The disruption of CtBP regulates DUX-dependent and -independent genetic

program for the 2-cell-like state in murine embryonic stem cells

Kota Sugiyama[1], Kazuma Yoshioka[1], Nao Hayakawa[1], Miyu Marutani, Ryo Masuda,

Selma Alamanda Abadi and Yoshiyuki Seki

Department of Biomedical Sciences, School of Biological and Environmental Sciences,

Kwansei Gakuin University, Hyogo, Japan

[1]Co-first author

*Correspondence: yseki@kwansei.ac.jp

Abstract

After fertilization, maternally deposited mRNA is cleared, and *de novo* mRNA is

transcribed from the zygotic genome through zygotic genome activation (ZGA), which

is called maternal-to-zygotic transition (MZT) occurring in the mouse at 2-cell (2C)

stage. 2C-like cells (2CLCs) marked by MERVL expression and transcriptionally

similar to 2C embryos spontaneously emerge from mouse embryonic stem cells

(mESCs). Although the emergence of 2CLCs completely depends on DUX function, a

recent knockout study clearly showed that DUX is dispensable for mouse embryos,

suggesting that DUX-independent molecular pathways are not recapitulated in 2CLCs.

We present here that the disruption of C-terminal binding protein 1/2 (*Ctbp1/2*)

activates DUX-dependent and -independent molecular pathway associated with the

development of early mouse embryos mediated by the upregulation of Preferentially

expressed antigen of melanoma family-like 7 (PRAMEL7). Furthermore, the

abnormality of the gene expression profile caused by *Dux* KO is partially rescued by the

overexpression of PRAMEL7 in mESCs. Our study provides new insights into the

DUX-independent molecular pathway for developing early mouse embryos.

Introduction

After fertilization, maternal factors direct development and trigger zygotic genome activation (ZGA) at the around late one-cell stage in mice, called maternal-to-zygotic transition (MZT) (Eckersley-Maslin et al., 2018a). The early-cleavage stage embryos acquire cellular totipotency to generate both embryonic proper and extraembryonic tissues during MZT. Although totipotency was thought to be exclusive to the early-cleavage stage embryos, a part of the molecular network is recapitulated in the totipotent-like cells (2-cell-like cells; 2CLC) marked by the expression of endogenous retrovirus MERVL, which are an endogenous subpopulation of mouse embryonic stem cells (ESCs) (Macfarlan et al., 2012a; Rodriguez-Terrones et al., 2018a).

The transition from pluripotent stem cells into 2CLCs is a useful *in vitro* system for identifying the gene regulatory network for ZGA, and several genes that control the emergence of 2CLCs from pluripotent cells have been identified (Hu et al., 2020; Ishiuchi et al., 2015; Kreibich et al., 2019; Percharde et al., 2018; Rodriguez-Terrones et al., 2018b). The transcription factor DUX (DUX4 in humans) is a critical factor for regulating the transition from pluripotent stem cells into 2CLCs and the expression of ZGA genes (De Iaco et al., 2017; Hendrickson et al., 2017; Whiddon et al., 2017a). Ectopic expression of DUX enhances the population of 2CLCs, whereas the *Dux* disruption eliminates the emergence of spontaneous 2CLCs during mESC culture. Several 2CLC regulatory genes have been identified, but they are either direct activators (DPPA2/4, p53 and NELFA) (Grow et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2020; Kreibich et al., 2019)or direct repressors (CAF-1, LINE-1 and SETDB1) (Ishiuchi et al., 2015; Percharde et al., 2018)of *Dux* expression.

However, both *Dux* zygotic and maternal knockout embryos can survive full-term (Chen

and Zhang, 2019; Guo et al., 2019), suggesting that DUX-independent molecular

pathways not recapitulated in 2CLCs are critical for ZGA and the early development of

mice.

Here, we report that the disruption of *Ctbp1/2* (C-terminal binding protein 1/2),

transcriptional corepressor (Stankiewicz et al., 2014), activates subsets of genes that are

transiently upregulated in a DUX-dependent at ZGA, a group of genes that are maternally

expressed genes and upregulated after ZGA in DUX-independent manner. Preferentially

Expressed Antigen in Melanoma (PRAME) 7 is directly upregulated by the loss of

Ctbp1/2 without DUX function, and upregulated PRAMEL7 activate subsets of genes

that are transiently upregulated by ZGA in a DUX-dependent and a group of genes that

are maternally expressed genes and upregulated after ZGA in DUX-independent manner.

These data revealed that the disruption of *Ctbp1/2* recapitulates a part of DUX-

independent ZGA cascades in ESCs, and *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs are useful *in vitro* systems

to investigate DUX-independent molecular cascades ensuring ZGA in mice.

Results

Ctbp1/2 Deficiency enhances the transition from pluripotent stem cells to 2-cell-like

Cells

We have previously shown that the CtBP1/2 are required to transition from formative to

naïve pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) (Yamamoto et al., 2020). To

elucidate the molecular functions of CtBP1/2 in the transition from formative to naïve

pluripotency in mESCs, we compared the gene expression profile between wild-type

(WT) and *Ctbp1/2* double knockout (DKO) ESCs using RNA-sequencing (RNA-Seq).

MA-plot comparing WT ESCs with *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs showed that the 2-cell (2C)-

restricted genes, including *Dux*, *Zscan4* and *Zfp352*, as well as three repeat elements

MERVL, MT2 and GSAT_Mm are significantly upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO (Figure

1A, B). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using a 2-cell embryo and 2-cell-like cell

(2CLC)-enriched genes (404) (Macfarlan et al., 2012a) showed that 2C-restricted genes

were significantly enriched in the upregulated genes by the loss of *Ctbp1/*2 (Figure 1C).

Next, to monitor the dynamics of the transition from pluripotent stem cells into 2C-like

cells, we established *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs carrying the turboGFP reporter under the

control of MERVL long terminal repeat (*Mervl*-EGFP) (Ishiuchi et al., 2015). The GFP-

positive fraction was about 0.1 % in WT ESCs, while remarkedly, *Ctbp1/2* DKO induced

a more than 50-fold increase of 2CLC (7.37%). To confirm the key markers of 2CLC, we

performed immunofluorescence analysis with anti-ZSCAN4, Mervl-GAG and OCT4

antibodies (Figure 1E). *Mervl*-EGFP-positive cells emerged by *Ctbp1/2* DKO expressed

ZSCAN4 and Mervl-GAG and lacked OCT4. Our data suggested that the downregulation

of *Ctbp1/2* might be involved in the zygotic genome activation *in vivo*. Consistent with

this idea, maternal mRNA of CtBP2 was reduced between the 2-cell to 4-cell stage based

on the single-cell RNA-Seq data of mouse early embryo (Gerlinger et al., 2014) (Figure

1F). However, the expression level of CtBP2 was maintained in spontaneous 2CLCs

(Figure 1G), suggesting that the molecular pathway triggered by the loss of *Ctbp1/2* in

the 2-cell embryo is stalled in spontaneous 2CLCs.

Figure 1. The disruption of *Ctbp1/2* expands the 2-cell-like cells

(A), (B) MA-plot showing mean expression and fold change per gene or repeat elements in *Ctbp1/2* DKO versus WT ESCs. Cut-off values were set to $0.05 \geq P$ and over 2-fold changes. Red and blue dots indicate upregulated genes and downregulated genes, respectively. (C) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using ZGA, and 2-cell enriched genes defined in Macfalan et al. (2012) (GSE33923) (Macfarlan et al., 2012a) to compare *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs with WT ESCs. (D) FACS analysis of MERVL::TurboGFP in WT ESCs and *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs. (E) MERVL::TurboGFP-positive cells were marked by

ZSCAN4 and Mervl-gag proteins and mutually exclusive with OCT4-positive cells. Scale

bar: 10 μm. (F) mRNA levels of *Ctbp2* in early mouse development based on the

published single-cell RNA-Seq data (Gerlinger et al., 2014). (G) Immunofluorescence for

CtBP2 (red) in WT ESCs with MERVL::TurboGFP (green). Scale bar: 10 μm.

