1 **Chronic Stress effects on Immune-Neuroendocrine matrix in *Coturnix japonica,* analyses**

2 **from an ontogenetic perspective**

Marozzi, Antonela[1]; Correa, Silvia G.[2]; Palme, Rupert[3]; Cantarelli, Veronica I.[4]; Ponzio Marina

F.[4]; Marin Raul H.[1]*; and Nazar, F. Nicolas[1,5]*.

[1]Biological and Technological Investigations Institute (IIByT), National Scientific and Technical

Research Council (CONICET) and National University of Cordoba (UNC), Cordoba, Argentina.

[2]Clinical Biochemistry and Immunology Research Center (CIBICI), National Scientific and

Technical Research Council (CONICET) and National University of Cordoba, Cordoba, Argentina

[3]Experimental Endocrinology, Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Veterinary

Medicine, Vienna, Austria

[4]Institute of Health Sciences Research (InICSa), National Scientific and Technical Research

Council (CONICET) and National University of Cordoba, Cordoba, Argentina.

[5]Faculty of Pharmacy (Dept. of Analytical Chemistry, and Dept. of Zoology and Animal Cell

Biology) Paseo de la Universidad, 7, 01006, Vitoria-Gasteiz, Spain

*Corresponding Authors: R. H. Marin: raul.marin@unc.edu.ar; F. N. Nazar

franconicolas.nazar@ehu.eus

Abstract

Immune-neuroendocrine characteristics define individual physiological profiles or phenotypes (INPs), which integrated at the population level account for complementary information. In avian models (quail, *Coturnix japonica*; hens, *Gallus gallus*), individuals cluster in three subgroups associated to three INPs: the Lewis-like (pro-inflammatory polarization), the Fischer-like (anti-inflammatory polarization), and an intermediate INP, most represented in the population. This study assesses changes in individuals' physiological configuration, translated in INPs stability/alteration throughout ontogeny (juvenile and adult stages) and evaluates whether an unpredictable and diverse chronic stress (UDCS) modifies this configuration in adults and if this modification persists until senescence. We evaluated corticosterone, pro- (IFN-γ and IL-1β) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-13, IL-4), phytohemagglutinin (PHA-P) lymphoproliferative response, anti-sheep red blood cells antibody (Ab SRBC) response and leukocyte distribution frequency. Individuals expressing Lewis- or Fischer-like profile increased, after UDCS exposure. This trend persisted until senescence. Females showed higher frequency of the Fischer-like INP, while males presented a greater prevalence of the Lewis-like. The variation in the frequency of INPs after UDCS could obey differences in coping strategy, mainly among individuals with intermediate configurations. The distribution after the disruptive event, displaying greater homogeneity among INPs, provides the population with a greater advantage to confronting potential environmental challenges to come.

Key words: Immune-neuroendocrine phenotypes, unpredictable chronic stress, quails, development, environmental challenges, individual scale, population scale, resource allocation

Introduction

During an organism's lifetime, there are compromises in resource allocation among competing traits known as trade-offs (Senner, Conklin & Piersma, 2015; Taborsky *et al.*, 2021). Such trade-offs may lead to individual differences within a population causing immediate or delayed

effects on fitness that can lead to changes in individuals' phenotype (Senner *et al.*, 2015;

Garland, Downs & Ives, 2022). Coping with a dynamic environment requires the mobilization

of the so called allostatic mediators, i.e., glucocorticoids and cytokines, that regulate a variety

of processes to ensure the individual's survival by operating at different concentrations in

accordance with organism´s demands (Mcewen & Wingfield, 2003). Allostatic mediators work

coordinating responses among metabolic pathways which trigger physiological changes in

several systems in response to both internal and environmental keys (Cohen *et al.*, 2012;

Garland *et al.*, 2022). Certain alterations are linked to natural processes in an animal's life cycle

such as sexual maturation, reproduction, or growth which are energetically demanding

mechanisms that require changes in resource allocation (Jacobs & Wingfield, 2000; Johnston *et*

al., 2012). There are other stimuli known as stressors that can disrupt homeostasis and trigger

emergency adjustments in animals' physiology and behavior, such as loss of social rank, severe

weather, habitat destruction, extreme climatic events and even human disturbance (Blas &

Fairhurst, 2021). Therefore, stress coping induces an emergency life history state that directly

impacts energy allocation, thereby reducing the energy available for other essential

physiological functions, such as reproduction or growth (Hasselquist & Nilsson, 2012; Wingfield

et al., 2017).

When the exposure to stressors is prolonged, animals develop a particular and potentially

persistent state of physiological imbalance, known as chronic stress (CS). In this state, the

physiological response either persists long after the stressor has ceased, or is repeatedly

activated (Dhabhar, 2009; Blas & Fairhurst, 2021). CS implies heightened exposure of the

organism to glucocorticoids and other allostatic mediators, each with the potentiality to

impact several physiological variables such as white blood cell proliferation, cytokine secretion,

antibody production, and cytolytic activity, among others which are integrated at the immune

neuroendocrine (INE) level (Padgett & Glaser, 2003; Koutsos & Klasing, 2014; Nazar, 2015;

Nazar *et al.*, 2017). Consequently, CS can deplete an organism's available resources, reducing

its ability to cope with future stressors. Alternatively, CS may provide information to deal with

subsequent challenges influencing fitness critical variables like survival or reproductive success

(Del Giudice *et al.*, 2018) that can extend beyond the individual, with transgenerational effects

that impact subsequent generations (Goerlich *et al.*, 2012; Guibert *et al.*, 2013; Zimmer *et al.*,

2017; Giayetto *et al.*, 2020). Even so, coping with challenges will be conditioned by the

individual's specific phenotype and its capacity to adaptively shape its response to the

environment (Piersma & Drent, 2003; Garland *et al.*, 2022).

Differences among sexes can also influence immune and neuroendocrine responses due to the

effect of estrogens and testosterone and even some aspects of life history can be related (Klein

& Flanagan, 2016; Garcia-Reyero, 2018). It is widely accepted that females tend to allocate

more resources to reproduction than males, given the demands of egg development and

parental care (Lee, 2006). As a result, females exhibit a Th2-biased immune response, with

lower costs in terms of energy budget (Lee, 2006; Vincze *et al.*, 2022). On the contrary, the

highest reproduction investment in males is located on mate attraction thus, they have more

energy available for an Th1 biased immunological response, i.e., cell mediated response (Lee,

2006; Valdebenito *et al.*, 2021). Also, in birds, an anatomical difference is in accordance with

this physiological observations, males tend to have a smaller spleen than females (Møller, Sorci

& Erritzøe, 1998). This organ is the main leucocytes producer in avians, therefore, differences

in size between sexes can be related to variation in parasites sensitivity (Møller *et al.*, 1998).

Males tend to have a higher corticosterone response than females, which can influence their

survival rate (Jimeno *et al.*, 2018). Furthermore, regarding reproduction, testosterone is the

main males reproductive hormones and a precursor of estrogens production in the females'

ovary (Lipshutz *et al.*, 2019). This hormone participates in aggression and its effect and

integration at the phenotypic levels may vary within an animal's lifetime (Lipshutz *et al.*, 2019).

Ontogeny is another relevant factor to be considered when studying coping mechanisms and

its effect will be logically influenced according to the individual's life stage. In general, when

juveniles face some kind of challenge this can cause changes in the reaction norm of certain

physiological responses causing permanent changes in the phenotype configuration (Stearns,

1989; Piersma & Drent, 2003). On the contrary, facing challenges during adulthood may lead to

less permanent responses, for that reason is considered that adults acquire a "reversible state

effect" in which they will be able to switch among phenotypes acquiring new configurations in

response to the environment (Senner *et al.*, 2015; Burggren, 2018). To differentiate permanent

transformation in the reaction norm that happen when challenges occur in juvenile stages

from those reversible in response to the environment during adulthood, Piersma and Drent

(2003) proposed to name the second ones "phenotypic flexibility". This name refers to changes

that occur when environmental conditions vary rapidly and over shorter timescales than a

lifetime. The ability of changing and acquiring a "reversible state effect" may turn into an

evolutionary advantage because the bigger the diversity of traits in a population, the more

prepared it will be to face future unknown challenges (Forsman, 2015).

