1    Polygenic basis of field evolved Bt Cry resistance in the corn earworm, *Helicoverpa zea*

**Authors:**

Katherine L Taylor[1,2], Jane Quackenbush[1], Cara Lamberty[1], Kelly A Hamby[1] & Megan L Fritz[1,2,*]

[1] Department of Entomology, University of Maryland, College Park MD 20742

[2] Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC
27513

*Corresponding author
Email: mfritz13@umd.edu

**Keywords:**
*Helicoverpa zea*, *Bacillus thuringiensi*s, Cry toxin, resistance evolution, gene amplification,
structural variant, trypsin, polygenic adaptation

**Short title:** Polygenic Bt resistance in the corn earworm

**Abstract**

In agricultural ecosystems, strong and shifting selective pressures from pest management practices can lead to rapid evolution. Crops expressing pesticidal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) are an important control measure for agricultural pests and a major selective force on pest genomes world wide. *Helicoverpa zea*, a destructive pest of corn and cotton, has evolved widespread resistance to Bt crystalline (Cry) pesticidal proteins. Here we reveal the polygenic architecture of field evolved Cry resistance in *H. zea* and identify multiple previously unknown Cry resistance candidate regions and genes. In the genomic region with the largest effect on Cry1Ab, and Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 resistance, we identified signals of an increase in copy number for an entire cluster of trypsin genes. The genes in this cluster, along with other trypsins genome-wide, are significantly upregulated in Cry resistant *H. zea*. All field resistant individuals possessed two to four copies of a trypsin in this cluster, but this gene amplification was not found in any samples collected prior to Cry resistance evolution or in susceptible lab colonies. Inhibiting trypsin activity in *H. zea* decreased tolerance of Cry toxin in resistant and susceptible populations. Together, our findings suggest that increased expression and amplification of trypsins could allow degradation of activated Cry toxin and explain part of the polygenic Cry resistance observed in wild *H. zea.*

**Introduction**

Human activity has modified ecosystems worldwide from urban landscapes to agricultural fields (Lewis & Maslin, 2015). Anthropogenic changes to the landscape impose strong and shifting selection pressures that broadly impact ecosystems and shape the phenomes and genomes of the organisms contained within them (Palumbi, 2001). The responses to selection in affected species may have significant negative impacts on human lives, such as in cases of antibiotic and pesticide resistance evolution (Fair & Tor, 2014; Gould et al., 2018). Understanding how human mediated selective pressures rapidly change the evolutionary trajectories of other organisms will be key to predicting and mitigating potential impacts on ecosystems and human lives.

Cultural and chemical management practices used in agroecosystems exert selective pressure on pests to evade management through resistance evolution. Strong resistance to these practices can evolve rapidly. One meta-analysis estimated that resistance evolved on average within 14 years of the release of a new chemical control measure (Brevik et al., 2018). In arthropods alone, resistance to over 300 pesticidal compounds in more than 600 species has been documented (Fritz, 2022; Mota-Sanchez & Wise, 2022). Keeping pace with pest resistance evolution is a significant challenge, as discovery and development of new control measures is time consuming and costly (Gould et al., 2018; Sparks, 2013). Despite control efforts, weeds, disease, and animal pests together result in estimated yield losses of around 20 - 30% in major food crops (Oerke, 2006; Popp et al., 2013; Savary et al., 2019). Pest resistance evolution causes billions of dollars in crop losses every year, and further pest management failures will lead to additional crop loss and significant economic impacts (Gould et al., 2018; Palumbi, 2001). Understanding and tracking how pest populations are responding to control measures will be one important component of maintaining sustainable and productive agricultural systems.

In 1996, deployment of genetically engineered crops producing insecticidal proteins from *Bacillus thuringensis* (Bt) was a major innovation in lepidopteran and coleopteran pest management worldwide. The pesticidal proteins expressed in Bt crops are highly targeted insecticides, making them safe for ecosystems and human health (Koch et al., 2015; Mendelsohn et al., 2003; Sanahuja et al., 2011). Since their introduction, Bt crops have suppressed pests area-wide leading to increased yield and profits while decreasing reliance on harmful broad-spectrum insecticides (Cattaneo et al., 2006; Dively et al., 2018; Hutchison et al., 2010; Kathage & Qaim, 2012; Perry et al., 2016). In 2023, 85% of corn and 89% of cotton planted in the United States expressed Bt traits (USDA-ERS, 2023). Such widespread use makes Bt crops a major selective force in agroecosystems (Gassmann & Reisig, 2023; Tabashnik & Carrière, 2017). Despite mitigation efforts, practical resistance to Bt crops has evolved in several major pest species, including *Helicoverpa zea* (Tabashnik & Carrière, 2017).

The corn earworm, *H. zea*, is a polyphagous lepidopteran pest which causes significant damage to corn and cotton. Bt crops expressing crystalline (Cry) proteins successfully managed *H. zea* when they first became available in 1996. In the years following release, susceptibility to Cry proteins in *H. zea* decreased and crop damage increased (Dively et al., 2016). Although multi-toxin cultivars expressing novel Cry toxins were developed and released, widespread and damaging resistance to these cultivars was documented in *H. zea* by 2016 (Dively et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2022). To slow resistance evolution, Bt crops are ideally designed to produce a "high" dose of toxin, or 25 times the amount of toxin needed to cause mortality in susceptible insects (US EPA-SRP, 1998). Non-expressing plants, or "refuge", are planted near expressing plants, and produce homozygous susceptible pest individuals that swamp out resistance alleles present in the local landscape (Gould, 1998; Huang et al., 2011). Resistance evolution in *H. zea*

was predicted because Cry expressing Bt crops do not produce a "high" dose of toxin for *H. zea*

(Horner et al., 2003), and refuge implementation for corn and cotton is often insufficient (Reisig,

2017; Reisig & Kurtz, 2018). Resistance may also be facilitated by cross pollination between

expressing and refuge corn, which produces kernels expressing low Bt toxin doses (Pezzini and

Taylor et al., 2024; Dively et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2015). *H. zea* is now primarily controlled by

Bt crops expressing a pyramid of Cry and vegetative insecticidal proteins (Vip) toxins, but early

warning signs of Vip resistance evolution in *H. zea* are already being detected (Yang et al., 2019,

2020, 2021). A better understanding of the mechanisms and evolution of Cry resistance in *H. zea*

might allow the preservation of remaining Cry and Vip efficacy, or development and deployment

of more durable control measures in the future.

Cry protein toxicity is generally understood to begin with the Cry protoxin being

solubilized and activated by proteolytic enzymes in the insect digestive system. However, this

activation step is not necessary for activated toxins expressed throughout the tissues of many Bt

crops (Clark et al., 2005; Gould, 1998). Activated toxins then interact with a series of midgut

receptors, eventually causing lysis of the midgut epithelial cells and leading to growth

suppression or mortality in targeted insects (Heckel, 2020; Jurat-Fuentes et al., 2021; L. Liu et

al., 2021). The multi-step and complex molecular mechanisms of Cry toxicity underlie a wide

variety of potential mechanisms of resistance to Bt crops. Bt resistance in other species has

generally been connected to the disruption of the midgut toxin binding sites or reduced

expression of target genes, although there are also examples of resistance associated with altered

Bt protein processing, detoxification, and changes in immune function (Heckel, 2020;

Jurat-Fuentes et al., 2021; L. Liu et al., 2021).

We recently demonstrated that field evolved Cry1Ab and Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2

resistance in *H. zea* was polygenic, but the full genetic architecture remained unknown (Taylor et

al., 2021). Genome scanning of field-collected *H. zea* collected in the years following

commercialization of Bt crops led us to reject most of the common Cry resistance mechanisms

described in other species, and instead pointed to novel resistance-related genomic regions

(Taylor et al., 2021). Here, we narrowed in on these genomic regions involved in field evolved

Cry1Ab and Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 resistance, described variation in resistant genotypes among

field-collected *H. zea* populations, and identified genome-wide resistance-related changes in

gene expression. Although multiple novel regions and candidate genes appear to underlie Cry

resistance in *H. zea*, we identified a ~150 kb genomic region of major effect on resistance, which

contained a cluster of 7 trypsin genes. This gene cluster appeared duplicated or quadrupled in all

resistant field-collected *H. zea,* yet susceptible lab colonies and field-collected samples

pre-dating resistance evolution contained only a single copy. We linked this gene amplification to

an increase in expression of all 7 trypsins in resistant individuals. We also found that several

other trypsins outside of this cluster were among the most differentially expressed genes

genome-wide in resistant individuals. We showed that inhibiting trypsin activity significantly

reduced Cry tolerance in resistant and susceptible caterpillars in laboratory assays. These results

led us to propose that gene amplification and increased expression of trypsins could lead to rapid

Cry toxin degradation and resistance in *H. zea.*

**Results**

**Cry resistance phenotypes**

149      We measured Cry resistance and growth phenotypes in field resistant and laboratory

150 susceptible populations, and in $F_2$ hybrid offspring from 10 intercross families. Phenotypes were

measured in laboratory growth assays with diets containing either a diagnostic dose of Cry1Ab

or Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 expressing corn leaf tissue or leaf tissue from the non-expressing corn

near isolines as described in Dively et al. (2016) and Taylor et al. (2021). Briefly, weight gain

was measured after seven days of feeding on the aforementioned diets and comparisons between

them enabled us to discriminate Cry resistance from general survival and growth advantages and

identify any fitness effect of resistance alleles (**Figure 1, Figure S1, Table S1, Table S2)**. The $F_2$

offspring phenotypes suggested that resistance to all the Cry toxins we tested and growth in the

control treatments were quantitative genetic traits (**SI Results**).

