. Generically,

forces exerted on a tubular tissue are proportional to both the luminal fluid pressure and the

tissue radius. Driven by the same pressure, the tubular region with a greater initial radius

(lower curvature) would then display larger tissue forces and expansion (Figure 2A), which

could be consistent with the shape dynamics observed in the hindbrain and spinal cord. To

experimentally test this possibility, we modified spinal cord lumen shape prior to brain

expansion by performing *in ovo* surgeries to remove somites and anterior presomitic

mesoderm on either side of the spinal cord (Figure 2B). This operation releases tissue

confinement from not only the somites (Figure S2A) but also the dorsal non-neural ectoderm

as it was cut through during somite ablation. After ~20 hours of incubation, these embryos

healed and exhibited reduced spinal cord dorsal curvature and in one case clear rounding of

the spinal cord cross section (Figure 2C, S2B-C). However, this did not lead to the formation

of a thinned-out roof, as was observed in the hindbrain (Figure S2D). These results suggest

that the higher curvature of the spinal cord dorsal region is not sufficient to explain the lack

of dorsal tissue thinning in the spinal cord. At the same time, this experiment ruled out a

second alternative model in which local confinement from neighbouring tissues (such as

somites and the presomitic mesoderm) might modulate neural tube shape ([18] and Figure S2A)

by constraining lumen expansion of the spinal cord relative to the hindbrain. This led us to

explore a third hypothesis: that the differential expansion of the brain and spinal cord was due

to the dorsal tissue of the hindbrain being more deformable than that of the spinal cord,

enabling local thinning despite a spatially uniform hydrostatic pressure. To test whether the

material properties of the hindbrain and spinal cord dorsal tissue differed before the onset of

increased lumen pressure, we injected ferrofluid oil droplets into the forebrain of HH11

embryos and positioned the droplets in either the hindbrain or spinal cord using a magnetic

field (Figure 2D). We then observed the resulting tissue deformation from the pressure

generated by the droplets as they try to round up due to their surface tension after removal of

the magnetic field (Figure 2E). Droplets were injected either at equal volumes (2nl) or at a

ratio to account for the difference in initial lumen size (4nl in the larger hindbrain lumen, 1nl

in the smaller spinal cord lumen). In both cases the droplets showed a higher curvature at the

droplet-lumen interface in the spinal cord, indicating a higher pressure was exerted on the

spinal cord tissue than the hindbrain by this mechanical perturbation[19] (Figure S2E). Still, the

thickness change of dorsal tissue at the droplet site was greater in the hindbrain than in the

spinal cord (Figure 2F), indicating that hindbrain dorsal tissue was more deformable.

Furthermore, observing droplet shape change over time revealed a slow rounding process

occurring over hours (Movies S1 and S2), orders of magnitude longer than would be

expected from droplet surface tension and viscosity alone, which provided an opportunity to

estimate the effective long-term viscous response of the surrounding tissue (Figure S2F). To

do this, we modelled the neural tube as a viscoelastic Maxwell medium with differing

geometry between the brain and spinal cord (supplemental text). By fitting droplet shape

dynamics to the model, we found that the estimated hindbrain viscosity was much lower than

the spinal cord and that a lumen pressure of 10's of Pa is capable of driving hindbrain

expansion over long timescales, consistent with our pressure measurements (Figure 1C). As

an independent validation of our findings on tissue mechanical properties, we used an atomic

force microscopy (AFM) cantilever to indent the dorsal region of the hindbrain and spinal

cord (Figure 2G) in the same embryos and measured tissue relaxation in response to a

sustained force (50 or 75nN). We found that the dorsal hindbrain had a higher fluidity than

that of the spinal cord prior to brain expansion on short timescales, whilst no significant

difference in instantaneous elastic modulus was detected (Figure 2H and I). While *in vivo*

AFM measurements may contain a contribution of differences from other non-local structures

such as the extracellular matrix (ECM) anchoring the neural tube, these results are consistent

with our droplet experiments. Furthermore, incorporating a less viscous dorsal region into our

neural tube model generated tissue thinning and expansion dynamics consistent with

experimental observations of hindbrain morphogenesis (supplemental text, section 4).

Together, these findings support the hypothesis that the dorsal hindbrain deforms more than

the dorsal spinal cord under lumen pressure through viscous thinning over time.

To investigate the mechanism of dorsal tissue deformability, we explored the cellular

organisation of the tissue at stages leading up to brain expansion (HH9-12). The dorsal neural

tube tissue is occupied by neural crest cells that reorganise their actomyosin cytoskeleton as

they undergo an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and delaminate from the tube

dorsal surface as the walls of the neural plate fuse dorsally [20,21,22]. The dynamics of neural

crest delamination between cranial and trunk regions is known to differ [23,24], making them an

exciting candidate for underlying tissue intrinsic differences between the dorsal hindbrain and

spinal cord. We observed clear apical organisation of actin and myosin within the dorsal

spinal cord in HH12 embryos, however this organisation was largely absent in the neural

crest domain of the hindbrain (Figure 3A-C). This change in cytoskeleton organisation

indicated that a transition away from an epithelial cell state to a more mesenchymal cell state

was occurring in the dorsal hindbrain. A key player in this process is the zinc-finger

transcription factor Snail2 which is expressed in the pre-migratory and early migrating neural

crest [25,26]. Using Snail2 as a marker, we observed that the dynamics of neural crest

delamination and migration at the dorsal surface of the neural tube differed between the

hindbrain and the spinal cord leading up to brain expansion (HH9-12), with Snail2+ cells

migrating in a cell-by-cell fashion in spinal cord regions, whilst those in the hindbrain

migrate in a collective wave (Figure 3D and S3A). Neural crest cells utilise matrix

metalloproteases (MMPs) to remodel the surrounding ECM [27,28]. ECM architecture plays an

important role in determining tissue material properties, with higher levels of ECM

organisation conferring greater stiffness (or elasticity) and more disordered matrix

organisation leading to softening (or a more viscous behaviour) [29,30], which contributes to

long-term deformability.

This led us to investigate ECM organisation in the hindbrain and the spinal cord as a potential

mediator of tissue deformability. Cross-sectional views showed that laminin was redistributed

throughout the dorsal hindbrain in the premigratory neural crest domain, whilst

comparatively little redistribution was observed in the dorsal spinal cord (Figure 3E). This

was associated with a more sparce laminin coating of the hindbrain compared to the spinal

cord when viewed from the dorsal side (Figure 3F and S3B and C). These findings suggest

that ECM is being actively reorganised and redistributed out of the plane of the basement

membrane to a greater extent in the hindbrain.

To test whether ECM remodelling plays a role in dorsal hindbrain thinning, we inhibited

MMP activity in embryos prior to brain expansion stages using an MMP-specific small

molecule inhibitor (GM6001, 1000µM). Brain expansion was reduced in inhibitor treated

embryos and a smaller surface area of the dorsal hindbrain was apparent (Figure 3G-H). In

embryos with obvious observable developmental defects (0/6 control, 4/8 inhibitor treated),

the hindbrains did not undergo dorsal thinning and in some cases aggregated a mass of

Snail2+ cells within the lumen (Figure S3D). In embryos that developed normally overall

(6/6 control, 4/8 inhibitor treated), the hindbrains of the treated embryos showed thicker or

shorter dorsal roofs than those of the controls (Figure 3I, J and S3D). The laminin surface

covering the basal extent of the dorsal hindbrain appeared less disrupted in embryos with

inhibited roof thinning (Figure 3I) and the dorsal tissue was still populated with Snail2+ cells,

suggesting that neural crest specification continued in the presence of the inhibitor. Together,

these data are consistent with the idea that neural crest mesenchymal behaviour and

subsequent ECM remodelling is required for thinning and expansion of the dorsal hindbrain.

To definitively test the intrinsic ability of early hindbrain dorsal cells to generate a thinned

roof under lumen pressure, we performed an *in ovo* graft experiment, moving a small piece of

dorsal hindbrain (or spinal cord as a control) tissue from a GFP+ embryo to the pre-cut dorsal

region of the spinal cord of a wildtype host before the onset of lumen pressure (Figure 4A).

Re-integration of the graft to reform a closed neural tube that would continue normal

morphogenesis was expected to be rare given the difficulty of positioning the graft in such a

small incision site and maintaining contact between the small graft and the host tissue. After

overnight culture, we found 5 embryos with different degrees of hindbrain dorsal tissue

integration into the spinal cord, and 2 spinal cord-to-spinal cord controls (Figure 4B, S4A and

S4B). The control embryos exhibited a thick dorsal region at the grafted site (Figure 4C, D

and S4B, 2/2 embryos). Interestingly, 2 hindbrain-to-spinal cord grafted embryos exhibited

dorsal tissue thinning in the grafted region (Figure 4C, D and S4B). In the cleanest graft

where the donor tissue completely replaced the host dorsal region, we found a distinctively

thinned out dorsal roof and hindbrain-like morphology specific to the grafted region (Figure

4C), with a thicker spinal cord-like host dorsal region present on the anterior and posterior

sides of the graft. Cells in the grafted region were Snail2+ (Figure 4E) and the thinned-out

roof coincided with loss of apical actin localisation (Figure S4C), suggesting that the grafted

region contained premigratory neural crest cells undergoing EMT. This striking ability of

Snail2+ dorsal hindbrain cells to form a thinned-out and elongated roof in the spinal cord

region suggests that hindbrain premigratory neural crest cells are sufficient to drive dorsal

tissue thinning in response to lumen pressure.

