TITLE

Overexpression of the schizophrenia risk gene C4 in PV cells drives sex-dependent behavioral deficits and circuit dysfunction

AUTHORS

Luke A. Fournier[1#], Rhushikesh A. Phadke[2], Maria Salgado[1], Alison Brack[2], Jian Carlo Nocon[3-6], Sonia Bolshakova[1,7], Jaylyn R. Grant[8,9], Nicole M. Padró Luna[9,10], Kamal Sen[3-6], Alberto Cruz-Martín[1,2,*]

AFFILIATIONS

1. Neurobiology Section in the Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, MA, United States.
2. Molecular Biology, Cell Biology & Biochemistry Program, Boston University, Boston, MA, United States.
3. Neurophotonics Center, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.
4. Center for Systems Neuroscience, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.
5. Hearing Research Center, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.
6. Department of Biomedical Engineering, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.
7. Bioinformatics MS Program, Boston University, Boston, MA, United States.
8. Biological Sciences, Eastern Illinois University, Charleston, IL, United States.
9. The Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship (SURF) Program, Boston University, Boston, United States.
10. Biology Department, College of Natural Sciences, University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Lead author, lukeaf@bu.edu
* Corresponding author, martini.cruz@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

Prefrontal cortex, complement system, development, neuroimmune, anxiety disorders, sex-dependent behavior

SUMMARY

Fast-spiking parvalbumin (PV)-positive cells are key players in orchestrating pyramidal neuron activity, and their dysfunction is consistently observed in myriad brain diseases. To understand how immune complement dysregulation – a prevalent locus of brain disease etiology – in PV cells may drive disease pathogenesis, we have developed a transgenic mouse line that permits cell-type specific overexpression of the schizophrenia-associated complement component 4 (*C4*) gene. We found that overexpression of mouse *C4* (*mC4*) in PV cells causes sex-specific behavioral alterations and concomitant deficits in synaptic connectivity and excitability of PV cells of the prefrontal cortex. Using a computational network, we demonstrated that these microcircuit deficits led to hyperactivity and disrupted neural communication. Finally, pan-neuronal overexpression of *mC4* failed to evoke the same deficits in behavior as PV-specific *mC4* overexpression, suggesting that *C4* perturbations in fast-spiking neurons are more harmful to brain function than pan-neuronal alterations. Together, these results provide a causative link between *C4* and the vulnerability of PV cells in brain disease.

INTRODUCTION

Cortical parvalbumin (PV)-positive fast-spiking cells are a distinct class of inhibitory neurons characterized by their expression of the Ca^{2+}-binding protein, PV (1–3). Their unique biophysical properties allow them to drive potent, precise inhibition, effectively controlling the temporal dynamics of the excitatory and inhibitory inputs (4–6) that support critical brain functions (7–9). At a network level, PV cells are responsible for generating and regulating gamma oscillations (10), 30-80 Hz rhythmic fluctuations in brain activity that correlate with cognitive performance (11–13) and are impaired in anxiety disorders (14), schizophrenia (SCZ) (15,16), and Alzheimer's Disease (AD) (17,18). Besides controlling the temporal dynamics of excitation and inhibition and orchestrating oscillatory activity, PV neuron activity tightly regulates cortical maturation during critical developmental windows (19–21).

Despite significant progress in understanding PV cell function in the healthy and diseased brain, it remains to be determined how specific genetic alterations associated with neuropsychiatric disorders lead to the dysfunction of inhibitory microcircuits. It is also unclear whether particular circuitry or synaptic inputs underlying the function of PV cells are susceptible to disease-associated genetic alterations. For example, in post-mortem tissue of patients with SCZ, the density of excitatory synapses is decreased on PV cells with concomitant downregulation of PV and other inhibitory markers (22–25), suggesting that excitatory drive to fast-spiking cells is compromised in this brain disorder. Moreover, SCZ-associated genetic alterations have been found to disrupt the molecular machinery underlying feed-forward excitatory inputs to PV neurons (26–28), suggesting these particular connections are susceptible to genetic perturbations.

The association between the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) and SCZ could provide a link between immune dysfunction and the disruption of molecular mechanisms that regulate the wiring of synaptic circuits (29–32). In support of this, Sekar et al. (33) showed that mice that lack *C4b* (*mouse C4*, *mC4*), which in humans is harbored in the MHC locus, exhibit deficits in the developmental refinement of retinogeniculate synapses. Furthermore, Comer et al. (34) demonstrated that increasing levels of the human (*C4A*) and mouse *C4* homologs in layer (L) 2/3 pyramidal neurons (PYRs) of the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) – a brain region associated with the pathology of SCZ (35–37) and other neuropsychiatric conditions (38–40) – led to pathological synaptic loss during early postnatal development and social behavioral deficits in mice. This research suggests a link between immune dysfunction and brain disorders, particularly SCZ, through the role of the MHC and its impact on synaptic development and plasticity.

As a consequence of their unique properties and role in controlling network function, PV cells exhibit high metabolic demands, which make them vulnerable to oxidative stress and neuroimmune dysregulation (41–45); these pathological processes are linked to brain disorders (46–48). Therefore, determining the molecular pathways through which immune imbalances can impact PV neuron function offers significant potential for unraveling neurodevelopmental disease etiology. Despite this, there is a notable gap in the availability of models to determine how neuroimmune dysfunction alters specific brain circuitry. In the same vein, alterations in the complement pathway have been linked to the pathology of brain disorders (49). However, it remains an open question whether particular brain cell types are especially vulnerable to complement dysfunction.

Here, we developed and validated a novel mouse line that permits cell-type specific
overexpression of *mC4* (mC4-OE). Utilizing this unique knock in (KI) transgenic mouse, we
demonstrate that increased *mC4* levels in PV cells (PV-mC4-OE) drive pathological anxiety-like
behaviors in male, but not female mice. In both sexes, PV-mC4-OE led to changes in a
subclass of social behavior, indicating that elevated expression of this immune gene in fast-
spiking cells disrupts the circuitry governing social behaviors. We used electrophysiology to
show that excitatory and inhibitory inputs to mPFC PV cells are altered with increased levels of
mC4 in male, but not female mice, mirroring the sexually dimorphic anxiety-like behavior.

Elevated *mC4* in PV neurons had differing impacts on cortical cell excitability: hypoexcitability in
male fast-spiking cells and PYRs, as opposed to enhanced excitability in female fast-spiking
cells. In contrast to PV-cell-specific effects of mC4-OE, increased *mC4* levels in all neurons had
no effects on anxiety-like behavior. This implies that *C4* perturbations in PV cells are more
harmful to brain function than pan-neuronal alterations. Finally, using a computational model,
we demonstrated that deficits in the PV-mC4-OE-driven inhibitory microcircuit disrupt model
pyramidal neuron communication and cause hyperexcitability within the male model network,
illustrating how the interaction of cellular and synaptic traits generates complex sex-dependent
neural network deficits in disease states.

In summary, our results show that *mC4* dysregulation in PV neurons led to alterations in
anxiety-like behavior in male mice, which were associated with mPFC circuit dysfunction. Our
results provide crucial insights into the molecular interplay between cell-type-specific increased
levels of *mC4*, defects in mPFC circuitry, and abnormal behavior associated with the prefrontal
cortex.

METHODS

Ethics Statement and Animals

All experimental protocols were conducted according to the National Institutes of Health (NIH)
guidelines for animal research and were approved by the Boston University Institutional Animal
Care and Use Committee (IACUC; protocol #2018–539). All mice were group-housed (2-4
animals/cage). The light/dark cycle was adjusted depending on the behavioral task (see below).
Unless otherwise stated, food and water were provided *ad libitum* to all mice. Experimental
offspring were reared in the cage with the dam until weaning at postnatal day (P) 21. Stimulus
CD-1 (Charles River Laboratories, strain code: 022, RRID:IMSR_CRL:022) mice 3-5 weeks of
age were used in social assays. During experiments and analysis, the experimenter was blinded
to mouse genotype and experimental conditions whenever possible.

Generation of the mC4-KI mouse: Generation of the mC4-KI mouse was accomplished using
Cyagen/Taconic services (Santa Clara, CA). Briefly, the "adenovirus SA-Frt-CAG promoter-Frt-
loxP-3*polyA-loxP-Kozak-mouse C4b CDS-polyA" cassette was cloned into intron 1 of
ROSA26. The homology arms were generated by PCR using BAC clones as
templates. C57BL/6 ES cells were used for gene targeting. In the targeting vector, the positive
selection marker (Neo) is flanked by SDA (self-deletion anchor) sites. Diphtheria toxin A (DTA)
was used for negative selection. Targeted ES cells were injected into C57BL/6J albino embryos,
which were then re-implanted into CD-1 pseudo-pregnant females. Founder animals were
identified by their coat color, and their germline transmission was confirmed by breeding with
C57BL/6J females and subsequent genotyping of the offspring. Male and female heterozygous

targeted mice were generated from clone 1F3 and were bred to establish a colony. Lastly, the
constitutive KI cell allele was obtained after Cre-mediated recombination (see *Breeding*
section).

Breeding: C57BL/6J (Jackson Laboratory, strain #: 000664, RRID:IMSR_JAX:000664) mice
were paired with heterozygous mC4-KI transgenics of the same genetic background.
Genotyping (Transnetyx) was used to plan breeding schemes and identify specific genotypes.
Heterozygous mC4-KI transgenics were paired with homozygous PV-Cre mice (Jackson
Laboratory, B6.129P2-Pvalb[tm1(cre)Arbr]/J, strain #: 017320, RRID:IMSR_JAX:017320). Our
breeding scheme generated mice that inherited the floxed mC4-KI allele, and thus overexpress
(OE) *mC4* in PV cells (PV-mC4-KI, or simply KI), and wild type littermates, which were used as
control mice (PV-mC4-WT, or simply WT).

To OE *mC4* in all neurons, heterozygous mC4-KI transgenic mice were paired with homozygous
BAF53b Pan-neuronal-Cre (Jackson Laboratory, STOCK Tg(Actl6b-Cre)4092Jiwu/J, Strain
#:027826, RRID:IMSR_JAX:027826, (50)) mice. Breeding these two mouse lines yielded mice
that inherited the floxed mC4-KI allele, and thus OE *mC4* in all neurons (PanN-mC4-KI), and
wild type control littermates (PanN-mC4-WT).

Our breeding scheme ensured that all experimental mice carried the cre recombinase gene to
control for its effects. PV-mC4-KI or PanN-mC4-KI mice did not exhibit any gross brain
abnormalities, indistinguishable from controls. Additionally, they had similar weights to their
controls, suggesting that besides the described cellular and behavioral deficits, these mice were
otherwise healthy and had no significant defects.

A strength of the mC4-KI transgenic mouse is that more moderate levels of mC4-OE can be
driven in a cell-specific manner by crossing the mC4-KI mice to Flp transgenic mice, which
substitutes the strong CAG promoter for the weaker ROSA26 promoter (51,52), allowing the
effects of more moderate transgene expression to be studied.

Multiplex fluorescence *in situ* hybridization

Tissue preparation and staining: For multiplex fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (M-FISH)
experiments, brains of PV-mC4-WT and PV-mC4-KI mice P21-22 or P58-65 were extracted and
immediately embedded in O.C.T. (Tissue-Tek, 4583), flash-frozen on dry ice, and stored in -
80°C until being cut. Prior to slicing, brains were moved to -20°C for 30 min. Slices were cut on
a Leica CM 1800 cryostat at 16 μm at -16 to -19°C and adhered directly onto microscope slides
(Fisher Brand Superfrost Plus, #1255015), which were then stored in -80°C until ready for M-
FISH (<1 week). M-FISH experiments were then performed as directed by the commercially
available kit (RNAScope, Advanced Cell Diagnostics), from which all probes and reagents were
purchased. Fluorescent probes for *mC4* (Mm-C4b, 445161), parvalbumin (Mm-Pvalb-C2,
421931-C2), and somatostatin (Mm-Sst-C3, 404631-C3) were used. To confirm cell bodies in
the slice, nuclei were stained with RNAScope DAPI (320858). After staining, the slices were
mounted with ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant (ThermoFisher, P36961). Each round of M-
FISH performed contained tissue from both PV-mC4-WT and PV-mC4-KI mice.

Imaging and analysis: M-FISH images were acquired at 40x on a confocal laser scanning
microscope (Nikon Instruments, Nikon Eclipse Ti with C2Si+ confocal), controlled by
NisElements (Nikon Instruments, 4.51) including four laser lines (405, 488, 561, and 640 nm), at
a step size of 0.4 μm for nearly the entire thickness of the tissue slice (16 μm). For each round
of M-FISH, consistent imaging parameters were used. Tissue slices imaged and analyzed
belonged to mPFC divisions (prelimbic (PrL), infralimbic (IL), and anterior cingulate (AC)

cortices) of the mouse brain. Images predominantly included L2/3, though deeper cortical layers were also included in the region of interest (ROI). For analysis, a maximum intensity projection of each z-stack was made (ImageJ, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Maryland) and transferred into CellProfiler (53,54) (Broad Institute). Cells were identified and segmented using DAPI, and the contour was expanded by 10 pixels (approximately 3.1 μm) to capture the majority of *Pv*, *Sst*, and *mC4* puncta surrounding the nucleus. Cells were classified as *PV* or *SST* cells if their expanded contour contained an empirically-derived minimum of 13 or 10 *Pv-* or *Sst*-positive puncta, respectively. Once identified as a *PV*, *SST*, or non-*PV*/non-*SST* DAPI+ 'Other' cell, CellProfiler was used to quantify the number of *mC4*-positive puncta within each contour (i.e., within each cell).

PV cell density

Perfusion and immunohistochemistry: Mice P55-74 were anesthetized with a 4% isoflurane-oxygen mixture (v/v) and perfused transcardially with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, Gibco, Life Science Technologies, 70011044) followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Extracted brains were further fixed in PFA for 24 h before being transferred to a 30% (w/v) sucrose solution and stored at 4°C. Brain slices were cut at 40 μm on a freezing stage sliding microtome (Leica SM2000) and stored in PBS. From each mouse, two brain slices were selected for immunostaining: one at approximately Bregma +1.98 mm and another at Bregma +0.98 mm along the anterior-posterior (A-P) axis. Slices were first blocked and made permeable in a solution containing 10% donkey serum (Sigma-Aldrich, S30-100ML) and 1% Tritonx100 (Sigma-Aldrich, X100-100ML) in PBS. Next, after applying the primary antibody (rabbit anti-PV, Abcam, ab11427) at a 1:250 dilution, slices were placed on a shaker at 4°C for 48 h. Slices were then washed 4 x 15 min with 0.025% Tritonx100 in PBS. Next, the secondary antibody was applied (anti-rabbit STAR RED, Abberior, STRED) at a 1:500 dilution and returned to the shaker for 48 h at 4°C. Slices were then washed 4 x 15 min in PBS, and mounted onto 1 mm microscope slides (Globe Scientific, #1324) with DAPI Fluoromount (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat. #: 00-4959-52).

Imaging and Analysis: Cell density imaging was acquired (laser lines 405 and 640 nm) at a step size of 1 μm for nearly the entire thickness of the tissue slice (40 μm). For each animal, the mPFC was imaged at 20x in both the slice at Bregma +1.98 mm (6 ROIs total, 3/hemisphere, that include the PrL, IL, and AC cortices) and the slice more posterior at Bregma +0.98 mm (4 ROIs, 2/hemisphere, all AC). Consistent imaging parameters were maintained across all imaging sessions. Images were analyzed as TIFFs in ImageJ and compared to a brain atlas to identify brain regions (55,56). The intensity value in the PV channel for each ROI (in a brain slice) and the average background signal for each brain slice were quantified. To binarize cells as PV-positive, we calculated an intensity threshold and classified cells as PV-positive if their intensity value was higher than this threshold. These data were used to calculate the number of PV cells that were positive. To calculate density, we determined the area (excluding L1) of the ROI in which PV cells were counted and calculated the 3D volume (in mm^3) by multiplying the 2D area of each slice by the depth of the tissue imaged (the Z-stack).

Behavior

General experimental conditions: P40-60 mice were used for all behavioral assays, group housed in sets of 2-3 mice per cage. Mice were used in either (1) a series of anxiety-related assays or (2) a series of sociability assays. The specific sequence of anxiety-related assays that

mice were exposed to was consistent across all mice and proceeded in the following order:
open field (OF) and elevated zero maze (EZM, performed on the same day), light-dark box
(LDB), and novelty-suppressed feeding (NSF) (Novel arena and cage NSF, performed back-to-
back).

Similarly, the specific sequence of sociability assays that mice were exposed to was also
consistent across all mice: Object and juvenile interaction (performed immediately back-to-back
for each mouse, see *Object and social interaction*) was followed by the three-chamber
sociability assay.

Seven days prior to the first day of handling (see *Handling* below), mice were genotyped
(Transnetyx), transferred to a fresh cage, and placed with a *Do Not Handle* card to minimize
human handling and stress. Mice were reliably identified using a set of ear hole-punches
throughout behavioral experiments.

All behavioral assays were performed at a similar time of the day. Mice used in anxiety-related
assays were reared on a 12 h light/dark cycle with lights on at 7 AM and lights off at 7 PM, and
assays were performed under white light (Adorama, 13" Dimmable LED Ring Light). The
intensity of light used for each assay was consistent each time the assay was performed, but
varied dependent on the assay (see specific assays below). Each day, the lux was measured
and adjusted to the appropriate level for the assay being performed (Dr.meter LX1330B). Mice
used in sociability assays were reared on an inverted light/dark schedule, with lights on at 7 PM
and lights off at 7 AM, and assays were performed under red light (Amazon Basics 60W
Equivalent, Red) to minimize the stress-inducing effects of bright white light and to remain
consistent with their inverted light/dark schedule. Behavioral assays in a given series were
always separated by at least two days but never more than four days. On all days of behavioral
experiments and handling, mice were retrieved from the facility and left in the behavior room to
acclimate to the environment for at least 1 h. Once all mice in a cage completed the assay, all
were returned to their original home cage.

Acquisition of behavior data for all anxiety-related assays and the three-chamber assay was
recorded using Logitech C922x Pro Stream Webcams at 30 frames per second (fps) via the
open-source UCLA miniscope software (57). Acquisition of object and juvenile interaction data
was recorded at 30 fps using a Teledyne Flea3 USB3 camera (Model: FL3-U3-13E4C-C: 1.3
MP, e2v EV76C560) via an in-house, python-based, open-source video acquisition software,
REVEALS (https://github.com/CruzMartinLab/REVEALS) (58).

