1 Title: Integrated transcriptomic analysis of human induced pluripotent stem cell-

2 derived osteogenic differentiation reveals a regulatory role of KLF16

Ying Ru[1], Meng Ma[2], Xianxiao Zhou[1,3,4], Divya Kriti[1,5], Ninette Cohen[1,6], Sunita

D'Souza[7,8], Christoph Schaniel[7,9,10,11], Susan M. Motch Perrine[12], Sharon Kuo[13,14],

Oksana Pichurin[15], Dalila Pinto[1], Genevieve Housman[16,17], Greg Holmes[1], Eric

Schadt[1,4], Harm van Bakel[1,4,18], Bin Zhang[1,3,4], Ethylin Wang Jabs[1,7,15,19], Meng Wu[1,15,19]

[1]Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA.

[2]Mount Sinai Genomics, Sema4, Stamford, CT, 06902, USA.

[3]Mount Sinai Center for Transformative Disease Modeling, Icahn School of Medicine at

Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA.

[4]Icahn Genomics Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,

10029, USA.

[5]Present address: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of

Medicine, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 2G3, Canada.

[6]Present address: Division of Cytogenetics and Molecular Pathology, Zucker School of

Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Northwell Health Laboratories, Lake Success, NY,

11030, USA.

[7]Department of Cell, Developmental and Regenerative Biology, Icahn School of

Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA.

[8]Present address: St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, 38105, USA.

24 [9]Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Tisch Cancer

25 Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA.

26 [10]Black Family Stem Cell Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York,

27 NY, 10029, USA.

28 [11]Mount Sinai Institute for Systems Biomedicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

29 Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA.

30 [12]Department of Anthropology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA,

31 16802, USA.

32 [13]Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota, Duluth, MN, 55812,

33 USA.

34 [14]Technological Primates Research Group, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary

35 Anthropology, Leipzig, 04103, Germany.

36 [15]Department of Clinical Genomics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905, USA.

37 [16]Section of Genetic Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago,

38 IL, 60637, USA.

39 [17]Department of Primate Behavior and Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary

40 Anthropology, Leipzig, 04103, Germany.

41 [18]Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,

42 10029, USA.

43 [19]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN,

44 55905, USA.

Keywords: bone, induced pluripotent stem cell, mesenchymal stem cell, osteoblast, RNA sequencing, differential gene expression, transcription factor, single-cell RNA-seq, network analysis, systems biology

50 **Abstract**

51 Osteogenic differentiation is essential for bone development, metabolism, and repair;

52 however, the underlying regulatory relationships among genes remain poorly

53 understood. To elucidate the transcriptomic changes and identify novel regulatory

54 genes involved in osteogenic differentiation, we differentiated mesenchymal stem cells

55 (MSCs) derived from 20 human iPSC lines into preosteoblasts (preOBs) and

56 osteoblasts (OBs). We then performed transcriptome profiling of MSCs, preOBs and

57 OBs. The iPSC-derived MSCs and OBs showed similar transcriptome profiles to those

58 of primary human MSCs and OBs, respectively. Differential gene expression analysis

59 revealed global changes in the transcriptomes from MSCs to preOBs, and then to OBs,

60 including the differential expression of 840 genes encoding transcription factors (TFs).

61 TF regulatory network analysis uncovered a network comprising 451 TFs, organized

62 into five interactive modules. Multiscale embedded gene co-expression network

63 analysis (MEGENA) identified gene co-expression modules and key network regulators

64 (KNRs). From these analyses, *KLF16* emerged as an important TF in osteogenic

65 differentiation. We demonstrate that overexpression of *Klf16* in vitro inhibited osteogenic

66 differentiation and mineralization, while *Klf16*$^{+/-}$ mice exhibited increased bone mineral

67 density, trabecular number, and cortical bone area. Our study underscores the

68 complexity of osteogenic differentiation and identifies novel regulatory genes such as

69 *KLF16*, which plays an inhibitory role in osteogenic differentiation both in vitro and in

70 vivo.

71

72 **Introduction**

73 Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are multipotent cells that can differentiate into a

74 variety of lineages, including osteoblasts (OBs), chondrocytes, and adipocytes

75 (Pittenger et al., 1999). The multilineage potential, self-renewal capacity, and immune-

76 modulation functions of MSCs have made them a promising therapeutic tool for cell

77 therapies and regenerative medicine (Bruder et al., 1994; Han et al., 2019). Osteogenic

78 differentiation from MSCs plays a pivotal role in bone development, homeostasis, and

79 repair. This process replenishes the pool of osteoblasts and ensures that there are

80 enough cells available for bone formation and repair. It is highly regulated by multiple

81 mechanisms and previous research has highlighted the roles of various genes,

82 including those coding for transcription factors (TFs) and signaling pathways such as

83 TGF-β/BMP, Notch, Hedgehog, Wnt, Hippo, and estrogen receptor signaling (Thomas

84 and Jaganathan, 2022). Precise and robust transcriptional regulation required during

85 osteogenic differentiation is achieved by networks of transcriptional regulators. For

86 example, *RUNX2* is a master transcription factor in early osteogenic differentiation

87 (Komori et al., 1997; Schroeder et al., 2005). TFs *SP7, ATF4, TEAD4*, and *KLF4* work

88 alongside *RUNX2* to regulate osteogenesis (Nakashima et al., 2002; Suo et al., 2020;

89 Yang et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2021). The broader TF interplay in osteogenic differentiation

90 remains largely uncharted, even with insights from ENCODE (Encyclopedia of DNA

91 Elements) data that reveal the co-association of human TFs in a combinatorial and

92 context-specific manner (Gerstein et al., 2012), revealing a need for further investigation.

93 Transcriptome profiling can uncover the key genes essential for bone formation

94 and growth, offering valuable insights into bone development and the underlying

5

mechanisms of bone-related diseases. However, due to the invasiveness required to

obtain human primary MSCs and the suboptimal conditions for preserving available

samples, large-scale human transcriptomics projects, such as GTEx, do not include

data from bone and cartilage. Furthermore, studies focusing on these tissues are often

limited to disease contexts. Induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived systems, with

their pluripotency and differentiation capabilities, offer an avenue for studying skeletal

development. iPSC-derived sclerotome models have been utilized to identify gene

expression signatures and regulatory mechanisms crucial to key developmental stages

of endochondral ossification (Lamande et al., 2023; Nakajima et al., 2018; Tani et al.,

2023). Previous studies for osteogenic differentiation from iPSC-derived MSCs included

only one to two MSC cell lines (Matsuda et al., 2020; Rauch et al., 2019), limiting their

power for comprehensive transcriptomic analyses. In this study, 20 human iPSC lines,

each from a healthy individual, were used to investigate osteogenic differentiation of

MSCs by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) at three key stages: MSC, preosteoblast (preOB),

and osteoblast (OB). Our findings highlight dynamic gene expression changes during

osteogenic differentiation and identify the regulatory role of TF KLF16 as an inhibitor of

osteogenic differentiation. This work provides insights into osteogenic differentiation and

sheds light on novel therapeutic targets for bone diseases.

Results

Transcriptome profiles of human iPSC-derived MSCs and OBs are similar to

those of primary MSCs and OBs

Human iPSCs were generated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) or

skin fibroblasts of 20 healthy individuals (9 males and 11 females) and differentiated

into MSC, preOB, and OB stages (Figure 1A; Supplementary Figure 1A, 1B, and 1H;

Supplementary Table 1). To abrogate the epigenetic memory from their source cell

types and minimize in vitro transcriptional and differentiation heterogeneity, the

established iPSC lines were cultured for at least 16 passages and underwent quality

control (Polo et al., 2010). The iPSCs were then differentiated into MSCs and sorted for

the CD105+/CD45- cell population (Giuliani et al., 2011; Kang et al., 2015)

(Supplementary Figure 1C). No significant differences were found in the percentage of

this cell population between PBMC and fibroblast origins (Supplementary Figure 1D).

After two weeks of expansion, the iPSC-derived MSCs were confirmed to exhibit

mesenchymal characteristics, evidenced by strong expression of positive MSC surface

markers (CD29, CD73, CD90, and CD105) and minimal expression of negative markers

(CD31, CD34, and CD45) at both the protein level by flow cytometry (Supplementary

Figure 1E and 1F) and mRNA level by RNA-seq (Supplementary Figure 1G). The MSCs

were cultured in osteogenic differentiation medium for 7 days to generate preOBs and

for 21 days to produce OBs. After 21 days of osteogenic differentiation, the OBs

showed alkaline phosphatase (ALP) enzyme activity and mineralization, indicating the

state of functional OBs (Figure 1B).

MSCs, preOBs, and OBs derived from the 20 individuals were harvested and a

total of 60 RNA-seq libraries were generated (Figure 1A; Supplementary Table 1). We

initially employed bulk RNA-seq rather than single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) because

the latter recovers only sparse transcriptional data from individual cells, thus limiting

140 comprehensive expression analysis and the development of robust prediction models

141 for regulatory and gene co-expression networks (Li and Wang, 2021). RNA-seq data

142 revealed increased expression of OB lineage markers (*ALPL, COL1A1, RUNX2,*

143 *SPARC, OMD,* and *OGN*) with characteristic temporal expression patterns during

144 osteogenic differentiation (Figure 1C). We further compared our MSC and OB RNA-seq

145 datasets with previously published human primary MSC and OB datasets, human iPSC

146 datasets, and GTEx datasets for different tissues derived from the three germ layers

147 (ectoderm, endoderm, and mesoderm) and germ cells (Al-Rekabi et al., 2016; Ardlie et

148 al., 2015; Ma et al., 2019; Roforth et al., 2015; Rojas-Peña et al., 2014). By principal

149 component analysis (PCA), our MSC and OB datasets clustered with those of published

150 primary MSCs and OBs, respectively, and were discrete from iPSCs and other tissue

151 datasets, further validating the cell identities of our MSCs and OBs (Figure 1D).

152

153 **Differential transcription profiles of human iPSC-derived MSCs, preOBs, and OBs**

154 During in vitro osteogenic differentiation, we detected the expression of 17,795 unique

155 genes across all stages, of which 79% were protein-coding and 21% were noncoding

156 (Figure 2A). Seventy percent or 9,724 of the protein-coding genes were differentially

157 expressed (DE) between the osteogenic stages (MSC to preOB, or preOB to OB) (fold

158 change ≥ 1.2 and adjusted p value ≤ 0.05). Sixty percent (2,297) of the noncoding

159 genes also were DE (Figure 2A), of which 2,249 (98% of DE noncoding) were long

160 noncoding RNA (lncRNA) genes. By intersecting with the human TF repertoire including

161 over 1,600 known or likely human TFs (Lambert et al., 2018), we found that nine

162 percent (840 genes) of the DE coding genes were TFs (Figure 2B). A chi-square test

8

163 revealed a significant correlation between TFs and differentially expressed genes

164 (DEGs) (X^2 = 27.4, p value < 0.0001). There were 5,715 up-regulated genes and 4,409

165 down-regulated genes from the MSC to the preOB stage, and 3,342 up-regulated genes

166 and 2,835 down-regulated genes from the preOB to the OB stage, revealing that the

167 majority of the DEGs were up-regulated during osteogenic differentiation (Figure 2C-E;

168 Supplementary Table 2). Among the top statistically significant DEGs were known

169 osteogenesis-associated genes, including a SMAD ubiquitination regulatory factor

170 *SMURF2* (Kushioka et al., 2020), natriuretic peptide precursor B *NPPB* (Aza-Carmona

171 et al., 2014), hypoxia-inducible factor 3 subunit alpha *HIF3A* (Zhu et al., 2014), a TF

172 *ZBTB16* (Onizuka et al., 2016), a Wnt signaling pathway family member *WNT7B* (Yu et

173 al., 2020), osteoinductive factor *OGN* (Kukita et al., 1990), a SFRP family (modulators

174 of Wnt signaling) member *SFRP2* (Yang et al., 2020), and a glycoprotein member of the

175 glycosyl hydrolase 18 family *CHI3L* (Chen et al., 2017). There were also many genes

176 with no defined function in bone, including those that play critical roles in RNA binding

177 activity (*EBNA1BP2*), maintenance of protein homeostasis (*PSMD2*), arachidonic acid

178 metabolism (*PRXL2B*), hemostasis and antimicrobial host defense (*FGB*), embryonic

179 and induced pluripotent stem cells (*PCSK2*), as well as cell proliferation, differentiation,

180 and migration (*FGFBP1*). A greater number of DEGs with larger changes in gene

181 expression were identified between the MSC and preOB stages compared to the

182 changes between the preOB and OB stages, even though the differentiation interval

183 was half as long between the MSC and preOB stages (one week) as it was between the

184 preOB and OB stages (two weeks) (Figure 2C-E).

185

Transcription factor regulatory network during human osteogenic differentiation

By PCA, we found that the DEG expression profiles segregated all of the samples by their differentiation stage, with MSCs being most distinct from preOBs and OBs, which is consistent with the larger number of DEGs present between the MSC and preOB stages than the later stages (Figure 3A). Of note, when we used only the 840 differentially expressed TFs, their expression profiles also segregated the three osteogenic stages (Figure 3B).

