Transcriptomic Signatures of WNT-Driven Pathways and Granulosa Cell-Oocyte Interactions during Primordial Follicle Activation

Hinako M. Takase[1,5]*, Tappei Mishina[1,2,5], Tetsutaro Hayashi[3,4], Mika Yoshimura[3], Mariko Kuse[3], Itoshi Nikaido[3,4], Tomoya S. Kitajima[1]

[1]Laboratory for Chromosome Segregation, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe, Japan.

[2]Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan.

[3]Laboratory for Bioinformatics Research, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research (BDR), Kobe, Japan.

[4]Department of Functional Genome Informatics, Division of Biological Data Science, Medical Research Institute, Tokyo Medical and Dental University (TMDU), Bunkyo, Japan.

[5]These authors contributed equally to this research.

*Author for Corresponding author:

Email: hinako.takase@riken.jp (HT)

Abstract

Primordial follicle activation (PFA) is a pivotal event in female reproductive biology, coordinating the transition from quiescent to growing follicles. This study employed comprehensive single-cell RNA sequencing to gain insights into the detailed regulatory mechanisms governing the synchronized dormancy and activation between granulosa cells (GCs) and oocytes with the progression of the PFA process. *Wntless* (*Wls*) conditional knockout (cKO) mice served as a unique model, suppressing the transition from pre-GCs

to GCs, and disrupting somatic cell-derived WNT signaling in the ovary. Our data revealed immediate

transcriptomic changes in GCs post-PFA in *Wls* cKO mice, leading to a divergent trajectory, while oocytes

exhibited modest transcriptomic alterations. Subpopulation analysis identified the molecular pathways

affected by WNT signaling on GC maturation, along with specific gene signatures linked to dormant and

activated oocytes. Despite minimal evidence of continuous up-regulation of dormancy-related genes in

oocytes, the loss of WNT signaling in (pre-)GCs impacted gene expression in oocytes even before PFA,

subsequently influencing them globally. The infertility observed in *Wls* cKO mice was attributed to

compromised GC-oocyte molecular crosstalk and the microenvironment for oocytes. Our study highlights

the pivotal role of the WNT-signaling pathway and its molecular signature, emphasizing the importance of

intercellular crosstalk between (pre-)GCs and oocytes in orchestrating folliculogenesis.

Author summary

In mammalian ovaries, primordial follicles protect dormant oocytes from wasteful consumption. We

explored the complex molecular dynamics of the critical process of primordial follicle activation (PFA) that

underlies female reproductive health, with a focus on the role of WNT signaling. By utilizing a unique

mouse model with disrupted WNT signaling, our study reveals novel insights into the regulatory dynamics

of granulosa cells (GCs) and oocytes during PFA. Single-cell transcriptome profiling uncovered distinct

cell populations and highlighted the impact of WNT signaling on ovarian cell types. This study reveals

distinct transcriptomic changes in GCs post-PFA, which affects their differentiation trajectories and

highlights the critical role of WNT signaling in establishing GC function. We further examined the

consequences of disrupted microenvironments on oocytes and GC-oocyte interactions, which elucidated

altered oocyte subclusters and potential links to infertility. These findings provide a foundation for

understanding the connections between WNT signaling and ovarian function. Our comprehensive analysis

contributes valuable knowledge to reproductive biology, emphasizing the clinical relevance of WNT

signaling in female fertility.

Introduction

Folliculogenesis is a strictly controlled and dynamic process that is essential for the success of female reproduction. The formation of primordial follicles occurs shortly after birth in mice [1], with characteristic flattened pre-granulosa cells (pre-GCs) encompassing each oocyte individually [2]. Primordial follicles are particularly important in determining ovarian reserves, serving as a pool of dormant oocytes. A subset of primordial follicles undergoes activation, initiating the irreversible process of folliculogenesis. During primordial follicle activation (PFA) to become primary follicles, pre-GCs develop into cuboidal and proliferative granulosa cells (GCs) and simultaneously oocytes start to grow [3,4]. Subsequent stages of folliculogenesis include secondary follicles, antral follicles and preovulatory follicles, with only a limited number of oocytes reaching ovulation. This continuous process of folliculogenesis is repeated throughout the reproductive period until menopause. Since the failure of PFA can lead to an inadequate or a transiently excessive supply of oocytes, the precise regulation of PFA is imperative for successful reproduction [5,6]. Anomalies in PFA are believed to contribute to premature ovarian insufficiency in humans [7]. Nonetheless, how primordial follicle quiescence and activation are regulated is incompletely understood.

PFA is an orchestrated process of somatic cells and oocytes, with bidirectional communication between them being crucial. Therefore, it is important to understand the intricate control of the dynamic states of both GCs and oocytes during PFA. To date, several key molecular components that regulate PFA have been identified. The maintenance of oocyte dormancy relies on the nuclear localization of the transcription factor forkhead box O3 (FOXO3), a reliable indicator of PFA [6,8]. In oocytes, FOXO3 is phosphorylated and translocated to the cytoplasm via the PI3K-AKT signaling pathway followed by KIT signal transduction, in response to GC-derived ligands which leads to PFA [9]. It is also known that oocyte-derived GDF9 promotes GC cell proliferation [10] and the mTORC1 pathway in pre-GCs contributes to PFA [11]. In addition, environmental factors such as hypoxia, extracellular matrix (ECM)-mediated pressure and nutritional factors have been identified as crucial components in the maintenance of primordial follicles [12,13]. The oocyte surrounding microenvironments provided by pre-GCs are dynamically

changed during PFA associated with GC maturation. Despite research efforts on the molecular components

that govern PFA, the complexities of GC-oocyte communication and their dynamic states has remained

elusive.

We and other research groups have reported the significant role of WNT signaling in postnatal

folliculogenesis in mice. Interestingly, according to a study by De Cian *et al.*, the oocyte-GC interaction is

also key here, as oocyte-derived RSPO2, a positive regulator of WNT signaling, acts on neighboring GCs

[14]. In contrast, our research revealed an autocrine function of WNT signaling in pre-GCs during PFA to

permit transformation to GCs. In *Wls* cKO mice, in which somatic cell-derived WNT secretion is

suppressed, pre-GCs fail to acquire GC properties upon PFA stimulation, remaining flat, with less

cytoplasm and with low cell proliferative activity [15]. Despite the simultaneous activation expected for

GCs and oocytes, they failed to synchronize in *Wls* cKO mice - only the oocytes grow and increased in size.

However, these oocytes exhibited some immature characteristics such as possible retained dormancy as

indicated by the nuclear localization of FOXO3. Since these results suggest that oocyte awakening requires

support from functional GCs, *Wls* cKO mice emerge as a valuable tool for investigating the unidentified

role of GCs in this context.

In this study, we aimed to advance foundational knowledge about folliculogenesis and infertility

by uncovering the single-cell resolution regulatory dynamics during PFA using *Wls* cKO mice, expecting

that their novel phenotype would allow us to address the following questions: (i) What are the molecular

mechanisms by which cKO of *Wls* causes defective pre-GC to GC development? (ii) How does GC mis-

differentiation in *Wls* cKO mice affect the transcriptome of interacting oocytes? We tested the level of

oocyte autonomous gene regulation against WNT signaling dependent molecular crosstalk with GCs and/or

their poor surrounding environment. (iii) What is the molecular signature of the unsynchronized PFA

process in oocytes that grow despite the possible indication of retained dormancy? Since FOXO3 is

anticipated to function as a transcription factor in the nucleus, we investigated "dormancy genes" that are

continuously up-regulated even in growing oocytes in *Wls* cKO mice.

The results show that cKO of *Wls* caused immediate transcriptomic changes in GCs after PFA,

resulting in a divergent transcriptome trajectory, while oocytes exhibited modest transcriptome changes. In

oocytes of *Wls* cKO mice, although there was little evidence of the continuous up-regulation of "dormancy

genes" after PFA, the loss of WNT signaling in (pre-)GCs impacted some genes even before PFA, and

subsequently affected them globally, leading to infertility likely due to molecular crosstalk and a poor

surrounding environment. Thus, our data highlight the critical role of the WNT-signaling pathway and its

molecular signature in regulating normal follicular development.

Results

Ovaries of *Wls* cKO mice show abnormalities in GCs after PFA

The process of primordial follicle assembly in mice takes place from before birth to postnatal day (PD) 5,

followed by the growth of activated primordial follicles starting from PD6 [16–18]. Ovarian samples were

collected from wild-type and from *Wls* cKO mice at PD7, with an assumption that the cell populations

around the transition from primordial to primary follicles were abundantly present. Subsequently, we

conducted fluorescent immunostaining for an oocyte marker, DDX4, and confirmed the presence of

primordial, primary and small secondary follicles in control ovaries (Fig 1A). In contrast, *Wls* cKO ovaries

contained primordial follicles that appeared normal and primary follicles surrounded by abnormal GCs,

which was characterized by the absence of cuboidal and organized GC layers. To quantitatively evaluate

the phenotype of *Wls* cKO mice at PD7, we examined the thickness of the GC layer as well as the size of

oocytes by employing PAS staining of ovarian sections. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) detected a

significant disparity in GC thickness between control and *Wls* cKO mice. (Fig 1B). These differences

primarily reflect changes in the state of follicles after activation, as both regression lines are close for

primordial follicles with diameters less than 20 μm. The underdevelopment of the GC phenotype becomes

more prominent at a later stage of folliculogenesis [15]. These results indicate that control and *Wls* cKO

mice provide contrasting models to investigate the molecular mechanisms of PFA, and that ovaries at PD7

offer an optimal window for examining PFA.

Single-cell transcriptome profiling of ovarian cells from *Wls* cKO mice and from control mice identify different cell types

To investigate cell type-specific alterations in gene expression during PFA with or without WNT-signaling,

we performed scRNA-seq analysis on the ovaries of control mice and *Wls* cKO mice at PD7 using RamDA-

seq, a full-length total RNA-sequencing method for single cells [19]. For these analyses, to obtain ovarian

somatic cells by flow cytometry, we utilized mice expressing red fluorescence (tdTomato) driven by

Sf1(Nr5a1)-Cre. Our cryosection analysis revealed the ubiquitous expression of tdTomato in ovarian

component cells, including GCs, while DDX4-positive oocytes were tdTomato-negative (Fig 1C).

tdTomato-positive somatic cells and KIT-positive oocytes were sorted from PD7 *Wls* cKO mice (*Sf1-*

Cre;Wls$^{flox/del}$*;Ai9*) and from their littermate controls (*Sf1-Cre;Wls*$^{flox/+}$*;Ai9*) by cell sorting (Fig S1A and

S1B). We obtained the high-quality transcriptome of 286 somatic cells and 95 oocytes collected from

control mice and from *Wls* cKO mice (Fig 1D). After stringent sequence quality filtration, a total of 721

cells were used for the analysis.

