# Spatial transcriptomics reveals distinct lineage identities for major and minor vein initiation during maize leaf development

**Chiara Perico[1], Maricris Zaidem[1], Olga Sedelnikova[2], Samik Bhattacharya[3], Christian Korfhage[3] & Jane A. Langdale[1*]**

[1] Department of Biology, University of Oxford, South Parks Rd, Oxford, OX1 3RB, UK

[2] Syngenta Jealott's Hill International Research Centre, Bracknell, RG42 6EY, UK

[3] Resolve BioSciences GmbH, Alfred-Nobel-Straße 10, 40789 Monheim am Rhein, Germany

* Author for correspondence: jane.langdale@biology.ox.ac.uk

## ABSTRACT

Leaves of flowering plants are characterised by diverse venation patterns. Patterning begins with the selection of vein-forming procambial initial cells from within the ground meristem of a developing leaf, a process which is considered to be auxin-dependent, and continues until veins are anatomically differentiated with functional xylem and phloem. At present, the mechanisms responsible for leaf venation patterning are primarily characterized in the model eudicot *Arabidopsis thaliana* which displays a reticulate venation network. However, evidence suggests that vein development may proceed via a different mechanism in monocot leaves where venation patterning is parallel. Here, we employed spatial transcriptomics to analyse the spatiotemporal localisation of a subset of auxin related genes and candidate regulators of vein patterning in maize leaves. We show how different combinations of auxin influx and efflux transporters are recruited during leaf and vein specification, and how major and minor vein ranks develop with distinct identities. The localisation of the procambial marker *PIN1a* and the spatial arrangement of procambial initial cells that give rise to major and minor vein ranks further suggests that vein spacing is pre-patterned across the medio-lateral leaf axis prior to accumulation of the PIN1a auxin transporter. In contrast, patterning in the adaxial-abaxial axis occurs progressively, with markers of xylem and phloem gradually becoming polarised as differentiation proceeds. Collectively our data suggest that both lineage- and position-based mechanisms underpin vein patterning in maize leaves.

## SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

During the development of multicellular organisms specialized cell-types differentiate from pluripotent stem cells, with cell identity acquired via lineage- or position-based mechanisms. In plants, most organs develop post-embryogenesis and as such developmental processes are influenced by the external environment. To adapt to different environmental contexts and yet still form recognizable organs, tissues and cell-types, position-based differentiation mechanisms are deployed in which cells adopt a certain fate depending on the activity of neighbouring cells. Such is the prevalence of position-based mechanisms in plant development that a role for lineage is rarely contemplated. Here we provide a rare example of lineage-based specification in maize where stem cells that give rise to different leaf vein types are inherently distinct at inception.

## INTRODUCTION

Within flowering plants distinct leaf venation patterns characterise the leaves of eudicotyledonous and monocotyledonous species, which display reticulate networks and parallel venation respectively (reviewed in (1)). The characteristics of parallel vein formation have been studied primarily in leaves of the monocot grass *Zea mays* (maize). As in all flowering plants, maize leaves emerge from the flanks of the shoot apical meristem (SAM) in a regular pattern. The SAM itself comprises two layers, an outer (epidermal) L1 layer and an inner L2 layer, both of which are recruited into new leaf primordia as they are formed (2). Leaves emerge sequentially on opposite sides of the SAM (alternate phyllotaxis) and at regular time intervals called plastochrons (P) (3) The incipient leaf, not yet distinguishable

anatomically but for which the position is already defined is referred to as P0, the youngest visible leaf primordium as P1, and older leaves with increasing plastochron numbers (4). Primordia inception is marked by an auxin maximum and by down-regulation of the homeobox gene *KNOTTED1* (*KN1*) which acts in the SAM to promote indeterminacy within both the central zone (CZ) of stem cells and the flanking peripheral zone (PZ) that gives rise to leaves. As primordia develop, proximo-distal (base-tip), medio-lateral (centre-margin) and adaxial-abaxial (upper-lower) leaf axes are established, each of which provides the spatial context in which veins develop (reviewed in (5)). Of particular importance is the establishment of a central layer of ground tissue in the adaxial-abaxial axis at P1, from within which all veins are subsequently initiated (6, 7). Parallel venation in maize leaves thus results from the specification of veins at regular intervals across the medio-lateral leaf axis, within a single layer of ground tissue in the adaxial-abaxial axis.

The maize leaf is characterised by several vein ranks which develop in a spatiotemporally regulated manner at successive plastochrons. Regardless of rank, development begins with the specification of a procambial initial cell (referred to as a pre-procambial cell in eudicots) within the central layer of the ground meristem. Once specified, this cell divides transversely to establish and extend the procambial strand in the proximo-distal leaf axis, and longitudinally/obliquely to form the procambial centre from which tissues of the vein differentiate in the medio-lateral and adaxial-abaxial leaf axes. Major veins, which include the mid- and lateral veins, develop acropetally (base-to-tip) along the proximo-distal axis with the midvein positioned in the middle of the developing primordium by P1 and lateral veins specified either side of it between P2 and P3. Minor veins, which include rank-1 and rank-2 intermediates, develop basipetally (tip-to-base) from P3 and are spaced regularly between the major veins such that each vein pair is separated by four cells (two mesophyll and two bundle sheath) across the medio-lateral axis  (8–10). During the final stages of vein development, differentiation occurs in the adaxial-abaxial leaf axis, positioning xylem adaxially, phloem abaxially and sclerenchyma between the vein and epidermis. The final vein rank to form develops transversely, connecting the longitudinal veins across the medio-lateral leaf axis in a striate pattern.

Vein patterning mechanisms have been primarily studied in the model eudicot *Arabidopsis thaliana* where the auxin efflux carrier PIN1 is required for leaf initiation on the flanks of the SAM, specification of pre-procambial cells within the leaf primordium and directional extension of the procambial strands (11). In the SAM, the simultaneous action of *PIN1* and auxin influx carriers of the *AUX1/LAX* family generates the auxin maxima that determine the position of new leaves (12–14) and in the leaf *PIN1* activity induces the auxin activated transcription factors *MONOPTEROS* (*MP/ARF5*) and *ATHB8*, which commit cells from the ground meristem to pre-procambial and then procambial fate. A feedback loop between auxin transport, MP and ATHB8 then restricts the procambial strand to a couple of cell files in width (reviewed in (15)). The reticulate vein network results from the sequential specification of vein ranks with new veins developing towards pre-existing veins, which are used as positional landmarks towards which the auxin flux is directed (11, 16). The presence of parallel veins that are

precisely spaced across the medio-lateral leaf axis and a considerable expansion in the PIN and LAX

transporter gene families (17) suggest that mechanisms underpinning vein patterning in monocots

could be different from those found in *Arabidopsis*.

Recent genetic and transcriptome analyses have identified candidate regulators of venation patterns

in monocot leaves. For example, a role for the *AINTEGUMENTA* (*ANT*) family in vein formation is

supported by the finding that maize *ANT4* (18) is differentially expressed in median ground meristem

(mGM) cells when compared to pre-mesophyll cells, and mutations in the *Setaria viridis*

*AINTEGUMENTA1* (*ANT1*) gene lead to smaller leaves with distorted Kranz anatomy (19). Double

mutations in *SCARECROW1* (*SCR1*) and its homeolog *SCR1h* condition narrower spaces between

veins (20) and quadruple mutants with *NAKED ENDOSPERM1* (*NKD1*) and *NKD2* further enhance the

spacing defect (21). In contrast, mutations in *SHORTROOT1* (*SHR1*) and its paralog *SHR2* disrupt the

specification and/or subsequent development of veins (22–24), and mutations in *TOO MANY*

*LATERALS* (*TML1*) form lateral veins in the position where the rank 1 intermediate veins that extend

from blade to sheath normally develop (25). Whereas each of the genes mentioned thus far encode

transcription factors, leaf venation defects have also been observed in mutants that perturb auxin

biosynthesis, and transcriptome analyses have predicted a role for auxin transporters. For example, in

*SPARSE INFLORESCENCE1* (*SPI1*) and *VANISHING TASSEL2* (*VT2*) mutants, reduced levels of

auxin are associated with the formation of more intermediate veins in wider than normal leaves (26).

Since neither pharmacological nor genetic approaches have disrupted the correlation between vein

number and leaf width in monocots, any change of vein patterning accompanied by a change in leaf

width is unlikely to result from directly disrupting the patterning mechanism (27).  However, expression

of the *AtPIN1* ortholog *ZmPIN1a* in all vein ranks from early stages of vein and leaf development (26)

and a similar spatial expression pattern of the *LAX1* (note named *LAX2* in (18)) and *LAX2* (28) influx

carriers at later stages of vein development, strongly suggest that auxin flux plays a role in vein

patterning in the maize leaf. Although these observations are too fragmented to reveal any form of

gene regulatory network, consistent expression profiles and mutant phenotypes of orthologs in different

grass species (e.g. *TML1*, *SHR1, PIN1a/PIN1d*) suggest that core components of the mechanism

underpinning parallel venation patterns in monocots have been identified.

