***In vivo* CRISPR screens identify novel virulence genes among proteins of**

unassigned subcellular localization in *Toxoplasma*

Yuta Tachibana[1,2]**, Miwa Sasai**[1,2,3]**, and Masahiro Yamamoto**[1,2,3]*

[1]Department of Immunoparasitology, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases,

[2]Laboratory of Immunoparasitology, WPI Immunology Frontier Research Center,

[3]Department of Immunoparasitology, Center for Infectious Disease Education and

Research, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

Running title: *In vivo* CRISPR screens on hyperLOPIT-nassigned genes

Key words: *Toxoplasma, CRISPR screen, hyperLOPIT-unassigned virulence factors*

*Correspondence:0

Masahiro Yamamoto

(E-mail: myamamoto@biken.osaka-u.ac.jp)

ABSTRACT

The research field to identify and characterize virulence genes in *Toxoplasma gondii* has been dramatically advanced by a series of *in vivo* CRISPR screens. Although subcellular localizations of thousands of proteins were predicted by the spatial proteomic method called hyperLOPIT, those of more than 1000 proteins remained unassigned and their essentiality in virulence was also unknown. In this study, we generated two small-scale gRNA libraries targeting approximately 600 hyperLOPIT-unassigned proteins and performed *in vivo* CRISPR screens. As a result, we identified several *in vivo* fitness-conferring genes that were previously unreported. We further characterized two candidates, TgGTPase and TgRimM, which are localized in the cytoplasm and the apicoplast, respectively. Both genes are essential for parasite virulence and widely conserved in the phylum Apicomplexa. Collectively, our current study provides a resource for estimating the *in vivo* essentiality of *Toxoplasma* proteins with previously unknown localizations.

IMPORTANCE

Toxoplasma gondii is a protozoan parasite that causes severe infection in immunocompromised patients or newborns. *Toxoplasma* possesses more than 8000 genes; however, the genes that determine parasite virulence were not fully identified. The apicomplexan parasites, including *Toxoplasma*, developed unique organelles that do not exist in other model organisms; thus, determining the subcellular location of parasite proteins is important for understanding their functions. Here, we used *in vivo* CRISPR genetic screens that enabled us to investigate hundreds of genes in *Toxoplasma* during mouse infection. We screened approximately 600 parasite proteins with previously unknown subcellular localizations. We identified many novel genes that confer parasite fitness in mice. Among the top hits, we characterized two essential virulence genes, TgGTPase and TgRimM, which are widely conserved in the phylum Apicomplexa. Our findings will contribute to understanding how apicomplexans adapt to the host environment and cause disease.

INTRODUCTION

An obligate intracellular parasite, *Toxoplasma gondii*, is an important human and animal pathogen that causes life-threatening toxoplasmosis (1). *Toxoplasma* has been the model apicomplexan parasite owing to its easiness of *in vitro* cultivation, genetic tractability, *in vivo* disease model in mice, and various biological assays to investigate the function of the genes (2). As unicellular eukaryotes that adapt to intracellular parasitism, apicomplexans have developed unique secretory organelles (e.g., micronemes, rhoptries, dense granules), which are absent in other well-studied eukaryotic cell systems (3). These secretory organelles are key features of the apicomplexans and discharge various types of proteins that are essential for invasion, replication, and egress (3). Likewise, the apicoplast is a unique non-photosynthetic plastid-like organelle and essential for apicomplexans' metabolism (fatty acid, heme, iron-sulfur cluster, and isoprenoid synthesis) (4). Therefore, uncovering the subcellular localizations of parasite proteins is crucial to understand the protein functions and parasite pathogenesis mechanism.

Although the parasite protein localization is typically revealed by cell biological methods such as classical immunofluorescence assay (IFA), immuno-electron microscopy, and, recently, ultrastructure expansion microscopy (U-ExM) (5, 6), the parasite spatial proteome has been largely unknown. Recent studies elegantly addressed the issues in *Toxoplasma* (7) and *Cryptosporidium* (8) by a spatial proteomic method called hyperLOPIT (9), yielding plenty of knowledge on parasite subcellular proteome. In a previous study using hyperLOPIT on *Toxoplasma* (7), approximately 3800 proteins were identified in the tachyzoite stage; however, about 1,200 of them are still classified as unassigned subcellular localizations. Moreover, the functions of these genes mostly remained to be elucidated.

In addition to classical forward and reverse genetics, *in vitro* or *in vivo* CRISPR genetic screens have been used to assess the essentiality of the parasite genes in the lytic cycles or virulence (10-15). We previously performed *in vivo* CRISPR screens using small-scaled guide RNA (gRNA) libraries based on hyperLOPIT-assigned localizations (e.g., rhoptries, dense granules, nucleus, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), Golgi apparatus, cytosol, apicoplast, and mitochondrion) and identified novel secreted and non-secreted

virulence factors (14). However, the essentiality of hyperLOPIT-unassigned proteins in

virulence remains mostly unknown.

In this study, we generated two small-scaled gRNA libraries targeting genes

encoding hyperLOPIT-unassigned proteins and performed *in vivo* CRISPR screens in

mice. We identified several novel genes that contribute to the parasite's *in vivo* fitness.

Among the top hits, we further focused on two candidates, TgGTPase

(TGGT1_277840) and TgRimM (TGGT1_321310). TgGTPase is a guanosine

triphosphatase (GTPase) that localizes in the parasite cytosol, and TgRimM is a novel

apicoplast-resident protein possessing a ribosome maturation factor M (RimM) domain

and a C2H2-type zinc finger domain. Both genes are widely conserved among the

apicomplexans, and gene deletions led to the complete loss of virulence in mice,

suggesting that TgGTPase and TgRimM are essential for the parasite adaptation to the

in vivo environment. Overall, our current study provides a clue for the research

community to estimate the *in vivo* essentiality of proteins with previously unknown

localizations.

RESULTS

***In vivo* CRISPR screening targeting proteins of unassigned subcellular localization**

To identify proteins necessary for *Toxoplasma* survival in mice, we utilized a

previously established *in vivo* CRISPR screen platform using type I strain and C57BL/6

mice (14). We newly generated two gRNA libraries (Unassigned_1 and Unassigned_2

libraries), each targeting 295 genes classified as unassigned localization in hyperLOPIT

(7) and control genes for *in vitro* and *in vivo* selection. Among approximately 1200

hyperLOPIT-unassigned proteins, we excluded genes with previously published *in vitro*

fitness scores in human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF) less than -1.5 to reduce the size of

the gRNA library (10). Transfected RHΔhxgprt parasites were selected with

pyrimethamine in Vero cells for four passages to generate a pooled mutant population

(*in vitro* sample). The parasite mutant pools were used to infect C57BL/6 mice with 10^7

parasites each by injection into the footpad. After seven days post infection, parasites

were recovered from the spleen and expanded in Vero cells for one passage (*in vivo*

sample). The gRNA sequences were amplified by PCR from the input library and the

genomic DNA extracted from the parasites of *in vitro* and *in vivo* samples. The gRNAs were sequenced by next-generation sequencing to determine their relative abundance. We calculated *in vitro* and *in vivo* fitness scores of each gene as the average $\log_2$ fold change of guide abundances between conditions. We analyzed the screen results from both sublibraries to assess the reproducibility (**Tables S1 and S2**). The *in vitro* essential and dispensable controls were successfully separated, with lower scores for the essential genes and higher scores for the dispensable genes (**Figure S1A**). The correlations between our *in vitro* fitness scores and the genome-wide *in vitro* fitness scores in HFFs were high (r = 0.78 and 0.76, respectively) (**Figure S1B**) (10). High reproducibility of *in vivo* fitness scores was observed between independent infections in mice (r = 0.75±0.05 and 0.68±0.07, respectively) (**Figure S1C**). These data demonstrated that our *in vitro* and *in vivo* CRISPR screens using Unassigned_1 and Unassigned_2 libraries were highly reproducible.