Throughout all components of behavioral assays and handling, gloves and a lab coat were
worn. Gloves, behavioral arenas, and any relevant objects or cups used during the assay were
sprayed with 70% ethanol in between handling mice or between each new mouse performing a
given assay.

All behavioral assays were performed blind to condition, and analysis was performed via custom
code written in MATLAB (MathWorks) (see *Behavior Analysis* below).

Handling: The first assay of each series was always preceded by three consecutive days of
handling. Mice involved in anxiety-related assays were handled under standard, ambient room
lighting (270 lux), and mice involved in sociability assays were handled under red light.

Anxiety-related Assays

Anxiety-inducing arenas were custom-made as described in Johnson et al. (59).

Open field (OF): Mice were placed in the center of a custom-made OF, a (45 × 45 × 38 cm, length x width x height) black arena under 200 lux of white light, and were free to explore for 10 min. The OF was used to measure locomotion by measuring the distance traveled by the mice.

Elevated zero maze (EZM): The EZM is an elevated (63 cm) circular platform with a 5 cm track width and diameter of 60 cm. It is comprised of two closed arms with a wall height of 14 cm and two open arms that lack walls. The EZM was run under 200 lux of white light for 10 min.

Light-dark box (LDB): The LDB uses the frame of the OF, but inserted into the OF is a black divider (45 x 38 cm, length x height) that divides the OF into two distinct zones 1/3 and 2/3 the width of the OF, but features a small passage-way at the bottom (7.6 x 7.6 cm, width x height) to allow the mice to move freely between zones. Over the smaller zone (45 x 15 cm, length x width) is a black lid that blocks all light: this is the dark zone. Because there is no lid over the remaining 2/3 of the OF (45 x 30 cm, length x width), this is the light zone. The LDB was run under 300 lux of white light for 10 min.

Novelty-suppressed feeding (NSF): 24 h before the start of experimentation, mice were transferred to a clean cage that possessed no food. During the assay, mice were placed in a novel, open arena (50 x 35.5 x 15 cm, length x width x height) with a single, fresh food pellet strapped down in the center of the arena with a rubber band. The experimenter watched the live video feed to observe when the mouse traveled to the center of the maze and began feeding on the food pellet, which concluded the assay. Simple investigation or sniffing of the pellet was not considered feeding. The NSF was the only hand-scored assay, and was done so by a trained, blinded experimenter. To measure the latency to feed, the researcher watched the trial back and determined the exact frame that the mouse first bit the pellet. In the rare event that the mouse did not eat the pellet in the time allotted (10 min recording), that mouse was excluded from the NSF analysis. The NSF was run under 200 lux of white light.

Cage NSF: Immediately following the NSF, mice were placed in a fresh cage with approximately 10 food pellets placed in one corner of the cage. Again, the latency to feed was recorded by the experimenter. The Fresh Cage NSF served to determine and verify the anxiety-inducing nature of the arena relative to the more familiar environment of a standard mouse cage.

Z-Anxiety quantification: Z-Anxiety quantification was adapted from Guilloux et al. (60). For each individual assay, the mean (μ) and standard deviation (σ) of the relevant metric for that assay (e.g., EZM: time spent in open arms) for all WT animals was calculated. For any given mouse in any single assay, whose performance in that assay (e.g., EZM: time spent in open arms) is given by *x*, the z-score is the following:

$$z - score_{assay} = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$$

To be consistent with a positive z-score being indicative of increased anxiety, the sign of the z-score in the EZM and LDB was multiplied by -1. In this way, less time spent in the open arms (EZM) or in the light zone (LDB), indicators of increased anxiety-like behavior, yielded positive z-score values. For the NSF, because an increased latency to feed was indicative of anxiety-like behavior, these z-scores were not multiplied by -1. Each animal's Z-Anxiety is simply an average across all three assays, given by the following:

$$Z - Anxiety = \frac{(z - score_{EZM} * -1) + (z - score_{LDB} * -1) + (z - score_{NSF})}{3}$$

For the sex-separated quantification of Z-Anxiety, the only modification made was that the z-
score for each assay for all males was found in reference to the WT male average and standard
deviation; likewise, z-scores for females were made in reference to the WT female average and
standard deviation for each assay.

Sociability Assays

Object and social interaction: Mice were habituated to the empty, clear arena (46 x 23 cm).
Once 120 s elapsed, a single novel object made from two glued 6-well plates was temporarily
secured at one end of the arena with a magnet. Mice were free to explore this object for another
120 s. After this time elapsed, the novel object was removed, and was immediately replaced by
a novel, juvenile (3-5 weeks old), sex-matched CD-1 stimulus mouse. These mice were then
free to interact with one another unencumbered for 120 s.

Three-chamber sociability assay: One day prior to the three-chamber assay, mice were
habituated to the three-chamber apparatus (three 46 x 23 cm chambers connected by
passageways 10 cm wide). These mice were free to explore the entire three-chamber arena
with an empty wire-mesh pencil cup in each of the two end chambers for 5 min. On that same
day, stimulus CD-1 mice were habituated to being placed underneath these wire-mesh pencil
cups for 5 min. The next day, the three-chamber assay was performed as follows. A novel,
juvenile, sex-matched CD-1 stimulus mouse was placed underneath a cup in the chamber at
one end of the arena, and an empty cup was placed in the chamber at the other end of the
arena. Weights sat on top of the cups to ensure that the CD-1 stimulus mouse was secure in the
cup and that the experimental mouse would not move either cup. To begin the assay, dividers
were placed in the three-chamber passageways to block movement between chambers, and the
experimental mouse was placed in the center chamber. After the mouse explored the center
chamber for 120 s, the inserts were removed, and the mouse was free to explore the entire
three-chamber arena, as well as the empty cup and mouse cup for 10 min. The chambers in
which the mouse cup and empty cup were placed was alternated randomly across mice. The
percent time spent in each of the three chambers was scored.

Behavior Analysis

In all behavioral assays (except for the NSF and Fresh Cage NSF), mice and relevant
behavioral arena components were tracked using DeepLabCut (DLC) (61). To ensure the
accuracy of tracking by DLC, a random sampling of videos from each day of experimentation
were inspected. Next, a trained experimenter watched annotated videos to verify consistent
tracking of fiducial points. Fiducial points included the snout, the nose bridge, the head, left and
right ears, and five points that ran along the sagittal axis of the mouse body from the neck to the
base of the tail. All corners of all arenas were labeled, as well as any relevant features of the
arenas, including corners of objects and cups, and the thresholds separating the open and
closed arms of the EZM. To calculate the interaction times, binary behavior matrices (vectorized
behavior) indicating the location of the relevant key points of the mouse with respect to relevant
key points of the arena (e.g., head of mouse and corner of object) were created using custom
MATLAB scripts, available at (https://github.com/CruzMartinLab/PV-
[mC4_Project/tree/main/behavior_code](https://github.com/CruzMartinLab/PV-mC4_Project/tree/main/behavior_code)).

Neonatal viral injections

To genetically tag and identify PV cells in electrophysiology experiments, P1-3 pups were
injected with 360 nL of AAV1-FLEX-tdTomato (titer: 2.5×10^{13} vg/mL, Addgene #28306) per
cortical hemisphere. Borosilicate pipettes (BF150-117-10, Sutter Instrument Co., Novato,

California) were pulled to a fine tip (approximately 3-15 μm) and back-filled with mineral oil and inserted into the Nanoject Injector (Drummond, Nanoject II, 3-000-204/205A/206A). After cutting the tip of the pipette and emptying roughly half of the mineral oil, the pipette was filled with virus solution from the open tip. Prior to injection, pups were anesthetized via a cold-plate (approximately 15 min) and remained on the cold surface of an ice pack during injection to ensure continued anesthesia throughout the entire process. The mPFC was targeted along the anterior-posterior axis and hit consistently using empirically derived landmarks and the Allen Brain Atlas (55). From here, the tip of the pipette was moved medially into position immediately adjacent to the midline. Injections at several depths were made to ensure effective labeling of the entire dorsal-ventral depth of mPFC target sub-regions. Fine spatial navigation of the tip was made using a stereotax (Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, California). Post-injection, pups recovered in a plastic chamber that was placed on top of a heated blanket. Pups were returned to the dam once fully recovered.

Electrophysiology

Acute slice preparation and recording: Mice (P40-62) were anesthetized with a 4% isoflurane-oxygen mixture (v/v) and perfused intracardially with ice-cold Perfusion/Slicing artificial cerebrospinal fluid (P/S-aCSF) bubbled with 95% O_2/ 5% CO_2 containing the following (in mM): 3 KCl, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 212 sucrose, 10 glucose, 0.2 $CaCl_2$, and 7 $MgCl_2$ (300-310 mOsm). Thirty min before slicing, 200 mL of P/S-aCSF was transferred to -20°C until turned to a slushy consistency. Coronal slices 300-μm thick were cut in this slushy P/S-aCSF using a VT1000 S (Leica) vibratome and were then transferred to a Recording aCSF (R-aCSF) solution bubbled with 95% O_2/ 5% CO_2 containing the following (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 1.4 NaH_2PO_4, 16 glucose, 0.4 Na-ascorbate, 2 Na-pyruvate, 2 $CaCl_2$, and 1 $MgCl_2$ (300-310 mOsm). Slices were incubated in this R-aCSF for 30 min at 35°C before being allowed to recover at room temperature for 1 h prior to recording.

Whole-cell voltage- and current-clamp recordings were performed in Layer (L) 2/3 of the PrL, IL, and AC cortex divisions of the mPFC (34). For all recordings, tdTomato-positive PV cells were identified using a Prior Lumen 200 Light Source (Prior Scientific) and a CMOS camera (Rolera-Bolt-M-12; 1.3 MP, Mono, 12-BIT, Uncooled, QImagingBolt) mounted on an Olympus BX51WI microscope (Olympus America, Inc.). Pyramidal neurons (PYRs) were identified based on morphological and electrophysiological properties. All recordings were performed at 29-31°C. Signals were recorded with a 5X gain, low-pass filtered at 6 kHz, and digitized at 10 kHz using a patch-clamp amplifier (Multiclamp 700B, Molecular Devices). Nearly all recordings were made using 3-5 MΩ borosilicate pipettes (Sutter, BF-150-117-10). Series (R_s) and input resistance (R_{in}) were monitored throughout the experiment by measuring the capacitive transient and steady-state deflection in response to a −5 mV test pulse, respectively. Liquid junction potentials were calculated and left uncompensated.

Miniature post synaptic currents (mPSCs): For recording miniature excitatory post synaptic currents (mEPSCs), borosilicate pipettes were filled with an internal recording solution that contained the following (in mM): 120 Cs-methane sulfonate, 8 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 10 CsCl, 10 Na_2-phosphocreatine, 3 QX-314-Cl, 2 Mg^{2+}-ATP, and 0.2 EGTA (292mOsm, adjusted to pH 7.3 with CsOH). PV cells and PYRs were voltage clamped at −70 mV in the presence of tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1 μM, Tocris) and picrotoxin (PTX, 100 μM, HelloBio). 6-9 mice per sex per condition from 3-4 litters were used to collect all mEPSC data for PV cells and PYRs.

For recording miniature inhibitory post synaptic currents (mIPSCs), borosilicate pipettes were filled with a high-chloride internal recording solution that contained the following (in mM): 60 Cs-

methane sulfonate, 8 NaCl, 70 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 10 Na_2-phosphocreatine, 0.2 EGTA, and 2
Mg^{2+}-ATP (290 mOsm, adjusted to pH 7.3 with CsOH). PV cells and PYRs were voltage
clamped at -70 mV in the presence of TTX (1 µM), CNQX (20 µM), and DL-APV (50 µM).
Because this high-chloride internal solution has a chloride reversal potential of -13 mV, mIPSCs
were inward. 4-6 mice per sex per condition from 3-5 litters were used to collect all mIPSC data
for PV cells and PYRs.

mPSC analysis: mPSCs were identified and their amplitude, frequency, rise, and decay
determined using custom scripts written in MATLAB. At least 120 s were analyzed for each cell.
All mPSC raw traces were first lowpass filtered in Clampfit (Molecular Devices) using a boxcar
filter. Next, local minima in the trace were recognized by identifying potential synaptic events
using the native *islocalmin()* MATLAB function. After these events were filtered, a series of
steps were taken to remove false-positives while simultaneously limiting the number of false
negatives. More specifically, we calculated a threshold based on the standard deviation of the
noise of the raw trace within a 1 s temporal window to differentiate the background noise from
mPSCs, thus setting an amplitude threshold. Next, a series of thresholds based on the rise and
decay times were used to filter subsequent postsynaptic events. For all remaining mPSCs,
amplitude is given as the difference between the baseline current value (determined using a
highly smoothed line of the raw data that effectively serves as a moving baseline of the trace) at
the time when the peak reaches a minimum current value and the average of the 10 points
around the absolute minimum of that mPSC peak.

Frequency (in Hz) of postsynaptic events is given by the number of mPSCs per sec. $Rise_{10-90}$ is
defined as the time (ms) it takes for the mPSC to progress from 10 to 90% of the peak of that
mPSC. To find the $Decay_{tau}$, for each event, the trace from the peak of the mPSC to its return to
baseline is isolated and fit to a single-term exponential. Between groups, R_s values for each cell
type were not statistically significant.

R_s for PV cells (mEPSCs) were as follows (in MΩ): WT males: 16.85 ± 1.17; WT females: 17.35
± 1.26; KI males: 16.27 ± 1.33; KI females: 15.56 ± 1.21. R_s for PV cells (mIPSCs) were as
follows (in MΩ): WT males: 15.79 ± 1.06; WT females: 13.93 ± 1.09; KI males: 15.40 ± 1.46; KI
females: 14.07 ± 0.79. R_s for PYRs (mEPSCs) were as follows (in MΩ): WT males: 15.94 ±
0.92; WT females: 14.81 ± 0.75; KI males: 15.07 ± 0.73; KI females: 15.00 ± 0.80. R_s for PYRs
(mIPSCs) were as follows (in MΩ): WT males: 14.43 ± 1.05; WT females: 13.81 ± 0.92; KI
males: 16.13 ± 1.34; KI females: 14.88 ± 1.26.

Active and passive properties: To determine the active and passive properties of PV cells and
PYRs, borosilicate pipettes were filled with an internal solution that contained the following (in
mM): 119 K-gluconate, 6 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 10 Na_2-phosphocreatine, 4 Mg^{2+}-ATP, and
0.4 Na-GTP (292 mOsm, adjusted to pH 7.3 with KOH). Cells were held at -65 mV during
recording, and the bath was perfused with CNQX (20 µM), DL-APV (50 µM), and PTX (100 µM).
Excitability was assessed by measuring membrane voltage changes (i.e., current-evoked Action
Potentials (APs)) to a spiking protocol that applied 500 ms square current pulses to the patched
cell, beginning at -250 pA and increasing in 30 pA steps to a max current injection of 470 pA.
Passive properties of the patched cells were determined via a 500 ms, -20 pA square pulse that
preceded the square pulse of increasing current amplitude. This protocol was run and recorded
2-3 times per cell, and final values were averaged across recordings for each cell. 5-7 mice per
sex per condition from 4-5 litters were used to collect all active and passive properties data for
PV cells and PYRs.

Active properties analysis: To quantify spike frequency (Hz), the number of spikes (temporally
defined as when the rising phase of the spike crossed 0 mV) was divided by the length of the

current pulse (0.5 s). Rheobase was defined as the minimum current injection that evoked at
least a single AP. The inter-spike interval (ISI) was determined by finding the difference (in ms)
between the crossing of 0 mV of one spike to the crossing of 0 mV by the next spike. To capture
time-dependent changes in the frequency of APs, ISI 1/9 and 4/9 were determined by dividing
the first ISI by the fourth and ninth ISI, respectively. ISI ratios were taken from the first sweep
with at least 10 spikes. To determine the threshold voltage (V_{thresh}) for an AP, for all spikes at
each current injection, the derivative of the membrane voltage was taken across time to find the
inflection point that corresponded with the beginning of the rising phase (i.e., threshold).
Threshold voltages for all spikes were then averaged to arrive at a single value of V_{thresh}. Reset
voltage (V_{reset}) was defined as the minimum voltage value between spikes. A single value for
V_{reset} was obtained in the same way as was done for V_{thresh}. V_{thresh} and V_{reset} were used as
parameters in the computational model.

Passive properties analysis: To obtain the R_{in}, the difference between the baseline voltage
(holding membrane voltage of approximately -65 mV) and the average voltage response to a -
20 pA injection (measured at steady state) was divided by that current injection value of 20 pA.
The membrane time constant, τ_m, was the fitted response to the -20 pA injection. Membrane
Capacitance (C_m) was determined by dividing τ_m by the R_{in}. The resting membrane potential
(V_m) was measured as the potential before any current was injected. Finally, Voltage Sag Ratio
was determined by dividing the difference between the minimum voltage at the peak deflection
to a -500 pA current injection and the voltage of the steady state response by the difference
between the minimum voltage at the peak deflection and the baseline voltage.

Electrophysiological data were analyzed using custom routines written in MATLAB, available at
(https://github.com/CruzMartinLab/PV-mC4_Project/tree/main/ephys_code).

Computational Model

503 <u>Model Neurons</u>

504 Using the DynaSim toolbox (62), PYR and PV cells for each of the four networks – PV-mC4-WT
505 and PV-mC4-KI male, and PV-mC4-WT and PV-mC4-KI female – were modeled as leaky
506 integrate-and-fire neurons whose membrane voltage, V_m, as a function of time, t, were given by
507 the following:

508
$$Eqn\ 1.\ \frac{dV_m}{dt} = \frac{(E_L - V_m) - R_m g_{sra}(V_m - E_K) - R_m i_{syn} + R_m i_t}{\tau_m}$$

where E_L is the equilibrium potential, R_m is the membrane resistance, g_{sra} is the spike-rate
adaptation, E_K is the potassium reversal potential, i_{syn} is the synaptic input, i_t is the applied
current, and τ_m is the product of R_m and membrane capacitance (C_m). Values used for E_L, R_m,
E_K (-102mV), and C_m were derived from the experimental data (**Table S5**), and differed from
network to network only when there was a significant difference in the value of that variable
between WT and KI mice overall or within-sex. If no significant difference was identified in a
given parameter, the average across all sexes and conditions was taken and used uniformly
across all networks.

