Clostridioides difficile-mucus interactions encompass shifts in gene expression,

metabolism, and biofilm formation.

Kathleen L. Furtado[a], Lucas Plott[b], Matthew Markovetz[b], Deborah Powers[c], Hao Wang[d], David

B. Hill[b,e,f], Jason Papin[c,g,h], Nancy L. Allbritton[d], and Rita Tamayo[a]#

[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School

of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

[b]Marsico Lung Institute, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, Chapel

Hill, NC, USA

[c]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA,

USA

[d]Department of Bioengineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

[e]Joint Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,

Chapel Hill, NC, USA

[f]Department of Physics and Astronomy, College of Arts and Sciences, University of North

Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

[g]Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

[h]Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and International Health, University of

Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

#Corresponding author

125 Mason Farm Rd, CB #7290

Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7290

+1 (919) 843-2864

rita_tamayo@med.unc.edu

ABSTRACT

In a healthy colon, the stratified mucus layer serves as a crucial innate immune barrier to protect the epithelium from microbes. Mucins are complex glycoproteins that serve as a nutrient source for resident microflora and can be exploited by pathogens. We aimed to understand how the intestinal pathogen, *Clostridioides diffiicile*, independently uses or manipulates mucus to its benefit, without contributions from members of the microbiota. Using a 2-D primary human intestinal epithelial cell model to generate physiologic mucus, we assessed *C. difficile*-mucus interactions through growth assays, RNA-Seq, biophysical characterization of mucus, and contextualized metabolic modeling. We found that host-derived mucus promotes *C. difficile* growth both *in vitro* and in an infection model. RNA-Seq revealed significant upregulation of genes related to central metabolism in response to mucus, including genes involved in sugar uptake, the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway, and the glycine cleavage system. In addition, we identified differential expression of genes related to sensing and transcriptional control. Analysis of mutants with deletions in highly upregulated genes reflected the complexity of *C. difficile*-mucus interactions, with potential interplay between sensing and growth. Mucus also stimulated biofilm formation *in vitro*, which may in turn alter viscoelastic properties of mucus. Context-specific metabolic modeling confirmed differential metabolism and predicted importance of enzymes related to serine and glycine catabolism with mucus. Subsequent growth experiments supported these findings, indicating mucus is an important source of serine. Our results better define responses of *C. difficile* to human gastrointestinal mucus and highlight a flexibility in metabolism that may influence pathogenesis.

IMPORTANCE

Clostridioides difficile results in upwards of 250,000 infections and 12,000 deaths annually in the United States. Community-acquired infections continue to rise and recurrent disease is common, emphasizing a vital need to understand *C. difficile* pathogenesis. *C. difficile*

undoubtedly interacts with colonic mucus, but the extent to which the pathogen can

independently respond to and take advantage of this niche has not been explored extensively.

Moreover, the metabolic complexity of *C. difficile* remains poorly understood, but likely impacts

its capacity to grow and persist in the host. Here, we demonstrate that *C. difficile* uses native

colonic mucus for growth, indicating *C. difficile* possesses mechanisms to exploit the mucosal

niche. Furthermore, mucus induces metabolic shifts and biofilm formation in *C. difficile*, which

has potential ramifications for intestinal colonization. Overall, our work is crucial to better

understand dynamics of *C. difficile*-mucus interactions in the context of the human gut.

INTRODUCTION

As the leading cause of hospital-acquired diarrhea, *Clostridioides difficile* remains an

urgent public health threat[1]. Although typically classified as a nosocomial pathogen, community-

acquired cases of *C. difficile* infection (CDI) now comprise almost half the total number of

cases[1]. As recurrent CDI affects nearly 50% of first-time patients[2], a better mechanistic

understanding of *C. difficile* pathogenesis is crucial to breaking this debilitating cycle. As an

obligate anaerobe transmitted via spores, *C. difficile* germinates within the small intestine and

establishes infection in the colon. *C. difficile* possesses mechanisms to directly adhere to the

epithelium during colonization, including surface layer proteins[3,4], flagella[5], type IV pili[6], and

binary toxin in certain epidemic isolates[7–9]. Before accessing the epithelium, *C. difficile* must

interact with colonic mucus, a key feature of innate host immunity.

The colonic mucus barrier is stratified, consisting of a diffuse luminal layer of secreted

mucins inhabited by commensal microbes and a relatively sterile layer of membrane-bound

mucins. The predominant secreted mucin in the colon is MUC2. Among membrane-bound

mucins, MUC1 is particularly important in protection from bacterial invasion[10]. Past work

suggests *C difficile* associates with the mucus barrier at multiple levels. Studies using animal

colonization models indicate *C. difficile* inhabits the outer mucus layer[11], while others showed

co-localization of *C. difficile* and mucus in CDI patient stool samples, which are particularly rich

in MUC1[12]. Subsequent work demonstrated direct adherence of *C. difficile* to purified MUC2[13].

This evidence indicates mucus serves as an anchoring point for *C. difficile* during colonization.

Mucins are heavily glycosylated, with O-glycans decorating both MUC1 and MUC2 and

N-glycans present on MUC1[10,14,15]. Glycans contribute to 80% of the mass of MUC2, and thus

make up a significant proportion of mucin[16]. These glycans can be degraded by several

bacterial species, providing a rich source of carbohydrates to the microbiota. Monosaccharides

available from colonic mucins include fucose, mannose, galactose, N-acetylglucosamine

(GlcNAc), N-acetylgalactosamine, and N-acetylneuraminic acid[14,15]. Following glycan cleavage,

the peptide backbone also provides nutrients to the microbiota[14]. These backbones are rich in

serine, threonine, and proline[14,17]. In humans, nearly 45% of the amino acid composition of

MUC1 and over 55% of MUC2 consists of serine, threonine, and proline based on canonical

sequences in UniProt (P15941 and Q02817, respectively); others have predicted greater

proportions of these amino acids[18]. Overall, interactions between commensal microbiota and

mucus are often symbiotic, resulting in a thicker, more protective mucus layer for the host and

increased nutrient availability for the microbiota[14,19]. To maintain healthy conditions, mucin

degradation by bacteria and regeneration by the host must be carefully balanced.

Pathogens can alter and exploit mucus during infection[10]. Previous work showed that

oligosaccharide composition within mucus is altered during CDI, and that *C. difficile* reduces

expression of human *MUC2* while preferentially interacting with MUC1[12]. *C. difficile* also benefits

from the cleavage of mucins by specific members of the microbiota[20], however, the extent to

which mucus is altered or metabolized specifically by *C. difficile* remains unclear. The

carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZy) database indicates *C. difficile* R20291 possesses

enzymes from 31 families[21], at least one of which, glycosyl hydrolase family 38, contains

enzymes likely involved in mucin degradation[20]. To our knowledge, no study has assessed the

mucolytic capacity of any enzymes listed in the CAZy database for *C. difficile*. Nonetheless,

evidence to date indicates that alterations to mucus promote *C. difficile* colonization.

There are substantial challenges in obtaining mucus that accurately recapitulates native

human mucus in its composition and viscoelasticity[22], properties likely vital to *C. difficile*-mucus

interactions. Human mucus varies from that of animal models, and the processing of

commercial mucins removes important components from native mucus and disrupts its

structure[23]. Furthermore, immortalized colonic cell lines often do not secrete the same

proportions of mucin types as those in a healthy colon, if mucins are secreted at all[23–25].

Recently, a human primary intestinal epithelial cell (IEC) co-culture system was validated for use

with *C. difficile*[26]. These IECs can secrete a thick mucus barrier[27], which can be harvested or

directly inoculated to assess *C. difficile*-mucus interactions. Importantly, the biophysical

properties and composition of IEC-derived mucus are similar to mucus derived from *ex vivo*

human tissues[28].

The goal of this study was to assess specific interactions between *C. difficile* and human

colonic mucus to better understand the extent to which *C. difficile* can independently use or

manipulate mucus to its benefit. Using the physiologically relevant, primary IEC-derived mucus

described above, we measured the contribution of mucus to *C. difficile* growth. We then used

transcriptomics to explore the response of *C. difficile* to mucus and used these data in metabolic

modeling to predict how mucus shapes *C. difficile* metabolism. We additionally assessed the

capacity of *C. difficile* to alter biophysical and biochemical properties of mucus. Our work

provides a multi-faceted understanding of *C. difficile*-mucus interactions, which may influence

colonization or disease progression.

