Bacterial communities of *Cassiopea* in the Florida Keys share major bacterial taxa with coral

microbiomes

Kaden Muffett[1]*, Jessica Labonte[2], Maria Pia Miglietta[2]

1   University of California Merced, Merced, CA, 95343

2   Texas A&M University at Galveston, Galveston, TX, 77554

*Corresponding email: kmmuffett@gmail.com

Running Page Head: Bacteria of *Cassiopea* in the Florida Keys

Abstract:

Interactions with microbial communities fundamentally shape metazoans' physiology,

development, and health across marine ecosystems. This is especially true in zooxanthellate

(symbiotic algae-containing) cnidarians. In photosymbiotic anthozoans (eg. shallow water

anemones and corals), the key members of the associated microbiota are increasingly well

studied, but there is limited data on photosymbiotic scyphozoans (true jellyfish). Using 16S

rRNA barcoding, we sampled the internal and external mucus of the zooxanthellate Upside-

Down Jellyfish, *Cassiopea xamachana* and *C. andromeda,* throughout eight sites covering the

full length of the Florida Keys. We find these medusae to have low-diversity internal microbial

communities distinct from the communities of their external surfaces and their environment.

These internal communities are dominated by only three taxa: Endozoicomonadaceae,

Mycoplasmataceae, and Vibrionaceae. External mucosal samples conform largely to the

communities of surrounding sediment with the addition of Endozoicomonadaceae. The microbial

taxa we identify associated with wild Florida Keys *Cassiopea* bear a strong resemblance to those

found within photosymbiotic anthozoans, increasing the known links in ecological position

between these groups.

Key words: Cnidaria, microbiome, symbiosis, *Cassiopea*, Scyphozoa

1   INTRODUCTION

Cnidarian-photosymbiont interactions are central to ecosystem function in coral reefs and beyond. Algal photosymbionts, or zooxanthellae, are present in the well-known stony corals, octocorals, and anemones, but also play important roles in a variety of lesser-known jellyfishes, chief among them, jellyfish of the genus *Cassiopea* (Brown et al. 2017, Van De Water et al. 2018, Djeghri et al. 2019, Meyer et al. 2019). For symbiotic anthozoans, microbial communities play a key role in maintaining health within this photosymbiont partnership, with community members directly conferring disease resistance and heat tolerance on hosts (Baldassarre et al. 2022, Connelly et al. 2022, Ushijima et al. 2023). These communities are often specialized, with distinct corals demonstrating co-evolution with members of their bacterial communities (Pollock et al. 2018), but retain several prevalent clades, such as Endozoicomonadaceae, across many hosts (Huggett & Apprill 2019). While anthozoan communities are under active investigation, few analyses have documented the stability and nature of microbiome communities associated with zooxanthellate jellyfishes, a group with divergent life history traits from Anthozoa but with a similar obligate symbiosis with dinoflagellates of the clade Symbiodiniaceae.

The Upside-Down Jellyfish, *Cassiopea* spp., is a model organism for cnidarian-photosymbiont interactions (Ohdera et al. 2018, Puntin et al. 2022). These medusae are residents of tropical and subtropical waters globally and can survive in water that is frequently shallow (as little as 8 cm depth) and hot (up to 33°C for *C. andromeda*) (Mammone et al. 2021, Béziat & Kunzmann 2022). *Cassiopea* obligatorily hosts algal symbionts, losing mass even when well fed while in aposymbiotic conditions (McGill & Pomory 2008). As in corals and other scyphozoans, mucus plays a central role for *Cassiopea*, presenting a sticky interface between the medusae and their benthic environment (Bakshani et al. 2018, Ames et al. 2020). In contrast to other symbiotic

cnidarians, such as stony corals, *Cassiopea* can tolerate intense temperature fluctuations.

Understanding the *Cassiopea* natural microbial community could provide new potential insights

into the function and diversity of cnidarian holobionts.

Past jellyfish (class Scyphozoa) microbial studies have focused on the microbiome of lab-

reared specimens (Lee et al. 2018, Röthig et al. 2021) or limited sample sizes (Cleary et al. 2016,

Hao et al. 2019, Peng et al. 2021). While these types of studies may be able to track state

changes across life stages (Lee et al. 2018) and between condition types (symbiotic/aposymbiotic)

(Röthig et al. 2021), there is little guarantee that the microbial communities of jellyfish kept

under prolonged laboratory conditions translate to *in situ* conditions. Recent *in situ* studies have

provided insights into microbial taxa associated with some scyphozoans ("true jellyfish") but

have not included species of the genus *Cassiopea*. In the moon jellyfish, *Aurelia* spp.*,* individuals

from the Chinese coast were *Vibrionaceae*-dominated, while samples from the northwestern

Atlantic were *Mycoplasma*-dominated (Daley et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2021). Between the

Indonesian marine lakes Kakaban, Haji Buang, and Tanah Bamban, *Mastigias* microbial

community composition varied significantly by lake, but consistently included *Oceanospirillales*

(primarily *Endozoicomonas*-like) (Cleary et al. 2016). In samples across taxa, *Mycoplasma* is a

common component of the identified gut and tissue microbiome of jellyfishes, though its

function remains unknown (Weiland-Bräuer et al. 2015, Daley et al. 2016, Viver et al. 2017,

Basso et al. 2019, Stabili et al. 2020, Peng et al. 2021). Pathogenesis is often a focus of

scyphozoan microbiome discovery. *Tenacibaculum* has been identified in several species, e.g.

Cotyllorhiza tuberculata, Aurelia aurita, and *Pelagia noctiluca*, and, as a fish pathogen, which

can have important fisheries ramifications (Delannoy et al. 2011, Daley et al. 2016, Viver et al.

2017, Peng et al. 2021).

As most studied jellyfish populations occur in coastal waters only sporadically, the

relative consistency of *Cassiopea* assemblages provides a system in which location effects can

be analyzed at single time points. *Cassiopea* spp. presents an opportunity to act as a comparison

group for the microbial communities associated with both other scyphozoans and symbiotic

anthozoans. The ubiquity of *Cassiopea* assemblages within the Florida Keys allows for the

examination of differences in the microbial community of one population (Florida Keys

Cassiopea) at one time point across a broad geographic area.

