An Organism-Level Quantitative Flux Model

of Energy Metabolism in Mice

Bo Yuan [1], Will Doxsey [1], Özlem Tok [1], Young Yon Kwon [1],

Karen E. Inouye [1,2], Gökhan S. Hotamışlıgil [1,2,3], Sheng Hui [1,2,4,*]

[1] Department of Molecular Metabolism, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston,

Massachusetts, USA.

[2] Sabri Ülker Center for Metabolic Research, Department of Molecular Metabolism, Harvard T.H.

Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

[3] Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

[4] Lead Contact

* Correspondence: shui@hsph.harvard.edu (S.H.)

Keywords: isotope tracing, in vivo flux quantification, circulating nutrients, energy metabolism,

obesity

Highlights

• A flux model of energy metabolism integrating ^{13}C labeling of metabolites and CO_2

• Circulating nutrients have characteristic partition between oxidation and storage

• Circulating nutrients' total cycling flux outweighs their total oxidation flux

• Cycling fluxes are extensively elevated in ob/ob but not in diet-induced obese mice

Graphical Abstract

Summary

Mammalian tissues feed on nutrients in the blood circulation. At the organism-level, mammalian

energy metabolism comprises of oxidation, storage, interconverting, and releasing of circulating

nutrients. Though much is known about the individual processes and nutrients, a holistic and

quantitative model describing these processes for all major circulating nutrients is lacking. Here,

by integrating isotope tracer infusion, mass spectrometry, and isotope gas analyzer

measurement, we developed a framework to systematically quantify fluxes through these

metabolic processes for 10 major circulating energy nutrients in mice, resulting in an organism-

level quantitative flux model of energy metabolism. This model revealed in wildtype mice that

circulating nutrients have more dominant metabolic cycling fluxes than their oxidation fluxes,

with distinct partition between cycling and oxidation flux for individual circulating nutrients.

Applications of this framework in obese mouse models showed on a per animal basis extensive

elevation of metabolic cycling fluxes in ob/ob mice, but not in diet-induced obese mice. Thus, our

framework describes quantitatively the functioning of energy metabolism at the organism-level,

valuable for revealing new features of energy metabolism in physiological and disease conditions.

INTRODUCTION

While our body is ultimately powered by nutrients in the food we eat, our tissues consume

nutrients from the blood circulation for their energy needs, mostly by oxidizing them into CO_2.

The circulating nutrients are supplied from digestion of food or breakdown of tissue nutrient

storages such as glycogen and triacylglycerol (TAG). Instead of following the simple one-way

process of release from storage to oxidation by tissues, however, a circulating nutrient can be

simultaneously recycled back to its tissue storages, thus forming metabolic cycles (**Figure 1A**).

For example, circulating glucose can be released from and resynthesized into tissue glycogen at

the same time (Shipley et al., 1967, 1970). Another well-known example is the triacylglycerol-

fatty acid cycle, which involves the simultaneous release of non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) from

tissue triacylglycerol (TAG) into circulation and resynthesis of tissue TAG from circulating NEFA

(Nye et al., 2008; Randle et al., 1963). In addition to the metabolic fates of oxidation and

resynthesis into tissue storage, circulating nutrients also interconvert with each other, forming

additional metabolic cycles. One prominent example is the Cori cycle, where circulating glucose

is converted into circulating lactate, which is then converted back into circulating glucose through

gluconeogenesis (Cori, 1931; Reichard et al., 1963). Thus, circulating nutrients are involved in a

complex network of fluxes, including those in oxidation, inter-nutrient metabolic cycling, and

nutrient-storage metabolic cycling (**Figure 1A)**. Despite extensive knowledge of some well-known

examples, a holistic and quantitative view of these fluxes encompassing all major circulating

nutrients is lacking, preventing a global view of how energy metabolism is functioning in health

and diseases such as obesity.

Isotope tracing is a powerful approach to determine metabolic fluxes. For a system (e.g.,

cells, animals) administered with isotope tracers, the isotope labeling of metabolites reflects the

fluxes on the underlying metabolism (Fernández-García et al., 2020; Metallo, 2015). Modern

analytical methods such as mass spectrometry (MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) can

generate rich isotope labeling information for many metabolites, allowing systematic flux

calculations for multiple metabolic reactions within complex networks (Antoniewicz, 2018;

Hasenour et al., 2020). The applications of isotope-based flux analysis has enabled many

important discoveries in both in vitro and in vivo models (Befroy et al., 2014; Cappel et al., 2019).

In our previous work, with infusion of isotope-labeled nutrients to mice and mass spectrometry

measurement of circulating metabolite labeling, we systematically determined the

interconversion fluxes between the major circulating nutrients, revealing sustained metabolic

cycling across diets (Hui et al., 2020).

In addition to the classic isotope analysis platform by MS and NMR, isotope-labeled CO_2

tracing provides uniqiue insight of nutrient oxidization. While the tracing of radioactive $^{14}CO_2$ was

employed in the previous in vivo flux analysis, it has been done only to a few nutrients, such as

glucose (Del Prato et al., 1993) and palmitate (Campbell et al., 1992), and simultaneous labeling

measurement for multiple metabolites was restricted. The use of stable $^{13}CO_2$ tracing (McCue

and Welch, 2016), on the other hand, makes close integration with the MS measurement a

possibility, creating a new yet uncharted revenue of in vivo flux quantification.

Here, we integrated isotope tracer infusion, MS, and $^{13}CO_2$ gas analyzer measurement, and

developed a fluxomics framework to calculate the oxidation, inter-nutrient and nutrient-storage

fluxes for 10 major circulating nutrients in mice (**Figure S1**). The resulting quantitative flux model

at the organism-level revealed many surprising features of mammalian energy metabolism. Of

note, metabolic cycling flux is numerically more prominent than oxidation for circulating

nutrients, despite the already enormous oxidative flux. To unravel the global changes of energy

metabolism in obesity, we applied this framework on obesity models. Among our findings,

compared with lean mice and on a per animal basis, the metabolic cycling fluxes of carbohydrate

and fat nutrients were elevated by about 2-fold in the leptin-deficient obese mice while they

remained largely the same in diet-induced obese mice. Overall, our work provides a framework

for building an organism-level flux model of energy metabolism, a useful tool for metabolic

research.

RESULTS

Quantifying the Fraction of Oxidation for a Circulating Nutrient

In general, the carbon atoms in a circulating nutrient either leave the body as the oxidation

product CO_2 or are recycled back into storages in the body (**Figure 1A**). To quantify these

oxidation fluxes and storing fluxes of circulating nutrients, we first aimed to determine what

fraction of a circulating nutrient is oxidized into CO_2, or its fraction of oxidation (f_{ox}). For this,

using circulating glucose as an example, we intravenously administered a small amount of ^{13}C-

uniformly labeled glucose as a single bolus into modestly fasted mice, and monitored the $^{13}CO_2$

production using a metabolic cage equipped with a $^{13}CO_2$ analyzer (**Figure 1B**). The production

flux of $^{13}CO_2$ peaked at around 30 min, significantly dropped in another 2 hours, and tailed to

approach background level within a total of 6 hours (**Figure 1B;** see calculation of $^{13}CO_2$ flux in

Supplementary Note 1). Integration of the $^{13}CO_2$ production kinetic curve over the 6 hours gives

the total $^{13}CO_2$ production from the tracer administered. The recovery was then calculated as the

ratio between the total production of $^{13}CO_2$ (μmol) and the administered tracer dose (μmol ^{13}C

atoms). Glucose recovery was thus determined to be 0.75. This suggests that 25% of circulating

glucose atoms were destined to storage pools, such as triglycerides, proteins, glycogen, and other

macromolecules. To verify this number, we intravenously injected the radioactive tracer ^{14}C-

glucose into mouse, and measured the remaining radioactivity in the carcass 6 hours after

administration. The carcass was digested into a solution, and the radioactivity was measured

using a scintillation counter. We detected 26% of the administered dose in the carcass (**Figure

1C**), consistent with our $^{13}CO_2$ measurement and a previous result (Tolbert et al., 1956).

To further confirm the glucose recovery result, instead of a bolus injection of ^{13}C-glucose,

we infused ^{13}C-glucose into the mouse systemic circulation via a pre-implanted jugular vein

catheter. Compared to the bolus injection, the constant infusion at a low rate reduces the

amount of exogenous nutrient per minute administered to the animal and thus minimizes

potential perturbation to metabolism. Here the recovery is the ratio of $^{13}CO_2$ production rate

(μmol/min) at steady state to the ^{13}C-infusion rate (μmol ^{13}C atoms/min). With this experiment,

we measured glucose recovery to be 0.73 (**Figure 1D**), in close agreement with that measured

with the bolus administration. We thus combined results from both methods and took the

average as the recovery of glucose.