Establishing Model Neurons

For each of the four conditions, and by extension each of the four networks, a single model PYR
and single model PV cell were generated. To create each model neuron, a waveform (i_t) was
matched to a subsection of the spiking protocol used during the experiment. The i_t waveform
consisted of 17 sweeps of a square current pulse (500 ms) beginning at -10 pA, increasing in 30
pA steps, and finishing at 470 pA. From here, firing rates were extracted to construct frequency-
current (FI) curves for each model cell that were used to compare to the experimental FI curves.
An additional term, $R_m * i_{std}$, was added to the numerator of equation 1 *(Eqn 1)*. This term served
to shift the baseline voltage from V_m to -65 mV during the simulation, replicating the
experimental conditions of current clamp under which the experimental data were acquired. i_t
was multiplied by a scale factor, *I_{act}*, representing active conductances and other intrinsic
excitability properties which improved the fitting of the modeled FI curve to that of its
experimental counterpart (**Fig. S5**). This same scale factor was used for i_{syn} to model the
changes in intrinsic excitability. Reset voltage (V_{reset}) and threshold voltages (V_{thresh}) were
determined experimentally, and the selection of the specific values used in each model cell
followed the same logic as that for E_L, R_m, and C_m. An increase in V_m above V_{thresh} constituted a
spike, and V_m was then reduced to V_{reset} for an absolute refractory period, t_{ref}, of 1 ms.

For all PYR and PV cells, spike rate adaptation time constants (τ_{sra}) were set to 100 and 5 ms,
respectively, reflecting the strong adaptation observed in regular-spiking PYRs and nominal
levels of adaptation in fast-spiking PV cells (63–65). Implementation of spike-rate adaptation
was accomplished by the following (66):

540
$$Eqn\ 2a.\ \frac{dg_{sra}}{dt} = -\frac{g_{sra}}{\tau_{sra}}$$

541
$$Eqn\ 2b.\ g_{sra} \rightarrow g_{sra} + \Delta g_{sra}$$

Moreover, spike-rate adaptation conductance was increased by an increment, Δg_{sra}, which
returns to zero in time τ_{sra} (67). All model cells closely matched their experimental counterparts
(**Fig. S5**).

Establishing Networks

Simulating Network Noise: All PYR and PV model cells received random input to simulate
network noise at levels that caused these model cells to fire at typical spontaneous rates
observed experimentally, 3-4 Hz for PYRs and 28-35 Hz for PV cells (68,69). For each
simulation, a random number of brief current pulses within a specified range to evoke the
aforementioned basal firing rates was selected for PYR and PV model cells. Moreover, for each
simulation, the time at which these pulses were applied to each cell were randomized as well.

Network Architecture: Individual networks were built to simulate WT male, KI male, WT female,
and KI female conditions. For each of the four networks, fifteen 3 s simulations were run, the
simulations serving as our statistical replicates.

Each network consisted of a PYR and PV circuit in a generalized input and output layer. In the
input layer, PYR1 and PV1 simultaneously received an identical applied current, i_t. In all network
simulations, i_t consisted of a 4 Hz, fixed-amplitude sine wave atop the final 2 s of a 2.5 s, 50 pA
DC component. The use of a non-varying DC component combined with a phasic sine wave

input was used here to model dynamic input in an interpretable way (70,71) while preserving
biological relevance (72–75) in our model. The first and final 250 ms of each simulation had no
applied current. For all data plotted, only the 2 s in which the sine wave was applied was
considered for analysis. Each of the fifteen simulations for a given network contained three trials
in which the only variable altered was the peak of the sine wave (i.e., the peak of the applied
current, i_t): 200, 275, and 350 pA.

With respect to network connectivity, PYR1 synapses onto both PYR2 and PV2, in the output
layer. PV1 synapses onto PV2. PV2 synapses onto PYR2. This specific feed-forward
arrangement was selected such that all four basic connectivity sub-types (E->E, E->I, I->E, and
I->I) sampled experimentally via mEPSCs and mIPSCs recorded in both PYR and PV cells
could be included and thus be leveraged to better understand the effects of increased levels of
mC4 in PV cells on the firing properties of model neurons. This feed-forward network
architecture is an adaptation of that used by Nocon et al. (76), but was further modified using
arrangement principles similar to those used by Seay et al. (77) and Moore et al. (78). Notably,
our model lacks feed-back circuitry and classical inhibitory action of other interneuron types, and
favors single synapses over a population construction. Motivating these explicit simplifications
for our current model was the benefit in interpreting the changes in the output model cells and
an acknowledgement that the experimental data upon which many aspects of the model are
founded come from *ex vivo*, rather than *in vivo*, data.

Synaptic Connectivity: To model postsynaptic currents (PSCs), after first setting all synaptic
weights to the same fixed value across all four networks, weights were altered by adjusting the
conductance of the inputs only where there were significant changes in the experimental
mEPSC and/or mIPSC data recorded in PYR and PV cells (**Table S6**). These weights were
adjusted by the same percent change as that observed in the mEPSC or mIPSC frequency or
amplitude of the experimental data. In line with previous models (67,76,77), short-term
depression was employed in all synapses. PSCs were modeled via the difference of two
decaying exponential functions (76) featuring time constants where $\tau_1 > \tau_2$:

$$Eqn\ 3.\ s(t) = B\left(e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}}\right)u(t)$$

where B is a normalization constant, s = 1 at maximum, and u(t) is the unit step. From here, s
can be represented by the following two ordinary differential equations:

$$Eqn\ 4a.\ \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{(\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1})^{\frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2 - \tau_1}} * x - s}{\tau_2}$$

$$Eqn\ 4b.\ \frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{x}{\tau_1}$$

When a presynaptic spike is detected by a postsynaptic cell, x (spike inputs to that postsynaptic
cell) is increased by P – representing synaptic strength – which is then reduced by f_p, a fraction
of its value (67,76).

$$Eqn\ 5.\ x \rightarrow x + P$$

$$Eqn\ 6.\ P \rightarrow (1 - f_p)P$$

Recovery of P to 1 is defined by the time constant, τ_{p}, where:

598
$$Eqn\ 7.\ \frac{dP}{dt} = -\frac{(1-P)}{\tau_p}$$

599   PSC rise (τ_1) and decay (τ_2) kinetics were derived from experimental values (**Table S6**). Values
600   used for f_{p} and τ_{p} were the same as those in Nocon et al. (76). In total, PSCs were modeled as:

601
$$Eqn\ 8.\ i_{syn}(V_m, i) = g_{syn} * s * (V_m - E_{syn}) * netcon$$

where g_{syn} is synaptic conductance, s is the time-dependent PSC defined above, E_{syn} is the
reversal potential of the synaptic conductances, and netcon is a binary connectivity matrix in
which rows represent sources and columns represent targets.

Additional Network Noise: An increase in network-level noise in response to suppression of PV
cells has been directly demonstrated by multiple groups (5,79,80). After using our model to
demonstrate PV cell hypoactivity in the male KI network, the basal network noise (3-4 Hz)
delivered to PYR2 exclusively was increased by a factor of 1.5x (76). This increase in mean
firing rate of PYR2 – representing an increase in network-noise in response to PV cell
hypoactivity – has been used in previous models (76) and was set in order to match *in vivo*
experimentally-derived changes in basal firing rates in response to PV suppression (5).

<u>Analysis of Network Parameters</u>

For each of the four networks and the three peak values of the applied current, analysis was
performed on 15 independent simulations.

Firing rate (FR): Firing rate was calculated as the average number of spikes per second (Hz)
during the 2 s in which the sine wave stimulus of the applied current occurred.

Transfer Entropy (TE): TE is a metric used in determining connectivity in complex networks,
considered to describe the effective flow of information between neurons (81,82). To determine
the dependency of the spike train of PYR2 or PV2 on the spike train of PYR1 more directly, we
calculated the TE (83) using a MATLAB Toolbox (81).

PYR1xPV2 Latency: Custom scripts written in MATLAB were used to determine the PYR1xPV2
latency. For each simulation, for each spike occurring in PYR1, the time (in ms) to the nearest
spike in PV2 that followed the spike in PYR1 was calculated. The mean latency and latency
standard deviation were calculated by averaging- or taking the standard deviation of,
respectively, all latencies for a given simulation.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were completed in GraphPad Prism 8.0, and the threshold for
significance for all tests was set to 0.05 (α = 0.05). Full statistical reports for all plots are
available in the Statistical Supplement document. Briefly, all behavior and electrophysiology
plots representing sex-pooled data (WT males and females as one group being compared to KI
males and females together as a second group) were analyzed by t-tests, t-tests with Welch's
correction, or Mann-Whitney tests. Moreover, all behavior and electrophysiology plots

representing sex-separated data were analyzed by Two-way ANOVA's with a Šídák's multiple
comparisons test only executed when appropriate. Cumulative frequency plots were analyzed
with a Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test. Frequency-current (FI) curves were analyzed using
Repeated Measure Two-way ANOVAs. PV cell density was analyzed using a Mann-Whitney
test. MFISH data were analyzed by Mann-Whitney tests or Two-way ANOVAs with Šídák's
multiple comparisons. Computational data were analyzed by Two-way ANOVAs with Šídák's
multiple comparison tests (firing rate and latency plots) and by Repeated Measure Two-way
ANOVAs (transfer entropy plots). For all statistical analyses relating to the computational model,
any *p*<0.05 was denoted in the figure by a '#' sign – exact p-values can be found in the
Statistical Supplement document. Figures were prepared using CorelDRAW Graphics Suite X8
(Corel Corporation) and ImageJ (NIH). Data are presented as the mean ± SEM, unless
otherwise noted.

RESULTS

A novel transgenic mouse line permits PV cell specific overexpression of complement
component 4.

We generated a tunable conditional transgenic mouse based on a design by Dolatshad et al.
(51) to reliably drive overexpression (OE) of *mC4* (mC4-OE) in specific cell types, and to
incorporate genetic recombination switches that allow the conversion of different OE alleles for
tunable transgene expression (**Fig. 1A**). Comer et al. (34) showed that mPFC neurons in
postnatal day (P) 30 control mice express low levels of *C4b* transcript, which were not present in
tissue from *C4b* knock-out mice (84).

To achieve specific OE of *mC4* in parvalbumin (PV)-positive cells (PV-mC4-OE) under the
strong CAG promoter (85,86), we crossed PV-Cre mice with the conditional mC4-OE KI mouse
line, mC4-KI mice (**Fig. 1A, B**). We generated litters that consisted of a mixture of pups that
either inherited the floxed mC4-KI allele and thus overexpressed *mC4* specifically in PV cells
(PV-mC4-KI, or KI) or did not inherit the floxed mC4-KI allele and were used as a wild type
littermate control (PV-mC4-WT, or WT) (**Fig. 1B**). To control for effects of Cre-recombinase
expression (87,88), we crossed homozygous PV-Cre mice to heterozygous mC4-KIs to obtain
Cre recombinase expression in all offspring (**Fig. 1B**). We focused on a P40-60 temporal
window, roughly equivalent to the young-adult life stage that immediately precedes SCZ
symptom onset (89). PV-mC4-OE did not lead to observable health or locomotor deficits, as
measured via weight (**Fig. 1C**) and distance traveled (**Fig. 1D**). Moreover, the density of PV
cells was not significantly changed in PV-mC4-KI mice, compared to controls (**Fig. 1E-G**).

Next, we used multiplex fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (M-FISH) in the mPFC of WT and KI
mice (**Fig. 1H-J**). At P21, we observed a significant increase in the number of *mC4* mRNA
puncta in PV cells in PV-mC4-KI mice, indicating reliable mC4-OE in this interneuron type (**Fig.**
1I). We did not observe an increase in *mC4* puncta in neighboring somatostatin (SST)-positive
cells and non-PV- non-SST-expressing cells ("Other"), suggesting that our genetic approach led
to specific mC4-OE in PV cells (**Fig. 1I**). In PV-mC4-KI mice, the number of *mC4* puncta in PV
cells was not different between P21 and 65 (**Fig. 1I, J**), indicating that we achieved stable OE
across development. Additionally, other cell types did not show differences in *mC4* expression
compared to WT mice, indicating cell-type-specific maintenance of the OE into adulthood (**Fig.**
1I, J). Finally, quantification of *Pv* mRNA puncta revealed that PV-mC4-OE did not drive any
significant changes in the number of PV puncta per cell at either P21 (**Fig. 1K**) or P65 (**Fig. 1L**),
suggesting that *Pv* expression was not altered by increased levels of *mC4* in this cell type.

In summary, we have developed and validated a novel conditional mouse model that, when combined with cre-driver lines, facilitates the study of distinct cellular sources of *mC4* overexpression. These results also indicate that we can reliably and specifically overexpress *mC4* in PV cells and that transgenic mice are devoid of gross motor or health defects.

PV-specific mC4-OE causes an increase in anxiety-like behavior in male mice.

Anxiety and mood disorders are highly prevalent in SCZ patients, manifesting during the early stages of the illness and prior to episodes of psychosis (90–93). To determine if PV-mC4-OE drives changes in anxiety-like behavior in P40-60 young adult mice, we used a behavioral battery, yielding a robust and reliable measure of behavior (60) (**Fig. 2A**). To assess arousal levels, we measured the time spent by mice in a field's anxiogenic regions, namely the open or lighted areas (**Fig. 2B**).

In the Elevated Zero Maze (EZM), we observed no differences in the amount of time spent in the open arms between groups, suggesting that mice with increased *mC4* expression in PV neurons did not display an overall change in anxiety-like behavior, relative to WT controls (**Fig. 2C**). However, when we separated these data by sex, we observed a 46% reduction in the amount of time that KI males spent in the open arms, suggestive of a sexually dimorphic anxiety-like deficit (**Fig. 2D**). In contrast, we did not see such change in the behavior of KI female mice, relative to their WT controls (**Fig. 2D**). In the LDB, we observed a significant reduction in the time the PV-mC4-KIs spent in the light zone, again indicative of an increased anxiety-like response (**Fig. 2E**). Separation of the data by sex did not reveal the same sexual dimorphism as observed in the EZM (**Fig. 2F**). Lastly, in the novelty-suppressed feeding assay (NSF), we observed no change in the latency to feed between groups (**Fig. 2G**). Despite this, much like the EZM, there was a significant increase in anxiety-like behavior in the KI male mice, observed via a 91% increase in the latency to feed, but no such changes were observed in the PV-mC4-KI females (**Fig. 2H**). We performed the NSF in a safer environment, a mouse cage, where mice are exposed to bedding and can navigate close to the cage wall. In the Cage NSF, we did not observe differences in the latency to feed between groups (**Fig. S1**), suggesting that the NSF in the novel environment is anxiety-inducing and that the male KI mice, indeed, have increased anxiety-like behavior levels.

To describe the anxiety-like behavior of mice in response to PV-mC4-OE, we used a z-scoring approach that effectively tracks the performance of each mouse across all three behavioral assays, yielding a single score for each mouse, termed its Z-Anxiety score (60). We observed that the Z-Anxiety score of KI mice was increased in comparison to the control group (**Fig. 2I**). However, we discovered that overall heightened anxiety-like behavior is solely driven by a significant increase in the Z-Anxiety of PV-mC4-KI male mice (**Fig. 2J**). In support of this, female KI mice did not exhibit an increased Z-Anxiety score compared to the WT controls (**Fig. 2J**). In summary, PV-mC4-OE causes sex-dependent behavioral changes, with male KI mice exhibiting increased anxiety-like behavior relative to WT controls.

Increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells disrupts active but not passive social behaviors.

We employed a naturalistic, freely-moving interaction assay between experimental mice and a novel, juvenile, sex-matched CD-1 stimulus mouse to determine whether increased levels of *mC4* in fast-spiking cells led to social behavioral changes. (**Fig. 3**). First, both male and female KI mice spent a similar time interacting with a novel object as their WT counterparts (**Fig. 3A, B**), suggesting that PV-mC4-OE does not alter novelty-seeking behaviors or general motivation.

Immediately following the object interaction assay, the object was replaced with a stimulus
mouse (**Fig. 3C**). Interactions between WT or KI mice and the stimulus mouse were divided into
one of five sub-classes (**Fig. 3C**). Of all interaction sub-classes, experimental mice engaged in
the active (active: experimental mouse initiating the interaction vs. passive: stimulus mouse
initiating the interaction) snout-rear interaction (snout-ano-genital interactions) the most
frequently, comprising half of all interaction time across all groups (**Fig. 3C**, *percentages*).
Notably, PV-mC4-KI mice engaged less in active interactions than controls (**Fig. S2A**); this
reduction was driven by a 24% decrease in active snout-rear interaction (**Fig. 3D**). These results
indicate that increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells lead to a reduction in snout-ano-genital
interactions. Unlike the dimorphic nature of the anxiety-like behavior, deficits in sociability
affected KI mice of both sexes (**Fig. 3D**).

We observed more active than passive interactions by the experimental mice, which suggests
that the experimental mice initiated more social interactions than the stimulus mice. (**Fig. 3C**,
Fig. S2A). Furthermore, we did not notice any changes in passive interactions, indicating that
stimulus mice behaved similarly when interacting with both groups (**Fig. S2**). In the remaining
interaction sub-classes, which were less frequent than the active snout-rear interaction, WT and
KI mice interacted similarly with the stimulus mouse (**Fig. S2B-I**).

Computing a Z-Sociability score that accounts for all five interaction sub-classes did not reveal a
broad deficit in sociability (**Fig. 3E**). Separately, in a three-chamber assay of sociability, a more
restricted paradigm, PV-mC4-OE did not alter the social preference of PV-mC4-KIs, relative to
WTs (**Fig. S3**), suggesting all experimental animals preferred to interact with a mouse rather
than an object. Our results also suggest that the freely-moving interaction assay allowed us to
capture the complex behavioral phenotype that OE mice exhibit.

Although female PV-mC4-KI mice did not exhibit changes in anxiety-like behavior, they had
decreased social interactions as part of the KI group (**Fig. 3D**), indicating that the mechanisms
driving the pathology in the anxiety-like and social behaviors in response to PV-mC4-OE likely
function independently. Overall, these results suggest that increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells
disrupt the circuits that underlie emotional and social behavior in mice.

Sex-related differences in excitatory-inhibitory dynamics in mPFC PV cells with
increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells.

To determine whether PV-mC4-OE changed the connectivity of circuits in the mPFC, we
performed whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings in acute brain slices. Specifically, we first
recorded miniature excitatory post-synaptic currents (mEPSCs) in PV neurons in layer (L) 2/3 of
the mPFC in P40-60 mice (**Fig. 4A-G**), the same temporal window within which we identified
behavioral deficits in response to PV-mC4-OE. Though we observed no change in PV cell
mEPSC amplitude in PV-mC4-KI mice (**Fig. 4A-C**), we observed a 39% reduction in mEPSC
frequency specifically in KI male mice (**Fig. 4D**). In contrast, there were no changes in PV cell
mEPSC frequency in the KI females (**Fig. 4D**). In support of this, there was a rightward shift in
the frequency distribution of inter-event-intervals (IEIs) in PV neurons in PV-mC4-KI males, but
not females (**Fig. 4E**), suggesting that PV-mC4-OE leads to a decrease in excitatory drive to
this fast-spiking neuron. Finally, PV-mC4-OE did not alter the Rise$_{10-90}$ or Decay$_{tau}$ of mEPSCs
recorded in PV cells (**Fig. 4F, G**), suggesting that increased levels of *mC4* did not alter the
kinetics of the PV cell postsynaptic response.