Here we employed the Molecular Cartography spatial transcriptomics platform to investigate cellular

relationships during early stages of maize leaf development. Spatial transcriptomics, a high-throughput

quantitative *in situ* hybridization technology, allows single cell detection of transcripts combined with a

visual interface to identify their localisation within a tissue (29–32). Focusing on  candidate regulators

of leaf initiation and vein patterning, we qualitatively and quantitatively defined spatial and temporal

patterns of transcript accumulation across consecutive stages of development in maize shoot apices.

These analyses revealed developmental patterns not previously detected by either morphological or

cell lineage analyses, providing insight into the specification of procambial initial cells, the

establishment of procambial centres giving rise to different vein ranks, and the differentiation of tissues

within each vein.

**RESULTS**

*Spatial transcriptomics reliably reports transcript accumulation patterns in maize shoot apices*

We sought to generate a spatial expression atlas in maize shoot apices for genes in which an aberrant

leaf venation phenotype has been described previously in either monocots or dicots (Table 1). This

group of genes included auxin efflux and influx transporters such as *PIN* and LAX family members,

auxin response transcription factors (ARFs) such as the *MP* co-orthologs *ARF4* and *ARF29*, plus

candidate regulators of Kranz anatomy and vein patterning such as *TML1*, *SHR* and *SCR*.

Hybridizations were carried out using transverse sections of wild-type B73 maize shoot apices,

comprising the SAM (M) and the first five leaf primordia (P1 to P5) (Fig.1A-B). These stages allowed

the spatial distribution of transcripts to be captured during the development of both major (midvein and

laterals) and minor (rank 1 and rank 2 intermediate) veins (Fig. 1C). Data were collected across two

experiments for a total of six sections. Calcofluor White (cell wall) and DAPI (nuclear DNA) staining

allowed for automatic cell segmentation with CellPose 2.0 (33), (Fig.1B) and enabled qualitative and

quantitative single-cell studies. A qualitative assessment showed consistency between spatial

transcriptomics and traditional *in situ* hybridizations (Figure S1). For example, *KNOTTED 1* (*KN1)*

transcripts were detected primarily in the L2 layer of the meristem with very low or no expression

detected in the L1 layer (Fig. S1A), consistent with published reports (34–36). Similarly, *PIN1a* (Fig.

S1B) and *TML1* (Fig. S1C) transcripts were detected as previously reported, that is in all veins at all

stages of leaf development (*PIN1a*) (26) or in spaces between major veins where intermediate veins

are developing (*TML1*) (25). For additional validation, we also carried out a traditional *in situ*

hybridization with *LAX2*, an auxin influx transporter. With spatial transcriptomics, *LAX2* transcripts were

detected in both major and minor veins (Fig. S1D), with higher levels detected in laterals (Fig. S1D,

arrowheads) than intermediates (Fig. S1D, asterisks). These observations were consistent with results

obtained by traditional *in situ* hybridization (Fig. S1E,F) (28). We thus concluded that spatial

transcriptomics can reliably detect transcripts in transverse sections of maize shoot apices and that the

single-cell information available following cell segmentation would enable a quantitative spatial

expression atlas to be generated.

*Transcript clusters distinguish functional domains in the maize shoot apical meristem*

To investigate cellular relationships in the SAM, we segmented M-P1 stages and carried out qualitative

and quantitative analyses of the candidate gene expression profiles within these tissues. Cluster

analysis revealed five distinct cell identities across the M-P1 stage, which includes both the SAM and

P1 primordium (Fig. 2A). To spatially visualise the clusters, two sections were analysed: the first (E1D2)

cut across the shoot apex at the base of P1 (Fig. 2B-C) and the second (S1A1) cut across the shoot

apex within the region of the P0 incipient leaf and included the tip of the P1 primordium (Fig. 2B, D).

Images of both sections show that one cluster corresponds to the central zone of the SAM, with high

levels of *KN1* detected (yellow, Fig. 2B-C, Fig. S2). A second 'peripheral zone' cluster (green) is
apparent either opposite a cluster corresponding to the developing P1 primordium (red, Fig. 2C) or
completely encircling the central zone except for a few cells with leaf primordium identity (Fig. 2D,
asterisks). Cells classified as dividing, due to the expression of genes encoding cyclins, primarily
localise within the developing P1 primordium (blue, Fig. 2A-D). This observation is consistent with a
rapid increase in cell number during early stages of leaf development. Finally, a handful of cells mark
the boundary between the central zone of the meristem and the developing P1 primordium (magenta,
Fig. 2A, C). Although the nature and function of these boundary cells in not clear, the other four clusters
clearly demarcate regions of the SAM with distinct functions. Given that leaf primordia are initiated
within the peripheral zone, we next sought to find traces of P0 identity in the peripheral zone opposite
the tip of the P1 primordium (Fig. 2B). In *Brachypodium* and tomato, PIN1d generates the auxin
maximum that determines the position of new leaves on the flanks of the SAM (37, 38). In our dataset,
cells with the highest combined expression of both *PIN1d* (Fig. 2E) and *LAX5* (Fig. 2F) were positioned
within the peripheral zone opposite the P1 primordium tip (Fig. 2G, Table S1). We therefore speculate
that high levels of *LAX5* and *PIN1d* mark the position of P0 in the maize meristem.

*Leaf initiation and midvein formation are marked by distinct auxin transporters*
The role of auxin transporters in directing auxin towards sites of both leaf and midvein initiation is well
documented in eudicots, with PIN1 playing a dominant role. To investigate whether specific auxin
transporters are associated with leaf and/or midvein initiation in maize, we compared transcript
accumulation profiles across the SAM and P1. Figure 3 shows hybridization of *PIN1d*, *PIN1a*, *LAX3*
and *LAX5* to transverse sections of two regions of the SAM, along with a visual summary of our
observations in a deduced longitudinal view. To verify our predicted P0 site, we first examined *KN1*
transcript accumulation. Importantly, transcripts were detected in the inner layers of the shoot apical
meristem (Fig. 3D-F) with near absence of accumulation in our predicted P0 region (Fig. 3E, dotted
line). For the genes encoding efflux transporters, *PIN1d* transcripts were detected throughout the
meristem and P1 (Fig. 3G-I), with the highest signal intensity at P0 (Fig. 3H). By contrast, *PIN1a*
transcripts were localised to the central zone of the meristem and were restricted to the midvein position
in the P1 primordium (Fig. 3J-L, asterisks). Notably, *PIN1a* transcript accumulation appeared to be
contiguous between P0 and the centre of the meristem, suggesting the presence of an auxin conduit
between P0 and the vasculature of the stem. Similar to the efflux carriers, the two influx transporters
also showed distinct profiles. *LAX3* (Fig. 3M-O) and *LAX5* (Fig. 3P-R), which are co-orthologues of
Arabidopsis *AUX1* and *LAX1* (17), were both detected in the peripheral zone of the meristem but not
in the central zone or in the P1 primordium. However, *LAX5* transcripts were detected specifically in
the newly forming P0 primordium (Fig. 3Q) whereas *LAX3* was detected both within and beyond the
P0 region (Fig. 3N). Collectively these results reveal that *PIN1d* and *LAX5* mark the position of the P0
primordium within the peripheral zone of the SAM whereas *PIN1a* marks both the region in the SAM
where the developing midvein will connect the new leaf to the vasculature of the stem, and the region
in the P1 primordium where the midvein develops.

*A transcript cluster corresponding to major vein identity emerges at P2*

Whereas the midvein position is determined by P1, lateral veins continue to be specified during P2 and thus to investigate cellular relationships at this later stage, we segmented P2 primordia and quantified transcript levels in each cell. Cluster analyses revealed five cellular identities, which we labelled based on the transcripts present and the spatial localisation within the primordium (Fig. 4A-C). Three of the clusters identified the three main tissue types: epidermis, ground tissue and vascular tissue. Dividing cells (as defined by expression of genes encoding cyclins) were present in all tissues types, although at variable levels. Intriguingly, the profile of cells in the epidermal cluster varied slightly between experiments. In one experiment there was a clear subdivision into two clusters (Fig. 4A-B, Fig. S2I-L): an abaxial epidermal cluster (grey) and an adaxial epidermal plus leaf margin cluster (cyan). The abaxial epidermis was characterized by high transcript levels of an epidermal marker (*MYB67*) and several ARFs (*ARF16, ARF34*, *ARF23*, Fig. 4D), whereas the adaxial epidermis and leaf margin cluster displayed high levels of *ANT4*, *PIN1d*, *LAX3* and *HB52* transcripts. This subdivision of the epidermal tissue was not as marked in a second experiment, where an epidermal cluster was identified but there was no clear subdivision between abaxial and adaxial/margin domains (Fig. S2G-H). Notably, differences between experiments were also observed in overall *PIN1d*, *ARF16* and *ARF34* levels (Fig. S3), suggesting that the detection of these transcripts may be more sensitive to subtle changes and variations between experiments, or to the precise cutting plane of the sections or developmental stage of the plant. In both experiments, cells in the ground tissue predominantly accumulated  *ARF4* (*MP*), *ANT4* and *LAX1* transcripts, as well as low levels of *RVN1*, *SCR1/SCR1h* and *TML1* (Fig. 4D).