**Sequencing and variant calling**

 Parents and progeny from 10 replicated intercross families were sequenced on an

Illumina NovaSeq 6000. Short reads were trimmed and filtered for quality and aligned to a *H.*

*zea* chromosome scale assembly (v. 1.0, PRJNA767434; Benowitz et al., 2022). Whole genome

sequencing of the 20 cross parents resulted in a total of 4,716,009 high quality genome wide

single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified in the resistant and susceptible populations.

The genome wide average sequencing coverage of $18.6\times$ (*st. dev.* $= 2.4$) ensured accuracy of the

genotyping calls. Divergence between the field collected resistant and laboratory susceptible

founding populations was high genome wide (**Figure S3**, **Figure S4, SI Results**), which

highlighted the importance of laboratory cross experiments for the detection of genomic regions

involved in resistance.

 The sequencing of $F_2$ offspring at double digest restriction site associated DNA (ddRAD)

sequencing markers resulted in 78,580 - 79,408 high quality SNP markers for

genotype-phenotype association in each treatment. Final SNP marker numbers likely varied

because of true difference in SNP presence, different loci passing quality control filters, and

genetic variation among individuals at restriction cut sites used for marker development (Davey

et al., 2013). The average sequencing coverage of a ddRAD locus across individuals was

52,225× (*st. dev.* 45,011) suggesting high but variable coverage of loci and an average coverage

for a single individual of 63× . For identification of the direction of allele effect, the ddRAD

SNP sets were further filtered to include only those variants where the allele origin population

(field resistant vs. lab susceptible) could be reliably predicted. This produced the smaller filtered

sets of 6,717 - 6,749 genome wide SNPs for each treatment that were used for visualization of

effect size and direction.

**Cry resistance trait architecture**

Cry resistance trait genetic architecture was described by hyperparameter estimates from

bayesian sparse linear mixed models (BSLMM) in gemma (Zhou et al., 2013). The proportion of

phenotypic variance explained by the full panel of genetic markers sequenced for the $F_2$ offspring

(PVE) was high for both Cry resistance traits with mean estimates of 69.7% [95% CI = 45.4 -

93.7] for the Cry1Ab treatment and 71.1% [95% CI = 43.6 - 96.5] for the Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2

toxin blend, and lower for the control growth treatments 44.7% [95% CI = 20.3 - 71.9] and

51.2% [95% CI = 27.1 - 74.1] (**Figure S2**). The number of variants of large effect detected were

similar across treatments with mean estimates ranging from 11.3 - 34.1. In all cases, there were

wide overlapping credible intervals around these means, suggesting that tens of genomic variants

of major effect underlie phenotypic differences in these traits (**Figure S2**). These variants of

large effect underlie 74.0% [95% CI = 36.9 - 98.6] of the phenotypic variance explained by the

full panel of genomic variants (PGE) for the Cry1Ab treatment, 77.8% [95% CI = 46.8 - 98.9]

for the Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 treatment and 53.4% [95% CI = 0.5 - 97.3%] and 73.6% [95% CI

= 24 - 99.2] for the control treatments (**Figure S2**). These results further support a polygenic

genomic architecture of Cry resistance, as suggested by the phenotypic data. Notably, the high

estimates for PVE and PGE for both Cry toxin treatments suggest that our data set and analysis

were able to identify most of the genetic basis of field evolved Cry resistance phenotype in *H.*

*zea*.

**Genomic regions underlying Bt Cry resistance**

The genomic regions underlying field evolved Cry resistance were detected by the

association of genome wide ddRAD markers with weight gain after seven days of feeding in Cry

toxin or control leaf incorporation assays. Genotype-phenotype association was measured in $F_2$

intercross offspring of field-collected resistant and laboratory susceptible *H. zea*. Using a linear

mixed model (LMM), we estimated the additive effect (β) of a single resistant population allele

on weight gain in mg. Smoothed additive effects of genetic markers across the genome on

growth phenotypes are shown for Cry1Ab, Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2, and control treatments

(**Figure 2**). The quantitative trait loci (QTL) shown in Figure 2 were also identified with multiple

SNP filtering criteria and in the unsmoothed data set (**Figure S5** and **S6)**.

We detected that a resistant parent allele in $F_2$ offspring significantly increased growth in

the Cry1Ab treatment in regions of Chromosomes (Chr) 2, 3, 6, 9, and 30 with a significance

threshold of Bonferroni corrected $p < 0.01$. With the less stringent criteria of Bonferroni

corrected $p < 0.05$ we also detected an association of parts of Chr 11 and 21 (**Figure 2**). For the

Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 containing diet we only detected a significant effect of Chr 9, though we

also saw non-significant patterns suggesting possible shared QTL with the Cry1Ab treatment on

Chr 2 and 30. We detected genomic regions associated with general growth in the laboratory

assays on Chr 10, 14 and 27 (**Figure 2**). The effect of Chr 10 on growth is also detected in the

Cry1Ab treatment, but we do not consider this region to be Cry resistance related because the

association with growth was found in both toxin containing and control treatments. The negative

direction of this effect suggests that the growth associated allele is more common in the

susceptible parent population. Importantly, we did not detect any fitness cost in non-Cry

expressing treatments for any of the Cry resistance associated genetic variants (**Figure 2**).

On both Cry treatments, we found that the region of the genome with the largest additive

effect on resistance was on Chr 9. In the Cry1Ab treatment we identified a clear peak between 5

and 6 Mb on Chr 9. We previously identified signals of divergence between field collected

samples from 2002 and 2017 at 5.75 Mb on Chr 9 (Taylor et al 2021). In this analysis we also

identified a directly overlapping divergence peak at 5.75 Mb between the resistant and

susceptible cross parents (SI Results). The region between 5 and 6 Mb contained seven trypsins

and several cytochrome b5-related proteins (**Table S3**) and was examined further below. The

QTL on Chr 30 had a clear peak at ~2.5 Mb, making it possible to describe candidates near that

peak. Within 100 Kb of the Chr 30 peak (between 2.4 - 2.6 Mb) were a MAP kinase-activated

protein kinase 2-like, HzeaTryp129, a cytochrome P450, and HzeaABCC11, toll-like receptors,

carboxylesterases, and carboxylase-like genes suggesting that several known Bt candidate gene

families and insecticide resistance related genes could underlie this QTL. The other identified

QTL on Chromosomes 2, 3 and 6 had more diffuse genomic signals with less well-defined

peaks, making it difficult to identify narrow regions most linked to resistance. In the SI Results,

we further described limited evidence for any role of other major candidate genes.

**Resistance associated differential gene expression**

We identified differentially expressed genes in the larval midgut between field resistant

and laboratory susceptible populations as well as potential Cry inducible expression changes

between field populations collected from Cry expressing and non-expressing corn. In total, we

analyzed 14,600 annotated genes genome-wide. In the field resistant populations, there were 527

genes significantly upregulated and 352 genes significantly downregulated relative to the

susceptible population (p-adjusted < 0.01; **Table S4**). Several candidate gene families with roles

in Bt resistance have members among the most differentially expressed genes between resistant

and susceptible populations. Twelve of the top 50 most differentially expressed genes were

upregulated trypsins (**Table S4**). Seven significantly differentially expressed trypsins were found

between 5 and 6 Mb on Chr 9, and six of those are among the most differentially expressed genes

genome wide. Additionally, among the top 50 differentially expressed genes, we see a

downregulated cadherin-87A-like gene and a downregulated cytochrome P450 (CYP301B1) in

resistant individuals. Although not among the top differentially expressed genes, another

cytochrome P450 (CYP6AB38) is significantly upregulated in resistant individuals and found

close to strong signals of genotype and resistance phenotype association on Chr 2.

Between resistant populations collected from Cry expressing and non-expressing corn,

there were 13 genes significantly upregulated and 20 genes significantly downregulated in

caterpillars collected from expressing corn (**Table S5**). Four trypsins and one chymotrypsin were

among the most upregulated genes in Cry exposed resistant individuals. Only one of the trypsins

found between 5 and 6 Mb on Chr 9, Trypsin 80, was in this group and showed signs of Cry

inducible changes in expression. Downregulated genes in Cry exposed individuals included a

cadherin-87A-like gene and Lysozyme1, an immune related gene.

**Potential genetic mechanism of large effect on Bt Cry resistance**

Taken together, evidence from the QTL and differential expression analysis suggested

that the trypsin cluster on Chromosome 9 likely plays an important role in Bt Cry resistance.