To characterize the ovarian cell type during PFA, the control and *Wls* cKO datasets were analyzed

separately. Seurat-based unsupervised clustering and visualization with uniform manifold approximation

and projection (UMAP) identified 6 and 7 clusters in the control and *Wls* cKO datasets, respectively,

demonstrating substantial differences in those cell populations (Fig 2A and 2B). The top 20 differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) for each cluster (Fig 2C, 2D, Table S1 and Table S2) were used to identify

corresponding known cell types based on the expression patterns of the included known cell type-specific

markers for each cluster on UMAP plots: for oocytes (*Ddx4*), for GCs (*Amh*), for pre-GCs (*Smad3*), for

ovarian surface epithelia (OSE) (*Krt19*), for mesenchymal cells (*Col1a1*) and for early theca cells (eTCs)

(*Enpep*) (Fig 2E) [20,21]. Among the *Col1a1*-positive mesenchymal cells, those with a low expression of

Enpep were considered interstitial cells. These analyses successfully identified five distinct cell clusters in

the control group: pre-GCs, GCs, OSE, interstitial cells (Int), eTCs and single oocyte clusters (OOs) (Fig

2A). Consistent with a previous report [15], expression of *Amh* in the GC cluster was lower in *Wls* cKO

mice than in the control mice (Fig 2E). Notably, the *Wls* cKO ovaries exhibited an absence of the eTC

population, while two additional clusters were present in the GC lineage (altered (alt)-GCs) and in oocytes

(altered (alt)-OOs) (Fig 2B). The lack of an eTC population in *Wls* cKO ovaries aligns with the absence of

normal developing follicles, as theca cells are typically recruited around the secondary follicle stage. The

alt-GC cluster identified in *Wls* cKO samples was characterized by a moderate GC marker expression and

DEGs such as *Pdlim4* and *Hsd3b1* (Fig 2D and 2E). The alt-oocyte cluster in *Wls* cKO samples expressed

well-known oocyte-specific markers in the DEGs, such as *Zp3*, *Lhx8* and *Gdf9*, closely resembling the

overall gene expression patterns of the oocyte cluster (Fig 2D). Nevertheless, it exhibited lower values in

various quality assessments, including reduced ratios of reads mapping to the intronic region (percent

intron), decreased total reads and fewer detected genes compared to the oocyte cluster (Fig 2E and S1C–

D) (for details, see the oocyte subpopulation analysis below). Since oocytes in *Wls* cKO mice are genetically

normal, their growth is predicted to be hindered by their interaction with abnormal GCs. Altogether, we

identified six and seven different ovarian cell types for control and *Wls* cKO ovaries, respectively, including

altered cell maturation without WNT-signaling in GCs, and characterized the gene expression features of

each cell type.

Distinct subclusters in GC maturation and their molecular signatures

To investigate the GC development process during PFA, we conducted a subpopulation analysis of GCs by

integrating data from control (pre-GCs and GCs in Fig 2A) and from *Wls* cKO (pre-GCs, GCs and alt-GCs

in Fig 2B) cells. The samples from *Wls* cKO and control ovaries exhibit mostly non-overlapping

distributions on the UMAP dimensionality reduction, indicating a genotype-dependent developmental

trajectory (Fig 3A). That analysis identified six distinct subgroups with specific DEGs (referred as G1–G6

subclusters), which sheds light on GC lineage development during PFA (Fig 3B, 3C, 3D and Table S3).

Within the G1 subcluster, *Wls* cKO and control samples were closely positioned and comprised

both cell types (Fig 3A and 3B), indicating the successful integration of the two datasets. Notably, known

mature GC markers such as *Inhbb* and *Apoa4* were markedly down-regulated in this cluster (Fig 3E).

Conversely, *Smad3*, a gene associated with pre-GCs [20], was highly expressed, identifying this subcluster

as pre-GCs (Fig 3E). Additionally, a proliferation marker *Top2a* was downregulated in this subcluster,

indicating a rather quiescent cell state (Fig 3E). Focusing on the trajectory of the control GCs, the highest

expression of the known GC markers *Vcan* and *Amh* in the G3 subcluster led to the assumption they are

mature GCs (GCs-Ctrl) (Fig 3D). The G2 subcluster displayed an intermediate gene expression between

pre-GCs and GCs-Ctrl, and therefore were presumed to be in the transition state (Transition-Ctrl).

Analogously, the G5 subcluster was designated as GC-cKO due to its higher expression of the

known GC markers *Inhbb* and *Apoa4* within the *Wls* cKO GC trajectory (Fig 3E). However, GCs-cKO does

not fully acquire the typical transcriptome properties of normal GCs, as indicated by the insufficient

expression of *Vcan* and *Amh*, suggesting an aberrant activation (Fig 3D). The G4 subcluster positioned

between GCs-cKO (G5) and pre-GCs (G1) was identified as Transition-cKO. Lastly, the G6 subcluster

exhibits the lowest expression of the pan-GC markers *Nr5a1* and *Amhr2* (Fig 3E). The underdevelopment

of GCs-cKO could lead to the altered GC (Alt-GCs; G6) subcluster characterized by marker genes linked

to stress responses, such as *Pdlim4* and *Clu* (Fig 3D). The consistent expression pattern of PDLIM4 was

observed by immunostaining of ovarian sections from control and from *Wls* cKO 3-week-old mice (Fig

3G). The results revealed a robust expression of PDLIM4 in GCs of secondary follicles in *Wls* cKO ovaries,

while a minimal expression of PDLIM4 was observed in the entire GC lineage of control ovaries. Therefore,

the Alt-GC subcluster in *Wls* cKO ovaries likely includes GCs from secondary follicles and their subsequent

developmental stages. Together, analysis of the subcluster distribution reveals that in *Wls* cKO ovaries, pre-

GCs undergo a distinct differentiation trajectory shortly after the PFA.

Note that the annotations for subclusters in this analysis, although some of them share identical

names, may not precisely match those in Fig 2 due to the finer classification. By comprehensively

examining markers for the broad GC lineage (*Kitl*, *Nr5a1* and *Amhr2*), the pre-GCs as depicted in Fig 2

(*Ano1* and *Bmp4*) and the mature GCs (*Inhbb* and *Apoa4*), we observed an expected but gradual variation

between subclusters (Fig 3E). We also observed the anticipated reduced production of WNT ligands (*Wnt4*,

Wnt6 and *Wnt11*), which have been reported to be expressed in GC lineages, in *Wls* cKO subclusters (G3,

G4 and G6) [15]. Additionally, we noticed the inclusion of heat shock proteins (*Hspd1*, *Hspe1* and *Hsph1*)

specifically in the marker genes of the GC-Ctrl (G3) subgroup, suggesting a potential dependence on WNT

signaling for that response.

Next, we proceeded to examine the biological features of each identified subcluster using Gene

ontology (GO) analysis of DEGs. That analysis revealed the presence of distinct characteristics of GC cells

and illustrated the aberrant maturation pathway in *Wls* cKO ovaries (Fig 3F). For example, the pre-GC (G1)

subcluster exhibited GO terms and marker genes linked to cell migration and adhesion, indicating a unique

cellular behavior in pre-GCs as a common mechanism in the early stages of GC development. The

Transition-Ctrl (G2) subcluster did not yield significant GO terms, primarily due to the limited number of

DEGs. Nevertheless, a few marker genes related to calcium regulation and the ECM were identified, such

as *Cdh12* (Fig 3D). Although the Transition-cKO (G4) subcluster included GO terms related to the ECM,

such as "positive regulation of integrin-mediated signaling pathway", the most prominent term was

"endoplasmic reticulum to cytosol transport." This process is thought to be crucial for maintaining cellular

homeostasis by eliminating damaged proteins from the ER, suggesting a response to stressful environments.

The GC-Ctrl (G3) subcluster exhibited terms linked to cell proliferation and protein synthesis. In contrast,

the cell proliferation-related term was not significantly enriched in the GC-cKO (G5) subcluster, which is

consistent with our previous findings that *Wls* cKO GCs exhibited reduced proliferation [15]. Nevertheless,

the GC-cKO (G5) subcluster showed somewhat similar characteristics to the GC-Ctrl (G3) subcluster, such

as the enrichment of terms related to protein translation. The Alt-GC (G6) subcluster corresponded to the

Alt-GC cluster in the entire ovarian cell dataset of Fig 2 (Fig 3A). The Alt-GC (G6) subcluster is primarily

characterized by the GO terms of suppression of cellular movement and translation. While not statistically

significant in the GO term analysis, it came to our attention that the Alt-GC (G6) subcluster exhibited

elevated expression of hypoxia-related genes (*Foxo1*, *Trp53inp1* and *Hif1a*), implying a deteriorating

cellular environment (Fig 3E). Collectively, our analysis revealed a pivotal role for WNT signaling in the

rigorous transcriptome activation and subsequent maturation of GC lineages during PFA and depicted the

gene expression signatures for each GC type.

WNT-dependent and independent gene regulatory network during the maturation of GCs

To further characterize differences and similarities between WNT-signaling dependent and independent

GC transcriptome activation during PFA, we conducted DEG analysis using the singleCellHaystack method

[22]. This approach allowed us to identify broader patterns of gene expression changes without relying on

the explicit clustering of cells. Based on the expression kinetics of the top 1,000 genes of the 4,156 DEGs

detected, our analysis identified four distinct DEG clusters (designated as clusters 1-4) after careful

evaluation (Fig 4A and Table S4). When clusterings with five or more groups were tested, they contained

additional clusters with subtle differences in expression kinetics (Fig S2). Thus, we inferred that four

clusters effectively represented the overview of the heterogeneity of expression kinetics. Clusters 1 and 2

showed similar expression kinetics between control and *Wls* cKO GCs, with the former and the latter genes

being up- and down-regulated, respectively, after the pre-GC activation (Fig 4A). Interestingly, these likely

WNT-signaling independent DEGs account for 81% of the highly DEGs (cluster 1: 536 genes, cluster 2:

274 genes). In contrast, clusters 3 and 4 had fewer DEGs (cluster 3: 101 genes, and cluster 4: 89 genes),

but showed contrasting regulation between control and *Wls* cKO GCs in the broad developmental stage of

GCs (Fig 4A). These results suggest that, even in *Wls* cKO GCs, the majority of the transcriptome profiles

associated with GC activation are still preserved. However, the absence of autocrine WNT-signaling

interferes with essential pathways crucial for proper GC development.

