Parasite contingency loci and the evolution of host specificity: Simple sequence repeats mediate *Bartonella* adaptation to a wild rodent host

Ruth Rodríguez-Pastor[1†], Nadav Knossow[2†], Naama Shahar[2], Adam Z. Hasik[1¶],
Daniel E. Deatherage[3], Ricardo Gutiérrez[4,5], Shimon Harrus[6], Luis Zaman[7],
Richard E. Lenski[8], Jeffrey E. Barrick[3]*, Hadas Hawlena[2]*

[1] Jacob Blaustein Center for Scientific Cooperation, The Jacob Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Midreshet Ben-Gurion, Israel

[2] Mitrani Department of Desert Ecology, Swiss Institute for Dryland Environmental and Energy Research, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Midreshet Ben-Gurion, Israel

[3] Department of Molecular Biosciences, Center for Systems and Synthetic Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA

[4] National Reference Center for Bacteriology, Costa Rican Institute for Research and Teaching in Nutrition and Health (Inciensa), Cartago, Costa Rica.

[5] Ross University School of Veterinary Medicine, Basseterre, St. Kitts and Nevis, West Indies

[6] Koret School of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Agricultural, Nutritional and Environmental Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel

[7] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Center for the Study of Complex Systems, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA

[8] Department of Microbiology, Genetics, and Immunology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA

† Equal contributions

¶ Present address: Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

*Authors for correspondence:

Hadas Hawlena
hadashaw@bgu.ac.il

Jeffrey E. Barrick
jbarrick@cm.utexas.edu

Keywords: Experimental evolution, disease ecology, eco-evolutionary dynamics, fluctuating environments, host-pathogen interactions, trimeric autotransporter adhesin

Abstract

Parasites can adapt to better exploit their hosts on many scales, ranging from within an infection of a single individual to series of infections spanning multiple host species. However, little is known about how the genomes of parasites in natural communities evolve when they face diverse hosts. We investigated how *Bartonella* bacteria that circulate in rodent communities in the dunes of the Negev Desert in Israel adapt to different species of rodent hosts. We propagated 15 *Bartonella* populations through infections of either a single host species (*Gerbillus andersoni* or *Gerbillus pyramidum*) or alternating between the two. After 20 rodent passages, strains with *de novo* mutations replaced the ancestor in most populations. Mutations in two mononucleotide simple sequence repeats (SSRs) that caused frameshifts in the same adhesin gene dominated the evolutionary dynamics. They appeared exclusively in populations that encountered *G. andersoni* and altered the dynamics of infections of this host. Similar SSRs in other genes are conserved and exhibit ON/OFF variation in *Bartonella* isolates from the Negev Desert dunes. Our results suggest that SSR-based contingency loci could be important not only for rapidly and reversibly generating antigenic variation to escape immune responses but that they may also mediate the evolution of host specificity.

Introduction

Parasites face constantly changing host environments. Individual hosts change within their lifetimes, different host individuals exhibit variation, and some parasites infect multiple host species. How parasites adapt to these dynamic environments is difficult to observe directly. Models and experiments related to the evolution of specialist versus generalist parasites find that the availability of different host species influences the trajectory of parasite evolution, both in terms of the level of host specificity and the frequency of host shifts [1−5]. These

dynamics, especially in vertebrate hosts, are often determined by an interplay between host

immune factors and parasite antigenic elements [6]. For example, parasites may respond to

changes in host availability by altering the surface features that are targeted by the host

immune system, i.e., through antigenic variation [7]. However, only limited information is

available about how parasites adapt to vertebrate host diversity in natural communities.

To begin addressing this gap, we studied *Bartonella* bacteria that are flea-borne parasites

of rodents living in sand dunes in the northwestern Negev Desert in Israel. This system offers

the opportunity to investigate how parasites adapt in the context of a complex host

community. In this region, a diverse collection of *Bartonella* strains circulates in

communities with varying compositions of rodent host species including mainly *Gerbillus*

andersoni and *Gerbillus pyramidum* [8,9]. *Bartonella* are prevalent in these rodent

populations despite high strain turnover rates [10−12]. Infections with an individual strain are

cleared by the host immune system, and that strain is subsequently unable to reinfect the

same host[10]. This strong selection pressure from immune responses, coupled with the

availability of multiple hosts, may favor *Bartonella* that have evolved the capacity to readily

adapt to different host environments.

In line with this hypothesis, several genetic mechanisms that can facilitate rapid

adaptation by generating antigenic variation have been noted in *Bartonella* species. First,

Bartonella have high rates of intragenomic recombination events that copy, delete, and

hybridize virulence genes with other nearby copies [13,14]. Second, *Bartonella* share a

domesticated prophage that acts as a gene transfer agent, which can lead to recombination by

exchanging DNA between co-infecting strains [15]. Finally, it has been reported that

mononucleotide simple sequence repeats (SSRs) of five or more bases are overrepresented in

Bartonella genomes compared to other prokaryotes [16]. In other parasites, genes containing

SSRs have been shown to function as so-called "contingency" loci [17]. SSRs mutate at

unusually high rates as a result of strand slippage during DNA replication, and these

mutations often toggle expression of a gene between ON and OFF states [18]. When and how

each of these mechanisms contributes to *Bartonella* adaptation is unclear.

To examine how *Bartonella* adapt to different host environments, we passaged a strain

isolated from the Negev Desert dunes (originally sampled from a *G.andersoni* individual)

through rodents of the two main host species. We passaged *Bartonella* through each host

species individually and, in a separate treatment, alternating between each host species. We

tracked *Bartonella* evolution by whole-genome sequencing and asked two main questions: (i)

Do the mutation rates and targets depend on the history of encounters with the different host

species? (ii) Do these mutations contribute to host adaptation? We found that mutations in

SSRs in an adhesin gene dominated adaptation, arising in multiple lines independently and

improving the ability of these *Bartonella* to exploit the more challenging (i.e., less favorable)

host species. By sequencing the genomes of 38 *Bartonella* strains isolated in this region from

wild gerbils, we found that similar SSRs are widespread and exhibit variation in their

ON/OFF states. These results suggest that SSR contingency loci play an important role in

allowing *Bartonella* to rapidly adapt to frequent host changes and thereby contribute to the

remarkable local and global diversity of this genus of parasites.

Results

Bartonella evolution experiment in rodent hosts

We evolved populations of *Bartonella krasnovii* OE-11 A2 for 363 days in three different

rodent host scenarios: infecting *G. andersoni* only, infecting *G. pyramidum* only, or

alternating between the two hosts (**Fig. 1**). We started all lines from the same single colony

isolate. For each of the three treatments, we started five independent lines and then passaged

each line through 20 individual rodents by isolating and injecting bacteria into a naïve host.

At each passage, bacteria were isolated from blood after 15 days of infection, and we used

this population to inoculate the next rodent. Similar infection dynamics were observed across

all passages and host treatments, and bacterial loads in infected rodents at 15 days post-

inoculation were comparable across all passages (**Fig. S1a**). Moreover, what little variation

there was in the number of bacteria inoculated at different passages did not lead to major

differences in final bacterial loads in the recipient rodents (**Fig. S1b**). The absence of any

change in bacterial loads in the alternating host environment across passages, compared to the

single host environments, suggests that trade-offs across host species did not play an

important role in *Bartonella* evolution during the experiment.

Fig. 1. *Bartonella* evolution experiment in rodent hosts. (**a**) The design included 20 serial passages of

Bartonella krasnovii OE1-1 A2 through individuals of one of the two host species (*G. andersoni* in green

or *G. pyramidum* in purple) or alternating between them, with five independent rodent lines per treatment.

(**b**) In each infection cycle, captive *Bartonella*-negative rodents were inoculated intradermally with

bacteria. Bacteria were cultured from red blood cells sampled 15 days later to create the inoculum for the

next passage. A portion of each inoculum was archived for further study. Yellow rods and shading indicate

bacteria in animal infections or samples. Figures incorporate artwork from Biorender.com.

