Mapping the soil microbiome functions shaping wetland methane emissions

Authors: Angela M. Oliverio[1,2+], Adrienne B. Narrowe[1+], Jorge A. Villa[3], Christian Rinke[4],
David W. Hoyt[5], Pengfei Liu[1,6], Bridget B. McGivern[1], Emily K. Bechtold[1], Jared B.
Ellenbogen[1], Rebecca A. Daly[1], Garrett J. Smith[7], Jordan C. Angle[7], Rory M. Flynn[1], Andrew P.
Freiburger[8], Katherine B. Louie[9,10], Brooke Stemple[1], Trent R. Northen[9,10], Christopher Henry[8],
Christopher S. Miller[11], Timothy H. Morin[12], Gil Bohrer[13], Mikayla A. Borton[1*], Kelly C.
Wrighton[1*]
8
[1] Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 80521
[2] Department of Biology, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, 13210
[3] School of Geosciences, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, LA 70503
[4] Australian Centre for Ecogenomics, School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The
University of Queensland, St. Lucia, QLD, Australia
[5] Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,
Richland, WA, 99352
[6] Center for Pan-third Pole Environment, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
[7] Department of Microbiology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210
[8] Data Science and Learning, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439
[9] Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, Berkeley, CA, 94720
[10] DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 94720
[11] Department of Integrative Biology, University of Colorado Denver, Denver, CO, 80217
[12] Department of Environmental Resources Engineering, State University of New York College
of Environmental Sciences and Forestry, Syracuse, New York, 13210
[13] Department of Civil, Environmental & Geodetic Engineering, The Ohio State University,
Columbus, OH, 43210
26
27 [+]**Authors contributed equally to this work:** Angela M. Oliverio and Adrienne B. Narrowe
28 ***Co-Corresponding Authors:** Kelly C. Wrighton and Mikayla A. Borton
29
30 **Email:** wrighton@colostate.edu and mborton@colostate.edu
31
32 **Keywords:** genome, MAG, metagenomics, metatranscriptomics, global change, greenhouse
33 gases, methanogen
34

Abstract

Accounting for only 8% of Earth's land coverage, freshwater wetlands remain the foremost

contributor to global methane emissions. Yet the microorganisms and processes underlying

methane emissions from wetland soils remain poorly understood. Over a five-year period, we

surveyed the microbial membership and in situ methane measurements from over 700 samples in

one of the most prolific methane-emitting wetlands in the United States. We constructed a

catalog of 2,502 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs), with nearly half of the 72 bacterial

and archaeal phyla sampled containing novel lineages. Integration of these data with 133 soil

metatranscriptomes provided a genome-resolved view of the biogeochemical specialization and

versatility expressed in wetland soils. Centimeter-scale depth differences best explained patterns

of microbial community structure and transcribed functionalities, even more so than land

coverage or temporal information. Moreover, while extended flooding restructured soil redox,

this perturbation failed to reconfigure the transcriptional profiles of methane cycling

microorganisms, contrasting with theoretical expected responses to hydrological perturbations.

Co-expression analyses coupled to depth resolved methane measurements exposed the

metabolisms and trophic structures most predictive of methane hotspots. This compendium of

biogeochemically-classified genomes and their spatiotemporal transcriptional patterns begins to

untangle the microbial carbon, energy and nutrient processing contributing to soil methane

production.

Introduction

Wetlands are characterized as waterlogged soils, rich in organic matter, with low concentrations of oxygen in the porewater. Consequently, the anoxic microbial decomposition of this soil organic matter leads to the production of biogenic methane (CH_4). As such, wetland soils are the largest and most variable source of this potent greenhouse gas, accounting for nearly a third of annual CH_4 emissions (1–4). Despite this global climate relevance, the microbial communities and metabolic pathways driving the conversion of soil organic carbon to CH_4, along with their environmental controls, remain unresolved. This knowledge gap hinders the incorporation of microbial processes into land surface and biogeochemical models, impeding the accurate management and predictions of greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes from wetlands (3, 5, 6).

Over the last decade, metagenomic sequencing approaches have described the microbial community membership and function associated with CH_4 production across a range of habitats. While other high CH_4 emitting systems, like wastewater (7), ruminants (8), or subsurface (9), habitats have received considerable genomic scrutiny, wetland soils remain relatively underexplored in terms of microbial genomic sampling. Of the handful of genome-resolved studies from freshwater wetlands, nearly all are focused on organic soils from northern peatlands (10–13). One notable study, from thawing permafrost in Sweden, yielded ~1,500 metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) (11), serving as the stand alone microbial catalog for all wetland soils. This means the highest CH_4-contributing wetland types, temperate and tropical marshes, have only a few genome-resolved studies to date (14–16). These studies emphasized the roles of a limited number of microorganisms to specific biogeochemical processes (14–17), such as methanotrophy, yet failed to inventory the overall composition, function, and interconnected metabolic pathways driving CH_4 production across diverse wetland gradients.

To bridge this knowledge gap, we undertook a comprehensive sampling within a

temperate freshwater marsh, aiming to elucidate the spatiotemporal related variations in the

microbiome. This freshwater wetland was chosen due to its exceptionally high CH_4 fluxes,

exceeding ten times the median flux observed in wetlands with similar annual temperature

ranges (18, 19), and because of its susceptibility to climate-induced flooding events influenced

by fluctuating Lake Erie water levels (20). Leveraging more than 5 Tera base pairs (Tb) of

sequencing, we reconstructed thousands of microbial genomes and integrated this data with soil

metatranscriptomes, geochemistry, and greenhouse gas porewater measurements and fluxes.

Through this extensive genome recovery effort, we delineated the expressed membership and

metabolisms of the microbial community, shedding light on the biogeochemical contributions of

hundreds of understudied lineages, including novel methanogens. This multi-omic dataset

provides insights into the encoded and expressed microbial functions, as well as their distribution

across environmental gradients. These findings offer new perspectives on the stability and

interactions of metabolic guilds driving CH_4 production in temperate, freshwater wetland

ecosystems.

Results and Discussion

Sampling microorganisms from wetland soils

As a model wetland we selected the highest methane-emitting wetland in the AmeriFlux

network (site ID US-OWC), an investigator network with tailored instrumentation, data

processing, and standardized procedures for flux measurements (19, 21–23). The Old Woman

Creek wetland (OWC) was instrumented to measure wetland CH_4 at various spatiotemporal

scales (**Supplementary Fig. 1**), facilitating a detailed assessment of the microbial processes that

impact soil CH_4 dynamics. Based on land coverage types, we parsed the wetland into 10 patches.

These patches included 5 land coverage types including emergent vegetation-*Typha* (n=1),

emergent vegetation-*Nelumbo* (n=3), standing freshwater or open water (n=3), and temporal mud

flats (n=3) (**Fig. 1A**). From selected sites, once per month during sampling campaigns, dialysis

peepers measured soil CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations along ~3cm-depth increments up to 30 cm

deep. This content was paired to surface chamber flux measurements for CO_2 and CH_4 and site

wide fluxes using the eddy covariance and meteorological station. Surrounding the peepers, soil

cores were pulled and extruded at 5 cm depth increments down to 25 cm to result in 705 samples

for soil geochemical, metabolite, and microbial analysis. In summary, across the five-year

sampling campaign (2013-2018), each one of these 10 ecological patch sites was sampled at least

52 times for paired microbial, soil geochemistry, flux and porewater GHGs (**Supplementary**

Table S1). The inventory of measured geochemistry and flux data and their relationships is

shown in **Supplementary Fig. S2**.

We screened 671 of these collected soil samples with 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing for

methanogen membership (**Supplementary Table S2**), identifying 42 samples for deep

metagenomic sequencing (**Supplementary Table S1**). We generated up to 300 Gbp of

sequencing per sample, or ~3.1 Tbp total metagenomic sequencing (**Supplementary Table S3**).

Collectively, this effort doubled the amount of metagenomic sequencing from wetland soils

available in public repositories (**Supplementary Fig. 1D**). Given that one of our primary

objectives was to increase the recovery of methanogen genomes from soils, multiple assembly

and binning methods targeted the recovery of these strains (**Supplementary Fig. 1E**). This

approach resulted in over 17,333 draft genomes, which were dereplicated into a final collection

of 3,217 genomic representatives, with 2,502 of these constituting high and medium quality

metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) (**Supplementary Fig. S1**).

To profile which microbial genomes recruited transcripts and which metabolisms were

expressed across sites, depths, seasons, and years, we obtained 2.7 Tbp of metatranscriptome

sequencing from 133 of the 67116S rRNA screened soil samples. For more sample details see

Supplementary Table S1 and **Methods**. Nearly 80% of the metatranscriptomes had paired

NMR detected metabolites to profile methanogenic substrates across the sample set

(**Supplementary Table S4**). This comprehensive multi-omics sampling across wetland

gradients, including multiple land coverage types, depths, and times (months, seasons and years),

provides the first insights into the microbial structural and functional diversity residing in

temperate freshwater wetland soils. Due to the enhanced accessibility across multiple, open

platforms we hope this resource will advance wetland microbiome science more broadly (see

Data Availability).

Uncovering thousands of novel and transcribed genomes from wetland soils

We reconstructed MAGs from 60 bacterial (N=2,204 MAGs) and 12 archaeal (n=298

MAGs) phyla that included 85 methanogen-related genome representatives. A majority of the

MAGs recovered here were designated newly sampled lineages at the genus level or higher

including representatives from 1 new phylum (here referred to as New Phylum01_b), 8 classes,

40 orders, 93 families, and 639 genera (**Supplementary Table S3**). In addition, hundreds of

genomes were from poorly or previously uncharacterized phyla often denoted only by an

alphanumeric identifier or placeholder name, such as QMZS01, CSP1-3, and TA06_A (**Fig. 1B**).

Across this genome-based collection, the most represented phyla are the archaeal Halobacteriota

(n=73 MAGs) and the bacterial Proteobacteria (n=484), Acidobacteriota (n=316 MAGs),

Chloroflexota (n=237 MAGs), and Desulfobacterota_H (n=140). The reconstruction of these

thousands of genomes, many metabolically uncharacterized, highlights the vast, unsampled

microbial diversity residing within wetlands.

We next quantified the proportion of this genomic catalog that recruited transcripts,

finding that approximately three quarters of the reconstructed genomes (n=1948) recruited

transcripts from the 133 metatranscriptomes (**Supplementary Table S4**). We report the

maximum transcription for each MAG (**Fig. 1B**) and denote the top 15 most transcriptionally

dominant MAGs from each bacterial or archaeal domain. These MAGs with dominant

transcription also have high transcriptional occupancy, with a minimum detection in 35% across

the 133 metastranscriptome samples (**Fig. 1C**). A significant majority (93%) of these

transcriptionally dominant genomes belong to poorly characterized genera as noted by their

names, including those MAGs first sampled here (denoted by "new") or as genera defined only

by alphanumeric code. In fact, two methanogen MAGs, assigned to the genera

Methanomethylicus and *Methanoregula,* are the only transcriptionally dominant genomes from

lineages with characterized isolates. This broad transcriptional recruitment from hundreds of

diverse samples to thousands of genomes in our customized database hints at the large proportion

of the soil microbiome that may be metabolically active along wetland gradients.

We extended our analysis to assess the relevance of the microbial lineages identified here

to other wetland environments. We conducted a comparison of the 16S rRNA genes obtained

from the OWC wetland samples with 505 samples collected from 5 different North American

wetland sites (**Supplementary Fig. S3**). At a 97% threshold cutoff, OWC ASVs covered 51% of

the composition of the external wetlands database, suggesting species level conservation across

wetlands. Additionally, we performed a genome-resolved methanogen comparison of our

wetland genomes and their transcriptional recruitment to similar data obtained from a thawing

Arctic peatland in northern Sweden (11). Many methanogens with detectable transcription from

the OWC wetland had closely related genomes detectable in the Arctic bog and fen wetland

samples, yet each site had unique strain specific transcriptional profiles (**Supplementary Fig.**

S4). Taken together, the microbial lineages identified in our study are prevalent within vastly

different wetland types and geographic regions, extending the utility of this multi-omics

resource. However, these data also indicated site endemic transcriptional profiles, articulating the

need for continued expression-based sampling from saturated soils.

