Multi-conflict islands are a widespread trend within *Serratia* spp.

Thomas Cummins[1], Stephen R. Garrett[2], Tim R. Blower[3] and Giuseppina Mariano[1*]

[1]Department of Microbial Sciences, School of Biosciences, University of Surrey, Guildford,

Surrey, United Kingdom

[2] Michael DeGroote Institute for Infectious Disease Research, McMaster University,

Hamilton, ON L8S 4K1, Canada

Department of Biochemistry and Biomedical Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON

L8S 4K1, Canada

[3] Department of Biosciences, Durham University, Stockton Road, Durham, United Kingdom

*Correspondence to g.mariano@surrey.ac.uk

SUMMARY

Bacteria carry numerous anti-phage systems in 'defence islands' or hotspots. Recent studies

have delineated the content and boundaries of these islands in various species, revealing

instances of islands that encode additional factors, including antibiotic resistance, stress

genes, Type VI Secretion System (T6SS)-dependent effectors, and virulence factors.

Our study identifies three defence islands in the *Serratia* genus with a mixed cargo of anti-

phage systems, virulence factors and different types of anti-bacterial modules, revealing a

widespread trend of co-accumulation that extends beyond T6SS-dependent effectors to

colicins and contact-dependent inhibition systems. We report the identification of four distinct

anti-phage system/subtypes, including a previously unreported Toll/IL-1 receptor (TIR)-

domain-containing system with population-wide immunity, and two loci co-opting a predicted

T6SS-related protein for phage defence. This study enhances our understanding of the

protein domains that can be co-opted for phage defence and of the diverse combinations in

which known anti-phage proteins can be assembled, resulting in a highly diversified anti-

phage arsenal.

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria utilize hundreds of diverse anti-phage systems to overcome the evolutionary

pressure posed by their viral predator, bacteriophages (phages). Many of these novel

systems were only recently discovered and have shown remarkable diversity in their

mechanisms of phage inhibition[1–5]. Interestingly, a considerable number of newly-discovered

anti-phage systems show homology and resemblance to components of the innate immunity
pathways of eukaryotes [6–11]. For example, several anti-phage systems harbour a Toll/IL-1
receptor (TIR) domain [4,5,12,13]. In the Thoeris system this domain is used for the production of
the isomer of cyclic adenosine 5'-diphosphate-ribose (cADPR) signalling molecule whereas
in other instances TIR domains can harbour NADase activity that leads to impaired survival
of phage-infected cells[1,4,12,14]. The BiI system represents another clear example wherein
innate immunity pathways first evolved in bacteria. This operon was shown to conjugate
ubiquitin to released viral particles following phage infection, rendering them less infectious
and unable to further propagate within the bacterial population[7,8].

The tendency of anti-phage systems to cluster together in genomic 'defence islands' or
hotspots has been extensively exploited in efforts to discover new players in bacterial
immunity [2,4,15–17]. Recently more and more studies have demonstrated that anti-phage
systems and defence islands can be carried on mobile genetic elements (MGE) such as P2-
and P4-like prophages and phage-inducible chromosomal islands (PICIs)[3,17–19]. The type of
defence arsenal carried is highly variable even within the same genus [3,18]. An interesting
emerging trend suggests that in some genera, including *Salmonella* and *Vibrio*, defence
hotspots frequently carry a mixed cargo[20,21]. In *Salmonella,* genomic hotspots carry a
variable cargo of anti-phage systems, antibiotic resistance genes and virulence factor [20].
Similarly, the gamma-mobile-trio (GMT) islands, initially discovered in *Vibrio*
parahaemolyticus, represents the first reported example of co-localisation of anti-phage
systems and Type VI Secretion System (T6SS)-mediated anti-bacterial effectors[21].
Nevertheless, the ratio of anti-phage and anti-bacterial modules of GMT islands exhibit a
genus-specific variation[21]. The marked diversity observed in genomic hotspots, flanking
genes, and substantial variation in cargo genes across different bacterial species highlights
the importance for targeted investigations of these islands within specific genera. Such
studies can reveal intricate details about the mobilisation and clustering of defence systems,
anti-bacterial toxins, and virulence factors. Moreover, these focused studies may uncover
novel types or subtypes of anti-phage systems.

The *Serratia* spp. pangenome demonstrates a notable degree of variability and plasticity[22].
Among the publicly available *Serratia* spp. genomes, *Serratia marcescens* is over-
represented within the genus, reflecting its increasing relevance in clinical settings as an
opportunistic pathogen[23,24]. *S. marcescens* strains are also extensively used to investigate
several biological processes, including Type VI Secretion System (T6SS)-mediated anti-
bacterial competition[25].

In this work, we provide insights in the anti-phage arsenal and the type of defence islands
found in the genus *Serratia.* By analysing the British Society for Antimicrobial chemotherapy
(BSAC) collection of *S. marcescens* isolates[26], we identify three defence hotspots conserved
within the *Serratia* genus. Our findings demonstrate that the three identified islands harbour
a mix of anti-phage systems and distinct types of virulence factors and anti-bacterial
proteins. The type of virulence or anti-bacterial effector encoded is specific for each genomic
island.

Through further investigation of the widespread LptG-YjiA island, which exhibits the highest
variability in anti-phage system cargo, we identified previously unreported anti-phage
systems/ subtypes, renamed **S**erratia **D**efence **I**sland **C**andidates (SDIC1-4). SDIC4
represent the first examples of an anti-phage system showing structural similarity to a
predicted T6SS-related protein, VasI. The anti-phage system SDIC1 harbours a TIR-like
domain and a second component with structural similarity to a putative ubiquitin ligase. We
show that SDIC1 elicits protection against phage infection through population-wide immunity
through SDIC1A, while SDIC1B alleviates the toxicity exerted by SDIC1A.

In summary, our data reveal a prevalent trend of defence hotspots acting as 'multi-conflict'
islands in *Serratia* spp., accumulating a diverse array of conflict systems, including anti-
phage systems, anti-bacterial proteins, and virulence effectors. This phenomenon extends
beyond T6SS-dependent effectors to other anti-bacterial systems, such as colicins and
contact-dependent inhibition systems (Cdi). Our study further expands the repertoire of
known anti-phage systems and subtypes, underscoring the widespread tendency of known
defence proteins to be re-shuffled in various combinations, defining numerous and distinct
anti-phage systems and subtypes.

RESULTS
Identification of defence islands in the *Serratia*
To identify defence islands in *S. marcescens*, we started from the genomes of a group of
strains collected by the British Society for Antimicrobial chemotherapy (BSAC). These
represent 205 clinical isolates that were isolated from the bloodstream of patients[26]. *S.*
marcescens BSAC strains are closely related, nevertheless, they encode a relatively high
diversity of known anti-phage systems (57 systems) (Figure S1a-b, Table S1). Within the
group of known anti-phage systems identified, PD-T4-6, Thoeris, and R-M type I are found
more frequently (Figure S1b and Table S1). Furthermore, using the latest version of
PADLOC[27], 13 additional PADLOC-specific putative systems, that currently remain
unverified experimentally, were detected (Figure S1a-b, Table S1).

Next, 50kb upstream and downstream of experimentally confirmed anti-phage systems were
retrieved to allow the inclusions of islands that contain multi-gene systems such as BREX
and DISARM. Proteins found in each genomic neighbourhood were clustered together using
MMseqs2[28] and inspected to find putative boundaries of defence islands (Table S2). Putative
defence-islands boundaries were used as queries to search a Refseq database with
cblaster[29]. This program leverages BLASTp to identify genomes wherein hits are co-
localised[29]. Genomic islands were identified as defence-enriched hotspots only if, when
searching a Refseq database, they contained known anti-phage systems in at least 30% of
Serratia spp. genomes.

The first putative defence hotspot identified with this method is delimited by *speG*, encoding
a spermidine N1-acetyltransferase, and *pstB,* encoding a phosphate ABC transporter. The
genomic region defined by SpeG-PstB is found in most *Serratia* genomes, albeit in some
cases this is not occupied by any additional genes (Figure 1a). Interestingly, most instances
of occupied SpeG-PstB hotspots carry a Thoeris system or another, unidentified, TIR-
containing defence system (Figure 1a and Table S3-S4). Occupied SpeG-PstB hotspots
frequently carry a haemolysin-like toxin and its cognate, secreting partner (Figure 1a and
Table S3-S4).

A second hotspot, defined by a LysR transcriptional regulator and an esterase (Table S5),
harbours a known anti-phage system in ~30% of instances (Table S5-S6). The island
encodes a diverse array of 27 different anti-phage system, with retron IIA, CBASS IIa,
Hydrolase-TM and DRT II being the most abundant (Table S6-Figure 1b).

