Altered spawning seasons of Atlantic salmon broodstock transcriptionally and epigenetically influence cell cycle and lipid-mediated regulations in their offspring

Takaya Saito[1], Marit Espe[1], Maren Mommens[2], Christoph Bock[3], Jorge M.O. Fernandes[4], Kaja H. Skjaerven[1*]

[1] Institute of Marine Research, IMR, Bergen, Norway

[2] AquaGen, Trondheim, Norway

[3] CeMM, Research Center for Molecular Medicine of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, 1090, Vienna, Austria

[4] Nord University, Bodø, Norway

[*] Corresponding author

E-mail address: Kaja.Skjaerven@hi.no

Abstract

Manipulating spawning seasons of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) is a common practice to facilitate year-round harvesting in salmon aquaculture. This process involves adjusting water temperature and light regime to control female broodstock maturation. However, recent studies have indicated that altered spawning seasons can significantly affect the nutritional status and

growth performance of the offspring. Therefore, gaining a deeper understanding of the biological

regulations influenced by these alterations is crucial to enhance the growth performance of fish

over multiple generations.

In this study, we investigated omics data from four different spawning seasons achieved

through recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) and sea-pen-based approaches. In addition to

the normal spawning season in November (sea-pen), three altered seasons were designated: off-

season (five-month advance, RAS), early season (two-month advance, sea-pen), and late season

(two-month delay, sea-pen). We conducted comprehensive gene expression and DNA

methylation analysis on liver samples collected from the start-feeding larvae of the next

generation.

Our results revealed distinct gene expression and DNA methylation patterns associated

with the altered spawning seasons. Specifically, offspring from RAS-based off-season exhibited

altered lipid-mediated regulation, while those from sea-pen-based early and late seasons showed

changes in cellular processes, particularly in cell cycle regulation when compared to the normal

season. The consequences of our findings are significant for growth and health, potentially

providing information for developing valuable tools for assessing growth potential and

optimizing production strategies in aquaculture.

Author Summary

This study examines the impact of manipulating Atlantic salmon spawning seasons in

aquaculture on genetic and epigenetic regulations in their offspring. Manipulating water

temperature and light cycles during broodstock rearing allows for year-round harvesting. In

aquaculture, altering spawning seasons is a common practice; however, recent research suggests

that these changes affect the nutritional status and growth of offspring. To understand these

effects at the molecular level, we analysed transcriptomic and epigenetic data from salmon

offspring born to broodstock with four different spawning seasons. Our results reveal distinct

transcriptomic and epigenetic patterns associated with altered seasons, influencing lipid

metabolism and cell cycle regulation. These findings hold significant implications for improving

aquaculture practices, potentially enhancing growth performance and nutritional quality of

farmed salmon across generations as temperature and light are crucial abiotic factors influencing

the next generation. Furthermore, our study potentially contributes to understanding the impact

of climate change on the spawning behaviour of aquatic vertebrates, particularly in relation to

increasing global ocean temperatures and the resulting changes in photoperiod as species migrate

northward in the Northern Hemisphere, experiencing longer daylight in summer and shorter

daylight in winter.

Keywords

59   Broodstodk, Spawning season, Intergenerational, Nutrition, Omics, DNA methylation, Cell

60   cycle, Lipid metabolism

Introduction

The Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) follows an anadromous life cycle, beginning in freshwater,

migrating to seawater for most of its life, and returning to freshwater to spawn. In salmon

aquaculture, the timing of spawning is adjusted to ensure year-round harvesting availability. This

manipulation involves controlling abiotic factors, such as water temperature and photoperiod, to

influence the spawning timing (Pankhurst and King, 2010; Taranger et al., 2010). Additionally,

apart from the traditional open sea-based cages, a land-based approach utilising recirculating

aquaculture systems (RAS) is employed to more accurately replicate the salmon's natural

lifecycle, including both saltwater gonad maturation and final freshwater maturation periods

(Good and Davidson, 2016). Despite the ongoing and successful practices of spawning season

alterations in salmon aquaculture, recent studies have reported that these practices can impact the

nutritional status of broodstock, leading to negative effects on the growth performance in the

next generation (Skjærven et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020).

While various nutrients influence the health condition and growth performance of

Atlantic salmon (Council, 2011; Hamre et al., 2016; Hemre et al., 2016), altered spawning

seasons have appeared to affect the status of multiple metabolites and nutrients including

micronutrients, amino acids, and lipid classes (Skjærven et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020).

These nutrients are also closely associated with vital biological functions necessary for growth,

such as one carbon (1C) metabolism, the citric acid cycle, and the Cahill cycle. Multiple key

micronutrients, including vitamin B12, folate, vitamin B6, and methionine, are integrated with

the 1C metabolism (Danchin et al., 2020; Lyon et al., 2020), which regulates cellular methylation

potential through S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) and S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH), critical for

various cellular processes (Ducker and Rabinowitz, 2017). The citric acid cycle enables the

catabolism of carbohydrates, fats, and amino acids, releasing stored energy crucial for growth

and development (Akram, 2014), while the Cahill cycle facilitates the transport of amino groups

and carbons between muscle and liver (Felig, 1973), both playing essential roles in various

developmental processes. Amino acids are essential for growth and tissue development, in

addition to being the building blocks of proteins (Wu, 2010), while lipids serve as major energy

reserves and contribute to the formation of cell membranes, also supporting various

developmental processes (Fahy et al., 2011).

Beyond the importance of nutrients, understanding genetic influences provides invaluable

insights from a molecular biology perspective. Recent studies have revealed that alterations in

micronutrient levels within the feed can have transcriptomic effects on various biological

pathways in salmon, including lipid metabolism, protein synthesis, and post-transcriptional

regulation (Saito et al., 2023; Saito et al., 2021). Moreover, an additional layer of information

comes from the analysis of DNA methylation, which supports to reveal important epigenetic

regulations. DNA methylation, as an epigenetic regulatory process, influences various cellular

mechanisms, including cell stability, differentiation, and development, potentially in response to

environmental stimuli. (Amenyah et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2013). Since DNA methylation is

inherited during cell replication, it can result in long-term changes in epigenetic regulation (Goll

and Bestor, 2005; Moore et al., 2013).

The present study investigates the transcriptomic and epigenetic effects on offspring

resulting from four different spawning seasons. These seasons include the natural sea-pen-based

spawning in November, an accelerated RAS-based spawning occurring five months earlier, and

two variations of sea-pen-based spawning: one with a two-month advance and another with a

two-month delay relative to the natural spawning season. The main analysis of the study focuses

on omics analysis of liver samples collected from start-feeding larvae at the end of the

endogenous feeding phase. The liver serves as a key target organ for various metabolic reactions

crucial to growth, and using start-feeding larvae helps eliminate the influences of exogenous

feeding. To uncover both transcriptomic and epigenetic impacts comprehensively, we employed

RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) for gene expression and reduced-representation bisulfite

sequencing (RRBS) for DNA methylation analysis. Our findings suggest that gene expression

and DNA methylation data can serve as powerful tools for assessing current and future growth

performance. These tools could potentially benefit a wide range of nutritional programming

studies and enhance our understanding of how aquatic species adapt to climate change.

Methods and Materials

Ethical considerations

The experiment adhered to the ARRIVE guidelines for design and reporting. Broodstock and

offspring used in the study were obtained from AquaGen's commercial production facility of

Atlantic salmon at their breeding station in Kyrksæterøra, Norway.

As the samples were collected at the breeding station, the conditions and protocols

applied in this experiment were identical to those used in commercial production, including

certain confidential information protected under commercial interests. Throughout the sampling

procedures for broodstock and larvae, anaesthetics were administered by the supplier's

instructions and compliance with both Norwegian and European legislation on animal research.

Formal approval from the Norwegian Animal Research Authority (NARA) was not

required for this experiment. This exemption is because the experimental conditions fall under

practices recognized as commercial animal husbandry, thereby exempting them from the

European Convention on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes (2010/63/EU),

specifically under Article 5d. Additionally, the Norwegian ethics board also approved the

experiment by the Norwegian regulation on animal experimentation, § 2, 5a, d, for activities

classified as "non-experimental husbandry (agriculture or aquaculture)" and "procedures in

normal/common breeding and husbandry".

Experimental design and sampling

As the details of experimental design and sampling methods have been described elsewhere

(Skjærven et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020), we only present summarized descriptions here.

The study utilized broodstock and offspring obtained from AquaGen's breeding station in

Kyrksæterøra, Norway. The female broodstock, sourced from the 15th generation, covered four

spawning seasons: off-season, early, normal, and late seasons.

