"Embryonic piRNAs target horizontally transferred vertebrate transposons in assassin

bugs"

Tarcísio Fontenele de Brito[1], Maira Arruda Cardoso[1], Nazerke Atinbayeva[3,4], Ingrid

Alexandre de Abreu Brito[1], Lucas Amaro da Costa[1], Nicola Iovino[3] and Attilio Pane[1,2*]

Affiliations:

[1] Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 21941-902

Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.

[2] Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia em Entomologia Molecular, Brasil.

[3] Department of Chromatin Regulation, Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and

Epigenetics, D-79108 Freiburg, Germany.

[4] Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Fahnenbergplatz, 79085 Freiburg im Breisgau,

Germany

* Corresponding author

E-mail: apane@icb.ufrj.br (AP)

Keywords

Rhodnius, HTT, piRNA pathway, transposon, siRNA

Abstract

Piwi proteins and the associated Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) coordinate a

surveillance system that protects the animal genome from DNA damage induced by

transposable element (TE) mobilization. Here, we investigated the adaptation of the

piRNA pathway to horizontally transferred transposons (HTTs) in the assassin bug

Rhodnius prolixus, a primary vector of Chagas disease. *Rhodnius* acquired specific

classes of HTTs by feeding on bats, opossums and squirrel monkeys. By analyzing the

temporal dynamics of piRNA cluster expression and piRNA production during critical

stages of *Rhodnius* development, we show that peak levels of ~28 nt long piRNAs

correlate with reduced HTT and resident TE expression primarily during embryogenesis.

Strikingly, while resident TEs piRNAs seem to engage in a typical ping-pong

amplification mechanism, sense and antisense HTT piRNAs instead overlap by ~20 nt

or do not display ping-pong signatures. These features are explained at least in part by

the low number of HTT copies inserted into the piRNA clusters and might point to a non-

canonical mechanism of biogenesis. Our data reveal that the piRNA, but not the siRNA

pathway, responded to HTTs that were recently transferred from vertebrate tetrapods to

a hematophagous insect of medical relevance.

Introduction

Transposable elements (TEs) are selfish genetic elements that can mobilize and insert

in new positions of eukaryotic genomes. These sequences often comprise a substantial

proportion of the host genome reaching more than half of the human genome and 90%

of the maize genome [1–3]. Although TEs are usually passed on to the next generations

via vertical transmission, a growing body of evidence is showing that horizontal

transposons transfer (HTT) is more widespread than previously appreciated and occurs

not only between closely related species, but also across distant phyla [4–6]. One of the

most remarkable examples is represented by the horizontal transfer of DNA

transposons between the triatomine insect *Rhodnius prolixus* and vertebrates, which

occurred within the past 50 millions years [7]. *Rhodnius* is a hematophagous insect

species and a primary vector of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the causative agent of Chagas

disease. Transposons of the *SPACE INVADERS* (*SPIN*), *OposCharlie1* (*OC1*), *hAT1*

and *ExtraTerrestrial* (*ET*) in the genome of this insect were found to share up to ~98.1%

sequence identity with those described in opossums, squirrel monkeys and bats of

South America [7]. *Rhodnius* likely acquired these HTTs because of its blood-feeding

habit and the broad range of vertebrate hosts. The same habit is responsible for the

transmission of *T. cruzi* to humans and underlies the etiology of Chagas disease.

Rhodnius also harbors other promiscuous transposons like *RTE-X*, *Gypsy, Helitron, I,*

Maverick and *Mariner*, with the latter being the predominant family in the *Rhodnius*

genome [8]. These TEs are often transmitted horizontally across the animal kingdom and

even between animals and plants [9]. How cells respond to newly invading transposons

has been investigated in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*. The best characterized

example of HTT involves the horizontal transfer of P-elements from *Drosophila willistoni*

to *Drosophila melanogaster* and their subsequent spreading across natural *D.*

melanogaster populations over the second half of the 20th century [10]. P-element

invasion of a naive genome was soon associated with a class of developmental defects

collectively known as hybrid dysgenesis [11,12]. Hybrid dysgenesis leads to sterility but

only manifests itself in the progeny that paternally inherits the P-element. The discovery

of the piRNA pathway and its crucial role as a defense system against transposon-

induced DNA damage allowed to shed light on the molecular underpinnings of hybrid

dysgenesis [13]. Piwi-interacting RNAs or piRNAs are a class of small non-coding RNAs

ranging in size from 18 to 30 nucleotides that are typically found in complex with PIWI

proteins [14–16]. The pathway has been best described in *Drosophila melanogaster* and a

few other holometabolous insect species [17]. In *Drosophila* somatic cells, piRNA

precursor transcripts originate from regions of the genome harboring a variety of

transposon remnants known as piRNA clusters [18,19]. These piRNA precursors are

processed in cytoplasmic organelles known as Yb-bodies to generate mature mostly

antisense piRNAs [20]. In turn, antisense piRNAs are loaded into the Piwi protein that

translocates to the nucleus and employs them as guides to identify and silence actively

transcribed transposons in the genome [21,22]. Among the primary targets of the somatic

pathway are the *Zam*, *Gypsy* and *Idefix* transposons [19,23,24]. The transcription of the

germline piRNA clusters instead occurs from both genomic strands (i.e. dual-strand

piRNA clusters) [19] and is regulated by a dedicated machinery [25–28]. Cluster transcripts

produce primary antisense piRNAs as in the somatic cells [29]. However, a fraction of

these RNAs promotes a feedforward amplification loop known as the "ping-pong" cycle,

that is regulated by Aubergine (Aub) and Argonaute3 (Ago3) [18,19]. The loop starts when

Aub bound to primary antisense piRNAs elicits the formation of sense piRNAs by

cleaving the transcripts of active transposons. In turn, sense piRNAs are bound by

Ago3, which can now recognize cluster transcripts to produce more antisense piRNAs.

The slicing activities of Aub and Ago3 leave a typical hallmark in the secondary piRNA

complement known as "ping-pong signature", whereby sense and antisense piRNAs

overlap by 10 nt at their 5' end. Also, because antisense piRNAs display a 5' Uracil bias

(1U), the sense piRNA mates are enriched with an Adenine residue at the 10th position

(10A). Antisense piRNAs associate with Piwi also in the germline and guide the protein

to the nucleus. In addition to the piRNA pathway, endogenous ~22 nt long siRNAs

(siRNAs) have also been implicated in transposon downregulation in *Drosophila* [30,31].

siRNAs are generated through the cleavage double-strand RNA molecules by the

ribonuclease Dicer2 (Dcr2) and loaded into Argonaute2 (Ago2) to form the RISC

complex [32,33]. Transposon-related siRNAs can originate from piRNA clusters or from

transposable elements [30]. In *Drosophila*, the siRNA pathway downregulates certain TEs

in the somatic cells, while the piRNA pathway is crucial in germline tissues.

Since the discovery of horizontally transmitted P-elements in *Drosophila* species, a

wealth of studies have reported the exchange of transposable elements between non-

hybridizing insect species [5,12,34]. The piRNA pathway has been proposed to coordinate

an adaptive defense mechanism against newly invading transposons [35]. A naive

genome would not express piRNAs against a new TE, which can therefore insert in

several positions in the genome. However, when a transposon copy eventually

integrates in a piRNA cluster, it becomes a source of piRNAs that guide the PIWIs to

silence in *trans* the active elements dispersed in the genome. The observation that

piRNAs are maternally loaded in the developing eggs also pointed out a mechanism to

explain the hybrid dysgenesis syndrome [13]. The progeny of a cross between a female fly

bearing a P-element and a naive male will develop normally because it inherits both the

TE and the cognate piRNA set. In contrast, the reciprocal cross will prove sterile

because the P-element is transmitted by the father to a progeny that lacks the

appropriate piRNA set. Aside from the Drosophilids however, much less is known about

the mechanisms that allow insect species to repress newly invading transposons. The

piRNA pathway is evolutionarily conserved in animals, but remarkable differences can

be observed even when the investigation is restricted to species of the same genus

[17,36,37]. For instance, it was recently shown that *D. eugracilis*, which diverged only 10

million years ago from *D. melanogaster*, neither uses a ping-pong amplification

mechanism nor it expresses the critical YB protein [36]. The expression patterns and size

distribution of the piRNAs also varies when *D. melanogaster* is compared to other

holometabolous insects like *Tribolium casteneum*, *Bombyx mori* and *Apis mellifera* [38] [39–

41]. In hemimetabolous insects the characterization of the pathway is still in its infancy,

but it was shown that *Blattella germanica* expresses ~28 nt piRNAs during

embryogenesis as well as stage-specific piRNA pools during post embryonic

development [39]. The investigation of the piRNA pathway in more basal insects therefore

might reveal novel mechanisms and functions and shed light on the arms race between

transposons and related defense systems.