Unlike the epidermis and ground tissue, which are derived from the SAM and are present at P0, procambial initial cells that give rise to the leaf veins have to be specified from within the ground tissue at successive stages of primordium development. Our data reveal that a cell cluster with vascular identity emerges at P2 (orange, Fig. 4B-C) and that it includes both the midvein (Fig. 4C, arrowhead) and lateral veins (Fig. 4C, asterisks). The cluster is characterized by high levels of *PIN1a*, *SHR1*, *SHR2*, and *ANT4* transcripts and, to a lesser extent, *LAX1* and *LAX2*. Notably, the distance between veins identified by this cluster is consistently four to five cells (Fig. 4C, bracket), which suggests that venation patterns and the distance between veins are specified by P2. Also of note is that the midvein and lateral veins form a single cluster despite representing two distinct vein ranks and developing at different timepoints (Fig. 4C).  Collectively, these results show that by P2 the maize leaf primordium can be broadly subdivided into three major tissue types: ground tissue, epidermis and vasculature, with vascular identity marked by the accumulation of *PIN1a*, *SHR1*, *SHR2* and *ANT4* transcripts.

*TML1 marks a distinct intermediate vein cluster at P3 and P4*

Given that all major veins can be identified by a specific transcript cluster at P2, we next investigated whether minor vein ranks can be identified by the same or a different gene set. For this purpose, cluster analysis was carried out with data from P3 and P4 primordia, to capture the development of rank-1

intermediate veins. Analysis of P3 and P4 primordia identified six and seven clusters respectively (Fig.

5A-D). In the context of the epidermis, as for P2, discrepancies were seen between experiments in

terms of whether the epidermis was divided into distinct zones: one cluster associated with the abaxial

epidermis and another with both the adaxial epidermis and the leaf margin (Fig. 4A,B; Fig. 5A,B,E,F;

Fig S2M-R – grey and cyan respectively). In both experiments, however, the epidermal cluster was

distinct from the ground tissue, which again was similar to that seen at P2 with *PIN1a*, *LAX1*, *RVN1*,

*ANT4*, *HB52*, *ARF4*, *ARF16* and *ARF34* transcripts detected (Fig. 4D, Fig. 5C,D). The striking

difference between clusters identified at P2 versus those identified at later stages was that two distinct

vascular clusters were evident at P3 and P4.

The spatial localisation of the two vascular clusters and the spacing between them within the P3 and

P4 primordia suggest that they represent distinct lateral (Fig. 5G,H - orange) and intermediate (Fig.

5G,H - magenta) vein identities. Both clusters share a common set of transcripts which include *PIN1a*,

*LAX1*, *ANT4*, *PIN1d*, *HB52*, *ARF16* and *ARF24*. However, the intermediate vein cluster is distinguished

by high levels of *TML1* transcript (Fig. 5C,D), which is consistent with the observation that the *tml1*

mutation in maize specifically perturbs the development of rank-1 intermediate veins. The intermediate

vein cluster also displays lower levels of *SHR1* and *SHR2* transcripts than the lateral vein cluster (Fig.

5C,D). In conclusion, clustering of cells from stage P3 and P4 highlighted similarities and differences

in the development of different vein ranks. Both lateral and rank-1 intermediate veins accumulate a

similar set of auxin transporters and procambial markers, such as *PIN1a*, *LAX1*, *ANT4* and *HB52*.

However, the clear difference between the lateral and rank-1 intermediate vein clusters, and the

specific accumulation of *TML1* transcripts in the intermediate cluster, suggest that vein ranks are

transcriptionally distinct after just a few divisions of the procambial initial.

*Vein formation across the medio-lateral leaf axis reveals a temporal hierarchy of PIN1a, SHR1 and*

*LAX2 accumulation during early procambium development*

To determine the extent to which the spatial regulation of genes associated with vein formation is

accompanied by temporal regulation, we analysed the distribution of transcripts across the shoot apex.

For this analysis we focused on genes that are shared between lateral and intermediate veins clusters,

such as *PIN1a*, *SHR1*, *SHR2* and *ANT4,* in order to dissect events during the first few divisions of the

procambial initial. We discovered that *PIN1a* was the earliest and most persistent marker of vein

development, being detected at all stages of development from M-P1 to P5 (Fig. 6A). In M-P1, *PIN1a*

transcripts marked both the position of the developing midvein (Fig. 6B, white ellipse, "m") and the

central zone of the meristem, suggesting a role in connecting the newly developing midvein with the

vasculature of the stem. From P2 onwards, transcripts were detected in all vein ranks of all leaf

primordia, i.e. the midvein (Fig. 6C-D, white ellipse) laterals (Fig. 6D, empty arrowheads) and

intermediates (Fig. 6D, filled arrowheads). Notably, *PIN1a* transcripts were detected before and after

procambial centres became anatomically distinguishable from the surrounding ground tissue, with

other procambial and vascular markers following the appearance of *PIN1a*. For example, we detected

transcripts of the GRAS transcription factor *SHR1* (Fig. 6E) at the same sites as *PIN1a*, but at a slightly

later stage of development. These observations revealed not only that the expression of *SHR1* occurs

after that of *PIN1a*, but also that the development of veins across the medio-lateral axis follows a

specific dynamic: veins closer to the midrib express *SHR1* earlier than those closer to the leaf margin.

In a similar manner, we observed that *LAX2* transcripts accumulated at least one plastochron later than

*SHR1* (Fig. 6F). Together our data suggest that PIN1a is the earliest leaf procambial marker at the

developmental stages considered in this study and that other procambial markers follow in a specific

temporal hierarchy.

*Vascular markers become polarized in the adaxial-abaxial axis at late stages of vein development*

Having observed that venation markers are sequentially expressed during procambium initiation, we

next investigated whether localisation could also vary between early and late stages of vein

development. We took into consideration two vein ranks, the midvein and lateral veins, for which a

trajectory from early specification (M-P1 and P2 stage) to phloem and xylem differentiation (P3 to P5)

could be traced across the developmental stages in our study. Several markers for which transcripts

are localised in all vein ranks were analyzed. A representative example is provided in Figure 7A, where

*PIN1a* (red), *HB52* (yellow) and *LAX2* (blue) transcripts are superimposed, and all transcripts are

detected in all vein ranks and at all leaf developmental stages. Regardless of vein rank, at stages prior

to differentiation of phloem or xylem, all three markers were detected throughout the procambial centre

(Fig. 7B). Once phloem and xylem were differentiated, however, *PIN1a*, *HB52* and *LAX2* transcripts

were polarly localized (Fig. 7C,E). In both the mid- (Fig. 7C) and lateral (Fig. 7E) veins, *PIN1a*

transcripts were detected on the adaxial side, *HB52* transcripts towards the phloem on the abaxial side,

and *LAX2* juxtaposed between the two. Collectively, our data suggest that vascular-associated genes

are tightly regulated temporally and spatially, both within and between different vein ranks, with

changes in spatial localisation marking transitions between the specification of procambial initial cells,

the development and extension of procambial strands, and differentiation of tissues within the vein.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we have used spatial transcriptomics and a set of marker genes associated with auxin transport

and vein patterning to investigate cellular relationships during leaf specification and vein formation in

maize shoot apices (Fig. 1). On leaf initation, we reveal that it is possible to identify the region

corresponding to incipient P0 leaves within the peripheral zone of the SAM, based on high density of

*PIN1d* and *LAX5* transcripts (Fig. 2). *PIN1a* transcripts connect the site of the incipient leaf with the

central zone of the meristem, likely connecting the new leaf with the vasculature of the stem and

determining the position of the midvein at P1 (Fig. 3). From P2 to P4, the specification of both major

(midvein and laterals) and minor (intermediate) vein ranks can be traced, with intermediate veins

characterized by the presence of the transcription factor *TML1* (Fig. 4, 5). Strikingly, the localisation of

the procambial marker *PIN1a* and the spatial position of major and minor vein clusters suggest that

vein spacing could be pre-patterned prior to *PIN1a* accumulation. Once *PIN1a* accumulation (and

presumably auxin flux along the proximo-distal leaf axis) is initiated, a spatiotemporal hierarchy is
triggered in which vein markers accumulate in a sequential manner that is position-dependent across
the medio-lateral leaf axis (Fig. 6). During the final stages of vein development, in which phloem and
xylem are differentiated, vascular markers become spatially restricted in the adaxial-abaxial leaf axis
(Fig. 7). Collectively these data suggest progressive restriction of gene expression in all three leaf axes
as veins are specified, initiated, extended and then differentiated.

