Evolution of a Plasmid Regulatory Circuit Ameliorates Plasmid Fitness Cost

Clinton A. Elg[1,2,3], Erin Mack[3], Michael Rolfsmeier[3], Thomas C. McLean[4], Olivia Kosterlitz[5], Elizabeth Soderling[3], Solana Narum[1,2,3], Paul A. Rowley[3], Christopher M. Thomas[6], Eva M. Top[1,2,3]

[1] Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Program, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA.

[2] Institute for Interdisciplinary Data Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA.

[3] Department of Biological Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA.

[4] Department of Molecular Microbiology, John Innes Centre, Norwich, UK.

[5] Biology Department, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.

[6] School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK.

Corresponding Author: Eva M. Top

evatop@uidaho.edu

Abstract

Plasmids play a major role in bacterial evolution and rapid adaptation by facilitating the horizontal transfer of diverse genes. Understanding how plasmids are transferred and maintained in bacterial populations is important, especially given the increasing plasmid-mediated spread of antibiotic-resistance genes to human pathogens. We investigated why broad-host range plasmid pBP136, originally isolated from clinical samples of *Bordetella pertussis,* quickly became extinct in laboratory *Escherichia coli* populations. We found that the inactivation of a previously uncharacterized plasmid gene, *upf31*, drastically improved long-term maintenance of the plasmid in *E. coli*. Loss of this single gene was associated with decreased transcription of numerous genes in the plasmid *korA*, *korB* and *korC* regulons, as well as changes in many chromosomal genes primarily related to metabolism. This change in transcriptome is likely initiated by Upf31 interacting with one of these major plasmid regulators, KorB. Expression of *upf31* *in trans* not only negatively affected the persistence of a pBP136 *upf31* deletion mutant, but also of the closely related archetype IncPβ plasmid R751, which is stable in *E. coli* and natively encodes an internally truncated *upf31* allele. This suggests that whereas the *upf31* allele in pBP136 might advantageously modulate gene expression in its original host, *B. pertussis*, the same function can have harmful effects in *E. coli*. Thus, using multiple hosts to study the effects of knockouts in broad-host-range plasmid genes of unknown function may reveal unexpected mechanisms that determine the fate of that plasmid in bacterial communities.

Introduction

Bacterial evolution and rapid adaptation are frequently shaped by the horizontal transfer of genes, including those transferred by extra-chromosomal DNA elements known as plasmids[1,2]. One example is the plasmid-encoded spread of antibiotic resistance, which enables bacterial pathogens to resist traditional therapeutic antibiotic treatments, leading to the rise of highly resistant "superbugs" that increasingly threaten human health[3,4]. Explaining how plasmids evolve to successfully transfer and maintain themselves in bacterial populations and communities is thus a critical step to understanding and limiting the spread of antibiotic resistance.

A central metric for assessing the degree to which a plasmid remains in a bacterial population over time is termed 'plasmid persistence'. Plasmid-host pairs often differ in plasmid persistence, and even the same plasmid has been shown to persist differently in closely related strains[5]. Instances of poor plasmid persistence can often be attributed to decreased fitness of the plasmid-containing bacterium relative to its plasmid-free counterpart. This fitness cost of plasmid carriage imposed on the bacterial host is due to several factors, including metabolic costs and molecular conflicts between plasmid and host machinery[6]. Several studies have shown compensatory mutations that arise during serial batch cultivation of plasmid-host pairs which ameliorate plasmid fitness cost and thereby restore plasmid persistence. Some of the important early studies[7,8] showed that such cost amelioration could occur through genetic changes on the plasmid but were unable to explore the underlying molecular mechanisms. With the advent of next generation sequencing, compensatory mutations have been identified in specific plasmid genes, including those encoding accessory functions[9,10], replication inititation[11-

[13], and conjugation machinery[14–16]. Additionally, chromosomal evolution can stabilize

host-plasmid pairs through amelioration of plasmid cost via mutations including global

regulators[9,17] and putative helicases[18,19]. Thus, compensatory mutations that increase

plasmid persistence can occur on the plasmid, chromosome, or both[20].

Some plasmid types, such as those of the incompatibility group IncP used in this study,

are known to be highly persistent across many Gram-negative bacteria[21–26], with few

exceptions[5,27]. This remarkable persistence is aided by several conserved regions[28–30]

of backbone genes which ensure the fidelity of critical functions like replication, stable

inheritance, mating pair formation and conjugative DNA transfer. These backbone

genes are controlled by a complex genetic regulatory circuit with four main regulators

located chiefly within the stable maintenance and control region[31,32]. The regulators are

part of a negative feedback loop (referred to as autogenous control[33]) that limits their

own expression and that of the operons they control. This in turn allows fine-tuned and

temporal plasmid gene expression to minimize cost of plasmid carriage to the host and

prevent potential conflicts with host cellular machinery. Collectively, this regulatory

network enables IncP plasmids to replicate, transfer, and be stably maintained in

multiple classes of the *Proteobacteria.*

Given the known broad host range of IncP plasmids, we sought to examine the

previously reported but unexplained poor persistence of subgroup IncPβ plasmid

pBP136Km in several *Escherichia coli* strains[34]. This plasmid was previously obtained

by inserting a kanamycin resistance gene in pBP136[35], a medically relevant plasmid

found within a *Bordetella pertussis* strain isolated from a lethal infection in an infant in

Japan[36].

We report a single genetic change in pBP136Km that occurred within 50 generations of evolution in *E. coli* in the absence of antibiotic selection and drastically increased the plasmid's persistence. This improved persistence was explained by a decrease in the plasmid fitness cost due to partial deletion of a previously uncharacterized plasmid-encoded gene, *upf31*. The high cost of plasmid carriage caused by this *upf31* allele was shown to extend to the archetype IncPβ plasmid R751[28], which is otherwise stable in *E. coli*. In pBP136Km the *upf31* deletion was associated with changes in expression of plasmid backbone regulatory genes and chromosomal genes. While previous studies found that evolution of chromosomally encoded regulators can improve plasmid persistence, here plasmid persistence was improved by a single mutation in a plasmid gene that widely affects the transcription of plasmid backbone regulatory genes as well as chromosomal genes.

Results

Plasmid-encoded *upf31* results in poor plasmid persistence in *E. coli* hosts.

We first sought to confirm a previous finding that plasmid pBP136Km shows poor persistence in various *E. coli* hosts[34]. Using *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 (hereafter K-12), we performed a plasmid persistence assay entailing daily passage of triplicate cultures in non-selective conditions (*i.e.,* without antibiotics). This initial assay with the ancestral host-plasmid pair showed poor plasmid persistence (Figure 1A in blue). In line with the previous study, we randomly isolated one plasmid-bearing clone from each of the triplicate populations on Day 5 and used these clones to initiate new persistence

assays. The persistence of the plasmid in these clones were markedly improved (clones

A, B, and C in Figure 1A in red, green, magenta).