The off-season broodstock were reared in a land-based recirculating aquaculture system

(RAS) in brackish (12‰ salinity), while the broodstock from the early, normal, and late seasons

were raised in three open sea-based net pens with ambient photoperiod and temperatures. All

broodstock were fed to satiation with broodstock feed (EWOS Breed 3500) until transferred to

freshwater. In freshwater, the normal season broodstock matured naturally under ambient

photoperiod and temperatures, whereas artificial abiotic factors like temperature and light were

applied to regulate maturation for the other spawning seasons. Specifically, while the normal

season broodstock were maintained at 6 °C with an 8-hour daylight cycle upon transfer to

freshwater tanks in August, the early season broodstock were maintained by following the

protocol outlined by Naeve and colleagues (Naeve et al., 2018). Details about the temperature

and photoperiod used to postpone maturation in the off-season and late season groups are

proprietary information owned by AquaGen AS. The off-season and early season broodstock

were subjected to five- and two-month advance maturation, respectively, while the late season

broodstock were subjected to a two-month delay. The fish received no further feeding after the

transfer to freshwater. The maturation process in freshwater lasted for 109, 163, 120, and 166

days for off-season, early, normal, and late season broodstock, respectively, before fertilization to

obtain the next generation (Supplementary Table S1).

From each spawning group, five females from separate tanks were sacrificed using

Benzoak (200 mg/L, ACD Pharmaceuticals AS). After stripping, each female's growth measures,

including body weights (n=5 per spawning season, randomly selected), were recorded. Liver

samples were dissected and flash frozen in liquid N2 for nutrient analysis. All oocytes were

fertilised with cryopreserved sperm from two pooled males. Newly fertilized eggs (2 d°) were

flash-frozen in liquid N2 for nutrient analysis (Supplementary Table S1).

At the end of the endogenous feeding phase (start-feeding larvae 979–994 d°;

Supplementary Table S1), 36 larvae from each broodstock were randomly selected, euthanised

with an overdose of buffered tricane methanesulfonate (MS222, Pharmaq, Norway), and body

weights (n=180 per spawning season) were recorded. Additionally, 40 liver samples (two from

each broodstock fish) were dissected from larvae and flash frozen in liquid N2 and used for

either RNA or DNA analysis.

Growth measure and nutrient analysis

We collected the data on body weights for broodstock and larvae from previous studies

(Skjærven et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020). In addition, we obtained the data on selected

nutrients and metabolites from the same studies, specifically vitamin B6 & B12, folate, S-

adenosylmethionine (SAM), S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH), cholesterol, the sum of six

different lipids, glutamate, L-serine, L-lysine, L-alanine, L-glutamine, urea, and B-alanine

(Skjærven et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020). To analyse the data from all four spawning

seasons, we performed ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD test.

DNA and RNA extraction

RNA extraction from 20 larvae livers (n=5 for each spawning season) was carried out using the

BioRobot EZ1 and EZ1 RNA Universal Tissue kit (Qiagen), followed by DNase treatment using

the Ambion DNA-free DNA removal kit (Invitrogen, USA) according to their respective

protocols. The RNA quantity was assessed using the NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer

(Nanodrop Technologies) and the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the RNA 6000 Nano LabChip

kit (Agilent Technologies). For DNA isolation from 20 larvae livers (n=5 for each spawning

season), the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Cat. No. #69506) was used as per the

manufacturer's protocol. Liver samples underwent RNase A treatment (provided by the Qiagen

kit, 50ng/µL, 10 min at room temperature) followed by proteinase K treatment (New England

Biolabs, #8102S 20µg/µL, 1.5 h at 55°C). DNA was eluted in Milli Q water. DNA quantification

was performed using the Qubit High Sensitivity Assay (Life Technologies #Q32854). Detailed

methods for both DNA and RNA extraction were described elsewhere (Saito et al., 2021).

Library preparation for RNA-seq and RRBS

Liver samples were sequenced at two different sequencing facilities for specific analyses. For

RNA-seq, the liver samples were processed at the DeepSeq sequencing facility at Nord

University, Bodø, Norway, and the library preparation was conducted using the NEBNext Ultra

II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs). Sequencing was

performed on the NextSeq500 machine (Illumina). For RRBS, the liver samples were processed

at the CeMM Biomedical Sequencing Facility, Vienna, Austria. The genomic DNA was

extracted, digested by MspI, and bisulfite-converted before library preparation. The RRBS

libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 3000/4000 instruments. Detailed methods of the

library preparation for RNA-seq and RRBS were described elsewhere (Saito et al., 2021).

Atlantic salmon genome and genomic annotation

The reference genome (ICSASG version 2) and RefSeq data (version 100) for gene annotation

were downloaded from the NCBI web site

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_000233375.1). In cases where gene symbols were

either outdated or unavailable from RefSeq data (version 100), gene symbols from a newer

version of RefSeq data (version 102) and UniProt (Bateman et al., 2022) were used. The genome

regions were annotated into intron, exon, three promoter regions, and flanking regions. Promoter

regions were divided into three categories based on their distance from transcription start sites:

P250 (1-250 bp), P1K (251-1000 bp), and P5K (1001-5000 bp). Flanking regions were defined

as 5000 upstream from P5K and 10000 downstream from the 5' end of the gene.

Pre-processing of high throughput sequencing

For both RNA-seq and RRBS data, the same pre-processing procedures were followed as

described in a previous study (Saito et al., 2021). This involved trimming the reads using

Cutadapt (Martin, 2011) and Trim Galore! (Barbraham Institute), aligning the trimmed reads to

the reference genome using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013) for RNA-seq and Bismark/Bowtie 1

(Krueger and Andrews, 2011; Langmead et al., 2009) for RRBS with their default parameters.

The mapped RNA-seq reads were quantified using featureCounts (Liao et al., 2014), while the

mapped RRBS reads were processed by Bismark (Krueger and Andrews, 2011) for methylation

calling and CpG site extraction. The samples were divided into four spawning season groups for

further analysis: off-season, early, normal, and late seasons, and clustering analysis was

performed using the factoextra package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=factoextra). RNA-

seq counts were subjected to a variance stabilizing transformation (VST) using DESeq2 (Love et

al., 2014) before principal component analysis (PCA).

Raw reading data were uploaded to the repository of the Sequence Read Archive (SRA)

on the NCBI web site and available under the accession numbers PRJNA680425 and

PRJNA642998 for RNA-seq and RRBS, respectively.

Differential gene expression analysis

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) with an

adjusted p-value cut-off of less than 0.05. P-values were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg

procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). From the candidate DEGs, those with absolute log

fold changes (LFCs) greater than or equal to 1.2 were selected as the final set of DEGs by using

the lfcThreshold argument of the results function of DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). Shrunken LFCs

values, calculated by the normal shrinkage method provided by DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014),

were used for both heatmaps showing functional annotation results and scatter plots showing

merged results between DNA methylation and gene expression differences.

Functional annotation with KEGG

Over-representation analysis (ORA) was performed on the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and

Genomes (KEGG) database (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000a) using clusterProfiler (Yu et al., 2012).

Gene lists of DEGs identified from the three pair-wise comparisons against the normal season

were used as input, and enriched pathways were determined based on adjusted p-values less than

0.05. P-values were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg,

1995).

Methylation rate analysis

Mapped RRBS reads were filtered by methylKit (Akalin et al., 2012), discarding reads with

coverage less than or equal to 10 and above the 99.9th percentile. Additional RRBS data from

two other studies were obtained for post-smolt and harvesting stages (Saito et al., 2023; Saito et

al., 2021) and underwent the same pre-processing procedures to compare the distributions of

methylation rates. Raw data of these RRBS sequencing data can be found in SRA on the NCBI

site under the accession numbers of PRJNA680423 and PRJNA628740 for post-smolt and

harvesting stages, respectively.

Differential methylation analysis

Differentially methylated CpG sites (DMCs) were identified by methylKit (Akalin et al., 2012),

and Q-values were calculated using the sliding linear model (SLIM) method (Wang et al., 2011).

CpGs were identified as DMCs when Q-values were less than 0.01 and methylation differences

were greater than or equal to 15%.

To filter out DMCs that were strongly affected by altered spawning seasons, we used the

counts of DMCs per gene in two promoter regions: P250 and P1K. Genes were sorted by the

count of DMCs in a descendant order, separately for P250 and P1K, and genes that contained at

least three DMCs were selected for further analysis.

 Bioinformatics analysis

262 In-house R and Python scripts with Snakemake (Koster and Rahmann, 2012) were utilized for

263 high-throughput sequence analysis, basic statistical analysis, and figure generation.