To determine if PV-mC4-OE impacted the inhibitory drive to PV neurons, we recorded miniature
inhibitory post-synaptic currents (mIPSCs) in this inhibitory cell type (**Fig. 4H-N**). Using a high-

chloride internal recording solution with a chloride reversal potential of -13 mV yielded inward
mIPSCs when recording at -70 mV (**Fig. 4H**). The recordings revealed that PV-mC4-OE drove a
16% increase in the amplitude of PV cell mIPSCs (**Fig. 4I**) and a rightward shift in the
distribution of mIPSC amplitudes (**Fig. 4J**) specifically in male, but not female mice, suggesting
that inhibitory inputs are increased in PV cells in male PV-mC4-KI mice. Additionally, we
observed no changes in mIPSC frequency (**Fig. 4K**, **L**), Rise$_{10-90}$ (**Fig. 4M**), or Decay$_{tau}$ (**Fig. 4N**)
between groups.

Taken together, these results suggest that PV-mC4-OE drives sex-dependent alterations in PV
cell excitatory and inhibitory connections, mirroring the sexually dimorphic changes in anxiety-
like behavior. The combined effects of reduced excitation and increased inhibition to PV cells in
KI male mice suggests hypoactivity of mPFC inhibitory circuits in response to increased levels
of *mC4* in fast-spiking cells.

786 PV-specific mC4-OE leads to opposing changes in the excitability of PV cells in male and
787 female mice.

We evaluated the passive and active properties of both mPFC L2/3 PV cells (**Fig. 5A-E**, **Tables**
S1, S2) and PYRs (**Fig. 5F-J**, **Tables S3, S4**). To accomplish this, we injected steps of
hyperpolarizing and depolarizing current pulses and recorded the membrane voltage (V$_m$)
changes. Changes in excitability of PV neurons in male and female mice in response to PV-
mC4-OE diverged: while there was a significant decrease in PV cell spike frequency in KI male
mice relative to WT males (**Fig. 5A**, **B**), we observed a significant increase in the spike
frequency of PV cells in female OE mice, compared to WT females (**Fig. 5A**, **C**). This increase
in excitability in PV cells in KI females was also accompanied by a 26% reduction in their
rheobase, another indication of increased excitability (**Fig. 5D**). Finally, we observed a
significant shift towards a more depolarized resting membrane voltage in KI mice overall (**Fig.**
5E, **Tables S1, S2**). These results suggest that there is a sex-dependent divergence in the fast-
spiking cell's excitability with higher *mC4* levels in PV cells.

Though mC4-OE is limited to PV cells in this mouse model, it is possible that disruption in the
activity of PV cells may elicit compensatory changes in PYRs. To this end, we recorded the
membrane voltage response as before, now in mPFC L2/3 PYRs (**Fig. 5F-J**). Similar to PV
neurons, in male mice PV-mC4-OE drove a reduction in the spike frequency of PYRs (**Fig. 5F**,
G). Unlike PV cells, we observed no changes in spike frequency in the PYRs of KI female mice
(**Fig. 5F**, **H**). Moreover, PV-mC4-OE did not alter the rheobase (**Fig. 5I**) or resting membrane
voltage (**Fig. 5J**) of PYRs. These results indicate that PV-mC4-OE induced changes in PYR
excitability in male mice.

Overall, increased *mC4* levels in PV cells caused sexually dimorphic effects on the excitability of
cortical cells. Fast-spiking cells and PYRs in males showed a decrease in excitability, while fast-
spiking cells in females exhibited hyperexcitability. This divergent outcome suggests that the
male and female mouse brain respond to complement dysfunction in opposing ways.

813 PV-specific mC4-OE alters the kinetics of mEPSCs in PYRs of female mice.

We recorded mEPSCs (**Fig. S4A-E**) and mIPSCs (**Fig. S4F-J**) in L2/3 mPFC PYRs. PV-mC4-
OE did not lead to changes in PYR mEPSC amplitude (**Fig. S4B**) or frequency (**Fig. S4C**)
compared to controls. While the Rise$_{10-90}$ of the mEPSCs in PYRs was also not altered in PV-
mC4-KI mice (**Fig. S4D**), PV-mC4-OE caused a 14% reduction in Decay$_{tau}$ of the mEPSCs in KI

female, but not KI male mice (**Fig. S4E**), suggesting a change in receptor subunit composition in
PYRs (94) or a change in the location of excitatory synapses along its somatodendritic axis
(95,96). Finally, PV-mC4-OE did not induce changes in PYR mIPSC amplitude (**Fig. S4G**),
frequency (**Fig. S4H**), or kinetics (**Fig. S4I**, **J**) relative to controls. These results suggest that
PV-mC4-OE largely does not alter mPFC PYR synaptic drive.

No changes in anxiety-like behavior with pan-neuronal overexpression of *mC4*.

Next, we crossed the mC4-KI mouse to the BAF53b-Cre transgenic mouse line (50) that
express Cre recombinase under the control of the mouse *Actl6b* gene promoter to drive mC4-
OE in all neurons (PanN-mC4-OE). The expression of the BAF53b gene in neurons can first be
detected during embryonic day 12.5 in the brain and spinal cord (97). Using a similar breeding
strategy as with the PV-mC4-KI mice, litters consisted of a mix of mice that inherited the floxed
mC4-KI allele and thus overexpressed *mC4* in all neurons (PanN-mC4-KI), or littermates that
did not inherit the floxed mC4-KI allele, and thus were effectively WT (PanN-mC4-WT) (**Fig. 6A**,
top).

We employed the same assays – the EZM, LDB, and NSF – to test anxiety-like behavior in P40-
60 PanN-mC4-WT and PanN-mC4-KI mice (**Fig. 6A**, *bottom*). First, OE of *mC4* in all neurons
did not alter the distance traveled compared to controls (**Fig. 6B**, **C**), suggesting that PanN-
mC4-KI mice exhibited intact locomotion. Moreover, compared to controls, we did not observe
any deficit in anxiety-like behavior in PanN-mC4-KI mice in the EZM (**Fig. 6D**, **E**), LDB (**Fig. 6F**,
G), or NSF (**Fig. 6H**, **I**). Also, we did not observe any increase in Z-Anxiety in PanN-mC4-KI
mice (**Fig. 6J**), nor in either sex specifically (**Fig. 6K**). Taken together, PanN-mC4-OE does not
drive anxiety-like behavior in mice.

We have demonstrated that increased levels of *mC4* in PV neurons resulted in a strong, sex-
specific anxiety-like phenotype not observed in pan-neuronal *mC4* overexpressors. This
suggests that specific complement changes in PV cells leads to developmental dysfunction of
inhibitory circuits that is more detrimental to brain function than pan-neuronal alterations.

**Disrupted neural communication and hyperexcitability in a network model of male mice
with increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells.**

We used a computational model to determine how PV-mC4-driven deficits in connectivity and
excitability of PYR and PV cells contribute to circuit-level abnormalities in a simulated network.
Utilizing the DynaSim toolbox (62), we developed four networks with identical architecture (**Fig.
7A**) representing the experimental conditions – WT and KI male, and WT and KI female groups.
The electrophysiological properties of individual PYR and PV cells – and their connectivity to
one another – were matched to the experimental data (**Tables S5, S6**). Specifically, we first
established unique models of PV and PYR units for each group using our experimental data
(**Table S5**). To determine if these model units accurately reflected the experimental data, we
compared the frequency vs. current (FI) curves of each model unit against its equivalent
experimental cell (**Fig. S5**). In all cases, these model units accurately approximated their
experimental counterpart.

We hypothesized that downstream PYR in KI males would become hyperactive as a function of
reduced inhibition. In support of this, in the output layer of the male KI neural network model we
observed a significant decrease in the firing rate (FR) of PV2 (**Fig. 7B**) and a significant

increase in PYR2 FR (**Fig. 7C**) at all three peak values of the applied current (I_{app}), compared to
the male WT network. This suggests that in the network model, increased levels of *mC4* in male
PV model cells cause decreased activity of this fast-spiking model neuron, driving hyperactivity
of PYR model cells.

To determine if the changes associated with PV-mC4-OE disrupt neuronal communication, we
measured the transfer entropy (TE) of the direct PYR1->PV2 (**Fig. S6A-C**) and PYR1->PYR2
(**Fig. 7D, E**) connections. Specifically, we first investigated the likelihood that a spike in PYR1
would cause a spike in PV2 (**Fig. S6B, C**). In line with our hypothesis, we observed a significant
reduction in the PYR1->PV2 TE in the male KI network at lag times of 1-3 ms at all peak values
of I_{app} (**Fig. S6B**). This suggests that the effective transfer of information from PYR1 to PV2 is
disrupted in the male KI network. This finding was further supported by a significant increase in
both the average (**Fig. S6D**) and standard deviation (**Fig. S6E**) of the latency from any given
PYR1 spike to the nearest following spike observed in PV2. Consistent with this compromised
PYR1->PV2 communication, because PV2 is the only line of inhibition to PYR2 in the network,
the PYR1->PYR2 TE is also significantly altered in the male KI network (**Fig. 7D**). Namely, we
observed a broadening of the lag times over which activity in PYR1 could drive changes in
PYR2 activity (**Fig. 7D**), indicating that the precise temporal relationship of PYR1->PYR2
communication is disrupted in the model male KI network compared to controls.

In KI female mice, we observed a significant increase in the intrinsic excitability of PV cells in
response to PV-mC4-OE (**Fig. 5**). Interestingly, we observed no changes in the PV2 FR in the
female KI network in either the 200 or 275 pA peak I_{app}, compared to the female controls (**Fig.
7B***, left, middle*). However, when the peak of I_{app} was raised to 350 pA, we observed a
significant 9% increase in the FR of PV2 in the female KI network (**Fig. 7B**, *right*), suggesting
that at lower peak applied current values, the increase in intrinsic excitability of PV cells in the
female KI network – and the potential increase in PV2 FR that may be expected in response to
input from PYR1 – is neutralized by the increased spiking activity of PV1 (and thus PV1
inhibition to PV2). However, our results suggest that when we applied stronger stimulation, the
intrinsic excitability of PV2 and its resulting increase in FR outweighs the influence of increased
inhibition.

Notably, in the female KI network, despite observing no change in PV2 FR at peak I_{app} values of
200 and 275 pA, the FR of PYR2 is still significantly decreased compared to controls. Provided
that PV2 is the only source of inhibition to PYR2, this finding of a lack of change in PV2 FR but
a decrease in FR of PYR2 appears incongruous. To determine if it is changes not in the number
of spikes, but in the timing of spikes of PV2 relative to PYR2 that may be driving this decrease
in PYR2 FR in the female KI network, we compared the PYR1->PV2 TE in the female WT and
KI networks (**Fig. S6C**). At shorter lag times, we observed a significant increase of
approximately 100% in the PYR1->PV2 TE of the female KI network relative to its control for all
peak Iapp values (**Fig. S6C**).

This increase in TE resulted in a significant reduction in both the mean (**Fig. S6D**) and standard
deviation (**Fig. S6E**) of the PYR1xPV2 latency in the female KI network compared to controls,
suggesting that the sculpting of the PV2 firing pattern is more precise and consistent in the
female KI network than in the female WT network. The net effect of this result is a firing pattern
of PV2 that is more effective in suppressing the excitation reaching PYR2 (**Fig. S6F**).

In the female KI network, low intensity I_{app} did not alter PYR1->PYR2 TE relative to controls,
suggesting intact PYR model cell communication (**Fig. 7E**). However, at a higher I_{app}, we
observed significant changes in the PYR1->PYR2 TE (**Fig. 7E**, *right*), suggesting that neural
communication in the female KI network is slightly altered with stronger stimulation compared to
controls. These results also suggest that female PYR model cells are more resilient to inhibitory
circuit perturbations than male networks.

In total, our results demonstrate that changes in intrinsic properties and synaptic connectivity
associated with PV-mC4-OE decrease synaptic fidelity between model PYR and PV cells and
cause hyperexcitability in a network model of male mice with increased levels of *mC4* in PV
cells.

DISCUSSION

Using a new model to conditionally overexpress *mC4,* we have discovered that mPFC PV cells
in male mice are susceptible to complement dysfunction. Additionally, we have established a
connection between the *mC4*-driven alterations in the circuitry of the mPFC and pathological
anxiety-like behavior in male mice. Increased levels of *mC4* in PV neurons also disrupted both
excitatory and inhibitory inputs to fast-spiking cells in male but not female mice. Furthermore,
we have demonstrated that specific OE of *mC4* in PV cells led to opposing effects on the
excitability of cortical cells. While mC4-OE in PV cells drove a decrease in the excitability of
both male fast-spiking cells and PYRs, it led to hyperexcitability of female PV cells. By utilizing a
Cre-driver line to induce mC4-OE in all neurons, we also observed that specific *mC4*
dysfunction in PV cells has a greater adverse effect on anxiety-like behavior than widespread
neuronal complement alterations. Using a simple computational model, we demonstrated that
PV-mC4-driven inhibitory microcircuit deficits in the male model network led to disrupted neural
communication between PYR model cells and hyperexcitability. Overall, these results establish
a causative link between the SCZ-associated gene *C4* and the vulnerability of fast-spiking cells,
which are crucial for the function of the mPFC.

Synaptic alterations in fast-spiking cells with PV-specific *mC4* overexpression

Here, we demonstrate that in male mice, conditionally targeting mC4-OE to PV cells leads to a
drastic loss of excitatory drive on this inhibitory cell type that is accompanied by increased
inhibition. Several lines of evidence point to synaptic dysfunction and pathological excitatory
synaptic loss as prominent features of SCZ (22,98–100). In support of this, our group and others
previously demonstrated that increased levels of *C4* in developing L2/3 mPFC PYRs is sufficient
to cause a significant loss of excitatory synapses, leading to mPFC circuit dysfunction (34,101).
Our results reveal a significant decrease in the frequency of mEPSCs on PV cells without
alterations in their amplitude. Although the underlying mechanism is not clear, our results
suggest that the decline in excitatory drive to fast-spiking cells is either a reduction in the
probability of presynaptic release or synapse number. In conjunction with previous results
(34,101), this suggests that synapse loss is the most likely mechanism of hypoconnectivity in
fast-spiking neurons.

While it is yet to be established whether pathological dysfunction in SCZ is confined to specific
microcircuitry, a study conducted in SCZ post-mortem tissue demonstrated that there is a

decrease of excitatory synapses on PFC PV cells relative to control subjects (22). In support of
this, dysregulated ErbB4, a receptor of the SCZ-linked protein neuregulin-1, may contribute to
lower activity of PV cells by reducing their excitatory inputs (102). A decrease in the excitatory
drive to fast-spiking interneurons has also been observed in mouse models of AD (103,104) and
neurodevelopmental disorders (105–107), suggesting that dysfunction in feed-forward excitatory
synapses to fast-spiking cells is a common denominator in brain pathology.

A long-standing hypothesis is that defects in the GABAergic inhibitory system can contribute to
SCZ (108). Additionally, cognitive impairment in SCZ could be the result of dysfunction in the
convergence of glutamatergic and GABAergic systems (109). One possible outcome of
decreased excitation on PV cells in the male PV-mC4-KI mouse is a disruption in the dynamics
of excitation and inhibition, tipping the scales towards the side of unchecked excitation and
excess glutamatergic release. This also aligns well with the NMDA-hypofunction SCZ model
(110–112), where the loss of NMDA receptors, specifically on interneurons, results in
hypoactivity of PV neurons. Alterations in inhibitory circuitry could also alter the timing of
excitation and inhibition (113) that controls oscillatory activity and information flow (10).

We observed a significant increase in the amplitude of mIPSCs in PV cells in PV-mC4-KI male,
but not female mice, suggesting an enhancement of inhibitory inputs to fast-spiking cells.
Naturally, this effect would amplify the putative decrease in PV cell activity in the male PV-mC4-
KI mice, already caused by the reduction in the excitatory drive to this interneuron. As increased
inhibition of PV cells is counterintuitive to the effects that increased complement activity would
have on inhibitory synapses or a compensatory change to enhance the drive of fast-spiking
cells, we can only conclude that these are *mC4*-driven maladaptive changes in the male brain.
Whether this increase in mIPSC amplitude is driven by presynaptic changes in quantal size or
postsynaptic changes in GABA receptor subunit composition or sensitivity is unknown and will
require deeper investigation.

Microglia-dependent synaptic engulfment is an established mechanism for complement-driven
synaptic loss in the normal and diseased brain (49,114–116). Studies using mice that lack
specific complement genes have shown that these immune molecules contribute to synaptic
plasticity (117–119). In fact, complement upregulation has been observed in several
neurodegenerative diseases where synaptic loss is a prominent feature (120–122). A recent
study also showed that C1q, the initiating member of the classic complement pathway, binds
neuronal activity-regulated pentraxin (Nptx2) (123), an immediate early gene highly enriched at
excitatory synapses on PV cells, where we observed the most drastic phenotype. Furthermore,
deletion of Nptx2 caused increased activation of the classical complement pathway and
microglia-mediated elimination of excitatory synapses on PV cells (123), supporting this
established mechanism of synaptic loss in excitatory inputs on PV neurons. Still, other non-glia
mechanisms could underlie excitatory synaptic loss in interneurons. In support of this, our group
(124) used STED imaging in mPFC slices (125) to demonstrate that increased levels of *mC4*
accelerate the accumulation of the postsynaptic receptor GluR1 in neuronal LAMP1-positive
lysosomes, leading to pathological synaptic loss.

The mPFC and neuropsychiatric disorders

The lifetime prevalence of anxiety disorders is close to 30% (126) and it is highly comorbid with
other neuropsychiatric disorders, including SCZ (90,127,128). Our approach of conditionally

targeting *mC4* in fast-spiking cells provides a unique example that establishes a causal relation
between elevated levels of the SCZ-associated gene *C4* in these cells and enhanced anxiety-
like behavior and mPFC circuit dysfunction in male mice, shedding light on the intricate
dynamics of neuropsychiatric disorders.

