1

Related PP2C phosphatases Pic3 and Pic12 negatively regulate immunity in tomato to

Pseudomonas syringae

Fan Xia[1,2], Ning Zhang[1,2], Renee E. Smith[1], Joydeep Chakraborty[3], Guy Sobol[3], Xuemei Tang[1], Zhangjun Fei[1,2,4], Guido Sessa[3,+], Gregory B. Martin[1,2*]

[1]Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

[2]Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

[3]School of Plant Sciences and Food Security, The George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, ISRAEL

[4]USDA-ARS Robert W. Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

[*]Corresponding author: Gregory B. Martin (gbm7@cornell.edu)

[+]Deceased July 4, 2023

ORCID IDs

Fan Xia:	0000-0002-8091-2426
Ning Zhang:	0000-0003-2775-1755
Renee Smith:	0009-0003-5105-9766
Joydeep Chakraborty:	0000-0003-1106-8740
Guy Sobol:	0000-0003-1440-9432
Zhangjun Fei:	0000-0001-9684-1450
Guido Sessa:	0000-0001-8737-7377
Gregory B. Martin:	0000-0003-0044-6830

Abstract

Type 2C protein phosphatases (PP2Cs) constitute a large family in most plant species but relatively few

of them have been implicated in immunity. To identify and characterize PP2C phosphatases that affect

tomato immunity, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to generate loss-of-function mutations in 11 PP2C-encoding

genes whose expression is altered in response to immune elicitors or pathogens. We report that two

closely related PP2C phosphatases, Pic3 and Pic12, are involved in regulating resistance to the bacterial

pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (*Pst*). Loss-of-function mutations in *Pic3* lead to enhanced

resistance to *Pst* in older but not younger leaves, whereas such mutations in *Pic12* resulted in enhanced

resistance in both older and younger leaves. Overexpression of Pic3 and Pic12 proteins in leaves of

Nicotiana benthamiana inhibited resistance to *Pst* and this effect was dependent on Pic3/12

phosphatase activity and an N-terminal palmitoylation motif associated with localization to the cell

periphery. Pic3 but not Pic12 had a slight negative effect on flagellin-associated reactive oxygen species

generation, although their involvement in the response to *Pst* appeared independent of flagellin. RNA-

sequencing analysis of Rio Grande (RG)-PtoR wild-type plants and two independent RG-pic3 mutants

revealed that the enhanced disease resistance in RG-pic3 older leaves is associated with increased

transcript abundance of multiple defense related genes. RG-pic3/RG-pic12 double mutant plants

exhibited stronger disease resistance than RG-pic3 or RG-pic12 single mutants. Together, our results

reveal that Pic3 and Pic12 negatively regulate tomato immunity in an additive manner through flagellin-

independent pathways.

55
56
57   **Key words:** Type 2C protein phosphatases, Plant immunity, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Solanum*

58   *lycopersicum,* tomato

Introduction

Plants use a two-layered innate immune system to protect themselves against pathogen attack. The first

layer uses cell surface-associated pattern recognition receptor (PRR) proteins to detect pathogen

associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) to activate PRR-triggered immunity (PTI). Successful bacterial

pathogens translocate effector proteins into plant cells through the type III secretion system (T3SS) and,

among several activities, target various host components to inhibit PTI. The second layer of defense

deploys intracellular nucleotide-binding, leucine-rich repeat (NLR) family proteins to sense pathogen

effectors and initiate effector triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Despite the differences

in recognition mechanisms, PTI and ETI both elicit some common host responses including reactive

oxygen species (ROS) burst and calcium fluxes, activation of mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK),

and transcriptional reprograming and ultimately result in the restriction of pathogen multiplication

(Zhang et al., 2020; Wang and Luan, 2023).

A well-characterized plant PRR, Flagellin Sensing 2 (Fls2), is a receptor kinase conserved in higher plants

that binds a 22 amino acid epitope, flg22, of the bacterial flagellin protein (Sun et al., 2013; Cheng, Xiao

and Xiong, 2020). In tomato and other solanaceous plants, a distinct 28 amino acid epitope, flgII-28, also

derived from flagellin, is recognized by the receptor kinase Flagellin Sensing 3 (Fls3) (Clarke et al., 2013;

Hind et al., 2016). Fls2 and Fls3 each form a complex with co-receptor BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE

1–associated kinase 1 (BAK1) to activate downstream defense responses (Chinchilla et al., 2007; Hind et

al., 2016). Fls2- and Fls3-mediated PTI plays an important role in tomato resistance to bacterial

pathogens (Rosli et al., 2013; Roberts et al., 2020). Consequently, either the deletion of bacterial

flagellin-encoding gene *fliC* or loss-of-function mutations in both Fls2 and Fls3 significantly compromise

tomato resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (*Pst*) DC3000 (Roberts et al., 2020; Zhang

et al., 2020). The cold shock protein (CSP)-derived bacterial PAMP, csp22, is recognized by the receptor

kinase cold shock protein receptor (CORE) in tomato and loss-of-function mutations in the *CORE* gene in

tomato lead to enhanced susceptibility to *Pst* (Danielle et al., 2024).

The tomato disease resistance gene *Pto* encodes a protein kinase that physically interacts with T3SS

effectors AvrPto and AvrPtoB (Tang et al., 1996; Kim, Lin and Martin, 2002). However, to trigger ETI, Pto

interacts and forms a complex with the NLR protein Prf (Ntoukakis et al., 2013). A number of signaling

components acting downstream of Pto/Prf have been identified, including NLR required for cell death

(Nrc2 and Nrc3), 14-3-3 proteins Tomato Fourteen-Three-three (Tft1, Tft3 and Tft7), mitogen-activated

protein (MAP) kinase cascade components MAP Kinase Kinase Kinase (MAPKKKα), MAP kinase kinases

(MKK1 and MKK2) and MAP Kinases (wound-inducible protein kinase (WIPK) and NTF6)), and M3Kα-

interacting protein 1 (Mai1) (Ekengren et al., 2003; Mucyn et al., 2006; Oh, Pedley and Martin, 2010; Oh

and Martin, 2011; Roberts et al., 2019; Sheikh et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024). Loss of Pto/Prf or of

certain downstream components disrupts Pto/Prf mediated ETI, resulting in compromised resistance

against *Pst* stains carrying AvrPto or AvrPtoB.

PTI and ETI signaling involve many protein kinases which rely on phosphorylation for promoting signal

transduction (Ngou, Ding and Jones, 2022). In contrast, protein phosphatases counteract kinase function

by dephosphorylating their substrates. Protein phosphatases together with kinases act as molecular

switches and maintain balanced and tightly regulated defense responses (Erickson et al., 2022). For

instance, overexpression of kinase associated protein phosphatase (KAPP) in Arabidopsis inhibits the

binding of FLS2 with flagellin and results in reduced flagellin sensitivity (Gomez-Gomez, Bauer and

Boller, 2001). A heterotrimeric protein Ser/Thr phosphatase type 2A (PP2A), comprised of several

subunits, has been shown to regulate Arabidopsis immunity through modulating the phosphorylation

status of FLS2 co-receptor BAK1 (Segonzac et al., 2014). Additionally, silencing a catalytic subunit of

PP2A (PP2Ac) in *Nicotiana benthamiana* reduces PP2A activity and enhances the hypersensitive

response (HR) induced by avirulent *Pst* (He et al., 2004).

In Arabidopsis, there are about 1,125 protein kinases but only around 150 protein phosphatases (Bheri

et al., 2021). Notably, much less is known about protein phosphatases than kinases in plant defense,

partly because forward genetic screening of defense-related mutants in the past has rarely identified

protein phosphatases (He et al., 2004). Based on protein sequence and catalytic mechanism, plant

protein phosphatases are divided into four families: phosphoprotein phosphatase (PPP), protein

phosphatase 2C (PP2C, also named Mg^{2+}/Mn^{2+}-dependent protein phosphatase (PPM)),

phosphotyrosine phosphatase (PTP) and Asp-dependent phosphatase (Uhrig, Labandera and Moorhead,

2013). The PPP and PP2C family members are serine/threonine protein phosphatases that

dephosphorylate phospho-serine and phospho-threonine but the sequences of PP2Cs are distinct from

those of PPP members (Uhrig, Labandera and Moorhead, 2013). Significant attention has been given to

PP2Cs in recent years as many of them are found to regulate biotic and abiotic stresses (Schweighofer,

Hirt and Meskiene, 2004; Sobol et al., 2022). For example, several PP2Cs are regulators of abscisic acid

(ABA) signaling, which plays an important role in abiotic stress responses and other physiological

processes (Chen et al., 2020). In Arabidopsis, several PP2C phosphatases have been implicated in

dampening immunity by dephosphorylating defense signaling components. These include Highly ABA-

Induced1 (HAI1) and Arabidopsis PP2C-type phosphatase 1 (AP2C1) that dephosphorylate MAPKs and

PP2C38 that dephosphorylates Botrytis-Induced Kinase 1 (BIK1), POLTERGEIST-LIKE 4 (PLL4) that

mediates dephosphorylation of FLS2 and the Elongation Factor Tu Receptor (EFR), and chitin elicitor

receptor kinase1 (CERK1)-interacting protein phosphatase 1 (CIPP1) that dephosphorylates chitin co-

receptor CERK1 (Schweighofer et al., 2007; Couto et al., 2016; Mine et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; DeFalco

et al., 2022). In rice, PP2C phosphatase XA21 binding protein 15 (XB15) mediates receptor kinase XA21

dephosphorylation and the loss of XB15 activates cell death, increases defense-related gene expression,

and enhances XA21-mediated resistance (Park et al., 2008).

Most research about plant PP2Cs has been performed in Arabidopsis and to a lesser extent in rice. Little

is known about the role of these phosphatases in the tomato immune response. Compared to

Arabidopsis and rice, which have 80 and 76 PP2C phosphatases, respectively, the tomato (*Solanum*

lycopersicum) genome encodes 97 PP2C phosphatases (Xue et al., 2008; Fuchs et al., 2013; Qiu et al.,

2022; Sobol et al., 2022). Many tomato PP2Cs share high sequence similarity with their Arabidopsis and

rice counterparts, suggesting they might play a conserved role in these species. We previously reported

that the tomato PP2C phosphatase Pic1 negatively modulates ROS production in *N. benthamiana* by

dephosphorylating a key PTI related kinase, Pti1b (Schwizer et al., 2017; Giska and Martin, 2019). By

examining transcriptomic data, we subsequently found that transcript abundances of *Pic1* and 12 other

tomato PP2C genes were significantly increased or decreased upon PAMP and pathogen treatments,

suggesting they might have a role in immunity (Sobol et al., 2022). We now refer to these as PP2C

immunity-associated candidate (Pic) proteins. Here we used CRISPR/Cas9 technology to generate

mutations in a collection of *Pic* genes and report that two closely related Pic phosphatases negatively

regulate tomato immunity.

Results

A screen of PP2C immunity candidate (Pic) mutant lines reveals that Pic3 negatively regulates

resistance to *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* in older but not younger leaves

To assess the role of Pic phosphatases in the tomato defense response, we first generated gene-specific

guide RNAs (gRNAs) and used CRISPR/Cas9 technology to induce loss-of-function mutations in a set of

eight previously identified PP2C-encoding genes and, in two cases (*Pic7* and *Pic10*), an additional two

closely-related genes (Sobol et al., 2022) (**Figure 1A** and **Supplemental Figure S1**). Agrobacterium-

mediated stable transformation with the 10 gRNA constructs was performed using tomato cultivar Rio-

Grande PtoR (RG-PtoR, wildtype), which expresses the *Pto/Prf* genes and recognizes race 0

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *tomato (Pst)* strains (Pedley and Martin, 2003). Cas9-mediated target gene

disruption resulted in deletions and insertions near the 5' end of the *Pic* genes, which caused open-

reading frameshifts and introduced a premature stop codon (except for *Pic11*), leading to predicted loss-

of-function mutations (**Figure 1A** and **Supplemental Figures S1** and **S2A**). For most *Pic* genes, we

obtained multiple transgenic lines (referred to as RG-pic lines) and chose 1 or 2 independent lines for

each gene for further study. The overall morphology and growth of 4-week-old RG-pic mutant plants

was generally indistinguishable from wild-type plants (**Figure 1A** and **Supplemental Figure S3A**).

To test if these *Pic* genes are involved in tomato defense responses to *Pst*, we inoculated each RG-pic

line with three different *Pst* DC3000 strains. DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (DC3000ΔΔ) carries deletions in

two genes encoding type III effectors that are recognized by Pto/Prf (Lin and Martin, 2005). Thus, it does

not trigger ETI and can be used to test PTI-based responses in RG-PtoR plants. The

DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ) strain, has an additional deletion of the *fliC* gene encoding

the flagellin protein. Since flagellin-associated peptides, flg22 and flgII-28, are the major *Pst* PAMPs

recognized by tomato (via the Fls2 and Fls3 receptors, respectively), this strain can reveal potential non-

flagellin-based basal defense responses in tomato (Rosli et al., 2013; Hind et al., 2016; Roberts et al.,

2020). The wild-type DC3000 strain is used to test for AvrPto- and AvrPtoB-activated ETI responses

(Zhang et al., 2020). Our screening of the RG-pic mutant lines showed that loss-of-function mutations in

nine of the *Pic* genes (two genes each in the RG-pic7 and RG-pic10 lines) did not significantly affect the

tomato response to any of the DC3000 strains, as bacterial populations in these RG-pic mutants were

not statistically different from wild-type plants (**Supplemental Figures S3B, S3C** and **S3D).**

Two independent lines were identified that had predicted loss-of-function mutations in the *Pic3* gene

(Solyc01g105280). Line RG-pic3-1 has a 17-bp deletion and 4-bp substitutions in exon 2 and line RG-pic3-

2 has a 4-bp deletion in exon 2 (**Figure 1A**). In both cases, the mutations are predicted to result in

truncated proteins lacking the PP2C domain (**Supplemental Figure S2A**). Inoculation of the lines with

DC3000ΔΔ resulted in fewer bacterial specks in the older leaves of the RG-pic3 lines than on wild-type

plants at 4 days after inoculation (**Figure 1B**). In addition, lower bacterial populations were observed in

older leaves of the RG-pic3 lines than in wild-type plants at 2 days post-inoculation (dpi; **Figure 1B**).

