Diverse transcriptome reprogramming trajectories underlie quantitative disease resistance at the species level

Florent Delplace[a]*, Mehdi Khafif[a] and Sylvain Raffaele[a]*

Affiliations :

[a]Laboratoire des Interactions Plantes-Microbes Environnement (LIPME), INRAE, CNRS, Université de Toulouse, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France

Corresponding authors :

* Florent Delplace : florent.delplace@inrae.fr

* Sylvain Raffaele : sylvain.raffaele@inrae.fr

Running Title :

Classification: Biological Sciences, Plant Biology

Keywords: plant-pathogen interactions, immunity, quantitative disease resistance, transcriptome, genes network

Abstract

Quantitative disease resistance (QDR) is an immune response limiting the damage caused by

pathogen infection in plants. It involves the transcriptomic reprogramming of numerous genes

each having a weak contribution to the plant immunity phenotype. Despite QDR widespread

and broad-spectrum nature, the evolution of the underlying transcriptome reprogramming

remains largely uncharacterized. Here, we analyzed global gene expression in response to the

necrotrophic fungus *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in 23 *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions of diverse

origin and contrasted QDR phenotype. Over a half of the species pan-transcriptome displayed

local responses to *S. sclerotiorum*, with global reprogramming patterns incongruent with

accessions phylogeny. Due to frequent small-amplitude variations, only ~11% responsive

genes were common to all accessions. They formed protein-protein interactions networks

densely connecting both old and recent genes. Clustering of accessions based on global

regulation patterns identified four subsets unrelated to QDR phenotypes, highlighting at least

four distinct paths towards regulatory evolution of QDR at the species level. We identified

WRKY and MYB-related DNA binding sites enriched in the 5'-regulatory regions of core and

subset-specific responsive genes respectively. Our findings show that the evolution of QDR

involves distinct gene reprogramming trajectories at the species level, associated with

contrasted patterns of cis-regulatory variation.

Introduction

Plants actively respond to pathogens attacks by modulating their physiology, using a

range of molecular mechanisms to detect pathogens and defend against them. Gene-for-gene

resistance is an immunity mechanism which involves single dominant resistance genes

belonging to the nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeat (NLR) or Receptor Like

Protein (RLP) families (Dodds and Rathjen, 2010). Quantitative disease resistance (QDR) is

another form of plant immunity that limits the damage caused by pathogen infection which is

nearly universal, often durable and broad-spectrum (Roux *et al.*, 2014; Corwin and

Kliebenstein, 2017). QDR requires genes from very diverse families, each having a small effect

on resistance (Gou *et al.*, 2023), which makes the identification and characterization of QDR

genes difficult. Interestingly, QDR is the only form of plant immunity that reduces disease

symptoms caused by many organisms that actively kill host cells for infection, such as the

necrotrophic pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Niks *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2019). This

devastating pathogen is responsible for white and stem mold diseases on a broad range of

dicot plants (Bolton *et al.*, 2006), and notably causes severe damage on Brassicaceae, including

Arabidopsis thaliana, when conditions are favorable (Derbyshire and Denton-Giles, 2016).

A comparison of *Brassica napus* resistant and susceptible lines infected by *S.*

sclerotiorum revealed 9,001 differentially expressed genes related to pathogen recognition,

jasmonic acid/ethylene signaling pathways, WRKY transcription regulation, biosynthesis of

defense-related proteins and indole glucosinolates (Wu *et al.*, 2016). Comparative

transcriptomics across dicot families identified nearly 10,000 genes mis-regulated by *S.*

sclerotiorum infection in each species, among which ~40% were genes conserved in the core

eudicot clade (Sucher *et al.*, 2020). QDR therefore involves reprogramming of a large number

of genes (Pink *et al.*, 2023; Zhang *et al.*, 2019). Their individual contribution to the resistance

phenotype is largely unknown but is likely low, in agreement with an infinitesimal model of

complex polygenic traits (Nelson *et al.*, 2013; Boyle *et al.*, 2017). Yet, stress-responsive genes

and the transcription factors regulating them may play crucial roles in plants adaption to

pathogens attacks (Coolen *et al.*, 2016; Shankar *et al.*, 2016; Groen *et al.*, 2020).

Understanding how plants adapt their transcriptomes to mitigate damage caused by

necrotrophic pathogens is crucial to understand the evolutionary dynamics of complex traits

at the transcriptome level, and to document the diversity and functioning of QDR genetic

determinants.