Rates of genome evolution in different host treatments

We used whole-genome sequencing of 162 population samples and 147 endpoint clonal

isolates to track new mutations that appeared during the evolution experiment (**Fig. 2**). The

overall genetic dynamics were consistent with selective sweeps by lineages that acquired new

beneficial mutations, including several instances of lineages with different mutations

competing and displacing one another (**Fig. 2a**). Across all lines, we identified 27 unique

mutations (**File S1**), which included 17 base substitutions, 7 small insertions or deletions of

18 or fewer bases, 1 three-base substitution, 1 gene conversion that changed three bases in a

44-bp stretch to a homologous sequence found elsewhere in the chromosome, and 1 large

deletion of 33,117 bases. Of these mutations, 13 swept to high frequency and appeared to

reach fixation in at least one experimental line, 2 were polymorphic in a final population

(occurring in 2 or 8 of the 10 clones sequenced), 11 were found in only one sequenced

endpoint clone and not observed in any population samples, and 1 was found only in

population samples but not in a sequenced endpoint clone.

Fig. 2. *Bartonella* evolution is dominated by mutations in mononucleotide simple sequence repeats

(SSRs) in the *badE* trimeric autotransporter adhesin (TAA) gene. (a) Dynamics of competition

between bacteria with new mutations in each of 15 experimental populations (L1–L15) over 20 rodent

infection cycles. The relative abundance of each mutation was determined from metagenomic sequencing

data. (**b**) Number of mutations in each of 10 clones isolated at the end of the evolution experiment from

each of 15 populations. Each panel shows results for the five populations that were passaged only in *G.*

andersoni (L1, L4, L7, L10, L13), the five passaged only in *G. pyramidum* (L2, L5, L8, L11, L14), or the

five passaged alternately in the two rodent species (L3, L6, L9, L12, L15). Sequencing data was

insufficient to call mutations in the three clones marked ND (not determined). In **a** and **b,** mutations that

altered the lengths of two mononucleotide SSRs in *badE* are shown in color. All other mutations are

displayed in grey. For full details see **File S1**. **c**, Effects of *badE* SSR mutations. Key portions of the

ancestral gene's nucleotide and amino acid sequences are shown in the first two rows. SSR mutations and

how they lead to frameshifts and premature stop codons that inactivate the gene are shown in the three

bottom rows. Stop codons are indicated by red bars above and below nucleotide sequences. Dotted lines

represent portions of the reading frames that are not shown.

We found up to four new mutations in each of the final clonal isolates (**Fig. 2b**). There

were fewer mutations, on average, in an evolved *Bartonella* clone isolated from a population

that was passaged only through *G. andersoni* (1.44 per clone) or only *G. pyramidum* (1.10

per clone) compared to a clone from a population passaged alternately through both rodent

species (1.89 per clone). However, these differences among treatments in the rate of genome

evolution were not statistically significant (repeated measures ANOVA: $F_{2,12} = 1.1$, $p = 0.37$).

Recurrent and parallel SSR mutations occur in an adhesin gene

Indels in mononucleotide SSRs were the only successful mutations that recurred

(independently arose in multiple lines) and exhibited genetic parallelism (with multiple

distinct mutations affecting the same gene). Specifically, we detected changes in the lengths

of two separate SSRs located within the first portion of the reading frame of the same trimeric

autotransporter adhesin (TAA) gene (**Fig. 2c**), which we named *badE* for <u>*Ba*</u>rtonella <u>ad</u>hesin

that <u>e</u>volved. TAAs that have been characterized in other *Bartonella* species are virulence

factors that mediate binding or adhesion to host cells [19–21]. Within the *badE* open reading

frame, we observed the deletion of a single A from a nine-base adenosine repeat in five

populations, the deletion of a single G from a nine-base guanosine repeat in three

populations, and the insertion of a single G in the same repeat in four populations. All three

mutations result in frameshifts in *badE* that presumably inactivate its function.

These *badE* mutations occurred in 10 of the 15 lines, all of which experienced *G.*

andersoni hosts. The SSR mutations increased in frequency until they were present in all or

nearly all cells in these populations (**Fig. 2a**), and they were found in all of the corresponding

endpoint clones (**Fig. 2b**). No mutations in these SSRs or elsewhere in *badE* were observed

in any samples from the five lines that were passaged only in *G. pyramidum*. In some lines

(L1 and L3), multiple mutations in the *badE* SSRs competed for dominance (**Fig. 2a**). The

genome of the ancestor of the evolution experiment has 85 other A/T homopolymer repeats

and 13 other G/C homopolymer repeats of 9 or more bases, but no mutations in these other

SSRs were observed during the evolution experiment.

We also re-analyzed sequencing data from a prior experiment that passaged populations

of a closely related strain of *B. krasnovii* through 50 single colony passages *in vitro* on agar

plates [22]. There were no changes in the lengths of the same two SSRs in the *badE* gene

under the conditions of relaxed selection in this experiment. Thus, hypermutability of these

SSRs is unlikely to be sufficient for explaining why they consistently mutated in our *in vivo*

evolution experiment. Taken together, the recurrence, temporal dynamics, and host-

specificity of mutations in the two *badE* SSRs suggest that they improved the fitness of

Bartonella in the *G. andersoni* host under the infection conditions of our experiment.

Adhesin mutations affect infection dynamics

To test the effects of a *badE* SSR mutation under our experimental conditions, we compared

the dynamics of infections of each rodent host species with the *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2

ancestor and an evolved clone in which the only observed mutation was a G insertion in the

second *badE* SSR (**Fig. 3**). The duration of infections was significantly longer for both strains

in *G. pyramidum* than in *G. andersoni* (ANOVA results for infection duration: $F_{1,21} = 14$, $p <$

0.005), indicating that the latter host presents a more challenging environment for the

parasite. We found significant differences between the infection dynamics of the mutant and

ancestor strains, with a faster increase in bacterial loads earlier in infections of *G. andersoni*

but not *G. pyramidum* hosts (ANOVA, $F_{1,20} = 4.2$, $p = 0.05$ for host species × strain

interaction and $p = 0.02$ for a planned comparison between the ancestor and evolved strains in

G. andersoni at five days post-inoculation). This result confirms the expectation that this

badE mutation is beneficial to bacterial fitness during infections of *G. andersoni*.

Additionally, this mutation does not seem to negatively impact bacterial fitness during *G.*

pyramidum infections.

Fig. 3. Evolved SSR mutation in *badE* alters infection dynamics. The *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2 ancestor

strain or an evolved strain, differing only by a mutation in *badE* SSR2, were inoculated into three pairs of

female and three pairs of male *G. andersoni* and *G. pyramidum* twins (one sibling was inoculated with the

ancestor and the other with the evolved strain). Bars show mean ± s.e. of the log-transformed bacterial titer

in blood samples collected on the specified days after inoculation. Points show values for individual hosts.

*Significant difference between the mutant and ancestor groups. See the main text for statistics.

Evidence that *badE* is a SSR-mediated contingency locus

Our results suggest that the SSRs in the *badE* gene that mutated in the evolution experiment might have been selected and preserved by evolution so that this TAA gene can function as a contingency locus, in which high rates of SSR-mediated mutations can rapidly toggle gene expression OFF and ON within a population of cells through reversible frameshifts. Alternatively, it is possible that the SSRs in the *badE* gene mediate evolvability in our experiment simply because they are mutational hotspots that happen to be there by chance. The hypothesis that the *badE* gene evolved to act as a continency locus would be further supported if: (1) the prevalence of hypermutable SSRs in its sequence was unusual and (2) the presence of these SSRs was conserved in related strains and species.

To examine the first question, we performed randomization tests in which we preserved the amino acid sequences of genes in the *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2 ancestor of the evolution experiment but shuffled the codons used to encode them within each reading frame (see **Methods**). Codon-shuffled versions of *badE* have 0.57 mononucleotide SSRs of nine or more bases, on average, compared to the two in the actual gene. This overrepresentation is only marginally significant ($p = 0.080$, one-tailed randomization test). However, this model does not take into account that there are typically fewer long mononucleotide SSRs than expected by chance in functionally important portions of microbial genomes [16,23–25], which has been attributed to selection against the genetic load of having hypermutable sites in essential genes [17]. In line with this expectation, the protein-coding genes of *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2 have just 50 mononucleotide SSRs of nine bases or more compared to the average of 206 that are present in codon-shuffled gene sets, which is a significant depletion ($p < 0.001$, one-tailed randomization test). If we re-evaluate the SSRs in *badE* relative to this depleted baseline, then two SSRs is significantly more than one would expect ($p = 0.006$, one-tailed randomization test), and observing even one would be somewhat unusual (13.5% of codon-

shuffled genes). In conclusion, there is some evidence that the *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2 *badE*

gene has more SSRs than expected by chance.