TFs regulate not only the transcription of other protein-coding genes and noncoding RNA genes but also their own expression. We focused on the TF biological cooperativity with respect to TF-TF regulation, which has not been extensively investigated in osteogenic differentiation due to the limited availability of DNA-binding data from MSCs, preOBs, and OBs. To predict the TF-TF regulatory network during osteogenic differentiation, we constructed a network using our RNA-seq data and the database ReMap, which integrated and analyzed 5,798 human ChIP-seq and ChIP-exo datasets from public sources providing a transcriptional regulatory repertoire to predict target genes (Chèneby et al., 2020). ReMap covers 1,135 transcriptional regulators, including primarily TFs as well as coactivators, corepressors, and chromatin-remodeling factors, with a catalog of 165 million binding peaks. We filtered out TFs that lacked associations in ReMap, as they are less likely to have direct binding activities in regulating the transcription of TF genes. To further assure the reliability and accuracy of the regulatory relationships in our osteogenic differentiation datasets, we then assessed regulations among them by computing correlation coefficients of gene expression, resulting in 451 TFs in the network. After the application of a partitioning algorithm, the

209 network was organized into five interconnected modules (Bastian et al., 2009) (Figure

210 3C; Supplementary Table 3). The network showed that TFs potentially regulate other

211 TFs both internal and external to their respective modules, revealing a highly complex

212 network of transcriptional regulators. We identified the top 100 TFs determined by their

213 betweenness centrality, which coincided with their power to regulate others in the

214 network (Bastian et al., 2009) (Figure 3C; Supplementary Table 3). Among them, TF

215 genes known to regulate osteogenic differentiation were present in different modules,

216 such as *ATF4* (Yang et al., 2004) in Module 1, *FOSL1* (Krum et al., 2010) in Module 2,

217 *ZEB1* (Fu et al., 2020) in Module 3, and *TEAD4* (Suo et al., 2020) in Module 5

218 (Supplementary Table 3). Of interest, TF *KLF16* in Module 5, which previously was not

219 demonstrated to be involved in bone formation and development, was identified as a

220 key player in the regulatory network. It ranks as the fifth top regulator, directly

221 interacting with the second top regulator *TEAD4*, and shows a high degree of

222 association with 315 TFs (Supplementary Table 3).

223 Gene ontology (GO) and Reactome pathway (RP) analyses revealed regulatory

224 functions and pathways specific to each module (FDR < 0.05; Figure 3D and 3E;

225 Supplementary Table 3). As examples for the GO biological process (BP) terms,

226 Module 3 was enriched for skeletal muscle cell differentiation and muscle structure

227 development, associated with 15 TF genes (*ZBTB18*, *MEF2A*, *EGR1*, *TCF7L2*, *EGR2*,

228 *EPAS1*, *SRF*, *ZBTB42*, *ETV1*, *FOS*, *RBPJ*, *NR4A1*, *SIX4*, *ID3*, *ATF3*), as well as the

229 term fat cell differentiation and six associated TF genes (*ATF2*, *NR4A1*, *TCF7L2*, *EGR2*,

230 *PPARG*, *GLIS1*). Module 5, containing *KLF16*, was enriched for terms ncRNA

11

transcription and positive regulation of the Notch signaling pathway (Figure 3D), which

is essential in bone development and metabolism (Liu et al., 2016).

In addition, each of the modules was enriched for GO cellular component (CC)

terms of various complexes. Module 2 was enriched for the term ESC/E(Z) complex, a

multimeric protein complex that can interact with several noncoding RNAs, a vital gene

silencing complex regulating transcription, and an effector of response to ovarian

steroids (Dubey et al., 2017) (Supplementary Figure 2A). The modules were enriched

for different GO molecular function (MF) terms of receptor binding of various hormones,

including androgen, estrogen, glucocorticoid, and thyroid hormone receptor binding

(Supplementary Figure 2B), providing more evidence of the crosstalk between bone and

gonads (Oury, 2012). By RP analysis, Module 2 was associated with activation of HOX

genes during differentiation, aligning with their well-established roles in osteogenic

differentiation of MSCs and skeletogenesis (Seifert et al., 2015). Module 5 was

associated with signaling by TGF-beta family members, and the

SMAD2/SMAD3:SMAD4 heterotrimer regulates transcription (Figure 3E). These results

revealed the complex and robust nature of TF regulatory networks during osteogenic

differentiation.

Identification of key regulators in the co-expression network of human

osteogenic differentiation.

We performed multiscale embedded gene co-expression network analysis (MEGENA)

to identify gene co-expression structures (i.e., modules) as well as key network

regulators (KNRs) (Song and Zhang, 2015; Holmes et al., 2020). MEGENA was

12

254 conducted on the RNA-seq data from the samples at the MSC, preOB, and OB

255 differentiation stages and identified 168 gene co-expression modules (FDR ≤ 0.05,

256 Figure 4A; Supplementary Table 4). We then identified potential KNRs that were

257 predicted to modulate a large number of DEGs in the network by key regulator analysis

258 (Zhang et al., 2013; Zhang and Zhu, 2013). Many modules enriched for DEGs and their

259 associated KNRs recapitulated known osteogenic factors. For example, Module M204,

260 comprised of 85 genes, was significantly enriched for down-regulated DEGs (MSC to

261 preOB, fold enrichment = 1.80, adjusted p value = 1.58E-2; preOB to OB, fold

262 enrichment = 2.29, adjusted p value = 1.08E-3) (Figure 4A). More than 9% (8 genes) of

263 the DEGs in M204 are related to bone development and metabolism (Supplementary

264 Table 4). Three (*PHLDA2*, *RHOC*, *PFN1*) out of the eight genes were identified as

265 KNRs (Figure 4B). The expression of *PHLDA2* is associated with skeletal growth and

266 childhood bone mass (Lewis et al., 2012). Knockdown of RHOC dramatically inhibits

267 osteogenesis (Zheng et al., 2019). *PFN1*, a member of the profilin family of small actin-

268 binding proteins, is known to regulate bone formation. The loss of PFN1 function causes

269 early onset of Paget's disease of bone, a disease with impaired osteoclast and

270 osteoblast differentiation (Scotto di Carlo et al., 2020). We also examined the overlap of

271 the genes in M204 with the genes from genome-wide association studies with

272 significant signals for bone area (B-area), bone mineral density (BMD), and hip

273 geometry (Hsu et al., 2019; Morris et al., 2019; Styrkarsdottir et al., 2019), and found

274 five genes, *CYFIP1*, *MMD*, *PELO*, *PRSS23*, and *SNX13*.

275 As TFs are known to be fundamental to the osteogenic process, we were

276 particularly interested in finding TFs with novel regulatory roles in osteogenesis. We

13

277 focused on the modules that were significantly enriched for DEGs and contained

278 differentially expressed TFs that were identified as KNRs (Supplementary Table 4). We

279 identified 80 such KNR TFs, of which 60 have unknown biological functions in

280 osteogenesis (Supplementary Table 4). The top 3 up-regulated (*HIF3A*, *ZBTB16*, and

281 *NR2F1*) (Zhu et al., 2014; Onizuka et al., 2016; Manikandan et al., 2018) and the top 2

282 down-regulated (*TEAD4*, *HMGA1*) (Suo et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2021) KNR TFs have

283 established roles in osteogenesis. The third most down-regulated KNR TF is *KLF16* of

284 Module M204, which previously had little known involvement in osteogenesis

285 (Supplementary Table 4). Thus, based on our cumulative analyses, we hypothesized

286 that *KLF16* plays an important role in osteogenic differentiation.

287   To further evaluate the expression patterns of the top candidate genes identified

288 through our MEGENA, DEG, and TF-TF network analyses at the cell type level, we

289 employed our previously published single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) data generated

290 from iPSC-induced cells in osteogenic differentiation (Housman et al., 2022). In that

291 study, gene expression was assessed at two stages, MSCs (Day 0) and osteogenic

292 cells (Day 21) – analogous to our MSC (Day 0) and OB (Day 21) stages for bulk RNA-

293 seq, respectively. Although the differentiation culture conditions differed from this study,

294 we found similar differential expression patterns in a pseudobulk analysis of the scRNA-

295 seq time points for the top 5 gene sets of up- and down-regulated KNR TFs

296 (Supplementary Table 4), TF regulators based on TF-TF regulatory networks

297 (Supplementary Table 3), as well as 5 known osteogenic markers (Supplementary

298 Figure 3; Supplementary Table 5). We further analyzed pseudobulk expression data

299 derived from the five different osteogenic cell types at day 21 and found that most

14

genes of interest showed similar levels of expression among these cell types with the

most differences occurring in mature osteocytes, including a notable reduction of

RUNX2 expression as expected (Thomas and Jaganathan, 2022) (Supplementary

Table 6).

Regulatory role of *Klf16* in murine osteogenic differentiation.

We further focused on *KLF16*, as it is one of the top TFs in the TF regulatory network

and a KNR in the co-expression network. *KLF16* is significantly downregulated from the

MSC to the OB stage (Figure 5A), suggesting its inhibitory role in osteogenic

differentiation. To validate this, we overexpressed *Klf16* in the murine preOB line

MC3T3-E1 using lentiviral vector-mediated gene transfer (Figure 5B). We then

performed three independent osteogenic differentiation experiments, each with three

technical replicates for each differentiation stage (Day 7, 14, and 21). Overexpression of

Klf16 in MC3T3-E1 cells dramatically suppressed osteogenic differentiation at the early

stage (Day 7) with reduced ALP activity. At later stages (Day 14 and Day 21), reduced

mineralization was detected by alizarin red and von Kossa staining in the *Klf16*-

overexpressing cells compared to the control cells (Figure 5C). The inhibitory effect of

Klf16 overexpression on osteogenic differentiation in vitro was observed consistently for

each of the experimental and technical replicates.

To explore the role of *Klf16* in bone formation in vivo, we analyzed a *Klf16* knock-

out mouse line, generated by deleting a 444 bp segment in exon one using CRISPR

technology by the Knockout Mouse Phenotyping Program (KOMP). This mutation

deletes the Kozak sequence and the ATG start codon, leaving the final 16 bp of exon

15

323 one and the splice donor site. We examined adult wild type (WT) and heterozygous

324 (Klf16^{+/-}) mice, excluding homozygous mice due to preweaning lethality. No significant

325 difference in the overall length between adult Klf16^{+/-} mice and WT controls was found

326 (Figure 5D). However, adult Klf16^{+/-} mice had significantly increased lean mass (total

327 tissue mass – fat mass, including bone mineral content) (p value < 0.05), and no

328 significant change in fat mass relative to WT mice (Figure 5D). Furthermore, there was

329 a dramatic increase in whole body bone mineral content (BMC), bone area, and bone

330 mineral density in Klf16^{+/-} mice compared to WT control mice revealed by DEXA

331 scanning (p value < 0.01) (Figure 5E). Microcomputed tomography (microCT) scanning

332 of trabecular bone from adult Klf16^{+/-} mice showed significantly increased bone volume

333 fraction (BV/TV) (p value < 0.05) and trabecular thickness (Tb.Th) (p value < 0.01), and

334 no significant difference in trabecular number (Tb.N) or trabecular separation (Tb.Sp)

335 (Figure 5F and 5H). In addition, adult Klf16^{+/-} mice showed significantly increased

336 cortical bone area (Ct.Ar) (p value < 0.05), cortical periosteal perimeter (Ct.Pe.Pm) (p

337 value < 0.01), and cortical endosteal perimeter (Ct.En.Pm) (p value < 0.01) compared to

338 WT control mice (Figure 5G and 5I). These findings further support the inhibitory role of

339 KLF16 in bone formation.

340   Given that bone phenotypes in vivo may be affected by both bone formation and

341 resorption, we analyzed osteoclastogenesis in the Klf16^{+/-} mice. The expression of

342 calcitonin receptor (CALCR) as a marker for osteoclasts (Boyce, 2013; Nicholson et al.,

343 1986) was not significantly changed in the epiphysis of the femur, while increased

344 expression was observed in the metaphysis of the Klf16^{+/-} mice compared to the

345 controls (Supplementary Figure 4A and 4B). In addition, we examined the expression of

16

346 receptor activator of NF-kB ligand (RANKL), which binds to receptor activator of NF-kB

347 (RANK) on the surface of osteoclast precursors, promoting their maturation into active

348 osteoclasts and regulating osteoclastogenesis (Khosla, 2001; Boyle et al., 2003). In the

349 femurs of the *Klf16*^{+/-} mice, the expression of RANKL did not show a significant change

350 compared to control mice (Supplementary Figure 4C and 4D). These results suggest

351 that osteoclastogenesis is not decreased in the *Klf16*^{+/-} mice; therefore, increased bone

352 mineral content and density in the mutant mice is more likely attributed to enhanced

353 bone formation rather than reduced resorption by osteoclasts.