RESULTS

Mucus derived from primary human IECs promotes *C. difficile* growth

To test whether colonic mucus derived from primary human IECs supports or promotes

C. difficile growth, we examined growth *in vitro* in *C. difficile* minimal medium (CDMM)[29]

containing 1% glucose with and without 50 µg/mL mucus. We also tested conditions without

glucose, wherein mucus was the only source of sugars. All media tested supported *C. difficile*

growth due to the presence of casamino acids. In the presence of mucus, *C. difficile* exited lag

phase earlier and reached higher maximum OD_{600} than without mucus, both with and without

glucose (Fig 1A).

In CDMM with glucose, the addition of mucus resulted in faster growth rates (k) during

exponential phase (k = 0.176±0.013 OD_{600}/hour) compared to the no-mucus condition (k =

0.140±0.004 OD_{600}/hour) (Fig 1B). At 24h, cultures with glucose and mucus contained 2.25-fold

more CFU/mL on average than cultures without mucus (Fig. 1C). By the end of exponential

phase at 36h, we again recovered more viable cells from conditions with mucus, with 2.10-fold

more CFU/mL than without mucus. Without glucose, *C. difficile* exhibited a higher growth rate

during exponential phase with mucus (k = 0.142 ± 0.085 OD_{600}/hour) compared to the no-mucus

condition (k = 0.085±0.000 OD_{600}/hour) (Fig 1B). In addition, the presence of mucus increased

the number of viable cells; we recovered on average 2.68-fold more CFU/mL at 24h and 8.43-

fold more CFU/mL at 36h from cultures grown with mucus versus without (Fig. 1C). Altogether,

differences in optical density, growth rates, and CFU indicate mucus enhances *C. difficile*

growth, both when mucus supplements glucose and when mucus is the sole carbohydrate

source.

Because *C. difficile* exhibited increased growth in media with IEC-derived mucus, we

examined growth in co-culture with IECs with and without an intact mucus layer. The IECs were

stimulated to produce a robust mucus layer during differentiation as previously described (Fig.

1D, right)[27]. For controls without mucus, we removed the mucus layer and replaced it with PBS

(Fig. 1D, left). *C. difficile* was then inoculated at an MOI ~0.01. After 2h in co-culture, we

observed 14.0-fold greater expansion in CFU in co-cultures where the mucus layer was intact

compared to those without mucus (Fig. 1E). Overall, our results indicate that IEC-derived mucus

promotes *C. difficile* growth, both in broth and in the context of an infection model.

Transcriptional profiling suggests mucus alters expression of genes with roles in

metabolism and nutrient acquisition

To determine how *C. difficile* responds to the IEC-derived mucus, we used RNA-seq to

assess transcription in exponential-phase cultures grown with and without 50 µg/mL mucus in

CDMM. Because we were most interested in responses to mucus as opposed to stress or

starvation, 1% glucose was retained in the media. We identified 282 upregulated and 285

downregulated genes in the presence of mucus (567 total; fold change > 2, p-adj < 0.05) (File

S2, Fig. 2A). Among the five genes most upregulated in mucus, three are potential transporters

(CDR0455, CDR1626, and CDR2495), one is annotated as a TetR transcriptional regulator

(CDR0508), and one is annotated as a putative xanthine/uracil permease (CDR2014). The five

most downregulated genes are largely annotated as putative or hypothetical proteins, with one

probable protease (CDR3145). Principal components analysis indicated that mucus was the

main variable contributing to variance in the dataset (Figure 2B).

We next used gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) to identify KEGG pathways

enriched in each condition. Using GSEAPreranked with all genes in *C. difficile*, we identified four

gene sets enriched with mucus and seven enriched without mucus (Fig. 2C, File S3). We further

refined these results using GSEAPreranked with the 567 most differentially expressed genes.

This analysis identified no gene sets significantly enriched in conditions without mucus; with

mucus, only the fructose and mannose metabolism pathway remained enriched (nominal p =

0.049, FDR q = 0.197). Figure 2D shows relative expression of highly differentially expressed

genes in this gene set. Among the seven genes contributing to core enrichment, five are

annotated as phosphotransferase system (PTS) components, which are important for sugar

uptake (Table S1). Specifically, CDR0692-0696 are involved in the transport of sorbitol, a host-

and diet-derived metabolite[30], while CDR2904 likely encodes part of a mannose PTS. These

analyses suggest mucus alters sugar uptake and metabolism in *C. difficile*, which prompted us

to search for additional genes that could play a role in utilizing nutrients from mucus.

Increased proportions of amino acids in the gut can lead to increased susceptibility for

CDI[31], with Stickland metabolism playing a key role in the conversion of amino acids for

growth[32]. Proline and glycine are particularly important for reductive Stickland metabolism, in

which proline and glycine reductases (PR and GR, respectively) are used to regenerate

NAD+[33]. Our analysis showed that all but three of the 19 genes within PR and GR clusters were

significantly downregulated with mucus (Table S1), suggesting that Stickland reduction of

proline and glycine is suppressed by *C. difficile* during mid-exponential phase when mucus is

present.

Recent work has revealed the importance of the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway (WLP), which

provides metabolic flexibility to *C. difficile* and potential advantages during infection[34,35].

Expression of WLP genes tends to be inversely correlated with PR and GR[36], and increased

expression of WLP genes could indicate an abundance of Stickland electron donors, such as

alanine, valine, serine, isoleucine, threonine, or glutamic acid[36,37]. Under such conditions,

alternative mechanisms of reduction are needed[36]. Given apparent inhibition of PR and GR by

mucus, we investigated WLP gene expression. Of 38 genes that have been previously identified

as part of the WLP or a linked glycine cleavage system (GCS, explained below) in *C. difficile*, 19

were upregulated and 15 were downregulated with mucus (p-adj < 0.05, File S2). We observed

increased expression of genes corresponding to branches of the WLP that fix CO_2 and convert it

to acetyl-CoA (Table S1). Upregulated genes encoding enzymes in these branches included: *fdh*

and *hyd* genes for reversible conversion between formate and CO_2; *metV* and *metF* encoding

components of N^5,N^{10}-methylene-tetrahydrofolate reductase, *cooS* for fixation of CO_2 via CO

dehydrogenase; and homologs of *acsE, acsC, acsD*, and *acsB*, which encode components of

acetyl-CoA synthase. WLP genes downregulated in mucus corresponded to interconversions

between acetyl-CoA and acetate, butyrate, or ethanol. These included homologs of *pta* and *ptb*,

encoding enzymes that convert between acetyl-CoA and acetylphosphate, as well as *ackA* and

buk for conversion between acetylphosphate and acetate. We also observed downregulation of

thlA, hbd, crt2, and *bcd-etfAB* homologs for conversion of acetyl-CoA to butyrate, and of *adhE*,

for conversion of acetyl-CoA to ethanol via acetaldehyde.

Linked to the WLP is a reversible glycine cleavage system (GCS) that provides

additional options for carbon assimilation in *C. difficile*[36,38]. We observed increased expression

of *gcvH* and *gcvL*, and decreased expression of *gcvP* and *gcvT*, which encode components of

the glycine cleavage reaction complex[39,40] (Table S1). Expression of *glyA,* which encodes a

serine/glycine hydroxymethyltransferase to interconvert glycine and serine, was also increased.

In addition, *sdaB,* which encodes a serine dehydratase that produces pyruvate from serine, was

upregulated. Overall, the presence of mucus decreased expression of PR and GR gene

clusters, but increased expression of genes related to CO_2 fixation via the WLP and glycine and

serine catabolism via the GCS.

Mechanisms for transcriptional control are differentially expressed with mucus

An abundance of genes for transcriptional regulation and responding to environmental

stimuli were differentially expressed (File S2), suggesting *C. difficile* senses mucus. Of highly

differentially expressed genes with fold change > 2 (Table S2), many are annotated to encode

transcriptional regulators, sigma factors, or antiterminators. Genes encoding transcriptional

regulators from the GntR family were most prevalent, and genes annotated as members of the

TetR, MarR, AraC, and MerR regulator families were also differentially expressed. These

families can regulate many cellular processes, including overall metabolism of carbon and

nitrogen (GntR, AraC), stress responses (TetR, AraC), and resistance to antibiotics, metals, or

other toxins (MarR, MerR)[41].

Two-component systems play a critical role in sensing and responding to environmental

stimuli. Among TCS genes (Table S2), CDR1568-1569 were upregulated, while CDR2206-2205,

hexRK, CDR2021-2020, and CDR2188-2187 were downregulated. Based on work in *C. difficile*

and with orthologous genes in *B. subtilis*, CDR1568-1569 could be involved in maintaining cell

surface homeostasis[42], while *hexRK*, and potentially CDR2021-2020, are involved in antibiotic

sensing and resistance[43,44]. Altogether, our data suggest mucus is an important stimulus for *C.*

difficile, perhaps indicating increased nutrient availability or proximity to the epithelium.

