Exploring biodiversity dynamics and taxonomy of Rubiaceae fungal endophytes in tropical

regions

Humberto Castillo-González[1, ‡], Jason C. Slot[2], Stephanie Yarwood[3], Priscila Chaverri[4,5]

[1]Department of Plant Science and Landscape Architecture, University of Maryland, College

Park, Maryland, 20742, USA.

[2]Department of Plant Pathology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210.

[3]Department of Environmental Science and Technology, University of Maryland, College Park,

Maryland, 20742, USA.

[4]Department of Natural Sciences, Bowie State University, Bowie, Maryland, 20715.

[5]Centro de Investigaciones en Productos Naturales (CIPRONA) and Escuela de Biología,

Universidad de Costa Rica, 11501-2060, San José, Costa Rica.

Current address: [‡]Department of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Trieste,

34127, Trieste, Italy.

Corresponding author: Priscila Chaverri, phone: +1 (301) 860-3338, email:

pchaverri@bowiestate.edu

20
21 ORCIDs: H. Castillo-González: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3460-8404; J. Slot:

22 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6731-3405; S. Yarwood: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4593-7908; P.

23 Chaverri: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8486-6033

Abstract

Fungal endophytes play a pivotal role in tropical forest dynamics, influencing plant fitness through growth stimulation, disease suppression, stress tolerance, and nutrient mobilization. This study investigates the effects of region, leaf developmental stage, and tissue type on endophyte communities in tropical Rubiaceae. Our hypotheses, centered on the influence of these factors, were tested using targeted amplicon sequencing. Leaves and sapwood samples were collected from old-growth forests in Golfito and Guanacaste, Costa Rica, and fungal diversity was assessed through metabarcoding of the ITS2 nrDNA region. Most identified ASVs belonged to the phylum Ascomycota. The orders Botryosphaeriales and Glomerellales significantly contributed to endophytic assemblages, without detection of host-specific communities. We observed significant differences in species richness across locations, confirming distinct compositions through beta diversity. No statistically significant variances were found between mature and juvenile leaf tissues. In contrast, leaves exhibited richer and more diverse assemblages than sapwood. As plants experienced diverse environments over time and space, our results may be influenced by changing structural and chemical properties through ontogeny. Given the potential impact of these fungi on agricultural and forest ecosystems, ongoing research is crucial to discern the roles of hosts, endophytes, and other ecological mechanisms in apparent colonization patterns.

Keywords: coffee family, Costa Rica, ecology, metabarcoding, phytobiome, phyllosphere.

INTRODUCTION

Neotropical forests support the highest diversity of terrestrial plants on Earth (1). In these biomes, plant tissues, as a source of labile nutrients and niche space (2), can maintain an extraordinary

mosaic of microbial assemblages (3–5), whose distributions influence hosts and drive forest

dynamics at diverse levels ranging from mutualism, through commensalism, to pathogenicity

(6,7). Plant fungal endophytes that colonize leaves and wood are abundant and diverse (4) but

traditionally understudied compared to mycorrhizal and free-living forms. Nevertheless, they play

a major role in ecosystems, as endophytes can positively influence plant fitness and health,

conferring protection against abiotic stress, herbivores and pathogens (8). Moreover, they might

be sources of new bioactive compounds with novel chemical features (9).

The mechanisms by which fungi colonize plant tissues remain a topic of research interest

(10–12). Endophytes are typically transferred horizontally (13), and therefore, the fungal

community composition is affected by the surrounding environmental and geographic factors (14).

Understanding the dynamics that determine the distributions and maintenance of species and the

underlying environmental constraints that shape the structure and composition of endophytic

assemblages is crucial. Endophytes exhibiting recurrence or specificity could play a significant

ecological role in forests (15,16). Furthermore, under the premise that high diversity maintains the

overall integrity of an ecosystem (i.e., biological insurance hypothesis *sensu* Naeem & Li (1997)),

the hidden diversity of endophytes can enhance the fitness of individual trees and, by extension,

shape forest composition and demography (9). Efforts to understand endophytic diversity are

necessary for predicting their function in association with hosts, specific environmental conditions,

or entire ecosystems. In this sense, tropical forests are an ideal setting for studying patterns and

interactions of endophytes with host plants.

Rubiaceae is one of the largest and most complex families of angiosperms, with over

13,000 species. Plants in this group range from weedy herbs to large trees and occupy many

habitats and biogeographical regions in all continents (18), but are mainly present in the neotropics

(19,20). For instance, according to a 2014 handbook (21), Costa Rica is home to 89 genera and

458 species. However, recent enumerations of Central American *Palicourea* spp. (22,23) have

identified a higher number of species within this genus — 91 compared to the previously reported

44 — suggesting that the total diversity of species may be even greater than previously thought.

Notably, Rubiaceae includes several cultural and economically important genera (e.g., *Cinchona*,

Coffea, *Genipa,* and *Psychotria*).

In this study, we investigated endophytic communities at different locations, leaf

developmental stages, and between tissue types. We hypothesized that endophytic communities

would differ between regions, both in diversity and in composition, as a response to their variable

environmental conditions. Secondly, we hypothesized that communities would differ between

plant species and that within a tree, endophytes would also differ between young and mature leaves

and between leaves and sapwood. In addition to testing these hypotheses, we aimed to understand

which fungal groups drove such differences. Characterizing the endophyte communities within

Rubiaceae and the processes shaping their assemblages could provide a unique insight into

understanding endophyte niche preferences in tropical forests.

METHODS

Study site

Old-growth forests from two locations in Costa Rica were sampled (Figure 1). Golfito, in the South

Pacific region, was visited in April 2017. All of the sampling sites in this area are characterized by

typical tropical rainforest conditions, with no defined dry season, intense rainfall, and dense

evergreen vegetation spanning two to three layers (24). In May 2017, sampling was conducted in

Guanacaste, specifically in two distinct regions (North and North Pacific), comprising three

subclimates: seasonal dry forest, semi-deciduous forest influenced by monsoons, and the forested slopes of a volcano, influenced by surrounding mountain systems (24). Climate data, including mean annual temperature, mean annual precipitation and elevation were obtained from the WorldClim database (Table 1) (Fick & Hijmans, 2017).

Sampling

Young and mature healthy leaves from 50 Rubiaceae plants (distributed in 47 species from 27 genera, 10 tribes and 3 subfamilies) were collected (Supplementary Table S1). Plants were identified by a local botanist and vouchers of all individuals were deposited in the University of Costa Rica Herbarium (USJ). Leaves from three different branches per plant were sampled. To differentiate developmental stages, one newly emerged and one mature leaf separated by three nodes were selected.

For 23 of the plants, a sterilized knife was used to cut a sliver of outer bark at shoulder height to expose the alburnum and one piece of living sapwood tissue ca. 30×5 mm was excised from the exposed area. All collected samples were put in a clean plastic bag and placed on ice for transport to the field workstation for immediate processing. A leaf section of ca. 20×4 mm was cut and surface-sterilized using sequential immersions in a 2% sodium hypochlorite solution (1 min), 70% ethanol (30 sec), and sterile distilled water (30 sec). Finally, leaves were dried with autoclaved tissue paper, and stored in microtubes containing silica gel before being transferred on ice to the laboratory for DNA extraction. Sapwood samples were only singed and then deposited in the microtubes.

Sequencing

Samples were transferred to a pre-filled 500-micron Garnet and a 6-mm Zirconium Grinding

Satellite bead tube (OPS Diagnostics LLC, New Jersey, USA) and ground using a FastPrep® bead

mill (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, California, USA). Each tube was treated to a grinding cycle of

speed: 6.0 m/s for 45 seconds, or until no visually recognizable fragments remained. Total DNA

was extracted using the QiagenDNeasy Plant Mini Kit® according to the manufacturer's

instructions (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). DNA library preparation, PCR and next generation

sequencing of the ITS2 nrDNA region using primers fITS7 and ITS4 (25) were done at Naturalis

Biodiversity Centre (Leiden, The Netherlands) using Ion PGM Torrent™ technology (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The resulting raw data (fastq files) are deposited in

GenBank under the BioProject identifier PRJNA889378.