Interestingly, DC3000ΔΔ disease symptoms and populations in younger leaves of the RG-pic3 mutant

lines were not different from those of wild-type plants (**Figure 1B**).

When inoculated with DC3000ΔΔΔ, we observed less severe disease symptoms and markedly reduced

bacterial population in older leaves of both RG-pic3 lines compared to wild-type plants, while

DC3000ΔΔΔ disease symptoms and populations in younger leaves were not different between wild-type

and RG-pic3 plants (**Figure 1C**). These results suggest that Pic3 negatively regulate PTI and/or other basal

defenses, and this regulation is at least partially independent of flg22 and flgII-28. Since both RG-pic3-1

and RG-pic3-2 showed similar phenotypes in these initial experiments we used just the RG-pic3-1 line in

some of the subsequent experiments.

As expected, DC3000 at a relatively low titer (2×10^5 cfu/mL) activates ETI and did not cause symptoms

on wild-type RG-PtoR plants, but bacterial populations in this line still slightly increased at 2 dpi. The RG-

pic3 plants supported slightly less DC3000 growth than wild-type plants in the older but not younger

leaves (**Figure 1D**). However, RG-pic3 plants inoculated with a higher titer of DC3000 (1×10^7 cfu/mL)

developed a hypersensitive response that was indistinguishable from wild-type RG-PtoR plants

(**Supplemental Figure S4**), indicating that Pic3 does not play a major role in regulating ETI. Finally, we

observed that older leaves of 8-week-old plants of the two RG-pic3 lines started to show early

senescence while those of wild-type plants did not (**Supplemental Figure S2B**), suggesting an

autoimmune response or Pic3-mediated regulation of additional processes in addition to defense.

Pic3 protein belongs to clade D or clade F of PP2Cs, depending on the phylogenetic analysis (Fuchs et al.,

2013; Qiu et al., 2022; Sobol et al., 2022). Several clade A PP2Cs have been reported to regulate ABA

signaling, which in some cases is involved in senescence development (Tischer et al., 2017; Chen et al.,

2020). To test if the enhanced disease resistance seen in RG-pic3 plants might be associated with an

ABA response, we examined the expression of two well-known ABA-pathway reporter genes in RG-pic3

plants after exogenous ABA treatment (Ma et al., 2018; Lei et al., 2023). The expression levels of these

two genes, *AREB1* and *SAG113*, were not different in RG-pic3 plants compared to wild-type plants

(**Supplemental Figures S5A** and **S5B**), suggesting Pic3-associated defense is unlikely to be associated

with an ABA response.

Pic3 phosphatase activity and its palmitoylation motif are required for its negative regulation of the

tomato immune response

Pic3 encodes a PP2C protein with a single PP2C catalytic domain but no known regulatory domain at the

N and C terminus (Sobol et al., 2022). The catalytic domain contains conserved aspartic acid residues

that play a role in binding metal ions such as Mn^{2+}/Mg^{2+} (**Supplemental Figure S6**), and several studies

(Jackson, Fjeld and Denu, 2003; Conner et al., 2006; Couto et al., 2016; Giska and Martin, 2019) reported

that substitutions from aspartic acid (D) to asparagine (N) at these highly conserved sites abolishes

phosphatase activities. To test the possible requirement of Pic3 phosphatase activity in defense, we

generated a construct carrying a variant, Pic3NN, with substitutions at the two conserved aspartic

residues (D71N and D233N; **Figure 2A**). Using the 'agromonas' approach (Buscaill et al., 2021), we

transiently expressed Pic3, Pic3NN and a YFP control for 2 days in *N. benthamiana* leaves and then

inoculated the plants with DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1,* which lacks the T3SS effector gene *hopQ1-1* that can be

detected by the NLR protein Recognition of XopQ 1 (Roq1), thus making DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1* virulent in *N.*

benthamiana; (Wei et al., 2007; Schultink et al., 2017). Compared to the YFP control, transient

expression of wild-type Pic3 significantly increased the DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1* population in *N. benthamiana,*

consistent with Pic3 playing a negative role in resistance to DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1* (**Figure 2B**)*.* In contrast,

bacterial abundance in leaves expressing Pic3NN were not statistically different from that in plants

expressing YFP (**Figure 2B**), indicating that phosphatase activity plays an important role in Pic3 function

in defense. Consistent with the observation that Pic3-modulated defense is flagellin independent, when

inoculated with a flagellin deficient strain, DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1ΔfliC*, *N. benthamiana* plants expressing

wild-type Pic3 protein also supported significantly higher bacterial populations than plants expressing

Pic3NN or YFP (**Figure 2C**). Immunoblot analysis confirmed that the Pic3 and Pic3NN proteins were

expressed in leaves at similar levels (**Figure 2D**).

We next discovered, using GS-Palm (Ning et al., 2021), that three cysteine residues (C5, C6 and C21) at

the Pic3 N- terminus constitute a predicted palmitoylation motif. Palmitoylation is the covalent addition

of fatty acids to a cysteine residue, which often promotes association of proteins with membranes (Lin,

2021). As our disease assays indicate that Pic3 could negatively regulate a PTI response, we

hypothesized that Pic3 might associate with the plasma membrane to modulate early PTI-related

signaling events. To test the possible requirement of Pic3 palmitoylation in defense, we generated a

construct carrying a Pic3 variant with a disrupted palmitoylation motif (Pic3SSS; with C5S, C6S and C21S

substitutions) and tested it using the agromonas approach described above (**Figures 2A, 2B** and **2C**). We

observed that bacterial abundances in Pic3SSS-expressing plants were not statistically different from

those in plants expressing YFP or Pic3NN (**Figures 2B** and **2C**), suggesting that palmitoylation is required

for Pic3 function in defense. Immunoblot analysis confirmed that the Pic3 and Pic3SSS proteins were

expressed at similar levels (**Figure 2D**).

To test if the palmitoylation motif affects Pic3 subcellular localization, we fused a YFP tag to the C-

terminus of Pic3 and Pic3SSS and examined Pic3- and Pic3SSS-YFP fusion protein localization with a

confocal microscope. As expected, we found that fluorescence from the YFP protein control was present

in both the cytosol and nucleus. Fluorescence from the Pic3-YFP protein was noticeably associated with

the cell periphery, whereas a significant portion of Pic3SSS-YFP fluorescence appeared in the nucleus

(**Figure 2E**). Immunoblot analysis confirmed that the Pic3-YFP and Pic3SSS-YFP proteins were expressed

at similar levels (**Supplemental Figure S7A**). These observations support the hypothesis that

palmitoylation of the Pic3 protein affects its subcellular localization. We also investigated, but did not

find, an effect on Pic3 protein localization associated with inoculation by DC30000Δ*hopQ1-1* or exposure

to the PAMP flg22 (**Supplemental Figure S7B**).

Pic3 does not affect MAPK activation but has a minor role in negatively regulating flgII-28 mediated

ROS production

MAPK activation and ROS production are two PTI-associated responses that occur in tomato after the

recognition of flg22 or flgII-28 by Fls2 or Fls3, respectively (Roberts et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020).

Although we showed that Pic3-modulated defense against *Pst* can be independent of flagellin, it

remained possible that certain responses controlled by Fls2 and/or Fls3 might be regulated by this

phosphatase. We therefore monitored MAPK activation in wild-type and RG-pic3 plants after exposure

to flg22 or flgII-28 (Chinchilla et al., 2006; Hind et al., 2016; Roberts et al., 2020). We also included in our

MAPK assay another PAMP, csp22 peptide, which is derived from a cold shock protein, and recognized

by the CORE receptor (Wang et al., 2016; Danielle et al., 2024) to see if it was involved in the flagellin-

independent response we observed earlier. MAPKs were activated similarly in both older and younger

leaves in wild-type and RG-pic3 plants with all three different peptide treatments (**Figure 3A**). Other

unknown PAMPs might also induce PTI and trigger MAPK activation in tomato. To test if MAPK

activation due to these PAMPs is affected by Pic3, we used boiled *Pst* cell lysates from DC3000ΔΔ and

DC3000ΔΔΔ as a source of other unknown PAMPs to induce MAPK. However, we again detected no

significant difference between RG-pic3 and wild-type plants in MAPK activation in response to these

lysates (**Supplemental Figure S8**).

To test if Pic3 affects Fls2- or Fls3-mediated ROS production, we measured ROS production in older and

younger leaves of RG-pic3 and wild-type plants after flg22 or flgII-28 treatment. We observed no

statistically significant increase in flg22-induced ROS in older leaves of RG-pic3 compared to wild-type

plants (**Figure 3B**). However, after flgII-28 treatment, older leaves of RG-pic3 plants did consistently

show higher ROS production than those of wild-type plants (**Figure 3C**). We observed no difference in

ROS production in younger leaves between RG-pic3 and wild-type plants in response to either flg22 or

flgII-28 (**Figures 3D** and **3E**). Overall, these results suggest that Pic3 has a slight negative effect on flgII-

28-activated ROS production but otherwise does not have a detectable effect on two well-characterized

PTI responses.

Transcript abundance of defense-related genes in RG-pic3 plants is increased in older leaves compared

to wild-type plants

Pst is known to activate significant transcriptional reprograming in tomato, which is associated with

restriction of bacterial multiplication in leaves (Rosli et al., 2013; Pombo et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2022).

To investigate how Pic3 might affect the plant immune response independent of flagellin, we vacuum

inoculated wild-type plants and the two RG-pic3 lines with DC3000ΔΔΔ and collected RNA samples from

older leaves and younger leaves separately at 6 h post-inoculation (hpi) and carried out an RNA-

sequencing (RNA-Seq) analysis. This analysis revealed that substantially more differently expressed

genes (DEGs) were found in older than younger leaves of RG-pic3 compared to wild-type plants (**Figure**

4A). As we have done previously to simplify the analysis (Rosli et al., 2013; Pombo et al., 2014), we

focused on genes with an FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped fragments) of

≥3 in RG-pic3 (for up-regulated DEGs) or wild-type plants (for down-regulated DEGs), and a fold-change

of ≥2 and an FDR (false discovery rate) of < 0.05. Applying these parameters to older leaves, we found

that transcript abundance of 1,123 genes was greater in RG-pic3 plants compared to wild-type plants

and transcript abundance of 387 genes was less in RG-pic3 compared to wild-type plants (**Figure 4A**). In

contrast, in younger leaves there were only 13 genes with higher transcript abundance in RG-pic3

compared to wild-type plants and just two genes with lower transcript abundance in RG-pic3 compared

to wild-type plants. These results are consistent with the observation that RG-pic3 plants have stronger

resistance to DC3000ΔΔΔ than wild type plants in older but not in younger leaves (**Figure 1C**).

Transcript abundance of several genes associated with PTI was higher in older leaves of RG-pic3

compared to wild-type (**Figure 4B**), and it is possible that the increased expression of these genes

contributes to the enhanced resistance in RG-pic3 older leaves. To gain broader insight into how the

1,123 upregulated DEGs might impact the enhanced resistance in RG-pic3 older leaves, we conducted a

gene ontology (GO) analysis. GO terms associated with 69 biological processes, 6 cellular components

and 54 molecular functions were significantly enriched in the upregulated DEGs in older leaves

(**Supplemental Table S1A**; FDR < 0.05). GO terms in the biological processes and molecular function

categories with the lowest FDR were related to defense responses and protein phosphorylation,

respectively (**Figure 4C**), supporting the idea that Pic3 might regulate immunity by catalyzing

dephosphorylation reactions. GO terms in the cellular component category with lowest FDR were

related to subcellular localization that is consistent with our observation of Pic3 at the cell periphery

(**Figure 4C**). In contrast, many of the enriched GO terms in downregulated DEGs in older leaves were

related to photosynthesis (**Figure 4D** and **Supplemental Table S1B**). These results are not unexpected as

photosynthesis and growth are known to be downregulated when plants allocate more resource for

defense signaling (Bolton, 2009; Su et al., 2018).