The aim of this work is to identify the core components of the internal and external

mucosal microbiomes of *Cassiopea* and compare the microbial communities of *Cassiopea* across

sites of the Florida Keys.

2   MATERIALS & METHODS

2.1   Sampling

A total of 55 medusae were collected by wading in eight sites along the length of the

Florida Keys in late August 2021 over eight days (see Table 1 for a list of sites). Species

identification for each individual is reported in Muffett & Miglietta 2023 and summarized in

Supplementary Table S1. At each site, coordinates, temperature, time of day, depth, salinity, and

pH were recorded (Table 1). Salinity was measured with a refractometer, and temperature and

pH were measured with an Apera A1209. A minimum of two and a maximum of 10 medusae

were sampled per site. At each site and in between each medusa, all equipment was cleaned with

absolute ethanol and 10% bleach solution. A 1 L water sample was collected from above (>10

cm above the benthos) each medusa aggregation and filtered (0.2 um pore size, Thermo

Scientific Cat No.09-740-30G) with a manual sampler as described in the USGS manual water

sampling protocol (Laramie et al. 2015), replicate filters were placed in 15 mL of absolute

ethanol or dimethyl sulfoxide ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid saturated salt storage solution (1 L

pH 7.5: 93.06 g EDTA, 60 mL 20 % NaOH solution, 20 mL 25 % HCl, 40 mL DMSO, 800 mL

water, NaCl to oversaturation; see ref. Pavlovska 2021) (commonly referred to as DESS), one

filter in each buffer. At each *Cassiopea* medusa aggregation, duplicate scrapings of ~10 g of

sediment collections (benthic sample) were placed in either 15 mL of absolute ethanol or DESS

storage solution.

Each *Cassiopea* medusa was collected from shallow waters <1.5 m within a single

assemblage at each site. Medusae were gently grasped by the oral arms, lifted from the water,

swabbed along the apex of the bell, then placed bell-down onto a pre-prepared dissection plate

(Figure 1). External bell swabs were done in replicate and placed in 3 mL DESS or absolute

ethanol. Medusa oral arm base was bisected between oral arm groups, and replicate swabs were

inserted and circled the digestive cavity. One internal swab was placed in DESS, the other in

ethanol, as with external swabs. A section of bell tissue was then collected and placed in ethanol

for mitochondrial species confirmation (see Muffett & Miglietta 2023). The size of each medusa

was taken, and individual medusae were photographed. As sampling was done in a nonsterile

environment, blanks for buffer with swab and buffer with filter were also taken.

2.2   Extraction and Sequencing

Samples in DESS and EtOH were maintained at room temperature for three to ten days

and then frozen. DESS can be stored at room temperature for at least thirty days without

significantly compromising community integrity (Pavlovska et al. 2021). DNA from all samples

(see Supplementary Table S1) was then extracted using Zymobiomics DNA Kits (PN# D4300T).

All samples were pre-tested through the amplication of the 16S rRNA gene (variable region V4)

amplifications. Only samples that produced visible bands for the V4 region were sent for 16S

V3-V4 preparation and sequencing. While DESS preserved samples yielded good amplification

results, EtOH-preserved samples largely failed to produce adequate amplification. As such, only

a subset of EtOH-preserved samples were sent for sequencing. Sequencing was performed by the

University of Texas Medical Branch Sequencing Lab. Library was prepared with Zymo Quick

16S V3-V4 kit (PN #D6400) in 20 uL reactions (10 uL Quick-16S qPCR premix, 4 uL Quick-

16S V3-V4 primers (341f: (CCTACGGGDGGCWGCAG, CCTAYGGGGYGCWGCAG) and

806r: (GACTACNVGGGTMTCTAATCC)), 4 uL water and 2 uL sample). qPCR was run using

Roche LightCycler 480 Instrument II (10min 95C, [30sec 95C, 30sec 55C, 3min 72C]x20).

PCRs were enzymatically cleaned according to Zymo Quick-16S kit protocols, and barcodes

were added in a secondary reaction at 20 uL (10 min 95˚C, [30 sec 95˚C, 30 sec 55˚C, 3 min

72˚C]x5). Plates included two replicate microbial community standards (Zymobiomics Cat. No.

D6300) and two PCR negative controls. Samples were loaded at 10 pM, with 15% 10 pM PhiX

sequencing control, and run on Illumina MiSeq with a 600 cycle v3 kit.

Three samples were sent to CD Genomics for full length 16S on PacBio Sequel using

universal 16S primers (F: AGRGTTTGATYNTGGCTCAG;

R:TASGGHTACCTTGTTASGACTT). Samples sent for full length 16S rRNA sequencing

included gastrovascular cavity swab extractions from medusae Marathon Key GVC 30 and Key

West GVC 96 and GVC 120. As the usable reads returned from this were low (597-12520 per

sample), these full-length identities are not discussed in the main text. For further details, please

refer to the appendix (A1).

2.3   Data analysis

Data generated was run through the mothur v1.47 (Schloss et al. 2009) pipeline with only

limited deviations from the published standard mothur MiSeq protocols for ASV generation (full

pipeline can be found in supplementary material S02) (Kozich et al. 2013). Sequences

attributable to Chloroplast, Mitochondria, Eukaryota, and unattributable sequences were

removed ("remove.seq"). All genera found in the negative controls (4 PCR controls, 5 sampling

and extraction controls) at a rate greater than 1% were removed using "remove.lineage". Number

of sequences removed at each of these filtering steps can be found in Supplementary Table S03.

Based on the sequenced microbial community positive control, ASVs were oversplit, and the

amplification was biased towards Gram-positive bacteria. Given this oversplitting, analysis for

16S rRNA gene V3-V4 data was lumped by classification at the family level.

The complete dataset (NCBI Bioproject Accession number PRJNA1020388) included

13.27 million sequences after merging ("make.contigs"), 7.17 million after screening for

homopolymers and length ("screen.seqs": maxlength = 480, maxhomop = 8). Unique sequences

were aligned to a 16S rRNA small subunit V3-V4 reference database generated from the Silva v.