Connecting transcribed biogeochemical functions to bacterial phylogeny

Another key objective was to use the genome-based expression profiles to resolve the

microbial contributions to biogeochemical cycling in these high CH_4-emitting soils. We

developed a genome-based rule set for 14 microbial energy metabolisms that impact the

biogeochemical transformations of oxygen (aerobic respiration, microaerophilic respiration),

methane (methanogenesis, aerobic methanotrophy, anaerobic methanotrophy), sulfur (sulfur

oxidization, sulfur reduction), nitrogen (nitrification, nitrogen reducer, nitrogen fixation, and

Dissimilatory Nitrate Reduction to Ammonium (DNRA)), iron (iron oxidation, iron reduction),

and other metabolisms (phototrophy, obligate fermentation) (**Supplementary Fig. S5**). Each of

the 1,948 MAGs that recruited transcripts was assessed for expressed gene content relating to

these 14 biogeochemical attributes. This resulted in 1,136 MAGs that contained sufficient gene

transcript recruitment to be assigned to at least one of these 14 biogeochemical guilds

(**Supplementary Table S5)**.

We first characterized the functional profiles for 30 most transcriptionally dominant and

prevalent MAGs shown in **Fig. 1C**. For the Archaea, 3 of the 12 transcriptionally dominant

genera expressed genes for methanogenesis, including genus UBA472 from the

Methanomassiliicoccales and the 2 named methanogens. The remaining 9 genera from phylum

Asgardarchaeota (JACAEL01), Thermoproteota (PALSA-986, UBA11855, UBA8941,

DTJL01), and Thermoplasmatota (newGenus37_a, newGenus38_a, newGenus40_a) encoded

and expressed genes for fermentative carbon processing. For the bacterial counterparts, the

transcribed metabolic roles were more diverse, even within genera. The genera in the phylum

Bacteroidota (UBA5072, LD21, SR-FBR-E99, newGenus265_b) had the greatest transcriptional

contributions to organic carbon decomposition via aerobic, microaerophilic, and denitrifying

pathways. We also found transcriptional evidence for iron reduction in Myxococcota

(newGenus410_b), Acidobacteriota (newGenus341_b) and Nitrospirota (GW-Nitrospira-1);

nitrate reduction and DNRA in Chloroflexota (9FT-COMBO-55-16) and Desulfobacterota

(DSXZ01); and fermentative organic carbon processing in Firmicutes (JAAYHS01). Our multi-

omics framework reveals how these previously enigmatic lineages transcribe genes that could

contribute to wetland carbon, iron, and nitrogen biogeochemical cycling.

We next scaled this classification of biogeochemical guilds to all the MAGs. To

understand how bacterial phyla more broadly contribute to biogeochemical cycling, we

overlayed these encoded and transcribed traits on a bacterial phylogenetic tree (**Fig. 2A**). Certain

phyla, such as Patesibacteria and Omnitrophota, are characterized by a solid block of pink color

in the encoded and transcribed rings, indicating their specialization as obligate fermenters. In

contrast, phyla like the Proteobacteria exhibit a wide array of colors in the encoded and

transcribed rings, signifying their trait multifunctionality at the phylum level. This trait-

phylogenetic overlay reveals disproportional contributions from phyla to nutrient and redox

transformations. For instance, MAGs transcribing genes for sulfur reduction are enriched in the

Desulforobacterota, iron reduction are prevalent in the Nitrospirota and Myxococcota, aerobic

respiration are prevalent across the Verrucomicrobiota, and nitrogen reduction and sulfur

oxidation are enriched in some lineages of the Proteobacteria. This representation also highlights

keystone metabolisms, like nitrogen fixation and nitrification, which were identified as

transcribed functionalities in a small fraction (<5%) of phylogenetically diverse bacterial MAGs.

This trait-based phylogenetic mapping underscores the diverse, expressed roles that bacteria play

in shaping wetland biogeochemistry.

      We were surprised to also observe in the bacterial tree that while aerobic and

microaerophilic metabolisms were widely encoded across bacterial lineages these were not

widely transcribed. To evaluate this further in both the bacterial and archaeal MAGs, we

compared the dominant encoded metabolic traits (i.e., detected in the greatest number of

genomes) to the most dominant transcribed traits. The transcribed functionalities did not follow

the encoded (**Fig. 2BC**). For example, the capacity for microaerophilic and aerobic respiration

was detected in the largest percentage of genomes (53% and 51%), but only detected in 8% and

6% of genomes in our transcriptomes respectively. In contrast, transcription for genes enabling

anaerobic metabolisms like obligate fermentation, iron reduction, and nitrogen reduction were

detected more so than aerobic metabolisms. This enrichment for anaerobic metabolisms in the

transcript signal is not entirely surprising given that most soils in our data set have pore-water

dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations below the 1uM detection limit (**Supplementary Table**

S4). These findings showcase the metabolic transcribed tuning that can be differentiated from

encoded potential using our approaches.

While our phylogenetic analysis hinted at a multifunctionality at broad phylum levels

(**Fig. 2A**), we wanted to examine this attribute across Bacterial and Archaea at a MAG resolved

scale. For every MAG with transcribed trait content, we graphed the number of present traits

versus the number of transcribed traits. From this we defined a spectrum of metabolic

specialization to versatility. Focusing on the extreme ends of this continuum, "active specialists"

encoded 2 or fewer metabolic functions and transcribed these traits (**Fig. 3AB**, group 1). Of the

1,136 trait classifiable MAGs, we were surprised to find that 40% were assigned to this group,

with obligate fermenters (e.g., the archaeal Bathyarchaeia and bacterial Omnitrophota and

QNDG01) and methanogens (e.g., *Methanomethylicus*, *Methanothrix*, and *Methanoregula* in the

Halobacteriota and Thermoplasmatota) constituting most functionalities. Consistent with

specialized nature, these lineages also had a high proportion of the genes in a genome transcribed

and low per genome transcriptional variability at the phylum level (**Fig. 3C**, **Supplementary**

Fig. 6).

On the other end of the spectrum, we defined metabolic versatility as having the genomic

capacity for 6 metabolic traits. We then considered what proportion of these functions were

transcribed denoting "versatile with multifunctional transcription" as members that transcribed at

least 4 of these encoded metabolic traits (**Figure 3AB**, group 2). As might be expected, this

group was small, containing 12 'versatile with multifunctional transcription' genomes that were

predominantly members of Proteobacteria (e.g., Burkholderiales and Chromatiales) and

Desulfobacterota_F. The third and final group was "versatile with specialized transcription",

which despite encoding 6 or more functional traits transcribed only 2 or less across the 133

samples. This category included 85 MAGs composed of members in the Proteobacteria,

Nitrospirota (class UBA9217), Myxococcota, and Acidobacteriota.

There is growing interest in determining microbial metabolic strategies dispersed across

microbiomes, as this knowledge could inform ecosystem resilience and stability (24).

Traditionally, metabolic versatility and specialization were measured using phenotypic assays,

and more recently, inferred from genome-based methods (24, 25). Our use of soil-based

metatranscript data across thousands of genomes and numerous spatial and temporal samples

adds a new perspective to metabolic strategy assignments. At the wetland level, we showed that

the most encoded metabolisms were not the most transcribed (**Fig. 2**). At the genome level, we

showed it is more common that versatile genomes express only a few metabolisms across

hundreds of spatial and temporal samples, indicating phenotypic environmental specialization

despite genotypic multifunctionality (**Fig. 3**). To ultimately improve CH_4 predictions, these

results highlight the need for soil microbiome technologies that capture dynamic activity or

related proxy measurements, rather than static microbiome response variables.

Identifying the dominant drivers shaping wetland microbiome structure and function

We hypothesized that geospatial and temporal factors would explain microbial

community structuring. To test how different wetland environments influenced the soil

microbiome, we evaluated the impact of 17 spatial, temporal, and geochemical factors across

three levels of biological organization: (i) phylotype membership, (ii) transcriptionally 'active'

genome membership, and (iii) expressed functional guilds (**Fig. 4**). Our analyses revealed that,

regardless of the microbiome attribute measured, depth and depth-related geochemistry (such as

soil Fe (II) and cation exchange capacity, CEC) were the best predictors of wetland soil

microbiome structure and function, more so than differences from vastly different land coverages

or time. These environmental attributes played a more direct role in shaping expressed functional

guilds, explaining 40 to 50% of this variation (**Supplementary Fig. S7**). Overall, this

information can inform wetland microbiome sampling priorities, showing the value of adding

more depth-resolved measurements and affirming collection of high-priority geochemical

variables, such as CEC, Fe (II), pH, and acetate.

We next identified the bacterial and archaeal family-level lineages whose transcription

was coordinated to these environmental factors (**Fig. 5**). Laterally across wetland land coverage

types, Actinobacteriota transcription corresponded to open water sites, while Nitrospirota family

UBA9217 transcription corresponded to temporally exposed mudflats. Investigating the

metabolic traits expressed within this mud-associated Nitrospirota clade revealed 38 transcribed

MAGs, with iron and nitrogen reduction being dominant expressed metabolisms (**Fig. 5B**).

Along the depth defined redox gradient, iron reducers (e.g., Zixibacteria, New_Phylum01) and

obligate fermenters (e.g., Lokiarchaeia, Bathyarchaea) had transcription patterns corresponding

to features in deeper soils, while expression of phototrophy (Cyanobacteria) and aerobic respires

(e.g., Verrucomicrobiae, Desulfobaccia) had transcription patterns corresponding to features in

surface soils. Importantly, these findings show that while certain family-level lineages are

associated with distinct wetland habitats, metabolisms are still widely dispersed with transcripts

for aerobic metabolism detected in deeper sediments and iron reduction, methanogenesis and

fermentation detected in surface soils (**Fig. 5B**). These data represent a first step towards using

gene and genome transcript recruitment to understand microbial taxonomic distributions and

functional redundancy across both small-scale depth changes and larger lateral distances in

wetland gradients.

Uncovering newly defined archaeal roles in plant litter decomposition

A valuable component of our sampling was the broad recovery of archaeal genomes

(n=298 MAGs). To our knowledge this is one of the largest environmental genomic catalogs for

this domain, exceeding the archaeal sampling in MAG compendiums from groundwater (24

genomes) (26), permafrost wetland soils (95 genomes) (11), human gut (28 genomes) (27), or

rumen (126 genomes) (28). The OWC wetland archaeal genomes spanned 12 phyla with 45

families including 98 genera. Paralleling the bacterial novelty, this included 10 new archaeal

family level designations (**Fig. 6**). Nearly a third of these archaeal genomes were methanogens

(n=85), assigned to 4 methanogen phyla (Crenarchaeota, Euryarchaeota, Halobacteriota,

Thermoplasmatota).

When considering the functional roles of Archaea in wetlands, these microorganisms are

regarded for their functional roles as methanogens or metabolizing other single carbon (C1)

compounds (16, 29–31). Here we derived a gene-based rule set to assign these genomes to

carbon decomposition guilds of (i) polymer hydrolysis, (ii) sugar oxidation, (iii) organic acids

and nitrogen conversions, and (iv) C1 metabolisms (**Supplementary Table S7**). To unlock the

soil carbon cycle, microbial enzymes hydrolyze polymeric compounds like polyphenols (lignin),

hemicellulose, and cellulose abundant in plant litter (11, 32). We show phylogenetically diverse

archaeal lineages expressed genes for hydrolyzing these plant polymeric constituents **(Fig. 6)**.

Depolymerization results in the second trophic group, yielding sugars and other oligomers or

monomers. Lineages like Thorarchaeota and UBA233 transcribed genes to use 6 or more

substrates that span both polymeric and sugar trophic groups. We also identified sugar utilizing

specialist archaea (members of the TCS64 and a new OWC7 family within the Thermoplasmata)

that expressed genes for utilizing at least 3 sugar substrates. In support of these expressed sugar

metabolisms, raffinose, sucrose, and maltose like compounds were prevalent across the

metabolome data (**Supplementary Table S8-S9**). The third and final trophic guild before

methane production, is downstream sugar processing, which yields small molecular weight

compounds like organic acids (e.g., acetate, butyrate, lactate) and methylated (e.g., methylamine,

methanol) compounds. Some of these are methanogenic substrates in their own right, while

others can be further fermented, typically syntrophically, to yield methanogenic substrates. This

latter guild had the greatest transcriptional prevalence across the archaeal genomes, indicating

the critical role these archaea, most of them diagnosed as obligate fermenters, could play in cross

feeding methanogens.

