1   **An Organism-Level Quantitative Flux Model of Mammalian Energy Metabolism**

3   Bo Yuan [1], Karen E. Inouye [1,2], Gökhan S. Hotamışlıgil [1,2,3], Sheng Hui [1,2,4,*]

[1] Department of Molecular Metabolism, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston,

Massachusetts, USA.

[2] Sabri Ülker Center for Metabolic Research, Department of Molecular Metabolism, Harvard T.H.

Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

[3] Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

[4] Lead Contact

* Correspondence: shui@hsph.harvard.edu (S.H.)

Keywords: isotope tracing, in vivo flux quantification, circulating nutrients, energy metabolism,

obesity

Highlights

• A flux model of energy metabolism integrating ^{13}C labeling of metabolites and CO_2

• Circulating nutrients have characteristic partition between oxidation and storing

• Circulating nutrients' total cycling flux outweighs their total oxidation flux

• Cycling fluxes are extensively elevated in ob/ob but not diet-induced obese mice

Graphical Abstract

Summary

Mammalian tissues feed on nutrients in the blood circulation. At the organism-level, mammalian

energy metabolism comprises of oxidation, interconverting, storing and releasing of circulating

nutrients. Though much is known about the individual processes and nutrients, a holistic and

quantitative model describing these processes for all major circulating nutrients is lacking. Here,

by integrating isotope tracer infusion, mass spectrometry, and isotope gas analyzer

measurement, we developed a framework to systematically quantify fluxes through these

processes for 10 major circulating energy nutrients in mice, resulting in an organism-level

quantitative flux model of energy metabolism. This model revealed in wildtype mice that

circulating nutrients' metabolic cycling fluxes are more dominant than their oxidation fluxes, with

distinct partition between cycling and oxidation flux for individual circulating nutrients.

Applications of this framework in obese mouse models showed on a per animal basis extensive

elevation of metabolic cycling fluxes in ob/ob mice, but not in diet-induced obese mice. Thus, our

framework describes quantitatively the functioning of energy metabolism at the organism-level,

valuable for revealing new features of energy metabolism in physiological and disease conditions.

INTRODUCTION

While our body is ultimately powered by nutrients in the food we eat, our tissues consume nutrients from the blood circulation for their energy needs, mostly by oxidizing them into CO_2. The circulating nutrients are supplied from digestion of food or breakdown of tissue nutrient storages such as glycogen and triacylglycerol (TAG). Instead of following the simple one-way process of release from storage to oxidation by tissues, however, a circulating nutrient can be simultaneously recycled back to its tissue storages, thus forming metabolic cycles (**Figure 1A**). For example, circulating glucose can be released from and resynthesized into tissue glycogen at the same time (Shipley et al., 1967, 1970). Another well-known example is the triacylglycerol-fatty acid cycle, which involves the simultaneous release of non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) from tissue triacylglycerol (TAG) into circulation and resynthesis of tissue TAG from circulating NEFA (Nye et al., 2008; Reshef et al., 2003). In addition to the metabolic fates of oxidation and resynthesis into tissue storage, circulating nutrients also interconvert with each other, forming additional metabolic cycles (**Figure 1A**) (Hui et al., 2020). One prominent example is the Cori cycle, where circulating glucose is converted into circulating lactate, which is then converted back into circulating glucose through gluconeogenesis. Thus, circulating nutrients are involved in a complex network of fluxes, including those in oxidation, inter-nutrient metabolic cycling, and nutrient-storage metabolic cycling. Despite extensive knowledge of some well-known examples, a holistic and quantitative view of these fluxes encompassing all major circulating nutrients is lacking, preventing a global view of how energy metabolism is functioning in health and diseases such as obesity.

Here, we integrated isotope tracer infusion, mass spectrometry, and isotope gas analyzer measurement, and developed a fluxomics framework to calculate the oxidation, storing, inter-converting, and releasing fluxes for 10 major circulating nutrients in mice (**Figure S1**). The resulting quantitative flux model at the organism-level revealed many surprising features of mammalian energy metabolism. Of note, metabolic cycling flux is numerically more prominent than oxidation for circulating nutrients, despite the already enormous oxidative flux. To unravel the global changes of energy metabolism in obesity, we applied this framework on obesity models. Among our findings, compared with lean mice and on a per animal basis, the metabolic

cycling fluxes of carbohydrate and fat nutrients were elevated by about 2-fold in the leptin-

deficient obese mice while they remained largely the same in diet-induced obese mice. Overall,

our work provides a framework for building an organism-level flux model of energy metabolism,

a useful tool for metabolic research.

RESULTS

Quantifying the Fraction of Oxidation for a Circulating Nutrient

In general, the carbon atoms in a circulating nutrient either leave the body as the oxidation

product CO_2 or are recycled back into storages in the body (**Figure 1A**). To quantify these

oxidation fluxes and storing fluxes of circulating nutrients, we first aimed to determine what

fraction of a circulating nutrient is oxidized into CO_2, or its fraction of oxidation (f_{ox}). For this,

using circulating glucose as an example, we intravenously administered a small amount of ^{13}C-

uniformly labeled glucose as a single bolus into modestly fasted mice, and monitored the $^{13}CO_2$

production using a metabolic cage equipped with a $^{13}CO_2$ analyzer (**Figure 1B**). The production

flux of $^{13}CO_2$ peaked at around 30 min, significantly dropped in another 2 hours, and tailed to

approach background level within a total of 6 hours (**Figure 1B;** see calculation of $^{13}CO_2$ flux in

Supplementary Note 1). Integration of the $^{13}CO_2$ production kinetic curve over the 6 hours gives

the total $^{13}CO_2$ production from the tracer administered. The recovery was then calculated as the

ratio between the total production of $^{13}CO_2$ (μmol) and the administered tracer dose (μmol ^{13}C

atoms). Glucose recovery was thus determined to be 0.75. This suggests that 25% of circulating

glucose atoms were destined to storage pools, such as triglycerides, proteins, glycogen, and other

macromolecules. To verify this number, we intravenously injected the radioactive tracer ^{14}C-

glucose into mouse, and measured the remaining radioactivity in the carcass 6 hours after

administration. The carcass was digested into a solution, and the radioactivity was measured

using a scintillation counter. We detected 26% of the administered dose in the carcass (**Figure

1C**), consistent with our $^{13}CO_2$ measurement and a previous result (Tolbert et al., 1956).

To further confirm the glucose recovery result, instead of a bolus injection of ^{13}C-glucose,

we infused ^{13}C-glucose into the mouse systemic circulation via a pre-implanted jugular vein

catheter. Compared to the bolus injection, the constant infusion at a low rate reduces the

amount of exogenous nutrient per minute administered to the animal and thus minimizes

potential perturbation to metabolism . Here the recovery is the ratio of $^{13}CO_2$ production rate

(µmol/min) at steady state to the ^{13}C-infusion rate (µmol ^{13}C atoms/min). With this experiment,

we measured glucose recovery to be 0.73 (**Figure 1D**), in close agreement with that measured

with the bolus administration. We thus combined results from both methods and took the

average as the recovery of glucose.

To obtain the f_{ox} value for glucose, we next took in account the fact that a portion of the

$^{13}CO_2$ generated from oxidation of ^{13}C-glucose can undergo carboxylation and get incorporated

into metabolite pools (such as urea and oxaloacetic acid) which are not recovered in the

exhalation (Elia et al., 1992). For this, we intravenously infused ^{13}C-labeled bicarbonate, and

determined a recovery of 90% for bicarbonate, indicating that 90% of produced CO_2 from

substrate oxidation actually leaves the body as exhaled CO_2. We thus took the ratio of glucose

recovery to bicarbonate recovery as the glucose f_{ox}, and obtained a value of 0.81 (**Figure 1E**).