Rhodnius prolixus is a hemimetabolous insect belonging to the Triatomine subfamily

and undergoes 5 nymph stages during development before turning into a fertile winged

adult [42]. Blood feeding is not only necessary for ecdysis, but also to trigger oogenesis in

adult females. It was estimated that between 19% and 23% of the *Rhodnius* genome is

composed of transposon sequences with the *Mariner* family accounting for a large

fraction of the mobilome [8,43,44]. The genome of this hemipteran insect harbors four *PIWI*

genes and we have previously shown that *Rp-piwi2*, *Rp-piwi3* and *Rp-ago3*, but not *Rp-*

piwi1, are expressed in ovaries and are necessary for female adult fertility [45].

Furthermore, our transcriptomic analyses revealed that orthologs of the *Drosophila*

piRNA and siRNA pathways components are also expressed in *Rhodnius* ovaries [46]. In

this study, we characterize the temporal dynamics of the piRNAs and their source *loci* in

the *Rhodnius* genome. Importantly, we provide the first evidence of the ability of the

piRNA pathway to respond and adapt to HTTs transmitted from vertebrates to a

hematophagous insect responsible for the transmission of Chagas disease.

Results

Small RNA profiling in *Rhodnius prolixus*

In order to investigate the dynamics of piRNA expression in oogenesis and early

stages of *Rhodnius* development, we generated and sequenced small RNA libraries

from embryos (Emb) and 1st instar nymphs (Nym) and analyzed them together with

small RNA datasets from previtellogenic stages of oogenesis (PVS) and mature eggs

(Egg), that we previously produced [47] (Fig. 1A). After trimming and quality checking, we

mapped the reads to the RproC3 version of *Rhodnius* genome available at VectorBase

[44,48]. The percentage of reads that mapped to the genome ranged from ~86% for the

PVS1 replicate to ~95% for the Egg2 replicate (Supplementary Table 1). The length

distribution of the reads reveals a bimodal distribution with two major peaks at ~22 nt

and ~28 nt (Fig. 1B). The former is more prominent in PVS, Egg1 and Nym samples,

while the latter is more apparent in Egg2 and Emb samples. To gain insight into the

complexity of *Rhodnius* small RNAs, we contrasted our small RNA datasets against

Rhodnius annotated features available at VectorBase [48]. As expected, a substantial

proportion of the mapped reads (i.e. 36.16% for PVS and 65.52% for Nym), match

annotated miRNAs (Fig.1C). The abundance of reads corresponding to repetitive

sequences shows a reciprocal distribution when compared to the miRNAs. In fact, this

category is highly expressed in embryos, while it's progressively lower in PVS (12.07%)

and Nym (10.6%). Once again, the Egg replicates display two non-overlapping

distributions with Egg2 being more comparable to the Emb replicates. Other genomic

features, including tRNAs, are below 8% in all the samples. A fraction of the reads,

ranging from ~12% in Nym to ~34% in Emb, could not be classified based on annotated

features (i.e. "unassigned"). Next, we profiled the length distribution of the reads

associated with transposons and repetitive sequences (Fig. 1D). All the stages analyzed

displayed an apparent peak at 21-22 nt, that likely comprises siRNAs, and a ~28 nt

peak corresponding to piRNAs. The abundance of these classes of small RNAs varies

in the different samples. The ~22 nt population is predominant in PVS, while the ~28 nt

is dramatically expressed in embryonic stages and largely exceeds the ~22 nt set (Fig.

1D). In nymph stages, the levels of ~22 nt and ~28 nt small RNAs seem comparable.

Once again, the Egg1 and Egg2 replicates provided two different size distributions. Only

Egg1 is compatible with maternally loaded piRNAs generated in PVS, while the second

replicate seems more similar to the profile obtained in embryos. The easiest explanation

for this observation is that the eggs dissected from the adult females used for the Egg2

replicate included a proportion of developing embryos that were retained by the mother.

We decided to keep these replicates as they might help shed light on the very initial

stages of embryogenesis.

It was shown that four DNA transposon families in *Rhodnius*, that is *SPIN, OC1, hAT*

and *ET* originated by horizontal transfer from vertebrate tetrapods [7]. We therefore

wondered whether piRNAs related to these HTTs are expressed in *Rhodnius*. To

answer this question, we attempted to isolate all the RNAs with 18-30 nt matching HTTs

sequences. Strikingly, we find both sense and antisense piRNAs for 6 HTTs reported

by Guilbert and collaborators (Fig. 1E) [7]. In addition to the HTTs, 46 transposon

families produce both sense and antisense piRNAs with 14 families accounting for more

than 90% of the piRNAs. Among them, we could detect abundant piRNAs *LTR/Gypsy*,

the LINE elements *Jockey* and *RTE-X*, the rolling-circle *Helitron* and, as expected, for

DNA transposons of the *Mariner* family (Fig. 1E). The latter explains 8.9% of the total

piRNAs. Among the top most piRNA-producing sequences, we find a class of

"Unknown" elements, which might comprise novel transposons or repetitive sequences.

In agreement with the general dynamics of the piRNA population in the different stages

analyzed, the expression of both sense and antisense piRNAs for the HTTs and other

resident TEs appears to dramatically increase during embryogenesis, with the antisense

population being more prominent (Fig. 1D and E).

We then analyzed the expression patterns of TEs in oogenesis and early *Rhdonius*

development (Fig. 1F). To this aim we combined ovarian and embryonic RNA-Seq

datasets that we and others previously generated together with newly sequenced

transcriptomes of 1st instar nymphs [46,49]. The temporal expression dynamics of the TEs

appear to inversely correlate with piRNA abundance in each stage. The highest TEs

expression levels are observed in nymphs, while the lowest are detected in embryonic

stages concomitant with peak levels of piRNAs. These results suggest that the 28 nt

piRNA population might drive TE silencing during *Rhodnius* embryogenesis, while TE

mobilization might be more tolerated in postembryonic development.

piRNAs in *Rhodnius prolixus* are produced from uni-strand clusters

In order to identify the piRNA source *loci* in *Rhodnius*, we narrowed down our search to

piRNAs that map uniquely to the genome [19]. For this study, we adopted a new version

of the *Rhodnius* genome generated with the Hi-C technique and available at

(https://www.dnazoo.org/assemblies/Rhodnius_prolixus). The Hi-C genome comprises

11 major scaffolds that likely represent the X chromosome and the 10 autosomes of

Rhodnius. Scaffold 10 was proposed to actually correspond to the X chromosome. We

found that unique piRNAs clusterize at 1276 different positions in the genome mainly

along the major scaffolds 1-11 (1176) (Fig. 2A). The top 10 clusters account for ~74% of

the total unique piRNAs expressed in *Rhodnius* embryogenesis (Fig. 2B). Only 16

RPCLs (i.e. *Rhodnius prolixus* clusters) display RPM > 100 and 6 of them display RPM

> 1000. These RPCL are hosted on scaffold 6 (RPCL1, RPCL3 and RPCL4), scaffold

11 (RPCL2) and the putative X chromosome scaffold 10 (RPCL5 and RPCL6). We find

that transposons of the *LINE RTE-X*, *Jockey* and *Gypsy* families together with *Mariner-*

like DNA transposons are the most abundant in the top 10 piRNA clusters

(Supplementary Fig. 1). It is worth noting however that the most abundant sequence

belongs to the "unknown" category and deserves further investigation in the future. The

clusters vary in size from a few kilobases to over 700 kb for the RPCL1 locus (Fig. 2C).

For the major RPCL1 cluster, we can observe a clear anticorrelation between the levels

of piRNAs and cluster transcripts (Fig. 2C). High piRNA levels in embryos coincide with

low levels of cluster transcripts. Instead, cluster transcripts appear to accumulate in

PVS and, especially, in nymphs, where piRNA expression is at the lowest. Similar

expression patterns are observed for the majority of the piRNA clusters (Fig. 2D).

Next, we investigated the expression of the cluster-derived piRNAs in PVS, Egg, Emb

and Nym stages (Fig. 3). Once again, we took into account only uniquely mapping

piRNAs. Consistent with the general piRNA temporal profile, the top 10 piRNA clusters

display a stark increase in the expression of piRNAs during embryonic stages (Fig. 3A

and 3B). Importantly, these *loci* give rise to sense and antisense piRNA populations with

the latter generally being more abundant (Fig. 3A and 3B). The alignment of the unique

mappers demonstrates that the majority of the clusters are of the uni-strand type (Fig.

3C). Among the major clusters, we were unable to unambiguously identify dual-strand

or bidirectional *loci* in *Rhodnius*. RPCL2, which spans over 200 kb, initially appeared to

be a bidirectional *locus* in that part of the cluster seems to be expressed from (+) strand

and the other from the (-) strand of the genome (Supplementary Fig. 2). Yet, upon

closer inspection we realized that the region between the two parts of the cluster with

opposite profiles was only partially sequenced. Thus, RPCL2, like other instances of

seemingly bidirectional clusters, might represent a genome assembly error, but a more

precise characterization will require an improved assembly of the *Rhodnius* genome.