Taken together, our findings support a mechanism where deformability of the neural tube

dorsal tissue and ECM organisation underlie the distinct shape changes of the brain and the

spinal cord under a shared lumen pressure (Figure 4F). These differences likely result from

different behaviours of the neural crest cells, which are known to exhibit different signalling,

metabolism and fates between cranial and trunk levels. Our study thus implies a closely

coordinated initial developmental step coupling brain expansion and neural crest EMT, both

essential contributors to the formation and evolution of the vertebrate head [31]. We note that

these findings are in agreement with classic chimera grafting experiments in which reduced

dorsal roof thinning and elongation can be observed in hindbrain regions lacking neural crest

cells [32]. Expanded early brain morphology is highly conserved between chicken and human

embryos[33], and irregularly shaped mesenchymal cells in the dorsal hindbrain have also been

observed to contribute to the squamous roof plate in zebrafish embryos [34], suggesting that

neural crest mediated dorsal tissue fluidisation may contribute to brain expansion in other

species. Future work will resolve the detailed molecular and cellular regulation of dorsal

neural tissue mechanics and identify genetic and environmental factors that may cause

developmental defects associated with aberrant brain expansion through this mechanism.

Given the role of lumen pressure in driving morphogenesis of a variety of epithelial tissues

and organs [35,36,37,38] our findings here in the neural tube imply a general strategy for creating

diverse biological shapes via a mechanical property pre-pattern before the onset of changes in

fluid pressure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank A. Dimitracopoulos, K. Kawaguchi, J. Vidigueira, B. Baum, I. McLaren, D. St

Johnston, and members of the Buckley, Scarpa, Steventon, Kawaguchi and Xiong labs for

technical assistance and constructive feedback. We thank Ryan Greenhalgh for methods

developed to obtain fluidity values from AFM data. We thank Nicola Lawrence, Alex Sossick

and Sargon Gross-Thebing from the Gurdon Institute Imaging Facility for microscopy

support. This work was supported by a Wellcome Trust / Royal Society Sir Henry Dale

Fellowship (215439/Z/19/Z) and an UKRI-EPSRC Frontier Research Grant (EP/X023761/1,

originally selected as an ERC Starting Grant) to F.X.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

S.B.P.M and F.X. conceived the project, designed experiments and wrote the manuscript.

S.B.P.M performed all experiments and analysed the data. S.X and E.H. developed the

theoretical model and wrote the supplementary theory note. S.D, O.B, S.D and F.X

contributed to embryo experiments. K.F. and A.W. designed and performed AFM

experiments.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Egg lines and embryo culture. Wild type fertilised chicken eggs were obtained from MedEgg

Inc., GFP+ eggs (Cytoplasmic [39]and Membrane[40]) were obtained from the National Avian

Research Facility (NARF) at University of Edinburgh. Eggs were kept in a fridge at 14°C for

storage and in a 37.5°C humidified (40%-60%) incubator (Brinsea) during incubation. No

animal protocol under the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 was required for the

chicken embryo stages investigated (incubated under 2 weeks, or 2/3 of the gestation time).

Ex ovo culture was performed using a modified EC culture[41] protocol as described[42]. For *in

ovo* operations, egg shells were windowed with surgical scissors after removing some

albumen. A small volume of Chinese calligraphy ink (YiDeGe, Black) was then injected

underneath the embryo to help with visualisation. After performing surgical, mechanical or

chemical perturbations, eggs were resealed with clear plastic tape and returned to incubation.

Pressure measurement. Pressure measurements were performed as detailed in [43]. Briefly, a

glass microneedle connected to a pressure sensor was inserted into the neural tube lumen of

chicken embryos at varying stages of development. Pressure readings were continuously

recorded to include the pressure just outside the lumen, after insertion into the lumen, and

after retraction from the lumen for each experiment. The pressure difference between the

outside and inside of the lumen was taken as the neural tube lumen pressure. Measurements

where no stable pressure reading could be obtained inside the neural tube lumen, likely due to

needle clogging by tissue debris during the insertion, were excluded from analysis.

<u>Pressure modulation.</u> Intubation experiments were performed by inserting an open-ended

short glass capillary tube into the forebrain or spinal cord region of HH10-12 stage embryos.

Control experiments were performed by either inserting a glass capillary into tissues just

neighbouring the neural tube or inserting a very narrow glass capillary and not submerging it

in fluid with the embryo. β-D-xyloside (BDX) experiments were performed by adding either

DMSO or BDX to embryo culture medium at a final concentration of 2mM in L15 media. In

all cases embryos were cultured overnight *ex ovo*. Only embryos that displayed continued

development were included in the analysis.

<u>Assessment of lumen continuity.</u> Fluorescently labelled Dextran was injected into the neural

tube lumen of HH11-13 stage embryos. The fluorescent signal was imaged following

injection to assess how far the dye travelled along the neural tube lumen. The dye was

observed to spread anteriorly into the brain region and posteriorly into the posterior spinal

cord when injected into the spinal cord lumen mid-way along the trunk.

<u>Removal of confining tissues.</u> Posterior somites and anterior presomitic mesoderm were

removed from embryos *in ovo* using a sharpened tungsten needle. The vitelline membrane

was peeled back and cuts were made at the interface between the spinal cord region of the

neural tube and posterior somites and anterior presomitic mesoderm. Somites and presomitic

mesoderm were peeled away to leave the posterior spinal cord unconfined on its sides. Eggs

were then resealed and incubated overnight. Embryos that showed a good degree of healing

and no obvious spinal cord ruptures were included in analysis.

<u>Grafting experiments.</u> Small patches of dorsal hindbrain or spinal cord cells from GFP

positive HH10-11 embryos were transplanted into the posterior spinal cord of stage-matched

wild type embryos. A sharpened tungsten needle was used to peel back the vitelline

membrane of donor and host embryos and to dissect out a small patch of dorsal cells from

either the hindbrain or spinal cord. Tissue patches were transferred using a Gilson pipette and

Ringers solution to the host embryos. A small incision was made into the posterior host

embryo dorsal neural tube and tissue patches were pushed into this incision. Embryos were

cultured *in ovo* overnight.

Ferrofluid droplet experiments. Ferrofluid oil droplets were injected into the forebrain region

of HH10-12 stage embryos using a Nanojet injector set to inject a volume of either 1, 2, or

4nl. Droplets were positioned in the lumen of either the hindbrain or spinal cord using a

magnet and custom-built embryo holder. Embryos were fixed approximately 1.5 hours post

droplet injection prior to immunostaining and confocal imaging or imaged live. Dorsal roof

tissue thickness was measured at the point of maximum roof deformation in the hindbrain and

spinal cord of injected embryos at the droplet location. Uninjected embryos at equivalent

stages were measured at the same anterior-posterior level as controls.

Atomic force microscopy. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) indentation measurements were

performed using the setup described in [44]. Briefly, HH10-12 stage chicken embryos were

cultured on agarose plates and the vitelline membrane was removed to expose the embryo's

dorsal hindbrain and spinal cord. 89.3 µm diameter polystyrene beads were glued to Arrow-

TL1 cantilevers (nominal spring constant = 0.01 N/m; NanoWorld, Neuchâtel, Switzerland)

as probes. During measurements, the cantilever was approached with 10 µm/s until an

indentation force of either 50nN or 75nN was reached. This force was maintained for 3

seconds, thus enabling the acquisition of the deformation over time ('creep').

In order to extract fluidity and elastic modulus values from the force-distance curves, [45]

introduced the following piecewise model for the force ramp:

299
$$F(t) = F_C \begin{cases} 0 \; ; & t < t_C \\ \left(\dfrac{t - t_C}{\Delta t_A}\right)^{\alpha} \; ; & t_C \leq t < t_C + \Delta t_A \\ 1 \; ; & t \geq t_C + \Delta t_A \end{cases}$$

where F_C is the magnitude of the applied force during the creep measurement reached in time Δt_A and applied for time t_C. α describes the shape of the force ramp ($1 < \alpha < 2$), where $\alpha = 1$ corresponds to a linear force ramp and α closer to 2 to a polynomial force ramp. Fitting this equation yields F_C, t_C, Δt_A, and α. These values then derive the following equation for the indentation δ, here modified by substituting $E_0/(1- v^2)$ with the reduced instantaneous elastic stiffness, k_0

$$\delta(t) = \left[\frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{k_0 \sqrt{R}} F_C \frac{\alpha(t - t_C)^{\alpha+\beta}}{\Delta t_A^\alpha t_0^\beta} B\left(\frac{\Delta t_A}{t - t_C}; \alpha, \beta + 1 \right) \right]^{2/3}$$

where R is the radius of the bead, $B()$ is the incomplete beta function and the exponent, and β is the fluidity, which can have values ranging from 0 (for an elastic solid) to 1 (for a viscous fluid). Mean values for k_0 and β were determined from measurements taken across the hindbrain and along the spinal cord. Values obtained for k_0 were close to values obtained for the reduced apparent elastic modulus $K = E_0/(1- v^2)$ obtained by fitting the Hertz model $F = \frac{4}{3} K \delta^{3/2} \sqrt{R}$ to the instantaneous indentation data (Figure. S2).