354

355 **Discussion**

356 Understanding the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying both normal and

357 pathological bone formation requires insight into the global transcriptional changes

358 during osteogenic differentiation. To investigate these changes, we utilized human

359 iPSC-derived MSCs as our model system. By profiling RNA expression during in vitro

360 osteogenic differentiation, we observed that the transcriptome profiles of our iPSC-

361 derived MSCs and OBs were consistent with those of their primary counterparts, which

362 suggests the potential applicability of our differentiation system to model in vivo

363 osteogenesis. Our differential gene expression analyses provided insights into

364 transcriptional signatures for each osteogenic stage, characterized by changes in

365 expression levels of numerous coding and noncoding genes. Our systems biology

366 approach revealed complex networks of TFs underlying osteogenic differentiation. TF

367 networks in mammalian cells can be built by combining knowledge of TF binding events

368 within TF genes and functional analysis (Wilkinson et al., 2017). Our research unveiled

17

369 a multifaceted osteogenic differentiation TF network, segmented into five interactive

370 modules. These modules, consistent with previous findings on functional modularity

371 (Dittrich et al., 2008), showcased specific biological functions. For instance, Module 3

372 underscores TFs associated with fat cell differentiation, suggesting a balance between

373 osteogenesis and adipogenesis within bone structures. This resonates with recent

374 studies emphasizing the role of a network of stem cell TFs in osteogenesis, which act

375 as repressors of adipogenesis (Rauch et al., 2019). Additionally, this module identifies

376 TFs involved in muscle differentiation, indicating overlapping regulatory mechanisms for

377 both bone and muscle development. This concept is further supported by recent

378 discoveries linking mesenchymal progenitors in muscle to bone formation (Julien et al.,

379 2021). This connectivity endorses known interactions between signaling pathways like

380 TGF-β/BMP and Wnt (Hernández-Vega and Camacho-Arroyo, 2021; Thomas and

381 Jaganathan, 2022). Overall, these findings reveal intricate TF regulatory networks and

382 pathway interactions in osteogenic differentiation.

383 In addition, we explored the gene co-expression network, highlighting potential

384 gene modules and key regulators that play an important role in differentiation. We

385 experimentally validated the regulatory role of *KLF16* from Module M204 as a proof-of-

386 concept that our dataset provides a resource for the discovery of novel mechanisms in

387 osteogenic differentiation. Module M204 is enriched for DEGs, of which many are

388 related to bone formation, including KNR genes *PFN1*, *PHLDA2*, and *RHOC*. We then

389 focused on the KNR *KLF16*, which was not previously associated with bone formation,

390 and investigated its involvement in osteogenic differentiation. Overexpression of *Klf16* in

391 vitro reduced ALP activity and matrix mineralization during MC3T3-E1 differentiation.

392 Conversely, heterozygous *Klf16* knockout mice showed altered bone characteristics,

393 including increases in whole body BMD, femoral bone volume to total tissue volume,

394 trabecular bone thickness, and cortical bone area, suggesting KLF16's regulatory

395 function in inhibiting osteogenic differentiation.

396   KLF16, a C2H2-type zinc finger transcription factor, has affinity for GC and GT

397 boxes, and displaces TFs SP1 and SP3 in neural settings (Hwang et al., 2001; Wang et

398 al., 2016). These TFs, when attached to certain promoters, play roles in osteogenic

399 differentiation (Le Mée et al., 2005). Furthermore, KLF16 targets specific metabolic

400 genes, including estrogen-related receptor beta (ESRRB) and PPARA (Daftary et al.,

401 2012; Sun et al., 2021). Our TF regulatory network analysis indicates that *KLF16* is

402 associated with Notch signaling and TGFβ/BMP-SMAD signaling pathways, and

403 regulation of ncRNA transcription. This paints a broad canvas of potential regulatory

404 mechanisms for KLF16 in bone dynamics. Furthermore, other members from the KLF

405 family, especially *KLF4* (Module 1), *KLF5* (Module 5), and *KLF15* (Module 3)

406 (Supplementary Table 4), significantly influence skeletal development (Shinoda et al.,

407 2008; Song et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2021; Zakeri et al., 2022). *KLF5*, co-

408 existing with *KLF16* in Module 5, curtails osteogenesis by inhibiting β-catenin through

409 DNMT3B-induced hypermethylation (Li et al., 2021). KLF4's modulation of osteogenic

410 differentiation hinges on the BMP4-dependent pathway, resulting in reduced osteoblast

411 numbers in the deficient mice (Yu et al., 2021). Meanwhile, KLF15 enhances

412 chondrogenic differentiation via the TF SOX9 promoter (Song et al., 2017). The roles of

413 KLF6 and KLF9 (Modules 3 and 2) in bone formation remain less defined (Zakeri et al.,

2022). Collectively, these findings underline the KLF family's complex involvement in
osteogenesis.

Interestingly, *KLF16* was upregulated in the MSCs of elderly patients suffering
from osteoporosis compared to age-matched controls in a previous study (Benisch et al.,
2012). A following study identified KLF16 as one of the key TFs whose targets were
enriched from the DEGs in the MSCs of the osteoporosis patients versus controls (Liu
et al., 2019). The inhibitory role of KLF16 in osteogenic differentiation identified in our
study supports the hypothesis that the overexpression of *KLF16* might contribute to
osteoporosis, and that KLF16 could therefore be a potential therapeutic target.

Taken together, the differentiation of iPSC-derived MSCs to OBs provides a
valuable model for investigating gene expression and regulatory networks in osteogenic
differentiation. Our study sheds light on the intricate, layered, and dynamic regulation of
the transcriptomic landscape in osteogenic differentiation and offers a foundational
resource for further exploration of normal bone formation and the mechanisms driving
pathological conditions. Furthermore, this experimental model might facilitate
therapeutic research, with potential applications in the treatment of conditions such as
osteoporosis.

Methods

Human subjects

The twenty human subjects included in this study were in good general health. The
study protocols and informed consent were approved by the Institutional Review Board
(IRB) of Stanford University and the IRB of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai.

437 Each subject gave written informed consent for study participation. Complete subject

438 demographic data can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

439

440 **Animal care and use**

441 The *Klf16*$^{+/-}$ mouse strain C57BL/6NJ-Klf16em1(IMPC)J/Mmjax was generated on the

442 C57BL/6NJ genetic background by the Knockout Mouse Phenotyping Program (KOMP)

443 at The Jackson Laboratory (Stock# 032653) using CRISPR technology. Procedures

444 using mice were in compliance with animal welfare guidelines mandated by the

445 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and Institutional Biosafety

446 Committee (IBC) at The Jackson Laboratory. Genotyping was performed by real-time

447 PCR of DNA extracted from tail biopsies. A detailed protocol can be found on The

448 Jackson Laboratory website.

449

450 **Cell lines**

451 MC3T3-E1 Subclone 4 cell line was purchased from ATCC (CRL-2593, sex

452 undetermined). Irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were purchased from

453 GlobalStem (GSC-6001G). Human iPSC lines were generated in this study. The cells

454 were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO2.

455

456 **Peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) and dermal fibroblast isolation**

457 PBMCs were isolated as we previously described (Carcamo-Orive et al., 2017). Briefly,

458 blood was drawn in tubes containing sodium citrate anticoagulant solution (BD

459 Vacutainer CPT mononuclear cell preparation tube, 362760). PBMCs were separated

460 by gradient centrifugation. The cells were then frozen in RPMI medium (MilliporeSigma,

461 R7388) supplemented with either 12.5% human serum albumin and 10% DMSO

462 (MilliporeSigma, D2438) or 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (MilliporeSigma, F4135) and

463 10% DMSO, and stored in liquid nitrogen for later use. The detailed protocol for dermal

464 fibroblast isolation can be found in our previous publication (Schaniel et al., 2021).

465 Briefly, a skin sample was taken from each of the clinically healthy subjects using a 3

466 mm sterile disposable biopsy punch (Integra Miltex, 98PUN3-11). Each sample was cut

467 into smaller pieces and placed into gelatin-coated tissue culture dishes with DMEM

468 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10567022) supplemented with antibiotics, 20% FBS, non-

469 essential amino acids (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 11140050), 2mM L-glutamine (Thermo

470 Fisher Scientific, 25030081), 2 mM sodium pyruvate (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

471 11360070), and 100 µM 2-mercaptoethanol (MP Biomedicals, C194705) to establish

472 fibroblast lines. Fibroblasts were harvested using TrypLE Express (Thermo Fisher

473 Scientific, 12605010) and passaged at a 1 to 4 split ratio. Fibroblasts were

474 cryopreserved in 40% DMEM, 50% FBS, and 10% DMSO.

475

476 **iPSC generation**

477 Erythroblast protocol: The detailed erythroblast reprogramming process can be found in

478 our previous publication (Carcamo-Orive et al., 2017). Briefly, PBMCs were thawed, and

479 the erythroblast population was expanded for 9–12 days until approximately 90% of

480 cells expressed CD36 and CD71. These expanded erythroblasts were reprogrammed

481 by transduction with Sendai viruses (SeV) expressing factors OCT3/4, SOX2, KLF4,

and c-MYC using the CytoTune™-iPS 2.0 Sendai Reprograming kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, A16518) according to manufacturer's protocol.

Fibroblast protocol: The detailed fibroblast reprogramming process can be found

in our previous publication (Schaniel et al., 2021). Briefly, mycoplasma-free fibroblasts

at passage number 3-5 were reprogrammed using the mRNA reprogramming Kit

(Stemgent, 00-0071) in combination with the microRNA booster kit (Stemgent, 00-0073)

according to the manufacturer's protocol.

iPSCs expansion and characterization

To establish iPSCs, the cells were cultured on Matrigel (BD Bioscience, 354230-10, or

Corning, 254248) in feeder-free conditions and maintained in mTeSR1 (Stem Cell

Technologies, 05850). The iPSCs were passaged every 5-7 days after clones were

picked. To ensure the high quality of the iPSC lines used in this study, those lines

generated with Sendai virus first underwent virus clearance by continuous passaging.

To measure the loss of SeV in the iPSCs generated from erythroblasts with SeV,

quantitative RT-PCR was performed according to the SeV reprogramming kit

manufacture protocol. For quality control, G-banded karyotyping, ALP staining, iPSC

pluripotency marker immunocytochemistry, and embryoid body formation analysis

(Yaffe et al., 2016) were performed. Differentiating colonies were routinely eliminated

from the cultures. All the lines included in the current study had normal karyotypes,

exhibited human embryonic stem cell morphology, and expression of ALP and

pluripotency markers NANOG, SOX2, OCT4, SSEA4, and TRA-1-60. Further, embryoid

body (EB) formation and differentiation assays demonstrated their competence for

505 differentiation into all three germ layers. We excluded samples where any of these

506 characterizations were abnormal (Daley et al., 2009; Yaffe et al., 2016).

507

508 **Mycoplasma quality control**

509 Mycoplasma testing of the cells was performed intermittently during culture. Cultures

510 were grown in the absence of antibiotics for at least three days before testing. The

511 culture medium or the DNA harvested from the cultures were tested for mycoplasma

512 contamination using a MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza, LT07-418) or e-

513 Myco PLUS PCR Detection Kit (BOCA scientific, 25237).

514

515 **ALP staining**

516 Cells cultured on plates were briefly washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS),

517 fixed in 4% PFA for 3 minutes at room temperature, and then stained with Alkaline

518 phosphatase kit II (Stemgent, 00-0055) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

519

520 **iPSC embryoid body analysis**

521 iPSC embryoid body formation and characterization were performed as previously

522 described with modifications (Lin and Chen, 2008). Briefly, iPSCs were cultured in

523 mTeSR1 medium on Matrigel-coated plates, and the medium was changed daily. On

524 the day of EB formation, when the cells grew to 60-80% confluence, cells were washed

525 once with PBS and then incubated in Accutase for 8-10 minutes to dissociate colonies

526 to single cells and resuspended with mTeSR1 containing 2 μM Thiazovivin. To form

527 self-aggregated EBs, single iPSCs were transferred into an ultra-low attachment plate

(Corning, CLS3471) via 1:1 or 1:2 passage. EBs were aggregated from iPSCs for three

days, then were transferred to 0.1% gelatin-coated 12 well plates and maintained in

embryoid body medium (DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine,

0.1 mM non-essential amino acids, and 0.1 mM 2–mercaptoethanol) for another ten

days for the spontaneous generation of three germ layers with medium changed every

other day. Fluorescent immunostaining was performed to detect the expression of

markers of the three germ layers.

Fluorescence immunocytochemistry

The cells cultured on plates were briefly washed with PBS, fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for 15 minutes, washed with PBS three times, and then

permeabilized with 0.2% Triton in PBS for 15 mins. The cells were then washed with

PBS three times prior to blocking with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (MilliporeSigma,

A8412) in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature and incubating with primary antibody

1:100 to 1:900 dilutions in 0.1% BSA in PBS for overnight at 4°C. Washing three times

was conducted with PBS followed by incubation with a corresponding secondary

antibody in 1:500 dilution in 0.1% BSA for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing

with PBS, 1:50,000 diluted Hoechst (Thermo Fisher Scientific, H1398) was added to

stain nuclei for 5 minutes, followed by washing with PBS three times and imaging. The

following primary antibodies were applied to detect the expression of iPSC markers:

anti-TRA-1-60 (Invitrogen, 41-1000, 1/100), anti-SSEA4 (Invitrogen, 41-4000, 1/200),

anti-NANOG (Abcam, ab109250, 1/100), anti-OCT-4 (Cell Signaling Technology, 2840S,

1/400), and anti-SOX2 (Abcam, ab97959, 1/900). To detect the expression of the

markers of three germ layers, anti-AFP (Agilent, A0008, 1/100) for endoderm, anti-α-

SMA (MilliporeSigma, A5228, 1/200) for mesoderm, and anti-TUBB3 (MilliporeSigma,

T2200, 1/200) for ectoderm were used. All the Alexa-conjugated secondary antibodies

used were from Invitrogen: anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (A-11029), anti-rabbit Alexa 488

(A-21206), and anti-rabbit Alexa 594 (A-11037).