We then looked at the orientation of the transposons within the top 20 piRNA clusters

(Fig. 3D). Different from the *D. melanogaster flam* locus, where transposon fragments

are polarized, *Rhodnius* clusters harbor transposons on both genomic strands. Thus,

these *loci* can produce both sense and antisense piRNA, even though transcription

occurs from one strand of the genome.

Because Rhodnius embryos produce piRNAs targeting the HTTs, we wondered whether

these transposons or their fragments are inserted into the piRNA clusters. To answer

this question, we aligned the *SPIN*, *hAT*, *OC1* and *ET* sequences with the *Rhodnius*

HiC genome and counted the number of occurrences within the piRNA clusters. In

agreement with a recent horizontal transfer from vertebrates, the number of HTT copies

in the clusters is low and ranges from less than 5 for *ET* and *SPIN* to ~40 for *OC1* (Fig.

3E). The observation that HTTs sequences are inserted in the major clusters albeit with

very low copy numbers prompted us to ask whether these copies are expressed and

produce piRNAs. We observe that the *SPIN*, *OC1* and *hAT* sequences generate both

sense and antisense piRNAs, while the *ET* element seems to produce mostly sense

piRNAs (Fig. 3F-I). These piRNAs however represent only a fraction of the HTT

piRNAs. When we compare the cluster-derived piRNAs for resident TEs and HTTs, we

observe a striking difference. While for the resident TEs between ~60% and ~80% of

the piRNAs originate from the clusters (Fig. 3J), less than 15% of the HTT piRNAs are

produced from these loci (Fig. 3K). Thus HTT piRNAs are mostly generated by the

transposon copies scattered in the genome.

HTT piRNAs display unique features

In order to investigate the characteristics of the HTT piRNAs, we first profiled the

piRNAs along the transposon sequences. Their distribution is not homogenous and they

seem to accumulate at certain positions (Fig. 4A-D). We then investigated the overlap

between the 5' ends of sense and antisense sequences for the paired piRNAs (Fig. 4A'-

D'). The 10 nt overlap typical of the ping-pong mechanism is either modest or it is

confused among other peaks, as in the cases of *OC1* and *ET* (Fig. 4A' and 4B').

Instead, the *SPIN* and *hAT* piRNA mates display an apparent ~20 nt overlap (Fig. 4C'

and 4D'), that is characteristic of siRNA heteroduplexes produced by the activity of the

Dcr2 enzyme. Yet, these piRNA pairs are over 28 nt long. We next investigated the

nucleotide distribution frequencies along the HTT piRNAs. We find that both sense and

antisense piRNAs display a 1U bias, while the 10A bias in sense piRNAs is less

apparent (Fig. 4A"-D"). The most abundant piRNA pairs for *hAT* and *Spin* further

underscore the unique properties of the HTTs piRNAs (Fig. 4C-D inset). These pairs

comprise piRNAs of over 28 nt in length, which overlap by 19 nt and 21 nt respectively.

Remarkably both sense and antisense piRNAs display 1U and 10A residues for the *hAT*

pair, while only the sense *Spin* piRNA has a 10A residue.

piRNAs in *Rhodnius prolixus* display ping-pong signatures

The HTTs have been suggested to have invaded the *Rhodnius* genome over the past

50 million years. Thus, the piRNAs associated with these elements likely display

peculiar characteristics that are not shared by other resident TEs. To shed light on this

aspect, we filtered all the small RNA sequences ranging from 24 to 30 nt in length,

which yielded ~36 million putative piRNA sequences (Supplementary Table 2). We then

investigated whether a ping-pong amplification system is active during *Rhodnius*

development. (Fig. 5). First, we estimated the fraction of paired piRNAs in all the stages

and found that it ranged from ~19% in PVS to ~29% in Embryos (Fig. 5A). As expected

the proportion of unpaired piRNAs is more abundant and likely comprises primary

piRNAs. Different from the HTTs, we found that a substantial fraction of the pairs

(~37%) overlap by exactly 10 nt at their 5' ends (Fig. 5B). The analysis of nucleotide

distribution shows that antisense piRNAs display a clear 1U bias in all the samples and

that sense piRNAs are enriched with a 10A residue (Fig. 5C). Sense piRNAs also bear

a 1U bias, which was also described in *Tribolium castaneum*, although its meaning

remains unclear. Thus, piRNAs engage in a ping-pong amplification mechanism in

Rhodnius, which is not restricted to the germline as in *D. melanogaster*, but is also

active in embryos and 1st instar nymphs.

The discovery of a ~28 nt piRNA population in embryos and their involvement in

a ping-pong amplification loop prompted us to investigate what *Rp-PIWI* genes are

expressed in this stage as well as in first instar nymphs. We have previously shown that

Rp-piwi2, *Rp-piwi3* and *Rp-ago3*, but not *Rp-piwi1* are expressed in and important for

oogenesis in *Rhodnius* [45]. In order to expand this analysis, we combined *in silico* and

wet biology analyses (Fig. 4D and E). First, we interrogated our RNA-Seq datasets

together with those produced by Pascual and Rivera-Pomar [49]. Consistent with our

previous studies, *Rp-piwi2*, *Rp-piwi3* and *Rp-ago3* are expressed in *Rhodnius*

oogenesis (Fig. 4D) [45]. Interestingly, these genes are also upregulated in the first 48h of

embryonic development, while their levels drop substantially once the 1st instar nymphs

hatch (Fig. 4D). During postembryonic development, the levels of *Rp-piwi3* are below

detectable levels. *Rp-piwi1* is apparently not expressed in any of the stages analyzed.

In order to validate these observations, we performed RT-qPCR assays on total RNA

extracted from PVS, Egg, Emb (0-48h) and Nym stages. We used oligonucleotide pairs

specific for each *Rp-PIWI* gene and for *Rp-rp49*, which served as internal control (Fig.

5E) [45]. The Fold Changes of each gene are in line with RPKM values. Interestingly, the

Rp-piwi2 levels dramatically increase in embryogenesis compared to the other stages

analyzed and largely exceed those of the remaining *Rp-PIWIs* pointing to an important

role in the biogenesis and/or function of the ~28nt piRNA population. Of note, the

expression of *Rp-piwi1* as per RT-qPCR is low, but clearly detectable in 1st instar

nymphs (Fig. 5E). Whether *Rp-piwi1* transcripts exert any functions in postembryonic

development remains to be elucidated. Our results reveal that *Rp-PIWI* genes are

therefore temporally regulated during oogenesis and early *Rhodnius* development.

TE siRNAs are predominantly expressed in oogenesis

Although the piRNA pathway represents a major surveillance system keeping mobile

elements in check, it was shown that Dcr2-dependent siRNAs control transposon

silencing in *Drosophila* somatic cells [50]. To investigate whether this mechanism is

conserved in *Rhodnius*, we interrogated the transposon-related sequences in the 18 to

23 nt size range and analyzed the ping-pong signal, nucleotide bias and strandness

(Fig. 6). We find a clear population of sense and antisense small RNAs centered on ~22

nt in length that is particularly abundant in PVS and Egg compared to the remaining

samples (Fig. 6A and B). Surprisingly, the two Egg replicates display remarkably similar

siRNA profiles. Together with the piRNA analysis, this observation suggests that the

Egg2 replicate might be capturing the early embryonic stages where the siRNA levels

are still high, but the piRNA production from the zygotic genome is building up. The

degree of overlap between sense and antisense sequences reveals that the ~22 nt

small RNAs expressed in PVS, Egg and to a lesser extent in Emb and Nym are siRNAs,

while Emb samples comprise ~22 nt piRNAs (Fig. 6C). Consistent with this, the

nucleotide distribution shows that the 1U together with a modest 10A bias are detected

only in embryos, but not in the other stages analyzed (Fig. 6D). Finally, we wondered

whether TE siRNAs can originate from the piRNA clusters. The analysis of uniquely

mapping reads reveals that a substantial proportion of siRNAs can indeed be produced

by these *loci* in all the stages (Fig. 6E). Accurate quantification of siRNAs produced

from clusters versus TEs or other regions of the genome is confounded by the presence

of piRNAs in the same size range. Surprisingly, we do not find sequences

corresponding to the HTTs in the siRNA population. These observations reveal that

siRNAs and piRNAs expression patterns are temporally regulated and partially overlap

during oogenesis and early stages of *Rhodnius* development.

