Main Manuscript for

Structural insights into peptidoglycan hydrolysis by the FtsEX system in *Escherichia coli* during cell division

Jianwei Li[1, #], Yutong He[1, #], Xin Xu[1, #], Martin Alcorlo[2], Jian Shi[3], Souvik Naskar[4], Nicholas S. Briggs[4], David I. Roper[4], Juan A. Hermoso[2], Lok-To Sham[5], and Min Luo[1, 3, *]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, National University of Singapore

[2] Department of Crystallography and Structural Biology, Instituto de Química-Física "Blas Cabrera", CSIC, Madrid, Spain

[3] Center for Bioimaging Sciences, Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore

[4] School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

[5] Infectious Diseases Translational Research Programme and Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore

[#] These authors contributed equally.

* Corresponding author. Email : dbslmin@nus.edu.sg

Author Contributions: Jianwei Li and Yutong He performed biochemical reconstitution, functional characterization, and cryo-EM studies; Xin Xu performed kinetics assays; Souvik Naskar and Nicholas S. Briggs conducted co-purification studies of endogenous FtsA/FtsZ with FtsEX in *E. coli*. Martin Alcorlo, David Roper, Juan A. Hermoso and Lok-To Sham helped with data analysis; Jian Shi helped with EM data collection; Min Luo designed and supervised research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper with help from all authors.

Competing Interest Statement: Authors declare no competing interests**.**

Classification: Biological Sciences; Microbiology

Keywords: cell division, peptidoglycan, antimicrobial, cryo-EM

This PDF file includes:

Main Text

Figures 1 to 6

Abstract

Bacterial cell division relies on precise peptidoglycan (PG) remodelling, a process orchestrated by the FtsEX complex. Comprised of FtsE and FtsX, this complex collaborates with EnvC, a periplasmic lytic enzyme activator, to regulate septal PG hydrolysis by amidases like AmiB. While recent structural investigations, particularly of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* FtsEX (*Pae*FtsEX), have shed light on complex interactions and proposed activation mechanisms, the structural intricacies governing PG degradation by the FtsEX complex and EnvC in *Escherichia coli* cytokinesis remain unexplored. In this study, we present a comprehensive biochemical and structural analysis of *E. coli* FtsEX complexes, unveiling a key role for ATP in complex stabilization that extends across bacterial species. Upon EnvC binding, ATPase activity markedly increases. High-resolution structures of *Eco*FtsEX, both in the presence and absence of EnvC, reveal a symmetrical conformation of *Eco*FtsEX capable of accommodating the inherent asymmetry of EnvC, mediated by flexible loops within the periplasmic domain. Our negative-staining imaging showcases an elongated *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB complex reminiscent of the *Pae*FtsEX system. These findings collectively provide intricate insights into the regulation of PG cleavage by FtsEX in *E. coli* - a pivotal model system used in pilot genetic studies, suggesting a conserved mechanism for precise hydrolase activation in bacteria.

Introduction

In most bacteria, peptidoglycan (PG) remodeling during cell division is a precisely regulated process that ensures daughter cells separate without damaging the cell wall (1-3). This process involves a set of essential proteins that coordinate PG synthesis and degradation. In Escherichia coli, at least ten proteins contribute to cell division, many of which are organized by the cytoskeletal protein FtsZ (4, 5). FtsZ recruits FtsA and FtsEX to form the early divisome, a protein assembly at the division site. After a short delay, additional proteins, including FtsK, FtsQLB, FtsWI, and FtsN, are recruited to the septum (4, 6). The arrival of FtsN is a critical step that initiates cell constriction, likely through activating the FtsQLB complex and FtsA (4, 7-9). The final step in division, daughter cell separation, is carried out by PG-cleaving enzymes called amidases (AmiA, AmiB, and AmiC). These enzymes require activation by coiled-coil domain proteins: EnvC (which activates AmiA and AmiB) and NlpD (which activates AmiC) (1, 3, 10, 11). If these systems are simultaneously inactivated, the daughter cells will be unable to separate and form long chains (12).

PG remodelling is linked to cytokinesis by an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter-like protein complex FtsEX. Rather than transporting a substrate, the FtsEX complex transduces conformational changes that span the cytoplasmic membrane (13, 14). Driven by ATP hydrolysis of FtsE, these changes are required for stimulating EnvC/AmiA/AmiB activities (15, 16) and

initiating cell constriction via FtsA (15, 17-19). The FtsEX complex also recruits other cell division

proteins to the divisome (17, 19). Thus, deletions of *ftsEX* result in non-viable cells unless they are

grown in permissive conditions, such as at a low temperature or in media with high osmolarity (20,

21). Alternatively, the essentiality of *ftsEX* can be bypassed by gain-of-function mutations that

strengthen the interaction between FtsN and FtsA (17, 20).

Several partial structures of the FtsEX complex have been reported, some are with their interacting

partners (22-25). Very recently, progress has been made in elucidating the full-length structure of

the FtsEX complex from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*Pae*FtsEX), both in the presence and absence

of critical regulators like ATP, EnvC, and AmiB (26). These structures offer insight into the interfaces

between FtsE, FtsX, and their binding partners. In addition, they suggest a mechanism in which

the rearrangement of regulatory helices of EnvC and AmiB activates peptidoglycan hydrolysis at

the septum (26). Yet, the majority of work regarding FtsEX was done in *Escherichia coli* (15-21),

where an abundance of genetic studies has laid a significant foundation for our understanding of

PG degradation activation in bacterial cell division. However, the intricate molecular basis

orchestrating this process remains elusive, underscoring the need for a direct structural and

biochemical investigation within this extensively studied model system. Specifically, given the

relatively low sequence similarity between the FtsEX complexes from these two species, it is

unclear whether the structural information of *Pae*FtsEX can reconcile the findings from genetic

studies. Furthermore, previous genetic studies have proposed a direct interaction between FtsEX

and components of the constriction ring, such as FtsA and FtsZ (18, 27), to ensure the accurate

localization of FtsEX at the division site. However, there is currently a lack of supporting

biochemical and structural evidence in this regard.

In this study, we present a comprehensive analysis of the structure and function of the *Escherichia*

coli FtsEX complex (*Eco*FtsEX) in conjunction with EnvC, focusing on its regulation of AmiB

activation for PG cleavage. Our investigation uncovers a previously unrecognized function of ATP

in stabilizing the FtsEX complex, a role that appears to be conserved across different bacterial

species. Notably, we observe a substantial increase in the ATPase activity of *Eco*FtsEX upon

binding with EnvC. High-resolution structures of *Eco*FtsEX alone and with EnvC were obtained at

3.9 and 3.4 Å, respectively, offering insights into the EnvC recognition mechanism. Interestingly,

*Eco*FtsEX maintains a symmetrical conformation even when complexed with the asymmetrical

EnvC. Comparative analyses identify the periplasmic domain's flexible loops as key to EnvC

interaction, revealing structural adaptability. Furthermore, our negative-staining imaging

experiments unveil an elongated conformation of the *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB complex for PG

cleavage, reminiscent of the FtsEX system from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Together, our results

revealed a detailed regulation of PG cleavage by FtsEX in the key model system of *E. coli*,
suggesting a conserved mechanism for hydrolase activation in bacteria.
107

Results
ATP Binding as a Universal Stabilizing Factor for the FtsEX Complex
In our efforts to reconstitute the full-length *Eco*FtsEX complex in vitro, we explored various
strategies involving changing the expression constructs and extraction conditions. During the
purification process, we observed that the protein remained stable in the presence of 10% glycerol.
However, almost all protein precipitated out after the removal of glycerol either through size-
exclusion chromatography (SEC) or during the peptidisc reconstitution (**Figure 1A**). This indicated
a low level of stability for the complex. To investigate whether the stability of the FtsEX complex
might be dependent on its cognate partner, EnvC, we conducted co-expression experiments by
introducing EnvC along with FtsE and FtsX (**Figure 1A**). Despite the presence of EnvC, FtsEX still
exhibited a tendency to precipitate.
119