We have focused on the mPFC to establish a connection between altered circuitry and
disrupted emotional behavior. Genetic insults and chronic stress have lasting effects on the PFC
that lead to alterations in cognitive and social function (129–132). In the mouse mPFC, inhibitory
neurons respond to a variety of social and emotional stimuli (59,133). Additionally, PV cells
coordinate and enhance the neuronal activity of PFC projection neurons to drive fear expression
in the mouse (134). Consistent with its function in regulating emotional behavior, we observed
that increased levels of *mC4* in PV neurons lead to synaptic alterations in fast-spiking cells and
opposing effects in the excitability of cortical cells in male and female mice.

The PFC is plays a crucial role in social cognition, enabling us to understand and interpret the
actions of others, which is fundamental for effective social interaction (135,136). Here we show
that while increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells did not cause a drastic deficit in social behavior,
overexpressing mice exhibited deficits in subclasses of exploratory social behavior, linking
defects in inhibitory circuits to the initiation of social behaviors. In support of the role of mPFC
PV neurons in the regulation of social behavior, Bicks et al. (137) demonstrated that PV cell
activity in the mPFC preceded an active social episode, or an episode initiated by the
experimental mouse. Similarly, we showed that increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells lead to
deficits in active but not passive social interactions. Finally, in contrast to the deficits in anxiety-
like behavior, *mC4*-driven social deficits were not sexually dimorphic, suggesting that in mice
social deficits might have a distinct etiology from pathological anxiety-like behavior.

1015

Complement dysfunction and the mPFC

Although there is a strong link between immune dysfunction and neuropsychiatric disorders
(49,138–143), more research is needed to establish a connection between complement
dysfunction and specific circuitry underlying emotional behavior. Disruption of Csmd1, which is a
C4 inhibitor, induces behaviors reminiscent of blunted emotional responses, anxiety, and
depression (144). Additionally, Crider et al. (145) found a significant increase in C3 expression,
a downstream effector of C4, in the PFC of depressed suicide subjects. Together with previous
results (34,101), we showed that *mC4* alterations in specific cell-types are linked to mPFC-
related pathologies. In summary, these results suggest that the PFC is a brain region
susceptible to pathological complement activity.

1026

Increased levels of *mC4* in PV neurons, cortical function, and sex-related pathologies

Using a new mouse model, we show that targeted OE of *mC4* specifically in fast-spiking cells
induces pathological anxiety-like behavior in male mice while sparing females. In the male
mPFC, this sex-specific behavioral change correlates with a decrease in excitatory synaptic
inputs to fast-spiking neurons, coupled with an increase in their inhibitory synapses, potentially
resulting in reduced activity of this interneuron. During development, the maturation of fast-
spiking cells contributes to the wiring of the neural networks, controlling the critical window of

plasticity (146–149). Therefore, alterations in the developmental plasticity windows driven by
increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells may cause the synaptic and excitability deficits we observed
in the mPFC. There are also sex-dependent differences in the developmental cortical
mechanisms of plasticity (150), which are regulated, in part, by PV cell activity, including their
feed-forward circuits (147,148). Therefore, increased levels of *mC4* in fast-spiking cells could
alter the function of the mPFC through distinct mechanisms in males and females, explaining
the sex-divergent outcomes.

1041

Alterations in temporal fidelity and neural communication in SCZ and other neuropsychiatric disease

Using simulations, we showed a significant decrease in the firing rate of PV model cells and
hyperactivity of PYR model cells in the male KI network compared to the male WT network.
More critically, we also showed a significant broadening of the lag times over which activity in
the presynaptic PYR influences the postsynaptic PYR, a product of defunct inhibition. This
deficit in inhibition was evident in the drastic reduction of firing rates of PV2 in the male KI
network and was bolstered by a reduction in the PYR1->PV2 transfer entropy compared to that
of the male WT network.

During development, neuronal networks are far from static; an ever-dynamic landscape,
synaptic connections are constantly being formed, lost, strengthened, or weakened across
development (151–153). The fundamental unit of this plasticity is the information transferred
from the presynaptic partner to its postsynaptic partner(s), the efficacy or existence of this
connection being largely an activity-dependent factor (152,154). Moreover, disruptions in spike-
timing and consequential deficits in synaptic plasticity are core features of neuropsychiatric
diseases, including SCZ (29,83,155–157).

Thus, the specific finding of increased transfer entropy across a broader lag-time window in the
male KI network is of particular importance because it suggests a major disruption in the
temporal precision of effective information flow. In support of this, disruption of SCZ-associated
risk genes that specifically contribute to spike-timing and plasticity in animal models have been
shown to evoke a broad spectrum of SCZ-associated behavioral and synaptic deficits (158–
161).

In the case of the female WT and KI networks, a seemingly-incompatible result emerged
whereby, despite a lack of change in PV2 firing rate, the firing rate of PYR2 was reduced in the
KI female condition. However, we observed a significant increase in the PYR1->PV2 transfer
entropy in the female KI network that, despite not resulting in a change in the overall number of
spikes evoked in PV2, shaped the temporal sequence of when those spikes of PV2 occurred
more precisely. As a result, the effective inhibition experienced by PYR2 aligned more strongly
with the excitation to PYR2 (from PYR1) in time, leading to an overall decrease in PYR2 firing
rate.

Relevant to several broader hypotheses of SCZ is the convergence of altered neural
communication and temporal fidelity. Using the specific experimental changes in intrinsic
excitability and synaptic connectivity associated with PV-mC4-OE to guide our computational
model, we too were led to exactly this same point of convergence. From the decades-enduring
synaptic hypothesis of SCZ first proposed by Irwin Feinberg (98) to modern hypotheses of

NMDAR hypofunction (111,156,162), many of the dominating views of SCZ pathogenesis
feature disruptions in spike-timing and resultant deficits in the effective flow of information from
one neuron to the next. Moreover, computational models of brain dysfunction relevant to SCZ
from other groups consistently converge on this same feature (156).

Separately, Murray et al (163) implemented synaptic disinhibition – similar to our model of PV
hypofunction – in a local-circuit PFC network model and found that this manipulation caused an
increase in PYR firing rate (as in our model), broadened network activity, and decreased
memory precision. Overall, our simulation provides evidence that a genetic alteration in fast-
spiking cells leads to unique sex-dependent phenotypes in a model network, highlighting how
cellular and synaptic phenotypes interact to produce complex neural network deficits in
diseased states.

1088

Weaknesses of this study

We used a unique genetic approach to increase levels of *mC4* in PV cells globally. However,
besides the PFC, we did not include recordings in other brain regions related to emotional
regulation. Alterations in the inhibitory microcircuitry of other anxiety-related areas may underlie
the behavioral effects that we have captured (164,165). Nevertheless, our findings demonstrate
that conditional overexpression of *mC4* in fast-spiking cells results in synaptic and excitability
deficits that are consistent with the role of mPFC PV cells in regulating emotional behavior.

SCZ is a complex disorder and it's likely that multiple genetic and non-genetic factors contribute
to its pathogenesis, each potentially impacting synaptic function and the excitability of cortical
cells in different but converging ways. In light of this caveat, we provide a new mouse model
where complement dysfunction in PV cells causes cellular and behavioral dysfunction
reminiscent of PFC-associated neurological conditions.

While we used a computational model to better understand how the experimental results we
gathered may cause network-level deficits in an intact system, our model has several
limitations. First, the model has a simple architecture with strictly feed-forward connections.
Thus, our ability to capture complex network interactions was limited. Moreover, the model was
composed of only four interconnected model neuron units, a drastic simplification of the rich
connectivity profiles of these cells in the intact rodent brain. Finally, the weights for all synaptic
connections were altered in accordance with relative changes observed in the experimental
mPSC data recorded in PYRs and PV cells in acute brain slices. However, these changes might
not reflect *in vivo* properties. Nevertheless, despite these simplifications and limitations, our
simulation of a network with increased levels of *mC4* in male fast-spiking cells is consistent with
previous models of disrupted neuronal communication and prefrontal circuit dysfunction in
schizophrenia (83).

1113

Concluding Remarks

1115   Here, we have generated a unique mouse model to overexpress *C4* conditionally. Diseases
1116   linked to increased *C4* levels often have autoimmune or inflammatory aspects. Therefore, this
1117   mouse can be used to target specific cell types and tissues to determine the role of this
1118   important gene in various diseases outside of the nervous system or test the efficacy of

pharmacology to target complement-related diseases. Together with previous studies, we have established *C4* as an important regulator of pathological synaptic loss in the prefrontal cortex, a region associated with several neuropsychiatric disorders. Furthermore, by conditionally overexpressing *C4* in fast-spiking cells, we have identified a connection between dysfunction of inhibitory circuits in the prefrontal cortex and pathological anxiety-like behavior in male mice.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Todd Blute and the Boston University Biology Imaging Core for providing support for the confocal microscope. We thank members of the Cruz-Martín lab for critical reading of the manuscript and helpful discussions. This work was supported by a National Institutes of Health R01 (NIMH, 5R01MH129732-02) and an industry grant (to generate mC4-KI mouse, Biogen, #55206943) to A.C-M., a Brenton R. Lutz Award to R.A.P, a SURF/NSF-REU program (NSF, REU grant IOS-1659605) to J.R.G and N.M.P.L., and an NSF Award #2319321 to K.S.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L.A.F. and A.C-M. conceptualized experiments including formulating composition, goals, and scope of the paper and approaches for analyses. L.A.F., R.A.P., M.S., A.B., S.B., J.R.G and N.M.P.L. collected the data and performed experiments. L.A.F. and R.A.P. performed data curation. L.A.F., R.A.P., A.B., M.S., and A.C.M analyzed data. L.A.F. and R.A.P. contributed code for data analysis. L.A.F. and A.C-M. contributed to parts of the original draft, including figure design and generation. L.A.F. developed the computational model with the support of J.C.N. and K.S. All authors contributed to revision and editing of the draft. A.C-M. obtained funding and supervised the project providing mentorship, oversight, and project administration.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI AND AI-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES

The authors declare that no AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing process.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data are available at https://osf.io/je38k/

CODE AVAILABILITY

1154   Custom-written routines are available at https://github.com/CruzMartinLab

1155

REFERENCES

1.   Ferguson BR, Gao WJ. PV Interneurons: Critical Regulators of E/I Balance for Prefrontal
Cortex-Dependent Behavior and Psychiatric Disorders. Front Neural Circuits [Internet].
2018 [cited 2021 Feb 21];12. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fncir.2018.00037/full

2.   Hu H, Gan J, Jonas P. Fast-spiking, parvalbumin+ GABAergic interneurons: From cellular
design to microcircuit function. Science. 2014 Aug;345(6196):1255263.

3.   Rudy B, Fishell G, Lee S, Hjerling-Leffler J. Three Groups of Interneurons Account for
Nearly 100% of Neocortical GABAergic Neurons. Dev Neurobiol. 2011 Jan 1;71(1):45–61.

4.   Cardin JA. Inhibitory interneurons regulate temporal precision and correlations in cortical
circuits. Trends Neurosci. 2018 Oct;41(10):689–700.

5.   Nocon JC, Gritton HJ, James NM, Mount RA, Qu Z, Han X, et al. Parvalbumin neurons
enhance temporal coding and reduce cortical noise in complex auditory scenes. Commun
Biol. 2023 Jul 19;6(1):1–14.

6.   Massi L, Lagler M, Hartwich K, Borhegyi Z, Somogyi P, Klausberger T. Temporal
Dynamics of Parvalbumin-Expressing Axo-axonic and Basket Cells in the Rat Medial
Prefrontal Cortex In Vivo. J Neurosci. 2012 Nov 14;32(46):16496–502.

7.   Wilent WB, Contreras D. Dynamics of excitation and inhibition underlying stimulus
selectivity in rat somatosensory cortex. Nat Neurosci. 2005 Oct;8(10):1364–70.

8.   Jelitai M, Puggioni P, Ishikawa T, Rinaldi A, Duguid I. Dendritic excitation–inhibition
balance shapes cerebellar output during motor behaviour. Nat Commun. 2016 Dec
15;7(1):13722.

9.   Lam NH, Borduqui T, Hallak J, Roque A, Anticevic A, Krystal JH, et al. Effects of Altered
Excitation-Inhibition Balance on Decision Making in a Cortical Circuit Model. J Neurosci.
2022 Feb 9;42(6):1035–53.

10.   Buzsáki G, Wang XJ. Mechanisms of Gamma Oscillations. Annu Rev Neurosci.
2012;35:203–25.

11.   Howard MW, Rizzuto DS, Caplan JB, Madsen JR, Lisman J, Aschenbrenner-Scheibe R, et
al. Gamma Oscillations Correlate with Working Memory Load in Humans. Cereb Cortex.
2003 Dec 1;13(12):1369–74.

12.   Guan A, Wang S, Huang A, Qiu C, Li Y, Li X, et al. The role of gamma oscillations in
central nervous system diseases: Mechanism and treatment. Front Cell Neurosci [Internet].
2022 [cited 2023 Dec 13];16. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fncel.2022.962957

13. Sohal VS, Zhang F, Yizhar O, Deisseroth K. Parvalbumin neurons and gamma rhythms
enhance cortical circuit performance. Nature. 2009 Jun;459(7247):698–702.

14. Kim KM, Bong SH, Byeon J, Kim JW. State and Trait Anxiety Related Gamma Oscillations
in Patients With Anxiety Within the Research Domain Criteria Framework. Psychiatry
Investig. 2022 Jun;19(6):443–50.

15. Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, Volk DW. Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. Nat Rev
Neurosci. 2005 Apr;6(4):312–24.

16. Spencer KM, Nestor PG, Niznikiewicz MA, Salisbury DF, Shenton ME, McCarley RW.
Abnormal Neural Synchrony in Schizophrenia. J Neurosci. 2003 Aug 13;23(19):7407–11.

17. Murty DV, Manikandan K, Kumar WS, Ramesh RG, Purokayastha S, Nagendra B, et al.
Stimulus-induced gamma rhythms are weaker in human elderly with mild cognitive
impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Vinck M, Colgin LL, Bosman CA, editors. eLife. 2021
Jun 8;10:e61666.

18. Traikapi A, Konstantinou N. Gamma Oscillations in Alzheimer's Disease and Their
Potential Therapeutic Role. Front Syst Neurosci [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2023 Dec 13];15.
Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnsys.2021.782399

19. Fagiolini M, Fritschy JM, Löw K, Möhler H, Rudolph U, Hensch TK. Specific GABAA
circuits for visual cortical plasticity. Science. 2004 Mar 12;303(5664):1681–3.

20. Canetta SE, Holt ES, Benoit LJ, Teboul E, Sahyoun GM, Ogden RT, et al. Mature
parvalbumin interneuron function in prefrontal cortex requires activity during a postnatal
sensitive period. Colgin LL, Di Cristo G, Roy A, editors. eLife. 2022 Dec 28;11:e80324.

21. Jiang B, Huang ZJ, Morales B, Kirkwood A. Maturation of GABAergic transmission and the
timing of plasticity in visual cortex. Brain Res Rev. 2005 Dec;50(1):126–33.

22. Chung DW, Fish KN, Lewis DA. Pathological basis for deficient excitatory drive to cortical
parvalbumin interneurons in schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry. 2016 Nov 1;173(11):1131–9.

23. Toker L, Mancarci BO, Tripathy S, Pavlidis P. Transcriptomic evidence for alterations in
astrocytes and parvalbumin interneurons in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia subjects.
Biol Psychiatry. 2018 Dec 1;84(11):787–96.

24. Akbarian S, Huang HS. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of altered GAD1/GAD67
expression in schizophrenia and related disorders. Brain Res Rev. 2006 Sep 1;52(2):293–
304.

25. Beneyto M, Abbott A, Hashimoto T, Lewis DA. Lamina-Specific Alterations in Cortical
GABAA Receptor Subunit Expression in Schizophrenia. Cereb Cortex. 2011 May
1;21(5):999–1011.

26. del Pino I, García-Frigola C, Dehorter N, Brotons-Mas JR, Alvarez-Salvado E,
Martínez de Lagrán M, et al. Erbb4 Deletion from Fast-Spiking Interneurons Causes
Schizophrenia-like Phenotypes. Neuron. 2013 Sep 18;79(6):1152–68.

27. Chung DW, Wills ZP, Fish KN, Lewis DA. Developmental pruning of excitatory synaptic
inputs to parvalbumin interneurons in monkey prefrontal cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci
[Internet]. 2017 Jan 24 [cited 2023 Dec 11];114(4). Available from:
https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1610077114

28. Seshadri S, Faust T, Ishizuka K, Delevich K, Chung Y, Kim SH, et al. Interneuronal DISC1
regulates NRG1-ErbB4 signalling and excitatory–inhibitory synapse formation in the
mature cortex. Nat Commun. 2015 Dec 11;6(1):10118.

29. Ripke S, Neale BM, Corvin A, Walters JT, Farh KH, Holmans PA, et al. Biological Insights
From 108 Schizophrenia-Associated Genetic Loci. Nature. 2014 Jul 24;511(7510):421–7.

30. Mokhtari R, Lachman HM. The Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) in Schizophrenia:
A Review. J Clin Cell Immunol. 2016 Dec;7(6):479.

31. Li J, Yoshikawa A, Alliey-Rodriguez N, Meltzer HY. Schizophrenia risk loci from xMHC
region were associated with antipsychotic response in chronic schizophrenic patients with
persistent positive symptom. Transl Psychiatry. 2022 Mar 7;12(1):1–9.

32. Datwani A, McConnell MJ, Kanold PO, Micheva KD, Busse B, Shamloo M, et al. Classical
MHCI Molecules Regulate Retinogeniculate Refinement and Limit Ocular Dominance
Plasticity. Neuron. 2009 Nov 25;64(4):463–70.

33. Sekar A, Bialas AR, de Rivera H, Davis A, Hammond TR, Kamitaki N, et al. Schizophrenia
risk from complex variation of complement component 4. Nature. 2016
Feb;530(7589):177–83.

34. Comer AL, Jinadasa T, Sriram B, Phadke RA, Kretsge LN, Nguyen TPH, et al. Increased
expression of schizophrenia-associated gene C4 leads to hypoconnectivity of prefrontal
cortex and reduced social interaction. PLOS Biol. 2020 Jan 14;18(1):e3000604.

35. MacDonald AW, Carter CS, Kerns JG, Ursu S, Barch DM, Holmes AJ, et al. Specificity of
Prefrontal Dysfunction and Context Processing Deficits to Schizophrenia in Never-
Medicated Patients With First-Episode Psychosis. Am J Psychiatry. 2005 Mar;162(3):475–
84.

36. Green MF, Horan WP, Lee J. Social cognition in schizophrenia. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2015
Oct;16(10):620–31.