Results

Altered spawning seasons impacted the weight and nutritional status of

offspring

The present study examines the effects on gene expression and DNA methylation patterns in the

liver of offspring hatched from four different spawning seasons: an off-season based on a

recirculating aquaculture system (RAS) and three sea-pen based seasons (early, normal, and late)

(Fig. 1a). Off-season spawning occurred in June, early season in September, and late season in

January, relative to the normal spawning months of November. (see detailed dates and degree

days in Supplementary Table S1). The growth performance and nutritional status of the

broodstock and offspring have already been assessed and discussed elsewhere (Skjærven et al.,

2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020). This section provides a summary of previous research,

emphasizing the weight and the status of selected nutrients, while the primary focus of the

present study is on the omics analysis.

Broodstock weights showed no significant differences at spawning (upper part of Fig.

1b), but offspring weights at the larvae stage (979 and 994 degree days) showed noticeable

variation (lower part of Fig. 1b). Specifically, off-season and early season larvae had lower

weights compared to normal and late seasons (Supplementary Table S2). This trend was

consistent with the observations in egg sizes, where eggs from off-season and early-season

exhibited smaller sizes compared to the other two seasons (see the number of eggs per liter in

Supplementary Table S1).

Altered spawning seasons widely impacted the nutritional status of offspring eggs,

particularly regarding 1C metabolism, lipid classes, citric acid cycle, and Cahill cycle (Skjærven

et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020), but with varying patterns across nutrients and metabolites

(summarised in Fig. 1c and Supplementary Tables S3-S6). Previous studies also reported that

altered spawning seasons affected the nutritional status of the broodstock in addition to their

offspring (Skjærven et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020). Thus, altered spawning seasons

significantly influenced the nutritional status of broodstock without impacting their weights,

however, subsequently affecting offspring nutritional status and growth potential of their

offspring.

Early and late spawning seasons exhibited similar gene expression patterns

when compared to the normal season

To investigate the impact of different spawning seasons, we performed gene expression analysis

on 20 liver samples, which were divided into four groups (n=5). We compared gene expression

from the normal season to that from the other three altered spawning seasons (detailed statistics

for each sample are provided in Supplementary Table S7).

Principal component analysis (PCA) differentiated the off-season from the early and

normal seasons when considering all mapped genes (left part of Fig. 2a). However, when

focusing on the top 500 genes with high variances, the off-season moderately deviated from the

early season but not notably from the normal season (right part of Fig. 2a). In both cases, the late

season overlapped partially with all other seasons.

In three pairwise comparisons for differential expression analysis against the normal

season (off-season vs. normal, early vs. normal, and late vs. normal), we identified 173, 337, and

265 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), respectively (Fig. 2b). All comparisons revealed a

higher number of down-regulated genes than up-regulated genes. Additionally, Venn diagrams

indicated that the DEGs from the off-season vs. normal comparison had very few overlapping

genes (<1%) with those from the other two comparisons (depicted in the first and second

diagrams in Fig. 2c). On the other hand, early vs. normal and late vs. normal comparisons shared

approximately 25% of the DEGs (depicted in the third diagram in Fig. 2c). These results suggest

that the expression pattern of the off-season differed from both the early and late seasons when

compared to the normal season.

Altered spawning seasons affected various biological pathways related to

metabolism, cellular processes, and organismal systems

To explore the impact of altered spawning seasons on biological pathways, we conducted

functional analysis on DEGs using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)

database. Over-representation analysis (ORA) identified a total of 11 enriched KEGG pathways,

which were classified into three functional categories: metabolism, cellular processes, and

organismal systems, when comparing the three altered spawning seasons against the normal

season (Fig. 3).

The off-season vs. normal comparison identified only one enriched pathway, carbon

metabolism (Supplementary Table S8). All five DEGs associated with carbon metabolism

showed down-regulation (Fig. 3).

The early vs. normal comparison revealed six enriched pathways: one in metabolism,

three in cellular processes, and two in organismal systems (Supplementary Table S9).

Metabolism-related pathways exhibited both up- and down-regulation, while most genes in

cellular processes showed significant down-regulation. In organismal systems, PPAR signalling

showed up-regulation, while progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation showed down-regulation

(Fig. 3).

The late vs. normal comparison identified nine enriched pathways: three in metabolism,

four in cellular processes, and two in organismal systems (Supplementary Table S10).

Remarkably, this comparison shared five enriched pathways with the early vs. normal

comparison, indicating similar impacts on biological pathways between them. Moreover, these

pathways displayed consistent expression patterns in terms of up- and down-regulation between

early and late seasons. Notably, genes associated with cellular processes exhibited strong down-

regulation for both seasons (Fig. 3).

In summary, the off-season appeared to down-regulate carbon metabolism, while the

early and late seasons primarily had strong suppressive effects on pathways associated with

cellular processes.

Altered spawning seasons had an impact on the expression of genes in a

similar manner

To further investigate the genes commonly affected by multiple spawning seasons, we used

overlapping DEGs (represented as intersections of Venn diagrams in Fig. 2c) by applying two

filtering steps: selecting DEGs found in at least two comparisons and choosing the top five

DEGs from each comparison based on adjusted p-values (Supplementary Table S11). This

filtering approach revealed a total of 13 genes (Table 1). Among them, two genes (gene IDs:

106612264 and 106601246) lacked corresponding gene names and symbols. All genes, except *pc*

and *pitpnm2*, consistently displayed up- or down-regulation across all spawning seasons,

suggesting that all altered spawning seasons had a similar effect on this specific set of genes

when compared against the normal season (Table 1).

These genes can be classified into three categories by relevant biological functions:

metabolism (*pcyt1b*, *pc*, *klf15*, and *cyp7a1*) (Cappel et al., 2019; Kanehisa and Goto, 2000b;

Takashima et al., 2010), tissue development (*prox3*, *crim1*, and *krt8*) (Del Giacco et al., 2010;

Kolle et al., 2000; Ku et al., 2007; Scott et al., 2007), and information processing, which can be

further classified into three sub categories as signalling (*bmp5* and *pitpnm2*) (Garner et al., 2012;

Kanehisa and Goto, 2000b), transcription (*tcf12*) (Hu et al., 1992), and post-translation (*rhobtb4*)

(Kanehisa and Goto, 2000b). As these categories are typically associated with growth, all three

altered spawning seasons affected a certain set of genes associated with growth regulation in a

similar manner, despite PCA plots (Fig. 2a) and Venn diagrams (Fig. 2c) showing a distinct gene

expression pattern of the off-season.

Overall methylation rates increased until the harvesting stage within and around gene bodies, except near transcription start sites

We conducted DNA methylation analysis on 20 liver samples from larvae across four different

spawning seasons (n=5; detailed statistics in Supplementary Table S12) to investigate the

epigenetic effects of altered spawning seasons on DNA methylation.

Before analysing the effects of altered spawning seasons, we used RRBS data from two

independent studies on liver samples to investigate DNA methylation changes across

developmental stages. Specifically, we compared our RRBS data from the larvae stage with the

post-smolt stage (approximately 31 weeks) and the harvest stage (around 45 weeks) (Saito et al.,

2023; Saito et al., 2021). The post-smolt samples were divided into three groups with different

1C metabolism nutrient levels (Ctrl, 1C+, and 1C++) according to their experimental diets, while

the harvest samples were divided into three groups with varying micronutrient levels (L1, L2,

and L3) based on their experimental diets. We compared these six groups from post-smolt and

harvest developmental stages with our larvae stage samples, which were collected across four

spawning seasons (Fig. 4a).

Notably, the average methylation rates exhibited a consistent increase from larvae to

post-smolt to harvest stages: 83.7%, 83.9%, and 84.9% for larvae, post-smolt, and harvest stages,

respectively (Kruskal-Wallis, p-value < 0.001, black horizontal lines in Fig. 4a). Within the

larvae group, the average rate of the normal group was higher than that of the off-season group,

but the difference was not significant. Within the post-smolt group, the Ctrl group showed a

significantly lower methylation rate than the other two groups (pair-wise Wilcoxon test with the

Holm correction, p-values < 0.05; Supplementary Table S13). The Ctrl group was given lower

methionine along with B-vitamins as the 1C metabolism nutrients compared to other groups

(1C+ and 1C++) (Saito et al., 2023).

To examine regional DNA methylation patterns, CpG sites were categorised into three

genomic regions based on their positions relative to nearby genes: gene body (GB; including

exons and introns), promoter (P; including P250, P1K, and P5K), flanking regions,

miscellaneous regions (Mics, including various transcripts and non-coding RNA), and intergenic

regions (IGR) (Fig. 4b; see Methods and Materials for definitions).