Fungal community analyses

ASV classification and taxa assignment. Primers and barcodes were trimmed from each read

using the command line tool 'cutadapt' (26) and subsequentially processed using R v. 4.0.3 in

RStudio v. 2022.12.0+353 (27). Raw reads were subjected to a data curation process using the

DADA2 v1.18.0 pipeline (28). Analysis included quality filtering, trimming and chimeras

checking. In addition, any spurious contigs, singletons and low abundance reads were also

removed using the DADA2 v1.18.0 package (28). The last step of this process assigned DNA

reads into Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASV). Taxonomic assignment of ASVs were made by

comparing to the UNITE database v8.3 (29) training set from the DECIPHER package, v 2.18.1

(30).

Diversity and community structure analyses. Statistical analyses and plotting were conducted

using Phyloseq v1.38.0 (31), Vegan v2.5.7 (32), Microbiome v1.12.0 (33) and ggplot2 v3.4.1

(34) packages in RStudio. Endophyte alpha diversity for each of the datasets was estimated using

the Shannon, Simpson, and Chao1 indexes for each variable and transformed to Hill Effective

Species Numbers for comparisons by Mann-Whitney U test. Non-metric multidimensional

scaling (NMDS) based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities of ASV abundances was used to visualize

community patterns (beta-diversity) using the function 'metaMDS' from Vegan (100 random

starts) and statistical differences in endophyte assemblages between different variables (i.e.,

location, tissue, and developmental stage) were tested with a PERMANOVA.

Relative abundance at family level was calculated and the groups contributing to >1% of

the relative abundance averaged from the 6 categories/habitats here evaluated were kept for

downstream analyses; and are referred to as the 'top families' onwards. The overall prevalence

(i.e., the number of samples in which the taxa appears) of these families was computed. To estimate

how strongly they deviate from a random preference among the plant partners available at the

study sites, we calculated the d' index of specialization using the bipartite v 2.18 package (35),

function 'dfun'. ASVs were statistically classified into habitat generalists or specialists using a

multinomial species classification method (CLAMtest) in vegan. Indicator taxa (ASVs with p-

values < 0.05) in the different variable categories were identified using the 'multipatt' function in

the indicspecies v1.7.12 package (36). Methods regarding Species Accumulation Curves, Mantel

Correlation Tests, Distance Decay, Canonical Correlation Analysis and the assignment of

functional traits are described in Supplementary Methods S2.

RESULTS

A total of 6,467,267 reads passed the quality control filters. The mean number of sequences per

sample was 58465 for leaves and 26989 for sapwood. We identified 3,218 putative taxa (ASVs)

belonging to at least 232 different genera (Supplementary Table S3). ASV identification rate was

67%, 50% and 33% at the order, family, and genus levels, respectively. The species richness or

number of unique ASVs recovered per sample (triplicates, in the case of leaf tissue) ranged from

2 to 337, with a mean of 67.

All datasets had a high number of single occurrences, and no asymptote was reached in the

species accumulation curves (Supplementary Figure S4) indicating that the datasets are

incomplete, and the number of samples was not sufficient in capturing the expected endophyte

diversity (Hill's $q = 0$). However, when focusing on dominant species only (Hill's $q = 2$), all curves,

except for sapwood, reached a plateau.

Fungal endophyte assemblages differed between locations and tissue types (Table 2).

Samples originating from Golfito exhibited consistently higher alpha diversity indices compared

to those from Guanacaste, as supported by a Hill numbers comparison ($q=0,1,2$) that demonstrated

statistical differences for $q=0$ ($p \leq 0.05$) and $q=1$ ($p \leq 0.01$). Notably, leaf and sapwood tissues

were found to harbor distinct fungal communities, with leaves exhibiting significantly richer

assemblages ($p \leq 0.001$ in all instances). Turning to the developmental stage, the taxa richness

index ($q=0$) was notably higher in mature leaves. However, the other two diversity indices ($q=1$,

q=2) showed slightly greater diversity in new leaves, though these differences were not statistically

significant.

Using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) we observed differences between

location and dispersion of points, with Guanacaste samples appearing more scattered (Figure 2A);

three outliers were removed for visualization purposes. Community dissimilarities between these

variables were corroborated via PERMANOVA, which indicated significant separation in fungal

assemblages when comparing between the different locations ($p < 0.001$). In the case of tissue

type, points from leaf and bark communities were dispersed differently in the NMDS analysis

(Figure 2B) and presented significant heteroscedasticity ($p < 0.01$), which has been shown to affect

PERMANOVA testing ($p < 0.001$). No clear location effect was observed in the NMDS plot;

however, the dispersion of the points varied: leaf samples converged on the interior of the cluster

while sapwood samples, being more variable, were found mostly around it; two samples were

removed for better visualization (figure 2B). Considering just the leaves at the two different

development stages, no effect was detected, there was a high overlap between the fungal

communities (figure 2C), and the PERMANOVA indicated no significant differences ($p = 0.8122$).

Fungal endophyte communities did not cluster based on individual plants or at any higher

taxonomic level (i.e., genera, tribe, subfamily). The pattern for tribe is shown in Figure 2C, other

levels of taxonomy are depicted in Supplementary Figure S5.

The phylum Ascomycota was the most abundant, representing 83% of all fungal ASVs.

Within this group, the most abundant and prevalent classes were Sordariomycetes followed by

Dothideomycetes. Tremellomycetes and Agaricomycetes were the most abundant and prevalent

Basidiomycota, making up 8.2% of the ASVs. We characterized endophytic assemblages

dominated by a few abundant dominant taxa and many rare taxonomic groups. For example, we

identified 156 different families (Supplementary Table S6) from which Glomerellaceae,

Phyllostictaceae and Mycosphaerellaceae composed the bulk of biodiversity (45.14%) present

within the endophytic assemblages (Figure 3).

The most abundant fungal families were not the most prevalent in all cases (e.g.,

Xylariaceae), but they tended to correspond (Figure 3). The top 12 families comprised 59% of the

total relative abundance. With the d' index, we determined that the most prevalent and abundant

families (i.e., Glomerellaceae and Phyllostictaceae) had values closer to 0 (no specialization). This

indicates that members of these fungal families interact with a broad host range. In contrast,

Irpicaceae, had a low prevalence and presented a value closer to 1 (perfect specialist). Interesting

is the case of Apiosporaceae, that despite a low prevalence, showed little specialization. The major

fungal families were similar between Golfito and Guanacaste (Figure 3), but some of the groups

that presented very low abundance in Golfito, such as Schizoporaceae and Irpicaceae, were more

abundant in Guanacaste and such preference was highlighted by the CLAM test (Figure 3).

We did not find indicator species among the top 12 families in the sapwood communities.

In contrast, some indicator species were identified for leaves. For example, Phyllostictaceae,

despite being the second most abundant and prevalent family overall, was not found in sapwood.