Modifying phenotype configuration may lead to a reorganization in resource allocation that

can have an impact on fitness (Romero, Dickens & Cyr, 2009; Buehler, Tieleman & Piersma,

2010). In some cases, these effects will be immediate and in others will not, driving to carry-

over effects that can influence on individual's performance to future situations (O'Connor *et*

al., 2014) and impact on processes at the population level such as survival rate (Saino *et al.*,

2017; Loonstra *et al.*, 2019). In some cases, carry-over effects are not seen until the next

generation and the price that individuals pay for facing a challenge are evident in their

descendance (Senner *et al.*, 2015; Giayetto *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, understanding how

ontogeny and potential carry-over effects influence alterations in their immune and

neuroendocrine configuration is crucial. This information is valuable for assessing the impact of

challenges in both the short and long term, both at the individual and population levels.

In the last decades, immune neuroendocrine phenotypes (INPs) have been described in

mammalians including humans (Elenkov *et al.*, 2008), and related species like rodents (Wei,

Listwak & Sternberg, 2003). Later on, in representatives of different evolutionary histories such

as avian species like hens (*Gallus gallus*; Nazar *et al.*, 2017), or quail (*Coturnix japonica*; Nazar,

2015). INPs are a set of characteristics that identify individuals in their neuro-hormonal and

immunological responses, similar among them but different from another group within the

same animal population (Sternberg *et al.*, 1989; Elenkov *et al.*, 2008; Ashley and Demas, 2017).

As a consequence, INPs provide a valuable framework for assessing population responses to

chronic and stressful environmental challenges (Sternberg *et al.*, 1989; Nazar *et al.*, 2017). INPs

are characterized by neuroendocrine mediator concentrations, activity and expression of

hormonal receptors, and cytokine belonging to pro or anti-inflammatory profiles. In quail and

hens three INPs have been described (i) the Lewis-like phenotype characterized by low

corticosterone levels, high proinflammatory mediators' levels (IFN-γ and IL-1β), high

lymphoproliferative response to Phytohemagglutinin-p (PHA-P), high antibody response

against to sheep red blood cells (SRBC), low innate : acquired immunity relationship evaluated

by the frequency of leukocyte distribution (FLD) and anti-inflammatory mediators' response

(IL-13, IL-4); (ii) the Fischer-like phenotype, characterized by high corticosterone levels, high

levels of anti-inflammatory mediators (IL-4 and IL-13), high FLD, and low lymphoproliferative

response to PHA-P, Ab SRBC, and proinflammatory response (Nazar *et al.*, 2015); and (iii) the

intermediate phenotype, in between these two extreme phenotypes (Fig. 1).

The ability of individuals to deal with a disease will be related to the INP presented (Sternberg

et al., 1989; Wei *et al.*, 2003; Nazar *et al.*, 2015, 2017). As a consequence INPs frequencies in a

population can affect its ability to cope with immune neuroendocrine (INE) challenges because

a new and differential response will be given to the presence of parasites, and/or other

pathogens affecting individuals within the population (Nazar *et al.*, 2017; Nystrand & Dowling,

2020). Also, INPs imply an underlying differential INE response, i.e., of the hypothalamus

pituitary adrenal axis (HPA), Fischer-like individuals have a higher corticosterone response than

Lewis-like individuals (Nazar *et al.*, 2015, 2017). This differential response to corticosterone will

impact various other life-history traits, such as metabolic rate (Jimeno et al., 2018b), and the

behaviors of the animals (Bókony *et al.*, 2009). Consequently, INE configuration (either

synthesized or not in the concept of an INP) could be an indicator of the population's substrate

to cope with environmental challenges.

As stated before, in stressful situations, the frequency of INPs changes (Nazar *et al.*, 2017).

However, the contribution of each phenotype to this new configuration is still not clear. We

are not certain whether the majority of individuals altering their phenotype belong to the

intermediate INP category, or if those from one extreme phenotype transition to the opposite

extreme, or any other potential combination. Understanding the dynamics of change at the

individual level can illuminate phenomena even at the population level. It offers

complementary information that is currently lacking regarding individuals' physiological

integration of environmental and ontogenetic challenges. In this context, we studied INPs

configuration during different ontogenetic stages in Japanese quail as an avian model

(juveniles and adults). As adults, we conducted further studies to address whether exposure to

a disruptive challenging event, characterized by unpredictable and diverse chronic stressor

exposures (UCSE), could modify the configuration of INPs at both the individual and population

levels, and whether these changes could persist until senescence. We hypothesized that at a

population level, INPs will be modulated by UDCS acting as a disruptive force that alters the

frequency of the phenotypes within the population. We expect that CS will have effects on the

variables that integrate the INE interface, modifying INPs' expression at the population level

due to individual's quail adjustments.

Materials and Methods

Animals and husbandry

The present study used adult Japanese quail because they are recognized as an useful

laboratory model because of their physiology, small size, short life cycle, and low maintenance

cost (Huss, Poynter & Lansford, 2008). The quail were raised as described elsewhere (Nazar *et*

al., 2012; Caliva *et al.*, 2017). Animal care was provided in adherence to Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee guidelines. The experiment procedures were approved by the ethical

committee at Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas y Tecnológicas (IIByT) in compliance with

the legislation regarding the use of animals for experimental and other scientific purposes

(ACTA CICUAL N° 27, 09/04/2015) and are in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines.

Briefly, from the first day of age (DA), quail were housed in enriched rearing boxes measuring

90x90x60 cm (length x width x height) in mixed groups of 40 individuals. A wire-mesh floor (1

cm grid) was raised 5 cm to allow excreta passage, and 50% of the total surface was covered

with corrugated cardboard. Each box had a feeder arranged in the front, 16 automatic drinkers

("nipple" type), and a cover lid to prevent the escape of the birds and the loss of heat. The

breeding temperature was lowered gradually and weekly from hatching to 28 DA, starting

from an initial temperature of 37ºC until reaching 24ºC (Nazar *et al.*, 2018). The ambient

relative humidity recorded ranged between 50 and 60%. The quail were kept in a daily cycle of

14 h of light (330-350 lx) and 10 h of dark (14:10 L:D) during the study, turning on the lights at

06:00 h. At 28 DA, quail were sexed using breast plumage coloration and wing banded for later

identification. At 35 DA, 48 male-female pairs were randomly re-housed in 39x19x25 cm

(length x width x height) pedigree enclosures. The environmental enrichment program

included corrugated cardboard and plastic grass on the floor, and rough plastic sheets and

wooden boards as enrichment elements that were alternated weekly to minimize the

habituation and to avoid the disturbance of a more frequent change (Nazar & Marin, 2011).

Quail starter diet (24% crude protein; 2900 kcal metabolizable energy kg−1) was used for the

first 4 weeks of life. A laying diet was provided afterward (21% protein, 2750 kcal ME kg−1).

The supply of water and food was *ad libitum*.

Experimental design:

The experiment consisted in evaluating selected INE representative variables (previously

associated with INPs, see below) in four target stages of analysis (Fig. 2). 1) juvenile quail (6

wks of age); 2) adult quail (15 wks of age), when birds evidenced full maturity (fully active

foam gland in males and females peaking egg production (~one egg per day) and prior to birds'

exposure to a chronic disruptive event (UCSE protocol, for details on the protocol see

supplementary material S1); 3) After the UCSE ended (17 wks of age) and 4) during

senescence (27 wks of age).