Discussion

Studies in *Drosophila melanogaster* and its sibling species highlighted the adaptability

of the piRNA pathway to newly invading transposons [51]. Aside from the Drosophilids

however, how animal cells respond to TE invasion is still largely unknown. In this study,

we show a striking example of piRNA pathway adaptation to TEs that were transferred

from vertebrate tetrapods to the assassin bug *Rhodnius prolixus*. *Rhodnius* belongs to

the Triatomine subfamily and has been firmly connected to the transmission of Chagas

disease. It was shown that the *SPIN*, *OC1*, *hAT* and *ET* elements were horizontally

transmitted to *Rhodnius* from bats, opossums and squirrel monkeys [7]. Because of the

hematophagous habit of *Rhodnius* however, HTT might have occurred while the insect

was feeding on the blood of the vertebrate hosts. This event provided the unique

opportunity to investigate the response of the piRNA pathway to transposons

exchanged between two different phyla in the past 50 million years. When we compare

the complement of piRNAs targeting resident transposons and HTTs in *Rhodnius*, we

find some similarities, but also puzzling differences. A major population of ~28 nt long

piRNAs is expressed at high levels during *Rhodnius* embryogenesis and comprises

sequences of 46 transposon families. Some examples are the *Gypsy*, *RTE-X*, *Helitron*,

Maverick, *I*, *Penelope* and the abundant class of *Mariner* transposons [8]. We have

referred to all these transposons as resident TEs, but it should be noted that they are

frequently involved in horizontal transfer events. For these elements, we find primary

piRNAs with the typical 1U bias and secondary piRNAs with Adenine at 10th position

(10A) for the sense piRNAs. Also, consistent with an active ping-pong amplification

mechanism, sense and antisense piRNA mates overlap by 10 nt. Similar to more basal

holometabolous and hemimetabolous insects, but unlike *D. melanogaster*, the ping-

pong signal is not restricted to the gonads in *Rhodnius*, but it is especially active in

embryonic and in post-embryonic developmental stages. The piRNA complement

targeting the HTTs instead displays unique properties. Even though HTT piRNAs are

~28 nt long and display a 1U bias, the ping-pong mechanism is ambiguous. The 10 nt

overlap is modest or confused among other signals. Instead, the most abundant *SPIN*

and *hAT* piRNA mates display a ~20 nt overlap at their 5' ends. Yet, the 10th position of

the sense piRNAs is an Adenine as observed for canonical ping-pong piRNA pairs.

These observations suggest that the biogenesis of HTT piRNAs in *Rhodnius* differs

from the more canonical mechanism that drives resident TE piRNA maturation and that

was described in detail in the fruit fly *D. melanogaster*. The lack of ping-pong signature

for the HTTs might reside at least in part in the genomic sources of their piRNAs. In this

study, we show that piRNA clusters in *Rhodnius* are mostly of the uni-strand type, but

unlike the *Drosophila flam* locus [19], their TEs are not polarized. For this reason,

Rhodnius clusters can produce both sense and antisense piRNAs, even though the

transcription occurs from one genomic strand. We find that more than 50% of the

unique piRNAs targeting resident TEs originate from the piRNA clusters, while these

loci account for less than 15% of the HTT piRNAs. This observation is consistent with

the low number of HTT copies inserted into the clusters. These sequences therefore,

might not produce sufficient levels of antisense transcripts to robustly activate the ping-

pong mechanism. The *ET* element provides an extreme case, whereby all the cluster

copies generate sense piRNAs, thus preventing the production of secondary piRNAs.

How the *hAT* and *SPIN* piRNA mates overlapping by ~20 nt are generated and what

factors are involved in the mechanism remains to be elucidated. Interestingly, our

results reveal that *Rhodnius* expresses siRNAs for resident TEs, but not for the HTTs.

Hence, primary piRNAs produced from HTT copies or fragments scattered in the
genome, rather than siRNAs, might provide the first line of defense against newly
invading transposons in this insect species.

TE siRNA are expressed from piRNA clusters and TE copies dispersed in the genome
during oogenesis and to a lesser extent during embryonic and postembryonic stages.
RNAi might contribute to reducing transposon transcripts during oogenesis, although it
is unable to completely silence these elements [46]. It was recently shown that maternally
provided siRNAs loaded in the developing eggs promote piRNA cluster activation in the
Drosophila embryo [52]. Unlike *Drosophila*, *Rhodnius* does not produce abundant piRNAs
during oogenesis. Thus in *Rhodnius* and other more basal insects, the siRNA
complement might be especially critical for jumpstarting piRNA production in early
embryonic stages. Transposon downregulation is subsequently taken over by the ~28 nt
piRNA pool during embryogenesis. Transposon transcripts maternally deposited in the
developing oocytes might feed the ping-pong amplification loop at this stage together
with cluster-derived antisense piRNAs. piRNA biogenesis seems to result in the
consumption of the cluster-derived piRNA precursors and the downregulation of TEs. In
post-embryonic stages instead both siRNAs and piRNAs are reduced, while TE and
piRNA cluster transcripts appear to accumulate, thus pointing to a minor sensitivity of
the 1st instar nymphs to transposon expression. In support of these conclusions, the
levels of piRNAs and siRNAs seem to inversely correlate with those of TEs and piRNA
cluster transcripts in all the stages analyzed (Fig. 6F).

Rhodnius harbors four *PIWI* genes and the knockdown of *Rp-piwi2*, *Rp-piwi3* and *Rp-*
ago3 by parental RNAi results in partial or complete female adult sterility [45,53]. Our
assays show that these *Rp-PIWI* genes are expressed in embryos and nymphs with *Rp-*
piwi2 being dramatically upregulated in embryos coinciding with peak levels of ~28 nt

piRNAs. Thus, *Rp-piwi2* likely exerts a crucial role in piRNA biogenesis or function.

Since Ago3 was shown to coordinate the ping-pong cycle together with Aub in

Drosophila [18,19], it is tempting to speculate that the protein products of the only *ago3*

ortholog in *Rhodnius* (i.e. *Rp-ago3*) and *Rp-piwi2* genes might regulate a similar

mechanism in *Rhodnius* embryos. However, *Rp-ago3* might actually act in concert with

Rp-piwi3 in this mechanism. The observation that *Rp-piwi3* expression levels are low in

oogenesis and almost undetectable in nymphs, where the ~28 nt piRNAs are barely

produced, seem to lend support to this hypothesis. The cell lines that were recently

developed from *Rhodnius* embryos will likely provide a valuable tool to dissect the

function of the different *Rp-PIWI* genes in piRNA biogenesis and transposon silencing

as well as shed light on the transcriptional regulation of the piRNA clusters [54].

Horizontal transposon transfer has been well characterized in Drosophilids,

where numerous instances of P-element transfer from *D. willinstoni* and *D. simulans* to

D. melanogaster were described [55,56]. Fruit flies therefore provided the opportunity to

dissect the response of the piRNA pathway to P-element invasion [57]. To our knowledge

however, this is the first study to link piRNAs to HTTs exchanged between vertebrates

and an hematophagous insect of medical interest. Studies in *Rhodnius* will therefore

help shed light on the evolution of the piRNA pathway and on the initial steps of the

arms race between invading TEs and small RNA-based defense systems.

Materials and Methods

Ethic statement and insect handling

All the experimental protocols involving animals were carried on in accordance with the

guidelines of the Committee for Evaluation of Animal Use for Research (Universidade

Federal do Rio de Janeiro, CAUAP-UFRJ) and the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of

Laboratory Animals (ISBN 0-309-05377-3). Protocols were approved by CAUAP-UFRJ

under registry #IBQM155/13. Specialised technicians at the animal facility (Instituto de

Bioquímica Médica Leopoldo de Meis, UFRJ) handled the rabbit husbandry under strict

guidelines and the supervision of veterinarians.

Insects were maintained at controlled temperature (28°C) and relative humidity (70-

80%) and fed with rabbit blood at regular intervals to promote ecdysis and oogenesis.

After the first blood feeding, all adult insects were fed every 21 days. Dissection of the

adult females, where necessary, was carried on 7 days after the first or second blood

meal. All animal care and experimental protocols were performed according to the

ethics guidelines.

Total RNA extraction and small RNA purification

Total RNA for small RNA library preparation was extracted from 30 embryos and 20 1st-

instar nymphs for each biological replicate. Embryos were collected over a two-day

period spanning the initial stages of embryogenesis up to the formation of head and

thoracic segments [58]. Two biological replicates were produced for each developmental

stage. Ovaries were dissected in ice-cold 1X PBS and immediately stored on ice.

Previtellogenic tissues and mature eggs were teased apart under light microscopy and

subjected to total RNA extraction using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) as per

manufacturer's instructions. For the production of small RNA libraries, 20 µg of total

RNA was separated on polyacrylamide gel containing 15M Urea (Pane et al., 2011). A

gel slice corresponding to 18 to 30 nucleotide RNAs was manually retrieved from the

gel and the RNAs were eluted in 3M NaCl overnight. Finally, RNA was precipitated

using 0.7 volumes of Isopropanol and 1 ul Glycogen and resuspended in RNAse-free

water. Small RNA library preparation and sequencing were carried out at the Max

Planck Institute facility in Freiburg (Germany) with Truseq Small RNA library preparation

kit as per manufacturer's protocol (Illumina). Total RNA extracted from 1st instar nymphs

was also used to produce and sequence RN-Seq libraries (Macrogen, Korea). RNA-Seq

datasets corresponding to four embryonic stages of *Rhodnius* development were

produced by others and are available at NCBI-SRA [49] (SRR14606474, SRR14606475

and SRR14606473).