Finally, we identified a third defence-hotspot encoded between LptG and a GTPase. We
note that the protein annotated as GTPase is homologous to YjiA, a protein that, together
with YjiO, defines the boundaries of a defence island in *Escherichia coli*[17]. Unlike *E. coli*, we
did not find YjiO homologues in *S. marcescens* strains (Figure 1c and Table S2). In BSAC
strains, the LptG-YjiA hotspot carries a variable defence cargo that accounts for 30% (17/57)
of the known anti-phage systems identified in the BSAC collection (Figure S1a-b, Figure 1b
and Table S7), with type I RM, type IV RM and type II argonaute being the most abundant
systems (Figure S1a-b, Table S7).

Within the LptG-YjiA islands of the BSAC collection we frequently found Type II toxin-
antitoxin systems, Cdi systems, and a protein annotated as 'Rhs IV effector' (Table S2). We
used PFAM and HHPRED[30,31] to characterise the latter and found it harbours structural
homology with a predicted 'VgrG2b C-terminal effector domain' (Figure 1b). This domain

was first reported in *P. aeruginosa* as a specialised T6SS effector with metallopeptidase
activity, normally found fused with the structural protein VgrG[32]. In the LptG-YjiA islands, the
VgrG2b toxic domain is found as cargo effector (not fused to T6SS structural proteins) and
encoded next to its predicted immunity lipoprotein (Figure 1b, Table S2)[32].

By using cblaster with relaxed search parameters that allow to report regions that are split
over two contigs, we found that LptG-YjiA islands are present in the majority of *Serratia* spp.
(Table S8). Nevertheless, further analysis was only performed on LptG-YjiA islands that
span one contig (Table S9). Anti-phage systems predictions highlighted that LptG-YjiA
islands contain at least 1 known anti-phage system in 90% instances and that they carry ~60
different anti-phage systems (Table S10). Consistent with what observed with the BSAC
collection, type I RM, type IV RM and type II argonautes remain enriched in *Serratia* LptG-
YjiA hotspots (Figure 2a and Table S10). Furthermore, extending the analysis to all *Serratia*
spp. revealed that type II RM, mza, type I BREX and Zorya II are also overrepresented
(Figure 2a-b). We further report that the islands defined by LptG and YjiA are always
occupied by several genes and no instances of empty (unoccupied) islands could be
detected (Figure 2c).

***Serratia* spp. islands are prevalently 'multi-conflict' islands.**
Given the increased variability in the arsenal of defence systems carried by LptG-YjiA
islands and the consistent observation of these islands being occupied, we set to further
explore their cargo.

To better characterise the protein content of each *Serratia* spp. LptG-YjiA island we used
MMseqs2 to cluster proteins encoded in each island and subsequently curated our dataset
to remove clusters that were predicted as known defence systems by PADLOC (Table S11).
The remaining sequences were analysed using AMRfinder, Secret6, and PSI-BLAST
comparisons against the Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) (Table S12-S13)[33–35]. With
these methods we were able to classify 9485 proteins (Table S12-S13). LptG-YjiA islands
encode few antibiotic resistance genes, mostly related to fosfomycin and gentamycin
resistance (Table S12-S13). When using VFDB, we classified proteins according to the
virulence   factors   categories   defined   by   the   database
(http://www.mgc.ac.cn/VFs/VFcategory.htm)[34]. Putative virulence factors involved in
adherence (fimbriae, pili, adhesins), immune modulation, regulation, and metabolic factors
(metal and ions uptake) are found to be overrepresented in LptG-YjiA islands (Figure 2d).
Additionally, within LptG-YjiA islands, quorum sensing factors, predicted T6SS
effectors/components and Cdi systems are also enriched (Figure 2d, Table S11-13). A

closer look to the group of predicted T6SS-dependent effectors revealed that these are
mostly represented by homologues of the orphan VgrG2b toxic domain (Figure 2e). We thus
wondered whether this could represent an example of toxins adapted for phage defence[36].
We tested a homologue of the VgrG2b toxic domain and its putative immunity protein
(WP_108680118.1 and WP_108680119.1) in *E. coli* MG1655 and *S. marcescens* Db10. The
effector-immunity pair were expressed under the control of the constitutive Tat promoter in a
low-copy number plasmid, and, in both systems, they could not provide immunity against
phages (Figure S2a-b), confirming this operon could instead represent an orphan T6SS
effector-immunity pair. Further investigation will shed light on the events that have driven the
accumulation of this particular pair in *Serratia* LptG-YjiA islands.

HHPRED was additionally used with an e-value threshold (< 0.05) (Table S14) to predict the
role of proteins that were not defined with the above methods. This analysis showed that
LptG-YjiA islands contain numerous predicted AAA^+ ATPases, DEAD box helicases, STAND
and NACHT domains, ubiquitin-like proteins, Zn^+ metallo-peptidases, restriction enzymes
and several TIR domains that were not recognised as part of known anti-phage systems by
PADLOC. These could be part of novel systems or of distinct subtypes of existing anti-phage
systems (Table S14). Alternatively, these could represent examples of known defence
systems with highly divergent protein sequences, causing the lack of prediction by PADLOC.
Inspection with HHPRED confirmed the presence of integrases, transposases and
recombinases, additional toxin-antitoxin systems as well as several more predicted T6SS-
related proteins (Table S14). In particular, we detected the presence of proteins with a VasI-
like fold (Table S14). VasI is a predicted T6SS-associated protein, albeit its function remains
poorly understood[37]. Whilst HHPRED predictions indicate that VasI-like proteins found in
Serratia LptG-YjiA hotspots are structural homologues of the T6SS-dependent VasI, they do
not share sequence identity by BLAST. VasI-like proteins carried within LptG-YjiA islands
are often encoded close to the WYL-containing protein BrxR, transcriptional regulators
strongly linked to anti-phage defence (Figure S2c)[38,39], thus these might represent an
example wherein a protein domain, normally involved in a different function, has been co-
opted for phage defence. We additionally found various predicted multidrug-efflux pumps,
stress response genes, additional virulence factors (such as VapE, IrmA and hyaluronate
lyase) and proteins with predicted roles in transport, metabolism, and modification of sugars
(Table S14). The latter could play a role in surface modification and masking of phage
receptors. Notably, even following the employment of HHPRED, the function of many
proteins in LptG-YjiA islands could not be predicted (Table S14). The investigation of these
proteins may reveal new players in i) anti-phage defence, ii) virulence or iii) anti-bacterial
competition.

Given the tendency of LptG-YjiA hotspots to accumulate a variable cargo and the over-
representation of a haemolysin-like toxin in occupied SpeG-PstB hotspots, we queried
whether this is a common pattern within *Serratia* islands. Using a PFAM database, we found
that LysR-esterase islands also carries virulence factors (RhuM, Table S15) and anti-
bacterial effectors (Table S15). Interestingly, whilst LptG-YjiA islands prevalently carry Cdi
and predicted T6SS-dependent proteins, colicins and pyocins are prevalent in LysR-
esterase hotspots (Table S15 and Figure S3).

Taken together, our analysis of *S. marcescens* strains from the BSAC collection and the
Serratia genus uncovered three 'multi-conflict' islands wherein anti-phage systems, toxin-
antitoxin systems, and proteins involved in anti-bacterial competition and virulence are
accumulated. Whilst the anti-phage system composition is mostly variable, the type of
virulence or anti-bacterial protein encoded is specific to each island.

LptG-YjiA islands are widespread multi-conflict islands in Enterobacteria.
YjiA was previously identified as the boundary of a defence hotspot in several *E. coli*
genomes, with YjiO, a multi-drug efflux transporter, identified as the second boundary of this
defence island[17]. Despite the relatedness of *E. coli* and *S. marcescens* and the use of
relaxed parameters in cblaster, no homologues of YjiO were detected in *Serratia* LptG- YjiA
islands (Table S7, Table S8, Table S16). While other multidrug-efflux pumps are encoded
within *Serratia* LptG-YjiA islands, they are not universally present in every island, ruling them
out as possible conserved boundaries (Table S14).

Consequently, we sought to understand the overlap between the two genomic islands in *E.*
coli, Serratia spp., and other Enterobacteria. Cblaster was run using LptG, YjiA, and YjiO as
query sequences (Table S16). These searches confirmed that in *Serratia* islands, YjiO
homologues are not present (Figure 3). Islands containing all three LptG-YjiO-YjiA are
instead widespread in several Enterobacteria, particularly enriched in *E. coli* and *Salmonella*
spp. (Table S16). The prevalence of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp. might be influenced by the
over-representation of genomes from these organisms on NCBI. Therefore, for further
analysis, genomes were filtered using fastANI to eliminate sequence duplications and
exclude closely related genomes (90% sequence identity).