To elucidate the functional significance of the transcriptional profiles generated through the

singleCellHaystack-based clustering, we performed an enrichment analysis (Fig 4B and Table S5). That

analysis revealed a significant upregulation of pathways associated with GC activation, which were either

unaffected or affected by WNT signaling. Post-activation GCs (cluster 1) included GO terms linked to

active protein synthesis, regardless of the presence or absence of WNT signaling. In pre-GCs (cluster 2),

genes associated with cell-cell adhesion are highly expressed, which suggests that the maintenance of

plasma membrane integrity is important for their function. Our data also provide additional support for the

importance of ECM production by pre-GCs, which reinforces the notion that a rich ECM surrounding

primordial follicles is crucial for maintaining oocyte dormancy [13]. *Wls* cKO GC lineage (cluster 3)

contains GO terms such as "transmembrane receptor protein serine/threonine kinase signaling pathway"

and "positive regulation of cell differentiation". These up-regulated genes included those encoding proteins

related to activation of the TGFβ/BMP pathway (*Bmp2*, *Bmp4* and *TGFB1l1*) (Table S5). The inappropriate

intercellular signaling may lead to instability or incorrect cell lineage identity. Remarkably, in the control

GC lineage (cluster 4), genes associated with the maintenance of proteostasis and response to steroid

hormone stimulus were up-regulated, which appears to be a hallmark of functional GCs that require WNT

signaling.

Next, we investigated regulators of the pre-GC to GC transition-associated changes in gene

expression. We conducted gene co-expression analysis using GENIE3 [23] with the top 1,000 DEGs

identified through singleCellHaystack analysis. That analysis revealed core hub genes in GCs and their

expression kinetics during PFA in relation to WNT signaling (Fig 4C and 4D). *Nr5a2*, *Tcf7* and *Tox2*,

associated with cluster 1, were expressed in both *Wls* cKO and control GCs (Fig 4D). Those genes have

been reported to play critical roles in GC function and fate determination [24–26]. *Ets1* and *Irx3*, which are

enriched in cluster 2, were highly expressed in pre-GCs (Fig 4D). *Ets1* has been implicated in the

pathogenesis of polycystic ovary syndrome [27,28], while *Irx3* has been reported to be essential for oocyte

quality control through ECM production [29,30]. *Tsc22d1* and *Bmp2*, which are upregulated in cluster 3 of

Wls cKO GCs, have been linked to the TGFβ/BMP pathway, potentially affecting the failure of transition

from pre-GC to GC. *Fosb* is exclusively expressed in control GCs like cluster 4 (Fig 4D). The expression

of *Fosb* has been documented in GCs and in luteal cells, suggesting its involvement in GC differentiation

[31,32]. Immunostaining for the inferred hub regulator IRX3 validated the depicted expression kinetics,

showing higher expression in pre-GCs that decreased during the maturation process, with no notable

difference between control and *Wls* cKO groups (Fig 4E and 4F). Taken together, our analysis characterized

the molecular signature of unexpected similarities and key differences in the landscape of GC transcriptome

activation during PFA between the presence or absence of WNT signaling and identified their possible core

regulators.

Transcriptomic consequences in oocytes that are impacted by disrupted WNT signaling and GC mis-differentiation

We then investigated the impact of disrupted WNT signaling on GC maturation on the oocyte transcriptome

during PFA. We conducted a subpopulation analysis by integrating data from both the control (Oocyte in

Fig 2A) and *Wls* cKO (Oocyte in Fig 2B) datasets, excluding the altered oocyte cluster from the analysis.

The clustering analysis with Seurat identified three distinct subclusters inferred as O1; Dormant, O2;

Activated-Ctrl, and O3; Activated-cKO (Fig 5A, 5B, 5C, and 5D). These subclusters were annotated

according to the known increased expression patterns of maternal genes associated with PFA, such as *Zp1*,

Zp2, *Zp3* and *Gdf9* (Fig 5E). The dormant cluster consisted of both control and *Wls* cKO mice-derived

oocytes (Fig 5B). Upon oocyte activation, we found a subtle change in gene expression patterns between

the control and *Wls* cKO samples, detecting a small number of DEGs that characterized each sub-cell type

(Dormant (O1): 61, Activated-Ctrl (O2): 316, Activated-cKO (O3): 10) (Table S6).

Prior to a detailed examination of these subclusters, we outlined the features of the excluded altered

oocyte cluster. Principal component analysis (PCA) across all oocyte samples revealed a representation of

altered oocyte characteristics in PC1, whereas PC2 appeared to represent oocyte growth (Fig S3A).

Analysis of developmental oocyte marker expression and flow cytometry-derived cell size data indicated a

mixed composition of dormant and activated oocytes within the altered oocyte subcluster (Fig S3B). The

significantly low values for total sequence and percent intron, referred to in Fig 2E, suggest transcriptional

inactivity in the altered oocytes (Fig S3C). Factor loading in the PCA suggests that these distinct

characteristics result from a widespread reduction in gene expression, including *Mdm4*, known for its anti-

apoptotic role (Fig S3D and S3E). While our data did not provide insights into the reasons behind the

transcription repression, the altered oocytes were presumed to be weakened cells, warranting their exclusion

to focus on the transcriptomic changes associated with PFA.

DEGs for the Dormant (O1) subcluster encompass *Ankrd36*, whose mutation has been implicated

in diminished ovarian reserve [33], as well as *Pm20d1*, which has been noted for its potential involvement

in the pathogenesis of polycystic ovary syndrome [34,35] (Fig 5D). DEGs for the Activated-Ctrl (O2)

subcluster contain famous oocyte-specific genes typically found in mature oocytes like *Dppa5a*, *Kpna7*,

Khdc3 and *Nlrp4a* [36–39], whereas the Activated-cKO (O3) subcluster showed lower expression of these

genes (Fig 5D). Among the 10 DEGs of the Activated-cKO (O3) subcluster, genes such as *Nr1h2*, which

has been implicated in lipid metabolism, *Eno1*, linked to glycolysis, and *Adrm1*, a constituent of

proteasomes, imply a shift in trophic status (Fig 5D).

Due to the limited number of DEGs, GO analysis yielded only a few significant terms (Table S7).

Yet, we observed high expression levels of mitochondrial genes and ribosome-related genes in the Dormant

(O1) subcluster (Fig 5E), which have been documented in previous studies [40]. Immunostaining verified

the expression levels of RPLP1 and RPL27A in DDX4-positive oocytes (Fig 5F). Both RPLP1 and RPL27A

showed the highest expression in oocytes smaller than 20 μm, which are presumed to be dormant in

primordial follicles (Fig 5G and 5H), while downregulated expression of RPLP1 and RPL27A was noted

in oocytes 30-50 μm diameter, which are often observed in secondary follicles. In oocytes smaller than 20

μm, *Wls* cKO mice showed a significantly lower intensity of these ribosomal proteins compared to controls,

although the difference was not substantial.

We then examined DEGs using the singleCellHaystack method to test how GC mis-differentiation

in *Wls* cKO ovaries affects the transcriptome of interacting oocytes. That analysis identified 1,132 DEGs

that were effectively represented by four expression patterns (clusters 1–4) (Fig 6A and Table S8). We

expected that the retained nuclear localization of FOXO3 in growing *Wls* cKO oocytes would result in the

constant up-regulation of "dormant" genes even after PFA stimulus. However, we failed to detect a cluster

of genes expressed in such a manner (Fig 6B). Instead, the majority of genes (81%) showed similar

expression kinetics between control and *Wls* cKO samples with associated expression changes with PFA

(Cluster 1: 224 genes up-regulated in dormant oocytes; Clusters 3 and 4: 429 and 281 genes, respectively,

up-regulated in activated oocytes) (Fig 6A). Interestingly, Cluster 2 (198 genes) showed a significant down-

regulation both in dormant and in activated oocytes in *Wls* cKO mice (Fig 6B). We then explored the

functional association of these DEGs using available databases (Gene Ontology, UK Biobank GWAS and

MSigDB Oncogenic Signature). Although there were no significantly enriched terms from those databases,

there were notable possible WNT-associated terms of "Wnt up-regulation associated oncogenes" (*Btg4*,

Fabp5, *Myo5b*, *Mtf1*, *Ckap4*) and "age at menopause" (*Ddx17*, *Akap13*, *Slco4a1*) at the top of the term lists,

suggesting a possible molecular crosstalk between (pre-)GCs and oocytes related to the cause of infertility

via defective WNT-signaling (Table S9). After examining all available TF databases implemented in

Enrichr, there was no evidence of enrichment of FOXO3-related terms in any of the DEG clusters, even

when the kinetics were classified into 4 to 6 clusters (Fig S4).

Finally, we investigated gene regulatory networks during PFA by performing gene co-expression

analysis based on the DEGs detected in the Seurat analysis (Fig 6C). We identified hub genes within the

top 20, whose expression was well represented before and after activation and by genotype (Fig 6D). *Zfp57*

and *Foxr1*, known as oocyte-specific genes, are hub gene candidates that are preferentially expressed in

activated oocytes, including the Activated-Ctrl (O2) and Activated-cKO (O3) subclusters (Fig 6D).

Consistent with our results, *Foxr1* has been reported to be upregulated after PFA [41], suggesting that these

transcription factors are likely activated during PFA regardless of aberrant GC cell activation in *Wls* cKO

mice. *Nr1h2* and *Eno1*, while DEGs in the O3 subcluster are hub gene candidates upregulated in oocytes

from *Wls* cKO mice (Fig 6D). *Nr1h2* is known to be predominantly expressed in non-growing oocytes,

indicating an unbalanced undifferentiated state in *Wls* cKO ovaries [42]. Another hub gene candidate,

Sp110, is expressed predominantly in the Activated-Ctrl (O2) subgroup and is known to increase after PFA

(Fig 6D) [41]. *Sp110* expression might be indirectly regulated by GCs receiving WNT signaling. Altogether,

these results depict regulatory features of oocyte gene expression that are affected by the direct and indirect

impact of surrounding GCs with or without WNT signaling during PFA.