To obtain the f_{ox} value for glucose, we next took in account the fact that a portion of the

$^{13}CO_2$ generated from oxidation of ^{13}C-glucose can undergo carboxylation and get incorporated

into metabolite pools (such as urea and oxaloacetic acid) which are not recovered in the

exhalation (Elia et al., 1992). For this, we intravenously infused ^{13}C-labeled bicarbonate, and

determined a recovery of 90% for bicarbonate, indicating that 90% of produced CO_2 from

substrate oxidation actually leaves the body as exhaled CO_2. We thus took the ratio of glucose

recovery to bicarbonate recovery as the glucose f_{ox}, and obtained a value of 0.81 (**Figure 1E**).

This value reflects that ~80% of circulating glucose is oxidized, and ~20% is recycled back to

storage pools independent of the CO_2 carboxylation pathway.

Distinct f_{ox} Values for Different Circulating Nutrients

In addition to glucose, we went on to quantify the f_{ox} for 12 other circulating nutrients (**Figures**

1E, **S1B-E**). For most circulating nutrients investigated, the f_{ox} by both bolus injection and

infusion methods are generally consistent (**Figure S1D**), and we pooled the data from both

methods. Glycerol, however, was 30% more oxidized when administered as a bolus than by

infusion, suggesting significant perturbation to glycerol metabolism by the bolus administration.

Given the negligible perturbation by infusion, we used infusion-based f_{ox} for glycerol for further

analyses.

Among all 13 circulating nutrients, lactate had the highest f_{ox} of around 100%, significantly

higher than glucose f_{ox} of 81% (**Figure 1E**). This result further substantiates circulating lactate's

role as an energy source for tissues (Hui et al., 2017). The ketone body 3-hydroxybutyrate and

acetate also have high f_{ox} of 81% and 86%, respectively, indicating their main use as energy

sources in the body. Non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) occupy the lower end of the f_{ox} spectrum.

Palmitate (C16:O), oleate (C18:1), and linoleate (C18:2), the most abundant NEFAs in circulation,

have f_{ox} values of around 45%, suggesting prominent fluxes from circulating NEFAs back to tissue

triglycerides (Reshef et al., 2003). The branched-chain amino acid (BCAA) valine has a f_{ox} of only

32%, similar to that of BCAA leucine (Tolbert et al., 1956). Together with f_{ox} of 24~26% for the

essential amino acids methionine and tryptophan, this result reveals that the majority of

proteolysis-released circulating amino acids are recycled back into proteins. In contrast,

circulating glutamine and alanine have high f_{ox} of 77% and 84%, respectively, indicating their

unique roles in energy metabolism among amino acids. Thus, f_{ox} of a circulating nutrient reveals

its main role in mammalian metabolism and is a fundamental property of circulating nutrients.

In the following flux analysis, we focused on 10 nutrients in **Figure 1E**, which were the top

9 circulatory nutrients (Hui et al., 2017) and valine. Albeit a small circulatory turnover flux, valine

was included as a reporter of protein metabolism. The other 9 nutrients are glucose, lactate,

glycerol, alanine, glutamine, 3-HB, C16:0, C18:1, and C18:2.

Quantifying Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients

Quantifying Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients

Based on the f_{ox} measurement, we next sought to quantify the fluxes associated with oxidation

and storing destinies of circulating nutrients. At the steady state of ^{13}C-tracer infusion, we

sampled the blood and measured the ^{13}C-labeling of the infused nutrient using mass

spectrometry (**Figure 2A**). The serum labeling of the infused nutrient (L) reflects the dilution of

the infusate (at infusion rate I) by the endogenous production flux R_a of the nutrient, with $R_a =$

$I\frac{1-L}{L}$. The R_a is an important quantity to reflect a nutrient's circulatory turnover, and can be

significantly changed at different physiological conditions, such as under hyperinsulinemia

(**Figure S2A**) and beta-adrenergic agonism (**Figure S2B**). Since f_{ox} and $1 - f_{ox}$ are the fraction of

R_a that is fated to oxidation and storing, respectively (**Figure 2B**), the oxidation and storing fluxes

of a circulating nutrient, denoted as J_{OX} and J_S, respectively, can be calculated (see derivation in

Supplementary note 2) as

$$J_{OX} = R_a f_{ox} \tag{1}$$
$$J_S = R_a(1 - f_{ox}) \tag{2}$$

The absolute flux values reveal quantitatively the magnitude of each nutrient as an oxidation

substrate or storage precursor (**Figure 2C**). For example, though linoleic acid has lower f_{ox} than

glucose (**Figure 1E**), it has higher oxidation flux. Valine has the largest storing fraction ($1 - f_{ox}$),

but the associated storing flux is among the smallest.

Quantifying *Direct* Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients

The oxidation flux for a circulating nutrient quantified above describes the nutrient's ultimate

disposal into CO_2. It includes both direct and indirect oxidation fluxes of the nutrient. The indirect

oxidation refers to the process that a circulating nutrient is first converted into other circulating

nutrients before being oxidized. For example, an important indirect oxidation route for

circulating glucose is via circulating lactate. In like manner, the storing flux also comprises both

the direct and indirect ways. To gain further insights on the sources and sinks of circulating

nutrients, we next sought to quantify the *direct* fate of a circulating nutrient to oxidation and

storage.

We denote the direct oxidation flux from a circulating nutrient j as O_j, which we aim to

calculate. Now consider the steady state of a nonperturbative infusion of a ^{13}C-labeled nutrient,

e.g., ^{13}C-glucose. The $^{13}CO_2$ production flux from the animal is given by $I f_{ox}$, where I is the

infusion rate and f_{ox} is the glucose fraction of oxidation. As the $^{13}CO_2$ production is also equal to

the sum of labeled direct oxidation fluxes from all circulating nutrients, we have

$$\sum O_j^t L_j = I f_{ox} \tag{3}$$

195   where O_j^t is the direct oxidation flux (including both ^{13}C and ^{12}C) of nutrient j, and L_j the labeled

196   fraction of the nutrient (illustrated in **Figure 3A**). As the endogenous unlabeled oxidation flux O_j

197   accounts for $1 - L_j$ fraction of O_j^t, or $O_j = O_j^t(1 - L_j)$, plugging it into the above equation gives

198   the expression with the endogenous oxidation flux O_j as the only unknown

$$\sum \frac{L_j}{1 - L_j} O_j = I f_{ox} \tag{4}$$

199   This equation holds under the infusion of each different ^{13}C-labeled nutrient. As such, the infusion

200   of 10 different ^{13}C-nutrients unveils the inter-labeling pattern among these nutrients (**Figures 3B**

201   and **S3A**), and allows the construction of a linear system of 10 equations of

$$A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} O_1 \\ O_2 \\ \vdots \\ O_{10} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1 f_{ox_1} \\ I_2 f_{ox_2} \\ \vdots \\ I_{10} f_{ox_{10}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

202   where A is a 10×10 matrix, with element $L_j^k/(1 - L_j^k)$ in the kth row and j^{th} column, and L_j^k

203   representing the labeling of jth circulating nutrient under the infusion of the kth tracer. Solving

204   these linear equations yields the direct oxidation fluxes O_j of the circulating nutrients (**Figure 3C**).

205   The direct storing fluxes S_j can be calculated in the same manner (**Figure 3C**; see more details in

206   derivation in **Suppl. Note 3**).

Direct Contribution of Circulating Nutrients to Total Energy Expenditure

The direct oxidation fluxes form an organism-level view of burning circulating nutrients for energy (**Figure 3**). Compared with the total CO_2 exhaled by the animal measured directly using a metabolic cage, the 10 nutrients alone accounted for about 80% of the total CO_2 production, confirming that these nutrients represent the top circulating nutrients. Glucose, while being the most famous energy nutrient, has rather minimal direct oxidation flux, accounting for only marginal fraction of total energy expenditure (TEE) (**Figure 3C**). Instead, circulating lactate, mostly derived from glucose, is the dominant carbohydrate that directly fuels oxidation (Hui et al., 2017), responsible for about 20% of TEE. Glutamine, as the single most important amino acid fuel, contributes to 7% of TEE, while alanine and valine contribute 1.5% and 0.7%, respectively. As the oxidative disposal of essential amino acid reflects the use of proteins for energy expenditure, based on the content of valine (5%) in muscle proteins (Gorissen and Phillips, 2018), the direct oxidation of protein-originated amino acids can be estimated to provide approximately 14% of TEE (Fearon et al., 1988; Matthews et al., 1980). The ketone body 3-HB contributes to 5% of TEE. Due to the rapid equilibrium between 3-HB and acetoacetate, this quantity reflects the contribution of the total ketone bodies (Keller et al., 1981). The three NEFA species, palmitate, oleate, and linoleate, are among the most prominent fuels, contributing to 8%, 15% and 22% of TEE, respectively, or 45% in sum. As these top 3 NEFAs account for about 75% of total NEFA in circulation (**Figure S3B**), approximately 60% of TEE is supported by the total NEFA in circulation. Glycerol is uniquely the only nutrient that is not directly engaged in oxidation (Hui et al., 2020). All nutrients considered together, using both direct measurement and empirical extrapolation, close to 100% of TEE can be quantitatively accounted for (**Figure 3D**, showing the direct TEE contribution from carbohydrate nutrients (glucose, lactate, and glycerol), NEFAs, protein, and ketone bodies).

