Females extract multiple signals from male song in Java sparrows

Rebecca N. Lewis[1,2,3], Hiroharu Makioka[4], R. Tucker Gilman[1], Masayo Soma[5]

1. Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
2. Chester Zoo, Upton-by-Chester, UK
3. JSPS International Research Fellow, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido, Japan
4. Biosystems Science Course, The Graduate School of Life Science, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido, Japan
5. Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Hokkaido, Japan

Abstract

Songs play an important role in mate choice for many bird species. Females of some species prefer mates with song characteristics such as familiarity, complexity, or specific temporal or note features. Different song characteristics may provide distinct information about the birds that produced those songs. For example, familiarity may be a signal of local adaptation, locally relevant information or learned behaviours, or genetic compatibility, while song complexity may be a signal of overall genetic quality. However, how song characteristics combine to affect female preferences remains poorly understood. We examined female preference for song familiarity and complexity using the Java sparrow (*Padda oryzivora*) as a model species. Females were presented with pairs of song stimuli; familiar and unfamiliar songs in familiarity trials, and songs differing in note repertoire or linearity in complexity trials. Females preferred their fathers' songs over unfamiliar songs, but we did not find strong evidence that females generalized this preference to other socially related individuals. Females also preferred complex songs, specifically those with larger note repertoires and with lower linearity, even when controlling for familiarity. Our results suggest that female song preference in Java sparrows is multi-faceted, with different song characteristics potentially offering different information to choosy females.

Introduction

Birdsong can provide a wide range of information about the individual producing it. As a result, songs often play an important role in female preferences and mate choice. Songs can provide information about overall male quality [1] and about mate compatibility with the choosing female [2,3]. To convey this information, songs vary along multiple axes, each providing different information to female receivers. Among female songbirds, preferences have been reported for a range of song features, including song familiarity [4,5], complexity [6], temporal characteristics (e.g., long, fast songs [7]), and spectral characteristics (e.g., [8,9]). How these features interact and contribute to overall song preference is likely to be complex. A preference for one song characteristic may overwhelm a preference for another, or multiple features may be evaluated and weighted independently [10]. Furthermore, preferences and weighting may vary among species and even among individuals.

Across species, two song features that often receive attention are song familiarity and song complexity. Song familiarity can provide females with information on mate compatibility at multiple scales, each providing different information to females. At the broadest scale, song familiarity can indicate individuals from the same (sub)species [11]. Within species, familiar songs may help to identify males from the same population that may be locally adapted [12] or have learned to exploit the local environment [13,14]. In line with this, females often show song preferences based on subspecies or macrogeographic population dialects, preferring songs from the subspecies or dialect they were exposed to early in life [4,11]. One mechanism by which these preferences could arise is sexual imprinting, where characteristics of the parental song phenotype are learned and used as a model for later preferences [15]. Due to imprinting, females may have finer scale preferences within populations, for example, for their fathers' songs or for songs that closely resemble those of their fathers. In zebra finches, preference for fathers' songs is often reported, and there is some evidence that birds can generalize this preference to other similar songs within the population [16–19]. However, evidence for song preferences and generalization at this level remains limited in other species (e.g., [5]).

Complex songs, on the other hand, could signal male quality as opposed to compatibility. Complex songs may incur neural costs during development [1]. This link between song complexity and quality is supported by the negative influence of developmental stress (e.g., nutritional stress, parasite load) on song complexity in some species [20–22]. Complex songs may also indicate 'good genes' which can be passed on to offspring. In sedge warblers (*Acrocephalus schoenobaenus*), post-fledging survival of young is correlated with the genetic father's but not the social father's repertoire size [23]. Due to the potential influences of early-life environment and genetics, complexity is likely to be an honest indicator of male quality. Accordingly, preference for song complexity has been reported in

73   some species [23,24], although this has often been studied without controlling for other song
74   features.
75
To understand which features are most important in shaping female preferences, researchers must
study the roles of multiple factors concurrently. We examined the roles of familiarity and complexity
in song preferences using the Java sparrow (*Padda oryzivora*) as a model species. Each male Java
sparrow learns to produce a single song during a critical period early in life. This song is used only in
courtship [25], which also includes co-ordinated duet dancing in this species [26]. We used song
playback trials to examine female preferences, which allowed us to test song preference
independently of other male traits [27]. To determine females' preference for familiar songs, we
measured females' responses to their fathers' songs, and to songs similar to their fathers' songs,
compared with unfamiliar songs. We expected that females would prefer their fathers' songs, as
predicted by sexual imprinting, and that females would be able to generalize this preference to the
songs of other males that match their fathers' song types, which would be important for avoiding
close inbreeding during mate choice. To examine preference for song complexity, we presented
females with songs that varied in note repertoire and song linearity [28]. If complex songs are
preferred, we expected that females would respond more strongly to songs with larger note
repertoires and higher structural complexity (lower linearity). To our knowledge this is the first
attempt to assess preferences for a song characteristic thought to indicate mate compatibility and
another thought to indicate mate quality in the same study.
93
94   # Methods
95
96   ### *Subjects*
97
98   Females were selected from a laboratory population of Java sparrows housed at Hokkaido University.
99   Birds were housed at a temperature of 25 ± 3 °C, humidity 30 − 60%, with a 12L:12D lighting
100   schedule. Birds were provided with seeds as food (a 3:1:1:1 mix of Japanese millet, fox tail millet,
101   millet, and canary seed), shell grit, fresh green vegetables, and water ad libitum. Birds were usually
102   housed in large single-sex cages (43 × 37 × 41 or 46.5 × 46.5 × 94 cm) with up to 20 individuals.
103
During breeding, birds were housed in family groups in cages (43 × 37 × 41 cm) that were visually
but not audially isolated from other birds. Nests were inspected regularly, and eggs were cross-
fostered when multiple nests with eggs were available. In Java sparrows, sons learn their songs from
their social fathers [29,30]. As a result, each social paternal line has a distinctive song type that can
be identified visually in spectrograms. For the purpose of this study, we assumed that a song was
"familiar" to an individual if it was produced by that individual's social father or by another bird in the
same social line.

In total, 17 cross-fostered females raised in the lab population took part in trials examining

preference for song familiarity. Twenty-four females took part in trials examining preference for song

complexity: 11 cross-fostered females raised in the lab population, 3 hand-reared females, and 10

females sourced from local breeders (and thus with unknown parental song types).

Note classification

Classification of notes was necessary to measure complexity and to assess similarity between familiar

songs used in the trials. Notes were classified within social lineages based on visual inspection of the

spectrograms (see Figures 1 and 2). All classifications were made by a single observer, but

classifications were repeatable between observers with >95% accuracy [29]. Because notes were

classified within lines, we could not assess the similarity of songs from different social lines. Note

characteristics are learned from social fathers, so the characteristics of note types can diverge among

lines, and classifying notes across lines requires using broader note categories [29]. These broader

note categories are too coarse to capture accurately the song complexity and similarity within lines.