Discussion

In this study, we uncover for the first time the single-cell resolution regulatory dynamics of a PFA process

using a unique model, *Wls* cKO mice. In *Wls* cKO mice, nearly all GCs, except pre-GCs, have a

disorganized phenotype, which results in complete female infertility due to the inability to support oocyte

growth. Consistent with that phenotype, the transcriptome landscapes of control and *Wls* cKO cells were

similar until the pre-GC stage prior to PFA. The transcriptomic features of *Wls* cKO mice became apparent

immediately in GCs after PFA, although the features of *Wls* cKO-derived oocytes were more modest than

expected at the transcriptomic level. There was little evidence of the continuous up-regulation of "dormancy

genes" in activated oocytes from *Wls* cKO mice, as expected from FOXO3 being retained in the nuclei of

growing oocytes. However, the loss of WNT signaling in pre-GCs and GCs affects the oocyte

transcriptomes with distinct molecular signatures via a possible molecular crosstalk as well as a poor

surrounding environment, which results in infertility. Our data emphasize the impact of WNT signaling on

GC identity and GC-oocyte interactions, organizing the transcriptional regulation that is crucial for normal

follicular development.

In the GC lineage from *Wls* cKO ovaries, some genes were erroneously up-regulated after the

initiation of PFA. Particularly, TGFβ/BMP signaling appears to remain active throughout GC

subpopulations, marked by the elevated expression of *Bmp2*. TGFβ superfamily members are expressed in

various ovarian cell types [43]. While *Bmp2* typically functions in late GCs of antral follicles during late

folliculogenesis [44,45], the elevated expression of *Bmp2* in atretic GCs during early folliculogenesis in

rats [43] suggests a potential for atretic conditions in GCs of *Wls* cKO mice. A similar abnormal

morphology of GCs in *Wls* cKO mice has been reported in GCs under Müllerian inhibiting substance (MIS)-

treatment, which suppresses the proliferation and differentiation of mouse GCs [17]. Both MIS signaling

and WNT pathways play integral roles in GC proliferation and maturation. Given the identified potential

upregulation of TGFβ/BMP signaling both in MIS-treated mice and in *Wls* cKO mice, the precise

suppression of TGFβ/BMP signaling may be crucial for the pre-GC to GC transition.

Notably, genes associated with protein quality control have a decreased expression in *Wls* cKO

GCs, indicating a potential dysfunction of proteostasis. This result supports the potential regulation of

protein quality control by WNT signaling, suggesting there is a crucial interplay to achieve cellular

homeostasis and function. In addition to that, the observed downregulation of *Fosb* in *Wls* cKO GCs as a

potential expression regulator, is reminiscent of its reported decline with age in primate datasets [40]. This

suggests intriguing parallels between the molecular profiles of *Wls* cKO GCs and those associated with

aging. Unraveling the intricate connections between WNT signaling and the aging process in GCs may

provide insights into the age-related decline in ovarian function.

In this study, we identified an altered oocyte cluster characterized by a low transcriptional level in

Wls cKO mice, which allows us to elucidate the impact of GC dysfunction on oocyte conditions. That

cluster appeared to encompass both dormant and activated oocytes, suggesting a complex interplay between

GC maturation and oocyte state. The present data set does not conclusively elucidate the reason(s) for the

division of the oocyte population into two distinct states in *Wls* cKO ovaries. However, based on the

presence of the altered oocyte cluster and the terminal phenotype of oocyte depletion in adult *Wls* cKO

ovaries [15], we infer that all oocytes will eventually shift to the altered oocyte cluster and undergo atresia.

Further clarification of the cellular lineage and the impact of unhealthy GCs may offer valuable insights

into the underlying mechanisms governing oocyte integrity and atresia.

Activated oocytes in *Wls* cKO mice showed modest transcriptome alterations compared to the

control, with parallel expression changes associated with PFA. However, the singleCellHaystack method

revealed a notable down-regulation of the set of genes even before PFA initiation in *Wls* cKO mice-derived

oocytes, which contrasts with pre-GCs in *Wls* cKO ovaries that appeared normal in the transcriptome as

well as our previous histological observations [15]. The enriched tendency of genes known to have a

positive regulatory correlation with WNT only in control oocytes suggests that these down-regulated genes

are caused by WNT signaling-dependent molecular cross-talk in (pre-)GC-oocyte interactions, rather than

being solely influenced by the surrounding microenvironments. This result implies the possibility that

oocytes directly receive WNT ligands from (pre-)GCs. Previous reports of the over-activation of WNT

signaling in oocytes by the constitutive expression of active β-catenin showed no phenotype in

folliculogenesis. It may be that gain-of-function experiments do not adequately reveal the role of WNT

signaling in oocyte growth. However, the non-significant term and the detection of only a few DEGs

between subclusters support the idea that the absence of the proper microenvironment, which is facilitated

by appropriately differentiated GCs, is likely the critical cause of atretic oocytes observed in *Wls* cKO mice

at a later stage of folliculogenesis [15].

Although the oocytes of *Wls* cKO mice are activated and develop to a certain degree, we previously

reported that these growing oocytes partially maintain dormant characteristics, as indicated by the nuclear

localization of FOXO3 [15]. In other words, oocyte activation at PFA encompasses two processes: an

increase in size and a break from dormancy. *Wls* cKO mice serve as a model where only the growth process

occurs. In this study, the PFA process was described as a transcriptomic change. We found that dormancy

in oocytes has weak associations with the transcriptional landscape in this context. Nuclear FOXO3 may

be insufficient or uncorrelated to the arrest in oocyte size growth and transcriptome activation, key

processes in oocyte activation. However, it remains possible that the oocytes we previously observed in

Wls cKO ovaries belonged to the altered oocyte cluster and that extensive transcriptional downregulation

prevented us from detecting transcriptomic changes. WNT-mediated GC function likely facilitates FOXO3

nuclear export in oocytes, but Kit signal components, which are upstream of FOXO3 phosphorylation, are

unexpectedly up-regulated in *Wls* cKO ovaries [15]. These results suggest a potential compensatory

feedback mechanism and/or the importance of an environment such as nutrition and mechanical stress

provided by surrounding GCs, emphasizing the need for further experimental studies to fully understand

the intricate interactions in this regulatory network.

In conclusion, our study on *Wls* cKO ovaries uncovered the intricate impact of WNT signaling on

the dynamics of GC differentiation and oocyte activation. WNT signaling may impact reproductive

performance through its multifaceted roles in sexual differentiation, follicle development, luteinization and

steroid production [46,47]. Upregulated WNT signaling is linked to ovarian cancer in mice and in humans

[48,49], and altered WNT-related gene expression has been reported in the ovaries of polycystic ovary

syndrome, a potential cause of infertility [50]. Our results contribute to the growing knowledge in this area

and may serve as a valuable resource for future investigations into the clinical relevance of WNT signaling.

Further studies dissecting the impact of aberrant GCs should provide insights into reproductive biology to

address infertility.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Sf1-Cre mice (stock no. 012462), *Wls*flox mice (stock no. 012888), *Ddx4-Cre* mice (stock no. 006954) and

Ai9 mice (stock no. 007909) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory [51–54]. *Wls*del mice with a

ubiquitous *Wls*flox allele deletion were obtained by crossing *Wls*flox mice with *Ddx4-Cre* mice. Littermate

controls, either *Sf1-Cre;Wls*flox/+ or *Wls*flox/del, were utilized for immunostaining comparisons with *Sf1-*

*Cre;Wls*flox/del(*Wls* cKO). *Sf1-Cre;Ai9* mice were used to confirm the efficiency of Cre. To prepare single

cells for RNA sequencing, *Sf1-Cre;Wls*flox/+*;Ai9* mice served as controls for *Sf1-Cre;Wls*flox/del*;Ai9* (*Wls*

cKO) mice. All animal experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee

of RIKEN (approval number: A2017-13). All mouse lines were maintained on a mixed genetic background.

Immunostaining and histology

Immunofluorescence staining was performed using ovaries that had been fixed overnight at 4°C with 4%

paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The fixed tissue was dehydrated, embedded in

paraffin and then sectioned at a thickness of 5 μm. The sections were depleted of paraffin and rehydrated

according to standard protocols. For antigen retrieval, the sections were incubated either at 110°C for 15

min with citrate buffer (pH 6.0) or with Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 9.0). After washing with PBS containing

0.1% Tween 20 (PBST), the sections were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a blocking buffer,

stained overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies, and then exposed for 2 h at room temperature to a 1:500

dilution of appropriate secondary antibodies labeled with Alexa Fluor 488, 568 or 647 (A11057, A21206

and A10042, Thermo Fisher Scientific; or 715-545-151, Jackson Immuno Research). The primary

antibodies used included mouse anti-DDX4 (1:300; ab27591, Abcam) rabbit anti-DDX4 (1:300; ab13840,

Abcam), goat PDLIM4 (1:133; NB100-1382, Novus Biologicals), rabbit anti-IRX3 (1:100, ab242133,

Abcam) and rabbit anti-RPLP1 (1:200; NBP1-81293, Novus Biologicals). Antibodies were diluted in

blocking buffer or Can Get Signal immunostain solution (NKB-401, Toyobo). DNA was counterstained

with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Samples were mounted with VECTASHIELD Vibrance

Antifade Mounting Medium (H-1700, Vector Laboratories).

To assess Cre recombination efficiency, ovaries were fixed overnight at 4°C with 4%

paraformaldehyde in PBS. The fixed tissues were immersed in sucrose gradients (10%, 20% and 30%) in

PBS sequentially at 4°C; after which the tissues were embedded in an optimal cutting temperature

compound. Frozen samples were sectioned at 6 μm using a CryoStar NX70 cryotome (Leica Microsystems).