To identify genetic changes in the evolved lineages we used whole genome sequencing

of the three isolated clones (Supplemental Table S1). We found deletions within

pBP136Km consistently targeting the region often associated with accessory genes

between the *tra* and *trb* operons (Figure 1B). Additionally, no genetic changes were

observed in the chromosomes of these three clones, and clones B and C had identical

plasmids (Figure 1B in green and magenta). As the plasmid deletions in all three clones

at least included *upf30.5* and *upf31.0*, we suspected the presence of one or both genes

to be responsible for the poor plasmid persistence in *E. coli*.

Fig 1. Plasmid evolution rapidly improved pBP136Km persistence. (a) Persistence of the ancestral plasmid pBP136Km (blue) and three populations (red, green, magenta) inoculated with clones that were isolated on Day 5 of the ancestral plasmid assay (see dotted ellipse and arrow). Clones isolated on Day 5 had greatly improved persistence compared to the ancestor. Lighter shades indicate 95% confidence interval. **(b)** Genomic map of pBP136Km in evolved Day 5 clones revealed deletions in the accessory region (green) between the *trb-tra* operons (light and dark blue, respectively).

We also routinely performed sequencing of clones recovered after transferring the

ancestral plasmid between strains of *E. coli* by conjugation (*i.e.,* matings) or

electroporation. Among these recovered clones we discovered several with deletions

either internal to *upf31* or in its putative promoter (Supplemental Table S1). To test if

deletion of *upf31* alone could explain improved plasmid persistence, we compared the

persistence of one of these recovered variants, named pBP136KmΔ*upf31,* to that of the

ancestral plasmid in the same host, *E. coli* K-12 (Fig 2A).

Fig 2. *upf31* deletion alone explained improved persistence in *E. coli* hosts.
(a) The poor persistence of plasmid pBP136Km (blue lines) in *E. coli* strains K-12
MG1655, DH5α, and JM109 (square, circle, and diamond respectively) was markedly
improved after an internal 168-bp deletion in plasmid gene *upf31* (red lines). Lighter
shades indicate 95% confidence interval. **(b)** A map of pBP136KmΔ*upf31* showing the
168-bp deletion (red) within the coding sequence of *upf31.*

This selected plasmid contained a 168-base pair (bp) deletion internal to the open

reading frame of *upf31* whereas *upf30.5* was intact (Fig 2B). Given that the plasmid with

inactivated *upf31* was persistent for at least 10 days, this gene of unknown function

must be responsible for the very poor persistence of pBP136 (compare red versus blue

squares of Fig 2A). The improvement in plasmid persistence after inactivation of *upf31*

was also seen in other well-studied strains of *E. coli* including DH5α and JM109 (Fig

2A). Importantly, the evolution from ancestral pBP136Km into pBP136KmΔ*upf31*

appeared during an overnight mating followed by plating for transconjugants on

selective media (Supplemental Table S1). This provides an example of very rapid

plasmid evolution that results in remarkably improved plasmid persistence (*i.e.,* < 48

hours).

Host fitness was lowered in the presence of *upf31* and pBP136Km.

To investigate if the improved plasmid persistence associated with *upf31* inactivation

was due to amelioration of plasmid fitness costs, we employed two approaches: *1)* a

comparison of the growth dynamics between the two plasmid-host pairs, with a focus on

the maximum growth rate and carrying capacity, and *2)* competition assays involving

plasmid-bearing and plasmid-free strains. First, we observed that K-12 containing

pBP136KmΔ*upf31* had a significantly higher maximum growth rate and reached a

higher end-point density in comparison to K-12 containing ancestral pBP136Km (Figure

3A). These results demonstrate that the presence of *upf31* encoded on pBP136Km

imposes a substantial fitness cost on its host.

Next, we confirmed the results of the growth rate observations by estimating the cost of

the ancestral and evolved plasmid in competition assays. This was done by individually

competing each plasmid-bearing strain against the plasmid-free K-12. We note that

direct competition assays between hosts containing a highly transmissible conjugative

plasmid such as pBP136Km can be confounded by plasmid transfer during liquid growth

assays. While IncP plasmids are less efficient at transferring in liquid than on surfaces,

they have been shown to transfer at detectable rates[37]. To overcome this limitation, we

developed a novel low-density competition assay which allows competing two strains at

densities too low for appreciable plasmid transfer to occur (see Methods). Indeed, as

conjugation requires cell contact, decreasing initial densities result in decreasing cell

collisions and thus plasmid transfer events[38]. The results (Fig 3B) show a fitness of K-12

(pBP136Km) relative to K-12 of only 0.51, suggesting the plasmid caused a 49%

reduction in fitness. By contrast, the fitness of K-12 (pBP136KmΔ*upf31*) was statistically

indistinguishable from that of K-12. We conclude that the persistence differences in

Figure 2 can be attributed to a very large fitness cost conferred by pBP136Km, which

was alleviated by the inactivation of one plasmid gene, *upf31.*

Figure 3. The presence of *upf31* encoded on pBP136Km lowered host fitness.
(a) Bacterial growth in batch culture was slower (blue) when carrying pBP136Km with ancestral *upf31* compared to the evolved plasmid pBP136KmΔ*upf31* with inactivated *upf31* (red). Lighter shades indicate 95% confidence interval. (Two sample independent T-test of max growth rates, *n*=10, p-value= 2.35×10^{-3}) **(b)** With the fitness of plasmid-free K-12 normalized to 1 (dashed line), the relative fitness (*w*) of *E. coli* with ancestral pBP136Km (in blue) was 0.51, *i.e.,* a ~49% cost (Two sample independent T-test, *n*=5, p-value=1.70×10^{-6}).The relative fitness of evolved genotype K-12 (pBP136KmΔ*upf31*) to plasmid-free K-12 was statistically indistinguishable (Two sample independent T-test, *n*=5, p-value=0.40). Box is interquartile range, green triangle is mean, orange line is median, ***=p ≤ 0.001.

The fitness cost imposed by *upf31* requires the presence of pBP136Km.

We next sought to understand if the expression of *upf31* directly imposed a fitness cost

on K-12 in the absence of plasmid pBP136Km. This was done by expressing *upf31*

within K-12 *in trans* from expression vector pCW-LIC-*upf31.* Production of protein Upf31

was verified via induction of pCW-LIC-*upf31* and observation of the associated 25.4 kDa

product (Supplemental Figure S1). As a negative control we used the non-modified

vector pCW-LIC (hereafter named pCW-LIC-*sacB* for clarity, as *sacB* is present in this

vector but lost during insertion of *upf31* to make pCW-LIC-*upf31*). The maximum growth

rates of K-12 with or without the Upf31 protein were not significantly different (Fig 4A).