G-banding karyotyping

Karyotype analysis was performed on all iPSC lines by the Human Genetics Core

facility at SEMA4. iPSCs were cultured on Matrigel-coated T25 flasks before

karyotyping and reached approximately 50% confluence on the day of culture harvest

for karyotyping. Twenty cells in metaphase were randomly chosen, and karyotypes

were analyzed using the CytoVision software program (Version 3.92 Build 7, Applied

Imaging).

Differentiation of iPSCs to MSCs

In vitro differentiation of iPSCs to MSCs was carried out with commercial cell culture

media according to the manufacture protocols with modifications. Briefly, iPSC colonies

were harvested with Accutase (Innovative Cell Technologies, AT-104) and seeded as

single cells on a Matrigel-coated plate in mTeSR1 and supplemented with 2uM

Thiazovivin. The next day, TeSR1 medium was replaced with STEMdiff mesoderm

induction medium (MIM) (Stem Cell Technologies, 05221) when cells were at

approximately 20-50% confluence. Cells were then fed daily and cultured in STEMdiff

MIM for four days. On Day 5, the culture medium was switched to MesenCult-ACF

574 medium (Stem Cell Technologies, 05440/8) for the rest of the MSC induction duration.

575 Cells were passaged as necessary using MesenCult-ACF Dissociation Kit (Stem Cell

576 Technologies, 05426). Cells were subcultured onto Matrigel at the first passage to avoid

577 loss of the differentiated cells before tolerating the switch to MesenCult-ACF attachment

578 substrate (Stem Cell Technologies, 05448). For passage two or above, cells were

579 subcultured on MesenCult-ACF attachment substrate. After three weeks of

580 differentiation, the cells were sorted for the CD105 (BD Biosciences, 561443, 1/20)

581 positive and CD45 (BD Biosciences, 555483, 1/5) negative MSC population (Giuliani et

582 al., 2011; Kang et al., 2015; Sotiropoulou et al., 2006) using BD FACSAria II in the

583 Mount Sinai Flow Cytometry Core Facility, and then expanded in MSC medium

584 consisting of low-glucose DMEM (Gibco, 10567022) containing 10% FBS (Sotiropoulou

585 et al., 2006). After expansion, a few MSC lines were further examined by BD CantoII for

586 expression of other MSC positive surface markers CD29 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 17–

587 0299, 1/20), CD73 (BD Biosciences, 561254, 1/20), CD90 (BD Biosciences, 555595,1/

588 20), absence of other negative surface markers CD31 (BD Biosciences, 561653, 1/20),

589 CD34 (BD Biosciences, 560940, 1/5), and retention of marker CD105 positivity and

590 CD45 negativity as well.

591

592 **Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) and analysis**

593 Single cells were washed with FACS buffer (PBS supplemented with 1% FBS and

594 25mM HEPES (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1688449)) twice, resuspended to a

595 concentration of 1×10^7 cells/ml in ice-cold FACS buffer, and incubated with the above

596 conjugated antibodies for 30 minutes at 4° in the dark. Stained cells were then washed

27

597 with FACS buffer three times before FACS or flow cytometry analysis. Flow cytometry

598 data were analyzed with BD FACSDiva software.

599

600 **Differentiation of iPSC-derived MSCs to osteoblasts**

601 iPSC-derived MSCs were plated in a 6-well plate at a density of $3X10^3$ cells/cm^2 in MSC

602 medium. After three days, the culture medium was switched to osteogenic differentiation

603 medium (α MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A1049001) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1%

604 non-essential amino acids, 0.1 µM dexamethasone (MilliporeSigma, D4902), 10 mM β-

605 glycerophosphate (MilliporeSigma, G9422), and 200 µM ascorbic acid (MilliporeSigma,

606 A4544)) and maintained in this medium for 21 days (Barberi et al., 2005; Lee et al.,

607 2015; Pittenger et al., 1999). The culture medium was changed every 2-3 days. Cells

608 were harvested for total RNA isolation and RNA sequencing at Day 0, Day 7, and Day

609 21 of differentiation, as indicated in the main text. ALP staining, and alizarin red staining

610 and von Kossa staining, were employed to examine bone ALP expression and

611 mineralization, respectively.

612

613 **Alizarin red staining and von Kossa staining**

614 Mineralization of osteoblast extracellular matrix was assessed by both alizarin red

615 staining and von Kossa staining. Cells were washed briefly with PBS, fixed with 4% PFA

616 for 15 minutes, and washed with deionized distilled water three times. For alizarin red

617 staining, fixed cells were incubated in alizarin red stain solution (MilliporeSigma, TMS-

618 008-C) with gentle shaking for 30 minutes, followed by washing with water four times for

619 5 minutes each with gentle shaking to remove non-specific alizarin red staining. For von

620 Kossa staining, fixed cells were incubated in 5% silver nitrate solution (American Master

621 Tech Scientific, NC9239431) while exposed to UV light for 20 minutes. Unreacted silver

622 was removed by incubating in 5% sodium thiosulfate (American Master Tech Scientific,

623 NC9239431) for 5 minutes, followed by washing with water twice. Mineralized nodules

624 were identified as red spots by alizarin red staining and dark brown to black spots by

625 von Kossa staining.

626

627 **RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing**

628 For total RNA isolation, cells were washed with PBS and harvested for RNA extraction

629 using miRNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN, 217004) according to the manufacturer's instruction.

630 Illumina library preparation and sequencing were conducted by the Genetic Resources

631 Core Facility, Johns Hopkins Institute of Genetic Medicine (Baltimore, MD). RNA

632 concentration and quality were determined using NanoDrop Spectrophotometer

633 (Thermo Scientific, DE). RNA integrity (RNA Integrity Number, RIN) was verified using

634 Agilent BioAnalyzer 2100 and the RNA Nano Kit prior to library creation. Illumina's

635 TruSeq Stranded Sample Prep kit was used to generate libraries. Specifically, after

636 ribosomal RNA (rRNA) depletion, RNA was converted to cDNA and size selected to

637 150-200 bp in length, then end-repaired and ligated with appropriate adaptors. Ligated

638 fragments were subsequently size-selected and underwent PCR amplification

639 techniques to prepare the libraries with a median size of 150 bp. Libraries were uniquely

640 barcoded and pooled for sequencing. The BioAnalyzer was used for quality control of

641 the libraries to ensure adequate concentration and appropriate fragment size.

642 Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 instrument using standard

643 protocols for paired-end 100 bp sequencing. All the samples were processed in one

644 batch.

645

646 **RNA-seq pre-processing and gene differential expression analyses**

647 Illumina HiSeq reads were processed through Illumina's Real-Time Analysis (RTA)

648 software generating base calls and corresponding base call quality scores.

649 CIDRSeqSuite 7.1.0 was used to convert compressed bcl files into compressed fastq

650 files. After adaptor removal with cutadapt (Martin, 2011) and base quality trimming to

651 remove 3′ read sequences if more than 20 bases with $Q \geq 20$ were present, paired-

652 end reads were mapped to the human GENCODE V29 reference genome using STAR

653 (Dobin et al., 2013) and gene count summaries were generated using featureCounts

654 (Liao et al., 2014). Raw fragment (i.e., paired-end read) counts were then combined into

655 a numeric matrix, with genes in rows and experiments in columns, and used as input for

656 differential gene expression analysis with the Bioconductor Limma package (Ritchie et

657 al., 2015) after multiple filtering steps to remove low-expressed genes. First, gene

658 counts were converted to FPKM (fragments per kb per million reads) using the RSEM

659 package (Li and Dewey, 2011) with default settings in strand-specific mode, and only

660 genes with expression levels above 0.1 FPKM in at least 20% of samples were retained

661 for further analysis. Additional filtering removed genes less than 200 nucleotides in

662 length. Finally, normalization factors were computed on the filtered data matrix using the

663 weighted trimmed mean of M values (TMM) method, followed by voom (Law et al., 2014)

664 mean-variance transformation in preparation for Limma linear modeling. The limma

665 generalized linear model contained fixed effects for sex (male/female), and cell source

30

(fibroblast/erythroblast) and a random effect term was included for each unique subject.

Data were fitted to a design matrix containing all sample groups, and pairwise

comparisons were performed between sample groups (i.e., MSC stage, preOB stage,

and OB stage). eBayes adjusted p values were corrected for multiple testing using the

Benjamin-Hochberg (BH) method (Benajmin and Hochberg, 1995) and used to select

genes with significant expression differences (adjusted p value < 0.05).

Integration of RNA-Seq data of human primary MSCs and OBs, iPSCs, and

tissues in GTEx

GTEx gene expression data (version 7) and metadata were downloaded from the GTEx

website (Ardlie et al., 2015). Raw counts were extracted for the brain, heart, kidney,

liver, lung, muscle, nerve, ovary, testis, and thyroid tissues. After filtering the lowly

expressed genes (1 CPM in less than 20% samples), normalization factors were

computed using the weighted trimmed mean of M values (TMM) method, followed by

the voom mean-variance transformation (Law et al., 2014). The Human iPSC dataset

was from our previous publication, deposited in the GEO database with accession

number GSE79636 (Carcamo-Orive et al., 2017). Two datasets for each primary human

MSC and OB cell type were collected from the GEO accessions GSE94736 (Roforth et

al., 2015) and GSE118808 (Kaczynski et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2019), and GSE55282

(Rojas-Peña et al., 2014) and GSE75524 (Al-Rekabi et al., 2016), respectively. All the

datasets were filtered and normalized in the same way as the GTEx data. Genes from

all the datasets were intersected to obtain shared genes. Gene expression levels of the

shared genes were extracted from all the datasets. Principal component analysis (PCA)

689 was performed on the extracted and combined gene expression matrix. Multi-

690 dimensional scaling was used to visualize the top two principal components.

691

692 **Gene ontology and Reactome pathway enrichment analyses**

693 Gene ontology (GO) biological process (BP), molecular function (MF), and cellular

694 component (CC), and Reactome pathway enrichment analyses were performed using

695 the PANTHER classification system (www.pantherdb.org) (Mi et al., 2019a). The

696 statistical overrepresentation test in PANTHER was fulfilled by Fisher's exact test

697 together with FDR multiple test correction to identify enriched GO categories and

698 Reactome Pathways among the input genes relative to the indicated reference list as

699 stated in figure legends (The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2019; Mi et al., 2019b).

700 Enrichment tests were filtered using FDR < 0.05.

701

702 **Transcription factor network analysis**

703 The ChIP-seq and ChIP-exo datasets of DNA binding peaks of human transcription

704 regulators (TRs), where the overwhelming majority are TFs, were downloaded from

705 ReMap 2020 (Chèneby et al., 2020). The genomic coordinates of the transcription start

706 sites of human genes were extracted from Ensembl Human Genes GRCh37.p13, and

707 then applied BedTools v2.3.0 to identify the target TR genes of a TR by checking the

708 intersection of the latter TR's binding peaks and the 2kb window before and after the

709 transcription start sites of the target TR genes (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). The Python

710 package scipy.stats.pearsonr was used to calculate the correlation coefficient between

711 the expression of TR genes (Hao et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2014; Virtanen et al., 2020;

712 Zhu et al., 2015). The regulatory relationship between TRs was predicted using two

713 criteria: (1) the binding site peaks of a TR are within the distance of 2 kb upstream or

714 downstream of the known transcription start sites of the target TRs; and (2) the absolute

715 value of the correlation coefficient between the TR genes is greater than 0.6 and

716 adjusted p value is less than 0.05 (Camacho et al., 2005). Gephi 0.9.2 was used to

717 generate and visualize the regulatory network, where each node was defined as a TR

718 gene, and two nodes were connected by an edge when ReMap data demonstrated

719 regulation between the two TRs, and our RNA-seq data also showed expression

720 correlation between them. Furthermore, the network community detection hierarchical

721 algorithm was applied to determine relationships between subsets of the whole network

722 and define modules. Betweenness centrality for each of the nodes was calculated and

723 then used to rank node sizes (Bastian et al., 2009).

724

725 **Gene co-expression network analysis and identification of key network regulators**

726 Gene co-expression network was constructed using the R package MEGENA v1.3.7

727 (Song and Zhang, 2015). The same filtered, normalized, and covariate-adjusted gene

728 expression data matrix used in the differential gene expression analysis was used as

729 input for MEGENA. Specifically, the Pearson correlation was used to calculate

730 significant correlations between gene pairs among the 60 samples. With a cutoff of 0.05

731 FDR, significant correlations were identified by 100 permutations of the gene expression

732 matrix. Next, planar-filtered network construction and multi-scale clustering analysis

733 were performed. Finally, significant modules were identified at a 5% FDR with 100 times

734 network permutations. Modules of smaller than 50 genes or larger than 5,000 genes

735 were excluded from the downstream analyses. Enrichment analysis was performed

736 between the modules and DEG signatures between MSC and preOB stages and

737 between preOB and OB stages through Fisher's exact test. BH procedure was applied

738 to the p values to correct for multiple-testing problem (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

739 Modules were visualized using the Cytoscape (Otasek et al., 2019). Sunburst plots of

740 modules were visualized using the R package *sunburstR* v2.1.5. We used all the

741 MEGENA nodes and edges as input to identify KNRs that were predicted to modulate a

742 large number of downstream DEGs in the network (Zhang et al., 2013; Zhang and Zhu,

743 2013). For each gene in the MEGENA network, we tested whether the network

744 neighborhood of the gene was enriched with the DEG signature. Specifically, we tested

745 if the nodes within a path length of 6 steps of the candidate KNR gene were enriched for

746 the DEGs using Fisher's Exact test. The p values were then corrected by the Bonferroni

747 procedure to adjust for multiple comparisons.