The importance of understanding cell lineage relationships during development has long been
recognised, not least to distinguish mechanisms in which cell fate is inherently specified in descendents
following mitosis, from those in which fate specification relies on positional information from
neighbouring cells (reviewed in (39)). In maize, many aspects of leaf development have been
elucidated by exploiting genetic mosaics, including the presence of distinct central and marginal
lineages in the medio-lateral leaf axis (40, 41) and the presence of a lineage in the centre of the adaxial-
abaxial axis from which all veins are derived (7). With the advent of single cell sequencing, and now
spatial transcriptomics, discussions of lineage relationships have altered, with UMAPs and associated
clustering approaches identifying cells with shared fates, regardless of whether that fate is determined
by lineage-based or positional mechanisms (32, 42–44). Importantly, combining information from both
approaches allows classical lineage distinctions to be associated with specific gene expression profiles
and also facilitates more refinement of cellular relationships during later stages of development. For
example, we identified transcriptionally distinct populations of cells in the peripheral zone of the SAM
that will give rise to the P0 primordium, and identified two distinct populations of vein-forming
procambial cells in the central ground meristem layer of P3 and P4 leaf primordia. Notably, some of
the markers that defined developing procambial lineages, such as *PIN1a*, *TML1* and *HB52*, could be
detected in a dispersed pattern prior to vein specification. For example, *TML1* transcripts were detected
at P2, prior to intermediate vein specification, but accumulation patterns were not restricted to the
central ground meristem layer. By contrast, *TML1* transcripts could only be detected in procambial cells
that were dividing to form intermediate veins at P3. The identification of transcriptionally distinct cell
populations within any particular cell lineage (*sensu stricto*) can thus inform when cell fate is specified
by positional information.

In the context of vein patterning, cellular relationships identified through transcript profiles have
revealed distinctions that have not been made previously by either cell lineage or histological analyses.
The set of genes used in this study distinguished two separate cell clusters between stages P2 and
P4, corresponding to major (midvein and lateral) and intermediate veins (Figs. 4, 5). No substantial
differences were detected between midvein and lateral vein clusters, which were grouped together
from P2 onwards. This observation could reflect the fact that our dataset does not include genes which
are specific for either midvein or lateral vein identity. Alternatively, it suggests that the midvein and
lateral veins are not intrinsically different, a suggestion supported by the phenotype of midribless
mutants (45, 46). By contrast, minor veins are transcriptionally distinct from major veins once

procambium is specified, as evidenced by transcript localisation profiles and the phenotype of loss of function *TML1* mutants (25). Although both minor and major veins are specified *de novo* from the middle layer of the ground meristem, this observation suggests that procambial initials are inherently distinct. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that intermediate veins start to be specified near the midvein during P3, when growth in the medio-lateral axis is ongoing and lateral veins are still being specified near the leaf margin (Fig. 5 G-H, orange). This leads to a gradient in the medio-lateral axis, with veins closer to the midrib being more developed than those closer to the leaf margin (10). If major and minor vein lineages were not inherently distinct, intermediate veins close to the midrib would be of similar size to lateral veins close to the leaf margin but they are not. These findings suggest that procambial initial cells that give rise to major and minor vein ranks in the maize leaf are distinct at inception.

In the model eudicot *Arabidopsis thaliana,* auxin fluxes have been associated with vein patterning, with pre-existing vasculature used as a positional landmark towards which auxin flows and new procambium is initiated (11, 16). In contrast, the localisation of transcripts encoding auxin transporters in maize suggests that auxin fluxes associated with procambial initiation are pre-patterned. Specifically, *PIN1a* transcripts are first detected in individual procambial initial cells that are already spaced appropriately across the medio-lateral axis. As such, *PIN1a* activity is not necessary to determine which cells in the ground tissue will commit to procambial fate. Notably, the DR5 transcriptional reporter is detected along the leaf margin in maize leaves, indicating that an auxin maximum is present at the margin (26, 47). Given that PIN1a does not overlap with the DR5 signal at the margin of P0 to P5 primordia (26), auxin flux from the margin is unlikely to be inducing procambial initial cell specification or procambial strand extension. Instead, an unidentified factor must be inducing PIN1a accumulation in the subset of regularly spaced ground tissue cells that become procambial initial cells. Once *PIN1a* is activated, other auxin transporters and vascular markers are detected in developing veins but temporal and/or spatial patterns of transcript accumulation again suggest progressive restriction of activity. For example, maize abaxial and adaxial markers *ARF3a* (*AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 3a*) and *RLD1* (*ROLLED LEAF1*) are localised throughout the adaxial (RLD1) or abaxial (ARF3a) leaf domains between P0 and P3, but by P4 they are primarily restricted to the vasculature and are associated with differentiated xylem (*RLD1*) and phloem (*ARF3a*)  (47). Together, these findings suggest that the position of procambial initials in the medio-lateral axis of the maize leaf is pre-patterned prior to auxin flux through the procambial strand, and that the patterning of differentiated tissues within each vein is similarly pre-patterned in the adaxial-abaxial leaf axis.

The role of auxin in both leaf initation and vein patterning has been acknowledged for some time (1, 48) but the spatial transcriptomes reported here reveal new insights. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *PIN1* acts as a master regulator of both leaf positioning and vein specification (11, 49, 50). In non-Brassicaceae, however, the expansion of the PIN1 family and the emergence of a sister clade (SoPIN1/PIN1d) enabled sub-functionalisation (17, 37, 38, 51). Our analysis reveals that positioning of the P0

primordium in maize is associated with combined accumulation of transcripts encoding the auxin efflux and influx carriers *PIN1d* and *LAX5* (Fig. 3), whereas the specification of procambium is associated with the accumulation of *PIN1a*, *PIN1d* and *LAX1*, with *LAX2* likely being the dominant influx carrier later in vein development. Our results are consistent with previous findings where combined expression of *PIN1a*, *PIN1d* and *LAX1* (note *LAX2* in (18)) was detected from procambial initial cell selection through to later stages of procambium development ((18)). Detection of *PIN1d* but not *PIN1a* at the site of the P0 primordium suggests a functional subdivision within the maize PIN1 family as seen in tomato and *Brachypodium* (37, 50), with *PIN1d* (*SoPIN*) regulating phyllotaxy and *PIN1a* tracing the midvein and its connection to the subtending vasculature (Fig. 3) (47). We further speculate that *LAX5*, co-ortholog with *LAX3* of *Arabidopsis AUX1/LAX1*, supports the role of *PIN1d* in generating an auxin maximum in the L1 of the SAM, whereas *LAX2* acts with *PIN1a* to extend procambial strands in the proximo-distal leaf axis. Together, these findings suggest a subdivision of roles within both the *PIN1* and *LAX* gene families that allows different combinations of auxin influx and efflux transporters to be recruited in different developmental contexts.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study used spatial transcriptomics to identify populations of cells with shared identity, and to elucidate how those populations diverged during the course of leaf primordium development in maize. Regardless of whether they arise as the result of positional or lineage-based cues, cell fates can be associated with the spatial co-localisation of transcripts within a tissue. As such, we propose that major and minor vein ranks in the maize leaf have distinct identities at inception from the ground meristem. We further propose that veins in the maize leaf are pre-patterned in all three leaf axes, with auxin triggering downstream specification events through finely tuned spatio-temporal accumulation of influx and efflux transporters.

**METHODS**

*Plant growth conditions*

Wild-type B73 seeds were germinated and grown in an environment-controlled chamber with a 16 h/8 h day/night cycle, temperature 28°C/20°C, 50 % humidity and light intensity of 300 µmol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$. Seeds were germinated in medium vermiculite and seedlings were harvested ten days after sowing.

*Tissue fixation and preparation for traditional in situ hybridization*

Traditional *in situ* hybridization was carried out using wax-embedded wild-type B73 maize shoot apices as previously described (24) with a 268 bp digoxygenin (DIG)-RNA labelled probe complementary to the 3'UTR sequence of the *LAX2* gene (starting at 126 bp downstream of the stop codon).

*Molecular Cartography probe design*

Probes were designed using Resolve BioSciences' proprietary design algorithm and gene annotations from the *Zea Mays* RefGen V4. The final set of probes was selected as outlined in (29).

*Tissue fixation and preparation for Molecular Cartography*

Shoot apices were cut just below and 0.5 cm above the shoot apical meristem and the resulting tissue was fixed in 4% ice-cold paraformaldehyde (PFA) under vacuum, until complete sinking of the samples. The tissue was then embedded in paraffin following the user guide for sample preparation from Resolve BioSciences. Samples were then dehydrated, cleared and wax infiltrated with Paraplast Plus. The clearing and wax infiltration steps were either carried out manually (samples E1B2, E1D2, S1B1 or S1B2) or using a TissueTek VIP processor (samples S1A1, S1A2). Wax-infiltrated samples were embedded in blocks of Paraplast Plus using a TissueTek TEC wax-embedding centre. A total of six 10 μm-thick transverse sections were cut with a microtome and baked onto a Molecular Cartography-compatible coverslip at 37°C overnight to allow for sample attachment. Sections underwent deparaffinization, permeabilization and refixation according to Resolve BioSciences' user guide. Samples were mounted in SlowFade Diamond Antifade and shipped to Resolve Biosciences for Molecular Cartography analysis.

At Resolve BioSciences, sections were washed twice in 1 × phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 2 min, followed by 1 min washing in 50 % and 70 % ethanol at room temperature. Ethanol was removed by aspiration and DST1™ buffer was added, followed by tissue priming for 30 min at 37 °C and by a 48 h hybridization using probes specific for the target genes. Samples were washed to remove excess probes and fluorescently tagged in a two-step colour development process. Fluorescent signals were removed after imaging in a decolourization step. Colourization, imaging, and decolourization were iterated for multiple cycles to generate a unique combinatorial code for each target gene. Samples were imaged by Resolve BioSciences as described in (29). Two independent experiments were carried out – the first with sections E1B2 and E1D2 and the second with samples S1A1, S1A2, S1B1 and S1B2.