MMP inhibitor treatment. After removal of the vitelline membrane covering the brain, 20µl of 1000µM broad-spectrum MMP inhibitor (GM6001) or DMSO diluted in Ringers solution, was added to the dorsal surface of HH10-11 stage embryos *in ovo*. Embryos were incubated overnight.

Immunostaining. After fixing embryos overnight in 500µl 4% PFA at 4°C, they were washed 3X for ~20 minutes per wash in 0.5% Triton-X- 100 (Sigma-Aldrich, X100) in PBS (PBST). Embryos were incubated in blocking solution consisting of 4% Donkey serum in PBST for 1 hour at room temperature. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution and incubated with embryos for 2 days at 4°C. After washing embryos in PBST ~4 times over the course of 2 hours, secondary antibodies, Hoecst and Phalloidin were diluted in blocking solution and

incubated with embryos for another 2 days at 4°C. Finally, embryos were washed in PBST

prior to imaging.

<u>Primary and secondary antibodies used.</u> Laminin (DSHB, 3H11) 1:100, Snail2 (Cell

Signaling Technology, 9585S) 1:200, pMLC2 (Cell Signaling Technology, 3671S) 1:100,

Hoecst 1:1000, Phalloidin 647 (Thermo Scientific, A22287) 1:500, Donkey Anti-Mouse 488n

IgG (Abcam, ab150105), Donkey Anti-Rabbit 594 (Abcam, ab150076)

<u>Confocal imaging.</u> Embryo cross sections and wholemounts were mounted on glass-bottom

confocal imaging dishes in VECTASHIELD (Vector Labs, H-1000-10) mounting medium. Z-

stacks were acquired at either 0.3 or 0.5µm z-steps using either an SP8 (Leica) or a SoRa

Spinning Disk (Nikon) using either a 10X, 20X or 40X objective.

<u>Data analysis.</u> All data analysis was performed in Python (www.python.org), with data stored

in Excel. Boxplots were obtained using the seaborn library and show the median, upper and

lower quartiles and whiskers extending to 1.5 times the interquartile range. Scatterplots were

plotted using matplotlib. Statistical analysis was performed using the scipy.stats Python

library. Paired t-tests were used to calculate p-values for the AFM data. Independent t-tests

were used to calculate all other p-values. All tests were two-sided and data checked for

normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. In all cases $P<0.05$ was taken as the threshold for

significance. Measurements were acquired from distinct samples in all cases unless otherwise

stated. Details of measurements of reported features are listed below:

1. Tissue shape analysis. The thickness profile of neural tube cross-sections was acquired by

measuring the radial tissue thickness along the lumen circumference starting from the

approximate mid-point of the dorsal hindbrain roof. For wildtype characterisation, the

distance along the lumen circumference was normalised for all samples so that tissue

thickness at distance '0' fell at the dorsal mid-point and tissue thickness at distance '1' at the

ventral midpoint of the neural tube cross section. A 4th degree polynomial was fit to the

thickness profiles to get an 'average' thickness profile for the hindbrain and spinal cord at

HH11 and HH16. Images acquired by confocal microscopy were visualised using either

ImageJ[46] or napari[47]. Cross sections were either visualised directly, or by reordering the axes

of image data acquired from wholemount samples in napari. For intubation experiment

analysis the mean thickness of the first 100µm of dorsal roof tissue from the dorsal midpoint

was compared. For BDX experiment analysis the mean thickness of the first 300µm of dorsal

roof tissue from the dorsal midpoint was compared. Equivalent positions along the hindbrain

were compared for assessing hindbrain roof thickness in MMP treated and control embryos.

2. Actin intensity along the apical surface. Curves were drawn along the apical surface of

neural tube cross sections starting from the dorsal midpoint and moving ventrally. The actin

intensity along the curve was measured using the ImageJ PlotProfile function. Normalised

average actin intensities along the apical surface were obtained for each embryo and binned

into three groups starting from the dorsal-most position and moving more ventrally. The

mean actin intensity for each embryo within each bin was plotted.

3. Somite removal analysis. Z planes were selected in the region where somite removal had

been performed and in a corresponding location in controls. Roof thickness was measured at

the dorsal midpoint for z planes from each embryo.

Data availability: Code used for data analysis will be made available on a GitHub repository

and source data will be made available.

SUPPLEMENTAL TEXT

Supplementary theory note

In this Supplementary theory note, we propose a rheological model of neural tube expansion, including two possible deformation mechanisms: elastic stretching and viscous flowing, taking into account the geometrical differences between the hindbrain and the spinal cord. We also provide an approach to quantify the rheological parameters of tubular tissues by tracking the shape evolution of injected ferrofluid droplets. Based on the quantification of rheological parameters, we show that luminal fluid pressure can play a significant role in driving viscous long-term hindbrain expansion (Figure 1) and identify a ~7-fold difference in long-term tissue viscosity between the hindbrain and the spinal cord. Finally, a more detailed model considering the dorsal-ventral inhomogeneity in tissue viscosity can well reproduce the dorsal thinning and elongation observed in experiments, further supporting the rheological mechanism we proposed.

1. Rheological model of neural tube

The neural tube epithelium is treated as a viscoelastic Maxwell medium, which acts as an elastic solid on short time-scales and a viscous fluid on long time-scales [48–51]. In the "spring-dashpot" representation (Figure ST1), the Maxwell medium behaves as a Newtonian fluid (viscous dashpot with viscosity η) in series with a Hookean solid (elastic spring with elastic modulus E) so that the total deformation is the sum of the elastic and viscous contributions.

Figure ST1. Schematic representation of the Maxwell rheological model.

Driven by luminal fluid pressure or other forces (such as the mechanical interaction with the injected ferrofluid droplet in the lumen, see Figure 2D for instance, the tissue deformation includes two parts: elastic deformation and viscous flowing. Several considerations argue in favour of considering a long-term viscous rheology. Firstly, the neural tube (including both the hindbrain and spinal cord) shows only moderate morphological changes on a short time-scale (seconds to minutes), with the expansion effects of ferrofluid droplets occurring on long time scales of hours (Figure S2F, see also section 2 below). Secondly, a number of experimental and theoretical works have shown that epithelial tissues

are well-described by long-term viscosity, due to multiple active events resulting in local

remodelling (e.g. divisions and intercalation)[48,49,52,53]. Thirdly, the luminal fluid pressure we

measured is much lower than the expansion pressure engendered by the injected oil droplet,

as well as orders of magnitude below epithelial stiffness, so that luminal fluid pressure is

unlikely to lead to large elastic stretches during normal morphogenesis. In the modelling, we

thus consider small elastic deformation characterized by elastic strain ϵ_α, with the subscript

$\alpha = z, \theta, r$ respectively corresponding to the direction along the A-P axis, the azimuthal

direction, and the radial direction.

Tissue deformation during neural tube morphogenesis can be characterized by

comparing the current shape and the initial shape before the accumulation of luminal fluid

(around Hamburg-Hamilton (HH) stage 11). The stretch ratio λ_α ($\alpha = z, \theta, r$), denoted as the

ratio of the current length to the initial length of a tissue element along direction α, can

evaluate the three-dimensional local deformation of the tissue. The stretch ratio λ_α of a

viscoelastic tissue is contributed by both the elastic and viscous deformation. Consider the

stretch ratio after elastic deformation is $1 + \epsilon_\alpha$, and denote the stretch ratio with only viscous

flowing as q_α. Then the total stretch ratio λ_α is the product of these two parts:

413
$$\lambda_z = (1 + \epsilon_z)q_z, \qquad \lambda_\theta = (1 + \epsilon_\theta)q_\theta, \qquad \lambda_r = (1 + \epsilon_r)q_r. \tag{1}$$

414   The small elastic deformation of the tissue follows the linear elastic strain-stress

415   relation, i.e. Hooke's law (Landau et al., 1986):

416
$$\epsilon_z = \frac{1}{E}[\sigma_z - v(\sigma_\theta + \sigma_r)],$$
$$\epsilon_\theta = \frac{1}{E}[\sigma_\theta - v(\sigma_z + \sigma_r)],$$
$$\epsilon_r = \frac{1}{E}[\sigma_r - v(\sigma_z + \sigma_\theta)], \tag{2}$$

with E the Young's modulus of the tissue, v the Poisson's ratio, and σ_α the elastic stress in

direction α. Given that volume changes in cells involve forces much larger than those at play

for morphogenetic shape changes[54], soft tissues are usually modelled as incompressible

elastic media, which corresponds to $v = 1/2$.

As for Newtonian fluids, the rate of viscous deformation is proportional to shear stress.