In *Escherichia albertii* strains, detected islands lack YjiO and have LptG-YjiA as boundaries
(Figure 3a and Figure S4, Table S17). Conversely, in *E. coli* strains, the most common

genomic organization is LptG-YjiO-YjiA, showing considerable variability within genera

(Figure 3a and Figure S5). Notably, in *E. coli* strains, known anti-phage systems accumulate

both between YjiO-YjiA and between LptG-YjiO, suggesting a high likelihood of

recombination events in the intergenic spaces within LptG-YjiO-YjiA and the existence of two

insertion points (between LptG-YjiO and YjiO-YjiA) (Figure S5 and Table S18). We note that

E. coli strains found to carry a LptG-YjiA-YjiO island in our search are mostly distinct from

those previously reported to harbour YjiO-YjiA islands, underscoring the high plasticity of

defence islands within genera and species (Figure S5 and Table S18)[17].

Islands carrying LptG-YjiO-YjiA are also prevalent in *Citrobacter* spp. and *Salmonella* spp.,

showing a similar pattern of anti-phage system accumulation between either LptG-YjiO or

YjiO-YjiA (Figure 3, Figure S6, Figure S7, Table S16, Table S19, and Table S20). In the

case of *Shigella* spp., approximately 50% of genomes carry an LptG-YjiO-YjiA island, while

the remaining 50% have an island with LptG-YjiA as boundaries (Figure 3, Figure S8, and

Table S21). Furthermore, rare instances were found where LptG-YjiO represent the

boundaries of the defence islands, with YjiA being lost (Figure S4-S8, Table S16-S21). In

other instances, the three boundaries are retained, but YjiA and YjiO positions are inverted

(LptG-YjiA-YjiO) (Figure S4-S8, Table S16-S21). For simplicity, henceforth in this study, we

will refer to these islands indiscriminately as LptG-YjiA islands.

The analysis of non-defence proteins revealed that, like *Serratia*, LptG-YjiA islands in other

species can carry diverse genes involved in virulence or inter-bacterial competition (Figure

3b). Interestingly, our data indicate that the type of cargo can vary for each genus, with

Citrobacter spp. and *Salmonella* spp. harbouring the highest number of predicted T6SS-

related and T4SS-related proteins and *E. albertii* carrying the highest number of predicted

T3SS-related proteins amongst the genomes analysed (Figure 3b). Interestingly, we did not

find Cdi systems in LptG-YjiA islands of species other than *Serratia* (Figure 2 and 3b). While

rare, we note that in some *Citrobacter* spp., a full T6SS cluster is found within LptG-YjiA

islands (Figure 3b and Figure S7). Similar sporadic instances were also found by manual

inspections of Serratia LptG-YjiA islands that were split over two contigs (Table S8) and

sporadically in LysR-esterase hotspots (Figure S3b).

Taken together, our data show that LptG-YjiA islands are predominantly 'multi-conflict'

islands that accumulate a variable cargo across genera.

Serratia LptG-YjiA islands contain previously unidentified anti-phage systems

Manual screening of the group of defence domains and proteins with unknown functions
found by HHPRED in LptG-YjiA islands (Table S14) revealed the presence of four candidate
anti-phage systems/subtypes, which were renamed **S**erratia **D**efence **I**sland **C**andidates
(SDIC1-4)(Table 1 and Figure 4).The domain composition of SDIC1-4, predicted with
HHPRED, is summarised in Table 1 and Figure 4.

Table 1. Summary of the gene composition and domain prediction for the novel
candidate anti-phage systems found in the *Serratia* defence islands.

Candidate system	Genes	Representative protein ID	HHPRED
SDIC 1	SDIC1A	WP_060442246.1	TIR domain (PF13676.9)
	SDIC1B	WP_060442245.1	No prediction
SDIC2	SDIC2A	WP_072270133.1	Morc6_S5; Morc6 ribosomal protein S5 domain 2-like(PF17942.4)
	SDIC2B	WP_072270134.1	SWI2/SNF2 ATPase-like protein (7TN2,5JXR); AAA_34 -P-loop containing NTP hydrolase pore-1(PF13872.9) Z1 domain(PF10593.12)
	SDIC2C	WP_072270135.1	DUF4420; Putative PD-(D/E)XK family member, (PF14390.9)
	SDIC2D	WP_080437564.1	AIPR protein(PF10592.12)
SDIC3	SDIC3A	WP_261092931.1	AlpA regulator
	SDIC3B	WP_261145910.1	No prediction
	SDIC3C	WP_261145913.1	host cell division inhibitor Icd-like protein PF10554-Phage Ash protein
	SDIC3D	WP_261145916.1	No prediction
	SDIC3E	WP_261145919.1	DUF5375 family protein
	SDIC3F	WP_261145922.1	Poxvirus D5 protein (PF03288) TOPRIM (PF13362.9) D5 N-terminal like (PF08706.14) DUF5906 (PF19263.2)
SDIC4	SDIC4A	WP_077264947.1	No prediction
	SDIC4B	WP_060451809.1	VasI (PF11319.12)

SDIC1 is a two-gene system that contains a TIR domain (SDIC1A) and a protein of unknown
function (SDIC1B) that could not be defined by HHPRED (Table 1 and Figure 4a-b).

A system with a similar but not identical domain composition as SDIC2 was previously
identified in a bioinformatic study but, to our knowledge, its role was not tested *in vivo*
(Figure 4b)[40]. SDIC3 harbours some similarity to a prophage-encoded anti-phage system in
ECOR61 identified during a transposon screen (Figure 4b)[40]. SDIC3C and SDIC3F have
27% and 17% sequence identity to their counterparts in ECOR61 respectively, whereas the
other components are unique to SDIC3. Thus SDIC3 might represent a different subtype of
the ECOR61 anti-phage system[40]. Finally, as a fourth candidate (SDIC4) we investigated the
locus carrying the novel VasI-like protein (Table 1, Figure 4a-b, Table S14). The 4
candidates were cloned in a low copy plasmid, under the control of a constitutive promoter
compatible with expression in *E. coli* and *S. marcescens.* In *E. coli,* SDIC1, SDIC2 and
SDIC3 confer strong levels of protection against several phages (≥ 1000 fold), including
those belonging to the Durham collection (Figure 4c)[41], whereas SDIC4-mediated anti-phage
activity is more modest (≥ 10-200-fold) but still significant (Figure 4c). To further confirm the
role of SDIC1-4 in defence against phages, the same constructs were also tested in *S.*
marcescens Db10 (which natively lacks the tested systems). All tested systems show the
ability to reduce the efficiency of plating of several *Serratia* phages (Figure 4d), albeit with a
lower amplitude than in *E. coli.* The different degrees of protection that SDIC1-4 confer in the
two hosts could be related to their level of expression or the reduced size and diversity of the
Serratia phage panel used*.*

In summary, our data reveal that inspection of unclassified defence proteins and proteins of
unknown function in *Serratia* LptG-YjiA islands can reveal previously unreported anti-phage
systems or subtypes.

VasI-like proteins define two anti-phage system subtypes.
To investigate if similar instances of VasI-like proteins involved in defence against phages
can be found in other species, SDIC4B homologues were retrieved using PSI-BLAST and
their genomic neighbourhood was analysed by flanking gene analysis (FlaGs)[42].

This analysis highlighted that closely related homologues of SDIC4B can be found in
numerous species and confirmed they are not routinely found in T6SS clusters. Instead, they
are often associated to a BrxR homologue (Figure S9, Table S23), an indication that they do
not represent a divergent T6SS-dependent protein but rather an example of a shared fold
co-opted for phage defence (Figure S9, Table S23). The use of cblaster highlighted that
SDIC4 homologues are encoded in ~18,000 genomes in Genbank and mostly predominant
in Enterobacteria, and particularly in *Klebsiella* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Citrobacter* spp.,
Enterobacter spp. and *E. coli* strains (Figure 5a and Table S24).

We next set to explore the role that the single components SDIC4A and SDIC4B play in the

defence process, using phages BAM, Jura, Mak and Emrys. We consistently observed that,

for all tested phages, SDIC4B elicits higher levels of protection compared to the full-length

locus. Additionally, SDIC4A cannot prevent phage infection (Figure 5b), demonstrating that

SDIC4B is central to the phage defence mechanism of SDIC4.

Our FlaGs analysis further revealed a second operon, containing SDIC4B and associated

with BrxR, which defines an additional subtype of SDIC4—SDIC4 subtype II (Figure 5c,

Figure S9, Table S23). SDIC4 subtype II comprises four genes (SDIC4C-SDIC4D-SDIC4E-

SDIC4B) and is less widespread, found in only 377 genomes in GenBank (Figure 5d and

Table S25). It is primarily enriched in *Klebsiella* spp. (Figure 5d and Table S25). Except for

SDIC4B, protein domain prediction was only possible for SDIC4E, showing the presence of

a DUF2706 (Figure 5c). When expressed in *E. coli* and *S. marcescens,* SDIC4 subtype II

elicits protection against a similar spectrum of phages compared to SDIC4 subtype I,

suggesting SDIC4B homologues may play a central role in driving specificity to phage types

(Figure 5d-e).

SDIC1 mechanism of phage defence depends on its TIR-like domain.