The trend of the rate increment became more noticeable when the rates were examined

separately by different genomic regions (Fig. 4c). In most regions, including gene bodies,

promoter regions except for P250, and flanking regions, the samples from the harvest stage

exhibited significantly higher average rates, followed by the post-smolt samples, and then the

larvae samples (pair-wise Wilcoxon test with the Holm correction, p-values < 0.05;

Supplementary Table S14). Specifically, within the two promoter regions (P1K and P5K), both

the post-smolt and harvest stages showed a significant increase in methylation rates compared to

the larvae stage. Moreover, methylation rates were generally lower in P250 (~22%) and P1K

(~49%) compared to other regions (75% ~ 88%).

This comparative investigation suggests a potential increase in methylation rates as

salmon progress in growth, at least until the harvesting stage, while also noting that methylation

rates near transcription start sites (TSSs) tend to remain lower and more stable than in other

genomic regions.

The off-season showed non-significant but consistently lower methylation rates compared to the normal and early seasons

To investigate the regional shift of the methylation rates among four spawning seasons, we applied the same methods used for the comparison analysis between developmental stages. Unlike the result of the developmental stages, spawning seasons exhibited no significant differences in methylation rates when separated by genomic regions (Fig. 4d; pair-wise Wilcoxon test with the Holm correction in Supplementary Table S15). Despite the non-significant differences, the average rates of the off-season samples were lower than those of the normal and early seasons in all regions (Supplementary Table S16). Nonetheless, the average rates of the off-season were higher than those of the late season in the two promoter regions (P250 and P5K) and flanking regions.

Differential methylation patterns indicated higher dynamic regulation in promoter regions compared to other regions

We conducted six pairwise comparisons to identify CpG sites with differential methylation (Fig. 5a). Unlike the analysis of the RNA-seq data, we considered all six possible pair-wise comparisons to capture a comprehensive pattern of methylation differences with our RRBS data. Remarkably, all comparisons consistently demonstrated similar characteristics, for both the number of differentially methylated CpGs (DMCs) and the balanced ratio of hypo- and hyper-methylation (Fig. 5a).

Further investigation focused on a set of 10,308 common DMCs, identified in at least one comparison. Distributions of methylation rates for these common DMCs exhibited a distinct left-

skewed pattern across the exon, intron, P5K, and flanking regions (Fig. 5b). This observation

strongly suggested that methylation differences resulting from altered spawning seasons

primarily occurred within regions that were already highly methylated. In contrast, the P250 and

P1K regions displayed nearly uniform methylation rates across a range of 0-100%, indicating

significant methylation differences occurred irrespective of the underlying methylation rates.

These findings imply a potentially greater level of dynamic regulation of DNA methylation in

P250 and P1K compared to the other regions.

Filtering multiple DMCs identified key genes affected by spawning season alterations

We examined genes with multiple DMCs in three pairwise comparisons against the normal

season. Since most genes had only a single DMC, especially in promoters (~75% in P250 and

~70% in P1K; Supplementary Figure S1), we avoided identifying differentially methylated

regions (DMRs) using a sliding window approach. Instead, we filtered out genes strongly

supported by multiple DMCs based on specific criteria (see Methods and Materials), resulting in

a total of 11 genes with multiple DMCs on their promoters (Table 2; see the details in

Supplementary Table S17).

All 11 genes were identified by a single comparison except for *slc31a1*, which was

identified in two comparisons (off-season vs. normal and late vs. normal). Most genes (9 out of

11) exhibited either hypo-methylated or hyper-methylated DMCs, but not both, within a single

comparison (Table 2). Furthermore, approximately 60% of the genes (7 out of 11) consistently

displayed either hypo-methylation or hyper-methylation even across multiple comparisons ('All

hypo' and 'All hyper' in the first column named ´Pattern´ of Table 2, respectively).

Literature analysis associated these genes with two biological functions: development

(*inf2*) and information processing, which can be further classified into four sub categories as

transporter (*slc31a1* and *scp-2*) (Stolowich et al., 2002; Zhou and Gitschier, 1997), signalling

(*stk32a* and *lrrfip2*) (Alliance of Genome Resources, 2022; Bateman et al., 2022), post-

translation (*klhl11* and *ttll12*) (Bateman et al., 2022; Brants et al., 2012), and transcription (*helz2*

and *prrx2*) (Norris et al., 2000; Tomaru et al., 2006). However, *ctl2b* and an uncharacterised gene

(Gene ID: 106573279) had no clear associations with specific biological functions. Although the

DMCs on these genes were potentially linked to the underlying gene expression, none of the 11

genes were identified as DEGs in our differential gene expression analysis.

Altered spawning seasons influenced gene expression and DNA methylation of

cell cycle-related genes

To investigate potential associations between DNA methylation and gene expression, we

combined DEGs and DMCs from three pairwise comparisons against the normal season (off-

season vs. normal, early vs. normal, and late vs. normal) in P250 and P1K. This resulted in a

total of seven DEGs that contain a total of 11 DMCs in their promoters (Fig. 5c, Table 3,

Supplementary Table S18).

In addition to the significant results, we expanded the list of seven DEGs with additional

information from all pair-wise comparisons (additional entries were marked with parentheses in

Table 3). Among the identified genes, three (*caprin-1*, *kifc1*, and *aurkb*) exhibited consistent

regulations of DMCs and DEGs compared to the normal season. Specifically, *caprin-1* and *kifc1*

had hypo-methylated CpG sites, while *aurkb* had hyper-methylated CpG sites; all three genes

showed down-regulated gene expression (Table 3). Notably, these three genes (*caprin-1*, *kifc1*,

and *aurkb*) were associated with cell cycle regulation (Grill et al., 2004; Walczak et al., 1997;

Wheatley et al., 2001), while the remaining genes were linked to metabolism (*cyp8b1*,

adrenodoxin, and *lpin1*) (Grinberg et al., 2000; Hansson and Wikvall, 1982; Kanehisa and Goto,

2000a) and transport (*slc43a1a*) (Babu et al., 2003). These findings indicate that altered

spawning seasons may have affected gene expression and epigenetic regulation of several genes

associated with cell cycle control.

Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the influence of altered spawning seasons on gene

expression and DNA methylation in offspring. Previous studies emphasized the significant

impacts of these changes on the nutritional status of both broodstock and their offspring, with no

observed effects on the body weights of broodstock but in offspring (Skjærven et al., 2022;

Skjaerven et al., 2020). Our primary aim was to reveal the transcriptomic and epigenetic effects

resulting from observed variations by comparing liver samples from larvae at the first feeding

stage.

What insights do our omics analyses provide?

Gene expression analysis revealed distinct patterns associated with altered spawning seasons.

Specifically, early and late seasons exhibited similar gene expression patterns when compared to

the normal season. The differential expression of genes associated with metabolism, cellular

processes, and organismal systems indicates that spawning seasons can have wide-ranging

effects on biological pathways related to growth and development. Furthermore, the analysis

with overlapped DEGs revealed that all three altered spawning seasons similarly affected genes

associated with metabolism, tissue development, and information processing. Hence, while early

and late seasons influenced a wide range of biological pathways in a similar manner, all three

seasons, including the off-season, also similarly affected a certain set of genes, especially those

strongly differentiated from the normal season.

DNA methylation analysis provided valuable insights into the epigenetic effects of

different spawning seasons. Altered spawning seasons led to increased methylation rates for the

early and normal seasons. Notably, these shifts primarily occurred in regions that were already

highly methylated, but the rates were altered independently of the underlying methylation rates

in promoter regions around transcription start sites. In addition, our findings across different

developmental stages imply that methylation rates could serve as an indicator of age. However,

more research is needed to understand the mechanism of methylation rate shifting and potential

applications in aquaculture as well as age measure for stock assessment.

Several genes with multiple DMCs exhibited consistent hypo- or hyper-methylation

patterns across multiple spawning seasons in promoter regions, suggesting a common epigenetic

response to altered spawning seasons. These genes were associated with key biological

functions, such as development and information processing, potentially indicating their role in

growth performance. Although both gene expression and DNA methylation analyses resulted in

similar biological functions impacted by altered spawning seasons, they showed little overlap

between DEGs and genes with DMCs. This could be attributed to regulatory differences between

gene expression and DNA methylation within the same biological pathway, or it might be a

limitation caused by the restriction enzyme used in RRBS.