37. Selemon LD, Zecevic N. Schizophrenia: a tale of two critical periods for prefrontal cortical
development. Transl Psychiatry. 2015 Aug;5(8):e623–e623.

38. Pizzagalli DA, Roberts AC. Prefrontal cortex and depression. Neuropsychopharmacology.
2022 Jan;47(1):225–46.

39. Gamo NJ, Arnsten AFT. Molecular Modulation of Prefrontal Cortex: Rational Development
of Treatments for Psychiatric Disorders. Behav Neurosci. 2011 Jun;125(3):282–96.

40. Kenwood MM, Kalin NH, Barbas H. The prefrontal cortex, pathological anxiety, and anxiety
disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2022 Jan;47(1):260–75.

41.   Kumar P, Goettemoeller AM, Espinosa-Garcia C, Tobin BR, Tfaily A, Nelson RS, et al.
Native-state proteomics of Parvalbumin interneurons identifies novel molecular signatures
and metabolic vulnerabilities to early Alzheimer's disease pathology. bioRxiv. 2023 May
17;2023.05.17.541038.

42.   Kann O, Papageorgiou IE, Draguhn A. Highly Energized Inhibitory Interneurons are a
Central Element for Information Processing in Cortical Networks. J Cereb Blood Flow
Metab. 2014 Aug 1;34(8):1270–82.

43.   Ruden JB, Dugan LL, Konradi C. Parvalbumin interneuron vulnerability and brain
disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2021 Jan;46(2):279–87.

44.   Whittaker RG, Turnbull DM, Whittington MA, Cunningham MO. Impaired mitochondrial
function abolishes gamma oscillations in the hippocampus through an effect on fast-spiking
interneurons. Brain. 2011 Jul 1;134(7):e180.

45.   Gulyás AI, Megías M, Emri Z, Freund TF. Total Number and Ratio of Excitatory and
Inhibitory Synapses Converging onto Single Interneurons of Different Types in the CA1
Area of the Rat Hippocampus. J Neurosci. 1999 Nov 15;19(22):10082–97.

46.   Mackenzie-Gray Scott CA, Pelkey KA, Caccavano AP, Abebe D, Lai M, Black KN, et al.
Resilient Hippocampal Gamma Rhythmogenesis and Parvalbumin-Expressing Interneuron
Function Before and After Plaque Burden in 5xFAD Alzheimer's Disease Model. Front
Synaptic Neurosci [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Dec 27];14. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnsyn.2022.857608

47.   Palop JJ, Mucke L. Network abnormalities and interneuron dysfunction in Alzheimer
disease. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2016 Dec;17(12):777–92.

48.   Contractor A, Ethell IM, Portera-Cailliau C. Cortical interneurons in autism. Nat Neurosci.
2021 Dec;24(12):1648–59.

49.   Comer AL, Carrier M, Tremblay MÈ, Cruz-Martín A. The Inflamed Brain in Schizophrenia:
The Convergence of Genetic and Environmental Risk Factors That Lead to Uncontrolled
Neuroinflammation. Front Cell Neurosci. 2020 Aug 27;14:274.

50.   Zhan X, Cao M, Yoo AS, Zhang Z, Chen L, Crabtree GR, et al. Generation of BAF53b-Cre
transgenic mice with pan-neuronal Cre activities. genesis. 2015;53(7):440–8.

51.   Dolatshad H, Biggs D, Diaz R, Hortin N, Preece C, Davies B. A versatile transgenic allele
for mouse overexpression studies. Mamm Genome. 2015;26(11–12):598–608.

52.   Soriano P. Generalized lacZ expression with the ROSA26 Cre reporter strain. Nat Genet.
1999 Jan;21(1):70–1.

53.   McQuin C, Goodman A, Chernyshev V, Kamentsky L, Cimini BA, Karhohs KW, et al.
CellProfiler 3.0: Next-generation image processing for biology. PLOS Biol. 2018 Jul
3;16(7):e2005970.

54.   Stirling DR, Swain-Bowden MJ, Lucas AM, Carpenter AE, Cimini BA, Goodman A.
1301   CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. BMC Bioinformatics. 2021 Sep
1302   10;22(1):433.

1303   55.   Reference Atlas :: Allen Brain Atlas: Mouse Brain [Internet]. [cited 2023 Dec 4]. Available
1304   from: https://mouse.brain-map.org/static/atlas

1305   56.   Paxinos G, Franklin BJ. Paxinos and Franklin's the Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic
1306   Coordinates. 4th ed. Academic Press; 2012.

1307   57.   Data Acquisition Software - UCLA Miniscope [Internet]. [cited 2023 Nov 30]. Available
1308   from: http://miniscope.org/index.php/Data_Acquisition_Software

1309   58.   Phadke RA, Wetzel AM, Fournier LA, Sha M, Padró-Luna NM, Cruz-Martín A. REVEALS:
1310   An Open Source Multi Camera GUI For Rodent Behavior Acquisition [Internet]. bioRxiv;
1311   2023 [cited 2023 Nov 30]. p. 2023.08.22.554365. Available from:
1312   https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.08.22.554365v1

1313   59.   Johnson C, Kretsge LN, Yen WW, Sriram B, O'Connor A, Liu RS, et al. Highly unstable
1314   heterogeneous representations in VIP interneurons of the anterior cingulate cortex. Mol
1315   Psychiatry. 2022 May;27(5):2602–18.

1316   60.   Guilloux JP, Seney M, Edgar N, Sibille E. Integrated Behavioral Z-Scoring Increases the
1317   Sensitivity and Reliability of Behavioral Phenotyping in mice: Relevance to Emotionality
1318   and Sex. J Neurosci Methods. 2011 Apr 15;197(1):21–31.

1319   61.   Mathis A, Mamidanna P, Cury KM, Abe T, Murthy VN, Mathis MW, et al. DeepLabCut:
1320   markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep learning. Nat Neurosci.
1321   2018 Sep;21(9):1281–9.

1322   62.   Sherfey JS, Soplata AE, Ardid S, Roberts EA, Stanley DA, Pittman-Polletta BR, et al.
1323   DynaSim: A MATLAB Toolbox for Neural Modeling and Simulation. Front Neuroinformatics
1324   [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2024 Feb 27];12. Available from:
1325   https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fninf.2018.00010

1326   63.   Fuhrmann G, Markram H, Tsodyks M. Spike frequency adaptation and neocortical
1327   rhythms. J Neurophysiol. 2002 Aug;88(2):761–70.

1328   64.   Doischer D, Hosp JA, Yanagawa Y, Obata K, Jonas P, Vida I, et al. Postnatal
1329   Differentiation of Basket Cells from Slow to Fast Signaling Devices. J Neurosci. 2008 Nov
1330   26;28(48):12956–68.

1331   65.   Okaty BW, Miller MN, Sugino K, Hempel CM, Nelson SB. Transcriptional and
1332   Electrophysiological Maturation of Neocortical Fast-Spiking GABAergic Interneurons. J
1333   Neurosci. 2009 May 27;29(21):7040–52.

1334   66.   Fanselow EE, Connors BW. The Roles of Somatostatin-Expressing (GIN) and Fast-Spiking
1335   Inhibitory Interneurons in UP-DOWN States of Mouse Neocortex. J Neurophysiol. 2010
1336   Aug;104(2):596–606.

1337   67.   Dayan P, Abbott LF. Theoretical neuroscience: computational and mathematical modeling
1338   of neural systems. Cambridge, Mass: Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press; 2001.
1339   460 p. (Computational neuroscience).

1340   68.   Liu L, Xu H, Wang J, Li J, Tian Y, Zheng J, et al. Cell type–differential modulation of
1341   prefrontal cortical GABAergic interneurons on low gamma rhythm and social interaction.
1342   Sci Adv [Internet]. 2020 Jul [cited 2024 Feb 27];6(30). Available from:
1343   https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7439507/

1344   69.   Wang T, Yan R, Zhang X, Wang Z, Duan H, Wang Z, et al. Paraventricular Thalamus
1345   Dynamically Modulates Aversive Memory via Tuning Prefrontal Inhibitory Circuitry. J
1346   Neurosci. 2023 May 17;43(20):3630–46.

1347   70.   Kee T, Sanda P, Gupta N, Stopfer M, Bazhenov M. Feed-Forward versus Feedback
1348   Inhibition in a Basic Olfactory Circuit. PLOS Comput Biol. 2015 Oct 12;11(10):e1004531.

1349   71.   Ly C, Doiron B. Noise-enhanced coding in phasic neuron spike trains. PLOS ONE. 2017
1350   May 4;12(5):e0176963.

1351   72.   Magee JC. Dendritic Mechanisms of Phase Precession in Hippocampal CA1 Pyramidal
1352   Neurons. J Neurophysiol. 2001 Jul;86(1):528–32.

1353   73.   Blundon JA, Bayazitov IT, Zakharenko SS. Presynaptic Gating of Postsynaptically
1354   Expressed Plasticity at Mature Thalamocortical Synapses. J Neurosci. 2011 Nov
1355   2;31(44):16012–25.

1356   74.   Elhilali M, Fritz JB, Klein DJ, Simon JZ, Shamma SA. Dynamics of Precise Spike Timing in
1357   Primary Auditory Cortex. J Neurosci. 2004 Feb 4;24(5):1159–72.

1358   75.   Lu T, Liang L, Wang X. Temporal and rate representations of time-varying signals in the
1359   auditory cortex of awake primates. Nat Neurosci. 2001 Nov;4(11):1131–8.

1360   76.   Nocon JC, Gritton H, Han X, Sen K. Differential Inhibitory Responses to Temporal
1361   Features Enhance Cortical Coding of Dynamic Stimuli: A Network Model [Internet].
1362   Neuroscience; 2022 Sep [cited 2024 Feb 27]. Available from:
1363   http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.09.22.509092

1364   77.   Seay MJ, Natan RG, Geffen MN, Buonomano DV. Differential Short-Term Plasticity of PV
1365   and SST Neurons Accounts for Adaptation and Facilitation of Cortical Neurons to Auditory
1366   Tones. J Neurosci. 2020 Nov 25;40(48):9224–35.

1367   78.   Moore AK, Weible AP, Balmer TS, Trussell LO, Wehr M. Rapid Rebalancing of Excitation
1368   and Inhibition by Cortical Circuitry. Neuron. 2018 Mar 21;97(6):1341-1355.e6.

1369   79.   Toader O, von Heimendahl M, Schuelert N, Nissen W, Rosenbrock H. Suppression of
1370   Parvalbumin Interneuron Activity in the Prefrontal Cortex Recapitulates Features of
1371   Impaired Excitatory/Inhibitory Balance and Sensory Processing in Schizophrenia.
1372   Schizophr Bull. 2020 Jul;46(4):981–9.

1373   80.   Agetsuma M, Hamm JP, Tao K, Fujisawa S, Yuste R. Parvalbumin-Positive Interneurons
1374   Regulate Neuronal Ensembles in Visual Cortex. Cereb Cortex. 2018 May 1;28(5):1831–45.

81.   Ito S, Hansen ME, Heiland R, Lumsdaine A, Litke AM, Beggs JM. Extending Transfer
Entropy Improves Identification of Effective Connectivity in a Spiking Cortical Network
Model. PLOS ONE. 2011 Nov 15;6(11):e27431.

82.   Schreiber T. Measuring Information Transfer. Phys Rev Lett. 2000 Jul 10;85(2):461–4.

83.   Zick JL, Crowe DA, Blackman RK, Schultz K, Bergstrand DW, DeNicola AL, et al.
Disparate insults relevant to schizophrenia converge on impaired spike synchrony and
weaker synaptic interactions in prefrontal local circuits. Curr Biol. 2022 Jan 10;32(1):14-
25.e4.

84.   Fischer MB, Ma M, Goerg S, Zhou X, Xia J, Finco O, et al. Regulation of the B cell
response to T-dependent antigens by classical pathway complement. J Immunol Baltim Md
1950. 1996 Jul 15;157(2):549–56.

85.   Hitoshi N, Ken-ichi Y, Jun-ichi M. Efficient selection for high-expression transfectants with
a novel eukaryotic vector. Gene. 1991 Dec 15;108(2):193–9.

86.   Jun-ichi M, Satoshi T, Kimi A, Fumi T, Akira T, Kiyoshi T, et al. Expression vector system
based on the chicken β-actin promoter directs efficient production of interleukin-5. Gene.
1989 Jul 15;79(2):269–77.

87.   Forni PE, Scuoppo C, Imayoshi I, Taulli R, Dastrù W, Sala V, et al. High levels of Cre
expression in neuronal progenitors cause defects in brain development leading to
microencephaly and hydrocephaly. J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci. 2006 Sep
13;26(37):9593–602.

88.   Baghdadi M, Mesaros A, Purrio M, Partridge L. Sex-specific effects of Cre expression in
Syn1Cre mice. Sci Rep. 2023 Jun 20;13(1):10037.

89.   Patel KR, Cherian J, Gohil K, Atkinson D. Schizophrenia: Overview and Treatment
Options. Pharm Ther. 2014 Sep;39(9):638–45.

90.   Braga RJ, Reynolds GP, Siris SG. Anxiety comorbidity in schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res.
2013 Nov 30;210(1):1–7.

91.   Kiran C, Chaudhury S. Prevalence of comorbid anxiety disorders in schizophrenia. Ind
Psychiatry J. 2016;25(1):35–40.

92.   Hall J. Schizophrenia — an anxiety disorder? Br J Psychiatry. 2017 Nov;211(5):262–3.

93.   George M, Maheshwari S, Chandran S, Manohar JS, Sathyanarayana Rao TS.
Understanding the schizophrenia prodrome. Indian J Psychiatry. 2017;59(4):505–9.

94.   Traynelis SF, Wollmuth LP, McBain CJ, Menniti FS, Vance KM, Ogden KK, et al.
Glutamate Receptor Ion Channels: Structure, Regulation, and Function. Pharmacol Rev.
2010 Sep;62(3):405.

95.   Williams SR, Stuart GJ. Role of dendritic synapse location in the control of action potential
output. Trends Neurosci. 2003 Mar 1;26(3):147–54.

96. Alberto CO, Hirasawa M. AMPA receptor-mediated miniature EPSCs have heterogeneous time courses in orexin neurons. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2010 Oct 1;400(4):707–12.

97. Olave I, Wang W, Xue Y, Kuo A, Crabtree GR. Identification of a polymorphic, neuron-specific chromatin remodeling complex. Genes Dev. 2002 Oct 1;16(19):2509–17.

98. Feinberg I. Schizophrenia: Caused by a fault in programmed synaptic elimination during adolescence? J Psychiatr Res. 1982 Jan 1;17(4):319–34.

99. Glantz LA, Lewis DA. Decreased Dendritic Spine Density on Prefrontal Cortical Pyramidal Neurons in Schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2000 Jan 1;57(1):65.

100. Garey LJ, Ong WY, Patel TS, Kanani M, Davis A, Mortimer AM, et al. Reduced dendritic spine density on cerebral cortical pyramidal neurons in schizophrenia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1998 Oct 1;65(4):446–53.

101. Druart M, Nosten-Bertrand M, Poll S, Crux S, Nebeling F, Delhaye C, et al. Elevated expression of complement C4 in the mouse prefrontal cortex causes schizophrenia-associated phenotypes. Mol Psychiatry. 2021 Apr 9;1–13.

102. Chung DW, Volk DW, Arion D, Zhang Y, Sampson AR, Lewis DA. Dysregulated ErbB4 Splicing in Schizophrenia: Selective Effects on Parvalbumin Expression. Am J Psychiatry. 2016 Jan;173(1):60–8.

103. Caccavano A, Bozzelli PL, Forcelli PA, Pak DTS, Wu JY, Conant K, et al. Inhibitory Parvalbumin Basket Cell Activity is Selectively Reduced during Hippocampal Sharp Wave Ripples in a Mouse Model of Familial Alzheimer's Disease. J Neurosci. 2020 Jun 24;40(26):5116–36.

104. Park K, Lee J, Jang HJ, Richards BA, Kohl MM, Kwag J. Optogenetic activation of parvalbumin and somatostatin interneurons selectively restores theta-nested gamma oscillations and oscillation-induced spike timing-dependent long-term potentiation impaired by amyloid β oligomers. BMC Biol. 2020 Jan 15;18(1):7.

105. Sauer JF, Strüber M, Bartos M. Impaired fast-spiking interneuron function in a genetic mouse model of depression. Raman IM, editor. eLife. 2015 Mar 3;4:e04979.

106. Perova Z, Delevich K, Li B. Depression of Excitatory Synapses onto Parvalbumin Interneurons in the Medial Prefrontal Cortex in Susceptibility to Stress. J Neurosci. 2015 Feb 18;35(7):3201–6.

107. Mao W, Watanabe T, Cho S, Frost JL, Truong T, Zhao X, et al. Shank1 regulates excitatory synaptic transmission in mouse hippocampal Parvalbumin-expressing inhibitory interneurons. Eur J Neurosci. 2015 Apr;41(8):1025–35.

108. Roberts E. Prospects for research on schizophrenia. An hypotheses suggesting that there is a defect in the GABA system in schizophrenia. Neurosci Res Program Bull. 1972 Nov;10(4):468–82.

109. Lewis DA, Moghaddam B. Cognitive Dysfunction in Schizophrenia: Convergence of γ-
Aminobutyric Acid and Glutamate Alterations. Arch Neurol. 2006 Oct 1;63(10):1372–6.

110. Kehrer C, Maziashvili N, Dugladze T, Gloveli T. Altered excitatory-inhibitory balance in the
NMDA-hypofunction model of schizophrenia. Front Mol Neurosci [Internet]. 2008 [cited
2023 Dec 21];1. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/neuro.02.006.2008

111. Nakazawa K, Sapkota K. The origin of NMDA receptor hypofunction in schizophrenia.
Pharmacol Ther. 2020 Jan;205:107426.

112. Olney JW, Farber NB. Glutamate Receptor Dysfunction and Schizophrenia. Arch Gen
Psychiatry. 1995 Dec 1;52(12):998–1007.

113. Cruz-Martín A, Schweizer FE. Imbalance between excitation and inhibition among synaptic
connections of CA3 pyramidal neurons in cultured hippocampal slices. Eur J Neurosci.
2008 Mar;27(6):1353–63.

114. Gomez-Arboledas A, Acharya MM, Tenner AJ. The Role of Complement in Synaptic
Pruning and Neurodegeneration. ImmunoTargets Ther. 2021 Sep 24;10:373–86.

115. Druart M, Le Magueresse C. Emerging Roles of Complement in Psychiatric Disorders.
Front Psychiatry [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2022 May 14];10. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00573

116. Hammond TR, Robinton D, Stevens B. Microglia and the Brain: Complementary Partners
in Development and Disease. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2018;34(1):523–44.