This relation can be extended to three-dimensional and large viscous deformation (see [55] for

the theoretical framework of large viscoelastic deformation):

$$\frac{\dot{q}_z}{q_z} = \frac{1}{6\eta}(2\sigma_z - \sigma_\theta - \sigma_r),$$
$$\frac{\dot{q}_\theta}{q_\theta} = \frac{1}{6\eta}(2\sigma_\theta - \sigma_z - \sigma_r),$$
$$\frac{\dot{q}_r}{q_r} = \frac{1}{6\eta}(2\sigma_r - \sigma_z - \sigma_\theta), \tag{3}$$

with η the tissue viscosity. The stress σ_α in Eqs. (2) and (3) can be obtained by discussing the force balance condition in direction α.

2. Quantification of rheological parameters

Although experimentally measuring long-term tissue mechanical properties has been an historic challenge in the field, the shape change of ferrofluid droplets inside the tubular tissues provides a way to clarify the deformation mechanism and infer tissue rheology parameters (such as viscosity η and elastic modulus E)[50,56]. In this part, we provide theoretical foundations for the quantification of mechanics in tubular tissues.

2.1. Shape – pressure relationship of ferrofluid droplet

After being injected into the lumen of the neural tube, a ferrofluid droplet will deform into an ellipsoid due to the confinement from the tissue wall (Figure ST2). Its surface tension γ is balanced with the inner pressure p_d and an outer pressure, which is the luminal fluid pressure p_l in its polar region and tissue-droplet interfacial pressure p in its equator. The force balance in the fluid droplet also depends on the local curvatures:

$$2\gamma H_b = p_d - p_l \text{ (pole)}, \qquad 2\gamma H_a = p_d - p \text{ (equator)}, \tag{4}$$

with H_b and H_a respectively the mean curvatures in the pole and the equator (Figure ST2).

The above force balance links the tissue-droplet interfacial pressure p and the droplet inner pressure p_d with the droplet shape (surface curvature):

$$p_d = p_l + 2\gamma H_b, \qquad p = 2\gamma(H_b - H_a). \tag{5}$$

For an ellipsoidal droplet with a and b respectively the lengths of its short and long axes, we have $H_b = 2b/a^2$ and $H_a = a/b^2 + 1/a$ [56]. Using Eq. (5), the pressures p and p_d can be inferred from the lengths of two major axes (i.e. a and b) of the ferrofluid droplet.

Figure ST2. Schematic geometry and mechanics of a ferrofluid droplet injected into the tissue

lumen. The droplet with surface tension γ is deformed as an ellipsoid with short-axis length a

and long-axis length b. Its equator (and nearby region) is in contact with the tubular tissue,

while its pole is pressurized by luminal fluids (p_l).

2.2. Tissue viscosity of hindbrain inferred from droplet shape evolution

The hindbrain can be considered a thin-walled tissue, whose tissue thickness is smaller

than tissue radius (approximately two or three times smaller than the tissue radius in HH11

and becomes approximately five times smaller than the radius in HH16, see Figure 1D for

snapshots of the tissue cross-sections). This allows us to approximate the hindbrain tissue as a

viscoelastic membrane, where the stress in the radial direction σ_r is much smaller than the in-

plane stresses (σ_θ and σ_z) and can be neglected. Thus, the deformation of a thin-wall tissue

like the hindbrain is determined by the in-plane forces. Using the fact that the in-plane

stresses in a thin wall tissue are homogeneously distributed along the thickness/radial

direction, we can define in-plane tissue tensions $T_\theta = h\sigma_\theta$ and $T_z = h\sigma_z$ (h is the tissue

thickness), which are the sum of in-plane stresses (σ_θ and σ_z) along the tissue thickness, and

share the same unit (force per unit length) with the surface tension of ferrofluid droplet γ [57–

60]. In this way, the interaction between the ferrofluid droplet and the viscoelastic hindbrain

can be modelled as a two-layer membrane system (Figure ST3): a viscoelastic membrane

with in-plane tension T_θ and T_z, and a liquid membrane with surface tension γ.

468   Figure ST3. Schematic of the hindbrain-droplet system.

The hindbrain-droplet system is cylindrically symmetric to the A-P axis. Note that in

this geometry (Figure ST3), the axial stretching force T_z (σ_z) and corresponding deformation

(e.g. λ_z and q_z) is in the meridional direction, not necessarily parallel to the A-P axis (i.e. z-

axis). In such configuration, we have the azimuthal stretch ratio $\lambda_\theta = r/R$, with r and R

respectively the current and initial radii of the hindbrain[61,62]. Importantly, the middle of the

contact region (i.e. the droplet equator, see Figure ST3) of the hindbrain-droplet system

satisfies a simple geometric relation: the current tissue radius is half length of the droplet's

short axis (that is $r = a/2$), which directly links the tissue deformation and droplet shape.

Importantly, the shape evolution of the ferrofluid droplet depends on tissue viscosity.

This is made clear from the fact that we observe droplet rounding to occur over time scales of

hours, many orders of magnitude longer than what would be expected from rounding in water

due to surface tension (Figure S2F). Thus, we can use the dynamics of droplet rounding as a

proxy to estimate the long-term viscosity of the tissue, at the time scales of hours which are

relevant for morphogenesis.

Considering the geometric relation $\dot\lambda_\theta/\lambda_\theta = \dot a/a$, and small elastic strains ϵ_α in Eq. (1),

which leads to $\dot\lambda_\theta/\lambda_\theta \approx \dot q_\theta/q_\theta$, we can rewrite the second formula in the evolution equation

(3) as

$$\frac{\dot a}{a} \approx \frac{1}{6\eta h}(2T_\theta - T_z). \tag{6}$$

Eq. (6) clearly shows the dependence of droplet shape on tissue viscosity η and in-plane

tissue tensions T_θ and T_z, which can be obtained by discussing the force balance in the

equator position (Figure ST3).

Similar to the force balance between surface tension and pressure in liquid droplets (e.g.

Eq. (4)), the in-plane tissue tensions of the hindbrain are balanced with the interfacial

pressure p. Besides, in the meridional direction (i.e. z-direction in the droplet equator, see

Figure ST3), the in-plane tissue tension T_z and droplet surface tension γ are balanced with the

inner pressure of the droplet p_d. These lead to the following relations in the droplet equator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_\theta \kappa_{a\theta} + T_z \kappa_{az} &= p, \\
2\pi r (T_z + \gamma) &= \pi r^2 p_d ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

496   with the principal curvature in the azimuthal direction $\kappa_{a\theta} = 2/a$, in the meridional direction

497    $\kappa_{az} = 2a/b^2$, and tissue radius $r = a/2$ as aforementioned.

498   We introduce the aspect ratio of ferrofluid droplet $s = b/a$, so that the combination of

499   Eqs. (5−7) gives the shape evolution equation of the ferrofluid droplet:

$$
\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \approx \frac{1}{6\eta h} \left[\frac{1}{4}(3 - 2s^{-2})p_l a + (3s - 2s^{-1} - 1)\gamma \right].
\tag{8}
$$

Considering the luminal fluid pressure $p_l \sim 15$ Pa (Figure 1C), lumen diameter in the

hindbrain (or short-axis length of ferrofluid droplet) $a \sim 200$ μm, and the surface tension of

ferrofluid $\gamma \sim 0.026$N/m, one can find $p_l a/4 \ll \gamma$, and thus that the first term in the right

side of shape evolution equation (8) can be neglected.

Note that the axis lengths a and b are not independent, but obey the geometric constraint

of constant droplet volume $V_d = (4\pi/3)a^2 b$. With this constraint, the droplet shape

(evolution) can be evaluated by a single shape parameter (a, b, or aspect ratio s), and these

parameters are related to each other: for instance, we have

$$
\frac{\dot{s}}{s} = -3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}.
\tag{9}
$$

510   By Eq. (9), we can replace the rate of shape evolution $\dot{a}/a$ with $\dot{s}/s$, and rewrite the shape

511   evolution equation (8) as

$$
\frac{\dot{s}}{s} \approx -\frac{\gamma}{2\eta h}(3s - 2s^{-1} - 1).
\tag{10}
$$

In Eq. (10), the tissue thickness also evolves with time, satisfying $\dot{h}/h = \dot{\lambda}_r/\lambda_r \approx \dot{q}_r/q_r$.

Combined with the third formula in evolution equation (3), we can get the evolution law of

tissue thickness. However, thickness evolution is quite slow compared with the shape change

of the ferrofluid droplet, thus the tissue thickness h in Eq. (10) can be treated as a constant

(which would bring quite small errors as shown in Figure ST5(a) but allows us to get analytic

solutions). Then we can easily get the analytic solution of Eq. (10) as

$$
\eta \approx -\frac{5\gamma}{2h} \frac{t_1 - t_0}{f_{\mathrm{hb}}(s_1) - f_{\mathrm{hb}}(s_0)},
\tag{11}
$$

520   with $f_{\text{hb}}(s) = \ln\left(\frac{s-1}{s+2/3}\right)$ and s_0 and s_1 respectively the aspect ratios of ferrofluid droplet in

521   time points t_0 and t_1.