The increasing number of discovered and characterized anti-phage systems reveals a

conserved tendency of shared defence domains[13]. These domains can be assembled in

various configurations, contributing to a broad and diverse defence arsenal. TIR domains

exemplify this trend, as they are associated or fused with various other defence proteins,

forming distinct anti-phage systems and subtypes with diversified specificity and

mechanisms of phage inhibition [11,12,14,43].

To better understand where SDIC1 fits within the repertoire of TIR-harbouring anti-phage

systems, we verified that SDIC1A shares no sequence similarity with known TIR-containing

defence proteins through pairwise comparisons. Furthermore, in line with PADLOC

predictions, SDIC1 is not identified as a known anti-phage system using Defense-finder

(Table S26)[44]. With the employment of Alphafold2 and Foldseek [45], we found that the TIR

domain of SDIC1A exhibits a high degree of structural similarity to its closest homologue, the

human TIRAP adaptor [46] , while no matching fold was found for its C-terminal domain

(Figure 6a and Figure S10).

The closest homologue of the predicted structure of SDICB is instead a human hypothetical

ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme. Despite a higher RMSD value (4.1 Å), these two proteins

show a good degree of structural overlap (Figure 6b). Whilst both ubiquitin ligases and TIR

domains have been associated with phage defence, the combination of the two has yet to be
reported[7,8,12].

Thus, we concluded SDIC1 represents a novel TIR-containing anti-phage system and we set
to explore the role of the single components in phage defence. Homologues of SDIC1 are
found in ~2000 genomes and are particularly enriched in *Salmonella* and *Escherichia*
genera. (Figure 6c and Table S27). When we compared the EOP of several phages on
strains expressing full-length SDIC1, SDIC1A or SDIC1B only, we found that SDIC1A is
necessary to elicit protection against phages, whereas SDIC1B is dispensable (Figure 6d).

We next assessed the progression of phage Alma infection over a 12-hour period,
measuring PFU/mL, CFU/mL and OD_{600nm}. In absence of a phage threat, cells harbouring
full-length SDIC1 exhibit a normal growth rate and CFU/mL over time, whereas SDIC1A-only
cells show impaired growth on both solid and liquid media (Figure 6e-f). In presence of a
phage threat, cells containing an empty vector or SDIC1B-only encounter a rapid culture
collapse and release a high number of phages (Figure 6g-i). Cells carrying SDIC1 retain an
unaltered growth rate in liquid, comparable to that of non-infected cells, but decreased cell
counts (Figure 6g-h). Nevertheless, they release a significantly lower number of phages
compared to vector control over the course of 12 hrs (Figure 6h), indicating SDIC1 operates
through an abortive infection phenotype or population-wide immunity. In the case of
SDIC1A-only, the growth rate and CFU counts remains highly impaired, however the number
of released phages is also lower than vector control (Figure 6g-h).

Overall our data show that, as other TIR-containing defence systems, SDIC1 acts through
population-wide immunity, impairing the fitness of infected cells to protect the bacterial
population. Our data further suggest that whilst SDIC1A mediates this phenotype, SDIC1B's
role may consist in alleviating SDIC1A toxic effect.

DISCUSSION
An increasing amount of evidence has shown that anti-phage systems are clustered in
'defence islands' and very often localised on the mobilome of bacteria, likely to facilitate their
rapid transfer during bacteria-phages arms race [3,4,15–17,19,47].

More recently, a less conservative analysis of defence islands content evidenced that these
regions often show a high degree of plasticity and that anti-phage systems are frequently
neighbouring other resistance factors, such as stress genes, antibiotic resistance, and
virulence factors [20,48,49]. Importantly, in *Vibrio* spp., Mahata *et al.* highlighted GMT mobile

islands as the first example of co-localisation of anti-phage systems with T6SS-dependent
effectors[49]. In this study, through the analysis of *Serratia* genomes, we show that this
phenomenon is widespread to other defence islands and encompasses several types of
offensive and anti-bacterial tools, including colicins, bacteriocins and Cdi systems (Figure
1,2 and Figure S3). Our analysis revealed that, within the three identified islands, the anti-
phage system cargo varies significantly across *Serratia* species. However, there is a
predisposition to acquire a specific type of anti-bacterial or virulence effector for each island.
The SpeG-PstB island stands out as the only exception, predominantly harbouring a Thoeris
I system, a haemolysin-like toxin system, or both (Figure 1).
419
The *Serratia* LptG-YjiA we report partially overlaps a previously identified genomic hotspot in
E. coli strains[17]. In this study, the multi-drug efflux transporter YjiO was identified as the
flanking gene together with YjiA[17]. Our search demonstrates that while in *Serratia* spp. and
E. albertii, LptG-YjiA islands do not encompass YjiO, in several strains of *Salmonella,*
Citrobacter, Shigella and *E. coli* this genomic hotspot is predominantly organised as LptG-
YjiO-YjiA and that anti-phage systems, anti-bacterial effectors and virulence factors can be
inserted indiscriminately between LptG-YjiA or YjiO-YjiA. *E. coli* strains found to carry LptG-
YjiA islands in our study are mostly distinct from those reported to carry a YjiO-YjiA hotspot
[17], implying a high likelihood of recombination events in these hotspots and high variability
even across strains. The frequent recombination events will ultimately result in variability of
the flanking genes that delimit defence islands, even across the same genera, as we
observe for *E. coli* and *E. albertii,* or across *Salmonella* serovars, as reported recently[20]. It is
plausible that, even within *E. coli* strains, some defence islands are organised as LptG-YjiO-
YjiA, while others are organised as YjiO-YjiA, possibly due to recombination events. This
variability should be considered as efforts increase to identify defence islands flanking
genes, aiming to facilitate the discovery of novel anti-phage systems.
436
A high percentage of genes found in the *Serratia* LptG-YjiA islands had either no predicted
function, or they carried known defence domains that were, however, not classified by
PADLOC as known defence systems. We show that a closer look at these proteins will
enable to define distinct classes or types of anti-phage systems, wherein known defence
proteins have been re-shuffled to assemble a highly diversified arsenal of anti-phage
systems, as in the case of SDIC1, SDIC2 and SDIC3. This tendency is consistent with what
has been extensively reported for many known anti-phage systems, which often share
similar defence domains, assembled in different combinations[3,4,13,27]. Importantly, in our
study, we demonstrate that our focused investigation of *Serratia* islands' cargo led to the

discovery of anti-phage systems (SDIC1 and SDIC4) present across many species,
emphasising the scalability of genus-focused studies (Figure 5-6).

Our investigation of the LptG-YjiA islands' cargo further led to the intriguing revelation that
the anti-phage system SDIC4, found in two different subtypes, co-opted the same fold as a
poorly-characterised predicted T6SS-related protein for phage defence (Figure 4-5). This
discovery aligns with a growing body of reports documenting domains of diverse origins,
including housekeeping proteins, being co-opted for defence against phages[3,4,13,36,50].
Furthermore, it corresponds to observed overlaps between toxin-antitoxin systems and anti-
phage defence mechanisms [36,51–53]. It is plausible to speculate that this evolutionary or
functional connection may extend to other anti-bacterial effectors or domains of different
nature[3,4,36,51,52].

Significantly, in the case of SDIC1, we uncover a new type of anti-phage system
characterised by the presence of a TIR domain and its association with a putative ubiquitin
ligase—a combination not previously documented in other anti-phage systems (Figure 6).
Within SDIC1, the TIR-domain-containing effector SDIC1A mediates phage inhibition by
disrupting the survival of infected cells, likely through TIR domain-mediated NADase
activity[54]. SDIC1B exhibits distant similarity to a putative ubiquitin ligase and although it is
not essential for eliciting phage protection, it plays a central role in reducing the toxicity of
SDIC1A (Figure 6). Unlike the Bil and CBASS systems, where ubiquitylation-like
modifications directly impede phage assembly or the release of virulent phage particles[7,8,55],
SDIC1B's primary function seems to revolve around alleviation of SDIC1A levels of toxicity.
A regulative role for ubiquitination in TIR receptors signalling in eukaryotes has been
previously documented[56]. Future investigations will aim to uncover the mechanism by which
SDIC1B can modulate SDIC1A-mediated toxicity and discern the specific role it plays in the
defence mechanism.

In future, the analysis of existing and new defence islands is poised to reveal additional
instances of co-accumulation of anti-phage systems, virulence factors, and anti-bacterial
effectors. Such exploration holds the potential to identify the evolutionary and environmental
factors influencing the acquisition of specific anti-bacterial, virulence and anti-phage modules
or their unique combinations within each island. These genomic islands additionally
represent a valuable resource that promises to uncover novel anti-bacterial effectors, anti-
phage systems, and virulence factors with new and exciting biological mechanisms.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids and culture conditions

Expression of novel anti-phage systems in *E. coli* was performed using the MG1655 strain. MG1655 cells were grown at 37°C on either solid media or liquid culture, shaking at 200 rpm. Growth in liquid media was performed using the LB Miller Broth (Formedium) whereas for solid media, LB was supplemented with 1.5% (w/v) or with 0.35% (w/v) agar to obtain solid or soft agar, respectively.