*Image pre-processing and cell segmentation*

Cell segmentation was carried out using CellPose 2.0 (33), starting from high resolution images of Calcofluor and DAPI-stained sections. A pre-processing step was carried out to facilitate cell segmentation. Firstly, DAPI and Calcofluor images were overlaid in ImageJ: the co-existence of cell wall and nuclear staining allows for more accurate segmentation with CellPose 2.0. Subsequently, different stages of leaf development were cropped and isolated from the overlay image: this allows for faster computational speed during the segmentation step, and for a more accurate estimate of the average cell diameter, which varies greatly between leaf primordia. The images of isolated leaf primordia were imported into CellPose 2.0, and the Calcofluor and DAPI channels brightness and contrast were adjusted as required. The "cyto2" model was used to predict the cell boundaries in M-P1

and P2 primordia, whereas the "TN2" model was used to predict cell boundaries in P3, P4 and P5 primordia. All segmentations were then manually corrected, and the resulting masks were used to train a custom model. All subsequent primordia underwent segmentation using the custom models thus obtained and corrected manually when required. Segmented cells were exported as PNG masks and converted to ROIs using the ImageJ plugin "Labels to ROIs". ROIs were then used to visualize single-cell gene expression using the proprietary Molecular Cartography plugin from Resolve Biosciences.

*Data analysis*

All data analyses and plots were carried out with R Studio and the Molecular Cartography ImageJ plugin proprietary of Resolve Biosciences. Raw single-cell data was extracted from the spatial images using the ImageJ plugin from Resolve BioSciences. The raw data was then analysed with the R package Seurat to carry out all data analyses and clustering and generate all plots. Briefly, for each developmental stage, the raw data was first normalised using a standard log-normalization (NormalizeData), then subject to principal component dimensionality reduction (RunPCA) using the default parameters. The dimensionality was further reduced by running the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) dimensionality reduction to generate the clusters. Correlation plots were generated to evaluate linear relationships between genes across experimental repeats.

*Data access*

All raw data, Calcofluor White and DAPI images and cell segmentations are deposited on Zenodo.

**LIST OF SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

**Table S1**. Gene expression in P0 cells identified from the 'peripheral zone' cluster.

**Figure S1**. Validation of spatial transcriptomics for localization of transcripts in maize shoot apices.

**Figure S2**. Visual representation of clusters at all stages of leaf development.

**Figure S3**. Correlation analysis of the Molecular Cartography datasets.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

CP and JAL conceived and designed the experiments, MZ and CP wrote the code and performed the cluster analysis, OS carried out the traditional *in situ* hybridization experiments, SB carried out the spatial transcriptomics hybridizations under the supervision of CK, CP performed all the sample preparation and data analysis; CP and JAL wrote the first draft of the manuscript and all authors contributed to the final version.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors thank all members of the Langdale lab for constructive discussions of the results and data analysis, and feedback on the manuscript. We also thank Thomas Hughes for helping with the sample preparation, and Tina Schreier, Hilde Nelissen, Jessica Joossens and Denia Herwegh for feedback on the manuscript.

**COMPETING INTERESTS**

SB and CK are employees of Resolve Biosciences which is the proprietor of Molecular Cartography technology. All other authors declare no competing interests.

**FUNDING**

This research was funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation $C_4$ Rice grant awarded to the University of Oxford 2019-2024 (INV-002970).

## REFERENCES

1.  C. Perico, S. Tan, J. A. Langdale, Developmental regulation of leaf venation patterns: monocot versus eudicots and the role of auxin. *New Phytologist* **234**, 783–803 (2022).

2.  R. S. Poethig, I. M. Sussex, The cellular parameters of leaf development in tobacco: a clonal analysis. *Planta* **165**, 170–184 (1985).

3.  R. O. Erickson, F. J. Michelini, The plastochron index. *Am J Bot* **44**, 297-305 (1957).

4.  A. W. Sylvester, W. Z. Cande, M. Freeling, Division and differentiation during normal and *liguleless-1* maize leaf development. *Development* **110**, 985–1000 (1990).

5.  J. W. Satterlee, M. J. Scanlon, Coordination of leaf development across developmental axes. *Plants* **8**, 433 (2019).

6.  K. Esau, Plant Anatomy. *John Wiley and Sons* (1965).

7.  J. A. Langdale, B. Lane, M. Freeling, T. Nelson, Cell lineage analysis of maize bundle sheath and mesophyll cells. *Dev Biol* **133**, 128–139 (1989).

8.  S. H. Russell, R. F. Evert, Leaf vasculature in Zea mays L. *Planta* **164**, 448–458 (1985).

9.  T. Nelson, N. Dengler, Leaf vascular pattern formation. *Plant Cell* **9**, 1121–1122 (1997).

10. B. C. Sharman, Developmental anatomy of the shoot of Zea mays L. *Ann Bot* **6**, 245–282 (1942).

11. E. Scarpella, D. Marcos, J. Friml, T. Berleth, Control of leaf vascular patterning by polar auxin transport. *Genes Dev* **20**, 1015 (2006).

12. K. Bainbridge, *et al.*, Auxin influx carriers stabilize phyllotactic patterning. *Genes Dev* **22**, 810–823 (2008).

13. D. Reinhardt, *et al.*, Regulation of phyllotaxis by polar auxin transport. *Nature* **426**, 255–260 (2003).

14. E. Benková, *et al.*, Local, efflux-dependent auxin gradients as a common module for plant organ formation. *Cell* **115**, 591–602 (2003).

15. M. Biedroń, A. Banasiak, Auxin-mediated regulation of vascular patterning in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaves. *Plant Cell Reports* **37**, 1215–1229 (2018).

16. N. M. Linh, C. Verna, E. Scarpella, Coordination of cell polarity and the patterning of leaf vein networks. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **41**, 116–124 (2018).

17. M. S. Matthes, *et al.*, Auxin EvoDevo: conservation and diversification of genes regulating auxin biosynthesis, transport, and signaling. *Mol Plant* **12**, 298–320 (2019).

18. W. Y. Liu, *et al.*, Regulators of early maize leaf development inferred from transcriptomes of laser capture microdissection (LCM)-isolated embryonic leaf cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **119**, e2208795119 (2022).

19. W. Y. Liu, *et al.*, Maize ANT1 modulates vascular development, chloroplast development, photosynthesis, and plant growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **117**, 21747–21756 (2020).

20. T. E. Hughes, O. V. Sedelnikova, H. Wu, P. W. Becraft, J. A. Langdale, Redundant SCARECROW genes pattern distinct cell layers in roots and leaves of maize. *Development* **146**, dev177543 (2019).

21. T. E. Hughes, O. Sedelnikova, M. Thomas, J. A. Langdale, Mutations in NAKED-ENDOSPERM IDD genes reveal functional interactions with SCARECROW during leaf patterning in C4 grasses. *PLoS Genet* **19**, e1010715 (2023).

22. Q. Liu, *et al.*, SHORT ROOT and INDETERMINATE DOMAIN family members govern PIN-FORMED expression to regulate minor vein differentiation in rice. *Plant Cell* **35**, 2848–2870 (2023).

23. T. L. Slewinski, *et al.*, Short-Root1 plays a role in the development of vascular tissue and Kranz anatomy in maize leaves. *Mol Plant* **7**, 1388-1392 (2014).

24. M. L. Schuler, O. V. Sedelnikova, B. J. Walker, P. Westhoff, J. A. Langdale, SHORTROOT-Mediated increase in stomatal density has no impact on photosynthetic efficiency. *Plant Physiol* **176**, 757–772 (2018).

25. D. Vlad, *et al.*, The WIP6 transcription factor TOO MANY LATERALS specifies vein type in C4 and C3 grass leaves. *bioRxiv* 2023.12.20.572592 (2023).

26. J. M. Robil, P. McSteen, Hormonal control of medial–lateral growth and vein formation in the maize leaf. *New Phytologist* **238**, 125–141 (2023).

27. I. R. A. Smillie, K. A. Pyke, E. H. Murchie, Variation in vein density and mesophyll cell architecture in a rice deletion mutant population. *J Exp Bot* **63**, 4563–4570 (2012).