Bioinformatic analysis

Small RNA-Seq libraries were subjected to adaptor removal and trimming using

TrimGalore! [59]. Reads with length lower than 18 nt were filtered out. Trimmed reads

were mapped with Bowtie v1.2 [60] to *Rhodnius prolixus* reference genome available at

VectorBase [48] allowing up to 3 mismatches and no more than 50 mappings per read (-v

3 -m 50 -a --best --strata). Qualities were assessed with FastQC software [61], genomic

coverages were compared with deepTools [62] and final quality reports were generated

using multiQC [63]. Library characterization was performed using featureCounts from the

subread package [64], where counts to each annotated feature were normalized by

number of mappings and overlapping features (-O -M --fraction). Repeats and TEs

sequences were de novo identified using RepeatModeler v2.0.1 [65]and genomic regions

obtained with RepeatMasker v4.1.2 [66]. Sequences of the HTTs have been previously

published [7]. Reads overlapping with TEs, with length distribution of 24 to 32 nucleotides

and not overlapping with miRNAs, rRNAs, snRNAs, simple repeats or low complexity

regions were characterized as piRNAs. Sense and antisense piRNAs were separated

by overlapping piRNA mappings with TEs annotation using bedtools intersect and

forcing strandness (-s and -S). Fasta sequences were extracted using samtools [67] and

sequence logos constructed using ggseqlogo [68]. For ping-pong signal analysis, each

piRNA mapped on the positive strand of the genome was used to search for 5' to 5'

overlapping piRNAs and the size of the overlap counted for each match. For piRNA

cluster identification, the chromosome-length genome assembly of *Rhodnius*

(https://www.dnazoo.org/assemblies/Rhodnius_prolixus) was used and all the steps

described previously were applied to this version as well. First, annotations of a sliding

window with 5 kb in size and steps of 1 kb were generated using the makewindow tool

from bedtools v2.31 [69]. Then, bedtools coverage was used to access the uniquely

mapped piRNA coverage in each window and only windows with a density greater than

5 piRNAs/kb covering at least 12% of the window were retained. Finally, overlapping

windows were merged and merged windows with a distance less than 20 kb from each

other were combined to produce the final piRNA clusters. RNA-seq data were pre-

processed and aligned using snakePipes 62. Genes and transposable element read

counts were produced using featureCounts allowing fraction counts for overlapping

features. Final expression was calculated as Reads Per Kilobase per Million (RPKM).

Quantitative Rt-PCR assays

For quantitative Rt-PCR assays, 1 ug of total RNA extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen) was

submitted to DNAse treatment with Turbo DNAse free kit (Ambion) and cDNA synthesis

using the MultiScribe Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per

manufacturer's instructions. The resulting cDNAs were used for qPCR assays with the

set of oligonucleotides previously described [45]. Roughly 50 ng of cDNA for each sample

was mixed with oligonucleotides specific to target genes and SYBR green reagent (Life

Technologies). RT-qPCR was carried on QuantStudio 7 Flex (ThermoFisher). Fold

change differences were plotted as $2^{-\Delta Ct}$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Bernardo Carvalho, Pedro Lagerblad de Oliveira, Ana Cristina

Bahia Nascimento, Marcos Farina de Souza e Marcia Cury El-Cheikh for their constant

support. We are grateful to Eric Aguiar and members of the Functional Genomics

Laboratory for helpful suggestions and critical reading of the manuscript. We thank Kelli

Cristina Melquiades Mendes, Daniela Sodré Leal and Graciela Venturi for the invaluable

technical support.

Funding Statement

This work was supported by the National Counsel of Technological and Scientific

Development (CNPq) (428100/2018-0) (AP), the Research Support Foundation of the

State of Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) E-26/210.912/2019 (AP); E-26/010.002720/2019

(AP); E-26/010.001877/2015 (AP); E-26/201.703/2021 (TB), Wellcome Trust grant

207486Z17Z (AP/ABC), CAPES and INCT/Enem fundings (AP, TB, MAC, IB). N.A. is

supported by the Max Planck Society and IMPRS program. N. I. is supported by the

Max Planck Society; DFG:CRC992, Project B06; Behrens-Weise Stiftung; CIBSS - EXC

2189. Also, this project has received funding from the European Research Council

(ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme

(grant agreement No.819941) ERC CoG, EpiRIME. The funders had no role in study

design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the

manuscript.

Data availability

Small RNA sequencing datasets from embryonic (Emb1 and Emb2 replicates) and 1st

instar nymphs (Nym1 and Nym2 replicates) were deposited at NCBI SRA database

(accession numbers).

Author contributions

Tarcísio Fontenele de Brito: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Maira Arruda Cardoso: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Nazerke Atinbayeva: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. Ingrid Alexandre de Abreu Brito: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. Lucas Amaro da Costa: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft. Nicola Iovino: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Attilio Pane, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interests

All the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Figure Legends and Tables

Figure 1. *Rhodnius prolixus* embryos express ~28 nt long piRNAs targeting Horizontally Transferred Transposons (HTT) and resident TEs. A) Developmental stages of *Rhodnius prolixus* analyzed in the current study. For oogenesis, we investigate the previtellogenic stage (PVS) comprising the tropharium and early follicles independently of the mature chorionated eggs dissected from the female abdomen (Egg). Embryos (Emb) were collected over a 48h period encompassing the cleavage stage until the formation of the head and thoracic segments after gastrulation. 1st instar

nymphs (Nym) were also analyzed to investigate postembryonic development. B)

Length distribution of all mapped reads for each replicate displayed in RPM. The ~22 nt

and ~28 nt peaks were anchored to the X-axis (Length) for a better visualization. Y-axis

displays RPM values. For each sample, we produced two replicates, which were

analyzed separately. B) Small RNA profiling based on percentage of reads mapped to

annotated and unannotated (unassigned) regions of *Rhodnius* genome. miRNAs are

particularly abundant in oogenesis and postembryonic development (red), while

embryonic stages are dominated by repeat-associated small RNAs (green). C) Length

distribution of reads mapped to transposable and repetitive sequences of *Rhodnius*

displayed in RPM. A major peak comprising ~28 nt piRNAs is clearly detectable in the

two embryo replicates (Emb1 and Emb2) and in one of the mature egg replicates

(Egg2). This class of small non-coding RNAs is less abundant in previtellogenic stages

of oogenesis (PVS1 and PVS2 replicates), in one of the mature egg replicates (Egg1)

and in 1st instar nymphs (Nym1 and Nym2 replicates). D) Heatmaps displaying the

expression levels of sense and antisense piRNAs for each TE subfamily in PVS, Egg,

Emb and Nym stages. Sense and antisense piRNAs for the horizontally transmitted

transposons (HTT) ET, SPIN, OC1 and hAT and for the resident TEs are shown

separately.   Colorbar values display $\log_{10}$(RPM + 1). F) Heatmaps showing TE

expression levels as per RNA-Seq in PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym stages. Expression

levels for the HTTs ET, SPIN, OC1 and hAT and of the resident TEs are displayed in

separate heatmaps. Colorbar values display RPKM. *Rhodnius* acquired the HTTs from

opossums, squirrel monkeys and bats (right). For the SPIN and hAT families, Gilbert

and coworkers reported two transposon sequences for SPIN and hAT, namely

SPIN_NA_13_RP, SPIN_NA_12_RP, hAT_NA_1_RP and   hAT_NA_2_RP. One

transposon sequence was reported for ET and OC1, which were labeled ET_NA_1_RP

and OPSCHARLIE1_NA_1_RP, respectively. For simplicity, we refer to these

transposable elements as SPIN, hAT, OC1 and ET throughout the article. Images of

bat, squirrel monkey and opossum with Public Domain license were obtained from

Wikimedia Commons.

Figure 2. piRNA clusters are a major source of piRNAs during *Rhodnius prolixus*

embryonic development. A) Ideograms of the larger scaffolds obtained from the HiC

genome assembly that likely represent the 10 autosomes and the X chromosome

(scaffold 10) of *Rhodnius*. Each ideogram is scaled to the size of each scaffold, with a

scale in megabases. Black vertical lines show the distribution of the piRNA clusters. The

major cluster RPCL1 is highlighted (black box).

B) Cumulative percentage of piRNAs in clusters for each replicate. The top 10 RPCLs

account for 74% of the total uniquely mapping piRNAs.

C) IGV [70] visualization of the RPCL1 cluster region on the HiC_scaffold_6. Uniquely

mapped piRNAs for each stage are profiled for each sample (small RNA-Seq profiles).

The Y-axis displays RPM (0 to 100 interval). Expression levels of the RPCL1 (RNA-

Seq) in all the stages are also displayed. Of note, we included in this analysis the RNA-

Seq datasets for embryonic stages 0h, 12h, 24h and 48h post egg laying produced by

Pacual and coworkers. The Y-axis displays the RPKM range 0-20. Orange bar

highlights the position of the   RPCL1 cluster.   The distribution of DNA and LTR

transposons together with LINE elements and unknown sequences along the RPCL1

region are reported with black boxes.

