1 Title: Microbial communities increase host plant leaf growth in a pitcher plant experimental
2 system
3

4 Authors: Jessica R. Bernardin[1], Erica B. Young[2], Sarah M. Gray[3], Leonora S. Bittleston[1]
5
6 Addresses: [1]Department of Biological Sciences, Boise State University, Boise, Idaho, USA,
7 [2]Department of Biological Sciences and School of Freshwater Sciences, University of Wisconsin-
8 Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, US, [3]University of Fribourg, Department of Biology-Ecology
9 and Evolution, Fribourg, Switzerland
10
11 Corresponding author contact information: jessicabernardin@boisestate.edu

12
13

Abstract

Across diverse ecosystems, bacteria and their host organisms engage in complex relationships having negative, neutral, or positive interactions. However, the specific effects of leaf-associated bacterial community functions on plant growth are poorly understood. To address this gap, we explored the relationships between bacterial community function and host plant growth in the purple pitcher plant (*Sarracenia purpurea*). The main aim of our research was to investigate how different bacterial community functions affect the growth and nutrient content in the plant. Previous research had suggested that microbial communities may aid in prey decomposition and subsequent nutrient acquisition in carnivorous plants, including *S. purpurea*. However, the specific functional roles of these bacterial communities in plant growth and nutrient uptake are not well known. In this study, sterile, freshly opened leaves (pitchers) were inoculated with three functionally distinct, pre-assembled bacterial communities and effects examined over 8 weeks. Bacterial community composition and function were measured using physiological assays, metagenomics, and metatranscriptomics. Distinct bacterial functions affected plant traits; a bacterial community enriched in decomposition and secondary metabolite production traits was associated with larger leaves with almost double the biomass of control pitchers. Physiological differences in bacterial communities were supported by metatranscriptomic analysis; for example, the bacterial community with the highest chitinase activity had greater expression of transcripts associated with chitinase enzymes. The relationship between bacterial community function and plant growth observed here indicates potential mechanisms for host-associated bacterial functions to support plant health and growth.

Importance

This study addresses a gap in understanding the relationships between bacterial community function and plant growth. We inoculated sterile, freshly opened pitcher plant leaves with three functionally distinct bacterial communities to uncover potential mechanisms through which bacterial functions support plant health and growth. Our findings demonstrate that distinct bacterial functions significantly influence plant traits, with some bacterial communities supporting more growth than in control pitchers. These results highlight the ecological roles of microbial communities in plants and thus ecosystems, and suggest potential pathways in which microbes support host plant health. This research provides valuable insights into plant-microbe interactions and effects of diverse microbial community functions.

Keywords

microbial community function; metatranscriptomics; phyllosphere; microbe-plant interactions; host health; metagenomics; pitcher plant; *Sarracenia purpurea*

Introduction

Microbiomes have strong effects on the health and fitness of their hosts (1, 2). Host plant growth and physiology are influenced by the presence of leaf-associated (phyllosphere) microbes, with microbial taxa acting as mutualists, commensals, and antagonistic pathogens (3–6). Phyllosphere microbes live in complex microecosystems (7, 8) performing functions that contribute to plant nutrient acquisition, phytohormone production, and stress tolerance (3).

For example, the addition of synthetic phyllosphere communities was shown to increase fruit
production in greenhouse-grown tomatoes (6) and increase leaf sugar content and metabolic
diversity in field-grown *Agave tequilana* (9). Plants reap many benefits from hosting microbial
communities (3, 9). Nutrient cycling interactions between microbes and plants can occur
directly, e.g., via bacterial nitrogen fixation, and indirectly, e.g., via increased bacterial growth
that influences host plant metabolism (3, 10). Many bacteria associated with plant-microbe
interactions also have pathways to produce phytohormones, like auxin or cytokinins, which
support plant cell metabolism and growth (3, 11–15). Plant growth-promoting bacteria can also
mediate host stress responses, including increasing drought tolerance, thermotolerance, and
UV protection (3, 16, 17). Despite these demonstrated benefits to host plants, we still lack
research directly connecting bacterial community function with host physiological functions and
benefits. We define bacterial community function as the collective metabolic processes of all
the individual bacteria within a community. We addressed this knowledge gap by examining
both bacterial and plant physiology, using metabarcoding, metagenomics, and
metatranscriptomics of bacterial communities and measuring plant responses.
73
The carnivorous pitcher plant, *Sarracenia purpurea* L., uses water-filled, cup-shaped leaves to
trap and digest insects to supplement acquisition of nutrients from low nutrient soils (18, 19).
These leaves or 'pitchers' host an aquatic micro-ecosystem composed of microbes, fungi,
protists, and invertebrates (8, 20, 21). The microbes are thought to provide critical ecosystem
functions to the host plants by mediating insect prey digestion through the production of
extracellular hydrolytic enzymes. It is likely that the bacterial production of protease and
chitinase enzymes that digest protein and chitin-rich insect prey are especially important for
this carnivorous plant species (22–24). *Sarracenia purpurea* pitcher plants do not produce
chitinase enzymes (22). Thus, they may rely heavily on the bacterial extracellular enzymes to
catalyze decomposition of insect prey and support the mineralization of carbon, nitrogen, and
other nutrients for the host plant and the whole micro-ecosystem. This is an ideal model system
to examine bacterial functions in controlled manipulative experiments, serving as an analog for
other systems where experimentation is more challenging. Although previous research has
hypothesized that pitcher plant leaf-associated bacterial communities benefit the host plant
(18, 25, 26), no studies have specifically measured a direct benefit to the plant.
89
To connect the effects of bacterial function to plant growth, we designed a manipulative
experiment using pitcher plant leaves and bacterial communities with different physiological
functional profiles, measured as different levels of activity across different physiological
functions (Fig. S1). These community physiological functions, including hydrolytic enzyme
activity, organic substrate use, and respiration rates, were characterized for the three distinct
experimental bacterial communities prior to inoculation, and the effects of these functionally
distinct bacterial communities on host plants, were examined over eight weeks (Fig. 1).
97
We asked four specific questions:
1) Do bacterial communities with different functional profiles have differential effects on plant
traits, such as leaf growth and nutrients?

2) Are there taxonomic characteristics of these bacterial communities that can explain the
observed functional differences?
3) Can bacterial functions directly measured with physiological assays be linked with
differential gene expression (metatranscriptomics) to better understand how bacterial
community function impacts their host?
4) Are there specific functions, e.g., hydrolytic enzyme activity or carbon substrate use, that
drive these effects on host plants?
We hypothesized that communities with specific physiological functions (e.g., high chitinase
activity) would differentially express genes related to degradation, helping to release and make
available more nutrients to enhance host plant growth.
111
112

113
Figure 1. Experimental design. Three functionally distinct bacterial communities (CommA,
CommB, and CommC) growing in sterile acidified cricket media (ACM) were inoculated into
sterile pitchers and plants incubated in growth chambers for eight weeks. Sterile deionized (DI)
water or ACM were added to additional pitchers as experimental controls. Pitcher fluid samples
were collected weekly to characterize bacterial community composition and function: chitinase
and protease activity, community respiration, growth rate, organic substrate use profiles, 16S
amplicon sequencing, metagenomics, and metatranscriptomics. Plant functional traits were
also assessed, including morphometric measurements of pitchers, as well as end-of-experiment
measures of leaf biomass, leaf nutrient content, and photosynthetic rate.
123
124 **Results**

Starting communities were functionally different

The three communities (CommA, CommB, and CommC) inoculated into pitchers were functionally different in their chitinase activity, protease activity, bacterial respiration, and/or EcoPlate organic carbon substrate use profiles (Fig. 2, Fig. S2). Prior to inoculation, CommB had the highest chitinase activity and lowest protease activity (Fig. 2A, B, statistical differences shown in Fig. S2), and bacterial respiration was lower in CommB than the other two communities (Fig. S2). Using a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA), we observed strong differences in carbon substrate use profiles among the three bacterial communities, both in the community cultures prior to inoculation (day 0) and when resampled from the pitchers 24 hours after inoculation (day 1) (Fig. 2C, Fig. S3, Table S1). CommB was the most distinct, while CommA and CommC exhibited similar levels of hydrolytic enzyme activity, all three communities had distinct carbon substrate use profiles. We found significant differences in community composition between the treatments on day 1 based on metagenomic sequencing (Fig. 2D, PERMANOVA; R^2=0.78, $F_{2,8}$=10.382, P=0.005). There were no measured differences in bacterial community growth rates prior to inoculation or at any point during the experiment (Fig. S2).

Figure 2. The three bacterial communities had different physiological functions measured before pitcher inoculation. (**A**) CommB had higher chitinase activity and (**B**) lower protease activity than CommA and CommC, there were no differences in bacterial growth rates between the starting treatments and CommB had the lowest bacterial respiration rates (Fig. S2). (**C**) The three communities also showed different EcoPlate carbon substrate use profiles, using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and visualized with a NMDS (k=2, stress=0.138) at day 0 prior to inoculation

149 and on day 1, 24 hours after inoculation. (**D**) These three communities also had distinct
150 bacterial compositions (top 10 genera shown) at day one, based on taxonomy from shotgun
151 metagenomic sequencing.
152
Bacterial function affects plant traits
To investigate the influence of functionally diverse bacterial communities on plant traits
(Question 1), we evaluated how experimental treatments (CommA, CommB, and CommC) and
control treatments (water and ACM) affected pitcher biomass and nitrogen content after the
plants hosted the treatments for an 8-week period. We compared our treatment communities
to two control groups to help parse out differences between the effect of hosting the bacterial
community vs. the media they are grown in, since pitcher plants may be able to directly absorb
amino acids from degraded prey items (27). Pitchers hosting CommB had 1.7 times higher
biomass (mean biomass = 0.47 g) than pitchers with cricket media only (mean biomass = 0.28 g)
and 2 times higher biomass than the water-only plants (mean biomass = 0.23 g, Fig. 3A, 3B). We
observed that plants that hosted CommB had higher biomass (Fig. 3A, 3B), longer pitcher
length, and higher carbon content (Fig. S4) than not only the water and media controls, but also
the other experimental treatments, CommA and CommC. While pitchers size varied and the
sample size was relatively low due to the slow growth of this perennial plant (n=46), the
probability of observing a higher pitcher biomass in bacterial community treatments compared
to the cricket media (ACM) control was estimated at 81.0% for CommA, 99.7% for CommB, and
84.3% for CommC (based on posterior probabilities from GLMM, Fig. 3B). Although the 95%
credible intervals (CIs) contained zero for CommC, we also saw a trend of a positive effect on
total plant growth (measured as the net production of leaves). Plants in the CommC group had
an estimated 1.4 greater net (gross new leaves - gross leaf loss) new pitcher production
compared to the ACM control over eight weeks (Fig. S4).
174
Bacterial communities and the ACM control also affected nitrogen levels in pitcher plants (Fig.
3C and 3D). Comparing total pitcher nitrogen content between the treatment groups and the
two controls (ACM, water), there was a 74% probability that bacterial treatments had higher
nitrogen content than the ACM treatment, although the 95% credible intervals included zero.
Water had a strong negative effect on pitcher nitrogen content, with plants containing only
water having an estimated 1.7 mg of nitrogen, those with ACM having 4.2 mg, and those in the
three bacterial treatments averaging 5.2 mg (Fig. 3C and 3D). We found no impact of the
bacterial community treatment groups on other plant traits, including photosynthetic rates and
photosynthetic quantum yield (F_v/F_m) (Fig. S4).
184

Figure 3. Bacterial community treatment affects plant traits. (**A**) Bayesian GLMM marginal effects of treatment on target pitcher biomass are represented by the black points, which are the median estimates, and the vertical lines represent the 95% credible intervals (CIs). The pitcher biomass data used to calculate estimated effects are represented by the box plot and colored points behind the marginal effect estimates. (**B**) Predicted effects of functionally distinct community treatments on pitcher biomass. Black points represent median estimates, lines represent the 95% CIs, and color-coded (by treatment) density plots indicate the full posterior. The intercept (dashed posterior) is set as the ACM baseline. (**C**) Bayesian GLMM marginal effects of treatment on pitcher nitrogen content, and (**D**) predicted effects of bacterial community treatments on pitcher nitrogen content.