Mutants lacking upregulated genes exhibited altered growth phenotypes with mucus.

Among the genes most highly upregulated in *C. difficile* grown with mucus, we identified

several predicted to be involved in transport. CDR0455 and CDR2495 were among the five

most upregulated genes in mucus (Fig. 2A). CDR0455 forms a predicted operon with CDR0454-

0453, which were also significantly upregulated (Fig. 3A, File S2). Based on analyses of protein

homology in Phyre2[45], the operon likely encodes three membrane proteins consisting of an

endopeptidase (CDR0453), Na^+/H^+ antiporter (CDR0454), and transport protein (CDR0455).

CDR2495 encodes a membrane protein with homology to *E. coli* GadC, indicating potential

function in glutamate/γ-aminobutyrate exchange. GSEA identified upregulated PTS components

within the fructose and mannose metabolism gene set (Figure 2C, 2D, Table S1). Several of

these genes belong to a predicted operon encoding a sorbitol PTS encompassing *gutM, gutA,*

srlE (CDR0693)*, srlE', srlB,* and *gutD*, all of which were significantly upregulated in mucus (Fig.

3A, Table S1). Using qRT-PCR, we independently confirmed increased expression of CDR0455,

CDR0693, and CDR2495 under conditions used in the RNA-Seq experiment (Fig. S1). Given

consistent upregulation of these genes and their potential role as transporters, we predicted that

deletions in these genes would result in reduced growth in mucus. Thus, we generated in-frame

gene and operon deletions in *C. difficile* R20291: Δ0453-0455, Δ0693-0696, and Δ2495.

We evaluated growth of the mutants in CDMM containing 1% glucose with or without 50

μg/mL mucus (Fig. 3B). Like wildtype, all mutants had higher growth rates with mucus than

without (Fig. 3C). While wildtype and mutants showed similar growth in the absence of mucus,

unexpectedly, the Δ0693-0696 and Δ2495 mutants had significantly higher growth rates relative

to wildtype in mucus. Furthermore, after 24h Δ0453-0455 and Δ0693-0696 had 2.99-fold and

259 3.24-fold more CFU/mL with mucus, relative to respective growth without mucus (Fig. 3D). We

260 observed similar, albeit statistically insignificant, trends with wildtype and Δ2495 in mucus. In

261 media lacking glucose, we again observed that all strains had significantly more growth overall

262 with mucus than without mucus based on OD_{600} measurements and CFU at 24h (Fig. S2A-C).

263 However, in the absence of glucose, mucus did not significantly alter growth rates for any strain

264 (Fig. S2D), and the increased growth rates and shorter lag phases of mutants in conditions with

265 glucose were not observed.

266  To assess growth phenotypes in a native mucus layer, we evaluated the growth of each

267 mutant in co-culture with IECs and an intact mucus layer. After 6h co-culture, we observed at

268 least one log of growth for all strains (Fig. 3E). Consistent with results from broth culture

269 experiments, the mutants tended toward more growth relative to wildtype, with significantly

270 greater growth of Δ2495 (6.17-fold more CFU/mL for Δ2495 vs. wildtype; Fig. 3E). Altogether,

271 mutations in the selected genes and operons did not lead to growth defects in mucus as we

272 predicted, but instead resulted in greater growth than wildtype under these conditions.

273 **_C. difficile_ biofilm formation may increase viscosity of _ex vivo_ mucus.**

274  To evaluate the extent to which _C. difficile_ can manipulate mucus, we performed

275 biophysical and biochemical analyses on native _ex vivo_ mucus incubated for 24 hours with and

276 without _C. difficile_. Importantly, _C. difficile_ remained viable and expanded within _ex vivo_ mucus

277 (Fig. S3A). We applied particle tracking microrheology (PTMR) to measure the viscosity _C._

278 _difficile_- and mock-inoculated mucus, using a Gaussian mixture model to distinguish watery

279 (less viscous) vs. mucoid (more viscous) fractions of mucus[28,46–48]. After 24 hours, mucus

280 containing _C. difficile_ had more mucoid signal relative to the mock-inoculated control, as

281 indicated by increased detection of microbeads within the mucoid vs. watery fraction (Fig. 4A,

282 4C). This increased mucoid fraction corresponded to greater complex viscosity in samples

283 containing _C. difficile_ relative to the mock-inoculated control (Fig. 4B). Multiangle laser light

284 scattering (MALLS), which measures mucin molecular weight, radius of gyration, and

concentration, indicated no changes in these metrics between inoculated and mock-inoculated

samples after 24h (Fig S3B-D).

While results indicate that *C. difficile* does not break down mucins sufficiently to detect

decreased molecular weights, the observed increase in viscosity could reflect additional ways *C.*

difficile responds to mucus. Biofilm formation within mucus can lead to increased viscosity, as

observed with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[48]. We therefore examined the impact of mucus on *C.*

difficile biofilm formation *in vitro*. We detected significantly greater biofilm biomass for *C. difficile*

grown with mucus versus without mucus (Fig. 4D), indicating that mucus promotes biofilm

formation, which may contribute to the increased viscosity we observed.

Metabolic modeling predicts increased uptake of specific amino acids from mucus.

To assess the metabolic potential of *C. difficile* during growth in mucus, we used

RIPTiDe to contextualize an established genome-scale metabolic network reconstruction

(GENRE) for *C. difficile* R20291 with our transcriptomic data[49–51]. To recapitulate conditions from

the RNA-Seq experiment, we allowed the model to use mucin-derived monosaccharides for

conditions with mucus and excluded them from the no-mucus condition. Ordination analyses

revealed that predicted core metabolic activity was distinct between conditions with and without

mucus (Fig. 5A). These differences in metabolic activity corresponded to a predicted 52.6%

increase in biomass flux, a proxy for growth rate, in conditions with mucus versus without (Fig.

5B). Overall, comparing biomass fluxes to experimental data (Fig. 1, 3) suggests the model

accurately predicted growth trends given respective transcriptomic contexts.

To rank relative importance of reactions in contributing to predicted differences in

biomass, we used a Random Forests classifier to determine mean decrease accuracies (MDA)

(Fig. 5C). The positive or negative median flux values shown indicate directionality of each

reaction. The first two reactions, corresponding to glycine hydroxymethyltransferase (GHMT)

and glycine synthase, are involved in the GCS. Negative flux values for GHMT indicated

conversion from serine to glycine in the mucus condition, while flux in the opposite direction was

predicted without mucus. Negative flux for glycine synthase also indicated biosynthesis of

glycine from 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate, which simultaneously generates NAD+, in the

presence of mucus. NADPH:oxidized-thioredoxin oxidoreductase and L-Glu:NAD+

oxidoreductase are also involved in redox chemistry. In the presence of mucus, positive flux

indicated oxidation of NADPH and reduction of thioredoxin was predicted for the former, and

negative flux indicated oxidation of NADH and reduction of 2-oxoglutarate to L-glutamate was

predicted for the latter. These results suggest glycine biosynthesis and regeneration of electron

carriers is important in the presence of mucus.

Reactions converting or transporting GlcNAc were also among the most important.

GlcNAc is a mucin-derived monosaccharide that can stimulate biofilm formation in *C. difficile*[52],

and is a component of biofilms[50,53]. For GlcNAc-1-phosphate 1,6-phosphomutase, negative flux

values with mucus indicated conversion from GlcNAc-1-phosphate to GlcNAc-6-phosphate (Fig.

5C). For GlcNAc transport, negative flux values, which were 8.42-fold greater with mucus than

without, indicated conversion of GlcNAc-6-phosphate to GlcNAc. Direct analysis of GlcNAc

exchange suggested that GlcNAc efflux was due to flux through the above reactions, with 5.16-

fold greater efflux with mucus than without (Fig. 5D).

Because glycine and serine are involved in reactions with the greatest MDA, we

examined predicted uptake for these amino acids. Glycine exchange was predicted to be

negligible in both conditions. Serine uptake, however, was predicted only in conditions with

mucus (Fig. 5E). This result makes sense considering flux through GHMT predicted

biosynthesis of glycine from serine in conditions with mucus, whereas without mucus, opposite

flux toward serine biosynthesis was predicted. As mucins are proline-, threonine-, and serine-

rich, we also examined predicted uptake of proline and threonine. We observed 1.53-fold

greater threonine uptake with mucus versus without. However, we observed the opposite trend

for proline uptake, with 29.4-fold more uptake predicted for the no-mucus condition versus with

mucus. These results coincide with RNA-Seq data suggesting proline metabolism via PR is less

active with mucus. Nonetheless, predicted increases in threonine and serine uptake in mucus

are intriguing as glycans are attached to mucins at serine and threonine residues.