Recycling to Storage Is a Highly Prominent Fate of Circulating Nutrients

The direct storing fluxes revealed the composition of nutrients for resynthesizing fuel storages. The total NEFAs present a dominating 60% of the total storing flux (**Figure 3D**). Amino acids also

have prominent storing fluxes. Using valine as a representative of protein-originated amino acids,

the total amino acids storing flux accounts for 30% of the total storing flux. Carbohydrates have

a minor part (6%) in the total storing flux, with lactate having zero direct storing flux. This again

highlights lactate's role as a fuel metabolite. Most strikingly, all circulating nutrients considered

together, the total storing flux is 23% higher than that of oxidation (**Figure 3D**), vividly

demonstrating the enormity of futile cycling fluxes of circulating nutrients.

Quantifying Inter-converting Fluxes between Circulating Nutrients and their Releasing Fluxes

from Storages

We have so far focused on oxidation and storing as destinies for circulating nutrients. The

storages however also serve as sources for circulating nutrients. To work toward a full view of

metabolism of circulating nutrients, here we attempted to quantify the production fluxes of the

circulating nutrients from the storage pools. In the same calculation, we also obtained the

interconverting fluxes between circulating nutrients, which was a main result in our previous

work (Hui, et al. 2020).

To illustrate the calculation, we use glucose as an example and quantify its production

fluxes, including the storage releasing flux F_S, i.e., glycogenolysis, and the gluconeogenic flux F_j

from circulating nutrient j (**Figure 4A**). Now consider the steady state during a nonperturbative

^{13}C-glucose infusion with an infusion rate I. The total glucose production flux (P^{total}) at steady

state is equal to summation of all incoming fluxes from different sources to glucose, given by

$$P^{total} = I + \sum_j F_j^t + F_S \tag{6}$$

256   where $F_j^t = \frac{F_j}{1-L_j}$ with L_j the labeling of nutrient j. Note that F_j^t is the total flux from nutrient j

257   to glucose including both endogenous unlabeled flux F_j and labeled flux $F_j^t L_j$. The mass balance

258   of the labeled glucose pool (with the labeling denoted as L_{glc}) requires

$$\sum_j F_j^t L_j + I = P^{total} L_{glc} \tag{7}$$

where the left-hand-side is the incoming ^{13}C flux to glucose from different sources, and the right-

hand-side the outgoing labeled glucose flux. Eq. (6) and the expression for F_j^t can be plugged into

Eq. (7) to form an equation with F_j's and F_S as the only unknowns. Such an equation holds under

the infusion of other ^{13}C-nutrients, but with $I = 0$. With infusions of ^{13}C glucose and 9 other ^{13}C-

labeled circulating nutrients, a linear system of 10 equations can be then constructed for the 10

unknowns including the 9 F_j's and F_S, in like manner as Eq. (4) (see Methods). Solving the

equations yielded the storage releasing flux, and the conversion fluxes from the 9 circulating

nutrients to produce circulating glucose. In similar fashion, the releasing fluxes and inter-

converting fluxes can be calculated for other circulating nutrients using the same computational

framework. More derivation details can be found in **Suppl. Note 4**.

Sources of Circulating Nutrients

The storage releasing flux and inter-converting flux results calculated above provide a

quantitative view of the direct sources for each of the 10 circulating nutrients (**Figure 4B**). Two

types of circulating nutrients can be seen, nutrients directly sourced from the storages, and those

derived from both storages and other circulating nutrients. NEFA and glycerol are almost

exclusively released from the TAG store, and valine and glutamine from the protein store. In

contrast, glucose, lactate, alanine, and 3-HB are additionally sourced from other circulating

nutrients. For circulating glucose, about 40% is released from glycogen, while the remaining

majority is produced by gluconeogenesis, primarily from lactate and glycerol (each about 25%).

For circulating lactate, about 20% is derived from intracellular glycogen breakdown and

subsequent glycolysis, in line with that measured by pulse-chase tracing of glycogen (TeSlaa et

al., 2021), while the remaining mostly comes from circulating glucose (60%) and glycerol (10%).

As glycerol is derived from TAG, this collectively shows that glycogen and TAG but not protein are

the major ultimate sources of circulating glucose (Wang et al., 2020). We further quantified such

ultimate contribution fraction from various storages to circulating nutrients (**Figure S4C**, see

derivation in **Suppl. Note 5**). Interestingly, while amino acids are typically released from the

protein as the principal source, alanine has its carbon origin roughly evenly from protein,

glycogen, and TAG.

Sinks for Circulating Nutrients

Together with the direct oxidation and storing fluxes calculated earlier (**Figure 3C**), the inter-conversion fluxes of circulating nutrients constitute the direct sinks of circulating nutrients (**Figure 4C**). This integrated view offers interesting insights. For example, though glutamine and alanine, are known to be gluconeogenic, their direct conversion to lactate is more predominant than to glucose. In addition, these amino acids are directly oxidized with higher fluxes than otherwise relying on the lactate-genic pathway for energy supply.

A Flux Model Incorporating All Quantified Fluxes for Circulating Nutrients

A further inclusive combination of the production and consumption fluxes resulted in a comprehensive metabolic flux model of circulating nutrients (**Figure 4D**). In addition to the prominent oxidative fluxes for energy generation, this model vividly highlights two types of cycling of the circulating nutrients. One type involves the cycling between the circulating nutrients and the storages, and a second cycling exists between the circulating nutrients. These cycles render a picture of vibrant carbon exchanges among nutrients in circulation and tissues. Many of the futile cycles proceed at the cost of ATP. We estimated ATP wasted in the Cori cycle, TAG-NEFA cycling, and protein turnover, the top three cycles with the highest carbon flux (Methods). Together, these cycles wasted remarkably around 10% of the total ATP generated (**Figure S4D**).

Fluxes Are Generally Unperturbed in Diet-Induced Obese Mice

To further demonstrate the utility of the systems-level flux modeling, we applied the framework to two obese mouse models, high fat diet-induced obese mice (HFD), and leptin-deficient ob/ob mice (**Figures 5-6, S5**). Unexpectedly, despite being 60% heavier than the wild-type control mice on chow diet, the HFD mice showed generally moderate changes in f_{ox} and fluxes (**Figures 5A-D**). The most striking change is the significantly increased production of circulating glycerol from glucose. While it was elevated by 2-fold in ob/ob mice, it was more impressively activated by 4.5-fold in the HFD group (**Figures 5B, S6, S7A**). The production of glycerol from glucose may be

derived from the recently discovered glycerol shunt pathway (Mugabo et al., 2016; Possik et al.,

2023), or from the spillover of the glycerol backbone in the very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL)-

TAG during lipase hydrolysis, where the backbone is synthesized from blood glucose in the liver

(Jensen et al., 2001).

Extensive Elevation of Metabolic Cycling in ob/ob Mice

In contrast to the HFD mice, ob/ob mice showed major changes in energy metabolism. While f_{ox}

was moderately decreased for many nutrients, it was most prominently decreased by 2-fold in

circulating NEFAs (**Figures 5A**). This was accompanied by a 2-fold increase on average in the

NEFAs' circulatory turnover flux (equal to total consumption flux and total production flux)

(Turner et al., 2005), resulting in their unchanged oxidation flux, but a 2.3-fold elevation in the

recycling flux (**Figures 5B-D**). Intracellular reesterification nonetheless remained unchanged

(**Figure S8A**). The circulatory fluxes of both glucose and lactate were also increased by around 2-

fold (Turner et al., 2005), with production from different sources roughly proportionally scaled

up. This led to a 2.3-fold increase in the oxidation of the carbohydrate nutrients (coupled with

higher respiratory exchange ratio, **Figure S5D**), and over 2-fold increase in the fluxes of glucose

recycling to storage and Cori cycle. While the increased metabolic fluxes in ob/ob mice may be

attributed to a larger body mass, this effect can be statistically teased out using the generalized

linear model approach (Mina et al., 2018). Statistical adjustment for body weight suggests that

the augmented metabolic fluxes in ob/ob mice are more likely a result of metabolic rewiring

inherent to the phenotype (**Figure S7B**). In addition, the flux distinction retains even when the

ob/ob mice are compared to body-weight matched HFD mice (**Figure S7B**). Interestingly, as

gauged by the essential amino acid valine, the global protein oxidation and futile recycling

remains unchanged. All nutrients considered, the futile storing flux was 60% higher than their

oxidation flux. The total ATP wasted in the top 3 futile cycles, TAG-NEFA extracellular recycling,

Cori cycle and protein turnover, was 34% higher in ob/ob mice than the chow-fed control mice

(**Figure S8B**).