Compositional differences between the three communities — 16S metabarcoding results

After quality control and rarefaction, we recovered 917 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in a community matrix spanning 310 samples (6-10 pitchers per treatment across 8 weekly time points). These ASVs represented 26 bacterial phyla, 192 families, and 286 genera. Among the 20 most abundant genera averaged across pitchers within a treatment at a single time point,

202 *Microvirgula* (14.2%), *Stenotrophomonas* (12.4%), and *Pedobacter* (11.3%) accounted for
203 almost 25% of reads (Fig. 4A).
204
Community Diversity and Differential Abundance
Addressing Question 2 with regard to taxonomic characteristics of the bacterial communities
that could explain functional differences, we first observed differences in alpha diversity
between the three treatments after one week *in planta* (Fig. 4B, Fig. S5). Between days 8 and
55, the effective number of ASVs increased (with a mean gain of 7.5 ASVs per sample) and by
day 55, the differences in ASV numbers between community treatments had disappeared (Fig.
4B, Fig. S5). Beta diversity was different between the three community treatments
(PERMANOVA; R^2=0.282, $F_{2, 214}$=42.04, p=0.001) using unweighted UniFrac distances, shown as
clear clustering of treatments in NMDS visualization (Fig. 4C) and significant pairwise
differences over time (Table S2). Mantel tests (Spearman's rank correlation rho) showed
significant correlations between the unweighted UniFrac distances of 16S community diversity
with chitinase activity (r=0.227, p=0.001) and with final pitcher length (r=0.117, p=0.001), but
no significant relationship with protease activity (r=0.004, p=0.44) or pitcher dry biomass
(r=0.166, p=0.066).
219
Analysis of compositions of microbiomes with bias correction (ANCOM-BC) (28) identified 38
differentially abundant taxa between treatments (Table S3) with log fold changes (LFC) greater
than 1.5 between at least one pair of treatments (CommB vs. CommA, CommC vs. CommA, or
CommB vs. CommC). These taxa were plotted along with error estimates (Fig. 4D). In our
model, CommB is set as the reference, so negative log fold change values indicate increased
abundance in CommB (Fig. 4D, left side). CommB had a higher abundance of 13 taxa, with 12 of
these taxa showing higher abundance in CommB compared to CommA, and 1 taxon showing
higher abundance in CommB compared to CommC. Conversely, CommB had significantly lower
abundance in 6 other taxa (Fig. 4D).

Figure 4. Bacterial community compositional differences between the three bacterial

community treatments, based on 16S amplicon sequencing. (**A**) Relative abundance of the 20

most abundant genera from day 8 to 55 (days: 8, 15, 22, 29, 36, 43, 50, 55), with reads

averaged for 10 different pitchers at the same time point. (**B**) Effective number of ASVs (Hill

number 1) for each treatment at day 8 and day 55; box plots show the interquartile range, the

horizontal lines are the median values and the whiskers extend 1.5 times the interquartile

range, and each point represents a pitcher fluid sample. (**C**) Composition-based NMDS
calculated using unweighted UniFrac for all samples within each of the three treatments from
day 8 to 55, stress=0.17, k=2. (**D**) Twenty differentially abundant ASVs, predicted from ANCOM-
BC2 (subset of ASVs with a log fold change greater than +/- 1.5) with CommB as the reference
(orange dashed line). Points are colored by treatment and represent the log fold change of the
model effects, with whiskers representing the standard error around each estimate. ASVs are
labeled with assigned genus when available, and each colored square matches the color key in
(**A**).
244
Linking bacterial physiology with agnostic estimates of functional expression
To address Question 3, we identified 218,817 transcripts from 27 metatranscriptomic samples,
including 3 pitchers each for 3 bacterial treatments at 3 different time points (Figure 1). This
analysis links bacterial functions directly with differential gene expression
(metatranscriptomics). After filtering out transcripts lacking KEGG orthologs, 162,624 total
transcripts remained. We visualized the differences in metatranscriptomic profiles between the
three bacterial treatments over time using an NMDS based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities for all
transcripts (Fig. 5A). We found clear differences between treatments (PERMANOVA; R^2=0.20 $F_{2,}$
$_{22}$=4.46, p=0.001), despite the many shared transcripts, and clear patterns through time
(PERMANOVA; R^2=0.27, $F_{2, 22}$=6.00, p=0.001). The transcript by sample matrix of ~162,000
genes was normalized and put into our differential expression (DE) model, which identified
24,357 unique significantly differentially expressed genes between our three bacterial
communities.
258
Each treatment had unique and shared transcripts (Fig. 5B). Comparison of differential
expression between treatments using pairwise contrasts showed 5,767 transcripts with positive
log fold change for CommA, and 10,885 with negative log fold change for CommA relative to
the mean of CommB and CommC. For CommB, 2,124 transcripts had positive log fold change,
and 4,278 had negative log fold change. CommC had 5,851 transcripts with positive log fold
change, and 6,257 had negative log fold change, relative to the mean of CommA and CommB.
To get an agnostic view of functional expression between treatments, we plotted the model
estimate of mean average expression and log fold change for each transcript in each treatment
contrast, and color coded according to p-value (Fig. 5D, 5E, 5F). We averaged the log fold
change for transcripts mapping to the same KEGG function and ranked them from high to low in
order to find the most differentially expressed functions in each treatment (Fig. 5G, 5H, 5I).
270
In CommA, we found high expression of functions related to phenol/toluene 2-monooxygenase,
aminomuconate-semi aldehyde, and 2-oxo-3-hexenedioate decarboxylase, related to aromatic
compound degradation (Fig. 5G). For CommB, we found high expression of functions for
xylobiose transport system substrate-binding protein, basic endochitinase B, and fructose-6-
phosphate phosphoketolase (Fig. 5H). We found support for our physiological measures of high
chitinase activity (Figs. 1A and 6A), with basic endochitinase B being one of the top 5 highly
expressed functions for CommB. For CommC, there was high expression of functions related to
proton-translocating transhydrogenase, glycerol-3-phosphate O-acyl transferase, and very long
chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, related to fatty acid metabolism (Fig. 5I).

Figure 5. Treatment communities had distinct patterns of gene expression. (**A**) Transcript-based
NMDS calculated using Bray-Curtis dissimilarities for all samples within each of the three
treatments at days 1, 22, and 55, stress=0.099, k=2 (PERMANOVA, R^2=0.0.20, $F_{2,22}$=4.46, p =
0.001). (**B**) Venn diagram showing the shared and unique differentially abundant transcripts
among the three bacterial communities. (**C**) Bar plot displaying the count of differentially
expressed (DE) genes (up regulated in red and down-regulated in blue) between the three
bacteria community treatments, highlighting significant differences in gene expression between
each community based on community contrasts in our differential expression model. (**D, E, F**)
The mean abundance (MA) plots depict the log fold change (LFC) versus the predicted average
expression of transcripts for each treatment based on our differential expression model, (**D**)
CommA, (**E**) CommB, (**F**) and CommC, (red points are positive LFC, blue are negative LFC, gray

are adjusted p-value > 0.05). (**G, H, I**) Heat maps illustrating the 20 most abundant KEGG KO
functions, based on the differentially expressed genes averaged within each KEGG Orthology
(KO) function for each treatment, (**G**) CommA, (**H**) CommB, and (**I**) CommC. The KOs were
ranked from high to low mean log fold change.
297
Linking bacterial physiology with hydrolytic enzyme functional expression
Beyond the general functional differences identified by differential transcription, specific
functions related to hydrolysis were examined. We measured chitinase as chitobiosidase and
protease as L-amino-peptidase enzyme activities, analyzing only the 9 pitchers which also had
metatranscriptomics (3 of 10 plants per treatment). Over 8 weeks, CommA had lower chitinase
activity, compared to CommB and CommC (Fig. 6A, Fig. S6). This result was similar to
physiological assays in the bacterial communities prior to inoculation (Fig. 1A), but in this
smaller subset across time, CommB and CommC were not statistically different. Protease
activity in the 9 pitchers was consistent with community assays prior to inoculation, with
CommB showing lower protease activity than CommA and C (Fig. 6B, Fig. S6).
308
We used metatranscriptomic data to explore hydrolysis functions in two ways. First, we
investigated the transcript abundances for differentially expressed genes that mapped to
chitinase or protease functional KOs. Second, we paired these abundance measures with the
log fold change predicted by our differential expression (DE) model. Within this model,
contrasts were created to compare the expression levels of each community treatment against
the average of the other two (see Fig. 6 legend and methods). This allowed us to identify genes
that were differentially expressed in one treatment relative to the others. In Figure 6C, we
show all significant DE genes mapping to chitin degradation or transport KOs, including
endochitodextinases, endochitinases, exochitinases, and chitin transport systems and putative
chitinases. CommB had higher transcript abundances of these chitin related genes, including 12
genes with higher expression in CommB, compared to only six chitin-related genes with higher
expression in CommA, and only seven in CommC. Similar patterns emerged with normalized
transcript abundance for these same genes (log transformed transcripts per million) (Fig. 6C,
left side). In Figure 6D, we show many significant DE genes mapping to protein degradation,
including general aminopeptidases, leucyl aminopeptidases, tetrahedral aminopeptidases, and
tripeptide aminipeptidases. CommB had lower expression of genes related to protease
enzymes, two genes with higher expression in CommB, compared to 12 protease related genes
with higher expression in CommA, and seven genes for CommC.
327