The observed correlations between DNA methylation and gene expression in our liver

samples were weaker than anticipated. Note that the measurements were taken from siblings

rather than the same individual due to the limited size of larvae livers. Notably, our findings also

revealed inconsistent correspondence between hypo-methylation and active gene expression as

well as between hyper-methylation and gene suppression. These results suggest that the

regulation of DNA methylation is more intricate than commonly assumed as it is known that

high promoter CpG methylation reduces gene expression, while low promoter CpG methylation

allows for active gene expression (Lanata et al., 2018). Within promoter regions, not only DNA

methylation but several other factors, including underlying gene expression levels, transcription

factor binding sites, and chromatin remodelling, likely play a role in regulating actual gene

expression.

What are the significant biological differences observed in offspring?

Off-season vs. normal: Off-season spawning in June, facilitated through a RAS, resulted in

Atlantic salmon offspring with lower larval weights compared to the normal and late seasons

(Skjærven et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020). Offspring eggs also exhibited reduced levels of

vitamin B12 and lipid classes relative to normal and late seasons (Skjærven et al., 2022;

Skjaerven et al., 2020). Gene expression analysis indicated significant down-regulation of genes

associated with central carbon metabolism (CCM). CCM involves several biological pathways,

including the citric acid cycle, and acts as a major source of energy for growth and development.

Moreover, lipids are interconnected with CCM through the utilisation of acetyl-CoA as a central

metabolite (Westfall and Levin, 2018). Among the six genes with multiple DMCs from the off-

season vs. normal comparison, sterol carrier protein2-like (*scp-2*) contained four hyper-

methylated DMCs in its promoter. The *scp-2* gene encodes a transfer protein that plays a key role

in intracellular lipid transport (Stolowich et al., 2002). Overall, RAS-based off-season spawning

appeared to impact lipid-mediated regulations both transcriptionally and epigenetically. This

negative impact on lipid-related mechanisms potentially accounted for impaired growth

performance observed in body weight as our previous research indicated the importance of gene

expression and epigenetic regulation of lipid regulation, specifically involving acetyl-CoA, for

growth performance (Saito et al., 2021).

Early season vs. normal season: Offspring resulting from early season spawning in

September also exhibited lower weights at the larval stage compared to those from normal and

late seasons. However, the nutritional status of offspring eggs displayed higher levels of

SAM/SAH, lysine, glutamine, and alanine (Skjærven et al., 2022; Skjaerven et al., 2020). Gene

expression analysis revealed significant down-regulation of genes associated with cell cycle

regulation, while genes involved in metabolism showed both down- and up-regulation. Among

the five genes that exhibited differential expression and had at least one DMC in their promoters,

two were associated with cell-cycle regulation. Specifically, the carboxy-terminal kinesin 2

(*kifc1*) gene promotes mitotic spindle assembly (Walczak et al., 1997), and the aurora kinase B

(*aurkb*) gene is involved in mitotic progression (Wheatley et al., 2001). The *kifc1* gene was

hypomethylated, while the *aurkb* gene was hypermethylated. Consequently, the early spawning

season had an impact on cell-cycle regulation both transcriptionally and epigenetically. However,

it is challenging to hypothesise that this negative impact on cell-cycle regulation was linked to

impaired growth performance because similar effects were observed for the late season.

Late season vs. normal season: Late season spawning in January showed that offspring

weights were almost the same, but slightly heavier than those from the normal season. The

nutritional status of offspring eggs also exhibited similar levels of various nutrients and

metabolites to the normal season, except for higher vitamin B12 and lower B-alanine (Skjærven

et al., 2022). Gene expression patterns in the late season were comparable to those observed in

the early season, showing significant down-regulation of genes related to cellular processes,

especially cell cycle regulation. Two genes, *kifc1* and *aurkb*, showed differential expression and

had at least one DMC in their promoters, and both were associated with cell-cycle regulation.

Hence, the late season showed similar growth performance and nutritional status to the normal

season, while the gene expression pattern was similar to the early season.

Three altered spawning seasons vs. normal season: Although strong similarities were

observed in gene expression patterns between early and late spawning seasons, certain genes

exhibited common regulation in both gene expression and DNA methylation across all three

altered spawning seasons. Among the four DEGs identified in the off-season, choline-phosphate

cytidylyltransferase B (*pcyt1b*) and bone morphogenetic protein 5-like (*bmp5*) showed

concordant down-regulation across all three altered spawning seasons. The *pcyt1b* gene encodes

an enzyme involved in phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis, playing essential roles in multiple

metabolic pathways (Bateman et al., 2022), while the *bmp5* gene is involved in signalling

(Kanehisa and Goto, 2000a). Additionally, all DMCs found in the promoter of the cytotoxic T

lymphocyte-associated protein 2 beta (*ctl2b*) gene were hyper-methylated across all three altered

spawning seasons. The function of *ctl2b* remains unknown, but it shares similarities with genes

encoding cysteine proteinase, an enzyme involved in protein breakdown within cells (Denizot et

al., 1989). Furthermore, among genes that were both differentially expressed and had at least one

DMC in their promoters, the *caprin-1* gene exhibited consistent regulation, with all DMCs being

hypo-methylated and its expression being suppressed. The *caprin-1* gene is associated with cell

cycle regulation, playing a crucial role in cellular activation or proliferation (Grill et al., 2004).

This indicates that the off-season also influenced cell cycle regulation to a certain degree.

Why are our findings important in the context of aquaculture and nutritional

studies?

Our study unveiled the significant effects of altered spawning season on offspring gene

expression and DNA methylation patterns in Atlantic salmon. These findings provide valuable

insights into assessing current and future growth potential, which can be challenging to

determine using only nutritional status and growth performance measures. Understanding the

gene regulation and epigenetic responses to altered spawning seasons will help develop strategies

to optimize growth and production in aquaculture practices. Additionally, our study can be linked

to the potential impact of increasing global ocean temperatures on the spawning of aquatic

vertebrates, as well as the northward shift in habitats followed by changes in photoperiod, as

both temperature and light are key abiotic factors influencing spawning seasons. Even though

genetic and epigenetic profiles can be species- and even tissue-specific, the approaches we

demonstrated here could be applied to other fish species whose spawning seasons are artificially

altered in aquaculture practices. Nonetheless, further studies are needed to elucidate the

fundamental effects caused by spawning season alterations across various species.

Conclusions

The present study emphasises the substantial impact of altering spawning seasons on gene

expression and DNA methylation patterns in Atlantic salmon offspring. Our observations

indicate that RAS-based off-season spawning exerts an influence on lipid-mediated regulations

in offspring, while sea-pen based early and late seasons affect the regulation of the offspring's

cellular processes, especially cell cycle regulation. These effects potentially play a crucial role in

shaping both current and future growth performance.

These findings have important implications for aquaculture and nutritional studies, as

they provide valuable tools for assessing growth potential and optimizing production strategies.

Future research focusing on tissue and developmental stage-specific DNA methylation maps will

enhance the accuracy of growth performance estimation and provide further insights into the

gene regulation and epigenetic responses to altered spawning conditions.

Acknowledgements

614 We thank Amelie Nemc and Bekir Ergüner for advice on RRBS analysis, and Hui-Shan Tung

615 and Eva Mykkeltvedt for DNA and RNA extraction at the Institute of Marine Research (Bergen,

616 Norway). This work was supported by the Norwegian Research Council under project no:

617 267787 (NutrEpi) and by the Institute of Marine Research under the Nutritional programming

618 project.

Author contributions

621 K.H.S conceived and designed the research. M.E. and M.M. conducted and designed the feeding

622 trial. J.M.O.F. and C.B. prepared RNA-seq and RRBS data. T.S., M.E., and K.H.S. analysed and

623   interpreted data. T.S. drafted the manuscript, and K.H.S revised it. The authors read and

624   approved the final manuscript.