This value reflects that ~80% of circulating glucose is oxidized, and ~20% is recycled back to

storage pools independent of the CO_2 carboxylation pathway.

Distinct f_{ox} Values for Different Circulating Nutrients

In addition to glucose, we went on to quantify the f_{ox} for 12 other circulating nutrients (**Figures**

1E, **S2A-D**). For most circulating nutrients investigated, the f_{ox} by both bolus injection and

infusion methods are generally consistent (**Figure S2C**), and we pooled the data from both

methods. Glycerol, however, was 30% more oxidized when administered as a bolus than by

infusion, suggesting significant perturbation to glycerol metabolism by the bolus administration.

Given the negligible perturbation by infusion, we used infusion-based f_{ox} for glycerol for further

analyses.

Among all 13 circulating nutrients, lactate had the highest f_{ox} of around 100%, significantly

higher than glucose f_{ox} of 81% (**Figure 1E**). This result further substantiates circulating lactate's

role as an energy source for tissues (Hui et al., 2017). The ketone body 3-hydroxybutyrate and

acetate also have high f_{ox} of 81% and 86%, respectively, indicating their main use as energy

sources in the body. Non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) occupy the lower end of the f_{ox} spectrum.

Palmitate (C16:O), oleate (C18:1), and linoleate (C18:2), the most abundant NEFAs in circulation,

have f_{ox} values of around 45%, suggesting prominent fluxes from circulating NEFAs back to tissue

triglycerides (Reshef et al., 2003). The branched-chain amino acid (BCAA) valine has a f_{ox} of only

32%, similar to that of BCAA leucine (Tolbert et al., 1956). Together with f_{ox} of 24~26% for the

essential amino acids methionine and tryptophan, this result reveals that the majority of

proteolysis-released circulating amino acids are recycled back into proteins. In contrast,

circulating glutamine and alanine have high f_{ox} of 77% and 84%, respectively, indicating their

unique roles in energy metabolism among amino acids. Thus, f_{ox} of a circulating nutrient reveals

its main role in mammalian metabolism and is a fundamental property of circulating nutrients.

In the following flux analysis, we focused on 10 nutrients in **Figure 1E**, which were the top

9 circulatory nutrients (Hui et al., 2017) and valine. Albeit a small circulatory turnover flux, valine

was included as a reporter of protein metabolism. The other 9 nutrients are glucose, lactate,

glycerol, alanine, glutamine, 3-HB, C16:0, C18:1, and C18:2.

Quantifying Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients

Based on the f_{ox} measurement, we next sought to quantify the fluxes associated with oxidation

and storing destinies of circulating nutrients. At the steady state of ^{13}C-tracer infusion, we

sampled the blood and measured the ^{13}C-labeling of the infused nutrient using mass

spectrometry (**Figure 2A**). The serum labeling of the infused nutrient (L) reflects the dilution of

the infusate (at a rate R) by the endogenous production flux of the nutrient, which is thus equal

to $R\frac{1-L}{L}$. Since f_{ox} and $1 - f_{ox}$ are the fraction of the circulatory flux that is fated to oxidation

and storing, respectively (**Figure 2B**), the oxidation and storing fluxes of a circulating nutrient

(denoted as J_{OX} and J_{S}, respectively) can be calculated (see derivation in **Supplementary note 2**)

as

$$J_{OX} = R\frac{1-L}{L}f_{ox} \tag{1}$$

$$J_{S} = R\frac{1-L}{L}(1-f_{ox}) \tag{2}$$

The absolute flux values reveal quantitatively the magnitude of each nutrient as an oxidation

substrate or storage precursor (**Figure 2C**). For example, though linoleic acid has lower f_{ox} than

glucose (**Figure 1E**), it has higher oxidation flux. Valine has the largest storing fraction $(1 - f_{ox})$,

but the associated storing flux is among the smallest.

Quantifying *Direct* Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients

The oxidation flux for a circulating nutrient quantified above describes the nutrient's ultimate

disposal into CO_2. It includes both direct and indirect oxidation fluxes of the nutrient. The indirect

oxidation refers to the process that a circulating nutrient is first converted into other circulating

nutrients before being oxidized. For example, an important indirect oxidation route for

circulating glucose is via circulating lactate. In like manner, the storing flux also comprises both

the direct and indirect ways. To gain further insights on the sources and sinks of circulating

nutrients, we next sought to quantify the *direct* fate of a circulating nutrient to oxidation and

storage.

We denote the direct oxidation flux from a circulating nutrient i as O_i, which we aim to

calculate. Now consider the steady state of a nonperturbative infusion of a ^{13}C-labeled nutrient,

e.g., ^{13}C-glucose. The $^{13}CO_2$ production flux from the animal is given by Rf_{ox}, where R is the

infusion rate and f_{ox} is the glucose fraction of oxidation. As the $^{13}CO_2$ production is also equal to

the sum of labeled direct oxidation fluxes from all circulating nutrients, we have

$$\sum O_i^t L_i = R f_{ox} \tag{3}$$

172   where O_i^t is the direct oxidation flux (including both ^{13}C and ^{12}C) of nutrient i, and L_i the labeled

173   fraction of the nutrient (illustrated in **Figure 3A**). As the endogenous unlabeled oxidation flux O_i

174   accounts for $1 - L_i$ fraction of O_i^t, or $O_i = O_i^t(1 - L_i)$, plugging it into the above equation gives

175   the expression with the endogenous oxidation flux O_i as the only unknown

$$\sum \frac{L_i}{1 - L_i} O_i = R f_{ox} \tag{4}$$

176   This equation holds under the infusion of each different ^{13}C-labeled nutrient. As such, the infusion

177   of 10 different ^{13}C-nutrients unveils the inter-labeling pattern among these nutrients (**Figures 3B**

178   and **S3A**), and allows the construction of a linear system of 10 equations of

$$A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} O_1 \\ O_2 \\ \vdots \\ O_{10} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 f_{ox_1} \\ R_2 f_{ox_2} \\ \vdots \\ R_{10} f_{ox_{10}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

where A is a 10×10 matrix, with $L_i^j/(1 - L_i^j)$ in the jth row and i^{th} column and L_i^j representing

the labeling of ith circulating nutrient under the infusion of the jth tracer. Solving these linear

equations yields the direct oxidation fluxes O_i of the circulating nutrients (**Figure 3C**). The direct

storing fluxes S_i can be calculated in the same manner (**Figure 3C**; see more details in derivation

in **Suppl. Note 3**).

Direct Contribution of Circulating Nutrients to Total Energy Expenditure

The direct oxidation fluxes form an organism-level view of burning circulating nutrients for

energy (**Figure 3**). Compared with the total CO_2 exhaled by the animal measured directly using a

metabolic cage, the 10 nutrients alone accounted for about 80% of the total CO_2 production,

confirming that these nutrients represent the top circulating nutrients. Glucose, while being the

most famous energy nutrient, has rather minimal direct oxidation flux, accounting for only

marginal fraction of total energy expenditure (TEE) (**Figure 3C**). Instead, circulating lactate,

mostly derived from glucose, is the dominant carbohydrate that directly fuels oxidation (Hui et

al., 2017), responsible for about 20% of TEE. Glutamine, as the single most important amino acid

fuel, contributes to 7% of TEE, while alanine and valine contribute 1.5% and 0.7%, respectively.