To identify genes that confer parasite *in vivo* fitness during infection in mice, we compared *in vitro* and *in vivo* fitness scores (**Figure 1A, Tables S1 and S2**). To rank the candidate genes, we calculated the distance of each gene from the regression line (**Figure 1B**). As reported previously (14), the *in vivo* essential controls ROP18 and GRA23 showed negative *in vivo* fitness scores and ranked highly (**Figure 1B**). This analysis highlighted both previously identified and unidentified genes. For instance, the top hits from Unassigned_1 library contain hypothetical protein (TGGT1_211850), thioredoxin (Txn: TGGT1_224060), NUDIX hydrolase (Nudix: TGGT1_282190), CAAX metallo endopeptidase (Caax: TGGT1_221170), vacuolar iron transporter (VIT: TGGT1_266800), DNA damage inducible protein 1 (DDI1: TGGT1_304680), TgGTPase (TGGT1_277840), GLT2 (TGGT1_239752), apical annuli methyltransferase (AAMT: TGGT1_310070), and mRNA cleavage factor family protein (mRNACF: TGGT1_221190). It has been reported that VIT mainly localizes to the plant-like vacuolar compartment (PLVAC) and regulates parasite iron metabolism (16). DDI1 is one of the components of the parasite ubiquitin-proteasome system and is localized in the cytoplasm and nucleus (17). TgGTPase was identified as an interactor of the Nd complex, which facilitates rhoptry exocytosis (18). GLT2 is a glucosyltransferase and

required for parasite disaccharide metabolism (19). AAMT was identified as an apical

annuli component (20). The top hits from Unassigned_2 library also contain several

hypothetical proteins (TGGT1_300220, TGGT1_312840, TGGT1_277870,

TGGT1_250790, TGGT1_221640, and TGGT1_273905), ribosome maturation factor

RimM domain-containing protein (TgRimM: TGGT1_321310), TBC6

(TGGT1_237280), DnaJ domain-containing protein (Dnaj: TGGT1_203380), CPSF A

subunit region protein (CPSF: TGGT1_ 267710), and rRNA-processing protein

EFG1(EFG1: TGGT1_240660). Although TGGT1_300220 was also shown to be one of

the top hits in another *in vivo* CRISPR screen (15), no further investigation was

performed. TBC6 is one of the TBC-domain containing proteins and is localized in the

ER or cytoplasmic vesicles (21). TGGT1_273905 localizes to the cytoplasm (22).

Although some candidates (VIT and DDI1) were previously reported to be required for

parasite virulence (16, 17), none of the other top hits are assessed regarding virulence so

far.

Identification of novel genes that contribute to parasite virulence in mice

158   We generated individual knockout strains to examine whether these top-hit

genes affect parasite virulence (**Figures S2A and S2B**). WT mice were locally infected

with these mutant strains into the footpad, and the survival rates were monitored. We

chose footpad infection rather than intraperitoneal infection because our previous study

revealed that the former is more suitable for assessing virulence in using RH strain (14)

(**Figures 2A and 2B**). Among the candidates from Unassigned_1 library, all mice

survived in the infection of ΔTGGT1_211850, ΔDDI1, ΔTgGTPase, or ΔAAMT

parasites. Other tested mutants also showed reduced virulence (ΔGLT2, ΔCaax,

ΔmRNACF, ΔTxn, ΔNudix, and ΔVIT). Among the candidates from Unassigned_2

library, all mice survived in the infection of ΔTGGT1_300220, ΔTgRimM, ΔEFG1,

ΔCPSF, or ΔTGGT1_277870 parasites. ΔTGGT1_250790, ΔDnaj, ΔTGGT1_312840,

or ΔTGGT1_273905 parasites showed reduced virulence. WT mice infected with

ΔTBC6, and ΔTGGT1_221640 succumbed in a time course similar to those infected

with WT parasites. Collectively, these data demonstrated that our *in vivo* CRISPR

screens targeting hyperLOPIT-unassigned proteins highlighted known and novel *in vivo*

fitness-conferring genes that affect virulence.

TgGTPase is a widely conserved GTPase that contributes to virulence

Among the top-ranking candidates from Unassigned_1 library, we chose to

characterize TgGTPase further (**Figure 3A and Tables S1**). TgGTPase is a GTPase that

is broadly conserved across the phylum Apicomplexa (**Figures 3B and 3C**). To study

the function of TgGTPase, we complemented the ΔTgGTPase strain with C-terminal

HA-tagged TgGTPase expressed from the tubulin promoter that we will refer to as

"ΔTgGTPase::TgGTPase-HA" (**Figure 3D**). Western blot showed the band of

TgGTPase-HA at around 40 kDa (**Figure 3E**). The HA-tagged TgGTPase showed a

cytoplasmic localization by IFA (**Figure 3F**). To validate the essentiality of TgGTPase

on pathogenesis, we infected C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally with 10^3 tachyzoites of

the WT, ΔTgGTPase, and ΔTgGTPase::TgGTPase-HA parasites and assessed mouse

survival (**Figure 3G**). All the mice intraperitoneally infected with ΔTgGTPase parasites

survived. By contrast, ΔTgGTPase::TgGTPase-HA parasites fully restored virulence as

well as WT parasites. Taken together, these results suggest that TgGTPase is a

cytoplasmic protein essential for virulence.