DISCUSSION

The present flux framework is a major advancement over our previous work (Hui et al., 2020),

where we systematically quantified the inter-converting fluxes between circulating nutrients

using isotope labeling data of serum metabolites. Here, by integrating $^{13}CO_2$ tracing to the

classic mass spectrometry-based isotope tracing framework, our framework further outputs the

oxidation, storage and releasing fluxes of circulating nutrients. Together with the inter-

converting fluxes, these fluxes form a full account of sources and sinks for circulating nutrients

at the organism level, resulting in a coherent flux model of energy metabolism. Analogous to a

map showing traffic along major highways in a city, our flux model captures the top fluxes in an

animal body, providing an overview of energy metabolism landscape for the animal. Such a flux

model for a disease state reveals unbiasedly the major alterations in energy metabolism for

that disease, setting the stage for further investigations.

Our flux model revealed extensive metabolic cycling in the fasted state, with higher total

flux than total oxidation flux. During fasting, the animal body is in a net catabolic state, with

nutrient storages such as glycogen, TAG, and proteins being actively broken down to replenish

circulating nutrients for oxidation into CO_2. Yet, recycling back into the storage pools is a

quantitatively more significant fate for circulating nutrients. What is the function of the extensive

cycling? One hypothesis is that it is to produce heat, to maintain or elevate body temperature

(Brownstein et al., 2022; Oeckl et al., 2022; She et al., 2007; Wolfe et al., 1987). We estimated

substantial energy used by these futile cycles, about 10% total ATP generated. Another probable

hypothesis is that metabolic cycling is to increase the sensitivity of metabolic regulation by

enhancing the response magnitude for changes in the stimulus (e.g., substrate

concentration)(Newsholme, 1978). We further revealed distinct partition between oxidation and

cycling fluxes for different circulating nutrients, e.g., about 80% of circulating glucose is burned,

while it is only ~40% for a fatty acid, and ~30% for an essential amino acid. The larger extent of

metabolic cycling in fatty acids and amino acids may thus reflect their relatively less hormonal

control than glucose. These and other hypotheses regarding the underlying principles of the

presence of extensive cycling flux and of distinct f_{ox} values across nutrients deserve further

investigations.

Our comprehensive flux results allow for comparison of fluxes between metabolic
processes and can expose flux mismatches and thus knowledge gaps. This way, we identified an
important gap in our understanding of the TAG-NEFA cycle, specifically regarding the sources of
glycerol backbone for resynthesis of TAG. The flux through the TAG-NEFA cycle constitutes the
major fraction of metabolic cycling, indicating active re-esterification of circulating NEFAs in
adipose tissues (Kalderon et al., 2000). Glucose is classically viewed as the main source for
glycerol backbone in TAG synthesis in adipose tissues (Sharma et al., 2024). However, even if all
the glucose storing flux is diverted to adipose tissues for producing glycerol backbone, it would
be insufficient to meet the demand (**Figure S8C**). Though lactate has been proposed as a supply
of the glycerol carbons in the adipose tissue via the glyceroneogenesis pathway (Hanson et al.,
2006), our data shows that circulating lactate has negligible flux fated to storages. Instead, our
quantification shows that circulating glycerol has significant flux to storage, numerically and
hypothetically fulfilling most of the needed glycerol backbone for TAG-resynthesis (**Figure S8C**).
However, on the other hand, adipose tissue lacks glycerol kinase, and cannot sequester
circulating glycerol directly. Therefore, the missing carbon source to synthesize TAG-glycerol
backbone remains an important topic to be further investigated.

The flux results of HFD and ob/ob obesity models demonstrated strikingly distinct energy
metabolism between them. Energy metabolic fluxes were mostly maintained in the HFD mice
while there was extensive elevation of cycling fluxes in the ob/ob mice. While body weight
differences can be a contributing factor, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with adjusted body
weight unveils that the flux differences are more likely a result of intrinsic distinctions between
phenotypes. Distinct fluxes in body weight-matched HFD and ob/ob group further supports this
viewpoint. It is possible that the HFD mice resemble the "healthy obesity" group in human
population, who are metabolically healthy despite being obese. On the other hand, the ob/ob
mice lie in a totally different part of the energy metabolism space, possibly mirroring their
departure from the state of metabolic health. Thus, instead of the commonplace view that ob/ob
mice are an "artificial" obesity model, HFD mice and ob/ob mice may model two states along the
progression of obesity.

The elevated glucose production and consumption fluxes in ob/ob mice inform on the

cause of hyperglycemia. In general, an increase of a metabolite pool could result from either a

blockage in the consumption reactions or an elevation in the production reactions. As production

and consumption balance each other in the new steady state, the flux through the pool can be

either reduced (in the consumption blockage case) or increased (in the production elevation case)

compared to the unperturbed state. By this argument, the increased flux through the glucose

pool in the ob/ob mice indicates elevated glucose production as the cause of hyperglycemia,

implicating liver insulin resistance instead of muscle insulin resistance as the driver of

hyperglycemia (Kraegen et al., 1991; Turner et al., 2013).

Limitation

The identities of nutrient storages were not explicitly studied in this work, but determined

based on literature knowledge. For example, triglycerides and proteins are storage for NEFAs and

amino acids, respectively. However, while it is commonly assumed that glycogen is the storage

for glucose, we recognize literature evidence pointing to other forms of tissue storages for

glucose, such as proteins (Shipley et al., 1967, 1970). Furthermore, as glucose is a substrate for

the glycerol backbone of tissue TAG, there is likely substantial flux from glucose to this form of

nutrient storage. A thorough investigation of the storages with new experimental designs would

be a critical future objective. In addition, our list of circulating nutrients did not include circulating

TAG; despite its larger pool size than NEFA, TAG has a small circulatory turnover flux (Gormsen

et al., 2006; Lambert et al., 2014; Sarac et al., 2012), and accounts for a minor fraction of total

lipid oxidation (Diraison and Beylot, 1998). As VLDL-TAG is mostly derived from circulating NEFA

and was labeled by ^{13}C-FA tracer during the time frame of our f_{ox} measurement (Parks and

Hellerstein, 2006; Parks et al., 1999), its oxidation and storage fluxes were mostly accounted for

in the NEFA fluxes, especially for lean mice. Future work is needed to explicitly delineate the NEFA

and TAG fluxes. Finally, our work focused on establishing the flux framework and for this purpose

only studied fasted male mice. Application of this framework to female animals and to other

physiological conditions such as feeding is of great importance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all current members and alumni of the Hui lab for their assistance and

discussion in the research. This research was supported by the Paul G. Allen Family Foundation

0034665 (S.H.), the NIH R00DK117066 (S.H.), and the Sabri Ülker Center for Metabolic

Research. Some figures were created with BioRender.com.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

B.Y. designed and conducted the experiment, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript. O.T. and

W.D. performed the hyperinsulinemia clamp, ITT and GTT. Y.Y.K. performed the lipolysis

enzymatic activity assay. K.I. supervised and assisted with the metabolic cage measurement. G.H.

co-supervised the metabolic cage measurement and proposed critical revision to the manuscript.

S.H. conceived and supervised the project, secured funding, and wrote the manuscript. All

authors reviewed and contributed feedback to the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

STAR METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
D-glucose ($^{14}C_6$)	PerkinElmer	NEC042V250UC
D-glucose ($^{13}C_6$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-1396
sodium L-lactate ($^{13}C_3$, 98%) 20% w/w in H_2O	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-1579
L-alanine ($^{13}C_3$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-2184-H
L-glutamine ($^{13}C_5$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-1822-H
glycerol ($^{13}C_3$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-1510
sodium D-3-hydroxybutyrate ($^{13}C_4$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-3853
sodium acetate ($^{13}C_2$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-440
sodium palmitate ($^{13}C_{16}$, 98%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-6059
oleic acid, potassium salt ($^{13}C_{18}$, 98%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-8856
linoleic acid, potassium salt ($^{13}C_{18}$, 98%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-8835
L-valine ($^{13}C_5$, 99%)	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories	CLM-2249-H
bovine serum albumin, fatty acid free, ≥96%	Sigma-Aldrich	A6003
glycerokinase	Sigma-Aldrich	G6278
Ultra Sensitive Mouse Insulin ELISA Kit	Crystal Chem	90080
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Mouse: C57BL/6J	Jackson laboratory	000664
Mouse: ob/ob <HO>	Jackson laboratory	000632
Software and Algorithms		
El-MAVEN software	Elucidata https://docs.polly.elucidata.io/index.html	
RStudio	Posit https://posit.co/download/rstudio-desktop/	
AccuCor	Github: https://github.com/XiaoyangSu/AccuCor	
Biorender	Biorender https://www.biorender.com/	
Others		
chow diet	PicoLab Mouse Diet 20	5058
high fat diet	Research Diets	D12492i
XBridge BEH Amide XP column	Waters	176002889
Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column	Waters	186003719
catheter for mouse jugular vein	Instech Laboratories	C20PUMJV1301
catheter for mouse carotid artery	Instech Laboratories	C10PU-MCA1459
vascular access button, 1 channel	Instech Laboratories	VABM1B/25
vascular access button, 2 channels	Instech Laboratories	VABM2B/25R25
tether for 1 channel button	Instech Laboratories	VABM1T/25
tether for 2 channel button	Instech Laboratories	VABM2T/25
Buprenorphine base ER 0.5 mg/mL	Wedgewood	Rx # 7270862
Swivel	Instech Laboratories	375/25PS
counter balanced arm	Instech Laboratories	SMCLA
Blood collection Microvette	Starstedt Inc	16.440.100
Heparin sodium 5000 U/mL	McKesson Corp.	-

453   **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

Lead Contact

Additional information and requests for resources should be directed to the Lead Contact, Sheng Hui (shui@hsph.harvard.edu).