2C program induced by Ctbp1/2 Deficiency partially depends on DUX

DUX, the homeobox transcription factor, is essential and sufficient for the emergence of

spontaneous 2CLCs from ESCs (De Iaco et al., 2017; Whiddon et al., 2017b). To

determine the dependency of the 2C-program driven by *Ctbp1/2* deficiency on DUX, we

deleted the *Dux* cluster locus in WT and *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs (Supplementary Figure 1C).

Firstly, we compared the population of MERVL-EGFP-positive cells between *Ctbp1/2*

DKO and *Ctbp1/2*/Dux TKO ESCs. Although *Dux* KO lost most of the MERVL-EGFP-

strong positive cells in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs, MERVL-EGFP-weak positive cells were

found in *Ctbp1/2*/*Dux* TKO ESCs (Figure 2A). We have analyzed the expression levels

of 2C genes in WT, *Dux* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and TKO ESCs using qRT-PCR. Upregulation

of Preferentially Expressed Antigen in Melanoma-like 7 (*Pramel7*) (Graf et al., 2017a),

which is upregulated at the 2C stage of the mouse embryo (Guo et al., 2019), by the loss

of *Ctbp1/2* was unaffected by *Dux* KO, while *Dux* KO downregulated the expression of

Zscan4c in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs (Figure 2B). Next, to determine the effect of the loss of

Ctbp1/2 and *Dux* in the transcriptional activity of MERVL-LTR, we performed a

luciferase assay using the reporter driven by MERVL-LTR. *Ctbp1/2* deficiency

significantly upregulated the reporter driven by MERVL-LTR, and the mutation of the

DUX-recognition sequence impaired this activation, but the activity was substantially

higher than those in WT ESCs (Supplementary Figure 2A). Furthermore, the activation

of MERVL-Luciferase (Luc) by the loss of *Ctbp1/2* was significantly suppressed by the

deletion of *Dux*. However, the reporter activity of MERVL-Luc and MERVL-Luc with

the mutation of the DUX-recognition sequence (DUXm) in *Ctbp1/2/Dux* TKO ESCs was

considerably higher than those in *Dux* KO ESCs. These findings suggest that the loss of

Ctbp1/2 activates the reporter activity of MERVL-Luc without the DUX function. qRT-

PCR analysis showed that the activation of *Zscan4c* is more strongly dependent on DUX

than the activation of *Mervl* and *Naalad2* by the loss of *Ctbp1/2*. Published ChIP-Seq data

(Hendrickson et al., 2017) of DUX showed that DUX is localized at two distinct regions

around the *Zscan4c* locus (Supplementary Figure 2B). No MERVL sequence was found

at the major peak of DUX-binding, and the weak peak of DUX-binding was localized in

the MERVL sequence. In contrast, all DUX-binding peaks around the *Naalad2* locus are

co-localized with the MERVL sequence. These findings suggested that *Zscan4c* is

regulated by the DUX-binding elements with or without MERVL, while only DUX-

binding elements with MERVL regulate *Naalad2*. To confirm these possibilities, we

examined the reporter activity driven by DUX-binding elements without MERVL and

with MERVL. Reporter activity driven by the DUX-binding element without MERVL

upstream of *Zscan4c* was upregulated by the loss of *Ctbp1/2*, and this activation was

cancelled entirely by the deletion of *Dux* (Supplementary Figure 2C). The reporter

activity driven by the DUX-binding element with MERVL at *Zscan4c* and *Naalad2* was

also upregulated by the *Ctbp1/2* disruption, and this upregulation was significantly but

not entirely repressed by the loss of *Dux*. Consistent with the results of MERVL-Luc,

these reporter activities in *Ctbp1/2/Dux* TKO ESCs were higher than those in *Dux* KO

ESCs.

Next, to identify the 2C genes whose expression is upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO without

DUX function, we performed RNA-Seq using WT, *Dux* KO, *Dppa2* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO,

Ctbp1/2/Dux KO and *Ctbp1/2/Dppa2* KO ESCs. DPPA2/DPPA4 complex is the upstream

element for the activation of *Dux* in ESCs (Eckersley-Maslin et al., 2018; Kreibich et al.,

2019). DUX and DPPA2-dependent upregulated genes by the loss of *Ctbp1/2* including

Zscan4 and *Pramef25* were enriched in Cluster 2, which was separated by K-means

clustering analysis (K=5) using top 2000 differentially expressed genes (Figure 2C).

Cluster 3, which was enriched with a group of genes whose expression is reduced by *Dux*

KO and this reduction is cancelled by *Ctbp1/2* DKO, contained KRAB-ZFP cluster

(*Zfp900/981/600/534/458*) (Wolf et al., 2020). Cluster 4, in which the genes are

upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO and TKO, contained the genes upregulated by ZGA

including *Pramel6/7*, *Pramef17*, *Cphx1/2/3* and *Crxos*. Interestingly, Cluster 5, enriched

with a group of genes whose expression was decreased by *Dppa2* KO and increased by

Ctbp1/2 DKO, was enriched in GO regarding reproduction including *Tex11*, *Dazl* and

Hormad1. To verify whether the gene expression changes in ESCs induced by *Ctbp1/2*

DKO reflect the gene expression dynamics in early embryos, we analyzed the expression

changes of genes belonging to Cluster 2 (DUX-dependent genes), Cluster 4 (DUX-

independent genes) and Cluster 5 (DPPA2-dependent genes) in early mouse embryo using

public RNA-Seq data (Gerlinger et al., 2014). We analyzed the expression dynamics of

Cluster 2 genes in early embryos by removing genes with low expression in early embryos

(1≧FPKM). Most genes were transiently upregulated in 2-4 cell stages. In contrast, K-

means clustering (K=6) analysis of cluster 4 showed that they were classified into two

major groups: maternal factors whose expression decreased after fertilization (Cluster1,

2) and genes whose expression was activated after ZGA (Cluster3-6). Transcriptome data

showed that repressed genes by *Dux* KO tended to be upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO

without DUX functions (Figure 2C). To validate the tendency of genes whose expression

is reduced by *Dux* KO to be activated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO in a DUX-independent manner,

we performed GSEA on *Ctbp1/2/Dux* TKO and *Dux* KO transcriptomes using the top 500

of downregulated genes by *Dux* KO as gene sets. We observed the significant enrichment

of the downregulated genes by the loss of *Dux* except for *Zscan4* in the upregulated genes

by *Ctbp1/2* DKO in the *Dux* KO ESCs (Figure 2D). Furthermore, the Venn diagram

overlapping the downregulated genes by *Dux* KO and the upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO

in *Dux* KO showed that most of the down-regulated genes by *Dux* KO are upregulated by

Ctbp1/2 DKO in *Dux* KO (Figure 2E). Comparison of the expression of repeat sequences

revealed that the Mervl elements (MERVL-int and MT2_MM) and major satellite repeats

(GSAT_MM) were upregulated by the loss of *Ctbp1/2*. The upregulation of Mervl

elements was in *Ctbp1/2* DKO significantly repressed by *Dux* KO, while the upregulation

of major satellite repeats by *Ctbp1/2* DKO was unaffected by *Dux* KO (Figure 2F).