We next sought to determine if certain individual upregulated DEGs significantly contribute to the

enhanced resistance in older leaves of RG-pic3. We narrowed our focus for such genes to the 105 most

highly upregulated DEGs in RG-pic3 older leaves (fold change ≥10, FDR < 0.05). Among these 105 genes,

a cluster of genes (*Solyc12g100240*, *Solyc12g100250*, *Solyc12g100270* and *Solyc12g049030*) involved in

defense-related fatty acid biosynthesis (Jeon et al., 2020) and several other potential defense related

genes were selected for functional analysis (**Supplemental Table S2**). We used the agromonas approach

to test the possible contribution of 11 of these genes to defense by overexpressing them in *N.*

benthamiana and measuring the response to DC30000*ΔhopQ1-1ΔfliC.* None of the genes altered *N.*

benthamiana resistance to DC30000*ΔhopQ1-1ΔfliC* (**Supplemental Figure S9**), suggesting the enhanced

resistance in RG-pic3 older leaves is likely due to the combined effect of multiple genes/defense

responses.

We found that the transcript abundance of *Bti9*, which encodes a lysin motif (LysM) receptor-like kinase

(AvrPto<u>B</u> <u>t</u>omato-<u>i</u>nteracting <u>9</u>), is increased in older leaves of the RG-pic3 mutants compared to wild-

type plants after DC3000ΔΔΔ inoculation (**Figure 4B**). The Bti9 protein has the highest sequence identity

among tomato LysM-RLKs with the Arabidopsis chitin co-receptor CERK1 and is required for resistance

to *P. syringae* and *Botrytis cinerea* (Zeng et al., 2012; Jaiswal et al., 2022). The closest Pic3 homolog

protein in Arabidopsis is CIPP1, which is known to negatively regulate immunity by dephosphorylating

CERK1 (Liu et al., 2018). To test if Pic3 might regulate *Pst* resistance through Bti9, we crossed a loss-of-

function RG-bti9 mutant with the RG-pic3-1 mutant and generated an RG-pic3/bti9 double mutant.

Upon inoculation with DC3000ΔΔΔ, the RG-bti9-1 mutant had more severe disease symptoms and

slightly higher bacterial growth in older leaves than wild-type plants although the difference in bacterial

populations in some experiments was not statistically significant (**Supplemental Figures S10A, S10B**,

S10C and **S10D**). Importantly, the loss-of-function mutation in *Bti9* did not significantly compromise the

increased resistance in lower leaves to DC3000ΔΔΔ in the RG-pic3/RG-bti9 plants (**Supplemental Figures**

S10A, S10B, S10C and **S10D**). In addition, co-expression of Bti9 and Pic3 in leaves of *N. benthamiana* did

not show any evidence of Pic3 affecting Bti9 phosphorylation during defense activation (**Supplemental**

Figure S11). We therefore conclude that Bti9 does not play a detectable role in Pic3-modulated

immunity to *Pst*.

Another PP2C phosphatase, Pic12, is closely related to Pic3 and also negatively regulates the tomato

immune response

In a review of our earlier RNA-Seq data (Rosli et al., 2013; Pombo et al., 2014), we noticed that the *Pic3*

gene has a remarkably similar pattern of expression during the tomato PTI and ETI responses as another

PP2C gene, *Pic12* (Solyc10g047290; **Supplemental Table S3**). This was also the case for their expression

in response to the flagellin-deficient strain DC3000ΔΔΔ in both older and younger leaves (**Supplemental**

Figures S12A and **S12B).** Interestingly, the Pic3 and Pic12 proteins share 84% amino acid identity and,

are closely related to Arabidopsis CIPP1 and a defense-related PP2C (Os04g37904) in rice (Wang et al.,

2012) (**Supplemental Figures S12C** and **S12D**). Together, these observations raised the possibility that

Pic3 and Pic12 might have overlapping defense functions in tomato.

To investigate the role of Pic12 in the tomato defense response, we used the CRISPR/Cas9 approach

described above, to generate two independent RG-pic12 homozygous mutant lines (**Figure 5A**). Both

lines have mutations in the second exon of the *Pic12* gene with one (RG-pic12-1) having a 14 bp deletion

and the other (RG-pic12-2) a 1 bp insertion. Each mutation causes a shift in the open reading frame

resulting in predicted truncated proteins lacking the PP2C domain (**Figure 5A** and **Supplemental Figure**

S13A). The RG-pic12 mutant plants had wild-type morphology at 4 weeks old (**Figure 5A**). At 8 weeks

old, the RG-pic12 plants were slightly shorter than wild-type and at 12 weeks old the plants showed

earlier senescence than wild-type (**Supplemental Figures S13B** and **S13C**).

We tested defense-related responses of the RG-pic12 plants by inoculating them and wild-type plants

with the three *Pst* DC3000 strains described earlier. Unlike the RG-pic3 mutant lines, the two RG-pic12

lines showed increased resistance to DC3000ΔΔ and DC3000ΔΔΔ in both older and younger leaves, as

shown by less disease symptoms and lower bacterial populations (**Figures 5B** and **5C**). Disease

symptoms and bacterial populations in RG-pic12 and wild-type plants after inoculation with DC3000

were similar (**Figure 5D).** Together, these observations suggest that Pic12, like Pic3, regulates flagellin-

independent defense responses but does not appear to affect Pto/Prf-mediated ETI.

The Pic12 protein has two cysteines (C5 and C6) that are predicted to be palmitoylated and two

conserved aspartic acids (D69 and D231) expected to be required for its phosphatase activity

(**Supplemental Figure S14A**). To test the importance of these residues, we made serine substitutions for

the two cysteines (C5S and C6S; Pic12SS) and asparagine substitutions for the two aspartic acid residues

(D69N and D231N; Pic12NN). The wild-type Pic12 and each variant were transiently expressed in leaves

of *N. benthamiana* and the effect on subsequent inoculation with DC3000*ΔhopQ1-1ΔfliC* was measured.

Expression of wild-type Pic12 but not Pic12SS or Pic12NN increased *N. benthamiana* susceptibility to

DC3000*ΔhopQ1-1ΔfliC* relative to a YFP control (**Figure 5E**)*.* An immunoblot showed that all proteins

were expressed at a similar level (**Figure 5F**). These data indicate that like Pic3, the palmitoylation motif

and phosphatase activity are required for Pic12-mediated negative regulation of plant defense.

Pic12 is localized to the cell periphery and does not affect flagellin-induced MAPK activation or ROS

production

Because the substitutions in the Pic12 palmitoylation motif compromised its defense function, we

hypothesized that, like with Pic3, this motif is required for Pic12 subcellular localization. We fused wild-

type Pic12 and Pic12SS to a C-terminus YFP tag and visualized their localization in the plant cell by

transiently expressing each protein (along with a YFP control) in leaves of *N. benthamiana*

(**Supplemental Figure S14B**). Like Pic3, wild-type Pic12-YFP protein was primarily localized to cell

periphery. However, the Pic12-YFP fluorescence at the periphery largely disappeared in leaves

expressing Pic12SS-YFP (**Supplemental Figure S14B**), suggesting the palmitoylation motif is required for

proper localization of Pic12. An immunoblot showed that all proteins were expressed in these

experiments, though Pic12-YFP was less abundant (**Supplemental Figure S14C**). As with Pic3, Pic12

localization was not changed after DC3000*ΔhopQ1-1* inoculation or flg22 treatment (**Supplemental**

Figure S14D).

To test if Pic12 plays a role in flagellin-mediated PTI, we measured MAPK activation and ROS production

in older and younger leaves of RG-pic12 and wild-type plants after either flg22 or flgII-28 treatment. We

observed similar levels of MAPK activation and ROS production in both RG-pic12 and wild-type plants in

these experiments (**Supplemental Figures S15A**, **S15B**, and **S15C**). Pic12 therefore does not appear to

regulate two host responses associated with flagellin-mediated PTI.

Pic3 and Pic12 negatively regulate resistance to another bacterial pathogen, *Xanthomonas*

euvesicatoria

Our experiments to this point had focused on the response of the RG-pic3 and RG-pic12 mutants to

different strains of DC3000 and supported a role of both PP2Cs in the negative regulation of plant

defenses against this bacterial pathogen. To extend these observations beyond *Pst*, we inoculated RG-

pic3, RG-pic12 and wild-type plants with another bacterial foliar pathogen, *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria*

(*Xe*), the causative agent of bacterial spot disease of tomato and measured bacterial populations in

older and younger leaves. Like the responses to *Pst*, RG-pic3 plants showed enhanced resistance in older

leaves to *Xe*, while RG-pic12 plants showed enhanced resistance to this pathogen in both older and

younger leaves (**Supplemental Figure S16**).

Pic3 and Pic12 additively contribute to bacterial disease resistance in tomato

Except for the RG-pic3 mutants showing enhanced resistance only in older leaves compared to the

enhanced resistance in both older and younger leaves of RG-pic12 plants, similar phenotypes of the

mutant lines, the relatedness of the Pic3 and Pic12 proteins, and their similar gene expression patterns

suggested that the two PP2Cs might have at least partially redundant/additive functions in negatively

regulating flagellin-independent immunity. To test this possibility, we crossed RG-pic3-1 and RG-pic12-2

to generate an RG-pic3/RG-pic12 double mutant. The double mutant began to show altered morphology

at 4 weeks-old with noticeably deformed older leaves (**Figure 6A**). At 8 weeks-old, leaves of RG-pic3/RG-

pic12 plants showed pronounced early senescence compared to wild-type and single RG-pic3 or RG-

pic12 plants (**Figure 6A**) and they produced very few viable seeds. Upon inoculation with DC3000ΔΔΔ,

RG-pic3/RG-pic12 plants supported smaller bacterial populations in older leaves than the RG-pic3 or RG-

pic12 single mutants, although disease symptoms in the RG-pic12 plants were as severe as in wild-type

plants with this DC3000 strain (**Figures 6B** and **6C**). In younger leaves the RG-pic3/RG-pic12 and RG-

pic12 plants both supported lower bacterial populations and showed less severe disease symptoms

(**Figures 6B** and **6C**). Collectively, with respect to bacterial growth, these observations indicate that in

older leaves Pic3 and Pic12 act in a partially redundant manner, whereas in younger leaves Pic12 acts

without Pic3. MAPK activation after flg22 or flgII-28 treatment in RG-pic3/RG-pic12 plants was not

different from wild-type or RG-pic3 or RG-pic12 single mutant plants in older and younger leaves

(**Supplemental Figure S17**), further indicating that neither Pic3 nor Pic12 affect this flagellin-associated

PTI response.

Discussion

Protein phosphorylation is a reversable posttranslational modification that can regulate protein

conformation, stability, activity, and localization. In many biological processes, protein kinases and

phosphatases act as a molecular switch by turning protein phosphorylation 'on' and 'off' (Zhang et al.,

2023). In defense responses, plants rely on transmembrane cell-surface and intracellular receptors to

sense the presence of pathogens. Many of the surface receptors have an intracellular protein kinase

domain and they transduce outside stimuli into coordinated cellular responses by phosphorylating

specific substrates (Bi et al., 2018; DeFalco and Zipfel, 2021). As the environment changes, plants adjust

their responses by modulating cellular protein phosphorylation status via protein phosphatases

(Erickson et al., 2022; Sobol et al., 2022). Similarly, some intracellular NLR receptors rely on protein

kinases to activate robust immune responses upon recognition of specific pathogen 'effector' proteins

(del Pozo, Pedley and Martin, 2004; Pedley and Martin, 2004; Roberts et al., 2019; Zhang and Zhang,

2022). While much progress has been made in understanding the role of protein kinases in plant

immunity, less is known about how protein phosphatases regulate defense signaling. PP2C protein

phosphatases constitute a large family in plants and a few studies have shown them to play a role in

plant immunity (Schweighofer et al., 2007; Park et al., 2008; Couto et al., 2016; Giska and Martin, 2019).

In this study, we used CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing technology in tomato to generate loss-of-function

mutations in a collection of PP2C-immunity associated candidate (Pic) genes and investigated their

possible roles in bacterial resistance. We discovered and characterized two PP2Cs that negatively

regulate the plant immune response in a leaf age-specific manner.

Our screening of the Pic mutants for their response to three *Pst* DC3000 strains led us to discover two

PP2C phosphatases, Pic3 and Pic12, that negatively regulate disease resistance to two different bacterial

pathogens. Interestingly, the enhanced resistance in RG-pic3 (but not RG-pic12) plants is observed only

in older leaves and not in younger leaves. Consistent with this observation, our RNA-seq analysis of RG-

pic3 vs. wild-type plants revealed significantly more DEGs in older than in younger leaves. This

difference in older and younger leaves is reminiscent of the leaf age-dependent response to *Pst* DC3000

seen in Arabidopsis *fls2* mutants (Zipfel et al., 2004). In that case, the *fls2* mutant plants show increased

disease susceptibility in younger leaves as compared to older leaves (Zipfel et al., 2004). However, the

different disease symptoms seen in older and younger leaves of the RG-pic3 lines is not likely to involve

Fls2 (or Fls3) because RG-pic3 plants also show enhanced resistance in older leaves to DC3000ΔΔΔ,

which does not produce flagellin. In addition, MAPK activation and ROS production were mostly similar

in RG-pic3, RG-pic12 and wild-type plants after various PAMP treatments, further indicating that the two

phosphatases do not have a significant effect on flagellin-activated defense pathways.

The localization of Pic3 and Pic12 at the cell periphery suggests that they might regulate defense

responses by dephosphorylating immunity-associated proteins near plasma membrane. The GO term

analysis showed clear enrichment for terms such as "membrane", "phosphorylation", and "defense" in

the upregulated DEGs in older leaves of RG-pic3 vs. wild-type plants, further supporting this hypothesis.