132 full database ("pcr.seqs") and filtered again to produce 3.66 million unique sequences that

were clustered into ASVs ("pre.cluster": diffs = 4). After chimera removal ("chimera.vsearch"),

2.27 million unique sequences were classified according to the Silva reference library v.132

("classify.seqs"). ASVs were phylotyped at family level. After quality filtering, 947 families

were present. Explorable phylotype datasets can be found in the supplementary materials (S4-S6).

2.4   Statistical analysis

Refraction curves, principal component analysis, non-metric multidimensional scaling

(NMDS), diversity metrics, Dirichlet multinomial mixture and analysis of molecular variance

161 were computed in mothur (Schloss et al. 2009). NMDS plot was visualized using R 4.0.1 (R

162 Core Team, 2021).

163 3  RESULTS

164 3.1 Data description

165  We analyzed the microbiome associated with 34 medusae from eight sites within the

166 Florida Keys. For each medusa, we analyzed the microbial composition of their gastrovascular

167 cavity and external mucus, as well as their benthic or water environment. All medusae were

168 identified as *Cassiopea xamachana,* with the exception of four medusae identified as *C.*

169 *andromeda* found in Key West, Cudjoe Key, and Key Largo (see Supplementary Table S1).

170 3.1.1 Distribution of sequences in full dataset

171  Almost all of the 5,431,716 final sequences were Bacteria as opposed to Archaea (98.93%

172 to 1.07%). At the phylum level, 66.75% of sequences were Proteobacteria, 9.50% were

173 Bacteroidetes, 8.58% were Tenericutes, and 2.45% were Cyanobacteria (Fig 2). No other phylum

174 had greater than 2% relative abundance.

175  At the class level, Gammaproteobacteria comprised 48.90% of all sequences.

176 Alphaproteobacteria, the next most abundant, comprised 12.07%. Bacteriodia (8.83%),

177 Mollicutes (8.58%), Deltaproteobacteria (5.38%), Oxyphotobacteria (2.37%), and

178 Verrucomicrobiae (1.27%) were the other classes with an relative abundance above 1%. The

179 majority of Archaea within the dataset were from the classes Halobacteria (40.17%) and

180 Woesearchaeia (33.94%).

181 3.1.2 Distribution of sequences within gastrovascular cavity (GVC) samples

Within gastrovascular cavity samples, 70.40% of the 2,485,856 total sequences were

Proteobacteria, 17.89% Tenericutes, 4.02% Bacteriodetes, 1.75% Cyanobacteria, and 1.19%

Chlamydiae. 73.20% of all sequences from gastrovascular cavities belonged to just three orders,

Oceanospirillales (42.01%), Mycoplasmatales (17.80%), and Vibrionales (13.38%). The

Oceanospirillales sequences identified within the GVC were monotypic at the family level, with

98.07% identified to the family Endozoicomonadaceae. This was true of the Mycoplasmatales as

well, 99.96% were identified as sequences from the family Mycoplasmataceae.

3.1.3   Distribution of sequences within external mucus samples

Of the 2,012,513 total sequences from external mucosal swabs, 65.57% were

Proteobacteria, 12.62% Bacteriodea, 3.17% Cyanobacteria, and 2.54% Planctomycetes.

At the order level, 19.34% of sequences were Oceanospirillales, 6.65% unclassified

Gammaproteobacteria, 6.33% Rhodobacterales, 4.89% Desulfobacterales, 4.78% Chromatiales,

4.30% Flavobacteriales, with fourteen additional orders comprising between 1 and 2.5% of

abundance each.

84.40% of the external swab Oceanospirillales sequences were placed within the family

Endozoicomonadaceae. The families Rhodobacteraceae (6.33%), Chromatiaceae (4.29%),

Flavobacteriaceae (3.22%), and Desulfobacteraceae (3.35%) also had abundances greater than

2%.

3.1.4   Benthic and water samples

Benthic samples were primarily dominated by Proteobacteria (51.28%) and Bacteroidetes

(19.77%). At the order level, Desulfobacterales (9.67%), unclassified Gammaproteobacteria

(7.50%), Rhodobacterales (7.16%), Flavobacteriales (5.96%), and Chromatiales (4.16%) were

most common.

Proteobacteria (68.26%) and Bacteroidetes (14.91%) were the primary phyla of water

samples. Primary orders within water samples were Oceanospirillales (15.30%), Rhodobacterales

(14.96%), SAR11 (11.92%), Flavobacteriales (10.76%), and Chromatiales (7.41%). Only 1.35%

of water sample Oceanospirillales sequences were assigned to Endozoicomonadaceae.

3.2   Diversity

Alpha diversity was lower in the gastrovascular cavity samples than in external mucus,

benthic, or water samples. The Shannon and Inverse Simpson of gastrovascular cavity

communities was low (H = 1.73+/-1.81, 1/D = 4.13+/-8.97) when compared to external mucus

(H = 3.83+/-1.37, 1/D = 23.46 +/-21.96) and environmental samples (Water: H = 3.19+/-1.24,

1/D = 13.98489+/-15.12037; Benthic: H = 4.21+/-0.33, 1/D = 30.07+/-9.51) (Figure 3) (ANOVA,

df = 3, p-val = <2e-16). Family richness was significantly lower within medusae, 155 (+/-158)

families were observed in gastrovascular samples, and 270 (+/- 195) were observed in external

samples (ANOVA, df = 3, p-val = 6.69e-09).

3.3   Community identity

External and internal communities were distinct from each other (AMOVA, Fs = 20.146,

df = 1, p-value: <0.001). GVC communities were distinct from benthic and water comparison

groups as well (AMOVA, GVC-Benthic Fs = 15.28, df = 1, p-value: <0.001; GVC-Water Fs =

11.87, df = 1, p-value: <0.001) (Figure 4). External mucus communities were distinct from water

(AMOVA, Fs = 8.257, df = 1, p-value: <0.001) but not statistically significant from benthic

samples (AMOVA, Fs = 3.214, df = 1, p-value: 0.01). Clustering difference was most distinct

between GVC and environmental samples.