Variables tested: The set of variables evaluated for characterizing INPs in every individual are

briefly described as fully descriptions are provided elsewhere (Nazar, 2015; Nazar *et al.*, 2015,

2017).

Response to PHA-P injection: this is a measure pro-inflammatory potential of the birds. Briefly,

after measuring with a caliper the wing web thickness, a 0.05 ml. intradermal injection of a 1

mg/ml solution of PHA-P in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was administered in the wing web

area. On the following day (24 h post-PHA-P), the dermal swelling response was measured as

the percentage of increase in wing web thickness at the injection site. Measurements were

recorded to the nearest 0.01 mm using a mechanical micrometer (Roberts *et al.*, 2009).

Antibody response to SRBC: this parameter was used to evaluate the induced humoral immune

response. The antibody titer was assessed with a micro agglutination assay (Nazar & Marin,

2011). 20 µl of complement-inactivated plasma (through heating to 56°C) were serially diluted

in 20 µl of PBS (1:2, 1:4, 1:8, up to 1:512). Next, 20 µl of a 2% suspension of SRBC in PBS were

added to all wells. Microplates were incubated at 40°C for 1 h and hemagglutination of the test

plasma samples was compared to the blank (PBS only) and negative controls (wells with no

SRBC suspension). Antibody titers were reported as $\log_2$ of the highest dilution yielding

significant agglutination. The results represent the average of two duplicates for each animal.

Corticosterone and testosterone analyses: Plasma samples were extracted with diethylether

(10 times the volume). Afterwards, the ether phase was transferred into a new vial,

evaporated and dissolved in assay buffer (Schöffmann *et al.*, 2009). Aliquots (in duplicate)

were quantified in a corticosterone and testosterone enzyme immunoassay (EIA). Details of

the EIAs, including cross-reactivities of the antibody, are given in Palme & Möstl (1997) and

Auer *et al.* (2020). Steroid concentrations are expressed as nanograms of hormone per ml of

plasma (ng/ml).

Frequency of leukocyte subpopulation distribution (FLD): First, cell count was done by flow

cytometry. To do this, 40 µl of total blood were stained with a fluorescent lipophilic dye (3, 3`-

dyhexiloxacarbocyanine iodide; DiOC6, Molecular Probes) to obtain absolute counts of

erythrocytes, lymphocytes, monocytes, thrombocytes and granulocytes as described

elsewhere (Uchiyama *et al.*, 2005). Then, the FLD number was calculated using the following

formula: FLD = number of granulocytes/(number of lymphocytes + number of monocytes) and

is an indicator of the innate: acquired immunity ratio.

Cytokines: To determine cytokine mRNA expression levels, peripheral lymphocytes were

isolated and total RNA was extracted from cells according to the manufacturer's instructions

and as previously described (Shini & Kaiser, 2009). Then, total RNA was reverse-transcribed.

The resulting cDNA was stored at -80°C until used for real-time PCR. For quantitative real-time

PCR assays, specific primers for quail IL-1β, IL- 4, IL-13, and IFN-γ genes were used as

previously reported (Superina, Carreño & Jahn, 2009). β-actin was used as a reference

housekeeping gene. Real-time PCR was performed with a Step One Plus Detection System

(Real-Time PCR System- Thermo Fisher Scientific). The results represent the average from

three technical replicates for each analyzed animal. The level of expression of each target gene

was calculated using the formula: Gene Level = 2-(Target Gene Ct − β-Actin Ct). The value

obtained was used to compare the level of expression of each molecule (Nazar *et al.*, 2015).

Statistical analysis:

INPs were formerly described using cluster analysis in order to explore the degree of similarity

among the birds in the study on the bases of the analyzed variables. Particularly, with the aim

of identifying the best partition of the birds, described by the best orthogonal linear

combinations of the factors according to the least-squares criterion, a K-means analysis was

performed. The following 9 standardized variables were used in the analysis: plasma

corticosterone, testosterone, IFN-γ and IL-4, IL-1β, IL-13, skin-swelling response to PHA-P,

antibody response against SRBC and FLD ratio. Based on the theoretical framework previously

exposed, the clustering was performed with a K value of 3 (2 subgroups with representatives

of potential extreme INPs and 1 intermediate subgroup). Euclidean metrics were used for

computing the distance between points and cluster centers. To graphically represent the

results of the clustering in a multivariate manner, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was

used with the clustering variables as explanatory variables (Crawley, 2007; Nazar *et al.*, 2017).

Also, on the data from each stage of the experiment, we performed a linear discriminant

analysis (LDA) to characterize variation in the population (Crawley, 2007). LDA was used to

recognize the variables with more weight to identify INPs during each stage of this study, the

predictor power of each variable is represented by the size of a vector in LDA plots. Due to

autocorrelation, two of the four cytokines were removed to do LDA analysis. We chose IL-4 as

representative of the anti-inflammatory response and IFN-γ as representative of the pro-

inflammatory response. To adjust the model, we randomly selected 75% of the data of each

experimental stage, and then we tested its predictive power with the remaining 25% of the

data. To compare the variation in the frequency of INPs from one experimental stage to the

other, we did a proportion analysis. We also compared the proportion of males and females

belonging to each phenotype.

We evaluated the transition probability from one INP to the others during the four

experimental stages using discrete-time Markov chains (DTMC; Spedicato and Signorelli, 2014).

This kind of analysis is adequate to evaluate changes in individuals at successive points in time.

To do this, we built transition matrices among the four stages of the experiment (Table 3): first

a matrix between juveniles and adults, second, a matrix between adults pre-stress and post-

stress, and third a matrix between adult post-stress and senescent individuals (Bishop,

Fienberg & Holland, 1974). Transition matrices estimate the probability of an individual

changing its phenotype. For example, if at the juvenile stage, 16 individuals show a Fischer-like

phenotype, we intended to know how many of those individuals remained Fischer-like when

they reach adulthood and how many changed to Lewis-like or the intermediate phenotype. We

also evaluated the number of individuals that remained in the same phenotype. To estimate

probabilities, we divided the number of individuals that had changed by the total of individuals

of each phenotype in the previous state (Spedicato & Signorelli, 2014). Since in some cases,

the INP could not be established during the four stages, the total number of individuals in each

transition may be variable but in the three transitions studied the sample was always more

than 70 individuals, thus the results can be considered representative of INPs configuration in

the species and of changes during the experiment.

Results

The three groups identified by the cluster analysis are represented in the PCA plots (Fig.3),

using biplots as a graphical strategy to represent the phenomena as previously mentioned

(separated results of each variable can be seen in supplementary material S3). Cluster analyses

was performed as previously described (Nazar *et al.*, 2017). Briefly K-means analysis

distributed the birds in 3 main dissimilar groups during the four experimental stages studied.

In the first two experimental stages (juveniles and adults pre-stress) the number of individuals

belonging to the intermediate phenotype is higher than the number of individuals belonging to

extreme phenotypes, i.e., Fischer-like and Lewis-like, as it can be seen in the PCA plots (Fig. 3).

After the stress protocol the number of individuals with Fischer and Lewis like phenotypes

increased and this change in the representation of individuals with extreme phenotypes

remained until senescence (Fig. 3). The three groups, as separate corpus of birds, remained

differentiated along the four stages of this experiment.

LDA was used to identify the parameters that are more important to recognize INPs during

each stage of this study. The results indicated a high influence of corticosterone predictive

power during the four stages (Fig. 4). This variable seems to be the main indicator of the

variability of the three phenotypes, and it had a negative displacement among canonical axis 2.

In juveniles, canonical axis 1 explained 84.46 % of the variability (Fig 4). In pre-stress adults,

85.86 % of the variability is explained by canonical axis 1 (Fig. 4). In post-stress adults, 94.36%

of the variability is explained by the canonical axis 1. Finally, 85.98% of the variability of

senescent individuals is explained by canonical axis 1. Also, in senescent individuals,

testosterone was a variable with a high coefficient of linear discriminant, indicated by the size

of its vector (canonical axis 1: 0.88, canonical axis 2: -1.49). The complete information of linear

discriminant coefficients can be seen in supplementary table S2. Models' predictive power was

at least 90% in all the cases (S2-Table).