117. Stevens B, Allen NJ, Vazquez LE, Howell GR, Christopherson KS, Nouri N, et al. The
Classical Complement Cascade Mediates CNS Synapse Elimination. Cell. 2007 Dec
14;131(6):1164–78.

118. Dejanovic B, Wu T, Tsai MC, Graykowski D, Gandham VD, Rose CM, et al. Complement
C1q-dependent excitatory and inhibitory synapse elimination by astrocytes and microglia in
Alzheimer's disease mouse models. Nat Aging. 2022 Sep;2(9):837–50.

119. Schafer DP, Lehrman EK, Kautzman AG, Koyama R, Mardinly AR, Yamasaki R, et al.
Microglia Sculpt Postnatal Neural Circuits in an Activity and Complement-Dependent
Manner. Neuron. 2012 May 24;74(4):691–705.

120. Liddelow SA, Guttenplan KA, Clarke LE, Bennett FC, Bohlen CJ, Schirmer L, et al.
Neurotoxic reactive astrocytes are induced by activated microglia. Nature. 2017
Jan;541(7638):481–7.

121. Lian H, Yang L, Cole A, Sun L, Chiang ACA, Fowler SW, et al. NFκB-Activated Astroglial
Release of Complement C3 Compromises Neuronal Morphology and Function Associated
with Alzheimer's Disease. Neuron. 2015 Jan 7;85(1):101–15.

122. Lee JD, Kamaruzaman NA, Fung JNT, Taylor SM, Turner BJ, Atkin JD, et al.
Dysregulation of the complement cascade in the hSOD1G93A transgenic mouse model of
amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neuroinflammation. 2013 Sep 26;10:119.

123. Zhou J, Wade SD, Graykowski D, Xiao MF, Zhao B, Giannini LAA, et al. The neuronal pentraxin Nptx2 regulates complement activity and restrains microglia-mediated synapse loss in neurodegeneration. Sci Transl Med. 2023 Mar 29;15(689):eadf0141.

124. Phadke RA, Kruzich E, Fournier LA, Brack A, Sha M, Picard I, et al. C4 induces pathological synaptic loss by impairing AMPAR trafficking. BioRxiv Prepr Serv Biol. 2023 Sep 29;2023.09.09.556388.

125. Kruzich E, Phadke RA, Brack A, Stroumbakis D, Infante O, Cruz-Martín A. A pipeline for STED super-resolution imaging and Imaris analysis of nanoscale synapse organization in mouse cortical brain slices. STAR Protoc. 2023 Dec;4(4):102707.

126. Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime Prevalence and Age-of-Onset Distributions of DSM-IV Disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005 Jun 1;62(6):593–602.

127. Calhoon GG, Tye KM. Resolving the neural circuits of anxiety. Nat Neurosci. 2015 Oct;18(10):1394–404.

128. Bandelow B, Michaelis S, Wedekind D. Treatment of anxiety disorders. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2017 Jun;19(2):93–107.

129. Comer AL, Jinadasa T, Sriram B, Phadke RA, Kretsge LN, Nguyen TPH, et al. Increased expression of schizophrenia-associated gene C4 leads to hypoconnectivity of prefrontal cortex and reduced social interaction. Nestler EJ, editor. PLOS Biol. 2020 Jan 14;18(1):e3000604.

130. Arnsten AFT. Stress signalling pathways that impair prefrontal cortex structure and function. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009 Jun;10(6):410–22.

131. Duffney LJ, Zhong P, Wei J, Matas E, Cheng J, Qin L, et al. Autism-like Deficits in Shank3-Deficient Mice Are Rescued by Targeting Actin Regulators. Cell Rep. 2015 Jun;11(9):1400–13.

132. Sceniak MP, Lang M, Enomoto AC, James Howell C, Hermes DJ, Katz DM. Mechanisms of Functional Hypoconnectivity in the Medial Prefrontal Cortex of Mecp2 Null Mice. Cereb Cortex. 2016 May 1;26(5):1938–56.

133. Lee AT, Cunniff MM, See JZ, Wilke SA, Luongo FJ, Ellwood IT, et al. VIP Interneurons Contribute to Avoidance Behavior by Regulating Information Flow across Hippocampal-Prefrontal Networks. Neuron. 2019 Jun;102(6):1223-1234.e4.

134. Courtin J, Chaudun F, Rozeske RR, Karalis N, Gonzalez-Campo C, Wurtz H, et al. Prefrontal parvalbumin interneurons shape neuronal activity to drive fear expression. Nature. 2014 Jan;505(7481):92–6.

135. Bicks LK, Koike H, Akbarian S, Morishita H. Prefrontal Cortex and Social Cognition in Mouse and Man. Front Psychol [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2023 Dec 22];6. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01805

136. Forbes CE, Grafman J. The role of the human prefrontal cortex in social cognition and
moral judgment. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2010;33:299–324.

137. Bicks LK, Yamamuro K, Flanigan ME, Kim JM, Kato D, Lucas EK, et al. Prefrontal
parvalbumin interneurons require juvenile social experience to establish adult social
behavior. Nat Commun. 2020 Feb 21;11(1):1003.

138. Bennett FC, Molofsky AV. The immune system and psychiatric disease: a basic science
perspective. Clin Exp Immunol. 2019 Sep;197(3):294–307.

139. Hodes GE, Kana V, Menard C, Merad M, Russo SJ. Neuroimmune mechanisms of
depression. Nat Neurosci. 2015 Oct;18(10):1386–93.

140. Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative
to modern treatment target. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016 Jan;16(1):22–34.

141. Estes ML, McAllister AK. Immune mediators in the brain and peripheral tissues in autism
spectrum disorder. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2015 Aug;16(8):469–86.

142. Hou R, Baldwin DS. A neuroimmunological perspective on anxiety disorders. Hum
Psychopharmacol. 2012 Jan;27(1):6–14.

143. Aw E, Zhang Y, Yalcin E, Herrmann US, Carroll MC. Chapter Two - Neuropsychiatric
disorders: An immunological perspective. In: Alt FW, Murphy KM, editors. Advances in
Immunology [Internet]. Academic Press; 2021 [cited 2023 Dec 13]. p. 83–155. Available
from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0065277621000316

144. Steen VM, Nepal C, Ersland KM, Holdhus R, Nævdal M, Ratvik SM, et al.
Neuropsychological Deficits in Mice Depleted of the Schizophrenia Susceptibility Gene
CSMD1. PLOS ONE. 2013 Nov 14;8(11):e79501.

145. Crider A, Feng T, Pandya CD, Davis T, Nair A, Ahmed AO, et al. Complement component
3a receptor deficiency attenuates chronic stress-induced monocyte infiltration and
depressive-like behavior. Brain Behav Immun. 2018 May;70:246–56.

146. Hensch TK, Bilimoria PM. Re-opening Windows: Manipulating Critical Periods for Brain
Development. Cerebrum Dana Forum Brain Sci. 2012 Aug 29;2012:11.

147. Hensch TK. Bistable Parvalbumin Circuits Pivotal for Brain Plasticity. Cell. 2014 Jan
16;156(1):17–9.

148. Donato F, Rompani SB, Caroni P. Parvalbumin-expressing basket-cell network plasticity
induced by experience regulates adult learning. Nature. 2013 Dec;504(7479):272–6.

149. Aronitz EM, Kamermans BA, Duffy KR. Development of parvalbumin neurons and
perineuronal nets in the visual cortex of normal and dark-exposed cats. J Comp Neurol.
2021;529(11):2827–41.

150. Dachtler J, Fox K. Do cortical plasticity mechanisms differ between males and females? J
Neurosci Res. 2017;95(1–2):518–26.

151. Selemon LD. A role for synaptic plasticity in the adolescent development of executive
function. Transl Psychiatry. 2013 Mar;3(3):e238–e238.

152. Chaudhury S, Sharma V, Kumar V, Nag TC, Wadhwa S. Activity-dependent synaptic
plasticity modulates the critical phase of brain development. Brain Dev. 2016 Apr
1;38(4):355–63.

153. Kourosh-Arami M, Hosseini N, Komaki A. Brain is modulated by neuronal plasticity during
postnatal development. J Physiol Sci. 2021 Nov 17;71(1):34.

154. Citri A, Malenka RC. Synaptic Plasticity: Multiple Forms, Functions, and Mechanisms.
Neuropsychopharmacology. 2008 Jan;33(1):18–41.

155. Zick JL, Blackman RK, Crowe DA, Amirikian B, DeNicola AL, Netoff TI, et al. Blocking
NMDAR Disrupts Spike Timing and Decouples Monkey Prefrontal Circuits: Implications for
Activity-Dependent Disconnection in Schizophrenia. Neuron. 2018 Jun 27;98(6):1243-
1255.e5.

156. Crowe DA, Willow A, Blackman RK, DeNicola AL, Chafee MV, Amirikian B. A prefrontal
network model operating near steady and oscillatory states links spike desynchronization
and synaptic deficits in schizophrenia. Compte A, Huguenard JR, Compte A, Ahmadian Y,
editors. eLife. 2024 Feb 6;13:e79352.

157. Daskalakis ZJ, Christensen BK, Fitzgerald PB, Chen R. Dysfunctional Neural Plasticity in
Patients With Schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2008 Apr 1;65(4):378–85.

158. Papaleo F, Yang F, Paterson C, Palumbo S, Carr GV, Wang Y, et al. Behavioral,
Neurophysiological, and Synaptic Impairment in a Transgenic Neuregulin1 (NRG1-IV)
Murine Schizophrenia Model. J Neurosci. 2016 Apr 27;36(17):4859–75.

159. Crabtree GW, Sun Z, Kvajo M, Broek JAC, Fénelon K, McKellar H, et al. Alteration of
Neuronal Excitability and Short-Term Synaptic Plasticity in the Prefrontal Cortex of a
Mouse Model of Mental Illness. J Neurosci. 2017 Apr 12;37(15):4158–80.

160. Kvajo M, McKellar H, Drew LJ, Lepagnol-Bestel AM, Xiao L, Levy RJ, et al. Altered axonal
targeting and short-term plasticity in the hippocampus of Disc1 mutant mice. Proc Natl
Acad Sci. 2011 Dec 6;108(49):E1349–58.

161. Tang TTT, Yang F, Chen BS, Lu Y, Ji Y, Roche KW, et al. Dysbindin regulates
hippocampal LTP by controlling NMDA receptor surface expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
2009 Dec 15;106(50):21395–400.

162. Nakazawa K, Jeevakumar V, Nakao K. Spatial and temporal boundaries of NMDA receptor
hypofunction leading to schizophrenia. NPJ Schizophr. 2017 Feb 3;3:7.

163. Murray JD, Anticevic A, Gancsos M, Ichinose M, Corlett PR, Krystal JH, et al. Linking
Microcircuit Dysfunction to Cognitive Impairment: Effects of Disinhibition Associated with
Schizophrenia in a Cortical Working Memory Model. Cereb Cortex N Y NY. 2014
Apr;24(4):859–72.

164. Babaev O, Piletti Chatain C, Krueger-Burg D. Inhibition in the amygdala anxiety circuitry.
Exp Mol Med. 2018 Apr;50(4):1–16.

165. Krabbe S, Gründemann J, Lüthi A. Amygdala Inhibitory Circuits Regulate Associative Fear
Conditioning. Biol Psychiatry. 2018 May 15;83(10):800–9.

1600

1601

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. A novel transgenic mouse line permits PV cell specific overexpression of
complement component 4. (**A**) Genetic strategy. Positive selection marker (Neo), self-deletion
anchor (SDA) sites. Diphtheria toxin A (DTA) sites. The constitutive KI allele can be obtained
after Cre- or Flp-mediated recombination, yielding relatively strong or weak OE of *mC4*,
respectively. (**B**) Breeding scheme. (**C**) Increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells did not alter mouse
weights compared to controls. (**D**) OE of *mC4* in PV cells did not impact the distance traveled.
(**E**) Representative confocal images of PV cells (*magenta*) in mouse mPFC in P55-74 WT (*left*)
and KI (*right*) animals. *scale bar* = 100 um. L, layer. (**F**) mPFC Cell density, yellow box. Bregma
coordinates. AP, Anterior-posterior axis. (**G**) No difference in PV cell density between WT and
KI. (**H**, *top two rows*) Representative confocal images (40x) of a PV (first row) and somatostatin
(SST) cell (second row) in a WT mouse. (**H**, *bottom two rows*) Representative confocal images
(40x) of a PV (first row) and SST cell (second row) in a KI mouse. For all rows in H, *left to right*:
DAPI (*gray*), *mC4* (*red*), PV (*green*), SST (*cyan*), merged image without DAPI. (**I**) In P21 KI
mice, *mC4* was overexpressed in PV, but not in SST or all other DAPI-labeled cells. In P21 KI
mice, *mC4* expression was greater in PV than in SST and Other cells. (**J**) In P65 mice, *mC4*
was overexpressed in PV, but not in SST or all other DAPI-labeled cells. In KI mice, *mC4*
expression was greater in PV than in SST and Other cells. (**K**, **L**) No differences in PV
expression between groups. WT: blue squares, KI: red circles. All statistics,*$p<0.05$, **$p <0.01$,
***$p <0.001$, ****$p <0.0001$. For information on statistics, see Statistical Supplement. Mean ±
SEM shown.

1623

Figure 2. PV-specific mC4-OE causes an increase in anxiety-like behavior in male mice. (**A**)
Timeline of behavioral assays. Mice were tracked in the light zone, represented by a green outline
(B). (**B**) Representative trajectories of WT (*blue*) and KI *(red)* mice in the light zone of the LDB
(colored traces). Yellow triangle, entrance to the light zone. (**C**) Percent time spent in the open
arms of the EZM did not differ between groups. (**D**) Decreased time in the EZM open arms in
male but not female KIs relative to WTs. (**E**) KI mice spent less time in the light zone of the LDB
compared to WT mice. (**F**) No sex-dependent differences in LDB light zone time between groups.
(**G**) Latency to feed in the NSF did not differ between groups. (**H**) Increase in the latency to feed
for KI males compared to WT controls. (**I**) Significant increase in the Z-Anxiety of KI mice relative
to WTs. (**J**) Compared to WT controls, there was an increase in the Z-Anxiety of KI male but not
KI female mice. WT: blue squares, KI: red circles. All statistics,*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$. For information
on statistics, see Statistical Supplement. Mean ± SEM shown.

1636

Figure 3. Increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells disrupts active but not passive social behaviors. (**A**) Object interaction task (*left*). Representative trajectories of WT (*middle, blue*) and KI (*right, red*) exploring a novel object (*black rectangle*). (**B**) KI mice explored the novel object as much as WT controls. (**C**) Representative images of interaction sub-classes. *Active*, experimental mouse engaging the stimulus mouse; *Passive*, stimulus mouse engaging the experimental mouse. Percentage of each sub-class of behavior is below. (**D**) *Left*: Relative to WT controls, KI mice spent less time engaged in the active snout-rear interaction type. *Right*: There were no sex-related differences in active-snout-rear interaction between groups. (**E**) There was no change in Z-Sociability in either KI male or female mice. WT: blue squares. KI: red circles. All statistics,*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$. For information on statistics, see Statistical Supplement. Mean ± SEM shown.

Figure 4. Sex-related difference in excitatory-inhibitory dynamics in mPFC PV cells with increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells. (**A**) Representative voltage-clamp traces of mEPSCs recorded in PV cells of P40-60 WT (*blue*) and KI (*red*) mice, *scale bar* = 250 ms, 10 pA. (**B**) No change in mEPSC amplitude in KI mice, compared to controls. (**C**) No shift in the cumulative frequency distribution of mEPSC amplitudes in KI mice. (**D**) mC4-OE led to a decrease in mEPSC frequency in KI male mice, but not KI female mice. (**E**) Increased *mC4* expression caused a rightward shift in the distribution of mEPSC inter-event-intervals (IEIs) of PV cells in KI male mice, but not KI female mice. (**F**) mEPSC Rise$_{10-90}$ was not impacted in KI mice, relative to WT controls. (**G**) mEPSC Decay$_{tau}$ was not changed in KI mice. (**H**) Representative 1s voltage clamp traces of mIPSCs recorded in PV cells of P40-60 WT (*blue*) and KI (*red*) mice, *scale bar* = 250 ms, 10 pA. (**I**) mIPSC amplitude was increased in KI male, but not KI female mice. (**J**) Increased expression of *mC4* caused a rightward shift in the distribution of mIPSC amplitudes in KI male mice. (**K**) mIPSC frequency was not changed in KI mice. (**L**) No shift in the distribution of mIPSC IEIs of KI mice. (**M**) Relative to controls, there were no changes in mIPSC Rise$_{10-90}$ with increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells. (**N**) mIPSC Decay$_{tau}$ was not changed in KI mice. WT: blue squares. KI: red circles. *N* represents cells. All statistics,*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$. For information on statistics, see Statistical Supplement. Mean ± SEM shown.

Figure 5. PV-specific mC4-OE leads to opposing changes in excitability of PV cells in male and female mice. (**A**) Representative recordings of PV cells injected with -100 (*black*), 140 (*darker colored shade*), and 230 pA (*lighter colored shade*) of current from WT (*blue*) and KI (*red*) mice, *scale bar* = 200 ms, 200 pA/20 mV. Baseline V$_m$, -65 mV. (**B**) PV cells in KI male mice spike less than PV cells in WT male mice. (**C**) mC4-OE led to an increase in the excitability of PV cells in KI female mice, relative to controls. (**D**) Rheobase was decreased in KI female mice, relative to controls. (**E**) PV-mC4-OE drove a shift in PV cell resting membrane voltage towards a more depolarized V$_m$. (**F**) Representative recordings of PYRs injected with -100 (*black*), 140 (*darker colored shade*), and 230 pA (*lighter colored shade*) from WT (*blue*) and KI (*red*) mice, *scale bar* = 200 ms, 200 pA/20 mV. (**G**) OE of *mC4* decreased the spike frequency of PYRs in KI male mice, relative to controls. (**H**) No differences in the excitability of PYRs in females between groups. (**I**) No changes in PYR Rheobase. (**J**) No change overall in PYR resting V$_m$ in KI mice, compared to controls. WT: blue squares. KI: red circles. *N* represents cells. All statistics,*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$. For information on statistics, see Statistical Supplement. Mean ± SEM shown.