Closer investigation of this region revealed signals of a potential structural variant. From 5.22 -

5.37 Mb on Chromosome 9, a region encompassing the full cluster of seven trypsins from 5.27 -

5.34 Mb, resistant field samples had increased whole genome sequencing (WGS) coverage depth

relative to each individual's genome wide average sequencing depth **(Figure 3)**. This signal was

not found in WGS data from the susceptible lab population or in field samples collected in 2002

before the evolution of Cry resistance. In WGS data from individuals collected in the field after

the documentation of widespread Cry resistance, in 2017 and 2019, we detected signals of the

structural variant in most samples. We detected stronger coverage signals in individuals from

2019 compared to 2017, except perhaps in some individuals from non-Bt expressing corn

**(Figure 4)**. These coverage signals suggested a duplication of this region in the genomes of

resistant individuals. The increased depth of coverage detected between 2017 and 2019 could

reflect increased numbers of individuals homozygous for this variant as it increased in frequency

in the population. The absence of this variant in 2002 samples suggests that it may have occurred

first after that time or was a local or rare allele not sampled.

The observed upregulation of all trypsins in this cluster in resistant individuals was

consistent with duplication of these genes **(Figure 3)**. Using droplet digital polymerase chain

reaction (ddPCR), we quantified the copy number variation for one gene in the trypsin cluster

(Trypsin 77) to confirm that the coverage and differential expression signals we detected were

truly related to gene amplification **(Figure 4)**. Our copy number variant analysis showed that all

resistant individuals had 2 to 4 copies of Trypsin 77, while all susceptible individuals had only

one. The variance in copy number observed in resistant individuals may represent heterozygosity

or different numbers of duplications. In either case, it would suggest that this resistance genotype

is not fixed in the resistant field population. We also showed a strong relationship between

detected copy number and relative coverage depth of the trypsin cluster ($r^2 = 0.95$), suggesting

that coverage depth is a reasonable proxy measure for copy number in this case **(Figure 4)**. We

demonstrated that ddRADSeq coverage of this trypsin cluster was also significantly associated

with growth phenotypes (**Figure S7**, **SI Results**). Notably, we also found that the $F_2$ offspring of

the family that grew the least on the Cry1Ab treatment had no variation in coverage in this

region and had a small estimated effect size of all SNPs on Chr 9 (**Figure 4**). These results

together suggest that the gene amplification or another very tightly linked variant explains most

or all of the association between Chr 9 and Cry resistance phenotypes.

**Synergistic effect of trypsin inhibition and a Cry toxin**

To functionally link trypsin activity from the Chr 9 gene amplification and the other

upregulated trypsins to Cry tolerance in *H. zea*, we tested if inhibiting trypsins with

N-α-tosyl-ʟ-lysine chloromethyl ketone (TLCK) reduced tolerance to Cry proteins in resistant

and susceptible populations. The buffer alone did not impact growth ($p > 0.05$, data not shown),

therefore we used the buffer control treatment for all further analyses and visualization. We

compared growth on laboratory diets with increasing TLCK concentrations and a diagnostic dose

of Cry1Ab expressing corn leaf tissue or leaf tissue from the non-expressing near isoline. To

separate the effects of Cry toxin and TLCK alone from any combined effect, we used a model

reduction and comparison approach, and identified a significant interaction between trypsin

inhibition with TLCK and Cry1Ab presence for both resistant and susceptible *H. zea* populations

($p < 0.001$) (**Figure 5, Table S6**). Post hoc contrasts of the estimated marginal means confirmed

that a trypsin inhibitor paired with a Cry toxin significantly decreased larval growth (**Table S7**).

We also calculated a growth ratio, which compared growth on Cry expressing and non

expressing treatment at each TLCK dose. If trypsins were not strongly involved in Cry

resistance, we reasoned that the ratio in growth suppression should remain constant with

increasing doses of a trypsin inhibitor. Instead this growth ratio decreased as TLCK dose

increased, a pattern suggesting that Cry toxicity and trypsin activity are related **(Figure 5)**. In the

Cry1Ab and 10X TLCK treatment group weight in the resistant population was 17.4 mg on

average, suggesting growth suppression similar to the susceptible population on Cry toxins

alone, which averaged 20.7 mg **(Table S6)**. These results indicate that trypsin activity is a

protective factor for both resistant and susceptible *H. zea* in the presence of leaf material

expressing Cry1Ab toxin.

**Discussion**

Here we identified multiple genes and genomic regions linked to field-evolved Cry

resistance in *H. zea*. Using QTL analysis, we identified multiple genomic regions associated with

Bt resistance, which together explain the majority of phenotypic variance in Cry resistance

observed across 10 replicated $F_2$ mapping families. Our findings support a polygenic basis of Cry

resistance in *H. zea*, but the genomic region of largest effect on both Cry1Ab and Cry1A.105 +

Cry2Ab2 resistance is found on Chromosome 9 (**Figure 2**). We identified a narrow

genotype-phenotype association peak between 5 and 6 Mb on Chromosome 9 that encompasses a

cluster of 7 trypsin genes (**Figure 3**), a gene family previously thought to be involved in

lepidopteran Cry resistance via toxin activation or degradation (Gong et al., 2020;

González-Cabrera et al., 2013; C. Liu et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2013). A region in this genomic

window changed significantly as Cry resistance evolved between 2002 and 2017 and continued

to diverge in Cry tolerant *H. zea* relative to the general population in 2019 and 2020 (Pezzini and

Taylor et al. 2024; Taylor et al., 2021). We found that multiple trypsin genes in this region, along

with several other trypsins genome-wide, are among the most upregulated genes in resistant *H.*

*zea* **(Figure 3, Table S4)**.

We found that the region between 5.22 - 5.37 Mb on *H. zea* Chr 9, containing seven

significantly upregulated trypsins and the QTL mapping peak, showed strong evidence of at least

one duplication in all of the resistant cross founding parents. This gene amplification was

confirmed with copy number variant analysis by ddPCR. Signals of the trypsin gene

amplification are absent in all samples tested from the susceptible lab colony and field samples

from 2002 that pre-date Cry resistance evolution. The lack of detection in 2002 suggests that

selection for resistance may have acted on a novel or rare genetic variant. The signals of this

gene amplification increased in the population between 2017 and 2019, suggesting that in those

years the duplication became more common or potentially additional duplications occurred,

leading to field resistant individuals with four copies of these trypsins. It was not possible to

reconstruct the full sequence of this large structural variant with the short read sequencing

approaches used in this study. Future studies could employ long read sequencing to target this

and other resistance related structural variants.

Our findings suggest that wild resistant *H. zea* collected from Maryland in 2019 and 2020

possess two or four copies of a trypsin in the cluster, and that while both variants are associated

with resistance, four copies may yield stronger resistance phenotypes than two copies (**Figure 4**

**I&J**). All Chr 9 SNP exhibited low estimated effect size for the single mapping family that

lacked trypsin copy number variation. Together with the apparent relationship between copy

number variation and mapping family growth, this suggests that this gene amplification likely

explains much if not all of the detected effect of Chr 9 alleles on Cry resistance (**Figure S7,**

**Figure 4I&J**). However, we cannot rule out the possibility that another genetic variant tightly

linked to this gene amplification might be what truly underlies resistance, nor can we identify

with certainty how this large duplication might give rise to resistance.

Our findings along with what we know about mechanisms of Cry resistance in other

lepidoptera suggest that a likely resistance mechanism is that this gene amplification causes

increased expression of these trypsins which, along with upregulation of trypsins in other

genomic regions, degrade activated Cry1 toxins. Some of those trypsins showed signs of Cry

inducible up regulation (**Table S5**), but most of the trypsins in the Chr 9 cluster did not. Gene

amplification could explain some or all of the apparent upregulation of the trypsin cluster on Chr

9, as duplications often yield expression increases and in some cases those increases may be

greater than 2-fold (Heckel, 2022; Loehlin et al., 2022). Future work could separate the specific

roles of duplication and upregulation in the expression of this gene cluster.

Together these results suggest that trypsin activity and thus likely proteolysis play an

important role in field evolved Bt Cry resistance in *H. zea*. Previous work has also linked trypsin

activity to Cry resistance in *H. zea*, for example, Lawrie et al. (2020) found strong signals of

trypsin upregulation in resistant *H. zea* while Zhang et al. (2019) found that trypsin down

regulation was related to *H. zea* Cry resistance in a laboratory selected line. The upregulation of

trypsins in resistant individuals observed here suggests degradation rather than activation as the

potential mechanism for these genes' involvement in resistance. This would be expected in the

case of resistance to crops that express activated toxin. Phenotypic resistance due to Cry toxin

degradation by trypsins has been reported in field populations of *Spodoptera exigua* (Ma et al.,

2013).

We began to functionally link trypsin activity to Cry tolerance in *H. zea* and demonstrated

that trypsin inhibition synergistically reduced growth in Cry containing treatments for both

resistant and susceptible populations. These findings demonstrated a significant protective effect

of trypsin activity in Cry exposed *H. zea*. When exposed to the highest TLCK dose and Cry toxin

together, growth in the resistant population was suppressed to the level of the susceptible

population on Cry toxin alone. The shared response of susceptible and resistant populations to

TLCK in this assay suggests that the increased expression and duplication of trypsins might

allow the resistant population to better utilize a mechanism already present in the susceptible

population.