Mucus restores growth in defined media lacking specific amino acids.

340   Metabolic modeling predicted glycine and serine interconversion to be particularly

important for differentiating growth with versus without mucus, and also predicted greater

uptake of serine and threonine from conditions with mucus. Hence, we experimentally

investigated the importance of these amino acids using DCAMM, a modified version of CDMM

with defined amino acid composition. We assessed growth with and without mucus in media

containing all amino acids (complete), lacking threonine (-T), or lacking serine (-S). Due to

interconversion of glycine and serine, we also assessed media lacking glycine (-G) or lacking

glycine and serine (-G -S). Importantly, complete DCAMM supported *C. difficile* growth

comparably to CDMM, with similar patterns of growth, including higher growth rates and more

CFU/mL at exponential phase, with versus without mucus (Fig. 1, 3, 6).

350   Removing threonine from the medium did not affect growth, but addition of mucus to -T

351   media promoted growth overall relative to conditions without mucus, as indicated by slightly

352   faster exits from lag phase (Fig. 6A) and increased growth rates (0.255±0.074 OD_{600}/h with

353   mucus vs. 0.217±0.018 without, Fig. 6D). However, we did not observe changes in CFU

354   between conditions with and without mucus in -T media at mid-exponential phase (Fig. 6C).

355   Conditions lacking both glycine and serine resulted in significant growth defects,

356   particularly a prolonged lag phase (Fig. 6A, 6B). Addition of mucus to -G -S medium enhanced

357   growth (Fig. 6B), yielding 24.3-fold more CFU/mL than -G -S medium without mucus (Fig 6C).

358   Growth rates between the two conditions were similar (Fig. 6D). Overall, a lack of glycine and

359   serine lengthened lag phase, and addition of mucus partially ameliorated this effect (Fig. 6B).

360   Cultures in -S medium without mucus also took longer to exit lag phase relative to -S

medium with mucus (Fig. 6A, 6B). Similar to -G -S conditions, addition of mucus increased

growth such that curves appeared more similar to those from complete media with mucus (Fig.

6B). In addition, growth in -S media with mucus yielded 3.65-fold more CFU/mL with mucus

versus without (Fig. 6C). In contrast, growth in -G media largely matched that in complete media

(Fig. 6A, 6B), with 1.74-fold more CFU/mL and significantly increased growth rates with mucus

compared to no mucus (k = 0.219±0.026 vs. 0.131±0.004 OD_{600}/h) (Fig. 6C, 6D). Together,

these results indicate that lack of serine has a greater impact on *C. difficile* growth than glycine

in the absence of mucus and suggests mucus serves as a source of serine.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrated that mucus derived from primary human IECs enhances

C. difficile growth and produces a variety of responses in the pathogen, particularly changes in

metabolism. These changes emphasize the metabolic plasticity and complexity of *C. difficile* in

its adaptive responses to mucus, which may involve differential sensing and transcriptional

regulation. Conversely, induction of biofilm formation by *C. difficile* may impact the viscoelastic

properties of mucus, which has ramifications for persistence.

Prior work examining the ability of *C. difficile* to utilize mucus as a nutrient source

indicated that other bacterial species are needed to liberate moieties from mucus. Our finding

that a low concentration of mucus produced a consistent, albeit subtle, increase in growth

indicates *C. difficile* can metabolize mucus independently. Indeed, this concentration was even

sufficient to elicit dramatic shifts in gene expression. Nonetheless, it remains likely that

contributions from other species *in vivo* enhances the use of mucus as a growth substrate.

Distinct properties of the mucus models used may have contributed to these different outcomes.

Prior work employed mucus from commercialized sources and immortalized cell lines and

applied a purification process resulting in a mono-component mucus containing a single mucin

type (MUC2)[20,23]. In contrast, our strategy was to remove free sugars and nutrients while

retaining as many features of native mucus as possible. Thus, the mucus we used to

supplement media would have retained more of the components and mucin types of native

colonic mucus, better reflecting nutrient availability in the colon.

In the presence of mucus, we observed increased expression of genes contributing to

CO_2 fixation via the WLP, and of genes encoding enzymes within the GCS, which is linked to the

WLP via methylene-THF (Fig. 7). The WLP may be particularly important later in infection, such

as when electron acceptors for Stickland metabolism are low[54]. However, others have reasoned

that the WLP remains important under heterotrophic conditions to allow utilization of CO_2

produced during glycolysis[35]. Metabolic modeling emphasized the importance of the GCS and

the conversion of serine to glycine in the presence of mucus (Fig. 7), as predicted fluxes from

the GCS and from GHMT indicate that glycine biosynthesis is particularly important. Glycine is a

key electron acceptor in Stickland metabolism, where it is reduced to acetylphosphate via

GR[33,34]. We observed reduced expression of GR with mucus, suggesting alternative

mechanisms for glycine metabolism under the conditions tested.

Serine also appears to be important during growth in mucus. Metabolic models predicted

uptake of serine only when mucus is present and a role for serine in glycine biosynthesis via

GHMT. Consistent with this prediction, the growth defect observed in DCAMM lacking serine

was improved by adding mucus, suggesting that mucus is a source of serine for *C. difficile*.

Importantly, serine deamination is one of the largest contributors to the pyruvate pool in *C.*

difficile[36] (Fig. 7), thus influencing glycolysis, the TCA cycle, and the WLP[34]. In addition,

pyruvate fermentation is linked to toxin repression[55,56], so an increase in serine uptake from

mucus has potential ramifications for *C. difficile* pathogenesis. Overall, serine and glycine likely

contribute synergistically to the flexibility of *C. difficile* metabolism and its growth.

Experimental data and metabolic modeling suggest that mucus stimulates biofilm

formation (Fig. 7). *C. difficile* has been demonstrated to form biofilms on purified MUC2,

particularly in co-culture with *Fusobacterium nucleatum*[13], suggesting *C. difficile* can form

biofilms in the human colon. Several biofilm-related genes, such as those involved in GlcNAc

biosynthesis[57,58] and polysaccharide II synthesis and export[59], were upregulated in the mucus

condition (File S2). In line with these data, metabolic modeling predicted the efflux of GlcNAc, a

component of biofilm polysaccharides from various organisms[60–63]. Furthermore, when provided

alongside pyruvate, GlcNAc promotes biofilm formation[52]. Past work in *B. subtilis* indicated

GlcNAc export was enhanced by manipulating features of glucose metabolism[64]; we can thus

speculate that alterations to *C. difficile* metabolism may promote the GlcNAc efflux predicted by

modeling (Fig. 7). Others have noted increased production of proteins from the WLP and GCS

in some biofilm models[65], indicating that there are several potential connections between *C.*

difficile metabolic activity and mucus-induced biofilm formation.

Biophysical and biochemical analyses did not demonstrate substantive breakdown of

mucins by *C. difficile* independently, which fits prior evidence that multiple species working in

concert are required for efficient degradation of mucins[20,66,67]. Nonetheless, given the repertoire

of carbohydrate-active enzymes in *C. difficile* R20291[21], activity may exist to cleave glycans or

other fragments from mucus without producing detectable differences in molecular weight.

Indeed, we observed significant upregulation of 31 of the 72 CAZy genes in *C. difficile* (File S2),

including enzymes with potential mucolytic activity[20,68,69].

Many genes related to transcriptional regulation and signal transduction were

differentially expressed in response to mucus. In a past study looking at the transcriptional

response of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to mucus-supplemented media[70], researchers concluded

that selection for specific regulatory and signaling mechanisms likely led to the differential

expression of metabolism-related genes they observed with mucus. The differential expression

of transcriptional regulators in our dataset could contribute to the phenotypic and metabolic

changes we observed with mucus, but further exploration into these mechanisms is needed.

Finally, deleting genes upregulated during growth in mucus, which included genes with

predicted roles in sugar uptake or transport, did not lead to growth defects in mucus. Instead,

mutants exhibited growth that exceeded that of wildtype. These unexpected growth phenotypes

could be related to tradeoffs in adaptation to versus growth in mucus. The identification of many

differentially expressed genes related to transcriptional control and signal transduction supports

a role for mucus as a stimulus to alter *C. difficile* metabolism, and indeed, mucin glycans have

been widely demonstrated to stimulate shifts in microbial gene expression and behavior[71].

Moreover, it has been posited that a greater capacity to sense multiple nutrients in an

environment is metabolically costly, contributing to longer lag phases[72]. Under these

assumptions, inefficiencies in sensing, as might be expected for strains lacking transporters[73],

could result in shorter lag phases but incur other long-term fitness costs. Further analysis for

gene essentiality may identify mechanisms *C. difficile* relies on in its interactions with mucus.