As the oxidative disposal of essential amino acid reflects the use of proteins for energy

expenditure, based on the content of valine (5%) in muscle proteins (Gorissen and Phillips, 2018),

the direct oxidation of protein-originated amino acids can be estimated to provide approximately

14% of TEE (Fearon et al., 1988; Matthews et al., 1980). The ketone body 3-HB contributes to 5%

of TEE. Due to the rapid equilibrium between 3-HB and acetoacetate, this quantity reflects the

contribution of the total ketone bodies (Keller et al., 1981). The three NEFA species, palmitate,

oleate, and linoleate, are among the most prominent fuels, contributing to 8%, 15% and 22% of

TEE, respectively, or 45% in sum. As these top 3 NEFAs account for about 75% of total NEFA in

circulation (**Figure S3C**), approximately 60% of TEE is supported by the total NEFA in circulation.

Glycerol is uniquely the only nutrient that is not directly engaged in oxidation (Hui et al., 2020).

All nutrients considered together, using both direct measurement and empirical extrapolation,

close to 100% of TEE can be quantitatively accounted for (**Figure 3D**, showing the direct TEE

contribution from carbohydrate nutrients (glucose, lactate, and glycerol), NEFAs, protein, and

ketone bodies).

Recycling to Storage Is a Highly Prominent Fate of Circulating Nutrients

The direct storing fluxes revealed the composition of nutrients for resynthesizing fuel storages.

The total NEFAs present a dominating 60% of the total storing flux (**Figure 3D**). Amino acids also

have prominent storing fluxes. Using valine as a representative of protein-originated amino acids,

the total amino acids storing flux accounts for 30% of the total storing flux. Carbohydrates have

a minor part (6%) in the total storing flux, with lactate having zero direct storing flux. This again

highlights lactate's role as a fuel metabolite. Most strikingly, all circulating nutrients considered

together, the total storing flux is 23% higher than that of oxidation (**Figure 3D**), vividly

demonstrating the enormity of futile cycling fluxes of circulating nutrients.

Quantifying Inter-converting Fluxes between Circulating Nutrients and their Releasing Fluxes

from Storages

We have so far focused on oxidation and storing as destinies for circulating nutrients. The

storages however also serve as sources for circulating nutrients. To work toward a full view of

metabolism of circulating nutrients, here we attempted to quantify the production fluxes of the

circulating nutrients from the storage pools. In the same calculation, we also obtained the

interconverting fluxes between circulating nutrients, which was a main result in our previous

work (Hui, et al. 2020).

To illustrate the calculation, we use glucose as an example and quantify its production

fluxes, including the storage releasing flux F_S, i.e., glycogenolysis, and the gluconeogenic flux F_i

from circulating nutrient i (**Figure 4A**). Now consider the steady state during a nonperturbative

^{13}C-glucose infusion with an infusion rate R. The total incoming flux (F_{total}) to the glucose pool,

which at steady state is equal to the total outgoing flux of glucose, is given by

$$F_{total} = R + \sum_i F_i^t + F_S \tag{6}$$

233 where $F_i^t = \frac{F_i}{1-L_i}$ with L_i the labeling of nutrient i. Note that F_i^t is the total flux from nutrient i

234 to glucose including both endogenous unlabeled flux F_i and labeled flux $F_i^t L_i$. The mass balance

235 of the labeled glucose pool (with the labeling denoted as L_{glc}) requires

$$\sum_i F_i^t L_i + R = F_{total} L_{glc} \tag{7}$$

where the left-hand-side is the incoming labeled glucose flux and the right-hand-side the

outgoing labeled glucose flux. Eq. (5) and the expression for F_i^t can be plugged into Eq. (6) to

form an equation with F_i's and F_S as the only unknowns (Methods). Such an equation holds

under the infusion of other ^{13}C-nutrients, but with $R = 0$. With infusions of ^{13}C glucose and 9

other ^{13}C-labeled circulating nutrients, a linear system of 10 equations can be then constructed

for the 10 unknowns including the 9 F_i's and F_S, in like manner as Eq. (4). Solving the equations

yielded the storage releasing flux, and the conversion fluxes from the 9 circulating nutrients, to

produce circulating glucose. In similar fashion, the releasing fluxes and inter-converting fluxes

can be calculated for other circulating nutrients using the same computational framework. More

derivation details can be found in **Suppl. Note 4**.

Sources of Circulating Nutrients

The storage releasing flux and inter-converting flux results calculated above provide a

quantitative view of the direct sources for each of the 10 circulating nutrients (**Figure 4B**). Two

types of circulating nutrients can be seen, nutrients directly sourced from the storages, and those

derived from both storages and other circulating nutrients. NEFA and glycerol are almost

exclusively released from the TAG store, and valine and glutamine from the protein store. In

contrast, glucose, lactate, alanine, and 3-HB are additionally sourced from other circulating

nutrients. For circulating glucose, about 40% is released from glycogen, while the remaining

majority is produced by gluconeogenesis, primarily from lactate and glycerol (each about 25%).

For circulating lactate, about 20% is released from glycogen, in line with that measured by pulse-

chase tracing of glycogen (TeSlaa et al., 2021), while the remaining mostly comes from glucose

(60%) and glycerol (10%). As glycerol is derived from TAG, this collectively shows that glycogen

and TAG but not protein are the major ultimate sources of circulating glucose (Wang et al., 2020).

We further quantified such ultimate contribution fraction from various storages to circulating

nutrients (**Figure S4A**, see derivation in **Suppl. Note 5**). Interestingly, while amino acids are

typically released from the protein as the principal source, alanine has its carbon origin roughly

evenly from protein, glycogen, and TAG.

Sinks for Circulating Nutrients

Together with the direct oxidation and storing fluxes calculated earlier (**Figure 3C**), the inter-

conversion fluxes of circulating nutrients constitute the direct sinks of circulating nutrients

(**Figure 4C**). This integrated view offers interesting insights. For example, though glutamine and

alanine, are known to be gluconeogenic, their direct conversion to lactate is more predominant

than to glucose. In addition, these amino acids are directly oxidized with higher fluxes than

otherwise relying on the lactate-genic pathway for energy supply.

A Flux Model Incorporating All Quantified Fluxes for Circulating Nutrients

A further inclusive combination of the production and consumption fluxes resulted in a

comprehensive metabolic flux model of circulating nutrients (**Figure 4D**). In addition to the

prominent oxidative fluxes for energy generation, this model vividly highlights two types of

cycling of the circulating nutrients. One type involves the cycling between the circulating

nutrients and the storages, and a second cycling exists between the circulating nutrients. These

cycles render a picture of vibrant carbon exchanges among nutrients in circulation and tissues.

Many of the futile cycles proceed at the cost of ATP. We estimated ATP wasted in the Cori cycle,

TAG-NEFA cycling, and protein turnover, the top three cycles with the highest carbon flux

(Methods). Together, these cycles wasted remarkably around 10% of the total ATP generated

(**Figure S4B**).

Fluxes Are Generally Unperturbed in Diet-Induced Obese Mice

To further demonstrate the utility of the systems-level flux modeling, we applied the framework

to two obese mouse models, high fat diet-induced obese mice (HFD), and leptin-deficient ob/ob

mice (**Figures 5-6, S5**). Unexpectedly, despite being 60% heavier than the wild type control mice

on chow diet, the HFD mice showed generally moderate changes in f_{ox} and fluxes (**Figures 5A-**

D). The most striking change is the significantly increased production of glycerol from circulating

glucose, i.e., the recently discovered glycerol shunt pathway (**Figures 5B**; **S6, S7A**)(Mugabo et al.,

2016; Possik et al., 2023). This pathway is quantitatively negligible in WT; while it was elevated

by 2-fold in ob/ob mice, it was more impressively activated by 4.5 fold in the HFD group.