Disclosure statement

627   No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

Akalin, A., Kormaksson, M., Li, S., Garrett-Bakelman, F.E., Figueroa, M.E., Melnick, A.,
Mason, C.E., 2012. methylKit: a comprehensive R package for the analysis of genome-wide
DNA methylation profiles. Genome Biol 13(10). https://doi.org/ARTN R87
10.1186/gb-2012-13-10-R87
Akram, M., 2014. Citric acid cycle and role of its intermediates in metabolism. Cell Biochem
Biophys 68(3), 475-478. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12013-013-9750-1
Alliance of Genome Resources, C., 2022. Harmonizing model organism data in the Alliance of
Genome Resources. Genetics 220(4). https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyac022
Amenyah, S.D., Hughes, C.F., Ward, M., Rosborough, S., Deane, J., Thursby, S.J., Walsh, C.P.,
Kok, D.E., Strain, J.J., McNulty, H., Lees-Murdock, D.J., 2020. Influence of nutrients involved
in one-carbon metabolism on DNA methylation in adults-a systematic review and meta-analysis.
Nutr Rev 78(8), 647-666. https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuz094
Babu, E., Kanai, Y., Chairoungdua, A., Kim, D.K., Iribe, Y., Tangtrongsup, S., Jutabha, P., Li, Y.,
Ahmed, N., Sakamoto, S., Anzai, N., Nagamori, S., Endou, H., 2003. Identification of a novel
system L amino acid transporter structurally distinct from heterodimeric amino acid transporters.
J Biol Chem 278(44), 43838-43845. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M305221200
Bateman, A., Martin, M.J., Orchard, S., Magrane, M., Ahmad, S., Alpi, E., Bowler-Barnett, E.H.,
Britto, R., Cukura, A., Denny, P., Dogan, T., Ebenezer, T., Fan, J., Garmiri, P., Gonzales, L.J.D.,
Hatton-Ellis, E., Hussein, A., Ignatchenko, A., Insana, G., Ishtiaq, R., Joshi, V., Jyothi, D.,
Kandasaamy, S., Lock, A., Luciani, A., Lugaric, M., Luo, J., Lussi, Y., MacDougall, A., Madeira,
F., Mahmoudy, M., Mishra, A., Moulang, K., Nightingale, A., Pundir, S., Qi, G.Y., Raj, S.,
Raposo, P., Rice, D.L., Saidi, R., Santos, R., Speretta, E., Stephenson, J., Totoo, P., Turner, E.,
Tyagi, N., Vasudev, P., Warner, K., Watkins, X., Zellner, H., Bridge, A.J., Aimo, L., Argoud-Puy,
G.L., Auchincloss, A.H., Axelsen, K.B., Bansal, P., Baratin, D., Neto, T.M.B., Blatter, M.C.,
Bolleman, J.T., Boutet, E., Breuza, L., Gil, B.C., Casals-Casas, C., Echioukh, K.C., Coudert, E.,
Cuche, B., de Castro, E., Estreicher, A., Famiglietti, M.L., Feuermann, M., Gasteiger, E., Gaudet,
P., Gehant, S., Gerritsen, V., Gos, A., Gruaz, N., Hulo, C., Hyka-Nouspikel, N., Jungo, F.,

Kerhornou, A., Le Mercier, P., Lieberherr, D., Masson, P., Morgat, A., Muthukrishnan, V., Paesano, S., Pedruzzi, I., Pilbout, S., Pourcel, L., Poux, S., Pozzato, M., Pruess, M., Redaschi, N., Rivoire, C., Sigrist, C.J.A., Sonesson, K., Arighi, C.N., Armin-ski, L., Chen, C.M., Chen, Y.X., Huang, H.Z., Laiho, K., McGarvey, P., Natale, D.A., Ross, K., Vinayaka, C.R., Wang, Q.H., Wang, Y.Q., Zhang, J., Bye-A-Jee, H., Zaru, R., Sundaram, S., Wu, C.H., Consortium, U., 2022. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. Nucleic Acids Res. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1052

Benjamini, Y., Hochberg, Y., 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate - a Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Stat Soc B 57(1), 289-300. https://doi.org/DOI 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

Brants, J., Semenchenko, K., Wasylyk, C., Robert, A., Carles, A., Zambrano, A., Pradeau-Aubreton, K., Birck, C., Schalken, J.A., Poch, O., de Mey, J., Wasylyk, B., 2012. Tubulin tyrosine ligase like 12, a TTLL family member with SET- and TTL-like domains and roles in histone and tubulin modifications and mitosis. PLoS One 7(12), e51258. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051258

Cappel, D.A., Deja, S., Duarte, J.A.G., Kucejova, B., Inigo, M., Fletcher, J.A., Fu, X., Berglund, E.D., Liu, T., Elmquist, J.K., Hammer, S., Mishra, P., Browning, J.D., Burgess, S.C., 2019. Pyruvate-Carboxylase-Mediated Anaplerosis Promotes Antioxidant Capacity by Sustaining TCA Cycle and Redox Metabolism in Liver. Cell Metab 29(6), 1291-1305 e1298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2019.03.014

Council, N.R., 2011. Nutrient requirements of fish and shrimp. National academies press, Washington, DC.

Danchin, A., Sekowska, A., You, C., 2020. One-carbon metabolism, folate, zinc and translation. Microb Biotechnol 13(4), 899-925. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13550

Del Giacco, L., Pistocchi, A., Ghilardi, A., 2010. prox1b Activity is essential in zebrafish lymphangiogenesis. PLoS One 5(10), e13170. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013170

Denizot, F., Brunet, J.F., Roustan, P., Harper, K., Suzan, M., Luciani, M.F., Mattei, M.G., Golstein, P., 1989. Novel structures CTLA-2 alpha and CTLA-2 beta expressed in mouse activated T cells and mast cells and homologous to cysteine proteinase proregions. Eur J Immunol 19(4), 631-635. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.1830190409

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., Gingeras, T.R., 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29(1), 15-21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Ducker, G.S., Rabinowitz, J.D., 2017. One-Carbon Metabolism in Health and Disease. Cell Metab 25(1), 27-42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.009

Fahy, E., Cotter, D., Sud, M., Subramaniam, S., 2011. Lipid classification, structures and tools. Biochim Biophys Acta 1811(11), 637-647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2011.06.009

Felig, P., 1973. The glucose-alanine cycle. Metabolism 22(2), 179-207. https://doi.org/10.1016/0026-0495(73)90269-2

Garner, K., Hunt, A.N., Koster, G., Somerharju, P., Groves, E., Li, M., Raghu, P., Holic, R., Cockcroft, S., 2012. Phosphatidylinositol transfer protein, cytoplasmic 1 (PITPNC1) binds and transfers phosphatidic acid. J Biol Chem 287(38), 32263-32276. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.375840

Goll, M.G., Bestor, T.H., 2005. Eukaryotic cytosine methyltransferases. Annu Rev Biochem 74, 481-514. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.74.010904.153721

Good, C., Davidson, J., 2016. A Review of Factors Influencing Maturation of Atlantic Salmon,
Salmo salar, with Focus on Water Recirculation Aquaculture System Environments. Journal of
the World Aquaculture Society 47(5), 605-632. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jwas.12342
Grill, B., Wilson, G.M., Zhang, K.X., Wang, B., Doyonnas, R., Quadroni, M., Schrader, J.W.,
2004. Activation/division of lymphocytes results in increased levels of cytoplasmic
activation/proliferation-associated protein-1: prototype of a new family of proteins. J Immunol
172(4), 2389-2400. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.172.4.2389
Grinberg, A.V., Hannemann, F., Schiffler, B., Muller, J., Heinemann, U., Bernhardt, R., 2000.
Adrenodoxin: structure, stability, and electron transfer properties. Proteins 40(4), 590-612.
https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0134(20000901)40:4<590::aid-prot50>3.0.co;2-p
Hamre, K., Sissener, N.H., Lock, E.J., Olsvik, P.A., Espe, M., Torstensen, B.E., Silva, J.,
Johansen, J., Waagbo, R., Hemre, G.I., 2016. Antioxidant nutrition in Atlantic salmon (Salmo
salar) parr and post-smolt, fed diets with high inclusion of plant ingredients and graded levels of
micronutrients and selected amino acids. PeerJ 4, e2688. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2688
Hansson, R., Wikvall, K., 1982. Hydroxylations in biosynthesis of bile acids. Cytochrome P-450
LM4 and 12alpha-hydroxylation of 5beta-cholestane-3alpha, 7alpha-diol. Eur J Biochem 125(2),
423-429. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1982.tb06700.x
Hemre, G.I., Lock, E.J., Olsvik, P.A., Hamre, K., Espe, M., Torstensen, B.E., Silva, J., Hansen,
A.C., Waagbo, R., Johansen, J.S., Sanden, M., Sissener, N.H., 2016. Atlantic salmon (Salmo
salar) require increased dietary levels of B-vitamins when fed diets with high inclusion of plant
based ingredients. PeerJ 4, e2493. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2493
Hu, J.S., Olson, E.N., Kingston, R.E., 1992. HEB, a helix-loop-helix protein related to E2A and
ITF2 that can modulate the DNA-binding ability of myogenic regulatory factors. Mol Cell Biol
12(3), 1031-1042. https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.12.3.1031-1042.1992
Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., 2000a. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic
Acids Res 28(1), 27-30. https://doi.org/DOI 10.1093/nar/28.1.27
Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., 2000b. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic
Acids Res 28(1), 27-30. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27
Kolle, G., Georgas, K., Holmes, G.P., Little, M.H., Yamada, T., 2000. CRIM1, a novel gene
encoding a cysteine-rich repeat protein, is developmentally regulated and implicated in
vertebrate CNS development and organogenesis. Mech Dev 90(2), 181-193.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4773(99)00248-8
Koster, J., Rahmann, S., 2012. Snakemake-a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine.
Bioinformatics 28(19), 2520-2522. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts480
Krueger, F., Andrews, S.R., 2011. Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for
Bisulfite-Seq applications. Bioinformatics 27(11), 1571-1572.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr167
Ku, N.O., Strnad, P., Zhong, B.H., Tao, G.Z., Omary, M.B., 2007. Keratins let liver live:
Mutations predispose to liver disease and crosslinking generates Mallory-Denk bodies.
Hepatology 46(5), 1639-1649. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.21976
Lanata, C.M., Chung, S.A., Criswell, L.A., 2018. DNA methylation 101: what is important to
know about DNA methylation and its role in SLE risk and disease heterogeneity. Lupus Sci Med
5(1), e000285. https://doi.org/10.1136/lupus-2018-000285
Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., Salzberg, S.L., 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient
alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol 10(3).
https://doi.org/ARTN R25