2.3. Tissue viscosity of spinal cord inferred from droplet shape evolution

Unlike the hindbrain, the spinal cord has a tissue thickness comparable with or even

larger than its lumen radius. Besides, Figures 2B-C showed that the removal of surrounding

tissues can enlarge the spinal cord, indicating the spinal cord is mechanically confined by

surrounding tissues (such as somites). Thus, to discuss how the ferrofluid droplet

mechanically interacts with the spinal cord, it is more realistic to consider its interaction with

a broader mechanical surrounding (including the spinal cord and the surrounding tissue, see

Figure ST4), compared to the thin-walled approximation employed to the hindbrain (see

Subsection 2.2 for details). Let r_i and r_o respectively denote the inner and outer radius of the

tissue system, we have $r_o \gg r_i = a/2$. In this scenario, the stress in A-P direction σ_z , which

arises from droplet pressure p_d or luminal fluid pressure p_l is quite small, thus the tissue

deformation is mainly driven by the azimuthal stress σ_θ and the radial stress σ_r.

535   Figure ST4. Schematic of the spinal cord-droplet system.

536   Similar to the discussion in Subsection 2.2, we can get $\dot{\lambda}_\theta/\lambda_\theta = \dot{a}/a$ at $r = r_i = a/2$,

537   and $\dot{\lambda}_\theta/\lambda_\theta \approx \dot{q}_\theta/q_\theta$ for small elastic strains. Using the evolution law of azimuthal viscous

538   deformation in Eq. (3), we have

$$539 \qquad \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \approx \frac{1}{6\eta}(2\sigma_\theta - \sigma_r)|_{r=r_i}. \qquad (12)$$

540   The tissue stresses σ_θ and σ_r are generated by the interfacial pressure p and radially

541   distributed as [63]

542
$$\sigma_r = \frac{p r_i^2}{r_o^2 - r_i^2}\left(1 - \frac{r_o^2}{r^2}\right), \qquad \sigma_\theta = \frac{p r_i^2}{r_o^2 - r_i^2}\left(1 + \frac{r_o^2}{r^2}\right). \tag{13}$$

543 Considering $r_o \gg r_i$ leads to $(2\sigma_\theta - \sigma_r)|_{r=r_i} \approx 3p$ in Eq. (11). The interfacial pressure p can

544 be inferred from the droplet shape by relation (5). Then, we can rewrite Eq. (12) as

545
$$\dot{a} \approx \frac{1}{\eta}\left[\frac{1}{2}p_l a + (2s - s^{-2} - 1)\gamma\right]. \tag{14}$$

546 The first term in the right of Eq. (14) can be neglected in data fitting, based on the scaling

547 analysis that $p_l a$ is an order of magnitude smaller than droplet surface tension γ. Although

548 the combination of Eqs. (9) and (14) can give close solutions of the shape evolution of

549 ferrofluid droplet, they have to be solved numerically. Submitting Eq. (9) into Eq. (14), the

550 shape evolution equation becomes:

551
$$\frac{\dot{s}}{s} \approx -3\frac{\gamma}{\eta a}(2s - s^{-2} - 1). \tag{15}$$

552 Eq. (9) indicates the aspect ratio s evolves faster than the short-axis length a, which allows us

553 to approximate a as a constant. In this way, we can get the analytic formula for the data

554 fitting of tissue viscosity of Eq. (15), which could be used to directly infer the tissue viscosity

555 of the spinal cord from the shape evolution of droplet:

556
$$\eta \approx -\frac{6\gamma}{a}\frac{t_1 - t_0}{f_{sc}(s_1) - f_{sc}(s_0)}, \tag{16}$$

with $f_{sc}(s) = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{7}}\arctan\left(\frac{1+4s}{\sqrt{7}}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\ln\left[\frac{(1-s)^2}{2s^2+s+1}\right]$. The complete solution of Eqs. (9) and (14)

indicates the analytic formula (16) is accurate enough (Figure ST5(b)).

Figure ST5. Comparison between the complete and approximated solutions of the droplet

shape evolution. (a) Time evolution of the aspect ratio of ferrofluid droplet in the hindbrain.

Analytic solution in Eq. (11) treating tissue thickness h as a constant (i.e. $h = h_0$) is

compared with the complete solution with thickness h also evolving. (b) Time evolution of

the aspect ratio of ferrofluid droplet in the spinal cord. Analytic solution in Eq. (16)

considering lumen diameter (or short-axis length of droplet) a as a constant is compared with

the complete solution.

3.   Tissue deformation in normal development

Experimentally, we found that luminal fluids play an important role in the development of the

neural tube, and the variation in luminal fluid pressure greatly affects the hindbrain

deformation and morphogenesis (characterized by a thinning of the dorsal tissue and

expansion of the lumen, see Figure 1). Here, we quantitatively discuss if the morphogenesis

of the hindbrain could be a result of viscous or elastic deformation driven by luminal fluid

pressure.

Importantly, we observe droplet rounding over hours after injection into the lumen of neural

tube (Figure S2F), which is many orders of magnitude longer than what would be expected

for droplets alone under surface tension (i.e. free from tissue confinement). This hints at a

long-term viscous relaxation of tissue on the timescale of hours. Quantitatively, we tracked

the tissue deformation rate $\dot{a}/a$ (with a the tissue diameter and $\dot{a}$ its rate) after injecting the

ferrofluid droplet.

Driven by the droplet pressure, the hindbrain diameter increases by approximately 1.5X in 1-

2 hours, suggesting the deformation rate $\dot{a}/a \sim \mathrm{h}^{-1}$. The viscous deformation rate of the

hindbrain is proportional to in-plane tissue tension (Eq. (6)). The in-plane tension of the

hindbrain expanded by the droplet is in the same order with the droplet surface tension γ,

while in the normal development, the hindbrain is expanded by the luminal fluid pressure p_l

and the tissue tension is $\sim p_l a$ based on Young-Laplace law (Fig. 2A) and Eq. (8). With the

droplet surface tension $\gamma \sim 0.026 \mathrm{N/m}$, luminal fluid pressure $p_l \sim 15\mathrm{Pa}$ and hindbrain

diameter $a \sim 200\mu\mathrm{m}$, we found $p_l a$ is an order of magnitude smaller than γ, thus would

speculate the viscous deformation rate in the normal development is an order of magnitude

slower than the scenario with ferrofluid droplet, that is $\dot{a}/a \sim 0.1\mathrm{h}^{-1}$, which would leads to

several folds of hindbrain expansion in around 20 hours (from HH11 to HH16), consistent

with experimental observations (Figure 1).

Tissue viscosity η, the rheological parameter characterizing the tissue resistance to viscous

flowing, can be inferred from the droplet shape evolution, as discussed in Subsection 2.2 and

2.3. Analytic formulas (11) and (16) provide a simple way to estimate the tissue viscosity η

by comparing the aspect ratios in two different timepoints (usually with a time interval of one

or two hours in our experimental setting). We estimate the tissue viscosity in the hindbrain as

2.3 ± 0.84 kPa·h (mean $\pm$SD), and that in the spinal cord as 17 ± 10 kPa·h. Importantly, our

quantification shows that, the hindbrain is more fluidized and easier to deform than the spinal

cord under mechanical loading. This is in consistent with atomic force microscopy (AFM)

measurement (Figure 2H) and experimental evidence supporting tissue fluidization in the

hindbrain (Figure 3 and 4).

The minimal deformation of the hindbrain tissue observed immediately following droplet

placement suggests a small degree of elastic deformation. In the normal development with the

hindbrain expansion driven by luminal fluid pressure, the elastic deformation of the tissue

would be even smaller, far less than enough to reproduce the several folds of hindbrain

expansion observed in normal morphogenesis (Figure 1).

The viscous evolution laws (e.g. Eqs. (8) and (14)) in Section 2 include both the contributions

from the luminal fluids and ferrofluid droplet, while in the normal development, the luminal

fluid pressure p_l is the only driving force for tissue deformation. After removing the impact

of the droplet (we could set $\gamma = 0$ and $s \to \infty$), the sole impact of the luminal pressure p_l on

the viscous evolution or elastic displacement can be obtained:

•   time evolution rate of tissue diameter $\dot{a}$ due to tissue viscous deformation:

$$\dot{a} \approx \frac{p_l a^2}{8\eta h} \text{ (hindbrain)}, \qquad \dot{a} \approx \frac{p_l a}{2\eta} \text{ (spinal cord)}, \tag{17}$$

4.   Rheological inhomogeneity in the hindbrain

In the previous analysis and discussion, the tissue is considered to be axially varying

(i.e. the geometric and mechanical properties may vary along A-P axis) but are

circumferentially homogeneous. However, in the normal development, the dorsal and ventral

regions of the hindbrain show distinct morphological evolution (Figure 1D). In the main text,

this morphological difference along D-V axis is attributed to higher deformability of the

dorsal tissue compared with its ventral partner (Figure 3 and 4). Tissue fluidization driven by

neural crest ECM remodelling in the dorsal hindbrain would decrease the tissue viscosity and

make the dorsal hindbrain easier to deform under mechanical load. To quantitatively test this

rheological assumption, here we extend the model to consider the D-V inhomogeneity in

tissue viscosity.

Dorsal

Ventral

Figure ST6. Schematic of the cross-section of the hindbrain.