Ovarian cryosections from *Sf1-Cre;Ai9* mice were incubated in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature,

stained overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-DDX4 (1:500; ab13840; Abcam) antibody, followed by incubation

with donkey anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (A21206, Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA was counterstained

with DAPI. Samples were mounted using VECTASHIELD Vibrance Antifade Mounting Medium (Vector

Laboratories).

PAS-H staining was performed according to a standard protocol. In brief, ovaries were fixed in

Bouin's solution, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at a thickness of 5 μm. The sections were hydrated

and treated first with a periodic acid solution for 10 min and then with Schiff's reagent for 15 min. Nuclei

were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Ovary dissociation and single-cell sorting

At postnatal day (PD) 7, ovaries from euthanized control mice (n=3) and from *Wls* cKO mice (n=8) were

collected. Ovaries were treated with a CTK solution (consisting of 0.25% trypsin (Thermo), 0.1%

collagenase type IV (Gibco), 20% KSR (Invitrogen) and 1 mM $CaCl_2$ in PBS) for 30 min at 37°C, then

further treated with Accutase (Nacalai) at 37°C for 15 min. The reaction was halted by adding L-15 medium

with 0.1% penicillin-streptomycin and 5% FBS, and the cells were dissociated by pipetting. The cell

suspension was filtered through 70 μm cell strainers, followed by centrifugation at 400 g for 5 min and

resuspension in sorting buffer (7.5% BSA (Life Technologies) in PBS). Blocking was performed with rat

IgG (5 mg/mL, I8015, Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min on ice. Subsequently, cell surface staining was conducted

using anti-ckit-APC (1:50, 105812, BioLegend) and anti-CD45-Alexa Fluor 488 (1:200, 103122,

BioLegend) for 15 min on ice. After centrifugation at 400 g for 5 min, cells were resuspended in sorting

buffer. Prior to single-cell sorting by flow cytometry, samples were passed through 40 μm cell strainers,

and DAPI (1:10,000) was added. Control and *Wls* cKO samples were each sorted using MoFlo Astrios EQ

with Summit v 6.3.0. software (Beckman Coulter) into 384-well plates containing 286 tdTomato-positive

somatic cells and 95 ckit-positive oocytes. DAPI-positive dead cells and CD45-positive leukocytes were

excluded.

RamDA-seq library preparation

Library preparation for RamDA-seq was performed according to a previously report [19]. However, to

reduce reagent costs and rRNA-derived sequencing reads, the method was modified as follows: To suppress

rRNA-derived cDNA synthesis, 0.008 units/μl XRN-1 (NEB) was added to the genomic DNA digestion

mix, and the reaction was performed at 30°C for 5 min. To reduce reagent costs, a liquid dispenser Mantis

(Formulatrix) was used to perform the reaction up to second strand synthesis at 1/10 the reaction volume.

After that, 2.5 μl 0.8 X Tagment DNA Buffer (Illumina) diluted with RNase-free water was added to 0.5 μl

of the second strand synthesis products for dilution. Then, 0.5 μl Amplicon Tagment Mix (Illumina) was

added to 1.5 μl of the diluted product, and tagmentation was performed at 55°C for 10 min. After that, the

library was prepared using 1/10 the reaction volume according to the Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit

(Illumina) procedure. Twelve PCR cycles were performed. Somatic and oocyte library products were

collected separately in equal volumes of 1 μl/well. Subsequently, 50 μl of each sample was fractionated

from the equal mixed library, purified with 1.2X AMPureXP (Beckman Coulter), and eluted with 25 μl TE.

To prevent large discrepancies in the number of sequencing reads per cell between somatic and oocyte cells,

purified libraries were mixed to ensure a constant average molar per cell type using the quantitative results

from the Bioanalyzer Agilent High-Sensitivity DNA Kit and the ratio of the number of cells sorted (somatic

cells: oocytes = 286:95). The pooled library of 2.3 pM was sequenced in single end read 76 cycles using

NextSeq 500 High Output Kit v2.5.

Quality control metrics for RamDA-seq data

The RamDA-seq reads were mapped to the mouse genome (GRCm38) using Hisat2 v2.2.0 [55] after

trimming adaptor sequences and low quality bases using Fastq-mcf v1.1.2 in the ea-utils package [56]. The

resulting binary alignment/map (BAM) files were sorted using samtools [57] and the final mapping quality

was assessed using RSeQC v3.0.1 [58]. The gene count table was obtained using the featureCounts v2.0.1

tool in the Subread package [59] with the option '-t exon -g gene_id' and the annotation gtf file from

GENCODE (vM25). We evaluated the quality control metrics and filtered out low quality samples based

on the RNA integrity assessed by coverage profile along the gene body and the number of detected genes

≤ 8,000. We performed downstream analysis on the remaining data from 350 and 371 cells from control

and *Wls* cKO mice, respectively.

Sequence data analysis

Seurat v3.2.2 [60] was used for clustering and differential gene expression analysis of scRNA-seq data for

control and *Wls* cKO samples independently using the same parameters. The read counts were normalized

as log scaled transcripts per million (TPM). Dimensionality reduction and clustering were performed using

the 'FindClusters' function of Seurat with the default parameters except for 'resolution = 1', using the top

2,000 variable genes. Since our clustering detected a possible altered GC population, the percentage of

intron reads and the abundance of nuclear ncRNA, using Malat1 as a marker, were evaluated to assess cell

viability. Enrichment of GO (Biological Process) for marker genes of each cluster was tested using Enrichr

[61].

Detailed subpopulation analyses were performed for each oocyte and GC population after pooling

the control and *Wls* cKO datasets. In this analysis, we excluded the altered GC population in *Wls* cKO

samples. We used Seurat for clustering and exploration of DEGs with the same settings used for the above

whole cell dataset. DEGs, defined as false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05, were identified using the

'FindAllMarkers' function of the Seurat. For the subpopulation analysis, due to the complicated nature of

cell clustering relationships, DEGs were further explored using singleCellHaystack v0.3.4, which identifies

DEGs without relying on the clustering of cells using the Kullback-Leibler Divergence method [22]. This

analysis was performed using the "highD" method with the following options: for GCs, all 40 principal

components with grid.points = 30, while for oocytes, top 22 principal components, whose cumulative

contribution ratio just exceeds 70%, with grid.points = 10 to increase the detectability of DEGs in fewer

numbers of cells. The detected DEGs were then classified into four seemingly parsimonious expression

kinetic patterns after testing up to six patterns: for GCs, the top 1,000 confidential DEGs based on the FDR

were used. These DEGs were used for the subsequent analysis. Enriched functional features of DEGs were

tested with Enricher using available databases (Gene Ontology, UK Biobank GWAS, MSigDB Oncogenic

Signature and all TF databases). To identify potentially important transcriptional regulators, regulatory

network analysis was performed using the GENIE3 package, a random forest method that calculates the

links between each gene and all other genes [23]. We used TPM-scaled read counts of DEGs (oocytes: FDR

< 0.05 by Seurat; GCs: top 1,000 DEGs by singleCellHaystack) as input. With the information of known

mouse transcription factors [62], only the transcriptional regulator-targets connected with thresholds above

0.07 were retained and used for the network analysis. The resulting networks were visualized using

CytoScape 3.10 [63].

Image analysis

Immunostaining was examined using a slide scanner (Axio Scan.Z1, Zeiss) or a confocal laser scanning microscope (LSM780, Zeiss). All images were taken with Axio Scan.Z1 utilizing the tile scan and automated stitching functions.

The thickness of the GC layer was determined as half the difference between the diameters of the follicle, and oocytes were measured in PAS-H–stained ovarian sections using ImageJ software (NIH). Oocytes with visible nuclei were analyzed in a single section per ovary from three ovaries for each genotype at PD7.

For the measurement of IRX3 signals in pre-GCs/GCs, ovarian sections were subjected to immunofluorescence staining for IRX3, as well as for the oocyte marker DDX4. Follicle boundaries were delineated based on histology, with the DAPI-positive area serving as the measurement region for (pre-)GC analysis. The fluorescence intensity of IRX3 in each region was measured using ImageJ software. More than four ovaries for each genotype, as well as more than one section per ovary, were analyzed.

For the quantification of RPLP1 or RPL27A intensities, images of ovarian sections immunostained for RPLP1 or RPL27A were analyzed using ImageJ software in each oocyte area. The regions of the oocyte were determined manually utilizing ImageJ. Oocytes with visible nuclei were analyzed in a single section per ovary from three ovaries for the control group or from four ovaries for the *Wls* cKO group.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software. Tests included the nonparametric Mann-Whitney matched-pairs test, unpaired multiple t-tests with the Holm-Sidak correction, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Sidak's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons, and a chi-square test for trend for the contingency table. Regression lines were fitted with the scatterplot of GC thickness data for control and for *Wls* cKO mice, and then analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) analysis was performed. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Acknowledgments

585 We thank A. Matsushima (RIKEN BDR) for assistance with the infrastructure for the data analysis. This

586 research was supported by the Medical Research Center Initiative for High Depth Omics, Nanken-Kyoten,

587 and Single-cell Omics Laboratory in TMDU.