Our transcription-based findings revealed Archaea were not latent members of a soil

seedbank (33), but instead genomes from 34 of 45 archaeal families were expressed in 75% of

the metatranscriptomes, many across all depth gradients. Most of these were assigned roles as

obligate fermenters or methanogens, demonstrating their importance to the carbon cycle in

saturated, predominantly anoxic soils. As more genome-resolved multi-omics analyses become

available from soils and sediments, it will be interesting to note if this broadened scope of

archaeal influence across the major carbon decomposition guilds is unique to soil organic matter

processing in saturated wetland soils, where aerobic respiration by bacterial (**Fig. 2**) and fungal

counterparts may not be as competitive (34, 35).

Methane cycling guilds reside in depth resolved, temporally stable 'neighborhoods'

The 85 methanogen MAGs belonged to 4 phyla and spanned 8 families with 25 genera,

15 of the latter were new genera first identified here (**Fig. 7A**). One of these families was a new

methanogen family (NewFamily01_m) in the Methanomicrobiales. Within this family, we

reconstructed 25 MAGs, which resolved to 4 new genera. Lastly beyond canonical methanogens,

we also recovered genomes from presumed non-methanogenic, *mcrA*-containing taxa such as the

anaerobic methanotrophic *Methanoperedens* genus and the alkane-oxidizing Helarchaeales. Prior

to this effort, the largest wetland MAG database contained 21 methanogen representatives with

4-fold less genus level diversity (11). Despite their direct climate feedback role, it is astonishing

that we continue to discover multiple new, highly active methanogens from a single wetland.

Beyond taxonomic novelty, metabolic reconstruction with paired transcriptional profiles

offered new insights into the CH_4 cycle (**Fig. 7**). First, acetoclastic methanogenesis was confined

exclusively to *Methanothrix* and closely related members (19 MAGs). Based on (36), we posited

that these obligate acetoclastic methanogens outcompeted the facultative acetoclast

Methanosarcina because substrate acquisition strategies were better adapted to the low (<1mM)

acetate concentrations found in these wetland soils (mean 45 µM) (**Supplementary Fig. S8**).

Across the methanogens, hydrogenotrophy was the most prevalently transcribed pathway, with

all 25 members of the new family expressing this capacity, while one of these new genera (new

genus03) also expressed genes for using methylsulfonated compounds. We showed

methylotrophy was expressed in historically considered hydrogenotrophic lineages

(Methanoregulaceae) and new genera in obligate methylotrophic families like the

Methanomassiliicoccales (new genus 8, 9, 10) and Methanomethylicales (new genus 12) (**Fig.**

7A). While it is often cited that wetland CH_4 is derived exclusively from acetoclastic and

hydrogenotrophic methanogens (3), the discovery that nearly a quarter of these wetland

methanogens expressed the capacity for methylotrophic methanogenesis indicate that organic

nitrogen, sulfur, and oxygenated methylated compounds may be an underappreciated source of

CH_4 in wetlands (16, 31).

To ascertain changes in methanogen transcriptional profiles across spatial-temporal

gradients, from a flooded mud-flat we collected triplicate metatranscript data from 3 depths,

monthly over a 3-month summer field season. Based on genome-based transcription patterns the

heatmap exposed methanogen and methanotroph spatiotemporal niches and possible depth-

defined ecotypes (**Supplementary Fig. S9**). We uncovered six core methanogens, from diverse

functional and phylogenetic backgrounds including a MAG from the new OWC family, with

high levels of mean transcription across all soil depths and timepoints. The remaining CH_4

cycling members were localized to specific soil depths, forming co-expressing clades that

persisted across this summer season. We report a greater proportional enrichment of acetoclastic

and aerobic methanotrophs in surface soils, while the middle and deepest soil layers included the

anaerobic methane-oxidizing *Methanoperedens* genome and proportionally more

hydrogenotrophic and methyl-utilizing methanogens. Reflecting these latter methanogens

requirements for hydrogen, we observed increased formate concentrations from deeper (10-30

cm) depths across our mud, plant, and open water land coverage patch types (**Supplementary**

Fig. S8B). Here we provide a highly resolved spatial and temporal view of CH_4 cycling

transcription patterns in soils, opening up new research avenues regarding the assembly and

persistence of depth-stratified methane neighborhoods, composed of many closely related strains

with a high-degree of functional redundancy.

Given the seasonal stability across summer 2018, we next compared the multi-omics data

collected from this site in August 2018 to parallel samples from the same mud flat in August

2015. During this three-year period, the wetland flooded and the exposed mudflat from 2015 was

in 2018 beneath 5.3 ft of overlying freshwater. Based on existing theory about the redox

sensitivity of methanogens and their responses to hydrological perturbations (37), with flooding

we hypothesized to observe decreased dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations and increased

methanogen expression broadly across the community, but especially in the surface most soils.

Matching flooding expectations, DO decreased to non-detectable levels in surface soils

subsequent to flooding. In contrast to expectations, 90% of the methanogen and aerobic

methanotroph (Methylococcaceae, Methylomonadaceae) MAGs experienced no significant

change in mean genome transcription in the flooding restructured surface soils (**Fig. 7B**). Worth

noting, a few taxa were flooding-responsive, like the methylotrophic *Methanomethylicus*, which

was one of the 30 most transcribed archaeal MAGs (**Fig. 1C**). Supporting this lack of drastic

restructuring in the CH_4 cycling microbiome, the concentrations of methanogenic substrates like

formate, methanol, and acetate did not change with flooding (**Supplementary Fig. S8**). This

flooding resistance and or resilience of the surface CH_4 cycling members may be due to the fact

that methanogens in these routinely submerged and drained soils could be already sheltered

within anoxic microsites, such as biofilms or soil aggregates (15, 38).

Taken together our CH_4 cycling genome-based transcriptional profiles imply a seasonal

and multi-year stability across the soil profile, despite hydrological and redox perturbations.

While we previously reported enhanced CH_4 production in oxygenated soils from this site in

2015 (15), context from our new flooding data further reinforced that methanogen genome-wide

transcription is not responsive to soil oxygen concentrations. These findings contribute to a

growing body of research that challenges the widely held paradigm that oxygen is a master

controller on soil CH_4 production (39–42). Our research has important ramifications for global

biogeochemical models (6), as current simulations regulate CH_4 production in wetland soil layers

based on oxygen concentrations.

Co-expression networks reveal key lineages modulating soil greenhouse gasses

We implemented a workflow to integrate the data collected across this manuscript to render the carbon and energy trophic network predictive of soil CH_4 concentrations (**Supplementary Fig. S10**). First, weighted gene co-expression identified 7 modules composed of genomes with shared transcriptional patterns across our 133 metatranscriptome samples (**Fig. 8A**). Two of these modules predicted soil porewater CH_4 concentrations, with the surface-soil associated turquoise module negatively correlated and the deeper-soil associated brown module positively correlated to soil CH_4 concentrations (**Fig. 8A**). These surface and deep modules contained 551 and 372 genomes, respectively. The top microbial genomes with the greatest importance for CH_4 prediction and their transcribed biogeochemical traits are also noted (**Fig. 8CD**). Distilling the transcribed carbon and energy functional gene content of these predictive genera, we mapped the trophic configurations that contribute to CH_4 concentrations in these depth-defined compartments (**Fig. 8E**).

Consistent with our taxonomic-based analyses (e.g., **Fig. 5**), we found key biogeochemical processes (such as iron reduction, microaerophilic respiration, and methane production) were transcribed across depths in these predictive modules (**Fig. 8CD**). Yet a different set of microorganisms were often responsible for mediating these processes, with the exception of Zikibacteria and the Syntrophales, which were important predictors in both compartments. For example, in both compartments microaerophilic respiratory organisms were responsible for accessing polymeric carbon, catalyzed by Bacteroidales in the surface soils, but Anaerolineales and Sedimentisphaerales in the deep soils.

Alternatively, the turquoise surface module also included genomes with functionalities not represented in the deep module. This includes genomes transcribing genes for nitrate

reduction and low affinity cytochrome oxidases, which are adapted to higher oxygen

concentrations than the microaerophilic respiration genes in the deeper module (**Fig. 8E**).

Likewise, the turquoise surface module, but not the deeper module, contained transcriptional

evidence for aerobic methanotrophy (Methylococcaceae UBA6136 and Methylomonadaceae

KS41). Taken together, we infer CH_4 consumption by these lineages in the surface, more

oxygenated soils likely explained the negative correlation between the turquoise module and soil

CH_4 concentrations (**Fig. 8B**).

Our transcriptome-derived, process-based observations represent an important first step

in uncovering the carbon and energy trophic structure in wetland soils. Soil CH_4 concentrations

are an emergent property of the wetland microbiome, meaning CH_4 may not be accurately

predicted by the result of individual microorganisms or their activities (e.g. methanogens), but

arise from complex interactions and collective co-expression behaviors in stable, depth-resolved

transcriptional networks. Along these lines, the greatest predictors of CH_4 were not always

methanogens, especially in the deeper soils where iron reducers (*Zixibacteria*, *Eisenbacteria*,

Geobacter) and obligate fermenters (Amincenantales) likely cross-fed hydrogenotrophic

methanogens (*Methanoregula*) (**Fig. 8D**). These findings articulate the need for higher

throughput tools to study microbial interactions in light of ecosystem-level processes.

Conclusion

In this study, we employed the most comprehensive multi-omics sampling of wetland

soils to date, allowing us an unprecedented view of the microbiome structural and functional

attributes relevant to biogeochemical cycling. The elevated sequence depth and targeted

assemblies allowed us to capture more genomic diversity, unearthing a new phylum, 8 new

classes, 40 new orders, 93 new families, and 639 new genera (**Fig. 1B**), many of which included

novel archaea (**Fig. 6**), including methanogens (**Fig. 7A**). More importantly, we show that these

uncharacterized lineages were transcriptionally dominant and prevalent across 133 spatial and

temporally heterogenous wetland samples (**Fig. 1C**).

Based on the microbial seed bank theory, we assumed that a majority of the recovered

microbial genomes would be dormant and lack detectable transcript recruitment. Instead, we

found that 78% of the 2,502 genomes recruited transcripts, with 60% of these MAGs expressing

sufficient genome content to permit metabolic assignments to 14 traits associated with wetland

biogeochemistry. Moreover, the average MAG mean transcriptional occupancy was 59%,

signifying active members were maintained across samples that span diverse wetland gradients.

Instead of a seed bank, our data indicate a vast majority of this phylogenetically and functionally

diverse microbiome was not-dormant, at least based on transcriptional inferences.

We next considered functional redundancy as one of the main contributors to the

temporal stability observed across the wetland metatranscriptome samples. While traditionally

measured by inferences from phylotype data or estimated by the functional potential encoded in

(meta)genomes (43), here we instead evaluated the transcription of genomes and functional

genes to map these profiles across relevant wetland gradients. We observed that depth related

features best explained patterns of microbial community structure and transcribed functionalities

(**Figs. 4,5**). Further microbiome transcriptional patterns were stable across these depth layers

across multiple years and seasonally (**Figs. 7, 8**).

We also report that within a depth layer similar biogeochemical functionalities were often

transcribed by many closely related genomes, e.g. within the same genus (e.g., methanogens,

Figs. 7, 8C), as well as between more distantly related genomes (e.g., iron reduction by different

phyla, **Fig. 8D**). For the functionalities transcribed in both depth layers, these were often

mediated by more phylogenetically distinct lineages (**Figs. 5,7,8E**), but this too was not always

the case (e.g., *Zikibacteria*, **Fig. 8CD**). Taken together, our data indicate that functional

redundancy is deeply entrenched in wetland microbiomes and likely critical for the stability of

biogeochemical processes in these highly dynamic environments. This study underscores the

value of integrating both genomic and transcriptomic data to uncover the functional dynamics of

belowground microbial systems.

Here we used multi-omics measurements to untangle the metabolic processes in wetland

soils. This approach can move the field of soil microbiomes beyond the historical black box of

inputs and outputs, towards discovery of the compositional and functional microbiome-based

responses to environmental gradients. To begin to distill this metatranscriptomic content, we

devised gene-based rule sets for microbial energy metabolisms and for carbon trophic guilds.