Sporocadaceae and Nectriaceae, both of which had very low abundance in the foliar tissues,

comprised around 10% of the relative abundance in sapwood samples. As a matter of fact, most

families with less than 5% relative abundance in leaves clearly presented higher values in sapwood,

except for Diaporthaceae and Xylariaceae. The CLAM test classified most families as specialists

in one or the other habitat, except for Cladosporiaceae, which happened to be the only group that

did not show habitat preference in any of the evaluated instances (Figure 3). Interestingly, some

of the families preferred sapwood over leaves but displayed a preference for a developmental stage

when found in foliar tissues: Schizoporaceae, Irpicaceae, and Apiosporaceae. Within

developmental stage, less than half of the families showed habitat preference or specialization and

only two were recognized as indicators for mature leaves and none for new. Some of the families

exhibited habitat preference in all the evaluated instances, for example, Mycosphaerellaceae and

Xylariaceae preferred Golfito, leaf tissue, and mature stages; while Schizoporaceae and Irpicaceae

preferred Guanacaste, sapwood, and new leaves (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

A fungus' niche preference is largely determined by the interaction between genotype and

environment (37). Therefore, it can vary among forests that differ in plant community composition

and biogeographic and environmental conditions. Communities of endophytes with a broad host

range may be completely shaped by the environment, while for those with host restrictions the

plant might exert a stronger influence (38). Results from this study support previous findings that

in high-diversity natural systems, such as tropical forests, the endophyte communities are typically

composed of numerous taxa of low relative abundances (39), and tend to be dominated by few

fungal taxa with a broad host range. The latter are predicted to have a widespread availability of

suitable partners, displaying loose host affiliation, and occupying geographically and

taxonomically disparate plant hosts (4,5,40), patterns seen also in our current study (Figure 3).

Host jumps or shifts by microbial symbionts usually occur among closely related host taxa

and are driven by the exploitation of new adaptive zones (41). Endophytes may display host

preferences at the plant family (42) or order (43) level. In our study, since all the samples belonged

to Rubiaceae, factors other than plant taxonomy shaped the community. However, deeper sampling

might reveal an effect of host on a finer scale (e.g., within genus) as it has been previously reported

(44).

The results of our study support the hypothesis that the composition of endophyte

communities is influenced by geographic location. Samples from the tropical rainforests of Golfito

displayed higher alpha diversity compared to those from Guanacaste, which includes regions

classified as tropical dry forest. These variations were evident not only in terms of richness (see

Table 2) but also in community similarity. It is noteworthy that the temporal distribution of

endophytes has been observed in both temperate (45) and, more recently, tropical forests (4). This

observation is relevant to our study because in Guanacaste, the presence of semi-deciduous trees

and the occurrence of extended periods of drought due to seasonality may lead to some taxa

remaining dormant during specific periods, potentially resulting in an underestimation of the local

pool of endophyte species in Guanacaste.

However, our findings align with the distribution patterns observed in higher organisms,

indicating that environmental factors play a crucial role in shaping biodiversity. Guanacaste

exhibited a more scattered pattern, suggesting higher variability in the communities in those

samples (refer to Figure 2A). This observation could be attributed to the differences and variability

in environmental conditions (see Table 1) across the region (refer to Figure 1).

The environment can serve as a filter and regulate which particular taxa are capable of

colonizing and persisting within the hosts (38,46). In general, we did not find strong associations

between environmental variables (i.e., elevation, temperature, or precipitation) and the variation

in fungal communities (Supplementary Figure S7, Supplementary Table S8), suggesting that

neutral processes (e.g., dispersal limitation) are also important in the distribution pattern of

endophytes (47–49). Since endophyte transmission occurs mostly horizontally, host colonization

and taxa distribution depend on dispersal and successful establishment in the plant (50). This

process restricts the pool of species available to colonize a given host, hence, similarity of species

composition between ecological communities typically decreases as distance increases, a

phenomenon known as distance decay (51).

Dispersal limitation is not considered strong for endophytes, but distance decay has been

previously reported both absent (52) and documented at different magnitudes (53,54). Our study

suggests that there was a marginally significant interaction between geographic location and

community structuring (Supplementary Table S8). Moreover, the endophytic communities were

more strongly correlated with the geographic distance than with the environmental parameters

(Supplementary Table S8), however, no evidence of distance decay was observed (Supplementary

Figure S9).

Both Golfito and Guanacaste were dominated by host generalists, characterized by high

prevalence and abundance, contrasting what appears to be local restricted specialists, such as

Irpicaceae in Guanacaste, or Apiosporaceae in Golfito. The availability of suitable hosts might be

the limiting factor in the geographical distribution of the examined taxa (50,55). However, niche

processes filter communities producing variations in taxonomic groups and functional traits, and

it would not be unlikely for environmental heterogeneity to be more closely related to trait values

than to taxonomy, at this broad spatial scale (56,57).

The phyllosphere is a dynamic and heterogeneous environment, whose surfaces are often

considered relatively oligotrophic (58). The ability to colonize plant tissues is largely conditioned

by complex physical-chemical interactions with their hosts. For example, fungi must pass through

several lines of defense (59) and resist the host production of antimicrobial compounds (47,60).

Results from the present study support our hypothesis, and previous studies, that endophyte

communities are structured by plant tissue (39,61,62). We observed large differences in

endophytes between tissue types (leaves vs. sapwood). Leaves harbored more robust and rich

assemblages than sapwood, although the latter is considered rich in organic nutrients and is a target

of many different organisms (63). We observed little overlap between foliar and sapwood

endophytes, suggesting spatial heterogeneity across plant compartments (64–66).

While most of the top families of fungi were present in both tissues, they differed in relative

abundance and were not dominated by the same taxa. The most abundant family in sapwood was

Sporocadaceae, and not surprisingly, both families belonging to the Basidiomycota in the top 12

families preferred sapwood according to the CLAM test (Figure 3). In the present study,

Phyllostictaceae, the second most abundant family on the dataset was not detected in sapwood,

indicating an affinity of this taxon towards foliar tissues. Meanwhile, other families (i.e.,

Nectriaceae, Apiosporaceae and Irpicaceae) almost imperceptible in leaves, presented higher

abundance in sapwood, suggesting that tissue type correlates with selective enrichments of specific

endophytes (67,68).

Specificity of fungal endophytes in bark has been previously reported (69). These fungi

hold great but underappreciated ecological significance, for they inhabit large surfaces often

targeted by insects and pathogens (70,71) and represent a passive reservoir of spores and latent life

that will eventually play an important role in wood decay dynamics (72,73). Several studies on

endophytic community profiles suggest broad correlations between diversity and select defense

mechanisms in bark (74), e.g., reaction zones, the vascular cambium, and the transpiration stream

in the sapwood (75). Furthermore, the presence of certain fluids, such as sap, can also act as a

barrier depending on their levels of specific compounds (76,77). The sapwood of trees consists of

dead xylem cells, and the presence of air-filled vessels and pits can create physical barriers that

limit endophyte movement within the tissue (78,79). Similarly, the negative pressure within the

xylem can hinder endophytes from colonizing this tissue (80), as they may not be able to penetrate

the vessel walls or survive under conditions of low oxygen and nutrient availability and high-water

stress (81,82). Therefore, bark acts as an environmental filter structuring inner bark fungal

communities (72) which might explain the differences in community richness, diversity and

composition observed in this study.

The canopy cover of trees creates dynamic microclimates that affect endophyte distribution

and growth by modifying temperature, humidity, and wind conditions (83–85). Fungi release

spores from within and upon canopies, that are then dispersed onto vegetation and exposed to

various elements (86). During precipitation, for example, natural events such as throughfall and

stemflow transport bioaerosols and spores through different habitats within a plant microbiome,

including bark and leaves (87). The accumulation of endophyte propagules is then influenced by

surface area, as plant structures of various sizes interact differently with rainwater and wind (6,88).

Woody stems can be challenging to saturate with water due to their fiber content, cylindrical shape

and thickness (89,90). Additionally, the large surface area on certain tree species, often covered in

small grooves or ridges, increases the number of accumulation areas, such as fissures, cracks, tree

hollows, and dendrotelmata (91,92). These structures enable the storage of a vast number of spores

and serve as reservoirs for fungi, offering wide niches for their survival (93). In fact, a recent study

found that large water-insoluble particles (e.g., conidia, spores) tend to accumulate more on bole

surfaces than on leaves or branches (94). Although these spaces and other structures, such as

lenticels, may act as entry points for fungal endophytes, complex polymers in this tissue, including

lignin and suberin, pose significant barriers to endophyte colonization (95–97).