In the cross-section of the hindbrain (see Figure ST6 for schematic), consider the dorsal and ventral regions respectively with initial arc lengths $L_D = 2\pi\varphi R$ and $L_V = 2\pi(1-\varphi)R$ (φ is the initial length ratio of the dorsal to ventral region), and the same initial tissue thickness h_0, but different tissue viscosities, i.e. $\eta_D < \eta_V$. As a viscoelastic membrane with deformation dominated by viscous flowing (see Subsection 2.2 for details), the time evolution of the stretch ratios of the hindbrain tissue yields

$$\frac{\dot{\lambda}_{z\beta}}{\lambda_{z\beta}} \approx \frac{1}{6\eta_\beta h_\beta}(2T_{z\beta} - T_{\theta\beta}),$$

$$\frac{\dot{\lambda}_{\theta\beta}}{\lambda_{\theta\beta}} \approx \frac{1}{6\eta_\beta h_\beta}(2T_{\theta\beta} - T_{z\beta}),$$

$$\frac{\dot{\lambda}_{r\beta}}{\lambda_{r\beta}} \approx -\frac{1}{6\eta_\beta h_\beta}(T_{z\beta} + T_{\theta\beta}), \tag{18}$$

with $\beta = D, V$ respectively standing for the dorsal and ventral region of the hindbrain.

The azimuthal stretch $\lambda_{\theta\beta}$ is the ratio of current arc length l_β to its initial value L_β, that is $\lambda_{\theta\beta} = l_\beta/L_\beta$. The regional arc lengths are related to the current tissue radius r, and should satisfy the geometric constraint: $l_D + l_V = 2\pi r$. We can easily get $\dot{\lambda}_{\theta\beta}/\lambda_{\theta\beta} = \dot{l}_\beta/l_\beta$ in Eq. (23). Similarly, the radial stretch ratio $\lambda_{r\beta}$ evaluates the change of tissue thickness h_β and we have $\dot{\lambda}_{r\beta}/\lambda_{r\beta} = \dot{h}_\beta/h_\beta$. In the A-P axial direction, the deformations of the dorsal and ventral regions are synchronous: $\lambda_{zD} = \lambda_{zV}$ and $\dot{\lambda}_{zD} = \dot{\lambda}_{zV}$.

The in-plane tissue tensions are balanced with the luminal fluid pressure p_l. The dorsal and ventral hindbrains sustain the same azimuthal tissue tension: $T_{\theta D} = T_{\theta V} = T_\theta = p_l r$, and their total axial forces (along A-P axis) are balanced with $p_l \cdot \pi r^2$: $T_{zD} l_D + T_{zV} l_V = p_l \cdot \pi r^2$.

It is convenient to non-dimensionalize the parameters: we have tissue geometric

parameters $\bar{r} = r/R$, $\bar{l}_\beta = l_\beta/R$, and $\bar{h}_\beta = h_\beta/h_0$, normalized tissues tensions $\bar{T}_\theta =$

$T_\theta/(p_l R)$, $\bar{T}_{z\beta} = T_{z\beta}/(p_l R)$. The evolution of the hindbrain morphology depends on two

parameters: the viscosity inhomogeneity $\Lambda = \eta_D/\eta_V$ and a dimensionless time $\tau =$

$pRt_*/(6\eta_V h_0)$, with t_* the total developmental time. Considering luminal fluid pressure $p_l \approx$

15Pa, initial radius to thickness ratio $R/h_0 \approx 2$, the developmental time $t_* \approx 20$h and

ventral tissue viscosity $\eta_V \sim 10^3 \text{Pa} \cdot \text{h}$, we can estimate $\tau \sim 0.1$. The final governing equation

system includes three parts (with the uppers bars for quantities dropped):

-    time evolution laws for arc lengths and tissue thicknesses:

653
$$\dot{l}_D = \frac{\tau l_D}{\Lambda h_D}(2T_\theta - T_{zD}), \qquad \dot{l}_V = \frac{\tau l_V}{h_V}(2T_\theta - T_{zV}),$$
$$\dot{h}_D = -\frac{\tau}{\Lambda}(T_\theta + T_{zD}), \qquad \dot{h}_V = -\tau(T_\theta + T_{zV}). \tag{19}$$

654   -   force balance conditions:

655
$$T_\theta = r, \qquad T_{zD}l_D + T_{zV}l_V = \frac{r^2}{2}, \tag{20}$$

656   -   geometric constraints:

657
$$l_D + l_V = r, \qquad 2T_{zD} - T_\theta = \frac{\Lambda h_D}{h_V}(2T_{zV} - T_\theta). \tag{21}$$

Interestingly, the rheological model considering a lower tissue viscosity in the dorsal

hindbrain can well reproduce the morphological features observed in the morphogenesis of

neural tube: the dorsal hindbrain becomes much thinner than the ventral hindbrain with

development (Figure ST7(a)), meanwhile the arc length of the dorsal hindbrain elongates

with time (Figure ST7(b)). This further validates that the rheological model can well describe

the morphogenesis of neural tube and tissue rheological behaviours respond to the

morphological features.

Figure ST7. The time evolution of dorsal to ventral thickness ratio and length ratio with
development. This numerical example sets the dimensionless parameter $\tau = 0.1$, and varies
the viscosity inhomogeneity Λ.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Neural tube lumen pressure drives hindbrain and spinal cord shape divergence

(A) Schematic depicting brain expansion during chicken embryo development, Hamburger and Hamilton stages of development are given. (B-F) Lumen pressure increase and dorsal tissue thinning occur concomitantly with brain expansion. (B) Bright-field images of chicken embryos prior to brain expansion (HH10) and following brain expansion (HH16) and confocal microscopy images of the early anterior and posterior neuropore at the level of the white boxes. Actin is shown in magenta throughout. (C) Pressure readings obtained by inserting a microneedle connected to a pressure sensor into the neural tube lumen in embryos at the onset of (n=4) and following brain expansion (n=5). (D) Schematic showing tissue thickness measurement approach and confocal microscopy images of neural tube cross-sections taken at the level of the dashed white lines in (B). In some cases the thin dorsal tissue collapsed downwards following fixation. (E) Apical-basal tissue thickness along the hindbrain lumen circumference, n=6 HH11 embryos, n=3 HH16 embryos. (F) Apical-basal tissue thickness along the spinal cord lumen circumference, n=6 HH11 embryos, n=3 HH16 embryos. (G-L) Pressure decrease and increase experiments. (G) Bright-field images of control and intubated embryos at ~20 hours post-intubation and confocal images of corresponding hindbrain and spinal cord cross-sections. (H) Bright-field images of control and β-D-xyloside (BDX) treated embryos ~20h post treatment and corresponding confocal images of hindbrain and spinal cord cross-sections. (I) Tissue thickness along the hindbrain circumference moving from dorsal to ventral in control, anterior intubated and spinal cord intubated embryos. (J) Hindbrain roof thickness (averaged over the first 100µm from the dorsal midpoint in control (n=6), anterior intubated (n=2) and spinal cord intubated (n=3) embryos. (K) Tissue thickness along the hindbrain circumference in control and BDX treated embryos. (L) Hindbrain total roof length in control (n=5) and BDX treated (n=4) embryos.

Black scale bars are 500µm, white scale bars are 50µm unless otherwise stated.

Figure 2. The dorsal hindbrain is more deformable than the dorsal spinal cord prior to

brain expansion

(A) Schematic illustrating that forces exerted on a tubular tissue are generically proportional to lumen

pressure and tissue radius. (B-C) Lateral tissue removal experiments. (B) Bright-field image of a pre-

brain expansion stage embryo with posterior somites and anterior presomitic mesoderm removed

(n=3, control n=3). (C) Confocal images of the dorsal surface view and cross-section view of the

spinal cord in a ~20h post somite-removal embryo. (D-F) Dorsal tissue deformation under ferrofluid

droplet injection. (D) Widefield images of HH11 stage embryos with ferrofluid droplet injections in

either the hindbrain or spinal cord lumen. (E) Confocal images showing cross-sectional views of the

spinal cord and hindbrain in HH10-11 stage uninjected embryos and embryos with ferrofluid droplets

injected into either the hindbrain or spinal cord. White arrows indicate thickness measurements

(acquired at the thinnest dorsal point observable). (F) Quantification of hindbrain and spinal cord roof

thickness in uninjected (n=6 hindbrain, n=6 spinal cord) and droplet injected (n=8 hindbrain, n=6

spinal cord) embryos. (G-I) Atomic force microscopy experiments. (G) Schematic depicting atomic

force microscopy measurement set up. (H) Mean Beta value (corresponding to fluidity) of the

hindbrain and spinal cord in HH10-12 embryos (n=9). (I) Mean instantaneous elastic modulus of the

hindbrain and spinal cord in HH10-12 embryos (n=9).

Black scale bars are 500µm. White scale bars are 50µm.