Figure 2. *Ctbp1/2* disruption partially rescues the *Dux* KO phenotype in ESCs

(A) FACS analysis of MERVL::TurboGFP in WT, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and *Ctbp1/2*/*Dux* TKO

ESCs. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of *Dux*, *Zscan4c* and *Pramel7* in WT, *Dux* KO, *Ctbp1/2*

DKO and Ctbp1/2/*Dux* TKO ESCs. The ΔCt of each gene was calculated by subtracting

from the average Ct of Gapdh to that of each gene Ct. Means of ΔCt were calculated from

three biological triplicates. ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.005, *P < 0.05 (Tukey-Kramer

multiple comparison test). (C) K-means clustering analysis (K=5, N=2000) using RNA-

Seq data of WT, *Dux* KO, *Dppa2* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO, *Ctbp1/2*/*Dux* TKO and

Ctbp1/2/*Dppa2* TKO ESCs. K-means clustering analysis of the genes of Cluster2 and

Cluster4 using published single-cell RNA-Seq data of early mouse embryos (Gerlinger et

al., 2014). (D) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using down-regulated genes by Dux

KO (N=500) to compare *Ctbp1/2*/*Dux* TKO ESCs with *Dux* KO ESCs. (E) Venn diagram

illustrating the overlap between the down-regulated genes by *Dux* KO and the upregulated

genes by *Ctbp1/2* DKO in *Dux* KO. (F) Volcano plot showing differentially accumulated

repetitive elements between WT ESCs and *Dux* KO ESCs, WT ESCs and *Ctbp1/2* DKO

ESCs, *Dux* KO ESCs and *Ctbp1/2*/*Dux* TKO ESCs.

Dppa2 KO phenotype is partially rescued by the disruption of Ctbp1/2

K-means clustering analysis of transcriptome data using WT, *Ctbp1/2* DKO, *Dppa2* KO

and *Ctbp1/2*/*Dppa2* TKO ESCs revealed that down-regulated genes by *Dppa2* KO tend

to be upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO (Figure 2C) (Cluster 5). These genes were categorized

into two groups: maternally expressed genes and the genes activated after major ZGA

(Figure 3A). Comparing the expression changes caused by *Dppa2* KO in WT ESCs with

those caused by *Ctbp1/2* DKO in *Dppa2* KO ESCs showed a clear negative correlation

(Figure 3B, C). Germ-line-specific genes were enriched in the rescued gene category by

Ctbp1/2 DKO in *Dppa2* KO ESCs (Figure 3C). It was previously reported that DPPA2

safeguard against *de novo* DNA methylation through the establishment of H3K4me3

enrichment in ESCs cultured in 2i plus LIF condition (Gretarsson and

Hackett)(Eckersley-maslin et al.). We compared the DNA methylation status at around

CpG island of *Dazl* and promoter regions of LINE1 in WT, *Dppa2* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO,

Ctbp1/2/*Dppa2* TKO ESCs with serum LIF and 2i LIF conditions using bisulfite

sequencing analysis. DNA methylation levels were consistently elevated by *Dppa2* KO

in WT ESCs but not in *Ctbp1/2* DKO, and this tendency was more pronounced in ESCs

with 2iL than SL (Figure 3D). We compared the global DNA methylation levels in WT,

Dppa2 KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and *Ctbp1/2/Dppa2* TKO ESCs under 2i LIF condition using

reduced-representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS). Because it has been reported that

DPPA2 preferentially binds to CpG island and protects aberrant *de novo* DNA

methylation (Gretarsson and Hackett), we extracted the promoter region with CpG island

in which the DNA methylation levels were elevated by *Dppa2* KO in ESCs (Figure 3E).

Interestingly, the DNA methylation levels at almost all these promoter regions, including

meiosis-associated genes, *Dazl*, *Ddx4* and *Sycp1/2/3*, were reduced by the disruption of

Ctbp1/2 in *Dppa2* KO ESCs. (Figure 3E, F). To determine the molecular mechanism that

Ctbp1/2 disruption impaired the abnormal elevation of DNA methylation caused by

Dppa2 KO in ESCs, we compared the mRNA expression of the regulators of DNA

methylation and DNA methyltransferases by RT-qPCR analysis. *Pramel7,* which is

involved in degrading UHRF1 protein (Graf et al., 2017b), was significantly repressed in

Dppa2 KO ESCs, and this repression was entirely cancelled by *Ctbp1/2* disruption

(Figure 3G). Consistent with the expression of *Pramel7*, the protein level of UHRF1 was

elevated by *Dppa2* KO, and this up-regulation was diminished by *Ctbp1/2* disruption

(Figure 3H). RRBS and published ChIP-Seq data of DPPA2 (Engelen et al., 2015) showed

that DNA methylation level was elevated upstream region of *Pramel7* associated with

DPPA2-binding (Figure 3I), suggesting that DPPA2 maintain DNA hypomethylation

through the activation of *Pramel7* expression.

Figure 3. The loss of *Ctbp1/2* rescues abnormal DNA methylation by *Dppa2* KO.
(A) K-means clustering analysis of the genes of Cluster 5 (Figure 2C) using published single-cell RNA-Seq data of early mouse embryos (Gerlinger et al., 2014). (B) Heat map showing relative expression of all differentially expressed genes between WT and *Dppa2* KO or *Dppa2* KO and *Ctbp1/2/Dppa2* TKO ESCs. (C) The Venn diagram shows the overlapping between the down-regulated genes by *Dppa2* KO in WT ESCs and the upregulated genes by *Ctbp1/2* DKO in *Dppa2* KO ESCs. (D) Bisulfite sequencing of the promoter region of *Dazl* and *LINE1* in WT, *Dppa2* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and *Ctbp1/2/Dppa2* TKO ESCs under Serum LIF and 2i LIF. ***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.005$, *$P < 0.05$ (Mann-Whitney U-test). (E) Venn diagram showing the overlapping between the region with CpG island in which DNA methylation is elevated by *Dppa2* KO in ESCs and the region with CpG island in which Ctbp1/2 DKO reduces DNA methylation in *Dppa2* KO ESCs. (F) Gene track representing the methylation levels derived from RRBS at *Dazl*, *Ddx4*, *Ric3* and *Twist2* in WT, *Dppa2* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and *Ctbp1/2/Dppa2* TKO ESCs under 2iL. (G) Heat map showing the qRT-PCR data of the regulators of DNA methylation and DNA methyltransferases in WT, *Dppa2* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and *Ctbp1/2/Dppa2* TKO ESCs. (H) Western blotting of UHRF1 and TUBULIN in WT, *Dppa2* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and *Ctbp1/2/Dppa2* TKO ESCs. (I) Gene track representing the DPPA2-binding peaks reconstructed using published data (Engelen et al., 2015) and the methylation levels derived from RRBS upstream of *Pramel7* in WT, *Dppa2* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and *Ctbp1/2/Dppa2* TKO ESCs.

The loss of Ctbp1/2 controls two genetic cascades for ZGA: DUX-dependent and DUX-independent

Next, to identify the direct target of CtBP1/2 controlling the emergence of MERVL-EGFP-positive cells, we established *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs carrying the expression unit of *Ctbp2* regulated by the doxycycline administration. We traced the expression dynamics of some key genes associated with zygotic genome activation (ZGA) using qRT-PCR analysis. *Dux*, *Mervl*, *Zscan4c* and *Zfp352* were significantly decreased from 3 to 9 days

after induction of *Ctbp2* in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs, while these genes were upregulated

from 3 to 9 days (d12-d18) after the depletion of *Ctbp2* expression (Figure 4A). In

contrast, *Pramel7* was sharply downregulated (d0-d3) or upregulated (d9-d12) after

Ctbp2 induction or depletion in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs. These findings suggested that 2-

cell associated genes upregulated by the loss of *Ctbp1/2* are classified into two

categories: CtBP1/2-indirect target genes such as *Dux*, *Mervl*, *Zscan4c* and *Zfp352*, and

CtBP1/2-direct target genes such as *Pramel7*. To identify the direct and indirect targets

of CtBP2, we performed RNA-Seq using *Ctbp1/2* conditional KO (cKO) ESCs.