We used the agromonas assay to investigate the role of some of these upregulated DEGs in the Pic3-

mediated response. However, overexpression of 11 individual candidate genes (selected from 105 DEGs

with highest fold change) did not alter resistance to DC3000 in leaves of *N. benthamiana*. Although we

do see an effect on DC3000 when Pic3 and Pic12 are used in the agromonas assay, it seems likely that

the enhanced defense seen in older leaves of RG-pic3 plants is due to the upregulation of an array of

genes working synergistically or additively. Our RNA-seq data did show that several known PTI-

associated genes are among the upregulated DEGs in older RG-pic3 leaves, and one or more of these

genes could conceivably contribute to the enhanced resistance we observed.

Pic3 and Pic12 share similarities in amino acid sequence, gene expression pattern, localization, and both

negatively regulate defense in a flagellin independent manner. However, there is evidence that Pic3 has

a slightly different regulatory role than Pic12. First, RG-pic3 mutants show increased resistance only in

older leaves whereas RG-pic12 mutants exhibit enhanced resistance in both older and younger leaves.

Second, RG-pic3, but not RG-pic12, mutants show slightly enhanced resistance to DC3000. Third, the RG-

pic3, but not RG-pic12, plants develop early senescence when they are two-months old. Fourth, flgII-28

induced ROS is higher in older leaves of RG-pic3 than in wild-type plants, while Pic12 does not have an

effect on flgII-28 induced ROS. Fifth, the RG-pic3/RG-pic12 plants show a stronger resistance to

DC3000ΔΔΔ than the RG-pic3 or RG-pic12 single mutants. Interestingly, the pronounced spontaneous

cell death seen in unchallenged RG-pic3/RG-pic12 plants is not seen in RG-pic3 or RG-pic12 single

mutants. Collectively, these observations suggest that Pic3 and Pic12 act in at least a partially redundant

fashion and conceivably target some common and some different substrates to regulate defense

responses.

Phylogenetic analysis of Pic3, Pic12 and related PP2C phosphatases revealed that Pic3 and Pic12 (along

with Solyc04g074190, but this gene was not induced after PAMP treatments) are closely related to

Arabidopsis phosphatases AtCIPP1 and AtPAPP2C, as well as rice Os04g37904 (**Supplemental Figure**

S12C). CIPP1 has been shown to negatively regulate defense by dephosphorylating CERK1, and both

AtPAPP2C and Os04g37904 have been implicated in regulating salicylic acid (SA)-dependent immunity

(Wang et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2018). Our previous work and a recent study by others have demonstrated

that the LysM-RLK Bti9 (also named LYK1) is the closest homology of CERK1 in tomato (Zeng et al., 2012;

Jaiswal et al., 2022). Bti9 RNAi-silenced plants show increased susceptibility to *Pst* specifically in older

leaves (Zeng et al., 2012). However, in our present epistatic analysis of an RG-pic3/RG-bti9 double

mutant we found that the absence of Bti9 had little effect on the enhanced resistance seen in older

leaves of RG-pic3 (**Supplemental Figure S10**). Moreover, we found that co-expression of Pic3 and Bti9 in

N. benthamiana leaves does not affect Bti9 phosphorylation status in plant cells (**Supplemental Figure**

S11). These observations suggest that Pic3 does not play a detectable role in Bti9-associated defense.

Investigation of other possible substrates of Pic3 and Pic12 will be a focus of our future work.

We considered the possible role of salicylic acid (SA) and abscisic acid (ABA) in Pic3-associated defense.

Isochorismate synthase, a key enzyme in SA biosynthesis, is encoded by the *ICS* gene which, in

Arabidopsis, is transcriptionally upregulated during many defense responses (Macaulay et al., 2017).

However, the transcript abundance of the single *ICS* gene that is present in tomato (Changwal et al.,

2021) was not significantly increased in RG-pic3 plants compared to wild-type plants after *Pst*

inoculation based on our RNA-seq data. Regarding ABA, no members of the PP2C clade D PP2Cs, to

which Pic3 and Pic12 belong, have yet been implicated in ABA signaling (Qiu et al., 2022). Additionally,

we observed no effect on the expression of two ABA reporter genes in the RG-pic3 mutant plants.

(**Supplemental Figure S5**), suggesting ABA is not involved in the enhanced resistance seen in RG-pic3

plants. Further research is likely needed to understand if Pic3 or Pic12 contributes to SA, ABA or other

immunity-associated hormone pathways during *Pst* attack.

Based on our current data, we propose that Pic3 and Pic12 act at the cell periphery to dephosphorylate

common as well as some different pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) or their downstream signaling

component(s) to regulate immunity. Several of our observations support this hypothesis. First, the

palmitoylation motifs of Pic3 and Pic12 are required for localization to the cell periphery and disruption

of palmitoylation motifs abolished the ability of Pic3 and Pic12 proteins to promote *Pst* growth in *N.*

benthamiana plants, suggesting they both act at or near the plasma membrane. Second, loss of either

Pic3 or Pic12 leads to increased resistance to *Pst*, and overexpression of either Pic3 or Pic12 (but not

phosphatase-inactive variants) renders *N. benthamiana* plants more susceptible to *Pst*. These responses

are independent of flagellin recognition, suggesting that Pic3 and Pic12 might regulate immunity

triggered by other PAMPs. Pic3 and Pic12 could also act on certain conserved proteins downstream of

PRRs. Because RG-pic3 and RG-pic12 mutants have some different defense phenotypes, it is possible

that they target some unique substrates. Identifying the substrates of Pic3 and Pic12 is an important

next step for further understanding how Pic3 and Pic12 regulate immunity at the molecular and protein

levels. Overall, our research has revealed a key role for two PP2C phosphatases in plant immunity and

sets the stage for future study of the substrates they target to negatively regulate immune responses.

Materials and Methods

Generation of RG-pic mutant lines

For each *Pic* gene, we designed one or two guide RNAs (gRNAs) targeting the exons near the 5' terminus

using the software Geneious R11 (Kearse et al., 2012). Each gRNA cassette was cloned into a Cas9-

expressing binary vector (p201N:Cas9) by Gibson assembly as described previously (Jacobs and Martin,

2016). The p201N:Cas9 construct carrying each gRNA was introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*

strain AGL1 through electroporation. For *Pic* genes that were targeted by two gRNAs, Agrobacterium

cells containing each gRNA/Cas9 construct were pooled together and used for transformation into the

tomato cultivar Rio Grande-PtoR (RG-PtoR, which has the *Pto* and *Prf* genes). Tomato transformation

was carried out at the Center for Plant Biotechnology Research at the Boyce Thompson Institute for

Plant Research. Transgenic plants were confirmed by PCR using specific primers (**Supplemental Table**

S4) and sequencing at the Biotechnology Resource Center at Cornell University. Geneious R11 and the

web-based tool TIDE (Tracking of Indels by Decomposition, https://tide.deskgen.com; (Brinkman et al.,

2014)) were used to determine the mutation type and frequency using the sequencing files (ab1

format).

Off-target Mutation Detection

For Pic3 and Pic12 loss-of-function mutant lines, two potential off-target sites (with the highest

probabilities) predicted by CRISPR-P v2 (Liu et al., 2017) were tested. Five plants from RG-pic3-1 or RG-

pic12-1 T3 populations were randomly selected and PCR was conducted to amplify the DNA fragments

that flank these predicted off-target sites using specific primers (**Supplemental Table S4**). PCR products

were sequenced (Sanger sequencing) and compared with reference gene sequences from the tomato

genome database (https://solgenomics.net). No alterations of any of the potential off-targets was

observed which aligns with our characterization of off-targets in a larger collection of tomato mutants

generated by CRISPR/Cas9 (Zhang et al., 2020).

Disease Inoculation Assay

Four- to five-week-old tomato plants (a stage showing no senescence in RG-pic3 or RG-pic12) were used

for disease assays. Tomato plants were submerged into a suspension of *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* strains

DC3000, DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (DC3000ΔΔ), DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ), or

Xanthomonas euvesicatoria strain 85-10 and the whole plant was uniformly inoculated using vacuum

infiltration. Inoculation titers ranged from $2x10^4$ to $1x10^7$ cfu/mL and are indicated in the figure legends.

Three or four plants per line were tested with each bacterial strain. Bacterial populations were

measured at 3 hr (for 0 days post-inoculation; dpi) and 2 dpi. Disease symptoms were photographed at 3

to 8 days after bacterial infection.

Construct Generation

The coding region of each gene was amplified from tomato cDNA using KOD hot start DNA polymerase

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) and gene-specific primers (**Supplemental Table S4**) and first cloned into

pENTR/D-TOPO entry vector following manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The gene

expression cassette in pENTR/D-TOPO was then cloned into the destination vector pGWB414, pGWB417

or pGWB541 (Nakagawa et al., 2007) via recombination reactions using LR Clonase II (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Vectors were confirmed by Sanger sequencing and transformed into *Agrobacterium*

tumefaciens strain 1D1249, GV2260 or GV3101 for transient expression in *N. benthamiana*.

Palmitoylation motifs were predicted using the online tool GPS-Palm (https://gpspalm.biocuckoo.cn/;

(Ning et al., 2021)). Nucleotide changes leading to amino acid substitutions for Pic3 and Pic12 were first

created in pENTR/D-TOPO (which contained wild-type Pic3 or Pic12) vectors by using the Q5 site-

directed mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs) with specific primers (**Supplemental Table S4**). The

resulting mutated vectors were then cloned into the destination vector pGWB414, or pGWB541 through

an LR reaction.

Agromonas Assay

The agromonas assays were performed as described previously (Buscaill et al., 2021). Briefly,

Agrobacterium strain 1D1249 carrying a binary vector (pGWB414 or pGWB417) expressing the gene of

interest were syringe infiltrated into leaves of 5-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants. Two days later, the

same agroinfiltrated spots were syringe infiltrated with either *Pst* DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1* or DC3000Δ*hopQ1-*

*1*Δ*fliC* at $5x10^4$ cfu/mL. Bacterial populations were measured by serial dilutions on LB medium

supplemented with 10 μg/mL cetrimide, 10 μg/mL fucidin, and 50 μg/mL cephaloridine (CFC; Oxoid C-F-

C Supplement) at 2 days after *Pst* inoculation.

Confocal Microscopy

N. benthamiana leaves were agroinfiltrated to transiently express the protein of interest and examined

with a Leica TCS SP5 Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope (Leica Microsystems, Exton, PA, USA) using a

63x water immersion objective NA 1.2 (HCX PL APO). YFP was excited using a 488-nm laser line from an

argon laser line and collected between 525 nm and 550 nm using an HyD detector. Images were

processed using Leica LAS-AF software version 2.7.3 (Leica Microsystems). Microscopy analysis was

conducted at Plant Cell Imaging Center at the Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research.

MAPK Phosphorylation Assay

MAPK assays were performed as described previously (Zhang et al., 2020). Briefly, six leaf discs from 4-

week-old plants were floated in water overnight to let the wound response subside. The leaf discs were

then incubated in 10 nM of flg22, 25 nM of flgII-28, 50 nM of csp22 or water (negative control) for 10

min, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Protein was extracted using a buffer containing 50mM of Tris-

HCl at pH 7.5, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 2mM of EDTA, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 5 mM of DTT (dithiothreitol), 1%

(v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.5% (v/v) phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 2 (Sigma-

Aldrich). MAPK phosphorylation was determined using an antiphospho-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) antibody

(anti-pMAPK; Cell Signaling).

Immunoblotting

Total protein was extracted from *N. benthamiana* leaves using protein extraction buffer containing 50

mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 10% glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT (dithiothreitol),

and 1% (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). A 12 μL soluble protein solution mixed with 4 μL

Laemmli sample buffer was boiled at 95°C for 6 min before loading for gel electrophoresis. Protein was

separated by 8%–12% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and

blotted on polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Merck Millipore). The membranes were exposed to

anti-HA (1:5000; v/v), anti-MYC (1:10000; v/v), or anti-GFP (1:5000; v/v) primary antibody and

corresponding anti-rat-HRP (1:10000; v/v; for HA) or anti-mouse-HRP (1:10000; v/v; for MYC and GFP)

secondary antibody and developed with Pierce ECL plus substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 5 min.

Images were acquired by using Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Imaging system (Bio-Rad).

Phos-tag phosphoprotein separation

Phosphoprotein separation was carried out using Phos-tag SDS PAGE as described previously (Liu et al.,

2023) with slight modification. Briefly, total protein was extracted from *N. benthamiana* leaves (co-

expressing Bti9-MYC with Pic3-HA or YFP-HA proteins) using protein extraction buffer containing 50 mM

HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid pH 7.5), 10% glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM

β-glycerophosphate, 2 mM DTT (dithiothreitol), 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1% (v/v) protease inhibitor

cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.5% (v/v) phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 2 (Sigma-Aldrich). Protein extracts

were separated in an 8% SDS-PAGE gel containing 40 μM Phos-tag (Fujifilm Wako Chemicals), 150 μM

$MnCl_2$ and 0.38 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.4). Note that the protein ladder is incompatible with Phos-tag gel and

was not used in these experiments. The proteins were blotted on polyvinylidene difluoride membrane

(Merck Millipore) and Bti9-MYC was detected with an anti-MYC antibody.