Upon pathogen attack, plant lineages display diversified metabolic and transcriptomic

responses that converge on essential hubs (Han and Tsuda, 2022). The plant immune system

likely evolved in a stepwise manner by gradually acquiring various specialized components

connected to crucial nodes (Adachi *et al.*, 2019; Pruitt *et al.*, 2021; Iakovidis *et al.*, 2022).

Convergent pathways, exemplified by the intricate hormone signaling network, drive the

expression of core genes across long evolutionary timescales (Aerts *et al.*, 2021) and buffer

metabolic and transcriptomic plasticity of plant defense (Zhang *et al.*, 2017). The evolution of

the plant immune system is determined by variation both in coding sequences and in gene

expression (Tsuda and Somssich, 2015; Monteiro and Nishimura, 2018). Local diversity in gene

expression pattern across species and populations can be responsible for adaptive evolution

(Harrison *et al.*, 2012; Lasky *et al.*, 2014). Several studies compared resistant with susceptible

plant genotypes (Westrick *et al.*, 2019; Mwape *et al.*, 2021) or used expression Quantitative

Trait Loci (eQTL) (Moscou *et al.*, 2011) to point at genomic loci that control gene-expression

differences and identify resistance determinants and regulators. However, studies on global

expression diversity in response to pathogen at the species level remain scarce, and the extent

to which diverse patterns of transcriptome reprogramming can lead to similar QDR phenotype

is unclear. Transcriptional differences within species primarily rely on DNA sequence

variations, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions or deletions (indels),

and transposable elements (TEs) (Studer *et al.*, 2011; Ando *et al.*, 2018; Nicole E Soltis *et al.*,

2020). Notably, cis-regulatory variations caused by TE-derived enhancers significantly impact

abiotic stress response (Ito *et al.*, 2011; Makarevitch *et al.*, 2015) and plant defense (Badet *et

al.*, 2019; Barco *et al.*, 2019). In addition, variation in transcription factor (TFs) binding motifs

in 5'-regulatory regions modulate transcriptomic defense responses, such as in Brassicaceae

where the emergence of WRKY TF binding motifs associates with specific response to the

bacterial molecular pattern flg22 (Winkelmüller *et al.*, 2021). Cis-regulatory variants, by acting

on TFs binding sites, could be a major source of transcriptome adaptation during plant

evolution (Filichkin *et al.*, 2011; Yu *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2016), but their contribution to

QDR variation at the species level is currently elusive.

Despite the widespread nature of QDR and its importance for the durable management

of plant diseases, our understanding of QDR evolution is limited to knowledge on specific

genes or gene families that were associated to this form of immunity (Badet *et al.,* 2017; Barco

et al., 2019). The conservation of global QDR responses at the species level offers a unique

opportunity to study the recent evolution of gene expression patterns underlying complex

traits such as QDR. In this paper, we explored the intraspecific variation in *A. thaliana*

transcriptomic responses to *S. sclerotiorum* across 23 accessions with diverse resistance

phenotypes and geographical origins. We combined differential expression,

phylostratigraphic, network reconstruction and proximity analyses to reveal four accession

subsets with distinct reprogramming patterns. Genes showing the highest association with

QDR in each subset were largely non-overlapping. Finally, an analysis of promoter sequences

highlighted the recent acquisition of cis-regulatory elements associated with QDR in a subset

of *A. thaliana* accessions. These findings advance our understanding of the evolutionary

dynamics of immune transcriptome at the species level.

Materials and Methods:

Plant material and RNA sampling

Arabidopsis thaliana accessions listed in Table S1 were obtained from The Versailles

Arabidopsis Stock Center. General information regarding collection location and climate data

of these accessions was retrieved from https://1001genomes.org and

https://www.worldclim.org. Plants were grown in Jiffy pots under controlled conditions at

22°C with a 9-hour light period at an intensity of 120 μmol/m^2/s. Five-week-old plants were

inoculated with *S. sclerotiorum* strain 1980 (ATCC18683) on three leaves using 0.5-cm-wide

plugs of Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium colonized by the fungus. The agar plugs

containing the fungal pathogen were placed on the adaxial surface of leaves, and plants were

incubated in trays sealed with plastic wrap to maintain 80% relative humidity. 24 hours after

inoculation, leaf rings including the periphery of disease lesions were collected as in (Peyraud

et al., 2019). Material obtained from three leaves per plant were pooled as one sample,

samples were collected in three to five replicates. Leaves from non-inoculated plants under

the same conditions were collected as control at the same time.