References

1. Pepling ME, Spradling AC. Mouse ovarian germ cell cysts undergo programmed breakdown to form

primordial follicles. Developmental Biology. 2001; 234:339–351. doi:10.1006/dbio.2001.0269

2. Grive KJ, Freiman RN. The developmental origins of the mammalian ovarian reserve. Development.

2015; 142:2554–2563. doi:10.1242/dev.125211

3. McLaughlin EA, McIver SC. Awakening the oocyte: Controlling primordial follicle development.

Reproduction. 2009; 137:1–11. doi:10.1530/REP-08-0118

4. Zhang T, He M, Zhang J, Tong Y, Chen T, Wang C, Pan W, Xiao Z. Mechanisms of primordial

follicle activation and new pregnancy opportunity for premature ovarian failure patients. Front

Physiol. 2023;14:1113684. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1113684

5. Reddy P, Liu L, Adhikari D, Jagarlamudi K, Rajareddy S, Shen Y, et al. Oocyte-specific deletion

of pten causes premature activation of the primordial follicle pool. Science. 2008; 319: 611–613.

doi:10.1126/science.1152257

6. Castrillon DH, Miao L, Kollipara R, Horner JW, DePinho RA. Suppression of ovarian follicle

activation in mice by the transcription factor Foxo3a. Science. 2003; 301:215–218.

doi:10.1126/science.1086336

7. Ford EA, Beckett EL, Roman SD, McLaughlin EA, Sutherland JM. Advances in human primordial

follicle activation and premature ovarian insufficiency. Reproduction. 2020; 159:R15-R29.

doi:10.1530/REP-19-0201

8. Pelosi E, Omari S, Michel M, Ding J, Amano T, Forabosco A, et al. Constitutively active Foxo3 in

oocytes preserves ovarian reserve in mice. Nature Communications. 2013; 4:1843.

doi:10.1038/ncomms2861

9. John GB, Gallardo TD, Shirley LJ, Castrillon DH. Foxo3 is a PI3K-dependent molecular switch

controlling the initiation of oocyte growth. Developmental Biology. 2008; 321: 197–204.

doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.06.017

10. Dong J, Albertini DF, Nishimori K, Kumar TR, Lu N, Matzuk MM. Growth differentiation factor-

9 is required during early ovarian folliculogenesis. Nature. 1996; 383:531–535.

doi:10.1038/383531a0

11. Zhang H, Risal S, Gorre N, Busayavalasa K, Li X, Shen Y, Bosbach B, Brännström M, Liu K.

Somatic cells initiate primordial follicle activation and govern the development of dormant oocytes

in mice. Current Biology. 2014; 24:2501–8. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.023

12. Shimamoto S, Nishimura Y, Nagamatsu G, Hamada N, Kita H, Hikabe O, et al. Hypoxia induces

the dormant state in oocytes through expression of Foxo3. The Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences. 2019; 116:12321-12326. doi:10.1073/pnas.1817223116

13. Nagamatsu G, Shimamoto S, Hamazaki N, Nishimura Y, Hayashi K. Mechanical stress

accompanied with nuclear rotation is involved in the dormant state of mouse oocytes. Science

Advances. 2019; 5:eaav. doi:10.1126/SCIADV.AAV9960

14. De Cian MC, Gregoire EP, Le Rolle M, Lachambre S, Mondin M, Bell S, et al. R-spondin2 signaling

is required for oocyte-driven intercellular communication and follicular growth. Cell Death &

Differentiation. 2020; 27:2856–2871. doi:10.1038/s41418-020-0547-7

15. Habara O, Logan CY, Kanai-Azuma M, Nusse R, Takase HM. WNT signaling in pre-granulosa

cells is required for ovarian folliculogenesis and female fertility. Development. 2021;

148:dev198846. doi:10.1242/dev.198846

16. Suzuki H, Kanai-Azuma M, Kanai Y. From Sex Determination to Initial Folliculogenesis in

Mammalian Ovaries: Morphogenetic Waves along the Anteroposterior and Dorsoventral Axes.

Sexual Development. 2015; 9:190–204. doi:10.1159/000440689

17.　Meinsohn MC, Saatcioglu HD, Wei L, Li Y, Horn H, Chauvin M, et al. Single-cell sequencing

reveals suppressive transcriptional programs regulated by MIS/AMH in neonatal ovaries. The

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2021; 118:e2100920118.

doi:10.1073/PNAS.2100920118

18.　Umeno K, Sasaki A, Kimura N. The impact of oocyte death on mouse primordial follicle formation

and ovarian reserve. Reproductive Medicine and Biology. 2022; 21:e12489.

doi:10.1002/RMB2.12489

19.　Hayashi T, Ozaki H, Sasagawa Y, Umeda M, Danno H, Nikaido I. Single-cell full-length total RNA

sequencing uncovers dynamics of recursive splicing and enhancer RNAs. Nature Communications.

2018; 9:619. doi:10.1038/S41467-018-02866-0

20.　Granados-Aparici S, Hardy K, Franks S, Sharum IB, Waite SL, Fenwick MA. SMAD3 directly

regulates cell cycle genes to maintain arrest in granulosa cells of mouse primordial follicles.

Scientific Reports. 2019; 9:6513. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-42878-4

21.　Morris ME, Meinsohn MC, Chauvin M, Saatcioglu HD, Kashiwagi A, Sicher NA, et al. A single-

cell atlas of the cycling murine ovary. Elife. 2022;11:e77239. doi:10.7554/eLife.77239

22.　Vandenbon A, Diez D. A clustering-independent method for finding differentially expressed genes

in single-cell transcriptome data. Nature Communications. 2020; 11:4318. doi:10.1038/s41467-

020-17900-3

23.　Huynh-Thu VA, Irrthum A, Wehenkel L, Geurts P. Inferring regulatory networks from expression

data using tree-based methods. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e12776.

doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0012776

24.　Duggavathi R, Volle DH, Mataki C, Antal MC, Messaddeq N, Auwerx J, et al. Liver receptor

homolog 1 is essential for ovulation. Genes & Development. 2008; 22:1871–1876.

doi:10.1101/GAD.472008

25.   Fan HY, O'Connor A, Shitanaka M, Shimada M, Liu Z, Richards JS. β-Catenin (CTNNB1)
660   promotes preovulatory follicular development but represses LH-mediated ovulation and
661   luteinization. Molecular Endocrinology. 2010; 24:1529–1542. doi:10.1210/me.2010-0141

662   26.   Kajitani T, Mizutani T, Yamada K, Yazawa T, Sekiguchi T, Yoshino M, et al. Cloning and
663   characterization of granulosa cell high-mobility group (HMG)-box protein-1, a novel HMG-box
664   transcriptional regulator strongly expressed in rat ovarian granulosa cells. Endocrinology. 2004;
665   145: 2307–2318. doi:10.1210/EN.2003-1343

666   27.   Cao P, Yang W, Wang P, Li X, Nashun B. Characterization of DNA Methylation and Screening of
667   Epigenetic Markers in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology.
668   2021; 9:664843. doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.664843

669   28.   Song J, Diao F, Ma X, Xu S, Cui Y, Jiang S, et al. Androgen upregulates NR4A1 via the TFAP2A
670   and ETS signaling networks. The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology. 2019;
671   113:1–7. doi:10.1016/J.BIOCEL.2019.05.015

672   29.   Fu A, Koth ML, Brown RM, Shaw SA, Wang L, Krentz KJ, et al. IRX3 and IRX5 collaborate during
673   ovary development and follicle formation to establish responsive granulosa cells in the adult mouse.
674   Biology of Reproduction. 2020; 103:620–629. doi:10.1093/BIOLRE/IOAA100

675   30.   Hughes CHK, Smith OE, Meinsohn MC, Brunelle M, Gévry N, Murphy BD. Steroidogenic factor
676   1 (SF-1; Nr5a1) regulates the formation of the ovarian reserve. P The Proceedings of the National
677   Academy of Sciences. 2023; 120:e2220849120. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2220849120

678   31.   Sharma SC, Richards JS. Regulation of AP1 (Jun/Fos) factor expression and activation in ovarian
679   granulosa cells. Relation of JunD and Fra2 to terminal differentiation. Journal of Biological
680   Chemistry. 2000; 275:33718–33728. doi:10.1074/jbc.M003555200

681   32.   Wigglesworth K, Lee KB, Emori C, Sugiura K, Eppig JJ. Transcriptomic diversification of
682   developing cumulus and mural granulosa cells in mouse ovarian follicles. Biology of Reproduction.
683   2015; 92:23. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.114.121756

684   33.   Li N, Xu W, Liu H, Zhou R, Zou S, Wang S, et al. Whole exome sequencing reveals novel variants

685 associated with diminished ovarian reserve in young women. Frontiers in Genetics. 2023;

686 14:1154067. doi:10.3389/fgene.2023.1154067

687 34. Li M, Gao S, Kang M, Zhang X, Lan P, Wu X et al. Quercitrin alleviates lipid metabolism disorder

688 in polycystic ovary syndrome-insulin resistance by upregulating PM20D1 in the PI3K/Akt pathway.

689 Phytomedicine. 2023; 117:154908. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2023.154908

690 35. Sun Q, Gao Y, Yang J, Lu J, Feng W, Yang W. Mendelian Randomization Analysis Identified

691 Potential Genes Pleiotropically Associated with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. Reproductive

692 Sciences. 2022; 29:1028–1037. doi:10.1007/s43032-021-00776-z

693 36. Pierre A, Gautier M, Callebaut I, Bontoux M, Jeanpierre E, Pontarotti P, et al. Atypical structure

694 and phylogenomic evolution of the new eutherian oocyte- and embryo-expressed

695 KHDC1/DPPA5/ECAT1/OOEP gene family. Genomics. 2007; 90:583–594.

696 doi:10.1016/J.YGENO.2007.06.003

697 37. Hu J, Wang F, Yuan Y, Zhu X, Wang Y, Zhang Y, et al. Novel Importin-α Family Member Kpna7

698 Is Required for Normal Fertility and Fecundity in the Mouse. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2010;

699 285: 33113–33122. doi:10.1074/JBC.M110.117044

700 38. Ohsugi M, Zheng P, Baibakov B, Li L, Dean J. Maternally derived FILIA-MATER complex

701 localizes asymmetrically in cleavage-stage mouse embryos. Development. 2008; 135:259–69.

702 doi:10.1242/dev.011445

703 39. Tian X, Pascal G, Monget P. Evolution and functional divergence of NLRP genes in mammalian

704 reproductive systems. BMC Ecology and Evolution. 2009; 9:202. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-9-202

705 40. Wang S, Zheng Y, Li J, Yu Y, Zhang W, Song M, et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomic Atlas of Primate

706 Ovarian Aging. Cell. 2020; 180:585–600.e19. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.009

707 41. Hamazaki N, Kyogoku H, Araki H, Miura F, Horikawa C, Hamada N, et al. Reconstitution of the

708 oocyte transcriptional network with transcription factors. Nature. 2021; 589:264–269.

709 doi:10.1038/s41586-020-3027-9

710 42. Gu C, Liu S, Wu Q, Zhang L, Guo F. Integrative single-cell analysis of transcriptome, DNA

methylome and chromatin accessibility in mouse oocytes. Cell Research. 2019; 29:110–123.

doi:10.1038/s41422-018-0125-4

43.   Erickson GF, Shimasaki S. The spatiotemporal expression pattern of the bone morphogenetic

protein family in rat ovary cell types during the estrous cycle. Reproductive Biology and

Endocrinology. 2003; 1:9. doi:10.1186/1477-7827-1-9

44.   Inagaki K, Otsuka F, Miyoshi T, Yamashita M, Takahashi M, Goto J, et al. p38-Mitogen-Activated

Protein Kinase Stimulated Steroidogenesis in Granulosa Cell-Oocyte Cocultures: Role of Bone

Morphogenetic Proteins 2 and 4. Endocrinology. 2009; 150:1921–1930. doi:10.1210/EN.2008-0851

45.   Wu YT, Chang HM, Huang HF, Sheng JZ, Leung PC. Bone morphogenetic protein 2 regulates cell–

cell communication by down-regulating connexin43 expression in luteinized human granulosa cells.