To further examine the evolutionary conservation of *badE* and its SSRs, we sequenced

and assembled the genomes of 38 *Bartonella* isolates collected in the Negev Desert dunes

from wild gerbils. We identified and aligned the most closely related TAA gene sequences in

each of these genomes and from eight representative *Bartonella* species that are not from this

environment (**Fig. 4, File S2**). Protein clustering based on sequence homology revealed that

the TAA gene in *B. krasnovii* is more closely related to a different TAA gene in *B. henselae*

than it is to the highly characterized *badA* TAA gene [19,21]. Similarly, the TAA gene in *B.*

krasnovii does not exhibit close homology to the *Vomp* TAA genes of *B. quintana* [20].

The Negev Desert *Bartonella* are classified into four species groups that are closely

related to one another and to *Bartonella* from other locations that also infect rodents. Of the

38 Negev Desert strains we sequenced, 18 are *B. krasnovii*, 14 are *B. gerbillinarum*, 3 are *B.*

khokhlovae, and 3 are *B. negeviensis* [8]. The A_9 SSR that mutated in the evolution

experiment encodes three consecutive lysines immediately after the start codon in the reading

frame of the *B. krasnovii* ancestor's *badE* gene (SSR1 in **Fig. 2a and 4**). This SSR is

conserved in the TAA genes most similar to *badE* in all 18 *B. krasnovii* genomes. It is also

present in the corresponding TAA genes in three of the four *Bartonella* species that are most

closely related to *B. krasnovii*. By contrast, the G_9 SSR is present in only two strains: the

OE1-1 A2 ancestor and OE1-1 G (SSR2 in **Fig. 2a**). To put evolutionary conservation of the

A_9 SSR into perspective, we examined an alignment of the 18 *B. krasnovii badE* genes and

the most closely related *badE* gene from *B. elizabethae.* In this alignment, there are a total of

7762 nine-base windows, of which 3742 do not contain gaps. Only 358 of these (9.57%) have

the same sequence in all 17 genes, as is the case for the A_9 SSR. In summary, we find that the

A$_9$ SSR in *badE* is conserved within *B. krasnovii* and related species and that this is unusual

but not exceedingly so compared to conservation of other sequences within this gene.

Fig 4. Conservation of mononucleotide SSRs in TAA genes most similar to the mutated *badE* gene in

Negev Desert *Bartonella* and their relatives. The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of *Bartonella*

strains on the left was constructed from a concatenated amino acid alignment of single-copy orthologs,

with *B. bovis* 91-4 as the outgroup. Portions of the tree with very similar strains are collapsed into

triangles. Every node in the tree not collapsed into a triangle was supported in all 100 bootstrap trees. The

TAA gene in each *Bartonella* genome with the highest similarity to the first 200 amino acids of the *B.*

krasnovii OE1-1 A2 *badE* gene that mutated during the evolution experiment was identified, and this

region was aligned. The columns from this alignment that include or are adjacent to the two simple

sequence repeats that mutated (SSR1 and SSR2) are shown on the right.

Other candidate SSR contingency loci in Negev Desert *Bartonella*

To examine whether SSRs that might promote the evolvability of contingency loci were more

widespread, we searched the 38 Negev Desert *Bartonella* genomes for protein-coding genes

containing mononucleotide repeats of nine bases or more (**File S3**). As was the case for *B.*

krasnovii OE1-1 A2, each of these genomes contained roughly 50 such SSRs (**Fig. 5a**).

Adenosine repeats predominated over repeats of other bases on the coding strand (**Fig. 5b**).

They comprised 62–79% of all SSRs, depending on the species. SSRs were also noticeably

concentrated at the 5′ ends of reading frames. We observed that 20–35% of SSRs within the

first 5% of the DNA sequence of the gene they overlapped (**Fig. 5c**). This concentration of

SSRs very early in genes was significantly greater than expected by chance in all four species

($p < 0.05$, one-tailed binomial tests). This trend also recapitulates what we observed in the *B.*

krasnovii OE1-1 A2 *badE* gene where the A_9 SSR was immediately after the start codon and

the G_9 SRR was within the first 6% of its length (**File S2**).

Of the 181 different gene families that contained a mononucleotide SSR in at least two

strains of the same Negev Desert *Bartonella* species, 41 were also found in at least two

strains of one or more additional species (**Fig. 5d**). Some of these conserved SSRs are in

genes with functions related to the bacterial cell surface and virulence, which suggests they

could operate as contingency loci for the evolution of antigenic variation or changes in host

specificity (**Table 1, File S3**). For example, one adenosine repeat shared by all four Negev

Desert *Bartonella* species is in a homolog of the lectin-like protein BA14k, which was first

described as an immunoreactive protein in *Brucella* infections of rodents [26]. We did not see

variation in the lengths of most conserved SSRs in the Negev Desert *Bartonella* that would

lead to ON/OFF variation in gene expression due to frameshifts.

Other genes, however, contained SSRs that did exhibit length variation within strains

from a single Negev Desert *Bartonella* species (**Fig. 5e, Table 1, File S3**). These included a

309 component of the VirB/VirD4 Type-IV secretion system (T4SS) [27], a T4SS effector, and

310 three families of conserved *Bartonella* proteins of unknown function. We named one of these

311 latter proteins variable *Bartonella* membrane protein A (*vbmA*). It contains a domain of

312 unknown function (DUF6622), is predicted to have transmembrane helices, and has sequence

313 similarity to NAD(P)/FAD-dependent oxidoreductases. The other *Bartonella* specific

314 proteins with SSRs are from the same gene family. We named them variable *Bartonella*

315 lipoproteins A and B (*vblA* and *vblB*) because they are predicted to be secreted by the Sec-

316 system via signal peptides with cysteine at the cleavage site. Overall, these patterns of

317 conservation and variation in SSRs (which are similar to the two SSRs that mutated during

318 the evolution experiment) suggest that some could operate as contingency loci to rapidly and

319 reversibly mutate to toggle gene expression between ON/OFF states.

321 **Table 1. Highlighted genes with mononucleotide SSRs in Negev Desert *Bartonella* genomes**

Orthogroup[§]	Gene[#]	SSR[†]	States[‡]	Species[¶]	Predicted function
OG0001164	–	A_{9-10}	ON	Bh, Bk, Bg, Bn	Lectin-like protein BA14k
OG0000503	*lpxD*	T_9	ON	Bk, Bg, Bn	UDP-3-*O*-acyl-glucosamine *N*-acyltransferase
OG0000315	*clsC*	T_9	ON	Bk, Bn	Cardiolipin synthase C
OG0000892	*lolA*	A_9, G_9	ON	Bk, Bn	Outer membrane lipoprotein carrier protein
OG0000423	*pssA*	A_9	ON	Bg, Bn	Phosphatidylserine synthase
OG0000008	–	C_{11}	ON	Bk, Bh	Autotransporter outer membrane β-barrel protein
OG0000618	*yhhT*	A_9	ON	Bg, Bn	Autoinducer-2 exporter (AI-2E) family protein
OG0001448	*pbuE*	G_{7-12}	ON/OFF	Bh, Bk	Purine efflux pump
OG0001520	*shlB*	T_9	ON	Bk, Bg	Hemolysin secretion/activation protein
OG0000099	*cdiA*	A_{7-9}	ON/OFF	Bk, Bg	T4SS effector, HNH/ENDO VII family nuclease
OG0000011	*vblA**	G_{10-11}	ON/OFF	Bk, Bg	Lipoprotein
OG0000011	*vblB**	G_{8-10}	ON/OFF	–	Lipoprotein
OG0001151	*acs*	A_{8-9}	ON/OFF	Bk	Acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase
OG0001185	*glnB*	A_{8-9}	ON/OFF	Bk	Nitrogen regulatory protein P-II
OG0000437	*gmk*	A_{8-9}	ON/OFF	Bh	Guanylate kinase
OG0001369	*virB9*	A_{8-9}	ON/OFF	Bk	T4SS system channel component
OG0000957	*vbmA**	C_{6-14}	ON/OFF	Bk	Membrane-localized NAD(P)/FAD-dependent oxidoreductase

322 [§]OrthoFinder orthogroup into which *Bartonella* genes containing the specified SSR were categorized.
323 [†]SSR base and lengths observed in this gene.
324 [‡]Predicted state of gene function based on whether any examples of the SSR generate frameshifts.
325 [¶]Species in which the genomes of at least two strains contain the same SSR with a length of ≥9 bases.
326 Abbreviations: Bh, *B. khokhlovae* Bk, *B. krasnovii*; Bg, *B. gerbillinarum*; Bn, *B. negeviensis*.
327 *Conserved *Bartonella* gene of unknown function named in this study.