Extensive Elevation of Metabolic Cycling in ob/ob Mice

In contrast to the HFD mice, ob/ob mice showed major changes in energy metabolism. While f_{ox}

was moderately decreased for many nutrients, it was most prominently decreased by 2-fold in

circulating NEFAs (**Figures 5A**). This was accompanied by a 2-fold increase on average in the

circulatory turnover flux (equal to total consumption flux and total production flux) of NEFAs,

resulting in unchanged oxidation flux, but a 2.3-fold elevation in the circulating NEFA recycling

flux (**Figures 5B-D**). Intracellular reesterification nonetheless remained unchanged (**Figure S7B**).

The circulatory fluxes of both glucose and lactate were also increased by around 2-fold, with

production from different sources roughly proportionally scaled up. This led to a 2.3-fold increase

in the oxidation of the carbohydrate nutrients, and drove an increase in total energy expenditure

in ob/ob mice. This was accompanied with over 2-fold increase in the fluxes of glucose recycling

to storage and Cori cycle. Interestingly, as gauged by the essential amino acid valine, the global

protein oxidation and futile recycling remains unchanged. All nutrients considered, the futile

storing flux is 60% higher than their oxidation flux. The total ATP wasted in the top 3 futile cycles,

TAG-NEFA extracellular recycling, Cori cycle and protein turnover, was 34% higher in ob/ob mice

than the chow-fed control mice (**Figure S7C**).

DISCUSSION

The present flux framework is a major advancement over our previous work (Hui et al., 2020),

where we systematically quantified the inter-converting fluxes between circulating nutrients

using isotope labeling data of serum metabolites. Here, by incorporating additional

measurements of production rate and isotope labeling of exhaled CO_2, our framework further

outputs the oxidation, storing and releasing fluxes of circulating nutrients. Together with the

inter-converting fluxes, these fluxes form a full account of sources and sinks for circulating

nutrients at the organism level, resulting in a coherent flux model of energy metabolism.

Analogous to a map showing traffic along major highways in a city, our flux model captures the

top fluxes in an animal body, providing an overview of energy metabolism landscape for the

animal. Such a flux model for a disease state reveals unbiasedly the major alterations in energy

metabolism for that disease, setting the stage for further investigations.

Our flux model revealed extensive metabolic cycling in the fasted state, with higher total

flux than total oxidation flux. During fasting, the animal body is in a net catabolic state, with

nutrient storages such as glycogen, TAG, and proteins being actively broken down to replenish

circulating nutrients for oxidation into CO_2. Yet, recycling back into the storage pools is a

quantitatively more significant fate for circulating nutrients. What is the function of the extensive

cycling? One hypothesis is that it is to produce heat, to maintain or elevate body temperature

(Brownstein et al., 2022; Oeckl et al., 2022; She et al., 2007; Wolfe et al., 1987). We estimated

substantial energy used by these futile cycles, about 10% total ATP generated. Another probable

hypothesis is that metabolic cycling is to increase the sensitivity of metabolic regulation by

enhancing the response magnitude for changes in the stimulus (e.g., substrate

concentration)(Newsholme, 1978). We further revealed distinct partition between oxidation and

cycling fluxes for different circulating nutrients, e.g., about 80% of circulating glucose is burned,

while it is only ~40% for a fatty acid, and ~30% for an essential amino acid. The larger extent of

metabolic cycling in fatty acids and amino acids may thus reflect their relatively less hormonal

control than glucose. These and other hypotheses regarding the underlying principles of the

presence of extensive cycling flux and of distinct f_{ox} values across nutrients deserve further

investigations.

Our comprehensive flux results allows for comparison of fluxes between processes and

can expose flux mismatches and thus knowledge gaps. This way, we identified an important gap

in our understanding of the TAG-NEFA cycle, specifically regarding the sources of glycerol

backbone for resynthesis of TAG. The flux through the TAG-NEFA cycle constitutes the major

fraction of metabolic cycling, indicating active re-esterification of circulating NEFAs. As adipose

tissues are the predominant site of TAG, much of this re-esterification must happen in adipose

tissues. Glucose is classically viewed as the main source for glycerol backbone in TAG synthesis

in adipose tissues. However, even if all the glucose storing flux is diverted to adipose tissues for

producing glycerol backbone, it would be far from sufficient to meet the demand (Figure S5G).

Though lactate has been proposed as a supply of the glycerol carbons in the adipose tissue via

the glyceroneogenesis pathway (Hanson et al., 2006), our data shows that circulating lactate has

negligible direct flux fated to storages. Instead, our quantification points to another possibility:

TAG resynthesis is sustained by recycling glycerol from circulation back to adipose tissue, and the

glycerol's storing flux quantitatively fulfills most of the needed glycerol backbone for TAG-

resynthesis (Figure S6). However, as adipose tissue lacks glycerol kinase, it may be postulated

that glycerol enters the adipose tissue TAG in the form of diacylglycerols or monoacylglycerols,

which are in turn derived from liver-made VLDL-TAG. To elaborate, in this pathway, NEFA and

glycerol are first packed into VLDL-TAG in the liver, and transported to the adipose tissue, where

lipoprotein lipase (LPL) is highly expressed (Coppack et al., 1999; Kleberg et al., 2014). The LPL

hydrolyzes TAG into fatty acids and di- and monoacylglycerols, which can be efficiently extracted

into the adipose tissue (Scow and Blanchette-Mackie, 1991). The acylglycerols are directly

synthesized into TAG sequentially by mono- and di-acylglycerol acyltransferases in the adipose

tissue (Liss et al., 2018; Shi and Cheng, 2009). Further investigations are needed to test this

hypothesis.

Our flux results for the two obesity models demonstrated strikingly distinct energy

metabolism between them. Energy metabolic fluxes were mostly maintained in the HFD mice

while there was extensive elevation of cycling fluxes in the ob/ob mice. Though we cannot rule

out body weight as a contributing factor (45 g HFD mice versus 60 g ob/ob mice), as the control

mice (30g) were much smaller, the flux differences more likely reflect their intrinsic distinctions.

It is possible that the HFD mice resemble the "healthy obesity" group in human population, who

are metabolically healthy despite being obese. On the other hand, the ob/ob mice lie in a totally

different part of the energy metabolism space, possibly mirroring their departure from the state

of metabolic health. Thus, instead of the commonplace view that ob/ob mice are an "artificial"

obesity model, HFD mice and ob/ob mice may model two states along the progression of obesity.

Further flux studies using weight-matched groups will test this hypothesis.

The elevated glucose production and consumption fluxes in ob/ob mice inform on the

cause of hyperglycemia. In general, an increase of a metabolite pool could result from either a

blockage in the consumption reactions or an elevation in the production reactions. As production

and consumption balance each other in the new steady state, the flux through the pool can be

either reduced (in the consumption blockage case) or increased (in the production elevation case)

compared to the unperturbed state. By this argument, the increased flux through the glucose

pool in the ob/ob mice indicates elevated glucose production as the cause of hyperglycemia,

implicating liver insulin resistance instead of muscle insulin resistance as the driver of

hyperglycemia.