ROS Assay

ROS production was measured as described previously (Hind et al., 2016). Briefly, leaf discs were

collected and floated in 200 μL water in a 96-well Nunc flat-bottom microplate overnight (16 h). Water

was then removed and replaced with a 100 μL solution containing 100 nM of flg22

(QRLSTGSRINSAKDDAAGLQIA) or 100 nM of flgII-28 (ESTNILQRMRELAVQSRNDSNSSTDRDA), in

combination with 34 mg/mL of luminol (Sigma-Aldrich) and 20 mg/mL of horseradish peroxidase. ROS

production was monitored over 45 min using a Synergy-2 microplate reader (BioTek). Three plants per

line and two to four discs per plant were tested in each experiment.

qRT-PCR

Wild-type 4-week-old RG-PtoR plants were vacuum infiltrated with 5×10^6 cfu/mL

DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* or 10 mM $MgCl_2$. At least three plants were used for each treatment. After

6 h, around 50 mg of tissue from the older (1st or 2nd) and younger (4th or 5th) leaves from each plant

were collected and flash frozen in liquid N_2. Total RNA was isolated using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit

(Qiagen). Total RNA (about 3 μg) was treated with TURBO DNA-free DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for

45 min at 37°C. First-strand complementary DNA was then synthesized using the above RNA via

SuperScript III and Oligo(dT)20 primer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Quantitative PCR was performed with

specific primers (**Supplemental Table S4**) using the QuantStudio 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) and cycling conditions for PCR were 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, and followed by 40

cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 1 min. Tomato *SlArd2* (*Solyc01g104170*) was used as the reference gene

(Pombo et al., 2017).

RNA-Seq

Four-week-old wild-type RG-PtoR and RG-pic3-1, RG-pic3-2 mutant plants were vacuum infiltrated with

5×10^6 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC*. Six leaf discs (about 50 mg) from older (1st or 2nd) or

younger (4th and 5th) leaves were collected at 6 h after infiltration. Total RNA was isolated using an

RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) and then was treated with TURBO DNA-free DNase (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) for 45 min at 37°C. RNA libraries were prepared and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000

system. Raw RNA-seq reads were processed to remove adaptors and low-quality sequences using

Trimmomatic (version 0.39) with default parameters (Bolger, Lohse and Usadel, 2014). The remaining

cleaned reads were aligned to the ribosomal RNA database (Quast et al., 2013) using bowtie (version

1.1.2) (Langmead, 2010) allowing up to three mismatches, and those aligned were discarded. The

remaining cleaned reads were mapped to the tomato reference genome (SL4.0 and ITAG4.1) using

HISAT2 (version 2.1.0) (Kim et al., 2019) with default parameters. Based on the alignments, raw read

counts for each gene were calculated using HTSeq-count (Anders, Pyl and Huber, 2015) and normalized

to FPKM. Raw read counts were then fed to DESeq2 (Love, Huber and Anders, 2014) to identify

differentially expressed genes, with a cutoff of adjusted P (FDR) < 0.05 and fold change ≥2. Raw RNA-Seq

reads have been deposited in the NCBI BioProject database under the accession number PRJNA1079816.

Acknowledgments

We thank Tara McCrudden, Julie Bell, Kelly Jackson, Philip Ricci and Nick Vail for plant care, Joyce Van

Eck, Qingzhen Jiang and Tish Keen for tomato transformation, and Sergey Ivanov and Mamta Srivastava

for assistance with confocal imaging. JC thanks Professor Amir Sharon for supporting his research at Tel

Aviv University. RES was funded by NSF Research for Undergraduates award DBI-1850796. This work

was supported by grant IS-5362-21CR to GSe and GBM from the United States-Israel Binational

Agricultural Research and Development Fund (BARD). This paper is dedicated to the memory of Guido

Sessa.

Author contributions

FX, GSe, GSo and GBM conceived and designed the experiments. FX and NZ designed gRNAs and

constructed vectors. FX performed genotyping and phenotyping experiments. FX, GBM, GSe and GSo

analyzed the data. RS and JC helped with phenotyping experiments. XT and ZF performed RNA-Seq data

analysis. FX and GBM interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. All the authors, except GSe, read

and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W (2015) HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing
data. Bioinformatics **31:** 166-169

Bheri M, Mahiwal S, Sanyal SK, Pandey GK (2021) Plant protein phosphatases: What do we know about
their mechanism of action? FEBS J **288:** 756-785

Bi G, Zhou Z, Wang W, Li L, Rao S, Wu Y, Zhang X, Menke FLH, Chen S, Zhou JM (2018) Receptor-Like
Cytoplasmic Kinases Directly Link Diverse Pattern Recognition Receptors to the Activation of
Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Cascades in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **30:** 1543-1561

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics **30:** 2114-2120

Bolton MD (2009) Primary metabolism and plant defense--fuel for the fire. Mol Plant Microbe Interact
22: 487-497

Brinkman EK, Chen T, Amendola M, van Steensel B (2014) Easy quantitative assessment of genome
editing by sequence trace decomposition. Nucleic Acids Res **42:** e168

Buscaill P, Sanguankiattichai N, Lee YJ, Kourelis J, Preston G, van der Hoorn RAL (2021) Agromonas: a
rapid disease assay for Pseudomonas syringae growth in agroinfiltrated leaves. Plant J **105:** 831-
840

Changwal C, Shukla T, Hussain Z, Singh N, Kar A, Singh VP, Abdin MZ, Arora A (2021) Regulation of
Postharvest Tomato Fruit Ripening by Endogenous Salicylic Acid. Frontiers in Plant Science **12**

Chen K, Li GJ, Bressan RA, Song CP, Zhu JK, Zhao Y (2020) Abscisic acid dynamics, signaling, and
functions in plants. J Integr Plant Biol **62:** 25-54

Cheng Q, Xiao H, Xiong Q (2020) Conserved exitrons of FLAGELLIN-SENSING 2 (FLS2) across dicot plants
and their functions. Plant Sci **296:** 110507

Chinchilla D, Bauer Z, Regenass M, Boller T, Felix G (2006) The *Arabidopsis* receptor kinase FLS2 binds
flg22 and determines the specificity of flagellin perception. Plant Cell **18:** 465-476

Chinchilla D, Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Kemmerling B, Nurnberger T, Jones JD, Felix G, Boller T (2007) A
flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence. Nature **448:**
497-500

Clarke CR, Chinchilla D, Hind SR, Taguchi F, Miki R, Ichinose Y, Martin GB, Leman S, Felix G, Vinatzer BA
(2013) Allelic variation in two distinct *Pseudomonas syringae* flagellin epitopes modulates the
strength of plant immune responses but not bacterial motility. New Phytol **200:** 847-860

Conner SH, Kular G, Peggie M, Shepherd S, Schuttelkopf AW, Cohen P, Van Aalten DM (2006) TAK1-
binding protein 1 is a pseudophosphatase. Biochem J **399:** 427-434

Couto D, Niebergall R, Liang X, Bucherl CA, Sklenar J, Macho AP, Ntoukakis V, Derbyshire P, Altenbach
D, Maclean D, Robatzek S, Uhrig J, Menke F, Zhou JM, Zipfel C (2016) The Arabidopsis protein
phosphatase PP2C38 negatively regulates the central immune kinase BIK1. PLoS Pathog **12:**
e1005811

Danielle MS, Alba M-P, Alexandra JW, Charis R, Judith F, Ning Z, Melanie M, Gregory BM, Adam S,
Georg F, Gitta C (2024) Natural variation of immune epitopes reveals intrabacterial antagonism.
bioRxiv**:** 2023.2009.2021.558511

DeFalco TA, Anne P, James SR, Willoughby AC, Schwanke F, Johanndrees O, Genolet Y, Derbyshire P,
Wang Q, Rana S, Pullen AM, Menke FLH, Zipfel C, Hardtke CS, Nimchuk ZL (2022) A conserved
module regulates receptor kinase signalling in immunity and development. Nat Plants **8:** 356-
365

DeFalco TA, Zipfel C (2021) Molecular mechanisms of early plant pattern-triggered immune signaling.
Mol Cell **81:** 3449-3467

del Pozo O, Pedley KF, Martin GB (2004) MAPKKKα is a positive regulator of cell death associated with
both plant immunity and disease. EMBO J **23:** 3072-3082

Ekengren S, Liu Y, Schiff M, Dinesh-Kumar S, Martin G (2003) Two MAPK cascades, NPR1, and TGA
transcription factors play a role in Pto-mediated disease resistance in tomato. Plant J **36:** 905-
917

Erickson J, Weckwerth P, Romeis T, Lee J (2022) What's new in protein kinase/phosphatase signalling in
the control of plant immunity? Essays Biochem **66:** 621-634

Fuchs S, Grill E, Meskiene I, Schweighofer A (2013) Type 2C protein phosphatases in plants. FEBS J **280:**
681-693

Giska F, Martin GB (2019) PP2C phosphatase Pic1 negatively regulates the phosphorylation status of
Pti1b kinase, a regulator of flagellin-triggered immunity in tomato. Biochem J **476:** 1621-1635

Gomez-Gomez L, Bauer Z, Boller T (2001) Both the extracellular leucine-rich repeat domain and the
kinase activity of FSL2 are required for flagellin binding and signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell
13: 1155-1163

He X, Anderson J, del Pozo O, Gu Y, Tang X, Martin G (2004) Silencing of subfamily I of protein
phosphatase 2A catalytic subunits results in activation of plant defense responses and localized
cell death. Plant Journal **38:** 563-577

**Hind SR, Strickler SR, Boyle PC, Dunham DM, Bao Z, O'Doherty IM, Baccile JA, Hoki JS, Viox EG, Clarke
CR, Vinatzer BA, Schroeder FC, Martin GB** (2016) Tomato receptor FLAGELLIN-SENSING 3 binds
flgII-28 and activates the plant immune system. Nat Plants **2:** 16128

Jackson MD, Fjeld CC, Denu JM (2003) Probing the function of conserved residues in the
serine/threonine phosphatase PP2Calpha. Biochemistry **42:** 8513-8521

Jacobs TB, Martin GB (2016) High-throughput CRISPR vector construction and generation of tomato
hairy roots for validation of DNA modifications and experimental use. J Vis Exp **110:** doi:
10.3791/53843

Jaiswal N, Liao CJ, Mengesha B, Han H, Lee S, Sharon A, Zhou Y, Mengiste T (2022) Regulation of plant
immunity and growth by tomato receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase TRK1. New Phytol **233:** 458-
478

Jeon JE, Kim JG, Fischer CR, Mehta N, Dufour-Schroif C, Wemmer K, Mudgett MB, Sattely E (2020) A
Pathogen-Responsive Gene Cluster for Highly Modified Fatty Acids in Tomato. Cell **180:** 176-187
e119

Jones JDG, Dangl JL (2006) The plant immune system. Nature **444:** 323-329

**Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S,
Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A** (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated
and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data.
Bioinformatics **28:** 1647-1649

Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL (2019) Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping
with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol **37:** 907-915

Kim YJ, Lin N-C, Martin GB (2002) Two distinct *Pseudomonas* effector proteins interact with the Pto
kinase and activate plant immunity. Cell **109:** 589-598

Langmead B (2010) Aligning short sequencing reads with Bowtie. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics **Chapter 11:**
Unit 11 17

Lei L, Zhang JY, Pu D, Liu BZ, Meng XM, Shang QM, Duan YD, Zhang F, Zhang MX, Dong CJ (2023) ABA-
responsive AREB1/ABI3-1/ABI5 cascade regulates IAA oxidase gene SlDAO2 to inhibit hypocotyl
elongation in tomato. Plant Cell Environ **46:** 498-517

Lin H (2021) Protein cysteine palmitoylation in immunity and inflammation. FEBS J **288:** 7043-7059

Lin NC, Martin GB (2005) An *avrPto/avrPtoB* mutant of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 does
not elicit Pto-mediated resistance and is less virulent on tomato. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact **18:**
43-51

Liu J, Liu B, Chen S, Gong BQ, Chen L, Zhou Q, Xiong F, Wang M, Feng D, Li JF, Wang HB, Wang J (2018)
A Tyrosine Phosphorylation Cycle Regulates Fungal Activation of a Plant Receptor Ser/Thr
Kinase. Cell Host Microbe **23:** 241-253 e246

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
data with DESeq2. Genome Biol **15:** 550

Ma X, Zhang Y, Tureckova V, Xue GP, Fernie AR, Mueller-Roeber B, Balazadeh S (2018) The NAC
Transcription Factor SlNAP2 Regulates Leaf Senescence and Fruit Yield in Tomato. Plant Physiol
177: 1286-1302

Macaulay KM, Heath GA, Ciulli A, Murphy AM, Abell C, Carr JP, Smith AG (2017) The biochemical
properties of the two Arabidopsis thaliana isochorismate synthases. Biochem J **474:** 1579-1590

Mine A, Berens ML, Nobori T, Anver S, Fukumoto K, Winkelmuller TM, Takeda A, Becker D, Tsuda K
(2017) Pathogen exploitation of an abscisic acid- and jasmonate-inducible MAPK phosphatase
and its interception by Arabidopsis immunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **114:** 7456-7461

Mucyn TS, Clemente A, Andriotis VM, Balmuth AL, Oldroyd GE, Staskawicz BJ, Rathjen JP (2006) The
tomato NBARC-LRR protein Prf interacts with Pto kinase *in vivo* to regulate specific plant
immunity. Plant Cell **18:** 2792-2806