The frequency of the INPs indicated that, in the population studied, the prevailing phenotype

during the two first experimental stages (juveniles and adults pre-stress), was the intermediate

one, characterized by immune-neuroendocrine responses of intermediate magnitude. After

stress, the frequency of the extreme phenotypes Lewis-like and Fischer-like increased

significantly (Fig. 5, Table 1; p-value=0.0093 for Lewis-like and p-value = 0.03 for Fischer-like).

Also, a reduction in the proportion of individuals with intermediate phenotype was observed

in this experimental stage (p-value=0.00005). During senescence, frequencies of the extreme

phenotypes seemed to sustain a uniform distribution without significant changes compared to

the previous stage (adults post-stress; Fig. 5). Table 1 shows the results of the Proportion test

comparing transitions among phenotypes. A significant increase in the proportion of extreme

phenotypes and a reduction of the intermediate phenotype was observed only in the

transition from adults' pre-stress to adults' post-stress (Indicated in bold). In all the other

transitions, no significant differences were found.

The proportion analysis comparing the phenotypes among sexes within the same experimental

stage (Table 2) indicated that the proportion of females showing a Fischer-like phenotype was

significatively higher than males with this INP in adults pre-stress (p-value= 0.00005), in adults

post-stress (p-value = 0.001141), and in senescent quail (p-value=0.000003). On the contrary,

the proportion of males presenting a Lewis-like INP was significantly higher than the

proportion of females in the adults' pre-stress (p-value=0.00135), and in the senescent

experimental stages (p-value=0.000000009). In addition, the frequency of males with an

intermediate phenotype was significantly higher than the proportion of females in the adults'

post-stress experimental stage (p-value=0.00006). Only a trend for females showing a reduced

proportion of Lewis-like phenotype compared than males was found during the juvenile

assessment (Table 2).

The probability of an individual changing its phenotype from one experimental stage to the

next one or the probability of remaining in their original phenotype was evaluated using a

DTMC analysis (Table 3). As shown, during the transition of juveniles to adults, the probability

of changing from Lewis-like or Fischer-like phenotypes to the intermediate was the highest in

both cases (0.55 and 0.75 respectively). In addition, most of the individuals belonging to the

intermediate type remained in that state (0.64; Table 3; Fig. 6). After the stress protocol, the

probability of individuals changing from Lewis-like (0.33) or Fischer-like (0.07) phenotypes to

the intermediate phenotypes decreased (Table 3; Fig. 6), and the probability of individuals to

change from intermediate phenotype to a Lewis-like (0.29) or a Fischer-like (0.29) increased.

Moreover, the probability of Lewis-like individuals changing their phenotype to Fischer-like

and vice versa (0.43 and 0.27 respectively) also increased in this transition. Finally, during the

transition from post-stress adults to senescence (Table 3; Fig.5), the probability of individuals

changing from an intermediate phenotype to a Lewis-like (0.31) remained high. The probability

of an individual changing from a Lewis-like to Fischer-like or inversely (0.33 and 0.20

respectively) decreased compared to the transition from pre-stress adults and post-stress

adults.

Discussion

This study assesses changes in INE variables that lead to the configuration of INPs throughout

ontogeny (juvenile and adult stages) evaluating also whether a significant disruptive event can

modify previous adult configuration and whether this alteration persists when aging into

senescence. In particular, we analyzed whether a chronic unpredictable stress disturbance at

the INE level influences INPs proportions, their distributions at the population level and the

probability of change (INP assessments in adults pre- and post-stress). It is of outmost

importance to highlight that INPs are not considered here a fixed entity, but rather the array of

INE responses that a particular individual can deploy in a certain moment of its ontogeny.

Thus, each of the evaluated stages provide differential and complementary information. The

two first stages i.e., juveniles and adults (Fig. 2), are related to physiological changes

associated with regular life processes such as sexual maturation and growth (Garland *et al.*,

2022). Lastly, and using the second stage as an adult (before UCDS exposure) baseline, the

third and fourth stages i.e., adults after a stress exposure and senescent individuals (Fig. 2), are

related to the ability of individuals to cope with external challenges (post-stress sampling) and

how challenged individuals reach senescence (last sampling).

Findings showed that it is possible to identify three different INPs in the population during the

whole study and at the different stages evaluated (Fig. 3). Corticosterone was determined as

one of the most important predictors of INPs during the four stages studied. Nevertheless,

LDAs showed that the relationship among the variables also changed along the stages (Fig. 4)

which may be, at least in part, related to the reorganization in resource allocation due to

ontogenetic variations(Senner *et al.*, 2015; Garland *et al.*, 2022). Indeed, findings are

consistent with the idea that, throughout their lives, individuals undergo processes influenced

by the costs of living, resulting in changes in the relationships between immune and

neuroendocrine factors and their respective significance (Jacobs & Wingfield, 2000; Johnston

et al., 2012).

Some authors proposed that the stress response is influenced by both the life history traits of

the species (Schoenle *et al.*, 2021) and the response potential of different tissues (Lattin,

Waldron-Francis & Romero, 2013). Furthermore the INPs as a partially new factor to be

considered and included in these equations has been suggested (Sternberg *et al.*, 1989b,

1989a; Zelazowski *et al.*, 1992; Wei *et al.*, 2003; Elenkov *et al.*, 2008). Fischer-like individuals

might be more related to anti-inflammatory and antibody-mediated immune responses than

Lewis-like individuals (Mosmann *et al.*, 1986; Nazar *et al.*, 2015, 2017). Therefore, individuals

expressing either one of or other of these two extreme phenotypes would have different

abilities to cope with diverse environmental conditions, and, as a consequence, they may show

distinct environmental sensitivity to stressors understanding them as challenges (Colditz *et al.*,

2016). Changes in INP configuration from one life stage to the other would imply a variation at

the very individual level in the ability to cope with a variety of challenges (Zelazowski *et al.*,

1992; Wei *et al.*, 2003; Nazar *et al.*, 2015, 2017). For example, and from a theoretical point of

view, if one individual with an intermediate INP before stress switches to a Lewis-like after a

chronic stress disturbance, its ability to develop robust inflammatory responses will be

increased, and therefore also their potential to deal with certain types of pathogens (Wei *et*

al., 2003; Elenkov *et al.*, 2008; Nazar *et al.*, 2017). On the contrary, if an individual acquires a

Fischer-like configuration, the outcome of its response to infections would be opposite to that

of a Lewis-like configuration (Wei *et al.*, 2003; Elenkov *et al.*, 2008; Nazar *et al.*, 2017). These

examples are just based on some of the shifts observed and, it is worth highlighting, that these

and any other shift in INP needs to be understood as a synthesis of a change in the

components of the INE system being integrated for analysis.

In juvenile and adult pre-stress, the frequency of extreme phenotypes was low, and the

frequency of the intermediate phenotype was notoriously higher (Fig. 4), which might

resemble a Gaussian distribution. Even so, no significant increase in the frequencies of the

transition from juveniles to adults was observed (Table 1). On the contrary, after the chronic

stress protocol, the population acquired a new distribution, more uniform, with a significant

increase in the proportion of the two extremes phenotypes and reduction of the intermediate

representatives during the transition from pre-stress to post- stress adults (Table 1). It is

relevant to recall that the stress protocol included not just a variety of different stressors but

also a strong unpredictable nature. Hence, as individuals confront pathogens by developing

the most effective response allowed by their immunological potential (Buehler *et al.*, 2010),

shifting the population to a better-balanced representation of INPs can be viewed as a highly

efficient strategy to deal with a broader range of immune challenges. This new distribution

may imply different possibilities to deal with environmental challenges, whereas a population

"over-expressing" only one INP may find this possibility limited (Forsman, 2015; Nazar *et al.*,

2015). Remarkably, this new distribution remained until senescence (Fig. 4) without significant

changes in the proportion of individuals belonging to one or other INP (Table 1). Possibly the

INP configuration of senescent individuals might be a combination of aging and the long-term

effects of the challenge. These results suggest that the INP induced changes, and therefore the

population ability to deal with future unpredictable challenges, possibly due to long lasting

carry-over effects (Senner *et al.*, 2015; Nazar *et al.*, 2017; Moore & Martin, 2019).