TgRimM is a novel apicoplast-resident protein possessing C2H2 zinc finger that

contributes to virulence in mice

Among the top-ranking candidates from Unassigned_2 library, we chose to

characterize TgRimM further (**Figure 4A and Tables S2**). TgRimM possesses a signal

peptide, a ribosome maturation factor RimM domain, and a single C2H2-type zinc

finger domain (**Figure 4B**) (23, 24). We searched for TgRimM homologs and found that

they are broadly conserved across the phylum Apicomplexa except for *Cryptosporidium*

spp. (**Figure 4C**). To characterize TgRimM, we complemented the ΔTgRimM strain

with C-terminal HA-tagged TgRimM expressed from the tubulin promoter that we will

refer to as "ΔTgRimM::TgRimM-HA" (**Figure 4D**). The HA-tagged TgRimM showed

an apicoplast localization co-stained with streptavidin (an apicoplast marker) by IFA

(**Figure 4E**). Western blot against TgRimM-HA showed the main band at around 75

kDa, probably a processed form of TgRimM (**Figure 4F**). To check the effect of

TgRimM on virulence, we infected C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally with 10^3

tachyzoites of the WT, ΔTgRimM, and ΔTgRimM::TgRimM-HA parasites and assessed

the mouse survival. All the mice intraperitoneally infected with ΔTgRimM parasites

survived. In contrast, ΔTgRimM::TgRimM-HA parasites restored virulence (**Figure**

4G). Next, we assessed the importance of the C2H2-type zinc finger domain in

TgRimM. We generated a complemented strain lacking the C2H2 zinc finger

(ΔTgRimM::TgRimM$^{\Delta ZF}$-HA) (**Figure 4C**). Deleting the zinc finger domain did not

alter the apicoplast localization of TgRimM$^{\Delta ZF}$-HA (**Figure S3**). However,

ΔTgRimM::TgRimM$^{\Delta ZF}$-HA could not restore virulence (**Figure 4G**). Thus, these

results suggest that TgRimM is essential for virulence in mice and that the C2H2 zinc

finger is indispensable for TgRimM function in the apicoplast.

DISCUSSION

Despite much effort from the research community, many apicomplexan

proteins still need to be annotated owing to their uniqueness and absence in other model

organisms. The CRISPR screens enabled the researchers to investigate hundreds to

thousands of genes in *Toxoplasma* at once *in vitro* culture or *in vivo* infection to identify

essential genes for parasite growth, metabolism, drug resistance, differentiation,

host-pathogen interaction, and virulence (10-15, 25-33). Most *in vivo* CRISPR screens

in *Toxoplasma* have been focused on identifying secreted virulence effectors such as

rhoptry bulb proteins (ROPs) and dense granule proteins (GRAs) (11-13). However,

recent studies are in progress to search for non-secreted virulence factors by *in vivo*

CRISPR screens (14, 15). In this study, we focused on the hyperLOPIT-unassigned

proteins and performed *in vivo* CRISPR screens to identify novel virulence factors in

Toxoplasma. As a result, we identified many candidate genes that were required for the

parasite *in vivo* fitness in mice and further characterized TgGTPase and TgRimM

among them.

TgGTPase was originally reported as an interactor of the Nd complex, which

plays an important role in rhoptry secretion (18). However, considering that our study

revealed its distribution in the cytosol, TgGTPase might have broader functions than

rhoptry secretion. TgGTPase homologs are widely conserved across the phylum

Apicomplexa, suggesting that this GTPase is functionally important across the species

in the phylum. In the transposon mutagenesis screen in *Plasmodium falciparum* (34),

PfGTPase (PF3D7_1348100) possesses lower mutagenesis scores (Mutagenesis Index

Score = 0.316 and Mutagenesis Fitness Score = -2.437), suggesting that PfGTPase is

essential for optimal growth of asexual blood-stages *in vitro*. Future studies on

TgGTPase homologs in *Plasmodium* or *Cryptosporidium* will reveal their conserved

function in the phylum.

We identified TgRimM as an apicoplast-resident protein. A homolog in *P.*

falciparum (PfRimM: PF3D7_1032000) is also predicted to be localized in the

apicoplast by signal peptide prediction (35). In the transposon mutagenesis screen in *P.*

falciparum (34), PfRimM also possesses very low mutagenesis scores (Mutagenesis

Index Score = 0.158 and Mutagenesis Fitness Score = -2.8), indicating that PfRimM is

essential for growth of asexual blood-stages *in vitro*. Assuming that RimM homologs in

the phylum Apicomplexa (ApiRimM) are located in the apicoplast, the lack of RimM

homologs in *Cryptosporidium* is reasonable because *Cryptosporidium* spp. are known to

have lost the apicoplast (36-38). RimM domain-containing protein was reported to

regulate 16S rRNA processing in bacteria (39). It is reported that a RimM

domain-containing protein in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (AtRimM) is located in the

chloroplasts and contributes to rRNA maturation and proteostasis (40). Considering that

the apicoplast evolved from secondary endosymbiosis (41) and that the zinc finger

domain in TgRimM is essential for its function in our study, ApiRimM might facilitate

rRNA maturation and proteostasis in the apicoplast. Previous studies on *Toxoplasma*

apicoplast have shown that apicoplast function is essential for the parasite *in vitro*

survival and growth (42-44). Moreover, apicoplast-assigned proteins in hyperLOPIT

showed a small number of *in vitro* dispensable proteins (7), also supporting its bare

essentiality. In sharp contrast, other than TgRimM, we previously identified two

additional apicoplast-resident proteins (EF-P: TGGT1_258380 and hypothetical protein:

TGGT1_204350) that are dispensable for *in vitro* fitness but essential for *in vivo* fitness

in CRISPR screens (14). The presence of this type of apicoplast proteins that are

dispensable *in vitro* but indispensable *in vivo* might imply unknown characteristics of

the apicoplast. Considering that the apicoplast is a potent drug target against
toxoplasmosis and malaria (45), the presence of such apicoplast proteins that are
dispensable *in vitro* but indispensable *in vivo* might expand the range of
anti-toxoplasmosis and -malarial drug targets in the future. In combination with the
database of hyperLOPIT, *in vivo* CRISPR screens succeeded in identifying such "new
class" apicoplast proteins essential for virulence.

Limitations of our current study are that, although approximately 1,200
proteins are classified as unassigned subcellular localizations in hyperLOPIT, our
sublibraries cover only half of them. Therefore, the remaining proteins still need to be
addressed in the future. Also, although we identified previously unreported virulence
genes in this study, their precise functions and localizations, other than TgGTPase and
TgRimM, mostly remained unaddressed. Future works will aim to characterize these
remaining proteins further.

In conclusion, our *in vivo* CRISPR screen platform provides a resource for the
community to identify virulence genes encoding proteins with previously unknown
localizations and functions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Toxoplasma strains

284   RHΔhxgprt (14), RHΔhxgprtΔku80 (46) and its derivatives of *Toxoplasma*
285   were maintained in Vero cells and passaged every 3 days using RPMI (Nacalai Tesque)
286   supplemented with 2 % heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; JRH Bioscience), 100
287   U/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin (Nacalai Tesque) in incubators at 37°C and
288   5% CO_2.

Host cell culture

Vero cells were maintained in RPMI (Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with
10 % heat-inactivated FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin (Nacalai
Tesque) in incubators at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs) were
maintained in DMEM (Nacalai Tesque) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS,

100 U/ml penicillin and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin (Nacalai Tesque) in incubators at 37°C

and 5% CO_2.