Using this metabolic trait framework, we illuminated new roles for archaeal gene expression in

carbon decomposition, the diverse substrate utilization patterns and resistance to flooding

exhibited by methanogens, and the stable, coordinated gene transcription-based behavior of

surface and deep guilds predictive of soil CH_4 concentrations. These insights, while complex,

offer a more nuanced view of the microorganisms, functional attributes, and dynamics that

warrant representation and ongoing investigation in wetland soils.

References

1. S. C. Neubauer, J. P. Megonigal, Moving Beyond Global Warming Potentials to Quantify the Climatic Role of Ecosystems. Ecosystems 18, 1000–1013 (2015).

2. R. B. Jackson, et al., Increasing anthropogenic methane emissions arise equally from agricultural and fossil fuel sources. Environ. Res. Lett. 15, 071002 (2020).

3. S. D. Bridgham, H. Cadillo-Quiroz, J. K. Keller, Q. Zhuang, Methane emissions from wetlands: biogeochemical, microbial, and modeling perspectives from local to global scales. Glob Chang Biol 19, 1325–1346 (2013).

4. K. Calvin, et al., "IPCC, 2023: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, H. Lee and J. Romero (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.," First (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2023).

5. R. Conrad, Importance of hydrogenotrophic, aceticlastic and methylotrophic methanogenesis for methane production in terrestrial, aquatic and other anoxic environments: A mini review. Pedosphere 30, 25–39 (2020).

6. W. J. Riley, et al., Barriers to predicting changes in global terrestrial methane fluxes: analyses using CLM4Me, a methane biogeochemistry model integrated in CESM. Biogeosciences 8, 1925–1953 (2011).

7. B. Kieft, et al., Genome-resolved correlation mapping links microbial community structure to metabolic interactions driving methane production from wastewater. Nat Commun 14, 5380 (2023).

8. L. M. Solden, et al., Interspecies cross-feeding orchestrates carbon degradation in the rumen ecosystem. Nat Microbiol 3, 1274–1284 (2018).

9.   M. A. Borton, et al., Coupled laboratory and field investigations resolve microbial

interactions that underpin persistence in hydraulically fractured shales. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 115, E6585–E6594 (2018).

10.   N. Taş, et al., Landscape topography structures the soil microbiome in arctic polygonal

tundra. Nat Commun 9, 777 (2018).

11.   B. J. Woodcroft, et al., Genome-centric view of carbon processing in thawing permafrost.

Nature 560, 49–54 (2018).

12.   J. Hultman, et al., Multi-omics of permafrost, active layer and thermokarst bog soil

microbiomes. Nature 521, 208–212 (2015).

13.   R. M. Wilson, et al., Soil metabolome response to whole-ecosystem warming at the

Spruce and Peatland Responses under Changing Environments experiment. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 118, e2004192118 (2021).

14.   G. J. Smith, et al., Members of the Genus Methylobacter Are Inferred To Account for the

Majority of Aerobic Methane Oxidation in Oxic Soils from a Freshwater Wetland. mBio 9,

e00815-18.

15.   J. C. Angle, et al., Methanogenesis in oxygenated soils is a substantial fraction of wetland

methane emissions. Nat Commun 8, 1567 (2017).

16.   A. B. Narrowe, et al., Uncovering the Diversity and Activity of Methylotrophic

Methanogens in Freshwater Wetland Soils. mSystems 4, e00320-19 (2019).

17.   M. Bahram, et al., Structure and function of the soil microbiome underlying N2O

emissions from global wetlands. Nat Commun 13, 1430 (2022).

18.   G. Bohrer, et al., Methane and CO2 chamber fluxes and porewater concentrations US-

OWC Ameriflux wetland site, 2015-2018. Environmental System Science Data Infrastructure for

a Virtual Ecosystem (ESS-DIVE) (United States); AmeriFlux Management Project.

https://doi.org/10.15485/1568865. Deposited 1 October 2019.

19.   G. Bohrer, J. Kerns, AmeriFlux BASE US-OWC Old Woman Creek. AmeriFlux AMP.

https://doi.org/10.17190/AMF/1418679. Deposited 2023.

20.   T. H. Morin, et al., Water level changes in Lake Erie drive 21st century CO_2 and CH_4

fluxes from a coastal temperate wetland. Science of The Total Environment 821, 153087 (2022).

21.   H. Chu, et al., AmeriFlux BASE data pipeline to support network growth and data

sharing. Sci Data 10, 614 (2023).

22.   G. Pastorello, et al., The FLUXNET2015 dataset and the ONEFlux processing pipeline

for eddy covariance data. Sci Data 7, 225 (2020).

23.   K. B. Delwiche, et al., FLUXNET-CH4: a global, multi-ecosystem dataset and analysis

of methane seasonality from freshwater wetlands. Earth System Science Data 13, 3607–3689

(2021).

24.   Y.-J. Chen, et al., Metabolic flexibility allows bacterial habitat generalists to become

dominant in a frequently disturbed ecosystem. ISME J 15, 2986–3004 (2021).

25.   B. R. Bochner, Global phenotypic characterization of bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 33,

191–205 (2009).

26.   K. Anantharaman, et al., Thousands of microbial genomes shed light on interconnected

biogeochemical processes in an aquifer system. Nat Commun 7, 13219 (2016).

27.   A. Almeida, et al., A unified catalog of 204,938 reference genomes from the human gut

microbiome. Nat Biotechnol 39, 105–114 (2021).

28.   R. D. Stewart, et al., Compendium of 4,941 rumen metagenome-assembled genomes for

rumen microbiome biology and enzyme discovery. Nat Biotechnol 37, 953–961 (2019).

29.   B. J. Baker, et al., Genomic inference of the metabolism of cosmopolitan subsurface

Archaea, Hadesarchaea. Nat Microbiol 1, 1–9 (2016).

30.   V. De Anda, et al., Brockarchaeota, a novel archaeal phylum with unique and versatile

carbon cycling pathways. Nat Commun 12, 2404 (2021).

31.   J. B. Ellenbogen, et al., Methylotrophy in the Mire: direct and indirect routes for methane

production in thawing permafrost. mSystems 0, e00698-23 (2023).

32.   B. B. McGivern, et al., Decrypting bacterial polyphenol metabolism in an anoxic wetland

soil. Nat Commun 12, 2466 (2021).

33.   S. M. Gibbons, et al., Evidence for a persistent microbial seed bank throughout the global

ocean. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 4651–4655 (2013).

34.   F. Barbi, et al., Fungal ecological strategies reflected in gene transcription - a case study

of two litter decomposers. Environ Microbiol 22, 1089–1103 (2020).

35.   L. Žifčáková, P. Baldrian, Fungal polysaccharide monooxygenases: New players in the

decomposition of cellulose. Fungal Ecology 5, 481–489 (2012).

36.   M. S. M. Jetten, A. J. M. Stams, A. J. B. Zehnder, Methanogenesis from acetate: a

comparison of the acetate metabolism in Methanothrix soehngenii and Methanosarcina spp.

FEMS Microbiology Letters 88, 181–197 (1992).

37.   R. Conrad, Methane Production in Soil Environments—Anaerobic Biogeochemistry and

Microbial Life between Flooding and Desiccation. Microorganisms 8, 881 (2020).

38.   M. J. Bogard, et al., Oxic water column methanogenesis as a major component of aquatic

CH4 fluxes. Nat Commun 5, 5350 (2014).

39.   Y. A. Teh, W. L. Silver, M. E. Conrad, Oxygen effects on methane production and

oxidation in humid tropical forest soils. Global Change Biology 11, 1283–1297 (2005).

40.   J. L. Wilmoth, et al., The role of oxygen in stimulating methane production in wetlands.

Glob Chang Biol 27, 5831–5847 (2021).

41.   J. K. Shoemaker, D. P. Schrag, Subsurface characterization of methane production and

oxidation from a New Hampshire wetland. Geobiology 8, 234–243 (2010).

42.   M. R. Turetsky, et al., A synthesis of methane emissions from 71 northern, temperate,

and subtropical wetlands. Global Change Biology 20, 2183–2197 (2014).

43.   H. Chen, et al., Functional Redundancy in Soil Microbial Community Based on

Metagenomics Across the Globe. Front Microbiol 13, 878978 (2022).

44.   E. Hassett, et al., Carbon flux measurements from chambers collected between July to

October 2022 at Old Woman Creek, Huron, Ohio. urn:node:ESS_DIVE.

https://doi.org/10.15485/2229438. Deposited 2023.

45.   J. Villa, G. Bohrer, L. Kinsman-Costello, Y. Ju, Nutrient and carbon concentrations in

dated soil cores at US-OWC Ameriflux wetland site (OWC NERR). urn:node:ESS_DIVE.

https://doi.org/10.15485/1839288. Deposited 2022.

Figure 1. Freshwater wetland soils host thousands of taxonomically diverse MAGs that recruit metatranscripts. (A) Old Woman Creek (OWC) is located on the southern part of Lake Erie and is the highest US methane emitting wetland in the AmeriFlux Network. A site map of the OWC freshwater wetland shows where the 700 samples were collected from 10 major sampling sites that were historically defined as emergent vegetation, either *Nelumbo* (N1-3) or *Typha* (T1), mud cover (M1-3), or location in open water channel (OW1-3). Sites were sampled over multiple years and depths at 5 cm intervals from 0-30 cm, with sampling density proportional to circle size, 52 is the minimum sampling per location (**Supplementary Fig. S1**). **(B)** The taxonomic distribution of the 2,502 MAGs summarized at the phylum level. Bold phylum label indicates a candidate phylum, and blue text indicates the phylum contains a top 10 dominant genus (shown in **1C**). Each point represents a MAG and is colored by taxonomic novelty. Points are distributed by their log10 maximum transcription across the 133 metatranscriptome samples. MAGs to the right of the black line were considered positive for sufficient transcript recruitment (N = 1,948). MAGs to the right of the red line had the greatest transcription (log10 max. transcription > 1.5). The gray bar chart to the right summarizes the number of genomes per phylum recovered, and red points overlaid represent the number of genera recovered within each phylum, using the same x-axis. **(C)** The transcriptionally most dominant archaeal and bacterial MAGs (top 15 for each domain) from 1B, grouped by genus. Gray bar indicates metatranscriptome prevalence (gray bar), or the number (N) of the 133 samples where metatranscripts were recruited to the MAG for that genus. The axis is shown on bottom (N samples). The blue dot indicates the maximum transcription from **1B**, with the axis (MaxT, Log10) shown at the top of the graph.

642
643
Figure 2. Microbial genomes from freshwater wetlands are phylogenetically and metabolically
diverse. (A) The phylogenetic distribution of 2,204 bacterial MAGs and their corresponding potential
(metagenome) and expressed (metatranscriptome) metabolic diversity. The phylogenetic tree is
constructed from the 2,204 bacterial MAGs based on a subset of 30 genes from the PhyEco database.
Nodes are colored by phylum level taxonomic assignment. Rings represent metabolic diversity at the trait
level for each MAG. For each color, the inner ring represents potential (metagenome) metabolism, and
the outer ring represents the expressed (metatranscriptome) metabolism. Bar plots summarize the genome
counts across functional traits for genomic potential **(B)** and transcription **(C)**, respectively (n = 1,948 and
includes bacterial and archaeal genomes).
653
654
655

Figure 3. Microbial specialization and versatility. **(A)** The distribution of potential and expressed traits are shown by a scatterplot where each point is a MAG with transcriptional trait information, colored by phylum. Position on plot defines metabolic specialization (potential < 2 traits and these are expressed) or versatility (potential > 6 traits) as shown by arrows at the top. Gray boxes highlight extreme ends of each spectrum where Group 1) 'active specialized' encoded 2 or less traits and had an expressed to potential ratio of 1. Group 2) 'versatile with multi-functional transcription' encoded 6 or more traits and expressed more 4 or more traits. Group 3) 'versatile with specialized transcription' encoded 6 or more traits and expressed 1 to 2 traits. **(B)** Pie charts summarize the MAGs contained in each 1-3 category in A and are colored by MAG phylum. **(C)** Scatter plot summarizes the median percentage of genome transcribed within each phylum versus the median relative standard deviation (RSD). Points in the upper left-hand corner represent phyla comprised of MAGs with a low median % of overall genes transcribed and high variability across MAGs, and conversely, points in the lower right-hand corner represent phyla are comprised of MAGs with a consistently high % of the overall genome transcribed.