Materials AVAILABILITY

No new reagents were generated in this study.

Data and Code Availability

Raw data and R scripts used for flux analysis is available on GitHub (https://github.com/yuanboFaith/flux-model-energy-metabolism).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

All mouse work followed protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Harvard Medical Area. The animals were housed on a normal light cycle (7 AM to 7 PM), and were 12-24 weeks old when used in experiment. The wild type C57BL/6J and ob/ob mice were fed with standard chow (PicoLab Mouse Diet 5058). For diet-induced obese mice, C57BL/6J mice were fed with high fat diet (Research Diets D12492i, 60%kcal from fat) starting from the 3 weeks of age for 3-4 months before experiment. For *in vivo* tracer infusion, a jugular vein catheter (JVC) was inserted into the right jugular vein, under aseptic conditions and with anesthesia using isoflurane. The JVC was tunneled subcutaneously to the back of the interscapular area, and connected to a single-channel vascular access button (VAB). For arterial blood collection, in addition to jugular catheterization, a carotid artery catheter (CAC) was implanted into the left carotid artery. Both the JVC and CAC were subcutaneously tunneled to the interscapular region, and connected to a double-channel VAB. The catheter patency was maintained by sealing with lock solution composed of 50% glycerol in saline, with 200 U heparin/mL. After surgery, 50 µL of 0.5 mg/mL analgesic buprenorphine (extended release) per 25 g body weight was subcutaneously injected to the right flank. The animal was allowed to recover from surgery for at least five days before use in experiment.

METHOD DETAILS

$^{13}CO_2$ Measurement

^{13}C-labeled tracer compounds were prepared in sterile saline. Fatty acids were complexed with bovine serum albumin in a molar ratio of 6:1. For $^{13}CO_2$ measurements after tracer bolus injection, the mouse was transferred to a new cage without food at 9:00 AM. After 4 hours of fasting, the animal was gently warmed by a heat lamp to dilate the tail vein. Under light isoflurane anesthesia, the mouse was administered with 200 µL ^{13}C-tracer solution via the tail vein. The animal was then immediately transferred to a cage in the metabolic cage system, which was equipped with a stable isotope gas analyzer (Promethion, Sable Systems International, NV). Expired $^{13}CO_2$ and metabolic cage parameters including air flow rate, oxygen, and CO_2 were monitored for another 6 hours without food access.

$^{13}CO_2$ measurement with tracer infusion required catharized mice and followed the same protocol described in the tracer infusion section below, except that the infusion lasted for 3-4 hours, with a reduced tracer concentration. The tracer concentration and infusion rates can be found in **Table S1**. $^{13}CO_2$ data and metabolic cage parameters including air flow rate, oxygen, and CO_2 were continuously collected for the entire period of tracer infusion.

Infusion of Isotope Tracers

On the day of tracer infusion, the mouse was transferred to a new cage without food at 9 AM, and fasted for 4 hours before infusion. Right before the start of infusion, the lock solution was drawn and removed from the catheter, and the catheter was flushed with 50 µL saline. The VAB was then connected to the infusion tether, which was in turn connected with a swivel on a counter-balance arm. This allowed the mouse to move freely around in the cage. The infusion of U-^{13}C-tracer at a small non-perturbing dose started at 2 PM, and lasted for 30 min for fatty acids and 2.5-3 h for all other tracers. The tracer concentration and infusion rates can be found in **Table S1**. At the end of infusion, 40 µL blood was collected by tail snip. For infusion of ^{13}C-lactate, alanine, and glutamine, given their significant labeling difference in the arterial and venous blood (**Table S2**)(Lee et al., 2023), 40 µL arterial blood was sampled from the carotid artery via the pre-

implanted catheter, and used for analysis. The blood was collected into a Microvette tube with clotting activator, and was kept on ice during collection. After infusion, the catheter was flushed with 50 µL saline, and then sealed with 10 ~ 20 µL lock solution. The blood was centrifuged at 5,000 ×g for 10 min. 4 µL serum was aliquoted in separate tubes, and stored at -80°C.

Hyperinsulinemia Clamp

BL/6J mouse (26.5 ± 1.8 g) was transferred to a new cage without food at 9 AM. After fasting for 4 hours, blood was collected via tail snip for basal glycemia and insulin measurement. A mixture of U-^{13}C-glucose (150 mM), unlabeled glucose (2361 mM) and insulin (66.6 mU/mL) was infused at 3.3 µL/min/mouse for 2.5 h, and blood was collected for serum glucose labeling and insulin measurement. Then U-^{13}C palmitate (10.68 mM) was infused at 6 µL/min/animal for another 30 min (delivered by a second pump), simultaneously with the glucose and insulin infusion. Blood was collected at the end for serum glucose and palmitate labeling and insulin measurement. Insulin was measured by ELISA Kit following the vendor's protocol.

Serum Sample Preparation for LC-MS Analysis

4 µL serum was mixed with 60 µL -20°C methanol: acetonitrile: water at 40: 40: 20. The sample was vigorously vortexed, and centrifuged at 4°C at 13,000 g for 10 min. 30 µL supernatant was transferred to the HPLC vial from which 5 µL was injected to the LC-MS.

Serum glycerol was first enzymatically derivatized into glycerol-3-phosphate before LC-MS analysis. 4 µL serum was mixed with 90 µL freshly made enzyme solution containing 2 U/mL glycerol kinase, 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM magnesium chloride, and 1.5 mM ATP, and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 400 µL methanol. The sample was vortexed, and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, and dried using SpeedVac at 45 °C for 90 min. 60 µL of methanol: acetonitrile: water at 40: 40: 20 was added to the residue, vortexed, and centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min. 30 µL supernatant was transferred to the HPLC vial, from which 5 µL was injected to the LC-MS.

LC-MS Analysis

Chromatographic separation was achieved using XBridge BEH Amide XP Column (2.5 μm, 2.1 mm × 150 mm) with guard column (2.5 μm, 2.1 mm × 5 mm) (Waters, Milford, MA). The mobile phase A was water: acetonitrile 95:5, and mobile phase B was water: acetonitrile 20:80, with both phases containing 10 mM ammonium acetate and 10 mM ammonium hydroxide. The elution linear gradient was: 0 ~ 3 min, 100% B; 3.2 ~ 6.2 min, 90% B; 6.5. ~ 10.5 min, 80% B; 10.7 ~ 13.5 min, 70% B; 13.7 ~ 16 min, 45% B; and 16.5 ~ 22 min, 100% B, with flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The autosampler was at 4°C. The injection volume was 5 μL. Needle wash was applied between samples using methanol: acetonitrile: water at 40: 40: 20. The mass spectrometer used was Q Exactive HF (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA), and scanned from 70 to 1000 *m/z* with switching polarity. The resolution was 120,000. Metabolites were identified based on accurate mass and retention time using an in-house library. ^{13}C-Natural abundance correction was performed in R using package AccuCor (Su et al., 2017).

^{14}C-glucose administration and carcass analysis

The mouse was fasted from 9 AM to 12 PM, and then 12 nCi ^{14}C$_6$-glucose in 200 μL saline was administered via the tail vein. After 6 h, the animal was sacrificed, and the hair was shaved off. The carcass was transferred into an Erlenmeyer flask containing 70 mL 1.7 M KOH, and microwaved to simmer. The flask was incubated at 90 C° for 3 h for complete carcass digestion. After having cooled down to room temperature, the total liquid volume was measured, and 2 mL 15% antifoam B emulsion (Sigma A5757) and 1 mL Tween 80 (Sigma P4780) was added to the digest. Under magnetic stirring, 0.4 mL of the digest was sampled and transferred to a scintillation vial. A mix of 150 μL 2 M acetic acid, 200 μL 50% H$_2$O$_2$, and 50 μL 15% antifoam B was added to the vial, followed by incubation at 70C° for 20 min to decolorize the mixture. The vial was then added with 200 μL of 12 M acetic acid and 5 mL Ecolume scintillation liquid (MP Biomedicals, 0188247001) and vigorously vortexed. ^{14}C radioactivity in the vial was measured using a HIDEX 300 SL liquid scintillation counter (Turku, Finland).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Calculation of the Fraction of Oxidation (f_{ox}) of a Circulating Nutrient

572   The f_{ox} of a circulating nutrient was calculated as the fraction of ^{13}C atoms in the exhaled CO_2

573   among the total ^{13}C atoms in the administered tracer. For a mouse in a metabolic cage system

574   administered with a ^{13}C tracer, the production flux of tracer-derived $^{13}CO_2$ from the animal J