(D) Heatmaps showing the temporal regulation of the cluster-derived mature piRNAs

(Small RNA-seq) and piRNA precursor transcripts (RNA-Seq) in PVS, Egg, Emb and

Nym stages. Mature piRNA levels inversely correlate with the expression levels of

cluster transcripts suggesting that piRNA biogenesis consumes cluster-derived

transcripts during embryonic stages. Small RNA-seq values (left side of the panel) are

displayed as $\log_{10}$(RPM + 1), while RNA-Seq values (right side of the panel) are

expressed as RPM. Each line of the heatmap represents a piRNA cluster with the major

clusters at the top of the panels.

Figure 3. *Rhodnius* piRNA clusters are uni-strand and produce piRNAs against

HTTs. A-B) Heatmaps showing sense (A) and antisense (B) piRNA levels for the top 10

piRNA clusters in PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym stages. Colorbar displays $\log_{10}$(RPM + 1).

C) Scatterplot reporting the strandness of the piRNA clusters. piRNA clusters primarily

expressed from the +strand (blue dots) and -strand (red dots) of the genome are

displayed. piRNA clusters with apparently unbiased expression are indicated (dark grey

dots). A cluster was considered unbiased if less than 75% of its piRNAs could be

attributed to a specific strand of the genome. Y-axis values are expressed as RPM. The

top 10 piRNA clusters are highlighted. D) Scatterplot showing the orientation of the TE

fragment within each piRNA cluster. piRNA clusters harboring TEs predominantly on the

+strand (blue dots) or -strand (red dots) of the genome are reported. These categories

are mostly formed by small clusters with a low number of TEs. The vast majority of the

piRNA clusters are characterized by unbiased distribution of TEs (dark grey dots). The

top 10 piRNA clusters are highlighted. E) Number of HTT copies inserted into the piRNA

clusters. F-I) piRNAs uniquely mapping to the cluster HTTs. The ET copies (F) seem to

exclusively produce sense piRNAs, while OC1 (G), hAT (H) and SPIN (I) generate both

sense and antisense piRNAs. Y-axis displays RPM values. X-axis shows the replicates

for the PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym samples. J) Percentage of uniquely mapping piRNAs

for the resident TEs originating from within or outside the piRNA clusters. K) Percentage

of uniquely mapping piRNAs for the HTTs originating from within or outside the piRNA

clusters.

Figure 4. HTT piRNAs do not engage in a canonical ping-pong amplification

mechanism. A-D) piRNA profiling along the sequences of each HTT with sense

piRNAs displayed with positive RPM values (green) and antisense piRNAs with

negative RPM values (red). For the hAT and SPIN elements, the most abundant

sense/antisense piRNA pairs are shown. Of note, the sense piRNAs pairs do not

display the typical ping-pong signature, but overlap by ~20 nt. Yet, the sense mate for

each pair bears an Adenine residue at the 10th position (red box). A'-D') 5' to 5' overlap

length frequency reported as z-score values for each HTT. A"-D") Sequence logos

displaying nucleotide bias for sense and antisense piRNAs mapped to the HTTs.

Figure 5. The ping-pong amplification loop is active in *Rhodnius prolixus*. A)

Percentage of paired and unpaired piRNAs for PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym replicates. B)

5' to 5' overlap length frequency displayed in z-score for piRNAs mapped to resident

transposons for each stage. C) Sequence logo showing nucleotide bias for each

position of antisense and sense piRNAs mapped to transposable elements in all the

replicates. D) RNA-Seq analysis of the *Rp-PIWI* gene expression in oogenesis and

early *Rhodnius* development. Colorcode displays RPKM values. E) qRT-PCR analysis

of the temporal expression levels of the *Rp-PIWI* genes during oogenesis and early

stages of *Rhodnius prolixus* development. PVS=previtellogenic stage, Egg=mature

chorionated eggs, Emb=embryos and Nym=1st instar nymphs. Y-axis shows the mean

Fold Change. Error bars display standard deviation from the mean.

Figure 6. piRNA clusters generate ~22nt siRNAs in *Rhodnius prolixus*. A-B)

Heatmaps showing sense (A) and antisense (B) ~22 nt long siRNAs mapped to the

Rhodnius TE subfamilies. Colorbar displays $\log_{10}$(RPM + 1). siRNAs appear to be

expressed at higher levels in oogenesis compared to embryonic and postembryonic

stages. C) Z-scores of the 5' to 5' overlap length frequency for PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym

samples. (D) Sequence logos showing nucleotide bias for each position of antisense

and sense siRNAs for each stage. The 1U bias typical of the piRNAs is only detectable

in embryos. E) Percentage of uniquely mapping siRNAs originating from the piRNA

clusters (green bars) versus other regions of the genome (white) for PVS, Egg, Emb

and Nym stages. F) Schematic diagram depicting the dynamics of piRNAs (red bar),

piRNA cluster transcripts (purple bar), TEs (dark green), HTTs (blue bar) and siRNAs

(light green bar) during oogenesis, embryogenesis and postembryonic development of

Rhodnius prolixus.

Supplementary Fig 1. Abundance of transposon families in the top 10 piRNA

clusters. X-axis displays the number of elements found for each transposon family (Y-

axis) in each of the top 10 piRNA clusters. Since the "Unknown" elements are the most

abundant ones, they are shown separately at the bottom.

Supplementary Fig 2. Partially sequenced region in RPCL2. Positive (blue) and

negative (red) read coverage along the piRNA cluster RPCL2 (top). At first inspection,

RPCL2 seems like a bidirectional cluster. However, when looking at the exact region

where the expression shifts (bottom), a partially sequenced region is notable and might

represent an assembly error.

Supplementary Table 1. General mapping statistics

Supplementary Table 2. piRNA identification and pairing information

References

1.   SanMiguel, P. *et al.* Nested retrotransposons in the intergenic regions of the maize

genome. *Science* **274**, 765–768 (1996).

2.   Lander, E. S. *et al.* Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*

409, 860–921 (2001).

3.   Hubley, R. *et al.* The Dfam database of repetitive DNA families. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

44, D81–9 (2016).

4.   Kofler, R., Hill, T., Nolte, V., Betancourt, A. J. & Schlötterer, C. The recent invasion

of natural Drosophila simulans populations by the P-element. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

U. S. A. **112**, 6659–6663 (2015).

5.   Peccoud, J., Loiseau, V., Cordaux, R. & Gilbert, C. Massive horizontal transfer of

transposable elements in insects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, 4721–4726

(2017).

6.   Zhang, H.-H., Peccoud, J., Xu, M.-R.-X., Zhang, X.-G. & Gilbert, C. Horizontal

transfer and evolution of transposable elements in vertebrates. *Nat. Commun.* **11**,

1362 (2020).

7.   Gilbert, C., Schaack, S., Pace, J. K., II, Brindley, P. J. & Feschotte, C. A role for

host–parasite interactions in the horizontal transfer of transposons across phyla.

Nature **464**, 1347–1350 (2010).

8. Filée, J., Rouault, J.-D., Harry, M. & Hua-Van, A. Mariner transposons are sailing in

the genome of the blood-sucking bug Rhodnius prolixus. *BMC Genomics* **16**, 1061

(2015).

9. Gao, D. *et al.* Horizontal Transfer of Non-LTR Retrotransposons from Arthropods to

Flowering Plants. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 354–364 (2017).

10. Kidwell, M. G., Kimura, K. & Black, D. M. Evolution of hybrid dysgenesis potential

following P element contamination in Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics* **119**, 815–

828 (1988).

11. Kidwell, M. G., Kidwell, J. F. & Sved, J. A. Hybrid Dysgenesis in DROSOPHILA

MELANOGASTER: A Syndrome of Aberrant Traits Including Mutation, Sterility and

Male Recombination. *Genetics* **86**, 813–833 (1977).

12. Daniels, S. B., Peterson, K. R., Strausbaugh, L. D., Kidwell, M. G. & Chovnick, A.

Evidence for horizontal transmission of the P transposable element between

Drosophila species. *Genetics* **124**, 339–355 (1990).

13. Brennecke, J. *et al.* An epigenetic role for maternally inherited piRNAs in

transposon silencing. *Science* **322**, 1387–1392 (2008).

14. Yin, H. & Lin, H. An epigenetic activation role of Piwi and a Piwi-associated piRNA

in Drosophila melanogaster. *Nature* **450**, 304–308 (2007).

15. Cox, D. N., Chao, A. & Lin, H. piwi encodes a nucleoplasmic factor whose activity

modulates the number and division rate of germline stem cells. *Development* **127**,

503–514 (2000).

16. Czech, B. *et al.* piRNA-Guided Genome Defense: From Biogenesis to Silencing.

Annu. Rev. Genet. **52**, 131–157 (2018).