Fig 5. Mononucleotide simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in the genomes of Negev Desert *Bartonella*.

(**a**) Number of mononucleotide SSRs with lengths ≥9 bases in the genomes of 38 strains of *Bartonella* belonging to four species that were isolated from rodents in the Negev Desert dunes (**File S3**). Only SSRs overlapping protein-coding genes are included. Points are values for individual strains. Bars are averages within each species. (**b**) Fraction of mononucleotide SSRs in each species that are repeats of each base. Bases are specified on the coding strand of the gene containing the SSR. (**c**) Distribution of mononucleotide SSRs at different normalized positions within protein-coding open reading frames in each species. (**d**) Venn diagram showing the number of SSRs that occurred in at least two genomes from each of the four *Bartonella* species and how they are shared between these species. (**e**) Protein-coding genes exhibiting variation in the lengths of a mononucleotide SSR that result in frameshifts within the Negev Desert *Bartonella* strains. Numbers in boxes are the lengths of SSRs, with their backgrounds shaded by the repeated base as in **b**. A red X indicates a frameshift caused by the SSR in that gene. White boxes indicate that a gene was not identified in a genome. Black boxes indicate that the gene is present but does not have a mononucleotide SSR of ≥6 bases at that location. Asterisks indicate conserved genes of unknown function that were named in this study.

Discussion

To assess how differences in host species availability would influence parasite evolution, we passaged *Bartonella* populations through either a single rodent host species or alternating between two host species. We found that new genotypes with *de novo* mutations arose and outcompeted the ancestor strain in most bacterial populations by the end of the experiment. Mutations in two SSRs (simple sequence repeats) in the same *badE* TAA (trimeric autotransporter adhesin) gene dominated in lines that encountered one of the two rodent hosts. Evolution in changing host environments may favor different adaptive outcomes depending on mutation rates, bottleneck population sizes during infection, the strength of selection, the time spent in each host, the predictability of host shifts, and other factors. Our results provide insights into the evolutionary dynamics of *Bartonella*, specifically in the Negev Desert dunes, where these bacteria circulate within complex rodent communities.

Theoretical and empirical studies have shown that host diversity can either accelerate or impede the rate of parasite adaptation and can favor the evolution of parasites that are either specialists or generalists, depending on the rates of parasite mutation and host switching and whether adaptation to one host results in trade-offs that reduce fitness in another host. When the dynamics with which new parasite mutants arise and compete are slow compared to the rate of host switching, or when there are strong trade-offs, the evolution of generalists is favored, because any new parasite genotype must succeed in multiple host environments [28–30]. By contrast, when mutants that are more fit on one host can arise and dominate parasite populations before they switch hosts, and these mutants are less fit on other hosts, one expects parasites to evolve rapidly as they specialize on each successive host [31].

We found more mutations, on average, in the *Bartonella* lines that were passaged alternately through two rodent species than in lines that experienced only one host species. However, these rate differences were not statistically significant because the rates were low

and fairly uniform. More tellingly, we observed that multiple host passages were required for

new mutations to increase in frequency and approach fixation within the evolving bacterial

populations. This result indicates that the bacteria's evolutionary dynamics could not keep

pace with the rate of host switching in the alternating host treatment. We did not detect strong

trade-offs across the host species in the effects of successful mutations. Such trade-offs would

have been expected to impede the spread of new beneficial mutations in the alternating host

environment compared to the single host environments, which we did not observe.

These evolutionary dynamics suggest that our alternating host treatment selected for

Bartonella that were better generalists, which is expected to proceed with adaptation first and

foremost to the host that is initially less favorable [28–30]. It is likely that *G. andersoni* is a

more challenging host for the *B. krasnovii* strain that we used as the ancestor. We found that

G. andersoni infections have a shorter duration than *G. pyramidum* infections, and the latter

species is the preferred host for fleas that transmit these *Bartonella* strains in nature [32]. This

model also agrees with how SSR mutations in *badE* evolved in all ten lines that included *G.*

andersoni hosts, either alone or as part of the alternating treatment, but in none of the five

lines that faced only *G. pyramidum* hosts. The *badE* mutant strain also reached a higher titer

in red blood cells than the ancestor early in *G. andersoni* infections. This difference in

dynamics is relevant to our experiment because we isolated bacteria to continue propagating

a line from each host 15 days after it was inoculated. Adaptation to *G. andersoni* apparently

occurred without a trade-off, as we did not see changes in bacterial loads in infected *G.*

pyramidum hosts during the evolution experiment, nor did we see a difference between the

TAA mutant and ancestor strain during infections of *G. pyramidum*.

The experimentally evolved SSR mutations in *badE* cause frameshifts, leading to

truncated products that are almost certainly nonfunctional. The *badE* TAA gene product in *B.*

krasnovii is homologous to key virulence factors in other *Bartonella* species. These homologs

include *badA* from *B. henselae*, which is necessary for infecting endothelial cells and

inducing a proangiogenic response [19], and *Vomp*s from *B. quintana*, which mediate

adhesion to collagen and autoaggregation [20]. However, the TAA gene family that acquired

the SSR mutations in our evolution experiment and in the natural *Bartonella* communities is

distinct from these characterized families. Though the function of this *badE* TAA gene family

is unknown, it is likely to mediate binding to the mammalian extracellular matrix or

otherwise affect the interactions between bacterial and host cells [33]. TAA genes can be

immunodominant antigens, and loss of Vomp expression has previously been observed

during recurrent *B. quintana* bacteremia [20]. Loss of function mutations in the mutated *badE*

TAA gene may be adaptive in some rodent hosts because they lead to different bacterial

localization during infections or avoidance of the host's immune responses.

Our results suggest that SSRs acting as contingency loci could have a more prominent

role in *Bartonella* adaptation and, more generally, in the evolution of host specificity than

previously appreciated. We observed conservation of mononucleotide SSRs of nine or more

bases in additional genes in the genomes of *Bartonella* from the Negev Desert dunes. These

SSRs are concentrated at the 5′ ends of reading frames, as they are in other bacterial groups in

which SSRs within genes function as contingency loci [25,34]. Furthermore, we detected

length variation in some SSRs in *Bartonella* isolates from the Negev Desert, supporting the

hypothesis that they evolved to mediate rapid ON/OFF switching of gene expression in

nature. Some of the conserved and varying SSRs are associated with known virulence factors

(e.g., type IV secretion systems and their effectors). Others are associated with conserved

Bartonella genes of unknown function that are predicted to be membrane-localized or

secreted, which could mediate interactions with hosts and/or elicit immune responses.

Additional experiments will be needed to understand if the TAA SSRs and SSRs in other

genes act as contingency loci and their significance for parasite evolution.

Our experiment reproduces some of the host complexity experienced by *Bartonella* in

the Negev Desert, but it does not reflect other aspects of the natural environment, including

transmission by fleas, co-infection of rodents with multiple *Bartonella* strains and species,

and re-infection of rodents with immune systems that have cleared prior infections

[8,12,35,36]. Fine-scale longitudinal sampling and genome sequencing of *Bartonella* in wild

rodents could provide insights into the importance of SSR mutations under these conditions

relative to other mechanisms that can promote evolvability, such as gene transfer agent-

mediated recombination between co-infecting strains and species [15] and within-genome

recombination in virulence gene arrays [13,14]. *Bartonella* bacteria possess many

evolvability mechanisms that may contribute to the remarkable prevalence and diversity of

these parasites, underscoring the danger of zoonotic disease through spillover into humans.