Stimulus assignments

Familiarity trials

Three types of stimuli were created for use in familiarity preference tests: 'Father', 'Line', and

'Unfamiliar' (Figure 1). Stimuli were presented to females in the study in pairs (see 'Experimental

trials' below). The 'Father' stimulus for each female was made up of songs recorded from the female's

social father (henceforth referred to as 'father'). The 'Line' stimulus for each female was made up of

songs from a bird that had the same song type as the female's father, i.e., from the same social line.

Where fathers had been reared in the presence of subtutors, visual inspection was used to confirm

the father's social line. In all but one case, the father's (and therefore the female's) social line was

that of the father's main tutor (i.e., the father's social father). In one case, the female's father's song

closely resembled his subtutor's song, and so the father and female were assigned to the subtutor's

social line. Each female was assigned two unfamiliar stimuli to prevent habituation to a single

unfamiliar song type. Line and unfamiliar birds were selected at random for each female. Where

possible, clutchmates were avoided, but in two cases no other familiar birds were available. Analyses

were conducted with and without these trials included, and the removal of these two cases did not

qualitatively affect our results (Supplementary Material 1).

Figure 1: An example of stimuli presented to a single female in familiarity preference tests. The
'Father' and 'Line' stimuli have been marked to show equivalent note types. Because notes from
different lines are classified using different keys (i.e., different classification systems), notes in the
unfamiliar songs are not assigned to types relevant to familiar songs. Spectrograms were created
using Seewave [31] (sample rate = 44.1kHz, window length = 512, overlap = 90%).

Complexity

We used two measures of complexity to assess females' preference for complex songs; note
repertoire and song linearity. Note repertoire is a measure of note diversity, defined as the number of
unique note types used by a bird within their song, with a high note repertoire associated with
complexity. Linearity is a measure of structural complexity of a song, defined as the number of
unique note types divided by the number of unique note-to-note transitions, with low linearity

associated with high complexity [28]. Four types of stimuli were created for use in complexity
preference tests, 'High note repertoire', 'Low note repertoire', 'High linearity', and 'Low linearity',
which were presented in 'High' vs. 'Low' pairs for each measure. Individual males for each stimulus
type were selected for each social line from the available archival recordings. The differences
between 'High' and 'Low' in each category varied among social lines based on individual variability
and the availability of archival recordings. For each stimulus, females heard three different songs
from the same male (see Stimulus Construction). The repertoire and linearity of the stimulus was
computed as the average note repertoire and linearity across all three songs. Across all stimulus
pairs, the mean difference in repertoire was 2.31 notes and the mean difference in linearity was
0.062.
171
Each female was assigned a set of four stimuli from the same social line (example set in Figure 2).
This controls for possible differences in attractiveness between the song types of the different social
lines. Where females were reared by parents in the main population, they received a set of familiar
stimuli (i.e., all stimuli were from their father's social line). If females were hand-reared or were
reared outside of the main population (i.e., birds sourced from local breeders) they received a set of
unfamiliar stimuli from the same social line. Where females received familiar songs, songs from the
father or clutchmates were avoided where possible. However, as this could not always be avoided,
the level of relationship was accounted for during data analysis. For one female, only three familiar
stimuli were available, so one stimulus was repeated in each of the trial types, i.e., the low linearity
and low repertoire stimuli consisted of the same songs.
182
183 ***Stimulus construction***
184
Stimuli were constructed using available archival recordings from individuals in the lab population.
Archival recordings had 44.1 kHz sampling rate and 16-bit resolution and consisted of individuals bird
singing alone. Recordings were made using a digital sound recorder (Marantz PMD 661, Zoom Q3HD,
TASCAM DR-100 MKIII). Recordings were high-pass filtered at 375 Hz in Raven Pro (1.6.3) to remove
background noise. Recordings from all four of the birds from one of the social lines had been pre-
processed with a high pass filter of 1 kHz. We do not expect this to have an effect on preference
tests; a high pass filter of 1 kHz is unlikely to have removed any important song features, and the
closely related zebra finch (as well as other songbirds) are reported to have a narrow hearing range
with highest sensitivity between 1-6 kHz [32].
194

Figure 2: An example of stimuli presented to a single female during complexity preference tests. Stimuli have been marked to show equivalent note types. Spectrograms were created using Seewave [31] (sample rate = 44.1kHz, window length = 512, overlap = 90%).

For each stimulus, three songs from a single male were selected. The three songs were repeated at a constant rate (one song every 10s) for two minutes, alternating among songs. As such, a full stimulus contained 12 songs in the pattern ABCABCABCABC. Many of the archival songs had been recorded with recorders using stop start direction (so only significant noise events were recorded), or, had been segmented into individual songs prior to storage. As such, we did not have information on the natural song rates of individuals in our dataset, and there is little published information on song rates in this species. Since song rate can influence preference [7,33] we chose to control for song rate during playback. The chosen rate may differ from natural song rates, and natural song rates are likely

to differ among individuals and social contexts, as in other species (e.g., zebra finch [34–36]). Due to

our methods of stimulus construction, the total time occupied by songs differed among stimuli, as

individuals had differing song lengths, which was accounted for in analyses (see Data Analysis).

Across all stimuli, amplitude was equalized by matching peak amplitude between stimuli, as song

amplitude may influence preference [37].

Experimental trials

Familiarity

There were two types of trials during the familiarity preference tests, Father vs. Unfamiliar and Line

vs. Unfamiliar, with each pairing using a different unfamiliar stimulus. For two birds, recordings of the

father's song were not available, so two Line vs. Unfamiliar stimulus pairs were presented, with a

different familiar and unfamiliar bird in each pair.

Females completed four trials during the experiment, with each trial taking place on a different day.

Each trial type was completed twice, with the order of presentation reversed in each repeat (Figure

3). The order of trials was counterbalanced among birds. Prior to each trial, females in individual

cages were placed in a soundproof chamber and allowed to habituate to the environment overnight.

At the start of each trial, following preparation of equipment, females completed a short habituation

period (5 minutes). After this, females were presented with four stimuli (2 minutes each), alternating

between familiar and unfamiliar, with a 30 second gap between each stimulus presentation (Figure

3). Each trial lasted 10 minutes. One of the 17 birds was removed from the study after completing a

single trial. In total, 65 trials (4 trials for each of 16 birds plus one trial for one bird) were recorded

for analysis.

Figure 3: Example experimental set up for a female completing the familiarity preference tests.

Complexity

Complexity preference tests also comprised two trial types, 'high' vs. 'low' note repertoire and 'high'

vs. 'low' linearity. As with the familiarity preference tests, females completed four trials during the

experiment and each trial took place on a different day. Each trial type was completed twice with the

order of presentation reversed in each repeat (Figure 4), and the order of trials was counterbalanced

among females. Trial presentation was identical to that for the familiarity preference tests, with a

short habituation period (5 minutes) followed by the presentation of stimuli. Based on females'

responses in the familiarity preference tests, overnight habituation was not deemed necessary, as

birds habituated quickly to the soundproof chamber. In total, 96 trials were recorded for analysis.