329
Figure 6. Functional assays of hydrolytic enzyme activity generally matched well with transcript
levels of genes related to those functions. (**A, B**) Enzyme activity measured in communities
from nine pitcher plants whose samples also underwent downstream metatranscriptomic
analysis (within a treatment, three plants x 9 weeks, n=27). Box plots and colored points
represent the raw data, with the horizontal bar representing the median values and whiskers

showing the 1.5 times the interquartile range. The black points represent the median marginal
effects from the model testing the effect of treatment enzyme activity with week as a random
intercept, the black vertical bars represent the 95% credibility intervals around each estimate.
(**C, D**) Chitinase and protease related transcript comparisons: Within each panel, log fold
change (LFC, right) and normalized transcript abundance (TPM, left) of transcripts associated
with chitin metabolism KOs (**C**) and protein metabolism KOs (**D**) determined from significantly
differential transcript abundances. The log fold change points are colored by treatment and
represent the effect size from the differential expression model. The absence of a point for a
treatment indicates that the transcript is not differentially expressed for that contrast.
344
Linking bacterial physiology with carbohydrate and amino acid metabolic functions
Carbon substrate use profiles were significantly different among all pairwise combinations of
the three treatments on both day one and day 55 (PERMANOVA pairwise differences, $p < 0.05$,
Fig. S3, Table S1). Out of the 31 carbon substrates tested, the three communities exhibited
differential capacity to use nine (Fig. 7A, Fig. S7). CommB had statistically higher use of N-acetyl
glucosamine, beta methyl glucoside, cellobiose, and pyruvic acid methyl ester. CommA showed
the highest use of Tween 80, serine, and threonine. Meanwhile, CommC exhibited higher use of
galactonic acid gamma lactone and glucosaminic acid.
353
Relating carbon substrate use from EcoPlate functional assays, we identified significantly
differentially abundant transcripts related to carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism (Fig.
7B,C) and aggregated transcript counts by KO function. Because CommB had the largest
positive effect on pitcher biomass, we highlighted functions where CommB exhibited markedly
higher expression than either CommA or C by at least $\log_2 = 4$ transcripts per million (TPM). We
identified 54 KOs that were higher in CommB, many of which are related to the metabolism or
transport of carbohydrates like fructose, glucose, and chitin. Additionally, we identified 33 KOs
that were higher in CommB, including the enzymes urea carboxylase, nitrile hydratase, and
argininosuccinate lyase. These are related to N cycling, contributing to the breakdown and
recycling of nitrogen-containing compounds (29, 30).
364

Figure 7. Differences in EcoPlate carbon substrate metabolism among the three treatments. (**A**)
A subset of nine of the 31 carbon substrates which showed differences in absorbance among
samples of the three treatments. Points are colored by treatment and represent the
absorbance for an individual sample for that substrate, day 1 and day 55 samples combined for
each substrate. Box plots and colored points represent the raw data, colored whiskers
representing data 1.5 times the interquartile range. The black points represent the median
marginal effects from the model testing the effect of treatment and substrate on absorbance
with week as a random intercept, the black vertical bars represent the 95% credibility intervals
around each estimate. (**B, C**) Normalized abundance of KOs associated with (**B**) carbohydrate

metabolism and (**C**) amino acid metabolism, using significantly differentially abundant genes
summed according to KO function. Heat maps are grouped by treatment and transcripts per
million (TPM) are transformed (log2(TPM+0.5)). This subset of KO functions show instances
where expression in CommB was significantly higher (by at least 4 log2(TPM+0.5)) than either
CommA or CommC. Functions that are particularly relevant for this study are highlighted in
yellow.
381
Connecting community functions to metagenome-assembled genomes
In addition to the 16S amplicon sequencing to identify taxa present, we conducted shotgun
metagenomic sequencing of 18 samples (3 plants per treatment at day 1 and day 55). The
resulting data were used to quantify taxonomy and build high-quality metagenome-assembled
genomes (MAGs), which were then connected to the metatranscriptomics data, focusing
on hydrolytic enzyme functions. Taxonomic identifications of MAGs were similar to the 16S
amplicon results, with some differences likely due to different databases queried for the two
data types (Fig. S8). We built 36 MAGs, of which 26 were high quality, eight were medium
quality, and two were discarded due to redundancy >10% (Table S4). We calculated the relative
abundance of each MAG in each treatment community, mapped the functional transcripts to
the MAGs, and selected the KOs associated with chitinase or aminopeptidase (proteinase)
activity (Fig. 8). In Figure 8, each bubble represents the number of unique MAG contigs that
mapped to each KO, identifying 13 chitin metabolism KOs and 20 aminopeptidase-related KOs.
MAG_09 (*Caproiciproducens* sp.) had the highest number of functional chitinase-associated
KOs, followed by MAG_41 (*Aquitalea* sp.), and MAG_21, MAG_22, and MAG_23 (Order
Sphingobacteriales). Protease activity was well-represented across the MAGs, with the highest
abundance of aminopeptidase-related KOs associated with MAG_24 (*Phenylobacterium* sp.),
followed by MAG_29 (*Shinella sumatrensis*), MAG_33 (*Comamonas acidovorans*), and MAG_30
(*Sphingomonas* sp.).

Figure 8. Metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) enriched in functions related to chitin and
protein metabolism. The size of the bubble represents the number of unique MAG contigs
mapped to functional transcripts (RNA sequences from the same samples) grouped by KEGG
orthology (KO, x-axis) and filtered to include only those KOs associated with targeted hydrolytic
enzyme functions (chitin and aminopeptidase metabolizing KOs). The y-axis is ranked by
phylogenetic relatedness and shows the MAG identifier along with the associated taxonomy
and a heatmap of the relative abundance of each MAG in the three community treatments.

Discussion

Bacterial community functioning influences plant traits

Plants and microbes have been associated for approximately 450 million years (31). Over this
vast period, many microbes have evolved beneficial interactions with their hosts; however, our

knowledge of the mechanisms behind mutualistic effects is still limited. In this study, bacterial
community function significantly influenced host growth and nutrient acquisition in the pitchers
of carnivorous pitcher plants, almost doubling pitcher biomass, and we identified potential
functional drivers of these differences. These results addressed our initial question of whether
functionally-different bacterial communities have varying effects on host plant traits, where we
found positive effects of CommB on pitcher biomass, length, and carbon content. Functional
differences among the bacterial community treatments, such as chitinase activity, were
correlated with pitcher growth and plant nutrient acquisition. Many studies provide evidence
for how the metabolites produced by microbes incite benefits to their host plants, for example,
plant growth promoting hormones (17, 32, 33). However, this is the first example to our
knowledge, connecting collective microbial community function to host health using
metabarcoding, metagenomics, and metatranscriptomics.
427
This research leveraged a unique model system, with microbes hosted in aquatic
microecosystems inside pitcher-shaped leaves, in contrast to classic non-aquatic phyllosphere
systems . One might expect that the aquatic pools would have a lower impact on host health
than more intimate associations such as root and leaf associated microbial communities.
However, the positive effect of bacterial communities on leaf biomass *in planta* in just over
eight weeks demonstrates a rapid response to their microbial communities for these slow-
growing, long-lived plants. In this experiment, a single pitcher was responsible for nitrogen
acquisition for the whole plant, so the other pitchers in the experimental plants were filled with
sterile water so could not access N. It is likely that nitrogen was translocated out of the target
pitcher to support other pitchers (8, 23), reducing the sensitivity or size of the effect on just the
measured target pitchers. These plants generally have low nitrogen content compared to other
plants (34), suggesting that even small increases in nitrogen could significantly improve their
growth and fitness (34). Additionally, several plant traits were examined, and we found that the
percent of carbon and nitrogen in the target pitcher was highly correlated with the second-
newest pitcher, which was not inoculated, indicating that nutrient translocation was likely
occurring. Our findings demonstrate that functionally different microbial communities can
influence host plant health, most likely via the release and supply of nutrients that enhance
plant growth and fitness.
446
Relationship between bacterial composition and function
Recent studies have linked microbially mediated plant benefits to specific taxa. For example, in
an experiment with synthetic microbial communities, pathogen suppression in *Arabidopsis* was
driven by three specific bacterial taxa (37). In contrast, other studies have observed a
community-level effect, such as the positive influence of microbial communities, but not
individual strains, on duckweed growth (6, 9, 35). Our study supports the latter situation, as we
did not see the presence or absence of specific taxa driving main effects. One possible
explanation could be the importance of microbe-microbe interactions, metabolic collaboration
in microbial communities is common, making the effects of single taxa less likely (6, 11, 38).
Addressing our second question, although we found clear taxonomic and functional differences
in our bacterial communities, most ASVs and MAGs were shared across all three communities
and the differences were in rare taxa, without specific taxa appearing to drive primary

functional differences. In our study, observed differences in hydrolytic enzyme activity and
substrate use were likely affected by small differences in relative abundances of functionally
important taxa across our communities. Our results indicate that bacterial community functions
are not solely determined by composition but, instead, specific combinations of taxa and
differential gene expression lead to distinct functional profiles. Corroborating our findings, a
2023 study with wild pitcher plants found significant functional differences in the microbial
community with modest microbial compositional changes following an experimental
manipulation, suggesting that differential gene expression, not composition, drove functional
change (38). Similarly, in lake microbial communities, functional differences were only weakly
related to taxonomic composition (39). Plants often host high bacterial biodiversity, providing
complex habitats and resources that create bacterial niches (40). In pitcher plants, the
colonization of pitchers by microbial communities are influenced by factors like season, host
characteristics, and nutrient inputs, and these differences in microbial community composition
likely have important implications of community function (26, 41, 42). In this study, we
demonstrated that relatively small differences in bacterial composition and abundance within
pitchers can have significant consequences for nutrient cycling from captured prey supplied to
the host plant.
476
Coupling measured bacterial functions with differential gene expression
Our agnostic approach, to examine total gene expression, allowed us to first examine general
functional differences across the three bacterial communities. While substantial differences in
hydrolytic enzyme activity were observed between bacterial communities after some time *in*
planta, these did not fully explain the observed benefits to plant biomass and pitcher nutrient
acquisition, suggesting a more synergistic, collective community effect rather than the additive
effect of transcription of selected genes. Each community exhibited differential transcription of
a large number of unique genes, many of which are involved in producing enzymes involved in
breaking down complex substrates. Interestingly, despite this untargeted approach, CommB
had two chitinase-related KOs among its highest transcribed functions, further supporting this
as an important function for liberating nutrients including nitrogen from chitin (43).
Additionally, many of the most highly transcribed genes found in CommB mapped to KOs
relating to breaking down xylobiose, carnitine, and chitin, indicating this community is efficient
at metabolizing complex organic nutrients with probable benefits to the host plant and other
members of the microbial community. The catabolism products of these substrates have been
found to trigger plant responses, including defense and growth (44, 45). Lastly, while not in the
top 20 KO functions, the ACC deaminase gene was significantly higher expressed in CommB.
This enzyme plays an influential role in reducing ethylene mediated stress, which can have
cascading benefits on growth and development (32). Together, these findings suggest that
differential gene expression between microbial communities, due to subtle differences in
composition, can contribute to measurable differences in host plant growth.
498
Targeted bacterial functions influence plant traits
Few studies have successfully linked direct enzyme activity with transcriptomics for whole
microbial communities. Bacterial communities play pivotal roles in nutrient transformations, so
in order to better understand community functional dynamics, we linked bacterial physiology