Nakagawa T, Suzuki T, Murata S, Nakamura S, Hino T, Maeo K, Tabata R, Kawai T, Tanaka K, Niwa Y,
Watanabe Y, Nakamura K, Kimura T, Ishiguro S (2007) Improved Gateway binary vectors: high-
performance vectors for creation of fusion constructs in transgenic analysis of plants. Biosci
Biotechnol Biochem **71:** 2095-2100

Ngou BPM, Ding P, Jones JDG (2022) Thirty years of resistance: Zig-zag through the plant immune
system. Plant Cell **34:** 1447-1478

Ning W, Jiang P, Guo Y, Wang C, Tan X, Zhang W, Peng D, Xue Y (2021) GPS-Palm: a deep learning-
based graphic presentation system for the prediction of S-palmitoylation sites in proteins. Brief
Bioinform **22:** 1836-1847

Ntoukakis V, Balmuth AL, Mucyn TS, Gutierrez JR, Jones AM, Rathjen JP (2013) The tomato Prf complex
is a molecular trap for bacterial effectors based on Pto transphosphorylation. PLoS Pathog **9:**
e1003123

Oh C-S, Martin GB (2011) Effector-triggered immunity mediated by the Pto kinase. Trends in Plant
Science **16:** 132-140

Oh C-S, Pedley KF, Martin GB (2010) Tomato 14-3-3 Protein 7 Positively Regulates Immunity-Associated
Programmed Cell Death by Enhancing Protein Abundance and Signaling Ability of MAPKKK
alpha. Plant Cell **22:** 260-272

Park CJ, Peng Y, Chen X, Dardick C, Ruan D, Bart R, Canlas PE, Ronald PC (2008) Rice XB15, a protein
phosphatase 2C, negatively regulates cell death and XA21-mediated innate immunity. PLoS Biol
6: e231

Pedley KF, Martin GB (2003) Molecular basis of Pto-mediated resistance to bacterial speck disease in
tomato. Ann Rev Phytopathol **41:** 215-243

Pedley KF, Martin GB (2004) Identification of MAPKs and their possible MAPK kinase activators involved
in the Pto-mediated defense response of tomato. J Biol Chem **279:** 49229-49235

Pombo MA, Zheng Y, Fei Z, Martin GB, Rosli HG (2017) Use of RNA-seq data to identify and validate RT-
qPCR reference genes for studying the tomato-Pseudomonas pathosystem. Sci Rep **7:** 44905

Pombo MA, Zheng Y, Fernandez-Pozo N, Dunham DM, Fei Z, Martin GB (2014) Transcriptomic analysis
reveals tomato genes whose expression is induced specifically during effector-triggered
immunity and identifies the Epk1 protein kinase which is required for the host response to three
bacterial effector proteins. Genome Biol **15:** 492

Qiu J, Ni L, Xia X, Chen S, Zhang Y, Lang M, Li M, Liu B, Pan Y, Li J, Zhang X (2022) Genome-Wide
Analysis of the Protein Phosphatase 2C Genes in Tomato. Genes (Basel) **13**

Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glockner FO (2013) The SILVA
ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic
Acids Res **41:** D590-596

Roberts R, Hind SR, Pedley KF, Diner BA, Szarzanowicz MJ, Luciano-Rosario D, Majhi BB, Popov G,
Sessa G, Oh CS, Martin G (2019) Mai1 protein acts between host recognition of pathogen
effectors and mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling. Mol Plant Microbe Interact **32:** 1496-
1507

Roberts R, Liu AE, Wan L, Geiger AM, Hind SR, Rosli HG, Martin GB (2020) Molecular characterization of
differences between the tomato immune receptors Flagellin Sensing 3 and Flagellin Sensing 2.
Plant Physiol **183:** 1825-1837

Rosli HG, Zheng Y, Pombo MA, Zhong S, Bombarely A, Fei Z, Collmer A, Martin GB (2013)
Transcriptomics-based screen for genes induced by flagellin and repressed by pathogen
effectors identifies a cell wall-associated kinase involved in plant immunity. Genome Biol **14:**
R139

Schultink A, Qi T, Lee A, Steinbrenner AD, Staskawicz B (2017) Roq1 mediates recognition of the
Xanthomonas and *Pseudomonas* effector proteins XopQ and HopQ1. Plant J **92:** 787-795

Schweighofer A, Hirt H, Meskiene I (2004) Plant PP2C phosphatases: emerging functions in stress
signaling. Trends Plant Sci **9:** 236-243

Schweighofer A, Kazanaviciute V, Scheikl E, Teige M, Doczi R, Hirt H, Schwanninger M, Kant M,
Schuurink R, Mauch F, Buchala A, Cardinale F, Meskiene I (2007) The PP2C-type phosphatase
AP2C1, which negatively regulates MPK4 and MPK6, modulates innate immunity, jasmonic acid,
and ethylene levels in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **19:** 2213-2224

Schwizer S, Kraus CM, Dunham DM, Zheng Y, Fernandez-Pozo N, Pombo MA, Fei Z, Chakravarthy S,
Martin GB (2017) The tomato kinase Pti1 contributes to production of reactive oxygen species in
response to two flagellin-derived peptides and promotes resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae*
infection. Mol Plant Microbe Interact **30:** 725-738

Sheikh AH, Zacharia I, Pardal AJ, Dominguez-Ferreras A, Sueldo DJ, Kim JG, Balmuth A, Gutierrez JR,
Conlan BF, Ullah N, Nippe OM, Girija AM, Wu CH, Sessa G, Jones AME, Grant MR, Gifford ML,
Mudgett MB, Rathjen JP, Ntoukakis V (2023) Dynamic changes of the Prf/Pto tomato resistance
complex following effector recognition. Nat Commun **14:** 2568

Sobol G, Chakraborty J, Martin GB, Sessa G (2022) The Emerging Role of PP2C Phosphatases in Tomato
Immunity. Mol Plant Microbe Interact **35:** 737-747

Su J, Yang L, Zhu Q, Wu H, He Y, Liu Y, Xu J, Jiang D, Zhang S (2018) Active photosynthetic inhibition
mediated by MPK3/MPK6 is critical to effector-triggered immunity. PLoS Biol **16:** e2004122

Sun Y, Li L, Macho AP, Han Z, Hu Z, Zipfel C, Zhou JM, Chai J (2013) Structural basis for flg22-induced
activation of the *Arabidopsis* FLS2-BAK1 immune complex. Science **342:** 624-628

Tang X, Frederick RD, Zhou J, Halterman DA, Jia Y, Martin GB (1996) Initiation of Plant Disease
Resistance by Physical Interaction of AvrPto and Pto Kinase. Science **274:** 2060-2063

Tischer SV, Wunschel C, Papacek M, Kleigrewe K, Hofmann T, Christmann A, Grill E (2017)
Combinatorial interaction network of abscisic acid receptors and coreceptors from Arabidopsis
thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **114:** 10280-10285

Uhrig RG, Labandera AM, Moorhead GB (2013) Arabidopsis PPP family of serine/threonine protein
phosphatases: many targets but few engines. Trends Plant Sci **18:** 505-513

Wang C, Luan S (2023) Calcium homeostasis and signaling in plant immunity. Curr Opin Plant Biol **77:**
102485

Wang L, Albert M, Einig E, Furst U, Krust D, Felix G (2016) The pattern-recognition receptor CORE of
Solanaceae detects bacterial cold-shock protein. Nat Plants **2:** 16185

Wang WM, Ma XF, Zhang Y, Luo MC, Wang GL, Bellizzi M, Xiong XY, Xiao SY (2012) PAPP2C interacts
with the atypical disease resistance protein RPW8.2 and negatively regulates salicylic acid-
dependent defense responses in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant **5:** 1125-1137

Wei C-F, Kvitko BH, Shimizu R, Crabill E, Alfano JR, Lin N-C, Martin GB, Huang H-C, Collmer A (2007) A
Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000 mutant lacking the type III effector HopQ1-1 is able
to cause disease in the model plant *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Plant J **51:** 32-46

Xue T, Wang D, Zhang S, Ehlting J, Ni F, Jakab S, Zheng C, Zhong Y (2008) Genome-wide and expression
analysis of protein phosphatase 2C in rice and Arabidopsis. BMC Genomics **9:** 550

Zeng L, Velasquez AC, Munkvold KR, Zhang J, Martin GB (2012) A tomato LysM receptor-like kinase
promotes immunity and its kinase activity is inhibited by AvrPtoB. Plant J **69:** 92-103

Zhang M, Zhang S (2022) Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in plant signaling. J Integr Plant Biol
895   **64:** 301-341

896   **Zhang N, Gan J, Carneal L, Gonzalez-Tobon J, Filiatrault M, Martin GB** (2024) Helper NLRs Nrc2 and
897   Nrc3 act codependently with Prf/Pto and activate MAPK signaling to induce immunity in tomato.
898   Plant J **117:** 7-22

899   **Zhang N, Hecht C, Sun X, Fei Z, Martin GB** (2022) Loss of function of the bHLH transcription factor Nrd1
900   in tomato enhances resistance to Pseudomonas syringae. Plant Physiology **190:** 1334-1348

901   **Zhang N, Pombo MA, Rosli HG, Martin GB** (2020) Tomato Wall-Associated Kinase SlWak1 Depends on
902   Fls2/Fls3 to Promote Apoplastic Immune Responses to. Plant Physiology **183:** 1869-1882

903   **Zhang N, Roberts HM, Van Eck J, Martin GB** (2020) Generation and molecular characterization of
904   CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutations in 63 immunity-associated genes in tomato reveals specificity
905   and a range of gene modifications. Front. Plant Sci. **11:** 1-13

906   **Zhang WJ, Zhou YW, Zhang Y, Su YH, Xu TD** (2023) Protein phosphorylation: A molecular switch in plant
907   signaling. Cell Reports **42**

908   **Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Navarro L, Oakeley EJ, Jones JD, Felix G, Boller T** (2004) Bacterial disease
909   resistance in *Arabidopsis* through flagellin perception. Nature **428:** 764-767

    Figure Legends

    Figure 1. Tomato loss-of-function RG-pic3 mutants show increased disease resistance. A) Top panel,

    Pic3 gene structure including exons (red) and introns (green), arrow points to CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA target

    site; Middle panel, sequencing chromatogram of two missense mutant alleles generated by

    CRISPR/Cas9; Bottom panel, images of 4-week-old wild-type (Rio Grande-PtoR; RG-PtoR) and RG-pic3

    mutant plants. **B)** Wild-type and RG-pic3 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL

    DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (DC3000ΔΔ) and photographed at 4 days post-inoculation (dpi); a section of

    the older leaflets was enlarged for better visualization. **C)** Wild-type and RG-pic3 plants were vacuum-

    infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ) and photographed at 4 dpi. **D)**

    Wild-type, RG-pic3 and RG-prf3 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 2×10^5 cfu/mL DC3000 and

    photographed at 4 dpi. 'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf.

    Bacterial populations in all panels were measured at 0 and 2 dpi. Bars show means ± standard deviation,

    n = 3 or 4. cfu, colony-forming unit. Different letters indicate significant differences based on a one-way

    ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test (P < 0.05). ns, no significant

    difference. All inoculation experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

    **Figure 2. Phosphatase activity and palmitoylation motif are required for Pic3 function in defense and

    palmitoylation motif affects subcellular localization of Pic3. A)** Schematic of Pic3 protein showing the

    three predicted palmitoylation sites (red arrows) at the N terminus and two conserved aspartic acid

    residues (green arrows) involved in metal binding in the PP2C catalytic domain. **B a**nd **C)** Leaves of 5-

    week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were syringe-infiltrated with Agrobacterium (1D1249) strains (OD$_{600}$

    = 0.6) carrying a binary expression vector (pGWB414) expressing 3× HA-tagged wild-type Pic3, a

    phosphatase-inactive variant Pic3NN (D71N and D233N substitutions), or a Pic3SSS variant with

    substitutions in the palmitoylation motif (C5S, C6S and C21S) or the YFP control. Two days later, the

    same agroinfiltrated spots were syringe-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu /mL DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1* (B) or

    5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1*Δ*fliC* (C)*. Pst* DC3000 populations were measured at 2 days after the

    second infiltration. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 4. Different letters indicate significant

    differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test

    (P < 0.05). Experiments were repeated three times with similar results. **D)** Western blotting analysis with

    an anti-HA antibody confirmed that proteins tested in (B and C) were expressed. *N. benthamiana*

    protein samples were collected at 40 h after initial agrobacterium infiltration. **E)** Wild-type Pic3 or the

Pic3SSS variant protein fused with YFP (pGWB541 vector) were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana*

leaves via Agrobacterium (GV3101) for 40 h and examined under a confocal microscope. YFP

fluorescence was excited by 485 nm laser. The pGWB541 vector (CmR, ccdB removed) expressing YFP

was used as a control. Scale bar, 50 μm. Experiments were repeated twice with similar results.

Figure 3. MAPK activation and ROS production in RG-pic3 mutant plants. A) MAPK activation in wild-

type (RG-PtoR) and RG-pic3 mutant plants. Water, 10 nM flg22, 25 nM flgII-28 or 50 nM csp22 were

applied to detached leaf discs for 10 min and proteins were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE gel and

detected by an anti-pMAPK antibody. **B** and **C)** Time course of ROS production in older leaves of wild-

type and RG-pic3 mutant plants treated with 100 nM flg22 or 100 nM flgII-28. **D** and **E)** Time course of

ROS production in younger leaves of wild-type and RG-pic3 mutant plants treated with 100 nM flg22 or

100 nM flgII-28. ROS production was measured as relative light units (RLUs) for 45 min. Bars show

means ± standard deviation, n = 3 plants. An asterisk indicates significant differences between wild-type

and RG-pic3 plants at peak readout based on Student's t-test (P < 0.05), ns, no significant difference.