Given the persistent challenges in achieving a stable FtsEX complex, we redirected our focus
towards exploring the potential role of the substrate ligand, ATP, in complex stabilization. ATP,
well-known for its role as a biological energy source, has also been associated with the stabilization
of proteins, as reported in recent studies (28, 29). To investigate this hypothesis, we conducted a
series of experiments to assess the impact of ATP on the FtsEX or the FtsEX/EnvC complex
(**Figure 1A**). Remarkably, the introduction of ATP resulted in the formation of a robust *Eco*FtsEX
complex, both in its standalone form and in the presence of EnvC. This complex remained tightly
associated throughout the SEC process (**Figure supplement 1A**). Further validation through
negative-staining electron microscopy confirmed that the purified *Eco*FtsEX complex maintained
stability, with clearly discernible features corresponding to an intact ABC transporter containing two
FtsE and two FtsX subunits (**Figure 1B**). This compelling evidence suggests that ATP, acting as
the substrate of FtsEX, also plays a pivotal role in stabilizing this crucial complex formation.
132

Interestingly, ATP analogues, including ATPγS, AMP-PNP, and ADP, also exhibited similar
stabilizing effects (**Figure 1C**). As they are not hydrolysable for FtsEX, it indicates that ATP binding,
rather than hydrolysis, is sufficient for complex stabilization. This was corroborated by the
introduction of a FtsE mutant (E163Q), inspired by related ABC transporters (26), which is
incapable of ATP hydrolysis. When this mutant was assessed for complex formation with FtsX in
the presence of ATP, it indeed formed a stable complex (**Figure 1C**). These findings suggest ATP
binding alone is responsible for stabilizing the complex formation.
140

To expand the scope of our investigation, we explored whether ATP could similarly stabilize FtsEX

complexes from other bacterial species, which had also presented challenges in in-vitro

reconstitution. We expressed and purified FtsEX from various species, including *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and *Bacillus subtilis,* in the presence and absence of ATP.

Remarkably, regardless of the bacterial species, ATP consistently enhanced the stability of the

complexes, as demonstrated by either increased complex yield in SEC elution profiles or with a

more accurate stoichiometry between FtsE and FtsX as shown from the SDS-page gel (**Figure**

supplement 1B). Collectively, our findings underscore the pivotal role of ATP in stabilizing FtsEX

complexes. Notably, the ability of other nonhydrolizable ATP analogues to replicate this effect

suggests that ATP binding is a crucial step required to facilitate the coupling of FtsX and FtsE for

the formation of a functionally stable complex.

Biochemical Characterization of FtsEX and Its Complexes with EnvC in *E. coli*

The His-tagged full-length FtsE and FtsX proteins from *E. coli* (str. K-12, MG1655) were

overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) and subsequently purified using a combination of affinity and

size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) (**Figure supplement 1A**). For subsequent functional and

structural investigations, FtsEX was solubilized in DDM and reconstituted into peptidiscs (see

Methods). Given the proven stabilization role, 0.5 mM ATP was maintained throughout the entire

purification process of *Eco*FtsEX.

Assays assessing ATPase activity demonstrated that, in contrast to previously reported FtsEX

complexes from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, the *Eco*FtsEX complex exhibited obvious basal

ATPase activity (**Figure 1D**). To confirm this, we introduced the FtsE mutant (E163Q) as a control,

which was not able to hydrolyse ATP. As anticipated, ATPase activity in the mutant was markedly

diminished (**Figure 1D**), while low ATPase activity was still detected, potentially attributable to co-

purified proteins.

To understand the role of ATP binding/hydrolysis on EnvC recruitment, a protein pull-down assay

was conducted. As ATP was present in the purified protein, a wash step with buffer in the presence

of Mg^{2+} and 10% glycerol was performed to remove the extra ATP for the FtsEX complex that bind

to the resin. Next, bound FtsEX and EnvC were mixed in the presence of ATP or its non-

hydrolysable analogue, ATPγS (**Figure 1E**). The results revealed that EnvC stably associated with

FtsEX, with its binding seemingly unaffected by ATP hydrolysis. This was evidenced by the

comparable amounts of EnvC bound in the presence of 3 mM ATP, as well as its non-hydrolysable

analogs ATPγS and AMP-PNP, or even the hydrolysed product ADP. To confirm this, FtsEX[E163Q]

mutant was introduced. Results, similar to those from the wild type, showed that the mutant still

demonstrated similar EnvC binding levels. The comparable binding of EnvC to FtsEX in the

presence of ATP, non-hydrolyzable analogs (ATPγS, AMP-PNP), and ADP suggests that ATP

binding is not required for EnvC recruitment to FtsEX, which aligns with multiple previous studies

that show the PLD domain of FtsEX is able to interact with EnvC in the absence of FtsE (30). This

indicates that EnvC association with FtsEX is nucleotide-independent and does not rely on ATP

hydrolysis. Instead, ATP binding likely plays a role in stabilizing the FtsEX complex, rather than

directly regulating EnvC recruitment.

Additionally, the effects of EnvC binding on the ATPase activity of *Eco*FtsEX were probed (**Figure**

1D). An enhanced activity was observed upon the addition of EnvC. This EnvC-mediated increase

in ATPase activity was further validated through ATPase assays involving varying ATP

concentrations for both FtsEX and the FtsEX/EnvC complex. Both sets of data fit well with the

Michaelis-Menten equation (**Figure 1F**). Notably, in the presence of EnvC, the V_{max} (92.7±5.1

nmol/mg/min) was approximately 2.2-fold higher than that observed for FtsEX (42.7±2.1

nmol/mg/min) alone. However, no large differences were observed regarding the K_m (0.11±0.02

mM for FtsEX/EnvC; 0.13±0.02 mM for FtsEX). Together, these findings underscore that in the

presence of ATP, FtsEX and EnvC form a robust complex; while *Eco*FtsEX inherently exhibits

ATPase activity, the presence of EnvC stimulates this activity.

CryoEM structure of *Eco*FtsEX reveals a symmetrical PLD arrangement

To elucidate the full-length structure of *Eco*FtsEX, we subjected the WT *Eco*FtsEX sample,

incubated with 3 mM ATPγS, to single-particle CryoEM analysis and achieved a resolution of 3.7 Å

(**Figure supplement 2**). This map was of sufficient resolution for detailed model-building for the

Transmembrane domains (TMD), the nucleotide-binding domains (NBD), and a large portion of the

Periplasmic domain (PLD); in addition, density for two bound nucleotides was clearly captured as

well (**Figure supplement 3A**).

*Eco*FtsEX, akin to most Type VII ABC transporters, possesses one coupling helice (CH) and a C

terminal tail (C-tail) (**Figure 2A**). Cryo-EM structure reveals the assembly of the full *Eco*FtsEX

system (**Figure 2B**). This comprises two cytosolic FtsE NBD domains and two FtsX, with TMD

domains embedded in the membrane and PLD extending into the periplasmic space. Both TMD

and NBD regions in the *Eco*FtsEX structure exhibit canonical folds, similar to other characterized

Type VII ABC transporters such as MacB, LolCDE, and *Pae*FtsEX. The overall conformation of

*Eco*FtsEX is quite similar to that of *Pae*FtsEX (**Figure supplement 3B**). Notably, the N-terminal

region of FtsX (Residues 53-70) forms an elbow helix (EH) oriented parallel to the membrane

(**Figure 2B**). Like its counterpart in *Pae*FtsEX, the extreme N-terminal region of FtsX (Residues 1-

52) was not resolved, suggesting its highly disordered nature.

The entire PLD domain comprises a smaller loop and a larger domain. For ease of reference, only
the larger domain is termed the PLD (**Figure 2A**). The smaller loop encompasses 14 residues
between TM3 and TM4. The principal PLD domain, spanning residues between TM1 and TM2
(106-208), displays a canonical topology, with a Porter domain and an X-lobe (14, 22). Compared
to the TMD and NBD regions, PLD domains exhibit higher flexibility, evident from their diminished
EM density at a contour level of 0.22 in Chimera. Lowering the contour to 0.1 in Chimera reveals
clear density, enabling the visualization of PLD's secondary structures (**Figure supplement 2F**),
with an exception for the X-lobe segment with residues 161-168 (**Figure 2C**). Structurally, the
individual PLD domains are superimposable (**Figure 2C)**, and also align closely with those in
*Pae*FtsEX (**Figure supplement 4**), despite their amino acid sequence identities with *E. coli* being
only 29%.