Trypsins, and specifically the gene amplification on Chr 9, likely play an important role

in resistance, but they are not the only candidates. We also identified resistance associated

genomic regions on Chr 2, 3, 6, and 30. Multiple promising candidate genes were found in the

QTL peak on Chr 30 and a significantly differentially expressed cytochrome p450 was identified

in another QTL region. There were also multiple candidate Bt resistance genes among the top

differentially expressed genes between resistant and susceptible *H. zea,* including a cadherin

gene. The genomic architecture of Bt resistance likely involves some of these candidates of large

to moderate effect size, which act together with other candidates we have not yet identified to

produce polygenic Cry resistance in *H. zea*. Further functional validation will be necessary to

identify specific genes underlying resistance, including experiments to knockout individual

candidate genes to confirm (or refute) association with resistance, as has been done in *H. zea*

previously (Fabrick et al., 2022; Perera et al., 2021).

In our non-expressing control growth treatments, we did not detect any fitness costs of

this Cry resistance associated gene amplification, or any of the other detected major effect loci

(**Figure 2**, **Figure S7**). The lack of fitness cost for resistance, the low dose of the toxins,

incomplete refuge implementation, and cross pollination, likely contributed together to the

failure of Cry resistance management strategies for *H. zea*. A degradation mechanism for toxin

resistance could explain the rapid evolution of resistance to multiple toxins that do not share

binding sites. Our findings link the same gene amplification to resistance to both Cry1Ab and a blend of Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2. It may also be associated with resistance to other Cry toxins not tested here. If, as our results suggest, degradation of activated toxin by trypsins truly underlies Bt resistance phenotypes in field *H. zea* populations, then targeted synergist trypsin inhibitors could potentially be developed to restore efficacy of Bt crops in controlling *H. zea* (Correy et al., 2019).

Gene duplications, an important source of genetic variation for phenotypic evolution, have been linked to many cases of insecticide and herbicide resistance evolution (Bass & Field, 2011; Heckel, 2022). Duplications of detoxification related genes can immediately confer resistance by increasing the quantity of already functional detoxification enzymes or can additionally lead to new mechanisms of resistance by neofunctionalization. Multiple cases of insecticide resistance have been functionally linked to new duplications of cytochrome P450s and carboxylesterases, two detoxification related gene families (Bass et al., 2013; Cattel et al., 2021; Mouches et al., 1990; Puinean et al., 2010; Schmidt et al., 2010). Our findings here suggest a new example of the duplication of a detoxification related gene leading to insecticide resistance, and the first case of this mechanism linked to Bt resistance. It is possible that gene duplication may be more likely to underlie rapid phenotypic evolution than other variant types, but the prevalence of structural variants is not well described as detection is difficult with current technologies (Ho et al., 2020; Mahmoud et al., 2019). As gene amplifications have frequently been linked to resistance evolution, genomic monitoring for resistance should be extended to consider a range of genomic variant types beyond SNPs (Fritz 2022).

## Methods

### Insect samples and phenotypes

Field samples of Cry resistant *H. zea*, defined as surviving to late instar on a Bt corn plant expressing Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2, were collected in 2019 and 2020 in Prince George's County (MD) at the University of Maryland CMREC farm in Beltsville. Late instars were collected from Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 expressing sweet corn and reared in the lab on a 16:8 long day light cycle at 25°C and 50% room humidity. Newly emerged adults from field collections were single pair mated to produce a second generation of resistant field derived *H. zea*. Susceptible *H. zea* were acquired from a population that has been maintained in the laboratory without exposure to Cry toxins at Benzon Research (Cumberland County, PA). Cry tolerance in both the resistant field and susceptible lab populations was measured with a diagnostic dose in a corn leaf tissue incorporation assay as described in Taylor et al. (2021) to confirm resistant and susceptible status. Briefly, early second instar caterpillars were fed for seven days on a laboratory diet mixed with powdered lyophilized leaf tissue from Bt expressing corn and their non-Bt expressing near isolines. Resistance was measured by weight gain after seven days on Bt expressing leaf diets. Weight gain on the non-expressing near isoline control diets served as a measure of non-resistance associated growth.

The field derived progeny that grew well in the Cry expressing resistance assay (not in the bottom quartile for growth) were single pair mated to a susceptible individual from the lab colony. The specific susceptible individuals used in crosses were not exposed to the Cry toxin resistance assay due to the significant growth suppression in this highly susceptible population. Offspring of the single pair matings between the resistant and susceptible populations were sibling mated to produce an intercross $F_2$ generation. Cry toxin resistance phenotypes were

assessed in the $F_2$ progeny also using the leaf tissue incorporation assay, as described in Taylor et

al. (2021). Five $F_2$ intercross families were assessed for resistance to Cry1Ab by measuring

growth on diet with incorporated powdered Cry1Ab expressing leaf tissue. The other half of the

offspring were assayed for growth related advantages on the diets with powdered leaf tissue from

the non-expressing near isoline of Cry1Ab expressing corn. Five different intercross families

were assessed for resistance to Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 by measuring growth on diet with leaf

tissue expressing both toxins for half of the offspring. The other half of the offspring were used

to assess growth related advantages on diets containing leaf tissue from the non-expressing near

isoline. Between 146 and 282 $F_2$ offspring were split across treatments and phenotyped from

each family, resulting in 73 to 142 $F_2$ offspring phenotyped per treatment from each family. $F_2$

numbers varied due to differences in larval availability for assays. After phenotyping, the larvae

were grown on a non-expressing lab diet to increase in size before DNA isolation. All samples

were flash frozen and stored at -80°C.

The impact of experimental treatment and population on weight phenotypes after seven

days of growth in the laboratory assays was assessed using a model reduction approach between

nested general linear models all with a gamma distribution, as is appropriate for the continuous

and positive weight phenotypes (Bolker, 2008). The treatments compared were 1) Cry1Ab

expressing corn leaf tissue, 2) control tissue from the non-expressing near isoline of Cry1Ab

corn, 3) Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 expressing corn leaf tissue, and 4) control tissue from the

non-expressing near isoline for Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 corn. The populations compared were 1)

the resistant field derived $F_0$, 2) susceptible lab colony $F_0$, and 3) the intercross $F_2$ offspring. The

models were compared using a likelihood ratio $\chi^2$ test with an *a priori* $\alpha$ of 0.01. Post hoc

pairwise contrasts were calculated with the R package emmeans (v. 1.8.6; Lenth et al., 2023)

with a bonferroni correction to p-values.

**DNA extraction and sequencing**

Individuals in the top and bottom 20% of weight phenotypes from each treatment and

family were selected for DNA extraction and sequencing. When individuals in the top or bottom

20% did not survive to preservation, they were replaced by individuals in the top or bottom 30%.

Genomic DNA was extracted from 833 high and low weight intercross $F_2$ offspring and all $F_1$

and $F_0$ parents with a DNeasy (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) extraction kit using the modified

mouse tail protocol of Fritz et al. (2020). Larval and pupal tissue from the rear ½ - ⅓ of the

insect was used for extraction, while for adults, ½ of the thorax was used. DdRAD libraries were

prepared for all $F_2$ samples as described in Fritz et al. (2016, 2018).  Briefly, samples were

digested with the restriction enzymes EcoRI and MSPI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA),

and unique 6-mer or 8-mer barcodes were annealed prior to pooling with 38 - 39 other samples.

Pools were size-selected for 450-650 bp fragments using a Pippin Prep (Sage Scientific, Beverly,

MA), then 12 replicated PCR reactions with 14 cycles each were used to amplify DNA and add a

standard Illumina TruSeq index as an identifier to each pool. Pools were sequenced at North

Carolina State Genomic Sciences Laboratory on an S4 flow cell of the Illumina NovaSeq 6000,

resulting in 173.9 - 97.5 million raw 150 bp paired-end reads per sample pool with an average of

128 million reads per pool. Additionally whole genome sequencing was performed for the 20

cross founding parents on part of an S4 flow cell of an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 at the University

of Maryland Baltimore Institute for Genome Science. This sequencing resulted in 30.9 - 48

million raw reads per sample with an average of 39.5 million raw reads.

**Bioinformatic analysis**

$F_2$ ddRAD sequencing reads were demultiplexed and quality controlled using the stacks script process_radtags (v.2.61; Rochette et al., 2019). The reads were only retained if they had exact matches to the correct barcode sequence, quality scores above 20 in all 15 bp length sliding windows, and no evidence of adapter contamination allowing up to 2 bp mismatch in adapter sequence. Quality controlled reads were aligned to a *H. zea* chromosome scale assembly (v. 1.0, PRJNA767434; Benowitz et al., 2022) with Bowtie (v.2.2.5; Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) using the "very sensitive" alignment option. Variants were called with bcftools mpileup (v. 1.9; Danecek et al., 2016) and pruned to include biallelic SNPs with a minor allele frequency > 0.05, with a quality score > 50, present in > 50% of samples. The average sequencing coverage per individual at a called ddRAD locus in the final variant set was 63×. The total number of ddRAD sequencing markers remaining for genotype phenotype association ranged from 78,580 - 79,408 (Cry1Ab treatment group = 79,261, Cry1Ab control group = 79,182, Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 treatment group = 79,408, Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 control group = 78,580).