RNA extraction, sequencing and mapping

Samples were ground using glass beads (2.5 mm) and RNA was extracted using NucleoSpin

RNA PLUS extraction kits (Macherey-Nagel) following the manufacturer's protocols. The

quality of the extracted RNA was assessed using Agilent bioanalyzer nanochips. Libraries

preparation and mRNA sequencing was conducted at the GeT-Plage facility (INRAE Castanet

Tolosan, France) using the Illumina TrueSeq Stranded mRNA kit, 2x150 base pair reads

sequencing with S4 chemistry on a NovaSeq instrument. For each experimental condition

(inoculated or non-inoculated), three to five independent biological samples were processed,

and their respective Illumina paired-end reads were obtained. These reads were

simultaneously aligned to the Arabidopsis Columbia-0 reference genome Araport11, and the

S. sclerotiorum strain 1980 version 2 genome (Derbyshire *et al.*, 2017), using the pipeline nf-

core/rnaseq version 3.12.0 described in https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1400710.

Identification and analysis of Differentially Expressed Genes

Normalization of read counts per gene was performed using the edgeR package considering

total read count by library (Robinson *et al.*, 2010). Differential expression analysis was

conducted using edgeR package v 3.32.1 in R 4.0.4. using mock treated plants as a reference

for each accession with ~replicates + treatment as the design formula. Genes with |Log2 Fold

Change (LFC)|≥ 2 and a Benjamini–Hochberg adjusted P-value (FDR) < 0.05 were considered

significant for differential expression. Raw and processed gene expression data was deposited

in NCBI's GEO under accession number GSE248079. Heatmaps were generated using the

heatmap.2 package in R 4.2.1. Hierarchical clustering was performed using the default

parameters of hclust, and the robustness of the branches was evaluated with pvclust v2.2-0.

Gene Ontology enrichment analysis was performed using BINGO module 3.0.3 from Cytoscape

3.9.1, assessing over-representation of GO terms in our gene lists compared all GO terms from

A. thaliana.

Log regression analyses to extrapolate the number of responsive and core genes were done

using 'drc' package v3.0-1 in R, the log-logistic (LL.4) model was employed to characterize the

relationship between the number of DEGs ('n_genes') and categorical grouping factors

('n_accessions'). The maximal gene expression level was estimated at 10^{12} for 'n_accessions.'.

Visualizations were created using the 'ggplot2' package, showcasing boxplots and overlaid red

curves representing the fitted exponential trends.

Pearson correlation matrix to correlate transcriptomes across accessions, was performed

using cor function excluding auto-comparisons from stats package v4.3.1, considering the log

fold change (LFC) for all expressed genes. The resulting matrix was subjected to hierarchical

clustering using the pheatmap library v1.0.12 in R, with a specified number of clusters (k=4)

and the "ward.D" method. The same clustering pattern was obtained using a distance matrix

with dist function from stats package v4.3.1.

Phenotypic Characterization of Arabidopsis Accessions in response to *S. sclerotiorum*

0.5-cm-wide plugs of PDA agar medium containing *S. sclerotiorum* strain 1980 grown for 72

hours at 20°C on 14 cm Petri dishes were placed on the adaxial surface of detached leaves.

These leaves were positioned in Navautron system (Barbacci *et al.*, 2020), records were done

using high-definition (HD) cameras "3MP M12 HD 2.8-12mm 1/2.5 IR 1:1.4 CCTV Lens". In total

33 to 135 leaves from 3 experiments were inoculated per accession. Kinetics of *S. sclerotiorum*

disease lesions were analyzed using INFEST script v1.0 (https://github.com/A02l01/INFEST).

Statistical analyses of disease phenotypes were conducted using Tukey test in R 4.2.1 as

described in (Barbacci *et al.*, 2020).

Phylogenetics tree construction

Phylogenetic trees of the accessions were constructed from all coding sequences (CDS)

recovered from the 23 corresponding pseudo-genomes available at the 1001 Genome Project

Data Center. For this, pseudo-genomes were annotated using *A. thaliana* functional

annotations (TAIR version 10) with liftoff (v1.6.1) (Shumate and Salzberg, 2021). Annotations

were converted to coding sequences and protein sequences with gffread (Pertea and Pertea,

2020). 21,787 orthology groups were inferred with Orthofinder version 2.5.4 (Emms and Kelly,

2019). Orthogroups with a unique copy in each accession were aligned with Mafft (v7.313)

(Nakamura *et al.*, 2018). A super alignment was built from the orthogroup alignment by

Orthofinder. Accession trees were inferred with IQ-TREE (v2.5.4) (Nguyen *et al.*, 2015). The

best models according to the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) computed by ModelFinder

(Kalyaanamoorthy *et al.*, 2017) were VT+F+I+G4 and GTR+F+I+G4 for the accession tree

computed from protein alignments and from coding sequence alignments respectively.