Figure 6. No changes in anxiety-like behavior with pan-neuronal overexpression of *mC4*.
(**A**) Mouse breeding (*top*) and anxiety behavioral battery (*bottom*) as in Fig. 2. (**B**, **C**) Pan-neuronal mC4-OE does not alter locomotion. (**D**, **E**) No change in time spent in the open arms with pan-neuronal mC4-OE. (**F**, **G**) Time spent in the light zone was not altered by pan-neuronal mC4-OE. (**H**, **I**) OE of *mC4* in neurons did not alter feed latency. (**J**, **K**) mC4-OE in neurons did not lead to changes in overall anxiety-like behavior. WT: blue squares. KI: red circles. All statistics, *$p < 0.05$*. For information on statistics, see Statistical Supplement. Mean ± SEM shown.

Figure 7. Disrupted neural communication and hyperexcitability in a network model of male mice with increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells. (**A**) Network architecture and applied current (*Iapp*). (**B**) The firing rate (FR) of PV2 in WT and KI networks at peak I_{app} of 200 pA (*left*), 275 pA (*middle*), and 350 pA (*right*). (**C**) The FR of PYR2 in WT and KI networks at peak I_{app} of 200 pA (*left*), 275 pA (*middle*), and 350 pA (*right*). (**D**) Transfer entropy (TE) at each delay (lag) of PYR1 onto PYR2 in male WT (*blue*) and male KI (*red*) networks at peak I_{app} of 200 pA (*left*), 275 pA (*middle*), and 350 pA (*right*). (**E**) TE at each delay (lag) of PYR1 onto PYR2 in female WT (*blue*) and female KI (*red*) networks at peak I_{app} of 200 pA (*left*), 275 pA (*middle*), and 350 pA (*right*). WT network: blue squares. KI network: red circles. *N*, simulations. *N*=15 for all networks. For information on statistics, see Statistical Supplement. Mean ± SEM shown. For all plots, '#' indicates all *$p < 0.05$*.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS

Supplemental Figure 1. No change in latency to feed in the Cage NSF in PV-mC4-KI mice relative to controls. (**A**) Schematic of the Cage NSF. Latency to feed (s) was measured when WT or KI mice were placed in a more familiar environment, a standard cage. (**B**) No change in the latency to feed between WT and KI mice (Mann-Whitney test, *p*=0.5881). (**C**) No change in the latency to feed between groups, separated by sex (Two-way ANOVA, Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,34)}$=0.2861, *p*=0.5962. Condition: $F_{(1,34)}$=0.4357, *p*=0.5137. Sex: $F_{(1,34)}$=0.00207, *p*=0.9640). WT: blue squares, *N*=12 males, *N*=7 females. KI: red circles, *N*=7 males, *N*=12 females. For all statistics,*$p < 0.05$*. Mean ± SEM shown.

Supplemental Figure 2. No changes in less-frequent sub-classes of social behavior with increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells. (**A**) Both WT and KI mice initiate most interactions during the juvenile interaction task (Condition x Interaction class: $F_{(1,92)}$=4.484, *p*=0.0369. Condition: $F_{(1,92)}$=2.881, *p*=0.0930. Interaction class: $F_{(1,92)}$=236.1, ****$p < 0.0001$. Post-test: Active vs. Passive WT, ****$p < 0.0001$, KI, ****$p < 0.0001$) with KI mice engaging less in active interactions compared to controls (WT vs. KI, *p*=0.0488). (**B**, **C**) No change in reciprocal snout-snout interactions (13% of total social interactions) in KI mice, compared to controls (B: Mann-Whitney test, *p*=0.4993. C: Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,44)}$=0.1482, *p*=0.7021. Condition: $F_{(1,44)}$=0.3293, *p*=0.5690. Sex: $F_{(1,44)}$=0.2833, *p*=0.5972). (**D**, **E**) No change in the active snout-body interaction (23% of total social interactions) in KI mice (D: t-test with Welch's correction, *p*=0.6501. E: Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,44)}$=0.0133, *p*=0.9088. Condition: $F_{(1,44)}$=0.2210, *p*=0.6406. Sex: $F_{(1,44)}$=3.028, *p*=0.0888). (**F**, **G**) No differences in the passive snout-rear interaction (9% of total social interactions) between groups (F: Mann-Whitney test, *p*=0.9186. G: Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,44)}$=2.601,

p=0.1139. Condition: $F_{(1,44)}$=0.2085, *p*=0.6502. Sex: $F_{(1,44)}$=1.930, *p*=0.1718). (**H**, **I**) No
differences in the passive snout-body interaction (5% of total social interactions) between groups
(H: Mann-Whitney test, *p*=0.5392, I: Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,44)}$=3.745, *p*=0.0594. Condition:
$F_{(1,44)}$=0.4934, *p*=0.4861. Sex: $F_{(1,44)}$=0.2645, *p*=0.6096). WT: blue squares, *N*=13 males, *N*=12
females. KI: red circles, *N*=12 males, *N*=11 females. For all statistics,**p*<0.05, ***p*<0.01,
****p*<0.001, *****p*<0.0001. Two-way ANOVA, unless otherwise stated. Mean ± SEM shown.

1731

Supplemental Figure 3. Overexpression of *mC4* in PV cells did not alter social
interactions in the three-chamber assay. (**A**) (*Top*) Schematic representation of three-
chamber assay. (*Bottom*) Representative trajectories (tracked with DLC) of WT (*blue*) and KI
(red) mice in the three-chamber assay. E, *empty cup*; M, *mouse cup*. (**B**) WT and KI mice spent
more time in the chamber containing the mouse cup compared to the empty-cup chamber,
suggesting that they prefer social interactions (Condition x Chamber: $F_{(1,84)}$=0.1733, *p*=0.6782.
Condition: $F_{(1,84)}$=1.911, *p*=0.1706. Chamber: $F_{(1,84)}$=34.19, *****p*<0.0001. Post-test: Mouse vs.
Empty, WT ***p*=0.0013, KI, ****p*=0.0002). (**C**, **D**) No change in the social discrimination index
(SI) between WT and KI mice (C: t-test with Welch's correction, *p*=0.9463. D: Condition x Sex:
$F_{(1,40)}$=1.455, *p*=0.2349. Condition: $F_{(1,40)}$=0.03420, *p*=0.8542. Sex: $F_{(1,40)}$=1.643, *p*=0.2074).
WT: blue squares, *N*=10 males, *N*=12 females. KI: red circles, *N*=12 males, *N*=10 females. For
all statistics,**p*<0.05, ***p*<0.01, ****p*<0.001, Two-way ANOVA, unless otherwise stated. Mean ±
SEM shown.

1745

Supplemental Figure 4. PV-specific mC4-OE alters the kinetics of mEPSCs in PYRs of
female mice. (**A**) Representative 1 s traces of mEPSCs recorded in PYRs in P40-60 young adult
WT (*blue*) and KI (*red*) mice, *scale bar* = 250 ms, 10 pA. (**B**) No change in mEPSC amplitude in
KI mice, relative to controls (Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,61)}$=1.945, *p*=0.1681. Condition: $F_{(1,61)}$=0.4158,
p=0.5214. Sex: $F_{(1,61)}$=1.397, *p*=0.2418). (**C**) No change in in mEPSC frequency in KI mice
(Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,61)}$=2.291, *p*=0.1353. Condition: $F_{(1,61)}$=0.1903, *p*=0.6642. Sex:
$F_{(1,61)}$=3.341, *p*=0.0725). (**D**) mC4-OE did not alter mEPSC rise $Rise_{10-90}$ (Condition x Sex:
$F_{(1,61)}$=3.916, *p*=0.0524. Condition: $F_{(1,61)}$=0.3104, *p*=0.5795. Sex: $F_{(1,61)}$=0.3120, *p*=0.5785). (**E**)
Increased expression of *mC4* led to a significant decrease in mEPSC $Decay_{tau}$ in KI females
(Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,61)}$=12.74, ****p*=0.0007. Condition: $F_{(1,61)}$=0.3684, *p*=0.5461. Sex:
$F_{(1,61)}$=2.183, *p*=0.1447. Post-test: WT vs. KI males, *p*=0.0822, females, ***p*=0.0083). (**F**)
Representative 1 s traces of mIPSCs recorded in PYRs in young adult WT (*blue*) and KI (*red*)
mice, *scale bar* = 250 ms, 10 pA. (**G**) No change in mIPSC amplitude in KI mice, relative to
controls (Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,60)}$=0.5354, *p*=0.4672. Condition: $F_{(1,60)}$=0.00013, *p*=0.9909. Sex:
$F_{(1,60)}$=0.00367, *p*=0.9519). (**H**) mIPSC frequency was not different between groups (Condition x
Sex: $F_{(1,60)}$=0.03569, *p*=0.8508. Condition: $F_{(1,60)}$=0.2141, *p*=0.6452. Sex: $F_{(1,60)}$=2.690,
p=0.1062). (**I**) Increased expression of *mC4* did not impact mIPSC $Rise_{10-90}$ (Condition x Sex:
$F_{(1,60)}$=0.3837, *p*=0.5380. Condition: $F_{(1,60)}$=0.7667, *p*=0.3847. Sex: $F_{(1,60)}$=5.047, **p*=0.0284.
Post-test: WT vs. KI males, *p*=0.9795, females, *p*=0.5025). (**J**) $Decay_{tau}$ was not changed in KI
mice (Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,60)}$=1.153, *p*=0.2871. Condition: $F_{(1,60)}$=0.7059, *p*=0.4042. Sex:
$F_{(1,60)}$=0.2624, *p*=0.6104). WT: blue squares. KI: red circles. *N* represents cells. mEPSC WT
N=17 males, *N*=16 females; mEPSC KI: *N*=15 males, *N*=17 females. mIPSC WT *N*=16 males,
N=16 females; mIPSC KI: *N*=16 males, *N*=16 females. For all statistics,**p*<0.05, ***p* <0.01, Two-
way ANOVA, unless otherwise stated. Mean ± SEM shown.

1770

Supplemental Figure 5. Modeled PV and PYR units have similar firing rates as experimental PV and PYR cells in acute brain slices. For all frequency vs. current (FI curve) plots, average experimental FI curve data (colored curve) is plotted against the equivalent FI curve for the respective modeled cell (black curve). All insets show a representative voltage trace from experimental data (colored voltage trace, top) and the voltage trace of the equivalent modeled cell (black voltage trace, bottom). Inset traces for experimental PV cells and modeled PV units (**A, C, E,** and **G**) are in response to an identical 230 pA square pulse (500 ms). Inset traces for experimental PYR and modeled PYR units (**B, D, F,** and **H**) are in response to an identical 350 pA square current pulse (500 ms). Scale for all insets, 200 ms/50 mV. (**A**, **B**) FI curve comparisons for WT male PV cells (**A**) and PYR (**B**). (**C**, **D**) FI curve comparisons for WT female PV cells (**C**) and PYR (**D**). (**E**, **F**) FI curve comparisons for KI male PV cells (**E**) and PYR (**F**). (**G**, **H**) FI curve comparisons for KI female PV cells (**G**) and PYR (**H**).

Supplemental Figure 6. A computational model reveals that changes associated with PV-mC4-OE drive changes in PYR-PV information flow. (**A**) Schematic showing the network architecture and applied current (*Iapp*) of the computational model. (**B**) Plots showing the average transfer entropy (TE) at each delay (lag) of PYR1 onto PV2 in male WT (*blue*) and male KI (*red*) networks at peak I_{app} of 200 pA (*top,* Condition x Lag: $F_{(7,196)}$=67.00, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,28)}$=448.8, ****p<0.0001. Lag: $F_{(1.541,43.16)}$=93.00, ****p<0.0001), 275 pA (*middle,* Condition x Lag: $F_{(7,196)}$=51.27, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,28)}$=339.8, ****p<0.0001. Lag: $F_{(1.603,44.90)}$=73.85, ****p<0.0001), and 350 pA (*bottom,* Condition x Lag: $F_{(7,196)}$=42.06, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,28)}$=307.0, ****p<0.0001. Lag: $F_{(2.123,59.44)}$=73.98, ****p<0.0001). (**C**) Plots showing the average transfer entropy (TE) at each delay (lag) of PYR1 onto PV2 in female WT (*blue*) and female KI (*red*) networks at peak I_{app} of 200pA (*top,* Condition x Lag: $F_{(7,196)}$=8.426, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,28)}$=10.37, **p=0.0032. Lag: $F_{(1.507, 42.19)}$=141.1, ****p<0.0001), 275 pA (*middle,* Condition x Lag: $F_{(7,196)}$=8.855, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,28)}$=41.96, ****p<0.0001. Lag: $F_{(1.367,38.27)}$=83.36, ****p<0.0001), and 350 pA (*bottom,* Condition x Lag: $F_{(7,196)}$= 11.66, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,28)}$=43.53, ****p<0.0001. Lag: $F_{(1.567,43.87)}$=122.8, ****p<0.0001). (**D**) The average latency from one PYR1 spike to the next soonest PV2 spike in WT and KI networks at peak I_{app} of 200 pA (*left,* Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=127.8, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,56)}$=85.53, ****p<0.0001. Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=96.97, ****p<0.0001. Post-test: WT vs. KI males, ****p<0.0001, females, p=0.2803), 275 pA (*middle,* Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=141.7, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,56)}$=65.75, ****p<0.0001. Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=133.0, ****p<0.0001. Post-test: WT vs. KI males, ****p<0.0001, females, *p=0.0191), and 350 pA (*right,* Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=83.60, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,56)}$=31.45, ****p<0.0001. Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=75.19, ****p<0.0001. Post-test: WT vs. KI males, ****p<0.0001, females, *p=0.0305). (**E**) The standard deviation of the latency from one PYR1 spike to the next soonest PV2 spike in WT and KI networks at peak I_{app} of 200 pA (*left,* Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=32.54, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,56)}$=11.29, **p=0.0014. Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=17.23, ***p=0.0001. Post-test: WT vs. KI males, ****p<0.0001, females, p=0.1953), 275 pA (*middle,* Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=71.33, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,56)}$=8.837, **p=0.0043. Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=68.89, ****p<0.0001. Post-test: WT vs. KI males, ****p<0.0001, females, ***p=0.0006), and 350 pA (*right,* Condition x Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=34.73, ****p<0.0001. Condition: $F_{(1,56)}$=2.936, p=0.0921. Sex: $F_{(1,56)}$=26.08, ****p<0.0001. Post-test: WT vs. KI males, ****p<0.0001, females,

**p=0.0091).WT network: blue squares. KI network: red circles. *N* represents simulations. *N*=15

for all networks. (**F**) Representative simulated traces of PYR2 membrane voltage (green)

overlaid with the timing of spikes of PYR1 (gray) and PV2 (orange) in the female WT (*top*) and

female KI (*bottom*) network, *scale bar* = 20 ms, 20mV. For all statistics, *p<0.05, **p<0.01,

***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, Repeated-measure Two-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons (**B,**

C) or Two-way ANOVA (**E, F**). Mean ± SEM shown. For all plots, '#' indicates all *p<0.05.

1821

1822 **Supplemental Table 1. Active and passive electrophysiological properties of PV cells in**

1823 **PV-mC4-WT and KI mice – Main Effects.** Table displays the main effects results of each Two-

1824 way ANOVA for PV cells. Significant main effects (*p*<0.05) are bolded.

1825

Supplemental Table 2. Active and passive electrophysiological properties of PV cells in

PV-mC4-WT and KI mice – Post-tests. Table displays WT and KI means ± SEM, and the

associated *p*-value (Šídák's multiple comparisons) for the comparison between conditions if

applicable. Data are separated by sex, male data on the left and female data on the right. For all

statistics *p<0.05, Two-way ANOVA. In all KI mice, resting V_m was significantly more depolarized

in response to PV-mC4-OE, relative to WT (WT: -67.25 ± 0.8696 mV vs. KI: -64.05 ± 1.209 mV,

t-test with Welch's correction, *p=0.0356), data not shown in table.

1833

1834 **Supplemental Table 3. Active and passive electrophysiological properties of PYRs in PV-**

1835 **mC4-WT and KI mice – Main Effects.** Table displays the main effects results of each Two-way

1836 ANOVA for PYRs. Significant main effects (*p*<0.05) are bolded.

1837

1838 **Supplemental Table 4. Active and passive electrophysiological properties of PYRs in PV-**

1839 **mC4-WT and KI mice – Post-tests.** Table displays WT and KI means ± SEM, and the associated

1840 *p*-value (Šídák's multiple comparisons) for the comparison between conditions if applicable. Data

1841 are separated by sex, male data on the left and female data on the right. For all statistics *p<0.05,

1842 Two-way ANOVA.

1843

1844 **Supplemental Table 5. PYR and PV cell parameters used in computational model.** DynaSim

1845 parameters. Specific values for E_L, R_m, C_m, V_{thresh}, and V_{reset} were determined experimentally.

1846

1847 **Supplemental Table 6. Synaptic parameters used in computational model.** DynaSim

1848 parameters. Synaptic connectivity conductance (g_{syn}) was set to a default value of 0.03 for all

1849 connections, and was only altered where mEPSC or mIPSC frequency or amplitude recorded in

1850 PYR or PV cells was significantly different between groups across or within sex. Rise and decay

1851 kinetics for all parameters were determined experimentally.

Figure 1. A novel transgenic mouse line permits PV cell specific overexpression of complement component 4.

Figure 2. PV-specific mC4-OE causes an increase in anxiety-like behavior in male mice.

Figure 3. Increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells disrupts active but not passive social behaviors.

Figure 4. Sex-related difference in excitatory-inhibitory dynamics in mPFC PV cells with increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells.

Figure 5. PV-specific mC4-OE leads to opposing changes in excitability of PV cells in male and female mice.

Figure 6. No changes in anxiety-like behavior with pan-neuronal overexpression of *mC4.*

Figure 7. Disrupted neural communication and hyperexcitability in a network model of male mice with increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells.

Supplemental Figure 1. No change in latency to feed in the Cage NSF in PV-mC4-KI mice relative to controls.

Supplemental Figure 2. No changes in less-frequent sub-classes of social behavior with increased levels of *mC4* in PV cells.

A 3-Chamber

PV-mC4-WT   PV-mC4-KI

B

Time (%)

Chamber

Empty   Mouse

**

***

C

SI [M-E/M+E]

D

SI [M-E/M+E]

♂   ♀

Supplemental Figure 3. Overexpression of *mC4* in PV cells did not alter social interactions in the three-chamber assay.

Supplemental Figure 4. PV-specific mC4-OE alters the kinetics of mEPSCs in PYRs of female mice.

Supplemental Figure 5. Modeled PV and PYR units have similar firing rates as experimental PV and PYR cells in acute brain slices.

Supplemental Figure 6. A computational model reveals that changes associated with PV-mC4-OE drive changes in PYR-PV information flow.