Additionally, the proportion of females expressing Fischer-like phenotype was significantly

higher than the proportion of males during adults pre-stress, adults post-stress and senescent

stages. On the contrary, males expressed a higher frequency of Lewis-like phenotype during

the same stages. These results may provide us with information on how both sexes cope with

challenges. Our results seem to be clear in indicating that some kind of polarization in the

expression of INPs occurs in the population, with males expressing more frequently a Th1

response than females, being more prepared for intracellular infections (Kogut, 2022).

Possibly, this polarization would be related to different energetic request in males and female

in reproduction investment: males tend to invest more energy in matte attraction reducing

their investment in immunological response to parasites (Lee, 2006; Valdebenito *et al.*, 2021).

Additionally, studies done in zebra finches and broilers, indicated that males have a higher

corticosterone response to stress (Marin *et al.*, 2002) and a lower survival rate after a stress

induction than females (Jimeno *et al.*, 2018). Considering that Lewis-like individuals will have

lower basal corticosterone than Fischer-like or intermediate individuals, increasing the number

of males with this phenotype might represent an advantage, because these individuals might

be less responsive to future challenges increasing their probability of survival. This proposal

requires further study, and the relation between INPs and sex is a factor that should be

considered in future research.

From the individual's perspective, during the four stages studied, most quail acquired different

INPs configuration during the experiment, even without environmental challenges (Fig. 6).

Changes in INPs might be related to phenotypic flexibility meaning that some individuals would

display a variable expression of INPs within their lifespan (Piersma & Drent, 2003). Changes in

the phenotype along an individual's life can progress linearly, affecting the outcome of the

next developmental step mainly during juveniles stages, or can occur in a more flexible manner

during adulthood when individuals may change adaptively and repeatedly in response to

environmental conditions (Jacobs & Wingfield, 2000; Senner *et al.*, 2015). The ability to vary

INP in response to the environment will represent an immunological advantage in some cases

but, would this change worst the pain? Possibly it would depend on the crosstalk of

ontogenetic demands, individuals' energy budget and environmental challenges (Walsberg,

2003; Shankar *et al.*, 2019; Garland *et al.*, 2022). Even if in our experiment, individuals were

fed all in the same manner and had water *ad libitum*, this does not mean that the use of

energy is identical by all of them but at least the access was similar. Some individuals will have

a greater capacity to distribute energy to all aspects of their life than others, and they will be

able to intake, digest and utilize greater amounts of energy than their conspecifics (Buehler *et*

al., 2010; Halsey *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, ontogenetic variations like sexual maturation and

reproduction, might influence other physiological, i.e., INE systems such as the immune system

or the very HPA axis leading to variations in INPs throughout life (Johnston *et al.*, 2012; Segner,

Verburg-van Kemenade & Chadzinska, 2017) and acting as endogenous disruptors that

influence at the individual level without having a notorious impact on the population global

arrangement.

INP changes may synthesize a shift in INE responses and potential. This may then imply a

trade-off in energy allocation (Garland *et al.*, 2022) and would be limited in its possibilities and

scope by how much energy individuals can efficiently use at any given moment (Buehler *et al.*,

2010; Hasselquist & Nilsson, 2012; Yang *et al.*, 2021). Therefore, considering that we do not

know when individuals will pay the cost of an energetic demand, possibly some individuals

would express the effect of chronic stress immediately after the experiment is finished and

others would show carry-over effects by responding later to the challenge (Senner *et al.*,

2015). Even so, since all the probabilities of transition seem to increase after the stress

protocol, this may indicate that individuals would be more flexible after the stress protocol, or

at least changing their INP would be less expensive in terms of energy budget, which would

represent an extra advantage to deal with environmental complexity (Pirotta *et al.*, 2022). In

the recent years, questionings have arisen about how individuals will cope with, for instance,

weather variations related to climate change and its consequences at different scales

(Burggren, 2018; Cunningham, Gardner & Martin, 2021). Possibly, an increased plasticity in the

INE interplay response might represent an advantage to cope with environmental variability

and more flexible individuals might increase their probability of survival due to their greater

adaptability to different and challenging situations (Cockrem, 2013). Moreover, as variations in

temperature may also imply changes in pathogens richness and burden the higher flexibility

underlying a change in an INP would lead to an increased ability to cope with them (Sergio,

Blas & Hiraldo, 2018). The previous interpretation of our results in the context of global

warming would also be valid for a variety of scenarios to come: pollution, alteration of

husbandry procedures due to new regulations, and even selective processes could be analyzed

in this frame, if we can dissect how each challenge is integrated at the individual level. The

consecutive integration of the responses of the group of individuals defining the population,

will complement the information with a new perspective as previously shown. Additionally,

assessing INPs would allow to identify potential responses to environmental challenges in

poultry species allowing to develop management strategies associated to them (Nazar &

Estevez, 2022) and this may possibly be applied in other domestic species of economic

interest.

In summary, our research provides new insights into understanding how species respond to

the passage of time and face environmental challenges across different scales of analysis. Even

in highly selected species like quail, it appears that individuals retain their vital ability to adapt

their physiological capabilities over lifespan due to internal physiological changes, such as

sexual maturation or aging. However, when integrated, these changes seem to be less relevant

at the population scale, as seen in the case of INPs. On the contrary, external challenges would

act as disruptive events causing changes at the population scale but having a slight effect on

the individuals.

Acknowledgments

This research was done with funding from the National Agency of Scientific and Technological

Research (PICT: 2016-1979). We would like to thank M. Julia Ortiz, Pablo Prokopiuk and Dario

Arbelo for their technical assistance during the development of the experiment, and Edith

Klobetz-Rassam for EIA analyses.

Authors Contributions:

Experimental design: F.N.N, R.H.M.,S.G.C. Laboratory Analysis: M.F.P, V.I.C; R.P; FNN.

Statistical Analysis and figures preparation: A.M; Original draft writing: A.M., F.N.N. Manuscript

revision: F.N.N, R.H.M.,S.G.C; R.P. V.I.C,M.F.P. All authors have reviewed and approved the

final manuscript.

Data Availability: Data is available at https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/vs48x3x9f2/1.

Contact e-mail: franconicolas.nazar@ehu.eus

References

Ashley, N.T. & Demas, G.E. (2017). Neuroendocrine-immune circuits, phenotypes, and

interactions. *Hormones and Behavior* **87**, 25–34.

Auer, K.E., Kußmaul, M., Möstl, E., Hohlbaum, K., Rülicke, T. & Palme, R. (2020). Measurement

of Fecal Testosterone Metabolites in Mice: Replacement of Invasive Techniques.

Animals (Basel) **10**, 165.

Bishop, Y.M.M., Fienberg, S.E. & Holland, P.W. (1974). Models for Measuaring Change. In

Discrete Multivariate Analysis. Theory and practice: 257–279.

Blas, J. & Fairhurst, G.D. (2021). Stress ecophysiology. In *Sturkie's Avian Physiology*: 1029–

1078.

Bókony, V., Lendvai, Á.Z., Likér, A., Angelier, F., Wingfield, J.C. & Chastel, O. (2009). Stress

Response and the value of reproduction: Are birds prudent parents? *American*

Naturalist **173**, 589–598.