Expression in *S. marcescens* was performed using the *S. marcescens* Db10 strain and cells were grown at 30°C LB Lennox Broth (Formedium). Agar was added at 1.5% (w/v) or at 0.5% (w/v) to obtain solid or soft agar plates. When required, LB was supplemented with ampicillin (Amp, 50 µg/mL) for plasmid selection in *E. coli* or carbenicillin (200 µg/mL) for selection in *S. marcescens.* Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S28

Phage propagation and lysate preparation

Coliphages used were derived from the Durham collection, except for phages reported in Table S28. *Serratia* phages are reported in table Table S28.

Coliphages lysates were propagated in *E. coli* DH5α and prepared in phage buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM MgSO4, 0.1% gelatin). *Serratia* phages were instead propagated in *S. marcescens* Db10 and stored in phage buffer.

Neat lysates or their 10x fold dilutions were added to 200 µL of *E. coli* DH5α or *S. marcescens* Db10 and incubated 5 min at room temperature. Five mL of soft agar were then added and the mixture poured on LB agar plates. Plates were then incubated overnight at 37 °C for *E. coli* and 30 °C for *S. marcescens.* Following incubation, top agar containing confluent plaques was scraped off and added to 3 mL of phage buffer and 500 µL of chloroform. Samples were mixed for 2 minutes by vortexing, incubated at 4°C for 30 min and subsequently centrifuged at 4000 x g for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and added to 100 µL/mL (v/v) of chloroform for storage.

DNA manipulation and cloning

Plasmids (Table S28) were synthetised through Genscript (https://www.genscript.com/) unless stated otherwise. Inserts of interest were cloned by Genscript in a low copy vector (pQE60) modified to harbour a constitutive Tat promoter to replace its native T5 promoter. For insert cloning, plasmid backbones and inserts were amplified using Verifi hot start polymerase (PCR Biosystems). PCR products and plasmids were purified using a Zymo DNA Clean-up and Concentration and a Zymo Zippy plasmid kit, respectively (Cambridge

Biosciences). Overlapping primers for amplification were designed using the NEBuilder

assembly tool (https://nebuilderv1.neb.com/) (Table S29). Plasmids and inserts were

assembled using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (NEB), followed by incubation at 50°C for

30 min. NEBuilder reactions were treated with 1 µL DpnI for 30 min at 37 °C and

subsequently transformed in chemically competent DH5α. Deletions of single genes in

plasmid pGM255 were performed using the KLD enzyme mix (NEB).

Efficiency of plating measurement and fold protection calculation

To measure the efficiency of plating (EOP), *E. coli* MG1655 or *S. marcescens* Db10 strains

harbouring an empty vector or constructs encoding anti-phage system of interest were

grown to exponential phage (OD$_{600nm}$=0.6). Ten microliters of phage lysates was added to

200 µL of each bacterial culture and incubated 5 min at room temperature. Five mL of soft

agar was added to each culture and poured onto LB agar plates. As a control strain,

plasmid-free MG1655 or plasmid-free *S. marcescens* Db10 were used. EOP was measured

as the PFU mL^{-1} of a test strain divided by the number of PFU/mL of a plasmid-free control

strain. Fold protection was calculated as the ratio between the EOP value of empty vector

and the EOP value of each candidate system.

Measurement of PFU/mL, CFU/mL and growth rate

For SDIC1, *E. coli* MG1655 harbouring empty vector, full-length SDIC1 or SDIC1A or

SDIC1B were grown in LB with ampicillin to an OD$_{600nm}$ of ~ 0.6. Cultures were infected with

phage Pau at MOI of 0.1. An aliquot of each culture was collected at t = 0 hr, t = 2 hr, t = 4

hr, t = 6 hr, t = 8 hr, t = 10 hr and t = 12 hr. Each aliquot was used to measure OD$_{600nm,}$

CFU/mL and measure phage titre (PFU/mL). Measurement of the phage titre at each

timepoint was obtained by plating onto *E. coli* DH5α top lawns.

Anti-phage systems predictions.

Anti-phage systems were predicted using PADLOC v2.0.0 with PADLOC database v2.0.0[27]

unless stated otherwise. Additionally, Defense Finder v1.2.0 was also run BSAC genomes to

confirm that SDIC1 represents a new instance of anti-phage system harbouring a TIR

domain.

Identification of defence islands and boundaries in the BSAC strains collection

The coordinates of the genomic neighbourhood of each anti-phage system predicted by

PADLOC was retrieved with the use of pyfaidx v0.7.2.1 and BEDtools suite v2.30.0 [57,58],

using the 'slop' function. Genomic regions were then retrieved using efetch from the entrez

utilities [59]. Proteins sequences in the genomic neighbourhoods were clustered with

MMseqs2 linclust using the following parameters: cov-mode=0, c=0.5 and min-seq-id=0.6[28].

Protein clusters were curated manually to identify those that were more frequently

associated with anti-phage systems in BSAC strains and thus, to identify defence island

boundaries. Genomic islands were scored as defence-enriched loci only if, upon scaling their

search to all *Serratia* genomes, they carried known defence systems in > 10% instances.

Gene clusters were visualised and annotated using RStudio (R v4.3.1), ggplot2 and gggenes

v0.5.1[60]. Genbank files were converted to a gggenes-compatible format using biopython

SeqIO and SeqFeature[61]. Predictions parallelisation was obtained using GNU parallel [62].

Custom scripts used for analysis and to generate figures are found at:

https://github.com/GM110Z/Serratia_multiconflict

Analysis of gene clusters and genomic islands

To find defence islands in all *Serratia* genomes or in other species, the protein sequence of

the two boundaries was searched against Genbank using the program cblaster v1.3.12[29]

with the following parameters: -min id=30 and -min cov = 50. An intergenic space of >50,000

bp was allowed.

Cblaster was additionally used with -min id=30 and -min cov = 50 and an intergenic space <

70 bp to identify SDIC1, SDIC4 subtype I and subtype II instances in Genbank genomes.

Only operons present on the same contigs were allowed.

Protein domain analysis of genomic islands

To characterise the non-defence content of LptG-YjiA islands in all *Serratia* genomes,

proteins were clustered as above. One representative sequence for each cluster was first

analysed using the SecReT6, AMRFinderPlus and Virulence Factor Database (VFDB)[33–35].

Comparisons with VFDB were performed with PSI-BLAST, including hits with bitscore>50.

For hits with bitscore<50, these were only included if they had a e-value< 0.01 and if the

alignment covered at least 70% of the query and subject sequence length. Proteins whose

function could not be determined through these programs were further analysed using

HHPRED against a PFAM and PDB database. Only hits with an e-value< 0.05 were

included.

To investigate the anti-phage systems content of LptG-YjiA islands in other bacterial

species, genomes were filtered using fastANI in order to eliminate duplicate or very closely

related genomes [63]. PADLOC was used as above to predict anti-phage systems in the

representative genomes obtained. Non-defence proteins were analysed with VFDB as

above.

For analysis of the cargo of the LysR-esterase hotspots HMMscan from the HMMER 3 suite

was run against a local PFAM database[30].

Protein structure predictions

598 Protein structure prediction was performed using Alphafold2 with MMseqs2 (v2.2.4) through

599 Colab notebooks. Obtained models were used as input in FoldSeek to find structural

600 homologues (https://search.foldseek.com/search)[45,64] . Protein structures were aligned on

601 Pymol with the cealign option.

Computational analysis of the genomic neighbourhood of SDIC4B (VasI-like proteins)

603 **homologues**

604 SDIC4B homologues were identified using PSI-BLAST, with a threshold of e-value=0.01.

605 sequence identity = 30% and query coverage = 50%. The genomic neighbourhood of the

606 obtained hits was retrieved and clustered using the FlaGs2.py program [42]

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

615 The authors thank Dr Emmanuele Severi for useful discussion on the use of the Tat

616 promoter. The authors additionally thank Dr Franklin Nobrega and Prof Sarah Coulthurst for

617 critical reading of the manuscript.

FUNDING INFORMATION

620 This work was funded by a Wellcome Trust Sir Henry Wellcome Fellowship (218622/Z/19/Z)

621 and a University of Surrey Faculty Research Support Fund to G.M and a Lister Institute Prize

622 Fellowship to T.R.B.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

625 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Mayo-Muñoz, D., Pinilla-Redondo, R., Birkholz, N., and Fineran, P.C. (2023). A host of
armor: Prokaryotic immune strategies against mobile genetic elements. Cell Reports *42*.
10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112672.

2. Doron, S., Melamed, S., Ofir, G., Leavitt, A., Lopatina, A., Keren, M., Amitai, G., and
Sorek, R. (2018). Systematic discovery of antiphage defense systems in the microbial
pangenome. Science *359*, eaar4120. 10.1126/science.aar4120.