591    28.    S. Leiboff, *et al.*, Genetic control of morphometric diversity in the maize shoot apical
592            meristem. *Nature Communications 2015* **6**, 1–10 (2015).
593    29.    P. Glenn, *et al.*, Wheat bZIPC1 interacts with FT2 and contributes to the regulation of
594            spikelet number per spike. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **136**, 1–20 (2023).
595    30.    T. Nobori, M. Oliva, R. Lister, J. R. Ecker, Multiplexed single-cell 3D spatial gene expression
596            analysis in plant tissue using PHYTOMap. *Nature Plants 2023* **9**, 1026–1033 (2023).
597    31.    X. Yang, *et al.*, Spatial transcriptomics of a lycophyte root sheds light on root evolution.
598            *Current Biology* **33**, 4069-4084.e8 (2023).
599    32.    R. Laureyns, *et al.*, An in situ sequencing approach maps PLASTOCHRON1 at the
600            boundary between indeterminate and determinate cells. *Plant Physiol* **188**, 782–794
601            (2022).
602    33.    M. Pachitariu, C. Stringer, Cellpose 2.0: how to train your own model. *Nature Methods* **19**,
603            1634–1641 (2022).
604    34.    M. Kitagawa, X. Xu, D. Jackson, Trafficking and localization of KNOTTED1 related mRNAs
605            in shoot meristems. *Commun Integr Biol* **15**, 158-163 (2022).
606    35.    L. G. Smith, D. Jackson, S. Hake, Expression of Knotted1 marks shoot meristem formation
607            during maize embryogenesis. *Dev Genet* **16**, 344–348 (1995).
608    36.    D. Jackson, B. Veit, S. Hake, Expression of maize KNOTTED1 related homeobox genes in
609            the shoot apical meristem predicts patterns of morphogenesis in the vegetative shoot.
610            *Development* **120**, 405–413 (1994).
611    37.    C. C. Martinez, D. Koenig, D. H. Chitwood, N. R. Sinha, A sister of PIN1 gene in tomato
612            (*Solanum lycopersicum*) defines leaf and flower organ initiation patterns by maintaining
613            epidermal auxin flux. *Dev Biol* **419**, 85–98 (2016).
614    38.    D. L. O'Connor, *et al.*, A division in PIN-mediated auxin patterning during organ initiation in
615            grasses. *PLoS Comput Biol* **10**, e1003447 (2014).
616    39.    R. S. Poethig, Clonal analysis of cell lineage patterns in plant development. *Am J Bot* **74**,
617            581-594 (1987).
618    40.    M. J. Scanlon, K. D. Chen, C. C. McKnight IV, The narrow sheath duplicate genes: sectors
619            of dual aneuploidy reveal ancestrally conserved gene functions during maize leaf
620            development. *Genetics* **155**, 1379–1389 (2000).
621    41.    M. J. Scanlon, M. Freeling, Clonal sectors reveal that a specific meristematic domain is not
622            utilized in the maize mutant narrow sheath. *Dev Biol* **182**, 52–66 (1997).
623    42.    I. Efroni, P. L. Ip, T. Nawy, A. Mello, K. D. Birnbaum, Quantification of cell identity from
624            single-cell gene expression profiles. *Genome Biol* **16**, 1–12 (2015).
625    43.    R. Shahan, *et al.*, A single-cell *Arabidopsis* root atlas reveals developmental trajectories in
626            wild-type and cell identity mutants. *Dev Cell* **57**, 543–560 (2022).
627    44.    C. Kidner, V. Sundaresan, K. Roberts, L. Dolan, Clonal analysis of the *Arabidopsis* root
628            confirms that position, not lineage, determines cell fate. *Planta* **211**, 191–199 (2000).
629    45.    A. E. Richardson, A. Sluis, S. Hake, The Hoja loca1 mutant and AUX/IAA function in
630            phytomer development. *bioRxiv*, 2020.03.27.012211 (2021).
631    46.    J. Strable, *et al.*, Maize YABBY genes drooping leaf1 and drooping leaf2 regulate plant
632            architecture. *Plant Cell* **29**, 1622–1641 (2017).
633    47.    R. Johnston, S. Leiboff, M. J. Scanlon, Ontogeny of the sheathing leaf base in maize (*Zea
634            mays*). *New Phytologist* **205**, 306–315 (2015).
635    48.    Y. Xiong, Y. Jiao, The diverse roles of auxin in regulating leaf development. *Plants* **8** (2019).
636    49.    N. Bhatia, *et al.*, Auxin acts through MONOPTEROS to regulate plant cell polarity and
637            pattern phyllotaxis. *Current Biology* **26**, 3202–3208 (2016).
638    50.    D. Kierzkowski, M. Lenhard, R. Smith, C. Kuhlemeier, Interaction between meristem tissue
639            layers controls phyllotaxis. *Dev Cell* **26**, 616–628 (2013).
640    51.    D. L. O'Connor, *et al.*, Cross-species functional diversity within the PIN auxin efflux protein
641            family. *Elife* **6**, e31804 (2017).
642

645   **TABLE 1. List of genes used for spatial transcriptomics**
646

| Gene name | Gene_name Maize GDB | Gene ID (v3) | Gene ID (v4) | Gene ID (v5) | Origin of gene name (*supported by phylogeny) | Orthology | Variations |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *TML1* | dot5 | GRMZMG2150011 | Zm00001d020037_T001 | Zm00001eb309530 | Vlad et al 2024* | AtWIP6 | Previously referred to as Zmdot1 or Zmdot5 |
| *TML2* | – | GRMZM2G134998 | Zm00001d005566_T001 | Zm00001eb097850 | Vlad et al 2024* | AtWIP6 | Previously referred to as Zmdot1h |
| *SCR1* | scro1 | GRMZM2G131516 | Zm00001d052380_T001 | Zm00001eb195650 | Hughes et al. 2019* | AtSCR | |
| *SCR1h* | Gras 37 | GRMZM2G015080 | Zm00001d005029_T001 | Zm00001eb093670 | Hughes et al. 2019* | AtSCR | |
| *SHR1* | Gras 58 | GRMZM2G132794 | Zm00001d029607_T001 | Zm00001eb020840 | Schuler et al. 2017* | AtSHR; OsSHR2 | |
| *SHR2* | Gras 19 | GRMZM2G172657 | Zm00001d021973_T001 | Zm00001eb326020 | Schuler et al. 2017* | AtSHR; OsSHR1 | |
| *SHR2h* | Gras 85 | GRMZM2G019060 | Zm00001d006721_T001 | Zm00001eb108090 | Schuler et al. 2017* | AtSHR; OsSHR1 | |
| *RVN1* | IDD10 | GRMZM2G143723 | Zm00001d039467_T001 | Zm00001eb121010 | Sedelnikova et al. 2018 | – | |
| *RVN1h* | IDD 18 | GRMZM2G046290 | Zm00001d008842_T001 | Zm00001eb337700 | Sedelnikova et al. 2018 | – | |
| *ANT4* | EREB161 | GRMZM2G021573 | Zm00001d048004_T002 | Zm00001eb399440 | Lui et el. 2020* | ANT1-4 all co-orthologs of AtANT1 | |
| *PIN1a* | pin1 | GRMZM2G098643 | Zm00001d044812_T001 | Zm00001eb372180 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog AtPIN1, ortholog | |
| *PIN1d* | pin4 | GRMZM2G171702 | Zm00001d052442_T001 | Zm00001eb196240 | O'Connor et al 2014* | Ortholog of OsPIN1c & OsPIN1d | many papers |
| *LAX1* | aic3 | GRMZM2G149481 | Zm00001d028401_T005 | Zm00001eb010460 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog AtLAX2, OsLAX2 & OsLAX4 | Named ZmLAX2 in Liu et al. 2022 |
| *LAX2* | aic1 | GRMZM2G129413 | Zm00001d030310_T001 | Zm00001eb026490 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog AtLAX2, ortholog of OsLAX4 | |
| *LAX3* | atl1 | GRMZM2G127949 | Zm00001d042809_T003 | Zm00001eb147480 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog AtAUX1 & AtLAX1, ortholog of OsLAX1 | |
| *LAX5* | aic4 | GRMZM2G067022 | Zm00001d038275_T005 | Zm00001eb288800 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog AtAUX1 & AtLAX1, ortholog of OsLAX3 | |
| *HB52* | hb52 | (AC187157.4_FG005) | Zm00001d008869_T001 | Zm00001eb337970 | TAIR | Ortholog of AtHB8 & AtHB15 | |
| *ARF4* | arftf4 | GRMZM2G034840 | Zm00001d001945_T010 | Zm00001eb067270 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog of AtMP, OsARF11 | |
| *ARF29* | arftf29 | GRMZM2G086949 | Zm00001d026540_T016 | Zm00001eb433020 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog of AtMP, OsARF11 | |
| *ARF16* | arftf16 | GRMZM2G028980 | Zm00001d053819_T008 | Zm00001eb207450 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog of AtARF6, ortholog of OsARF6 | |
| *ARF34* | arftf34 | GRMZM2G081158 | Zm00001d031064_T006 | Zm00001eb031700 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog of AtARF6, ortholog of OsARF17 | |
| *ARF23* | arftf23 | GRMZM2G441325 | Zm00001d038698_T007 | Zm00001eb292830 | Matthes et al. 2019* | Co-ortholog of AtARF3 & AtARF4, ortholog of OsARF14 | |
| *KN1* | kn1 | GRMZM2G017087 | Zm00001d033859_T002 | Zm00001eb055920 | Hake et al. 1989 | Class I KNOX | |
| *MYB67* | myb67 | GRMZM2G089244 | Zm00001d053060_T001 | Zm00001eb201150 | Maize GDB | – | |
| *CYC4* | cyc4 | GRMZM2G310115 | Zm00001d012560_T002 | Zm00001eb369340 | Maize GDB | Ortholog of AtCYCB1 genes | |
| *CYC7* | cyc7 | GRMZM2G073003 | Zm00001d043164_T001 | Zm00001eb150450 | Maize GDB | Ortholog of AtCYCB1 genes | previously cyc4b |
| *CYC3* | cyc3 | GRMZM2G073671 | Zm00001d036360_T002 | Zm00001eb272010 | Maize GDB | Ortholog AtCYCB2 genes | |

 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

 **Figure. 1: Stages of leaf and vein development in maize shoot apices. A)** Cartoon representation
of a longitudinal section across a maize shoot apex. SAM (black) indicates the Shoot Apical Meristem;
younger to older stages of leaf development (leaf primordia, P) are indicated using increasing numbers
and different colours. The black dotted line indicates the position of the transverse section depicted in
(B). **B)** Representative transverse section of a maize shoot stained with Calcofluor and segmented with
CellPose. SAM and developing leaf primordia are segmented and colour-coded as in (A). **C)** Vein ranks
appear sequentially during leaf primordium development. P1: midvein only (M, cyan); P2: midvein and
laterals (L, yellow); P3, P4 and P5: midvein, laterals and intermediate veins (i, magenta). Scale bars =
100 µm.