Limitation

The identities of nutrient storages were not explicitly studied in this work, but determined based

on literature knowledge. For example, triglycerides and proteins are storage for NEFAs and amino

acids, respectively. However, while it is commonly assumed that glycogen is the storage for

glucose, we recognize literature evidence pointing to other forms of tissue storages for glucose,

such as proteins (Shipley et al., 1967, 1970). Furthermore, as glucose is a substrate for the glycerol

backbone of tissue TAG, there is likely substantial flux from glucose to this form of nutrient

storage. A thorough investigation of the storages with new experimental designs would be a

critical future objective. Our work focused on establishing the flux framework and for this

purpose only studied fasted male mice. Application of this framework to female animals and to

other physiological conditions is of great importance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all current members and alumni of the Hui lab for their assistance and

discussion in the research. This research was supported by the Paul G. Allen Family Foundation

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

405   B.Y. designed and conducted the experiment, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. K.I.

406   supervised and assisted with the metabolic cage measurement. G.H. co-supervised the metabolic

407   cage measurement and proposed critical revision to the manuscript. S.H. conceived and

408   supervised the project, secured funding, and wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and

409   contributed feedback to the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

412   The authors declare no competing interests.

415 **STAR METHODS**

416 **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
D-glucose ($^{14}C_6$)	PerkinElmer	NEC042V250UC
D-glucose ($^{13}C_6$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-1396
sodium L-lactate ($^{13}C_3$, 98%) 20% w/w in H_2O	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-1579
L-alanine ($^{13}C_3$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-2184-H
L-glutamine ($^{13}C_5$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-1822-H
glycerol ($^{13}C_3$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-1510
sodium D-3-hydroxybutyrate ($^{13}C_4$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-3853
sodium acetate ($^{13}C_2$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-440
sodium palmitate ($^{13}C_{16}$, 98%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-6059
oleic acid, potassium salt ($^{13}C_{18}$, 98%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-8856
linoleic acid, potassium salt ($^{13}C_{18}$, 98%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-8835
L-valine ($^{13}C_5$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-2249-H
bovine serum albumin, fatty acid free, ≥96%	Sigma-Aldrich	A6003
glycerokinase	Sigma-Aldrich	G6278
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Mouse: C57BL/6J	Jackson laboratory	000664
Mouse: ob/ob <HO>	Jackson laboratory	000632
Software and Algorithms		
El-MAVEN software	Elucidata https://docs.polly.elucidata.io/index.html	
RStudio	Posit https://posit.co/download/rstudio-desktop/	
AccuCor	Github: https://github.com/XiaoyangSu/AccuCor	
Biorender	Biorender https://www.biorender.com/	
Others		
chow diet	PicoLab Mouse Diet 20	5058
high fat diet	Research Diets	D12492i
XBridge BEH Amide XP column	Waters	176002889
Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column	Waters	186003719
catheter for mouse jugular vein	Instech Laboratories	C20PUMJV1301
catheter for mouse carotid artery	Instech Laboratories	C10PU-MCA1459
vascular access button, 1 channel	Instech Laboratories	VABM1B/25
vascular access button, 2 channels	Instech Laboratories	VABM2B/25R25
tether for 1 channel button	Instech Laboratories	VABM1T/25
tether for 2 channel button	Instech Laboratories	VABM2T/25
Buprenorphine base ER 0.5 mg/mL	Wedgewood	Rx # 7270862
Swivel	Instech Laboratories	375/25PS
counter balanced arm	Instech Laboratories	SMCLA
Blood collection Microvette	Starstedt Inc	16.440.100
Heparin sodium 5000 U/mL	McKesson Corp.	-

419 **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

Lead Contact

Additional information and requests for resources should be directed to the Lead Contact, Sheng Hui (shui@hsph.harvard.edu).

Materials AVAILABILITY

No new reagents were generated in this study.

Data and Code Availability

Raw data and R scripts used for flux analysis is available on GitHub (https://github.com/yuanboFaith/flux-model-energy-metabolism).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

All mouse work followed protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Harvard Medical Area. The animals were housed on a normal light cycle (7 AM to 7 PM), and were 12-24 weeks old when used in experiment. The wild type C57BL/6J and ob/ob mice were fed with standard chow (PicoLab Mouse Diet 5058). For diet-induced obese mice, C57BL/6J mice were fed with high fat diet (Research Diets D12492i, 60%kcal from fat) starting from the 3 weeks of age for 3-4 months before experiment. For *in vivo* tracer infusion, a jugular vein catheter (JVC) was inserted into the right jugular vein, under aseptic conditions and with anesthesia using isoflurane. The JVC was tunneled subcutaneously to the back of the interscapular area, and connected to a single-channel vascular access button (VAB). For arterial blood collection, in addition to jugular catheterization, a carotid artery catheter (CAC) was implanted into the left carotid artery. Both the JVC and CAC were subcutaneously tunneled to the interscapular region, and connected to a double-channel VAB. The catheter patency was maintained by sealing with lock solution composed of 50% glycerol in saline, with 200 U heparin/mL. After surgery, 50 µL of 0.5 mg/mL analgesic buprenorphine SR per 25 g body weight was subcutaneously injected to the right flank. The animal was allowed to recover from surgery for at least five days before use in experiment.

 METHOD DETAILS

 $^{13}CO_2$ Measurement

 ^{13}C-labeled tracer compounds were prepared in sterile saline. Fatty acids were complexed with

 bovine serum albumin in a molar ratio of 6:1. For $^{13}CO_2$ measurements after tracer bolus injection,

 the mouse was transferred to a new cage without food at 9:00 AM. After 4 hours of fasting, the

 animal was gently warmed by a heat lamp to dilate the tail vein. Under light isoflurane anesthesia,

 the mouse was administered with 200 μL ^{13}C-tracer solution via the tail vein. The animal was then

 immediately transferred to a cage in the metabolic cage system, which was equipped with a

 stable isotope gas analyzer (Promethion, Sable Systems International, NV). Expired $^{13}CO_2$ and

 metabolic cage parameters including air flow rate, oxygen, and CO_2 were monitored for another

 6 hours without food access.

 $^{13}CO_2$ measurement with tracer infusion required catharized mice and followed the same

 protocol described in the tracer infusion section below, except that the infusion lasted for 3-4

 hours, with a reduced tracer concentration. The tracer concentration and infusion rates can be

 found in **Table S1**. $^{13}CO_2$ data and metabolic cage parameters including air flow rate, oxygen, and

 CO_2 were continuously collected for the entire period of tracer infusion.

 Infusion of Isotope Tracers

 On the day of tracer infusion, the mouse was transferred to a new cage without food at 9 AM,

 and fasted for 4 hours before infusion. Right before the start of infusion, the lock solution was

 drawn and removed from the catheter, and the catheter was flushed with 50 μL saline. The VAB

 was then connected to the infusion tether, which was in turn connected with a swivel on a

 counter-balance arm. This allowed the mouse to move freely around in the cage. The infusion

 started at 2 PM, and lasted for 30 min for fatty acids and 2.5-3 h for all other tracers. The tracer

 concentration and infusion rates can be found in **Table S1**. At the end of infusion, 40 μL blood

 was collected by tail snip. For infusion of ^{13}C-lactate, alanine, and glutamine, given their

 significant labeling difference in the arterial and venous blood (**Table S2**)(Lee et al., 2023), 40 μL

 arterial blood was sampled from the carotid artery via the pre-implanted catheter, and used for

479   analysis. The blood was collected into a Microvette tube with clotting activator, and was kept on
480   ice during collection. After infusion, the catheter was flushed with 50 μL saline, and then sealed
481   with 10 ~ 20 μL lock solution. The blood was centrifuged at 5,000 ×g for 10 min. 4 μL serum was
482   aliquoted in separate tubes, and stored at -80°C.
483

Serum Sample Preparation for LC-MS Analysis
4 μL serum was mixed with 60 μL -20°C methanol: acetonitrile: water at 40: 40: 20. The sample
was vigorously vortexed, and centrifuged at 4°C at 13,000 g for 10 min. 30 μL supernatant was
transferred to the HPLC vial from which 5 μL was injected to the LC-MS.