One trial could not be analysed due to an equipment malfunction, so data was extracted from 95

trials.

Figure 4: Example experimental set up for a female completing the complexity preference tests.

Behavioural data

The extraction of behavioural data was the same for both familiarity preference and complexity

preference tests. Information on behavioural responses to stimuli was extracted from video footage

taken during experimental trials. We examined four behaviours that are performed in a range of

social situations by Java sparrows: calls, hops, bill wiping and fluffing. These behaviours were chosen

to cover a wide range of social responses, including behaviours used in courtship displays, as these

may indicate mate preference. In Bengalese finches, calls were a reliable indicator of preference,

showing similar patterns to copulation solicitation displays [10]. In Java sparrows, both hopping and

bill wiping are associated with courtship dancing [26], so may indicate mate preference. In zebra

finches, fluffing behaviour is associated with mate preference and is performed in response to

complex, attractive songs [6]. Juveniles experiencing more fluffing behaviour in response to songs

also developed more accurate songs [38,39].

Instances of each behaviour were counted for each stimulus presentation (i.e., each 2-minute block
of song playback), and these values were used in the analysis presented in the main text. Motivations
behind behaviours performed during the silent gaps may be hard to interpret. However, responses in
the silent gaps were correlated with the number of responses in the preceding stimulus presentation
for most behaviours examined. The inclusion of behaviours performed in the silent intervals did not
qualitatively affect our results (Supplementary Material 2).
275
276 **Data analysis**
277
All analyses were conducted in R (ver. 4.1.3) [40]. Within each set of tests (familiarity preference
tests and complexity preference tests), we conducted separate tests for each of calls, hops, bill
wiping and fluffing. Due to the number of non-responses from females, it was possible that our data
were zero-inflated. We initially fit Poisson regressions to the data and tested for zero-inflation using
the performance package in R [41]. Where likely zero-inflation was detected, we re-ran the models
including a zero-inflation term. As a result, some behavioural responses were analysed with
generalized linear mixed effect models with Poisson error distribution using the lme4 and lmerTest
packages [42,43], and others were analysed using zero-inflated Poisson models using the glmmTMB
package [44]. Models for familiarity and complexity preference tests were broadly similar, but differed
in some predictors, as outlined below.
288
289 *Familiarity*
290
For each model, the behaviour of interest was used as the response variable. We included the type of
stimulus (familiar or unfamiliar), the type of trial (Father vs. Unfamiliar or Line vs. Unfamiliar), the
order of the stimulus within the trial (played 1st, 2nd, 3rd or 4th), and the order of the trial within the
experimental period (1st trial, 2nd trial, 3rd trial or 4th trial) as fixed effects in the model. The order of
the stimulus was included to account for habituation within the 10-minute trial. The order of the trial
was included to account for habituation over the course of the overall experiment. We included the
average song duration (start of first note to end of last note (s)) for each stimulus. This accounts for
the differences in song length between individuals, as song duration may affect females' responses
[45,46]. We also included the interaction between the type of stimulus and the type of trial to
determine if the difference in response to familiar songs was different in Father vs. Unfamiliar or Line
vs. Unfamiliar trials. We included the female ID, the ID of the male that produced the stimulus song
and female ID x male ID as random effects in the models. Where used (calling and hopping
behaviour), the zero-inflation formula included an intercept and a random effect of the individual
female. This accounted for the fact that females may not respond during the presentation of a
stimulus during the trial, and that the likelihood of not responding differed among females. Zero

inflation models with additional fixed (familiar vs. unfamiliar, test type) and random (male ID, female
ID x male ID) effects did not improve model fit or alter qualitative results.

Complexity

As with familiarity preference tests, the behaviour of interest was used as the response variable. We
included the average note repertoire and average linearity of the stimulus as fixed effects. Complexity
measures were included as numerical values, rather than 'high' or 'low'. This is because the difference
between the 'high' and 'low' values differed depending on the social line from which the stimuli were
selected. As with the familiarity preference tests, we included the order of the stimulus within the trial
and the order of the trial within the experimental period as fixed effects to account for habituation at
different scales. We also included fixed effects of stimulus familiarity (familiar vs. unfamiliar) and of
relatedness (father, clutchmate, or unrelated), as birds may respond differently to familiar stimuli or
stimuli from close relatives compared to unfamiliar or unrelated stimuli. As with familiarity, we
included the average song duration for each stimulus. As hand-reared birds had less experience with
adult song models, their responses and preferences may be different to parent reared birds. To
account for this, hand-rearing status was included as a fixed effect in the models. We included the
same random effects as in the familiarity models: female ID, the ID of the male that produced the
stimulus song and female ID x male ID. Where used (calling, hopping, and bill wiping behaviour), the
zero-inflation formula included an intercept, fixed effects of repertoire and linearity, and random
effects of female ID, the ID of the male producing the stimulus song, and female ID x male ID.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by The University of Manchester Animal Welfare and Ethics in Research
Board (Permit Number D0062) and Hokkaido University (Permit Numbers 16-0020 and 22-0052).

Data availability

Data and code associated with this study can be found on Figshare:
https://doi.org/10.48420/25142780.v1.

Results

Familiarity

Overall, we found evidence that Java sparrow females responded more strongly to their fathers'
songs than to unfamiliar songs (Table 1, Figure 5). Significantly more calls, hops and fluffs were
performed during playback of fathers' songs. The number of bill wipes followed the same pattern but

did not reach marginal significance. Females did not show significantly stronger responses to familiar

'Line' songs than to unfamiliar songs.

Females performed more calls and fluffs in response to longer songs (Table 1). Patterns were similar

for bill wiping behaviour but did not reach marginal significance (Table 1).

Birds responded with less calling, hopping, and fluffing behaviour to stimuli presented later in trials

(Table 1), suggesting habituation over the course of 10-minute trials. Changes in behaviour over the

course of the whole experiment were less clear-cut (Table 1). Whilst there was a decrease in hopping

behaviour across experimental trials, this was not the case for other behaviours. We found no

significant differences in fluffing behaviour across the course of the experiment. For calling and bill-

wiping behaviour, we found evidence for an acceleration effect; birds showed greater responses in

later trials. This may suggest a change in preferred response type over the course of the experiment.

Figure 5: Behavioural responses from females to familiar and unfamiliar songs in Father vs. Unfamiliar

and Line vs. Unfamiliar trials: A) calls B) hops C) bill wiping D) fluffing. Number of responses is

averaged across stimuli/trials of the same type. Transparent lines represent individual females'

responses. Bold red lines indicate the average response across individuals within the trial type.