with hydrolytic enzyme expression to investigate how chitinase and protease activities *in planta*
related to differential gene expression for these functions. CommB and C exhibited higher
chitinase activity in physiological assays, and CommB also showed significantly higher
expression of genes related to chitin degradation in our metatranscriptomic analyses,
connecting active enzyme activity to gene expression. In contrast, CommB had lower leucyl
aminopeptidase activity measured via a laboratory assay, while differential gene expression
identified many genes mapping to various aminopeptidase enzymes expressed in CommB.
These results provide evidence that while culture-based physiological assays are valuable, they
fail to completely characterize total nutrient cycling activities present in a community. Pairing
physiological assays with metatranscriptomics built a clearer picture of the functional activities
present in our communities.
514
The analysis of carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism across the three bacterial
communities supports our findings on hydrolytic enzyme activities, revealing distinct organic
substrate preferences among the communities. CommB exhibited higher utilization for
substrates like N-acetyl glucosamine (GlcNAc) and cellobiose. GlcNAc is a monomer of chitin,
chitobiose, or chitotriose and is used for many critical metabolic functions within bacterial cells
(46–48), while cellobiose is a metabolic precursor to glucose and interacts with ⍰-glucosidase to
yield two glucose molecules used in glycolysis (49). Increased transcription of genes related to
metabolizing these substrates provides further evidence that CommB is efficiently cycling
diverse nutrients in this community, products which are likely important for not only
community cross feeding but for nutrient supply to the host plant. Although it has long been
assumed that bacterial community enzyme activity is a key mechanism in pitcher plant nutrient
acquisition(23, 50), our results are the first to directly measure and provide evidence for this
mechanism.
528
Conclusion
In this controlled study, plant growth was enhanced by the collective function of a specific
bacterial community. The most growth was observed in pitchers hosting a bacterial community
with high chitinase activity and high differential expression of genes related to nutrient cycling,
supporting the release of nutrients for uptake by the host plant. We characterized collective
community composition and function using a suite of complementary approaches that
highlighted the complexity and dynamics of plant-microbe interactions and the role microbial
communities can play in host health and growth. The benefits of plant-microbe interactions to
host productivity are likely often not driven by a single functional parameter, nor a single taxon
expressing a gene encoding a specifically useful function. This bacterial community analysis
suggests that measurable functional traits can identify relevant bacterial effects in phyllosphere
communities. Identification of these relationships contribute to increased knowledge of the
effects of bacterial communities on plant traits and can inform other systems with applications
in agriculture, conservation, and restoration.
543
544 **Methods**
545
Experimental design

Mature *Sarracenia purpurea* greenhouse plants (donated by T. Miller, FSU) were surface
sterilized and repotted into autoclaved media (7 parts milled sphagnum peat: 3 parts sand) and
bleach-sterilized pots. Plant surface sterilization consisted of washing the leaves and roots in
distilled water prior to soaking in 1% hydrogen peroxide for 15 minutes (repeated 3X). Plants
were transferred into clean plant growth chambers (Hoffman Mfg. Inc. Corvallis, OR USA, SG2-
22) and allowed to acclimatize for 12 weeks prior to the start of the experiment. Care was taken
to keep the plants, pots, and all contact with the growth chambers as sterile as possible,
however chambers were supplied with f ambient unfiltered air through their vents and when
the chamber doors were open. The chambers were configured with a diel light and
temperature cycle, 14-hour photoperiod (Photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD)= 62 µmol
m^{-2} s^{-1}) at 31 °C and 10 hours dark at 17 °C, with relative humidity at 70%. Additional
temperature probes were added to each chamber to monitor chamber conditions.

The three distinct bacterial communities (CommA, CommB, and CommC) used for inoculation
were previously collected from wild *S. purpurea* pitcher plants (51). These communities were
selected from the ten microcosm communities used by Bittleston et al. 2018 (CommA=M01,
CommB=M06, CommC=M09). All ten communities from that study were reconstituted from
cryogenic culture and grown in the lab using acidified cricket media (ACM) with 1:1 serial
transfers into fresh media every 72 hours for several months. Cricket media was used to
establish the bacterial communities and was prepared by acidifying (pH=5.6, 1.0M HCl) ground
food-grade house cricket media (3g/L H$_2$O Thailand Unique Inc.), then autoclaving. The three
communities (CommA, CommB, CommC) were selected based on their differences in chitinase
and protease activity (Fig. 2). In addition to three experimental bacterial communities, two
experimental controls were ACM only and sterile deionized water only. Both controls contained
no bacterial cultures, the ACM control was used to test effects of nutrient media without any
bacteria on plants. The water control examined the effect of sterile ACM media with no
bacteria.

Ten individual pitcher plants were randomly assigned to each bacterial treatment group and six
to each control group, for a total of 42 individual plants. On day 0, on each plant, one new,
unopened pitcher was manually opened using sterile gloved hands and carefully inoculated
with a bacterial community culture or control treatments until each pitcher was 3/4ths full. For
example, if a pitcher held 8 mL when 3/4ths full, it received 8 mL sterile ACM and 0.8 mL
bacterial community culture. Due to varying pitcher sizes, some smaller pitchers received lower
volumes in the same media:culture ratio. The single pitcher on each plant that received one of
the five treatments was the target pitcher (pitcher 1). All other pitchers on each plant received
sterile water for the duration of the experiment. For some plant trait measurements, data were
also collected on the second-newest pitcher (pitcher 2). We found no statistical differences in
the optical density or the bacterial cell concentration (from CFU counts) between our three
cultures prior to inoculation.

Because plants did not all have new, unopened pitchers on the same week, new plants were
added to the experiment in stages, once a week for 5 weeks until all plants had been
inoculated. To ensure there was no effect of this staggered addition of plants, plants were

assigned to treatment groups randomly and sample analysis was based on time after
inoculation, not date. The cultures for the three bacterial communities were maintained (as
noted above) in the lab and added each week to the appropriate plants. There was no change
in bacterial community composition in these cultures, and we found that community
composition of samples within the same treatment, but added on different weeks, had very
tight clustering 7 days after inoculation, regardless of date added. Every 2 days, distilled water
was added to the trays under the pots, to prevent splashing and contamination into pitchers.
Each week, the plants were rearranged within the chamber and rotated according to a
randomization map created using a random number generator.
600
Sample collection
Samples were collected weekly, starting the day after inoculation (day 1) 4.0 mL was collected
from each leaf using sterile technique. This sample was then aliquoted, 1.5 mL was frozen in
sterile screw cap tubes for amplicon sequencing, 750 µL was frozen in cryotubes in liquid
nitrogen for metagenomic/metatranscriptomic analysis, 750 µL was mixed with 750 µL 80%
sterile glycerol and frozen in screw cap tubes for culture preservation. All preserved samples
were stored at -80 °C until nucleic acid extraction. The remaining 1.0 mL was used in the
microbial physiological assays described below. The full 4.0 mL was not removed from very
small pitchers on day 7 due to limited volumes, but in all cases pitcher size increased very
quickly in the first week and full samples were collected at future samplings. In all plants,
sample volume (4.0 mL) was replaced with sterile cricket media as a weekly food source, and an
additional 2 mL sterile water added to pitcher 1 to replace evaporated fluid (total added liquid
each week to all plants = 6.0 mL). There was some damage that occurred to some pitchers
during the course of the experiment, plants 25 (CommB), 44 (CommB), 51 (CommC), 9
(CommC), and 29 (CommA) were removed from all analyses.
616
Bacterial Physiological Assays
Chitinase and protease activities were quantified weekly on a sample from each treatment
pitcher using fluorometric assays in black 96-well microplates (24, 52). Fluorescence emission
was measured every five minutes for one hour using a microplate reader (Biotek Synergy Mx
Multi-Mode Microplate Reader). Of three types of chitinases found in the *S. purpurea* pitchers
communities, chitobiosidase activity was selected for quantification because preliminary data
showed higher activity potential than β-N-acetylglucosaminidase and triacetyl chitotriosidase.
Chitobiosidase (hereafter chitinase) activity was measured using 200 µL of sample (culture or
pitcher fluid) and 50 µL of substrate (0.86 mM 4-Methylumbelliferyl N, N⊠-diacetyl-β-D-
chitobioside in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 with 0.1% bovine serum albumin) as described in (24).
Standards of 4-methylumbelliferone were made from 0 to 1 µM concentrations and read at 360
nm excitation and 420 nm emission. L-amino peptidase (protease) activity was measured using
50 µL of sample added to 25 µL of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 and 75 µL of substrate (2 mM L-
leucine-7-amido-4-methylcoumarin hydrochloride in nanopure water). Standards of 7-amino-4-
methylcoumarin were made from 0 to 1000 nM concentrations and read at 380 nm excitation
and 460 nm emission. Using the standard curves for each assay, fluorometric readings were
converted to product concentrations and plotted through time to get the linear enzymatic rate
for each sample (24).