10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25

Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., Shi, W., 2014. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30(7), 923-930.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S., 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15(12). https://doi.org/ARTN 550

10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Lyon, P., Strippoli, V., Fang, B., Cimmino, L., 2020. B Vitamins and One-Carbon Metabolism:

Implications in Human Health and Disease. Nutrients 12(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12092867

Martin, M., 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

2011 17(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

Moore, L.D., Le, T., Fan, G., 2013. DNA methylation and its basic function.

Neuropsychopharmacology 38(1), 23-38. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2012.112

Naeve, I., Mommens, M., Arukwe, A., Kjorsvik, E., 2018. Ultrasound as a noninvasive tool for

monitoring reproductive physiology in female Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Physiol Rep 6(9),

e13640. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.13640

Norris, R.A., Scott, K.K., Moore, C.S., Stetten, G., Brown, C.R., Jabs, E.W., Wulfsberg, E.A.,

Yu, J., Kern, M.J., 2000. Human PRRX1 and PRRX2 genes: cloning, expression, genomic

localization, and exclusion as disease genes for Nager syndrome. Mamm Genome 11(11), 1000-

1005. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003350010193

Pankhurst, N.W., King, H.R., 2010. Temperature and salmonid reproduction: implications for

aquaculture. J Fish Biol 76(1), 69-85. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02484.x

Saito, T., Espe, M., Vikeså, V., Bock, C., Thomsen, T.H., Adam, A.-C., Fernandes, J.M.O.,

Skjaerven, K.H., 2023. One-carbon metabolism nutrients impact the interplay between DNA

methylation and gene expression in liver, enhancing protein synthesis in Atlantic Salmon.

bioRxiv, 2023.2009.2018.558254. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.18.558254

Saito, T., Whatmore, P., Taylor, J.F., Fernandes, J.M.O., Adam, A.C., Tocher, D.R., Espe, M.,

Skjaerven, K.H., 2021. Micronutrient supplementation affects transcriptional and epigenetic

regulation of lipid metabolism in a dose-dependent manner. Epigenetics 16(11), 1217-1234.

https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2020.1859867

Scott, C.L., Gil, J., Hernando, E., Teruya-Feldstein, J., Narita, M., Martinez, D., Visakorpi, T.,

Mu, D., Cordon-Cardo, C., Peters, G., Beach, D., Lowe, S.W., 2007. Role of the chromobox

protein CBX7 in lymphomagenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104(13), 5389-5394.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0608721104

Skjærven, K.H., Mommens, M., Adam, A.-C., Saito, T., Oveland, E., Espe, M., 2022. Earlier or

delayed seasonal broodstock spawning changes nutritional status and metabolic programming of

growth for next-generation Atlantic salmon. Aquaculture 554, 738187.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738187

Skjaerven, K.H., Oveland, E., Mommens, M., Samori, E., Saito, T., Adam, A.C., Espe, M., 2020.

Out-of-season spawning affects the nutritional status and gene expression in both Atlantic

salmon female broodstock and their offspring. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 247,

110717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2020.110717

Stolowich, N.J., Petrescu, A.D., Huang, H., Martin, G.G., Scott, A.I., Schroeder, F., 2002. Sterol

carrier protein-2: structure reveals function. Cell Mol Life Sci 59(2), 193-212.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-002-8416-8

Takashima, M., Ogawa, W., Hayashi, K., Inoue, H., Kinoshita, S., Okamoto, Y., Sakaue, H.,
Wataoka, Y., Emi, A., Senga, Y., Matsuki, Y., Watanabe, E., Hiramatsu, R., Kasuga, M., 2010.
Role of KLF15 in regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis and metformin action. Diabetes 59(7),
1608-1615. https://doi.org/10.2337/db09-1679
Taranger, G.L., Carrillo, M., Schulz, R.W., Fontaine, P., Zanuy, S., Felip, A., Weltzien, F.A.,
Dufour, S., Karlsen, O., Norberg, B., Andersson, E., Hansen, T., 2010. Control of puberty in
farmed fish. Gen Comp Endocrinol 165(3), 483-515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2009.05.004
Tomaru, T., Satoh, T., Yoshino, S., Ishizuka, T., Hashimoto, K., Monden, T., Yamada, M., Mori,
M., 2006. Isolation and characterization of a transcriptional cofactor and its novel isoform that
bind the deoxyribonucleic acid-binding domain of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-
gamma. Endocrinology 147(1), 377-388. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2005-0450
Walczak, C.E., Verma, S., Mitchison, T.J., 1997. XCTK2: a kinesin-related protein that promotes
mitotic spindle assembly in Xenopus laevis egg extracts. J Cell Biol 136(4), 859-870.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.136.4.859
Wang, H.Q., Tuominen, L.K., Tsai, C.J., 2011. SLIM: a sliding linear model for estimating the
proportion of true null hypotheses in datasets with dependence structures. Bioinformatics 27(2),
225-231. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq650
Westfall, C.S., Levin, P.A., 2018. Comprehensive analysis of central carbon metabolism
illuminates connections between nutrient availability, growth rate, and cell morphology in
Escherichia coli. PLoS Genet 14(2), e1007205. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007205
Wheatley, S.P., Carvalho, A., Vagnarelli, P., Earnshaw, W.C., 2001. INCENP is required for
proper targeting of Survivin to the centromeres and the anaphase spindle during mitosis. Curr
Biol 11(11), 886-890. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00238-x
Wu, G., 2010. Functional amino acids in growth, reproduction, and health. Adv Nutr 1(1), 31-37.
https://doi.org/10.3945/an.110.1008
Yu, G.C., Wang, L.G., Han, Y.Y., He, Q.Y., 2012. clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing
Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. Omics 16(5), 284-287.
https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2011.0118
Zhou, B., Gitschier, J., 1997. hCTR1: a human gene for copper uptake identified by
complementation in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94(14), 7481-7486.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.14.7481
824

Figures

Fig. 1. Experimental design, body weights, and nutritional status across spawning seasons.

a) Schematic diagram illustrating four distinct spawning seasons: off-season (O), early (E), normal (N), and late (L). The off-season was conducted in RAS, while others were in a sea-based environment. Broodstock were transferred from seawater (blue bars) to freshwater (light blue bars) before spawning. Offspring were raised in freshwater until sampling (green bars). Triangles indicate sampling points: broodstock (blue), eggs (red), and larvae (green). **b)** Boxplots showing body weights of broodstock (n=5) and larvae (n=180) per season. Red letters represent Tukey's HSD compact letter display after ANOVA tests (p<0.05). **c)** Boxplots of 12 nutrients and metabolites in egg samples. Nutrients and metabolites are categorised into four groups: 1C metabolism, lipid classes, citric acid cycle, and Cahill cycle. Units: 1C metabolism group (Vitamin B6: mg/kg ww, Folate: mg/kg ww, Vitamin B12: μg/kg ww); remaining groups: lipid classes (mg/g ww), citric acid cycle (μmol/g ww), Cahill cycle (μmol/g ww). Red letters represent Tukey's HSD compact letter display after ANOVA tests (p<0.05).

Fig. 2. Gene expression analysis comparing altered spawning seasons to the normal season.

a) PCA plots displaying clustering patterns of four spawning seasons - off-season (red), normal (green), early (blue), and late (purple) - using 20 RNA-seq samples. "All mapped" plot includes 45153 genes, while "Top 500" includes top 500 genes with high variance. **b)** Volcano plots showing differential expression analysis results for three pairwise comparisons: off-season vs. normal (Off-season), early vs. normal (Early), and late vs. normal (Late). Dots represent down-regulated DEGs (blue), up-regulated DEGs (red), and non-DEGs (grey). The counts of DEG and non-DEGs were provided in the legend. **c)** Venn diagrams illustrating overlaps of DEGs identified between two comparisons, indicating common DEGs as well as those exclusive to a single comparison.