In foliar tissue, water droplets containing spores can adhere to trichomes, epidermal cell

grooves, and the curvature of leaves (87), and use stomata, trichomes, and other structures to enter

the tissue (98–100). However, the hydrophobic nature of the cuticle causes aerial depositions to

be washed off during rainfalls, leading to self-cleaning surfaces (87). Despite leaves being rich in

bioactive compounds with antifungal properties such as phenolics, alkaloids and terpenes

(101,102), endophytes thrive in these environments due to high moisture content and nutrient

availability. In this study, differences in foliar endophyte richness due to leaf developmental stage

(young vs. mature) was not consistent across various diversity indices (Table 2). Although new

leaves were predicted to present lower abundance of endophytes in response to a speculative higher

concentration of chemical defenses (103,104), our findings suggest that ontogeny-related shifts in

endophyte diversity resulting from changes in genetic traits, tissue chemistry and topology (58),

plant hormonal and physiological properties, and/or physical characteristics, such as tissue

structure and geometry (105), were not strong enough to significantly impact the overall

community composition. Finding also supported by our beta diversity analyses (Figures 2B, 2C).

Some studies have found large qualitative and quantitative differences in metabolomic

composition between expanding and mature leaves (106) (i.e., Optimal Defense Theory), but this

is not always the case (107). Research in tropical trees has found low variation in defense traits

and weak shifts in secondary chemistry during leaf maturation (102). It has been documented that

the turnover times of leaf pools across these biomes exhibit an inverse relationship to growth

("grow fast, die fast" hypothesis) (108). Some theories suggest that this trade-off happens in

agreement with the plant investment strategies, adjusting carbon allocation to balance early growth

against defenses (108,109). Plants in the tropics do not necessarily experience strong selective

pressure to evolve higher levels of chemical defense against biotic threats (110). We assessed taxa

relative abundance and noticed no significantly higher rates of foliar endophytic fungi increasing

with developmental stage. Both habitats were dominated by the same families, which can be

considered prominent components of foliar endophyte communities in Rubiaceae tropical plants

and are likely to play a significant role in ecosystem functioning. The CLAM test identified

Xylariaceae and Mycosphaerellaceae as the two families that displayed a preference for the mature

leaves' habitat. These families are commonly known as foliar endophytes, but they also include

pathogens, litter decomposers, and wood saprotrophs (Supplementary Figure S10).

With the imminent threat of climate change and the constant challenges in conservation

and agriculture, endophytes have the potential to transform the way we think about and manage

plant stress resistance (111–113). Understanding their distributions and functioning in association

with different host plants and ecosystems, and their response to environmental disturbances, is key

to predicting the potential impact of many of these fungi in agricultural ecosystems and climate

change science. How to translate this acquired knowledge for forest conservation and agricultural

management remains a challenge. There are still cryptic and undiscovered taxa, whose presence

has gone unnoticed and whose ecological roles are unknown. It is imperative to continue the efforts

to understand the degree to which apparent patterns of host colonization and selection for diverse

endophytes are dictated by the host, the endophyte or other ecological mechanisms.

CONCLUSIONS

The diversity of fungi found in the phyllosphere (leaves and sapwood) of tropical plants belonging

to the Rubiaceae family did not show discernible patterns of specific host affiliation. High

abundance community members seemed to be consistently present within the microbiome across

different habitats and diverse host species. Fungal communities in leaves appear to be stable in

early tissue development and persist on mature stages. As expected, tissues contained distinct habitats for symbionts. The mechanisms of community assemblage at regional scales are not clear. Since we found weak evidence for dispersal limitation and environmental partitioning, the observed patterns may be a result of unknown environmental variables that are correlated with the different geographic locations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank botanist Pedro Juarez-Retana for the plant identification, Efraín Escudero-Leyva for his help in sample collection, and Wilber Alvarado for assistance in the field. Dr. Gloriana Chaverri and Dr. Óscar Quirós from Fundación ProSur, for the access to sites in Golfito and lab space during fieldtrips. Samples were collected under the Institutional Biodiversity Commission (University of Costa Rica) resolutions VI07723-2018 and VI5152-2016, and Costa Rica's National System of Conservation Areas (SINAC), permit Nº ACG-PI-017-2017. Dr. Maile Neel for her feedback, Dr. David Zelený and Joseph Murphy for help with bioinformatics.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation grant DEB-1638976 to P. Chaverri.

REFERENCES

1. Raven PH, Gereau RE, Phillipson PB, Chatelain C, Jenkins CN, Ulloa CU. The distribution of biodiversity richness in the tropics. Sci Adv . 2020 Sep 1 [cited 2022 May 23];6(37). Available from: https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/sciadv.abc6228

2.   O'Banion BS, O'Neal L, Alexandre G, Lebeis SL. Bridging the gap between single-strain

and community-level plant-microbe chemical interactions. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact.

2020;33(2):124–34.

3.   Arnold AE, Lutzoni F. DIVERSITY AND HOST RANGE OF FOLIAR FUNGAL

ENDOPHYTES: ARE TROPICAL LEAVES BIODIVERSITY HOTSPOTS? Ecology .

2007 Mar 1 [cited 2022 May 23];88(3):541–9. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1890/05-1459

4.   Oita S, Ibáñez A, Lutzoni F, Miadlikowska J, Geml J, Lewis LA, et al. Climate and

seasonality drive the richness and composition of tropical fungal endophytes at a

landscape scale. Commun Biol . 2021 Mar 9 [cited 2021 Jul 9];4(1):1–11. Available from:

https://www.nature.com/articles/s42003-021-01826-7

5.   Suryanarayanan TS. Dark Septate Endophytes and Mycorrhizal. In: Pirttilä AM, Frank

AC, editors. Endophytes of Forest Trees: Biology and Applications. 1st ed. Springer,

Dordrecht; 2011. p. 67–80.

6.   Del Olmo-Ruiz M, Arnold AE. Community structure of fern-affiliated endophytes in three

neotropical forests. J Trop Ecol . 2017 Jan 1 [cited 2022 Apr 1];33(1):60–73. Available

from: https://www-cambridge-org.proxy-um.researchport.umd.edu/core/journals/journal-

of-tropical-ecology/article/community-structure-of-fernaffiliated-endophytes-in-three-

neotropical-forests/730E2677BBB47E3EE9A67569F0CC9B14

7.   Donald J, Roy M, Suescun U, Iribar A, Manzi S, Péllissier L, et al. A test of community

assembly rules using foliar endophytes from a tropical forest canopy. J Ecol.

2020;(December 2019):1–12.

8.   Higgins KL, Arnold AE, Coley PD, Kursar TA. Communities of fungal endophytes in

tropical forest grasses: Highly diverse host- and habitat generalists characterized by strong

spatial structure. Fungal Ecol . 2014 Apr 1 [cited 2019 Jul 11];8(1):1–11. Available from:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1754504813001323

9.   Terhonen E, Blumenstein K, Kovalchuk A, Asiegbu FO. Forest tree microbiomes and

associated fungal endophytes: Functional roles and impact on forest health. Forests.

2019;10(1):1–32.

10.   Dubey A, Malla MA, Kumar A, Dayanandan S, Khan ML. Plants endophytes: unveiling

hidden agenda for bioprospecting toward sustainable agriculture. Crit Rev Biotechnol .

2020;40(8):1210–31. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2020.1808584

11.   Mishra S, Bhattacharjee A, Sharma S. An Ecological Insight into the Multifaceted World

of Plant-Endophyte Association. CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci . 2021;40(2):127–46. Available

from: https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2021.1901044

12.   Redkar A, Sabale M, Zuccaro A, Di Pietro A. Determinants of endophytic and pathogenic

lifestyle in root colonizing fungi. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2022 Jun 1;67.