This suggests that Upf31 does not impose a significant fitness cost on K-12 in the

absence of the plasmid it is encoded on. In contrast, when *upf31* was expressed *in*

trans in the presence of pBP136KmΔ*upf31,* the maximum growth rate was significantly

reduced compared to the same strain with the control vector (Fig 4B). Thus, we

demonstrated that Upf31 did not directly impose a fitness cost on K-12 but rather the

interaction of Upf31 with pBP136Km was responsible for the host fitness cost.

Figure 4. Upf31 requires the presence of pBP136Km to significantly reduce K-12 growth rate. **(a)** In the absence of plasmid pBP136Km there was no significant difference in maximum growth rate between *E. coli* K-12 expressing control *sacB* (purple) and *upf31* (brown) (Two sample independent T-test, *n*=10, p-value=0.46). **(b)** K-12 (pBP136KmΔ*upf31*) with *upf31* expressed *in trans* (orange) showed a lower maximum growth rate than K-12 (pBP136KmΔ*upf31*) with control *sacB* expressed *in trans* (green) (Two sample independent T-test*, n*=10, p-value=4.23x10^{-3}). Box is interquartile range, green triangle is mean, orange line is median, *n.s.* = p > 0.05, **=p ≤ 0.01.

Gene *upf31* also affects plasmid persistence and host fitness for archetype IncPβ plasmid R751 when complemented *in trans*.

We examined if the high cost of plasmid carriage observed with *upf31* extends to other IncPβ plasmids. The archetype IncPβ plasmid R751[28] encodes a *upf31* homolog with a 66 base pair internal deletion compared to pBP136Km. The high persistence of R751 in *E. coli* suggests that this allele does not negatively affect *E. coli* fitness (Supplemental Figure S2). To test if *upf31* from pBP136Km affects K-12 (R751) when expressed *in trans*, we compared K-12 (R751) (pCW-LIC-*upf31*) to control K-12 (R751) (pCW-LIC-*sacB*) in terms of plasmid persistence and growth rate. Plasmid R751 showed lower persistence and resulted in a statistically significant lower host growth rate (Supplemental Figure S2) when pBP136Km's *upf31* is present.

Forty-nine IncPβ plasmids were identified in NCBI that contain a gene with full-length homology to the ancestral *upf31* and associated promoter in pBP136, although none have an identical protein sequence (Supplemental Data S1). We tested the persistence of two of these plasmids, pAKD1, isolated from forest soil[39], and the wastewater associated pALTS29[40]. Whereas plasmid pAKD1 showed poor persistence, similar to pBP136Km, pALTS29 demonstrated high persistence (Supplemental Figure S3). Plasmid pAKD1 and pALTS29 had three amino acid changes compared to Upf31 in pBP136 (D76A, A92V, R124G), whilst pALTS29 had a unique Q82P change. Plasmid pALTS29 may be worth further analysis, especially since the environment in which it was found could be one that has selected variants that can persist better in a range of Enterobacteriaceae.

The computationally predicted methylase function of Upf31 is not supported by experimental results.

To provide further insight into the possible function(s) of Upf31 we performed a bioinformatic analysis using Phyre2. The output strongly predicted Upf31 to be a Dam methylase homolog with 70% of the amino acids modeling with 100% confidence (Supplemental Data S2). This is consistent with the pBP136 reference genome including a computationally automated annotation of *upf31* as encoding a DNA methylase based on protein similarity. To test if Upf31 is a Dam homolog, we digested genomic DNA extracted from *dam⁻* strain *E. coli* JM110 with and without pBP136Km and *dam⁺* K-12, using restriction enzymes that digest only methylated or non-methylated 'GATC' DNA sequences. The results suggest that Upf31 is not a functional Dam homolog as the restriction profiles of JM110 (pBP136Km) were opposite of those of the *dam+* strain K-12 (Supplemental Figure S4).

Next, to determine if Upf31 more broadly encoded a methyltransferase, we used the base pair modification detection available via Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencing. This method has the benefit of not requiring *a priori* knowledge of the base pair modification chemistry or target DNA sequence of the putative methylase. Briefly, the methylation-free *E. coli* ER2796[41] was used with *upf31* expressed from vector pCW-LIC-*upf31* or pCW-LIC-*sacB* as the vector control. Genomic DNA extracts of both strains were analyzed using the base modification analysis on a Pacbio Sequel II, which measures the kinetic incorporation of base pairs to detect patterns distinctive from non-methylated base pairs. The output showed no discernible kinetic patterns associated with methylated base pair incorporation, indicating that Upf31 does not function as a

226 methylase under our experimental conditions, in contrast to the computational

227 predictions.

The presence of *upf31* alters expression of global plasmid regulators and

associated operons.

To understand how *upf31* and pBP136Km interact to influence *E. coli* fitness, we next

performed RNA-seq on K-12 containing pBP136Km and K-12 containing

pBP136KmΔ*upf31*. We considered K-12 (pBP136Km) to be the treatment (presence of

the intact gene *upf31*), and K-12 (pBP136KmΔ*upf31*) with the internal 168-bp deletion

to be the control (absence of a functional *upf31*). The presence of *upf31* led to greater

than two-fold expression changes in 654 of the ~4,400 chromosomal genes, with 412 of

those upregulated and 242 downregulated (adjusted P-value < 0.05, Supplemental Data

S3). The gene ontology tool DAVID[42] predicted downregulation of cellular pathways

related to nitrogen and sugar metabolisms, while biosynthesis of siderophores, ABC

transporters, and sulfur metabolism pathways were all upregulated (Supplemental Data

S4).

Most interestingly, K-12 (pBP136Km) showed greater than two-fold expression increase

in 11 of its 46 plasmid genes relative to the *upf31* deletion variant (adjusted P-value <

0.05, Supplemental Data S3). These genes were primarily part of the stable inheritance

and control region made up of regulons controlled by proteins KorA, KorB and KorC

(Figure 5A in yellow). Strikingly, K-12 (pBP136Km) showed a relative decrease in

expression in only one gene, *upf31* itself. This relative comparison of gene expression

was made possible by pBP136KmΔ*upf31* not having a complete *upf31* deletion but

rather an internal 168-bp deletion that left an inactivated 507 bp *upf31* product to be

expressed (Figure 5B). A possible mechanistic explanation for this decrease is that

Upf31 represses its own transcription, like IncP plasmid regulators KorA, KorB, and

KorC[43]. A putative promoter lies just upstream of *upf31* (Supplemental Figure S5).

Figure 5. Expression of global plasmid regulators and their associated operons was increased in the presence of ancestral *upf31* compared to the deletion mutant. (a) Genetic map of pBP136Km with operons and operator sites annotated for global regulators KorA, KorB, KorC, and TrbA. Asterisks denote differentially expressed plasmid genes in the presence of *upf31*. **(b)** Table of plasmid-encoded genes with at least 2-fold differential expression (black asterisks) in the presence of *upf31*. Note expression of the ancestral *upf31* is ~16-fold lower than that of the truncated *upf31* knockout.