Mice

C57BL/6NCrSlc (C57BL/6N) mice were purchased from SLC. All

experiments were conducted in 8-10-week-old female mice. All animal experiments

were conducted with the approval of the Animal Research Committee of Research

Institute for Microbial Diseases in Osaka University.

***In vitro* and *in vivo* pooled CRISPR screens**

The gRNA sequences of Unassigned_1 and Unassigned_2 sublibrary were

selected from the genome-wide gRNA library (10). The selected gRNA sequences were

cloned into the modified pU6-Universal vector by cloning a T2A, DHFR, T2A, and

RFP in frame with Cas9, where the expression of gRNA and

Cas9-T2A-DHFR-T2A-RFP cassettes was independently transcribed. The insertion of

the selected gRNA sequences into the vector was performed by VectorBuilder. The

gRNA library (200 μg) was linearized with NotI and transfected into approximately

$1\text{-}2\times10^8$ RHΔhxgprt parasites divided between four separate cuvettes. Then, transfected

parasites were grown in 4×150-mm dishes with confluent Vero cell monolayers.

Pyrimethamine (Sigma) was added 24 h post transfection. All the parasites were

passaged every 3 days until Passage 3 without filtration. After 2 days (Passage 4), the

parasites were syringe lysed, filtered, and counted for genomic DNA preparation or for

mouse infection. For genomic DNA preparation, at least 1×10^8 parasites were pelleted

and stored at -80 °C. For mouse infection, the parasites were resuspended in PBS at a

concentration of 2.5×10^8 parasites/ml. Then, 1×10^7 parasites in 40 μl PBS were

injected into the footpad of each anesthetized mouse. Parasite viability was determined

by plaque assay. At 7 days post infection, the spleens were collected and crushed by a

plunger and passed through a cell strainer to make single cell suspensions. Then, the

suspensions were pelleted and added to 2×150-mm dishes per spleen with confluent

Vero cell monolayers. After 2-4 days, when the parasites completely lysed out, they

were filtered and counted. At least 1×10^8 parasites were pelleted and stored at -80 °C.

Parasite genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Integrated gRNA sequences were

PCR-amplified and barcoded with KOD FX Neo (TOYOBO) using Primer 1 and

Primer 2 (**Table S3**). Genomic DNA (1μg) was used for the template. The resulting

libraries were sequenced on a DNBSEQ-G400RS (MGI) using Primer 3 and Primer 4

(**Table S3**).

Bioinformatic analysis of the CRISPR screen

Following demultiplexing, gRNA sequencing reads were aligned to the gRNA

library. The abundance of each gRNA was calculated and normalized to the total

number of aligned reads (47). For *in vitro* analysis, the $\log_2$ fold-change between the P4

sample and the library was calculated for each gRNA. The fitness score for each gene

was calculated as the mean $\log_2$ fold change for the top five scoring guides. For *in vivo*

analysis, the $\log_2$ fold-change between each *in vivo* sample and the P4 sample was

calculated for each gRNA as described above. The median fitness score across mouse

replicates was used as the *in vivo* fitness score (14). For a given gene, gRNAs were

compared using Wilcoxon rank sum test between P4 vs WT mice. The p values for each

test were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. The distance of each gene

from the regression line was calculated as below.

$$distance\left(ax + by + c = 0, (x_0, y_0)\right) = \frac{ax_0 + b\,y_0 + c}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}$$

All analyses were conducted by R (v4.1.1) with package stats (v3.6.2) and visualized by

ggplot2 (v3.4.0).

Plasmid construction for knockout *Toxoplasma*

For construction of the CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids for targeting a gene of interest

(GOI), two oligonucleotide primers (GOI_gRNA1_F and GOI_gRNA1_R,

GOI_gRNA2_F and GOI_gRNA2_R) containing gRNA sequence were annealed and

cloned into BsaI site of the pU6-Universal vector (Addgene #52694). To generate a

construct for deleting the entire coding region of GOI, flanking regions of 60 bp of 5'

and 3' outside the gRNAs were used to surround the genes. Forward and reverse

primers with homology to the floxed HXGPRT cassette and to the 5' and 3' coding

sequence of the GOI was used (GOI_homology_F and GOI_ homology _R). The primer

sequences used for the genetic disruption are shown in **Table S3**.

Generation of gene knockout *Toxoplasma*

RHΔhxgprtΔku80 were filtered and resuspended in Cytomix (10mM KPO$_4$,

120mM KCl, 0.15 mM CaCl$_2$, 5mM MgCl$_2$, 25 mM HEPES, 2 mM EDTA). Parasites

were mixed with 50 µg of each gRNA1 and gRNA2 CRISPR plasmids with the

PCR-amplified targeting fragment for each GOI, and supplemented with 2 mM ATP,

5mM GSH. Parasites were electroporated by GENE PULSER II (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Transfected parasites were selected by 25 µg/ml mycophenolic acid (MPA) (Sigma) and

50 µg/ml Xanthine (Wako) to obtain stably resistant clones. Then, parasites were

subjected to limiting dilution in 96-well plates to isolate single clones. To confirm the

disruption of the gene, we analyzed the mRNA expression by quantitative RT-PCR.

Complementation of TgGTPase and TgRimM

To complement the ΔTgGTPase and ΔTgRimM parasites, TgGTPase,

TgRimM, and TgRimM^{ΔZF} cDNA was generated by PCR amplification from cDNA of

the RH strain. The PCR products were inserted into the pUPRT plasmid vector, which

possesses cDNA of interest with SPOT and HA epitope tag driven by the tubulin

promoter. Indicated parasites were transfected with the pUPRT complementation vector

and gRNA targeting the UPRT locus, then selected by FUDR (Wako) (48). Isolated

clones were examined for protein expression by western blotting and IFA.

TgGTPase and TgRimM phylogenetic analysis

380 ToxoDB (23), VEuPathDB (49) and BLAST were searched for TgGTPase or

381 TgRimM homologs across the phylum Apicomplexa. Clustal Omega was used to align

382 obtained homologs (50). Phylogenetic trees were visualized by ggtree (v3.2.1) (51).

Immunofluorescence assay

HFFs were grown on coverslips, infected with parasites for 24-30 h, and fixed

in PBS containing 3.7% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min at room temperature. Cells

were permeabilized with PBS containing 0.1% Triton-X for 10 min and then blocked

with in PBS containing 3% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. Then, the coverslips were

incubated with the primary antibodies for 1 h, followed by incubation with appropriate

secondary antibodies, streptavidin and DAPI for 30 min. The coverslips were mounted

using PermaFluor (Thermo Scientific). Images were acquired by confocal laser

microscopy (Olympus FV3000 IX83). Primary antibodies used were mouse anti-HA.11

(BioLegend, 901514), rabbit anti-GAP45 (52), and Alexa Fluor 594 streptavidin

(Invitrogen, S11227).