The PLD domain of *Eco*FtsEX structure offers a snapshot with well-defined secondary structures,
highlighting a 2-fold symmetrical conformation (**Figure 2D)**. The X-lobes of both PLDs are oriented
mutually inwards with sideways blocked and appear to facilitate EnvC binding strictly from the top
of the FtsEX complex, mirroring findings in the *Pae*FtsEX system.

CryoEM structure of *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC Complex elucidates EnvC binding and ATP-Dependent
LytM Release
To elucidate the EnvC recruitment by FtsEX in *E. coli*, purified FtsEX^{E163Q} mutant was reconstituted
in peptidiscs and incubated with EnvC in the presence of 2 mM ATP for cryo-EM analysis (**Figure**
supplement 5). After 3D reconstruction, a major conformation stands out with resolution
determined at 3.4 Å (**Figure supplement 5F**). The quality of the EM density allowed modelling of
nearly all sidechains of FtsEX, the two bound nucleotides, and a portion of EnvC's coiled-coil
domain (CCD) (**Figure 3A & Figure supplement 6**). The density of EnvC's remaining regions,
including part of the CCD domain, the LytM domain and the regulatory helix, was absent,
suggesting significant flexibility. The global configuration of the FtsEX/EnvC complex is similar to
the previously described *Pae*FtsEX system (**Figure supplement 7**), where EnvC inserts from
above between the two PLD domains along the FtsEX central axis.

To dissect the state of the bound EnvC, we compared our cryoEM FtsEX/EnvC complex with the
crystal structure of the PLD domain in complex with EnvC (PLD/EnvC) from *E. coli* (PDB code:
6TPI) *(22)*, where EnvC was in an inactivated state. In the PLD/EnvC structure, a distinct
asymmetrical conformation is evident: one PLD is positioned about 5 Å higher than the other one,
accommodating the bound asymmetric EnvC with its LytM domain locked (**Figure supplement 8A,**
left). However, in our full-length ATP-bound FtsEX/EnvC structure, the two PLDs are highly
symmetrical (**Figure supplement 8A, right**). Besides this, the bound EnvC has also undergone

conformational shifts (**Figure supplement 8B**). In contrast to the PLD/EnvC crystal structure,
where the two CCD helices exhibit huge asymmetry (**Figure supplement 8B, left**), our structure
reveals a more symmetrical arrangement induced by simultaneous compression from both PLD
domains (**Figure supplement 8B, right**). Consequently, the distance between the terminal residue
of the α1 helix (Q127) and the initial residue of the α2 helix (Q143) within the CCD domain is
reduced from 24 Å to a mere 10 Å, while residing at a relatively similar height from the PLD domain.
This compression of the CCD helices may drive the release of the LytM domain, supported by the
lack of LytM density in our EM map. Together, we hypothesize that in the presence of ATP, the
LytM domain is released, facilitating AmiB recruitment.

Comparison Between *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* FtsEX/EnvC Structures
To further analyze the conservation and divergence of FtsEX/EnvC across species, we carefully
compared our newly determined *E. coli* FtsEX/EnvC structure with the previously reported *P.*
aeruginosa FtsEX/EnvC complex (**Figure supplement 9**). In both structures, the NBD domain
(FtsE) dimerizes upon nucleotide binding, forming a similar overall dimeric assembly. This is
coupled with a largely conserved conformation of the TMD domain (FtsX), with a root-mean-square
deviation (RMSD) of 2.05 Å across 386 α-carbons of FtsE and 2.64 Å across 349 α-carbons of the
FtsX TMD domain, indicating a high degree of structural similarity. Additionally, in both systems,
EnvC inserts into the PLD domain in a vertical conformation, further supporting a conserved mode
of interaction.

Despite these overall similarities, subtle differences exist between the two species. In *E. coli*, EnvC
binds even more vertical relative to the FtsEX axis, with about 5° difference compared to the
*Pae*FtsEX/EnvC structure. Along with this, a tighter coiled-coil (CC) conformation of *Eco*EnvC was
observed, accompanied by a further compressed X-lobe in *E. coli*. The X-lobes, tightly coupled to
the coiled-coil domains, appear to have been pushed further down toward the membrane in the *E.*
coli structure. This may, in turn, induce a more compact CCD domain in EnvC, explaining the tighter
conformation observed in *E. coli* compared to *P. aeruginosa*. These structural differences suggest
potential species-specific variations in EnvC recruitment or activation. However, given the limited
number of available FtsEX/EnvC structures, these conclusions remain preliminary. Additional
structures from other species will be required to determine whether these variations reflect
functional differences or simply structural plasticity within the FtsEX/EnvC system. Nonetheless,
our study provides key insights into the core architecture and conserved interactions of
FtsEX/EnvC, offering a structural framework for further investigations into bacterial cell division
regulation.

Detailed EnvC interactions with FtsEX

The ATP-bound *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC structure also revealed detailed interactions with EnvC. This
interaction mainly involves two interfaces and covers an approximate surface area of 2290 Å^2,
predominantly consisting of hydrophobic contacts (**Figure 3B**).

The primary interface, also resolved in the PLD/EnvC crystal structure (22), features residues Y114,
F152, W155, F158, L162, L165, and L170 of FtsX associating with residues L117, L121, L148,
Y151, F152, Y154, L155, and I163 of EnvC (**Figure 3C**). Conversely, the secondary interface
encompasses the Lip of CCD from EnvC, and the small loop of FtsX. Interacting residues at this
interface comprise I133, L135, I136, L137, E141 from EnvC and W210, L214, F309 from FtsX.
Although hydrophobic interactions dominate, several electrostatic interactions exist, notably the
salt bridges (R126$_{EnvC}$-D207$_{FtsX}$, E141$_{EnvC}$-R213$_{FtsX}$) (**Figure 3D**). These interactions have largely
been validated by previous studies (22), mutations like Y114E, F152E, and L165A in FtsX's
periplasmic domain notably affected EnvC's binding efficacy, affirming their role in recruiting EnvC.

Recognition of Asymmetrical EnvC by Symmetrical FtsEX: Unveiling Key Loops for
Conformational Adaptivity
Intriguingly, our analysis of the FtsEX/EnvC structure showed that even when bound to the
asymmetrical EnvC, FtsEX—including its two PLD domains interacting directly with EnvC—
maintains a symmetrical conformation (**Figure 4A**). Compared to the *Eco*FtsEX structure without
EnvC, which is also symmetrical, the only noticeable change is the outward shift of the two PLD
hooks by about 12 Å (**Figure 4A**), a result of their interaction with the CCD domain of EnvC (**Figure**
4B). This observation poses an interesting question: How does the inherently symmetrical FtsEX
cater to an asymmetrical binding partner? To explore this, we closely analysed the FtsX-EnvC
binding interface.

Upon detailed examination, we noticed that the motifs in FtsEX directly interacting with EnvC are
primarily loops (**Figure 4C**). The main loop engaging with EnvC is X-lobe (**Figure 4D**), covering
residues G157-L171. This segment, originally disordered in the FtsEX alone structure, becomes
crucial for engaging with EnvC, involving a binding interface of about 1204 Å^2. The other interacting
regions are loops spanning residues Y104-Q109 (**Figure 4E**) and D208-L214 (**Figure 4F**), and a
segment of the small loop in the PLD domain (Q307-T311) (**Figure 4G**). Combined, these loops
(eight in total from the two PLD domains) are responsible for the majority of the interactions with
EnvC. This inherent adaptability in the loops provides FtsEX the versatility needed for binding the
asymmetrical EnvC. Notably, the interactions contributed by these loops are largely hydrophobic
(**Figure 4D-G**). Thus, our data suggest that the flexible loops, along with the hydrophobic
interactions they facilitate, equip the symmetrical FtsEX to effectively engage with asymmetrical
partners.

Reconstitution and Elucidating the Elongated Conformation of *E. coli* FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB
Complex for PG cleavage

The absence of the LytM density, in comparison to the crystal structure of PLD/EnvC (22), indicates that our ATP-bound FtsEX/EnvC sample likely has the LytM domain released, primed for hydrolase interaction. To further validate this, we conducted pull-down assays (**Figure 5A**). When we incubated AmiB with either the FtsEX/EnvC complex or the mutant FtsEX^{E163Q}/EnvC in the presence of ATP or its nonhydrolyzable analogue, ATPγS, both the wild type and mutant FtsEX/EnvC complexes effectively pulled down AmiB. Notably, ATP and ATPγS showed similar levels of AmiB binding, confirming that ATP-bound FtsEX/EnvC is prepped for AmiB recruitment. Additionally, we investigated the influence of AmiB binding on FtsEX's ATPase activity (**Figure 5B**). There was no obvious effect upon the addition of AmiB to the FtsEX/EnvC complex, suggesting that AmiB does not regulate FtsEX function.