The effect of Upf31 to cause at least two-fold increased expression of all four of the

canonical IncP regulatory genes[44]– *korA, korB, korC,* and *trbA* – along with the other

genes in the operons they regulate (Figure 5A in bold) is not so easy to explain. These

regulators are known to autogenously repress their own expression[33] by binding

cognate operators near their respective promoters (orange, red, green, and black dots

in Figure 5A). They are also known to repress promoters for the other operons in their

regulons. The increased repressor gene expression should therefore decrease rather

than increase expression of all other regulated operons as the expression of the

repressor genes increases (yellow in Figure 5A). This suggests that *upf31* has a general

effect of diminishing the repressive ability of *kor* regulatory genes, both in terms of their

autogenous control and repression of their respective regulons.

Upf31 is computationally predicted to bind KorB via a C-terminal dimerization

domain.

A hypothesis to explain these results emerges from the fact that the high level of

repression observed with these promoters depends on the cooperative interaction of

KorA (and TrbA) with KorB[45–47]. If Upf31 were able to disrupt this cooperativity then it

might decrease repression while allowing the concentration of the regulators to rise. To

explore the hypothesis that Upf31 disrupts the cooperative interaction of KorA (and

TrbA) with KorB we used Alphafold2[48] and ColabFold[49] to predict the structures of every

individual pBP136 coding region in complex with Upf31. We subsequently ranked these

interactions using a self-assessment ranking score (ranking_confidence: 0.2pTM +

0.8ipTM). The full set of data generated in this way is in Supplemental Data S5. The

structure prediction for Upf31 is that it possesses a globular DNA binding domain

(residues 1 to 174) and a C-terminal dimerization domain (CTD) which is consistent with

its proposed autogenous regulation of its own expression. However, the most exciting

result of this analysis is that it predicts that the C-terminal dimerization domain mediates

interaction with KorB (Figure 6A) in a way that is very similar to KorA. Modeling of Upf31

with KorB gave a robust ranking_confidence score of 0.64. Removal of the CTD from

Upf31 drastically reduced the predicted interaction between KorB and Upf31 to 0.274.

When pBP136 KorB was modelled with R751Upf31, which natively lacks a C-terminal

dimerization domain, a similarly low score of 0.252 was predicted. Given the globular

domain sequence similarity between pBP136 and R751 these results are highly

suggestive of pBP136 Upf31 interacting with KorB via the CTD (Figure 6B). Although

the Upf31 CTD does not line up with KorA CTD in the way that the CTD of TrbA does,

one can detect similarities like the Tyrosine at position 180 and the patch of

predominantly basic amino acids jumps. This predicted interaction might allow Upf31 to

reduce available KorB either when bound to DNA or free since we previously observed

that KorA and KorB could be copurified in the absence of DNA (McLean *et al.*, pending

submission).

Figure 6. AlphaFold2 modeling reveals the potential interaction between pBP136 Upf31 and KorB is mediated by the C-terminal dimerization domain. (a) AlphaFold2 modelling of the pBP136 Upf31 homodimer (light and dark blue) with the pBP136 KorB homodimer (red and orange). **(b)** Superimposed AlphaFold2 models of pBP136 Upf31 (dark blue) and R751 Upf31 (magenta) highlighting the additional C-terminal dimerization domain of pBP136 Upf31. **(c)** Overlay of the AlphaFold2 model of pBP136 Upf31 homodimer (dark blue) with the crystal structure of the RK2 KorA homodimer (PDB: 5CM3, DNA duplex removed). Basic residues in the dimerization domain are highlighted in magenta or yellow. KorA Y84 is highlighted in deep red, Upf31 W198 is highlighted in cyan.

Discussion

This work sheds new light on the biology and evolution of conjugative plasmids. We demonstrate that the persistence of a conjugative broad-host-range plasmid from *Bordetella pertussis* rapidly improved in *E. coli,* even in the absence of selection for the plasmid. The associated drastic amelioration of the plasmid fitness cost to this host was due to the inactivation of a previously uncharacterized plasmid gene*, upf31,* which affected the expression of the plasmid backbone regulatory circuit including all four major regulators as well as hundreds of chromosomal genes. This change in transcriptome is likely initiated by Upf31 interacting with one of these major plasmid regulators, KorB. Even though plasmids like pBP136 of the incompatibility group IncP are notably persistent in many Proteobacteria[5,21–27], we show that different alleles of plasmid genes like *upf31* may be more or less suited to plasmid persistence in particular hosts. Understanding how this gene acts and why it is an advantage in some hosts will expand our understanding of the genetic toolbox plasmids can exploit for their success.

The last decade has seen a flood of seminal studies regarding compensatory evolution of plasmid fitness cost, including multiple studies showing that changes in chromosomal regulatory systems can improve plasmid-host relations. One example is mutations of the *fur* transcriptional regulator involved in iron uptake[9,50], while evolution of the chromosomal *gacA/S* regulators[17] was observed to lower the fitness cost of plasmid carriage within 48 hours of plating on agar[51]. A few studies have found compensatory evolution via putative chromosomally-encoded helicases[18], in one case largely restoring gene expression levels of the plasmid-host pair to that of the plasmid-free bacteria[52]. Amid these discoveries, the complex and tightly regulated plasmid transcriptional

regulatory systems have perhaps been overlooked as a key factor in plasmid-host

relations. One exception was a study which found that a plasmid improved persistence

via differential expression of a *parAB* operon, with backbone genes and plasmid

regulators otherwise remaining unchanged[20]. Our work shows that the regulatory

systems of *both* plasmids and chromosomes should be included in the growing list of

evolutionary pathways that can decrease the fitness cost of a plasmid.

The exact mechanism of Upf31 remains experimentally unvalidated but we hypothesize

a C-terminal dimerization domain interaction with plasmid regulator KorB. This is

supported by our use of AlphaFold2 to predict structures and interactions between

Upf31 and all other pBP136-encoded proteins, which identified KorB as a highly likely

target for Upf31 (Figure 6A). Furthermore, the CTD of Upf31 modeled very similar to the

CTD of KorA, which was previously shown to interact with KorB[47]. KorB is known to

interact co-operatively with the other plasmid backbone repressors[45,53,54]. Therefore, a

KorB-Upf31 interaction that reduces KorB's ability to interact with other repressors could

explain the observed weakening of KorB autogenous control as well as weaker operon

repression. It would also align with our result that plasmid R751 with a *upf31* allele that

natively lacks a CTD, was very persistent in *E. coli*. In sum, our study suggests that just

like KorA, Upf31 may also belong to the family of proteins that can interact with KorB,

and that its interaction may override the other interactions with concomitant

derepression of gene expression.