Serum glycerol was first enzymatically derivatized into glycerol-3-phosphate before LC-MS
analysis. 4 μL serum was mixed with 90 μL freshly made enzyme solution containing 2 U/mL
glycerol kinase, 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM magnesium chloride,
and 1.5 mM ATP, and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by
the addition of 400 μL methanol. The sample was vortexed, and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10
min. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, and dried using SpeedVac at 45 °C for 90
min. 60 μL of methanol: acetonitrile: water at 40: 40: 20 was added to the residue, vortexed, and
centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min. 30 μL supernatant was transferred to the HPLC vial, from
which 5 μL was injected to the LC-MS.
497

LC-MS Analysis
Chromatographic separation was achieved using XBridge BEH Amide XP Column (2.5 μm, 2.1 mm
× 150 mm) with guard column (2.5 μm, 2.1 mm × 5 mm) (Waters, Milford, MA). The mobile phase
A was water: acetonitrile 95:5, and mobile phase B was water: acetonitrile 20:80, with both
phases containing 10 mM ammonium acetate and 10 mM ammonium hydroxide. The elution
linear gradient was: 0 ~ 3 min, 100% B; 3.2 ~ 6.2 min, 90% B; 6.5. ~ 10.5 min, 80% B; 10.7 ~ 13.5
min, 70% B; 13.7 ~ 16 min, 45% B; and 16.5 ~ 22 min, 100% B, with flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The
autosampler was at 4°C. The injection volume was 5 μL. Needle wash was applied between
samples using methanol: acetonitrile: water at 40: 40: 20. The mass spectrometer used was Q
Exactive HF (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA), and scanned from 70 to 1000 *m/z* with

switching polarity. The resolution was 120,000. Metabolites were identified based on accurate

mass and retention time using an in-house library. ^{13}C-Natural abundance correction was

performed in R using package AccuCor (Su et al., 2017).

[14]C-glucose administration and carcass analysis

The mouse was fasted from 9 AM to 12 PM, and then 12 nCi $^{14}C_6$-glucose in 200 µL saline was

administered via the tail vein. After 6 h, the animal was sacrificed, and the hair was shaved off.

The carcass was transferred into an Erlenmeyer flask containing 70 mL 1.7 M KOH, and

microwaved to simmer. The flask was incubated at 90 C° for 3 h for complete carcass digestion.

After having cooled down to room temperature, the total liquid volume was measured, and 2 mL

15% antifoam B emulsion (Sigma A5757) and 1 mL Tween 80 (Sigma P4780) was added to the

digest. Under magnetic stirring, 0.4 mL of the digest was sampled and transferred to a scintillation

vial. A mix of 150 µL 2 M acetic acid, 200 µL 50% H_2O_2, and 50 µL 15% antifoam B was added to

the vial, followed by incubation at 70C° for 20 min to decolorize the mixture. The vial was then

added with 200 µL of 12 M acetic acid and 5 mL Ecolume scintillation liquid (MP Biomedicals,

0188247001) and vigorously vortexed. [14]C radioactivity in the vial was measured using a HIDEX

300 SL liquid scintillation counter (Turku, Finland).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Calculation of the Fraction of Oxidation (f_{ox}) of a Circulating Nutrient

The f_{ox} of a circulating nutrient was calculated as the fraction of ^{13}C atoms in the exhaled CO_2

among the total ^{13}C atoms in the administered tracer. For a mouse in a metabolic cage system

administered with a ^{13}C tracer, the production flux of tracer-derived $^{13}CO_2$ from the animal J

(µmol/min) was calculated as

$$J = \frac{Q}{22.4(1 - E_{NA})} \cdot [\, C_{out}(E_{out} - E_{NA}) - C_{in}(E_{in} - E_{NA})\,] \cdot 10^{-3} \qquad \text{(Equation 8)}$$

532   where Q is the air flow rate through the cage, C_{in} and C_{out} are the CO_2 concentration measured

533   in the air inflow and outflow respectively, E_{in} and E_{out} are the $^{13}CO_2$ enrichment measured in

534   the air inflow and outflow, respectively, and E_{NA} is the ^{13}C natural abundance of the unlabeled

nutrients in the mouse body. E_{NA} was calculated using Eq. (8) from measured CO_2 concentration and enrichment data for a non-infused control mouse group and a production flux of tracer-derived $^{13}CO_2$ as zero ($J = 0$). For an animal under the infusion at the rate of R (μmol ^{13}C-atoms/min), the f_{ox} was then calculated as

$$f_{ox} = \frac{J}{R} \qquad \text{(Equation 9)}$$

For animal receiving the tracer as a single bolus at dosage of B (μmol ^{13}C-atoms), the fraction of oxidation f_{ox} was calculated as

$$f_{ox} = \frac{1}{B} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} J \, dt \qquad \text{(Equation 10)}$$

with integration from the time of injection to the end of measurement when CO_2 enrichment reached basal level. Details of the derivations are in **Suppl. Note 1**.

Calculation of Fluxes to Oxidation (J_{OX}) and Storages (J_S) from a Circulating Nutrient

The J_{ox} and J_S were calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2) in the main text, respectively. The labeling L used here and throughout the text was the carbon-atom labeling fraction of the nutrient. It is calculated as

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} i \cdot L_{M+i} \qquad \text{(Equation 11)}$$

where N is the number of carbon atoms in a molecule, and L_{M+i} is the fraction of isotopologue containing i number of ^{13}C atoms among all isotopologues of the molecule. Details of the derivations are in **Suppl. Note 2**.

Calculation of the _Direct_ Fluxes to Oxidation (O) and Storage (S) from Circulating Nutrients

The underline{direct} oxidation fluxes O_i of N circulating nutrients were calculated with Eq. (5) in the main text. The direct storing fluxes S_i of the circulating nutrients were calculated with

$$A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ \vdots \\ S_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1(1 - f_{ox_1}) \\ R_2(1 - f_{ox_2}) \\ \vdots \\ R_N(1 - f_{ox_N}) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(Equation 12)}$$

555   where R_i and f_{ox_i} are the infusion rate and the fraction of oxidation of nutrient i, respectively.

556   The matrix A is defined as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{L_1^1}{1 - L_1^1} & \dfrac{L_2^1}{1 - L_2^1} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_N^1}{1 - L_N^1} \\ \dfrac{L_1^2}{1 - L_1^2} & \dfrac{L_2^2}{1 - L_2^2} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_N^2}{1 - L_N^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dfrac{L_1^N}{1 - L_1^N} & \dfrac{L_2^N}{1 - L_2^N} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_N^N}{1 - L_N^N} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(Equation 13)}$$

where L_i^j is the labeling of nutrient i under the infusion of ^{13}C-labeled nutrient j. The unknowns

O_i and S_i were solved with the method of least squares, subjected to $O_i \geq 0$ and $S_i \geq 0$, using R

package LimSolve, and function lsei (least squares with equalities and inequalities) (Soetaert,

2009). The error was approximated with Monte Carlo simulation. For Eqs. (5) and (12),

respectively, we ran the matrix optimization 100 times. In each optimization, for each L_i^j and f_{ox_i}

value, a random value was drawn from a normal distribution, with mean and standard deviation

(SD) being the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) of these measured quantities. The SD

of the 100 simulated O and S vectors were taken as the associated SEM, respectively. More

details of the calculation can be found in **Suppl. Note 3**.

Calculation of the Storage Releasing Flux (F_s) to a Circulating Nutrient, and Conversion Fluxes

(F_i) between Circulating Nutrients

To calculate the storage-releasing flux F_s and the converting fluxes F_i from n different circulating

nutrients to a target nutrient (TN) of interest, ^{13}C-labeled TN and the n source nutrients were

infused individually to the animals. Each infusion generates an Eq. (7), with L_{TN} in replace of L_{glu}.