Buehler, D.M., Tieleman, B.I. & Piersma, T. (2010). How Do Migratory Species Stay Healthy

Over the Annual Cycle? A Conceptual Model for Immune Function and For Resistance

to Disease. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **50**, 346–357.

Burggren, W. (2018). Developmental phenotypic plasticity helps bridge stochastic weather

events associated with climate change. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **221**,

jeb161984.

Caliva, J.M., Kembro, J.M., Pellegrini, S., Guzman, D.A. & Marin, R.H. (2017). Unexpected

results when assessing underlying aggressiveness in Japanese quail using

photocastrated stimulus birds. *Poultry Science* **96**, 4140–4150.

Cockrem, J.F. (2013). Corticosterone responses and personality in birds : Individual variation

and the ability to cope with environmental changes due to climate change. *General*

and Comparative Endocrinology **190**, 156–163.

Cohen, A.A., Martin, L.B., Wingfield, J.C., McWilliams, S.R. & Dunne, J.A. (2012). Physiological

regulatory networks: Ecological roles and evolutionary constraints. *Trends in Ecology*

and Evolution **27**, 428–435.

Colditz, I.G., Hine, B.C., Colditz, I.G. & Hine, B.C. (2016). Resilience in farm animals: biology,

management, breeding and implications for animal welfare. *Animal Production Science*

56, 1961–1983.

Crawley, M.J. (2007). *The R Book*. *The R Book*. Firt. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.

Cunningham, S., j, Gardner, J., L. & Martin, R., O. (2021). Opportunity costs and the response of

birds and mammals to climate warming. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **19**,

300–307.

Dhabhar, F.S. (2009). Enhancing versus Suppressive Effects of Stress on Immune Function:

Implications for Immunoprotection and Immunopathology. *Neuroimmunomodulation*

16, 300.

Elenkov, I.J., Kvetnansky, R., Hashiramoto, A., Bakalov, V.K., Link, A.A., Zachman, K., Crane, M.,

Jezova, D., Rovensky, J., Dimitrov, M.A., Gold, P.W., Bonini, S., Fleisher, T., Chrousos,

G.P. & Wilder, R.L. (2008). Low- versus high-baseline epinephrine output shapes

opposite innate cytokine profiles: presence of Lewis- and Fischer-like neurohormonal

immune phenotypes in humans? *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md.⬚: 1950)* **181**,

1737–1745.

Forsman, A. (2015). Rethinking phenotypic plasticity and its consequences for individuals,

populations and species. *Heredity* **115**, 276–284.

Garcia-Reyero, N. (2018). The clandestine organs of the endocrine system. *General and*

Comparative Endocrinology **257**, 264–271.

Garland, T., Downs, C.J. & Ives, A.R. (2022). Trade-offs (And constraints) in organismal biology.

Physiological and Biochemical Zoology **95**, 82–112.

Giayetto, O., Videla, E.A., Chacana, P., Jaime, C., Marín, R.H. & Nazar, F.N. (2020). Modulating

offspring responses: Concerted effects of stress and immunogenic challenge in the

parental generation. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **223**.

Del Giudice, M., Buck, C.L., Chaby, L.E., Gormally, B.M., Taff, C.C., Thawley, C.J., Vitousek, M.N.

& Wada, H. (2018). What Is Stress? A Systems Perspective. *Integrative and*

comparative biology **58**, 1019–1032.

Goerlich, V.C., Nätt, D., Elfwing, M., Macdonald, B. & Jensen, P. (2012). Transgenerational

effects of early experience on behavioral, hormonal and gene expression responses to

acute stress in the precocial chicken. *Hormones and Behavior* **61**, 711–718.

Guibert, F., Lumineau, S., Kotrschal, K., Möstl, E., Richard-Yris, M.-A. & Houdelier, C. (2013).

Trans-generational effects of prenatal stress in quail. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*

B: Biological Sciences **280**, 20122368.

Halsey, L.G., Green, J.A., Twiss, S.D., Arnold, W., Burthe, S.J., Butler, P.J., Cooke, S.J., Grémillet,

D., Ruf, T., Hicks, O., Minta, K.J., Prystay, T.S., Wascher, C.A.F. & Careau, V. (2019).

Flexibility, variability and constraint in energy management patterns across vertebrate

taxa revealed by long-term heart rate measurements. *Functional Ecology* **33**, 260–272.

Hasselquist, D. & Nilsson, J.Å. (2012). Physiological mechanisms mediating costs of immune

responses: What can we learn from studies of birds? *Animal Behaviour* **83**, 1303–1312.

Huss, D., Poynter, G. & Lansford, R. (2008). Japanese quail (Coturnix japonica) as a laboratory

animal model. *Lab Anim (NY)* **37**, 513–519.

Jacobs, J.D. & Wingfield, J.C. (2000). Endocrine Control of Life-Cycle Stages: A Constraint on

Response to the Environment? *The Condor* **102**, 35–51.

Jimeno, B., Briga, M., Hau, M. & Verhulst, S. (2018). Male but not female zebra finches with

high plasma corticosterone have lower survival. *Functional Ecology* **32**, 713–721.

Johnston, C.E., Hartley, C., Salisbury, A.-M. & Wigley, P. (2012). Immunological Changes at

Point-of-Lay Increase Susceptibility to Salmonella enterica Serovar Enteritidis Infection

in Vaccinated Chickens. *PLOS ONE* **7**, e48195.

Klein, S.L. & Flanagan, K.L. (2016). Sex differences in immune responses. *Nat Rev Immunol* **16**,

626–638.

Kogut, M.H. (2022). Immunophysiology of the avian immune system. In *Sturkie's Avian*

Physiology: 571–590.

Koutsos, E.A. & Klasing, K.C. (2014). *Factors Modulating the Avian Immune System. Avian*

Immunology. Elsevier Ltd.

Lattin, C.R., Waldron-Francis, K. & Romero, L.M. (2013). Intracellular glucocorticoid receptors

in spleen, but not skin, vary seasonally in wild house sparrows (Passer domesticus).

Proceedings. Biological sciences **280**.

Lee, K.A. (2006). Linking immune defenses and life history at the levels of the individual and

the species. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **46**, 1000–1015.

Lipshutz, S.E., George, E.M., Bentz, A.B. & Rosvall, K.A. (2019). Evaluating testosterone as a

phenotypic integrator: From tissues to individuals to species. *Molecular and Cellular*

Endocrinology **496**, 110531.

Loonstra, A.H.J., Verhoeven, M.A., Senner, N.R., Hooijmeijer, J.C.E.W., Piersma, T. & Kentie, R.

(2019). Natal habitat and sex-specific survival rates result in a male-biased adult sex

ratio. *Behavioral Ecology* **30**, 843–851.

Marin, R.H., Benavidez, E., Garcia, D.A. & Satterlee, D.G. (2002). Sex Differences in Central

Benzodiazepine Receptor Densities and Circulating Corticosterone Release After Acute

Stress in Broiler Chicks1. *Poultry Science* **81**, 261–264.

Mcewen, B.S. & Wingfield, J.C. (2003). The concept of allostasis in biology and biomedicine.

Hormones and behavior **43**, 2–15.

Møller, A.P., Sorci, G. & Erritzøe, J. (1998). Sexual Dimorphism in Immune Defense. *The*

American Naturalist **152**, 605–619.

Moore, M.P. & Martin, R.A. (2019). On the evolution of carry-over effects. *Journal of Animal*

Ecology **88**, 1832–1844.

Mosmann, T.R., Cherwinski, H., Bond, M.W., Giedlin, M.A., And, ~ & Coffman, R.L. (1986).

Definition According to Profiles of Lymphokine Activities and Secreted Proteins **136**.