635
The "functional fingerprint" for each bacterial community was measured by examining the
community's capacity to use 31 carbon substrates. These measurements were conducted on
the first and last day of the experiment (day 1 and 55) using Biolog EcoPlates™. EcoPlates were
inoculated with 100 µL of diluted sample (1:40 in sterile DI water) and allowed to incubate in
the dark at room temperature, absorbance readings were collected every 24 hours until a
maximum color change had been observed (72 hours). The changes in color were quantified by
reading the absorbance using a plate reader (above) at a wavelength of 590 nm. Water was
used as a control blank and subtracted from each absorbance reading prior to analysis.
Experimental controls were removed from the EcoPlate analysis. After an initial analysis,
extreme outliers at day 55 were removed the EcoPlate analysis, including plants 34 and 15 from
CommA, 27 from CommB, and 28, 21, and 41 from CommC.
647
Bacterial community growth metrics (maximum growth rate, doubling time, carrying capacity)
were measured using optical density at 590 nm for bacterial communities prior to inoculation
(Day 0) and from pitcher fluid samples at the end of the experiment (day 55). Bacterial cultures
and pitcher fluid samples (2 µL) were added to 198 µL R2A media in a clear flat bottom 96 well
plate (53). Plates were incubated in a plate reader (Tecan Spark) at 18 °C and absorbance was
measured every 30 minutes for 48 hours. Bacterial growth metrics were analyzed using the R
growthcurver package (54). The microbial community respiration was measured (as CO_2
produced) for both the bacterial cultures prior to the experiment (Day 0) and for pitcher fluid
samples at the end of the experiment (day 55), using the MicroResp system (55). Samples were
added to a sterile deep well plate (250 µL) along with 250 µL acidified cricket media. The plate
was fitted with a silicon mat with small holes and an indicator plate, both plates were clamped
into the MicroResp apparatus and incubated in the dark at room temperature. The indicator
plate contains a pH sensitive dye (cresol red 12.5 ppm, wt/wt) that shifts from magenta to
yellow in the presence of carbon dioxide. The absorbance at 570 nm was measured prior to
incubation, and consecutively after 6, 24, and 48 hours. Carbon dioxide concentrations were
calculated using a standard curve created by incubating an indicator medium with a series of
known carbon dioxide concentrations. Respiration rates were calculated for the first 24 hours
of respiration as a change in the CO_2 produced over the first 24 hours.
666
Plant functional traits
Pitcher morphological traits (56), including length, width, and opening aperture (56) were
measured weekly for eight weeks (day 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 55). For each plant, the
number of mature leaves was recorded at the beginning and end of the experiment. The length
of each pitcher (rhizome to tip) was measured with a flexible tape (cm) and the pitcher
diameter (at widest point), and aperture of the pitcher, will be measured with digital calipers
(mm). Tools were carefully sanitized with 70% ethanol between each measurement. At the end
of eight weeks, two pitchers were cut at the base for biomass measurements, the target pitcher
(pitcher one) and a secondary mature pitcher (second-oldest pitcher, pitcher two). Biomass was
measured by first taking a wet mass, drying the plant tissue at 65 °C for 72 hours, then
recording the dry mass. Plant tissue was homogenized in a spice grinder, for a subset of plants
(n= 15), we also harvested the remaining pitchers, roots, and rhizomes to investigate plant level

differences in biomass and C: N for plants in different treatment groups. Whole plant (pitcher,
root, rhizome) samples were collected for nutrient content analysis at the end of the
experiment (three whole plants from each treatment (n=15) and the two main pitchers from
the remaining plants). Plant tissue was dried at 65 °C for 72 hours and stored in the dark at
room temperature until samples were homogenized. Powdered samples were analyzed using a
CN elemental analysis (Flash EA1112), the proportion of carbon and nitrogen for each tissue
sample was calculated using the mass of the nutrient divided by the mass of the ground
sample.
687
Photosynthetic rates ($\mu mol\ CO_2\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$) of the target pitcher (pitcher one) and secondary
mature pitcher (pitcher two) were measured separately at the end of the experiment (day 55)
using a LICOR-6400 photosynthesis system (LICOR Inc., Lincoln, NE) and leaf chamber
fluorometer (LCF 6400-40). Measurements were taken between 1000 and 1300 h on plants
removed from the growth chambers, pitchers were filled with distilled water.
Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was set to 1200 μmol photons $m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$ for 5 min. A
subset of plants (three plants from each of the five treatments, n=15) had photosynthetic rates
measured on the youngest mature pitcher on each plant, prior to inoculation and addition to
the experiment.
697
The maximum quantum efficiency of photosystem II photochemistry (F_v/F_m)[57] was measured
once daily for one week, then weekly for 8 weeks. A portable multimode chlorophyll
fluorometer (Opti-Sciences) was used to measure the maximum quantum yield (F_v/F_m) of
chlorophyll fluorescence after a 25-minute period of dark acclimation using plastic leaf
clips[34]. The fiber optic cable was wiped with 70% ethanol between each plant and the plastic
leaf clips were sanitized with 10% bleach, rinsed with DI water, and allowed to air dry after each
measurement.
705
Many plant traits were measured (leaf length, width, aperture, biomass, photosynthetic
efficiency, photosynthetic rate, pitcher carbon, pitcher nitrogen, total number of leaves, new
leaves). The collinearity in the response variables was assessed using a principal components
analysis (PCA) on scaled data, dimension 1 explaining 77.1% of the variance and dimension 2
explaining 7.9% (Fig. S9). Along dimension 1, pitcher morphological measures (length, width,
aperture, biomass) were tightly correlated with each other. Pitcher dry biomass was selected as
the representative measure of plant size. Pitcher nitrogen and carbon content were selected as
measures of nutrient assimilation (Fig. S9).
714
16S rRNA sequencing and analysis
To characterize bacterial community composition, DNA was extracted from all samples
(CommA, CommB, CommC, ACM & water controls, on day 8, 15, 22, 29, 36, 43, 50, 55, n=310)
using the DNAdvance Genomic DNA Isolation Kit (Beckman Coulter A48705). Samples (750 μL)
were bead beaten in lysis buffer at 2400 RPM for 10 min, and then incubated at 55 °C shaking
(150 RPM) overnight before proceeding with the extraction (halving all the reagents but
following the protocol per the manufacturer's directions), each 96-well plate included one
negative control. DNA was quantified using AccuClear Ultra HS dsDNA kit (Biotium #31028). 16S

rRNA gene amplification and library preparation and sequencing was conducted by the
Environmental Sample Preparation and Sequencing Facility at Argonne National Laboratory on
a 151 bp x 12 bp x 151 bp Illumina MiSeq run targeting the V4 region of 16S rRNA using the
515F and 806R primers (58).
727
The 16S rRNA gene sequences were processed in QIIME2 (version 2022.8), demultiplexed using
no-golay error correction and quality filtered to remove reads with a mean score less than 20,
and trimmed to the sequence length of 150 base pairs. The DADA2 (74) module was used to
denoise sequences and generate amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) (59, 60). Taxonomy was
assigned using the classify-sklearn method which is a Native Bayes classifier, and a pre-trained
classifier made with Silva v. 138 database containing 99% amplicon sequence variants (ASVs)
from 515F/806R region (61). The phylogenetic tree was built using multiple-sequence
alignment via SEPTT and phylogenetic reconstruction via FastTree, both via a QIIME2 plugin (62,
63). Taxonomy and ASV tables were migrated to R for further analysis and visualization using
qiime2R, picante, and phyloseq (64–66).
738
Across 316 samples (310 pitcher fluid samples and 5 negative controls) *DADA2* generated 2633
ASVs. Contaminant ASVs were identified and discarded using the *decontam* R package (67), the
decontam method "prevalence" was used which identified ASVs based on their presence and
abundance in our negative controls. Data was quality filtered to remove non-prokaryotic ASVs
and ASVs classified as mitochondria or chloroplasts, and only include observations with at least
10 sequences and samples with at least 1000 sequences, resulting in 310 pitcher fluid samples
(day 8 through day 55) with a cumulative 1036 distinct ASVs, with 25317 mean reads per
sample (min reads/sample = 1669; max reads/sample= 57927). Samples were rarified down to
1669 reads, resulting in 310 samples with 1036 ASVs. A negative binomial model was built using
the *brms* package to investigate the effect of a treatment group on alpha diversity (68).
749
For ASV-level differential abundance explained by the treatment groups, an analysis of
compositions of microbiomes with bias correction (*ANCOMBC2*) was used, this is a Bayesian
statistical approach that accounts for sampling fraction, normalizes the read counts, and
controls for false discovery rates (fdr) (28). Quality controlled but unrarefied ASV counts were
analyzed using the *ANCOMBC* function, adjusted p-value method was set to fdr, prevalence
(prv_cut) was set to 0.3, the level of significance (alpha) was set to 0.05, pairwise directional
test (pairwise) was set to TRUE, with the rest of the parameters left as default. Significantly
differentially abundant ASVs were identified across the treatment groups and through time.
758
Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic sequencing and analysis
The pitcher fluid from three of the 10 pitchers in each treatment group were selected from
three time points (3 plants x 3 treatments x 3 timepoints (day 1, 22, 55), n=27) for simultaneous
DNA/RNA extractions for metagenomic and metatranscriptomic sequencing using the Qiagen
AllPrep Bacteria DNA/RNA/Protein Kit (Cat 47054), according to manufacturer directions.
Briefly, flash frozen samples were thawed and pelleted, pellets were resuspended in lysis
solution and bead beat for 10 minutes at 2400 RPM after which 350 μL of lysate was
transferred to a spin column were the DNA was collected in the column and the flow through

was further processed for RNA extraction. Samples were eluted in nuclease free water and
stored at -20°C until quantification and library preparation. Nucleic acids were quantified using
a fluorometer (Qubit, Invitrogen). Libraries were prepared for the full 27 RNA samples using
NEBNext rRNA Depletion Kit (NEB#E7850) and NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA library prep kit
for Illumina (NEB#E7760) using manufacturer protocols. Metagenomic libraries (DNA) were
prepared for a subset of these samples (3 plants x 3 treatments x 2 timepoints (day 1, 55),
n=18) using NEBNext Ultra II FS DNA library prep kit for Illumina (NEB#78055). Sequencing was
done on a NovaSeq partial lane to a sequencing depth of 55 Gb per library at Novogene Co.
(Sacramento, CA USA).
776
Shotgun metagenomic and metatranscriptomic sequences were processed on BSU's Borah
cluster. Adapters and low quality sequences were trimmed using Trimmomatic (0.39) with the
following settings: SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20 MINLEN:25 ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:40:15
based on the FastQC (v0.12.1) and viewed with MultiQC (v1.6) quality reports (69–71).
Metatranscriptomic sequences were further processed to remove ribosomal RNA using the
default settings (-t 4, -l 150, -e rrna) in Ribodetector (72). Two co-assemblies were produced
(metagenomic and metatranscriptomic) using MEGAHIT (v1.2.9) (73, 74).
784
For the metagenomic analysis, the "sketch", "gather", and "tax annotate" functions within
sourmash (version 4.8.2) (75) using kmer=31 were used to assign and quantify taxonomy using
the full GTDB database (R08-RS214 403k) (76). Contigs in the coassembly and paired-end reads
were binned using MAXBIN2 (2.2.7), CONCOCT (1.1.0), and Metabat2 (2.12.1), consensus bins
were produced using DASTool (1.1.6) (77–80). Completeness and contamination of each
metagenome assembled genome (MAG) were calculated using the presence of single-copy
marker genes with CHECKM2 v.1.0.11 (81). Comparisons and dereplication of MAGs employed
dREP v.2.2.1 (82). Taxonomy was assigned to the quality-controlled MAGs using the full GTDB
database within sourmash. Bins were quality ranked according to their completeness and
contamination (>90% completion, <5% redundancy), medium quality (>50% completion, <10%
redundancy), low quality (<50% completion, <10% redundancy), or discarded (>10%
redundancy) (83, 84). The abundance of each MAG in each treatment was calculated by first
building an index for each MAG using the `index` function in bwa (85), using bwa and samtools
(86) to align the individual forward and reverse sample reads to each MAG. The phylogentic
tree for the MAGs was built by first extracting the marker genes from each MAG using the
`ineage_wf' and `qa' tools from CHECKM2 (81) and then multiple sequence alignment with
MAFFT (62). The phylogenetic tree was built with IQ-Tree (87) and parsed in R. Predicted gene
sequences from the metatranscriptomic samples were mapped to a custom database built with
the MAGs, and then used blastn (88) to quantify the number of contigs in each MAG that
matched with each predicted gene.
805
For metatranscriptomic analyses, we used SeqKit (89) and VSEARCH (90) to look at the quality
of coassembly before and after chimera removal. We removed 23 contigs that surpassed the
50,000 base pair threshold. Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted using Prodigal (v2.6.3)
(91). We used CDHIT to remove redundancy in our predicted proteins by clustering transcript.
Transcript abundance quantification was done in Kallisto (v1.9.0) and resulted in transcripts per