13.   Chagnon PL, U'Ren JM, Miadlikowska J, Lutzoni F, Elizabeth Arnold A. Interaction type

influences ecological network structure more than local abiotic conditions: evidence from

endophytic and endolichenic fungi at a continental scale. 2016 p. 181–91.

14.   Solis MJL, Dela Cruz TE, Schnittler M, Unterseher M. The diverse community of leaf-

inhabiting fungal endophytes from Philippine natural forests reflects phylogenetic patterns

of their host plant species Ficus benjamina, F. elastica and F. religiosa. Mycoscience .

2016 Mar 1 [cited 2019 Jul 11];57(2):96–106. Available from:

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1340354015000868

15.   Guo LD, Huang GR, Wang Y. Seasonal and tissue age influences on endophytic fungi of

Pinus tabulaeformis (Pinaceae) in the Dongling Mountains, Beijing. J Integr Plant Biol .

2008 Aug [cited 2020 Jan 13];50(8):997–1003. Available from:

http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2008.00394.x

16.   Molina L, Rajchenberg M, de Errasti A, Aime MC, Pildain MB. Sapwood-inhabiting

mycobiota and Nothofagus tree mortality in Patagonia: Diversity patterns according to

tree species, plant compartment and health condition. For Ecol Manage. 2020 Apr

15;462:117997.

17.   Naeem S, Li S. Biodiversity enhances ecosystem reliability. Nature.

1997;390(December):507–9.

18.   Bremer B, Eriksson T. Time tree of rubiaceae: Phylogeny and dating the family,

subfamilies, and tribes. Int J Plant Sci. 2009;170(6):766–93.

19.   Manns U, Wikstrom N, Taylor CM, Bremer B. Historical biogeography of the

predominantly neotropical subfamily cinchonoideae (Rubiaceae): Into or out of America?

Int J Plant Sci. 2012 Mar;173(3):261–89.

20.   Kiehn M, Berger A. Neotropical Rubiaceae: Synthesis of Chromosome Data from Costa

Rican Taxa, with Insights on the Systematics of the Family. Ann Missouri Bot Gard.

2020;105(4):423–58.

21.   Hammel B, Grayum M, Herrera C, Zamorra N. Manual de Plantas de Costa Rica .

Hammel BE, Grayum MH, Herrera C, Zamora N, Troyo S, editors. Missouri Botanical

Garden Press. Missouri Botanical Garden Press; 2014 [cited 2023 Mar 24]. 848 p.

Available from: https://www.nhbs.com/manual-de-plantas-de-costa-rica-volume-vii-book

22.   Berger A, Schinnerl J. Taxonomical and phytochemical diversity of Costa Rica

Palicoureeae and Psychotrieae (Rubiaceae). Acta Zoobot Austria. 2019;231–48.

23.   Berger A. Synopsis and typification of Mexican and Central American Palicourea (

478   Rubiaceae : Palicoureeae), part I: The entomophilous species. Ann des Naturhistorischen

479   Museums Wien Ser B für Bot und Zool. 2018;(120):59–140.

480   24.   Solano J, Villalobos R. Aspectos Fisiográficos aplicados a un Bosquejo de

481   Regionalización Geográfico Climático de Costa Rica. Top Meteor Ocean . 2001 [cited

482   2022 May 9];8(1):26–39. Available from:

483   https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228799654_Aspectos_Fisiograficos_aplicados_a

484   _un_Bosquejo_de_Regionalizacion_Geografico_Climatico_de_Costa_Rica

485   25.   Ihrmark K, Bödeker ITM, Cruz-Martinez K, Friberg H, Kubartova A, Schenck J, et al.

486   New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region – evaluation by 454-sequencing of

487   artificial and natural communities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol . 2012 Dec 1 [cited 2022 Jun

488   4];82(3):666–77. Available from:

489   https://academic.oup.com/femsec/article/82/3/666/492046

490   26.   Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

491   EMBnet.journal . 2011 May 2 [cited 2022 May 2];17(1):10. Available from:

492   https://journal.embnet.org/index.php/embnetjournal/article/view/200/479

493   27.   RStudioTeam. RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R . Boston, MA:

494   RStudio, PBC; 2023. Available from: http://www.rstudio.com/

495   28.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2:

496   High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods . 2016 May

497   23 [cited 2022 May 2];13(7):581–3. Available from:

498   https://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.3869

29.   Abarenkov K, Zirk A, Piirmann T, Pöhönen R, Ivanov F, Nilsson RH, et al. UNITE

general FASTA release for eukaryotes. UNITE Community; 2021.

30.   Wright ES. Using DECIPHER v2.0 to analyze big biological sequence data in R. R J.

2016;8(1):352–9.

31.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis

and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One . 2013 Apr 22 [cited 2022 May

2];8(4):e61217. Available from:

https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

32.   Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, et al. vegan:

Community Ecology Package . 2020. Available from: https://cran.r-

project.org/package=vegan

33.   Lahti L, Shetty S, et al. Tools for microbiome analysis in R. 2017.

34.   Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis . Springer-Verlag New York;

2016. Available from: https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org

35.   Dormann CF, Gruber B, Fründ J. Introducing the bipartite Package: Analysing Ecological

Networks. R News . 2008;8(2):8–11. Available from: http://cran.r-project.org/doc/Rnews/

36.   De Cáceres M, Legendre P. Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and

statistical inference . Ecology; 2009. Available from:

http://sites.google.com/site/miqueldecaceres/

37.   Toju H, Yamamoto S, Sato H, Tanabe AS. Sharing of Diverse Mycorrhizal and Root-

Endophytic Fungi among Plant Species in an Oak-Dominated Cool–Temperate Forest.

PLoS One . 2013 Oct 21 [cited 2022 May 10];8(10):e78248. Available from:

https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0078248

38.   David AS, Seabloom EW, May G. Plant Host Species and Geographic Distance Affect the

Structure of Aboveground Fungal Symbiont Communities, and Environmental Filtering

Affects Belowground Communities in a Coastal Dune Ecosystem. Microb Ecol . 2016

May 1 [cited 2022 May 11];71(4):912–26. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26626912/

39.   Harrison JG, Griffin EA. The diversity and distribution of endophytes across biomes,

plant phylogeny and host tissues: how far have we come and where do we go from here?

Environ Microbiol. 2020 Jun 1;22(6):2107–23.

40.   Zimmerman NB, Vitousek PM. Fungal endophyte communities reflect environmental

structuring across a Hawaiian landscape. Proc Natl Acad Sci . 2012 [cited 2018 Nov

14];109(32):13022–7. Available from: www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1209872109

41.   Chaverri P, Samuels GJ. EVOLUTION OF HABITAT PREFERENCE AND

NUTRITION MODE IN A COSMOPOLITAN FUNGAL GENUS WITH EVIDENCE

OF INTERKINGDOM HOST JUMPS AND MAJOR SHIFTS IN ECOLOGY. Evolution

(N Y) . 2013 Oct 1 [cited 2022 May 24];67(10):2823–37. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/evo.12169

42.   Zuo Y, Hu Q, Zhang K, He X. Host and Tissue Affiliations of Culturable Endophytic

Fungi Associated with Xerophytic Plants in the Desert Region of Northwest China.

Agronomy . 2022 Mar 17 [cited 2022 May 24];12(3):727. Available from:

https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/12/3/727/htm

43.   Kembel SW, Mueller RC. Plant traits and taxonomy drive host associations in tropical

Phyllosphere fungal communities1. Botany . 2014 [cited 2022 Jun 6];92(4):303–11.