673
Figure 4. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordinations of wetland microbiome structural
metrics are organized from (left) overall composition (N=671), (middle) genome transcription (N=133),
and (right) guild transcription (N=133). **(A)** The samples are colored by land coverage (brown=mud;
green=vegetative; blue=open water), while **(B)** is colored by depth (yellow=surface to blue=deep). A and
B highligh the responses of the two major environmental predictors with depth being the strongest
predictor across all soil microbiome attributes (**Supplementary Figure S7**). **(C)** Smoothed density plots
for the top 50 orders, shaded by phylum level colors provided in the legend. Changes in the relative
expression across the sampled depth gradient and across land coverage types (vegetative, mud, and open
water).

690
691
Figure 5. Genome expression of microbial family-level lineages associated with major wetland
factors. (A) Heatmap shows correlations between the relative expression of microbial classes and
environmental parameters with hierarchal clustering of microbial lineages shown on the left. Text in red
are new lineages recovered in this study. Significant relationships between microbial lineages and depth,
land coverage, or geochemistry are highlighted by black boxes with white text. **(B)** The stacked bar chart
illustrates the distribution of guilds transcribed within each class. For each class, the guilds are tallied
across all MAGs in the cohort, with the bar chart reporting the total proportion of all guilds within each
class.
700

701
Figure 6. Assigning Archaeal roles in the wetland carbon cycle. (**Right**) The schematic on the right
visualizes the major trophic levels contributing to soil carbon decomposition including: light blue
polymers (polyphenolics, mannan, xyloglucan, xylan, cellulose, starch, pectin); medium blue oligomers
and sugars (sucrose, lactose, mannose, galactose, fucose, xylose); dark blue organic acids, nitrogen, and
alcohols (fermentation, methylotrophy, anaerobic alkane oxidation); purple methanogenesis (acetoclastic,
methylotrophic, and hydrogenotrophic); and grey other 1 carbon metabolisms (anaerobic methane
oxidation, CO_2 fixation). (**Left**) For each archaeal family the bubble plot denotes genes transcribed
(green), genes present (black), or genes absent (white) for the major carbon utilization pathways assigned
to the trophic levels. (**Bottom**) The bar chart summarizes the number of transcriptionally active MAGs
recovered within each archaeal phylum and family.
712

713
714
Figure 7. Methanogen diversity and stability in response to flooding. (A) Alluvial plot highlighting
the taxonomic diversity of methanogen MAGs recovered here. The first gray bar chart summarizes the
number of genomes per genus recovered and the second gray bar chart summarizes the max transcription
detected of a MAG within the genus. The MAG-resolved metabolisms show transcription-based substrate
utilization. Taxonomy in red are new lineages defined here. **(B)** Dissolved porewater oxygen depth
profiles from August 2015 (grey) and 2018 (blue) highlight the redox impact of flooding. Scatterplot
shows the change of mean MAG transcription from 2015 to 2018 from the methane cycling community
within surface (0-5 centimeters) and deep (20-30 centimeters) soil compartments. Bubbles represent a
single methane cycling MAG and are colored by metabolism and sized by mean transcription. MAGs
within the red dashed lines do not have log2 fold change in mean transcription and are considered
temporally stable. The naming follows the legend in A.

731
732

Figure 8. Coordinated gene expression networks predict wetland methane concentrations. **(A)**
Overall co-expression network from 1,948 MAGs across 132 metatranscriptomics samples. Node outline
colors highlight modules (where N > 100) identified with weighted gene co-expression analyses. **(B)** A
simplified network diagram highlighting the associations between modules (sized scaled by number of
MAGs) and environmental features. Red and blue edges correspond to positive and negative associations,
respectively. **(C)** The genome significance plot and highest variable important genomes related to CH_4
concentrations in the turquoise, surface derived subnetwork (n = 551 MAGs, Rho = 0.53, P < 0.001). **(D)**
The genome significance plot and highest variable important genomes related to CH_4 concentrations from

743   the brown, deep subnetwork (n = 372 MAGs, Rho = 0.58, P < 0.001). For panels C and D, the bar charts
744   (middle) highlight the relative contribution of the top 10 variably important genomes (colored by
745   metabolic classification). Methanogens are highlighted with bold text. **(E)** A process-based schematic
746   based on genome-resolved transcript data of the highest variably important taxa and their contribution to
747   methane production in the surface subnetwork from A (top) and the deep subnetwork from B (bottom).
748

Methods
Field campaign and sample collection. We extensively sampled the main wetland ecological
sites (ecosites), or ecohydrological patch types, across two major field campaigns to capture the
patch-level spatiotemporal heterogeneity. The patches included wetland plant cover (plant),
predominantly *Typha latifolia*, exposed mud -flat (mud), and standing freshwater (open water).
Our sampling was also depth-resolved every 5 cm from 0-25 cm, resulting in a total of 705 soils
included in this study (**Supplementary Fig. S1A**).
756

Sampling was conducted at the Old Woman Creek National Estuarine Research Reserve (OWC).
OWC is a freshwater wetland located on the southern shore of Lake Erie, OH, USA (41˚22'N,
82˚30'W). Samples were collected during field campaigns in 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2018.
Sample collection details for the 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 campaigns were previously
described (1–3). Consistent collection methods were employed for the 2018 campaign, which is
first reported in this publication. Briefly, in 2018 we selected 10 sampling sites that include
locations sampled during prior years and represent a range of current and historic patch types of
Typha (n=1), Nelumbo (n=3), Open Water Channel (n=3), and Temporal Mud flat (n=3) (**Fig.
1A**). At each location, cores were collected within a meter of each of the 10 installed pore-water
dialysis peepers (n=1 core for May, June, and n=3 cores for July, August, and September, during
peak methane production at the wetland). Prior to core collection, we measured soil dissolved
oxygen (DO) and temperature within 5 cm increments from the soil surface using the Fibox 4
meter and DP-Pst3 probe (PreSens Precision Sensing, Germany). Cores were hydraulically
extruded and immediately divided into 5 cm depth sections from 0-25 cm, as measured from the
core surface. Each 5 cm section was further subsampled for molecular and geochemical analyses:
subsamples were flash frozen in the field and then shipped on dry ice for storage at -80˚C prior
to RNA extraction.
774

Geochemical analysis and collection of gasses. Concentrations of soil nitrate, nitrite, acetate,
and sulfate were measured using ion chromatography as previously described (1). Briefly, 1:1
soil slurries were made using 5g of soil with 5 ml MilliQ water. Following pH measurement,
slurries were filtered using a 0.2µM filter, with the filtrate quantified on a Dionex ICS-2100 Ion
Chromatography System with an AS18 column. Using soil slurry filtrate, concentrations were
measured for: formate, methanol, acetate, at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory using
proton magnetic resonance (^{1}H NMR) as previously described (4, 5). Fe^{2+} was measured in the
field using Hach FerroVer packets per the manufacturer's directions (Hach #927-9). Surface
emissions of CH_4 and CO_2 were measured by non-steady-state chambers in duplicate in each
ecosite and season and in sync with peeper measurement times (1). We also measured *in situ*
concentrations of dissolved CH_4 and CO_2 belowground monthly via porewater dialysis samplers
(1). In brief, peepers with a vertical resolution of 2.8 cm measured concentrations via a 0.1 µm
membrane, allowing water inside the peeper windows to equilibrate with dissolved gas
concentrations, with minimal soil disturbance. Gas concentrations from peepers were quantified

with a Shimadzu GC-2014 gas analyzer as previously described (1). Data from these analyses are
included in **Supplementary Table S4**.
791
Soil metabolomics methods. Water-soluble metabolites were extracted from soil by adding 7
mL of autoclaved Milli-Q water to 1 g of wet soil in a sterile 15-mL centrifuge tube. To identify
field-present metabolites in Old Woman Creek, including methanogenic substrates, we
performed ^{1}H NMR on aliquots water extractions. Samples (180 µL) were combined with 2,2-
dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonate-d_6 (DSS-d_6) in D_2O (20 µL, 5 mM) and thoroughly mixed
prior to transfer to 3 mm NMR tubes. NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker Avance III
spectrometer operating at a field strength of 17.6 T (^{1}H v_0 of 750.24 MHz) and equipped with a
5mm Bruker TCI/CP HCN (inverse) cryoprobe with Z-gradient and at a regulated temperature of
298 K. The 90° ^{1}H pulse was calibrated prior to the measurement of each sample. The one-
dimensional ^{1}H spectra were acquired using a nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy
(noesypr1d) pulse sequence with a spectral width of 12 ppm and 1024 transients. The NOESY
mixing time was 100ms and the acquisition time was 4s followed by a relaxation delay of 1.5 s
during which presaturation of the water signal was applied. Time domain free induction decays
(72114 total points) were zero filled to 131072 total points prior to Fourier transform. Chemical
shifts were referenced to the ^{1}H methyl signal in DSS-d_6 at 0 ppm. The 1D ^{1}H spectra were
manually processed, assigned metabolite identifications and quantified using Chenomx NMR
Suite 8.6. Metabolite identification was based on matching the chemical shift, J-coupling and
intensity of experimental signals to compound signals in the Chenomx and custom in-house
databases (**Supplementary Table S8**). Quantification was based on fitted metabolite signals
relative to the internal standard (DSS-d_6). Signal to noise ratios (S/N) were measured using
MestReNova 14 with the limit of quantification equal to a S/N of 10 and the limit of detection
equal to a S/N of 3. Data from these analyses are included in **Supplementary Tables S4 and S8**.
814
In preparation for LC-MS, water extracts were frozen and lyophilized dry (FreeZone 2.5 Plus,
Labconco). Each extract was resuspended in 170 µL of 100% methanol containing isotopically
labeled internal standards (5-50 µM of 13C,15N Cell Free Amino Acid Mixture, #767964,
Sigma; 1 ug/mL 2-amino-3-bromo-5-methylbenzoic acid, ABMBA, #R435902, Sigma) and
centrifuge-filtered (0.22 µm hydrophilic PVDF membrane, #UFC30GV00, Millipore) before
transferring to glass LC-MS autosampler vials. To detect polar metabolites using LC-MS/MS,
normal phase chromatography was performed using a QExactive HF Orbitrap MS (Thermo
Scientific, San Jose, CA) in line with an Agilent 1290 LC stack. Chromatography was
performed using a HILIC column (Agilent InfinityLab Poroshell 120 HILIC-Z, 2.1 x 150 mm,
2.7 µm, #673775-924) held at 40 ºC and mobile phase running at a flow rate of 0.45 mL/minute
with 2 µL injection volumes for each sample. The column was first equilibrated with 100%
buffer B (95:5 ACN:H_2O with 5 mM ammonium acetate) for 1 minute, then diluting this down
to 89% with buffer A (100% H_2O with 5 mM ammonium acetate and 5 µM
methylenediphosphonic acid) over 10 minutes, then down to 70% B over 4.75 minutes, then
down to 20% B over 0.5 minutes, followed by isocratic elution in 80% buffer A for 2.25 minutes
followed by re-equilibrating the column at 100% B prior to the next injection. Mass
spectrometry data was collected in centroid mode in both positive and negative polarity and
included full MS spectra from m/z 70-1050 at 60,000 resolution as well as MS2 fragmentation
spectra acquired using stepped then averaged 10, 20 and 40 eV collision energies at 17,500
resolution. Source settings included spray voltage of 3kV for both positive and negative