Fig. 3. Enriched KEGG pathways for DEGs identified in three pair-wise comparisons.

Heatmaps showing log fold changes (LFCs) of genes associated with 11 KEGG pathways enriched through over-representation analysis. LFCs underwent normal shrinkage transformation. Genes were included if identified as DEGs in at least one of the three comparisons: off-season vs normal (Off), early vs normal (Early), and late vs normal (Late). The number below each pathway represents DEG count. KEGG pathways are categorized into metabolism, cellular processes, and organismal systems groups.

Fig. 4. Distribution of methylated CpG sites across genomic regions.

Box plots displaying liver DNA methylation status during the lifespan of Atlantic salmon; data from the present study (larvae, light blue) and two other studies: post-smolt (blue) and harvest (dark blue). In the larvae stage, O, E, N, L indicate off-season, early, normal, and late seasons, respectively. While the post-smolt stage contains three groups with different 1C-metabolism nutrient levels (Ctrl, 1C+, and 1C++), the harvest stage contains three groups with different micronutrient levels (L1, L2, and L3) in their diets. Horizontal lines indicate the average rates for each group. **a)** Box plots showing average methylation rates of the samples from three developmental stages. **b)** Genomic region diagram illustrating three regions: flanking regions (Flank, red), promoters (P, blue), and gene bodies (GB, green). The promoter region is divided into three subregions: P250, P1K, and P5K. The gene body includes exons and introns. **c)** Box plots showing average methylation rates of the samples from three developmental stages across eight genomic regions. **d)** Box plots showing average methylation rates of the samples from four spawning seasons across eight genomic regions.

Fig. 5. DNA methylation differences and correlations with gene expression.

a) Histograms displaying distributions of methylation rate differences in six pairwise
comparisons. Hypo-methylated DMCs in blue, hyper-methylated DMCs in red, non-DMCs in
grey. All CpG sites in plots showed significant methylation differences. **b)** Ridge density plots
illustrating distribution patterns of methylated CpG sites across four spawning seasons: off-
season (red), early (green), normal (blue), and late (purple). CpG sites were selected if at least
one site identified as DMC in any of six comparisons. **c)** Scatter plots showing the relationship
between methylation rate differences and log fold changes (LFCs) of gene expression. DMCs
were selected from three comparisons: off-season vs. normal (Off-season), early vs. normal
(Early), and late vs. normal (Late), and also selected based on two regions, P250 and P1K.
Corresponding genes were linked to these DMCs chosen, and their LFCs were transformed by
normal shrinkage. Data points are color-coded to indicate DEGs in red and non-DEGs in grey.

Tables

Table 1. List of top five DEGs identified in at least two pairwise comparisons.

Pattern[1]	Gene ID	Symbol	LFC[2]			Gene name[3]	Function
			Off-season	Early	Late		
All Down	106563634	*pcyt1b*	-1.9 *	-1.1	-1.6 *	choline-phosphate cytidylyltransferase B	Metabolism
	106612672	*bmp5*	-1.2 *	-1.2 *	-0.7	bone morphogenetic protein 5-like	Signalling
	106585803	*rhobtb4*	-0.3	-1.1 *	-0.9 *	Rho related BTB domain containing 4	Ubiquitin mediated proteolysis
	106608456	*prox3*	-0.2	-2.3 *	-1.7 *	prospero homeobox 3	Lymphangiogenesis
	106584736	*tcf12*	-0.7	-3.3 *	-2.3 *	transcription factor 12	Myogenic regulatory
Up & Down	106592454	*pc*	-1.7 *	1.5 *	0.9	pyruvate carboxylase, mitochondrial-like	Pyruvate metabolism, TCA cycle, redox
	106568312	*pitpnm2*	0.6	-2.1 *	-2.6 *	membrane-associated phosphatidylinositol transfer protein 2-like	Cell signalling
All Up	106612264	-	5.2 *	4.1	6.1 *	Uncharacterized	-
	106601246	-	1.8 *	2.2 *	1.5	Uncharacterized	-
	106613828	*crim1*	0.3	3.0 *	3.0 *	cysteine-rich motor neuron 1 protein-like	CNS development, Bone morphogenic and growth factor
	106566973	*krt8*	0.1	1.3 *	1.0 *	keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8	Liver development
	106583637	*klf15*	2.3	4.5 *	3.8 *	Krueppel-like factor 15	Metabolism
	106569008	*cyp7a1*	1.4	3.1 *	2.9 *	cytochrome P450 7A1	Metabolism

[1]All Down: All three comparisons showed down-regulation, Down+Up: Comparisons showed both down- and up-regulation. All Up: All three comparisons showed up-regulation. [2]Log fold changes of pairwise comparison against the normal season. *: significant LFC (adjusted p-value<0.05). [3]When gene symbols were unavailable, we utilised the gene symbols from either the latest NCBI version or UniProt as substitutes.

902 **Table 2**. List of genes with multiple DMCs in their promoter region.
903

Pattern[1]	Gene ID	Symbol	DMC[2]			Gene name	Function
			Off-season	Early	Late		
All hypo	106563027	*slc31a1*	Hypo (3) *	-	Hypo (3) *	solute carrier family 31 member 1	Transporter
Hyper & Hypo	106567512	*stk32a*	-	Hypo (2)	Hypo (2), * Hyper (1)	serine/threonine-protein kinase 32A	Signalling
	106607889	*inf2*	Hypo (1)	Hyper (3) *	-	inverted formin-2-like	Actin filament polymerization
	106584059	*scp-2*	Hyper * (4)	Hypo (1)	Hyper (1)	sterol carrier protein 2-like	Lipid transfer, transporter
	106579168	*klhl11*	Hypo (1), * Hyper (2)	-	-	kelch-like protein 11	Ubiquitination
All hyper	100196480	*ctl2b*	Hyper (3)	Hyper (7) *	Hyper (2)	CTLA-2-beta	Unknown
	106573279	-	-	Hyper (1)	Hyper (3) *	Uncharacterized	-
	106583651	*helz2*	Hyper * (4)	-	-	helicase with zinc finger domain 2-like	Transcriptional coactivator
	106581656	*lrrfip2*	Hyper * (3)	-	-	leucine-rich repeat flightless-interacting protein 2-like	Signalling
	106609256	*prrx2*	Hyper * (3)	-	-	paired mesoderm homeobox protein 2-like	Transcription
	106576160	*ttll12*	-	Hyper (3) *	-	tubulin tyrosine ligase-like family, member 12	Post-translational modification

904
905 [1]All hypo: All three comparisons showed hypo-methylated DMCs, Hyper & Hypo: Comparisons showed both hypo-
906 and hyper-methylated DMCs. All hyper: All three comparisons showed hyper-methylated DMCs. [2]Either hyper or
907 hypo-methylated DMC against the normal season. The number in brackets represents the number of DMCs. *: genes
908 selected by filtering of multiple DMCs.

910 **Table 3**. List of DEGs that contain DMCs in their promoter region.

Gene ID	Symbol	DMC[1]			DEG[2]			Gene name	Function
		Off-season	Early	Late	Off-season	Early	Late		
106562317	*caprin-1*	Hypo	(Hypo)	(Hypo)	Down	(Down)	(Down)	caprin-1	Cell cycle
106599887	*cyp8b1*	Hypo	-	-	Down	-	-	5-beta-cholestane-3-alpha,7-alpha-diol 12-alpha-hydroxylase	Metabolism, cytochrome P450
106588407	*kifc1*	-	Hypo	Hypo	-	Down	Down	carboxy-terminal kinesin 2	Cell cycle, Meiosis
106582038	*adrenodoxin*	-	Hypo		-	Down	-	adrenodoxin	Metabolism, cytochrome P450
106604665	*slc43a1a*	-	Hypo	-	-	Up	(Up)	solute carrier family 43 member 1a	Transporter
106561604	*aurkb*	(Hyper)	Hyper	Hyper	-	Down	Down	aurora kinase B	Cell cycle
106570052	*lpin1*	-	Hyper	-	-	Up	-	phosphatidate phosphatase LPIN1	Metabolism

912
913 [1]Either hypo- or hyper-methylated DMC against the normal season. Parentheses indicate that the site was identified
914 as DMC, but the corresponding genes were not identified as stringent DEG. [2]Either up- or down-regulation against
915 the normal season. Parentheses indicate that down- or up-regulation was determined by non-stringent DEGs.