Available from: https://cdnsciencepub.com/doi/full/10.1139/cjb-2013-0194

44.   Christian N, Sedio BE, Florez-Buitrago X, Ramírez-Camejo LA, Rojas EI, Mejía LC, et

al. Host affinity of endophytic fungi and the potential for reciprocal interactions involving

host secondary chemistry. Am J Bot . 2020 Feb 18 [cited 2020 Jun 2];107(2):219–28.

Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ajb2.1436

45.   Quilliam RS, Jones DL. Fungal root endophytes of the carnivorous plant Drosera

rotundifolia. Mycorrhiza . 2010 Jun [cited 2022 May 11];20(5):341–8. Available from:

https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20012108/

46.   Vaz ABM, Fontenla S, Rocha FS, Brandão LR, Vieira MLA, de Garcia V, et al. Fungal

endophyte β-diversity associated with Myrtaceae species in an Andean Patagonian forest

(Argentina) and an Atlantic forest (Brazil). Fungal Ecol. 2014 Apr 1;8(1):28–36.

47.   Van Bael S, Estrada C, Arnold AE. Foliar endophyte communitis and leaf traits in tropical

trees. In: Dighton J, White JF, editors. The Fungal Community: Its Organization and Role

in the Ecosystem. 4th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.; 2017. p.

79–93.

48.   Koide RT, Ricks KD, Davis ER. Climate and dispersal influence the structure of leaf

fungal endophyte communities of Quercus gambelii in the eastern Great Basin, USA.

Fungal Ecol. 2017 Dec 1;30:19–28.

49.   Moroenyane I, Mendes L, Tremblay J, Tripathi B, Yergeau. Plant Compartments and

Developmental Stages Modulate the Balance between Niche-Based and Neutral Processes

in Soybean Microbiome. Microb Ecol . 2021 Aug 1 [cited 2023 Mar 10];82(2):416–28.

Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00248-021-01688-w

50.   U'Ren JM, Lutzoni F, Miadlikowska J, Zimmerman NB, Carbone I, May G, et al. Host

availability drives distributions of fungal endophytes in the imperilled boreal realm. Nat

Ecol Evol. 2019;3(10):1430–7.

51.   Graco-Roza C, Aarnio S, Abrego N, Acosta ATR, Alahuhta J, Altman J, et al. Distance

decay 2.0 – A global synthesis of taxonomic and functional turnover in ecological

communities. Glob Ecol Biogeogr . 2022 May 12 [cited 2022 Jun 7];25. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/geb.13513

52.   Vincent JB, Weiblen GD, May G. Host associations and beta diversity of fungal

endophyte communities in New Guinea rainforest trees. Mol Ecol. 2016;25(3):825–41.

53.   DeMers M, May G. Habitat-scale heterogeneity maintains fungal endophyte diversity in

two native prairie legumes. Mycologia . 2021 [cited 2022 May 10];113(1):20–32.

Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00275514.2020.1813487

54.   Oono R, Rasmussen A, Lefèvre E. Distance decay relationships in foliar fungal

endophytes are driven by rare taxa. Environ Microbiol . 2017 Jul 1 [cited 2022 May

11];19(7):2794–805. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28640496/

55.   Gilbert GS, Reynolds DR, Bethancourt A. THE PATCHINESS OF EPIFOLIAR FUNGI

IN TROPICAL FORESTS: HOST RANGE, HOST ABUNDANCE, AND

ENVIRONMENT. Ecology . 2007 Mar 1 [cited 2023 Mar 24];88(3):575–81. Available

from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1890/05-1170

56.   Crowther TW, Maynard DS, Crowther TR, Peccia J, Smith JR, Bradford MA. Untangling

the fungal niche: The trait-based approach . Vol. 5, Frontiers in Microbiology. Frontiers

Media S.A.; 2014 [cited 2020 Dec 8]. Available from:

/pmc/articles/PMC4215788/?report=abstract

57.   Rueda-Almazán JE, Hernández VM, Alcalá-Martínez JR, Fernández-Duque A, Ruiz-

Aguilar M, Alcalá RE. Spatial and temporal differences in the community structure of

endophytic fungi in the carnivorous plant Pinguicula moranensis (Lentibulariaceae).

592  Fungal Ecol. 2021 Oct 1;53:101087.

593 58. Liu H, Brettell LE, Singh B. Linking the Phyllosphere Microbiome to Plant Health.

594  Trends Plant Sci . 2020 Sep 1 [cited 2022 Mar 13];25(9):841–4. Available from:

595  http://www.cell.com/article/S1360138520301990/fulltext

596 59. Khare E, Mishra J, Arora NK. Multifaceted interactions between endophytes and plant:

597  Developments and Prospects. Vol. 9, Frontiers in Microbiology. Frontiers Media S.A.;

598  2018. p. 2732.

599 60. Ludwig-Mü J. Plants and endophytes: equal partners in secondary metabolite production?

600  Biotechnol Lett . 2015 [cited 2019 Jan 29];37:1325–34. Available from:

601  https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2Fs10529-015-1814-4.pdf

602 61. Rodriguez RJ, White JF, Arnold AE, Redman RS, White Jr JF, Arnold AE, et al. Fungal

603  endophytes: diversity and functional roles. New Phytol . 2009 Apr 1 [cited 2018 Nov

604  16];182(2):314–30. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1469-

605  8137.2009.02773.x

606 62. Skaltsas DN, Badotti F, Vaz ABM, Silva FF da, Gazis R, Wurdack K, et al. Exploration of

607  stem endophytic communities revealed developmental stage as one of the drivers of fungal

608  endophytic community assemblages in two Amazonian hardwood genera. Sci Rep. 2019

609  Dec 1;9(1).

610 63. Franceschi VR, Krokene P, Christiansen E, Krekling T. Anatomical and chemical

611  defenses of conifer bark against bark beetles and other pests. New Phytol . 2005 Aug 1

612  [cited 2022 Mar 7];167(2):353–76. Available from:

613  https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01436.x

614 64. Molina L, Rajchenberg M, de Errasti A, Vogel B, Coetzee MPA, Aime MC, et al.

Sapwood mycobiome varies across host, plant compartment and environments in

Nothofagus forests from Northern Patagonia. Mol Ecol. 2022;

65. Zahid MS, Li D, Javed HU, Sabir IA, Wang L, Jiu S, et al. Comparative fungal diversity

and dynamics in plant compartments at different developmental stages under root-zone

restricted grapevines. BMC Microbiol . 2021 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Mar 10];21(1). Available

from: /pmc/articles/PMC8594160/

66. Petrolli R, Augusto Vieira C, Jakalski M, Bocayuva MF, Vallé C, Cruz EDS, et al. A fine-

scale spatial analysis of fungal communities on tropical tree bark unveils the epiphytic

rhizosphere in orchids. New Phytol . 2021 Sep 1 [cited 2023 Mar 24];231(5):2002–14.

Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/nph.17459

67. Fang K, Miao YF, Chen L, Zhou J, Yang ZP, Dong XF, et al. Tissue-Specific and

Geographical Variation in Endophytic Fungi of Ageratina adenophora and Fungal

Associations With the Environment. Front Microbiol. 2019;10(December):1–16.

68. Given C, Häikiö E, Kumar M, Nissinen R. Tissue-Specific Dynamics in the Endophytic

Bacterial Communities in Arctic Pioneer Plant Oxyria digyna. Front Plant Sci.

2020;11(May):1–13.

69. Wang Y, Guo LD. A comparative study of endophytic fungi in needles, bark, and xylem

of Pinus tabulaeformis. https://doi.org/101139/B07-084 . 2007 Oct [cited 2022 Mar

13];85(10):911–7. Available from: https://cdnsciencepub.com/doi/abs/10.1139/B07-084

70. Menkis A, Redr D, Bengtsson V, Hedin J, Niklasson M, Nordén B, et al. Endophytes

dominate fungal communities in six-year-old veteranisation wounds in living oak trunks.