Assessment of *in vivo* virulence in mice

397   Mice were infected with 10^3 tachyzoites in 200 µl (intra-peritoneum) or 40 µl

398   (intra-footpad) of PBS. Parasite viability was determined by plaque assay. The mouse

399   health condition and survival were monitored daily until 30 days (intra-peritoneum) or

400   40 days (intra-footpad) post infection, respectively.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted by RNeasy kit (QIAGEN), and cDNA was

synthesized by Verso reverse transcription (Thermo Fisher Scientic) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with a CFX Connect

real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and a Go-Taq real-time PCR system

(Promega). The data were analyzed by the ΔΔCT method and normalized to ACT1 in

each sample. The primer sequences are listed in **Table S3**.

Western blotting

Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl,

pH 7.5) containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Nacalai Tesque). The cell lysates were

separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes

(Immobilon-P; Millipore). Membranes were blocked in 5% skim milk in 0.05%

Tween-20 in PBS for 1 h at room temperature, followed by incubation with primary

antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Blots were then incubated with appropriate

secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies used were mouse

anti-HA (MBL, M180-7) and rabbit anti-ROP18 (this study).

Generation of custom anti-ROP18 antibody

Custom anti-ROP18 antibody (rabbit polyclonal) was generated against a synthetic

C-terminal peptide of ROP18 (AQNFEQQEHLHTE). The epitope identification,

peptide synthesis, rabbit immunization and serum collection were conducted by Cosmo

Bio. The specificity of anti-ROP18 antibody was validated for western blotting.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Information about the number of biological replicates and the type of statistical

tests used can be found in the figure legends. All statistical analyses except for the

survival rates were performed using R (4.1.1, https://www.r-project.org/). For

correlation analysis, Pearson's correlation was used. Data with p values < 0.05 were

considered statistically significant. The statistical analysis of survival rates was

performed by the log-rank test using the GraphPad Prism9 software.

Data availability

The CRISPR screen data of two sublibraries have been deposited to the NCBI

GEO. The GEO accession numbers are **GSE253884** and **GSE253885**. Any additional

information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the

lead contact upon request.

ACKNOWLEGDEMENT

We thank M. Enomoto and N. Yamagishi (Osaka University) for secretarial

and technical assistance. We acknowledge the NGS core facility at the Research

Institute for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University for the sequencing. We thank Dr.

D. Soldati-Favre for anti-GAP45 antibody. This study was supported by Fusion

Oriented Research for Disruptive Science and Technology (JPMJFR206D), and

Moonshot research & development (JPMJMS2025) from Japan Science and

Technology Agency, the Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious

Diseases (JP23fk0108682) from the Agency for Medical Research and Development
(AMED), a Grant-in-Aid for Transformative Research Area (B) (Establishment of
PLAMP as a new concept to determine self and nonself for obligatory intracellular
pathogens; 20B304), for Scientific Research (A) (19H00970), for JSPS Research
Fellow (23KJ1469) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and
Technology, program from Joint Usage and Joint Research Programs of the Institute of
Advanced Medical Sciences, Tokushima University, Takeda Science Foundation,
Mochida Memorial Foundation, Astellas Foundation for Research on Metabolic
Disorders, Naito Foundation, the Chemo-Sero-Therapeutic Research Institute, Research
Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University, BIKEN Taniguchi Scholarship,
and Joint Research Program of Research Center for Global and Local Infectious
Diseases of Oita University (2021B06).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, Y.T. and M.Y.; Methodology, Y.T. and M.Y.; Software and Formal
Analysis, Y.T.; Investigation, Y.T. and M.Y.; Writing – Original Draft, Y.T. and M.Y.;
Writing – Review & Editing, Y.T., M.S. and M.Y.; Funding Acquisition, M.Y.;
Supervision, M.Y.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *In vivo* **CRISPR screening targeting proteins with**
hyperLOPIT-unassigned localization.

(A) Scatterplots showing in vitro and in vivo fitness scores from Unassigned_1 (left)
and Unassigned_2 (right) libraries, respectively. ROP18 and GRA23 are labeled in
orange as control genes.

(B) Ranking tables for top 15 *in vivo* fitness-conferring genes in each library ordered by
the distance from the regression line.

Figure 2. Validation of candidate genes that affect parasite virulence.

(A) C57BL/6 mice were inoculated into the footpad with 1000 tachyzoites of the
indicated knockout strains from the unassigned_1 library. WT (n = 6),

ΔTGGT1_211850 (n = 5), ΔDDI1 (n = 5), ΔTgGTPase (n = 5), ΔAAMT (n = 5),

ΔGLT2 (n = 5), ΔCaax (n = 5), ΔmRNACF (n = 5), ΔTxn (n = 5), ΔNudix (n = 5),

ΔVIT (n = 5).

(B) C57BL/6 mice were inoculated into the footpad with 1000 tachyzoites of the

indicated knockout strains from the unassigned_2 library. WT (n = 6),

ΔTGGT1_300220 (n = 5), ΔTgRimM (n = 5), ΔEFG1 (n = 5), ΔCPSF (n = 5),

ΔTGGT1_277870 (n = 5), ΔTGGT1_250790 (n = 5), ΔDnaj (n = 5), ΔTGGT1_312840

(n = 5), ΔTGGT1_273905 (n = 5), ΔTBC6 (n = 5), ΔTGGT1_221640 (n = 5). N.S., not

significant (log-rank test).

Figure 3. TgGTPase is a cytosolic GTPase that contributes to parasite virulence.

(A) Rank-ordered plot of genes from Unassigned_1 library.

(B) Schematic of putative domains in TgGTPase.

(C) Phylogenetic tree of selected TgGTPase homologs across the apicomplexan phylum.

(D) Schematic of TgGTPase knockout and complementation of TgGTPase-HA.

(E) Western blot analysis of TgGTPase-HA.

(F) Immunofluorescence images of ΔTgGTPase::TgGTPase-HA showing cytosolic

localization of TgGTPase-HA (green). GAP45 (magenta) stains the inner membrane

complex of the parasites.

(G) Survival curves of C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally infected with 10^3 tachyzoites of

WT (n = 5), ΔTgGTPase (n = 5), and ΔTgGTPase::TgGTPase-HA (n = 5).

Data are representative of three independent experiments (E and F).

Figure 4. TgRimM is an apicoplast-resident protein that is essential for parasite

virulence.

(A) Rank-ordered plot of genes from Unassigned_2 library.

(B) Schematic of putative domains in TgRimM. SP is predicted by SignalP 6.0.

(C) Phylogenetic tree of selected TgRimM homologs across the apicomplexan phylum.

(D) Schematic of TgRimM knockout and complementation of wild-type and mutant

TgRimM.

(E) Immunofluorescence images of ΔTgRimM::TgRimM-HA showing TgRimM-HA

(green) is co-stained with streptavidin (magenta), an apicoplast marker.

(F) Western blot analysis of TgRimM-HA.

(G) Survival curves of C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally infected with 10^3 tachyzoites of

WT (n = 5), ΔTgRimM (n = 5), ΔTgRimM::TgRimM-HA (n = 5), or

ΔTgRimM::TgRimM$^{\Delta ZF}$-HA (n = 5).