748

749 **Processing of comparative single-cell pseudobulk data**

750 scRNA-seq data (GEO accession: GSE181744) from our previous publication

751 (Housman et al., 2022), which includes mixtures of iPSC-derived, MSC osteogenic

752 differentiations from different species, was used as a comparative dataset. To maximize

753 the comparative utility of these data, we re-processed the raw scRNA-seq data to obtain

754 pseudobulk expression values for all genes annotated in the human genome and for cell

755 types of interest from the six humans and one human technical replicate included in this

756 dataset. Briefly, reads were processed using standard 10X Genomics Cell Ranger 3.1.0

757 pipelines (Zheng et al., 2017) that extracted 10X cell barcodes and UMIs and aligned

34

758 the remaining reads to the human genome (hg38). Human cells and specific cell types

759 of interest (MSCs, osteogenic cells, preosteoblasts, osteoblasts, embedding osteoblasts,

760 mineralizing osteoblasts, and maturing osteocytes) were isolated from the newly

761 processed data using species assignments and cell classifications (Housman et al.,

762 2022). Lastly, single-cell gene count data were consolidated to produce pseudobulk

763 expression values for each unique grouping of individual, replicate, and cell

764 classification. Specifically, these pseudobulk data were defined as the sum of raw

765 single-cell UMI counts within each individual-replicate for a given cell classification.

766 Computational scripts for these processing steps can be found on GitHub at

767 https://github.com/ghousman/human-skeletal-scRNA. Pseudobulk data were filtered

768 and normalized as described above.

769

770 **Generation of *Klf16*-overexpressed MC3T3-E1 cell line**

771 Lentiviral transduction of MC3T3-E1 Subclone 4 cells (ATCC, CRL-2593) was

772 performed as we previously described with modifications (Holmes et al., 2020). Cells

773 were infected by incubating in lentivirus-containing cell culture medium at a multiplicity

774 of infection (MOI) of 100 in the presence of 6 µg/ml polybrene for 24 hours. The

775 selection was performed with 2 µg/ml puromycin for 12 days until EGFP expression was

776 observed in 100% of cells, no further cell death was observed, and no live cells

777 remained in the non-transduction negative control dish. Selected cells were expanded

778 and cryopreserved. EGFP expression was monitored routinely, and additional selection

779 was performed when necessary.

780

781 **MC3T3-E1 osteoblast differentiation assay**

782 Osteoblast differentiation of MC3T3-E1 transfected with lentiviral vectors containing

783 either *Klf16* cDNA or a 300bp nonfunctional stuffer sequence was performed as follows:

784 cells were plated in 6-well plates at a density of $3X10^3$ cells/cm^2 in MC3T3-E1

785 maintenance medium (αMEM supplemented with 10% FBS). After three days, the

786 culture medium was switched to osteogenic differentiation medium (Holmes et al., 2020),

787 and cells were maintained in this medium, which was replenished every two days for 21

788 days. Triplicate experiments, each having triplicate wells, were carried out for both

789 control and *Klf16*-overexpressing cells.

790

791 **RT-qPCR**

792 The RT-qPCR method was used to assess gene expression in stable lentiviral MC3T3-

793 E1 cell lines. When cells were plated in 6 well plates for osteogenic differentiation as

794 described above, one parallel well was used for RNA isolation after being cultured in

795 MC3T3-E1 maintenance medium for three days before switching to osteogenic

796 differentiation medium. Total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, 74106)

797 according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized with AffinityScript

798 One-Step RT-PCR Kit (Agilent, 600188). Each cDNA sample was amplified in triplicate

799 using the SYBR Green and Platinum Taq polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, S7567)

800 on a 7900HT Real-Time PCR instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10966). The

801 housekeeping gene *Actb* was used as the reference. mRNA relative expression was

802 calculated by the ΔΔCt method. qPCR primers used are listed in Supplementary Table

803 7.

36

804

805   **Whole body bone mineral density**

806   Bone mineral density (BMD) was scanned with a Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry

807   (DEXA) Analyzer (Lunar, Piximus II, GE Medical System). Mouse weight and length

808   were measured (nose to the beginning of tail) before scanning. Each unconscious

809   mouse was placed in the DEXA analyzer. A scout-scan was performed. The analysis

810   was conducted on a whole body scan, excluding the head. The mouse was removed

811   once the image was captured and placed on a heated mat set at 37°C in a cage and

812   closely monitored until consciousness was regained.

813

814   **Microcomputed tomography**

815   Femurs were isolated by removing attached soft tissues, fixed in 4% PFA at 4°C, and

816   imaged with microCT scan (Skyscan 1172a, Skyscan, Belgium) at 50 kV and 200 μA

817   using a 0.5 mm aluminum filter and an image voxel size of 4.5 μm isotropic. Images

818   were captured every 0.7°, with 8× averaging, through 180° rotation of each bone and

819   reconstructed using Skyscan NRecon software. Imaging analysis of the metaphyseal

820   regions of each femur was performed by first determining a reference point of the most

821   proximal slice where the growth plate had begun to disappear. Offsets of 101 slices and

822   501 slices from the point of reference in the growth plate were used for trabecular and

823   cortical analyses, respectively, with Skyscan CTAn software. Volume visualization was

824   performed in Avizo 2020.2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Data were reported in the

825   standard format used by the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research (ASBMR)

826   (Parfitt et al., 1987). Each volume was then segmented to isolate osteological material

using a regularized deep network (RDN)-based image segmentation algorithm (Yazdani

et al., 2020). The segmented images were thresholded and masked in Medtool 4.4 (DPI

e.U, Medtool) to isolate trabecular bone from cortical bone (Gross et al., 2014). The

external mask (cortical bone) was subtracted from the volume, leaving only the internal

mask (trabecular bone) and air. Bone volume fraction (BV/TV), trabecular thickness

(Tb.Th), trabecular number (Tb.N), and trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) were calculated

from the trabecular bone volumes in 3D using 7 mm sampling spheres on a background

grid with 3.5 mm spacing following DeMars et al. (2021) in Medtool. Cortical bone

variables cortical area (Ct.Ar), cortical periosteal perimeter (Ct.Pe.Pm), and cortical

endosteal perimeter (Ct.En.Pm) were then calculated in the BoneJ extension of ImageJ

(Doube et al., 2010) for each volume.

Immunohistochemistry

Femurs from two male *Klf16*$^{+/-}$ mice and two male WT mice at the age of 18 weeks were

fixed and prepared for paraffin section. Sections were deparaffinized in xylene and

rehydrated through a gradient of ethanol (100%, 95%, and 70%, 5 minutes each) to

water. Slides were incubated in 0.2% Triton X-100 for 5 min. After washing in PBS,

endogenous fluorescence was blocked using TrueBlack Plus Lipofuscin

Autofluorescence Quencher (1:40, Biotium, 23014) for 20 min, and then blocked with an

Animal-Free Blocker (Vector Laboratories, SP-5035-100) for 1 hour at RT. The primary

antibody anti-CALCR (1:50, Bioss, BS-0124R), or anti-RANKL (1:50, Abcam,

ab216484), was applied and incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing in PBS,

secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488 chicken anti-Rabbit IgG, 1:500, Invitrogen, A-

21441) was applied and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were then

washed in PBS, stained with DAPI, and mounted with Antifade Mounting Media (Vector

Labs, H-1700-10). Images were acquired with a Nikon T1-SM microscope.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with Microsoft Excel using Student's t-test to create

graphs displaying mean values with error bars corresponding to the standard error of

the mean (SEM) for *Klf16* in vitro overexpression and animal experiments. p value <

0.05 was considered significant. Sample sizes are indicated in figure legends. Image

analyses were performed with ImageJ (National Institutes of Health). IHC data were

quantified and visualized with GraphPad Prism 10 (GraphPad Software).

Data and code availability

The RNA-seq data supporting the findings of this study are deposited in Gene

Expression Omnibus (GEO) with accession number GSE200492.

Acknowledgements

We thank Paige Cundiff for support in iPSC derivation, Arvind Babu for chromosome

analyses, Xuqiang Qiao for assistance in the Dean's Flow Cytometry Core, and Jacqui

White and Arie Mobley of The Jackson Laboratory Center for Biometric Analysis for the

microCT imaging and DEXA scanning of the *Klf16* mouse line. This work was supported

in part through the computational resources and staff expertise provided by Scientific

Computing at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. The research reported in

this paper was supported by the Office of Research Infrastructure of the National

Institutes of Health under award numbers S10OD026880 and S10OD030463. This work

was funded by NIH grants P01HD078233 (Ethylin Wang Jabs) and R01DE029832 (Ethylin

Wang Jabs, Susan M. Motch Perrine) and F32AR075397 (Genevieve Housman). The

content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the

official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Author details

Ying Ru

Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Contribution: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis,

Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing,

Visualization, Supervision

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Meng Ma

Mount Sinai Genomics, Sema4, Stamford, CT, 06902, USA

Contribution: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis,

Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing,

Visualization

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Xianxiao Zhou

Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Mount Sinai Center for Transformative Disease Modeling, Icahn School of Medicine at

Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Icahn Genomics Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,

10029, USA

Contribution: Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation,

Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Divya Kriti

Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Present address: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of

Medicine, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 2G3, Canada

Contribution: Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Ninette Cohen

Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Present address: Division of Cytogenetics and Molecular Pathology, Zucker School of

Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Northwell Health Laboratories, Lake Success, NY,

11030, USA

Contribution: Investigation, Writing – review & editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Sunita D'Souza

Department of Cell, Developmental and Regenerative Biology, Icahn School of

Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Present address: St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, 38105, USA

Contribution: Methodology - iPSC derivation, Writing – review & editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Christoph Schaniel

Department of Cell, Developmental and Regenerative Biology, Icahn School of

Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Tisch Cancer

Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Black Family Stem Cell Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York,

NY, 10029, USA

Mount Sinai Institute for Systems Biomedicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Contribution: Methodology - iPSC derivation, Writing – review & editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Susan M. Motch Perrine

Department of Anthropology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802,

USA

Contribution: Writing – review & editing, Visualization

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Sharon Kuo

Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota, Duluth, MN, 55812, USA

Technological Primates Research Group, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary

Anthropology, Leipzig 04103, Germany.

Contribution: Writing – review & editing, Visualization

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Oksana Pichurin

Department of Clinical Genomics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905, USA

Contribution: Investigation

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

961 **Dalila Pinto**

962 Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

963 Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

964 **Contribution:** Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing

965 **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

966

967 **Genevieve Housman**

968 Section of Genetic Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago,

969 IL, 60637, USA

970 Department of Primate Behavior and Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary

971 Anthropology, Leipzig, 04103, Germany

972 **Contribution:** Writing – review & editing

973 **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

974

975 **Greg Holmes**

976 Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

977 Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

978 **Contribution:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing

979 **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

980

981 **Eric Schadt**

982 Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

983 Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Icahn Genomics Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,

10029, USA

Contribution: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

Harm van Bakel

Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Icahn Genomics Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,

10029, USA

Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,

10029, USA

Contribution: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis,

Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing,

Visualization, Supervision

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

1000

Bin Zhang

Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Mount Sinai Center for Transformative Disease Modeling, Icahn School of Medicine at

Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Icahn Genomics Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY,

10029, USA

Contribution: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing,

Supervision

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

1011

Ethylin Wang Jabs

Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Department of Cell, Developmental and Regenerative Biology, Icahn School of

Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

Department of Clinical Genomics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905, USA

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905,

USA

Contribution: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Supervision,

Project administration, Funding acquisition

Competing interests: No competing interests declared

1023

1024   **Meng Wu**

1025   Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount

1026   Sinai, New York, NY, 10029, USA

1027   Department of Clinical Genomics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905, USA

1028 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905,

1029 USA

1031 **Competing interests:** No competing interests declared

1032

1033 **Figure legends**

1034 **Figure 1. Generation of healthy human iPSCs and osteogenic differentiation**

1035 **transcriptomic data. (A)** Flowchart of iPSC establishment, iPSC-derived MSC

1036 generation, MSC to OB differentiation (preOBs, preosteoblasts; OBs, osteoblasts), and

1037 RNA-seq data generation and analyses. **(B)** In vitro osteogenic differentiation of MSCs

1038 (Day 0), preOBs (Day 7), and OBs (Day 21) stained with alkaline phosphatase (ALP),

1039 alizarin red, and von Kossa. **(C)** Expression level of known osteogenic genes at the

1040 three osteogenic stages. Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM. * MSC vs preOB, # preOB

1041 vs OB, or + MSC vs OB, adjusted p value < 0.0001. **(D)** Principal component analysis

1042 (PCA) of RNA-seq data from our iPSC-derived MSCs and differentiated OBs as well as

1043 previously published human primary MSCs and OBs, iPSCs, and other tissues in GTEx.