million (TPM) and counts for each transcript and sample (92). KOFAMSCAN (1.3.0) was used to
bin ORFs into KOs using the full KEGG database (93).
813
Differential transcript abundance was quantified using *Dream* within the *variancePartition*
package (94) with treatment set as a fixed effect and plant ID set as a random intercept to
account for repeated measures across three time points. The dream model was used to
estimate weights using our pre-defined linear mixed model and then fit the model using the
Satterthwaite approximation. The coefficient table was filtered down to those z-scores greater
than or equal to the critical value of 1.645 resulting in those genes that are differentially
expressed across our treatments. Within this model, contrasts were created to compare the
expression levels of genes in each community treatment against the average of the other two:
CommA vs (CommB + CommC)/2, CommB vs (CommA + CommC)/2, CommC vs (CommA +
CommB)/2.
824
Statistical analyses
All statistical analyses were conducted in R version 4.2.2 (95). Alpha diversity metrics (Hill
Number 1) were calculated using the *vegan* package (96) and additional processing used
tidyverse and *janitor* (97–99). For ASV-level differential abundance explained by the treatment
groups, we used *ANCOMBC* (ancombc2(data = tse, assay_name = "counts", tax_level = NULL,
fix_formula = "treatment", rand_formula = "(1 | week)", p_adj_method = "fdr", pseudo_sens =
TRUE, prv_cut = 0.3, group="treatment", alpha = 0.05, n_cl = 3, verbose = TRUE, global = TRUE,
pairwise = TRUE)) (28). Significantly differentially abundant ASVs were visualized with box plots
and a heatmap (100) by transforming the normalized reads: (log2(read count+0.5)).
834
Community similarity in ASVs and EcoPlate carbon substrate use among samples in each
treatment group was determined using the vegan package (96). Compositional differences
(beta diversity) and changes across the three bacterial community treatments from day 8 to 55
were assessed using phylogenetic methods, unweighted UniFrac (101). Mantel tests (96)
assessed correlations between bacterial composition and hydrolytic enzyme activity or pitcher
biomass. To visualize the similarity in EcoPlate carbon substrate use among samples and
treatments, a *metaMDS* analysis with Bray-Curtis distance at two dimensions (k=2) was utilized.
In both cases, non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS, (96)) visualized the dissimilarities
calculated between each pitcher fluid sample. A non-parametric multivariate analysis of
variance test (*PERMANOVA*, *adonis2* function, by="margin") was used to assess any differences
between treatments, and *pairwise adonis* was used to assess the effects of treatment and time,
while accounting for repeated measures for each pitcher (96). Dispersion, or the homogeneity
in dispersion between treatments, was calculated using the *betadisper* function (permutations
compared using a post-hoc Tukey test, (96).
849
Metatranscriptomic statistical analyses began with a matrix of filtered genes (length>500bp),
samples were normalized using the *calcNormFactors* function in the *variancePartition* package
(1.28.9). Differential transcript abundance was quantified using *Dream* within the
variancePartition package (94). In order to link specific taxa to differentially expressed

functions, significantly differentially abundant contigs were mapped to the MAGs using a
custom database built from the MAG contigs and *BLAST* (2.14.0) (88).
856

To isolate the impact of functionally distinct bacterial communities on plant traits (after 8
weeks *in planta*, Questions 1 and 2), we developed Bayesian generalized linear mixed models
(GLMMs) using variables based on the causal assumptions of directed acyclic graphs (DAGs).
Directed acyclic graphs help identify which observed and unobserved variables to condition
upon to remove non-causal associations between variables and isolate mechanisms of interest,
under the assumption that the depicted pathways between variables in a research design are
accurate (102, 103) Therefore, GLMMs contained a variable set designed to isolate the effects
of treatment and enzymatic activity from the other unspecified and unrecorded impacts of our
bacterial treatments (Fig. S1) (68). Pitcher biomass was selected to represent the
morphometrics and growth, along with leaf nitrogen content representing leaf nutrient
acquisition. To consider non-independence of repeated measures of pitchers over time, models
included a random intercept for pitcher identity. Other random effects like inoculation date and
initial pitcher volume were not accounted for in the model but controlled for by the
randomized design.
871

Bayesian hierarchical models using a gamma (log-link) GLM were fitted using the *brms* package
(68, 104) and visualized using *bayesplot*, *ggeffects*, and *tidybayes* (105−107). Bayesian GLMs are
robust to small sample size and outliers, and the gamma distribution best fits the data
generating processes (108, 109). The continuous predictor variables were standardized and
mean centered using the *standardize* package (108). In all models that contained the treatment
group as a predictor, we set ACM as the baseline, to compare the effects to pitchers with food
but no inoculated bacteria. Default uninformative priors were used, convergence and mixing of
chains and unimodality in posterior predictions were visually assessed, and all R-hat values
were close to 1.0 (68). The model fit was evaluated using the posterior predictive check
function in *brms* (68).
882

Acknowledgements
We would like to acknowledge Stacey Pedraza for help with processing samples, the late Bill
Freutel and other members of the Earth, Wind, Fire Lab for use of lab space, Dr. Linda Reynard
for FLASH assistance, and Franco Nero and Jacob Heil for help with experiments. We offer our
gratitude to Dr. Allison Simler-Williamson and the Ecological Statistics community at BSU for
valuable feedback. We would like to thank Dr. Ben Baiser and Dr. Zac Freedman for helpful
suggestions and feedback on the final manuscript.

Data Availability Statement
Scripts and data associated with this manuscript are available at:
https://github.com/jessibernardin/microbial-function-plant_trait. The raw reads for 16S rRNA
amplicon sequencing, raw reads for whole genome shotgun sequencing, whole genome
metatranscriptomic sequencing, and nucleotide sequences of MAGs have been deposited in the
National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under the project number
PRJNA1028624.

Competing Interests

Authors have no competing interests to declare.

Funding Statement

JRB, LSB and EBY were supported with funding from the National Science Foundation through

an Understanding the Rules of Life-Microbiome Theory and Mechanisms 2 collaborative grant

(award #2025250 to LSB and award # 2025337 to EBY). LSB was also funded by an award from

the Simons Foundation, LS-ECIAMEE-00001638.

References

1.   Vandenkoornhuyse P, Quaiser A, Duhamel M, Le Van A, Dufresne A. 2015. The

importance of the microbiome of the plant holobiont. New Phytologist 206:1196–1206.

2.   Simon J-C, Marchesi JR, Mougel C, Selosse M-A. 2019. Host-microbiota interactions: from

holobiont theory to analysis. Microbiome 7:5.

3.   Stone B, Weingarten E, Jackson C. 2018. The role of the phyllosphere microbiome in plant

health and function 1:1–24.

4.   Liu H, Brettell LE, Singh B. 2020. Linking the Phyllosphere Microbiome to Plant Health.

Trends in Plant Science 25:841–844.

5.   Trivedi P, Leach JE, Tringe SG, Sa T, Singh BK. 2020. Plant–microbiome interactions: from

community assembly to plant health. Nat Rev Microbiol https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-

020-0412-1.

6.   Mehlferber EC, Debray R, Conover AE, Sherman JK, Kaulbach G, Reed R, McCue KF, Ferrel

JE, Khanna R, Koskella B. 2023. Phyllosphere microbial associations improve plant

reproductive success. Front Plant Sci 14.

7.   Delmotte N, Knief C, Chaffron S, Innerebner G, Roschitzki B, Schlapbach R, von Mering C,

924   Vorholt JA. 2009. Community proteogenomics reveals insights into the physiology of

925   phyllosphere bacteria. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106:16428–

926   16433.

927   8.   Vorholt JA. 2012. Microbial life in the phyllosphere. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:828–840.

928   9.   Flores-Núñez V, Camarena-Pozos D, Chávez Gonzalez J, Alcalde-Vázquez R, Vázquez-

929   Sánchez M, Hernandez-Melgar A, Xool-Tamayo J, Moreno-Ulloa A, Partida Martinez L.

930   2023. Synthetic Communities Increase Microbial Diversity and Productivity of Agave

931   tequilana Plants in the Field. Phytobiomes Journal 7.

932   10.   Holland MA. 2011. Nitrogen: Give and Take from Phylloplane Microbes, p. 215–230. *In*

933   Ecological Aspects of Nitrogen Metabolism in Plants. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

934   11.   Kende H, Zeevaart J. 1997. The Five "Classical" Plant Hormones. Plant Cell 9:1197–1210.

935   12.   MacMillan J. 2001. Occurrence of Gibberellins in Vascular Plants, Fungi, and Bacteria. J

936   Plant Growth Regul 20:387–442.

937   13.   Spaepen S, Vanderleyden J, Remans R. 2007. Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and

938   microorganism-plant signaling. FEMS Microbiol Rev 31:425–448.

939   14.   Spaepen S. 2015. Plant Hormones Produced by Microbes, p. 247–256. *In* Lugtenberg, B

940   (ed.), Principles of Plant-Microbe Interactions: Microbes for Sustainable Agriculture.