Whole genome sequencing reads were quality controlled with Trimmomatic (v. 0.39; Bolgar et al 2014), to remove Illumina adapters, low quality regions at the beginning or end of the read or where the average quality of a four base pair window fell below 30, and any reads shorter than 36 bp. Trimmed reads were aligned and variants were called as described above for the ddRADseq reads. In the filtered WGS data, a total of 4,716,009 high quality SNPs were identified between resistant and susceptible populations, with a genome wide average sequencing coverage of 18.6× (*st. dev.* = 2.4). For those analyses of the ddRAD sequencing data that identified the direction of allele effect, SNPs were further filtered to include only those variants where the allele origin (resistant or susceptible population) could be predicted with some

certainty. To identify SNPs where the likely origin population of the allele could be predicted, only those SNPs with an allele count difference > 15 (out of a possible 20 alleles in each population) between the resistant and susceptible parent populations were used. The population where the allele was more common was used to identify the allele as resistance or susceptibility associated. After filtering for effect direction, 6,717 - 6,749 SNPs remained (Cry1Ab treatment group = 6,737, Cry1Ab control group = 6,749, Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 treatment group = 6,733, Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 control group = 6,717).

**Resistant and susceptible founding populations genome wide comparison**

Genome-wide patterns of differentiation between the founding resistant and susceptible populations were described using the variants identified by whole genome sequencing of the ten field resistant and ten laboratory susceptible $F_0$ individuals founding the family crosses. A principal components analysis was performed with Plink (v. 1.90b; Chang et al., 2015). Divergence was measured by Weir and Cockerham's windowed $F_{ST}$ across 40kb windows with a 10kb step using VCFtools (v. 0.1.17; Danecek et al., 2011). A genome wide $zF_{ST}$ significance threshold of 6 was used (Rubin et al., 2010). Downstream analysis of this data and all other data presented here were performed in R (v.4.2.1; (R Development Core Team, 2023) with tidyverse R package (v.2.0.0; Wickham et al., 2019), and visualizations were made with the R package ggplot2 (v.3.4.1; Wickham, 2016)

**Quantitative trait mapping for Cry resistance**

Cry resistance genomic architecture was characterized by Bayesian sparse linear mixed models (BSLMM) in gemma (v. 0.98.4; Zhou et al., 2013). For each treatment the model was run five separate times, each time with a total of 5 million sampling steps with the first 500 thousand

discarded as burn-in. Hyperparameter estimates for each treatment were averaged across all five

runs. The association between SNPs across the genome and weight phenotype from the

resistance and control growth assays were detected with linear mixed models (LMM) also in

gemma (v. 0.98.4; Zhou & Stephens, 2012). Both the BSLMM and LMM account for relatedness

among the five replicated families for each treatment.

**Differential gene expression analysis**

In 2022, Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2 expressing corn ears and ears from the non-Bt

expressing near isoline were collected from the University of Maryland CMREC farm at

Beltsville. Ears that were infested with *H. zea* were identified by the loosened silk and brought to

the laboratory. In the lab, each corn ear was open and any 5th instar larvae present were

identified and removed from the ear; caterpillars at other developmental stages were not included

in the experiment. Immediately following removal, larvae were chilled and immobilized in a

70% solution of ice cold RNAlater and PBS. The head capsule on each larva was removed and

stored for later measurement to confirm instar. All larvae were later confirmed as 5th instar by

head measurements between 1.60 to 2.70 mm (Bilbo et al., 2019). The midgut was cut away

from the crop and intestine, and the malpighian tubules were removed. Corn contents was

cleared from midgut using forceps and a rinse of 70% RNAlater. Midguts were flash frozen and

stored at -80°C. The dissection process was repeated with individuals from the laboratory

susceptible colony, which had been reared on a standard laboratory diet not containing any corn

tissue or Bt toxins.

Midguts of 5th instars from Bt expressing corn, non-Bt corn and the susceptible

laboratory colony were organized into eight randomized pools per treatment with four samples in

each pool (n = 32 per treatment). RNA was extracted from each pool using a Qiagen RNeasy®

Mini Kit according to the manufacturer protocol except for the initial pooling of samples. Poly-A

selection, library preparation, and sequencing were completed by the University of Maryland

Baltimore Genomics Core Facility. All RNA libraries had a RIN value between 8.7 and 10, with

22/24 having RIN of 10, indicating very high quality. Libraries were 100 bp paired end

sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000.

Raw reads were quality controlled with Trimmomatic (v. 0.39; Bolger et al., 2014) to

remove Illumina adapters, trim reads where 4 bp sliding window quality score fell below 20, and

drop any reads shorter than 36 bp after trimming. The paired quality controlled reads were

aligned to a chromosome scale *H. zea* assembly (Benowitz et al., 2022; GCA_022343045.1) with

HISAT2 (v. 2.2.1; Kim et al., 2019) using default parameters. Gene annotations were lifted from

the older reference genome Hzea_1.0 (Pearce et al., 2017; GCA_002150865.1) to the new

chromosome scale assembly using Liftoff (v. 1.6.1; Shumate & Salzberg, 2021). Gene expression

counts were generated with HTSeq-count (v. 0.13.5; Anders et al., 2015). Expression patterns

across all 24 pools were compared through differential expression analysis in DESeq2 (v. 1.38.3;

Love et al., 2014). Two-way differential expression analysis was conducted between resistant

field individuals collected from Bt expressing corn and susceptible lab colony samples to

identify expression changes associated with resistance. An additional two-way differential

expression analysis between the field collected individuals from Bt expressing corn and non

expressing corn was conducted to identify Cry exposure inducible gene expression changes.

Statistical significance was indicated by a false discovery rate adjusted p-value of 0.01.

**Structural variant detection**

Sequencing coverage depth signals were extracted from aligned .bam files. The genome

wide coverage depth and the average coverage depth for the trypsin cluster were calculated with

Qualimap (v. 2.2.1; Okonechnikov et al., 2016), while windowed coverage depth calculations

were from samtools depth (v. 1.10; Danecek et al., 2021) results. The presence of the structural

variant in 2002, 2017, and 2019 was assessed using the publicly available whole genome

sequencing data from Taylor et al. (2021) (PRJNA751583).

The structural variant was validated with digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) for trypsin 77, one

of seven trypsins in the putative duplication. ATP dependent DNA helicase was used as a control

gene, as it is single copy in lepidoptera in OrthoDB (Zdobnov et al., 2021). Twenty-five ng of

DNA per sample was mixed with HindIII and analyzed in multiplexed assay for trypsin 77 and

ATP dependent DNA helicase at MOgene (St. Louis, MO). The genes were targeted with the

primers shown in **(Table S8)** and the following amplification conditions: activation at 95°C for

10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturing at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing and extension

at 58°C for 1 minute, finally the enzyme was deactivated at 98°C for 10 minutes and held at 4°C.

ddPCR was performed with QX200 Automated Droplet Generator and Reader and analyzed

using the QX Manager 1.2 Standard Edition software (Bio-Rad, Hercules CA). All samples were

run with a synthesized gBlock positive control and a no template negative control. Copy number

for samples was calculated as follows:

$$CN = \frac{\ln\left(1 - \frac{\text{target gene positive droplets}}{\text{total number of droplets}}\right)}{\ln\left(1 - \frac{\text{control gene positive droplets}}{\text{total number of droplets}}\right)}$$

600 **Field resistant colony collection and rearing**

601      Putatively Cry resistant *H. zea* late instar caterpillars were collected from Cry1Ab

602 expressing sweet corn ('BC0805') ears grown at the Central Maryland Research and Education

603 Center in Beltsville, Maryland. Approximately 450 caterpillars were collected during each of two

604 collection dates (August 18 and September 7, 2023). Caterpillars were reared on *H. zea* diet

(Southland Products Inc., Arkansas, USA) until adulthood and bucket mated in a growth chamber set at 25°C with 70% relative humidity and 16:8 light:dark cycle. Eggs from bucket matings were placed onto diet and reared until the second instar under the same conditions. Occasionally, larvae were held at 4°C once they reached the appropriate size to ensure sufficient numbers at the same developmental stage for assays.