Next, we sought to check if the bound AmiB is activated for PG cleavage. FtsEX/EnvC complex was first mixed with a threefold molar excess of AmiB in the presence of 2 mM ATP, and the mixture was applied to size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) (**Figure 5C**). An early eluting fraction was observed, and subsequent SDS-PAGE confirmed the co-existence of FtsE, FtsX, EnvC, and AmiB in this fraction. The reconstituted PG-cleaving complex of *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB, was further analysed using negative staining (NS) electron microscopy (**Figure 5D**). Many of the observed particles exhibited long tails in the image, a feature suggestive of AmiB binding. A 2D classification analysis presented an elongated structure, mirroring previous observations in *P. aeruginosa* (26). The electron densities on the periplasmic side displayed a distinct rod-like form in alignment with the FtsEX complex's central axis, extending about 290 Å into the periplasm, which we attribute to the bound EnvC and AmiB. This conformation likely represents an activated state prepared for PG cleavage. However, due to the *Eco*FtsEX complex's instability during the PG hydrolysis assay, we couldn't directly check PG cleavage activity. The attempt to obtain a CryoEM structure of the complex was also unsuccessful, possibly because of its inherent flexibility, preventing the reconstruction of a clear EM map. Nonetheless, it has been well established in *P. aeruginosa*, where the active FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB complex also assumes an extended form (**Figure 5E**), we propose that our observed complex with a similar conformation is also in an activated state, primed for PG degradation.

Discussion

A structural and functional description is here provided for the complete *E. coli* lytic machinery regulated by the FtsEX system. We observed an antiparallel arrangement of PLDs in the periplasmic space in the *Eco*FtsEX complex. Incorporation of ATP, but not hydrolysis, is capable

of facilitating EnvC binding. The ternary complex increases its ATPase activity, compared with that

of the binary complex. Interestingly, upon ATP binding, the flexibility of loops in the PLD domain

allows a symmetric interaction with the EnvC coiled-coil domain that is compressed to allow the

release of its LytM domain. While resolution for the complete FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB complex limited

detailed descriptions, our cryo-EM data points to a very similar disposition of the lytic complex to

that reported for *P. aeruginosa* (26) with a fully expanded EnvC coiled-coil that would place the

amidase at ~300 Å over the inner membrane.

In the context of recruiting an asymmetric partner by the inherently symmetrical FtsEX complex,

our study has unveiled a dynamic and intriguing mechanism. At the centre of this mechanism lies

the highly flexible PLD domain (composed of the porter domain and the loops connecting the

different TM of FtsX), which demonstrates exceptional adaptability due to the presence of multiple

flexible loops, including the x-lobe. These flexible loops within the PLD domain function as dynamic

connectors, enabling the FtsEX complex to finely tune its conformation and engage with

asymmetric partners in an exceptionally adaptable manner. This inherent plasticity empowers the

FtsEX complex to align itself with the specific structural requirements of its binding partners, thereby

facilitating their effective interaction and subsequent regulatory processes.

Moreover, these flexible elements serve a dual role by providing the necessary adaptability to

bridge the gap between the inherent symmetry of the FtsEX complex and the asymmetry present

in its interacting partners. This becomes particularly intriguing in the context of bacterial cell

division, where the same FtsEX complex must interact with a variety of asymmetric binding

partners. These binding partners exhibit diverse structural features and distinct binding interfaces,

necessitating a mechanism that allows the inherently symmetrical FtsEX complex to engage with

them with precision and effectiveness.

Our study has uncovered a previously unrecognized role of ATP in the stabilization of the FtsEX

complex, a role that extends beyond its established function as a substrate. This discovery not only

expands our understanding of the functional versatility of ATP but also unravels a crucial aspect of

how FtsEX complexes are regulated. The stabilizing influence of ATP is particularly intriguing, as

evidenced by our experiments across diverse bacterial species, suggesting that ATP-driven

stabilization might represent a shared feature among FtsEX complexes in various bacteria.

Furthermore, it is noteworthy that both the FtsEX systems from *E. coli* and the recently

characterized system from *P. aeruginosa* exhibit a relatively low basal ATPase activity. However,

this activity is significantly enhanced in the presence of their respective cognate binding partner,

EnvC. Specifically, for *E. coli*, the ATPase activity increases by a factor of 2.2, whereas for *P.*

aeruginosa, the increase is even more pronounced, with a greater than 20-fold enhancement

observed. This additional regulatory mechanism ensures that, under normal physiological

conditions, minimal energy is expended. It is only during the cell division process, when

components like EnvC are involved, that the ATPase activity of FtsEX becomes fully activated.

Interestingly, our cryoEM map of *Eco*FtsEX, expressed from its native host bacteria *E. coli*,

revealed an additional density located on the bottom side of the complex adjacent to FtsE (**Figure**

supplement 2, and **Figure supplement 10A**). This suggests the co-purification of endogenously

binding proteins with the complex. To identify the proteins, we conducted mass spectrometry on

the purified FtsEX sample. Our results showed that in addition to FtsE and FtsX, FtsA was present

in significant abundance, followed by FtsZ (**Figure supplement 10B**). This observation is

consistent with previous genetic and phenotypic observations on the *E. coli* divisome activation

(17, 27). We also noted during the course of these studies that the interaction of *E.coli* FtsA with

FtsEX protein complexes from other species is indicative of the conserved and fundamental nature

of divisome protein interactions. For example, the overexpression of *S. pneumoniae* FtsEX in *E.*

coli also indicated an association with *E.coli* FtsA and could be isolated using styrene-maleic acid

isolation and affinity purification as shown in **Figure supplement 10C** (31, 32). If this holds true, it

would provide a mechanism for the temporal regulation of PG cleavage at the cell division site, as

FtsA and FtsZ are the key components of the constriction ring at the septum site (15, 18, 27, 33-

37). However, the identity of this density and the association of FtsEX with other Fts components

for divisome formation warrant further investigation to fully elucidate the role of FtsEX in bacterial

cell division.

In combination with previous studies on the inactivated EnvC (22) and AmiB activation by

*Pae*FtsEX (26), we propose a working model that may be conserved across Gram-negative FtsEX

systems (**Figure 6**). Firstly, physiological ATP stabilizes the formation of a functional FtsEX

regulator with low basal ATP hydrolysis activity; In the periplasm, the inactive EnvC interact with

the flexible PLD domain of FtsEX, which adopts a highly asymmetric conformation, as shown in the

inactivated EnvC structure (22). Our study, along with previous findings, highlights the crucial role

of ATP binding in stabilizing FtsEX, likely by promoting FtsE dimerization in the cytosol. Meanwhile,

EnvC binding plays a key role in stimulating FtsEX ATPase activity. Although EnvC binding appears

nucleotide-independent, the precise sequence of ATP binding and EnvC recruitment during cell

division remains to be further explored. Next, upon full recognition by FtsEX, considering the

presence of ATP in cell and a symmetrical conformation defined within the context of a full-length

FtsEX complex, recruited EnvC is compelled to adopt a relatively symmetrical conformation,

involving squeezing of the coiled-coil domain to facilitate the release of the LytM domain. Finally,

the released LytM recruits amidases through direct interaction, consequently removing the blocking

helix from the catalytic site and activating PG cleavage. Remarkably, expansion of the coiled-coil

domain in EnvC would place the amidase domain ~300 Å over the inner membrane of the Gram-
negative bacteria (as here seen in *E. coli*, and also in *P. aeruginosa* (26)), compatible with the
location of the septal PG (26) and avoiding damages into the non-septal cell wall. Throughout this
process, ATP binding appears to be sufficient, with ATP hydrolysis potentially serving as a driving
force for the release of EnvC and amidases from FtsEX, allowing them to be recycled to a normal
ABC transporter. This transporter by itself may play additional roles, such as antibiotic resistance,
as suggested by previous studies (22). For the temporal regulation of PG cleavage, the potential
interaction between FtsEX and FtsA/FtsZ, as indicated in this study and previous genetic research
(17, 27), could bridge the PG-cleaving complex with septum constriction ring, facilitating precise
cell division at the septum site. This model provides a potential conserved mechanism for PG
cleavage activation by FtsEX and illuminates its temporal regulation. Although further validation is
required, our results offer valuable biochemical and structural insights that complement and
elucidate decades of genetic research in *E. coli* and other Gram-negative bacteria.
450
Materials and Methods