The fixation of SSR mutations in our experimental *Bartonella* populations contrasts with

the findings from an experiment that passaged *Campylobacter jejuni* in a mouse model [37].

In that study, no single SSR mutation reached 100% frequency in the evolved bacterial

populations. This difference suggests there were more extreme population bottlenecks, less

within-host replication, fewer beneficial pathways for SSR-based adaptation to follow, or

some combination thereof during the *Bartonella* infections in our experiments. Overall, our

findings broaden the typical view of the importance of SSRs in parasite adaptation. Even

when the rates of fixation of mutations at these loci cannot keep pace with host immune

responses or movement to new hosts, they may provide an advantage for parasites.

Importantly, they can do so by generating focused genetic variation that allows for rapid

adaptation to a challenging host species in a way that also leaves open the possibility of

restoring the inactivated gene if it is needed for success in future host environments.

Methods

Evolution experiment

We serially passaged *B. krasnovii* strain OE-11 A2 (originally isolated from a *G. andersoni* host in the Negev Desert) either through 20 individuals of one of the two host species (*G. andersoni* and *G. pyramidum*) or by alternating between them (10 individuals of each host species). Each of the three treatments had five replicate lines. All lines were initiated from separate bacterial colony picks to ensure they did not share any initial genetic diversity. We also included a negative control line that was transmitted from one uninfected host to the next throughout the experiment and negative control hosts that were inoculated in each passage with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Neither control showed any evidence of *Bartonella* infection at any point. A full description of the protocol that explains the rationale for specific decisions (e.g., the ancestor strain, inoculation procedure, bacterial quantification methods, inoculation source, and day of sampling) has been published elsewhere [38]. Briefly, in each passage, *Bartonella*-negative rodents were intradermally inoculated with 100 μl of a PBS suspension of bacteria isolated from the previously infected rodent ($5 \times 10^6 \pm 5 \times 10^5$ total cells/inoculum). Rodents were bled by cardiac puncture 15 days after inoculation to collect *Bartonella* at the approximate peak of bacteremia. Red blood cells from each sample were spread on two chocolate agar (CA) plates. These plates were incubated for 3 days at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Then, bacterial cells were harvested by scraping the plates and resuspending the cells in PBS. This solution was homogenized by vortexing it with glass beads and passing it through a 5-μm filter. These samples were used to inoculate rodents in the next passage.

To assess bacterial loads in the rodent blood 15 days after each inoculation, we extracted DNA from the blood samples using a QIAamp BiOstic Bacteremia DNA Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions. To assess bacterial titers in the PBS suspensions

used for inoculation, we extracted DNA using a thermal lysis procedure, as described

previously [8]. In each set of extractions, we included a negative control, in which all the

reagents were added to PBS but without adding the blood or bacterial suspension. We

quantified bacterial loads by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR), following Eidelman *et al.*

[39]. The standard curve was created using DNA extracted from the ancestor strain and was

calibrated to the count of colony-forming units of this sample.

Genome sequencing

Bacterial populations isolated from rodents after passages 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, and

20 were preserved from the PBS solution that remained after inoculating the next rodents by

centrifugation, resuspending them in 1 ml of 20% (w/v) glycerol in lysogeny broth (LB), and

then storing the samples at −80°C. DNA was extracted from these samples using the DNeasy

Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen), following the protocol for gram-negative bacteria with slight

modifications: centrifugation of the initial solution was at $5400 \times g$ for 10 min, and DNA

elution was repeated twice in 50 μl of AE buffer that was preheated to 56°C before adding it

to the purification column and incubating it for 5 min at room temperature. We also spread

100 μl of 10^{-5} and 10^{-6} dilutions of the bacterial solution that we cultivated from rodents after

the last passage on CA plates. After 5 days of incubation at 37°C and 5% CO_2, we isolated 10

endpoint colonies for each experimental line. Each colony was propagated three times by

streaking on a new CA plate and regrowing to ensure a clonal isolate. Following the last

regrowth, 15 colonies were scraped from the plate for each clonal isolate and preserved in

500 μl of 20% (w/v) glycerol in LB. DNA extractions from these clone samples were

performed as described above for the population samples.

In total, DNA was extracted from 318 samples: 165 populations (15 lines × 11 time

points) and 153 clones (15 lines × 10 clonal isolates per population plus three replicates of the

ancestor). Up to 100 ng of purified gDNA from each sample was put into the 2S Turbo DNA

Library Kit (Swift Biosciences). All reactions were carried out at 20% of the manufacturer's

recommended volumes, with dual 6-bp indexes incorporated during the final 10 cycle PCR

step. The resulting libraries were pooled and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq X Ten

instrument by Psomagen (Rockville, MD) to generate 151-base paired-end read data sets

(**File S4**). One population sample that was contaminated with DNA from a different

Bartonella species was discarded before analysis.

Mutation identification

We removed adaptors from the Illumina reads using *trimmomatic* (v0.39) in paired-end mode

with the following settings: 4 allowed mismatches to the seed, a palindrome clip threshold of

30, a simple clip threshold of 10, and discarding trimmed reads ≤ 30 bases. To improve

mutation calling, we assembled and annotated an updated version of the previously reported

genome sequence of *Bartonella krasnovii* strain OE-11 A2 [40]. We used Trycycler (v0.5.3)

[41] on nanopore long reads from a prior study (SRA:SRR6873507) [22] to generate a

consensus assembly from Flye [42], Miniasm+Minipolish [43,44], and Raven [45]

assemblies. Then, we polished the assembly by comparing it to our Illumina short reads for

the ancestor strain using *breseq* (v0.36.1) [46] in consensus mode. Discrepancies between

reads and the assembly were iteratively corrected by using the gdtools APPLY command and

re-running *breseq* until no further improvements were found. Lastly, we used Prokka

(v1.14.6) [47] to annotate the final assembly. The updated *B. krasnovii* OE-11 A2 reference

sequence includes a chromosome with 2,163,391 base pairs and a plasmid with 29,057 base

pairs (**File S5**).

To call mutations in evolved clones and populations, we compared trimmed Illumina

reads to the reference genome using *breseq* (v0.36.1) [46]. This pipeline identifies point

mutations, small insertions and deletions, and structural mutations that can be predicted from

split-read alignments, including insertion sequence (IS) element movements and large

deletions. We first ran *breseq* in polymorphism mode with default parameters separately on

each sample. Then, we combined the candidate mutations predicted by all *breseq* runs using

gdtools MERGE and ran *breseq* again on each sample with the merged file provided as user

evidence, so that it reported read counts supporting all candidate mutations in all samples.

After this point, we did not further analyze samples that did not have ≥18-fold average read-

depth coverage of the chromosome; one ancestor sample, two population samples, and three

clone samples did not pass this cutoff.

Mismapping of reads to the reference genome, low coverage, and sequencing errors can

lead to spurious mutation predictions. We employed several strategies to detect and disregard

mutation predictions that are likely false-positives due to these or other biases. They rely on

the following assumptions. First, mutations are expected to appear in clonal isolates with

frequencies of either 0% or 100% and not at intermediate frequencies. Second, mutations

should exhibit a frequency trajectory in population samples that begins at 0% and changes

gradually over time in a correlated fashion, as subpopulations with the mutation outcompete

others to reach high frequency or are outcompeted and diminish in frequency during the

evolution experiment. Third, it is unlikely that the exact same mutation would be observed in

all or most of the independent lines of the evolution experiment.

To implement these criteria, we began by keeping all mutations that were predicted at

≥90% frequency in any clone. Then, other predictions were examined for hallmarks of

systematic errors of the types described above by analyzing all samples except for the

ancestor controls. First, we disregarded mutation predictions in clonal or population samples

that did not have at least 10 total reads supporting either the reference or mutated sequence

because estimates of their frequencies are subject to large errors. If >5% of all samples did

not meet this threshold, that mutation candidate was not considered further. Then, we

removed mutations that did not reach a frequency of 20% in at least one population sample.