Overall, our data support a model in which colonic mucus promotes *C. difficile* growth as

a source of specific amino acids and a likely source of carbohydrates, which could translate to

enhanced colonization *in vivo*. Alterations in expression observed with mucus highlight the

metabolic flexibility of *C. difficile*, which is likely a key factor for biofilm formation and persistence

in a dynamic host environment[35]. Importantly, biofilms are a main contributor to recurrence[74],

providing an environment protected from antibiotics and from which spores can be generated to

promote continued infection[75]. Our work demonstrates that *C. difficile* possesses mechanisms to

take advantage of this niche. In better understanding *C. difficile*-mucus interactions, more

effective therapeutics to disrupt colonization or promote mucosal immunity can be developed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. Table S3 lists strains and plasmids used in this

study. *Clostridioides difficile* R20291 was routinely cultured in TY (3% w/v Bacto tryptone, 2%

w/v yeast extract, 0.1% w/v thioglycolate)[76], BHIS (3.7% w/v brain heart infusion, 0.5% w/v

yeast extract, 0.1% w/v cysteine)[76], *C. difficile* minimal medium (CDMM)[29], or CDMM with

defined amino acids (DCAMM, described below and in File S1) at 37°C in an anaerobic

chamber (Coy) with an atmosphere of 5% CO_2, 10% H_2, and 85% N_2. *E. coli* strains were grown

aerobically at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB, Miller), and conjugations with *C. difficile* performed

anaerobically. Methods for cloning and *C. difficile* mutagenesis, as previously applied[77,78], are

detailed in Text S1. Preparation of *C. difficile* cultures for growth assays are detailed in Text S1.

Primary human intestinal epithelial cell (IEC) cultures and generation of a mucus layer. All

IECs were derived from the transverse colon of a 23-year-old male cadaveric donor. Cultures

were maintained in Sato's Expansion Medium (Sato's EM)[79]. Transwells (0.4 μm pore, PET,

Corning) were coated with 10 μg/mL collagen at least four hours prior to seeding cells in

Expansion Medium (EM). EM was replaced every other day until a confluent monolayer formed

(5-7 days, or until trans-epithelial electrical resistance measured $\geq$ 500 ohms x cm^2). To

generate a mucus layer, confluent monolayers were cultured in differentiation medium with 330

ng/mL vasoactive intestinal peptide (DM+VIP) in an air-liquid interface[27,28]. DM+VIP was

replaced daily. File S1 contains compositions of cell culture media.

Ex vivo **mucus purification.** After 4-5 days of differentiation, mucus was removed from the

apical surface of the IECs. Epithelia were rinsed with PBS to collect residual mucus. Collected

mucus and rinses were stored at -20°C prior to purification. Mucus samples were pooled and

filtered using nominal molecular weight limit membranes (Amicon Ultra 3K, Millipore Sigma) to

remove free nutrients, metabolites, and contaminants. This purified mucus was suspended in

PBS, and total mucus protein concentration was determined using Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay

(Thermo Fisher) for standardization[12]. For supplementing media, a relatively low standard

concentration of 50 μg/mL mucus was used due to limited availability of IEC-derived mucus.

C. difficile-**IEC co-cultures.** Mucus-producing IECs (4-5 days in DM+VIP) were used to assess

growth of *C. difficile* with or without a mucus barrier. Controls lacking mucus were prepared by

removing the mucus layer and rinsing epithelia three times with PBS. PBS was then added to

the apical compartment (100 μl, approximately the same volume as mucus). IECs were

transferred to an anaerobic chamber and inoculated with *C. difficile* (10^3 CFU, MOI ~0.01). To

recover *C. difficile* after co-culture, 0.1% DTT in PBS was added to each apical compartment,

then the plate was placed on a rocking platform for 20 minutes at room temperature break down

mucus. CFU in the apical compartment were enumerated by plating serial dilutions.

RNA-sequencing. Early stationary phase cultures in TY broth were pelleted and washed with

PBS, then inoculated into CDMM with or without 50 µg/mL mucus at an OD_{600} of 0.05. At

exponential phase (OD_{600} ~0.5), cultures were collected and RNA extracted using TriZol as

described[80], followed by purification and DNase treatment (RNeasy kit, RNase-Free DNase Set,

Qiagen). RNA was submitted for 150 bp paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq platform

(Azenta Life Sciences). Read processing, including quality assessment, filtering, and mapping

steps, were performed using established bioinformatic tools[81–83]. To perform genomic feature

counting, we used a prokaryote-specific algorithm, Feature Aggregate Depth Utility (FADU;

v1.8)[84]. After obtaining read counts per gene, we used DESeq2 (v1.42.0) in R for differential

expression analysis[85]. For Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA, v4.1.0)[86,87], we used

normalized counts from DESeq2 and gene sets from KEGG. We then used default ranking

metrics generated by GSEA to run GSEAPreranked and generate normalized enrichment

scores for each gene set. We also narrowed the list of genes applied to GSEAPreranked to only

those meeting a differential expression threshold (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected $p < 0.05$, fold

change > 2), using Log_2 fold change as the ranking metric. Select differentially expressed genes

were validated using qRT-PCR as previously described[78,88,89]. Text S1 contains further RNA

isolation, read processing, and qRT-PCR details.

Ex vivo **mucus preparation for biophysical and biochemical characterization.** Mucus was

collected and stored at -20°C, then pooled before use to achieve sufficient material. To the pool,

CDMM salts (final concentration in mucus 0.5X), trace salts (1X), iron sulfate heptahydrate (1X),

and vitamins (1X) (File S1) were added to provide minimally necessary components for

C. difficile survival. To ensure adequate mucus concentration (>2.5% solids), aliquots were dried

and percent solids determined by comparing initial aliquot weight to dry weight. To prepare *C.*

difficile inoculums, cultures were grown to exponential phase in CDMM with 50 µg/mL mucus,

then pelleted and washed with CDMM salts (1X) and trace salts (1X) (File S1, hereafter 1X

CDMM salts). Mucus samples were inoculated with 10^6 CFU *C. difficile* in 1X CDMM salts at a

1:20 dilution. To prepare controls lacking *C. difficile*, we mock-inoculated mucus with 1X CDMM

salts. Samples were collected at inoculation and 24 hours post-inoculation to confirm *C. difficile*

viability and for biophysical and biochemical analyses.

Biophysical and biochemical analysis of *ex vivo* mucus. Biophysical analysis using particle

tracking microrheology (PTMR) to determine complex viscosity of *ex vivo* mucus was performed

as described[28,90,91]. Biochemical analyses using multiangle laser light scattering (MALLS) to

measure mucin molecular weights, radii of gyration, and concentrations in *ex vivo* mucus were

also performed as described[28]. Specific details for each technique are in Text S1.

Biofilm assays. Overnight cultures of *C. difficile* grown in TY broth were pelleted and washed

with PBS, then diluted 1:30 in CDMM with or without 50 µg/mL mucus. Cultures were grown to

an OD_{600} 0.8-1, normalized toOD_{600} 0.5, and aliquoted into untreated 96-well polystyrene plates.

Assay methods were adapted from past work[92–94]; details are in Text S1.

Context-specific metabolic modeling. We contextualized a published *C. difficile* R20291

genome-scale metabolic network reconstruction (GENRE) for conditions with and without

mucus as described[49–51], using transcript per million values from the RNA-Seq experiment and

the maxfit_contextualize() function in RIPTiDe with default settings. The model was constrained

to fit minimal media conditions used (CDMM with or without mucus)[49]. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity,

nonmetric multidimensional scaling, and PERMANOVA statistical testing were performed using

the vegan R package (v.2.6-4)[50]. To determine reactions important for differentiating conditions,

supervised machine learning was performed using the randomForest R package (v. 4.7-1.1)[50].

Differences in predicted fluxes were determined using Wilcoxon rank sum test[50].

Growth experiments with defined amino acids minimal medium (DCAMM). To test the

contribution of glycine, serine, or threonine to *C. difficile* growth while keeping conditions

consistent with prior experiments, we created a defined casein amino acids minimal medium

(DCAMM, File S1). To approximate relative proportions of each amino acid in 10 mg/mL

casamino acids (the concentration in CDMM), amino acid content for bovine casein (alpha-S1,

alpha-S2, and beta casein subunits, UniProt IDs P02662, P02663, P02666 respectively) was

used. All other components of DCAMM were unchanged. *C. difficile* growth curves in each

media with or without 50 µg/mL mucus were performed as described in Text S1.