3. Vassallo, C.N., Doering, C.R., Littlehale, M.L., Teodoro, G.I.C., and Laub, M.T. (2022). A
functional selection reveals previously undetected anti-phage defence systems in the E.
coli pangenome. Nat Microbiol *7*, 1568–1579. 10.1038/s41564-022-01219-4.

4. Millman, A., Melamed, S., Leavitt, A., Doron, S., Bernheim, A., Hör, J., Garb, J., Bechon,
N., Brandis, A., Lopatina, A., et al. (2022). An expanded arsenal of immune systems that
protect bacteria from phages. Cell Host & Microbe *30*, 1556-1569.e5.
10.1016/j.chom.2022.09.017.

5. Gao, L., Altae-Tran, H., Böhning, F., Makarova, K.S., Segel, M., Schmid-Burgk, J.L.,
Koob, J., Wolf, Y.I., Koonin, E.V., and Zhang, F. (2020). Diverse Enzymatic Activities
Mediate Antiviral Immunity in Prokaryotes. Science *369*, 1077–1084.
10.1126/science.aba0372.

6. Millman, A., Bernheim, A., Stokar-Avihail, A., Fedorenko, T., Voichek, M., Leavitt, A.,
Oppenheimer-Shaanan, Y., and Sorek, R. (2020). Bacterial Retrons Function In Anti-
Phage Defense. Cell *183*, 1551-1561.e12. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.065.

7. Chambers, L.R., Ye, Q., Cai, J., Gong, M., Ledvina, H.E., Zhou, H., Whiteley, A.T.,
Suhandynata, R.T., and Corbett, K.D. (2023). Bacterial antiviral defense pathways
encode eukaryotic-like ubiquitination systems. Preprint at bioRxiv,
10.1101/2023.09.26.559546 10.1101/2023.09.26.559546.

8. Hör, J., Wolf, S.G., and Sorek, R. (2023). Bacteria conjugate ubiquitin-like proteins to
interfere with phage assembly. Preprint at bioRxiv, 10.1101/2023.09.04.556158
10.1101/2023.09.04.556158.

9. Johnson, A.G., Wein, T., Mayer, M.L., Duncan-Lowey, B., Yirmiya, E., Oppenheimer-
Shaanan, Y., Amitai, G., Sorek, R., and Kranzusch, P.J. (2022). Bacterial gasdermins
reveal an ancient mechanism of cell death. Science *375*, 221–225.
10.1126/science.abj8432.

10. Wein, T., Johnson, A.G., Millman, A., Lange, K., Yirmiya, E., Hadary, R., Garb, J.,
Steinruecke, F., Hill, A.B., Kranzusch, P.J., et al. (2023). CARD-like domains mediate
anti-phage defense in bacterial gasdermin systems. Preprint at bioRxiv,
10.1101/2023.05.28.542683 10.1101/2023.05.28.542683.

11. Duncan-Lowey, B., and Kranzusch, P.J. (2022). CBASS phage defense and evolution of
antiviral nucleotide signaling. Current Opinion in Immunology *74*, 156–163.
10.1016/j.coi.2022.01.002.

12. Ofir, G., Herbst, E., Baroz, M., Cohen, D., Millman, A., Doron, S., Tal, N., Malheiro,
D.B.A., Malitsky, S., Amitai, G., et al. (2021). Antiviral activity of bacterial TIR domains
via immune signalling molecules. Nature *600*, 116–120. 10.1038/s41586-021-04098-7.

13. Mariano, G., and Blower, T.R. (2023). Conserved domains can be found across distinct
phage defence systems. Mol Microbiol. 10.1111/mmi.15047.

14. Koopal, B., Potocnik, A., Mutte, S.K., Aparicio-Maldonado, C., Lindhoud, S., Vervoort,
J.J.M., Brouns, S.J.J., and Swarts, D.C. (2022). Short prokaryotic Argonaute systems
trigger cell death upon detection of invading DNA. Cell *185*, 1471-1486.e19.
10.1016/j.cell.2022.03.012.

15. Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., Snir, S., and Koonin, E.V. (2011). Defense islands in bacterial
and archaeal genomes and prediction of novel defense systems. J Bacteriol *193*, 6039–
6056. 10.1128/JB.05535-11.

16. Picton, D.M., Luyten, Y.A., Morgan, R.D., Nelson, A., Smith, D.L., Dryden, D.T.F.,
Hinton, J.C.D., and Blower, T.R. (2021). The phage defence island of a multidrug
resistant plasmid uses both BREX and type IV restriction for complementary protection
from viruses. Nucleic Acids Res *49*, 11257–11273. 10.1093/nar/gkab906.

17. Hochhauser, D., Millman, A., and Sorek, R. (2023). The defense island repertoire of the
Escherichia coli pan-genome. PLOS Genetics *19*, e1010694.
10.1371/journal.pgen.1010694.

18. Rousset, F., Depardieu, F., Miele, S., Dowding, J., Laval, A.-L., Lieberman, E., Garry, D.,
Rocha, E.P.C., Bernheim, A., and Bikard, D. (2022). Phages and their satellites encode
hotspots of antiviral systems. Cell Host & Microbe *30*, 740-753.e5.
10.1016/j.chom.2022.02.018.

19. Fillol-Salom, A., Rostøl, J.T., Ojiogu, A.D., Chen, J., Douce, G., Humphrey, S., and
Penadés, J.R. (2022). Bacteriophages benefit from mobilizing pathogenicity islands
encoding immune systems against competitors. Cell *185*, 3248-3262.e20.
10.1016/j.cell.2022.07.014.

20. Kushwaha, S.K., Anand, A., Wu, Y., Ávila, H.L., Sicheritz-Pontén, T., Millard, A.,
Marathe, S.A., and Nobrega, F.L. (2023). Genomic plasticity is a blueprint of diversity in
Salmonella lineages. Preprint at bioRxiv, 10.1101/2023.12.02.569618
10.1101/2023.12.02.569618.

21. Mahata, T., Kanarek, K., Goren, M.G., Bosis, E., Qimron, U., and Salomon, D. (2023). A
widespread bacterial mobile genetic element encodes weapons against phages,
bacteria, and eukaryotes. Preprint at bioRxiv, 10.1101/2023.03.28.534373
10.1101/2023.03.28.534373.

22. Williams, D.J., Grimont, P.A.D., Cazares, A., Grimont, F., Ageron, E., Pettigrew, K.A.,
Cazares, D., Njamkepo, E., Weill, F.-X., Heinz, E., et al. (2022). The genus Serratia
revisited by genomics. Nat Commun *13*, 5195. 10.1038/s41467-022-32929-2.

23. Luttmann, K., Starnes, V.R., Haddad, M., Duggan, J., Luttmann, K., Starnes, V.R.,
Haddad, M., and Duggan, J. (2022). Serratia Marcescens, a Rare and Devastating
Cause of Endocarditis: A Case Report and Review of the Literature. Cureus *14*.
10.7759/cureus.25572.

24. Tavares-Carreon, F., De Anda-Mora, K., Rojas-Barrera, I.C., and Andrade, A. (2023).
Serratia marcescens antibiotic resistance mechanisms of an opportunistic pathogen: a
literature review. PeerJ *11*, e14399. 10.7717/peerj.14399.

25. Coulthurst, S. (2019). The Type VI secretion system: a versatile bacterial weapon.
Microbiology *165*, 503–515. 10.1099/mic.0.000789.

26. Moradigaravand, D., Boinett, C.J., Martin, V., Peacock, S.J., and Parkhill, J. (2016).
Recent independent emergence of multiple multidrug-resistant Serratia marcescens
clones within the United Kingdom and Ireland. Genome Res *26*, 1101–1109.
10.1101/gr.205245.116.

27. Payne, L.J., Todeschini, T.C., Wu, Y., Perry, B.J., Ronson, C.W., Fineran, P.C.,
Nobrega, F.L., and Jackson, S.A. (2021). Identification and classification of antiviral
defence systems in bacteria and archaea with PADLOC reveals new system types.
Nucleic Acids Research *49*, 10868–10878. 10.1093/nar/gkab883.

28. Steinegger, M., and Söding, J. (2017). MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence
searching for the analysis of massive data sets. Nat Biotechnol *35*, 1026–1028.
10.1038/nbt.3988.

29. Gilchrist, C.L.M., Booth, T.J., van Wersch, B., van Grieken, L., Medema, M.H., and
Chooi, Y.-H. (2021). cblaster: a remote search tool for rapid identification and
visualization of homologous gene clusters. Bioinformatics Advances *1*, vbab016.
10.1093/bioadv/vbab016.

30. Mistry, J., Chuguransky, S., Williams, L., Qureshi, M., Salazar, G.A., Sonnhammer,
E.L.L., Tosatto, S.C.E., Paladin, L., Raj, S., Richardson, L.J., et al. (2021). Pfam: The
protein families database in 2021. Nucleic Acids Research *49*, D412–D419.
10.1093/nar/gkaa913.