'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Experiments were repeated

three times with similar results.

Figure 4. Enhanced disease resistance in RG-pic3 older leaves is associated with higher defense gene

expression. A) Venn diagrams showing number of differentially-expressed genes (DEGs) in RG-pic3 and

wild-type plants identified through RNA-Seq. Fold change >= 2, P < 0.05 and FPKM (fragments per

kilobase of transcript per million mapped fragments) >= 3 in at least one genotype. 'Older' refers to 1st

or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. **B)** Expression levels of several known PTI-

associated genes in wild-type and RG-pic3 plants older leaves at 6 h after DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC*

inoculation (derived from RNA-Seq data). **C)** GO-enriched terms analysis focused on up-regulated DEGs

in older leaves of RG-pic3 compared to that of wild-type plants. Only top 5 terms with lowest FDR (false

discovery rate) are shown. **D)** GO-enriched terms analysis focused on down-regulated DEGs in older

leaves of RG-pic3 compared to that of wild-type plants. Only the top 5 terms with lowest FDR are shown.

See Supplemental Table S1 for full list of significantly enriched GO terms.

Figure 5. Tomato loss-of-function RG-pic12 mutants show increased disease resistance. A) Top panel,

schematic showing gene structure of *Pic12* (Solyc10g047290) including exons (red) and introns (green),

arrow points to CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA target site; Middle panel, sequencing chromatogram of two missense

mutant alleles generated by CRISPR/Cas9; Bottom panel, images of 4-week-old wild-type (Rio Grande-

PtoR; RG-PtoR) and RG-pic12 mutant plants. **B)** Wild-type and RG-pic12 plants were vacuum-infiltrated

with 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (DC3000ΔΔ), photographed at 4 days post-inoculation (dpi).

C) Wild-type and RG-pic12 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL

DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ), and photographed at 4 dpi. **D)** Wild-type, RG-pic12 and RG-

prf3 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^5 cfu/mL DC3000, and photographed at 4 dpi. 'Older'

refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Bacterial populations were

measured at 0 and 2 dpi. **E)** Leaves of 5-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were syringe-infiltrated

with Agrobacterium (1D1249) strains (OD_{600} = 0.6) carrying a binary expression vector (pGWB414)

expressing 3× HA-tagged wild-type Pic12, a phosphatase-inactive variant Pic12NN (D69N and D231N

substitutions), or a Pic12SS variant with substitutions in the palmitoylation motif (C5S and C6S

substitutions) or a YFP control. Two days later, the same agroinfiltrated spots were syringe-infiltrated

with 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1*Δ*fliC.* DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1*Δ*fliC* populations were measured at 2 days

after the second infiltration. **F)** Western blotting analysis confirmed that proteins in (E) were expressed.

Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 3 or 4. cfu, colony-forming units. Different letters indicate

significant differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference

post hoc test (P < 0.05). ANOVA was performed separately for each time point and leaf type. ns, no

significant difference. Experiments (B, C and D) were repeated three times with similar results.

Experiment (E) was repeated twice with similar results.

1010

Figure 6. Pic3 and Pic12 additively contribute to disease resistance to *Pst*. A) Morphology of 4- and 8-

week-old wild-type, RG-pic3-1, RG-pic12-2 and RG-pic3/RG-pic12 mutant plants. **B)** Wild-type, RG-pic3-

1, RG-pic12-2 and RG-pic3/RG-pic12 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL

DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ). Bacterial populations were measured at 0 and 2 days post-

inoculation (dpi). 'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Bars show

means ± standard deviation, n = 4. cfu, colony-forming units. Different letters indicate significant

differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test

(P < 0.05). ANOVA was performed separately for each time point and leaf type. ns, no significant

difference. **C)** Disease symptoms at 4 dpi on whole plants or the older and younger leaves of indicated

genotypes related to experiment in (B). Inoculation experiments were repeated three times with similar

results.

1022

1023   **Supplemental Figure Legends**

1024

Supplemental Figure S1. Summary of the mutations in the *Pic* CRISPR lines. A) Structure of each *Pic*

gene showing exons (red) and introns (green). The arrows point to the CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA target site. **B)**

RG-Pic CRISPR mutant lines and detailed gRNA, mutation information. "+ 1 bp" means 1 base pair

insertion and "- 1 bp" indicates 1 base pair deletion. In the RG-pic7 mutant, two PP2C genes *Pic7-03g*

and *Pic7-05g* (which share high sequence similarity) were mutated via CRISPR/Cas9 guide RNA gPic7-

03g-1 and gPic7-05g-1, respectively. Similarly, in the RG-pic10-1 or RG-pic10-2 mutants, two PP2C genes

Pic10-07g and *Pic10-12g* (which share high sequence similarity) were mutated via CRISPR/Cas9 guide

RNA gPic10-07g-1 and gPic10-12g-1, respectively. In all other mutants, only one PP2C *Pic* gene was

edited.

1034

Supplemental Figure S2. Possible truncated proteins produced in the RG-pic3 mutants and

morphology of 8-week-old RG-pic3 mutant plants. A) Pic3 protein sequence in RG-PtoR (wild type) and

predicted truncated proteins that might be produced as the result of a premature stop codon in RG-

pic3-1 and RG-pic3-2. The deletions in the RG-pic3-1 and RG-pic3-2 plants each cause a frame shift and

introduce multiple amino acid substitutions around the cut site and eventually a premature stop codon

at the 35th and 63rd amino acid of the Pic3 protein, respectively. Sequence highlighted in red in RG-PtoR

indicates the PP2C domain predicted by NCBI Conserved Domains website. Asterisk "*" indicates the

stop codon. **B)** Morphology of 8-week-old RG-PtoR, RG-pic3-1 and RG-pic3-2 plants.

1043

Supplemental Figure S3. Loss-of-function mutations in seven tomato *Pic* genes do not affect resistance

to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato*. A) Images of 4-week-old RG-PtoR (wild type) and multiple RG-pic

mutant plants. **B)** RG-PtoR and RG-pic mutant plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL

DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (DC3000ΔΔ). Bacterial populations were measured at 0 and 2 days post-

inoculation (dpi). **C)** RG-PtoR and RG-pic mutant plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL

DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ). Bacterial populations were measured at 0 and 2 dpi. **D)** RG-

PtoR, RG-pic mutant and RG-prf3 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 2×10^5 cfu/mL DC3000. Bacterial

populations were measured at 0 and 2 dpi. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 3 or 4. cfu,

colony-forming units. Different letters indicate significant differences based on a one-way ANOVA

followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test (P < 0.05). ANOVA was performed

separately for each time point. ns, no significant difference. Graphs shown in (B, C and D) were made by

combining results from separate small scale disease assays (i.e., from a few mutant plants). Bacterial

populations of RG-PtoR from each assay were used for normalizing experimental data set. All inoculation

experiments were repeated twice with similar results.

1058

1059   **Supplemental Figure S4. Loss-of-function mutation in *Pic3* does not affect the Pto/Prf3-mediated**

1060   **hypersensitive response (HR).** Wild-type (RG-PtoR), RG-pic3-1 and RG-prf3 plants (5-week-old) were

1061   vacuum-infiltrated with 1×10^7 cfu/mL DC3000 and photographed at 9 hours post-inoculation. The HR is

1062   seen as rapid leaf wilting in plants expressing Pto/Prf. RG-prf3 lacks Prf and does not develop an HR.

1063   Experiments were repeated twice with similar results.

1064

1065   **Supplemental Figure S5. Transcript levels of ABA marker genes after ABA treatment.** Expression levels

1066   of AREB1 (**A,** Solyc04g078840) and SAG113 (**B**, Solyc05g052980) in older leaves of RG-PtoR (wild type) or

1067   RG-pic3-1 plants at 0, 6 and 12 h after 100 mM abscisic acid (ABA) syringe infiltration. Bars show means

1068   ± standard deviation, n = 3 plants. P values between wild type and RG-pic3-1 were calculated using

1069   Student's t-test. Experiments were repeated twice with similar results.

1070

1071   **Supplemental Figure S6. Sequence alignment of the tomato Pic and *Arabidopsis* PP2C38 proteins.** Full

1072   length sequence of Pic and *Arabidopsis* PP2C38 (At3g12620) proteins were used to conduct alignment,

1073   only the regions near the two conserved aspartic acid residues (highlighted in red) are shown. Pic7-05g

1074   (Solyc05g052980) and Pic10-07g (Solyc07g007220) were the source sequences of Pic7 and Pic10 used in

1075   this alignment analysis.

1076

Supplemental Figure S7. Pic3 protein subcellular localization is not altered by *Pseudomonas syringae*

pv. *tomato* or flg22 treatment. A) Western blotting analysis with an anti-YFP antibody confirmed that

proteins tested in Figure 2E were expressed. *N. benthamiana* protein samples were collected at 40 h

after initial Agrobacterium infiltration. **B)** Wild-type Pic3 proteins fused with YFP (pGWB541 vector)

were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves using Agrobacterium strain GV3101 for 40 h, the

same Agrobacterium infiltrated spots were then syringe infiltrated with water, 1×10^7 cfu/mL

DC3000*ΔhopQ1-1* or 1 µM flg22 peptide. After 1 h, the treated *N. benthamiana* leaves were examined

under a confocal microscope. YFP fluorescence was excited by 485 nm laser. Scale bar, 25 µm.

Experiments were repeated twice with similar results.

1086

Supplemental Figure S8. Tomato immunity-associated MAPK cascade is activated by a non-flagellin PAMP. Immunoblot analysis with an anti-pMAPK antibody shows MAPK activation in RG-PtoR (wild type) and RG-pic3-1 plants by supernatants from boiled DC3000*ΔavrPtoΔavrPtoB* (DC3000ΔΔ) or DC3000*ΔavrPtoΔavrPtoBΔfliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ). *P. s.* pv. *tomato* bacteria (1 mL of DC3000ΔΔ *or* DC3000ΔΔΔ, OD_{600} = 1.0) were boiled at 95 °C for 20 min, followed by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 5 min to collect supernatant. Six leaf discs of 4-week-old plants (wild type (RG-PtoR) and RG-pic3-1) were floated in water overnight to let the wound response subside. The leaf discs were then incubated in 100× diluted supernatant or water (negative control) for 10 min and phosphorylated MAPK were detected with an anti-pMAPK antibody. Numbers indicated the phosphorylated MAPK protein levels quantified using ImageJ software.

Supplemental Figure S9. Expression of individual candidate genes did not alter *N. benthamiana* disease resistance. A) Bacterial populations in *N. benthamiana* plants transiently expressing selective RNA-seq identified tomato or YFP (control) proteins 2 days after DC3000*ΔhopQ1-1ΔfliC* infiltration. Tomato (Solyc number provided) candidate or YFP protein was fused with Myc (4×) tag using pGWB417 vector. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n=4. No significant difference was detected between YFP and any of the selective RNA-seq identified proteins based on Student's t-test (P <0.05). **B)** Immunoblot analysis confirmed that proteins were expressed in (A).

Supplemental Figure S10. Loss-of-function mutation in *Bti9* does not abolish enhanced resistance in RG-pic3 mutant. A) Top panel, schematic showing gene structure of *Bti9* (Solyc07g049180) including exons (red) and introns (green), arrow (black) points to CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA target site; Bottom panel, sequencing chromatogram of the RG-bti9-1 mutant allele (1 bp insertion in the fourth exon) generated by CRISPR/Cas9. **B)** Wild-type, RG-pic3-1, RG-bti9-1 and RG-pic3/RG-bti9 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000*ΔavrPtoΔavrPtoBΔfliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ) and photographed at 3 days post-inoculation (dpi). **C)** Close-up images of the older leaves from (B). **D)** Bacterial populations in older leaves (1st or 2nd true leaf) were measured at 0 and 2 dpi. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 3 or 4. cfu, colony-forming units. Different letters indicate significant differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test (P < 0.05). ANOVA was performed separately for each time point. ns, no significant difference. Experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

Supplemental Figure S11. Pic3 does not appear to affect Bti9 phosphorylation status. Bti9, YFP or Pic3

proteins (fused with epitope tags, as shown) were transiently expressed using agroinfiltration of leaves

of *N. benthamiana* with Agrobacterium strain GV2260. After 40 h, the same agroinfiltrated spots were

syringe-infiltrated with either water or 200 µg/mL chitin. After 30 min, leaf samples were collected for

protein extraction. Protein extracts were either separated on 12% SDS-PAGE gel and detected by an

anti-Myc or anti-HA antibody or separated on 8% SDS-PAGE gel containing 40 µM Phos-tag Acrylamide

AAL107 (FUJIFILM Wako Chemicals) and detected by an anti-Myc antibody. Protein extracts were

treated with λ-phosphatase (NEB Lambda protein phosphatase, P0753S) following manufacturer's

instructions. Arrows show the main phosphorylated proteins that were affected by λ-phosphatase.

Experiment was repeated twice with similar results.