Integrating all $n + 1$ equations gives the linear system

$$\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ \vdots \\ F_n \\ F_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R(1 - L_{TN}) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(Equation 14)}$$

573 with

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{L_*^* - L_1^*}{1 - L_1^*} & \dfrac{L_*^* - L_2^*}{1 - L_2^*} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_*^* - L_n^*}{1 - L_n^*} & \dfrac{L_*^* - L_s^*}{1 - L_s^*} \\[2ex] \dfrac{L_*^1 - L_1^1}{1 - L_1^1} & \dfrac{L_*^1 - L_2^1}{1 - L_2^1} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_*^1 - L_n^1}{1 - L_n^1} & \dfrac{L_*^1 - L_s^1}{1 - L_s^1} \\[2ex] \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\[2ex] \dfrac{L_*^n - L_1^n}{1 - L_1^n} & \dfrac{L_*^n - L_2^n}{1 - L_2^n} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_*^n - L_n^n}{1 - L_n^n} & \dfrac{L_*^n - L_s^n}{1 - L_s^n} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(Equation 15)}$$

where $L_s^j = 0$. Asterisks are used in replace of *TN* for notation clarity. The matrix optimization

and error estimation were performed as above. More details of the calculation can be found in

Suppl. Note 4.

Estimation of Energy Wasted in Futile Cycles

The ATP flux that is wasted in the major futile cycles was estimated using the following

parameters: a4 ATP molecules wasted per cycling of one glucose molecule in the Cori cycle, 9-11

ATP molecules used in the resynthesis of each TAG molecule in the TAG-NEFA cycling, and 4 ATP

molecules used for synthesis of each peptide bond (Bender, 2012). The total flux of ATP

generation was calculated based on the direct carbon oxidation flux of circulating nutrients,

where the ATP yield is 5.3 per carbohydrate carbon, 6.5 per fatty acid carbon, 5.5 per ketone

body carbon, and 5 per amino acid carbon (Bender, 2012). More details of the calculation are in

Suppl. Note 6.

REFERENCES

Bender, D.A. (2012). The metabolism of "surplus" amino acids. Br. J. Nutr. *108*, 7–10.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512002292.

Brownstein, A.J., Veliova, M., Acin-Perez, R., Liesa, M., and Shirihai, O.S. (2022). ATP-consuming futile cycles
as energy dissipating mechanisms to counteract obesity. Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord. *23*, 121–131.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s11154-021-09690-w.

Coppack, S.W., Persson, M., Judd, R.L., and Miles, J.M. (1999). Glycerol and nonesterified fatty acid
metabolism in human muscle and adipose tissue in vivo. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *276*, 233–240.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1999.276.2.e233.

Elia, M., Fuller, N.J., and Murgatroyd, P.R. (1992). Measurement of bicarbonate turnover in humans:
Applicability to estimation of energy expenditure. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *263*.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1992.263.4.e676.

Fearon, K.C.H., Hansell, D.T., Preston, T., Plumb, J.A., Davies, J., Shapiro, D., Shenkin, A., Calman, K.C., and
Burns, H.J.G. (1988). Influence of Whole Body Protein Turnover Rate on Resting Energy Expenditure in Patients with
Cancer. Cancer Res. *48*, 2590–2595. .

Gorissen, S.H.M., and Phillips, S.M. (2018). Branched-chain amino acids (leucine, isoleucine, and valine) and
skeletal muscle (Elsevier Inc.).

Hanson, R.W., Ballard, F.J., and Reshef, L. (2006). Glyceroneogenesis, the pathway that almost wasn't.
Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ. *34*, 317–323. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.2006.494034052637.

Hinkle, P.C. (2005). P/O ratios of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Bioenerg.
1706, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2004.09.004.

Hui, S., Ghergurovich, J.M., Morscher, R.J., Jang, C., Teng, X., Lu, W., Esparza, L.A., Reya, T., Zhan, L., Yanxiang
Guo, J., et al. (2017). Glucose feeds the TCA cycle via circulating lactate. Nature *551*, 115–118.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24057.

Hui, S., Cowan, A.J., Zeng, X., Yang, L., TeSlaa, T., Li, X., Bartman, C., Zhang, Z., Jang, C., Wang, L., et al. (2020).
Quantitative Fluxomics of Circulating Metabolites. Cell Metab. *32*, 676-688.e4.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.07.013.

Keller, U., Sonnenberg, G.E., and Stauffacher, W. (1981). Validation of a tracer technique to determine
nonsteady-state ketone body turnover rates in man. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *3*.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1981.240.3.e253.

Kleberg, K., Nielsen, L.L., Stuhr-Hansen, N., Nielsen, J., and Hansen, H.S. (2014). Evaluation of the immediate

vascular stability of lipoprotein lipase-generated 2-monoacylglycerol in mice. BioFactors *40*, 596–602.
https://doi.org/10.1002/biof.1189.

Lee, W.D., Liang, L., AbuSalim, J., Jankowski, C.S.R., Samarah, L.Z., Neinast, M.D., and Rabinowitz, J.D. (2023).
Impact of acute stress on murine metabolomics and metabolic flux. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *120*, 2017.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2301215120.

Liss, K.H.H., Lutkewitte, A.J., Pietka, T., Finck, B.N., Franczyk, M., Yoshino, J., Klein, S., and Hall, A.M. (2018).
Metabolic importance of adipose tissue monoacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 in mice and humans. J. Lipid Res. *59*,
1630–1639. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M084947.

Matthews, D.E., Motil, K.J., and Rorhrbaugh, D.K. (1980). Measurement of leucine metabolism in man from a
primed, continuous infusion of L-[1-13C]leucine. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *1*.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1980.238.5.e473.

Mugabo, Y., Zhao, S., Seifried, A., Gezzar, S., Al-Mass, A., Zhang, D., Lamontagne, J., Attane, C., Poursharifi, P.,
Iglesias, J., et al. (2016). Identification of a mammalian glycerol-3-phosphate phosphatase: Role in metabolism and
signaling in pancreatic β-cells and hepatocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *113*, E430–E439.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1514375113.

Newsholme, E.A. (1978). Substrate cycles: their metabolic, energetic and thermic consequences in man.
Biochem. Soc. Symp. 183–205. .

Nye, C., Kim, J., Kalhan, S.C., and Hanson, R.W. (2008). Reassessing triglyceride synthesis in adipose tissue.
Trends Endocrinol. Metab. *19*, 356–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2008.08.003.

Oeckl, J., Janovska, P., Adamcova, K., Bardova, K., Brunner, S., Dieckmann, S., Ecker, J., Fromme, T., Funda, J.,
Gantert, T., et al. (2022). Loss of UCP1 function augments recruitment of futile lipid cycling for thermogenesis in
murine brown fat. Mol. Metab. *61*, 101499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2022.101499.

Possik, E., Klein, L.L., Sanjab, P., Zhu, R., Côté, L., Bai, Y., Zhang, D., Sun, H., Al-Mass, A., Oppong, A., et al.
(2023). Glycerol 3-phosphate phosphatase/PGPH-2 counters metabolic stress and promotes healthy aging via a
glycogen sensing-AMPK-HLH-30-autophagy axis in C. elegans. Nat. Commun. *14*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
023-40857-y.

Reshef, L., Olswang, Y., Cassuto, H., Blum, B., Croniger, C.M., Kalhan, S.C., Tilghman, S.M., and Hanson, R.W.
(2003). Glyceroneogenesis and the triglyceride/fatty acid cycle. J. Biol. Chem. *278*, 30413–30416.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R300017200.

Scow, R.O., and Blanchette-Mackie, E.J. (1991). Transport of fatty acids and monoacylglycerols in white and
brown adipose tissues. Brain Res. Bull. *27*, 487–491. https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230(91)90147-C.

She, P., Reid, T.M., Bronson, S.K., Vary, T.C., Hajnal, A., Lynch, C.J., and Hutson, S.M. (2007). Disruption of

BCATm in Mice Leads to Increased Energy Expenditure Associated with the Activation of a Futile Protein Turnover Cycle. Cell Metab. *6*, 181–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.08.003.