Figure 3. Differential neural crest cell behaviour underlies the acquisition of a thinned

out and expanded dorsal hindbrain roof

(A-C) Actomyosin localisation in the dorsal hindbrain and spinal cord. (A) Confocal images of actin

and phosphorylated myosin light chain kinase II in the hindbrain and spinal cord of HH12 stage

embryos. (B) Quantification of apical actin signal intensity along the lumen circumference in the

hindbrain of HH11 and HH12 stage embryos. (C) Quantification of apical actin signal intensity along

the lumen circumference in the spinal cord of HH11 and HH12 stage embryos. (D) Premigratory

neural crest in the hindbrain and spinal cord. Confocal images showing Snail2+ cell migration in the

hindbrain and spinal cord cross sections of embryos progressing towards brain-expansion stages (HH9

to HH12). (E-F) ECM organisation in the dorsal hindbrain and spinal cord. (E) Confocal images

showing laminin and actin organisation in cross sections of the spinal cord and hindbrain of HH12

stage embryos. (F) Confocal images showing laminin organisation at the dorsal surface in a 3D

rendering of the spinal cord and hindbrain of HH11 stage embryos. (G-H) ECM remodelling

perturbation experiments. (G) Brightfield images of embryos treated with DMSO or MMP inhibitor at

HH11 and incubated for 20 hours. White arrows indicate hindbrain at level of otic vesicle. (H) Dorsal

views of DMSO and MMP inhibitor treated embryos. Yellow highlight fills the brain dorsal surface.

(I) Confocal images showing the dorsal hindbrain of control and MMP inhibitor treated embryos, ~20

hours post treatment. Bottom panels show laminin surface with white arrows indicating breaks in

laminin continuity. (J) Hindbrain roof length obtained by measuring the length of the single-cell thick

roof in control (n=6) and inhibitor treated (n=8) embryos. White scale bars are 50µm unless otherwise

stated.

Figure 4. Premigratory neural crest cells in the dorsal hindbrain are sufficient to

generate a thinned dorsal roof and neural tube expansion

(A-E) Grafting experiments. (A) Bright-field image of pre-brain expansion stage (HH11) embryos

with a spinal cord-to-spinal cord graft or a hindbrain-to-spinal cord graft. (B) Confocal images

showing dorsal surface view of spinal cord-to-spinal cord and hindbrain-to-spinal cord graft

integration ~20 hours post-grafting. (C) Confocal images showing cross-sectional views of spinal

cord-to-spinal cord and hindbrain-to-spinal cord graft integration ~20 hours post-grafting. Cross

section levels correspond to dashed lines in (B). White bracket highlights the thinned-out roof in the

graft region. D) Spinal cord tissue thickness in the region with spinal cord (n=2) or hindbrain (n=5)

grafted cells (thickness measurements taken along lumen circumference going from dorsal midpoint

and moving ventrally). (E) Confocal images showing Snail2+ cells in graft regions. White arrows

indicate Snail2+ cells within the GFP+ graft. (F) Schematic depicting proposed model of brain

expansion relative to the spinal cord. Premigratory neural crest cells in pre-brain expansion stage

embryos show differences in their behaviour between the hindbrain and spinal cord regions of the

neural tube. A greater extent of mesenchymal behaviour and corresponding extracellular matrix

remodelling underlies a more deformable dorsal roof in the hindbrain compared to the spinal cord.

This allows the hindbrain roof to deform more under internal lumen pressure, driving hindbrain

expansion relative to the spinal cord during early embryo development.

Black scale bars are 500µm. White scale bars are 25µm unless otherwise stated.

Figure S1. Neural tube characterisation and intubation experiments

(A) An HH12 stage embryo injected in the neural tube lumen with fluorescent Dextran. (B)

Brightfield images of the anterior of embryos used in pressure measurements. (C) Mean thickness of

the dorsal hindbrain in HH11 (n=6) and HH16 (n=3) stage embryos. (D) Mean thickness of the dorsal

hindbrain in HH11 (n=6) and HH16 (n=3) stage embryos. (E) Brightfield images of control and

763   intubated embryos just after intubation and 20 hours later. White arrows mark site of intubation.

764   White asterisks mark the hindbrain.

765   All scale bars are 500μm.

Figure S2. Geometry and tissue mechanics experiments

(A) Confocal images of dorsal and cross-sectional views of the spinal cord region in an HH12 stage

embryo. Dashed lines indicate level of cross-sectional views. (B) A widefield image of an embryo ~20

hours after posterior somite and PSM removal with zoomed-in view of the widened spinal cord

region. (C) Confocal images of cross-sectional views of the spinal cord in embryos 20 hours after

control cuts or somite removal. (D) Dorsal spinal cord thickness in control (n=3) and somite removed

(n=3) embryos, measured at the dorsal midpoint. (E) Close up views of ferrofluid droplets in the

hindbrain and spinal cord and a schematic illustrating the higher curvature at the droplet-lumen

interface and thus higher pressure exerted by droplets in the spinal cord region compared to the

hindbrain region. (F) Ferrofluid droplet rounding dynamics in the hindbrain and spinal cord. (G)

Fitting of AFM indentation data to extract fluidity 'β'. (H) Mean elastic modulus in the hindbrain and

spinal cord of HH10-12 stage embryos (n=9).

White scale bars are 50µm unless otherwise stated.

Figure S3. Neural crest and ECM experiments

(A) Confocal images showing Snail2+ cells at the dorsal surface of HH9 and HH11 stage brain and

spinal cord regions. Dashed lines depict the extent of Snail2+ cell spreading away from the dorsal

midline. (B) Total area of gaps in ECM on the dorsal surface of the hindbrain and spinal cord of pre-

brain expansion embryos (n=5). (C) Confocal images stitched together to show a 3D rendering of the

laminin matrix in a HH12 stage embryo. Dashed lines depict the boundaries of the hindbrain region.

(D) Confocal images of DMSO and MMP inhibitor treated embryo hindbrains, ~20 hours post

treatment. Actin not stained for in bottom 3 images in control and inhibitor panels.

White scale bars are 100μm unless otherwise stated.

Figure S4. Graft experiments

(A) Widefield images of an embryo ~20 hours after introduction of a GFP+ graft into the spinal cord

and a zoomed-in view of the graft region. (B) Confocal images of cross-sectional views of the spinal

cord at spinal cord-spinal cord and hindbrain-spinal cord graft sites. (C) Confocal images showing

actin organisation at the apical lumen surface in a spinal cord-spinal cord graft region and a hindbrain-

spinal cord graft region. White arrow indicates loss of apical actin localisation.

White scale bars are all 50μm unless otherwise stated.

REFERENCES

1. Sugahara, F. *et al.* Evidence from cyclostomes for complex regionalization of the ancestral vertebrate brain. *Nature* **531**, 97–100 (2016).

2. Kotrschal, A. *et al.* Artificial Selection on Relative Brain Size in the Guppy Reveals Costs and Benefits of Evolving a Larger Brain. *Curr Biol* **23**, 168–171 (2013).

3. Sol, D., Duncan, R. P., Blackburn, T. M., Cassey, P. & Lefebvre, L. Big brains, enhanced cognition, and response of birds to novel environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**, 5460–5465 (2005).

4. del Corral, R. D. *et al.* Opposing FGF and Retinoid Pathways Control Ventral Neural Pattern, Neuronal Differentiation, and Segmentation during Body Axis Extension. *Neuron* **40**, 65–79 (2003).

5. Nordström, U., Jessell, T. M. & Edlund, T. Progressive induction of caudal neural character by graded Wnt signaling. *Nat Neurosci* **5**, 525–532 (2002).

6. Bel-Vialar, S., Itasaki, N. & Krumlauf, R. Initiating Hox gene expression: in the early chick neural tube differential sensitivity to FGF and RA signaling subdivides the HoxBgenes in two distinct groups. *Development* **129**, 5103–5115 (2002).

7. Colas, J.-F. & Schoenwolf, G. C. Towards a cellular and molecular understanding of neurulation. *Developmental Dynamics* **221**, 117–145 (2001).

8. Hamburger, V. & Hamilton, H. L. A series of normal stages in the development of the chick embryo. *Developmental Dynamics* **195**, 231–272 (1992).

9. Tallinen, T. *et al.* On the growth and form of cortical convolutions. *Nature Physics* **12**, 588–593 (2016).

10. Mota, B. & Herculano-Houzel, S. Cortical folding scales universally with surface area and thickness, not number of neurons. *Science* **349**, 74–77 (2015).

11. Desmond, M. E. & Jacobson, A. G. Embryonic brain enlargement requires cerebrospinal

fluid pressure. *Developmental Biology* **57**, 188–198 (1977).

12. Garcia, K. E., Stewart, W. G., Espinosa, M. G., Gleghorn, J. P. & Taber, L. A. Molecular and

mechanical signals determine morphogenesis of the cerebral hemispheres in the chicken

embryo. *Development* **146**, dev174318 (2019).

13. Le Douarin, N. M. Cell Line Segregation During Peripheral Nervous System Ontogeny.

Science **231**, 1515-1522 (1986)

14. Garcia, K. E., Okamoto, R. J., Bayly, P. V. & Taber, L. A. Contraction and stress-dependent

growth shape the forebrain of the early chicken embryo. *Journal of the Mechanical*

Behavior of Biomedical Materials **65**, 383–397 (2017).

15. Schoenwolf, G. C. Observations on closure of the neuropores in the chick embryo.

American Journal of Anatomy **155**, 445–465 (1979).