Data Availability. Unless otherwise noted, all statistical analyses were performed using R

(v4.3.2) or GraphPad Prism 10. R, Python, and bash scripts for applying bioinformatic tools are

available from GitHub: https://github.com/klfurtado/2024_Cdiff_Mucus_Paper. RNA-Seq reads

are available from NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE254621).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by NIH R01-DK120606 to RT and NLA; NIH R01-AI143638 to RT; and

NIH P30-DK065988 and Cystic Fibrosis Foundation HILL20Y2-OUT to DBH. NLA has a

financial interest in Altis Biosystems. We thank Kimberly Walker for her careful review of the

manuscript and Jilarie Santos Santiago for her assistance in maintaining IEC cultures.

567   **FIGURES**

569
Figure 1. Mucus derived from primary human IECs enhances *C. difficile* growth. (A)
C. difficile R20291 growth curves in CDMM containing purified mucus and glucose (+/+),
glucose only (-/+), purified mucus only (+/-), or no mucus or glucose (-/-). Data are from one
representative experiment, n=4. **(B)** Growth rates during exponential phase in each medium.
Exponential phase was defined by having at least three time points in a linear range; samples
for which at least three time points in a linear range could not be identified were excluded. **(C)**
Viable cell counts expressed as CFU/mL after 24 hours (left) and 36 hours (right) growth in each
medium. **(D)** Schematic of the primary human IEC co-culture system. IECs secrete produce a
thick mucus barrier that can be inoculated with bacteria (right). As a control, the mucus layer
was removed mechanically and replaced with PBS (left). **(E)** *C. difficile* viable cell counts after
2h co-culture with IECs. CFU/mL values were normalized to the CFU/mL present in the
respective inoculum, then expressed relative to the mean normalized CFU/mL in the PBS
condition. Data are from three independent experiments, n=3 per experiment. *p < 0.05,
**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, unpaired, two-tailed t-test.
584

585
Figure 2. Mucus leads to a distinct and robust transcriptional response affecting
metabolism. (A) Volcano plot highlighting all genes differentially expressed in CDMM with
mucus vs. no mucus with Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value < 0.05 and fold changes > 2
(log$_2$ fold changes > 1 or < -1), based on Wald test in DESeq2. Genes significantly upregulated
(red) and downregulated (blue) with mucus are shown. The 5 most upregulated and
downregulated genes are labelled by the last 4 digits of their locus tag (CDR20291_XXXX,
hereafter abbreviated as CDRXXXX). **(B)** PCA plot after variance stabilizing transformation of
read counts in DESeq2, n=3 per condition. **(C)** Normalized enrichment scores for KEGG gene
sets identified using GSEAPreranked considering relative expression all genes in *C. difficile*
R20291. The selected gene sets were enriched in the presence (green) or absence (blue) of
mucus, nominal p < 0.05 and/or FDR q-value < 0.25. **(D)** Heatmap of genes in the fructose and
mannose metabolism gene set identified using GSEAPreranked based on genes with adjusted
p < 0.05 and fold change > 2 from RNA-Seq. Starred genes contributed to core enrichment of
the gene set.
600

601
Figure 3. Deletion of genes and operons upregulated with mucus enhances growth. (A)
Log$_2$ fold change expression values and standard error for genes and operons selected for
mutagenesis. CDR0453-0455 and CDR2495 were among the most highly upregulated;
CDR0693-0696 contributed to core enrichment of the fructose and mannose metabolism gene
set in mucus (Fig 2). Predicted functions based on Phyre2 analysis or prior studies are
indicated. **(B)** Growth curves for mutants and wildtype in CDMM with mucus (filled symbols) and
without mucus (open symbols). Data are from one representative experiment, n=3. **(C)** Growth
rates during exponential phase. **(D)** Viable cell counts expressed as CFU/mL. **(E)** Viable cell
counts after 6h co-culture with IECs with an intact mucus barrier. Viable cell counts are
expressed as CFU/mL in each sample after 6h, normalized to CFU/mL present in respective
inoculum for each strain. Data are combined from two independent experiments, n=2 or 3 per
experiment. Outliers were determined and removed using Grubbs' method. *$p < 0.05$,
**$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, ****$p < 0.0001$, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's or Sidak's tests
615

Figure 4. *C. difficile* **increases viscosity of *ex vivo* mucus. (A)** PTMR analysis of *ex vivo* IEC mucus inoculated with *C. difficile* or mock-inoculated with 1X CDMM salts. A Gaussian mixture model was employed to distinguish mucoid rheological behavior from that of watery mucus after 24 hours. An increasing frequency of detection of microbeads within the mucoid fraction is indicated by larger green peaks. **(B)** Complex viscosity (η^*) of mucus-grown samples after 24h. Individual points represent measured complex viscosity from each microbead, and crosses represent mean η^* per sample. **(C)** Quantified mucoid fractions in mucus inoculated with *C. difficile* relative to the mock-inoculated control based on PTMR data in (A) For each sample, three slides were prepared and 10 videos recorded per slide. **(D)** Biofilm formation by *C. difficile* in CDMM with or without mucus after 24h, expressed as absorbance at 570nm for each sample relative to mean absorbance of the no mucus condition. Data from 2 independent experiments, n=4 per experiment, were combined. **p < 0.01, unpaired two-tailed t-test.

Figure 5. Modeled *C. difficile* growth predicts distinct metabolic activity in the presence of mucus. (A) Predicted core metabolic activity for *C. difficile* from conditions with vs. without mucus, using a *C. difficile* GENRE contextualized with transcriptomic data. Data are represented as NMDS ordination of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of flux distributions from shared reactions in each contextualized model. Differences between conditions were determined by PERMANOVA. (B) Predicted biomass flux in CDMM with vs. without mucus. (C) Median fluxes for reactions determined by Random Forests analysis to be important in differentiating conditions with (green) vs. without mucus (blue). Reactions are ranked by MDA with larger values indicating greater importance. (D) Predicted GlcNAc efflux in conditions with vs. without mucus. (E) Predicted uptake of the indicated amino acids in conditions vs. without mucus. Data were generated from n=250 samplings from each contextualized model. Median flux values in B, D, and E are indicated (white dot). Differences in B, D, and E were determined by measuring p-values from a Wilcoxon rank sum test based on n=12 random subsamples from each condition (5% of total samples). This random subsampling and testing process was repeated 1000 times and the median p-value was used: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.

Figure 6. Mucus restores growth in media lacking specific amino acids. **(A)** *C. difficile*
growth curves in a modified version of CDMM with defined casamino acids (DCAMM) without
mucus (left) and with mucus (right), comparing growth between complete medium and media
lacking specific amino acids. **(B)** Growth curves from (A) comparing growth with and without
mucus (filled vs. open symbols) for specific conditions: lacking glycine and serine (left), lacking
serine only (middle), and lacking glycine only (right). The growth curve from complete medium
with mucus is shown on each graph for comparison (grey). (C) Viable cell counts (CFU/mL) at
exponential phase. For each pair of media conditions with and without mucus, samples were
collected when cultures for either condition first reached exponential phase (OD_{600} ~0.5), which
occurred at: 12 hours for complete, -G, and -T media; 16 hours for -S medium; and 20 hours for
-G -S medium. (D) Growth rates during exponential phase. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$,
**** $p < 0.0001$ determined by (C, D) one-way ANOVA with Sidak's test.

664
Figure 7. Overview of responses to IEC mucus in *C. difficile*. Simplified diagram of key
reactions in the metabolic model and/or identified in the RNA-Seq experiment. Thicker green
arrows indicate select reactions determined to be important in Random Forests analysis
following contextualization of a *C. difficile* R20291 metabolic model; arrow directions indicate the
direction of flux predicted with mucus. Paths within which we identified upregulation of genes
corresponding to enzymes are identified with thin green lines. Abbreviations: Ser, serine; Thr,
threonine; Gly, glycine; GHMT, glycine hydroxymethyltransferase; Methylene-THF, methylene-
tetrahydrofolate; GlcN-6P, glucosamine-6-phosphate; GlcNAc, N-acetylglucosamine; GlcNAc-
6P, N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate; GlcNAc-1P, N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate; CO_2,
carbon dioxide; CO, carbon monoxide. Created with Biorender.com.

REFERENCES:

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019. (2019) doi:10.15620/cdc:82532.

2. Eyre, D. W. *et al.* Predictors of first recurrence of *Clostridium difficile* infection: Implications for initial management. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **55**, (2012).

3. Merrigan, M. M. *et al.* Surface-layer protein A (SlpA) is a major contributor to host-cell adherence of *Clostridium difficile*. *PLoS One* **8**, e78404 (2013).

4. Spigaglia, P. *et al.* Surface-layer (S-layer) of human and animal *Clostridium difficile* strains and their behaviour in adherence to epithelial cells and intestinal colonization. *J Med Microbiol* **62**, 1386–1393 (2013).