575   (μmol/min) was calculated as

$$J = \frac{Q}{22.4(1 - E_{NA})} \cdot [\, C_{out}(E_{out} - E_{NA}) - C_{in}(E_{in} - E_{NA})\,] \cdot 10^{-3} \qquad \text{(Equation 8)}$$

where Q is the air flow rate through the cage, C_{in} and C_{out} are the CO_2 concentration measured

in the air inflow and outflow respectively, E_{in} and E_{out} are the $^{13}CO_2$ enrichment measured in

the air inflow and outflow, respectively, and E_{NA} is the ^{13}C natural abundance of the unlabeled

nutrients in the mouse body. E_{NA} was calculated using Eq. (8) from measured CO_2 concentration

and enrichment data for a non-infused control mouse group and a production flux of tracer-

derived $^{13}CO_2$ as zero ($J = 0$). For an animal under the infusion at the rate of I (μmol ^{13}C-

atoms/min), the f_{ox} was then calculated as

$$f_{ox} = \frac{J}{I} \qquad \text{(Equation 9)}$$

583   For animal receiving the tracer as a single bolus at dosage of B (μmol ^{13}C-atoms), the fraction of

584   oxidation f_{ox} was calculated as

$$f_{ox} = \frac{1}{B} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} J \, dt \qquad \text{(Equation 10)}$$

585   with integration from the time of injection to the end of measurement when CO_2 enrichment

586   reached basal level. Details of the derivations are in **Suppl. Note 1**.

Calculation of Fluxes to Oxidation (J_{OX}) and Storages (J_S) from a Circulating Nutrient

589   The J_{ox} and J_S were calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2) in the main text, respectively. The labeling

590   L used here and throughout the text was the carbon-atom labeling fraction of the nutrient. It is

591   calculated as

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} i \cdot L_{M+i} \qquad \text{(Equation 11)}$$

where N is the number of carbon atoms in a molecule, and L_{M+i} is the fraction of isotopologue

containing i number of ^{13}C atoms among all isotopologues of the molecule. Details of the

derivations are in **Suppl. Note 2**.

Calculation of the _Direct_ Fluxes to Oxidation (O) and Storage (S) from Circulating Nutrients

The <u>direct</u> oxidation fluxes O_j of N circulating nutrients were calculated with Eq. (5) in the main

text. The direct storing fluxes S_j of the circulating nutrients were calculated with

$$A \cdot \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ \vdots \\ S_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_1(1 - f_{ox_1}) \\ I_2(1 - f_{ox_2}) \\ \vdots \\ I_N(1 - f_{ox_N}) \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(Equation 12)}$$

599 where I_j and f_{ox_j} are the infusion rate and the fraction of oxidation of nutrient j, respectively.

600 The matrix A is defined as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{L_1^1}{1 - L_1^1} & \dfrac{L_2^1}{1 - L_2^1} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_N^1}{1 - L_N^1} \\ \dfrac{L_1^2}{1 - L_1^2} & \dfrac{L_2^2}{1 - L_2^2} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_N^2}{1 - L_N^2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dfrac{L_1^N}{1 - L_1^N} & \dfrac{L_2^N}{1 - L_2^N} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_N^N}{1 - L_N^N} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(Equation 13)}$$

where L_j^k is the labeling of nutrient j under the infusion of ^{13}C-labeled nutrient k. The unknowns

O_j and S_j were solved with the method of least squares, subjected to $O_j \geq 0$ and $S_j \geq 0$, using R

package LimSolve, and function lsei (least squares with equalities and inequalities) (Soetaert,

2009). The error was approximated with Monte Carlo simulation. For Eqs. (5) and (12),

respectively, we ran the matrix optimization 100 times. In each optimization, for each L_j^k and f_{ox_j}

value, a random value was drawn from a normal distribution, with mean and standard deviation

(SD) being the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) of these measured quantities. The SD

of the 100 simulated O and S vectors were taken as the associated SEM, respectively. More

details of the calculation can be found in **Suppl. Note 3**.

Calculation of the Storage Releasing Flux (F_s) to a Circulating Nutrient, and Conversion Fluxes

(F_j) between Circulating Nutrients

To calculate the storage-releasing flux F_s and the converting fluxes F_j from n different circulating

nutrients to a target nutrient (TN) of interest, ^{13}C-labeled TN and the n source nutrients were

infused individually to the animals. Each infusion generates an Eq. (7), with L_{TN} in replace of L_{glu}.

Integrating all $n + 1$ equations gives the linear system

$$\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ \vdots \\ F_n \\ F_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I(1 - L_{TN}) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(Equation 14)}$$

617   with

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{L_*^* - L_1^*}{1 - L_1^*} & \dfrac{L_*^* - L_2^*}{1 - L_2^*} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_*^* - L_n^*}{1 - L_n^*} & \dfrac{L_*^* - L_s^*}{1 - L_s^*} \\[2mm] \dfrac{L_*^1 - L_1^1}{1 - L_1^1} & \dfrac{L_*^1 - L_2^1}{1 - L_2^1} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_*^1 - L_n^1}{1 - L_n^1} & \dfrac{L_*^1 - L_s^1}{1 - L_s^1} \\[2mm] \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\[2mm] \dfrac{L_*^n - L_1^n}{1 - L_1^n} & \dfrac{L_*^n - L_2^n}{1 - L_2^n} & \cdots & \dfrac{L_*^n - L_n^n}{1 - L_n^n} & \dfrac{L_*^n - L_s^n}{1 - L_s^n} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{(Equation 15)}$$

where $L_s^k = 0$. Asterisks are used in replace of *TN* for notation clarity. The matrix optimization

and error estimation were performed as above. More details of the calculation can be found in

Suppl. Note 4.

Estimation of Energy Wasted in Futile Cycles

The ATP flux that is wasted in the major futile cycles was estimated using the following

parameters: 4 ATP molecules wasted per cycling of one glucose molecule in the Cori cycle, 9-11

ATP molecules used in the resynthesis of each TAG molecule in the TAG-NEFA cycling, and 4 ATP

molecules used for synthesis of each peptide bond (Bender, 2012). The total flux of ATP

generation was calculated based on the direct carbon oxidation flux of circulating nutrients,

where the ATP yield is 5.3 per carbohydrate carbon, 6.5 per fatty acid carbon, 5.5 per ketone

body carbon, and 5 per amino acid carbon (Bender, 2012). More details of the calculation are in

Suppl. Note 6.

REFERENCES

Antoniewicz, M.R. (2018). A guide to 13C metabolic flux analysis for the cancer biologist. Exp. Mol. Med. *50*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-018-0060-y.

Befroy, D.E., Perry, R.J., Jain, N., Dufour, S., Cline, G.W., Trimmer, J.K., Brosnan, J., Rothman, D.L., Petersen, K.F., and Shulman, G.I. (2014). Direct assessment of hepatic mitochondrial oxidative and anaplerotic fluxes in humans using dynamic 13 C magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Nat. Med. *20*, 98–102. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3415.

Bender, D.A. (2012). The metabolism of "surplus" amino acids. Br. J. Nutr. *108*, 7–10. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512002292.

Brownstein, A.J., Veliova, M., Acin-Perez, R., Liesa, M., and Shirihai, O.S. (2022). ATP-consuming futile cycles as energy dissipating mechanisms to counteract obesity. Rev. Endocr. Metab. Disord. *23*, 121–131. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11154-021-09690-w.

Campbell, P.J., Carlson, M.G., Hill, J.O., and Nurjhan, N. (1992). Regulation of free fatty acid metabolism by insulin in humans: Role of lipolysis and reesterification. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *263*. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1992.263.6.e1063.

Cappel, D.A., Deja, S., Duarte, J.A.G., Kucejova, B., Iñigo, M., Fletcher, J.A., Fu, X., Berglund, E.D., Liu, T., Elmquist, J.K., et al. (2019). Pyruvate-Carboxylase-Mediated Anaplerosis Promotes Antioxidant Capacity by Sustaining TCA Cycle and Redox Metabolism in Liver. Cell Metab. *29*, 1291-1305.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2019.03.014.

Coppack, S.W., Persson, M., Judd, R.L., and Miles, J.M. (1999). Glycerol and nonesterified fatty acid metabolism in human muscle and adipose tissue in vivo. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *276*, 233–240. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1999.276.2.e233.

Cori, C.F. (1931). Mammalian Carbohydrate Metabolism. Physiol. Rev. *11*, 143–275. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.1931.11.2.143.

Elia, M., Fuller, N.J., and Murgatroyd, P.R. (1992). Measurement of bicarbonate turnover in humans: Applicability to estimation of energy expenditure. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *263*. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1992.263.4.e676.

Fearon, K.C.H., Hansell, D.T., Preston, T., Plumb, J.A., Davies, J., Shapiro, D., Shenkin, A., Calman, K.C., and Burns, H.J.G. (1988). Influence of Whole Body Protein Turnover Rate on Resting Energy Expenditure in Patients with Cancer. Cancer Res. *48*, 2590–2595. .