Nazar, F.N. (2015). Determinación de fenotipos inmunoneuroendócrinos y su modulación por

situaciones de estrés crónico en 2 modelos de aves que difieren en el grado de

domesticación: Coturnix coturnix y Gallus gallus. *Universidad Nacional de Córdoba*

Córdoba, 140.

Nazar, F.N., Barrios, B.E., Kaiser, P., Marin, R.H. & Correa, S.G. (2015). Immune Neuroendocrine

Phenotypes in Coturnix coturnix: Do Avian Species Show LEWIS/FISCHER-Like Profiles?

PLOS ONE **10**, e0120712.

Nazar, F.N. & Estevez, I. (2022). The immune-neuroendocrine system, a key aspect of poultry

welfare and resilience. *Poultry Science* **101**, 101919–101919.

Nazar, F.N., Magnoli, A.P., Dalcero, A.M. & Marin, R.H. (2012). Effect of feed contamination

with aflatoxin B1 and administration of exogenous corticosterone on Japanese quail

biochemical and immunological parameters. *Poultry Science* **91**, 47–54.

Nazar, F.N. & Marin, R.H. (2011). Chronic stress and environmental enrichment as opposite

factors affecting the immune response in Japanese quail (Coturnix coturnix japonica).

Stress (Amsterdam, Netherlands) **14**, 166–173.

Nazar, F.N., Videla, E.A., Fernandez, M.E., Labaque, M.C. & Marin, R.H. (2018). Insights into

thermal stress in Japanese quail (Coturnix coturnix): dynamics of immunoendocrine

and biochemical responses during and after chronic exposure. *Stress* **21**, 257–266.

Nazar, N.F., Estevez, I., Correa, S.G. & Marin, R.H. (2017). Stress Induced Polarization of

Immune-Neuroendocrine Phenotypes in Gallus gallus. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 1–11.

Nystrand, M. & Dowling, D.K. (2020). Effects of immune challenge on expression of life-history

and immune trait expression in sexually reproducing metazoans - A meta-analysis.

BMC Biology **18**, 1–17.

O'Connor, C.M., Norris, D.R., Crossin, G.T. & Cooke, S.J. (2014). Biological carryover effects:

linking common concepts and mechanisms in ecology and evolution. *Ecosphere* **5**,

art28.

Padgett, D.A. & Glaser, R. (2003). How stress influences the immune response. *Trends in*

Immunology **24**, 444–448.

Palme, R. & Möstl, E. (1997). Measurement of cortisol metabolites in faeces of sheep as a

parameter of cortisol concentration in blood. *Int. J. Mammal. Biol.* **62**, 192–197.

Piersma, T. & Drent, J. (2003). Phenotypic flexibility and the evolution of organismal design.

Trends in Ecology and Evolution **18**, 228–233.

Pirotta, E., Thomas, L., Costa, D.P., Hall, A.J., Harris, C.M., Harwood, J., Kraus, S.D., Miller,

P.J.O., Moore, M.J., Photopoulou, T., Rolland, R.M., Schwacke, L., Simmons, S.E.,

Southall, B.L. & Tyack, P.L. (2022). Understanding the combined effects of multiple

stressors: A new perspective on a longstanding challenge. *Science of the Total*

Environment **821**, 153322.

Roberts, M.L., Buchanan, K.L., Evans, M.R., Marin, R.H. & Satterlee, D.G. (2009). The effects of

testosterone on immune function in quail selected for divergent plasma corticosterone

response. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **212**, 3125–3131.

Romero, L.M., Dickens, M.J. & Cyr, N.E. (2009). The reactive scope model - A new model

integrating homeostasis, allostasis, and stress. *Hormones and Behavior* **55**, 375–389.

Saino, N., Ambrosini, R., Caprioli, M., Romano, A., Romano, M., Rubolini, D., Scandolara, C. &

Liechti, F. (2017). Sex-dependent carry-over effects on timing of reproduction and

fecundity of a migratory bird. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **86**, 239–249.

Schoenle, L.A., Zimmer, C., Miller, E.T. & Vitousek, M.N. (2021). Does variation in

glucocorticoid concentrations predict fitness? A phylogenetic meta-analysis. *General*

and Comparative Endocrinology **300**, 113611.

Schöffmann, G., Winter, P., Palme, R., Pollak, A., Trittenwein, G. & Golej, J. (2009).

Haemodynamic changes and stress responses of piglets to surgery during total

intravenous anaesthesia with propofol and fentanyl. *Lab Anim* **43**, 243–248.

Segner, H., Verburg-van Kemenade, B.M.L. & Chadzinska, M. (2017). The immunomodulatory

role of the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonad axis: Proximate mechanism for

reproduction-immune trade offs? *Developmental & Comparative Immunology*,

Neuroendocrine-immune system interactions: An evolutionary perspective **66**, 43–60.

Senner, N.R., Conklin, J.R. & Piersma, T. (2015). An ontogenetic perspective on individual

differences. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282**.

Sergio, F., Blas, J. & Hiraldo, F. (2018). Animal responses to natural disturbance and climate

extremes: a review. *Global and Planetary Change* **161**, 28–40.

Shankar, A., Graham, C.H., Canepa, J.R., Wethington, S.M. & Powers, D.R. (2019).

Hummingbirds budget energy flexibly in response to changing resources. *Functional*

Ecology **33**, 1904–1916.

Shini, S. & Kaiser, P. (2009). Effects of stress, mimicked by administration of corticosterone in

drinking water, on the expression of chicken cytokine and chemokine genes in

lymphocytes. *http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10253890802526894* **12**, 388–399.

Spedicato, G.A. & Signorelli, M. (2014). The markovchain Package: A Package for Easily

Handling Discrete Markov Chains in R. *Cran*.

Stearns, S.C. (1989). The Evolutionary Significance of Phenotypic Plasticity: Phenotypic sources

of variation among organisms can be described by developmental switches and

reaction norms. *BioScience* **39**, 436–445.

Sternberg, E.M., Hill, J.M., Chrousos, G.P., Kamilaris, T., Listwak, S.J., Gold, P.W. & Wilder, R.L.

(1989a). Inflammatory mediator-induced hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis

activation is defective in streptococcal cell wall arthritis-susceptible Lewis rats. *Proc*

Natl Acad Sci U S A **86**, 2374–2378.

Sternberg, E.M., Young, W.S., Bernardini, R., Calogero, A.E., Chrousos, G.P., Gold, P.W. &

Wilder, R.L. (1989b). A central nervous system defect in biosynthesis of corticotropin-

releasing hormone is associated with susceptibility to streptococcal cell wall-induced

arthritis in Lewis rats. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*

States of America **86**, 4771–4775.

Superina, M., Carreño, N. & Jahn, G.A. (2009). Characterization of seasonal reproduction

patterns in female pichis Zaedyus pichiy (Xenarthra: Dasypodidae) estimated by fecal

sex steroid metabolites and ovarian histology. *Animal Reproduction Science* **116**, 358–

369.

Taborsky, B., English, S., Fawcett, T.W., Kuijper, B., Leimar, O., McNamara, J.M., Ruuskanen, S.

& Sandi, C. (2021). Towards an Evolutionary Theory of Stress Responses. *Trends in*

Ecology & Evolution **36**, 39–48.

Uchiyama, R., Moritomo, T., Kai, O., Uwatoko, K., Inoue, Y. & Nakanishi, T. (2005). Counting

absolute number of lymphocytes in quail whole blood by flow cytometry. *The Journal*

of veterinary medical science **67**, 441–444.

Valdebenito, J.O., Halimubieke, N., Lendvai, Á.Z., Figuerola, J., Eichhorn, G. & Székely, T. (2021).

Seasonal variation in sex-specific immunity in wild birds. *Sci Rep* **11**, 1349.

Vincze, O., Vágási, C.I., Pénzes, J., Szabó, K., Magonyi, N.M., Czirják, G.Á. & Pap, P.L. (2022).