Shi, Y., and Cheng, D. (2009). Beyond triglyceride synthesis: The dynamic functional roles of MGAT and DGAT enzymes in energy metabolism. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *297*, 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.90949.2008.

Shipley, R.A., Chudzik, E.B., Gibbons, A.P., Jongedyk, K., and Brummond, D.O. (1967). Rate of glucose transformation in the rat by whole-body analysis after blucose-14-C. Am. J. Physiol. *213*, 1149–1158. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1967.213.5.1149.

Shipley, R.A., Chudzik, E.B., and Gibbons, A.P. (1970). Rate of disposal of glucose carbon to CO2, fat, protein, and glycogen in the diabetic rat. Am. J. Physiol. *219*, 364–373. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1970.219.2.364.

Soetaert, K. (2009). Package limSolve, solving linear inverse models in R.

Su, X., Lu, W., and Rabinowitz, J.D. (2017). Metabolite Spectral Accuracy on Orbitraps. Anal. Chem *89*, 11. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00396.

TeSlaa, T., Bartman, C.R., Jankowski, C.S.R., Zhang, Z., Xu, X., Xing, X., Wang, L., Lu, W., Hui, S., and Rabinowitz, J.D. (2021). The Source of Glycolytic Intermediates in Mammalian Tissues. Cell Metab. *33*, 367-378.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.12.020.

Tolbert, B.M., Kirk, M., and Baker, E.M. (1956). Continuous 14CO2 and CO2 Excretion Studies in Experimental Animals. Am. J. Physiol. Content *185*, 269–274. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1956.185.2.269.

Wang, Y., Kwon, H., Su, X., and Wondisford, F.E. (2020). Glycerol not lactate is the major net carbon source for gluconeogenesis in mice during both short and prolonged fasting. Mol. Metab. *31*, 36–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2019.11.005.

Wolfe, R.R., Herndon, D.N., Jahoor, F., Miyoshi, H., and Wolfe, M. (1987). Effect of Severe Burn Injury on Substrate Cycling by Glucose and Fatty Acids. N. Engl. J. Med. *317*, 403–408. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198708133170702.

Figure 1. $^{13}CO_2$ Tracing Unveils Characteristic Oxidation and Storing Partition of Circulating Nutrients.

(A)   Schematic of the releasing, storing, oxidation, and interconversion of circulating nutrients.

(B)   Tracing of $^{13}CO_2$ production after intravenous (i.v.) injection of a nonperturbative bolus dose of ^{13}C-glucose. The $^{13}CO_2$ production kinetics (middle) and accumulated ^{13}C recovery (right)

are shown. Each line represents data from one animal. n = 10.

(C)   Deposit of ^{14}C radioactivity in the carcass 6h later after i.v. injection of ^{14}C-glucose. n=3.

(D)   Tracing of $^{13}CO_2$ production using nonperturbative i.v. infusion of ^{13}C-glucose in conscious

unrestrained animal. Shown are the experimental setup (top) and ^{13}C recovery (bottom). Each

thin line represents data from one animal and the thick line is fitted with formula $y = a \cdot (1 - $

$e^{-b \cdot t})$. n = 10.

(E)   Values of fraction of oxidation (f_{ox}) of 13 major circulating nutrients. Mean ± SD. n = 4 to

17 for individual nutrient, as noted in the scatterplot.

Figure 2. **Quantification of the Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients.**

(A) Schematic showing infusion of ^{13}C-nutrient to mice and analysis of the serum labeling with

LC-MS. Blood was collected from arterial blood via pre-implanted catheter and/or by tail snip.

(B) Illustration of the formula for calculating oxidation and storing fluxes of circulating

nutrients. R, infusion rate of ^{13}C-nutrient; L, serum labeling of the infusate; and f_{ox}, fraction of

oxidation, quantified in Figure 1.

(C) Oxidation and storing fluxes of major circulating nutrients. Mean ± SEM. For individual

nutrient, for their labeling in serum, n = 4~15 (see Figure S3A); for f_{ox}, n = 4 to 17 (Figure 1E); the

same replication applies to all figures computed from these two sets of parameters in Figures 2-

5 and related supporting figures.

Figure 3. Quantification of *Direct* Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients.

(A) Illustration for calculating direct oxidation and storing fluxes of circulating glucose. At the isotopic steady state of ^{13}C-glucose infusion, the total $^{13}CO_2$ production (oxidation) and storing flux of the ^{13}C-infusate are depicted as the sum of the direct oxidation and storing fluxes from all labeled circulating nutrients, respectively. O_i^t, S_i^t, and L_i are respectively the oxidation flux, storing flux (superscript t for total, including both ^{12}C and ^{13}C), and ^{13}C labeling of circulating nutrient i; R, infusion rate of ^{13}C-glucose; f_{ox}, fraction of oxidation of glucose.

(B) Labeling of circulating nutrients under the infusion of different ^{13}C-nutrients. n = 4 to 15 for individual nutrient (see Figure S3A).

(C) Total CO_2 production (left, n = 28), in comparison with the direct oxidation and storing fluxes of the major circulating nutrients covered in this study (right).

(D) Direct oxidation and storing fluxes of all major circulating nutrients, including carbohydrates (glucose, lactate, and glycerol), fatty acids, protein-originated amino acids, and ketone bodies.

All data is presented in mean ± SEM.

Figure 4. Quantifying Storage Releasing Flux and Interconverting Fluxes for Circulating Nutrients, and Integrating them with Oxidation and Storing Fluxes into a Holistic Flux Model of Circulating Nutrients.

(A) Illustration for calculating the storage releasing flux (glycogenolysis) and gluconeogenic fluxes to produce circulating glucose. R, the ^{13}C-glcose infusion rate; L_{glc}, the labeling of glucose; L_i, the labeling of nutrient i; F_i^t, the production flux from nutrient i to glucose, superscript t for total (including both ^{12}C and ^{13}C); F_s, the releasing flux from storage of glycogen.

(B) The production fluxes of circulating nutrients from storage and other circulating nutrients. TAG, triglyceride; GLG, glycogen, PROT, protein.

(C) Sink fluxes of circulating nutrients, fated to oxidation, storage, and other circulating nutrients. The dot shows the total production flux as quantified in Figure 4B.

(D) An organism-level metabolic map of circulating nutrients. All flux arrows are drawn in counter-clockwise direction. All data is presented in mean ± SEM

F   **ux analysis of Circulating Nutrients in High Fat Diet- Fed (HFD) and ob/ob**

N

(A)   Fraction of oxidation (f_{ox}) of circulating nutrients in chow-fed wild type control mice, and

the obese mice. Mean ± SD.

(B)   Production and sink fluxes of circulating nutrients in the control and obese mice.

(C) Total CO_2 production (left), and the direct oxidation and storing fluxes of circulating

nutrients (right) in the control and obese mice.

(D) Direct oxidation and storing fluxes of all major circulating nutrients, including

carbohydrates (glucose, lactate, and glycerol), fatty acids, protein-originated amino acids, and

ketone bodies.

(E) All data presented in mean ± SEM unless otherwise specified. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$,

***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$ by Student's *t* test after Bonferroni adjustment. Regarding individual

nutrient and phenotype, for f_{ox}, n = 3 to 19 (see scatterplot in panel A); their labeling in serum,

n = 4 to 27 (see Figure S6). The same replication also applies to the related supporting figures.

Figure 6. Flux Models of Obese Mice Reveals Elevated Metabolic Cycling in ob/ob Mice, but Not in High Fat Diet-Fed Mice.

Fluxes in obese mice that are statistically significant (Bonferroni-adjusted *p* value < 0.05) from the control mice are highlighted in color, with fold change mapped to the color scale. All flux arrows are drawn in counter-clockwise direction.