Within gut microbial communities, Dirichlet multinomial mixture analysis defined two

community profiles, either low Endozoicomonadaceae with higher Vibrionaceae and

Rhodobactereaceae (Community Type 1) or high Endozoicomonadaceae with low Vibrionaceae

and high Mycoplasmataceae (Community Type 2), with Endozoicomonadaceae being the largest

determining component. These profile types were not evenly distributed across sites (to see

Dirichlet partitions refer to S7, to see likelihoods of Dirichlet models refer to S8, for final model

parameters see S9, for comparative value of community members see S10, for Dirichlet types by

site refer to S12, to see 16S rRNA gene histograms grouped by site, refer to supplementary

figure S11).

Community Type 1 sites were Lower Matacumbe Key, Bahia Honda Key, and Key Largo.

Sites that included a mix of community type 1 and 2 individuals were Tavernier (C2:C1 = 2:1)

and Marathon Key (C2:C1 = 5:2). The Lower Keys sites, Key West (C2:C1 = 3:1), Cudjoe Key

(C2:C1 = 6:0) and Big Pine Key (C2:C1 = 4:0), were primarily community profile 2.

Comparative star plots of community components separated by site can be seen in Figure 5.

3.3   Core microbiome

Core microbiome for the purposes of this study was evaluated based on 100% occurrence

of a family and a relative abundance of > 0.2% of reads in all 34 gastrovascular cavity samples.

This is a conservative component definition compared to other core microbiome work (Neu

2021). The most abundant clade, Endozoicomonadaceae, was present in 30 of the 34 samples at

this level, as was Vibrionaceae. For Mycoplasmataceae, 26/34 samples met a 0.2% threshold.

There were no medusae where all three of these families were absent from the gastrovascular

cavity, and three medusae in which two of the three were absent.

3.5   Host medusa species identity

The mitochondrial haplotype of the non-native *Cassiopea*, *Cassiopea andromeda,* a

cryptic sister species to *C. xamachana*, was found in four of the 34 medusae sampled. The four *C.*

andromeda mitotype individuals included were from the Key Largo (1), Cudjoe Key (2), and

Key West (1) sites. The gastrovascular communities of these individuals were not different from

C. xamachana individuals (AMOVA, Fs = 0.722, df = 1, pval = 0.775).

4   DISCUSSION

By analyzing the microbiome of 34 *Cassiopea* medusae from eight locations within the

Florida Keys, we demonstrate clear differences in the microbial communities present on their

external and internal surfaces. Gastrovascular cavity samples have lower diversity than the

sediment, external surfaces, and the water column surrounding medusae. This is consistent with

results for multiple other scyphozoans— including *Cotylorhiza tuberculata* (Viver et al. 2017).

We also record variation in community composition between sites, a feature consistent with

results from other species, such as *Aurelia, Mastigias,* and *Tipedalia* (Weiland-Bräuer et al. 2015,

Cleary et al. 2016).

4.1   Diversity and consistency

Across all sites, the gastrovascular cavities of *Cassiopea* medusae showed no core

microbiome. The external microbial community of *Cassiopea* from the Florida Keys adheres

fairly closely to the epibenthic microbial community at each site, with the addition of

Endozoicomonadaceae for most individuals. Endozoicomonadaceae may be a primary mucosal

component and extend to the exterior of the body through mucus exchange, as it is less prevalent

on the external surface than internal for most individuals. Notably, the abundant

Mycoplasmataceae and Vibrionaceae from the gastric cavity of *Cassiopea* were not found in any

external mucus samples. Oceanospirillales (order of *Endozoicomonas*) was the only bacterial

order in which external mucus samples were enriched relative to the benthos. The *Cassiopea* bell

microbiome Shannon Diversity Index values found in this study are higher than those reported in

the low-diversity mucosa, oral arms and umbrella of a previously studied pelagic rhizostome,

Rhizostoma pulmo, a likely result of the constant contact of *Cassiopea* with the epibenthos

(Basso et al. 2019). The lack of overlap between diverse external communities and low diversity

internal communities in *Cassiopea* is notable and consistent with the significant differences in

body compartment microbiome of other scyphozoans and anthozoans (Weiland-Bräuer et al.

2015, Hao et al. 2019, Bonacolta et al. 2021). While the lack of diversity in scyphozoan

microbiomes may seem distinct from many described stony coral microbial communities, these

stony coral microbial profiles are often produced using whole body sampling. Lower diversity

gastrovascular cavities with distinct community composition may also be a feature of stony

corals (Agostini et al. 2011, van Oppen & Blackall 2019).

Microbial communities across sites within this study were not significantly different from

each other, as gastrovascular cavity components remained dominated by three taxa throughout

the Keys. This suggests that while lacking a formal "core" microbiome, *Cassiopea* gastric

cavities may naturally rely on some combination of several microbial key taxa. The lack of

obligate bacterial taxa in the *Cassiopea* gastrovascular cavity is also consistent with other

microbial hosts, notably the anemone *Exaiptasia diaphana,* another successful model cnidarian

(Brown et al. 2017). While *Cassiopea* require their algal symbiont, bacterial partners may be

transient, and bacteria may have far less significance to host health for *Cassiopea* than for

specialized obligate hosts (e.g., leafhoppers) (Riviere et al. 2013, Sharp et al. 2017, Hammer et al.

2019, Wu et al. 2023). Without a better understanding of specific metabolic function of different

bacterial associates, microbiome importance cannot be determined. We identified

Endozoicomonadaceae, Mycoplasmataceae, and Vibrionaceae as the three most common

bacterial families found within the gastrovascular cavity of *Cassiopea*. These are all commonly

associated with coral microbial communities (Neave et al. 2016, Pollock et al. 2018, Huggett &

Apprill 2019b). Below, we provide information on these common bacterial components of

cnidarian holobionts.

4.2   Endozoicomonadaceae

The large proportion of Endozoicomonadaceae in internal and external samples fits

within a broader framework of both Endozoicomonadaceae and known cnidarian-associated

microbial communities; *Endozoicomonas* strains are present in a variety of invertebrates,

including many corals, sponges and sea anemones, but also scyphozoans and cubozoans (Neave

et al. 2016, Tinta et al. 2019, Huggett & Apprill 2019, Maher et al. 2020, Pogoreutz et al. 2022).