**Figure 2: *PIN1d* and *LAX5* transcripts mark the P0 primordium. A)** Cluster analysis of cells in the
SAM and P1 primordium reveals five domains. **B)** Schematic of shoot apex showing the position of
transverse sections illustrated in (C) and (D). **C)** The section taken at the base of the P1 primordium
(E1D2) shows that the central zone (*KN1*-expressing) cluster localizes between the developing P1
primordium (red) and the peripheral zone (green). **D)** The section taken at the tip of the P1 primordium
(S1A1) shows that the peripheral zone cluster (green) surrounds the central zone cluster (yellow), and
includes the putative incipient primordium P0 region. Asterisks highlight cells with primordium identity
flanking the P0 region. **E-F)** A subset (arrowhead) of cells from the 'peripheral zone' cluster that co-
express *PIN1d* (E) and *LAX5* (F) mark the incipient P0 primordium (G, dotted ellipse). Transcript levels
and cell labels are reported in Table S1. Scale bar = 30 µm.

**Figure 3: Distinct auxin transporter profiles mark leaf initiation and midvein specification**. **A-C)**
Schematics of sections in longitudinal (A) and transverse view (B, C). P0 = P0 primordium, P1 = P1
primordium, SAM = shoot apical meristem, L1 and L2 define the two layers within the shoot apical
meristem. **D-F)** Schematic (D) and spatial transcriptomics (E, F) of *KN1*. Transcripts accumulate in the
L2 layer of the shoot apical meristem, with very low or no transcript levels detected in the L1 layer or
at the site of P0 initiation (dotted region, E). **G-I)** Schematic (G) and spatial transcriptomics (H, I) of
*PIN1d*. Transcripts accumulate predominantly in the initiating P0 primordium (P0) but also in the SAM
and the developing P1 primordium (H, I). **J-L)** Schematic (J) and spatial transcriptomics (K, L) of *PIN1a*.
Transcripts are detected at the site of the developing midvein in P1 (asterisks), in the initiating P0
primordium and in the central zone of the SAM. **M-O)** Schematic (M) and spatial transcriptomics (N, O)
of *LAX3*. Transcripts are detected in the peripheral zone of the SAM, including the P0 initiation site, but
not in the developing P1 primordium. Lower transcript levels are detected in the P0 primordium (N)
than in the rest of the peripheral zone. **P-R)** Schematic (P) and spatial transcriptomics (Q, R) of *LAX5*.
Transcripts are only detected in the initiating P0 primordium, primarily in the L1 layer. Scale bars = 20
µm.

**Figure 4: A transcript cluster with vascular identity emerges at P2. A)** Cluster analysis and UMAP
plot for the P2 leaf primordium reveals five domains. **B)** Representative image of cluster localisation in
a P2 primordium, colour-coded to match (A). **C)** The vasculature cluster marks the midvein (arrowhead)
and the developing lateral veins (asterisks). White bracket indicates spacing between veins. **D)** Dot-
plot summarising transcript levels in each cluster. Average expression refers to the average transcript
level in a cluster (cell type), calculated from the scaled data. Percent expressed refers to the percent
of cells in a cluster in which transcripts (as indicated on the x-axis) were detected. Note high levels of
*SHR1*, *SHR2*, *ANT4*, *PIN1a* and *LAX2* in the vasculature cluster. Scale bars = 50 μm.

**Figure 5: Intermediate veins cluster separately from lateral veins at P3 and P4. A, B)** Cluster
analyses and UMAP plots of P3 (A) and P4 (B) leaf primordia. **C, D)** Dotplots summarising transcript
levels for each gene in each cluster at P3 (C) and P4 (D). Average expression refers to the average
transcript level for each gene in a cluster (cell type), calculated from the scaled data. Percent expressed
refers to the percent of cells in a cluster in which transcripts (as indicated on the x-axis) were detected.
**E, F)** Representative image of cluster localisations in a P3 (E) and P4 (F) primordium, colour-coded to
match (A, B). **G, H)** Two distinct vein clusters are identified, lateral (orange) and intermediate
(magenta), at both P3 (G) and P4 (H).  Scale bars = 50 μm.

**Figure 6: *PIN1a* accumulation precedes the sequential accumulation of *SHR1* and *LAX2***
**transcripts in dividing procambial centres**. **A)** Representative image of *PIN1a* transcript
accumulation (red pixels) in the SAM and P1 to P5 leaf primordia. Transcripts accumulate in both the
leaf sheath and leaf blade, at all stages of vein development and in all vein ranks. White boxes
represent the position of enlargements shown in (B-D). **B, C)** *PIN1a* is detected at low levels in the
future midvein at P1 (B - m, circled) and at higher levels at P2 (C- m, circled). **D)** *PIN1a* transcripts are
detected in all developing veins within the P5 primordium (m, midvein; i, intermediate; L, lateral). **E)**
Superimposed images of *PIN1a* (red) and *SHR1* (blue) transcripts reveal that *PIN1a* accumulation
precedes that of *SHR1*. Arrowheads in the inset indicate three developing intermediate veins (i1, i2,
i3), in order of their initiation across the medio-lateral leaf axis. *SHR1* transcripts are detected in i1 and
i2, but not in the more recently developed i3. **F)** Superimposed images of *SHR1* (blue) and *LAX2*
(yellow) transcripts reveal that *SHR1* accumulation precedes that of *LAX2*. Arrowheads in the inset
indicate the same developing intermediate veins as in (E): *LAX2* transcripts are not detected in any of
these veins but are found in neighbouring older veins. Scale bars = 100 μm.

**Figure 7: Differentiation of phloem and xylem is accompanied by polar localization of vascular**
**markers. A-E)** Transcripts of vascular markers *HB52* (yellow), *LAX2* (blue) and *PIN1a* (red)
accumulate in developed and developing veins in all leaf primordia (A). All markers co-localise in
developing mid-(B) and lateral (D) veins, with very little adaxial (ad) or abaxial (ab) separation. In
differentiated mid-(C) and lateral (E) veins, transcripts preferentially accumulate on the phloem-side (P,

as in the case of *HB52*, yellow), xylem-side (X, as in the case of *PIN1a*, red), or juxtaposed (as in the

case of *LAX2*, blue). Scale bars = 100 µm (A), 20 µm (B-E).

 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure S1 Validation of spatial transcriptomics methodology for localization of transcripts in**

**maize shoot apices**. **A)** *KN1* transcripts localize in the shoot apical meristem, specifically the L2 layer

as previously shown by in situ hybridization (36). **B)** *PIN1a* transcripts mark all developing veins at all

stages of leaf development. **C)** *TML1* transcripts are detected in developing intermediate veins. **D, E)**

Molecular cartography (D) and in situ hybridization (E) of *LAX2*. In both cases, transcripts are detected

in developing vascular tissue with higher levels detected in major veins (inset, arrowhead) compared

to minor veins (inset, asterisks). **F)** *In situ* hybridization control with sense *LAX2* probe. Scale bars =

50 μm.

**Figure S2: Visual representation of clusters at all stages of leaf development.** Sample numbers

are depicted across the top and plastochron stages at the left. Cluster colours are as depicted in the

main figures. Scale bars = 30 μm (A-F), 50 μm (G-R), 100 μm (S-X).

740
741
**Figure S3: Correlation analysis of the Molecular Cartography datasets**. **A)** Correlation plot for

comparison of different experimental datasets with the same tissue preparation method. **B)** Correlation

plot for comparison of datasets with manual (dataset 3) and automatic (dataset 2) tissue preparation

method within the same experiment. **C)** All datasets together. Brown colour indicates negative

correlations between rows and columns, blue indicates a positive correlation. The number in each cell

represents the correlation coefficient (confidence level = 0.95).