Fungal Ecol. 2020 Dec 3;101020.

71. Takemoto S, Masuya H, Tabata M. Endophytic fungal communities in the bark of canker-

diseased toxicodendron vernicifluum. Fungal Ecol. 2014 Feb;7(1):1–8.

72. Pellitier PT, Zak DR, Salley SO. Environmental filtering structures fungal endophyte

communities in tree bark. Mol Ecol . 2019 Dec 1 [cited 2022 Mar 13];28(23):5188–98.

Available from: https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.proxy-

um.researchport.umd.edu/doi/full/10.1111/mec.15237

73. Gilmartin EC, Jusino MA, Pyne EJ, Banik MT, Lindner DL, Boddy L. Fungal endophytes

and origins of decay in beech (Fagus sylvatica) sapwood. Fungal Ecol. 2022 Oct 1;59.

74. Bailey JK, Deckert R, Schweitzer JA, Rehill BJ, Lindroth RL, Gehring C, et al. Host plant

genetics affect hidden ecological players: links among Populus, condensed tannins, and

fungal endophyte infection. https://doi.org/101139/b05-008 . 2011 Apr [cited 2022 Mar

13];83(4):356–61. Available from: https://cdnsciencepub.com/doi/abs/10.1139/b05-008

75. Morris H, Hietala AM, Jansen S, Ribera J, Rosner S, Salmeia KA, et al. Using the CODIT

model to explain secondary metabolites of xylem in defence systems of temperate trees

against decay fungi . Vol. 125, Annals of Botany. Oxford Academic; 2020 [cited 2022

Mar 13]. p. 701–20. Available from:

https://academic.oup.com/aob/article/125/5/701/5550873

76. Tejera N, Ortega E, Rodes R, Lluch C. Nitrogen compounds in the apoplastic sap of

sugarcane stem: Some implications in the association with endophytes. J Plant Physiol.

2006 Jan 4;163(1):80–5.

77. Anguita-Maeso M, Haro C, Montes-Borrego M, De La Fuente L, Navas-Cortés JA, Landa

BB. Metabolomic, ionomic and microbial characterization of olive xylem sap reveals

differences according to plant age and genotype. Agronomy . 2021 Jun 1 [cited 2023 Mar

24];11(6):1179. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4395/11/6/1179/htm

78.   Ingel B, Caldwell D, Duong F, Parkinson DY, McCulloh KA, Iyer-Pascuzzi AS, et al.

662   Revisiting the Source of Wilt Symptoms: X-Ray Microcomputed Tomography Provides

663   Direct Evidence That Ralstonia Biomass Clogs Xylem Vessels . PhytoFrontiers™.

664   2022;2(1):41–51.

665   79.   Petit G, Bleve G, Gallo A, Mita G, Montanaro G, Nuzzo V, et al. Susceptibility to Xylella

666   fastidiosa and functional xylem anatomy in Olea europaea: Revisiting a tale of plant-

667   pathogen interaction. AoB Plants. 2021;13(4):1–9.

668   80.   Yadeta KA, Thomma BPHJ. The xylem as battleground for plant hosts and vascular wilt

669   pathogens. Front Plant Sci. 2013;4(APR):1–12.

670   81.   McElrone AJ, Sherald JL, Forseth IN. Interactive effects of water stress and xylem-limited

671   bacterial infection on the water relations of a host vine. J Exp Bot . 2003 Jan 2 [cited 2023

672   Mar 24];54(381):419–30. Available from:

673   https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article/54/381/419/514407

674   82.   Deyett E, Rolshausen PE. Temporal Dynamics of the Sap Microbiome of Grapevine

675   Under High Pierce's Disease Pressure. Front Plant Sci. 2019;10(October):1–15.

676   83.   Herrmann M, Geesink P, Richter R, Küsel K. Canopy Position Has a Stronger Effect than

677   Tree Species Identity on Phyllosphere Bacterial Diversity in a Floodplain Hardwood

678   Forest. Microb Ecol. 2021;81(1):157–68.

679   84.   Fort T, Pauvert C, Zanne AE, Ovaskainen O, Caignard T, Barret M, et al. Maternal effects

680   shape the seed mycobiome in Quercus petraea. New Phytol. 2021 May 1;230(4):1594–

681   608.

682   85.   Gomes T, Pereira JA, Benhadi J, Lino-Neto T, Baptista P. Endophytic and Epiphytic

683   Phyllosphere Fungal Communities Are Shaped by Different Environmental Factors in a

Mediterranean Ecosystem. Microb Ecol . 2018 Oct 1 [cited 2023 Mar 24];76(3):668–79.

Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00248-018-1161-9

86. Ponette-González AG, Van Stan JT, Magyar D. Things seen and unseen in throughfall and

stemflow. Precip Partitioning by Veg A Glob Synth . 2020 Jan 1 [cited 2023 Mar 24];70–

87. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-29702-2_5

87. Van Stan JT, Morris CE, Aung K, Kuzyakov Y, Magyar D, Rebollar EA, et al.

Precipitation partitioning—hydrologic highways between microbial communities of the

plant microbiome? Precip Partitioning by Veg A Glob Synth . 2020 Jan 1 [cited 2023 Mar

24];228–51. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-29702-

2_14

88. Xiao Q. A new approach to modeling tree rainfall interception. J Geophys Res Atmos.

2000;105(D23):29173–88.

89. Shah DU, Reynolds TPS, Ramage MH. The strength of plants: Theory and experimental

methods to measure the mechanical properties of stems. J Exp Bot. 2017;68(16):4497–

516.

90. Ilek A, Siegert CM, Wade A. Hygroscopic contributions to bark water storage and

controls exerted by internal bark structure over water vapor absorption. Trees - Struct

Funct . 2021;35(3):831–43. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-021-02084-0

91. Magyar D, Vass M, Oros G. Dendrotelmata (Water-Filled Tree Holes) as Fungal Hotspots

- A Long Term Study. https://doi.org/107872/crym/v38.iss1201755 . 2017 Mar 1 [cited

2023 Mar 24];38(1):55–66. Available from: https://bioone.org/journals/cryptogamie-

mycologie/volume-38/issue-1/v38.iss1.2017.55/Dendrotelmata-Water-Filled-Tree-Holes-

as-Fungal-Hotspots/10.7872/crym/v38.iss1.2017.55.full

92.   Gönczöl J, Révay Á. Treehole fungal communities: Aquatic, aero-aquatic and

708   dematiaceous hyphomycetes. Fungal Divers. 2003;12:19–34.

709   93.   Magyar D, Van Stan JT, Sridhar KR. Hypothesis and Theory: Fungal Spores in Stemflow

710   and Potential Bark Sources. Front For Glob Chang. 2021;4(March):1–18.

711   94.   Xu X, Yu X, Mo L, Xu Y, Bao L, Lun X. Atmospheric particulate matter accumulation on

712   trees: A comparison of boles, branches and leaves. J Clean Prod. 2019 Jul 20;226:349–56.

713   95.   Harman-Ware AE, Sparks S, Addison B, Kalluri UC. Importance of suberin biopolymer in

714   plant function, contributions to soil organic carbon and in the production of bio-derived

715   energy and materials. Biotechnol Biofuels . 2021;14(1):1–21. Available from:

716   https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-021-01892-3

717   96.   Kawa D, Brady SM. Root cell types as an interface for biotic interactions. Trends Plant

718   Sci. 2022 Nov 1;27(11):1173–86.

719   97.   Ninkuu V, Yan J, Fu Z, Yang T, Ziemah J, Ullrich MS, et al. Lignin and Its Pathway-

720   Associated Phytoalexins Modulate Plant Defense against Fungi. J Fungi. 2022;9(1):52.

721   98.   Gudesblat GE, Torres PS, Vojnov AA. Stomata and pathogens: Warfare at the gates. Plant

722   Signal Behav. 2009;4(12):1114–6.

723   99.   Kim KW. Plant trichomes as microbial habitats and infection sites. Eur J Plant Pathol.