The Upf31-associated derepression of global plasmid regulators triggers a secondary

effect of large-scale differential gene expression. Thus, the next question becomes

which of these differentially expressed genes explains poor *E. coli* fitness. As this

negative fitness effect of *upf31* was only observed in the presence of IncPβ plasmids,

we focus here on changes in the plasmid transcriptome. First, of the three plasmids in

this study that contained full-length *upf31* homologs, only pALTS29 showed high

persistence in *E. coli,* which may suggest low fitness cost. Notably, this plasmid lacked

a toxin-antitoxin (TA) system found in nearly all IncPβ plasmids. This suggests that

overexpression of TA genes may explain poor *E. coli* fitness. However, the higher

persistence of pALTS29 could equally be explained by the Q82P amino acid difference

in Upf31 of pALTS29. Another possible explanation for the negative effect of Upf31 on

E. coli fitness is a set of four genes in the control region that were upregulated in the

presence of Upf31 (*kleA, kleE, klcA, klcB,* or 'kil' genes). These genes have been

shown to have harmful effects on the growth of *E.coli* strains when not repressed by the

global Kor regulators[55–57], with *klcB* found harmful only in the presence of an IncP

plasmid[58]. Future studies will have to determine which of the overexpressed genes

have such a negative effect on *E coli* fitness and by which mechanism. Conversely, it is

left to be investigated if *upf31* may have presented an advantage in the original *B.*

pertussis host.

We next wish to report two important lessons we learned during this study. First, we

frequently observed rapid loss of *upf31* during routine laboratory cultivation due to

various deletion, prompting us to always sequence the region of *upf31* to confirm its

presence. This suggests that rapid evolution of costly plasmid genes may often go

unrecognized during cultivation and plasmid transfer between strains by matings and

electroporation. Our work aligns with previous findings of rapid (<48 hours)

compensatory evolution between plasmid-host pairs, even without known selection[51].

Second, our work showed that Upf31 is likely not a functional methylase in contrast to

automated annotations and computational predictions. This highlights the argument that

plasmid genes *without* experimental data should be assigned locus tags rather than

putative function-related gene names[59].

In conclusion, despite decades of great progress in plasmid biology and the pivotal role

of conjugative plasmids in antibiotic resistance dissemination, a substantial portion of

plasmids harbor multiple genes with unknown functions. Our study highlights the

importance of one such gene, which when inactivated led to a lasting host-plasmid pair,

effectively rescuing a plasmid from potential extinction[60] in a bacterial population. The

investigation of this specific gene revealed that this evolutionary rescue is closely linked

to alterations in the plasmids regulatory circuit. These findings underscore the

significance of identifying and experimentally validating uncharacterized plasmid genes

to understand how evolution underwrites the spread and persistence of plasmids in

bacterial communities.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, plasmids and media

IncPβ plasmid pBP136 was discovered in a strain of *Bordetella pertussis* isolated from

a lethal case of infant whooping cough[35]. A kanamycin gene was later inserted to

generate pBP136Km (NCBI accession number NZ_OR146256.1), which provided a

selectable marker in the originally cryptic plasmid[56]. The expression vector pCW-LIC-

upf31 was derived from pCW-LIC-*sacB* as described below in the cloning section.

Escherichia coli K-12 MG1655 was derived[57] from an isolate within the stool of a

diphtheria patient in 1925, and *E. coli* JM109 and DH5α[58] are later derivatives of this

strain with useful attributes relevant to cloning. We generated rifampicin and nalidixic

resistant clones of *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 by recovering resistant mutants after plating on

agar with the respective antibiotic. New constructs and initial, intermediate and final

populations of the plasmid persistence assays were all archived at -70 °C in 30%

glycerol.

All bacteria in this study were grown at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB) shaken at 200 RPM

or on LB agar (LBA) plates. Strains were grown with 50 μg ml^{-1} kanamycin (km) or 100

μg ml^{-1} ampicillin (amp) when appropriate for plasmid maintenance. Expression vectors

were induced with 500 μM Isopropyl β- d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) when

induction is mentioned in the text.

Plasmid Persistence Assay

All plasmid persistence assays were performed in triplicate and first grown overnight

(O/N) with kanamycin to select for initial plasmid maintenance (time T0). Daily serial

transfers of 4.9 μL of overnight culture into 5 mL of sterile broth *without* antibiotic was

then performed for ten more days (times T1-T10). Each day the cultures were diluted

10^{-6} in 1x phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and 100 uL was spread onto dilution plates.

The daily plasmid-containing fraction of the population was determined by replica

plating 52 randomly chosen colonies from the dilution plates onto LB plates with and

without kanamycin.

Growth Assays

We performed growth assays in batch culture to measure the effect of Upf31 on growth

rates and final densities. Strains were grown from freezer archives for 24 hours and

diluted 1:100, then grown another 24 hours and finally diluted 1:1000 in sterile media.

From these ten biological replicates each were loaded at a volume of 200uL into the

respective wells of a 96-well plate. A SPECTROstar Nano plate reader measured

optical density at 600nm every ten minutes for 23 hours with incubation at 37C and 500

RPM orbital shaking. The R package GrowthRates[61] v. 0.8.4 was used to calculate

maximum growth rate using default parameters.

Low-Density Competition Assays

The low-density competition assay was developed in this study to avoid plasmid transfer

during the assay. It contains two distinct steps: (*i*) determining the likely "conjugation-

free" time window for specific low donor and recipient densities, and (*ii*) performing a

traditional competition assay at low densities within the established time window.

To find the likely window of time before density is high enough for conjugation to occur,

we used K-12 MG1655 strains[34] with spontaneous mutations conferring resistance to

nalidixic acid (Nal) and rifampicin (Rif) (donor K-12N(pBP136Km) and recipient K-12R,

respectively). Donor and recipient were mixed1:1 at densities of 10^2/ml into 2.5 mL LB

shaken 200 RPM at 37°C. To select for possible transconjugants, the cultures were

mixed on hourly timepoints with another 2.5 mL of LB with Km and Rif to create a 5mL

mixture with 50 µg ml^{-1} Km and 50 µg ml^{-1} Rif. These were grown 24 hours after which

the earliest timepoint with turbidity was associated with the emergence of

transconjugants K-12R(pBP136Km). The first turbid culture emerged at hour six, and

therefore a five-hour window of likely conjugation-free growth was chosen for the

subsequent competition assays.

Traditional competition assays were then performed using K-12 vs. K-12(pBP136Km)

and K-12 vs. K-12(pBP136KmΔ*upf31*). Each experiment began with 1:1 mixture at

densities of 10^2/ml in LB without antibiotics and were shaken. Plating onto LBA occurred

at the start time and hour five endpoint (after an appropriate dilution), and the relative

increase in cell numbers (cfu/ml) was used as a proxy for relative fitness as follows:[62]

437
$$w = \frac{ln\left(\frac{A_f}{A_i}\right)}{ln\left(\frac{B_f}{B_i}\right)}$$

where *w* is the Malthusian relative fitness term, A_I and A_F are the initial and final plasmid

containing K-12 populations, respectively, and B_I and B_F are the initial and final plasmid-

free K-12 populations, respectively.