Cloning and Mutagenesis
The primers and templates utilized in this study are listed in **Table supplement 1**. To generate the
PCR amplicon containing FtsEXs in genomic DNA of *Escherichia coli* Str *K-12 MG1655*,
Streptococcus pneumoniae str. D39, *Bacillus_subtilis_subsp_subtilis_ATCC_23857*, and
Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 47085D. ClonExpress II One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme) was
used, followed by purification. After purification through gel electrophoresis, the resulting product
was ligated to pTD68 vector (PMID: 20300061) digested with the Nde I and Hind III enzymes to
produce   pTD68   [PT7::his6-FtsEX].   The   construction   of   pET28-sumo   (PT7:his6-sumo-
*Eco*EnvCΔ34), (PT7:his6-sumo-*Eco*AmiBΔ22), involved ligating pET28-sumo digested with BamH
I and Xho I to a PCR amplicon synthesized by EnvC-F, EnvC-R, AmiB-F, AmiB-R which were also
digested with the same enzymes. The plasmids utilized in this study are listed in **Table supplement
2.** Mutations to *Eco*FtsE was generated utilizing the QuikChange kit (Agilent Technologies), using
pTD68 and pET28-sumo as templates and the primers listed in **Table supplement 1**. The
mutations were then verified by DNA sequencing.
466

Protein expression, purification of FtsEX complex and their mutants
FtsEX and its mutants are expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) pLysS (Invitrogen) using lysogeny
broth (LB) supplemented with 50 μg/ml ampicillin and 10 μg/ml chloramphenicol. This is incubated
at 37°C until an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.8 is achieved. Following this, induction with
0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) takes place at 20°C for 16 hours. The cells are
subsequently harvested through centrifugation using a Beckman JLA9-1000 rotor at 5,180 xg and
20°C for 15 minutes. Harvested cells are then stored at -80°C.

For membrane extraction, the frozen cell pellets undergo thawing at 4°C and are resuspended in buffer A, consisting of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM ATP/MgCl₂. Lysis of the mixture was achieved with a high-pressure homogenizer (AH-100D, ATS). Subsequent centrifugation was performed at 27,000 xg for an hour at 4°C. Following this, solubilization of the membrane takes place in buffer B, containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, 250 mM NaCl, 1.3% n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM), pH 8.0, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM ATP/MgCl₂, and agitated for 2 hours at 4°C. Post a 30-minute centrifugation at 100,000 xg, the solubilized membrane fraction gets incubated with TALON SuperFlow resin (Takara 635502) for 1 hours at 4°C and was later washed using buffer B supplemented with 20 mM imidazole. The present detergent is substituted by a peptide (GenScript) to form peptidiscs, employing the "on-beads" method (38, 39) with a final peptide concentration of 1mg/ml. The FtsEX complex, reconstituted in the peptidiscs, is washed using buffer B inclusive of 20 mM imidazole and eluted with buffer B rich in 200 mM imidazole. The purified protein is directed through a gel filtration chromatography column (Superose 6 increase 10/300) and is eluted with buffer C, which includes 25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, and 2 mM ATP/MgCl₂. The fractions bearing the FtsEX complex are combined together, concentrated to 3 mg/ml by concentrator (Amicon® Ulyra-15 100kDa), and preserved at -80°C for further protein interaction, pull-down, and ATP hydrolysis assay.

Protein expression, purification of EnvC, AmiB

For the expression of EnvC, AmiB and their respective variants, the *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) pLysS was employed. These cells were cultured in LB medium with 50 µg/ml kanamycin at 37°C. Once the OD$_{600}$ reached a value of 0.6, induction was facilitated by the addition of IPTG to achieve a final concentration of 0.5 mM, and this was maintained for 16 hours at 20°C. Following the induction, cells were harvested through centrifugation using a JLA9-1000 rotor in a Beckman centrifuge at 5,000 rpm and 20°C for a duration of 15 minutes. The resulting pellets were stored at -80°C. For further processing, these frozen pellets were brought to 4°C for thawing, using buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and 10% glycerol) and then subjected to lysis via a high-pressure homogenizer (AH-100D, ATS). Subsequent centrifugation was performed at 27,000 xg for an hour at 4°C. The resultant supernatant was incubated with TALON resin, followed by a wash using buffer C complemented with 20 mM imidazole. Post-washing, the His-tagged SUMO protease Ulp1 was introduced to the resin-buffer at a ratio of 0.1 mg Ulp1 for every liter of culture, facilitating the cleavage of the SUMO tag over 2 hours at ambient temperature. The processed samples were isolated, concentrated, and then passed through the Superose 6 increase 10/300 column previously balanced in buffer D which includes 25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. Target protein was confirmed using SDS-PAGE, further concentrated up to 3 mg/ml, and stored at -80°C.

Analyzing the Impact of ATP on the FtsEX Complex

Expression and purification of FtsEXs which from *Escherichia coli (Eco)*, *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae (Sp), *Bacillus_subtilis (Bs)*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pae)* followed the

procedure above. For the ATP-free FstEXs purification, the whole process excludes ATP. For the

samples of FtsEXs with ATP or ATP analogs, 2 mM ATP or ATP analogs present in the purification

process. The processed samples of *Sp*FtsEX, *Bs*FtsEX, *Pae*FtsEX were concentrated, and then

passed through the Superose 6 increase 10/300 column previously balanced in buffer which

includes 25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0 with or without 2 mM ATP. The eluted proteins

profiles were confirmed using SDS-PAGE gel. For the *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC samples purification add

EnvC with final concentration 1mg/ml after FtsEX reconstituted in the peptidiscs. The eluted ATP-

free *Eco*FtsEX sample was performed at 12,000 xg for 15 min at 4°C due to persistent precipitate

appearing in the eluted sample. Confirming the supernatant and precipitate using SDS-PAGE gel.

Protein interaction assay

To explore the interaction between FtsEX and EnvC, we employed affinity chromatography with

TALON resin. Specifically, 15 μg of FtsEX or its ATPase-defective variants were introduced to the

resin pre-equilibrated at room temperature, utilizing a Micro Gravity Column, for a 15-minute

duration. After washing away unbound FtsEX with 100 μl of buffer E (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150

mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM $MgCl_2$) supplemented with 5 mM imidazole (to get rid of the

extra ATP present in the protein), we incorporated 5 μg of EnvC diluted in 60 μl of the

aforementioned buffer in the presence and absence of ATP or ATP analogs. This mixture was

incubated for an additional 10 minutes. Subsequently, the beads were rinsed with buffer E

supplemented with 5 mM imidazole in the presence and absence of ATP or ATP analogs. To elute

the bound proteins and possible interaction partners, we utilized 20 μl of buffer E with a

concentration of 300 mM imidazole, either with or without 2 mM ATP or ATP analogs. Eluted

proteins were then analyzed using SDS-PAGE.

To explore the interactions between FtsEX/EnvC and AmiB, 20 μg of FtsEX/EnvC or its ATPase-

deficient variants, FtsE^{E163Q}X/EnvC, were added to the TALON resin. This was done either in the

presence or absence of 2 mM ATP or ATP analogs and incubated at room temperature for 15

minutes. Any free FtsEX/EnvC or FtsE^{E163Q}X/EnvC was removed by washing the resin with 100 μl

of buffer E containing 5 mM imidazole. Following this, 10 μg of AmiB were introduced to the resin

with or without 2 mM ATP or ATP analogs. After a 10-minute incubation, a triple wash was executed

using 100 μl of buffer E supplemented with 5 mM imidazole, either with or without 2 mM ATP or

ATP analogs . Finally, bound proteins were eluted using 20 μl of buffer E supplemented with 300

mM imidazole, either with or without 2 mM ATP or ATP analogs, and the elution profile was

assessed via SDS-PAGE.