Principal component analysis (PCA) revealed that the global changes of gene

expression profiled by the short-term induction (d0-d3) or depletion (d9-d12) of *Ctbp2*

in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs contributed PC1 axis in the opposite direction (Figure 4B). On

the other hand, the global gene expression changes profiled by the long-term induction

(d3-d9) or depletion of *Ctbp2* (d12-d18) in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs contributed to the PC2

axis in the opposite direction. K-means clustering analysis revealed that CtBP2 rapidly

and positively regulated the genes associated with system development, including

Ascl2, *Zic1/4* and *Olig1/3* in ESCs (Figure 4C). Interestingly, the downregulated genes

by CtBP1/2 were separated into two groups. The genes in cluster 2 containing major

2C-associated genes, including *Dux*, *Zscan4*, *Sp110*, *Zfp352* and *Gm4340,* were

repressed 3 to 9 days after *Ctbp2* induction (Figure 4C). Other ZGA-associated genes,

Pramel6/7 and *Cphx1/2/3,* were in cluster 3, in which the genes were rapidly

downregulated or upregulated by the loss or gain of *Ctbp2*. Next, to extract the direct or

indirect targets of CtBP2, we compared a group of genes rapidly or slowly repressed by

Ctbp2 induction with a group of genes that are rapidly or delay activated by *Ctbp2*

depletion, respectively (Figure 4D, E). We defined overlapped genes as the candidates

for the direct target (rapid response genes) and indirect target of CtBP2 (delayed

response), and we displayed the RNA-Seq data of parts of these genes in the heatmap

(Figure 4F). Almost all rapid response genes and over half of the delayed response

genes were upregulated by the loss of CtBP2 without DUX function (Figure 4G). Next,

we overlapped the genes that CtBP2 is localized at around the transcription start site

(TSS) with rapid and delayed response genes using a Venn diagram using public ChIP-

seq data of CtBP2 in ESCs (Network et al., 2015) (Figure 4H). CtBP2-binding peaks

around the TSS of nearly half of the direct candidate genes for CTBP2, whereas

CTBP2-binding peaks have only been observed around the TSS of two indirect target

genes for CTBP2. Next, we displayed the ChIP-Seq track of DUX- and CtBP2-binding

peaks and H3K27Ac, a typical enhancer mark (Hendrickson et al., 2017) (Network et

al., 2015) (Zhang et al., 2020), at around the *Pramel7*, *Pou2f3* (rapid response genes)

and *Duxf3*, *Zscan4*c (delayed response genes) (Figure 3I). CtBP2 was localized within

the H3K27Ac-enriched distal enhancer of *Pramel7* and *Pou2f3*. In contrast, CtBP2-

binding peaks were absent, and DUX-binding peaks were localized around the TSS of

Duxf3 and *Zsacn4c*. To determine the direct effect of CtBP2 at the distal enhancer of

Pramel7, we analyzed the effect of CtBP2 overexpression against the transcriptional

activity driven by the distal enhancer of *Pramel7* in WT and *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs

(Figure 4J). Luciferase activity was activated in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs compared with

those in WT ESCs, and the CtBP2 overexpression completely diminished this

activation. In summary, our findings indicate that the loss of CtBP1/2 controls two

pathways regulating 2C programs: one mediated by *Dux* activation (*Zscan4c* and

Usp17lc) and the other directly activated by the loss of CtBP1/2 (*Prame7* and *Pou2f3)*.

Figure 4. The loss of *Ctbp1/2* controls two genetic cascades for ZGA.

(A) RT-qPCR analysis of *Dux*, *Mervl*, *Zscan4c Zfp352* and *Pramel7* in *Ctbp1/2* DKO

ESCs without Dox or with Dox. The ΔCt of each gene was calculated by subtracting the

average Ct of Gapdh from that of each gene Ct. Means of ΔCt were calculated from

three biological triplicates. ***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.005$, *$P < 0.05$ (Tukey-Kramer

multiple comparison test). (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) of *Ctbp1/2* DKO

ESCs without Dox or with Dox. (C) K-means clustering analysis of *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs

without Dox or with Dox using the top 1000 differentially expressed genes. (D) Venn

diagram shows the overlapping of down-regulated genes three days after Ctbp2

induction and upregulated genes three days after *Ctbp2* removal. (E) Venn diagram

showing the overlapping of down-regulated genes whose expression is down-regulated

from 3 days to 9 days after *Ctbp2* induction and upregulated genes whose expression is

upregulated from 3 days to 9 days after *Ctbp2* removal. (F) Heatmap showing the

selected genes from K-means clustering analysis (C). Venn diagram shows the

overlapping of upregulated genes by Ctbp1/2 DKO in Dux KO ESCs with rapid or

gradual responses against *Ctbp2* expression. (H) Venn diagram shows the overlapping

of the genes with CtBP2-binding with rapid or gradual responses against *Ctbp2*

expression. (I) Gene track of ChIP-Seq data of H3K27Ac (GSM4205678), DUX

(GSM2525771) and CtBP2 (ERR532426) around *Pramel7*, *Pou2f3*, *Duxf3* and *Zscan4c*.

The red bar indicates the region used for luciferase assay in (J). (J) The effect of CtBP2

overexpression for the enhancer activity of *Pramel7* distal enhancer (I) in *Ctbp1/2* DKO

ESCs. Relative luciferase activity was calculated with the values of the empty vector as

1. Means of relative luciferase activity were calculated from three biological triplicates.

***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.005$, *$P < 0.05$ (Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test).

Prame family control genetic program of ZGA: DUX-dependent and -independent

Pramel7 belongs to the *Prame* gene family, which is restricted to the testis and various

cancers (Kern et al., 2021). Because *PRAME* is one of the most amplified gene families

in mammals and is considered the third largest gene family in the mouse genome

(Church et al., 2009), we focused on the expression of the *Prame* gene family in

Ctbp1/2 DKO ESCs. We collected the amino acid sequences of the PRAME family

protein annotated on the mm10 genome sequence and created the phylogenetic tree

(Supplementary Figures 3A and B). The *Prame* family genes were clustery located at

six distinct chromosomes, and high similarity of PRAME family proteins tended to be

found on the same chromosome. Next, we selected the expression levels of *Prame*

family genes in WT, *Dux* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and TKO from RNA-Seq data and

displayed the hierarchical clustering data in the heatmap (Figure 5A). Most *Prame*

family genes, except for *Lrrc14*, *Lrrc14b*, and *D5Ertd577e* (*Pramel48*), were

upregulated in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs. According to gene expression patterns, the genes of

the PRAME family can be grouped into four categories. In the first category, including

Lrrc14, there are genes whose expression is unaffected by *Ctbp1/2* DKO. In the second

category, including *Pramel6* and *Pramel14*, the genes upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO

were further elevated by *Dux* KO. In the third category, including *Pramel19* and

Pramel39, the genes upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO were completely repressed by *Dux*

KO (DUX-dependent *Prame* family genes). In the fourth category, including *Pramel7*

and *Pramel12*, the genes upregulated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO were unaffected by *Dux* KO

(DUX-independent *Prame* family genes). Next, we analyzed the expression dynamics

of *Prame* family genes in the early mouse embryo using public scRNA-Seq data

(Gerlinger et al., 2014). *Pramel19* and *Pramel39*, DUX-dependent *Prame* family genes,

were transiently upregulated at the mid 2-cell stage after transient upregulation of *Dux*

at the early 2-cell stage. DUX-independent *Prame* family genes were subdivided into

three categories. The first category, including *Pramel6* and *Pramel7*, adjacent to

chromosome 2, were gradually upregulated from mid 2-cell to peak expression at ICM.