Significant differences are indicated by solid lines, nonsignificant differences are indicated by dashed

lines.

Table 1: Results of mixed effect models for behavioural responses to song familiarity. Estimates

show the effect of the predictor on the logged number of responses.

	Estimate	p-value	Direction of effect
Number of Calls			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	**0.849**	**0.003**	**Greater response to father's songs**
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.239	0.316	N.S
Test Order	**0.177**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus order	**-0.217**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Average Duration	**0.329**	**0.008**	**Greater response longer songs**
Number of Hops			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	**1.335**	**<0.001**	**Greater response to father's songs**
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.4787	0.121	N.S
Test Order	**-0.107**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Stimulus order	**-0.089**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Average Duration	-0.038	0.714	N.S.
Number of Bill Wipes			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	0.332	0.641	N.S.
Line vs. Unfamiliar	-0.518	0.355	N.S
Test Order	**0.348**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus order	0.089	0.310	N.S.
Average Duration	0.123	0.503	N.S.
Number of Fluffs			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	0.764	0.088	N.S. (Direction of greater response to father's songs
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.072	0.854	N.S
Test Order	0.012	0.922	N.S.
Stimulus order	**-0.275**	**0.019**	**Habituation effect**
Average Duration	**0.250**	**0.024**	**Greater response to longer songs**

Complexity

Overall, Java sparrow females preferred songs with larger note repertoires (Table 2; Figure 6).

Females were more likely to call (B=0.4526, p=0.032) and produced more fluffing behaviour

(B=0.140, p=0.043) when stimuli included more note types. The numbers of hops and bill wipes were

directionally similar but did not reach marginal significance. The effect of linearity was less

pronounced (Table 2, Figure 7). Females were less likely to call in response to more linear (i.e.,

simpler) songs (B=-15.4877, p=0.026), but linearity did not predict the frequency of any other

response type. Females called more in response to songs with longer average durations, but

significant patterns were not apparent for other behavioural responses.

As in the familiarity tests, birds habituated to stimulus presentation within 10-minute trials (Table 2).

There was a significant decrease in calling, hopping and bill wiping behaviour over time within trials,

although there was no change in fluffing behaviour. Across trials, we found evidence for an

acceleration effect for all behaviours. Birds responded more in trials later in the experiment (Table 2).

Figure 6: Behavioural responses from females to stimuli in complexity preference tests compared to

stimulus repertoire: A) calls B) hops C) bill wiping D) fluffing. Coloured points represent individual

females' responses to stimuli. Bold red lines show the model predictions for each behaviour,

significant results are represented by solid lines, nonsignificant results are represented by dashed

lines.

We found no clear evidence for an effect of familiarity or relatedness on responses during complexity

tests. For some behaviours, birds responded differently to related compared to unrelated songs, but

patterns were not consistent across tests. For most behaviours, hand-reared birds did not significantly

differ from parent-reared birds in their responses. However, hand-reared individuals produced

significantly fewer calls over the course of the experiment (Table 2).

Figure 7: Behavioural responses from females to stimuli in complexity preference tests compared to

stimulus linearity: A) calls B) hops C) bill wiping D) fluffing. Coloured points represent individual

female's responses to stimuli. Bold red lines show the model predictions for each behaviour,

significant results are represented by solid lines, nonsignificant results are represented by dashed

lines.

422 **Table 2**: Results of mixed effect models for behavioural responses to song complexity. Estimates in

423 the top (or only) row of each cell show the effect of the predictor on the logged number of

424 responses. Estimates in the bottom row show the effect of the predictor on the probability of

425 response (vs no response at all). There was no evidence of zero inflation in the model for Fluffs, so

426 no effects on probability of response are reported.

	Estimate	p-value	Direction of effect
Number of Calls			
Note Repertoire	0.099 **-0.453**	0.114 **0.032**	**Greater response with increasing repertoire**
Song Linearity	1.936 **-15.49**	0.265 **0.026**	**Less response with increasing linearity (i.e., decreasing complexity)**
Test Order	**0.073**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus Order	**-0.179**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Hand-reared	**-1.52**	**0.015**	**Less response from hand-reared females**
Average duration	**0.136**	**0.025**	**Greater response to stimuli with longer songs**
Number of Hops			
Repertoire	0.050 0.126	0.532 0.222	N.S.
Linearity	3.147 -5.661	0.154 0.076	N.S. (conflicting trends for probability and magnitude of response)
Test Order	**0.164**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus Order	**-0.064**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Hand-reared	0.560	0.574	N.S.
Average duration	-0.029	0.717	N.S.
Number of Bill Wipes			
Repertoire	-0.003 -0.095	0.972 0.444	N.S.
Linearity	1.021 -3.332	0.620 0.387	N.S.
Test Order	**0.306**	**0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus Order	**-0.124**	**0.035**	**Habituation effect**
Hand-reared	-0.218	0.893	N.S.
Average duration	-0.054	0.560	N.S.
Number of Fluffs			
Repertoire	**0.140**	**0.043**	**Greater response with increasing repertoire**
Linearity	0.533	0.783	N.S.
Test Order	**0.167**	**0.022**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus Order	-0.033	0.620	N.S.
Hand-reared	0.010	0.987	N.S.
Average duration	0.050	0.475	N.S.

Discussion

Java sparrow females showed preferences for both familiarity and complexity in male songs. Females

preferred their fathers' songs over unfamiliar songs. Females also preferred songs with higher note

repertoires, and showed a less pronounced preference for structural complexity measured as linearity.

Overall, females preferred songs with longer durations. Familiarity may be a signal of genetic or social

compatibility, while complexity and duration are more likely to be signals of overall male quality.
Thus, the characteristics that females used to evaluate songs in our experiments may offer different
information about the males that produced those songs.
437
Preferences for fathers' songs are found in other, closely-related species [5,47–49] and have been
reported in studies using operant tasks [47,49] and in those measuring behavioural responses,
including copulation solicitation displays [5,48]. Although songs can be used in a variety of social
contexts, including social cohesion in estrildid finches [50], songs are a key part of courtship displays
in Java sparrows [51], and the behaviours observed are linked to courtship and sexual preferences in
this and other estrildid finch species [6,10,26]. Whilst we did not find a significant preference for non-
father familiar songs, this does not necessarily mean that Java sparrow females are unable to
generalize their preferences. Birds from different social lineages in our study population were not
audially isolated either during early development or later in life, so song types from different lineages
may not have been completely unfamiliar to females. New work is needed to determine if Java
sparrows can distinguish between familiar songs and conspecific songs from different populations. For
most behaviours measured in our study, the direction of effect was towards a stronger response to
familiar non-father songs compared to unfamiliar songs, so we cannot rule out that females weakly
prefer songs from their own social lineage. Our experiments juxtaposed fathers' and unfamiliar songs
in the same trials, and line mates' and unfamiliar songs in the same trials, but did not directly
juxtapose fathers' and line mates' songs in the same trials. Thus, we have limited power to compare
preferences for fathers' and line mates' songs directly.
455
Java sparrow females showed preferences based on song complexity. They responded more
frequently and with greater magnitude to songs with higher note repertoires. Preferences for large
note repertoires has been reported in other estrildid finch species. In zebra finches, songs with larger
syllable repertoires were preferred in tests using both phonotaxis and operant tasks [52]. A similar
preference for larger repertoires was found in another study examining behavioural responses to
stimulus playback across females with different early acoustic experiences [6]. Although there was no
effect of song linearity on the number of calls they produced, females were more likely to call in
response to more syntactically complex songs. In other estrildid species, there is some evidence for
song preferences relating to syntactical complexity. Bengalese finch females stimulated with
syntactically complex songs carried more nesting material than those stimulated with simple songs
[53]. In an operant task, four of eight females preferred complex songs, suggesting that syntactical
complexity may play a role in shaping female preferences [54]. However, there was clear inter-
individual variation, with half of the females taking part showing no or reversed song preference. In a
meta-analysis of the effects of song complexity on male reproductive success, song versatility, which
is associated with structural complexity, was less correlated with reproductive success than song