Reconstitution of FtsEX complexes

For the reconstitution of the FtsEX-EnvC complex, an excess of EnvC, three times the amount of FtsEX, was introduced to the resin-bound FtsEX-peptidiscs during the purification process. This mixture was allowed to interact at room temperature for 15 minutes. Subsequent to this incubation, any free EnvC was removed by washing with buffer A containing 20 mM imidazole. The FtsEX-EnvC complex was subsequently eluted from the resin using buffer A supplemented with 200 mM imidazole. This eluted protein was applied to additional purification through gel filtration on a Superose 6 increase 10/300 column, equilibrated in buffer B. Fractions containing the FtsEX-EnvC complex were assessed using SDS-PAGE, combined, concentrated to a concentration of 3 mg/ml, and stored at -80°C.

For the reconstitution of the AmiB-EnvC-FtsEX supercomplex, AmiB was mixed with the FtsEX-EnvC complex in peptidiscs, at a 3:1 ratio. Following a 15-minute incubation, the supercomplex was further purified via gel filtration. Fractions encompassing the FtsEX-EnvC-AmiB complex were collected, concentrated to 3 mg/ml, and subsequently stored at -80°C.

ATP hydrolysis assay

To assess the ATPase activity of FtsEX and its mutants or complxes, we employed the malachite green phosphate assay (40). We introduced 2 µg of FtsEX with or without 0.7 µg of EnvC or 0.7 µg AmiB of following 0.8 µg of EnvC into a 20 µl reaction buffer comprising 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 2 mM ATP/MgCl$_2$. For the elucidation of the Michaelis-Menten constants, varying concentrations of ATP/MgCl$_2$, ranging from 0.05 to 5 mM, were utilized to initiate the enzymatic reaction. After a 10-minute incubation at 37°C, 80 µl of the Malachite Green-Ammonium Molybdate (MG-AG) solution was added and the resultant mixture was quickly vortexed to deactivate the enzyme. A brief 2-minute incubation was followed by the addition of 10 µl of a 34% (w/v) sodium citrate solution, with a subsequent vortexing step. Absorbance was then recorded at 650 nm after a 5-minute interval. Quantification of released inorganic phosphate (Pi) was achieved using a calibration curve derived from known Pi concentrations in a K$_2$HPO$_4$ solution. Data processing and curve fitting to the Michaelis-Menten equation were conducted utilizing Microsoft Excel in conjunction with GraphPad Prism 9.

Negative staining imaging of FtsE^{E163Q}X-EnvC-AmiB complex

For negative staining of the FtsE^{E163Q}X-EnvC-AmiB sample, it was diluted to a concentration of 0.01 mg/ml. A 5 µl aliquot of the sample was deposited onto a 300 mesh copper grid coated with carbon film (EMS). After allowing the sample to interact with the grid for 60 seconds, any surplus was carefully blotted away using filter paper. The grid was then washed with 5 µl of Uranyless EM

Stain (EMS). Subsequent to the washing step, the grid was stained by applying another 5 μl of the Uranyless EM Stain for 120 seconds. Excess stain was again removed with filter paper. The prepared grid was left to air-dry under ambient conditions for approximately 15 minutes. Imaging of the sample was executed with a Tecnai 12 microscope (FEI), operating at 120kV with a LaB6 source, and magnification set to 52, 000 x.

Cryo-Electron microscopy sample preparation and data acquisition

To prepare the sample, 3.5 μl of the protein sample at a concentration of 3 mg/ml was applied to Quantifoil holey carbon grids (R1.2/1.3, 400 mesh) that were glow-discharged. To obtain the ATPγS -bound complex, the sample was incubated at 37°C for 8 minutes with 3mM ATPγS-2mM Mg^{2+} (FtsEX) or 2 mM ATP-Mg^{2+} (FtsE^{E163Q}X/EnvC) before freezing. The grids were blotted for 3.5-4 seconds with 100% relative humidity and then plunge-frozen in liquid ethane that was cooled by liquid nitrogen using a Vitrobot System (Gatan).

Cryo-electron microscopy data was collected at liquid nitrogen temperature using a Titan Krios electron microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific), equipped with a K3 Summit direct electron detector (Gatan) and GIF Quantum energy filter. All cryo-EM movies were recorded in counting mode with SerialEM4 (41) with a slit width of 20 eV from the energy filter. Movies were acquired at nominal magnifications of 81k x, which corresponded to a calibrated pixel size of 1.06 Å on the specimen level. The total exposure time for each movie was 5 seconds, resulting in a total dose range of 35-39 electrons per Å^2, fractionated into 34 frames. Additional electron microscopy data collection parameters can be found in **Table supplement 3**.

Cryo-Electron microscope image processing.

For electron microscopy (EM) data processing, CryoSPARC (42) was utilized. Dose-fractionated movies, captured with a K3 Summit direct electron detector, was first applied to motion correction using MotionCor2 (43). A sum of all frames from each movie was generated applying a dose-weighting scheme. This sum was utilized for all subsequent image-processing steps, except for defocus determination. For that, CTFFIND4 (44) was used on the non-dose-weighted summed images from all movie frames. The initial particle selection was achieved using the blob picker, which was subsequently refined by the template picker. The steps of 2D and 3D classification, as well as 3D refinement, were carried out using the "2D Classification", "Ab-initial Reconstruction", and "Heterogeneous Refinement" functions. For further refinement, both the "Homogeneous Refinement" and "Non-Uniform Refinement" functions were employed. The overall resolutions was determined using the gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FSC) threshold of 0.143. Lastly, the local resolution was assessed via the "Local Resolution Estimation" tool.

Model building and refinement for FtsEX and its complex with EnvC

The cryo-EM maps of the ATPγS-bound FtsEX and ATP-bound FtsE^{E163Q}X/EnvC complexes were resolved at resolutions of 3.7 Å and 3.4 Å, respectively, with sufficient quality to enable accurate model building for the TMD domain, FtsE, and a portion of the PLD region (see **Figure supplement 3** and **Figure supplement 6** for details), leaving only the N-terminal region of FtsX, including residues 1-52, not modeled.

Initial models for FtsE and FtsX were generated using the AlphaFold program (42). Subsequently, the FtsEX models were rigid-body fitted into the cryo-EM map density using UCSF Chimera software (45). These initial models were then refined manually within Coot (46). Restraints for ATPγS were generated using the phenix_elbow program, employing its isomeric SMILES string files obtained from the PDB Chemical Component Dictionary via Ligand Expo. Further refinement was performed using Phenix (47), including 'minimization_global' and 'Real-space refinement' protocols. Multiple rounds of manual adjustments in Coot, in conjunction with real-space refinement in Phenix, were carried out to obtain the final model. Model validation was performed using Ramachandran plots, MolProbity scores, and Clash scores in Phenix (47) (details provided in **Table supplement 3**).

In the case of the FtsE^{E163Q}X/EnvC/ATP complex structure, the initial models for EnvC was derived from AlphaFold (48) and our resolved FtsEX structure was used as initial model for FtsEX part. These initial models were fitted into the cryo-EM map of the FtsE^{E163Q}X/EnvC/ATP complex using UCSF Chimera (45). Subsequent manual adjustments were performed within Coot (46), and the Glu163 was replaced with Gln. Similar to the ATPγS-containing complex, restraints for ATP were generated using the phenix_elbow program. The model was refined using phenix software (47), incorporating 'minimization_global' and 'Real-space refinement' procedures. The final model was refined through multiple iterations of manual adjustments in Coot (46), alongside real-space refinement in Phenix. Model quality assessment included Ramachandran plots, MolProbity scores, and Clash scores, with detailed results provided in **Table supplement 3 (47)**.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the contributions of Yibei Xiao and Zhaoxing Li from China Pharmaceutical University, whose expertise in Mass Spectrometry greatly enhanced our research. Furthermore, we thank all Luo lab members for their constructive comments throughout the course of this study.

Funding: This work was supported by the National University of Singapore Start-up grant to M. L., the Ministry of Education Tier 2 Grant to M.L. and L.-T. S. (MOE-T2EP30222-0015, MOE-T2EP30220-0012, and T2EP30123-0017), the National Medical Research Council grant to L.-T. S.