31. Söding, J., Biegert, A., and Lupas, A.N. (2005). The HHpred interactive server for protein
homology detection and structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res *33*, W244–W248.
10.1093/nar/gki408.

32. Wood, T.E., Howard, S.A., Förster, A., Nolan, L.M., Manoli, E., Bullen, N.P., Yau, H.C.L.,
Hachani, A., Hayward, R.D., Whitney, J.C., et al. (2019). The Pseudomonas aeruginosa
T6SS Delivers a Periplasmic Toxin that Disrupts Bacterial Cell Morphology. Cell Reports
29, 187-201.e7. 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.08.094.

33. Liu, B., Zheng, D., Zhou, S., Chen, L., and Yang, J. (2021). VFDB 2022: a general
classification scheme for bacterial virulence factors. Nucleic Acids Res *50*, D912–D917.
10.1093/nar/gkab1107.

34. Feldgarden, M., Brover, V., Gonzalez-Escalona, N., Frye, J.G., Haendiges, J., Haft,
D.H., Hoffmann, M., Pettengill, J.B., Prasad, A.B., Tillman, G.E., et al. (2021).
AMRFinderPlus and the Reference Gene Catalog facilitate examination of the genomic
links among antimicrobial resistance, stress response, and virulence. Sci Rep *11*, 12728.
10.1038/s41598-021-91456-0.

35. Zhang, J., Guan, J., Wang, M., Li, G., Djordjevic, M., Tai, C., Wang, H., Deng, Z., Chen,
Z., and Ou, H.-Y. (2023). SecReT6 update: a comprehensive resource of bacterial Type
VI Secretion Systems. Sci. China Life Sci. *66*, 626–634. 10.1007/s11427-022-2172-x.

36. Ernits, K., Saha, C.K., Brodiazhenko, T., Chouhan, B., Shenoy, A., Buttress, J.A.,
Duque-Pedraza, J.J., Bojar, V., Nakamoto, J.A., Kurata, T., et al. The structural basis of
hyperpromiscuity in a core combinatorial network of type II toxin–antitoxin and related
phage defense systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *120*, e2305393120.
10.1073/pnas.2305393120.

37. Zheng, J., Ho, B., and Mekalanos, J.J. (2011). Genetic Analysis of Anti-Amoebae and
Anti-Bacterial Activities of the Type VI Secretion System in Vibrio cholerae. PLoS One *6*,
e23876. 10.1371/journal.pone.0023876.

38. Blankenchip, C.L., Nguyen, J.V., Lau, R.K., Ye, Q., Gu, Y., and Corbett, K.D. (2022).
Control of bacterial immune signaling by a WYL domain transcription factor. Nucleic
Acids Res *50*, 5239–5250. 10.1093/nar/gkac343.

39. Picton, D.M., Harling-Lee, J.D., Duffner, S.J., Went, S.C., Morgan, R.D., Hinton, J.C.D.,
and Blower, T.R. (2022). A widespread family of WYL-domain transcriptional regulators
co-localizes with diverse phage defence systems and islands. Nucleic Acids Res *50*,
5191–5207. 10.1093/nar/gkac334.

40. Iyer, L.M., Abhiman, S., and Aravind, L. (2008). MutL homologs in restriction-
modification systems and the origin of eukaryotic MORC ATPases. Biol Direct *3*, 8.
10.1186/1745-6150-3-8.

41. Kelly, A., Went, S.C., Mariano, G., Shaw, L.P., Picton, D.M., Duffner, S.J., Coates, I.,
Herdman-Grant, R., Gordeeva, J., Drobiazko, A., et al. (2023). Diverse Durham
collection phages demonstrate complex BREX defense responses. Applied and
Environmental Microbiology *89*, e00623-23. 10.1128/aem.00623-23.

42. Saha, C.K., Sanches Pires, R., Brolin, H., Delannoy, M., and Atkinson, G.C. (2021).
FlaGs and webFlaGs: discovering novel biology through the analysis of gene
neighbourhood conservation. Bioinformatics *37*, 1312–1314.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa788.

43. Ka, D., Oh, H., Park, E., Kim, J.-H., and Bae, E. (2020). Structural and functional
evidence of bacterial antiphage protection by Thoeris defense system via NAD+
degradation. Nat Commun *11*, 2816. 10.1038/s41467-020-16703-w.

44. Tesson, F., Hervé, A., Mordret, E., Touchon, M., d'Humières, C., Cury, J., and Bernheim,
A. (2022). Systematic and quantitative view of the antiviral arsenal of prokaryotes. Nat
Commun *13*, 2561. 10.1038/s41467-022-30269-9.

45. van Kempen, M., Kim, S.S., Tumescheit, C., Mirdita, M., Lee, J., Gilchrist, C.L.M.,
Söding, J., and Steinegger, M. (2023). Fast and accurate protein structure search with
Foldseek. Nat Biotechnol, 1–4. 10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0.

46. Ve, T., Vajjhala, P.R., Hedger, A., Croll, T., DiMaio, F., Horsefield, S., Yu, X., Lavrencic,
P., Hassan, Z., Morgan, G.P., et al. (2017). Structural basis of TIR-domain-assembly
formation in MAL- and MyD88-dependent TLR4 signaling. Nat Struct Mol Biol *24*, 743–
751. 10.1038/nsmb.3444.

47. Johnson, M.C., Laderman, E., Huiting, E., Zhang, C., Davidson, A., and Bondy-Denomy,
J. (2023). Core defense hotspots within Pseudomonas aeruginosa are a consistent and
rich source of anti-phage defense systems. Nucleic Acids Research *51*, 4995–5005.
10.1093/nar/gkad317.

48. Botelho, J., Tüffers, L., Fuss, J., Buchholz, F., Utpatel, C., Klockgether, J., Niemann, S.,
Tümmler, B., and Schulenburg, H. (2023). Phylogroup-specific variation shapes the
clustering of antimicrobial resistance genes and defence systems across regions of
genome plasticity in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. eBioMedicine *90*.
10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104532.

49. Mahata, T., Kanarek, K., Goren, M.G., Ragavan, R.M., Bosis, E., Qimron, U., and
Salomon, D. (2024). GMT systems define a new class of mobile elements rich in
bacterial defensive and offensive tools. Preprint at bioRxiv, 10.1101/2023.03.28.534373
10.1101/2023.03.28.534373.

50. Macdonald, E., Wright, R., Connolly, J.P.R., Strahl, H., Brockhurst, M., Houte, S. van,
Blower, T.R., Palmer, T., and Mariano, G. (2023). The novel anti-phage system Shield
co-opts an RmuC domain to mediate phage defense across Pseudomonas species.
PLOS Genetics 19, e1010784. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1010784.

51. Gerdes, K. (2024). Diverse genetic contexts of HicA toxin domains propose a role in anti-
phage defense. mBio 0, e03293-23. 10.1128/mbio.03293-23.

52. LeRoux, M., and Laub, M.T. (2022). Toxin-Antitoxin Systems as Phage Defense
Elements. Annual Review of Microbiology 76, 21–43. 10.1146/annurev-micro-020722-
013730.

53. LeRoux, M., Srikant, S., Teodoro, G.I.C., Zhang, T., Littlehale, M.L., Doron, S., Badiee,
M., Leung, A.K.L., Sorek, R., and Laub, M.T. (2022). The DarTG toxin-antitoxin system
provides phage defense by ADP-ribosylating viral DNA. Nat Microbiol 7, 1028–1040.
10.1038/s41564-022-01153-5.

54. Wang, M., Ji, Q., Liu, P., and Liu, Y. (2023). NAD+ depletion and defense in bacteria.
Trends in Microbiology 31, 435–438. 10.1016/j.tim.2022.06.002.

55. Andryka-Cegielski, K., Soler, S., and Bartok, E. (2023). Unexpected bonds: ubiquitin-like
conjugation of cGAS/CD-NTases supports their enzymatic activity and antiphage
defense. Sig Transduct Target Ther 8, 1–3. 10.1038/s41392-023-01549-7.

56. Keating, S.E., and Bowie, A.G. (2009). Role of Non-degradative Ubiquitination in
Interleukin-1 and Toll-like Receptor Signaling. J Biol Chem 284, 8211–8215.
10.1074/jbc.R800038200.

57. Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. Bioinformatics 26, 841–842. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033.

58. Shirley, M.D., Ma, Z., Pedersen, B.S., and Wheelan, S.J. (2015). Efficient "pythonic"
access to FASTA files using pyfaidx (PeerJ Inc.) 10.7287/peerj.preprints.970v1.

59. Sayers, E. (2022). A General Introduction to the E-utilities. In Entrez Programming
Utilities Help [Internet] (National Center for Biotechnology Information (US)).

60. Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2 (Springer International Publishing) 10.1007/978-3-319-
24277-4.