Methylation Detection

To test if *upf1* encodes a methyltransferase as computationally predicted we utilized the

base modification analysis provided by the Pacbio Sequel II DNA sequencer. The

methylase-free *E. coli* strain ER2796[43] with expression vector pCW-LIC-*upf31* was

tested against ER2796 (pCW-LIC-*sacB*) for differential base modification[36] on a Pacbio

Sequel II at the Arizona Genomic Institute in Tucson, AZ, USA. Both strains were grown

with antibiotic selection and IPTG induction before genomic extraction using the Sigma

GeneElute Bacterial kit. Base Modification Analysis was run on SMRT Link v.

10.2.0.133434 with a reference made by merging the *E. coli* assembly with the

respective plasmids and enabling "Find Modified Base Motifs" and "Consolidate Mapped

BAMs for IGV" options. All the other options were left as default.

RNA-Seq

To understand how *upf31* and pBP136Km interact to influence *E. coli* fitness, we

performed RNA-seq. Strains K-12WT (pBP136Km) and K-12WT (pBP136KmΔ*upf31)*

were grown overnight in LB with Km and pelleted down. The samples were sent to

Zymo Research for Total RNA-Seq Service. Sequencing libraries were constructed from

total RNA sample and were prepared using the Zymo-Seq RiboFree Total RNA Library

Prep Kit (Cat # R3000) according to the manufacturer's instructions

(https://www.zymoresearch.com/products/zymo-seq-ribofree-total-rna-library-kit). RNA-

Seq libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq to a sequencing depth of at least

30 million read pairs (150 base paired-end sequencing) per sample.

A custom bioinformatics pipeline began with TrimGalore![63] v. 0.67 to remove adapters

and low-quality reads. Bowtie2[64] v. 2.4.5 then mapped reads to merged chromosomal

and plasmid references. These were counted using featureCounts[65] v. 2.0.1, the output

of which was input unto DESeq2[66] v. 1.38.1, for final calculations of relative expression.

DNA Sequencing

Whole genome sequencing was performed by SeqCenter, LLC in Pittsburg, PA, USA.

Genomic DNA extractions were performed using Sigma GeneElute Bacterial kit. The

libraries were prepared using the Illumina DNA Prep kit with IDT 10bp UDI indices and

sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 2000 with 2x151bp reads. Demultiplexing, quality

control and adapter trimming was initially performed using Illumina's bcl-convert v.

3.9.3. TrimGalore! v. 0.6 was additionally used to remove remaining adapters and low-

quality reads. Breseq[67] v. 0.36.0 was used to identify mutations between ancestral and

evolved strains.

Cloning

pCW-LIC (hereafter pCW-LIC-*sacB*) was a gift from Cheryl Arrowsmith (Addgene

plasmid #26098; http://n2t.net/addgene:26098; RRID:Addgene_26098). Plasmid pCW-

LIC-*sacB* was double cut at the *NdeI* and *HindIII* restrictions sites, which created a

4,962 base pair (bp) linearized backbone and a 2,302 bp linear fragment containing

sacB and its promoter. These were separated and the linearized backbone recovered

from an agarose gel using a Thermo Scientific (TS) GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit. Next,

gene *upf31* was amplified from pBP136Km miniprep using upstream primer 5'-

GGTGGT<u>CATATG</u>TCCAGGAAGAAGGCCATGAG-3' and downstream primer 5'-

GGTGG<u>TAAGCTT</u>CTACTCGGCCGCCTCTAG-3' (flanking sequences for restriction

enzymes in italics, *NheI* and *HindIII* sites underlined, respectively). The ends of the

upf31 amplicon were then double digested at the *NdeI* and *HindIII* sites, and the small

digested ends removed using a TS GeneJET PCR Purification Kit. The *upf31* segment

and linearized pCW-LIC backbone were joined using T4 DNA ligase and the now-

circularized pCW-LIC-*upf31* was electroporated into DH5α using standard methods[68].

Upf31 protein expression

Induction cultures of pCW-LIC-upf31 in *E. coli* K-12 M1655 were started from an

overnight liquid culture of LB (Lennox) with 100 µg/ml ampicillin (LBAmp). Twenty-five

milliliter LB Amp cultures were initiated at OD600 0.05 using the liquid overnight culture

to serve as the induction cultures. The 25 milliliter cultures were grown at 37° C while

shaking at 200 rpm. When the 25 milliliter cultures reached OD600 > 1.0, one milliliter of

culture was removed, and the cells were harvested by centrifugation for four minutes at

16,000 x g at room temperature in an Eppendorf 5425 centrifuge. This material served

as the pre-induction (or 0 hour) time point for each culture. ITPG was then added to

each induction culture to a final concentration of 0.1 mM, 0.5 mM, or 1 mM and growth

was monitored at OD600. At the time points indicated in Figure S2, one milliliter of

culture was removed from each culture and cells were isolated as above. Cells were

resuspended in 1x Tris-Glycine running buffer (25 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.3, 192 mM glycine,

and 0.1% SDS) at 100 microliters per 1.0 = OD600 cells. Laemmli buffer was added to

the resuspended cell pellets to a final concentration of 1x and heated 10 minutes at >

98° C prior to gel loading.

Upf31 SDS-Page Gel

Heated, denatured samples were loaded on a tris-glycine gel with a 12% acrylamide

resolving gel and a 4% acrylamide stacking gel. Each sample lane contained 0.1 OD_{600}

of cells. The molecular weight standards used were unstained broad range protein

standards (NEB) and then the gel was run at 100 volts until the dye front was 1 cm from

the bottom of the gel and then stained one hour in Coomassie blue stain (50%

methanol, 10% acetic acid, 0.25% Coomassie brilliant blue R-250) followed by

destaining (5% methanol, 7% acetic acid) overnight.

Computational Modeling of Interactions between Upf31 and pBP136-encoded

Proteins

An '*in silico* pulldown' of Upf31 was carried out using the LazyAF pipeline[69]. In short, the

pBP136 genome (AB237782) was retrieved from NCBI GenBank as a FASTA protein coding

sequence. The Upf31 protein coding sequence was used to generate individual concatenated

FASTA files with Upf31 and each pBP136 coding sequence. ColabFold v1.5.5: AlphaFold2 w/

MMseqs2 BATCH was then run on Google Colaboratory using the High-RAM A100 GPU with the

following settings: msa_mode: MMseqs2 (UniRef+Environmental), num_models: 5,

num_recycles: 3, stop_at_score: 100. Subsequently the JSON files were analyzed to retrieve the

pTM and ipTM scores for each top ranked model and calculated the ranking_confidence score

(0.2 pTM + 0.8 ipTM).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Tùng Lê at the John Innes Centre (UK) for his work on the *in silico*

Upf31 pulldown. We are indebted for the laboratory efforts of undergraduate

researchers Luke Hoover, Morgan Sower, Sue Winger, Katlyn Schafer, Courtney

Stattner, Mattie Hagestad, Audrey Dingel, and Alexandra Gal and research technician

Jack Millstein at the University of Idaho. We also thank Salvador ("Chava") Castaneda

Barba for improving this manuscript. The project was made possible with the skilled

sequencing efforts of IIDS Genomics and Bioinformatics Resources Core (GBRC) at the

University of Idaho as well as the Arizona Genomics Institute at the University of

Arizona.