The genes, including *Pramel48* and *Pramel13* in the second category, were not

upregulated by ZGA. The genes belonging to the third category, including *Pramel14*

and *Pramel31,* were activated soon after ZGA and continued to be expressed until 4 cell

embryos. Because it has been reported that *Pramel7*, which is upregulated by the loss of

Ctbp1/2 and ZGA not depending on DUX function, is critical for early mouse

development (Graf et al., 2017a), we focused on the PRAMEL7 functions on the

emergence of the 2CLCs from pluripotent stem cells. We generated ESCs with forced

expression of *Pramel7* with or without *Dux* KO (Figure 5C, D). *Pramel7*

overexpression expanded 2CLCs, and this expansion was completely cancelled by the

Dux KO (Figure 5E, F). Gene set enrichment analysis comparing WT and *Pramel7*-

overexpressing ESCs using the upregulated genes by the loss of *Ctbp1/2* showed that

the upregulated genes by the loss of *Ctbp1/2* tended to be upregulated by *Pramel7*

overexpression (Figure 5G). Germline-specific genes and ZGA-associated genes were

consistently upregulated by the loss of *Ctbp1/2* and *Pramel7* overexpression (Figure

5H). Some sets of ZGA-associated genes, including *Crxos*, *Cphx1* and *Klf17,* were only

upregulated by the loss of *Ctbp1/2*, suggesting that the loss of *Ctbp1/2* controls two

genetic cascades: PRAMEL7-dependent and PRAMEL7-independent pathway. Next,

we separated genes into five categories using K-means clustering analysis based on the

gene expression profiles in WT, *Dux* KO, *Pramel7*-OE and *Pramel7*-OE/*Dux* KO ESCs

(Figure 5I). The first category included the ZGA-associated genes whose expression

was upregulated by PRAMEL7 depending on DUX functions. Most genes belonging to

the first cluster were transiently upregulated at mid 2-cell embryos soon after the

transient upregulation of *Dux,* such as the *Zscan4* family; the rest genes were

upregulated after eight-cell embryos (Figure 5J). *Dux* expression was elevated in

PRAMEL7-overexpressing ESCs (Figure 5K), and enhancer activity was also

upregulated by PRAMEL7 overexpression (Figure 5L), suggesting that PRAMEL7

controls genetic program for 2CLCs through the upregulation of *Dux* expression in

ESCs. Next, we focused on cluster 2, in which the genes were downregulated by *Dux*

KO and this downregulation was diminished by PRAMEL7 overexpression (Figure 5I).

Cluster 2 was subdivided into two groups based on the gene expression dynamics in

early mouse development (Figure 5M). The first groups, clusters 1 and 2, contained the

maternal factor, including *Dazl*, *Zp3,* and *Mael*. The second group consisted of clusters

3, 4, 5 and 6, in which genes were upregulated after ZGA, including *Pramef17*, *Zp600*

and *Gata6*.

Figure 5. PRMAEL7 controls two genetic cascades for ZGA.

(A) The heatmap showing the hierarchical clustering of the expressions of Preme family genes using RNA-Seq data in WT, *Dux* KO, *Ctbp1/2* DKO and *Ctbp1/2*/*Dux* KO ESCs. (B) The heatmap shows the hierarchical clustering of the expressions of Preme family genes using public single-cell RNA-Seq data in early mouse embryos (Gerlinger et al., 2014). (C) Western blotting anti-FLAG (FLAG-PRAMEL) antibody using lysates of WT, PRAMEL7-overexpressing, *Dux* KO and PRAMEL7-overexpressing *Dux* KO ESCs. (D)

Genotype PCR to confirm the large deletion of the *Dux* cluster. (E) Dark fields image

visualizing *Mervl*-EGFP signals in WT, PRAMEL7-overexpressing, *Dux* KO and

PRAMEL7-overexpressing *Dux* KO ESCs. (F) FACS analysis measuring the percentage

of *Mervl*-EGFP-positive cells in WT, PRAMEL7-overexpressing, *Dux* KO and

PRAMEL7-overexpressing *Dux* KO ESCs. (G) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

using the upregulated genes by the *Ctbp1/2* disruption to compare the gene expression of

PRMAEL7-overexpressing ESCs with WT ESCs. (H) Venn diagram showing the

overlapping of the upregulated genes by the *Ctbp1/2* disruption in ESCs with the

upregulated genes by PRAMEL7 overexpression in ESCs. (I) K-means clustering (K=5)

of the gene expression in WT, PRAMEL7-overexpressing, *Dux* KO, and PRAMEL7-

overexpressing *Dux* KO ESCs using top 2000 differentially expressed genes. (J and M)

K-means clustering analysis of the genes of Cluster 1 and Cluster 4 using published

single-cell RNA-Seq data of early mouse embryo(Gerlinger et al., 2014) (K) RT-qPCR

analysis of *Dux* in WT and PRAMEL7-overexpressing ESCs. ΔCt value was calculated

by subtracting the Ct value of *Dux* from that of *Gapdh*. The means of ΔCt was calculated

from three biological triplicates. ***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.005$, *$P < 0.05$ (Tukey-Kramer

multiple comparison test). (L) The effect of PRAMEL7 overexpression against luciferase

activity regulated by the *Dux* enhancer region. Relative luciferase activity was calculated

by setting the luciferase activity of the empty vector as 1. The means of relative luciferase

activity was calculated from three biological triplicates. ***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.005$, *$P

< 0.05$ (Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test).

Dux controls a part of genetic cascades for the ZGA program through the PRAMEL7

functions

We generated *Pramel7* KO ESCs and compared the gene expression profiles of WT,

Pramel7 KO, *Dux* KO, *Pramel7*-OE and *Pramel7*-OE/*Dux* KO ESCs (Supplementary

Figure 1D). Hierarchical clustering and principal component analyses showed similar

gene expression profiles of *Pramel7* KO ESCs and *Dux* ESCs (Figure 6A, B).

Furthermore, the upregulated or down-regulated genes by *Dux* KO tended to be

upregulated or down-regulated by *Pramel7* KO based on the GSEA analysis (Figure

6C, D). Venn diagram using the upregulated or downregulated genes by *Dux* KO and

Pramel7 showed the shared genes and independent genes regulated by DUX and

PRAMEL7 (Figure 6E, F). The genes associated with ZGA were separated into DUX-

dependent (*Zscan4* family) and DUX/PRAMEL7-dependent (*Cphx* and *Zfp534/600*). K-

means clustering analysis of WT, *Dux* KO, *Pramel7* KO, *Pramel7*-OE and *Pramel7*-

OE/*Dux* KO showed that the commonly downregulated genes by *Dux* KO and *Pramel7*

KO (Cluster 3 and 5), including (*Zfp600*, *Pou2f2* and *Fkbp6*, *Xlr3c*) were upregulated

by PRAMEL7 overexpression in *Dux* KO ESCs (Figure 6G and H). *Pramel7* expression

was significantly reduced in *Dux* KO ESCs (Figure 6I), and the down-regulated genes

in *Dux* KO ESCs were substantially upregulated by PRAMEL7 overexpression (Figure

6J and K), which indicates that the parts of *Dux* KO phenotypes depend on the

reduction of *Pramel7* expression.

Figure 6. DUX regulates parts of the genetic program for ZGA by activating

Pramel7.