Sexual dimorphism in immune function and oxidative physiology across birds: The role

of sexual selection. *Ecology Letters* **25**, 958–970.

Walsberg, G.E. (2003). How useful is energy balance as a overall index of stress in animals?

Hormones and Behavior **43**, 16–17.

Wei, R., Listwak, S.J. & Sternberg, E.M. (2003). Lewis hypothalamic cells constitutively and

upon stimulation express higher levels of mRNA for pro-inflammatory cytokines and

related molecules: comparison with inflammatory resistant Fischer rat hypothalamic

cells. *Journal of Neuroimmunology* **135**, 10–28.

Wingfield, J.C., Pérez, J.H., Krause, J.S., Word, K.R., González-Gómez, P.L., Lisovski, S. &

Chmura, H.E. (2017). How birds cope physiologically and behaviourally with extreme

climatic events. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*

372, 20160140.

Yang, X., Heinemann, M., Howard, J., Huber, G., Iyer-Biswas, S., Le Treut, G., Lynch, M.,

Montooth, K.L., Needleman, D.J., Pigolotti, S., Rodenfels, J., Ronceray, P., Shankar, S.,

Tavassoly, I., Thutupalli, S., Titov, D. V., Wang, J. & Foster, P.J. (2021). Physical

bioenergetics: Energy fluxes, budgets, and constraints in cells. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences **118**, e2026786118.

Zelazowski, P., Smith, M.A., Gold, P.W., Chrousos, G.P., Wilder, R.L. & Stemberg, E.M. (1992).

In vitro Regulation of Pituitary ACTH Secretion in Inflammatory Disease Susceptible

Lewis (LEW/N) and Inflammatory Disease Resistant Fischer (F344/N) Rats. *NEN* **56**,

474–482.

Zimmer, C., Larriva, M., Boogert, N.J. & Spencer, K.A. (2017). Transgenerational transmission of

a stress-coping phenotype programmed by early-life stress in the Japanese quail.

Scientific Reports **7**, 1–19.

Figure legends

Fig. 1: Schematic conceptual representation of INPs in Coturnix coturnix (Nazar et al. 2015).

The variables set to determine the existence of avian INPs are represented at the side of each

bird. The size of the variable indicates if the animals show high or low responses in each of the

parameters in the INPs. LYMPH: lymphoproliferative response to PHA-P; Ab SRBC: antibody

response against SRBC; FLD: frequency of leukocyte distribution; level of expression of

mediators: IFN-γ and IL-1β (pro-inflammatory); and IL-4 and 13 (anti-inflammatory). "Fischer-

like" quail with high CORT levels also manifest high FLD and IL- 13, but low Lymph, Ab SRBC,

IFN-γ and IL-1β levels. "Lewis-like" counterparts have low CORT as well as low FLD and IL-13

responses, but high Lymph, Ab SRBC, IFN-γ and IL-1β responses. These two extreme groups of

birds do not differ in their IL-4 levels.

Fig. 2: Summary of the experimental protocol followed in this research. INPs were assessed in

a group of 96 quail during four experimental stages: 1) juveniles, 2) adults before an

unpredictable chronic stress protocol, 3) adults after an unpredictable chronic stress protocol,

4) senescent. Abrreviations: wk. (weeks), UCSE (Unpredictable Chronic Stress Exposure).

Fig. 3: Principal component analysis plots exploring data variability and clustering procedure.

Each dot represents one bird and each variable is represented by an arrow. Each plot

represents the INP during one stage of the experiment.

Fig. 4: Linear discriminant analysis plots. Each plot represents the INP during one stage of the

experiment. The variables Limph, Testosterone, AbSRBC, IFN-G and IL.4 showed similar

canonical axis 1 and canonical axis 2 values and therefore appear overlapped in the center of

the graph. In the adults post stress graph, also corticosterone had a similar canonical axis

displacement.

Fig. 5: Phenotype frequency distribution during the four stages of the experiment. Each plot

represents the INPs frequency during one stage of the experiment.

Fig. 6: Plot of transition probabilities. Arrows indicate changes from one phenotype to the

other or the permanence in that INP. Transition probabilities are indicated on the lines.

Cort
FLD
IL13
Lymph
Ab SRBC
IFNγ
IL-1β

Fischer-like

Lewis-like

Cort
FLD
IL13
Lymph
Ab SRBC
IFNγ
IL-1β

UCSE (2 weeks)

| JUVENILES wk. 6 | ADULTS wk.15 | ADULTS wk. 17 | SENESCENT wk. 27 |

Adults pre-stress

Adults post-stress

Senescents

INPs

Transition from juveniles to adults pre-stress

Transition from adults pre-stress to adults post-stress

Transition from adults porst stress to senescence

Table 1: Proportion analysis to assess differences among phenotypes in the three ontogenetic transitions studied.

	Juveniles	pre-stress adults	p-value
Fischer-like	0.19	0.18	0.5
Intermediate	0.55	0.63	0.67
Lewis-like	0.22	0.20	0.43
	pre-stress adults	post-stress adults	p-value
Fischer-like	**0.18**	**0.31**	**0.03**
Intermediate	**0.63**	**0.32**	**0.00005**
Lewis-like	**0.2**	**0.37**	**0.0093**
	post-stress adults	Senescent	p-value
Fischer-like	0.31	0.28	0.5
Intermediate	0.32	0.43	0.5
Lewis-like	0.37	0.32	0.67

Table 2: Proportion analysis comparing differences among sexes during the four experimental stages: juveniles, adults pre-stress, adults post-stress and senescent. Significative differences are indicated in bold.

Experimental Stage	Phenotype	Males	Females	p-value
Juveniles	Lewis-like	0.65	0.35	0.05692
	Intermediate	0.45	0.55	0.2186
	Fischer-like	0.41	0.59	0.25
Adults pre-stress	**Lewis-like**	**0.78**	**0.22**	**0.00135**
	Intermediate	0.54	0.46	0.2268
	Fischer-like	**0.13**	**0.86**	**0.00005**
Adults post-stress	Lewis-like	0.48	0.52	0.5
	Intermediate	**0.78**	**0.22**	**0.00006**
	Fischer-like	**0.27**	**0.73**	**0.001141**
Senescent	**Lewis-like**	**0.89**	**0.11**	**0.000000009**
	Intermediate	0.51	0.51	0.5
	Fischer-like	**0.16**	**0.84**	**0.000003**

Table 3: Transition matrices estimating the probability of an individual changing its phenotype from one experimental stage to the other or the probability of remaining in their original phenotype. On the left are represented the number (in absolute values) of individuals that belong to a determined INP and remained there in the next stage or had a different INP during the next stage. On the right is represented the transitions probabilities matrix created with data on the left. Transitions represented: from juveniles to adults pre-stress, from adults pre-stress to adults post-stress, and adults post-stress to senescence. Note that for DTMC analysis probabilities were calculated considering the partial totals for each phenotype in each stage.

		Absolute values				Probabilities			
		Adults pre-stress							
		Fischer-like	Lewis-like	Intermediate	Total	Fischer-like	Lewis-like	Intermediate	Total probabilities
Juveniles	Fischer-like	3	1	12	16	0.19	0.06	0.75	1.00
	Lewis-like	1	8	11	20	0.05	0.40	0.55	1.00
	Intermediate	11	7	33	51	0.22	0.14	0.64	1.00
		Adults post-stress							
Adults pre-stress	Fischer-like	7	6	1	15	0.50	0.43	0.07	1.00
	Lewis-like	4	6	5	15	0.27	0.40	0.33	1.00
	Intermediate	15	15	21	51	0.29	0.29	0.42	1.00
		Senescent							
Adults post-stress	Fischer	9	5	11	25	0.36	0.20	0.44	1.00
	Lewis	9	11	7	27	0.33	0.41	0.26	1.00
	Intermediate	4	8	14	26	0.15	0.31	0.54	1.00