Funding Resources

E.M.T. received support for this work from National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Extramural Activities grant no. R01AI084918 from the National Institutes of Health. C.A.E. was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship grant no. DGE-2019265372 as well as the Bioinformatics and Computational Biology (BCB) Fellowship and the Paul Joyce Memorial BCB Fellowship Endowment at the University of Idaho. O.K. was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship grant no. DGE-1762114. This work is supported by the Wellcome Trust Investigator grant 221776/Z/2/Z to Tùng Lê that supported T.C.M, and by the BBSRC funded Institute Strategic Program Harnessing Biosynthesis for Sustainable Food and Health (HBio) (BB/X01097X/1). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Soucy, S. M., Huang, J. & Gogarten, J. P. Horizontal gene transfer: building the web of life. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **16**, 472–482 (2015).

2. Norman, A., Hansen, L. H. & Sørensen, S. J. Conjugative plasmids: vessels of the communal gene pool. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* **364**, 2275–89 (2009).

3. San Millan, A. Evolution of Plasmid-Mediated Antibiotic Resistance in the Clinical Context. *Trends in Microbiology* **26**, 978–985 (2018).

4.   Murray, C. J. L. *et al.* Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic

analysis. *The Lancet* **399**, 629–655 (2022).

5.   De Gelder, L., Ponciano, J. M., Joyce, P. & Top, E. M. Stability of a promiscuous plasmid in different

hosts: No guarantee for a long-term relationship. *Microbiology* **153**, 452–463 (2007).

6.   Modi, R. I. & Adams, J. Coevolution in bacterial-plasmid populations. *Evolution* **45**, 656–667 (1991).

7.   Bouma, J. E. & Lenski, R. E. Evolution of a bacteria/plasmid association. *Nature* **335**, 351–352 (1988).

8.   Dahlberg, C. & Chao, L. Amelioration of the Cost of Conjugative Plasmid Carriage in Eschericha coli

K12. *Genetics* **165**, 1641–1649 (2003).

9.   Stalder, T. *et al.* Emerging patterns of plasmid-host coevolution that stabilize antibiotic resistance.

Scientific Reports **7**, 1–10 (2017).

10. Bottery, M. J., Wood, A. J. & Brockhurst, M. A. Adaptive modulation of antibiotic resistance through

intragenomic coevolution. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1**, 1364–1369 (2017).

11. Sota, M. *et al.* Shifts in the host range of a promiscuous plasmid through parallel evolution of its

replication initiation protein. *ISME Journal* **4**, 1568–1580 (2010).

12. Hughes, J. M. *et al.* The role of clonal interference in the evolutionary dynamics of plasmid-host

adaptation. *mBio* **3**, e00077-12 (2012).

13. Yano, H. *et al.* Evolved plasmid-host interactions reduce plasmid interference cost. *Molecular*

Microbiology **101**, 743–756 (2016).

14. De Gelder, L., Williams, J. J., Ponciano, J. M., Sota, M. & Top, E. M. Adaptive Plasmid Evolution

Results in Host-Range Expansion of a Broad-Host-Range Plasmid. *Genetics* **178**, 2179–2190 (2008).

15. Porse, A., Schønning, K., Munck, C. & Sommer, M. O. A. Survival and Evolution of a Large Multidrug

Resistance Plasmid in New Clinical Bacterial Hosts. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **33**, 2860–2873

(2016).

16. Jordt, H. *et al.* Coevolution of host–plasmid pairs facilitates the emergence of novel multidrug

resistance. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**, 863–869 (2020).

17. Harrison, E., Guymer, D., Spiers, A. J., Paterson, S. & Brockhurst, M. A. Parallel Compensatory

Evolution Stabilizes Plasmids across the Parasitism-Mutualism Continuum. *Current Biology* **25**,

2034–2039 (2015).

18. Loftie-Eaton, W. *et al.* Compensatory mutations improve general permissiveness to antibiotic

resistance plasmids. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **1**, 1354–1363 (2017).

19. Millan, A. S. *et al.* Positive selection and compensatory adaptation interact to stabilize non-

transmissible plasmids. *Nature Communications* **5**, 5208 (2014).

20. Hall, J. P. J. *et al.* Plasmid fitness costs are caused by specific genetic conflicts enabling resolution by

compensatory mutation. *PLOS Biology* **19**, e3001225 (2021).

21. Schmidhauser, T. J. & Helinski, D. R. Regions of broad-host-range plasmid RK2 involved in replication

and stable maintenance in nine species of gram-negative bacteria. *J Bacteriol* **164**, 446–455 (1985).

22. Shintani, M., Takahashi, Y., Yamane, H. & Nojiri, H. The behavior and significance of degradative

plasmids belonging to Inc groups in Pseudomonas within natural environments and microcosms.

Microbes Environ **25**, 253–265 (2010).

23. Yano, H., Deckert, G. E., Rogers, L. M. & Top, E. M. Roles of long and short replication initiation

proteins in the fate of IncP-1 Plasmids. *Journal of Bacteriology* **194**, 1533–1543 (2012).

24. Jain, A. & Srivastava, P. Broad host range plasmids. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **348**, 87–96 (2013).

25. Yano, H. *et al.* Host range diversification within the IncP-1 plasmid group. *Microbiology (United*

Kingdom) **159**, 2303–2315 (2013).

26. Klümper, U. *et al.* Broad host range plasmids can invade an unexpectedly diverse fraction of a soil

bacterial community. *ISME J* **9**, 934–945 (2015).

27. Kottara, A., Hall, J. P. J., Harrison, E. & Brockhurst, M. A. Variable plasmid fitness effects and mobile

genetic element dynamics across Pseudomonas species. *FEMS microbiology ecology* **94**, (2018).

28. Thorsted, P. B. *et al.* Complete sequence of the IncPβ plasmid R751: Implications for evolution and

organisation of the IncP backbone. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **282**, 969–990 (1998).

29. Thomas, C. M. Paradigms of plasmid organization. *Molecular Microbiology* **37**, 485–491 (2000).

30. Sen, D., Brown, C. J., Top, E. M. & Sullivan, J. Inferring the evolutionary history of INCP-1 plasmids

despite incongruence among backbone gene trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**, 154–166

(2013).