repertoire [55], suggesting that structural complexity may be a less important than repertoire in
shaping mate choice.

We did not systematically juxtapose short and long duration songs in our experiments, but we found
evidence in both our familiarity and complexity trials that Java sparrow females prefer longer duration
songs. Preferences based on song duration have been reported in a number of passerine species [7].
Song duration could provide information on current male quality to females. For example, in barn
swallows (*Hirundo rustica*), song duration was negatively correlated with parasite load and males with
longer songs had higher pairing success, suggesting that females may use duration to identify
parasite free males [56]. In addition, songs require energetic costs for production, so a greater
proportion of time spent singing (i.e., higher song density) may indicate higher quality males [1,57].
Song density can be achieved in two ways: increasing song duration or increasing song rate. In this
study, song rate was held constant across stimuli, so longer song durations would have increased the
song density in the stimulus. From our findings, it is not possible to determine if females preferred
long songs specifically or simply responded more to increased song density. In white-throated
sparrows (*Zonotrichia albicollis*), females preferred longer songs compared to shorter songs when
presented at the same rate, but when stimuli were controlled for number of notes per minute,
females did not show a significant preference for longer over shorter songs [58]. Specific preferences
for song duration, rate, and output in Java sparrows could be examined through paired stimuli to
disentangle the influence of song duration and song density on female preference.

Female song preferences can have implications for male reproductive success, and may result in
changes in songs over time or contribute to assortative mating within or between populations.
Preferences for familiar songs in a mate choice context can assist in the correct choosing of
subspecies or local populations [59]. Strong preferences for familiar songs, and in particular songs
similar to those of the father, may contribute to the formation of pre-mating reproductive barriers
[60], or contribute to inbreeding through the promotion of assortative mating based on social
(genetic) background [61]. Assortative mating based on familiarity could also negatively affect
population management strategies that bring birds from multiple populations together, for example,
during conservation breeding programmes [62]. Preference for song complexity during mate choice
may result in improved reproductive success for males with complex songs [55]. This may drive
changes in song complexity over time due to sexual selection followed by song learning in offspring.
In Bengalese finches, it has been suggested that a release from predation pressure, coupled with a
female preference for complex songs, has driven increases in song complexity during the
domestication process [53].

Mate choice in natural situations may not always reflect preference in controlled settings. Other
factors, such as additional song parameters [7,63] and male traits [64] may influence pairing.

However, there is evidence that preference for familiar or complex songs may affect real-world
pairings. In zebra finches, females preferentially paired with males whose song matched their
population of rearing and that more closely resembled songs of their adolescent peers in semi-natural
conditions [18]. Our results show that Java sparrows, like zebra finches, show preferences for familiar
songs, so it is possible that similar patterns of mate choice could occur. For song complexity,
although a meta-analysis revealed a small but significant link between song complexity and male
reproductive success, the effect of complexity was reduced when considering genetic reproductive
success (i.e., the number of genetically related offspring produced), rather than social measures
(e.g., number of females acquired, number of offspring produced within a pair) [55]. This suggests
that, in natural settings, females may show different song preferences for social mates and extra-pair
mating, making it difficult to predict the real-world effect of preferences measured in controlled
conditions. Different preferences for social and genetic mates may also have implications for
evolution: reproductive success will affect genetic change over time, but where songs are socially
inherited, success in rearing social offspring is more relevant to song evolution. Java sparrow females'
preferences for song complexity may result in males with larger note repertoires having greater
reproductive success, and may result in sexual selection for complex songs over time. Even if females
do not show a preference when pairing, investment in breeding may be reduced with non-preferred
males. In Bengalese finches, females produced heavier eggs and showed a trend towards male-
biased broods when their mate had a longer song duration [65]. Across tests, female Java sparrows
varied in their preference and strength of responses. Therefore, individual differences may also
contribute to mate choice and investment in real-world scenarios. Further investigation of mate
choice in natural conditions is necessary to understand the implications of female preference for
assortative mating and sexual selection, and whether this could contribute to pre-mating barriers
between populations or drive vocal change across generations.
533
In summary, Java sparrow females showed clear preferences for specific song characteristics across
the experimental tests. This suggests that overall song preference in this species is likely to be multi-
faceted, with multiple song features contributing different information about the singers. In this
study, we identified song familiarity, complexity, and song duration as predictors of female
preference. However, other song features not tested here may also contribute to females' overall
preferences. As natural songs vary on multiple axes, and these axes may covary, it can be difficult to
determine which specific features are more strongly preferred. Artificial song stimuli that differ in only
one characteristic at a time may be useful in disentangling female preferences for specific aspects of
song and allow us to build a more comprehensive overview of female preference as a whole.
543

Author contributions

546　RL and MS conceptualized the study and were responsible for the experimental design. Data

547　collection and extraction of behavioural data from videos was carried out by HM and RL. Data analysis

548　was conducted by RL, MS, and TG. The initial draft of the manuscript was written by RL, with RL, MS,

549　and TG contributing to reviewing and editing. MS and TG provided supervision throughout the

550　project.

Acknowledgements

RL's work on this project was funded by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) EAO

Doctoral Training Partnership (grant NE/L002469/1) in partnership with Chester Zoo, UK. RL also

received funding through the JSPS Summer Programme. This project also received funding from the

Daiwa Foundation for Anglo-Japanese Exchange and JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (grant

23680027 and 16H06177). We thank Nagisa Matsuda, Ken Otsuka, Hiroko Kagawa, and Nao Ota for

archival song recordings.