724   2019;154(2):157–69.

725   100.   Wang X, Shen C, Meng P, Tan G, Lv L. Analysis and review of trichomes in plants. BMC

726   Plant Biol 2021 211 . 2021 Feb 1 [cited 2023 Mar 24];21(1):1–11. Available from:

727   https://bmcplantbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12870-021-02840-x

728   101.   Castro-Moretti FR, Cocuron JC, Castillo-Gonzalez H, Escudero-Leyva E, Chaverri P,

729   Guerreiro-Filho O, et al. A metabolomic platform to identify and quantify polyphenols in

coffee and related species using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. Front Plant

Sci. 2023;13(January):1–33.

102.   Barton KE, Edwards KF, Koricheva J. Shifts in woody plant defence syndromes during

leaf development. Funct Ecol . 2019 Nov 1 [cited 2022 May 24];33(11):2095–104.

Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/1365-2435.13435

103.   Koricheva J, Barton KE. Temporal changes in plant secondary metabolite production. In:

Iason GR, Dicke M, Hartley SE, editors. The Ecology of Plant Secondary Metabolites.

Cambridge: Cambrigde University Press; 2012. p. 34–55.

104.   Zhang D-X, Nagabhyru P, Schardl CL. Regulation of a Chemical Defense against

Herbivory Produced by Symbiotic Fungi in Grass Plants. PLANT Physiol . 2009 [cited

2019 Jul 10];150(2):1072–82. Available from: www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/

105.   Gupta R, Elkabetz D, Leibman-Markus M, Jami E, Bar M. Cytokinin-microbiome

interactions regulate developmental functions. Environ Microbiomes . 2022 Dec 1 [cited

2022 Mar 13];17(1):1–16. Available from:

https://environmentalmicrobiome.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40793-022-00397-

2

106.   Wiggins NL, Forrister DL, Endara MJ, Coley PD, Kursar TA. Quantitative and qualitative

shifts in defensive metabolites define chemical defense investment during leaf

development in Inga, a genus of tropical trees. Ecol Evol . 2016 Jan 1 [cited 2022 May

24];6(2):478–92. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ece3.1896

107.   Sedio BE, Archibold AD, Echeverri JCR, Debyser C, Boya P. CA, Wright SJ. A

comparison of inducible, ontogenetic, and interspecific sources of variation in the foliar

metabolome in tropical trees. PeerJ . 2019 Sep 20 [cited 2022 May 24];2019(9):e7536.

Available from: https://peerj.com/articles/7536

108. Yang H, Ciais P, Wang Y, Huang Y, Wigneron JP, Bastos A, et al. Variations of carbon

allocation and turnover time across tropical forests. Glob Ecol Biogeogr . 2021 Jun 1

[cited 2022 May 24];30(6):1271–85. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/geb.13302

109. Bhadra S, Cai ZQ. Ontogenetic shifts in resource allocation and potential defense

syndromes of a tropical medicinal treelet. Ind Crops Prod. 2019 Oct 5;138:111450.

110. Chen SC, Moles AT. Factors shaping large-scale gradients in seed physical defence: Seeds

are not better defended towards the tropics. Glob Ecol Biogeogr . 2018 Apr 1 [cited 2022

May 24];27(4):417–28. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/geb.12704

111. Giauque H, Connor EW, Hawkes C V. Endophyte traits relevant to stress tolerance,

resource use and habitat of origin predict effects on host plants. New Phytol . 2019 Mar 1

[cited 2023 Mar 10];221(4):2239–49. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/nph.15504

112. Omomowo OI, Babalola OO. Bacterial and fungal endophytes: Tiny giants with immense

beneficial potential for plant growth and sustainable agricultural productivity.

Microorganisms. 2019;7(11).

113. White JF, Kingsley KL, Zhang Q, Verma R, Obi N, Dvinskikh S, et al. Review:

Endophytic microbes and their potential applications in crop management. Pest Manag

Sci. 2019 Oct 1 [cited 2022 Jun 9];75(10):2558–65. Available from:

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ps.5527

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

The resulting raw data (fastq files) and supporting meta data are deposited and publicly available

in GenBank under the BioProject identifier PRJNA889378.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: P.C., H.C., J.S.; Formal analysis: H.C.; Funding acquisition: P.C., J.S.;

Investigation: H.C.; Methodology: H.C., P.C., S.Y.; Project administration: P.C., S.Y.; Resources:

P.C.; Supervision: P.C., S.Y.; Validation: P.C., S.Y., J.S., H.C.; Visualization: H.C., S.Y; Writing:

H.C., J.S., S.Y., P.C.

 FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Map of Costa Rica showing climatic subregions and sample locations. Made per request

by the National Meteorological Institute in Costa Rica. (Legend: RN1 – Eastern slopes of the

Guanacaste and Tilarán mountain range (very humid subtropical forest), PN2 – North Pacific

Central subregion (tropical dry forest), PN3 – Slopes and foothills of the Guanacaste and Tilarán

mountain range subregion (monsoon-influenced rainforest), PS5 – Pacific slopes of the Talamanca

mountain range (low montane rainforest).

Figure 2. NMDS of Bray Curtis distances showing dissimilarities between the structure of
endophyte community assemblages from (A) different locations, (B) different tissues and (C)
different plant tribes, developmental stage represented here by shape.

Figure 3. Prevalence and mean percent relative abundance of the 12 most abundant families

weighting all habitats within location, tissue type and foliar developmental stage. Families are

organized based on abundance, high to low, top to bottom. Mean abundance is plotted with error

bars representing the standard error. d' index calculates how specialized the identified taxa are in

relation to the 47 plant putative species. Denoted with a diamond symbol (♦) are the families with

indicator species within the different habitats; and habitat specialization or generalization is

indicated by the CLAM test.

Table 1. Characterization of collecting sites, including mean environmental variables in locations

(± SE).

Location	Sites	Plants (n)	Annual Mean Temperature (ºC)	Annual Precipitation (mm)	Elevation (m)
Guanacaste	15	23	22.94 ± 0.12	2718.32 ± 45.03	749.56 ± 41.72
Golfito	8	27	24.65 ± 0.19	3522.09 ± 21.17	124.18 ± 12.23

813   **Table 2.** Alpha diversity represented by Hill Effective Species Numbers (± SE) for the evaluated

814   variables. Hill numbers represent taxa richness (Chao1), Shannon diversity (the exponential of

815   Shannon entropy), and Simpson diversity (the inverse of Simpson index). Mann-Whitney U test

816   p-values reported.

Variable	Category	Sample size	Obs. Species richness	Shared number of ASVs	Taxa richness (q = 0)	Shannon diversity (q =1)	Simpson diversity (q = 2)
Location	Golfito	66	2146	315	79.52 ± 7.91	25.41 ± 2.26	13.75 ± 1.08
	Guanacaste	57	1387		51.81 ± 6.69	20.06 ± 2.38	11.48 ± 1.21
	p-value				**0.00655**	**0.04821**	0.07629
Tissue type	Leaf	46	1514	96	61.89 ± 7.03	21.22 ± 2.61	11.84 ± 1.38
	Sapwood	23	240		11.83 ± 1.64	1.57 ± 0.17	0.66 ± 0.05
	p-value				**4.99E-06**	**8.22E-06**	**2.41E-04**
Development stage	Mature	50	2253	912	92.40 ± 8.56	22.80 ± 2.51	14.31 ± 1.22
	New	50	1756		66.18 ± 7.87	25.62 ± 2.63	14.56 ± 1.31
	p-value				**0.0067**	0.4628	1.0000