1044

1045 **Figure 2. Gene expression profile during osteogenic differentiation. (A)** Gene

1046 expression during osteogenic differentiation with the total number of expressed genes

1047 and percentages of expressed coding and noncoding genes (middle). Number and

1048 percentages of differentially expressed (DE) and non-differentially expressed (non-DE)

1049 coding genes (left; TFs and non-TFs (white pie chart)) and of noncoding genes (right).

1050 **(B)**

1051 DEGs during osteogenic differentiation with total and percentages of coding and

1052 noncoding genes (left), and TFs and non-TFs (right). **(C)** Heatmap showing hierarchical

1053 clustering of 60 RNA-seq datasets from 20 iPSC-derived MSC, preOB, and OB lines

1054 (columns) and significant differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (rows), fold change $\geq$

1055 1.2 and adjusted p value < 0.05. Up-regulated and down-regulated gene expression is

colored in red and blue, respectively. **(D)** and **(E)** Volcano plots illustrate the distribution

of down- and up-regulated genes (blue and red, respectively) with adjusted p values

and fold changes when comparing gene differential expression from MSC to preOB in

(D) and preOB to OB stages in **(E)**. Cutoffs of fold change ≥ 1.2 and adjusted p value <

0.05 were applied to define DEGs. The total number of up-regulated and down-

regulated genes are noted at the top (red and blue, respectively). The genes are labeled

for the top five up-regulated (red, bottom right), downregulated (blue, bottom left), and

statistically significant down-regulated (blue, top left) and up-regulated (red, top right).

1064

Figure 3. TF regulatory network in osteogenic differentiation. (A) Principal

component analysis (PCA) of all 20 healthy cell lines at three stages of osteogenic

differentiation using all differentially expressed genes (DEGs). **(B)** PCA using only

differentially expressed TF genes. **(C)** TF regulatory network during osteogenic

differentiation. Each node represents a TF, with known bone formation associated

regulators underlined in red. Two nodes are connected by a line where ReMap data

suggest regulation and our RNA-seq data suggest the association between them.

Nodes labeled with the gene name represent the top 100 strongest TFs based on

betweenness centrality. The size of the nodes reflects the regulation strength of the TF,

with the top 5 strongest circled in pink. **(D)** and **(E)** Top significantly enriched GO BP

terms in **(D)** and Reactome pathways in **(E)** of TFs in each network module.

1076

Figure 4. Gene co-expression network in osteogenic differentiation. (A) Sunburst

plots represent the hierarchy structure of the MEGENA co-expression network

constructed on gene expression during the osteogenic differentiation. The structure is

shown as concentric rings where the center ring represents the parent modules, and

outer rings represent smaller child modules. Subnetwork modules are colored according

to the enrichment of differential gene expression between stages (FDR < 0.05; left,

MSC to preOB; right, preOB to OB; blue, down-regulated; red, up-regulated; cyan, both

up- and down-regulated). The subnetwork branch for Module M204 is outlined and

labeled. **(B)** Co-expression network Module M204. Diamonds indicate KNR genes, and

circles indicate non-KNR genes. Blue indicates DEGs from MSC to preOB stages, red

indicates DEGs from preOB to OB stages, and cyan indicates shared DEGs for both

comparisons. Genes known to be related to bone are in red.

1089

Figure 5. Inhibitory role of *Klf16* in osteogenic differentiation in vitro and in vivo.

(A) The expression of *KLF16* at three human osteogenic differentiation stages. Data are

shown as mean + SEM. * MSC vs. preOB, # preOB vs. OB, or + MSC vs. OB, adjusted

p value < 0.001. **(B)** Analysis of *Klf16* expression by RT-qPCR in MC3T3-E1 cells

transduced vectors containing either stuffer sequence or *Klf16* cDNA. Data are

presented as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=3, unpaired t-test p value < 0.01) (Supplementary

Table 7). **(C)** Osteogenic differentiation of MC3T3-E1 cells without or with

overexpression of *Klf16*, stained for ALP at Day 7 and alizarin red and von Kossa at

Day 14 and Day 21. **(D)** Length, fat mass, and lean mass of wild type (WT) and *Klf16*^{+/-}

mice. **(E)** DEXA analysis of whole body (head excluded) bone mineral content (BMC),

bone area (B-area), and bone mineral density (BMD) of WT and *Klf16*^{+/-} mice. **(F)** and

(G) Representative microCT images of distal femur trabecular bone in **(F)** (*left,* top view;

right, side view) and cortical bone in **(G)** from WT and *Klf16*^{+/-} mice. Scale bar: 1 mm. **(H)**

and **(I)** Graphs show trabecular bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV), trabecular

thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular number (Tb.N), and trabecular separation (Tb.Sp) in **(H)**;

cortical bone area (Ct.Ar), cortical periosteal perimeter (Ct.Pe.Pm), and cortical

endosteal perimeter (Ct.En.Pm) in **(I)**. For **(D)**, **(E)**, **(H)**, and **(I)**, data are presented using

BoxPlotR (Spitzer et al., 2014) as the mean $\pm$ SEM (WT n = 6, *Klf16*^{+/-} n = 6, 3 males

and 3 females for each group, aged 17 weeks, paired t-test, n.s, not significant, * p

value < 0.05, ** p value < 0.01).

1110

Supplementary Figure 1. Characterization of healthy human iPSCs and iPSC-

derived MSCs. (A) Identification of stem cell markers: ALP staining, fluorescent

immunocytochemistry (ICC) of pluripotency markers TRA-1-60, SOX2, OCT4, SSEA4,

and NANOG. Scale bar: 100 μm. **(B)** Embryoid body (EB) formation in suspension from

aggregates of iPSCs. ICC of three germ layer markers: AFP, endoderm; α-SMA,

mesoderm; and TUBB3, ectoderm. Scale bar: 50 μm. **(C)** Fluorescence-activated cell

sorting of CD105+/CD45- MSCs. FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; PE, phycoerythrin.

(D) The percentage of mesenchymal surface marker CD105+/CD45- cells in total cells

differentiated from iPSCs originated from PBMCs and fibroblasts. Data are shown as

mean + SEM. **(E)** and **(F)** Analyses of MSCs after sorting and expansion with flow

cytometry for MSC negative markers (CD31, CD34, and CD45) in **(E)** and MSC positive

markers (CD29, CD73, CD90, and CD105) in **(F)** labeled with different fluorochromes

(V450, APC, PE, and FITC). Marker expression is presented as histograms (green).

Unstained cells were used as controls (gray). Data are shown as mean + SEM. **(G)**

Gene expression of MSC markers in transcripts per kilobase million (TPM). **(H)** Spindle-

like morphology of iPSC-derived MSCs. Scale bar: 50 µm.

1127

1128 **Supplementary Figure 2. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment of TF regulatory**

1129 **network modules. (A)** Top enriched GO cellular component (CC) terms of each

1130 module. **(B)** Top enriched GO molecular function (MF) terms of each module.

1131

Supplementary Figure 3. Gene expression pattern across osteogenic

differentiation stages using pseudobulk single-cell RNA-seq data in Housman et

al., 2022. KNR_TF_up: top five up-regulated KNR transcription factors; KNR_TF_down:

top five down-regulated KNR transcription factors; TFs: top five transcription factors

(TFs) based on TF regulatory network; osteogenic_markers: five known osteogenic

markers.

1138

Supplementary Figure 4. Expression of osteoclastogenesis markers in the femur

bone of WT and *Klf16*$^{+/-}$ mice. (A) IHC for CALCR in the distal femur bone. The

CALCR-positive areas in epiphysis and metaphysis of two *Klf16*$^{+/-}$ mice and two WT

mice were quantified and shown as the percentage of CALCR-positive area

(fluorescence-positive area/total bone area) in **(B)**. **(C)** IHC for RANKL in the distal

femur bone. The percentage of RANKL-positive area were quantified and shown in **(D)**.

For **(B)** and **(D)**, three replicate sections from each animal were analyzed. Data are

presented as the mean $\pm$ SEM and visualized with GraphPad Prism 10. n.s: not

significant. * p value < 0.05 by unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 500 µm.

1148

1149 **Supplementary Source Data for Figure 5, Supplementary Figure 1, and**

1150 **Supplementary Figure 4.**

1151

1152 **Supplementary Table 1**, related to Figure 1. Sample demographic metadata.

1153

1154 **Supplementary Table 2**, related to Figure 2. Differential gene expression between

1155 stages.

1156

1157 **Supplementary Table 3**, related to Figure 3. and Supplementary Figure 2.

1158 Transcription factor regulatory networks and gene ontology enrichments.

1159

1160 **Supplementary Table 4**, related to Figure 4. Significant MEGENA modules.

1161

1162 **Supplementary Table 5**, related to Supplementary Figure 3. Pseudobulk gene

1163 expression at osteogenic differentiation stages using single-cell RNA-seq data in

1164 Housman et al., 2022.

1165

1166 **Supplementary Table 6**, related to Supplementary Figure 3. Gene expression in five

1167 cell types at Day 21 of osteogenic differentiation using single-cell RNA-seq data in

1168 Housman et al., 2022.

1169

1170 **Supplementary Table 7**, related to Figure 5. Primers used for *Klf16* in vitro

1171 overexpression study.

1172

References

Al-Rekabi, Z., Wheeler, M. M., Leonard, A., Fura, A. M., Juhlin, I., Frazar, C., Smith, J.
D., Park, S. S., Gustafson, J. A., Clarke, C. M., et al. (2016). Activation of the
IGF1 pathway mediates changes in cellular contractility and motility in single-
suture craniosynostosis. *Journal of Cell Science* 129, 483-491.
Ardlie, K. G., Deluca, D. S., Segrè, A. V., Sullivan, T. J., Young, T. R., Gelfand, E. T.,
Trowbridge, C. A., Maller, J. B., Tukiainen, T., Lek, M., et al. (2015). The
Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: multitissue gene regulation in
humans. *Science* 348, 648-660.
Aza-Carmona, M., Barca-Tierno, V., Hisado-Oliva, A., Belinchón, A., Gorbenko-del
Blanco, D., Rodriguez, J. I., Benito-Sanz, S., Campos-Barros, A. and Heath, K. E.
(2014). NPPB and ACAN, two novel SHOX2 transcription targets implicated in
skeletal development. *PLoS One* 9, e83104.
Barberi, T., Willis, L. M., Socci, N. D. and Studer, L. (2005). Derivation of multipotent
mesenchymal precursors from human embryonic stem cells. *PLoS Medicine* 2,
e161.
Bastian, M., Heymann, S. and Jacomy, M. (2009). Gephi: an open source software for
exploring and manipulating networks. *Proceedings of the International AAAI
Conference on Web and Social Media* 3, 361-362.
Benisch, P., Schilling, T., Klein-Hitpass, L., Frey, S. P., Seefried, L., Raaijmakers, N.,
Krug, M., Regensburger, M., Zeck, S., Schinke, T., et al. (2012). The
transcriptional profile of mesenchymal stem cell populations in primary
osteoporosis is distinct and shows overexpression of osteogenic inhibitors. *PLoS
One* 7, e45142.
Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical
and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society:
Series B (Methodological)* 57, 289-300.
Boyce, B. F. (2013). Advances in the regulation of osteoclasts and osteoclast functions.
Journal of Dental Research 92, 860-867.
Boyle, W. J., Simonet, W. S. and Lacey, D. L. (2003). Osteoclast differentiation and
activation. *Nature* 423, 337-342.
Bruder, S. P., Fink, D. J. and Caplan, A. I. (1994). Mesenchymal stem cells in bone
development, bone repair, and skeletal regenaration therapy. *Journal of Cellular
Biochemistry* 56, 283-294.
Camacho, D., de la Fuente, A. and Mendes, P. (2005). The origin of correlations in
metabolomics data. *Metabolomics* 1, 53-63.
Carcamo-Orive, I., Hoffman, G. E., Cundiff, P., Chang, R., Quertermous, T., Carcamo-
orive, I., Hoffman, G. E., Cundiff, P., Beckmann, N. D. and Souza, S. L. D. (2017).
Analysis of transcriptional variability in a large human iPSC library reveals

genetic and non- genetic determinants of heterogeneity resource analysis of tanscriptional variability in a large human iPSC library reveals genetic and non-genetic determinant. *Stem Cell* 20, 518-531.

Chen, X., Jiao, J., He, X., Zhang, J., Wang, H., Xu, Y. and Jin, T. (2017). CHI3L1 regulation of inflammation and the effects on osteogenesis in a Staphylococcus aureus-induced murine model of osteomyelitis. *The FEBS Journal* 284, 1738-1747.

Chèneby, J., Ménétrier, Z., Mestdagh, M., Rosnet, T., Douida, A., Rhalloussi, W., Bergon, A., Lopez, F. and Ballester, B. (2020). ReMap 2020: a database of regulatory regions from an integrative analysis of Human and Arabidopsis DNA-binding sequencing experiments. *Nucleic Acids Research* 48, 180-188.