(A) Hierarchical clustering analysis of WT ESCs, *Pramel7* KO ESCs, *Dux* KO ESCs,

PRMAEL7-overexpressing ESCs and PRMAEL7-overexpressing *Dux* KO ESCs based

on the gene expression. (B) Principal component analysis of WT ESCs, *Pramel7* KO

ESCs, *Dux* KO ESCs, PRMAEL7-overexpressing ESCs and PRMAEL7-overexpressing

Dux KO ESCs based on the gene expression. (C) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

using the down-regulated genes by the *Dux* KO to compare the gene expression of

Pramel7 KO ESCs with WT ESCs. (D) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the

upregulated genes by the *Dux* KO to compare the gene expression of *Pramel7* KO ESCs

with WT ESCs. (E) Venn diagram showing the overlapping of the down-regulated genes

by *Dux* KO in ESCs with the down-regulated genes by *Pramel7* KO in ESCs. (F) Venn

diagram showing the overlapping of the upregulated genes by *Dux* KO in ESCs with the

upregulated genes by *Pramel7* KO in ESCs. (G) K-means clustering (K=8) of the gene

expression in WT ESCs, *Dux* KO ESCs, *Pramel7* KO ESCs, *Prame7*-overexpressing

ESCs and *Prame7*-overexpressing *Dux* KO ESCs using the top 2000 differentially

expressed genes. (H) Heatmap showing the selected genes from K-means clustering

analysis (G). (I) qRT-PCR analysis of *Pramel7* in wild type and *Dux* KO ESCs. ΔCt value

was calculated by subtracting the Ct value of *Pramel7* from that of *Gapdh*. The means of

ΔCt was calculated from three biological triplicates. ****P* < 0.001, ***P* < 0.005, **P* <

0.05 (Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test). (J) Venn diagram showing the

overlapping of the down-regulated genes by *Dux* KO in ESCs with the upregulated genes

by PRAMEL7 overexpression in *Dux* KO ESCs. (K) Gene set enrichment analysis

(GSEA) using the down-regulated genes by the *Dux* KO to compare the gene expression

of PRMAEL7-overexpressing in *Dux* KO ESCs with *Dux* KO ESCs.

Discussion

In this study, we revealed that the disruption of *Ctbp1/2* in ESCs activates DUX-dependent and independent molecular cascades to establish totipotent cells. Most DUX-dependent genes activated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO are transiently expressed at around 2C stage embryo, while DUX-independent genes activated by *Ctbp1/2* DKO are categorized into two groups: Maternal expressed genes and the genes upregulated after major ZGA, some of which are regulated by DPPA2. PRAMEL7, which is directly activated by the disruption of *Ctbp1/2* in a DUX-independent manner, controls DUX-dependent genetic cascades through DUX activation and DUX-independent genetic cascades. We present new insights into the DUX-independent molecular pathways ensuring the early mouse embryo.

Our data clearly showed that the reduction of CtBP protein levels in ESCs activates a part of the genetic program regulating the development of early mouse embryos. scRNA-seq data of early mouse embryos shows that a large amount of *Ctbp2* mRNA is maternally deposited in unfertilized eggs and significantly reduced after fertilization (Gerlinger et al., 2014). In contrast, the protein level of CtBP2 was consistent between the 2CLCs that emerged from pluripotent stem cells and pluripotent stem cells, indicating that the expression levels of CtBP proteins are quite different between 2C embryos and 2CLCs. This difference may explain the dependence of the emergence of 2CLC and early mouse development on DUX functions. However, the molecular mechanism of the clearance of *Ctbp2* mRNA after fertilization is currently unclear.

Transcriptome analysis of several knockout lines of ESCs provided evidence that the

activated genes by the disruption of *Ctbp1/2* are subdivided into three groups: both DUX

and DPPA2-dependent genes, DPPA2-dependent genes and both DUX and DPPA2-

independent genes. DUX-and DPPA2-dependent genes were enriched in the transient

upregulated genes at 2C embryo, including the *Zscan4* family. In contrast, DUX and

DPPA2-independent genes contained maternal factors and the upregulated genes after

ZGA in the early mouse embryo. A previous study has shown that totipotent-like stem

cells (TLSCs) induced by the chemical inhibitors of DOT1L, KDM5B and G9a/GLP

expressed a large number of minor and major ZGA genes and maternal genes (Yang et

al., 2022). The re-establishment of broad H3K4me3 domains induced by the inhibition of

KDM5B is thought to be involved in the upregulation of maternal genes. However,

because TLSCs were not established using *Dux* KO ESCs, the role of DUX in the

transition from ESCs to TLSCs and the upregulation of maternal genes are not largely

unknown. Our data demonstrate that the upregulation of maternal genes by *Ctbp1/2* DKO

does not depend on DUX function and is partially regulated by *Pramel7* induction.

Prame is one of the most amplified gene families in mammals, and the mouse *Prame*

gene family is the third largest family in the genome (Chang et al., 2011). We presented

that the disruption of *Ctbp1/2* activates many *Prame* family genes in ESCs, some of which

are upregulated by DUX function and the rest directly by CtBP1/2. Interestingly, *Prame*

genes that depend on DUX are transiently upregulated at 2-cell embryos, while *Prame*

genes independent of DUX are upregulated after major ZGA. Many *Prame* genes are

repressed soon after induction of CtBP2 in *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs, indicating that CtBP1/2

directly repress *Prame* family genes in ESCs. However, the positive regulators of *Prame*

family genes are largely unknown. Expression of *Prame* family genes has been

discovered in various cancer and germline cells (Kern et al., 2021), and the expression

pattern of *Prame* family genes is similar to that of *Pou5f1* (Oct4) (Bortvin et al., 2003),

suggesting that transcriptional network centred upon OCT4 is a positive regulator of

Prame family genes.

Although DUX is a master regulator for the transition from pluripotent stem cells into

2CLC, *Dux* KO embryos are normally born and fertile. The data presented here show that

most of the *Dux* KO phenotype is rescued by PRAMEL7 overexpression in ESCs. It has

been reported that *Prame* family genes are upregulated from the early phase of 2CLC

emergence traced by the *Zscan4* and *Mervl* reporter system based on the scRNA-seq

(Rodriguez-Terrones et al., 2018b)(Eckersley-Maslin et al., 2016) and MERVL-positive

2CLCs are eliminated by *Dux* KO (De Iaco et al., 2017). Based on these findings, we

speculate that DUX controls two major genetic cascades for the development of early

mouse embryos: direct transcriptional regulation and PRAME-mediated transcriptional

regulation. *Dux* KO ESCs showed a significant reduction of *Pramel7* transcription, while

the expression of *Pramel7* is normally activated in *Dux* KO embryos at the mid 2-cell

stage (Guo et al., 2019). These findings suggest that the DUX-independent mechanism

activates the *Prame* family *in vivo*, and this mechanism might be induced in ESCs by the

disruption of *Ctbp1/2*.

Our results provide new insights into understanding DUX-independent genetic cascades,

ensuring the development of early mouse embryos. Although the visualization of 2CLC

marked by the transcription of MERVL contributed to identifying the regulators for the

transition between pluripotent stem cells and 2CLCs, the knockout phenotypes of the

identified factors were functionally dependent on DUX. Developing the visualization

system for new 2CLC regulating by DUX-independent genetic cascades in ESCs will be

needed.

Material and Methods

Cell Culture

E14tg2a ESCs (RIKEN Cell Bank) were cultured in Glasgow minimum essential medium

(GMEM; Wako) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Invitrogen), 1 mM glutamine

(Wako), nonessential amino acids (Wako) and 500 μM Monothioglycerol Solution

(Wako). The culture was supplemented with 1000 U/ml of leukaemia inhibitory factor

(LIF) (Wako) in the absence of feeder cells. ESCs were cultured in N2B27 medium with

2i (PD0325901, 0.4 μM: WAKO, CHIR99021, 3 μM: Sigma-Aldrich).

Generation of knockout ESCs using a CRISPR-Cas9 system

Guide RNAs for *Ctbp1/2*, *Dux* and *Pramel7* were generated in pX330-U6- Chimeric_BB-

CBh-hSpCas9 (Addgene plasmid #42230; deposited by Feng Zhang; Cong et al., 2013).