Some hosts house multiple distinct *Endozoicomonas* genotypes, which indicates that different

Endozoicomonas clades may provide different metabolic services to a host (e.g., Vitamin B12

metabolism) (Neave et al. 2017). Endozoicomonadaceae is not restricted to symbiotic taxa but

has been more commonly identified in healthy scleractinian corals than bleached and diseased

ones (McDevitt-Irwin et al. 2017). For these taxa, it may play a role in a variety of fundamental

Symbiodinium–host interactions, including nutrient transport and symbiont cell breakdown

(Neave et al. 2017). Notably, Endozoicomonadaceae alone is not an arbiter of microbiome health,

its disappearance and reappearance without apparent host health declines has been identified in

octocorals (Van De Water et al. 2018, Pogoreutz et al. 2022). In coral, members of

Endozoicomonas are found in close proximity to *Symbiodinium,* it is unknown whether this

feature is shared with *Cassiopea* (Neave et al. 2017).

Endozoicomonas is abundant in the microbial community of the rhizostome scyphozoan

Mastigias (Cleary et al. 2016)*. Mastigias*, like *Cassiopea*, host zooxanthellae from the clade

Symbiodiniaceae, however not all symbiotic rhizostomes appear to harbor the microbe, and not

all taxa with the microbe are symbiotic (Cleary et al. 2016, Viver et al. 2017). *Endozoicomonas*

may be common across medusae, but the difficulty of culturing some strains may have excluded

them from the results of past culture-based analyses (Neave et al. 2017, Pogoreutz et al. 2022).

Greater clarity is needed on the function of *Endozoicomonas* for these medusae and other taxa.

4.3   Mycoplasmataceae

Mycoplasmataceae, as with Endozoicomonadaceae, is present in studied coral and

scyphozoan microbiomes (Daley et al. 2016, Viver et al. 2017, Huggett & Apprill 2019, Peng et

al. 2021). While intracellular parasitism is common for members of Mycoplasmataceae, those

associated with cnidarians may be located extracellularly and exist as opportunistic commensals

(Neulinger et al. 2009, Van De Water et al. 2018). *Mycoplasma* sp. is noted as a potential

endosymbiont in lab-raised *Aurelia* polyps, while adults from many jellyfish populations are

known to have them (Weiland-Bräuer et al. 2015, Stabili et al. 2020, Peng et al. 2021). Whether

this group represents an endosymbiont, a result of prey capture, or a parasite, its exclusivity to

the gastrovascular tract in *Cassiopea* sampled within the Keys is notable, as other studies have

not demonstrated gastrovascular cavity localization (Weiland-Bräuer et al. 2015, Stabili et al.

2020).

4.4   Vibrionaceae

337   Vibrionaceae is both a core part of coral microbiomes (Sweet & Bulling 2017, Huggett &

Apprill 2019) and known to facilitate metamorphosis within *Cassiopea* (Hofmann et al. 1978,

Neumann 1979)*,* however, it is a highly diverse family. While *Vibrio* spp. are found in gut

communities of presumed healthy scyphozoans (Daley et al. 2016, Peng et al. 2021), they can

also be associated with senescence and disease (Kramar et al., 2019; Tinta et al., 2012). For

Cassiopea specifically, an increase in *Vibrio* may be associated with an aposymbiotic or stressed

state (Carabantes et al. 2022). Given the variation in Vibrionaceae species and strains, family-

wide statements on the beneficial or harmful nature of Vibrionaceae within *Cassiopea*

gastrovascular cavities should not be made.

4.5   Caveats: seasonality and size

347   Seasonal changes are a feature of some cnidarian-associated microbial communities

(Sharp et al. 2017, Stabili et al. 2020). In an outdoor laboratory setting, this is true of *Cassiopea*

as well (Carabantes et al. 2022). As these samples were taken during the hottest time of the year

in the Florida Keys (August), this community may not be representative of other timepoints.

Winter communities may harbor different taxa or taxa in different proportions (Sharp et al. 2017).

As the internal microenvironment of *Cassiopea* alters over the course of a day with regard to

light and dark cycles, it is reasonable to expect that it may become more or less hospitable to

some microbiota as temperature and light cycles adjust throughout the year (Arossa et al. 2021).

355   As *Cassiopea* medusae grow at rates commensurate with their feeding, there is no

guarantee that the size of medusae in this study is representative of their age. Developmental

changes are known to facilitate changes to microbial communities within other scyphozoans, but

size of adults has not been tested (Weiland-Bräuer et al. 2015, 2020, Lee et al. 2018). As medusa

size and site were not independent (smaller medusae and larger medusae were not usually seen in

mixed assemblages), we cannot determine whether size class had an impact.

4.6   Laboratory cultures

Cassiopea are primarily used for in-lab studies on symbiosis (McGill & Pomory 2008,

Klein et al. 2016, Mammone et al. 2021). Some of these use wild-caught individuals, and others

use lab-bred cultures, many of which have been held for years. The lab-raised *Cassiopea* used in

Rothig et al. 2021 appear to have a completely different microbiome than the wild individuals

caught here (Röthig et al. 2021). This potential discrepancy should be considered when using

wild-caught or captive-bred individuals, as captive-bred symbiosis and tolerance levels may

differ from medusae in the wild. When held in outdoor ponds, the community seems to match

wild-type more fully (Carabantes et al. 2022). These discrepancies in microbiome are found in

other model cnidarians, such as *Exaiptasia* (Brown et al. 2017). The information on wild

Cassiopea microbial communities from the Florida Keys presented here may allow researchers

working with lab-raised individuals to better contextualize the taxa that they find in cultured

medusae.

5   CONCLUSION

The microbiome of *Cassiopea* within the Florida Keys demonstrates strong body

compartment discrimination and includes a central group of families overrepresented in the gut

and external mucus. All of these families, Endozoicomonadaceae, Vibrionaceae, and

Mycoplasmataceae, are found in other medusae and anthozoans. Despite this overrepresentation

of some microbial taxa, *Cassiopea* lacks a conservatively defined core microbiome. The

consistency of *Cassiopea* microbial communities across the Florida Keys demonstrates that

specific taxa are strongly associated with *Cassiopea* and that this can extend across many sites

with diverse physical conditions. This work provides a greater understanding of the wild

microbiome of the common lab organism *Cassiopea*.

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by Texas Sea Grant and Texas A&M University Galveston. The

present work was part of KMM's PhD dissertation. Thank you to Dr. David Retchless for input

on figure design.