941   Springer International Publishing, Cham.

15.   Lievens L, Pollier J, Goossens A, Beyaert R, Staal J. 2017. Abscisic Acid as Pathogen

Effector and Immune Regulator. Front Plant Sci 8:587.

16.   Porter SS, Bantay R, Friel CA, Garoutte A, Gdanetz K, Ibarreta K, Moore BM, Shetty P, Siler

E, Friesen ML. 2020. Beneficial microbes ameliorate abiotic and biotic sources of stress

on plants. Funct Ecol 34:2075–2086.

17.   Aziz U, Rehmani MS, Wang L, Luo X, Xian B, Wei S, Wang G, Shu K. 2021. Toward a

Molecular Understanding of Rhizosphere, Phyllosphere, and Spermosphere Interactions

in Plant Growth and Stress Response. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 40:479–500.

18.   Bradshaw WE, Creelman RA. 1984. Mutualism between the Carnivorous Purple Pitcher

Plant and its Inhabitants. The American Midland Naturalist 112:294–304.

19.   Givnish TJ, Burkhardt EL, Happel RE, Weintraub JD. 1984. Carnivory in the Bromeliad

Brocchinia reducta, with a Cost/Benefit Model for the General Restriction of Carnivorous

Plants to Sunny, Moist, Nutrient-Poor Habitats. The American Naturalist 124:479–497.

20.   Heard SB. 1998. Capture Rates of Invertebrate Prey by the Pitcher Plant, Sarracenia

purpurea L. The American Midland Naturalist 139:79–89.

21.   Miller TE, Horth L, Reeves RH. 2002. Trophic interactions in the phytotelmata

communities of the Pitcher Plant, Sarracenia purpurea. Community Ecology 3:109–116.

22.   Gallie DR, Chang SC. 1997. Signal Transduction in the Carnivorous Plant Sarracenia

960   purpurea (Regulation of Secretory Hydrolase Expression during Development and in

961   Response to Resources). Plant Physiology 115:1461–1471.

962   23.   Butler JL, Gotelli NJ, Ellison AM. 2008. Linking the brown and green: nutrient

963   transformation and fate in the *Sarracenia* microecosystem. Ecology 89:898–904.

964   24.   Young EB, Sielicki J, Grothjan JJ. 2018. Regulation of hydrolytic enzyme activity in aquatic

965   microbial communities hosted by carnivorous pitcher plants. Microb Ecol 76:885–898.

966   25.   Butler JL, Ellison AM. 2007. Nitrogen cycling dynamics in the carnivorous northern pitcher

967   plant, Sarracenia purpurea. Functional Ecology 21:835–843.

968   26.   Bittleston LS, Wolock CJ, Yahya BE, Chan XY, Chan KG, Pierce NE, Pringle A. 2018.

969   Convergence between the microcosms of Southeast Asian and North American pitcher

970   plants. eLife 7.

971   27.   Karagatzides JD, Butler JL, Ellison AM. 2009. The Pitcher Plant Sarracenia purpurea Can

972   Directly Acquire Organic Nitrogen and Short-Circuit the Inorganic Nitrogen Cycle. PLoS

973   ONE 4:e6164.

974   28.   Lin H, Peddada SD. 2020. Analysis of compositions of microbiomes with bias correction.

975   1. Nat Commun 11:3514.

976   29.   Feng Y-S, Chen P-C, Wen F-S, Hsiao W-Y, Lee C-M. 2008. Nitrile hydratase from

977   *Mesorhizobium* sp. F28 and its potential for nitrile biotransformation. Process

978   Biochemistry 43:1391–1397.

979   30.   Kanamori T, Kanou N, Atomi H, Imanaka T. 2004. Enzymatic Characterization of a

980   Prokaryotic Urea Carboxylase. Journal of Bacteriology 186:2532–2539.

981   31.   Hassani MA, Durán P, Hacquard S. 2018. Microbial interactions within the plant

982   holobiont. Microbiome 6:58.

983   32.   Herpell JB, Alickovic A, Diallo B, Schindler F, Weckwerth W. 2023. Phyllosphere symbiont

984   promotes plant growth through ACC deaminase production. ISME J 17:1267–1277.

985   33.   Jahandideh Mahjenabadi VA, Sepehri M, Rahmani H, Zarei M, Ronaghi A, Taghavi SM,

986   Shamshiripour M. 2020. Role of Dominant Phyllosphere Bacteria with Plant Growth–

987   Promoting Characteristics on Growth and Nutrition of Maize (Zea mays L.). Journal of Soil

988   Science and Plant Nutrition 20.

989   34.   Bott T, Meyer GA, Young EB. 2008. Nutrient limitation and morphological plasticity of the

990   carnivorous pitcher plant Sarracenia purpurea in contrasting wetland environments. New

991   Phytologist 180:631–641.

992   35.   Laurich Jason R., Lash Emma, O'Brien Anna M., Pogoutse Oxana, Frederickson Megan E.

993   2024. Community interactions among microbes give rise to host-microbiome mutualisms

994   in an aquatic plant. mBio 15:e00972-24.

36.   Christensen BB, Haagensen JAJ, Heydorn A, Molin S. 2002. Metabolic Commensalism and

Competition in a Two-Species Microbial Consortium. Applied and Environmental

Microbiology 68:2495–2502.

37.   Veseli I, Chen YT, Schechter MS, Vanni C, Fogarty EC, Watson AR, Jabri B, Blekhman R,

Willis AD, Yu MK, Fernàndez-Guerra A, Füssel J, Eren AM. 2023. Microbes with higher

metabolic independence are enriched in human gut microbiomes under stress. eLife 12.

38.   Arellano AA, Young EB, Coon KL. 2024. An inquiline mosquito modulates microbial

diversity and function in an aquatic microecosystem. Molecular Ecology 33:e17314.

39.   Langenheder S, Lindström ES, Tranvik LJ. 2005. Weak coupling between community

composition and functioning of aquatic bacteria. Limnology and Oceanography 50:957–

967.

40.   Morris C, Kinkel L. 2002. Fifty years of phyllosphere microbiology: Significant

contributions to research in related fields. Phyllosphere Microbiology 365–375.

41.   Grothjan JJ, Young EB. 2019. Diverse microbial communities hosted by the model

carnivorous pitcher plant *Sarracenia purpurea*: analysis of both bacterial and eukaryotic

composition across distinct host plant populations. PeerJ 7:e6392.

42.   Armitage DW. 2017. Linking the development and functioning of a carnivorous pitcher

plant's microbial digestive community. 11. The ISME Journal 11:2439–2451.

43.   Shobade SO, Zabotina OA, Nilsen-Hamilton M. 2024. Plant root associated chitinases:

1014   structures and functions. Front Plant Sci 15.

1015   44.   Dewangan BP, Gupta A, Sah RK, Das S, Kumar S, Bhattacharjee S, Pawar PA-M. 2023.

1016   Xylobiose treatment triggers a defense-related response and alters cell wall composition.

1017   Plant Mol Biol 113:383–400.

1018   45.   Ng LC, Sariah M, Sariam O, Radziah O, Zainal Abidin MA. 2016. PGPM-induced defense-

1019   related enzymes in aerobic rice against rice leaf blast caused by Pyricularia oryzae. Eur J

1020   Plant Pathol 145:167–175.

1021   46.   Naseem S, Parrino SM, Buenten DM, Konopka JB. 2012. Novel roles for GlcNAc in cell

1022   signaling. Commun Integr Biol 5:156–159.

1023   47.   Beier S, Bertilsson S. 2013. Bacterial chitin degradation—mechanisms and

1024   ecophysiological strategies. Frontiers in Microbiology 4.

1025   48.   Jiang W-X, Li P-Y, Chen X-L, Zhang Y-S, Wang J-P, Wang Y-J, Sheng Q, Sun Z-Z, Qin Q-L, Ren

1026   X-B, Wang P, Song X-Y, Chen Y, Zhang Y-Z. 2022. A pathway for chitin oxidation in marine

1027   bacteria. 1. Nat Commun 13:5899.

1028   49.   Li J, Gu S, Zhao Z, Chen B, Liu Q, Sun T, Sun W, Tian C. 2019. Dissecting cellobiose

1029   metabolic pathway and its application in biorefinery through consolidated bioprocessing

1030   in Myceliophthora thermophila. Fungal Biology and Biotechnology 6:21.

50.   Peterson CN, Day S, Wolfe BE, Ellison AM, Kolter R, Pringle A. 2008. A keystone predator

controls bacterial diversity in the pitcher-plant (Sarracenia purpurea) microecosystem.

Environmental Microbiology 10:2257–2266.

51.   Bittleston LS, Gralka M, Leventhal GE, Mizrahi I, Cordero OX. 2020. Context-dependent

dynamics lead to the assembly of functionally distinct microbial communities. Nat

Commun 11:1440.

52.   Ueno H, Miyashita K. 2000. Inductive production of chitinolytic enzymes in soil

microcosms using chitin, other carbon-sources, and chitinase-producing *Streptomyces*.

Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 46:863–871.

53.   Brewster JD. 2003. A simple micro-growth assay for enumerating bacteria. Journal of

Microbiological Methods 53:77–86.

54.   Sprouffske K, Wagner A. 2016. Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable

metrics from microbial growth curves. BMC Bioinformatics 17:172.

55.   Campbell CD, Chapman SJ, Cameron CM, Davidson MS, Potts JM. 2003. A Rapid

Microtiter Plate Method To Measure Carbon Dioxide Evolved from Carbon Substrate

Amendments so as To Determine the Physiological Profiles of Soil Microbial Communities

by Using Whole Soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 69:3593–3599.

56.   Ellison AM, Gotelli NJ. 2002. Nitrogen availability alters the expression of carnivory in the

northern pitcher plant, Sarracenia purpurea. PNAS 99:4409–4412.

57.   Maxwell K, Johnson GN. 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence—a practical guide. Journal of

Experimental Botany 51:659–668.

58.   Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, Fierer N,

Knight R. 2011. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences

per sample. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:4516–4522.

59.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016. DADA2:

High resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 13:581–583.