**Trypsin inhibition assay**

To determine whether trypsin activity impacts Cry toxicity in *H. zea*, we compared 7-day larval growth in a diet incorporation corn leaf tissue bioassay with 12 treatments: 1) 1X TLCK trypsin inhibitor and Cry1Ab expressing leaf tissue,  2) 2X TLCK trypsin inhibitor and Cry1Ab expressing leaf tissue, 3) 5X TLCK trypsin inhibitor and Cry1Ab expressing leaf tissue, 4) 10X TLCK trypsin inhibitor and Cry1Ab expressing leaf tissue, 5) 1X TLCK trypsin inhibitor and non-expressing leaf tissue,  6) 2X TLCK trypsin inhibitor and non-expressing leaf tissue, 7) 5X TLCK trypsin inhibitor and non-expressing leaf tissue, 8) 10X TLCK trypsin inhibitor and non-expressing leaf tissue, 9) buffer and Cry1Ab expressing leaf tissue, 10) buffer and non-expressing leaf tissue, 11) Cry1Ab expressing leaf tissue alone, 12) non-expressing leaf tissue alone. These treatments enabled us to separately determine the growth effect of Cry expressing tissue, the buffer, and the inhibitor at different concentrations. Diet bioassays were performed between June and November of 2023.  Second instars from the laboratory Cry susceptible *H. zea* population from Benzon research and field-collected Cry resistant *H. zea* were reared on these treatments for seven days before they were individually weighed to assess growth. In each assay 8 - 16 individuals were exposed to each treatment, due to availability of appropriately sized larvae. Final sample sizes were $\geq 70$ in each treatment, and are reported in Table S6.

Based upon Ma et al. (2013) and preliminary trials, we selected N-α-tosyl-ʟ-lysine

chloromethyl ketone hydrochloride (TLCK, Sigma-Aldrich®, St. Louis, MO, USA) as our

trypsin inhibitor. To make the inhibitor solutions, 350 mg of TLCK was dissolved in 10 mL of

phosphate buffer solution (0.1M, pH 5.8, bioWORLD, Dublin, OH, USA) to make a stock

solution of 35 mg/mL (10X). This solution was further diluted to 3.5 mg/mL (1X), 7 mg/mL

(2X), and 17.5 mg/mL (5X) as needed. The inhibitor solutions were freshly made on each date.

A 10 mL aliquot of phosphate buffer was used for the buffer control treatment. The bioassays

were prepared as in Dively (2016), Taylor et al. (2021), and as described above, except, in the

inhibitor and buffer treatments 1.6 mL of the TLCK solution or phosphate buffer were mixed

into 25 mL of experimental diet, resulting in the following final TLCK concentrations: 1X: 224.5

μg of TLCK per mL diet, 2X: 449 μg of TLCK per mL diet, 5X: 1.1 mg of TLCK per mL diet,

10X: 2.2 mg of TLCK per mL diet.

First we compared the two control groups (incorporated leaf tissue only and incorporated

leaf tissue with buffer) using t tests with an alpha of 0.01 to identify any potential effects of the

buffer alone. Then, we tested for a synergistic effect of trypsin inhibition and Cry exposure on

growth in *H. zea* with a general linear model comparison approach. A gamma distribution was

used for the weight response variable. The fit of the models was compared with a likelihood ratio

chi-squared test using an alpha of 0.01. We tested for combined effects by comparing a full

model with Cry treatment and trypsin inhibition main effects and an interaction between the two,

to a model with only the two main effects. Bonferroni corrected post hoc contrasts were

calculated with the R package emmeans (v. 1.8.6; Lenth et al., 2023). We also calculated a

growth ratio at each TLCK dose as:

$$GR = \frac{weight\ on\ Cry\ expressing\ leaf\ tissue\ at\ TLCK\ dose\ x}{weight\ on\ the\ non-expressing\ leaf\ tissue\ at\ TLCK\ dose\ x}$$

The growth ratio measured the combined effect of TLCK and Cry toxins, and would be expected

to stay consistent across doses if the effects of TLCK and Cry toxins on growth suppression are

not linked.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank three anonymous reviewers, Juan Luis Jurat-Fuentes, and David Heckel for
suggestions that improved our manuscript. We thank Galen Dively for access to experimental
plots for field collections. We thank Katherine Bell, Thea Bliss, Ben Burgunder, Lasair ní
Chochlain, Maria Cramer, Kyree Day, Dominique Desmarattes, Shea Ill, Emma Kohanski, Ava
Lamberty, Scott McCluen, Declan McHugh, Oliva Moy, Hiral Patel, Daniella Anconeira Sayco,
Rachel Sanford, Torsten Schöneberg, Robert Starkenburg, Olivia Rosen, and Joshua Yeroshefsky
for assistance with H. zea collections, crosses, rearing, bioassays, and/or DNA isolation. This
work was funded by the US Department of Agriculture, National Institute of Food and
Agriculture Biotechnology Risk Assessment Grants 2019-33522-29992 and 2022-33522-37744.

**Figures**

**Figure 1.** Distribution of weights for *H. zea* individuals after seven days in a laboratory leaf tissue incorporation assay for Cry toxin and control treatments.

**Figure 2.** Association of genome wide markers and weight gain after seven days of feeding on Cry toxin containing and control treatments. The additive effect (beta from LMM) is the weight gain in mg associated with the presence of a single resistant population allele smoothed for visualization by averaging over 21 SNP windows with a 5 SNP step for markers on 31 *H. zea* chromosomes. The genotype-phenotype association is shown for **A.** weight gain after seven days of exposure to Cry1Ab, **B.** weight gain on the non-toxin near isoline control diet for Cry1Ab, **C.** weight gain after seven days of exposure to Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2, **D.** weight gain on the non-toxin near isoline control diet for Cry1A.105 + Cry2Ab2. Chromosomes are plotted in alternating dark and light gray with each point representing a 21 SNP window. Windows with at least one significantly associated SNP are highlighted in color, with orange indicating an adjusted p value < 0.05 and red indicating an adjusted p value < 0.01.

701 ──────────────

**Figure 3.** Genomic signals suggesting that a region between 5.2 and 5.4 Mb on *H. zea* Chr 9 is duplicated in field resistant individuals and associated with resistance phenotype. The absolute value of the effect size (beta from the LMM) for ddRAD SNPs is plotted in (**A**). A differential expression plot for all genes on Chr 9 between resistant and susceptible populations is shown in (**B**). In (**C**) the gene annotations between 5.2 and 5.4 Mb on Chr 9 are shown above a plot of relative sequencing coverage for field resistant *H. zea*. Gene abbreviations are as follows: Myr1 = myrosinase 1-like, Dlh = disks large homolog 4-like, Tryp = Trypsin, Npr = neuropeptide receptor A35. In all panels the region between 5.2 and 5.4 Mb on Chr 9 is highlighted in blue.

**Figure 4**. Gene amplification detection on *H. zea* Chr 9 from 5 - 5.5 Mb. In A - F each point represents the average sequencing depth in that 10 kb window for a single individual relative to that individual's genome wide average sequencing coverage. Relative sequencing depth is plotted in **(A)** susceptible and **(B)** resistant founding cross parents, **(C)** individuals collected in 2002 before Cry resistance evolution and **(D)** 2017 after practical resistance was first detected, and **(E)** individuals collected in 2019 in non-Bt expressing corn and **(F)** Bt expressing corn. Coverage signals are associated with ddPCR copy number variant analysis for Trypsin 77 ($r^2 = 0.95$) for the found resistant (mixed colors) and susceptible (gray) parents of mapping families **(G)**. Across the 5 replicated Cry1Ab resistance mapping families (in the same color as the founding parent in G), the weight **(H)** and ddRAD coverage depth of the trypsin cluster **(I)** of the most susceptible (S) and resistant (R) $F_2$ offspring are shown, along with a histogram of Cry1Ab resistance effect sizes for all Chromosome 9 SNPs **(J)**.

**Figure 5.** Distribution of weights for laboratory susceptible *H. zea* individuals after seven days in a trypsin inhibition assay with Cry1Ab containing corn leaf tissue and leaf tissue from the non-expressing near isoline.

## References

Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., & Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq—A Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(2), 166–169. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638

Bass, C., & Field, L. M. (2011). Gene amplification and insecticide resistance. *Pest Management Science*, *67*(8), 886–890. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2189

Bass, C., Zimmer, C. T., Riveron, J. M., Wilding, C. S., Wondji, C. S., Kaussmann, M., Field, L. M., Williamson, M. S., & Nauen, R. (2013). Gene amplification and microsatellite polymorphism underlie a recent insect host shift. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(48), 19460–19465. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314122110

Benowitz, K. M., Allan, C. W., Degain, B. A., Li, X., Fabrick, J. A., Tabashnik, B. E., Carrière, Y., & Matzkin, L. M. (2022). Novel genetic basis of resistance to Bt toxin Cry1Ac in *Helicoverpa zea*. *Genetics*, *221*(1), iyac037. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyac037

Bilbo, T. R., Reay-Jones, F. P. F., Reisig, D. D., Greene, J. K., & Turnbull, M. W. (2019). Development, survival, and feeding behavior of *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) relative to Bt protein concentrations in corn ear tissues. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(8), e0221343. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221343

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(15), 2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Bolker, B. M. (2008). Ecological Models and Data in R. In *Ecological Models and Data in R*. Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400840908

Brevik, K., Schoville, S. D., Mota-Sanchez, D., & Chen, Y. H. (2018). Pesticide durability and the evolution of resistance: A novel application of survival analysis. *Pest Management Science*, *74*(8), 1953–1963. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4899