**Figure. 1: Stages of leaf and vein development in maize shoot apices. A)** Cartoon representation of a longitudinal section across a maize shoot apex. SAM (black) indicates the Shoot Apical Meristem; younger to older stages of leaf development (leaf primordia, P) are indicated using increasing numbers and different colours. The black dotted line indicates the position of the transverse section depicted in (B). **B)** Representative transverse section of a maize shoot stained with Calcofluor and segmented with CellPose. SAM and developing leaf primordia are segmented and colour-coded as in (A). **C)** Vein ranks appear sequentially during leaf primordium development. P1: midvein only (M, cyan); P2: midvein and laterals (L, yellow); P3, P4 and P5: midvein, laterals and intermediate veins (i, magenta). Scale bars = 100 μm.

**Figure 2:** *PIN1d* and *LAX5* **transcripts mark the P0 primordium. A)** Cluster analysis of cells in the SAM and P1 primordium reveals five domains. **B)** Schematic of shoot apex showing the position of transverse sections illustrated in (C) and (D). **C)** The section taken at the base of the P1 primordium (E1D2) shows that the central zone (*KN1*-expressing) cluster localizes between the developing P1 primordium (red) and the peripheral zone (green). **D)** The section taken at the tip of the P1 primordium (S1A1) shows that the peripheral zone cluster (green) surrounds the central zone cluster (yellow) and includes the putative incipient primordium P0 region. Asterisks highlight cells with primordium identity flanking the P0 region. **E-F)** A subset (arrowhead) of cells from the 'peripheral zone' cluster that co-express *PIN1d* (E) and *LAX5* (F) mark the incipient P0 primordium (G, dotted ellipse). Transcript levels and cell labels are reported in Table S1. Scale bar = 30 μm.

**Figure 3: Distinct auxin transporter profiles mark leaf initiation and midvein specification**. **A-C)** Schematics of sections in longitudinal (A) and transverse view (B, C). P0 = P0 primordium, P1 = P1 primordium, SAM = shoot apical meristem, L1 and L2 define the two layers within the shoot apical meristem. **D-F)** Schematic (D) and spatial transcriptomics (E, F) of *KN1*. Transcripts accumulate in the L2 layer of the shoot apical meristem, with very low or no transcript levels detected in the L1 layer or at the site of P0 initiation (dotted region, E). **G-I)** Schematic (G) and spatial transcriptomics (H, I) of *PIN1d*. Transcripts accumulate predominantly in the initiating P0 primordium (P0) but also in the SAM and the developing P1 primordium (H, I). **J-L)** Schematic (J) and spatial transcriptomics (K, L) of *PIN1a*. Transcripts are detected at the site of the developing midvein in P1 (asterisks), in the initiating P0 primordium and in the central zone of the SAM. **M-O)** Schematic (M) and spatial transcriptomics (N, O) of *LAX3*. Transcripts are detected in the peripheral zone of the SAM, including the P0 initiation site, but not in the developing P1 primordium. Lower transcript levels are detected in the P0 primordium (N) than in the rest of the peripheral zone. **P-R)** Schematic (P) and spatial transcriptomics (Q, R) of *LAX5*. Transcripts are only detected in the initiating P0 primordium, primarily in the L1 layer. Scale bars = 20 μm.

**Figure 4: A transcript cluster with vascular identity emerges at P2. A)** Cluster analysis and UMAP plot for the P2 leaf primordium reveals five domains. **B)** Representative image of cluster localisation in a P2 primordium, colour-coded to match (A). **C)** The vasculature cluster marks the midvein (arrowhead) and the developing lateral veins (asterisks). White bracket indicates spacing between veins. **D)** Dot-plot summarising transcript levels in each cluster. Average expression refers to the average transcript level in a cluster (cell type), calculated from the scaled data. Percent expressed refers to the percent of cells in a cluster in which transcripts (as indicated on the x-axis) were detected. Note high levels of *SHR1*, *SHR2*, *ANT4*, *PIN1a* and *LAX2* in the vasculature cluster. Scale bars = 50 μm.

**Figure 5: Intermediate veins cluster separately from lateral veins at P3 and P4. A, B)** Cluster analyses and UMAP plots of P3 (A) and P4 (B) leaf primordia. **C, D)** Dotplots summarising transcript levels for each gene in each cluster at P3 (C) and P4 (D). Average expression refers to the average transcript level for each gene in a cluster (cell type), calculated from the scaled data. Percent expressed refers to the percent of cells in a cluster in which transcripts (as indicated on the x-axis) were detected. **E, F)** Representative image of cluster localisations in a P3 (E) and P4 (F) primordium, colour-coded to match (A, B). **G, H)** Two distinct vein clusters are identified, lateral (orange) and intermediate (magenta), at both P3 (G) and P4 (H). Scale bars = 50 μm.

**Figure 6: *PIN1a* accumulation precedes the sequential accumulation of *SHR1* and *LAX2* transcripts in dividing procambial centres**. **A)** Representative image of *PIN1a* transcript accumulation (red pixels) in the SAM and P1 to P5 leaf primordia. Transcripts accumulate in both the leaf sheath and leaf blade, at all stages of vein development and in all vein ranks. White boxes represent the position of enlargements shown in (B-D). **B, C)** *PIN1a* is detected at low levels in the future midvein at P1 (B - m, circled) and at higher levels at P2 (C- m, circled). **D)** *PIN1a* transcripts are detected in all developing veins within the P5 primordium (m, midvein; i, intermediate; L, lateral). **E)** Superimposed images of *PIN1a* (red) and *SHR1* (blue) transcripts reveal that *PIN1a* accumulation precedes that of *SHR1*. Arrowheads in the inset indicate three developing intermediate veins (i1, i2, i3), in order of their initiation across the medio-lateral leaf axis. *SHR1* transcripts are detected in i1 and i2, but not in the more recently developed i3. **F)** Superimposed images of *SHR1* (blue) and *LAX2* (yellow) transcripts reveal that *SHR1* accumulation precedes that of *LAX2*. Arrowheads in the inset indicate the same developing intermediate veins as in (E): *LAX2* transcripts are not detected in any of these veins but are found in neighbouring older veins. Scale bars = 100 μm.

**Figure 7: Differentiation of phloem and xylem is accompanied by polar localization of vascular markers. A-E)** Transcripts of vascular markers *HB52* (yellow), *LAX2* (blue) and *PIN1a* (red) accumulate in developed and developing veins in all leaf primordia (A). All markers colocalise in developing mid-(B) and lateral (D) veins, with very little adaxial (ad) or abaxial (ab) separation. In differentiated mid-(C) and lateral (E) veins, transcripts preferentially accumulate on the phloem-side (P, as in the case of *HB52*, yellow), xylem-side (X, as in the case of *PIN1a*, red), or juxtaposed (as in the case of *LAX2*, blue). Scale bars = 100 μm (A), 20 μm (B-E).

**Figure S1: Validation of spatial transcriptomics methodology for localization of transcripts in maize shoot apices**. **A)** *KN1* transcripts localize in the shoot apical meristem, specifically the L2 layer as previously shown by *in situ* hybridization (Jackson et al., 1994). **B)** *PIN1a* transcripts mark all developing veins at all stages of leaf development. **C)** *TML1* transcripts are detected in developing intermediate veins . **D, E)** Molecular cartography (D) and in situ hybridization (E) of *LAX2*. In both cases, transcripts are detected in developing vascular tissue with higher levels detected in major veins (inset, arrowhead) compared to minor veins (inset, asterisks). **F)** *In situ* hybridization control with sense *LAX2* probe. Scale bars = 50 μm.

**Figure S2: Visual representation of clusters at all stages of leaf development.** Sample numbers are depicted across the top and plastochron stages at the left. Cluster colours are as depicted in the main figures: A-F refer to the colour scheme in Fig. 2, G-L to the colour scheme in Fig. 4 and M-X to the colour scheme in Fig. 5. Scale bars = 30 μm (A-F), 50 μm (G-R), 100 μm (S-X).

**Figure S3: Correlation analysis of the Molecular Cartography datasets**. **A)** Correlation plot for comparison of different experimental datasets with the same tissue preparation method. **B)** Correlation plot for comparison of datasets with manual (dataset 3) and automatic (dataset 2) tissue preparation method within the same experiment. **C)** All datasets together. Brown colour indicates negative correlations between rows and columns, blue indicates a positive correlation. The number in each cell represents the correlation coefficient (confidence level = 0.95).

| Gene.ID | E1B2_M_P1_23 | E1B2_M_P1_121 | E1B2_M_P1_191 | S1A1_M_P1_39 | S1A1_M_P1_49 | S1A1_M_P1_82 | S1A1_M_P1_83 | S1A1_M_P1_88 | S1A1_M_P1_95 | S1A1_M_P1_100 | S1A1_M_P1_124 | S1A1_M_P1_127 | S1A1_M_P1_150 | S1A1_M_P1_160 | S1A2_M_P1_16 | S1A2_M_P1_72 | S1A2_M_P1_81 | S1A2_M_P1_234 | S1B1_M_P1_183 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SHR2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TML2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PIN1a | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SCR1h | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| RVN1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KN1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CYC3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CYC4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ANT4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| PIN1d | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| LAX1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| LAX3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| LAX5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| HB52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 |
| ARF4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| ARF29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ARF16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
| ARF34 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| ARF23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 21 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| MYB67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CYC7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 21 | 27 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

**Table S1: Gene expression in P0 cells identified from the 'peripheral zone' cluster.**