61. Cock, P.J.A., Antao, T., Chang, J.T., Chapman, B.A., Cox, C.J., Dalke, A., Friedberg, I.,
Hamelryck, T., Kauff, F., Wilczynski, B., et al. (2009). Biopython: freely available Python
tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. Bioinformatics 25, 1422–
1423. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163.

62. Tange, O. (2015). GNU Parallel 20150322 ('Hellwig'). (Zenodo).
10.5281/ZENODO.16303 10.5281/ZENODO.16303.

63. Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L.M., Phillippy, A.M., Konstantinidis, K.T., and Aluru, S. (2018).
High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species
boundaries. Nat Commun *9*, 5114. 10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9.

64. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O.,
Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., et al. (2021). Highly accurate
protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature *596*, 583–589. 10.1038/s41586-021-
03819-2.

Figure 1. Defence islands identified in the *Serratia* genus. (a)Heatmaps showing presence-absence (blue-white) of the Thoeris I system, a TIR domain-containing unknown system and a haemolysin-like toxin system in SpeG-PstB hotspots in relation to the size of the genomic island (shown as a rainbow heatmap on the right). Legend on the right shows the rainbow colour mapping in relation to the size of the island in base-pairs (bp). **(b)** Bar plot summarising the percentage of occurrence of anti-phage systems identified in occupied LysR-esterase hotspots. **(c)** Schematic representation of the genomic organisation of LptG-YjiA island of representative strains of the BSAC collection. LptG and YjiA boundaries are represented with coloured outlines. Anti-phage systems predicted by PADLOC are coloured as indicated in the legend. Integrases, transposases, the WYL-containing regulator BrxR, toxin-antitoxin systems (TA), a predicted T6SS-dependent effector (VgrG2b) and contact-dependent inhibition (Cdi) systems are also coloured according to the legend.

Figure 2. LptG-YjiA islands across the *Serratia* genus carry a high diversity of defence systems. (a) Prevalence of anti-phage systems across *Serratia* species with a complete LptG-YjiA island localised across one contig. **(b)** Length of LptG-YjiA islands found across *Serratia* species. **(c)** Schematic representation of the genomic organisation of representative LptG-YjiA islands chosen across the *Serratia* genus. Boundaries are represented with coloured outline and anti-phage systems, anti-bacterial effectors, TAs, BrxR, integrases and transposases are coloured as indicated in the legend. **(d)** Distribution of predicted virulence factors, predicted T3SS-dependent effectors, predicted T4SS-dependent effectors, predicted T5SS two-partner systems, predicted T6SS-dependent effectors and core components, contact-dependent inhibition systems (Cdi), TA systems, transposases, and integrases in *Serratia* LptG-YjiA islands. The VFDB database classification was used to categorise virulence factors as i) adherence, ii) biofilm, iii) motility, iv) immune-modulation, v) nutritional/metabolic factor, vi) exotoxins, vii) invasion, viii) quorum sensing and, ix) post-translational regulation. **(e)** Waffle plot showing the prevalence of T6SS-predicted effectors found in *Serratia* LptG-YjiA islands.

a

b

E. albertii	*E. coli*	*Shigella spp*	*Citrobacter spp*	*Salmonella spp*
Total=2042.8	Total=3404.4	Total=1534.5	Total=419.6	Total=2494.7

- Adherence
- Biofilm
- Immune Modulation
- Motility
- Nutritional/Metabolic factor
- T3SS-related effector/protein
- AMR
- T4SS-related effector/protein
- T6SS-related effector/protein
- Exotoxin
- Invasion
- Post-translational modification
- Quorum sensing
- Regulation
- T5SS-related effector/protein
- Cdi
- Integrase
- Transposase
- TA system

Figure 3. LptG-YjiA and LptG-YjiO-YjiA islands are widespread in Enterobacteria (a) Bar plot showing the prevalence of islands in Enterobacteria with either LptG-YjiA, LptG-YjiO or LptG-YjiO-YjiA as boundaries and insertion points for acquisition of defence systems. **(b)** Distribution of predicted virulence factors, predicted T3SS-dependent effectors, predicted T4SS-dependent effectors, predicted T5SS two-partner systems, predicted T6SS-dependent effectors and core components, contact-dependent inhibition systems (Cdi), TA systems, transposases, and integrases in Enterobacteria LptG-YjiA islands as shown in **panel a.** The VFDB database classification was used to categorise virulence factors as indicated in **Figure 2d**.

Figure 4. Novel anti-phage systems/subtypes are encoded in *Serratia* LptG-YjiA islands. **(a)**Schematic representation of the genomic neighbourhood of the four *Serratia* defence island candidates (SDIC1-4). **(b)**Schematic representation of the HHPRED domain predictions for the single components of SDCI1-4. **(c)** Evaluation of SDIC1-4 fold protection against a suite of coliphages when expressed in *E. coli* MG1655. Fold protection was calculated as the ratio of the efficiency of plating (EOP) calculated for a strain expressing one of SDIC1-4 candidates and the EOP value of a strain carrying the empty vector (VC, pQE60-Tat)(n= 3 biological replicates). **(d)** Evaluation of SDIC1-4 fold protection when expressed in *S. marcescens* Db10 and challenged against a group of *Serratia* phages (n= 3 biological replicates). Fold protection was calculated as in **(b).**

Figure 5. Proteins with VasI-like fold define two SDIC4 subtypes. (a) Distribution of SDIC4 systems across different genera. **(b)** Efficiency of plating (EOP) measurement for *E. coli* MG1655 carrying empty vector (VC, pQE60-Tat) or the same plasmid encoding SDIC4, SDIC4A or SDIC4B when challenged with phages BAM, Jura, Mak and Emrys. Points show mean +/− SEM (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical relevance was measured using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test. No significance was detected, unless indicated (*$p \leq 0.05$). **(c)** Schematic representation of HHPRED domain predictions for the second SDIC4 subtype. **(d)** Distribution of SDIC4 subtype II across different bacterial genera. **(e)** Evaluation of SDIC4 subtype II fold protection against a suite of coliphages when expressed in *E. coli* MG1655 (n= 3 biological replicates). **(f)** Evaluation of SDIC4 subtype II fold protection against a suite of *Serratia* phages when expressed in *S. marcescens* Db10 (n=3 biological replicates). For **e-f**, fold protection was calculated as above.

a

— SDIC1A TIR domain
— SDIC1A C-term
— 5UZB-TIRAP TIR domain

b

— SDIC1B
— 2A7L-Ubiquitin ligase

c

% genomes

Serratia 0.7
Klebsiella 5.9
Salmonella 66.1
Escherichia 19.5
Enterobacter 2.8
Citrobacter 1.4
Pantoea 0.5

d

EOP

Alma **** ****
Riaz ****
Jura **** ****
NR1 **** ****

● VC   ▲ SDIC1   ◆ SDIC1A   ✕ SDIC1B

e No phage

OD$_{600nm}$

● VC
▲ SDIC1
◆ SDIC1A
✕ SDIC1B

f No phage

CFU/mL

● VC
▲ SDIC1
◆ SDIC1A
✕ SDIC1B

g Alma-MOI 0.1

PFU/mL

** ** **
** **
*** ***

● VC
▲ SDIC1
◆ SDIC1A
✕ SDIC1B

h Alma-MOI 0.1

CFU/mL

● VC
▲ SDIC1
◆ SDIC1A
✕ SDIC1B

i Alma-MOI 0.1

OD$_{600nm}$

● VC
▲ SDIC1
◆ SDIC1A
✕ SDIC1B

Figure 6. SDIC1 elicits protection against phages through population-wide immunity. (a) Pymol structural alignment (RMSD=2.67 Å) between the Alphafold2 model of SDIC1A and its closest structural homologue, the TIR-containing human protein TIRAP (PDBID: 5UZB). **(b)** Pymol structural alignment (RMSD=4.1 Å) between the Alphafold2 model of SDIC1B and a putative human ubiquitin ligase (PDBID: 2A7L). Structural homologues in **a-b** were obtained with Foldseek. **(c)** Distribution of SDIC1 across strains. **(d)**Efficiency of plating (EOP) measurement of *E. coli* MG1655 expressing empty vector(VC, pQE60-Tat) or the same vector encoding SDIC1, SDIC1A or SDIC1B when challenged with phages as reported in panel **c**. **(e-f)** Growth rate (OD$_{600nm}$) **(e)** and cell counts (CFU/mL) **(f)** of *E. coli* MG1655 expressing empty vector (VC, pQE60-Tat) or the same vector encoding SDIC1, SDIC1A or SDIC1B in absence of phage infection. **(g-i)** *E. coli* MG1655 carrying empty vector, SDIC1, SDIC1A or SDIC1B were infected with Alma at MOI 0.1 and the released phages (titre, PFU/mL) **(g)**, cell counts (CFU/mL), **(h)** and growth rate (OD$_{600nm}$)**(i)** was measured over the course of 12 hr post-infection. Statistical analysis for panel **c** and **f** was performed with GraphPad using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test. No significance was detected, unless indicated (*p $\leq$ 0.05).