Fernández-García, J., Altea-Manzano, P., Pranzini, E., and Fendt, S.M. (2020). Stable Isotopes for Tracing Mammalian-Cell Metabolism In Vivo. Trends Biochem. Sci. *45*, 185–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2019.12.002.

Gorissen, S.H.M., and Phillips, S.M. (2018). Branched-chain amino acids (leucine, isoleucine, and valine) and
skeletal muscle (Elsevier Inc.).

Gormsen, L.C., Jensen, M.D., Schmitz, O., Møller, N., Christiansen, J.S., and Nielsen, S. (2006). Energy
expenditure, insulin, and VLDL-triglyceride production in humans. J. Lipid Res. *47*, 2325–2332.
https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M600175-JLR200.

Hanson, R.W., Ballard, F.J., and Reshef, L. (2006). Glyceroneogenesis, the pathway that almost wasn't.
Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ. *34*, 317–323. https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.2006.494034052637.

Hasenour, C.M., Rahim, M., and Young, J.D. (2020). In Vivo Estimates of Liver Metabolic Flux Assessed by 13C-
Propionate and 13C-Lactate Are Impacted by Tracer Recycling and Equilibrium Assumptions. Cell Rep. *32*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107986.

Hui, S., Ghergurovich, J.M., Morscher, R.J., Jang, C., Teng, X., Lu, W., Esparza, L.A., Reya, T., Zhan, L., Yanxiang
Guo, J., et al. (2017). Glucose feeds the TCA cycle via circulating lactate. Nature *551*, 115–118.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24057.

Hui, S., Cowan, A.J., Zeng, X., Yang, L., TeSlaa, T., Li, X., Bartman, C., Zhang, Z., Jang, C., Wang, L., et al. (2020).
Quantitative Fluxomics of Circulating Metabolites. Cell Metab. *32*, 676-688.e4.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.07.013.

Jensen, M.D., Chandramouli, V., Schumann, W.C., Ekberg, K., Previs, S.F., Gupta, S., and Landau, B.R. (2001).
Sources of blood glycerol during fasting. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *281*, 998–1004.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.2001.281.5.e998.

Kalderon, B., Mayorek, N., Berry, E., Zevit, N., and Bar-Tana, J. (2000). Fatty acid cycling in the fasting rat. Am.
J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *279*, 221–227. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.2000.279.1.e221.

Keller, U., Sonnenberg, G.E., and Stauffacher, W. (1981). Validation of a tracer technique to determine
nonsteady-state ketone body turnover rates in man. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *3*.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1981.240.3.e253.

Kleberg, K., Nielsen, L.L., Stuhr-Hansen, N., Nielsen, J., and Hansen, H.S. (2014). Evaluation of the immediate
vascular stability of lipoprotein lipase-generated 2-monoacylglycerol in mice. BioFactors *40*, 596–602.
https://doi.org/10.1002/biof.1189.

Kraegen, E.W., Clark, P.W., Jenkins, A.B., Daley, E.A., Chisholm, D.J., and Storlien, L.H. (1991). Development
of muscle insulin resistance after liver insulin resistance in high-fat-fed rats. Diabetes *40*, 1397–1403.
https://doi.org/10.2337/diab.40.11.1397.

Lambert, J.E., Ramos-Roman, M.A., Browning, J.D., and Parks, E.J. (2014). Increased de novo lipogenesis is a
distinct characteristic of individuals with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology *146*, 726–735.

https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2013.11.049.

Lee, W.D., Liang, L., AbuSalim, J., Jankowski, C.S.R., Samarah, L.Z., Neinast, M.D., and Rabinowitz, J.D. (2023).
Impact of acute stress on murine metabolomics and metabolic flux. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *120*, 2017.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2301215120.

Liss, K.H.H., Lutkewitte, A.J., Pietka, T., Finck, B.N., Franczyk, M., Yoshino, J., Klein, S., and Hall, A.M. (2018).
Metabolic importance of adipose tissue monoacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 in mice and humans. J. Lipid Res. *59*,
1630–1639. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M084947.

Matthews, D.E., Motil, K.J., and Rorhrbaugh, D.K. (1980). Measurement of leucine metabolism in man from a
primed, continuous infusion of L-[1-13C]leucine. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *1*.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1980.238.5.e473.

McCue, M.D., and Welch, K.C. (2016). 13C-Breath testing in animals: theory, applications, and future
directions. J. Comp. Physiol. B Biochem. Syst. Environ. Physiol. *186*, 265–285. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-015-
0950-4.

Metallo, C.M. (2015). Metabolic analysis using stable isotopes (Waltham, MA: Academic Press).

Mina, A.I., LeClair, R.A., LeClair, K.B., Cohen, D.E., Lantier, L., and Banks, A.S. (2018). CalR: A Web-Based
Analysis Tool for Indirect Calorimetry Experiments. Cell Metab. *28*, 656-666.e1.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.06.019.

Mugabo, Y., Zhao, S., Seifried, A., Gezzar, S., Al-Mass, A., Zhang, D., Lamontagne, J., Attane, C., Poursharifi, P.,
Iglesias, J., et al. (2016). Identification of a mammalian glycerol-3-phosphate phosphatase: Role in metabolism and
signaling in pancreatic β-cells and hepatocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *113*, E430–E439.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1514375113.

Newsholme, E.A. (1978). Substrate cycles: their metabolic, energetic and thermic consequences in man.
Biochem. Soc. Symp. 183–205. .

Nye, C., Kim, J., Kalhan, S.C., and Hanson, R.W. (2008). Reassessing triglyceride synthesis in adipose tissue.
Trends Endocrinol. Metab. *19*, 356–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2008.08.003.

Oeckl, J., Janovska, P., Adamcova, K., Bardova, K., Brunner, S., Dieckmann, S., Ecker, J., Fromme, T., Funda, J.,
Gantert, T., et al. (2022). Loss of UCP1 function augments recruitment of futile lipid cycling for thermogenesis in
murine brown fat. Mol. Metab. *61*, 101499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2022.101499.

Parks, E.J., and Hellerstein, M.K. (2006). Recent advances in liver triacylglycerol and fatty acid metabolism
using stable isotope labeling techniques. J. Lipid Res. *47*, 1651–1660. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.R600018-JLR200.

Parks, E.J., Krauss, R.M., Christiansen, M.P., Neese, R.A., and Hellerstein, M.K. (1999). Effects of a low-fat,

high-carbohydrate diet on VLDL-triglyceride assembly, production, and clearance. J. Clin. Invest. *104*, 1087–1096.
https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI6572.

Possik, E., Klein, L.L., Sanjab, P., Zhu, R., Côté, L., Bai, Y., Zhang, D., Sun, H., Al-Mass, A., Oppong, A., et al.
(2023). Glycerol 3-phosphate phosphatase/PGPH-2 counters metabolic stress and promotes healthy aging via a
glycogen sensing-AMPK-HLH-30-autophagy axis in C. elegans. Nat. Commun. *14*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
023-40857-y.

Del Prato, S., Bonadonna, R.C., Bonora, E., Gulli, G., Solini, A., Shank, M., and DeFronzo, R.A. (1993).
Characterization of cellular defects of insulin action in type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. J. Clin. Invest.
91, 484–494. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI116226.

Randle, P.J., Garland, P.B., Hales, C.N., and Newsholme, E.A. (1963). the Glucose Fatty-Acid Cycle Its Role in
Insulin Sensitivity and the Metabolic Disturbances of Diabetes Mellitus. Lancet *281*, 785–789.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(63)91500-9.

Reichard, G.A., Moury, N.F., Hochella, N.J., Patterson, A.L., and Weinhouse, S. (1963). Quantitative Estimation
of the Cori Cycle in the Human. J. Biol. Chem. *238*, 495–501. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0021-9258(18)81288-1.

Reshef, L., Olswang, Y., Cassuto, H., Blum, B., Croniger, C.M., Kalhan, S.C., Tilghman, S.M., and Hanson, R.W.
(2003). Glyceroneogenesis and the triglyceride/fatty acid cycle. J. Biol. Chem. *278*, 30413–30416.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R300017200.

Sarac, I., Backhouse, K., Shojaee-Moradie, F., Stolinski, M., Robertson, M.D., Bell, J.D., Thomas, E.L., Hovorka,
R., Wright, J., and Umpleby, A.M. (2012). Gender differences in VLDL1 and VLDL2 triglyceride kinetics and fatty acid
kinetics in obese postmenopausal women and obese men. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. *97*, 2475–2481.
https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-3248.

Scow, R.O., and Blanchette-Mackie, E.J. (1991). Transport of fatty acids and monoacylglycerols in white and
brown adipose tissues. Brain Res. Bull. *27*, 487–491. https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230(91)90147-C.

Sharma, A.K., Khandelwal, R., and Wolfrum, C. (2024). Futile lipid cycling: from biochemistry to physiology.
Nat. Metab. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-024-01003-0.