The guide RNA sequences are listed in Table S1. The pX330 was co-transfected with

pCAG-EGFP into E14tg2a with MERVL::TurboGFP (Addgene plasmid #69072;

deposited by Maria-Elena Torres-Padilla; (Ishiuchi et al., 2015). The GFP-positive

fraction was sorted by Cell Sorter (No. SH800; Sony Biotechnology, Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

Genomic DNA deletions were sequenced (Supplementary Figure 1).

qRT-PCR and RNA-Seq

Total mRNA was purified with a PureLink™ RNA Mini Kit (Ambion, Inc.). For

quantitative RT-PCR, cDNA was synthesized by ReverTra Ace® qPCR RT master Mix

(Toyobo), and then analyzed by qPCR with FastStart SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche)

using a specific primer set for each gene (Supplementary Table X). RNA-seq libraries

were generated using Collibri™ Stranded RNA library prep for illumina™ Systems

(ThermoFisher Scientific) and sequenced using HiSeq X™ Ten (Illumina) (150-bp

paired-ends reads).

Data analysis of RNA-Seq

Total mRNA was purified with a PureLink™ RNA Mini Kit (Ambion, Inc.). RNA-seq

libraries were generated using Collibri™ Stranded RNA library prep for illumina™

Systems (ThermoFisher Scientific) and sequenced using HiSeq X™ Ten (Illumina).

Reads were aligned by Hisat2 to the Mus musculus genome (mm10). Raw read counts of

genes were calculated by R package FeatureCounts using mm10 KnownGenes. GTF from

UCSC for annotation. Normalized count data, principal component and K-means

clustering analysis were exported by the interactive Expression and Pathway analysis

(iDEP) webserver using the count data or normalized expression data of early mouse

embryos (Ge et al., 2018). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was used to determine

whether upregulated genes by *Ctbp1/2* knockouts were enriched for the upregulated genes

in 2-cell embryos or 2CLCs as described previously (Macfarlan et al., 2012b). Venn

diagrams showing overlapping genes were constructed by BioVenn, a web application

(Hulsen et al., 2008).

Bisulfite sequencing of specific genes and RRBS

Genomic DNA was purified using Wizard® SV Genomic DNA Purification System

(Promega). For bisulfite sequencing of specific genes, the unmethylated cytosine of the

genomic DNA was converted to uracil using EpiTect Bisulfite Kit (QIAGEN) according

to the manufacturer's instructions. Bisulfited DNA was amplified by the region-specific

primer, followed by the sequencing. According to the manufacturer's instructions, DNA

libraries for RRBS analysis were prepared using the Premium RRBS kit V2 and

sequenced using HiSeq X^{TM} Ten (Illumina) (150-bp paired-ends reads). RRBS reads

were trimmed using trim galore (www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/

trim_galore/) with the RRBS option invoked. Bismark was used to align reads to UCSC

version mm10 of the mouse genome. CpG methylation was extracted using

bismark_methylation_extractor and displayed by Broad Institute's Integrative Genomic

Viewer (IGV) (Robinson et al., 2011).

Immunofluorescence analysis

Cells were fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 20 min, followed by incubation with

PBS containing 0.5% Triton-X at 4°C for 5 min. Permeabilized cells were incubated with

a primary antibody solution containing anti-GFP (Nacarai Tesque, 04404-84, 1:500) with

anti-ZSCAN4 (Merk Millipore, AB4340, 1:1000) or anti-MuERVL-Gag (Epigentek, A-

2801-050, 1:250) or anti-OCT4 (Santa Cruz, Sc-8628, 1:500) or anti-CtBP2 (BE

Biosciences, 612044, 1:500) followed by secondary antibody solution containing anti-

Rat IgG Dylight^{TM} 488 antibody (Rockland, 612-141-120, 1:500) or anti-Rabbit IgG

Dylight^{TM} 549 antibody (KPL, 042-04-15-06, 1:500) or anti-Mouse IgG Dylight® 550

antibody (Abcam, ab96876, 1:500) or anti-Goat IgG Dylight^{TM} 549 antibody (Rockland,

605-742-002, 1:500) with 1 μg/ml of DAPI solution (DOJINDO, 340-07971).

Luciferase assay

The enhancer candidate region of *Pramel7* or *Dux* was cloned into pGL4.23, driving the

luciferase gene. Wild-type or *Ctbp1/2* DKO ESCs were transfected with the reported

constructs with *Ctbp2* or *Pramel7* expression vector using HilyMax (DOJINDO). Cells

were harvested 36 hours after transfection, and the luciferase activities were measured

using the Dual-luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega).

Western blotting analysis

Cells were lysed by boiling SDS sample buffer (WAKO). Before lysed proteins were

applied to polyacrylamide-SDS gels, 2-mercaptoethanol was added to denature the

proteins. The lysed proteins were separated on polyacrylamide-SDS gels, blotted on a

polyvinylidene fluoride membrane, and probed using the following primary antibodies:

anti-UHRF1 (MBL, D289-3, 1:1000) or anti-TUBLIN (SIGMA, T5168, 1:5000).

Following the primary antibody reaction, the membrane were incubated with horseradish

peroxidase (HRP)-coupled secondary antibodies. All antibodies were detected with

Immunostar LD (WAKO).

References

Bortvin, A., Eggan, K., Skaletsky, H., Akutsu, H., Berry, D. L., Yanagimachi, R.,
Page, D. C. and Jaenisch, R. (2003). Incomplete reactivation of Oct4-related genes
in mouse embryos cloned from somatic nuclei. *Development* **130**, 1673–1680.

Chang, T. C., Yang, Y., Yasue, H., Bharti, A. K., Retzel, E. F. and Liu, W. S. (2011).
The expansion of the PRAME gene family in Eutheria. *PLoS One* **6**,.

Chen, Z. and Zhang, Y. (2019). Loss of DUX causes minor defects in zygotic genome
activation and is compatible with mouse development. *Nat Genet*.

De Iaco, A., Planet, E., Coluccio, A., Verp, S., Duc, J. and Trono, D. (2017). DUX-
family transcription factors regulate zygotic genome activation in placental
mammals. *Nat Genet* **49**, 941–945.

Eckersley-maslin, M. A., Parry, A., Blotenburg, M., Krueger, C., Ito, Y., Nila, V.,
Franklin, R., Narita, M., Santos, C. S. D. and Reik, W. facilitates multi-lineage
commitment.

Eckersley-Maslin, M. A., Svensson, V., Krueger, C., Stubbs, T. M., Giehr, P., Krueger,
F., Miragaia, R. J., Kyriakopoulos, C., Berrens, R. V., Milagre, I., et al. (2016).
MERVL/Zscan4 Network Activation Results in Transient Genome-wide DNA
Demethylation of mESCs. *Cell Rep* **17**, 179–192.

Eckersley-maslin, M., Alda-Catalinas, C., Blotenburg, M. and Kreibich, E. (2018).
Dppa2 and Dppa4 directly regulate the Dux driven zygotic transcriptional
programme. 1–26.

Engelen, E., Brandsma, J. H., Moen, M. J., Signorile, L., Dekkers, D. H. W.,
Demmers, J., Kockx, C. E. M., Ozgür, Z., Van Ijcken, W. F. J., Van Den Berg,
D. L. C., et al. (2015). Proteins that bind regulatory regions identified by histone
modification chromatin immunoprecipitations and mass spectrometry. *Nat Commun*
6,.

Ge, S. X., Son, E. W. and Yao, R. (2018). iDEP: An integrated web application for
differential expression and pathway analysis of RNA-Seq data. *BMC Bioinformatics*
19, 1–24.