Data are representative of three independent experiments (E and F).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplementary Figure 1. Assessing the reproducibility of CRISPR screens

Related to Figure 1.

(A) Rank-ordered plots for *in vitro* fitness scores of 4th passage.

(B) Correlation between our *in vitro* fitness scores and the *in vitro* fitness scores in HFF.

(C) Overlay of *in vivo* fitness scores for each mouse. Pearson's correlation coefficients

are shown as mean ± SD.

Supplementary Figure 2. Generating gene knockout parasites.

Related to Figure 2.

(A) Schematic of gene knockout strategy.

(B) Quantitative RT-PCR validations for indicated parasites.

Supplementary Figure 3. TgRimM$^{\Delta ZF}$-HA localized in the apicoplast.

Related to Figure 4.

Immunofluorescence images of ΔTgRimM::TgRimM$^{\Delta ZF}$-HA showing TgRimM$^{\Delta ZF}$-HA

(green) is co-stained with streptavidin (magenta), an apicoplast marker. Data are

representative of three independent experiments.

Table S1.

Summary of *in vivo* CRISPR screen using Unassigned_1 library, gRNA sequences, raw

count data, and *in vivo* fitness scores for each mouse.

Table S2.

Summary of *in vivo* CRISPR screen using Unassigned_2 library, gRNA sequences, raw

count data, and *in vivo* fitness scores for each mouse.

Table S3.

Lists of the primers used in this study.

REFERENCES

1.   Montoya JG, Liesenfeld O. 2004. Toxoplasmosis. Lancet 363:1965-76.

2.   Kim K, Weiss LM. 2004. Toxoplasma gondii: the model apicomplexan. Int J Parasitol
34:423-32.

3.   Lebrun M, Carruthers VB, Cesbron-Delauw M-F. 2020. Toxoplasma secretory proteins
and their roles in parasite cell cycle and infection, p 607-704, Toxoplasma gondii.
Elsevier.

4.   Kloehn J, Lacour CE, Soldati-Favre D. 2021. The metabolic pathways and transporters
of the plastid organelle in Apicomplexa. Curr Opin Microbiol 63:250-258.

5.   Gambarotto D, Zwettler FU, Le Guennec M, Schmidt-Cernohorska M, Fortun D, Borgers
S, Heine J, Schloetel JG, Reuss M, Unser M, Boyden ES, Sauer M, Hamel V, Guichard
P. 2019. Imaging cellular ultrastructures using expansion microscopy (U-ExM). Nat
Methods 16:71-74.

6.   Tosetti N, Dos Santos Pacheco N, Bertiaux E, Maco B, Bournonville L, Hamel V,
Guichard P, Soldati-Favre D. 2020. Essential function of the alveolin network in
the subpellicular microtubules and conoid assembly in Toxoplasma gondii. Elife
9.

7.   Barylyuk K, Koreny L, Ke H, Butterworth S, Crook OM, Lassadi I, Gupta V, Tromer
E, Mourier T, Stevens TJ, Breckels LM, Pain A, Lilley KS, Waller RF. 2020. A
Comprehensive Subcellular Atlas of the Toxoplasma Proteome via hyperLOPIT Provides
Spatial Context for Protein Functions. Cell Host Microbe 28:752-766 e9.

8.   Guerin A, Strelau KM, Barylyuk K, Wallbank BA, Berry L, Crook OM, Lilley KS, Waller
RF, Striepen B. 2023. Cryptosporidium uses multiple distinct secretory organelles
to interact with and modify its host cell. Cell Host Microbe 31:650-664 e6.

9.   Christoforou A, Mulvey CM, Breckels LM, Geladaki A, Hurrell T, Hayward PC, Naake
T, Gatto L, Viner R, Martinez Arias A, Lilley KS. 2016. A draft map of the mouse
pluripotent stem cell spatial proteome. Nat Commun 7:8992.

10.   Sidik SM, Huet D, Ganesan SM, Huynh MH, Wang T, Nasamu AS, Thiru P, Saeij JPJ,
Carruthers VB, Niles JC, Lourido S. 2016. A Genome-wide CRISPR Screen in Toxoplasma
Identifies Essential Apicomplexan Genes. Cell 166:1423-1435 e12.

11.   Young J, Dominicus C, Wagener J, Butterworth S, Ye X, Kelly G, Ordan M, Saunders

576   B, Instrell R, Howell M, Stewart A, Treeck M. 2019. A CRISPR platform for targeted
577   in vivo screens identifies Toxoplasma gondii virulence factors in mice. Nat Commun
578   10:3963.

12.   Sangare LO, Olafsson EB, Wang Y, Yang N, Julien L, Camejo A, Pesavento P, Sidik
SM, Lourido S, Barragan A, Saeij JPJ. 2019. In Vivo CRISPR Screen Identifies TgWIP
as a Toxoplasma Modulator of Dendritic Cell Migration. Cell Host Microbe 26:478-492
e8.

13.   Butterworth S, Torelli F, Lockyer EJ, Wagener J, Song OR, Broncel M, Russell MRG,
Moreira-Souza ACA, Young JC, Treeck M. 2022. Toxoplasma gondii virulence factor
ROP1 reduces parasite susceptibility to murine and human innate immune restriction.
PLoS Pathog 18:e1011021.

14.   Tachibana Y, Hashizaki E, Sasai M, Yamamoto M. 2023. Host genetics highlights
IFN-gamma-dependent Toxoplasma genes encoding secreted and non-secreted virulence
factors in in vivo CRISPR screens. Cell Rep 42:112592.

15.   Giuliano CJ, Wei KJ, Harling FM, Waldman BS, Farringer MA, Boydston EA, Lan TCT,
Thomas RW, Herneisen AL, Sanderlin AG, Coppens I, Dvorin JD, Lourido S. 2023.
Functional profiling of the Toxoplasma genome during acute mouse infection.
bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2023.03.05.531216.

16.   Aghabi D, Sloan M, Gill G, Hartmann E, Antipova O, Dou Z, Guerra AJ, Carruthers
VB, Harding CR. 2023. The vacuolar iron transporter mediates iron detoxification
in Toxoplasma gondii. Nat Commun 14:3659.

17.   Zhang H, Liu J, Ying Z, Li S, Wu Y, Liu Q. 2020. Toxoplasma gondii UBL-UBA shuttle
proteins contribute to the degradation of ubiquitinylated proteins and are
important for synchronous cell division and virulence. FASEB J 34:13711-13725.

18.   Aquilini E, Cova MM, Mageswaran SK, Dos Santos Pacheco N, Sparvoli D,
Penarete-Vargas DM, Najm R, Graindorge A, Suarez C, Maynadier M, Berry-Sterkers
L, Urbach S, Fahy PR, Guerin AN, Striepen B, Dubremetz JF, Chang YW, Turkewitz
AP, Lebrun M. 2021. An Alveolata secretory machinery adapted to parasite host cell
invasion. Nat Microbiol 6:425-434.