1129

Supplemental Figure S12. Pic12 and Pic3 are closely related PP2C phosphatases. A) *Pic3* transcript

abundance in leaves of RG-PtoR (wild type) plants at 6 h after mock (10 mM MgCl$_2$) or 5 ×10^6 cfu/mL

DC3000*ΔavrPtoΔavrPtoBΔfliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ) vacuum infiltration. **B)** *Pic12* transcript abundance in leaves

of RG-PtoR (wild type) plants at 6 h after mock (10 mM MgCl$_2$) or 5 ×10^6 cfu/mL DC3000ΔΔΔ vacuum

infiltration. 'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Bars show

means ± standard deviation, n = 3 plants. P values between older and younger were calculated via

Student's t-test. No significant difference between mock and DC3000ΔΔΔ was detected for either older

or younger leaves based on Student's t-test (P < 0.05). **C)** Phylogenetic tree constructed using amino acid

sequences of Pic3, Pic12 and related PP2C phosphatases in *Arabidopsis*, tomato and rice. Clustalx2.1 was

used to conduct sequence alignment and MEGA11 was used to construct the neighbor joining

phylogenetic tree, bootstrap was set as 1000. **D)** Amino acid sequence alignment between Pic3 (green)

and Pic12 (red).

1142

Supplemental Figure S13. Predicted truncated proteins produced in the RG-pic12 mutants and

morphology of 8-week-old wild type and RG-pic12 plants. A) Pic12 amino acid sequences in RG-PtoR

(wild type) and possible truncated proteins that could be produced as the result of a premature stop

codon in RG-pic12-1 and RG-pic12-2. The deletions and insertions in the RG-pic12-1 and RG-pic12-2 lines

cause a frameshift and introduce multiple amino acid substitutions around the cut site and eventually a

premature stop codon at the 48th and 53rd amino acid of the Pic12 protein, respectively. Sequence

highlighted in red in RG-PtoR indicates the PP2C domain predicted by NCBI Conserved Domains website.

Asterisk "*" indicates the stop codon. **B)** Morphology of 8-week-old RG-PtoR, RG-pic12-1 and RG-pic12-2

plants. **C)** Morphology of 12-week-old RG-PtoR and RG-pic12-2 plants.

1152

Supplemental Figure S14. Palmitoylation motif affects Pic12 protein subcellular localization. A)

Schematic of Pic12 protein showing the two predicted palmitoylation sites (red arrows) at the N

terminus and two conserved aspartic acid residues (green arrows) involved in metal binding in the PP2C

catalytic domain. **B)** Wild-type Pic12 or the Pic12SS variant protein fused with YFP (pGWB541 vector)

were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves using Agrobacterium strain GV3101 for 40 h and

examined under a confocal microscope. YFP fluorescence was excited by 485 nm laser. The pGWB541

vector (CmR, ccdB removed) expressing YFP was used as a control. Scale bar, 25 μm. Experiments were

repeated twice with similar results. **C)** Western blotting analysis with an anti-YFP antibody confirmed

that proteins tested in (B) were expressed. *N. benthamiana* protein samples were collected at 40 h after

initial agrobacterium infiltration. **D)** Wild-type Pic12 protein fused with YFP (pGWB541 vector) was

transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves using Agrobacterium strain GV3101 for 40 h. The same

agrobacterium infiltrated spots were then syringe infiltrated with water, $1×10^7$ cfu/mL DC3000*ΔhopQ1-1*

or 1 μM flg22 peptide. After 1 h, the treated *N. benthamiana* leaves were examined under a confocal

microscope. YFP fluorescence was excited by 485 nm laser. Scale bar, 25 μm. Experiments were

repeated twice with similar results.

1168

Supplemental Figure S15. Loss-of-function mutations in *Pic12* do not affect MAPK activation or ROS

production in response to flg22 and flgII-28. A) MAPK activation in wild-type (RG-PtoR) and RG-pic12

mutant plants. Water, 10 nM flg22 or 25 nM flgII-28 were applied to detached leaf discs for 10 min and

proteins were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE gel and detected by an anti-pMAPK antibody. **B** and **C)** Time

course ROS production assay in wild-type and RG-pic12 mutant plants. 100 nM flg22 or 100 nM flgII-28

were used to activate ROS production in detached leaf discs and relative light units (RLUs) were

measured for 45 min. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 3 plants. No significant differences

were detected between wild-type and RG-pic12 plants at peak readout based on a one-way ANOVA

followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test (P < 0.05). Experiments were repeated

three times with similar results.

1179

Supplemental Figure S16. Loss-of-function RG-pic3 and RG-pic12 mutants show enhanced disease

resistance to *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria*. A) Wild-type (RG-PtoR), RG-pic3-1 and RG-pic12-1 plants

were vacuum-infiltrated with 2×10^5 cfu/mL *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* strain 85-10. Bacterial

populations were measured at 0 and 4 days post-inoculation (dpi). 'Older' refers to 2nd true leaf and

'younger' refers to 5th true leaf. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 3. cfu, colony-forming units.

Different letters indicate significant differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest

Significant Difference post hoc test ($P < 0.05$). ANOVA was performed separately for each time point and

leaf type. ns, no significant difference. Experiments were repeated three times with similar results. **B)**

Disease symptoms on younger and older leaves of indicated genotypes related to experiment in (A),

photographed at 8 dpi.

1190

Supplemental Figure S17. Loss-of-function mutations in both *Pic3* and *Pic12* do not affect MAPK

activation in response to flg22 and flgII-28. MAPK activation in wild-type, RG-pic3-1, RG-pic12-2 and RG-

pic3/RG-pic12 mutant plants. Water, 10 nM flg22 or 25 nM flgII-28 were applied to detached leaf discs

for 10 min and proteins were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE gel and detected by an anti-pMAPK antibody.

'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Experiments were repeated

three times with similar results.

1197

Figure 1. Tomato loss-of-function RG-pic3 mutants show increased disease resistance. A) Top panel, *Pic3* gene structure including exons (red) and introns (green), arrow points to CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA target site; Middle panel, sequencing chromatogram of two missense mutant alleles generated by CRISPR/Cas9; Bottom panel, images of 4-week-old wild-type (Rio Grande-PtoR; RG-PtoR) and RG-pic3 mutant plants. **B)** Wild-type and RG-pic3 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with $5×10^4$ cfu/mL DC3000$\Delta avrPto\Delta avrPtoB$ (DC3000$\Delta\Delta$) and photographed at 4 days post-inoculation (dpi); a section of the older leaflets was enlarged for better visualization. **C)** Wild-type and RG-pic3 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with $5×10^4$ cfu/mL DC3000$\Delta avrPto\Delta avrPtoB\Delta fliC$ (DC3000$\Delta\Delta\Delta$) and photographed at 4 dpi. **D)** Wild-type, RG-pic3 and RG-prf3 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with $2×10^5$ cfu/mL DC3000 and photographed at 4 dpi. 'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Bacterial populations in all panels were measured at 0 and 2 dpi. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 3 or 4. cfu, colony-forming unit. Different letters indicate significant differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test (P < 0.05). ns, no significant difference. All inoculation experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

Figure 2. Phosphatase activity and palmitoylation motif are required for Pic3 function in defense and palmitoylation motif affects subcellular localization of Pic3. A) Schematic of Pic3 protein showing the three predicted palmitoylation sites (red arrows) at the N terminus and two conserved aspartic acid residues (green arrows) involved in metal binding in the PP2C catalytic domain. **B a**nd **C)** Leaves of 5-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were syringe-infiltrated with Agrobacterium (1D1249) strains (OD$_{600}$ = 0.6) carrying a binary expression vector (pGWB414) expressing 3× HA-tagged wild-type Pic3, a phosphatase-inactive variant Pic3NN (D71N and D233N substitutions), or a Pic3SSS variant with substitutions in the palmitoylation motif (C5S, C6S and C21S) or the YFP control. Two days later, the same agroinfiltrated spots were syringe-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu /mL DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1* (B) or 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1ΔfliC* (C)*. Pst* DC3000 populations were measured at 2 days after the second infiltration. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 4. Different letters indicate significant differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test (P < 0.05). Experiments were repeated three times with similar results. **D)** Western blotting analysis with an anti-HA antibody confirmed that proteins tested in (B and C) were expressed. *N. benthamiana* protein samples were collected at 40 h after initial agrobacterium infiltration. **E)** Wild-type Pic3 or the Pic3SSS variant protein fused with YFP (pGWB541 vector) were transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves via Agrobacterium (GV3101) for 40 h and examined under a confocal microscope. YFP fluorescence was excited by 485 nm laser. The pGWB541 vector (CmR, ccdB removed) expressing YFP was used as a control. Scale bar, 50 µm. Experiments were repeated twice with similar results.

Figure 3. MAPK activation and ROS production in RG-pic3 mutant plants. A) MAPK activation in wild-type (RG-PtoR) and RG-pic3 mutant plants. Water, 10 nM flg22, 25 nM flgII-28 or 50 nM csp22 were applied to detached leaf discs for 10 min and proteins were separated on 12% SDS-PAGE gel and detected by an anti-pMAPK antibody. **B** and **C)** Time course of ROS production in older leaves of wild-type and RG-pic3 mutant plants treated with 100 nM flg22 or 100 nM flgII-28. **D** and **E)** Time course of ROS production in younger leaves of wild-type and RG-pic3 mutant plants treated with 100 nM flg22 or 100 nM flgII-28. ROS production was measured as relative light units (RLUs) for 45 min. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 3 plants. An asterisk indicates significant differences between wild-type and RG-pic3 plants at peak readout based on Student's t-test (P < 0.05), ns, no significant difference. 'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

Figure 4. Enhanced disease resistance in RG-pic3 older leaves is associated with higher defense gene expression. A) Venn diagrams showing number of differentially-expressed genes (DEGs) in RG-pic3 and wild-type plants identified through RNA-Seq. Fold change >= 2, P < 0.05 and FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped fragments) >= 3 in at least one genotype. 'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. **B)** Expression levels of several known PTI-associated genes in wild-type and RG-pic3 plants older leaves at 6 h after DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* inoculation (derived from RNA-Seq data). **C)** GO-enriched terms analysis focused on up-regulated DEGs in older leaves of RG-pic3 compared to that of wild-type plants. Only top 5 terms with lowest FDR (false discovery rate) are shown. **D)** GO-enriched terms analysis focused on down-regulated DEGs in older leaves of RG-pic3 compared to that of wild-type plants. Only the top 5 terms with lowest FDR are shown. See Supplemental Table S1 for full list of significantly enriched GO terms.

Figure 5. Tomato loss-of-function RG-pic12 mutants show increased disease resistance. A) Top panel, schematic showing gene structure of *Pic12* (Solyc10g047290) including exons (red) and introns (green), arrow points to CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA target site; Middle panel, sequencing chromatogram of two missense mutant alleles generated by CRISPR/Cas9; Bottom panel, images of 4-week-old wild-type (Rio Grande-PtoR; RG-PtoR) and RG-pic12 mutant plants. **B)** Wild-type and RG-pic12 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB* (DC3000ΔΔ), photographed at 4 days post-inoculation (dpi). **C)** Wild-type and RG-pic12 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ), and photographed at 4 dpi. **D)** Wild-type, RG-pic12 and RG-prf3 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^5 cfu/mL DC3000, and photographed at 4 dpi. 'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Bacterial populations were measured at 0 and 2 dpi. **E)** Leaves of 5-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were syringe-infiltrated with Agrobacterium (1D1249) strains (OD_{600} = 0.6) carrying a binary expression vector (pGWB414) expressing 3× HA-tagged wild-type Pic12, a phosphatase-inactive variant Pic12NN (D69N and D231N substitutions), or a Pic12SS variant with substitutions in the palmitoylation motif (C5S and C6S substitutions) or a YFP control. Two days later, the same agroinfiltrated spots were syringe-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1*Δ*fliC*. DC3000Δ*hopQ1-1*Δ*fliC* populations were measured at 2 days after the second infiltration. **F)** Western blotting analysis confirmed that proteins in (E) were expressed. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 3 or 4. cfu, colony-forming units. Different letters indicate significant differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test (P < 0.05). ANOVA was performed separately for each time point and leaf type. ns, no significant difference. Experiments (B, C and D) were repeated three times with similar results. Experiment (E) was repeated twice with similar results.

Figure 6. Pic3 and Pic12 additively contribute to disease resistance to *Pst*. A) Morphology of 4- and 8-week-old wild-type, RG-pic3-1, RG-pic12-2 and RG-pic3/RG-pic12 mutant plants. **B)** Wild-type, RG-pic3-1, RG-pic12-2 and RG-pic3/RG-pic12 plants were vacuum-infiltrated with 5×10^4 cfu/mL DC3000Δ*avrPto*Δ*avrPtoB*Δ*fliC* (DC3000ΔΔΔ). Bacterial populations were measured at 0 and 2 days post-inoculation (dpi). 'Older' refers to 1st or 2nd true leaf and 'younger' refers to 4th or 5th true leaf. Bars show means ± standard deviation, n = 4. cfu, colony-forming units. Different letters indicate significant differences based on a one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference post hoc test (P < 0.05). ANOVA was performed separately for each time point and leaf type. ns, no significant difference. **C)** Disease symptoms at 4 dpi on whole plants or the older and younger leaves of indicated genotypes related to experiment in (B). Inoculation experiments were repeated three times with similar results.