17. Santos, D. *et al.* What Are the Functional Roles of Piwi Proteins and piRNAs in

748   Insects? *Insects* **14**, (2023).

749 18. Gunawardane, L. S. *et al.* A slicer-mediated mechanism for repeat-associated

750   siRNA 5' end formation in Drosophila. *Science* **315**, 1587–1590 (2007).

751 19. Brennecke, J. *et al.* Discrete small RNA-generating loci as master regulators of

752   transposon activity in Drosophila. *Cell* **128**, 1089–1103 (2007).

753 20. Saito, K. *et al.* Roles for the Yb body components Armitage and Yb in primary

754   piRNA biogenesis in Drosophila. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 2493–2498 (2010).

755 21. Sienski, G., Dönertas, D. & Brennecke, J. Transcriptional silencing of transposons

756   by Piwi and maelstrom and its impact on chromatin state and gene expression. *Cell*

757   **151**, 964–980 (2012).

758 22. Le Thomas, A. *et al.* Piwi induces piRNA-guided transcriptional silencing and

759   establishment of a repressive chromatin state. *Genes Dev.* **27**, 390–399 (2013).

760 23. Zanni, V. *et al.* Distribution, evolution, and diversity of retrotransposons at the

761   flamenco locus reflect the regulatory properties of piRNA clusters. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

762   *Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 19842–19847 (2013).

763 24. Pélisson, A. *et al.* Gypsy transposition correlates with the production of a retroviral

764   envelope-like protein under the tissue-specific control of the Drosophila flamenco

765   gene. *EMBO J.* **13**, 4401–4411 (1994).

766 25. Pane, A., Jiang, P., Zhao, D. Y., Singh, M. & Schüpbach, T. The Cutoff protein

767   regulates piRNA cluster expression and piRNA production in the Drosophila

768   germline. *EMBO J.* **30**, 4601–4615 (2011).

769 26. Mohn, F., Sienski, G., Handler, D. & Brennecke, J. The rhino-deadlock-cutoff

770   complex licenses noncanonical transcription of dual-strand piRNA clusters in

771   Drosophila. *Cell* **157**, 1364–1379 (2014).

772 27. Klattenhoff, C. *et al.* The Drosophila HP1 homolog Rhino is required for transposon

773   silencing and piRNA production by dual-strand clusters. *Cell* **138**, 1137–1149

(2009).

28. Andersen, P. R., Tirian, L., Vunjak, M. & Brennecke, J. A heterochromatin-

dependent transcription machinery drives piRNA expression. *Nature* **549**, 54–59

(2017).

29. Malone, C. D. *et al.* Specialized piRNA pathways act in germline and somatic

tissues of the Drosophila ovary. *Cell* **137**, 522–535 (2009).

30. Czech, B. *et al.* An endogenous small interfering RNA pathway in Drosophila.

Nature **453**, 798–802 (2008).

31. Rosenkranz, D., Rudloff, S., Bastuck, K., Ketting, R. F. & Zischler, H. Tupaia small

RNAs provide insights into function and evolution of RNAi-based transposon

defense in mammals. *RNA* **21**, 911–922 (2015).

32. Bernstein, E., Caudy, A. A., Hammond, S. M. & Hannon, G. J. Role for a bidentate

ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. *Nature* **409**, 363–366 (2001).

33. Lee, Y. S. *et al.* Distinct roles for Drosophila Dicer-1 and Dicer-2 in the

siRNA/miRNA silencing pathways. *Cell* **117**, 69–81 (2004).

34. Carvalho, T. L. *et al.* Horizontal Transposon Transfer and Their Ecological Drivers:

The Case of Flower-breeding Drosophila. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **15**, (2023).

35. Aravin, A. A., Hannon, G. J. & Brennecke, J. The Piwi-piRNA pathway provides an

adaptive defense in the transposon arms race. *Science* **318**, 761–764 (2007).

36. Chary, S. & Hayashi, R. The absence of core piRNA biogenesis factors does not

impact efficient transposon silencing in Drosophila. *PLoS Biol.* **21**, e3002099

(2023).

37. Dowling, D. *et al.* Phylogenetic Origin and Diversification of RNAi Pathway Genes

in Insects. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **8**, 3784–3793 (2016).

38. Aravin, A. A. *et al.* Double-stranded RNA-mediated silencing of genomic tandem

repeats and transposable elements in the D. melanogaster germline. *Curr. Biol.* **11**,

1017–1027 (2001).

39. Llonga, N., Ylla, G., Bau, J., Belles, X. & Piulachs, M.-D. Diversity of piRNA

expression patterns during the ontogeny of the German cockroach. *J. Exp. Zool. B*

Mol. Dev. Evol. **330**, 288–295 (2018).

40. Ninova, M., Griffiths-Jones, S. & Ronshaugen, M. Abundant expression of somatic

transposon-derived piRNAs throughout Tribolium castaneum embryogenesis.

Genome Biol. **18**, 184 (2017).

41. Wang, W., Ashby, R., Ying, H., Maleszka, R. & Forêt, S. Contrasting Sex-and

Caste-Dependent piRNA Profiles in the Transposon Depleted Haplodiploid

Honeybee Apis mellifera. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **9**, 1341–1356 (2017).

42. Buxton, P. A. THE BIOLOGY OF A BLOOD-SUCKING BUG, RHODNIUS

PROLIXUS. *Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London* **78**, 227–

256 (1930).

43. Montiel, E. E., Panzera, F., Palomeque, T., Lorite, P. & Pita, S. Satellitome Analysis

of Rhodnius prolixus, One of the Main Chagas Disease Vector Species. *Int. J. Mol.*

Sci. **22**, (2021).

44. Mesquita, R. D. *et al.* Genome of Rhodnius prolixus, an insect vector of Chagas

disease, reveals unique adaptations to hematophagy and parasite infection. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **112**, 14936–14941 (2015).

45. Brito, T. *et al.* Transcriptomic and functional analyses of the piRNA pathway in the

Chagas disease vector Rhodnius prolixus. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **12**, e0006760

(2018).

46. Coelho, V. L. *et al.* Analysis of ovarian transcriptomes reveals thousands of novel

genes in the insect vector Rhodnius prolixus. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1918 (2021).

47. Brito, T. F. de *et al.* Transovarial transmission of a core virome in the Chagas

disease vector Rhodnius prolixus. *PLoS Pathog.* **17**, e1009780 (2021).

48. Giraldo-Calderón, G. I. *et al.* VectorBase: an updated bioinformatics resource for

invertebrate vectors and other organisms related with human diseases. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **43**, D707–13 (2015).

49. Pascual, A. & Rivera-Pomar, R. Dynamics of maternal gene expression in

Rhodnius prolixus. *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 6538 (2022).

50. Kawamura, Y. *et al.* Drosophila endogenous small RNAs bind to Argonaute 2 in

somatic cells. *Nature* **453**, 793–797 (2008).

51. Adaptation to P Element Transposon Invasion in Drosophila melanogaster. *Cell*

147, 1551–1563 (2011).

52. Luo, Y., He, P., Kanrar, N., Fejes Toth, K. & Aravin, A. A. Maternally inherited

siRNAs initiate piRNA cluster formation. *Mol. Cell* **83**, 3835–3851.e7 (2023).

53. Lu, H.-L. *et al.* Expansion of genes encoding piRNA-associated argonaute proteins

in the pea aphid: diversification of expression profiles in different plastic morphs.

PLoS One **6**, e28051 (2011).

54. Penrice-Randal, R. *et al.* New Cell Lines Derived from Laboratory Colony Triatoma

infestans and Rhodnius prolixus, Vectors of Trypanosoma cruzi, Do Not Harbour

Triatoma Virus. *Insects* **13**, (2022).

55. Kidwell, M. G. Hybrid Dysgenesis in DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER: Factors

Affecting Chromosomal Contamination in the P-M System. *Genetics* **104**, 317–341

(1983).

56. Anxolabéhère, D., Kidwell, M. G. & Periquet, G. Molecular characteristics of diverse

populations are consistent with the hypothesis of a recent invasion of Drosophila

melanogaster by mobile P elements. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **5**, 252–269 (1988).

57. Asif-Laidin, A. *et al.* Modeling early germline immunization after horizontal transfer

of transposable elements reveals internal piRNA cluster heterogeneity. *BMC Biol.*

21, 117 (2023).

58. Berni, M. *et al.* Toll signals regulate dorsal-ventral patterning and anterior-posterior

placement of the embryo in the hemipteran Rhodnius prolixus. *Evodevo* **5**, 38

(2014).

59. Babraham Bioinformatics - Trim Galore!

https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/.

60. Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-

efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.*

10, R25 (2009).

61. Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput

Sequence Data. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.

62. Ramírez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing

data analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W160–5 (2016).

63. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis

results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047–

3048 (2016).

64. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose

program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**,

923–930 (2014).

65. Flynn, J. M. *et al.* RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of

transposable element families. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 9451–9457

(2020).

66. RepeatMasker Home Page. http://www.repeatmasker.org.

67. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**,

2078–2079 (2009).