19.   Gas-Pascual E, Ichikawa HT, Sheikh MO, Serji MI, Deng B, Mandalasi M, Bandini G,
Samuelson J, Wells L, West CM. 2019. CRISPR/Cas9 and glycomics tools for Toxoplasma
glycobiology. J Biol Chem 294:1104-1125.

20.   Engelberg K, Chen CT, Bechtel T, Sanchez Guzman V, Drozda AA, Chavan S, Weerapana
E, Gubbels MJ. 2020. The apical annuli of Toxoplasma gondii are composed of
coiled-coil and signalling proteins embedded in the inner membrane complex sutures.
Cell Microbiol 22:e13112.

21.   Quan JJ, Nikolov LA, Sha J, Wohlschlegel JA, Bradley PJ. 2023. Toxoplasma gondii
613   encodes an array of TBC-domain containing proteins including an essential
614   regulator that targets Rab2 in the secretory pathway. bioRxiv
615   doi:10.1101/2023.05.28.542599.

616   22.   Roumegous C, Abou Hammoud A, Fuster D, Dupuy JW, Blancard C, Salin B, Robinson
617   DR, Renesto P, Tardieux I, Frenal K. 2022. Identification of new components of
618   the basal pole of Toxoplasma gondii provides novel insights into its molecular
619   organization and functions. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12:1010038.

620   23.   Harb OS, Roos DS. 2020. ToxoDB: Functional Genomics Resource for Toxoplasma and
621   Related Organisms. Methods Mol Biol 2071:27-47.

622   24.   Teufel F, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Gislason MH, Pihl SI, Tsirigos KD,
623   Winther O, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2022. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five
624   types of signal peptides using protein language models. Nat Biotechnol
625   40:1023-1025.

626   25.   Butterworth S, Kordova K, Chandrasekaran S, Thomas KK, Torelli F, Lockyer EJ,
627   Edwards A, Goldstone R, Koshy AA, Treeck M. 2023. High-throughput identification
628   of Toxoplasma gondii effector proteins that target host cell transcription. Cell
629   Host Microbe 31:1748-1762 e8.

630   26.   Chen Y, Liu Q, Xue JX, Zhang MY, Geng XL, Wang Q, Jiang W. 2021. Genome-Wide
631   CRISPR/Cas9 Screen Identifies New Genes Critical for Defense Against Oxidant
632   Stress in Toxoplasma gondii. Front Microbiol 12:670705.

633   27.   Harding CR, Sidik SM, Petrova B, Gnadig NF, Okombo J, Herneisen AL, Ward KE, Markus
634   BM, Boydston EA, Fidock DA, Lourido S. 2020. Genetic screens reveal a central role
635   for heme metabolism in artemisinin susceptibility. Nat Commun 11:4813.

636   28.   Krishnamurthy S, Maru P, Wang Y, Bitew MA, Mukhopadhyay D, Yamaryo-Botte Y,
637   Paredes-Santos TC, Sangare LO, Swale C, Botte CY, Saeij JPJ. 2023. CRISPR Screens
638   Identify Toxoplasma Genes That Determine Parasite Fitness in Interferon
639   Gamma-Stimulated Human Cells. mBio 14:e0006023.

640   29.   Krishnan A, Kloehn J, Lunghi M, Chiappino-Pepe A, Waldman BS, Nicolas D, Varesio
641   E, Hehl A, Lourido S, Hatzimanikatis V, Soldati-Favre D. 2020. Functional and
642   Computational Genomics Reveal Unprecedented Flexibility in Stage-Specific
643   Toxoplasma Metabolism. Cell Host Microbe 27:290-306 e11.

644   30.   Lockyer EJ, Torelli F, Butterworth S, Song OR, Howell S, Weston A, East P, Treeck
645   M. 2023. A heterotrimeric complex of Toxoplasma proteins promotes parasite
646   survival in interferon gamma-stimulated human cells. PLoS Biol 21:e3002202.

647   31.   Paredes-Santos TC, Bitew MA, Swale C, Rodriguez F, Krishnamurthy S, Wang Y, Maru

648  P, Sangare LO, Saeij JPJ. 2023. Genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies genes
649  synthetically lethal with GRA17, a nutrient channel encoding gene in Toxoplasma.
650  PLoS Pathog 19:e1011543.

651 32. Waldman BS, Schwarz D, Wadsworth MH, 2nd, Saeij JP, Shalek AK, Lourido S. 2020.
652  Identification of a Master Regulator of Differentiation in Toxoplasma. Cell
653  180:359-372 e16.

654 33. Wang Y, Sangare LO, Paredes-Santos TC, Hassan MA, Krishnamurthy S, Furuta AM,
655  Markus BM, Lourido S, Saeij JPJ. 2020. Genome-wide screens identify Toxoplasma
656  gondii determinants of parasite fitness in IFNgamma-activated murine macrophages.
657  Nat Commun 11:5258.

658 34. Zhang M, Wang C, Otto TD, Oberstaller J, Liao X, Adapa SR, Udenze K, Bronner IF,
659  Casandra D, Mayho M, Brown J, Li S, Swanson J, Rayner JC, Jiang RHY, Adams JH.
660  2018. Uncovering the essential genes of the human malaria parasite Plasmodium
661  falciparum by saturation mutagenesis. Science 360.

662 35. Cilingir G, Broschat SL, Lau AO. 2012. ApicoAP: the first computational model for
663  identifying apicoplast-targeted proteins in multiple species of Apicomplexa. PLoS
664  One 7:e36598.

665 36. Abrahamsen MS, Templeton TJ, Enomoto S, Abrahante JE, Zhu G, Lancto CA, Deng M,
666  Liu C, Widmer G, Tzipori S, Buck GA, Xu P, Bankier AT, Dear PH, Konfortov BA, Spriggs
667  HF, Iyer L, Anantharaman V, Aravind L, Kapur V. 2004. Complete genome sequence
668  of the apicomplexan, Cryptosporidium parvum. Science 304:441-5.

669 37. Xu P, Widmer G, Wang Y, Ozaki LS, Alves JM, Serrano MG, Puiu D, Manque P, Akiyoshi
670  D, Mackey AJ, Pearson WR, Dear PH, Bankier AT, Peterson DL, Abrahamsen MS, Kapur
671  V, Tzipori S, Buck GA. 2004. The genome of Cryptosporidium hominis. Nature
672  431:1107-12.

673 38. Zhu G, Marchewka MJ, Keithly JS. 2000. Cryptosporidium parvum appears to lack a
674  plastid genome. Microbiology (Reading) 146 (Pt 2):315-321.

675 39. Bylund GO, Wipemo LC, Lundberg LA, Wikstrom PM. 1998. RimM and RbfA are essential
676  for efficient processing of 16S rRNA in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 180:73-82.

677 40. Liu K, Lee KP, Duan J, Kim EY, Singh RM, Di M, Meng Z, Kim C. 2023. Cooperative
678  role of AtRsmD and AtRimM proteins in modification and maturation of 16S rRNA in
679  plastids. Plant J 114:310-324.