60.   Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, Alexander H, Alm

EJ, Arumugam M, Asnicar F, Bai Y, Bisanz JE, Bittinger K, Brejnrod A, Brislawn CJ, Brown

CT, Callahan BJ, Caraballo-Rodríguez AM, Chase J, Cope EK, Da Silva R, Diener C,

Dorrestein PC, Douglas GM, Durall DM, Duvallet C, Edwardson CF, Ernst M, Estaki M,

Fouquier J, Gauglitz JM, Gibbons SM, Gibson DL, Gonzalez A, Gorlick K, Guo J, Hillmann B,

Holmes S, Holste H, Huttenhower C, Huttley GA, Janssen S, Jarmusch AK, Jiang L, Kaehler

BD, Kang KB, Keefe CR, Keim P, Kelley ST, Knights D, Koester I, Kosciolek T, Kreps J,

Langille MGI, Lee J, Ley R, Liu Y-X, Loftfield E, Lozupone C, Maher M, Marotz C, Martin BD,

McDonald D, McIver LJ, Melnik AV, Metcalf JL, Morgan SC, Morton JT, Naimey AT, Navas-

Molina JA, Nothias LF, Orchanian SB, Pearson T, Peoples SL, Petras D, Preuss ML, Pruesse

E, Rasmussen LB, Rivers A, Robeson MS, Rosenthal P, Segata N, Shaffer M, Shiffer A,

Sinha R, Song SJ, Spear JR, Swafford AD, Thompson LR, Torres PJ, Trinh P, Tripathi A,

Turnbaugh PJ, Ul-Hasan S, van der Hooft JJJ, Vargas F, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Vogtmann E, von

Hippel M, Walters W, Wan Y, Wang M, Warren J, Weber KC, Williamson CHD, Willis AD,

Xu ZZ, Zaneveld JR, Zhang Y, Zhu Q, Knight R, Caporaso JG. 2019. Reproducible,

interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. 8. Nat

Biotechnol 37:852–857.

61.   McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, Andersen GL,

Knight R, Hugenholtz P. 2012. An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for

ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. 3. ISME J 6:610–618.

62.   Katoh K. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on

fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Research 30:3059–3066.

63.   Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2009. FastTree: Computing Large Minimum Evolution Trees

with Profiles instead of a Distance Matrix. Molecular Biology and Evolution 26:1641–

1650.

64.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive

Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLOS ONE 8:e61217.

65.   Bisanz, Jordan E. 2018. qiime2R: Importing QIIME2 artifacts and associated data into R

sessions.

66.   Kembel SW, Ackerly DD, Blomberg SP, Cornwell WK, Cowan PD, Helmus MR, Morlon H,

Webb CO. 2020. picante: Integrating Phylogenies and Ecology (1.8.2).

67.   Davis NM, Proctor DM, Holmes SP, Relman DA, Callahan BJ. 2018. Simple statistical

identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and metagenomics

data. Microbiome 6:226.

68.   Bürkner P-C. 2018. Advanced Bayesian Multilevel Modeling with the R Package brms. The

R Journal 10:395.

69.   Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data

[Online].

70.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120.

71.   Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for

multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32:3047–3048.

72.   Deng Z-L, Münch PC, Mreches R, McHardy AC. 2022. Rapid and accurate identification of

ribosomal RNA sequences via deep learning. Nucleic Acids Res 50:e60.

73.   Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. 2015. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node

solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.

Bioinformatics 31:1674–1676.

74.   Li D, Luo R, Liu C-M, Leung C-M, Ting H-F, Sadakane K, Yamashita H, Lam T-W. 2016.

MEGAHIT v1.0: A fast and scalable metagenome assembler driven by advanced

methodologies and community practices. Methods 102:3–11.

75.   Brown CT, Irber L. 2016. sourmash: a library for MinHash sketching of DNA. Journal of

Open Source Software 1:27.

76.   Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Chaumeil P-A, Hugenholtz P. 2022. GTDB:

an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a phylogenetically

consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. Nucleic Acids

Research 50:D785–D794.

77.   Alneberg J, Bjarnason BS, de Bruijn I, Schirmer M, Quick J, Ijaz UZ, Lahti L, Loman NJ,

Andersson AF, Quince C. 2014. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and

composition. Nat Methods 11:1144–1146.

78.   Wu Y-W, Simmons BA, Singer SW. 2016. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to

recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics 32:605–607.

79.   Sieber CMK, Probst AJ, Sharrar A, Thomas BC, Hess M, Tringe SG, Banfield JF. 2018.

Recovery of genomes from metagenomes via a dereplication, aggregation and scoring

strategy. 7. Nat Microbiol 3:836–843.

80.   Kang DD, Li F, Kirton E, Thomas A, Egan R, An H, Wang Z. 2019. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive

binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome

assemblies. PeerJ 7:7359.

81.   Chklovski A, Parks DH, Woodcroft BJ, Tyson GW. 2023. CheckM2: a rapid, scalable and

accurate tool for assessing microbial genome quality using machine learning. Nature

Methods 20:1203–1212.

82. Olm MR, Brown CT, Brooks B, Banfield JF. 2017. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate

genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes

through de-replication. 12. ISME J 11:2864–2868.

83. Campbell JH, O'Donoghue P, Campbell AG, Schwientek P, Sczyrba A, Woyke T, Söll D,

Podar M. 2013. UGA is an additional glycine codon in uncultured SR1 bacteria from the

human microbiota. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110:5540–5545.

84. Bowers RM, Kyrpides NC, Stepanauskas R, Harmon-Smith M, Doud D, Reddy TBK, Schulz

F, Jarett J, Rivers AR, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Tringe SG, Ivanova NN, Copeland A, Clum A,

Becraft ED, Malmstrom RR, Birren B, Podar M, Bork P, Weinstock GM, Garrity GM,

Dodsworth JA, Yooseph S, Sutton G, Glöckner FO, Gilbert JA, Nelson WC, Hallam SJ,

Jungbluth SP, Ettema TJG, Tighe S, Konstantinidis KT, Liu W-T, Baker BJ, Rattei T, Eisen JA,

Hedlund B, McMahon KD, Fierer N, Knight R, Finn R, Cochrane G, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Tyson

GW, Rinke C, Lapidus A, Meyer F, Yilmaz P, Parks DH, Murat Eren A, Schriml L, Banfield JF,

Hugenholtz P, Woyke T. 2017. Minimum information about a single amplified genome

(MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. 8.

Nat Biotechnol 35:725–731.

85. Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler

transform. Bioinformatics 25:1754–1760.

86. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T,

McCarthy SA, Davies RM, Li H. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience

10:8.

87. Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective

Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol

32:268–274.

88. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search

tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.

89. Shen W, Le S, Li Y, Hu F. 2016. SeqKit: A Cross-Platform and Ultrafast Toolkit for FASTA/Q

File Manipulation. PLoS ONE 11:e0163962.

90. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. 2016. VSEARCH: a versatile open source

tool for metagenomics. PeerJ 4:e2584.

91. Hyatt D, Chen G-L, LoCascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. 2010. Prodigal:

prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC

Bioinformatics 11:119.

92. Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq

quantification. 5. Nat Biotechnol 34:525–527.

93. Aramaki T, Blanc-Mathieu R, Endo H, Ohkubo K, Kanehisa M, Goto S, Ogata H. 2020.

KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and adaptive score

threshold. Bioinformatics 36:2251–2252.

94. Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. 2014. voom: precision weights unlock linear model

analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. Genome Biology 15:R29.

95. R Core Team. 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.

96. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara

RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Stevens MHH, Szoecs E, Wagner H. 2019. vegan: Community

Ecology Package. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan.

97. Wickham H. 2020. reshape2: Flexibly Reshape Data: A Reboot of the Reshape Package

(1.4.4).

98. Firke S, Denney B, Haid C, Knight R, Grosser M, Zadra J. 2023. janitor: Simple Tools for

Examining and Cleaning Dirty Data (2.2.0).

99. Wickham H, RStudio. 2023. tidyverse: Easily Install and Load the "Tidyverse" (2.0.0).

100. Kolde R. 2019. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps (1.0.12).

101. Lozupone C, Lladser ME, Knights D, Stombaugh J, Knight R. 2011. UniFrac: an effective

distance metric for microbial community comparison. 2. ISME J 5:169–172.

102.   Cinner JE, Huchery C, MacNeil MA, Graham NAJ, McClanahan TR, Maina J, Maire E,

1181   Kittinger JN, Hicks CC, Mora C, Allison EH, D'Agata S, Hoey A, Feary DA, Crowder L,

1182   Williams ID, Kulbicki M, Vigliola L, Wantiez L, Edgar G, Stuart-Smith RD, Sandin SA, Green

1183   AL, Hardt MJ, Beger M, Friedlander A, Campbell SJ, Holmes KE, Wilson SK, Brokovich E,

1184   Brooks AJ, Cruz-Motta JJ, Booth DJ, Chabanet P, Gough C, Tupper M, Ferse SCA, Sumaila

1185   UR, Mouillot D. 2016. Bright spots among the world's coral reefs. Nature 535:416–419.

1186   103.   Kimmel K, Dee LE, Avolio ML, Ferraro PJ. 2021. Causal assumptions and causal inference

1187   in ecological experiments. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 36:1141–1152.

1188   104.   McElreath R. 2016. Statistical Rethinking: A Bayesian Course with Examples in R and Stan.

1189   Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York.

1190   105.   Lüdecke D. 2018. ggeffects: Tidy Data Frames of Marginal Effects from Regression

1191   Models. Journal of Open Source Software 3:772.

1192   106.   Gabry J, Mahr T, Bürkner P-C, Modrák M, Barrett M, Weber F, Sroka EC, Sailynoja T,

1193   Vehtari A. 2022. bayesplot: Plotting for Bayesian Models (1.10.0).

1194   107.   Kay M, Mastny T. 2023. tidybayes: Tidy Data and "Geoms" for Bayesian Models (3.0.6).

1195   108.   Eager CD. 2021. standardize: Tools for Standardizing Variables for Regression in R (0.2.2).

1196   109.   Gelman A, Hill J. 2006. Data Analysis Using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical

1197   Models. Cambridge University Press.

1198

Functionally different bacterial communities

CommA   n=10

CommB   n=10

CommC   n=10

Experimental control groups

DI Water   n=6

ACM   n=6

I
II
III

I   Physiological Function Lab Assays

start and end measurements

- Bacterial Respiration
- Bacterial Growth Rate
- Substrate Use Profiles

day 1 & day 55

weekly measurements

- Chitinase Activity
- Protease Activity

days: 1, 8, 15, 22, 29, 36, 43, 50, 55

II   16S Metabarcoding

Taxonomy inferred from 16S amplicon sequencing
weekly for all samples starting day 8 through day 55

n=310

8   15   22   29   36   43   50   55

Sampling Day (inoculation on day 0)

III   Metagenomics   &   Metatranscriptomics

Three plants per treatment
- day 1
- day 55

n=18

Three plants per treatment
- day 1
- day 22
- day 55

n=27

Plant Traits

aperture
keel
width
length

 leaf morphometrics
- collected weekly (n=405)

N C   leaf nutrients
- endpoint, day 55 (n=45)

 photosynthesis
- endpoint, day 55 (n=45)

EcoPlate carbon substrate utilization

Metatranscriptomics differential expression of functions related to metabolism