Branch support values were computed by two different methods: SH-aLRT (Guindon *et al.*,

2010) and UFBoot (Hoang *et al.*, 2018). Accession clustering was done using the cophenetic

function from the R package ape v5.7.1. The tanglegram in Figure 1C was generated using

phylogenetic tree data and the DEGs heatmap accession dendrogram using cophylo function

from phytools v2.1-1.

Orthologs identification and relative gene age estimation

Arabidopsis orthologous gene groups were retrieved using the Phytozome v13 database (Goodstein *et al.*, 2012). These orthogroups were used to assign relative gene age (*Arabidopsis thaliana* node to Viridiplantae node) as the species tree node to which the MRCA of species represented in the orthogroup mapped. Enrichment of gene sets in gene age categories were analyzed with Chi-square tests at a significance threshold P-value < 0.05.

Protein-protein network construction

Interactors of the 1.049 upregulated core DEGs among the 23 accessions were retrieved from the Arabidopsis BioGRID protein interaction datasets version 4.4.211 (Oughtred *et al.*, 2021). Protein–protein interactions were visualized and analyzed with Cytoscape software version 3.9.1.

Regression analysis, disease score correlation with expression for each gene

To identify genes whose expression correlate with disease phenotype within accession subsets, the mean disease index by accession (hereafter 'slope') was fitted with log fold change (LFC) of expression for each gene (hereafter 'LFC') in corresponding accessions by linear regression. We employed R2 and RMSE as evaluation metrics. R2 quantifies the proportion of variation in dependent variables that can be accounted for by the predictors, while RMSE represents the model's average error. R2 and RMSE were calculated in R using ggplot2 v3.4.2 with the following formulas: R2 = (cor(slope, LFC))^2, and rmse = sum((slope - cov(slope, LFC) / var(LFC) * LogFC - mean(slope) - cov(slope, LFC) / var(LFC) * mean(LFC))^2), a rmse threshold of 0.01 was used. The Top500 QDR-associated genes by subsets were selected based on the highest R2 value and RMSE < 0.01.

Promoter sequence analyses

1Kb promoter sequences were retrieved from https://tools.1001genomes.org/api/ v1b for 22 out of 23 accessions (Mt-0 promoter sequences were unavailable). To conduct DNA motif enrichment analysis, we employed MEME Suite 5.5.4 (Bailey *et al.*, 2015) with the Simple Enrichment Analysis (SEA) tool, using the Arabidopsis DAP (DNA Affinity Purification) motifs database from (O'Malley *et al.*, 2016). Enrichment of motifs in promoters of selected gene

sets were assessed with Fisher's exact test using the fisher.test function from the R stats

package version 3.6.2 within the R environment version 4.0.4.

Results

***A. thaliana* species pan-transcriptome shows broad but weak variability across individuals**

To document *A. thaliana* species pan-transcriptome during infection by *S. sclerotiorum*, we

performed RNA-sequencing on 23 natural accessions covering a broad range of the species

genetic and geographic diversity (Figure 1A). To assess global gene expression profile during

infection, total mRNA of healthy leaves and leaves colonized by *S. sclerotiorum* were collected

24 hours post-inoculation (hpi) and sequenced. Each sample was collected in independent

triplicates to allow the detection of accession-specific differentially expressed genes (DEGs).

We obtained an average 32,908,875 reads per sample, 99% of which could be mapped to

Araport11 reference transcripts in healthy leaves and 43% in infected leaves (Table S2). A

consistent 60.92% ± 1.72% of complete transcriptomes was expressed across the 23

accessions in healthy plants, and 54.71% ± 3.51% in infected plants. To identify *S.*

sclerotiorum-responsive transcripts, we determined DEGs in infected versus healthy leaves for

each accession (Figure 1B). The number of DEGs ranged from 6,712 to 9,269, with a majority

of DEGs downregulated in every accession (upregulated/downregulated ratio of 0.72 ± 0.11,

Table S3-4). DEGs represented an average 39.56% ± 2.80% of expressed genes in each

accession. Overall, 17,340 genes corresponding to 52.36% of *A. thaliana* transcriptome were

differentially expressed in at least in one accession. Phylogenetic tree based on CDS derived

from pseudogenomes defined five genetic groups that correspond to geographical or climate

proximity (Figure 1A, 1C). Comparison between phylogenetic tree of accessions and gene

expression dendrogram did not show clear congruence (Figure 1C). To estimate the size of *S.*

sclerotiorum-responsive transcriptome at the species level, we performed random accession

sampling and logarithmic regression fit. This predicted a maximum of 22,643 DEGs (68.4% of

the predicted transcriptome) across the whole *A. thaliana* diversity with R^2=0.947 (Figure 1D).