She, P., Reid, T.M., Bronson, S.K., Vary, T.C., Hajnal, A., Lynch, C.J., and Hutson, S.M. (2007). Disruption of
BCATm in Mice Leads to Increased Energy Expenditure Associated with the Activation of a Futile Protein Turnover
Cycle. Cell Metab. *6*, 181–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.08.003.

Shi, Y., and Cheng, D. (2009). Beyond triglyceride synthesis: The dynamic functional roles of MGAT and DGAT
enzymes in energy metabolism. Am. J. Physiol. - Endocrinol. Metab. *297*, 10–18.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.90949.2008.

Shipley, R.A., Chudzik, E.B., Gibbons, A.P., Jongedyk, K., and Brummond, D.O. (1967). Rate of glucose

transformation in the rat by whole-body analysis after blucose-14-C. Am. J. Physiol. *213*, 1149–1158.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1967.213.5.1149.

Shipley, R.A., Chudzik, E.B., and Gibbons, A.P. (1970). Rate of disposal of glucose carbon to CO2, fat, protein,
and glycogen in the diabetic rat. Am. J. Physiol. *219*, 364–373. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1970.219.2.364.

Soetaert, K. (2009). Package limSolve, solving linear inverse models in R.

Su, X., Lu, W., and Rabinowitz, J.D. (2017). Metabolite Spectral Accuracy on Orbitraps. Anal. Chem *89*, 11.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.7b00396.

TeSlaa, T., Bartman, C.R., Jankowski, C.S.R., Zhang, Z., Xu, X., Xing, X., Wang, L., Lu, W., Hui, S., and Rabinowitz,
J.D. (2021). The Source of Glycolytic Intermediates in Mammalian Tissues. Cell Metab. *33*, 367-378.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.12.020.

Tolbert, B.M., Kirk, M., and Baker, E.M. (1956). Continuous 14CO2 and CO2 Excretion Studies in Experimental
Animals. Am. J. Physiol. Content *185*, 269–274. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplegacy.1956.185.2.269.

Turner, N., Kowalski, G.M., Leslie, S.J., Risis, S., Yang, C., Lee-Young, R.S., Babb, J.R., Meikle, P.J., Lancaster,
G.I., Henstridge, D.C., et al. (2013). Distinct patterns of tissue-specific lipid accumulation during the induction of
insulin resistance in mice by high-fat feeding. Diabetologia *56*, 1638–1648. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-013-
2913-1.

Turner, S.M., Linfoot, P.A., Neese, R.A., and Hellerstein, M.K. (2005). Sources of plasma glucose and liver
glycogen in fasted ob/ob mice. Acta Diabetol. *42*, 187–193. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-005-0201-3.

Wang, Y., Kwon, H., Su, X., and Wondisford, F.E. (2020). Glycerol not lactate is the major net carbon source
for gluconeogenesis in mice during both short and prolonged fasting. Mol. Metab. *31*, 36–44.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2019.11.005.

Wolfe, R.R., Herndon, D.N., Jahoor, F., Miyoshi, H., and Wolfe, M. (1987). Effect of Severe Burn Injury on
Substrate Cycling by Glucose and Fatty Acids. N. Engl. J. Med. *317*, 403–408.
https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198708133170702.

Figure 1. **$^{13}CO_2$ Tracing Unveils Characteristic Oxidation and Storage Partition of Circulating**
Nutrients.

(A) Schematic of the releasing, storing, oxidation, and interconversion of circulating nutrients.

(B) Tracing of $^{13}CO_2$ production after intravenous (i.v.) injection of a nonperturbative bolus
dose of ^{13}C-glucose. The $^{13}CO_2$ production kinetics (middle) and accumulated ^{13}C recovery (right)

are shown. Each line represents data from one animal. n = 10.

(C)   Deposit of ^{14}C radioactivity in the carcass 6h later after i.v. injection of ^{14}C-glucose. n=3.

(D)   Tracing of ^{13}CO$_2$ production using nonperturbative i.v. infusion of ^{13}C-glucose in conscious

unrestrained animal. Shown are the experimental setup (top) and ^{13}C recovery (bottom). Each

thin line represents data from one animal and the thick line is fitted with formula $y = a \cdot (1 -$

$e^{-b \cdot t})$. n = 10.

(E)   Values of fraction of oxidation (f_{ox}) of 13 major circulating nutrients. Mean ± SD. n = 4 to

17 for individual nutrient, as noted in the scatterplot.

Figure 2. **Quantification of the Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients.**

(A)   Schematic showing infusion of ^{13}C-nutrient to mice and analysis of the serum labeling with

LC-MS. Blood was collected from arterial blood via pre-implanted catheter and/or by tail snip.

(B)   Illustration of the formula for calculating oxidation and storing fluxes of circulating

nutrients. I, infusion rate of ^{13}C-nutrient; L, serum labeling of the infusate; and f_{ox}, fraction of

oxidation, quantified in Figure 1.

(C)   Oxidation and storing fluxes of major circulating nutrients. Mean ± SEM. For individual

nutrient, for their labeling in serum, n = 4~15 (see Figure S3A); for f_{ox}, n = 4 to 17 (Figure 1E); the

same replication applies to all figures computed from these two sets of parameters in Figures 2-

5 and related supporting figures. Fluxes normalized by body weight refers to Figure S2C.

Figure 3. Quantification of *Direct* Oxidation and Storing Fluxes of Circulating Nutrients.

(A)   Illustration for calculating direct oxidation and storing fluxes of circulating glucose. At the isotopic steady state of ^{13}C-glucose infusion, the total $^{13}CO_2$ production (oxidation) and storing flux of the ^{13}C-infusate are depicted as the sum of the direct oxidation and storing fluxes from all labeled circulating nutrients, respectively. O_j^t, S_j^t, and L_j are respectively the oxidation flux, storing flux (superscript t for total, including both ^{12}C and ^{13}C), and ^{13}C labeling of circulating nutrient j; f_{ox}, fraction of oxidation.

(B)   Labeling of circulating nutrients under the infusion of different ^{13}C-nutrients. n = 4 to 15 for individual nutrient (see Figure S3A).

(C)   Total CO_2 production (left, n = 28), in comparison with the direct oxidation and storing fluxes of the major circulating nutrients covered in this study (right).

(D)   Direct oxidation and storing fluxes of all major circulating nutrients, including carbohydrates (glucose, lactate, and glycerol), fatty acids, protein-originated amino acids, and ketone bodies. All data is presented in mean ± SEM. For panels (C-D), normalized fluxes by body weight refers to Figure S3C-D.

Figure 4. Quantifying Storage Releasing Flux and Interconverting Fluxes for Circulating Nutrients, and Integrating them with Oxidation and Storing Fluxes into a Holistic Flux Model of Circulating Nutrients.

(A) Illustration for calculating the storage releasing flux (glycogenolysis) and gluconeogenic fluxes to produce circulating glucose. I, the ^{13}C-glcose infusion rate; L_{glc}, the labeling of glucose; L_j, the labeling of nutrient j; F_j^t, the production flux from nutrient j to glucose, superscript t for total (including both ^{12}C and ^{13}C); F_s, the releasing flux from storage of glycogen.

(B) The production fluxes of circulating nutrients from storage and other circulating nutrients. TAG, triglyceride; GLG, glycogen, PROT, protein.

(C) Sink fluxes of circulating nutrients, fated to oxidation, storage, and other circulating nutrients. The dot shows the total production flux as quantified in Figure 4B. For panels (B) and (C), fluxes normalized by body mass refers to Figure S4 A-B.

(D) An organism-level metabolic map of circulating nutrients. All flux arrows are drawn in counter-clockwise direction. All data is presented in mean ± SEM.

Figure ... Flux analysis of Circulating Nutrients in High Fat Diet- Fed (HFD) and ob/ob

(A) Fraction of oxidation (f_{ox}) of circulating nutrients in chow-fed wild type control mice, and the obese mice. Mean ± SD.

(B) Production and sink fluxes of circulating nutrients in the control and obese mice.

(C)   Total CO_2 production (left), and the direct oxidation and storing fluxes of circulating

nutrients (right) in the control and obese mice.

(D)   Direct oxidation and storing fluxes of all major circulating nutrients, including

carbohydrates (glucose, lactate, and glycerol), fatty acids, protein-originated amino acids, and

ketone bodies.

Fluxes normalized by lean or body mass refers to Figure S6B. All data is presented as mean ± SEM

unless otherwise specified. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$ by Student's *t* test

after Bonferroni adjustment. Regarding individual nutrient and phenotype, for f_{ox}, n = 3 to 19

(see scatterplot in panel A); their labeling in serum, n = 4 to 27 (see Figure S6A). The same

replication also applies to the related supporting figures.

Figure 6. Flux Models of Obese Mice Reveals Elevated Metabolic Cycling in ob/ob Mice, but Not in High Fat Diet-Fed Mice.

Fluxes in obese mice that are statistically significant (Bonferroni-adjusted p value < 0.05) from the control mice are highlighted in color, with fold change mapped to the color scale. All flux arrows are drawn in counter-clockwise direction.