Next, we filtered out mutations that were observed exclusively in the population samples and

were predicted to have a frequency of ≥5% in at least one population from 8 or more of the

15 different experimental lines. Additionally, we required the summed frequency of a

mutation across all clone and population samples to be ≥100% for it to be considered further.

Finally, we fit a null Poisson regression model with a uniform rate of generating reads

supporting the variant across all population samples. The offset in this model was the total

number of reads that were informative about whether that mutation was present or not. For

mutations supported by new sequence junctions the offset was corrected for the number of

read start position registers that would lead to reads that could be definitively assigned to the

junction. We compared this null model to a Poisson regression model that allowed for per-

sample variation in the rate of generating reads with a mutation. If the likelihood ratio test

comparing these two models was rejected after performing the Benjamini-Hochberg

correction for multiple testing across all candidate mutations at a 10^{-6} false-discovery rate

(indicating insufficient evidence for a mutation), then it was removed from consideration.

Mutation candidates that remained after these steps were manually examined and merged

when they were linked by proximity and matching frequency time courses. For example,

three nearby base substitutions that all seem to have resulted from one gene conversion event

in line L11 were merged. The frequency of the merged mutation is reported as the average of

the frequencies predicted for each individual mutation in these cases. Two mutations that

passed all criteria peaked at frequencies of ~33% and ~25% in the population samples from

lines L11 and L13, respectively, and these mutations were also found at roughly the same

frequencies in the endpoint clonal isolates from these lines. These mutations may be in near-

identical regions of the genome that were not individually resolved during assembly of the

reference sequence. We corrected the frequencies of these mutations by dividing by the

estimated peak value and capping the maximum frequency at 100%. For clarity, the

frequencies of mutations were also assigned to be 0% in all samples outside of lines in which

they were clearly present because they reached a frequency of >20% and did not exhibit

sporadic jumps in frequency across population and clone samples. One mutation in L8

appeared at 100% frequency in all of this line's population samples and clones, indicating

that it was already present in the progenitor cell that grew into the colony that was picked to

initiate this population. Because this mutation did not arise during the evolution experiment,

it was not counted or analyzed. Of the 27 mutations in the final list, 24 were at a >90%

frequency in a clonal sample, 12 were predictions in population samples that passed the

filters that eliminated systematic errors, and 9 were in both categories (**File S1**).

576 Infection experiment with *badE* mutant and ancestor strains

We inoculated three male twins and three female twins from each rodent species with either

the ancestor or a mutant strain, and we compared their infection dynamics until the rodents

cleared the infections. The mutant strain was a colony isolated after passage 20 from the L1

line, which was passaged exclusively in *G. andersoni* rodents. It was genetically identical to

the ancestor except for a $G_9 \rightarrow G_{10}$ mutation in *badE* SSR2. We also had one control rodent of

each species that was inoculated with PBS. To assess the rodents' bacterial loads, we bled

them (50 μl of blood per sample) on days 5 and 10 post-inoculation and then every 10 days

until day 73 post-inoculation. Blood was taken from the retro-orbital sinus under general

anesthesia, and a drop of local anesthesia (Localin, Fischer Pharmaceutical Labs, Tel Aviv,

ISR) was placed in the eye. We used the same DNA extraction and qPCR protocols described

in the section on the evolution experiment.

Negev Desert *Bartonella* genome assembly and annotation

We assessed conservation and diversity in SSRs that may function as contingency loci across

B. krasnovii OE-11 A2 and 37 additional *Bartonella* isolates classified into different

genotypes. These isolates were cultured from fleas and blood sampled from 33 wild *G.*

andersoni and 33 wild *G. pyramidum* rodents that were captured during October 2016 in two

different sites in the northwestern Negev Desert sand dunes in Israel (34°23′E, 30°58′N and

34°23′E, 30°55′N) [8]. We performed DNA extractions and Illumina sequencing of these

clonal isolates as described above for samples from the evolution experiment. Then, we

assembled draft genome sequences using Unicycler (v0.4.9b) [48] on read subsets

downsamled to 90× nominal coverage. Contigs that matched the genome of *Acinetobacter*

baylyi ADP1 (GenBank: NC_005966.1) contaminated the initial 75C-4a isolate assembly due

to barcode misassignment. They were identified and removed based on BLAST matches in

Bandage (v0.8.1) [49]. Prokka (v1.14.6) [47] was used with the option to include Rfam

predictions of noncoding RNAs to create the annotated assemblies that were analyzed. For all

comparative analyses, we used the *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2 sequence assembled in this same

way, rather than the closed assembly we used to analyze the evolution experiments.

Evolution of *badE* SSRs in prior colony passage experiment

We re-analyzed data from a study that passaged a *B. krasnovii* A2-type strain that was

isolated from a flea parasitizing a *G. andersoni* rodent in the Negev Desert through single

colony transfers on agar [22]. Illumina sequencing reads were downloaded from the NCBI

Sequence Read Archive (SRP136159) and trimmed as described above. We assembled the

genome of the ancestor of this experiment using Unicycler, as described for the Negev Desert

Bartonella. Then, we identified the *badE* TAA homolog using a BLAST search and

confirmed that it had both ancestral SSRs that mutated during our evolution experiment with

612   *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2. Finally, we used *breseq* to call mutations in all nine of the clonal

613   isolates that were sequenced at the end of the experiment after 50 colony passages. No

614   mutations in either SSR were found in any of these samples.

Codon randomization tests

Custom Python scripts relying on Biopython (v1.81) [57] were used to load protein-coding

gene sequences from our closed assembly of the *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2 genome (**File S4**)

and perform randomization tests that kept the amino acid sequences of each protein in the

genome fixed while randomly shuffling codons within each gene. This approach is similar to

how prior studies have accounted for codon usage bias affecting SSR prevalence in microbial

genomes [24,25]. We report 95% confidence intervals and *p*-values estimated from the

characteristics of 10,000 shuffled versions of the very large *badE* TAA gene and 1,000

shuffled sets of all proteins in the genome. For comparing overrepresentation of SSRs in

badE relative to the overall depletion of SSRs genome-wide, we performed the same

procedure but added a step where each SSR in a shuffled gene had a 50/206 chance of

surviving selection to make it into the final simulated distribution.

Orthogroup identification and phylogenetic tree construction

To identify orthologous gene groups, we used OrthoFinder (v.2.5.5) [50] on proteins

predicted in the genome assemblies of the 38 Negev Desert *Bartonella* isolates and

representatives of 8 *Bartonella* species that infect other hosts. The phylogenetic tree was

created by aligning the amino acid sequences of the 808 predicted single-gene orthologs with

MAFFT (v7.508) [51], trimming these alignments with BMGE (v1.12) [52] using the

BLOSUM62 substitution matrix, and inferring a maximum likelihood tree with IQ-Tree

(v2.2.3) [53] from the concatenated alignment with 265,987 columns using a JTT+FO+R10

model and 100 bootstraps. We used *B. bovis* 91-4 as the outgroup to root the resulting tree.

TAA gene family sequence analysis

We aligned the amino acid sequences of proteins assigned to the orthogroup containing both the TAA gene *PRJBM_RS00735* from *B. henselae* BM1374163 and the *B. krasnovii* OE-11A2 *badE* TAA gene using MUSCLE (v3.8.1) [54], with further manual refinement of the resulting alignment in AliView [55]. To recover incomplete reading frames interrupted by contig boundaries, we also added the best TBLASTN (v2.12.0+) [56] matches in each genome to the first 200 amino acids of the *B. krasnovii* OE-11A2 TAA sequence. To further delineate TAA families, we used InterProScan (v5.65-97.0) [57] to identify all proteins with matches to the head, stalk, and membrane anchor domains of YadA-like trimeric autotransporter adhesins (IPR008640, IPR008635, and IPR005594, respectively) in the 46 genomes that were analyzed. We aligned and clustered the sequences of these proteins and examined their placement relative to *B. henselae* BadA and *B. quintana* Vomp TAAs [19,20]. For the analysis of conserved amino acid triplets and nine-base subsequences, we used a custom Python script to examine a MUSCLE amino acid alignment of just the 18 *B. krasnovii* *badE* genes, which all have the A_9 SSR that mutated in the evolution experiment.