References

1.　Gil D, Gahr M. 2002 The honesty of bird song: Multiple constraints for multiple traits. *Trends*

Ecol. Evol. **17**, 133–141. (doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02410-2)

2.　Searcy WA, Andersson M. 1986 Sexual selection and the evolution of song. *Annu. Rev. Ecol.*

Syst. **17**, 507-533 (only 507–515 in package).

3.　Puurtinen M, Ketola T, Kotiaho J. 2009 The Good-Genes and Compatible-Genes Benefits of

Mate Choice. *Am. Nat.* **174**, 741–752.

4.　Hernandez AM, Pfaff JA, MacDougall-Shackleton EA, MacDougall-Shackleton SA. 2009 The

Development of geographic song preferences in female song sparrows melospiza melodia.

Ethology **115**, 513–521. (doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.2009.01642.x)

5.　Fujii TG, Okanoya K. 2022 Auditory and sexual preferences for a father's song can co-emerge

in female Bengalese finches. *PLoS One* **17**, 1–14. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0254302)

6.　Vyas A, Harding C, Borg L, Bogdan D. 2009 Acoustic characteristics, early experience, and

endocrine status interact to modulate female zebra finches' behavioral responses to songs.

Horm. Behav. **55**, 50–59. (doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2008.08.005)

7.　Nolan PM, Hill GE. 2004 Female choice for song characteristics in the house finch. *Anim.*

Behav. **67**, 403–410. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.03.018)

8.　Woolley SC, Doupe AJ. 2008 Social context-induced song variation affects female behavior and

gene expression. *PLoS Biol.* **6**, 0525–0537. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060062)

9.　Tomaszycki ML, Adkins-Regan E. 2005 Experimental alteration of male song quality and output

affects female mate choice and pair bond formation in zebra finches. *Anim. Behav.* **70**, 785–

794. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.01.010)

10.   Dunning JL, Pant S, Bass A, Coburn Z, Prather JF. 2014 Mate choice in adult female Bengalese
583   finches: Females express consistent preferences for individual males and prefer female-
584   directed song performances. *PLoS One* **9**. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089438)

585   11.   Clayton NS. 1990 Assortative mating in zebra finch subspecies, Taeniopygia guttata guttata
586   and T. g. castanotis. *Philos. Trans. Biol. Sci.* **330**, 351–370.

587   12.   Podos J, Warren PS. 2007 The Evolution of Geographic Variation in Birdsong. *Adv. Study*
588   *Behav.* **37**, 403–444. (doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004)

589   13.   Slagsvold T, Wiebe KL. 2018 Immigrants and locally recruited birds differ in prey delivered to
590   their offspring in blue tits and great tits. *Anim. Behav.* **139**, 127–135.

591   14.   Wiebe KL. 2020 Local recruitment in Northern Flickers is related to environmental factors at
592   multiple scales and provides reproductive benefits to yearling breeders settling close to home.
593   *Auk* **137**, 1–10. (doi:10.1093/auk/ukaa007)

594   15.   ten Cate C, Vos DR. 1999 Sexual Imprinting and Evolutionary Processes in Birds: A
595   Reassessment. *Adv. Study Behav.* **28**.

596   16.   Miller DB. 1979 Long-term recognition of father's song by female Zebra finches. *Nature* **280**,
597   389–391.

598   17.   Clayton NS. 1988 Song discrimination learning in zebra finches. *Anim. Behav.* **36**, 1016–1024.
599   (doi:10.1016/S0003-3472(88)80061-7)

600   18.   Wang D *et al.* 2022 Machine learning reveals cryptic dialects that explain mate choice in a
601   songbird. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1–12. (doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28881-w)

602   19.   Wei J, Liu Q, Riebel K. 2022 Generalisation of early learned tutor song preferences in female
603   zebra finches (Taeniopygia guttata).

604   20.   Soma M, Takahasi M, Ikebuchi M, Yamada H, Suzuki M, Hasegawa T, Okanoya K. 2006 Early
605   rearing conditions affect the development of body size and song in Bengalese finches.
606   *Ethology* **112**, 1071–1078. (doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.2006.01263.x)

607   21.   Zann R, Cash E. 2008 Developmental stress impairs song complexity but not learning accuracy
608   in non-domesticated zebra finches (Taeniopygia guttata). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **62**, 391–400.
609   (doi:10.1007/s00265-007-0467-2)

610   22.   Garamszegi LZ. 2005 Bird song and parasites. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **59**, 167–180.
611   (doi:10.1007/s00265-005-0041-8)

612   23.   Hasselquist D, Bensch S, von Schantz T. 1996 Correlation between male song repertoire,
613   extra-pair paternity and offspring survival in the great reed warbler. *Nature* **381**, 229–232.

614   24.   Leitão A, ten Cate C, Riebel K. 2006 Within-song complexity in a songbird is meaningful to
615   both male and female receivers. *Anim. Behav.* **71**, 1289–1296.
616   (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2005.08.008)

617   25.   Ota N, Soma M. 2014 Age-dependent song changes in a closed-ended vocal learner: Elevation
618   of song performance after song crystallization. *J. Avian Biol.* **45**, 566–573.
619   (doi:10.1111/jav.00383)

26.   Soma M, Iwama M. 2017 Mating success follows duet dancing in the Java sparrow. *PLoS One*
12, 1–13. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172655)
27.   Fujii TG, Coulter A, Lawley KS, Prather JF, Okanoya K. 2022 Song Preference in Female and
Juvenile Songbirds: Proximate and Ultimate Questions. *Front. Physiol.* **13**, 1–18.
(doi:10.3389/fphys.2022.876205)
28.   Scharff C, Nottebohm F. 1991 A comparative study of the behavioral deficits following lesions
of various parts of the zebra finch song system: Implications for vocal learning. *J. Neurosci.*
11, 2896–2913. (doi:10.1523/jneurosci.11-09-02896.1991)
29.   Lewis RN, Soma M, de Kort SR, Gilman RT. 2021 Like Father Like Son: Cultural and Genetic
Contributions to Song Inheritance in an Estrildid Finch. *Front. Psychol.* **12**.
(doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.654198)
30.   Lewis RN, Kwong A, Soma M, de Kort SR, Gilman RT. 2023 Inheritance of temporal song
features in Java sparrows. *Anim. Behav.* **206**, 61–74. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2023.09.012)
31.   Sueur J, Aubin T, Simonis C. 2008 Seewave, a free modular tool for sound analysis and
synthesis. *Bioacoustics* **18**, 213–226. (doi:10.1080/09524622.2008.9753600)
32.   Hashino E, Okanoya K. 1989 Auditory sensitivity in the zebra finch (Poephila guttata
castanotis) PACS number: 43.80.Lb, 43.66.Gf. *J. Acoust. Soc. Japan (English Transl. Nippon*
Onkyo Gakkaishi) **10**, 51–52. (doi:10.1250/ast.10.51)
33.   Forstmeier W. 2004 Female resistance to male seduction in zebra finches. *Anim. Behav.* **68**,
1005–1015. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.02.003)
34.   Dunn AM, Zann RA. 1996 Undirected song in wild zebra finch flocks: Contexts and effects of
mate removal. *Ethology* **102**, 529–539. (doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.1996.tb01145.x)
35.   Naguib M, Heim C, Gil D. 2008 Early developmental conditions and male attractiveness in
zebra finches. *Ethology* **114**, 255–261. (doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.2007.01466.x)
36.   Jesse F, Riebel K. 2012 Social facilitation of male song by male and female conspecifics in the
zebra finch, Taeniopygia guttata. *Behav. Processes* **91**, 262–266.
(doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2012.09.006)
37.   Ritschard M, Riebel K, Brumm H. 2010 Female zebra finches prefer high-amplitude song.
Anim. Behav. **79**, 877–883. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.12.038)
38.   Carouso-Peck S, Goldstein MH. 2019 Female Social Feedback Reveals Non-imitative
Mechanisms of Vocal Learning in Zebra Finches. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, 631-636.e3.
(doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.12.026)
39.   Carouso-Peck S, Menyhart O, DeVoogd TJ, Goldstein MH. 2020 Contingent parental responses
are naturally associated with zebra finch song learning. *Anim. Behav.* **165**, 123–132.
(doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2020.04.019)
40.   R Core Team. 2022 R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
41.   Ludecke D, Ben-Shahar MS, Patil I, Waggoner P, Makowski D. 2021 performance: An R
Package for Assessment, Comparison and Testing of Statistical Models. *J. Open Source Softw.*