Daftary, G. S., Lomberk, G. A., Buttar, N. S., Allen, T. W., Grzenda, A., Zhang, J., Zheng, Y., Mathison, A. J., Gada, R. P., Calvo, E., et al. (2012). Detailed structural-functional analysis of the Krüppel-like factor 16 (KLF16) transcription factor reveals novel mechanisms for silencing Sp/KLF sites involved in metabolism and endocrinology. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 287, 7010-7025.

Daley, G. Q., Lensch, M. W., Jaenisch, R., Meissner, A., Plath, K. and Yamanaka, S. (2009). Broader implications of defining standards for the pluripotency of iPSCs. *Cell Stem Cell* 4, 200-201.

DeMars, L. J., Stephens, N. B., Saers, J. P., Gordon, A., Stock, J. T., and Ryan, T. M. (2021). Using point clouds to investigate the relationship between trabecular bone phenotype and behavior: An example utilizing the human calcaneus. *American Journal of Human Biology* 33, e23468.

Dittrich, M. T., Klau, G. W., Rosenwald, A., Dandekar, T. and Müller, T. (2008). Identifying functional modules in protein-protein interaction networks: an integrated exact approach. *Bioinformatics* 24, i223-231.

Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M. and Gingeras, T. R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29, 15-21.

Doube, M., Kłosowski, M. M., Arganda-Carreras, I., Cordeliéres, F., Dougherty, R. P., Jackson, J., Schmid, B., Hutchinson, J. R., Shefelbine, S. J. (2010). BoneJ: free and extensible bone image analysis in ImageJ. *Bone* 47, 1076-1079.

Dubey, N., Hoffman, J. F., Schuebel, K., Yuan, Q., Martinez, P. E., Nieman, L. K., Rubinow, D. R., Schmidt, P. J. and Goldman, D. (2017). The ESC/E(Z) complex, an effector of response to ovarian steroids, manifests an intrinsic difference in cells from women with premenstrual dysphoric disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry* 22, 1172-1184.

Fu, R., Lv, W. C., Xu, Y., Gong, M. Y., Chen, X. J., Jiang, N., Xu, Y., Yao, Q. Q., Di, L., Lu, T., et al. (2020). Endothelial ZEB1 promotes angiogenesis-dependent bone formation and reverses osteoporosis. *Nature Communications* 11, 460.

Gerstein, M. B., Kundaje, A., Hariharan, M., Landt, S. G., Yan, K. K., Cheng, C., Mu, X. J., Khurana, E., Rozowsky, J., Alexander, R., et al. (2012). Architecture of the human regulatory network derived from ENCODE data. *Nature* 489, 91-100.

Giuliani, M., Oudrhiri, N., Noman, Z. M., Vernochet, A., Chouaib, S., Azzarone, B., Durrbach, A. and Bennaceur-Griscelli, A. (2011). Human mesenchymal stem

cells derived from induced pluripotent stem cells down-regulate NK-cell cytolytic machinery. *Blood* 118, 3254-3262.

Gross, T., Kivell, T. L., Skinner, M. M., Nguyen, H., and Pahr, D. H. (2014). A CT-image-based framework for the holistic analysis of cortical and trabecular bone morphology. *Palaeontologia Electronica* 17, 13.

Han, Y., Li, X., Zhang, Y., Han, Y., Chang, F. and Ding, J. (2019). Mesenchymal stem cells for regenerative medicine. *Cells* 8, 886.

Hao, Y., Wu, W., Shi, F., Dalmolin, R. J., Yan, M., Tian, F., Chen, X., Chen, G. and Cao, W. (2015). Prediction of long noncoding RNA functions with co-expression network in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 15, 168.

Hernández-Vega, A. M. and Camacho-Arroyo, I. (2021). Crosstalk between 17β-estradiol and TGF-β signaling modulates glioblastoma progression. *Brain Sciences* 11, 564.

Holmes, G., Gonzalez-Reiche, A. S., Lu, N., Zhou, X., Rivera, J., Kriti, D., Sebra, R., Williams, A. A., Donovan, M. J., Potter, S. S., et al. (2020). Integrated transcriptome and network analysis reveals spatiotemporal dynamics of calvarial suturogenesis. *Cell Reports* 32, 107871-107871.

Housman, G., Briscoe, E. and Gilad, Y. (2022). Evolutionary insights into primate skeletal gene regulation using a comparative cell culture model. *PLOS Genetics* 18, e1010073-e1010073.

Hsu, Y. H., Estrada, K., Evangelou, E., Ackert-Bicknell, C., Akesson, K., Beck, T., Brown, S. J., Capellini, T., Carbone, L., Cauley, J., et al. (2019). Meta-analysis of genomewide association studies reveals genetic variants for hip bone geometry. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 34, 1284-1296.

Hwang, C. K., D'Souza, U. M., Eisch, A. J., Yajima, S., Lammers, C. H., Yang, Y., Lee, S. H., Kim, Y. M., Nestler, E. J. and Mouradian, M. M. (2001). Dopamine receptor regulating factor, DRRF: a zinc finger transcription factor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98, 7558-7563.

Jiang, J., Jia, P., Zhao, Z. and Shen, B. (2014). Key regulators in prostate cancer identified by co-expression module analysis. *BMC Genomics* 15, 1015.

Julien, A., Kanagalingam, A., Martínez-Sarrà, E., Megret, J., Luka, M., Ménager, M., Relaix, F. and Colnot, C. (2021). Direct contribution of skeletal muscle mesenchymal progenitors to bone repair. *Nature Communications* 12, 2860.

Kaczynski, J., Cook, T. and Urrutia, R. (2003). Sp1- and Krüppel-like transcription factors. *Genome Biol* 4, 206.

Kang, R., Zhou, Y., Tan, S., Zhou, G., Aagaard, L., Xie, L., Bünger, C., Bolund, L. and Luo, Y. (2015). Mesenchymal stem cells derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells retain adequate osteogenicity and chondrogenicity but less adipogenicity. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy* 6, 144.

Khosla, S. (2001). Minireview: The OPG/RANKL/RANK System. *Endocrinology* 142, 5050-5055.

Komori, T., Yagi, H., Nomura, S., Yamaguchi, A., Sasaki, K., Deguchi, K., Shimizu, Y., Bronson, R. T., Gao, Y. H., Inada, M., et al. (1997). Targeted disruption of Cbfa1 results in a complete lack of bone formation owing to maturational arrest of osteoblasts. *Cell* 89, 755-764.

1302 Krum, S. A., Chang, J., Miranda-Carboni, G. and Wang, C. Y. (2010). Novel functions
1303   for NFκB: inhibition of bone formation. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology* 6, 607-611.
1304 Kukita, A., Bonewald, L., Rosen, D., Seyedin, S., Mundy, G. R. and Roodman, G. D.
1305   (1990). Osteoinductive factor inhibits formation of human osteoclast-like cells.
1306   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
1307   *America* 87, 3023-3026.
1308 Kushioka, J., Kaito, T., Okada, R., Ishiguro, H., Bal, Z., Kodama, J., Chijimatsu, R., Pye,
1309   M., Narimatsu, M., Wrana, J. L., et al. (2020). A novel negative regulatory
1310   mechanism of Smurf2 in BMP/Smad signaling in bone. *Bone Research* 8, 41.
1311 Lamande, S. R., Ng, E. S., Cameron, T. L., Kung, L. H. W., Sampurno, L., Rowley, L.,
1312   Lilianty, J., Patria, Y. N., Stenta, T., Hanssen, E., et al. (2023). Modeling human
1313   skeletal development using human pluripotent stem cells. *Proceedings of the*
1314   *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 120,
1315   e2211510120.
1316 Lambert, S. A., Jolma, A., Campitelli, L. F., Das, P. K., Yin, Y., Albu, M., Chen, X.,
1317   Taipale, J., Hughes, T. R. and Weirauch, M. T. (2018). The human transcription
1318   factors. *Cell* 175, 598-599.
1319 Law, C. W., Chen, Y., Shi, W. and Smyth, G. K. (2014). voom: precision weights unlock
1320   linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biology* 15, R29-
1321   R29.
1322 Le Mée, S., Fromigué, O. and Marie, P. J. (2005). Sp1/Sp3 and the myeloid zinc finger
1323   gene MZF1 regulate the human N-cadherin promoter in osteoblasts.
1324   *Experimental Cell Research* 302, 129-142.
1325 Lee, D.-F., Su, J., Kim, H. S., Chang, B., Papatsenko, D., Zhao, R., Yuan, Y., Gingold,
1326   J., Xia, W., Darr, H., et al. (2015). Modeling familial cancer with induced
1327   pluripotent stem cells. *Cell* 161, 240-254.
1328 Lewis, R. M., Cleal, J. K., Ntani, G., Crozier, S. R., Mahon, P. A., Robinson, S. M.,
1329   Harvey, N. C., Cooper, C., Inskip, H. M., Godfrey, K. M., et al. (2012).
1330   Relationship between placental expression of the imprinted PHLDA2 gene,
1331   intrauterine skeletal growth and childhood bone mass. *Bone* 50, 337-342.
1332 Li, B. and Dewey, C. N. (2011). RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq
1333   data with or without a reference genome. *BMC bioinformatics* 12, 323-323.
1334 Li, L., Wang, H., Chen, X., Li, X., Wang, G., Jie, Z., Zhao, X., Sun, X., Huang, H., Fan,
1335   S., et al. (2021). Oxidative stress-induced hypermethylation of KLF5 promoter
1336   mediated by DNMT3B impairs osteogenesis by diminishing the interaction with β-
1337   Catenin. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 35, 1-20.
1338 Li, X. and Wang, C. (2021). From bulk, single-cell to spatial RNA sequencing.
1339   *International Journal of Oral Science* 13, 36.
1340 Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. and Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose
1341   program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30,
1342   923-930.
1343 Lin, Y. and Chen, G. (2008). Embryoid body formation from human pluripotent stem
1344   cells in chemically defined E8 media. In *StemBook*. Cambridge (MA): Harvard
1345   Stem Cell Institute.

Liu, P., Ping, Y., Ma, M., Zhang, D., Liu, C., Zaidi, S., Gao, S., Ji, Y., Lou, F., Yu, F., et
al. (2016). Anabolic actions of Notch on mature bone. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113, E2152-2161.
Liu, Y., Li, Y., Liu, X. and Wang, C. S. (2019). Investigation of transcriptome mechanism
associated with osteoporosis explored by microarray analysis. *Experimental and*
Therapeutic Medicine 17, 3459-3464.
Ma, J., Wu, J., Han, L., Jiang, X., Yan, L., Hao, J. and Wang, H. (2019). Comparative
analysis of mesenchymal stem cells derived from amniotic membrane, umbilical
cord, and chorionic plate under serum-free condition. *Stem Cell Research &*
Therapy 10, 19.
Manikandan, M., Abuelreich, S., Elsafadi, M., Alsalman, H., Almalak, H., Siyal, A.,
Hashmi, J. A., Aldahmash, A., Kassem, M., Alfayez, M., et al. (2018). NR2F1
mediated down-regulation of osteoblast differentiation was rescued by bone
morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) in human MSC. *Differentiation* 104, 36-41.
Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput
sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal* 17, 10-12.
Matsuda, M., Yamanaka, Y., Uemura, M., Osawa, M., Saito, M. K., Nagahashi, A.,
Nishio, M., Guo, L., Ikegawa, S., Sakurai, S., et al. (2020). Recapitulating the
human segmentation clock with pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 580, 124-129.
Mi, H., Muruganujan, A., Ebert, D., Huang, X. and Thomas, P. D. (2019a). PANTHER
version 14: more genomes, a new PANTHER GO-slim and improvements in
enrichment analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, D419-D426.
Mi, H., Muruganujan, A., Huang, X., Ebert, D., Mills, C., Guo, X. and Thomas, P. D.
(2019b). Protocol Update for large-scale genome and gene function analysis with
the PANTHER classification system (v.14.0). *Nature Protocols* 14, 703-721.
Morris, J. A., Kemp, J. P., Youlten, S. E., Laurent, L., Logan, J. G., Chai, R. C.,
Vulpescu, N. A., Forgetta, V., Kleinman, A., Mohanty, S. T., et al. (2019). An
atlas of genetic influences on osteoporosis in humans and mice. *Nature Genetics*
51, 258-266.
Nakajima, T., Shibata, M., Nishio, M., Nagata, S., Alev, C., Sakurai, H., Toguchida, J.
and Ikeya, M. (2018). Modeling human somite development and fibrodysplasia
ossificans progressiva with induced pluripotent stem cells. *Development* 145(16),
dev165431.
Nakashima, K., Zhou, X., Kunkel, G., Zhang, Z., Deng, J. M., Behringer, R. R. and de
Crombrugghe, B. (2002). The novel zinc finger-containing transcription factor
osterix is required for osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. *Cell* 108, 17-
29.
Nicholson, G. C., Moseley, J. M., Sexton, P. M., Mendelsohn, F. A. and Martin, T. J.
(1986). Abundant calcitonin receptors in isolated rat osteoclasts. Biochemical
and autoradiographic characterization. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 78, 355-
360.
Onizuka, S., Iwata, T., Park, S. J., Nakai, K., Yamato, M., Okano, T. and Izumi, Y.
(2016). ZBTB16 as a downstream target gene of osterix regulates
osteoblastogenesis of human multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. *Journal of*
Cellular Biochemistry 117, 2423-2434.