Molecular Human Reproduction. 2017; 23:155–165. doi:10.1093/MOLEHR/GAW078

46.   Hernandez Gifford JA. The role of WNT signaling in adult ovarian folliculogenesis. Reproduction.

2015; 150:R137–148. doi:10.1530/REP-14-0685

47.   Boyer A, Lapointe É, Zheng X, Cowan RG, Li H, Quirk SM, et al. WNT4 is required for normal

ovarian follicle development and female fertility. The FASEB Journal. 2010; 24:3010–3025.

doi:10.1096/fj.09-145789

48.   Boerboom D, Paquet M, Hsieh M, Liu J, Jamin SP, Behringer RR, et al. Misregulated Wnt/β-catenin

signaling to ovarian granulosa cell tumor development. Cancer Research. 2005; 65:9206–9215.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-1024

49.   Arend RC, Londoño-Joshi AI, Straughn JM, Buchsbaum DJ. The Wnt/β-catenin pathway in ovarian

cancer: a review. Gynecologic Oncology. 2013; 131:772–779. doi:10.1016/J.YGYNO.2013.09.034

50.   Jansen E, Laven JSE, Dommerholt HBR, Polman J, Van Rijt C, Van Den Hurk C, et al. Abnormal

gene expression profiles in human ovaries from polycystic ovary syndrome patients. Molecular

Endocrinology. 2004; 18:3050–3063. doi:10.1210/me.2004-0074

51.   Carpenter AC, Rao S, Wells JM, Campbell K, Lang RA. Generation of mice with a conditional null

allele for Wntless. Genesis. 2010; 48:554–558. doi:10.1002/dvg.20651

52. Dhillon H, Zigman JM, Ye C, Lee CE, McGovern RA, Tang V, et al. Leptin directly activates SF1

neurons in the VMH, and this action by leptin is required for normal body-weight homeostasis.

Neuron. 2006; 49:191–203. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2005.12.021

53. Madisen L, Zwingman TA, Sunkin SM, Oh SW, Zariwala HA, Gu H, et al. A robust and high-

throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nature

Neuroscience. 2010; 13:133–140. doi:10.1038/nn.2467

54. Zhou B, Ma Q, Rajagopal S, Wu SM, Domian I, Rivera-Feliciano J, et al. Epicardial progenitors

contribute to the cardiomyocyte lineage in the developing heart. Nature. 2008; 454:109–113.

doi:10.1038/nature07060

55. Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping

with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol. 2019; 37:907–915. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-

0201-4

56. Aronesty E. ea-utils : Command-line tools for processing biological sequencing data. 2011.

https://expressionanalysis.github.io/ea-utils/.

57. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al. Twelve years of SAMtools

and BCFtools. Gigascience. 2021; 10:giab008. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giab008

58. Wang L, Wang S, Li W. RSeQC: Quality control of RNA-seq experiments. Bioinformatics. 2012;

28:2184–2185. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts356

59. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. FeatureCounts: An efficient general purpose program for assigning

sequence   reads   to   genomic   features.   Bioinformatics.   2014;   30:923–930.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

60. Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, Hafemeister C, Papalexi E, Mauck WM, et al. Comprehensive

Integration of Single-Cell Data. Cell. 2019; 177:1888–1902.e21. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.031

61. Xie Z, Bailey A, Kuleshov M V., Clarke DJB, Evangelista JE, Jenkins SL, et al. Gene Set

Knowledge Discovery with Enrichr. Current Protocols. 2021; 1:e90. doi:10.1002/cpz1.90

62. Alam T, Agrawal S, Severin J, Young RS, Andersson R, Arner E, et al. Comparative transcriptomics

of primary cells in vertebrates. Genome Research. 2020; 30:951–961. doi:10.1101/gr.255679.119

63.   Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: A software

Environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Research. 2003;

13:2498–2504. doi:10.1101/gr.1239303

Figure Legends

Fig 1. Suppressed WNT signaling in pre-GCs leads to insufficient differentiation of the GC layer in

growing follicles. (**A**) Immunofluorescence staining of DDX4 (magenta) in the ovaries of *Wls* cKO mice

and littermate control mice at PD7. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (cyan). White dotted lines

indicate follicles. Scale bars, 200 μm (leftmost panels) or 20 μm (other panels). (**B**) Scatter plot for the

distribution of oocyte diameter and GC layer thickness for follicles of *Wls* cKO (n=161 follicles) and control

(n=241 follicles) mice at PD7. Solid lines represent the linear regression fit with equations. (**C**)

Immunofluorescence staining of DDX4 (green) and tdTomato fluorescence (magenta) in the ovaries of 2-

week-old *Sf1-Cre;Ai9* mice. (**D**) Experimental design for scRNA-seq analysis. Ovaries from *Wls* cKO (cKO,

*Sf1-Cre;Wls*flox/del*;Ai9*, n=8) or littermate control (Ctrl, *Sf1-Cre;Wls*flox/+*;Ai9*, n=3) mice were collected at

PD7 after which single-cell suspensions were obtained. scRNA-seq was performed using RamDA-seq and

the data were then analyzed.

Fig 2. scRNA-seq of PD7 ovaries reveals a cellular signature derived from *Wls* cKO mouse ovaries.

(**A, B**) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plots of unbiased clustering of ovarian

cells from control mice (**A**, *Sf1-Cre;Wls*flox/+*;Ai9*, n=350 cells) or from *Wls* cKO mice (**B**, *Sf1-*

*Cre;Wls*flox/del*;Ai9*, n=371 cells), where each dot represents an individual cell that is color-coded based on

its identified clusters. (**C, D**) Heatmap of the top 20 DEGs of each cluster for control (**C**) or *Wls* cKO (**D**)

samples. The value for each gene is the row-scaled Z-score. Representative DEGs for each cell type are

listed on the left. (**E**) UMAP plots showing the expression levels of selected marker genes (*Ddx4*, *Amh*,

Smad3, *Krt19*, *Col1a1*, *Enpep* and *Pdlim4*) or the ratio of intronic reads (*per_intron*). The color scale

represents the gene expression level scaled by the Z-score.

Fig 3. Integrated subpopulation analysis identifies distinct subgroups in GC lineages. (**A**) UMAP plot

of GCs color-coded by genotype (Ctrl, blue; *Wls* cKO, red). (**B**) UMAP plot showing the different cell

subclusters belonging to the GC lineage. (**C**) Heatmap of the top 20 DEGs of each subcluster. (**D, E**) Dot

plots showing the expression of selected markers for subclusters or characteristic features. The dot size

represents the ratio of cells expressing a specific marker (TPM > 1), while the color indicates the expression

level scaled by the Z-score. (**F**) Representative significantly enriched GO terms for DEGs of the GC

subcluster. (**G**) Immunofluorescence staining of PDLIM4 (magenta) and DDX4 (green) in the ovaries of 3-

week-old *Wls* cKO mice and littermate control mice. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). White

dotted lines indicate follicles. Scale bars, 20 μm.

Fig 4. Similarities and differences in gene regulatory dynamics in GC activation between control and

***Wls* cKO mice.** (**A**) UMAP plot showing the average expression level of the genes in each cluster defined

by hierarchical clustering (cutting into $k = 4$) of DEGs identified by the singleCellHaystack method. (**B**)

Representative enriched GO terms of the clusters. (**C**) Regulatory networks visualizing potential key

transcriptional regulators involved in GC differentiation. The top 10 nodes are colored dark blue. (**D**)

UMAP plots showing expression levels of selected potential hub genes (*Nr5a2*, *Tcf7*, *Tox2*, *Fosb*, *Ets1*,

Irx3, *Tsc22d1* and *Bmp2*). The color scale represents the gene expression level scaled by the Z-score. (**E**)

Immunofluorescence staining of IRX3 (magenta) and DDX4 (green) in the ovaries of 3-week-old *Wls* cKO

mice and littermate control mice. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). White dotted lines indicate

follicles. Scale bars, 20 μm. (**F**) Violin plots showing fluorescence intensity of IRX3 in (pre-)GCs

determined from images similar to those in (E). Horizontal lines represent the median. Statistical

comparison was performed by two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test; ns denotes not

significant. Pm, primordial; Pr, primary; Sec, secondary follicles. Sample numbers are indicated in

parentheses.

Fig 5. Distinct gene expression patterns in dormant and in activated oocytes under the influence of

insufficient GC maturation. (**A**) UMAP plot of oocytes color-coded by genotype (Ctrl, blue; *Wls* cKO,

red). (**B**) UMAP plot showing the different cell subclusters of oocytes. (**C**) Heatmap of the top 20 DEGs of

each subcluster; for the activated-cKO subcluster, all 10 DEGs were used. (**D, E**) Dot plots showing the

expression of selected markers for subclusters or characteristic features. The dot size represents the ratio of

cells expressing a specific marker (TPM > 1), while the color indicates the average gene expression level

scaled by the Z-score. (**F**) Immunofluorescence staining of RPLP1 (magenta) and DDX4 (green) in the

ovaries of 3-week-old *Wls* cKO mice and littermate control mice. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI

(blue). White dotted lines indicate follicles. Scale bars, 20 μm. (**G, H**) Boxplots depicting fluorescence

intensity of RPLP1 (G) or RPL27A (H) in oocytes determined from immunofluorescent images. Sample

numbers are indicated in parentheses. Statistical comparison was performed by two-way ANOVA with

Sidak's multiple comparisons test; ns denotes not significant.