68. Wagih, O. ggseqlogo: a versatile R package for drawing sequence logos.

Bioinformatics **33**, 3645–3647 (2017).

69.   Quinlan, A. R. BEDTools: The Swiss-Army Tool for Genome Feature Analysis.

Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics **47**, 11.12.1–34 (2014).

70.   Robinson, J. T. *et al.* Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 24–26

(2011).

Figure 1. Rhodnius prolixus embryos express ~28 nt long piRNAs targeting Horizontally Transferred Transposons (HTT) and resident TEs. A) Developmental stages of Rhodnius prolixus analyzed in the current study. For oogenesis, we investigate the previtellogenic stage (PVS) comprising the tropharium and early follicles independently of the mature chorionated eggs dissected from the female abdomen (Egg). Embryos (Emb) were collected over a 48h period encompassing the cleavage stage until the formation of the head and thoracic segments after gastrulation. 1st instar nymphs (Nym) were also analyzed to investigate postembryonic development. B) Length distribution of all mapped reads for each replicate displayed in RPM. The ~22 nt and ~28 nt peaks were anchored to the X-axis (Length) for a better visualization. Y-axis displays RPM values. For each sample, we produced two replicates, which were analyzed separately. B) Small RNA profiling based on percentage of reads mapped to annotated and unannotated (unassigned) regions of Rhodnius genome. miRNAs are particularly abundant in oogenesis and postembryonic development (red), while embryonic stages are dominated by repeat-associated small RNAs (green). C) Length distribution of reads mapped to transposable and repetitive sequences of Rhodnius displayed in RPM. A major peak comprising ~28 nt piRNAs is clearly detectable in the two embryo replicates (Emb1 and Emb2) and in one of the mature egg replicates (Egg2). This class of small non-coding RNAs is less abundant in previtellogenic stages of oogenesis (PVS1 and PVS2 replicates), in one of the mature egg replicates (Egg1) and in 1st instar nymphs (Nym1 and Nym2 replicates). D) Heatmaps displaying the expression levels of sense and antisense piRNAs for each TE subfamily in PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym stages. Sense and antisense piRNAs for the horizontally transmitted transposons (HTT) ET, SPIN, OC1 and hAT and for the resident TEs are shown separately. Colorbar values display log10(RPM + 1). F) Heatmaps showing TE expression levels as per RNAseq in PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym stages. Expression levels for the HTTs ET, SPIN, OC1 and hAT and of the resident TEs are displayed in separate heatmaps. Colorbar values display RPKM. Rhodnius acquired the HTTs from opossums, squirrel monkeys and bats (right). For the SPIN and hAT families, Gilbert and coworkers reported two transposon sequences for SPIN and hAT, namely SPIN_NA_13_RP, SPIN_NA_12_RP, hAT_NA_1_RP and hAT_NA_2_RP. One transposon sequence was reported for ET and OC1, which were labeled ET_NA_1_RP and OPSCHARLIE1_NA_1_RP, respectively. For simplicity, we refer to these transposable elements as SPIN, hAT, OC1 and ET throughout the article. Images of bat, squirrel monkey and opossum were obtained from Wikimedia Commons.

Figure 2. piRNA clusters are a major source of piRNAs during Rhodnius prolixus embryonic development. A) Ideograms of the larger scaffolds obtained from the HiC genome assembly that likely represent the 10 autosomes and the X chromosome (scaffold 10) of Rhodnius. Each ideogram is scaled to the size of each scaffold, with a scale in megabases. Black vertical lines show the distribution of the piRNA clusters. The major cluster RPCL1 is highlighted (black box). B) Cumulative percentage of piRNAs in clusters for each replicate. The top 10 RPCLs account for 74% of the total uniquely mapping piRNAs. C) IGV visualization of the RPCL1 cluster region on the HiC_scaffold_6. Uniquely mapped piRNAs for each stage are profiled for each sample (small RNA-seq profiles). The Y-axis displays RPM (0 to 100 interval). Expression levels of the RPCL1 (RNA-seq) in all the stages are also displayed. Of note, we included in this analysis the RNA-seq datasets for embryonic stages 0-12h, 12-24h, 24-36h and 36-48h produced by Pacual and coworkers. The Y-axis displays the RPKM range 0-20. Orange bar highlights the position of the RPCL1 cluster. The distribution of DNA and LTR transposons together with LINE elements and unknown sequences along the RPCL1 region are reported with black boxes. D) Heatmaps showing the temporal regulation of the cluster-derived mature piRNAs (Small RNA-seq) and piRNA precursor transcripts (RNA-seq) in PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym stages. Mature piRNA levels inversely correlate with the expression levels of cluster transcripts suggesting that piRNA biogenesis consumes cluster-derived transcripts during embryonic stages. Small RNA-seq values (left side of the panel) are displayed as log10(RPM + 1), while RNA-seq values (right side of the panel) are expressed as RPM. Each line of the heatmap represents a piRNA cluster with the major clusters at the top of the panels.

Figure 3. Rhodnius piRNA clusters are uni-strand and produce piRNAs against HTTs. A-B) Heatmaps showing sense (A) and antisense (B) piRNA levels for the top 10 piRNA clusters in PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym stages. Colorbar displays log10(RPM + 1). C) Scatterplot reporting the strandness of the piRNA clusters. piRNA clusters primarily expressed from the +strand (blue dots) and -strand (red dots) of the genome are displayed. piRNA clusters with apparently unbiased expression are indicated (dark grey dots). A cluster was considered unbiased if less than 75% of its piRNAs could be attributed to a specific strand of the genome. Y-axis values are expressed as RPM. The top 10 piRNA clusters are highlighted. D) Scatterplot showing the orientation of the TE fragment within each piRNA cluster. piRNA clusters harboring TEs predominantly on the +strand (blue dots) or -strand (red dots) of the genome are reported. These categories are mostly formed by small clusters with a low number of TEs. The vast majority of the piRNA clusters are characterized by unbiased distribution of TEs (dark grey dots). The top 10 piRNA clusters are highlighted. E) Number of HTT copies inserted into the piRNA clusters. F-I) piRNAs uniquely mapping to the cluster HTTs. The ET copies (F) seem to exclusively produce sense piRNAs, while OC1 (G), hAT (H) and SPIN (I) generate both sense and antisense piRNAs. Y-axis displays RPM values. X-axis shows the replicates for the PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym samples. J) Percentage of uniquely mapping piRNAs for the resident TEs originating from within or outside the piRNA clusters. K) Percentage of uniquely mapping piRNAs for the HTTs originating from within or outside the piRNA clusters.

Figure 4. HTT piRNAs do not engage in a canonical ping-pong amplification mechanism. A-D) piRNA profiling along the sequences of each HTT with sense piRNAs displayed with positive RPM values (green) and antisense piRNAs with negative RPM values (red). For the hAT and SPIN elements, the most abundant sense/antisense piRNA pairs are shown. Of note, the sense piRNAs pairs do not display the typical ping-pong signature, but overlap by ~20 nt. Yet, the sense mate for each pair bears an Adenine residue at the 10th position (red box). A'-D') 5' to 5' overlap length frequency reported as z-score values for each HTT. A"-D") Sequence logos displaying nucleotide bias for sense and antisense piRNAs mapped to the HTTs.

Figure 5. The ping-pong amplification loop is active in Rhodnius prolixus. A) Percentage of paired and unpaired piRNAs for PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym replicates. B) 5' to 5' overlap length frequency displayed in z-score for piRNAs mapped to resident transposons for each stage. C) Sequence logo showing nucleotide bias for each position of antisense and sense piRNAs mapped to transposable elements in all the replicates. D) qRT-PCR analysis of the temporal expression levels of the Rp-PIWI genes during oogenesis and early stages of Rhodnius prolixus development. PVS=previtellogenic stage, Egg=mature chorionated eggs, Emb=embryos and Nym=1st instar nymphs. Y-axis shows the mean Fold Change. Error bars display standard deviation from the mean.

Figura 6. piRNA clusters generate ~22nt siRNAs in Rhodnius prolixus. A-B) Heatmaps showing sense (A) and antisense (B) ~22 nt long siRNAs mapped to the Rhodnius TE subfamilies. Colorbar displays log10(RPM + 1). siRNAs appear to be expressed at higher levels in oogenesis compared to embryonic and postembryonic stages. C) Z-scores of the 5' to 5' overlap length frequency for PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym samples. (D) Sequence logos showing nucleotide bias for each position of antisense and sense siRNAs for each stage. The 1U bias typical of the piRNAs is only detectable in embryos. E) Percentage of uniquely mapping siRNAs originating from the piRNA clusters (green bars) versus other regions of the genome (white) for PVS, Egg, Emb and Nym stages. F) Schematic diagram depicting the dynamics of piRNAs (red bar), piRNA cluster transcripts (purple bar), TEs (dark green), HTTs (blue bar) and siRNAs (light green bar) during oogenesis, embryogenesis and postembryonic development of Rhodnius prolixus.