ionization, sheath gas flow of 55 (au), auxiliary gas flow of 20 (au), sweep gas flow of 2 (au),
and capillary temperature of 400 degrees C. Sample injection order was randomized, with an
injection blank of 100% methanol run between each sample and replaced by an internal standard
or quality control mixture only every 3 to 15 samples. Metabolomics data is available through
MassIVE under accession MSV000093935.
840
Metabolites were identified by comparing exact mass, retention time (RT) and MS/MS
fragmentation spectra to that of compound standards run using the same chromatography and
mass spectrometry methods. LC-MS spectra were analyzed using custom Python code (Yao et
al., 2015), with a score of 0 to 3 assigned to each feature (unique m/z and RT pair) that
represented the level of confidence in identifying the metabolite. A metabolite positively
identified had a detected m/z of $\leq$ 5 ppm or 0.001 Da from theoretical and RT $\leq$ 0.5 minutes
compared to that of a pure standard run using the same LC-MS conditions. Highest level of
positive identification (score of 3) was given for features having matching fragmentation spectra
to an internal database of standards run and collected on a Q Exactive Orbitrap MS, with
mismatching spectra invalidating a positive identification. Methodological processing these
analyses are included in **Supplementary Tables S8-S9**.
852
DNA and RNA extraction. DNA was extracted from soil samples using the PowerSoil DNA kit
(Qiagen). Total RNA was extracted using the Takara NucleoBond RNA Soil kit (Takara Bio
USA, CA) with 5g of soil as input. Extracted RNA was purified and concentrated using the
Zymo RNA Clean & Concentrator Kit (Zymo Research) and quantified with the Qubit RNA HS
Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For low biomass samples, up to 5 RNA extractions of 5g were
pooled prior to concentration. RNA purity and DNA removal were verified by PCR using
universal V4 region 16S rRNA gene primers.
860
16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. 16S rRNA genes in extracted DNA were amplified with
the primers 515F/806R (6) and sequenced at Argonne National Laboratory on the Illumina
MiSeq with 251-bp paired end read. Read sets (n=701) were processed with QIIME2 (release
2018.11, (7)) and ASVs were recovered with DADA2 (8). Taxonomies were assigned with the
q2-feature-classifier with the SILVA database (release 132) (9). ASVs were only included in
downstream analyses if they were detected in at least 10% of samples. We set a minimum read
threshold of at least 5,000 sequences per sample, retaining 672 samples, and then normalized our
ASV table with cumulative sum scaling prior to downstream analyses (**Supplementary Table
S2**).
870
We validated the ubiquity of our identified wetlands microbial taxa at OWC with an independent
16S rRNA gene database assembled from 505 soils collected from 5 unique wetlands systems
that collectively represent a broad range of geographic locations (**Fig. S3**), span a range of
geochemical and climatic conditions, and represent different wetland types: marsh (P7, P8,
LA2), swamp (JLA), and bog (SPRUCE). All selected samples were previously amplified with
the EMP primers 515F/806R and sequenced on the Illumina Miseq. Using DADA2 (8) through
QIIME2 (7) 16S rRNA gene sequences were processed with a consistent methodology and used
the SILVA v138 and GDTB (10) (r202) databases to assign taxonomy across all 505 samples.
We then compared the representative sequences of our wetlands 16S rRNA to those recovered in
our assembled reference database using BLAST to compare sequence similarity at 100, 99, 97,

and 80% similarity cutoffs. The percentages of ASVs from each site and within the entire
wetland database that were detected in our OWC dataset were calculated for each cutoff. The
relative abundances of the ASVs within these cutoff groups was calculated for each site and the
entire database to understand how much community composition from other wetland sites was
shared with OWC.
886
Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic sequencing. Of the 42 Metagenomes, 25 are newly
reported data here (**Supplementary Table S1**). This includes 16 samples from the 2018 field
sampling representing a range of patch types, soil depths and time points to be used in building a
MAG database. For the 2018 field samples sequencing was performed by the Department of
Energy Joint Genome Institute following their established protocols. Briefly, plate-based DNA
library preparation for Illumina sequencing was performed on the PerkinElmer Sciclone NGS
robotic liquid handling system using Kapa Biosystems library preparation kit. 200.20 ng of
sample DNA was sheared to 472 bp using a Covaris LE220 focused-ultrasonicator. The sheared
DNA fragments were size selected by double-SPRI and then the selected fragments were end-
repaired, A-tailed, and ligated with Illumina compatible sequencing adaptors from IDT
containing a unique molecular index barcode for each sample library. The prepared libraries
were quantified using KAPA Biosystems' next-generation sequencing library qPCR kit and run
on a Roche LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument. Sequencing of the flowcell was
performed on the Illumina NovaSeq sequencer using NovaSeq XP V1 reagent kits, S4 flowcell,
following a 2x151 indexed run recipe.
902
We also sequenced 9 samples from laboratory enrichments that are released in this study. Two of
these enrichment samples were sequenced at The Ohio State University Genomic Shared
Resource Facility with library preparation Nextera XT library system and sequencing done on
HiSeq 2500 using methods described in more detail (11). An additional 7 laboratory enrichments
were sequenced in 2018 from Genomics and Microarray Shared Resource at University of
Colorado Denver Cancer Center, Denver, CO, USA. For these samples, library preparation was
done (via Tecan/Nugen Ovation Ultralow DNA Input Kit, No. 0344) and sequenced on the
Illumina NovaSeq 6000, with an S4 flow cell. These enrichments were used to try to enhance
methanogen recovery.
912
We obtained 133 samples from our metatranscriptomics sequencing across the multi-year study,
with their distribution across land coverages, sites, cores, years, months, and depths reported
(**Supplementary Table S1, S4**). From the field in 2018 we obtained 109 metatransciptome
samples from triplicate cores for each of 3 depths (0-5 cm, 10-15 cm, and 20-25 cm) for July and
September, and 5 depths (0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, 10-15 cm, 15-20, and 20-25 cm) for August). The
remaining samples were collected in prior years and are described in main text in supplemental
tables.
920
Library preparation and sequencing were performed at the Department of Energy Joint Genome
Institute using standard methods. Plate-based RNA sample prep was performed on the
PerkinElmer Sciclone NGS robotic liquid handling system using Illumina Ribo-Zero rRNA
Removal Kit (Bacteria) and the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA HT sample prep kit following the
protocol outlined by Illumina with the following conditions: total RNA starting material of 100
ng per sample and 10 cycles of PCR for library amplification. The prepared libraries were

quantified using KAPA Biosystem's next-generation sequencing library qPCR kit and run on a
Roche LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument. Sequencing of the flow cell was performed on
the Illumina NovaSeq sequencer using NovaSeq XP v1 reagent kits, S4 flow cell, and following
a 2x150 indexed run recipe. For the remaining 24 samples, these were previously reported in (1,
3), with 12 collected in 2014 and 12 from 2015.
932
Two samples did not yield sufficient biomass to be sequenced at JGI. For these two samples and
nine additional samples from 2015, RNA-seq library construction and sequencing was performed
at The Genomics and Microarray Shared Resource at University of Colorado Denver Cancer
Center, Denver, CO, USA. Library preparation and rRNA depletion were performed using the
Zymo-Seq Ribo Free Total RNA Library Kit Cat No. R3000 and libraries were sequenced on the
Illumina NovaSeq 6000 using 2x150 paired end reads on an S4 flow cell.
939
Genome assembly and binning.
Metagenomic samples were assembled and binned using multiple approaches to maximize
assembly and metagenome assembled genome (MAG) recovery **(Supplementary Table S3)**.
Collectively, this resulted in 42 samples for the genome-resolved database made up of 2,502
unique MAGs, with assembly and binning methods described below. Additionally, the 2,502
dereplicated MAG database is available on Zenodo (see files MAGs.zip). Reported more
extensively in **Supplementary Table 1**, but briefly here MAGs were recovered from
1) 2013 soil field samples (n=9), sequencing and selected MAGs published previously (2, 12)
2) 2014 soil field samples (n=3), sequencing and selected MAGs published previously (1, 3)
3) 2015 soil field samples (n=3), sequencing and selected MAGs published previously (1, 3)
4) 2018 soil field samples, *released in this study* (n=16)
5) lab enrichment samples, sequencing and selected MAGs published previously (n=2) (4)
6) lab enrichment samples, *released in this study* (n=9)
953
Raw metagenomic reads from the 2018 metagenomes were trimmed using Sickle (pe) (13) and
then full assemblies were generated using Megahit (v1.2.2) (14) with parameters --k-min 41 --k-
max 121 --k-step 10. Subsampled assemblies using 30% of sequencing reads were generated
using IDBA-UD v 1.1.3 (15) with default parameters. To generate more finely resolved contig
depth signatures as an aid to binning, reads from multiple samples were mapped to each
assembly using BBMap with minid=0.95 and ambig=random (v 38.70) (16) and these profiles
were used with assembled contigs for binning using Metabat2 (17). Bins from these assemblies
were combined with bins from metagenomic assemblies derived from earlier sampling of this
wetland and from metagenomic sequencing of wetland soil enrichments (1, 3, 4).
963
This bin pool was dereplicated using dRep (v 1.4.3) (18) at 99% identity with the 'winning' bins
retained as a preliminary wetland genome database. Finally, reads from the 2018 assemblies
(n=16) that did not map to contigs in the preliminary MAG database were grouped by depth (e.g.
D1-D3 and D4-D5) and co-assembled using Megahit (14). These two co-assemblies were binned
as described above and dereplicated with the preliminary database yielding a final database of
3217 wetland MAGs. MAG completeness and contamination was estimated using CheckM (19)
and taxonomy assigned using GTDB-tk (v 1.5.0) with GDTB database release 202 (10, 20). Of
these 3217 MAGs, 2502 were of medium or high quality based on adapted MIMARKS standards
(completeness >=50% and contamination <10%) (21).

973
MAG based phylogenomic assignments. All MAGs were used as input for GTDB-tk (20)
taxonomy assignment using the *de novo* workflow and Nanoarchaeota as the outgroup using
release 202 of GTDB. The resulting multiple sequence alignment was used to produce a guide
tree using IQ-TREE (22) and the LG+F+G model. A PMSF tree was generated using IQ-TREE
with model LG+C10+G+F and 100 bootstraps. The resulting tree was annotated with iTOL (23).
Phylogenomic analysis of the 99% dereplicated methanogen MAGs from OWC (n=85) and
Stordalen Mire (n=74) was performed using the GTDB-Tk v2.3.0 r214 (20). The alignment was
based on 53 concatenated archaeal marker genes. A GTDB-derived MAG (GCA_002495465.1)
from the phylum Undinarchaeota was used as in outgroup. The tree was visually modified in R
using the ggtree package (24). Metatranscriptome data from Stordalen Mire included on the tree
was previously published (5). The Newick tree is available at on Zenodo at DOI
10.5281/zenodo.8194033.
986
Assessment of genome transcription. Raw metatranscriptomic reads were filtered and initial
QC performed using Joint Genome Institute standard protocols. Filtered reads were further
quality trimmed using sickle (pe) (13) and mapped to the full MAG database using BBMap (v
38.70) (16). Following mapping, bamfiles were filtered to retain mappings of at least 99% ID
and per-gene read counts were estimated using HT-Seq (v0.11.2) (25). The read count table was
first filtered to retain genes which have a minimum total count of 10 across all samples. The
filtered table was normalized for gene length and TMM normalized using the EdgeR 'cpm'
function with log2 normalization (26). MAG mean transcription values were calculated for those
MAGs having at least 20 genes transcribed. Due to file size constraints, the per MAG transcript
profiles across 133 metatranscriptome samples are accessible in the zenodo library that
accompanies this manuscript (10.5281/zenodo.8194033). This includes the MAG based analysis
(owc_metat_table_mags.csv) as well as annotated expressed DRAM genes
(owc_metat_table_mags_genes.csv).
1000
To calculate the percentage of a genome transcribed for the 1,948 genomes recovered where at
least 20 genes were transcribed, we first counted the number of genes transcribed per genome,
and then divided that count by the number of predicted genes per genome as estimated by
CoverM (27) and multiplied by 100. We performed a Mann-Whitney U test to assess if there was
a significant difference in the percentage of a genome expressed in bacterial versus archaeal
genomes. We also classified phyla by their median transcription and variability by calculating
the median percentage of genomes transcribed (summarized by phylum) and by the variability of
that % transcription of MAGs within a phylum, calculated as the median relative standard
deviation (RSD, aka coefficient of variation). These data are accessible via the zenodo
1010
MAG and Gene Based Functional profiling. Bacterial and archaeal MAGs were annotated
using DRAM v1(28). Because of file size constraints, these data files are included on the zenodo
(see data accessibility). This includes (1) the MAG amino acid gene sequences derived from
DRAM gene calls (fasta file) as **OWC_HQMQ_DB_genes.faa.gz** and MAG DRAM
annotations as **OWC_HQMQ_DB_ANNOTATIONS_20220208.txt.gz.** We also annotated
bacterial and archaeal metagenome-resolved transcriptomes *via* DRAM (28), with these also on
zenodo also, as (1) metatranscriptomic expression per gene across 133 metatranscriptomes (csv
table)