5. Baban, S. T. *et al.* The role of flagella in *Clostridium difficile* pathogenesis: Comparison between a non-epidemic and an epidemic Strain. *PLoS One* **8**, (2013).

6. McKee, R. W., Aleksanyan, N., Garrett, E. M. & Tamayo, R. Type IV Pili promote *Clostridium difficile* adherence and persistence in a mouse model of infection. *Infect Immun* **86**, (2018).

7. Shen, A. *Clostridium difficile* toxins: Mediators of inflammation. *J Innate Immun* **4**, 149–158 (2012).

8. Bacci, S., Mølbak, K., Kjeldsen, M. K. & Olsen, K. E. P. Binary toxin and death after *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Emerg Infect Dis* **17**, 976–982 (2011).

9. Schwan, C. *et al. Clostridium difficile* toxin CDT induces formation of microtubule-based protrusions and increases adherence of bacteria. *PLoS Pathog* **5**, e1000626 (2009).

10. McGuckin, M. A., Lindén, S. K., Sutton, P. & Florin, T. H. Mucin dynamics and enteric pathogens. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **9**, 265–278 (2011).

11. Semenyuk, E. G. *et al.* Analysis of bacterial communities during *Clostridium difficile* infection in the mouse. *Infect Immun* **83**, 4383–4391 (2015).

12. Engevik, M. A. *et al.* Human *Clostridium difficile* infection: altered mucus production and composition. *American Journal of Physiology-Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology* **308**, G510–G524 (2015).

13. Engevik, M. A. *et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum* adheres to *Clostridioides difficile* via the RadD adhesin to enhance biofilm formation in intestinal mucus. *Gastroenterology* **160**, 1301-1314.e8 (2021).

14. Arike, L. & Hansson, G. C. The densely O-glycosylated MUC2 mucin protects the intestine and provides food for the commensal bacteria. *J Mol Biol* **428**, 3221-3229 (2016).

15. Parry, S. *et al.* N-Glycosylation of the MUC1 mucin in epithelial cells and secretions. *Glycobiology* **16**, 623–634 (2006).

16. Johansson, M. E. V., Holmén Larsson, J. M. & Hansson, G. C. The two mucus layers of colon are organized by the MUC2 mucin, whereas the outer layer is a legislator of host-microbial interactions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**, 4659–4665 (2011).

17. Hanisch, F.-G. & Müller, S. MUC1: The polymorphic appearance of a human mucin. *Glycobiology* **10**, 439-449 (2000).

18. Lang, T., Alexandersson, M., Hansson, G. C. & Samuelsson, T. Bioinformatic identification of polymerizing and transmembrane mucins in the puffer fish *Fugu rubripes*. *Glycobiology* **14**, 521–527 (2004).

19. Johansson, M. E. V. *et al.* Normalization of host intestinal mucus layers requires long-term microbial colonization. *Cell Host Microbe* **18**, 582–592 (2015).

20. Engevik, M. A. *et al.* Mucin-degrading microbes release monosaccharides that chemoattract *Clostridioides difficile* and facilitate colonization of the human intestinal mucus layer. *ACS Infect Dis* **7**, 1126–1142 (2021).

21. Cantarel, B. I. *et al.* The Carbohydrate-Active EnZymes database (CAZy): An expert resource for glycogenomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**, D233 (2009).

22. Wagner, C. E. *et al.* Comparison of physicochemical properties of native mucus and
reconstituted mucin gels. *Biomacromolecules* **24**, 628–639 (2023).
23. Sardelli, L. *et al.* Towards bioinspired *in vitro* models of intestinal mucus. *RSC Adv* **9**,
15887-15899 (2019).
24. Kitamura, H. *et al.* Alteration in mucin gene expression and biological properties of HT29
colon cancer cell subpopulations. *European Journal of Cancer* 32A, 1788-1796 (1996).
25. Lesuffleur, T. *et al.* Differential expression of the human mucin genes MUC1 to MUC5 in
relation to growth and differentiation of different mucus-secreting HT-29 cell
subpopulations. *J Cell Sci* **106**, 771–783 (1993).
26. Kim, R. *et al.* An in vitro intestinal platform with a self-sustaining oxygen gradient to study
the human gut/microbiome interface. *Biofabrication* **12**, 015006 (2020).
27. Wang, Y., Kim, R., Sims, C. & Allbritton, N. Building a thick mucus hydrogel layer to
improve the physiological relevance of *in vitro* primary colonic epithelial models. *Cell Mol*
Gastroenterol Hepatol **8**, 653-655.e5 (2019).
28. Howard, R. L. *et al.* Biochemical and rheological analysis of human colonic culture mucus
reveals similarity to gut mucus. *Biophys J* **120**, 5384–5394 (2021).
29. Cartman, S. T. & Minton, N. P. A mariner-based transposon system for *in vivo* random
mutagenesis of *Clostridium difficile*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**, 1103–1109 (2010).
30. Pruss, K. M. & Sonnenburg, J. L. *C. difficile* exploits a host metabolite produced during
toxin-mediated disease. *Nature* **593**, 261–265 (2021).
31. Battaglioli, E. J. *et al. Clostridioides Difficile* uses amino acids associated with gut
microbial dysbiosis in a subset of patients with diarrhea. *Sci. Transl. Med* **10**, eaam7019
(2018).
32. Aguirre, A. M. & Sorg, J. A. Gut associated metabolites and their roles in *Clostridioides*
difficile pathogenesis. *Gut Microbes* **14**, e2094672 (2022).
33. Bouillaut, L., Self, W. T. & Sonenshein, A. L. Proline-dependent regulation of *Clostridium*
difficile Stickland metabolism. *J Bacteriol* **195**, 844–854 (2013).
34. Neumann-Schaal, M., Jahn, D. & Schmidt-Hohagen, K. Metabolism the difficile way: The
key to the success of the pathogen *Clostridioides difficile*. *Front Microbiol* 10, 219 (2019).
35. Köpke, M., Straub, M. & Dürre, P. *Clostridium difficile* is an autotrophic bacterial
pathogen. *PLoS One* **8**, (2013).
36. Gencic, S. & Grahame, D. A. Diverse energy-conserving pathways in *Clostridium difficile*:
Growth in the absence of amino acid Stickland acceptors and the role of the Wood-
Ljungdahl pathway. *J Bacteriol* **202**, e00233-20 (2020).
37. de Vladar, H. P. Amino acid fermentation at the origin of the genetic code. *Biol Direct* **7**, 6
(2012).
38. Song, Y. *et al.* Functional cooperation of the glycine synthase-reductase and Wood-
Ljungdahl pathways for autotrophic growth of *Clostridium drakei*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*
117, 7516–7523 (2020).
39. Kikuchi, G., Motokawa, Y., Yoshida Ã3, T. & Hiraga, K. Glycine cleavage system: reaction
mechanism, physiological significance, and hyperglycinemia. *Proc Jpn Acad* **84**, 7 (2008)
40. Stauffer, G. V. Regulation of serine, glycine, and one-carbon biosynthesis. *EcoSal Plus* **1**,
(2004).
41. Ramos, J. L. *et al.* The TetR family of transcriptional repressors. *Microbiology and*
Molecular Biology Reviews **69**, 326–356 (2005).
42. Serizawa, M. *et al.* Functional analysis of the YvrGHb two-component system of *Bacillus*
subtilis: Identification of the regulated genes by DNA microarray and northern blot
analyses. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* **69**, 2155-2169 (2005).
43. Pannullo, A. G., Guan, Z., Goldfine, H. & Ellermeier, C. D. HexSDF Is required for
synthesis of a novel glycolipid that mediates daptomycin and bacitracin resistance in *C.*
difficile. *mBio* **14**, 2 (2023).

44.   Fang, C., Stiegeler, E., Cook, G. M., Mascher, T. & Gebhard, S. *Bacillus subtilis* as a
790   platform for molecular characterisation of regulatory mechanisms of *Enterococcus*
791   *faecalis* resistance against cell wall antibiotics. *PLoS One* **9**, (2014).

792   45.   Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N. & Sternberg, M. J. E. The Phyre2
793   web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc* **10**, 845–858 (2015).

794   46.   Esther Jr, C. R. *et al.* Mucus accumulation in the lungs precedes structural changes and
795   infection in children with cystic fibrosis. *Sci Transl Med* **11**, eaav3488 (2019).

796   47.   Markovetz, M. R. *et al.* Mucus and mucus flake composition and abundance reflect
797   inflammatory and infection status in cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis* **21**, 959–966
798   (2022).

799   48.   Rouillard, K. R. *et al.* Altering the viscoelastic properties of mucus-grown *Pseudomonas*
800   *aeruginosa* biofilms affects antibiotic susceptibility. *Biofilm* **5**, (2023).