6, 3139.

42.   Kuznetsovs A, Brockhoff P, Christensen R. 2017 lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed
660   Effects Models. *J. Stat. Softw.* **82**, 1–26.

661   43.   Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. 2015 Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
662   lme4. *J. Stat. Softw.* **67**. (doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01)

663   44.   Brooks M, Kristensen K, van Benthem K, Magnusson A, Berg C, Nielsen A, Skaug H, Maechler
664   M, Bolker B. 2017 glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packacjes for Zero-inflated
665   Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. *R J.* **9**, 378–400.

666   45.   Clayton N, Pröve E. 1989 Song discrimination in female zebra finches and bengalese finches.
667   *Anim. Behav.* **38**, 352–354. (doi:10.1016/S0003-3472(89)80096-X)

668   46.   Neubauer R. 1999 Super-normal length song preferences of female zebra finches (Taeniopygia
669   guttata) and a theory of the evolution in bird song. *Evol. Ecol.* **13**, 365–380.

670   47.   Kato Y, Hasegawa T, Okanoya K. 2010 Song preference of female Bengalese finches as
671   measured by operant conditioning. *J. Ethol.* **28**, 447–453. (doi:10.1007/s10164-010-0203-7)

672   48.   Fujii TG, Ikebuchi M, Okanoya K. 2021 Sex differences in the development and expression of a
673   preference for familiar vocal signals in songbirds. *PLoS One* **16**, 1–18.
674   (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0243811)

675   49.   Riebel K. 2000 Early exposure leads to repeatable preferences for male song in female zebra
676   finches Early exposure leads to repeatable preferences for male song in female zebra nches.
677   *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **267**, 2553–2558. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1320)

678   50.   Loning H, Verkade L, Griffith SC, Naguib M. 2023 The social role of song in wild zebra finches.
679   *Curr. Biol.* **33**, 372-380.e3. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2022.11.047)

680   51.   Soma M, Mori C. 2015 The songbird as a percussionist: Syntactic rules for non-vocal sound
681   and song production in Java sparrows. *PLoS One* **10**, 1–10.
682   (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124876)

683   52.   Holveck M, Riebel K. 2007 Preferred songs predict preferred males : consistency and
684   repeatability of zebra finch females across three test contexts. , 297–309.
685   (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.08.016)

686   53.   Okanoya K. 2012 Behavioural Factors Governing Song Complexity in Bengalese Finches. *Int. J.*
687   *Comp. Psychol.* **25**, 44–59.

688   54.   Morisaka T, Katahira K, Okanoya K. 2008 Variability in preference for conspecific songs with
689   syntactical complexity in female Bengalese Finches: towards an understanding of song
690   evolution. *Ornithol. Sci.* **7**, 75–84. (doi:10.2326/1347-0558(2008)7[75:vipfcs]2.0.co;2)

691   55.   Soma M, Garamszegi LZ. 2011 Rethinking birdsong evolution : meta-analysis of the
692   relationship between song complexity and reproductive success. *Behav. Ecol.* **22**, 363–371.
693   (doi:10.1093/beheco/arq219)

694   56.   Garamszegi LZ, Heylen D, Møller AP, Eens M, De Lope F. 2005 Age-dependent health status
695   and song characteristics in the barn swallow. *Behav. Ecol.* **16**, 580–591.

(doi:10.1093/beheco/ari029)

57. Nowicki S, Searcy WA. 2004 Song function and the evolution of female preferences: Why birds
sing, why brains matter. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1016**, 704–723. (doi:10.1196/annals.1298.012)

58. Wasserman FE, Cigliano JA. 1991 Song output and stimulation of the female in white-throated
sparrows. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **29**, 55–59.

59. Slabbekoorn H, Smith TB. 2002 Bird song, ecology and speciation. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B*
Biol. Sci. **357**, 493–503. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2001.1056)

60. Gilman RT, Kozak GM. 2015 Learning to speciate: The biased learning of mate preferences
promotes adaptive radiation. *Evolution (N. Y).* **69**, 3004–3012. (doi:10.1111/evo.12797)

61. Soma M. 2011 Social Factors in Song Learning: A Review of Estrildid Finch Research. *Ornithol.*
Sci. **10**, 89–100. (doi:10.2326/osj.10.89)

62. Lewis RN, Williams LJ, Gilman RT. 2021 The uses and implications of avian vocalizations for
conservation planning. *Conserv. Biol.* **35**, 50–63. (doi:10.1111/cobi.13465)

63. Dunning JL, Pant S, Murphy K, Prather JF. 2020 Female finches prefer courtship signals
indicating male vigor and neuromuscular ability. *PLoS One* **15**, 1–19.
(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0226580)

64. Hasegawa A, Soma M, Hasegawa T. 2011 Male traits and female choice in Java Sparrows:
Preference for large body size. *Ornithol. Sci.* **10**, 73–80. (doi:10.2326/osj.10.73)

65. Soma M, Okanoya K. 2013 Differential allocation in relation to mate song quality in the
Bengalese finch. *Behaviour* **150**, 1491–1508. (doi:10.1163/1568539X-00003106)

733 **Supplementary Material 1: Results of models for familiarity with trials involving clutch**

734 **mates (n=4) removed**

736 **Table S1.1**: Results of mixed effect models for behavioural responses to song familiarity using data

737 from stimulus presentation only. Estimates show the effect of the predictor on the logged number of

738 responses.