Among DEGs, 51.5% showed a maximum distance of LFC < 2 between accessions, and 2.6 %

had a maximum distance of LFC > 10 (Figure S1), indicating that drastic changes of expression

profiles across accessions are limited to a few genes.

These results show that *S. sclerotiorum* infection triggers reprogramming of a majority of *A.*

thaliana species pan-transcriptome, with weak variations across accessions and a generally

weak phylogenetic signal.

Figure 1: The 23 accessions exhibit similar expression profiles during Sclerotinia infection, unrelated to
phylogeny.

A. Distribution of the 23 accessions across Europe, Canada, and Japan. Purple dots represent the coordinates of
the initial collection locations for each accession. The background color indicates the mean spring temperature,
with blue indicating the coldest regions and red indicating the warmest. The five accessions color groups
represent five genetic groups defined in phylogenic tree in Figure 1C. **B**. Heatmap displaying the distribution of
17.340 significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the 23 accessions after Sclerotinia infection, with
|LFC| ≥ 2. **C**. Tanglegram comparing the gene expression dendrogram in Figure 1B to the phylogenetic tree based
on coding sequences (CDS) across the 23 accessions. The label colors indicate the five main phylogenetic clusters,
and the numbers at the branches represent confidence values obtained using the UFBoot2 bootstrap method.
D. Exponential regression analysis estimating the maximum number of DEGs through random sampling from 1
to 23 accessions. The regression curve, with an R² coefficient of 0.947, suggests a maximum of 22,643 DEGs with
10^{12} accessions.

About 11% of *S. sclerotiorum* responsive genes are differential in all *A. thaliana* accessions

To determine transcriptional responses to *S. sclerotiorum* conserved at the species level, we compared the expression profile of the 17,340 DEGs across 23 accessions (Figure 2A). DEGs with a differential expression in one accession only (accessory) ranged from 36 to 292, making a total 2,202 accessory DEGs (12.7%) at the species level. There were 13,181 DEGs (76%) differential in two to 22 accessions (shell). We identified 1,957 DEGs (11.3%) differential in all accessions (core) including 1,049 upregulated core DEGs and 908 downregulated core DEGs. Among the 17,340 DEGs, 1221 (7.0%) showed opposite regulation patterns (up- versus down-regulated) in at least two accessions. The number of DEGs with opposite regulation decreased from 13% for DEGs differential in two accessions to 0% in core DEGs (Figure 2A, B). Core DEGs were consistently up- or down-regulated in all 23 accessions and showed a high expression stability (Figure 2B, Figure S2). Up/down regulated DEGs ratio was 1.16 in core DEGs, 0.76 in accessory DEGs and 0.60 in shell DEGs, suggesting an accumulation of genes upregulated upon *S. sclerotiorum* inoculation during *A. thaliana* evolution.

Using regression analysis, we estimated a minimum core DEG set of 719 upregulated and 352 down regulated genes across the whole *A. thaliana* diversity (Figure 2C-D). Therefore, out of 22,643 genes predicted responsive to *S. sclerotiorum* at the species level (Figure 1D), only 1,071 (4.7%) are predicted core DEGs. Our analysis based on 23 accessions likely overestimated the species-level core DEG sets but identified core up-regulated and core down-regulated genes from DEGs. To determine the functional landscape of core DEGs, we performed a Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analyses. GOs corresponding to 254 Biological Processes were significantly enriched among the upregulated core DEGs (Figure 2C). Majority of these GOs corresponded to ontologies related to primary metabolism, secondary metabolism, molecules transport and defense responses. Precisely, GOs related to secondary metabolism included camalexin biosynthetic process (GO:0010120), chorismate metabolic process (GO:0046417) and lignin biosynthetic process (GO:0009809), GOs related to defense responses included pattern recognition receptor signaling pathway (GO:0002221), response to ethylene (GO:0009723) and jasmonic acid mediated signaling pathway (GO:0009867). Core Up DEGs included defense related genes such as *ABCG30*, *CERK1*, *PAD3*, *RBOHD* and *RLP30* (Stotz *et al.*, 2011; Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Zhou *et al.*, 2013; Sucher *et al.*, 2020; Pi *et al.*, 2023). GOs corresponding to 154 Biological Processes were significantly enriched among the down

299 regulated core DEGs (Figure 2D), including responses to light, developmental responses or

300 photosynthesis.