Gerlinger, M., Swanton, C., Greaves, M. and Maley, C. C. (2014). Single-Cell RNA-
Seq Reveals. **343**,.

Graf, U., Casanova, E. A., Wyck, S., Dalcher, D., Gatti, M., Vollenweider, E.,

Okoniewski, M. J., Weber, F. A., Patel, S. S., Schmid, M. W., et al. (2017a).
Pramel7 mediates ground-state pluripotency through proteasomal-epigenetic
combined pathways. *Nat Cell Biol* **19**, 763–773.

Graf, U., Casanova, E. A., Wyck, S., Dalcher, D., Gatti, M., Vollenweider, E.,
Okoniewski, M. J., Weber, F. A., Patel, S. S., Schmid, M. W., et al. (2017b).
Pramel7 mediates ground-state pluripotency through proteasomal-epigenetic
combined pathways. *Nat Cell Biol* **19**, 763–773.

Gretarsson, K. H. and Hackett, J. A. epigenome for development. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.*

Grow, E. J., Weaver, B. D., Smith, C. M., Guo, J., Stein, P., Shadle, S. C.,
Hendrickson, P. G., Johnson, N. E., Butterfield, R. J., Menafra, R., et al. (2021).
p53 convergently activates Dux/DUX4 in embryonic stem cells and in
facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy cell models. *Nat Genet* **53**, 1207–1220.

Guo, M., Zhang, Y., Zhou, J., Bi, Y., Xu, J., Xu, C., Kou, X., Zhao, Y., Li, Y., Tu, Z.,
et al. (2019). Precise temporal regulation of Dux is important for embryo
development. *Cell Res* **7**,.

Hendrickson, P. G., Doráis, J. A., Grow, E. J., Whiddon, J. L., Lim, J.-W., Wike, C.
L., Weaver, B. D., Pflueger, C., Emery, B. R., Wilcox, A. L., et al. (2017).
Conserved roles of mouse DUX and human DUX4 in activating cleavage-stage
genes and MERVL/HERVL retrotransposons. *Nat Genet.*

Hu, Z., Tan, D. E. K., Chia, G., Tan, H., Leong, H. F., Chen, B. J., Lau, M. S., Tan,
K. Y. S., Bi, X., Yang, D., et al. (2020). Maternal factor NELFA drives a 2C-like
state in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol* **22**, 175–186.

Hulsen, T., de Vlieg, J. and Alkema, W. (2008). BioVenn - A web application for the
comparison and visualization of biological lists using area-proportional Venn
diagrams. *BMC Genomics* **9**, 1–6.

Ishiuchi, T., Enriquez-Gasca, R., Mizutani, E., Bošković, A., Ziegler-Birling, C.,
Rodriguez-Terrones, D., Wakayama, T., Vaquerizas, J. M. and Torres-Padilla,
M.-E. (2015). Early embryonic-like cells are induced by downregulating
replication-dependent chromatin assembly. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.*

Kern, C. H., Yang, M. and Liu, W. S. (2021). The PRAME family of cancer testis
antigens is essential for germline development and gametogenesis. *Biol Reprod* **105**,
290–304.

Kreibich, E., Blotenburg, M., Alda-Catalinas, C., Reik, W., Krueger, C. and

Eckersley-Maslin, M. (2019). Dppa2 and Dppa4 directly regulate the Dux-driven
zygotic transcriptional program. *Genes Dev* **33**, 194–208.

Macfarlan, T. S., Gifford, W. D., Driscoll, S., Lettieri, K., Rowe, H. M., Bonanomi,
D., Firth, A., Singer, O., Trono, D. and Pfaff, S. L. (2012a). Embryonic stem cell
potency fluctuates with endogenous retrovirus activity. *Nature* **487**, 57–63.

Macfarlan, T. S., Gifford, W. D., Driscoll, S., Lettieri, K., Rowe, H. M., Bonanomi,
D., Firth, A., Singer, O., Trono, D. and Pfaff, S. L. (2012b). Embryonic stem cell
potency fluctuates with endogenous retrovirus activity. *Nature* 1–10.

Network, R., Informatics, B., National, S., Resource, A., Sciences, B. and Science, C.
(2015). P LURIPOTENT S TEM C ELLS Ctbp2 Modulates NuRD-Mediated
Deacetylation of in Active Embryonic Stem Cell Genes During Exit from
Pluripotency. 2442–2455.

Percharde, M., Lin, C., Yin, Y., Huang, B., Shen, X., Ramalho-Santos, M., Percharde,
M., Lin, C., Yin, Y., Guan, J., et al. (2018). Article A LINE1-Nucleolin Partnership
Regulates Early Development and ESC Identity Article A LINE1-Nucleolin
Partnership Regulates Early Development and ESC Identity. *Cell* 1–15.

Robinson, J. T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E. S., Getz,
G. and Mesirov, J. P. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**, 24–
26.

Rodriguez-Terrones, D., Gaume, X., Ishiuchi, T., Weiss, A., Kopp, A., Kruse, K.,
Penning, A., Vaquerizas, J. M., Brino, L. and Torres-Padilla, M. E. (2018a). A
molecular roadmap for the emergence of early-embryonic-like cells in culture. *Nat*
Genet **50**, 106–119.

Rodriguez-Terrones, D., Gaume, X., Ishiuchi, T., Weiss, A., Kopp, A., Kruse, K.,
Penning, A., Vaquerizas, J. M., Brino, L. and Torres-Padilla, M. E. (2018b). A
molecular roadmap for the emergence of early-embryonic-like cells in culture. *Nat*
Genet **50**, 106–119.

Stankiewicz, T. R., Gray, J. J., Winter, A. N. and Linseman, D. A. (2014). C-terminal
binding proteins: Central players in development and disease. *Biomol Concepts* **5**,
489–511.

Whiddon, J. L., Langford, A. T., Wong, C. J., Zhong, J. W. and Tapscott, S. J. (2017a).
Conservation and innovation in the DUX4-family gene network. *Nat Genet* **49**, 935–
940.

Whiddon, J. L., Langford, A. T., Wong, C. J., Zhong, J. W. and Tapscott, S. J. (2017b).
Conservation and innovation in the DUX4-family gene network. *Nat Genet* **49**, 935–
940.
Wolf, G., de Iaco, A., Sun, M. A., Bruno, M., Tinkham, M., Hoang, D., Mitra, A.,
Ralls, S., Trono, D. and Macfarlan, T. S. (2020). Krab-zinc finger protein gene
expansion in response to active retrotransposons in the murine lineage. *Elife* **9**, 1–
22.
Yamamoto, M., Suwa, Y., Sugiyama, K., Okashita, N., Kawaguchi, M., Tani, N.,
Matsubara, K., Nakamura, A. and Seki, Y. (2020). PRDM14-CtBP1/2-PRC2
complex regulates transcriptional repression during transition from primed to naïve
pluripotency. *J Cell Sci* jcs.240176.
Yang, M., Yu, H., Yu, X., Liang, S., Hu, Y., Luo, Y., Izsvák, Z., Sun, C. and Wang, J.
(2022). Chemical-induced chromatin remodeling reprograms mouse ESCs to
totipotent-like stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **29**, 400-418.e13.
Zhang, T., Zhang, Z., Dong, Q., Xiong, J. and Zhu, B. (2020). Histone H3K27
acetylation is dispensable for enhancer activity in mouse embryonic stem cells.
Genome Biol **21**, 1–7.

Author Contributions

Y.S. conceived the study and experimental design, performed experiments and wrote the manuscript. K.S., K.Y. and N.H. performed most experiments. R.M. M.M., A.S. and Y.M performed some experiments. K.S., K.Y. and N.H. contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgements

We thank all members of Seki laboratory for stimulating discussions. This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP18H02422, JP20H05375 and JP22H04682.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.