31. Rajasekar, K. V. *et al.* Flexibility of KorA, a plasmid-encoded, global transcription regulator, in the

presence and the absence of its operator. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**, 4947–4956 (2016).

32. Bingle, L. E. H. *et al.* Flexibility in repression and cooperativity by KorB of broad host range IncP-1

plasmid RK2. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **349**, 302–316 (2005).

33. Bingle, L. E. H. & Thomas, C. M. Regulatory circuits for plasmid survival. *Current Opinion in*

Microbiology **4**, 194–200 (2001).

34. Sota, M. & Top, E. M. Host-specific factors determine the persistence of IncP-1 plasmids. *World*

Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology **24**, 1951–1954 (2008).

35. Sota, M. *et al.* Region-specific insertion of transposons in combination with selection for high

plasmid transferability and stability accounts for the structural similarity of IncP-1 plasmids. *Journal*

of Bacteriology **189**, 3091–3098 (2007).

36. Kamachi, K. *et al.* Plasmid pBP136 from Bordetella pertussis represents an ancestral form of IncP-1β

plasmids without accessory mobile elements. *Microbiology* **152**, 3477–3484 (2006).

37. Zhong, X., Kroˈl, Jarosław E., Top, E. M. & Krone, S. M. Accounting for mating pair formation in

plasmid population dynamics. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **262**, 711–719 (2010).

38. Kosterlitz, O. *et al.* Estimating the transfer rates of bacterial plasmids with an adapted Luria–

Delbrück fluctuation analysis. *PLoS Biol* **20**, e3001732 (2022).

39. Drønen, A. K., Torsvik, V., Goksøyr, J. & Top, E. M. Effect of mercury addition on plasmid incidence

and gene mobilizing capacity in bulk soil. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **27**, 381–394 (1998).

40. Law, A. *et al.* Biosolids as a Source of Antibiotic Resistance Plasmids for Commensal and Pathogenic

Bacteria. *Front Microbiol* **12**, 606409 (2021).

41. Anton, B. P. *et al.* Complete genome sequence of ER2796, a DNA methyltransferase-deficient strain

of Escherichia coli K-12. *PLoS ONE* **10**, (2015).

42. Dennis, G. *et al.* DAVID: Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery. *Genome

Biology* **4**, R60 (2003).

43. Pansegrau, W. *et al.* Complete Nucleotide Sequence of Birmingham IncPα Plasmids: Compilation

and Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **239**, 623–663 (1994).

44. Adamczyk, M. & Jagura-Burdzy, G. Spread and survival of promiscuous IncP-1 plasmids. *Acta

Biochim Pol* **50**, 425–453 (2003).

45. Kostelidou, K., Jones, A. C. & Thomas, C. M. Conserved C-terminal region of global repressor KorA of

broad-host-range plasmid RK2 is required for co-operativity between KorA and a second RK2 global

regulator, KorB11Edited by J. Karn. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **289**, 211–221 (1999).

46. Zatyka, M., Jagura-Burdzy, G. & Thomas, C. M. Transcriptional and translational control of the genes

for the mating pair formation apparatus of promiscuous IncP plasmids. *J Bacteriol* **179**, 7201–7209

(1997).

47. Bingle, L. E. H. *et al.* A single aromatic residue in transcriptional repressor protein KorA is critical for

cooperativity with its co-regulator KorB. *Mol Microbiol* **70**, 1502–1514 (2008).

48. Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589

(2021).

49. Mirdita, M. *et al.* ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**, 679–682

(2022).

50. Hassan, H. & Troxell, B. Transcriptional regulation by Ferric Uptake Regulator (Fur) in pathogenic

bacteria. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **3**, (2013).

51. Hall, J. P. J., Wright, R. C. T., Guymer, D., Harrison, E. & Brockhurst, M. A. Extremely fast

amelioration of plasmid fitness costs by multiple functionally diverse pathways. *Microbiology* **166**,

56–62 (2020).

52. Millan, A. S., Toll-Riera, M., Qi, Q. & MacLean, R. C. Interactions between horizontally acquired

genes create a fitness cost in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Nature Communications* **6**, 6845 (2015).

53. Kostelidou, K. & Thomas, C. M. The hierarchy of KorB binding at its 12 binding sites on the broad-

host-range plasmid RK2 and modulation of this binding by IncC1 protein11Edited by J. Karn. *Journal*

of Molecular Biology **295**, 411–422 (2000).

54. Zatyka, M., Bingle, L., Jones, A. C. & Thomas, C. M. Cooperativity between KorB and TrbA repressors

of broad-host-range plasmid RK2. *J Bacteriol* **183**, 1022–1031 (2001).

55. Figurski, D. H., Pohlman, R. F., Bechhofer, D. H., Prince, A. S. & Kelton, C. A. Broad host range

plasmid RK2 encodes multiple kil genes potentially lethal to Escherichia coli host cells. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **79**, 1935–1939 (1982).

56. Kornacki, J. A., Chang, C. H. & Figurski, D. H. kil-kor Regulon of promiscuous plasmid RK2: Structure,

products, and regulation of two operons that constitute the kilE locus. *Journal of Bacteriology* **175**,

5078–5090 (1993).

57. Larsen, M. H. & Figurski, D. H. Structure, expression, and regulation of the kilC operon of

promiscuous IncP alpha plasmids. *J Bacteriol* **176**, 5022–5032 (1994).

58. Bhattacharyya, A. & Figurski, D. H. A small protein-protein interaction domain common to KlcB and

global regulators KorA and TrbA of promiscuous IncP plasmids11Edited by M. Belfort. *Journal of*

Molecular Biology **310**, 51–67 (2001).

59. Thomas, C. M. *et al.* Annotation of plasmid genes. *Plasmid* **91**, 61–67 (2017).

60. Gomulkiewicz, R. & Holt, R. D. When does Evolution by Natural Selection Prevent Extinction?

Evolution **49**, 201–207 (1995).

61. Hall, B. G., Acar, H., Nandipati, A. & Barlow, M. Growth Rates Made Easy. *Molecular Biology and*

Evolution **31**, 232–238 (2014).

62. Wiser, M. J. & Lenski, R. E. A Comparison of Methods to Measure Fitness in Escherichia coli. *PLOS*

ONE **10**, e0126210 (2015).

63. TrimGalore! https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore

64. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* **9**, 357–359

(2012).

65. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning

sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

66. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq

data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* **15**, 550 (2014).

67. Barrick, J. E. *et al.* Identifying structural variation in haploid microbial genomes from short-read

resequencing data using breseq. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 1039 (2014).

68. Sambrook, Joseph. & Russell, D. W. Molecular Cloning : A Laboratory Manual. *Cold Spring Harbor*

Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y., (2001).

69. McLean, T. C. LazyAF, a pipeline for accessible medium-scale in silico prediction of protein-protein

interactions. 2024.01.29.577767 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.29.577767 (2024).