7 REFERENCES

Agostini S, Yoshimi S, Higuchi T, Casareto BE (2011) Biological and chemical characteristics

of the coral gastric cavity. Coral Reefs.

Ames CL, Klompen AML, Badhiwala K, Muffett K, Reft AJ, Kumar M, Janssen JD,

Schultzhaus JN, Field LD, Muroski ME, Bezio N, Robinson JT, Leary DH, Cartwright P,

Collins AG, Vora GJ (2020) Cassiosomes are stinging-cell structures in the mucus of the

upside-down jellyfish Cassiopea xamachana. Commun Biol 3:1–15.

Arossa S, Barozzi A, Callegari M, Klein SG, Parry AJ, Hung SH, Steckbauer A, Aranda M,

Daffonchio D, Duarte CM (2021) The Internal Microenvironment of the Symbiotic Jellyfish

Cassiopea sp. From the Red Sea. Front Mar Sci 8:1–11.

Bakshani CR, Morales-Garcia AL, Althaus M, Wilcox MD, Pearson JP, Bythell JC, Burgess JG

(2018) Evolutionary conservation of the antimicrobial function of mucus: A first defence

against infection. npj Biofilms Microbiomes 4:1–12.

Baldassarre L, Ying H, Reitzel AM, Franzenburg S, Fraune S (2022) Microbiota mediated

plasticity promotes thermal adaptation in the sea anemone Nematostella vectensis. Nat

Commun 13.

Basso L, Rizzo L, Marzano M, Intranuovo M, Fosso B, Pesole G, Piraino S, Stabili L (2019)

Jellyfish summer outbreaks as bacterial vectors and potential hazards for marine animals

and humans health? The case of Rhizostoma pulmo (Scyphozoa, Cnidaria). Sci Total

Environ 692:305–318.

Béziat P, Kunzmann A (2022) Under pressure: Cassiopea andromeda jellyfish exposed to

increasing water temperature or lead, cadmium and anthropogenic gadolinium

contamination. Mar Biol Res 18:48–63.

Bonacolta AM, Connelly MT, Rosales SM, del Campo J, Traylor-knowles N (2021) The starlet

sea anemone , Nematostella vectensis , possesses body region-specific bacterial associations

with spirochetes dominating the capitulum. FEMS Microbiol Lett:1–9.

Brown T, Otero C, Grajales A, Rodriguez E, Rodriguez-Lanetty M (2017) Worldwide

exploration of the microbiome harbored by the cnidarian model, Exaiptasia pallida (Agassiz

in Verrill, 1864) indicates a lack of bacterial association specificity at a lower taxonomic

rank. PeerJ 2017.

Carabantes N, Cerqueda-García D, García-Maldonado JQ, Thomé PE (2022) Changes in the

Bacterial Community Associated With Experimental Symbiont Loss in the Mucus Layer of

Cassiopea xamachana Jellyfish. Front Mar Sci 9:1–13.

Cleary DFR, Becking LE, Polónia ARM, Freitas RM, Gomes NCM (2016) Jellyfish-associated

bacterial communities and bacterioplankton in Indonesian Marine lakes. FEMS Microbiol

Ecol 92:1–14.

Connelly MT, McRae CJ, Liu PJ, Martin CE, Traylor-Knowles N (2022) Antibiotics Alter

Pocillopora Coral-Symbiodiniaceae-Bacteria Interactions and Cause Microbial Dysbiosis

During Heat Stress. Front Mar Sci 8:1–18.

Daley MC, Urban-Rich J, Moisander PH (2016) Bacterial associations with the hydromedusa

Nemopsis bachei and scyphomedusa Aurelia aurita from the North Atlantic Ocean. Mar

Biol Res 12:1088–1100.

Delannoy CMJ, Houghton JDR, Fleming NEC, Ferguson HW (2011) Mauve Stingers (Pelagia

noctiluca) as carriers of the bacterial fish pathogen Tenacibaculum maritimum. Aquaculture

311:255–257.

Djeghri N, Pondaven P, Stibor H, Dawson MN (2019) Review of the diversity, traits, and

ecology of zooxanthellate jellyfishes. Mar Biol 166:1–19.

Hammer TJ, Sanders JG, Fierer N (2019) Not all animals need a microbiome. FEMS Microbiol

Lett 366:1–11.

Hao W, Gerdts G, Holst S, Wichels A (2019) Bacterial communities associated with

scyphomedusae at Helgoland Roads. Mar Biodivers 49:1489–1503.

Hofmann DK, Neumann R, Henne K (1978) Strobilation, budding and initiation of scyphistoma

morphogenesis in the rhizostome Cassiopea andromeda (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa). Mar Biol

47:161–176.

Huggett MJ, Apprill A (2019) Coral microbiome database: Integration of sequences reveals high

diversity and relatedness of coral-associated microbes. Environ Microbiol Rep 11:372–385.

Hyun DW, Shin NR, Kim MS, Oh SJ, Kim PS, Whon TW, Bae JW (2014) Endozoicomonas

atrinae sp. nov., isolated from the intestine of a comb pen shell Atrina pectinata. Int J Syst

Evol Microbiol 64:2312–2318.

Klein SG, Pitt KA, Carroll AR (2016) Reduced salinity increases susceptibility of zooxanthellate

jellyfish to herbicide toxicity during a simulated rainfall event. Environ Pollut 209:79–86.

Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD (2013) Development of a dual-

index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on

the miseq illumina sequencing platform. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:5112–5120.

Kramar MK, Tinta T, Lučić D, Malej A, Turk V (2019) Bacteria associated with moon jellyfish

during bloom and post-bloom periods in the Gulf of Trieste (northern Adriatic). PLoS One

14:1–21.

Laramie MB, Pilliod DS, Goldberg CS, Strickler KM (2015) Environmental DNA Sampling

Protocol — Filtering Water to Capture DNA from Aquatic Organisms. In: *U.S. Geological*

Survey Techniques and Methods, book 2. U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA, p 1–15

Lee MD, Kling JD, Araya R, Ceh J (2018) Jellyfish Life Stages Shape Associated Microbial

Communities, While a Core Microbiome Is Maintained Across All. Front Microbiol 0:1534.