Mononucleotide SSR survey

Mononucleotide repeats of ≥9 bases in the 46 *Bartonella* genomes were identified and associated with orthogroups using custom Python scripts that used Biopython and pandas [58] functions and R scripts that used tidyverse packages [59]. Orthogroups were assigned initial gene names and descriptions based on annotations in the input genomes, with further refinement through NCBI BLAST [56] and InterProScan [57] searches. We calculated overall statistics for SSRs that overlap protein-coding genes in the Negev Desert *Bartonella* species. Then, we further analyzed orthogroups with the SSRs found in at least two strains of any of the four Negev Desert *Bartonella* species (**Data 3**). We manually examined SSRs in sequence

660 alignments for evidence of frameshifts caused by addition or deletion of the repeated bases

661 using AliView [55]. For the *Bartonella* gene families of unknown function that contained

662 SSRs with length variation, we predicted signal peptides using SignalP-6.0 (v0.0.56) [60] and

663 transmembrane helices using DeepTMHMM (v1.0.24) [61].

Data availability

665 Raw sequencing data are available from the US National Center for Biotechnology

666 Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProjects PRJNA914220 and

667 PRJNA1061316. Biological materials are available upon reasonable request to H.H and S.H.

Code availability

669 Analysis scripts and source data are available at https://github.com/barricklab/ND-Bartonella-

670 EE and https://github.com/barricklab/ND-Bartonella-SSR and have been archived in Zenodo

671 at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10467299 and https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10467301.

Acknowledgments

673 We acknowledge the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at The University of Texas

674 at Austin for providing high-performance computing resources.

Competing Interests

676 The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions

678 Conceptualization (S.H., L.Z., R.E.L., J.E.B., and H.H), investigation (R.R-P., N.K., N.S.,

679 A.Z.H., D.E.D., R.G., J.E.B., and H.H.), formal analysis (J.E.B. and H.H.), visualization

680 (A.Z.H., J.E.B., and H.H.), software (J.E.B.), writing – original draft (J.E.B. and H.H.),

681 writing – review & editing (R.R-P., N.K., A.Z.H., D.E.D., R.G., S.H., L.Z., R.E.L., J.E.B.,

682 and H.H.) and funding acquisition (S.H., L.Z., R.E.L., J.E.B., and H.H).

References

1. Kassen R. The experimental evolution of specialists, generalists, and the maintenance of

diversity. J Evol Biol. 2002;15: 173–190. doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2002.00377.x

2. Cornwall DH, Kubinak JL, Zachary E, Stark DL, Seipel D, Potts WK. Experimental

manipulation of population-level MHC diversity controls pathogen virulence evolution

in *Mus musculus*. J Evol Biol. 2018;31: 314–322. doi:10.1111/jeb.13225

3. Elena SF. Local adaptation of plant viruses: lessons from experimental evolution. Mol

Ecol. 2017;26: 1711–1719. doi:10.1111/mec.13836

4. Visher E, Boots M. The problem of mediocre generalists: population genetics and eco-

evolutionary perspectives on host breadth evolution in pathogens. Proc Biol Sci.

2020;287: 20201230. doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.1230

5. Betts A, Rafaluk C, King KC. Host and parasite evolution in a tangled bank. Trends

Parasitol. 2016;32: 863–873. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2016.08.003

6. Cobey S. Pathogen evolution and the immunological niche. Ann N Y Acad Sci.

2014;1320: 1–15. doi:10.1111/nyas.12493

7. Deitsch KW, Lukehart SA, Stringer JR. Common strategies for antigenic variation by

bacterial, fungal and protozoan pathogens. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7: 493–503.

doi:10.1038/nrmicro2145

8. Gutiérrez R, Cohen C, Flatau R, Marcos-Hadad E, Garrido M, Halle S, et al. Untangling

the knots: Co-infection and diversity of *Bartonella* from wild gerbils and their

associated fleas. Mol Ecol. 2018;27: 4787–4807. doi:10.1111/mec.14906

9. Kedem H, Cohen C, Messika I, Einav M, Pilosof S, Hawlena H. Multiple effects of host-

species diversity on coexisting host-specific and host-opportunistic microbes. Ecology.

2014;95: 1173–1183. doi:10.1890/13-0678.1

10. Rodríguez-Pastor R, Hasik AZ, Knossow N, Bar-Shira E, Shahar N, Gutiérrez R, et al.

Bartonella infections are prevalent in rodents despite efficient immune responses.

Parasit Vectors. 2023;16: 315. doi:10.1186/s13071-023-05918-7

11. Cohen C, Einav M, Hawlena H. Path analyses of cross-sectional and longitudinal data

suggest that variability in natural communities of blood-associated parasites is derived

from host characteristics and not interspecific interactions. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8: 429.

doi:10.1186/s13071-015-1029-5

12. Gutiérrez R, Morick D, Cohen C, Hawlena H, Harrus S. The effect of ecological and

temporal factors on the composition of *Bartonella* infection in rodents and their fleas.

ISME J. 2014;8: 1598–1608. doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.22

13. Engel P, Salzburger W, Liesch M, Chang C-C, Maruyama S, Lanz C, et al. Parallel

evolution of a type IV secretion system in radiating lineages of the host-restricted

bacterial pathogen *Bartonella*. Guttman DS, editor. PLoS Genet. 2011;7: e1001296.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001296

14. Thibau A, Hipp K, Vaca DJ, Chowdhury S, Malmström J, Saragliadis A, et al. Long-read

sequencing reveals genetic adaptation of *Bartonella* adhesin A among different

Bartonella henselae isolates. Front Microbiol. 2022;13: 838267.

doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.838267

15. Québatte M, Dehio C. *Bartonella* gene transfer agent: Evolution, function, and proposed

role in host adaptation. Cell Microbiol. 2019;21: e13068. doi:10.1111/cmi.13068

16. Coenye T, Vandamme P. Characterization of mononucleotide repeats in sequenced

prokaryotic genomes. DNA Res. 2005;12: 221–233. doi:10.1093/dnares/dsi009

17. Moxon ER, Rainey PB, Nowak MA, Lenski RE. Adaptive evolution of highly mutable

loci in pathogenic bacteria. Curr Biol. 1994;4: 24–33.

18. Moxon R, Bayliss C, Hood D. Bacterial contingency loci: The role of simple sequence

DNA repeats in bacterial adaptation. Annu Rev Genet. 2006;40: 307–333.

doi:10.1146/annurev.genet.40.110405.090442

19. Riess T, Andersson SGE, Lupas A, Schaller M, Schäfer A, Kyme P, et al. *Bartonella*

adhesin A mediates a proangiogenic host cell response. J Exp Med. 2004;200: 1267–

1278. doi:10.1084/jem.20040500

20. Zhang P, Chomel BB, Schau MK, Goo JS, Droz S, Kelminson KL, et al. A family of

variably expressed outer-membrane proteins (Vomp) mediates adhesion and

autoaggregation in *Bartonella quintana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2004;101: 13630–13635.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0405284101

21. Kaiser PO, Riess T, Wagner CL, Linke D, Lupas AN, Schwarz H, et al. The head of

Bartonella adhesin A is crucial for host cell interaction of *Bartonella henselae*. Cell

Microbiol. 2008;10: 2223–2234. doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01201.x

22. Gutiérrez R, Markus B, Carstens Marques de Sousa K, Marcos-Hadad E,

Mugasimangalam RC, Nachum-Biala Y, et al. Prophage-driven genomic structural

changes promote *Bartonella* vertical evolution. Genome Biol Evol. 2018;10: 089–3103.

doi:10.1093/gbe/evy236

23. Field D, Wills C. Abundant microsatellite polymorphism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*,

and the different distributions of microsatellites in eight prokaryotes and *S. cerevisiae*,

result from strong mutation pressures and a variety of selective forces. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A. 1998;95: 1647–1652. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.4.1647

24. Mrázek J, Guo X, Shah A. Simple sequence repeats in prokaryotic genomes. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104: 8472–8477.