Fig 6. Analysis of possible molecular crosstalk and regulatory features in the absence of WNT

signaling. (**A**) UMAP plots depict the average expression level of DEGs in each cluster defined by

hierarchical clustering (cutting into $k = 4$) identified by the singleCellHaystack method. (**B**) Violin plots

indicating the expression of DEGs for each cluster within oocyte subclusters (O1–O3) from control and

from *Wls* cKO samples. Dmt, Dormant. Act, activated. (**C**) Regulatory networks visualizing potential key

transcriptional regulators in oocyte activation. The top 10 nodes are colored dark green, nodes ranked 11-

20 are colored green, and the others are colored light green. (**D**) UMAP plots showing expression levels of

selected potential hub genes (*Zfp57*, *Foxr1*, *Nr1h2*, *Eno1* and *Sp110*). The color scale represents the gene

expression level scaled by the Z-score.

Supporting Information

Fig S1. Optical detection of cells and quality control for single-cell RNA sequencing. UMAP plots showing tdTomato-expressing somatic cells (**A**), the KIT-APC positive oocyte population (**B**), the total number of mapped reads (total seq) (**C**), and the number of detected genes (number of genes) (**D**). The color scale represents the gene expression level scaled by the Z-score.

Fig S2. DEG clustering dendrogram of GC populations based on expression kinetics. Diagram of hierarchical clustering based on the kinetics of DEGs obtained by the singleCellHaystack method in the GC population. Color bars represent the clustering result when the dendrogram is cut into 4-6 clusters. The number to the left of each bar indicates the number of clusters. The average expression levels of the genes in each cluster were projected onto UMAP plots below the corresponding bars. More clusters lead to a greater subdivision of the main DEG cluster.

Fig S3. Characteristic features of the altered-oocyte subcluster. (**A**) PCA plots of oocytes. Different colors correspond to subclusters of oocytes. The principal components on the axes capture the major sources of gene expression variation, with their respective contributions indicated in the axis labels. (**B**) Dot plot showing the expression of growing oocyte markers. The dot size represents the ratio of cells expressing a specific marker (TPM > 1), while the color indicates the average gene expression level scaled by the Z-score. (**C**) UMAP plots showing cell size, the total number of mapped reads (total sequence), the ratio of intronic reads (percent intron), the PC1 positive factor loading and the PC1 negative factor loading. (**D**) UMAP plots showing the top 6 characterized genes of PC1 positive factor loading. (**E**) UMAP plots showing the top 6 characterized genes of PC1 negative factor loading.

Fig S4. DEG clustering dendrogram of oocyte populations based on expression kinetics similarity.

Diagram of hierarchical clustering based on the kinetics of DEGs obtained by the singleCellHaystack

method in the oocyte population. Color bars represent the clustering result when the dendrogram is cut into

4-6 clusters. The number on the left of each bar indicates the number of clusters. The average expression

level of the genes in each cluster were projected onto UMAP plots below the corresponding bars.

Table S1. List of top 20 DEGs for each cluster identified from the PD7 control ovary dataset.

Table S2. List of top 20 DEGs for each cluster identified from the PD7 Wls cKO ovary dataset.

Table S3. List of DEGs identified with Seurat from granulosa cell subpopulation dataset.

Table S4. List of DEGs identified with the singleCellHayStack from the granulosa cell subpopulation

dataset.

Table S5. List of significantly enriched GOs for cluster (K=4) of DEGs identified by the

singlecellHayStack from the granulosa cell subpopulation dataset.

Table S6. List of DEGs identified with Seurat from oocyte subpopulation dataset.

Table S7. List of enriched GOs based on DEGs identified with Seurat from oocyte subpopulation

dataset.

Table S8. List of DEGs identified with the singleCellHayStack from the oocyte subpopulation dataset.

Table S9. Disease database showing ovarian or WNT related defeacts were at top of the erichment

table. Top 10 terms were listed for the two databases.

Figure 1

A

Overview   Primordial   Primary

DDX4 DAPI

Control

Wls cKO

B

Control
Y = 0.59*X - 6.33, R² = 0.79

Wls cKO
Y = 0.35*X - 2.65, R² = 0.68

GC thickness (*μm*)

Oocyte Size (*μm*)

C

Overview   Primordial   Primary

DDX4 tdTomato
Sf1-Cre; Ai9

D

PD7   Ovary   Digestion   Cell sorting   RamDA-seq

Ctrl

cKO

Oocytes

Somatic
cells

Figure 2

Figure 3

A Relative expresssion

Low → High

cluster 1 (n=536)

UMAP_2 / UMAP_1

cluster 2 (n=274)

UMAP_2 / UMAP_1

cluster 3 (n=101)

UMAP_2 / UMAP_1

cluster 4 (n=89)

UMAP_2 / UMAP_1

B

intersection_size: 0 ● 20 ● 40 ● 60

gene.ratio: 0.0 — 0.6

cluster_1 GCs

- Cytoplasmic Translation
- Macromolecule Biosynthetic Process
- Translation
- Gene Expression
- Ribosomal Small Subunit Biogenesis

20 40 60 80 $-\log_{10}$(adj p-value)

cluster_2 Pre-GCs

- Protein Localization To Cell Periphery
- Regulation Of Basement Membrane Organization
- Regulation Of Potassium Ion Transmembrane Transporter Activity
- Positive Regulation Of Integrin–Mediated Signaling Pathway
- Protein Localization To Membrane

2.0 2.2 2.4 $-\log_{10}$(adj p-value)

cluster_3 cKO high

- Transmembrane Receptor Protein Serine/Threonine Kinase Signaling Pathway
- Positive Regulation Of Cell Differentiation
- Positive Regulation Of Protein Binding
- Cell Surface Receptor Signaling Pathway Involved In Cell–Cell Signaling
- Negative Regulation Of Developmental Process

2.0 2.5 3.0 $-\log_{10}$(adj p-value)

cluster_4 Ctrl high

- Response To Unfolded Protein
- Chaperone Cofactor–Dependent Protein Refolding
- Positive Regulation Of Phosphorylation
- Regulation Of Protein Modification By Small Protein Conjugation Or Removal
- Cellular Response To Steroid Hormone Stimulus

2 3 $-\log_{10}$(adj p-value)

C

Nr5a2, Tsc22d1, Ssrp1, Ets1, Esr2, Tox2, Fosb, Irx3, Tcf7, Bmp2

D Gene expresssion

Low → High

Nr5a2 Tcf7 Tox2 Fosb

Ets1 Irx3 Tsc22d1 Bmp2

(UMAP_2 / UMAP_1 for each)

E

Control | Wls cKO

Primordial | Primary | Secondary | Primordial | Primary | Secondary

Merged (IRX3 DDX4 DAPI)

IRX3

F

P < 0.0001
P < 0.0001
ns   P = 0.045   ns

Intensity of IRX3

(324) (310) (218) (309) (320) (150)

Pm   Pr   Sec

■ Control ■ Wls cKO

Figure 5

A
● *Wls* cKO
● Ctrl

B
● O1, Dormant
● O2, Activated-Ctrl
● O3, Activated-cKO

C
O1, Dormant   O2, Activated-Ctrl   O3, Activated-cKO
Exp.

D Selected Marker Genes
pct.cells ● 0.0 ● 0.5 ● 1.0
Expression −0.4 0.4

O1, Dormant
O2, Activated-Ctrl
O3, Activated-cKO

Bbs7 Ankrd36 Gas5 Pm20d1 | *Dppa5a Kpna7 Khdc3 Nlrp4a* | *Med25 Nr1h2 Eno1 Adrm1*
Dormant | Activated-Ctrl | Activated-cKO

E Other Characteristic Genes
pct.cells ● 0.0 ● 0.5 ● 1.0
Expression −0.5 0 0.5

O1, Dormant
O2, Activated-Ctrl
O3, Activated-cKO

mt−Atp8 mt−Nd2 mt−Nd4l mt−Nd6 | *Rps23 Rps25 Rpl27a Rplp1* | *Zp1 Zp2 Zp3 Gdf9*
Mitochondrial | Ribosomal | Maternal

F
Control | *Wls* cKO
Primordial Primary Secondary | Primordial Primary Secondary
RPLP1 DDX4 DAPI
Merged
RPLP1

G
P < 0.0001
P < 0.0001
P < 0.0001   ns
Intensity of RPLP1
(84) (142) (26) (25)
Oocyte size (μm) <20   30-50

H
P = 0.0092
P = 0.0002
P < 0.0001   ns
Intensity of RPL27A
(71) (95) (9) (13)
Oocyte size (μm) <20   30-50
□ Control
□ *Wls* cKO

Figure 6

Fig S1. Optical detection of cells and quality control for single-cell RNA sequencing.
UMAP plots showing tdTomato-expressing somatic cells (**A**), the KIT-APC positive oocyte
population (**B**), the total number of mapped reads (total seq) (**C**), and the number of
detected genes (number of genes) (**D**). The color scale represents the gene expression level
scaled by the Z-score.

Fig S2. DEG clustering dendrogram of GC populations based on expression kinetics.
Diagram of hierarchical clustering based on the kinetics of DEGs obtained by the
singleCellHaystack method in the GC population. Color bars represent the clustering result
when the dendrogram is cut into 4-6 clusters. The number to the left of each bar indicates
the number of clusters. The average expression levels of the genes in each cluster were
projected onto UMAP plots below the corresponding bars. More clusters lead to a greater

Fig S3. Characteristic features of the altered-oocyte subcluster. (**A**) PCA plots of oocytes. Different colors correspond to subclusters of oocytes. The principal components on the axes capture the major sources of gene expression variation, with their respective contributions indicated in the axis labels. (**B**) Dot plot showing the expression of growing oocyte markers. The dot size represents the ratio of cells expressing a specific marker (TPM > 1), while the color indicates the average gene expression level scaled by the Z-score. (**C**) UMAP plots showing cell size, the total number of mapped reads (total sequence), the ratio of intronic reads (percent intron), the PC1 positive factor loading and the PC1 negative factor loading. (**D**) UMAP plots showing the top 6 characterized genes of PC1 positive factor loading. (**E**) UMAP plots showing the top 6 characterized genes of PC1 negative factor loading.

Fig S4. DEG clustering dendrogram of oocyte populations based on expression kinetics similarity. Diagram of hierarchical clustering based on the kinetics of DEGs obtained by the singleCellHaystack method in the oocyte population. Color bars represent the clustering result when the dendrogram is cut into 4-6 clusters. The number on the left of each bar indicates the number of clusters. The average expression level of the genes in each cluster were projected onto UMAP plots below the corresponding bars.