Maher RL, Schmeltzer ER, Meiling S, McMinds R, Ezzat L, Shantz AA, Adam TC, Schmitt RJ,

Holbrook SJ, Burkepile DE, Vega Thurber R (2020) Coral Microbiomes Demonstrate

Flexibility and Resilience Through a Reduction in Community Diversity Following a

Thermal Stress Event. Front Ecol Evol 8:1–15.

Mammone M, Ferrier-Pages C, Lavorano S, Rizzo L, Piraino S, Rossi S (2021) High

photosynthetic plasticity may reinforce invasiveness of upside-down zooxanthellate jellyfish in Mediterranean coastal waters. PLoS One 16:e0248814.

McDevitt-Irwin JM, Baum JK, Garren M, Vega Thurber RL (2017) Responses of coral-associated bacterial communities to local and global stressors. Front Mar Sci 4:1–16.

McGill CJ, Pomory CM (2008) Effects of bleaching and nutrient supplementation on wet weight in the jellyfish Cassiopea xamachana (Bigelow) (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa). Mar Freshw Behav Physiol 41:179–189.

Meyer JL, Castellanos-Gell J, Aeby GS, Häse CC, Ushijima B, Paul VJ (2019) Microbial Community Shifts Associated With the Ongoing Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease Outbreak on the Florida Reef Tract. Front Microbiol 10:1–12.

Muffett K, Miglietta MP (2023) Demystifying Cassiopea species identity in the Florida Keys: Cassiopea xamachana and Cassiopea andromeda coexist in shallow waters. PLoS One 18:e0283441.

Neave MJ, Apprill A, Ferrier-Pagès C, Voolstra CR (2016) Diversity and function of prevalent symbiotic marine bacteria in the genus Endozoicomonas. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100:8315–8324.

Neave MJ, Michell CT, Apprill A, Voolstra CR (2017) Endozoicomonas genomes reveal functional adaptation and plasticity in bacterial strains symbiotically associated with diverse marine hosts. Sci Rep 7:1–12.

Neu AT (2021) Defining and quantifying the core microbiome□: Challenges and prospects. 118:1–10.

Neulinger SC, Gärtner A, Järnegren J, Ludvigsen M, Lochte K, Dullo WC (2009) Tissue-

associated 'Candidatus mycoplasma corallicola' and filamentous bacteria on the cold-water

coral Lophelia pertusa (Scleractinia). Appl Environ Microbiol 75:1437–1444.

Neumann R (1979) Bacterial Induction of Settlement and Metamorphosis in the Planula Larvae

of Cassiopea andromeda (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa, Rhizostomeae).

Ohdera AH, Abrams MJ, Ames CL, Baker DM, Suescún-Bolívar LP, Collins AG, Freeman CJ,

Gamero-Mora E, Goulet TL, Hofmann DK, Jaimes-Becerra A, Long PF, Marques AC,

Miller LA, Mydlarz LD, Morandini AC, Newkirk CR, Putri SP, Samson JE, Stampar SN,

Steinworth B, Templeman M, Thomé PE, Vlok M, Woodley CM, Wong JCY, Martindale

MQ, Fitt WK, Medina M (2018) Upside-Down but Headed in the Right Direction: Review

of the Highly Versatile Cassiopea xamachana System. Front Ecol Evol 6:1–15.

van Oppen MJH, Blackall LL (2019) Coral microbiome dynamics, functions and design in a

changing world. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:557–567.

Pavlovska M, Prekrasna I, Parnikoza I, Dykyi E (2021) Soil sample preservation strategy affects

the microbial community structure. Microbes Environ 36:1–7.

Peng S, Hao W, Li Y, Wang L, Sun T, Zhao J, Dong Z (2021) Bacterial Communities

Associated With Four Blooming Scyphozoan Jellyfish: Potential Species-Specific

Consequences for Marine Organisms and Humans Health. Front Microbiol 12:1–16.

Pogoreutz C, Oakley CA, Rädecker N, Cárdenas A, Perna G, Xiang N, Peng L, Davy SK, Ngugi

DK, Voolstra CR (2022) Coral holobiont cues prime Endozoicomonas for a symbiotic

lifestyle. ISME J 16:1883–1895.

Pollock FJ, McMinds R, Smith S, Bourne DG, Willis BL, Medina M, Thurber RV, Zaneveld JR

(2018) Coral-associated bacteria demonstrate phylosymbiosis and cophylogeny. Nat

Commun 9:1–13.

Puntin G, Sweet M, Fraune S, Medina M, Sharp K, Weis VM, Ziegler M (2022) Harnessing the

Power of Model Organisms To Unravel Microbial Functions in the Coral Holobiont.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 86.

Riviere M La, Roumagnac M, Garrabou J, Bally M (2013) Transient Shifts in Bacterial

Communities Associated with the Temperate Gorgonian Paramuricea clavata in the

Northwestern Mediterranean Sea. PLoS One 8.

Röthig T, Puntin G, Wong JCY, Burian A, McLeod W, Baker DM (2021) Holobiont nitrogen

control and its potential for eutrophication resistance in an obligate photosymbiotic jellyfish.

Microbiome 9:1–16.

Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA,

Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ,

Weber CF (2009) Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-

supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ

Microbiol 75:7537–7541.

Sharp KH, Pratte ZA, Kerwin AH, Rotjan RD, Stewart FJ (2017) Season, but not symbiont state,

drives microbiome structure in the temperate coral Astrangia poculata. Microbiome 5:120.

Stabili L, Rizzo L, Basso L, Marzano M, Fosso B, Pesole G, Piraino S (2020) The microbial

community associated with Rhizostoma pulmo: Ecological significance and potential

consequences for marine organisms and human health. Mar Drugs 18.

Sunagawa S, Woodley CM, Medina M (2010) Threatened corals provide underexplored

microbial habitats. PLoS One 5:1–7.

Sweet MJ, Bulling MT (2017) On the importance of the microbiome and pathobiome in coral

health and disease. Front Mar Sci 4:1–11.

Team RC (2021) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. https://www.r-

project.org/

Tinta T, Kogovšek T, Klun K, Malej A, Herndl GJ, Turk V (2019) Jellyfish-associated

microbiome in the marine environment: Exploring its biotechnological potential. Mar Drugs

17:1–33.