1391 Otasek, D., Morris, J. H., Bouças, J., Pico, A. R. and Demchak, B. (2019). Cytoscape
1392   Automation: empowering workflow-based network analysis. *Genome Biology* 20,
1393   185.
1394 Oury, F. (2012). A crosstalk between bone and gonads. *Annals of the New York*
1395   *Academy of Sciences* 1260, 1-7.
1396 Parfitt, A. M., Drezner, M. K., Glorieux, F. H., Kanis, J. A., Malluche, H., Meunier, P. J.,
1397   Ott, S. M. and Recker, R. R. (1987). Bone histomorphometry: standardization of
1398   nomenclature, symbols, and units. Report of the ASBMR Histomorphometry
1399   Nomenclature Committee. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 2, 595-610.
1400 Pittenger, M. F., Mackay, A. M., Beck, S. C., Jaiswal, R. K., Douglas, R., Mosca, J. D.,
1401   Moorman, M. A., Simonetti, D. W., Craig, S. and Marshak, D. R. (1999).
1402   Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 284,
1403   143-147.
1404 Polo, J. M., Liu, S., Figueroa, M. E., Kulalert, W., Eminli, S., Tan, K. Y., Apostolou, E.,
1405   Stadtfeld, M., Li, Y., Shioda, T., et al. (2010). Cell type of origin influences the
1406   molecular and functional properties of mouse induced pluripotent stem cells.
1407   *Nature Biotechnology* 28, 848-855.
1408 Quinlan, A. R. and Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
1409   genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26, 841-842.
1410 Rauch, A., Haakonsson, A. K., Madsen, J. G. S., Larsen, M., Forss, I., Madsen, M. R.,
1411   Van Hauwaert, E. L., Wiwie, C., Jespersen, N. Z., Tencerova, M., et al. (2019).
1412   Osteogenesis depends on commissioning of a network of stem cell transcription
1413   factors that act as repressors of adipogenesis. *Nature Genetics* 51, 716-727.
1414 Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W. and Smyth, G. K. (2015).
1415   limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and
1416   microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Research* 43, e47.
1417 Roforth, M. M., Farr, J. N., Fujita, K., McCready, L. K., Atkinson, E. J., Therneau, T. M.,
1418   Cunningham, J. M., Drake, M. T., Monroe, D. G. and Khosla, S. (2015). Global
1419   transcriptional profiling using RNA sequencing and DNA methylation patterns in
1420   highly enriched mesenchymal cells from young versus elderly women. *Bone* 76,
1421   49-57.
1422 Rojas-Peña, M. L., Olivares-Navarrete, R., Hyzy, S., Arafat, D., Schwartz, Z., Boyan, B.
1423   D., Williams, J. and Gibson, G. (2014). Characterization of distinct classes of
1424   differential gene expression in osteoblast cultures from non-syndromic
1425   craniosynostosis bone. *Journal of Genomics* 2, 121-130.
1426 Schaniel, C., Dhanan, P., Hu, B., Xiong, Y., Raghunandan, T., Gonzalez, D. M., Dariolli,
1427   R., D'Souza, S. L., Yadaw, A. S., Hansen, J., et al. (2021). A library of induced
1428   pluripotent stem cells from clinically well-characterized, diverse healthy human
1429   individuals. *Stem Cell Reports* 16, 3036-3049.
1430 Schroeder, T. M., Jensen, E. D. and Westendorf, J. J. (2005). Runx2: A master
1431   organizer of gene transcription in developing and maturing osteoblasts. *Birth*
1432   *Defects Research Part C: Embryo Today: Reviews* 75, 213-225.
1433 Scotto di Carlo, F., Pazzaglia, L., Esposito, T. and Gianfrancesco, F. (2020). The loss of
1434   profilin 1 causes early onset paget's disease of bone. *Journal of Bone and*
1435   *Mineral Research* 35, 1387-1398.

Seifert, A., Werheid, D. F., Knapp, S. M. and Tobiasch, E. (2015). Role of Hox genes in
stem cell differentiation. *World J Stem Cells* 7, 583-595.
Shinoda, Y., Ogata, N., Higashikawa, A., Manabe, I., Shindo, T., Yamada, T., Kugimiya,
F., Ikeda, T., Kawamura, N., Kawasaki, Y., et al. (2008). Kruppel-like factor 5
causes cartilage degradation through transactivation of matrix metalloproteinase
9. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 283, 24682-24689.
Song, W. M. and Zhang, B. (2015). Multiscale embedded gene co-expression network
analysis. *PLOS Computational Biology* 11, e1004574-e1004574.
Song, Z., Lian, X., Wang, Y., Xiang, Y. and Li, G. (2017). KLF15 regulates in vitro
chondrogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells by targeting
SOX9. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 493, 1082-1088.
Sotiropoulou, P. A., Perez, S. A., Salagianni, M., Baxevanis, C. N. and Papamichail, M.
(2006). Characterization of the optimal culture conditions for clinical scale
production of human mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 24, 462-471.
Spitzer, M., Wildenhain, J., Rappsilber, J. and Tyers, M. (2014). BoxPlotR: a web tool
for generation of box plots. *Nature Methods* 11, 121-122.
Styrkarsdottir, U., Stefansson, O. A., Gunnarsdottir, K., Thorleifsson, G., Lund, S. H.,
Stefansdottir, L., Juliusson, K., Agustsdottir, A. B., Zink, F., Halldorsson, G. H., et
al. (2019). GWAS of bone size yields twelve loci that also affect height, BMD,
osteoarthritis or fractures. *Nature Communications* 10, 2054.
Sun, N., Shen, C., Zhang, L., Wu, X., Yu, Y., Yang, X., Yang, C., Zhong, C., Gao, Z.,
Miao, W., et al. (2021). Hepatic Krüppel-like factor 16 (KLF16) targets PPARα to
improve steatohepatitis and insulin resistance. *Gut* 70, 2183-2195.
Suo, J., Feng, X., Li, J., Wang, J., Wang, Z., Zhang, L. and Zou, W. (2020). VGLL4
promotes osteoblast differentiation by antagonizing TEADs-inhibited Runx2
transcription. *Science Advances* 6(43), eaba4147.
Tani, S., Okada, H., Onodera, S., Chijimatsu, R., Seki, M., Suzuki, Y., Xin, X., Rowe, D.
W., Saito, T., Tanaka, S., et al. (2023). Stem cell-based modeling and single-cell
multiomics reveal gene-regulatory mechanisms underlying human skeletal
development. *Cell Reports* 42, 112276.
The Gene Ontology Consortium (2019). The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and
still GOing strong. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47, D330-D338.
Thomas, S. and Jaganathan, B. G. (2022). Signaling network regulating osteogenesis in
mesenchymal stem cells. *J Cell Commun Signal* 16, 47-61.
Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T. E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D.,
Burovski, E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., et al. (2020). SciPy 1.0:
fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nature Methods* 17,
261-272.
Wang, J., Galvao, J., Beach, K. M., Luo, W., Urrutia, R. A., Goldberg, J. L. and Otteson,
D. C. (2016). Novel roles and mechanism for Krüppel-like factor 16 (KLF16)
regulation of neurite outgrowth and ephrin receptor A5 (EphA5) expression in
retinal ganglion cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 291, 18084-18095.
Wilkinson, A. C., Nakauchi, H. and Göttgens, B. (2017). Mammalian transcription factor
networks: recent advances in interrogating biological complexity. *Cell Systems* 5,
319-331.

Wu, X., Wang, X., Shan, L., Zhou, J., Zhang, X., Zhu, E., Yuan, H. and Wang, B. (2021). High-mobility group AT-Hook 1 mediates the role of nuclear factor I/X in osteogenic differentiation through activating canonical Wnt signaling. *Stem Cells* 39, 1349-1361.

Yaffe, M. P., Noggle, S. A. and Solomon, S. L. (2016). Raising the standards of stem cell line quality. *Nature Cell Biology* 18, 236-237.

Yang, H., Li, G., Han, N., Zhang, X., Cao, Y., Cao, Y. and Fan, Z. (2020). Secreted frizzled-related protein 2 promotes the osteo/odontogenic differentiation and paracrine potentials of stem cells from apical papilla under inflammation and hypoxia conditions. *Cell Proliferation* 53, e12694.

Yang, X., Matsuda, K., Bialek, P., Jacquot, S., Masuoka, H. C., Schinke, T., Li, L., Brancorsini, S., Sassone-Corsi, P., Townes, T. M., et al. (2004). ATF4 Is a substrate of RSK2 and an essential regulator of osteoblast biology: implication for Coffin-Lowry syndrome. *Cell* 117, 387-398.

Yazdani, A., Sun,Y. C., Stephens, N. B., Ryan, T., Monga, V. (2020). Multi-class micro-CT image segmentation using sparse regularized deep networks*. Conference Record of the 54th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers, ACSSC 2020 (IEEE Computer Society)*, 1553–1557.

Yu, F., Wu, F., Li, F., Liao, X., Wang, Y., Li, X., Wang, C., Shi, Y. and Ye, L. (2020). Wnt7b-induced Sox11 functions enhance self-renewal and osteogenic commitment of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 38, 1020-1033.

Yu, S., Guo, J., Sun, Z., Lin, C., Tao, H., Zhang, Q., Cui, Y., Zuo, H., Lin, Y., Chen, S., et al. (2021). BMP2-dependent gene regulatory network analysis reveals Klf4 as a novel transcription factor of osteoblast differentiation. *Cell Death & Disease* 12, 197.

Zakeri, S., Aminian, H., Sadeghi, S., Esmaeilzadeh-Gharehdaghi, E. and Razmara, E. (2022). Krüppel-like factors in bone biology. *Cell Signal* 93, 110308.

Zhang, B., Gaiteri, C., Bodea, L. G., Wang, Z., McElwee, J., Podtelezhnikov, A. A., Zhang, C., Xie, T., Tran, L., Dobrin, R., et al. (2013). Integrated systems approach identifies genetic nodes and networks in late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Cell* 153, 707-720.

Zhang, B. and Zhu, J. (2013). Identification of key causal regulators in gene networks. In *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering*, 5-8.

Zheng, G. X., Terry, J. M., Belgrader, P., Ryvkin, P., Bent, Z. W., Wilson, R., Ziraldo, S. B., Wheeler, T. D., McDermott, G. P., Zhu, J., et al. (2017). Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nature Communications* 8, 14049.

Zheng, H., Ramnaraign, D., Anderson, B. A., Tycksen, E., Nunley, R. and McAlinden, A. (2019). MicroRNA-138 inhibits osteogenic differentiation and mineralization of human dedifferentiated chondrocytes by regulating RhoC and the actin cytoskeleton. *JBMR Plus* 3, e10071.

Zhu, H., Wang, Q., Yao, Y., Fang, J., Sun, F., Ni, Y., Shen, Y., Wang, H. and Shao, S. (2015). Microarray analysis of Long non-coding RNA expression profiles in human gastric cells and tissues with Helicobacter pylori Infection. *BMC Medical Genomics* 8, 84.

1525 Zhu, X., Wang, F., Zhao, Y., Yang, P., Chen, J., Sun, H., Liu, L., Li, W., Pan, L., Guo, Y.,
1526   et al. (2014). A gain-of-function mutation in Tnni2 impeded bone development
1527   through increasing Hif3a expression in DA2B mice. *PLoS Genetics* 10, e1004589.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

A

6%
(257)
non-DE TF

94%
(3,993)

Expressed coding genes

30%
(4,250)

70%
(9,724)

Expressed genes
(17,795)

21%
(3,821)

79%
(13,974)

Expressed noncoding
genes

40%
(1,524)

60%
(2,297)

■ DE coding   ■ coding   ■ DE noncoding

□ non-DE coding   ■ noncoding   □ non-DE noncoding

B

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs)
(12,021)

Coding and noncoding
genes

19%
(2,297)

81%
(9,724)

Transcription factor (TF)
and non-TF

9%
(840)

91%
(8,884)

■ DE noncoding   ▦ DE TF

■ DE coding   ▦ DE non-TF

C

MSC   preOB   OB

Genes

Z-score

20 individual cell lines at each stage

D

4,409 genes   5,715 genes

log$_{10}$ (adj.p)

FKBP5
PSMD2
NCEH1 EBNA1BP2   KLF9
HBEGF   IL1R1
SMURF2   TSC22D3
FGFBP1   ZCCHC24
KRTAP2-3
LYPD1   HIF3A
                            ZBTB16
THBD   APOB
NPPB   IGF1
                            C3

log$_2$ fold change

E

2,835 genes   3,342 genes

log$_{10}$ (adj.p)

AC005261.1
PRXL2B
RPS6KA1   ZNF26
CCDC85C
TMEM132A JPT1   PARP6 MSS51
                FTX
                            OGN SFRP2
                            BRINP1
WNT7B ADAMTS16
KRT81 FGG   PCSK2 CHI3L1
FGB

log$_2$ fold change

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Supplementary Fig. 1

Supplementary Fig. 2

Supplementary Fig. 3

Supplementary Fig. 4