25. Lin W-H, Kussell E. Evolutionary pressures on simple sequence repeats in prokaryotic

coding regions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40: 2399–2413. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1078

26. Chirhart-Gilleland RL, Kovach ME, Elzer PH, Jennings SR, Roop RM. Identification and

characterization of a 14-kilodalton *Brucella abortus* protein reactive with antibodies

from naturally and experimentally infected hosts and T lymphocytes from

experimentally infected BALB/c mice. Infect Immun. 1998;66: 4000–4003.

doi:10.1128/IAI.66.8.4000-4003.1998

27. Dehio C. Infection-associated type IV secretion systems of *Bartonella* and their diverse

roles in host cell interaction. Cell Microbiol. 2008;10: 1591–1598. doi:10.1111/j.1462-

5822.2008.01171.x

28. Cooper TF, Lenski RE. Experimental evolution with *E. coli* in diverse resource

environments. I. Fluctuating environments promote divergence of replicate populations.

BMC Evol Biol. 2010;10: 11–11.

29. Sandberg TE, Lloyd CJ, Palsson BO, Feist AM. Laboratory evolution to alternating

substrate environments yields distinct phenotypic and genetic adaptive strategies. Appl

Environ Microbiol. 2017;83: e00410-17. doi:10.1128/AEM.00410-17

30. Deatherage DE, Kepner JL, Bennett AF, Lenski RE, Barrick JE. Specificity of genome

evolution in experimental populations of *Escherichia coli* evolved at different

temperatures. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114: E1904–E1912.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1616132114

31. Palmer ME, Lipsitch M, Moxon ER, Bayliss CD. Broad conditions favor the evolution of

phase-variable loci. Kolter R, editor. mBio. 2013;4: e00430-12.

doi:10.1128/mBio.00430-12

32. Messika I, Garrido M, Kedem H, China V, Gavish Y, Dong Q, et al. From

endosymbionts to host communities: factors determining the reproductive success of

arthropod vectors. Oecologia. 2017;184: 859–871. doi:10.1007/s00442-017-3906-4

33. Kiessling AR, Malik A, Goldman A. Recent advances in the understanding of trimeric

autotransporter adhesins. Med Microbiol Immunol (Berl). 2020;209: 233–242.

doi:10.1007/s00430-019-00652-3

34. Orsi RH, Bowen BM, Wiedmann M. Homopolymeric tracts represent a general

regulatory mechanism in prokaryotes. BMC Genomics. 2010;11: 102–102.

35. Morick D, Krasnov BR, Khokhlova IS, Gottlieb Y, Harrus S. Investigation of *Bartonella*

acquisition and transmission in *Xenopsylla ramesis* fleas (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae). Mol

Ecol. 2011;20: 2864–2870. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05033.x

36. Cohen C, Toh E, Munro D, Dong Q, Hawlena H. Similarities and seasonal variations in

bacterial communities from the blood of rodents and from their flea vectors. ISME J.

2015;9: 1662–1676. doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.255

37. Jerome JP, Bell JA, Plovanich-Jones AE, Barrick JE, Brown CT, Mansfield LS. Standing

genetic variation in contingency loci drives the rapid adaptation of *Campylobacter*

jejuni to a novel host. PLoS ONE. 2011;6: e16399.

38. Rodríguez-Pastor R, Shafran Y, Knossow N, Gutiérrez R, Harrus S, Zaman L, et al. A

road map for in vivo evolution experiments with blood-borne parasitic microbes. Mol

Ecol Resour. 2022;22: 2843–2859. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13649

39. Eidelman A, Cohen C, Navarro-Castilla Á, Filler S, Gutiérrez R, Bar-Shira E, et al. The

dynamics between limited-term and lifelong coinfecting bacterial parasites in wild

rodent hosts. J Exp Biol. 2019;222: jeb.203562. doi:10.1242/jeb.203562

40. Gutiérrez R, Shalit T, Markus B, Yuan C, Nachum-Biala Y, Elad D, et al. *Bartonella*

kosoyi sp. nov. and *Bartonella krasnovii* sp. nov., two novel species closely related to

the zoonotic *Bartonella elizabethae*, isolated from black rats and wild desert rodent-

fleas. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2020;70: 1656–1665. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.003952

41. Wick RR, Judd LM, Cerdeira LT, Hawkey J, Méric G, Vezina B, et al. Trycycler:

consensus long-read assemblies for bacterial genomes. Genome Biol. 2021;22: 266.

doi:10.1186/s13059-021-02483-z

42. Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using

repeat graphs. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37: 540–546. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8

43. Li H. Minimap and miniasm: fast mapping and de novo assembly for noisy long

sequences. Bioinformatics. 2016;32: 2103–2110. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw152

44. Wick RR, Holt KE. Benchmarking of long-read assemblers for prokaryote whole genome

sequencing. F1000Research. 2019;8: 2138.

45. Vaser R, Šikić M. Time- and memory-efficient genome assembly with Raven. Nat

Comput Sci. 2021;1: 332–336. doi:10.1038/s43588-021-00073-4

46. Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes

from next-generation sequencing data using *breseq*. Sun L, Shou W, editors. Methods

Mol Biol. 2014;1151: 165–188. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12

47. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:

2068–2069. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153

48. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome

assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. Phillippy AM, editor. PLOS Comput

Biol. 2017;13: e1005595. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595

49. Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo

genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2015;31: 3350–3352.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383

50. Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative

genomics. Genome Biol. 2019;20: 238. doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y

51. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

Improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30: 772–780.

doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010

52. Criscuolo A, Gribaldo S. BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy): a new

software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple sequence

alignments. BMC Evol Biol. 2010;10: 210. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-10-210

53. Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, et

al. IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the

genomic era. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37: 1530–1534. doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa015

54. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and

space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics. 2004;5: 113. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-5-113

55. Larsson A. AliView: a fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large

datasets. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014;30: 3276–3278. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu531

56. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+:

architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10: 421–421.

doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

57. Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, et al. InterProScan 5:

genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 1236–1240.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031

58. McKinney W. Data structures for statistical computing in Python. Proc of the 9th Python

in Science Conf. Austin, Texas; 2010. pp. 56–61. doi:10.25080/Majora-92bf1922-00a

59. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan L, François R, et al. Welcome to

the Tidyverse. J Open Source Softw. 2019;4: 1686. doi:10.21105/joss.01686

60. Teufel F, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Gíslason MH, Pihl SI, Tsirigos KD, et

al. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models.

Nat Biotechnol. 2022;40: 1023–1025. doi:10.1038/s41587-021-01156-3

61. Hallgren J, Tsirigos K, Pedersen MD, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Marcatili P, Nielsen H, et

al. DeepTMHMM predicts alpha and beta transmembrane proteins using deep neural

network. 2022. doi:10.1101/2022.04.08.487609

Supporting Information

**Fig. S1. *Bartonella* infection loads and inoculum sizes throughout the evolution
experiment.** We propagated *Bartonella* krasnovii OE1-1 A2 populations through rodents in
three different host scenarios: infecting *Gerbillus andersoni* only (GA), infecting *G.
pyramidum* only (GP), or alternating between the two hosts (GA-GP). (**a**) Mean of the log-
transformed final *Bartonella* load in rodents from each host treatment at day 15 post
infection, when blood was collected to culture bacteria for the next passage. (**b**) Log-
transformed *Bartonella* loads in all rodents from every passage plotted against the log-
transformed size of the *Bartonella* inoculum injected into that rodent.

S1 File. Evolution experiment mutations. Mutations identified in 162 population samples
and 147 endpoint clonal isolates from whole-genome sequencing data.

S2 File. *Bartonella badE* gene sequences. Alignment of the *B. krasnovii* OE1-1 A2 *badE*

TAA gene and the most similar genes in 45 related *Bartonella* genomes.

S3 File. Negev Desert *Bartonella* mononucleotide SSRs. Characteristics of conserved SSRs

found in 38 wild *Bartonella* isolates classified into four species.

S4 File. Genome sequencing data summary. Characteristics of whole-genome sequencing

data sets for the ancestor, 162 population samples, and 147 endpoint clonal isolates.

S5 File. *Bartonella* OE1-1 A2 reference genome. Assembled and annotated reference

genome used to predict mutations in evolved populations and clones.