(A-8001581-00-00), and the National Research Foundation grant to M.L. and L.-T. S. (NRF-
CRP22-2019-0001 and NRFF11-2019-0005). SN was supported by MRC Doctoral Training
Partnership grant MR/N014294/1 and a Medical and Life Sciences Research fund award. NB was
supported by a Ph.D. studentship to the Midlands Integrative Biosciences Training Partnership
(MIBTP) BBSRC grant BB/J014532/1 and by the Howard Dalton Centre at the University of
Warwick under its small grant scheme award to support this work. Research in the laboratory of
DR is supported by MRC grants MR/Z504245/1 and BBSRC grant BB/Y003187/1. The work in
Spain is supported by grants PID2020-115331GB-100 funded by
MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and CRSII5_198737/1 by the Swiss National Science
Foundation to J.A.H.

Data and materials availability: Two three-dimensional cryo-EM density maps of FtsEX and its
complexes with EnvC have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank under accession
codes: EMD-37324 (ATPγS-bound FtsEX); EMD-37325(ATP-bound FtsE^{E163Q}X with EnvC). Two
atomic models have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession codes 8W6I (ATPγS
bound FtsEX), and 8W6J (ATP-bound FtsE^{E163Q}X/EnvC). All data presented in this study are
provided within the main text and supplementary materials, or readily accessible upon request.

References

1.   T. Do, J. E. Page, S. Walker, Uncovering the activities, biological roles, and regulation of
bacterial cell wall hydrolases and tailoring enzymes. *J Biol Chem* **295**, 3347-3361 (2020).
2.   A. Typas, M. Banzhaf, C. A. Gross, W. Vollmer, From the regulation of peptidoglycan
synthesis to bacterial growth and morphology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**, 123-136 (2011).
3.   P. D. A. Rohs, T. G. Bernhardt, Growth and Division of the Peptidoglycan Matrix. *Annu Rev*
Microbiol **75**, 315-336 (2021).
4.   S. Du, J. Lutkenhaus, Assembly and activation of the Escherichia coli divisome. *Mol*
Microbiol **105**, 177-187 (2017).
5.   S. Du, J. Lutkenhaus, At the Heart of Bacterial Cytokinesis: The Z Ring. *Trends Microbiol*
27, 781-791 (2019).
6.   J. Lutkenhaus, S. Du, E. coli Cell Cycle Machinery. *Subcell Biochem* **84**, 27-65 (2017).
7.   Z. Lyu *et al.*, FtsN maintains active septal cell wall synthesis by forming a processive
complex with the septum-specific peptidoglycan synthases in E. coli. *Nat Commun* **13**,
5751 (2022).
8.   T. A. Cameron, W. Margolin, Insights into the assembly and regulation of the bacterial
divisome. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 10.1038/s41579-023-00942-x (2023).
9.   M. J. Tsang, T. G. Bernhardt, Guiding divisome assembly and controlling its activity. *Curr*
Opin Microbiol **24**, 60-65 (2015).
10. M. J. Tsang, A. A. Yakhnina, T. G. Bernhardt, NlpD links cell wall remodeling and outer
membrane invagination during cytokinesis in Escherichia coli. *PLoS Genet* **13**, e1006888
(2017).
11. T. Uehara, T. G. Bernhardt, More than just lysins: peptidoglycan hydrolases tailor the cell
wall. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **14**, 698-703 (2011).

12. T. Uehara, K. R. Parzych, T. Dinh, T. G. Bernhardt, Daughter cell separation is controlled
by cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. *EMBO J* **29**, 1412-1422 (2010).
13. M. Alcorlo, S. Martinez-Caballero, R. Molina, J. A. Hermoso, Regulation of Lytic
Machineries by the FtsEX Complex in the Bacterial Divisome. *Subcell Biochem* **99**, 285-315
(2022).
14. S. Pichoff, S. Du, J. Lutkenhaus, Roles of FtsEX in cell division. *Res Microbiol* **170**, 374-380
(2019).
15. S. Du, S. Pichoff, J. Lutkenhaus, Roles of ATP Hydrolysis by FtsEX and Interaction with FtsA
in Regulation of Septal Peptidoglycan Synthesis and Hydrolysis. *mBio* **11** (2020).
16. D. C. Yang *et al.*, An ATP-binding cassette transporter-like complex governs cell-wall
hydrolysis at the bacterial cytokinetic ring. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, E1052-1060
(2011).
17. S. Du, S. Pichoff, J. Lutkenhaus, FtsEX acts on FtsA to regulate divisome assembly and
activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, E5052-5061 (2016).
18. S. Du, W. Henke, S. Pichoff, J. Lutkenhaus, How FtsEX localizes to the Z ring and interacts
with FtsA to regulate cell division. *Mol Microbiol* **112**, 881-895 (2019).
19. S. J. Arends, R. J. Kustusch, D. S. Weiss, ATP-binding site lesions in FtsE impair cell division.
J Bacteriol **191**, 3772-3784 (2009).
20. M. Reddy, Role of FtsEX in cell division of Escherichia coli: viability of ftsEX mutants is
dependent on functional SufI or high osmotic strength. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 98-108 (2007).
21. K. L. Schmidt *et al.*, A predicted ABC transporter, FtsEX, is needed for cell division in
Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* **186**, 785-793 (2004).
22. J. Cook *et al.*, Insights into bacterial cell division from a structure of EnvC bound to the
FtsX periplasmic domain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 28355-28365 (2020).
23. D. Mavrici *et al.*, Mycobacterium tuberculosis FtsX extracellular domain activates the
peptidoglycan hydrolase, RipC. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 8037-8042 (2014).
24. B. E. Rued *et al.*, Structure of the Large Extracellular Loop of FtsX and Its Interaction with
the Essential Peptidoglycan Hydrolase PcsB in Streptococcus pneumoniae. *mBio* **10**
(2019).
25. Y. Fu, K. E. Bruce, B. Rued, M. E. Winkler, D. P. Giedroc, 1H, 13C, 15N resonance
assignments of the extracellular loop 1 domain (ECL1) of Streptococcus pneumoniae D39
FtsX, an essential cell division protein. *Biomol NMR Assign* **10**, 89-92 (2016).
26. X. Xu *et al.*, Mechanistic insights into the regulation of cell wall hydrolysis by FtsEX and
EnvC at the bacterial division site. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2301897120 (2023).
27. B. D. Corbin, Y. Wang, T. K. Beuria, W. Margolin, Interaction between cell division proteins
FtsE and FtsZ. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 3026-3035 (2007).
28. X. Ou *et al.*, ATP Can Efficiently Stabilize Protein through a Unique Mechanism. *JACS Au* **1**,
1766-1777 (2021).
29. S. Sridharan *et al.*, Proteome-wide solubility and thermal stability profiling reveals distinct
regulatory roles for ATP. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1155 (2019).
30. L. T. Sham, S. M. Barendt, K. E. Kopecky, M. E. Winkler, Essential PcsB putative
peptidoglycan hydrolase interacts with the essential FtsXSpn cell division protein in
Streptococcus pneumoniae D39. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, E1061-1069 (2011).
31. S. C. Lee *et al.*, Nano-encapsulated Escherichia coli Divisome Anchor ZipA, and in Complex
with FtsZ. *Sci Rep* **9**, 18712 (2019).
32. S. C. Lee *et al.*, A method for detergent-free isolation of membrane proteins in their local
lipid environment. *Nat Protoc* **11**, 1149-1162 (2016).

33. E. Godino, C. Danelon, Gene-Directed FtsZ Ring Assembly Generates Constricted
Liposomes with Stable Membrane Necks. *Adv Biol (Weinh)* **7**, e2200172 (2023).
34. C. Ortiz, P. Natale, L. Cueto, M. Vicente, The keepers of the ring: regulators of FtsZ
assembly. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **40**, 57-67 (2016).
35. P. Szwedziak, Q. Wang, T. A. Bharat, M. Tsim, J. Lowe, Architecture of the ring formed by
the tubulin homologue FtsZ in bacterial cell division. *Elife* **3**, e04601 (2014).
36. S. Rueda, M. Vicente, J. Mingorance, Concentration and assembly of the division ring
proteins FtsZ, FtsA, and ZipA during the Escherichia coli cell cycle. *J Bacteriol* **185**, 3344-
3351 (2003).
37. H. P. Erickson, M. Osawa, FtsZ Constriction Force - Curved Protofilaments Bending
Membranes. *Subcell Biochem* **84**, 139-160 (2017).
38. M. L. Carlson *et al.*, The Peptidisc, a simple method for stabilizing membrane proteins in
detergent-free solution. *Elife* **7** (2018).
39. G. Angiulli *et al.*, New approach for membrane protein reconstitution into peptidiscs and
basis for their adaptability to different proteins. *Elife* **9** (2020).
40. P. A. Lanzetta, L. J. Alvarez, P. S. Reinach, O. A. Candia, An improved assay for nanomole
amounts of inorganic phosphate. *Anal Biochem* **100**, 95-97 (1979).
41. D. N. Mastronarde, Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction
of specimen movements. *J Struct Biol* **152**, 36-51 (2005).
42. A. Punjani, J. L. Rubinstein, D. J. Fleet, M. A. Brubaker, cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid
unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290-296 (2017).
43. S. Q. Zheng *et al.*, MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for
improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **14**, 331-332 (2017).
44. A. Rohou, N. Grigorieff, CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron
micrographs. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 216-221 (2015).
45. E. F. Pettersen *et al.*, UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and
analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612 (2004).
46. P. Emsley, K. Cowtan, Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr
D Biol Crystallogr* **60**, 2126-2132 (2004).
47. P. D. Adams *et al.*, PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular
structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 213-221 (2010).
48. J. Jumper *et al.*, Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**,
583-589 (2021).