Cattaneo, M. G., Yafuso, C., Schmidt, C., Huang, C., Rahman, M., Olson, C., Ellers-Kirk, C., Orr, B. J., Marsh, S. E., Antilla, L., Dutilleul, P., & Carrière, Y. (2006). Farm-scale evaluation of the impacts of transgenic cotton on biodiversity, pesticide use, and yield. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *103*(20), 7571–7576. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508312103

Cattel, J., Haberkorn, C., Laporte, F., Gaude, T., Cumer, T., Renaud, J., Sutherland, I. W., Hertz, J. C., Bonneville, J.-M., Arnaud, V., Fustec, B., Boyer, S., Marcombe, S., & David, J.-P. (2021). A genomic amplification affecting a carboxylesterase gene cluster confers organophosphate resistance in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*: From genomic characterization to high-throughput field detection. *Evolutionary Applications*, *14*(4), 1009–1022. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13177

Chang, C. C., Chow, C. C., Tellier, L. C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S. M., & Lee, J. J. (2015). Second-generation PLINK: Rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *GigaScience*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8

Clark, B. W., Phillips, T. A., & Coats, J. R. (2005). Environmental fate and effects of *bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) proteins from transgenic crops: A review. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *53*(12), 4643–4653. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf040442k

Correy, G. J., Zaidman, D., Harmelin, A., Carvalho, S., Mabbitt, P. D., Calaora, V., James, P. J., Kotze, A. C., Jackson, C. J., & London, N. (2019). Overcoming insecticide resistance through computational inhibitor design. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(42), 21012–21021. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1909130116

Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., Handsaker, R. E., Lunter, G., Marth, G. T., Sherry, S. T., McVean, G., Durbin, R., & 1000 Genomes Project Analysis Group. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(15), 2156–2158. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., & Li, H. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*, *10*(2), giab008. https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008

Danecek, P., Schiffels, S., & Durbin, R. (2016). *Multiallelic calling model in bcftools (-m), samtools.github.io/bcftools/call-m.pdf* [Computer software].

Davey, J. W., Cezard, T., Fuentes-Utrilla, P., Eland, C., Gharbi, K., & Blaxter, M. L. (2013). Special features of RAD Sequencing data: Implications for genotyping. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(11), 3151–3164. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12084

Dively, G. P., Huang, F., Oyediran, I., Burd, T., & Morsello, S. (2020). Evaluation of gene flow in structured and seed blend refuge systems of non-Bt and Bt corn. *Journal of Pest Science*, *93*(1), 439–447. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-019-01126-4

Dively, G. P., Venugopal, P. D., Bean, D., Whalen, J., Holmstrom, K., Kuhar, T. P., Doughty, H. B., Patton, T., Cissel, W., & Hutchison, W. D. (2018). Regional pest suppression associated with widespread Bt maize adoption benefits vegetable growers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(13), 3320–3325. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720692115

Dively, G. P., Venugopal, P. D., & Finkenbinder, C. (2016). Field-evolved resistance in corn earworm to Cry proteins expressed by transgenic sweet corn. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(12), e0169115. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169115

Fabrick, J. A., Heu, C. C., LeRoy, D. M., DeGain, B. A., Yelich, A. J., Unnithan, G. C., Wu, Y., Li, X., Carrière, Y., & Tabashnik, B. E. (2022). Knockout of ABC transporter gene ABCA2 confers resistance to Bt toxin Cry2Ab in *Helicoverpa zea*. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 16706. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21061-2

Fair, R. J., & Tor, Y. (2014). Antibiotics and bacterial resistance in the 21st century. *Perspectives in Medicinal Chemistry*, *6*, 25–64. https://doi.org/10.4137/PMC.S14459

Fritz, M. L. (2022). Utility and challenges of using whole-genome resequencing to detect emerging insect and mite resistance in agroecosystems. *Evolutionary Applications*,

*15*(10), 1505–1520. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13484

Fritz, M. L., DeYonke, A. M., Papanicolaou, A., Micinski, S., Westbrook, J., & Gould, F. (2018). Contemporary evolution of a Lepidopteran species, *Heliothis virescens*, in response to modern agricultural practices. *Molecular Ecology*, *27*(1), 167–181. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14430

Fritz, M. L., Paa, S., Baltzegar, J., & Gould, F. (2016). Application of a dense genetic map for assessment of genomic responses to selection and inbreeding in *Heliothis virescens*. *Insect Molecular Biology*, *25*(4), 385–400. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12234

Gassmann, A. J., & Reisig, D. D. (2023). Management of insect pests with Bt crops in the United States. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *68*(1), null. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-120220-105502

Gong, L., Kang, S., Zhou, J., Sun, D., Guo, L., Qin, J., Zhu, L., Bai, Y., Ye, F., Akami, M., Wu, Q., Wang, S., Xu, B., Yang, Z., Bravo, A., Soberón, M., Guo, Z., Wen, L., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Reduced expression of a novel midgut trypsin gene involved in protoxin activation correlates with Cry1Ac resistance in a laboratory-selected strain of *Plutella xylostella* (L.). *Toxins*, *12*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12020076

González-Cabrera, J., García, M., Hernández-Crespo, P., Farinós, G. P., Ortego, F., & Castañera, P. (2013). Resistance to Bt maize in *Mythimna unipuncta* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is mediated by alteration in Cry1Ab protein activation. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, *43*(8), 635–643. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.04.001

Gould, F. (1998). Sustainability of transgenic insecticidal cultivars: Integrating pest genetics and ecology. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *43*(1), 701–726. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.43.1.701

Gould, F., Brown, Z. S., & Kuzma, J. (2018). Wicked evolution: Can we address the sociobiological dilemma of pesticide resistance? *Science*, *360*(6390), 728–732. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3780

Heckel, D. G. (2020). How do toxins from Bacillus thuringiensis kill insects? An evolutionary perspective. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology*, *104*(2), e21673. https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.21673

Heckel, D. G. (2022). Perspectives on gene copy number variation and pesticide resistance. *Pest Management Science*, *78*(1), 12–18. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6631

Ho, S. S., Urban, A. E., & Mills, R. E. (2020). Structural variation in the sequencing era. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *21*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-019-0180-9

Horner, T. A., Dively, G. P., & Herbert, D. A. (2003). Development, survival and fitness performance of *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in MON810 Bt field corn. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, *96*(3), 914–924. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/96.3.914

Huang, F., Andow, D. A., & Buschman, L. L. (2011). Success of the high-dose/refuge resistance management strategy after 15 years of Bt crop use in North America. *Entomologia*

*Experimentalis et Applicata*, *140*(1), 1–16.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2011.01138.x

Hutchison, W. D., Burkness, E. C., Mitchell, P. D., Moon, R. D., Leslie, T. W., Fleischer, S. J., Abrahamson, M., Hamilton, K. L., Steffey, K. L., Gray, M. E., Hellmich, R. L., Kaster, L. V., Hunt, T. E., Wright, R. J., Pecinovsky, K., Rabaey, T. L., Flood, B. R., & Raun, E. S. (2010). Areawide suppression of European corn borer with Bt maize reaps savings to non-Bt maize growers. *Science*, *330*(6001), 222–225. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1190242

Jurat-Fuentes, J. L., Heckel, D. G., & Ferré, J. (2021). Mechanisms of resistance to insecticidal proteins from *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *66*(1), 121–140. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-052620-073348

Kathage, J., & Qaim, M. (2012). Economic impacts and impact dynamics of Bt (Bacillus thuringiensis) cotton in India. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(29), 11652–11656. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1203647109

Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C., & Salzberg, S. L. (2019). Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nature Biotechnology*, *37*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4

Koch, M. S., Ward, J. M., Levine, S. L., Baum, J. A., Vicini, J. L., & Hammond, B. G. (2015). The food and environmental safety of Bt crops. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *6*, 283. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00283

Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*, *9*(4), 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923

Lawrie, R. D., Mitchell Iii, R. D., Deguenon, J. M., Ponnusamy, L., Reisig, D., Pozo-Valdivia, A. D., Kurtz, R. W., & Roe, R. M. (2020). Multiple known mechanisms and a possible role of an enhanced immune system in Bt-resistance in a field population of the Bollworm, *Helicoverpa zea* : Differences in gene expression with RNAseq. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *21*(18), E6528. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21186528

Lenth, R. V., Bolker, B., Buerkner, P., Giné-Vázquez, I., Herve, M., Jung, M., Love, J., Miguez, F., Riebl, H., & Singmann, H. (2023). *emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means* (1.8.7) [Computer software]. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/index.html

Lewis, S. L., & Maslin, M. A. (2015). Defining the anthropocene. *Nature*, *519*(7542), 171–180. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14258

Liu, C., Xiao, Y., Li, X., Oppert, B., Tabashnik, B. E., & Wu, K. (2014). Cis-mediated down-regulation of a trypsin gene associated with Bt resistance in cotton bollworm. *Scientific Reports*, *4*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07219