in file **owc_metat_table_mags_genes.csv** and (2) the corresponding DRAM annotations for
these transcribed genes in file **owc_metat_table_mags_genes_annotations.csv**.
1021
To assign traits to MAGs, we curated the MAG annotations made by DRAM (28) using a ruleset
visualized in **Supplementary Fig. S5**. MAGs were assigned potential traits derived from
metagenomics and expressed traits derived from metatranscriptomics. From metagenomics,
MAGs were first classified by respiratory capacity based on the presence of >50% of the
subunits required for Complex 1 of the electron transport chain and the presence of at least one
gene for an electron acceptor. Based on which acceptor was predicted, a MAG would be
assigned to a particular functional guild (e.g., N reducer, aerobic, iron reducer). As an example,
for a MAG to be classified as microaerophillic, we required the MAG to have more than 50% of
Complex 1 subunits and at least one subunit of a high affinity cytochrome oxidase. Likewise, if a
MAG did not have more than 50% of the subunits required for Complex 1 of the electron
transport chain or the potential for any electron acceptor, it was classified as an obligate
fermenter.
1034
Beyond respiratory metabolisms, other traits were assigned based on functional gene presence.
For example, N fixation was assigned based on the presence of at least one subunit of any
nitrogenase (**Table S5**). For specific metabolisms, such as methanogenesis and methanotrophy,
assignment was based on the presence of a key functional gene (*mcrA* and *pmoA*, respectively) or
by manual curation including taxonomic information given the conserved nature of these
metabolisms at the genus level and the tendency for these key functional genes to be on short
contigs, precluding them from binning (1, 3). From metatranscriptomics, trait calls were based
on potential calls from MAGs, but also required various levels of transcription to be considered
expressed. For example, to be considered an expressed microaerophile, a MAG had to 1) be
classified as a microaerophile based on metabolic potential (more than 50% of complex 1 subunit
and at least one subunit of a low affinity cytochrome oxidase) and 2) had to be expressing more
than 30% of complex 1 subunits and at least one subunit of a low affinity cytochrome oxidase.
For trait classified based on an absence of data (obligate fermenter) or taxonomy (methanogen,
methanotroph), MAGs needed to be considered active (more than 20 genes transcribed) to be
classified as expressed for a particular trait. As trait assignment was based on MAG annotation,
we also assigned MAG-level transcription. Likewise, MAGs could be assigned to more than one
functional guild, and totals were summed across samples. The metabolic guild assignments for
the 2,502 MAGs in both potential and expression is contained in **Supplementary Table S5**.
1053
We note that functional gene based phylogenetic analyses were performed to refine the
annotation of nitrogen related metabolism including genes annotated as respiratory nitrate
reductase (*nar*), nitrite oxidoreductase (*nxr*), ammonia monooxygenase (*amo*), or methane
monooxygenase (*pmo*) to improve the assignment the nitrogen cycling capabilities of MUCC
MAGs (**Supplementary Table S5**). Specifically, Nxr/Nar and PmoA/AmoA amino acid
reference sequences were downloaded and this set of reference sequences were combined with
amino acid sequences of homologs from the MUCC, aligned separately using MUSCLE
(v3.8.31) (29), and run through an in-house script for generating phylogenetic trees. This resulted
in two phylogenies, one for *Nxr/Nar* genes and one for *Pmo/Amo* genes, with this approach used
to refine the homology-based gene annotations in the MAG database.
1064

For MAGs assigned to the methanogen functional guild, substrate classification was further
characterized, assigning each as hydrogenotrophic methanogen, acetoclastic methanogen, or
methylotrophic (methyl-N, methyl-S, methyl-O) methanogen, with genes required for each
detailed in Table S4. Gene calls were based on DRAM annotations. Specifically, all genes
involved in methanogenesis were inventoried per MAG and then based on those genes that were
specific for a substrate type, substrate calls were made. Archaeal metabolism calls were based on
DRAM annotations with specific genes required for each detailed in **Supplementary Table S7**
(Archaea specific).
1073
Identification of major environmental axes driving wetlands microbiome variation. We first
reduced collinearity by dropping a subset of geochemical and climatic variables that were highly
correlated with retained variables (Spearman's r > 0.75; **Fig. S2**) including Ethanol, Isopropanol,
extractable Na, extractable Al, % Sand, extractable K, and temperature. We log-transformed
variables where appropriate and standardized variables prior to analyses. Our final set of
environmental predictors included measured soil properties (Dissolved Oxygen, Acetate, Nitrate,
Nitrite, Sulfate, Fe2, pH, CEC, % Total Organic Carbon, % Silt, % Clay, Hydraulic
Conductivity, extractable Mg), site attributes (ecotype, depth, collection month, collection year),
associated geographic variables (latitude/longitude), and greenhouse gas concentrations (CH_4 and
CO_2 peeper concentrations).
1084
To characterize how the composition and transcription of microbial communities differed across
time, space, and major geochemical gradients, we first assessed calculated dissimilarities with
Bray-Curtis metric on square root transformed relative abundances. We used three metrics of
microbiome communities: taxonomic composition (ASVs), relative mean MAG transcription,
and relative mean metabolic guild transcription, and visualized as ordinations with nonmetric
multidimensional scaling (k=3). We tested the correlation between pairwise distances in bacterial
and archaeal composition/transcription to pairwise distances in our suite of geochemical and
spatiotemporal variables using Mantel tests with 999 permutations. Then, to identify the best
overall explanatory models associated with differences in composition and expression we
conducted multiple regression analyses on distance matrices (**Fig. S7**).
1095
Genome co-expression network analysis and process-based model generation. Beyond
individual lineages correlations described above, we were interested in identifying if there were
community-level emergent properties (e.g., clusters of co-transcribed genomes) that were highly
correlated in their relative expression to relate to in situ measurements of greenhouse gas
concentrations (CH_4, CO_2). To test this, we used weighted correlation network analysis
(WGCNA) (30) across all of our metatranscriptomes (n=132, 1 sample was excluded due to
outlier screening within the WGCNA pipeline). A signed adjacency measure for each pair of
features was calculated with the power threshold of 7, identified by plotting mean connectivity as
a function of the soft-thresholding power and selecting the power threshold above 0.80 to
optimize the scale-free topology network fit. The adjacency matrix was used to calculate
topological overall measure (TOM) and derive a co-expression network. We used a signed
hybrid network, with a minimum module size of 50, and a merge height of 0.3. Hierarchical
clustering was employed to resolve subnetworks (i.e., modules), using a distance based on the
calculated TOM. For each subnetwork, we calculate pairwise Pearson correlation coefficients
between the subnetwork principal components and key geochemical measurements (pH, sulfate,

CEC, nitrate, nitrite, DO, % TOC, conductivity, depth, Fe_2, acetate, Mg, and ecotypes) as well as
our *in situ* measurements of CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations.
1113
We next assessed the two subnetworks that had the highest significant correlation scores
(correlations between module eigenvalues and CO_2/CH_4 concentrations, which we refer to as
'traits') with an intramodular analysis. Our first goal was to identify genomes with a high
genome trait significance (the absolute value of the correlation between the genome and the trait)
and subnetwork membership (the correlation of the module eigenvalue and the genome
expression profile). Second, we also computed VIP scores from sPLS (31) models (in relation to
both CH_4 and CO_2) for all genomes within each of the two subnetworks to obtain an additional
metric of their individual importance. VIP scores were highly correlated with genome trait
significance scores (rho > 0.9 for all). Subnetwork #1 contained 372 genomes (the subnetwork–
trait correlation to CH_4 and CO_2 was 0.38 and 0.36 respectively, with P<0.0001 for both).
Likewise, for each subnetwork, we found that genome significance to be significantly correlated
to subnetwork membership both for CH_4 (Rho = 0.54 and 0.52 for subnetworks #1 and 2,
respectively; P<0.001) and for CO_2 (Rho = 0.54 and 0.29 for subnetworks #1 and 2, respectively;
P<0.001).
1128
MAGs within the process-based model were based on WGCNA (30) and sPLS (31) analyses, as
well as MAG expression profiles. First, MAGs within each of the two subnetworks (brown and
turquoise) were filtered to those with a VIP score ≥ 1 in relation to CH_4 and then clustered at the
genus level. Then clusters were classified based on expressed traits. For clusters containing more
than one MAG, functional guilds were consolidated. If trait assignments disagreed (e.g. aerobe
and obligate fermenter) within a cluster, the functional guild from the most complete MAG
within a cluster was used. Clusters with no expressed adjectives or without at least one MAG
expressing ≥ 20% of its genes were removed from subsequent analyses. Remaining clusters were
retained in the process-based model. For MAGs within the remaining clusters, DRAM
annotations were used to profile expressed carbon usage. Based on the expressed carbon
utilization and functional guild of each cluster, a schematic representation of the process model
(**Fig. 8E**) was constructed in Adobe Illustrator. All the statistics and metabolic calls used to
construct Fig. 8 are provided in **Supplementary Tables S11 and S12**, including VIP values,
expression patterns, functional guilds, and carbon utilization provided in. This analysis workflow
is shown in **Fig. S10**.

Figure S1. Experimental Design. (A) Upset plot showing the major data types obtained from
samples and the number of samples obtained for each set (top bars) including from left to right:
geochemistry, 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing, NMR metabolomics, metatranscriptomics, and
metagenomics. The gray bars (right) represent the number of interesting sets across sample
types. **(B)** Overview of the number of metagenome-resolved genomes (MAGs) recovered in this
study. All 2,502 medium and high-quality (MQHQ) MAGs used in analyses were ≥50%
complete, were <10% contaminated. **(C)** Schematic illustration depicting the soil core sampling
as well as the greenhouse gas monitoring using a) porewater dialysis peepers for porewater
production, b) chambers for emission quantification, and c) EC tower for site wide data. **(D)**
Boxplot highlights the amount of sequencing in Giga basepairs (Gbp) for this study in Old
Woman Creek (OWC) versus other classified wetlands in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) for
metagenomes and metatranscriptomes. Each point represents a single sample, and boxplots show
the median Gbp. **(E)** Overview of the genome-resolved metagenomics (top) and genome-
resolved metatranscriptomics (bottom) bioinformatics pipeline we developed to obtain genome-
resolved microbial community activity profiles. The experimental data described in this figure
can be accessed in **Supplementary Table S1, S3-S4**.

1166
1167

1168 **Figure S2.** Correlation analysis highlights the soil, porewater, and flux metadata associated with
1169 metatranscriptomics and metagenomics samples, and the correlation of these variables to one
1170 another (denoted in heatmap legend). The data supporting this figure is included in
1171 **Supplementary Table S4** and includes the year, month, land coverage, depth, core, site transect.
1172

1183

1184

Figure S3. MAGs and ASVs recovered from this study (OWC) represent a large fraction of

the microbiome of diverse North American wetland sites. (A) The geographic distribution of

wetland sites for which we compiled a North American wetlands database from available 16S

rRNA **(B)** A bar plot of the number of samples at each wetland site (ASV samples). The %

composition **(C)** and the % of ASV matches **(D)** of the wetlands database covered by OWC

ASVs at decreasing threshold cutoffs. OWC ASVs covered 36% and 51% of the composition of

the wetlands database, at 99% and 97% threshold cutoffs, respectively.

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

Figure S4. Phylogenetic tree of methanogens recovered from Old Woman Creek and Stordalen Mire shows taxonomic overlap while maintaining site specific MAGs.
Phylogenetic tree was inferred using the GTDB-Tk de_novo_wf for methanogen MAGs derived from OWC (n=85) and Stordalen Mire (STM) (n=74), using p__Undinarchaeota as the outgroup. Leaves are colored by MAG methanogen taxonomy at the order level and MAG source is denoted by innermost ring. Two stacked bar charts highlight the average expression per MAG by depth and site in OWC (inner bar chart) and Stordalen Mire (outermost bar chart). The newick file supporting this analysis is accessible on zenodo DOI accompanying this manuscript (see data accessibility).