680 41. van Dooren GG, Striepen B. 2013. The algal past and parasite present of the
681  apicoplast. Annu Rev Microbiol 67:271-89.

682 42. Leveque MF, Berry L, Cipriano MJ, Nguyen HM, Striepen B, Besteiro S. 2015.
683  Autophagy-Related Protein ATG8 Has a Noncanonical Function for Apicoplast

684   Inheritance in Toxoplasma gondii. mBio 6:e01446-15.

685 43. Niu Z, Ye S, Liu J, Lyu M, Xue L, Li M, Lyu C, Zhao J, Shen B. 2022. Two apicoplast

686   dwelling glycolytic enzymes provide key substrates for metabolic pathways in the

687   apicoplast and are critical for Toxoplasma growth. PLoS Pathog 18:e1011009.

688 44. Sanchez SG, Bassot E, Cerutti A, Mai Nguyen H, Aida A, Blanchard N, Besteiro S.

689   2023. The apicoplast is important for the viability and persistence of Toxoplasma

690   gondii bradyzoites. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 120:e2309043120.

691 45. McFadden GI, Yeh E. 2017. The apicoplast: now you see it, now you don't. Int J

692   Parasitol 47:137-144.

693 46. Huynh MH, Carruthers VB. 2009. Tagging of endogenous genes in a Toxoplasma gondii

694   strain lacking Ku80. Eukaryot Cell 8:530-9.

695 47. Sidik SM, Huet D, Lourido S. 2018. CRISPR-Cas9-based genome-wide screening of

696   Toxoplasma gondii. Nat Protoc 13:307-323.

697 48. Shen B, Brown KM, Lee TD, Sibley LD. 2014. Efficient gene disruption in diverse

698   strains of Toxoplasma gondii using CRISPR/CAS9. mBio 5:e01114-14.

699 49. Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amos B, Aurrecoechea C, Bah S, Barba M, Barreto A, Basenko EY,

700   Belnap R, Blevins A, Bohme U, Brestelli J, Brown S, Callan D, Campbell LI,

701   Christophides GK, Crouch K, Davison HR, DeBarry JD, Demko R, Doherty R, Duan Y,

702   Dundore W, Dyer S, Falke D, Fischer S, Gajria B, Galdi D, Giraldo-Calderon GI,

703   Harb OS, Harper E, Helb D, Howington C, Hu S, Humphrey J, Iodice J, Jones A, Judkins

704   J, Kelly SA, Kissinger JC, Kittur N, Kwon DK, Lamoureux K, Li W, Lodha D, MacCallum

705   RM, Maslen G, McDowell MA, Myers J, Nural MV, Roos DS, et al. 2024. VEuPathDB:

706   the eukaryotic pathogen, vector and host bioinformatics resource center in 2023.

707   Nucleic Acids Res 52:D808-D816.

708 50. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H,

709   Remmert M, Soding J, Thompson JD, Higgins DG. 2011. Fast, scalable generation of

710   high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst

711   Biol 7:539.

712 51. Yu G. 2020. Using ggtree to Visualize Data on Tree-Like Structures. Curr Protoc

713   Bioinformatics 69:e96.

714 52. Plattner F, Yarovinsky F, Romero S, Didry D, Carlier MF, Sher A, Soldati-Favre

715   D. 2008. Toxoplasma profilin is essential for host cell invasion and

716   TLR11-dependent induction of an interleukin-12 response. Cell Host Microbe

717   3:77-87.

Figure 1. *In vivo* CRISPR screening targeting proteins with hyperLOPIT-unassigned localization.

(A) Scatterplots showing *in vitro* and *in vivo* fitness scores from Unnasigned_1 (left) and Unnasigned_2 (right) libraries, respectively. ROP18 and GRA23 are labeled in orange as control genes. (B) Ranking tables for top 15 *in vivo* fitness-conferring genes in each library ordered by the distance from the regression line.

Figure 2 _Tachibana et al.

Figure 2. Validation of candidate genes that affect parasite virulence.

(A) C57BL/6 mice were inoculated into the footpad with 1000 tachyzoites of the indicated knockout strains from the unassigned_1 library. WT (n = 6), ΔTGGT1_211850 (n = 5), ΔDDI1 (n = 5), ΔTgGTPase (n = 5), ΔAAMT (n = 5), ΔGLT2 (n = 5), ΔCaax (n = 5), ΔmRNACF (n = 5), ΔTxn (n = 5), ΔNudix (n = 5), ΔVIT (n = 5).

(B) C57BL/6 mice were inoculated into the footpad with 1000 tachyzoites of the indicated knockout strains from the unassigned_2 library. WT (n = 6), ΔTGGT1_300220 (n = 5), ΔTgRimM (n = 5), ΔEFG1 (n = 5), ΔCPSF (n = 5), ΔTGGT1_277870 (n = 5), ΔTGGT1_250790 (n = 5), ΔDnaj (n = 5), ΔTGGT1_312840 (n = 5), ΔTGGT1_273905 (n = 5), ΔTBC6 (n = 5), ΔTGGT1_221640 (n = 5). N.S., not significant (log-rank test).

Figure 3. TgGTPase is a cytosolic GTPase that contributes to parasite virulence.

(A) Rank-ordered plot of genes from Unassigned_1 library. (B) Schematic of putative domains in TgGTPase. (C) Phylogenetic tree of selected TgGTPase homologs across the apicomplexan phylum. (D) Schematic of TgGTPase knockout and complementation of TgGTPase-HA. (E) Western blot analysis of TgGTPase-HA. (F) Immunofluorescence images of ΔTgGTPase::TgGTPase-HA showing cytosolic localization of TgGTPase-HA (green). GAP45 (magenta) stains the inner membrane complex of the parasites. (G) Survival curves of C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally infected with 10^3 tachyzoites of WT (n = 5), ΔTgGTPase (n = 5), and ΔTgGTPase::TgGTPase-HA (n = 5). Data are representative of three independent experiments (E and F).

Figure 4. TgRimM is an apicoplast-resident protein that is essential for parasite virulence.

(A) Rank-ordered plot of genes from Unassigned_2 library. (B) Schematic of putative domains in TgRimM. SP is predicted by SignalP 6.0. (C) Phylogenetic tree of selected TgRimM homologs across the apicomplexan phylum. (D) Schematic of TgRimM knockout and complementation of wild-type and mutant TgRimM. (E) Immunofluorescence images of ΔTgRimM::TgRimM-HA showing TgRimM-HA (green) is co-stained with streptavidin (magenta), an apicoplast marker. (F) Western blot analysis of TgRimM-HA. (G) Survival curves of C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally infected with 10^3 tachyzoites of WT (n = 5), ΔTgRimM (n = 5), ΔTgRimM::TgRimM-HA (n = 5), or ΔTgRimM::TgRimM$^{\Delta ZF}$-HA (n = 5). Data are representative of three independent experiments (E and F).