16. Desmond, M. E., Levitan, M. L. & Haas, A. R. Internal luminal pressure during early chick

embryonic brain growth: Descriptive and empirical observations. *The Anatomical Record*

Part A: Discoveries in Molecular, Cellular, and Evolutionary Biology **285A**, 737–747

(2005).

17. Alonso, M. I., Gato, A., Moro, J. A. & Barbosa, E. Disruption of proteoglycans in neural

tube fluid by β-D-xyloside alters brain enlargement in chick embryos. *Anat. Rec.* **252**,

499–508 (1998).

18. Xiong, F., Ma, W., Bénazéraf, B., Mahadevan, L. & Pourquié, O. Mechanical Coupling

Coordinates the Co-elongation of Axial and Paraxial Tissues in Avian Embryos.

Developmental Cell **55**, 354-366.e5 (2020)

19. Leonavicius, K. *et al.* Mechanics of mouse blastocyst hatching revealed by a hydrogel-

based microdeformation assay. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **115**, 10375–10380 (2018).

20. Shellard, A., Szabó, A., Trepat, X. & Mayor, R. Supracellular contraction at the rear of

neural crest cell groups drives collective chemotaxis. *Science* **362**, 339–343 (2018).

21. Berndt, J. D., Clay, M. R., Langenberg, T. & Halloran, M. C. Rho-kinase and myosin II affect

dynamic neural crest cell behaviors during epithelial to mesenchymal transition in vivo.

Developmental Biology **324**, 236–244 (2008).

22. Scarpa, E. *et al.* Cadherin Switch during EMT in Neural Crest Cells Leads to Contact

Inhibition of Locomotion via Repolarization of Forces. *Developmental Cell* **34**, 421–434

(2015).

23. Theveneau, E., Duband, J.-L. & Altabef, M. Ets-1 Confers Cranial Features on Neural Crest

Delamination. *PLoS ONE* **2**, e1142. (2007)

24. Rocha, M. *et al.* From head to tail: regionalization of the neural crest. *Development* **147**,

dev193888 (2020).

25. Taneyhill, L. A., Coles, E. G. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Snail2 directly represses cadherin6B

during epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions of the neural crest. *Development* **134**,

1481–1490 (2007).

26. Nieto, M. A., Sargent, M. G., Wilkinson, D. G. & Cooke, J. Control of Cell Behavior During

Vertebrate Development by Slug, a Zinc Finger Gene. *Science* **264**, 835–839 (1994).

27. Cai, D. H., Vollberg Sr., T. M., Hahn-Dantona, E., Quigley, J. P. & Brauer, P. R. MMP-2

expression during early avian cardiac and neural crest morphogenesis. *The Anatomical*

Record **259**, 168–179 (2000).

28. Monsonego-Ornan, E. *et al.* Matrix metalloproteinase 9/gelatinase B is required for

neural crest cell migration. *Developmental Biology* **364**, 162–177 (2012).

29. Elosegui-Artola, A. *et al.* Matrix viscoelasticity controls spatiotemporal tissue

organization. *Nat. Mater.* **22**, 117–127 (2023).

30. Crest, J., Diz-Muñoz, A., Chen, D.-Y., Fletcher, D. A. & Bilder, D. Organ sculpting by

patterned extracellular matrix stiffness. *Elife* **6**, e24958 (2017).

31. Gans, C. & Northcutt, R. G. Neural Crest and the Origin of Vertebrates: A New Head.

Science **220**, 268–273 (1983).

32. Couly, G., Grapin-Botton, A., Coltey, P. & Le Douarin, N. M. The regeneration of the

cephalic neural crest, a problem revisited: the regenerating cells originate from the

contralateral or from the anterior and posterior neural fold. *Development* **122**, 3393–

3407 (1996).

33. Smith, B. R., Huff, D. S. & Johnson, G. A. Magnetic resonance imaging of embryos: an

Internet resource for the study of embryonic development. *Computerized Medical*

Imaging and Graphics **23**, 33–40 (1999).

34. Campo-Paysaa, F., Clarke, J. D. & Wingate, R. J. Generation of the squamous epithelial

roof of the 4th ventricle. *Elife* **8**, e38485 (2019)

35. Mosaliganti, K. R. *et al.* Size control of the inner ear via hydraulic feedback. *Elife* **8**, 2837

(2019).

36. Chan, C. J. *et al.* Hydraulic control of mammalian embryo size and cell fate. *Nature* **571**,

112–116 (2019).

37. Dumortier, J. G. *et al.* Hydraulic fracturing and active coarsening position the lumen of

the mouse blastocyst. *Science* **365**, 465–468 (2019).

38. Nelson, C. M. *et al*. Microfluidic chest cavities reveal that transmural pressure controls

the rate of lung development. *Development* **144**, 4328-4335 (2017)

39. McGrew, M. J. *et al.* Localised axial progenitor cell populations in the avian tail bud are

not committed to a posterior Hox identity. *Development* **135**, 2289–2299 (2008).

40. Rozbicki, E. *et al.* Myosin-II-mediated cell shape changes and cell intercalation contribute

to primitive streak formation. *Nat Cell Biol* **17**, 397–408 (2015).

41. Chapman, S. C., Collignon, J., Schoenwolf, G. C. & Lumsden, A. Improved method for

chick whole-embryo culture using a filter paper carrier. *Dev Dyn* **220**, 284–289 (2001).

42. Kunz, D. *et al.* Downregulation of extraembryonic tension controls body axis formation in

avian embryos. *Nat Commun* **14**, 3266 (2023).

43. McLaren, S. B. P. & Xiong, F. Lumen pressure modulation in chicken embryos. *bioRxiv*,

569177 (2023) Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.22.569177.

44. Thompson, A. J. *et al.* Rapid changes in tissue mechanics regulate cell behaviour in the

developing embryonic brain. *Elife* **8**, e39356 (2019).

45. Schierbaum, N., Rheinlaender, J. & Schäffer, T. E. Combined atomic force microscopy

(AFM) and traction force microscopy (TFM) reveals a correlation between viscoelastic

material properties and contractile prestress of living cells. *Soft Matter* **15**, 1721–1729

(2019).

46. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat*

Methods **9**, 676–682 (2012).

47. Ahlers, J. *et al.* napari: a multi-dimensional image viewer for Python.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8115575 (2023).

48. Guevorkian, K., Colbert, M.-J., Durth, M., Dufour, S. & Brochard-Wyart, F. Aspiration of

biological viscoelastic drops. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 218101 (2010).

49. Ranft, J. *et al.* Fluidization of tissues by cell division and apoptosis. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences **107**, 20863–20868 (2010).

50. Serwane, F. *et al.* In vivo quantification of spatially varying mechanical properties in

developing tissues. *Nat Meth* **14**, 181–186 (2017).

51. Karkali, K. *et al.* Condensation of the Drosophila nerve cord is oscillatory and depends on

coordinated mechanical interactions. *Dev Cell* **57**, 867-882.e5 (2022).

52. Petridou, N. I., Grigolon, S., Salbreux, G., Hannezo, E. & Heisenberg, C.-P. Fluidization-

mediated tissue spreading by mitotic cell rounding and non-canonical Wnt signalling.

Nat Cell Biol **21**, 169–178 (2019).

53. Jain, A. *et al.* Regionalized tissue fluidization is required for epithelial gap closure during

insect gastrulation. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5604 (2020).

54. Salbreux, G., Charras, G. & Paluch, E. Actin cortex mechanics and cellular

morphogenesis. *Trends in Cell Biology* **22**, 536–545 (2012).

55. Reese, S. & Govindjee, S. A theory of finite viscoelasticity and numerical aspects.

International Journal of Solids and Structures **35**, 3455–3482 (1998).

56. Mongera, A. *et al.* A fluid-to-solid jamming transition underlies vertebrate body axis

elongation. *Nature* **561**, 401 (2018).

57. Skalak, R., Tozeren, A., Zarda, R. P. & Chien, S. Strain Energy Function of Red Blood Cell

Membranes. *Biophys J* **13**, 245–264 (1973).

58. Srivastava, A., Tepole, A. B. & Hui, C.-Y. Skin stretching by a balloon tissue expander:

Interplay between contact mechanics and skin growth. *Extreme Mechanics Letters* **9**,

175–187 (2016).

59. Goriely, A. *The Mathematics and Mechanics of Biological Growth*. vol. 45 (Springer, New

York, NY, 2017).

60. Gómez-González, M., Latorre, E., Arroyo, M. & Trepat, X. Measuring mechanical stress in

living tissues. *Nat Rev Phys* **2**, 300–317 (2020).

61. Knoche, S. & Kierfeld, J. Buckling of spherical capsules. *Phys. Rev. E* **84**, 046608 (2011).

62. Haas, P. A. & Goldstein, R. E. Elasticity and glocality: initiation of embryonic inversion in

Volvox. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* **12**, 20150671 (2015).

63. Landau, L. D, Lifshitz, E. M., Kosevich, A. M. & Pitaevskii, L. P. *Theory of Elasticity - 3rd*

Edition. vol. 7 (Elsevier).

959