Figure S5. Overview of workflow for assigning MAGs to biogeochemical processes The
2,502 metagenome assembled genomes were characterized for functional potential and
expression of 14 major biogeochemical contributions. Here we show how we used gene content
to classify the aerobic, microaerophilic, photosynthetic, Nitrogen (N) reducer, Iron (I) reducer,
Sulfur (S) reducer, methanogen, obligate fermenter, Dissimilatory Nitrite Reduction to
Ammonium (DNRA), nitrogen (N) fixation, nitrification, aerobic methanotroph, Iron oxidizer,
and Sulfur (S) oxidizer. The path diagram summarizes the gene suites required for a
biogeochemical classification. For the subset of 1,948 transcribed genomes, we also assigned
'expressed' metabolism from transcripts recruited back to MAGs. For some genes (e.g., *pmoA*),
phylogenetic analyses and other non-homology-based methods were used for confirmation.
Additional details are provided in the methods section, **MAG and Gene Based Functional**
profiling, while the data derived from this approach is included in **Supplementary Table 5**.
1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

Figure S6. Genome expression across the MAGs reported at phylum levels. Boxplots at the

phylum level summarizing the distribution of the percentage of the genome that is transcribed for

all 1948 MAGs in which transcription was detected (i.e., the number of genes transcribed over

the total number of predicted genes for each MAG). Only phyla composed of at least 5 MAGs

recovered are visualized here. Boxplots are ordered by percent of genome transcribed (from top

to bottom). Data for this figure can be accessed via the zenodo DOI, file entitled

owc_metat_table_mags.csv, which is metatranscriptomic expression per MAG across 133

metatranscriptomes (csv table).

1237

1238

1239

1240

Figure S7. (A) PERMANOVA derived bar plots visualize the relative importance of
spatiotemporal predictors included in the models for explaining the overall variation of
composition, transcription, and guild microbiome information. **(B)** Mantel based bar plots
visualize the mantel correlations of geochemical predictors (see **Supplementary Fig. S2**)
included in multiple regression models for explaining overall variation. The model output data
from PERMANOVA and mantel tests reported here is included in **Supplementary Table S6**.

1247
1248
1249

Figure S8. Methanogen substrate concentrations across years and depths. (A) Heatmap
displays paired LCMS and NMR metabolite data, with samples ordered from left to right first by
patch type (mud, open water) then by depth. Compound text color indicates detection methods
including LCMS (black) or NMR (grey). Bar chart shows the number of samples each compound
was detected in for all samples analyzed including 109 samples for NMR and 33 samples for
LCMS. **(B)** and **(C)** Boxplots show log transformed NMR concentrations of acetate, formate, and
methanol by depth and by year, respectively.

Figure S9. Methane cycling microorganisms show coordinated gene expression patterns, with groups co-localized by depth neighborhoods. By row metatranscriptome data from 3 cores at 3 depths (n=9) for each month (July, August, September) in blocks. Each column is the mean genome transcript for methanotroph or methanogen MAGs, with taxonomy and naming indicated on bottom and energy strategy denoted by box colors. Transcript response patterns are clustered by location, with some methanogens active in all depths in all seasons, some showing stronger surface, surface-middle, deep, deep-middle, and no clear depth signal. The data used to construct this figure is located in Supplementary Table S10 and on the zenodo DOI under file **owc_metat_table_mags.csv**.

1276
Figure S10. Workflow for data integration to identify functional guilds that predict soil
methane. The left most column highlights the biological questions addressed (Questions). The
middle column shows the actual data from other parts of this manuscript used to answer the
question (Data/Input). The third column/arrow shows the statistical method used (Method). The
fourth, most right column, shows the outcome of each analysis (Output). These output figures
correspond to main text Figure 8. We denote the main text and supplementary figures referenced
in the data inputs and outputs columns. Data supporting this figure is included in
Supplementary Tables S11 and **S12**.
1285

1286
1287

Captions for Supplementary Tables S1-S12. The bolded pre-fix relates to the spreadsheet worksheet name.

Table S1. OWC_Samples: Overview and source details of the Old Woman Creek samples included in this study, including metagenomes, metatranscriptomes, and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences. For all metagenomes and metatranscriptomes, the corresponding NCBI accession number and sequencing depth (in Gbp) is provided.

Table S2. 16S: The 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing data from 671 samples. This includes the amplicon sequencing variants (ASV) and relative abundances across space and time. Bacterial and Archaeal taxonomic assignments are listed for each ASV.

Table S3. The bin stats: Data for the 2,502 medium and high-quality MAGs recovered and their attributes, including: the final database ID, a reference bin ID (includes sample bin was recovered from), the RED taxonomy from GTDB (including assigned novelty status), GTDB-Tk assigned taxonomy, contamination, completeness, genome size, number of scaffolds, number of contigs, N50 scaffolds, N50 contigs, % GC, coding density, predicted genes.

Table S4. MetaT metadata: Overview of the metadata associated with metatranscriptomics and metagenomics samples, including year collected, month collected, ecotype code, depth, core, site transect, associated geochemistry (pH, DO, CEC etc.), NMR determined metabolites, as well as peeper concentration and flux measurements.

Table S5. Bin_adjectives: The metabolic guild assignments for 2,502 MAGs in terms of both functional potential (e.g. metagenomic annotations) and transcribed potential (e.g. metatranscriptomic annotations, available for the subset of 1,948 MAGs that met our minimum transcription threshold), corresponding with Fig. 2.

Table S6. Environmental_drivers: Model outputs (mantel tests and PERMANOVA models) assessing the relationships between wetlands microbial communities (including the composition, transcription, and guild level) and spatiotemporal and geochemical variables to explain variation in the distribution of relative abundance. The R^2 values reported refer to PERMANOVA predictors and rho values refer to mantel tests (see Methods for details), with the data reported in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. S7.

Table S7. Archaeal_Annotation_Calls: Functional assignments for Archaeal genomes, and gene-level requirements for assignments corresponding with Fig. 6.

Table S8. Metabolites: Soil metabolite concentrations as detected by LC-MS and NMR that are known and possible methanogen substrates. These data were collected across 2015 and 2018 from surface and deep cores. These data are reported in Supplementary Fig. S8.

Table S9. LCMS Metabolite annotation: Metabolite annotation data including specifics of LC-MS methodology. This information was used to generate the information in Table S8.

1333 **Table S10. Methanotroph_and_methanogen annotations:** Genome inferred substrate use for
1334 methanogens and methanotrophs as defined by gene content. This table includes the gene-based
1335 rule set that profiled 80 genes to assign the substrate use categories from metagenome and
1336 metatranscriptome data in this study. These data are reported in Figs. 6-8.
1337
1338 **Table S11. WGCNA_traits:** Correlations between transcription of bacterial and archaeal
1339 genomes and geochemical attributes (pH, depth, total organic carbon, ecotype, etc.) detected via
1340 WGCNA network construction and analysis for each module detected.
1341
Table S12. Process_model_map: Genomes included in focal WGCNA subnetworks (brown and
turquoise) used to build process models (Fig. 8E). For each circle labeled in Fig. 8E, this table
includes specific genomes in each transcriptional module, full taxonomy string, figure taxonomy
label (column Label Name for Fig. 8), corresponding VIP scores and metabolism (redox guild,
consumption, production, C fixation, sugars utilization, polymers, hemi-cellulose, dimers, short
chain fatty acids, pyruvate to acetyl-coA).
1348
1349 In addition, we direct readers to the Zenodo DOI 10.5281/zenodo.8194033 (see **Data**
1350 **Availability**). This zenodo data set contains the following files, which are too large or not the
1351 appropriate format (excel format) to be included as supplementary table.
1352
1. **MAGs.zip** Dereplicated database of 2,502 MAGs (fasta files)
2. **owc_HQMQ_DB_genes.faa.gz** MAG amino acid gene sequences derived from DRAM
gene calls (fasta file)
3. **owc_HQMQ_DB_ANNOTATIONS_20220208.txt.gz** MAG DRAM annotations
4. **owc_metat_table_mags.csv** Metatranscriptomic expression per MAG across 133
metatranscriptomes (csv table)
5. **owc_metat_table_mags_genes.csv** Metatranscriptomic expression per gene across 133
metatranscriptomes (csv table)
6. **owc_metat_table_mags_genes_annotations.csv** corresponding DRAM annotations to
#5 for transcribed genes (csv table)
7. **gtdbtk.ar53.decorated.tree** newick file for tree for methanogen based comparisons
across sites
1365

SI References

1. J. C. Angle, *et al.*, Methanogenesis in oxygenated soils is a substantial fraction of wetland methane emissions. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1567 (2017).

2. A. B. Narrowe, *et al.*, High-resolution sequencing reveals unexplored archaeal diversity in freshwater wetland soils. *Environ Microbiol* **19**, 2192–2209 (2017).

3. G. J. Smith, *et al.*, Members of the Genus Methylobacter Are Inferred To Account for the Majority of Aerobic Methane Oxidation in Oxic Soils from a Freshwater Wetland. *mBio* **9**, e00815-18.

4. A. B. Narrowe, *et al.*, Uncovering the Diversity and Activity of Methylotrophic Methanogens in Freshwater Wetland Soils. *mSystems* **4**, e00320-19 (2019).

5. J. B. Ellenbogen, *et al.*, Methylotrophy in the Mire: direct and indirect routes for methane production in thawing permafrost. *mSystems* **0**, e00698-23 (2023).

6. J. G. Caporaso, *et al.*, Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J* **6**, 1621–1624 (2012).

7. E. Bolyen, *et al.*, Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 852–857 (2019).

8. B. J. Callahan, *et al.*, DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* **13**, 581–583 (2016).

9. C. Quast, *et al.*, The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, D590-596 (2013).

10. D. H. Parks, *et al.*, Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 1533–1542 (2017).

11. M. Borton, *et al.*, Targeted curation of the gut microbial gene content modulating human cardiovascular disease. *mBio* **14**, e0151123 (2023).

12. A. B. Narrowe, *et al.*, Complex Evolutionary History of Translation Elongation Factor 2 and Diphthamide Biosynthesis in Archaea and Parabasalids. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **10**, 2380–2393 (2018).

13. najoshi, sickle - A windowed adaptive trimming tool for FASTQ files using quality. (2023). Deposited 16 June 2023.

14. D. Li, C.-M. Liu, R. Luo, K. Sadakane, T.-W. Lam, MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 1674–1676 (2015).

15. Y. Peng, H. C. M. Leung, S. M. Yiu, F. Y. L. Chin, IDBA-UD: a de novo assembler for single-cell and metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1420–1428 (2012).

16. B. Bushnell, "BBMap: A Fast, Accurate, Splice-Aware Aligner" (Lawrence Berkeley National Lab. (LBNL), Berkeley, CA (United States), 2014).

17. D. D. Kang, *et al.*, MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome
reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* **7**, e7359 (2019).

18. M. R. Olm, C. T. Brown, B. Brooks, J. F. Banfield, dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic
comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication.
ISME J **11**, 2864–2868 (2017).

19. D. H. Parks, M. Imelfort, C. T. Skennerton, P. Hugenholtz, G. W. Tyson, CheckM: assessing the
quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.*
25, 1043–1055 (2015).

20. P.-A. Chaumeil, A. J. Mussig, P. Hugenholtz, D. H. Parks, GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes
with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 1925–1927 (2020).

21. R. M. Bowers, *et al.*, Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a
metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**, 725–731
(2017).

22. L.-T. Nguyen, H. A. Schmidt, A. von Haeseler, B. Q. Minh, IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective
Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and*
Evolution **32**, 268–274 (2015).

23. I. Letunic, P. Bork, Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and
annotation. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 127–128 (2007).

24. G. Yu, D. K. Smith, H. Zhu, Y. Guan, T. T.-Y. Lam, ggtree: an r package for visualization and
annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods in Ecology*
and Evolution **8**, 28–36 (2017).

25. S. Anders, P. T. Pyl, W. Huber, HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169 (2015).

26. M. Smid, *et al.*, Gene length corrected trimmed mean of M-values (GeTMM) processing of RNA-seq
data performs similarly in intersample analyses while improving intrasample comparisons. *BMC*
Bioinformatics **19**, 236 (2018).

27. B. J. Woodcroft, CoverM. (2023). Deposited 26 June 2023.

28. M. Shaffer, *et al.*, DRAM for distilling microbial metabolism to automate the curation of microbiome
function. *Nucleic Acids Research* **48**, 8883–8900 (2020).

29. R. C. Edgar, MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
Nucleic Acids Res **32**, 1792–1797 (2004).

30. P. Langfelder, S. Horvath, WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC*
Bioinformatics **9**, 559 (2008).

31. H. Abdi, Partial least squares regression and projection on latent structure regression (PLS
Regression). *WIREs Computational Statistics* **2**, 97–106 (2010).

1436