Figures

Figure 1. Biochemical reconstitution of *Eco*FtsEX and *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC complexes. (A)
Evaluation of ATP's impact on FtsEX and FtsEX/EnvC complexes. Purified FtsEX and FtsEX/EnvC
complexes undergo precipitation in the absence of ATP but form stable complexes when ATP is
present during purification. S: Supernatant, P: Precipitate. **(B)** Representative negative staining
image and 2D average of FtsEX samples purified in the presence of ATP, with FtsEX highlighted
in green. The FtsE subunit, Transmembrane Domain (TMD), and Periplasmic Domain (PLD) of
FtsX are clearly visible. **(C)** Assessment of ATP and ATP analogs' influence on the stability of FtsEX
complex formation. ATP and ATP analogs contribute to the stabilization of the FtsEX complex. S:
Supernatant, P: Precipitate. (**D**) Assessment of ATPase activity in FtsEX and FtsEX mutants, with
and without EnvC. Notably, the FtsE^{E163Q}X mutants exhibit significantly reduced ATPase activity.
Data represent an average of three replicates, with error bars indicating mean ± SD. Statistical
analysis performed using a two-tailed unpaired t-test; ***P < 0.0005. (**E**). Pull-down study illustrating
EnvC binding to FtsEX or its ATPase mutant in the presence or absence of ATP or ATP analogs.
The initial FtsEX or FtsE^{E163Q}X added to the resin contains 2 mM ATP, the ATP was subsequently
removed by the wash buffer. (**F**) ATPase activity comparison between FtsEX and the FtsEX/EnvC
complex in peptidiscs. Data represent an average of three replicates, with error bars indicating
mean ± SD.

Figure 2. Cryo-EM study of *Eco*FtsEX complex in peptidiscs. (**A**) Topological diagram of FtsEX, highlighting the α helices represented as cylinders and β sheets depicted as arrows. Color scheme: FtsE (magenta and dark green), FtsX (cornflower blue), Porter of PLD$_{FtsX}$ (yellow), and X-lobe of PLD$_{FtsX}$ (green). CH: coupling helix, EH: elbow helix. (**B**) Left and middle panels depict the side and front views of the density map of FtsEX, with ATPγS displayed in the front view at 90% transparency. Ribbon representation of WT FtsEX in the presence of ATPγS with EM density. Color scheme: FtsE (magenta and dark green), FtsX (cornflower blue and rosy brown), Porter of PCD$_{FtsX}$ (yellow), and X-lobe of PLD$_{FtsX}$ (green). EH: elbow helix. (**C**) Left panel presents a rainbow representation of the PLD domain of FtsX, transitioning from blue (N-terminal) to red (C-terminal). Right panel shows a front view overlay of two PLD domains. (**D**) Surface representations of the PLD domains in a top-view configuration, with the Porter of the PLD colored in yellow and the X-lobe in green. In *Eco*FtsEX, the Porter and X-lobe are positioned facing each other.

Figure 3. Cryo-EM structure of the EnvC-bound *Eco*FtsEX complex. (**A**) Domain arrangements and the overall structure of FtsEX/EnvC. Left and middle panels display side and front views of the cryo-EM density maps for FtsEX-EnvC complex in the presence of ATP. The right panel presents a ribbon representation of the structural model overlaid with the EM density. In the front-view, FtsE is depicted at 90% transparency to reveal the ATP-binding site. Color scheme of EnvC: α1 (plum), lip (purple), α2 (red), RH1, RH2, and LytM domains (grey). (**B**) EnvC binding to the PLD domain of FtsEX is depicted with ribbon representations. Color scheme: FtsX (cornflower blue), FtsE (magenta/dark green), and EnvC (red). (**C**) & (**D**). Top-down views provide a detailed depiction of the interaction between EnvC and FtsEX.

Figure 4. Identification of key loops for EnvC binding in the *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC Complex. (**A**) Structural overlay of full-length *Eco*FtsEX and *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC complexes obtained in this study, with a focus on the conformational changes in the PLD domain induced by EnvC binding. The overall overlay of *Eco*FtsEX and *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC is depicted in ribbon form. Color scheme: FtsE (magenta and dark green), FtsX (cornflower blue and rosy brown), Porter of PLD$_{FtsX}$ (yellow), and X-lobe of PLD$_{FtsX}$ (green). The TMD and FtsE regions are set at 60% transparency. (**B**) Depiction of the conformational changes in the PLD domains upon EnvC binding. (**C**) Side and front views illustrating the key loops involved in EnvC binding within the *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC complex. Key loops of FtsX, highlighted in blue, are indicated by arrows and labeled. (**D**) Side-view displaying the binding details between EnvC and flexible loops located in the X-lobe (G157-L171). (**E**), (**F**)**, and** (**G**) Top-down views demonstrating the binding details of EnvC and flexible loops positioned between TM1 and PLD (Y105-Q109) (**E**), PLD and TM2 (D208-L214) (**F**), and TM3 and TM4 (Q307-T311) (**G**).

Figure 5. Biochemical reconstitution and negative-staining EM study of the AmiB-Bound *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC Complex. (**A**) Pull-down study illustrating the interaction between AmiB and FtsEX-EnvC or its ATPase mutant, in the presence or absence of ATP or its analogs. The initial FtsEX or FtsE^{E163Q}X added to the resin contains 2 mM ATP, the ATP was subsequently removed by the wash buffer. (**B**) Evaluation of ATPase activity in FtsEX, FtsEX/EnvC, and FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB complexes. Notably, the binding of AmiB does not significantly impact the ATPase activity of FtsEX/EnvC. Data represent an average of three replicates, with error bars indicating mean ± SD. Statistical analysis performed using a two-tailed unpaired t-test; ***P < 0.0005. (**C**) SEC profile and SDS-PAGE gel of purified FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB complex reconstituted in peptidiscs. (**D**) Negative-staining micrograph and 2D average image of *Eco*FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB. (**E**) Cryo-EM density map of *Pae*FtsEX/EnvC/AmiB.

Figure 6. A working model illustrating FtsEX complex formation, recruitment of EnvC and
AmiB, and the temporal regulation of PG cleavage activation in Gram-negative bacterial
systems. Initially, in the cytosol, physiological ATP stabilizes the formation of the FtsEX complex,
while in the periplasm, the asymmetrical and inactive EnvC interacts with the flexible PLD domain
of FtsEX, stimulating its ATPase activity. However, the precise sequence of ATP binding and EnvC
recruitment in cells remains under debate. Upon complete recognition by FtsEX, the CCD domain
of recruited EnvC undergoes a conformational change to a symmetrical state, involving
compression of the coiled-coil domain, facilitating the release of the LytM domain. Interaction with
EnvC activates FtsEX's ATPase activity. The liberated LytM recruits amidases through direct
interaction with the catalytic domain's blocking helices, leading to the removal of the obstructing
helix from the catalytic site and activation of PG cleavage. ATP hydrolysis likely triggers the release
of EnvC and AmiB, allowing FtsEX to return to its normal ABC transporter state with a low basal
ATPase activity. The potential interaction between FtsEX and the constriction ring component
FtsA/FtsZ precisely locates the PG-cleaving complex at the septum for temporal regulation of PG
cleavage.

868