Liu, L., Li, Z., Luo, X., Zhang, X., Chou, S.-H., Wang, J., & He, J. (2021). Which is stronger? A continuing battle between Cry toxins and insects. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *12*.

https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2021.665101

Loehlin, D. W., Kim, J. Y., & Paster, C. O. (2022). A tandem duplication in *Drosophila*
*melanogaster* shows enhanced expression beyond the gene copy number. *Genetics*,
*220*(3), iyab231. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyab231

Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, *15*(12), 550.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Ma, Y., Zhang, Y., Chen, R.-R., Ren, X.-L., Wan, P.-J., Mu, L.-L., & Li, G.-Q. (2013). Combined
effects of three crystalline toxins from Bacillus thuringiensis with seven proteinase
inhibitors on beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae).
*Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, *105*(3), 169–176.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2013.01.007

Mahmoud, M., Gobet, N., Cruz-Dávalos, D. I., Mounier, N., Dessimoz, C., & Sedlazeck, F. J.
(2019). Structural variant calling: The long and the short of it. *Genome Biology*, *20*(1),
246. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1828-7

Mendelsohn, M., Kough, J., Vaituzis, Z., & Matthews, K. (2003). Are Bt crops safe? *Nature*
*Biotechnology*, *21*(9), 1003–1009. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0903-1003

Mota-Sanchez, D., & Wise, J. C. (2022). *The arthropod pesticide resistance database*.
www.pesticideresistance.org/search.php

Mouches, C., Pauplin, Y., Agarwal, M., Lemieux, L., Herzog, M., Abadon, M.,
Beyssat-Arnaouty, V., Hyrien, O., De Saint Vincent, B. R., & Georghiou, G. P. (1990).
Characterization of amplification core and esterase B1 gene responsible for insecticide
resistance in *Culex*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *87*(7), 2574–2578.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.7.2574

Oerke, E.-C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, *144*(1), 31–43.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859605005708

Okonechnikov, K., Conesa, A., & García-Alcalde, F. (2016). Qualimap 2: Advanced
multi-sample quality control for high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, *32*(2),
292–294. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv566

Palumbi, S. R. (2001). Humans as the world's greatest evolutionary force. *Science*, *293*(5536),
1786–1790.

Pearce, S. L., Clarke, D. F., East, P. D., Elfekih, S., Gordon, K. H. J., Jermiin, L. S.,
McGaughran, A., Oakeshott, J. G., Papanikolaou, A., Perera, O. P., Rane, R. V., Richards,
S., Tay, W. T., Walsh, T. K., Anderson, A., Anderson, C. J., Asgari, S., Board, P. G.,
Bretschneider, A., … Wu, Y. D. (2017). Genomic innovations, transcriptional plasticity
and gene loss underlying the evolution and divergence of two highly polyphagous and
invasive *Helicoverpa* pest species. *BMC Biology*, *15*(1), 63.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-017-0402-6

Perera, O. P., Little, N. S., Abdelgaffar, H., Jurat-Fuentes, J. L., & Reddy, G. V. P. (2021). Genetic knockouts indicate that the ABCC2 protein in the bollworm *Helicoverpa zea* is not a major receptor for the Cry1Ac insecticidal protein. *Genes*, *12*(10), Article 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12101522

Perry, E. D., Ciliberto, F., Hennessy, D. A., & Moschini, G. (2016). Genetically engineered crops and pesticide use in U.S. maize and soybeans. *Science Advances*, *2*(8), e1600850. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600850

Popp, J., Pető, K., & Nagy, J. (2013). Pesticide productivity and food security. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *33*(1), 243–255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-012-0105-x

Puinean, A. M., Foster, S. P., Oliphant, L., Denholm, I., Field, L. M., Millar, N. S., Williamson, M. S., & Bass, C. (2010). Amplification of a cytochrome P450 gene is associated with resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides in the aphid *Myzus persicae*. *PLOS Genetics*, *6*(6), e1000999. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000999

R Development Core Team. (2023). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org.

Reisig, D. D. (2017). Factors associated with willingness to plant non-Bt maize refuge and suggestions for increasing refuge compliance. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management*, *8*(1), 9. https://doi.org/10.1093/jipm/pmx002

Reisig, D. D., & Kurtz, R. (2018). Bt resistance implications for *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) insecticide resistance management in the United States. *Environmental Entomology*, *47*(6), 1357–1364. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvy142

Rochette, N. C., Rivera-Colón, A. G., & Catchen, J. M. (2019). Stacks 2: Analytical methods for paired-end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, *28*(21), 4737–4754. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15253

Rubin, C. J., Zody, M. C., Eriksson, J., Meadows, J. R. S., Sherwood, E., Webster, M. T., Jiang, L., Ingman, M., Sharpe, T., Ka, S., Hallböök, F., Besnier, F., Carlborg, Ö., Bed'hom, B., Tixier-Boichard, M., Jensen, P., Siegel, P., Lindblad-Toh, K., & Andersson, L. (2010). Whole-genome resequencing reveals loci under selection during chicken domestication. *Nature*, *464*(7288), Article 7288. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08832

Sanahuja, G., Banakar, R., Twyman, R. M., Capell, T., & Christou, P. (2011). *Bacillus thuringiensis*: A century of research, development and commercial applications. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, *9*(3), 283–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7652.2011.00595.x

Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Pethybridge, S. J., Esker, P., McRoberts, N., & Nelson, A. (2019). The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *3*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0793-y

Schmidt, J. M., Good, R. T., Appleton, B., Sherrard, J., Raymant, G. C., Bogwitz, M. R., Martin, J., Daborn, P. J., Goddard, M. E., Batterham, P., & Robin, C. (2010). Copy number

variation and transposable elements feature in recent, ongoing adaptation at the Cyp6g1 locus. *PLOS Genetics*, *6*(6), e1000998. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000998

Sparks, T. C. (2013). Insecticide discovery: An evaluation and analysis. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, *107*(1), 8–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2013.05.012

Tabashnik, B. E., & Carrière, Y. (2017). Surge in insect resistance to transgenic crops and prospects for sustainability. *Nature Biotechnology*, *35*(10), 926–935. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3974

Taylor, K. L., Hamby, K. A., DeYonke, A. M., Gould, F., & Fritz, M. L. (2021). Genome evolution in an agricultural pest following adoption of transgenic crops. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(52). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2020853118

US EPA-SRP. (1998). *FIFRA scientific advisory panel subpanel on* Bacillus thuringiensis *(Bt) plant-pesticides and resistance management*.

Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer.

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T. L., Miller, E., Bache, S. M., Müller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D. P., Spinu, V., … Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *4*(43), 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686

Yang, F., González, J. C. S., Little, N., Reisig, D., Payne, G., Dos Santos, R. F., Jurat-Fuentes, J. L., Kurtz, R., & Kerns, D. L. (2020). First documentation of major Vip3Aa resistance alleles in field populations of *Helicoverpa zea* (Boddie) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Texas, USA. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62748-8

Yang, F., González, J. C. S., Williams, J., Cook, D. C., Gilreath, R. T., & Kerns, D. L. (2019). Occurrence and ear damage of *Helicoverpa zea* on transgenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* maize in the field in Texas, U.S. and its susceptibility to Vip3A protein. *Toxins*, *11*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11020102

Yang, F., Kerns, D., & Huang, F. (2015). Refuge-in-the-bag strategy for managing insect resistance to Bt maize. *Outlooks on Pest Management*, *26*(5), 226–228. https://doi.org/10.1564/v26_oct_10

Yang, F., Kerns, D. L., Little, N., Brown, S. A., Stewart, S. D., Catchot, A. L., Cook, D. R., Gore, J., Crow, W. D., Lorenz, G. M., Towles, T., & Tabashnik, B. E. (2022). Practical resistance to Cry toxins and efficacy of Vip3Aa in Bt cotton against *Helicoverpa zea*. *Pest Management Science*, *78*(12), 5234–5242. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7142

Yang, F., Kerns, D. L., Little, N. S., Santiago González, J. C., & Tabashnik, B. E. (2021). Early warning of resistance to Bt toxin Vip3Aa in *Helicoverpa zea*. *Toxins*, *13*(9), Article 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13090618

Zdobnov, E. M., Kuznetsov, D., Tegenfeldt, F., Manni, M., Berkeley, M., & Kriventseva, E. V.

(2021). OrthoDB in 2020: Evolutionary and functional annotations of orthologs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *49*(D1), D389–D393. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1009

Zhang, M., Wei, J., Ni, X., Zhang, J., Jurat-Fuentes, J. L., Fabrick, J. A., Carrière, Y., Tabashnik, B. E., & Li, X. (2019). Decreased Cry1Ac activation by midgut proteases associated with Cry1Ac resistance in *Helicoverpa zea*. *Pest Management Science*, *75*(4), 1099–1106. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5224

Zhou, X., Carbonetto, P., & Stephens, M. (2013). Polygenic modeling with Bayesian sparse linear mixed models. *PLOS Genetics*, *9*(2), e1003264. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003264

Zhou, X., & Stephens, M. (2012). Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association studies. *Nature Genetics*, *44*(7), 821–824. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2310