801   49.   Powers, D. A., Jenior, M. L., Kolling, G. L. & Papin, J. A. Network analysis of toxin
802   production in Clostridioides difficile identifies key metabolic dependencies. *PLoS Comput*
803   *Biol* **19**, (2023).

804   50.   Jenior, M. L. *et al.* Novel Drivers of Virulence in Clostridioides difficile Identified via
805   Context-Specific Metabolic Network Analysis. *mSystems* **6**, (2021).

806   51.   Jenior, M. L., Moutinho, T. J., Dougherty, B. V. & Papin, J. A. Transcriptome-guided
807   parsimonious flux analysis improves predictions with metabolic networks in complex
808   environments. *PLoS Comput Biol* **16**, (2020).

809   52.   Tremblay, Y. D. N. *et al.* Metabolic adaption to extracellular pyruvate triggers biofilm
810   formation in Clostridioides difficile. *ISME Journal* **15**, 3623–3635 (2021).

811   53.   Dubois, T. *et al.* A microbiota-generated bile salt induces biofilm formation in Clostridium
812   difficile. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* **5**, 100104 (2019).

813   54.   Marshall, A., McGrath, J. W., Graham, R. & McMullan, G. Food for thought-The link
814   between *Clostridioides difficile* metabolism and pathogenesis. *PLoS Pathogens* **19**,
815   e1011034 (2023).

816   55.   Antunes, A. *et al.* Global transcriptional control by glucose and carbon regulator CcpA in
817   *Clostridium difficile*. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**, 10701–10718 (2012).

818   56.   Janoir, C. *et al.* Adaptive strategies and pathogenesis of *Clostridium difficile* from *in vivo*
819   transcriptomics. *Infect Immun* **81**, 3757–3769 (2013).

820   57.   Poquet, I. *et al. Clostridium difficile* biofilm: Remodeling metabolism and cell surface to
821   build a sparse and heterogeneously aggregated architecture. *Front Microbiol* **9**, (2018).

822   58.   Kim, J. & Kim, B. S. Bacterial sialic acid catabolism at the host–microbe interface. *Journal*
823   *of Microbiology* **61**, 369–377 (2023).

824   59.   Willing, S. E. *et al. Clostridium difficile* surface proteins are anchored to the cell wall using
825   CWB2 motifs that recognise the anionic polymer PSII. *Mol Microbiol* **96**, 596–608 (2015).

826   60.   Anwar, F. & Vedantam, G. Surface-displayed glycopolymers of *Clostridioides difficile*.
827   *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **66**, 86–91 (2022).

828   61.   Percy, M. G. & Gründling, A. Lipoteichoic acid synthesis and function in Gram-positive
829   bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **68**, 81–100 (2014).

830   62.   Fabretti, F. *et al.* Alanine esters of enterococcal lipoteichoic acid play a role in biofilm
831   formation and resistance to antimicrobial peptides. *Infect Immun* **74**, 4164–4171 (2006).

832   63.   Morrison, Z. A. & Nitz, M. Synthesis of C6-substituted UDP-GlcNAc derivatives.
833   *Carbohydr Res* **495**, 108071 (2020).

834   64.   Gu, Y. *et al.* Rewiring the glucose transportation and central metabolic pathways for
835   overproduction of N-Acetylglucosamine in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Biotechnol J* **12**, 170020
836   (2017).

837   65.   Brauer, M. *et al.* What's a Biofilm?—How the choice of the biofilm model impacts the
838   protein inventory of *Clostridioides difficile*. *Front Microbiol* **12**, 682111 (2021).

66.   Sicard, J. F., Bihan, G. Le, Vogeleer, P., Jacques, M. & Harel, J. Interactions of intestinal
bacteria with components of the intestinal mucus. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **7**, 387
(2017).

67.   Derrien, M., Vaughan, E. E., Plugge, C. M. & de Vos, W. M. *Akkermansia municiphila*
gen. nov., sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. *Int J Syst Evol*
Microbiol **54**, 1469–1476 (2004).

68.   Breton, C., Šnajdrová, L., Jeanneau, C., Koča, J. & Imberty, A. Structures and
mechanisms of glycosyltransferases. *Glycobiology* **16**, 29R-37R (2006).

69.   Ioannou, A., Knol, J. & Belzer, C. Microbial glycoside hydrolases in the first year of life: An
analysis review on their presence and importance in infant gut. *Front Microbiol* **12**,
631282 (2021).

70.   Cattoir, V. *et al.* Transcriptional response of mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to human
respiratory mucus. *mBio* **3**, e00410-12 (2012).

71.   Wagner, C. E., Wheeler, K. M. & Ribbeck, K. Mucins and their role in shaping the
functions of mucus barriers. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol* **34**, 189–215 (2018).

72.   Chu, D. & Barnes, D. J. The lag-phase during diauxic growth is a trade-off between fast
adaptation and high growth rate. *Sci Rep* **6**, 25191 (2016).

73.   Piepenbreier, H., Fritz, G. & Gebhard, S. Transporters as information processors in
bacterial signalling pathways. *Molecular Microbiology* **104**, 1–15 (2017).

74.   Tremblay, Y. D. & Dupuy, B. The blueprint for building a biofilm the *Clostridioides difficile*
way. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **66**, 39–45 (2022).

75.   Semenyuk, E. G. *et al.* Spore formation and toxin production in *Clostridium difficile*
biofilms. *PLoS One* **9**, e87757 (2014).

76.   Sorg, J. A. & Dineen, S. S. Laboratory maintenance of *Clostridium difficile*. *Curr Protoc*
Microbiol **12**, 9A.1.1-9A.1.10 (2009).

77.   Girinathan, B. P. *et al.* In vivo commensal control of *Clostridioides difficile* virulence. *Cell*
Host Microbe **29**, 1693-1708.e7 (2021).

78.   Ruiz, L. M. R. *et al.* Coordinated modulation of multiple processes through phase
variation of a c-di- GMP phosphodiesterase in *Clostridioides difficile*. *PLoS Pathog* **18**,
e1010677 (2022).

79.   Wang, Y. *et al.* Self-renewing monolayer of primary colonic or rectal epithelial cells. *Cell*
Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol **4**, 165-182.e7 (2017).

80.   Bouillaut, L., McBride, S. M. & Sorg, J. A. Genetic manipulation of *Clostridium difficile*.
Curr Protoc Microbiol (2011) doi:10.1002/9780471729259.mc09a02s20.

81.   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

82.   Wingett, S. W. & Andrews, S. Fastq screen: A tool for multi-genome mapping and quality
control . *F1000Res* **7**, 1338 (2018).

83.   Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods*
9, 357–359 (2012).

84.   Chung, M. *et al.* FADU: a Quantification Tool for Prokaryotic Transcriptomic Analyses.
mSystems **6**, 1–16 (2021).

85.   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).

86.   Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene Set Enrichment Analysis: A knowledge-based approach for
interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Nat Sci USA* **102**, 15545-15550
(2005).

87.   Mootha, V. K. *et al.* PGC-1α-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are
coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nature Genetics* **34**, 267-273 (2003).

88.   Garrett, E. M. *et al.* Phase variation of a signal transduction system controls
889   *Clostridioides difficile* colony morphology, motility, and virulence. *PLoS Biol* **17**, 1–28
890   (2019).
891   89.   Trzilova, D., Anjuwon-Foster, B. R., Torres Rivera, D. & Tamayo, R. Rho factor mediates
892   flagellum and toxin phase variation and impacts virulence in *Clostridioides difficile*. *PLoS*
893   *Pathog* **16**, 1–28 (2020).
894   90.   Mason, T. G. Estimating the viscoelastic moduli of complex fluids using the generalized
895   Stokes-Einstein equation. *Rheol Acta* **39**, 371-378 (2000).
896   91.   Hill, D. B. *et al.* A biophysical basis for mucus solids concentration as a candidate
897   biomarker for airways disease. *PLoS One* **9**, e87681 (2014).
898   92.   Vyas, H. K. N., McArthur, J. D. & Sanderson-Smith, M. L. An optimised GAS-pharyngeal
899   cell biofilm model. *Sci Rep* **11**, 8200 (2021).
900   93.   Purcell, E. B. *et al.* A nutrient-regulated cyclic diguanylate phosphodiesterase controls
901   *Clostridium difficile* biofilm and toxin production during stationary phase. *Infect Immun* **85**,
902   e00347-17 (2017).
903   94.   O'Toole, G. A. *et al.* Genetic approaches to study of biofilms. *Can. J. Microbiol* **310**, 91-
904   109 (1999).
905