Tinta T, Kogovsek T, Malej A, Turk V (2012) Jellyfish Modulate Bacterial Dynamic and

Community Structure. PLoS One 7:1–10.

Ushijima B, Gunasekera SP, Meyer JL, Tittl J, Pitts KA, Thompson S, Sneed JM, Ding Y, Chen

M, Jay Houk L, Aeby GS, Häse CC, Paul VJ (2023) Chemical and genomic characterization

of a potential probiotic treatment for stony coral tissue loss disease. Commun Biol 6.

Viver T, Orellana LH, Hatt JK, Urdiain M, Díaz S, Richter M, Antón J, Avian M, Amann R,

Konstantinidis KT, Rosselló-Móra R (2017) The low diverse gastric microbiome of the

jellyfish Cotylorhiza tuberculata is dominated by four novel taxa. Environ Microbiol

19:3039–3058.

Van De Water JAJM, Allemand D, Ferrier-Pagès C (2018) Host-microbe interactions in

octocoral holobionts - recent advances and perspectives. Microbiome 6:1–28.

Weiland-Bräuer N, Neulinger SC, Pinnow N, Künzel S, Baines JF, Schmitz RA (2015)

Composition of bacterial communities associated with Aurelia aurita changes with

compartment, life stage, and population. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:6038–6052.

Weiland-Bräuer N, Pinnow N, Langfeldt D, Roik A, Güllert S, Chibani CM, Reusch TBH,

Schmitz RA (2020) The native microbiome is crucial for offspring generation and fitness of

aurelia aurita. MBio 11:1–20.

Wu W, Lei J-N, Mao Q, Tian Y-Z, Shan H-W, Chen J-P (2023) Distribution, Vertical

Transmission, and Cooperative Mechanisms of Obligate Symbiotic Bacteria in the

Leafhopper Maiestas dorsalis (Hemiptera, Cicadellidea). Insects:1–13.

Table 1: List of collection sites with latitude, longitude, salinity, pH, surface temperature,

average diameter of collected medusae from the site, and date of collection.

Site Name	Latitude	Longitude	Salinity (ppt)	pH	Temp (C)	Average depth (m)	Average col. medusa diam (cm)	Date (AM/PM)
Key West	24.561526	-81.7881727	36	8.2	30.4	0.56	6.62	15.8.2021 PM
Cudjoe Key	24.6775378	-81.4991819	36	8.4	32.6	0.36	5.91	17.8.2021 AM
Big Pine Key	24.6978731	-81.3572574	35	7.7	29.1	0.15	4.43	15.8.2021 PM
Bahia Honda Key TP1	24.6825793	-81.2293673	37	8.3	31.8	0.86	12.41	13.8.2021 PM
Bahia Honda Key TP2	24.6825793	-81.2293673	36	8	29.4	0.51	10.28	15.8.2021 AM
Marathon Key	24.69396	-81.0980507	31	8.1	35.2	0.08	6.53	11.8.2021 PM
Lower Matacumbe Key	24.8582157	-80.7267927	35	8.1	32.9	0.89	11.40	16.8.2021 PM
Tavernier	25.0233783	-80.4940316	31	8.1	33.3	0.35	12.26	16.8.2021 AM
Key Largo	25.0872104	-80.4415797	35	7.8	32.0	0.56	4.30	18.8.2021 AM

563   **Figure 1: Sampling strategy for medusae collection onsite.**

566　**Figure 2. (left)** phylum level and **(right)** class level distribution of sequences in samples
567　aggregated by sample type**.**

Figure 3. Order level diversity of samples by body compartment, including the top 20 most

common orders by name.

Figure 4. Two-dimension NMDS plot segregated by sample type. Stress = 0.255711, R-squared

for configuration: 0.750874

Figure 5. Star plots of community composition for internal and external mucus swabs at each

site separated by order (three most prominent orders and "other"). Each spoke of the star plot

ranges from 0% (center) to 100% (edge of shaded diamond). External group spokes (going

clockwise): Other, Oceanospirillales, Rhodobacterales, and Desulfobacterales. Internal group:

Other, Oceanospirillales, Mycoplasmatales, and Vibrionales. Each site's measured environmental

conditions (salinity, water surface temperature, and pH) are presented below star plots. Sites are

mapped onto their location within the Florida Keys.

585
586

A1   FULL LENGTH 16S IDENTITIES

Full length 16S rRNA gene sequences (BioProject: PRJNA1020446) were run on mothur

v1.48.0 with deviation from the MiSeq SOP on trim length. ASV cutoff was set to five

differences, and ASV representative sequences were compared against the NCBI GenBank (Sept

24, 2023) database using BLAST to find nearest matches by e-value.

In order to identify core microbial groups to a lower taxonomic level, three internal

medusa gastrovascular swabs (medusae Kmuffet_96, Kmuffet_120 and Kmuffet_30) from

Marathon Key (MK) and Key West (GB) were sequence for full length 16S rRNA gene (see S1

for location of samples). As the primers were not chloroplast exclusionary, the Key West sites

produced few useable sequences (Kmuffet_96: 597 and Kmuffet_120: 1097). The Marathon Key

medusa (Kmuffet_30), likely bleached at time of collection, returned 12,520 non-chloroplast

sequences. The Mycoplasma-like full length 16S rRNA gene sequence (Genbank Acc. No.

OR592270) from Marathon Key and Key West has no closely related sequences currently

identified (greatest identity 88.43% to sequence HQ393440). The *Endozoicomonas* strain from

both Marathon Key and Key West (Genbank Acc. No. OR592271) has 99.93% identity to

Endozoicomonas atrinae strain WP70(T) collected from the intestine of South Korean *Atrina*

pectinata (Accession NR_134024)(Hyun et al. 2014) and 99.80% identity (<5 nucleotide

differences) with isolates collected from *Gorgonia ventalina* (GenBank Acc. No. GU118345) in

Bocas del Toro, Panama (Sunagawa et al. 2010). *Endozoicomanas* and *Mycoplasma*-like isolates

were the only two groups to have ASVs with over 100 sequences.

S11: Order level bar plots grouped by location

S12: Mosaic plot of Dirichlet partition identity (community type) by site