	Estimate	p-value	Direction of effect
Number of Calls*			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	0.456	0.390	N.S.
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.342	0.455	N.S
Test Order	**0.174**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus order	**-0.214**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Average Duration	**0.378**	**0.011**	**Greater response to stimuli with longer songs**
Number of Hops			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	**1.337**	**<0.001**	**Greater response to father's songs**
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.501	0.121	N.S.
Test Order	**-0.107**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Stimulus order	**-0.090**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Average duration	-0.036	0.731	N.S.
Number of Bill Wipes			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	0.333	0.634	N.S.
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.390	0.488	N.S.
Test Order	**0.352**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus order	0.088	0.313	N.S.
Average duration	0.125	0.491	N.S.
Number of Fluffs			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	0.764	0.086	N.S. (direction of greater response to father's songs
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.069	0.853	N.S.
Test Order	0.022	0.856	N.S.
Stimulus order	**-0.275**	**0.019**	**Habituation effect**
Average duration	**0.251**	**0.022**	**Greater response to stimuli with longer songs**

740 *Model uses a simplified zero inflation formula including an intercept for convergence

749 **Table S1.2**: Results of mixed effect models for behavioural responses to song familiarity using
750 combined data from stimulus presentation and adjacent silent gap. Estimates show the effect of the
751 predictor on the logged number of responses.
752

	Estimate	p-value	Direction of effect
Number of Calls*			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	0.457	0.390	N.S
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.267	0.608	N.S
Test Order	-0.260	0.608	N.S.
Stimulus order	**0.166**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Average Duration	**-0.221**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Number of Hops	**0.367**	**0.012**	**Greater response to stimuli with longer songs**
Father vs. Unfamiliar			
Line vs. Unfamiliar	**1.404**	**<0.001**	**Greater response to father's songs**
Test Order	0.463	0.152	N.S
Stimulus order	**0.604**	**0.073**	**Greater response to father's song**
Average duration	**-0.114**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Number of Bill Wipes	**-0.099**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Father vs. Unfamiliar	-0.049	0.637	N.S.
Line vs. Unfamiliar			
Test Order	0.422	0.530	N.S.
Stimulus order	0.376	0.632	N.S
Average duration	0.248	0.632	N.S
Number of Fluffs	**0.256**	**0.008**	**Acceleration effect**
Father vs. Unfamiliar	-0.033	0.699	N.S.
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.077	0.649	N.S.
Test Order			
Stimulus order	**0.773**	**0.043**	**Greater response to father's songs**
Average duration	-0.074	0.830	N.S.

753 *Model uses a simplified zero inflation formula including an intercept for convergence

Supplementary Information 2: Results of models using combined behavioural data from

stimulus presentation and the adjacent silent gap

The statistical models used to analyse the combined behavioural data from stimulus presentation and

the adjacent silent gap follow the same format as those described in the 'Data Analysis' section. For

bill wiping behaviour, zero-inflation was detected in the combined behavioural data, even though it

was not for behavioural data in the stimulus presentation, so a zero-inflation term was included in the

model. In some cases, models did not fully converge using the zero-inflation formation specified in

the 'Data Analysis' section of the paper. In these cases, an alternative zero inflation formula was

used, as indicated in results tables (Table A1.1, Table A1.2)

Table S2.1: Results of mixed effect models for behavioural responses to song familiarity using

combined data from stimulus presentation and adjacent silent gap. Estimates show the effect of the

predictor on the logged number of responses.

	Estimate	p-value	Direction of effect
Number of Calls*			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	0.481	0.351	N.S (direction of greater response to father's songs)
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.428	0.320	N.S
Test Order	**0.172**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus order	**-0.226**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Average Duration	**0.353**	**0.011**	**Greater response to stimuli with longer songs**
Number of Hops			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	1.409	<0.001	Greater response to father's songs
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.427	0.174	N.S
Test Order	**-0.115**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Stimulus order	**-0.098**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Average duration	-0.053	0.604	N.S.
Number of Bill Wipes			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	0.421	0.532	N.S.
Line vs. Unfamiliar	0.400	0.399	N.S.
Test Order	0.254	0.009	Acceleration effect
Stimulus order	-0.034	0.691	N.S.
Average duration	0.077	0.648	N.S.
Number of Fluffs			
Father vs. Unfamiliar	**0.770**	**0.044**	**Greater response to father's songs**
Line vs. Unfamiliar	-0.134	0.696	N.S.
Test Order	0.007	0.946	N.S.
Stimulus order	**-0.273**	**0.009**	**Habituation effect**
Average duration	**0.202**	**0.044**	**Greater response to stimuli with longer songs**

*Model uses a simplified zero inflation formula including an intercept for convergence

Table S2.2: Results of mixed effect models for behavioural responses to song complexity using
combined data from stimulus presentation and adjacent silent gap. Estimates in the top (or only) row
of each cell show the effect of the predictor on the logged number of responses. Estimates in the
bottom row show the effect of the predictor on the probability of response (vs no response at all).
There was no evidence of zero inflation in the model for Fluffs, so no effects on probability of
response are reported.

	Estimate	p-value	Direction of effect
Number of Calls*			
Note Repertoire	**0.085** **-0.622**	**<0.001** **0.018**	**Greater response with increasing repertoire**
Song Linearity	-0.593 11.236	0.177 0.0849	N.S. (Direction of less response with increasing linearity (i.e., decreasing complexity)
Test Order	**0.053**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus Order	**-0.164**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Hand-reared	**-1.546**	**0.016**	**Less response in hand-reared birds**
Average duration	**0.126** 0.089	**<0.001** 0.703	**Greater response to stimuli with longer songs**
Number of Hops			
Repertoire	0.072 -0.084	0.335 0.377	N.S.
Linearity	1.823 4.527	0.379 0.149	N.S.
Test Order	**0.169**	**<0.001**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus Order	**-0.064**	**<0.001**	**Habituation effect**
Hand-reared	0.696	0.502	N.S.
Average duration	-0.055	0.467	N.S.
Number of Bill Wipes			
Repertoire	-0.020 -0.108	0.752 0.348	N.S.
Linearity	-0.250 4.327	0.893 0.220	N.S.
Test Order	0.087	0.223	N.S.
Stimulus Order	**-0.163**	**0.002**	**Habituation effect**
Hand-reared	-0.187	0.507	N.S.
Average duration	0.016	0.809	N.S.
Number of Fluffs			
Repertoire	**0.131**	**0.059**	**Response increases as repertoire increases**
Linearity	-1.694	0.385	N.S.
Test Order	**0.210**	**0.002**	**Acceleration effect**
Stimulus Order	-0.014	0.831	N.S.
Hand-reared	-0.095	0.881	N.S.
Average duration	0.015	0.827	N.S.

793 *Model uses a zero-inflation model including a fixed effect of average duration

797