Figure 2. 1.957 core DEGs are differentially expressed across the 23 accessions.
A. Number of genes differentially expressed in 1 to 23 accessions. Grey dots represent all DEGs deregulated in 1 to 23 accessions, while red and blue dots indicate DEGs that are upregulated or downregulated in the considered accessions, respectively. Purple dots highlight DEGs present in at least one upregulated and one downregulated state across the considered accessions. **B.** Heatmap displaying the distribution of 1.957 core differentially expressed genes (DEGs) across the 23 accessions after *Sclerotinia* infection, with an absolute log-fold change (|LFC|) ≥ 2. Red panels correspond to 1.049 genes significantly upregulated, and blue panels correspond to 908 downregulated genes. **C and D.** Exponential regression analysis estimating the minimal number of core upregulated (C) or downregulated (D) DEGs through random sampling from 1 to 23 accessions. The regression curves, with a regression coefficient of R^2= 0.945 and R^2= 0.938 respectively, suggest a minimum of 719 core upregulated DEGs and 352 core downregulated DEGs as the number of accessions is 10^{12}. **E and F**. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the 1.049 core upregulated DEGs (E) and the 908 core downregulated DEGs (F), plotted using the BINGO module from the Cytoscape software. Enrichment was calculated using BINGO and visualized on the GO hierarchy using Cytoscape. Circle size represents the number of genes in one GO category.

Network connectivity of upregulated core DEGs is not related to gene age

To study the evolutionary dynamics of core DEGs, we performed a phylostratigraphic analysis

using Phytozome orthogroups. 1,039 upregulated core DEGs and 899 downregulated core

DEGs were included in Phytozome orthogroups. Core *S. sclerotiorum*-responsive genes

showed a clear gene age pattern with dominant old genes (Viridiplantae and Embryophyta)

and young genes (Brassicaceae and *A. thaliana*) to a lesser extent, creating skewed U-shaped

distributions (Figure 3A). These distributions are similar to those of all *A.thaliana* genes,

indicating that *A. thaliana* transcriptome is dominated by old and young genes. Compared to

all *A. thaliana* genes, core DEGs included significantly fewer young genes and more old genes

(+17.6% for upregulated core DEGs, +21.6% for downregulated core DEGs). Relative to *A.*

thaliana whole genome, accessory DEGs included fewer old genes (-1.7%) while shell DEGs

were enriched in old genes (+ 4.2%). These patterns suggest that intra-specific expression

variation is better tolerated in young genes.

To test whether the age of core DEGs related to network connectivity, we constructed the

protein–protein interaction (PPI) network of upregulated core DEGs based on the BioGrid

database. This network included proteins encoded by 351 core upregulated DEGs (29%), 2,474

other proteins and 9,870 PPIs (Figure 3A). The mean topological coefficient was 0.196 for all

351 core upregulated DEGs, 0.187 for Viridiplantae core DEGs and 0.234 for Brassicaceae core

DEGs (23 genes, Figure 3C). Other Brassicaceae and Viridiplantae proteins of the network had

a mean topological coefficient of 0.194, suggesting that despite a late appearance in evolution,

Brassicaceae core DEGs quickly acquired a central place in protein networks. We identified

239 interactions between Brassicaceae core DEGs and Viridiplantae proteins (average 8.9

interactions per Brassicacea core DEGs, 12.6 for Viridiplantae core DEGs) and 149 interactions

with Embryophyta proteins (Figure 3D). High protein connectivity may therefore act as a

selective force rapidly stabilizing pathogen responsiveness at the species level. Brassicaceae

core upregulated DEGs included some well-known immune genes such as *AIG2*, *BIK1*,

EXO70B2, *LECRK*, *PAD3*, *PROPEP1* and *SYP122* (Eckardt, 2011; Stotz *et al.*, 2011; Desclos-

Theveniau *et al.*, 2012; Brillada *et al.*, 2021; Rubiato *et al.*, 2022; Zhang *et al.*, 2022; Wang *et*

al., 2022) suggesting that differential expression of these genes could contribute to resistance

against *S. sclerotiorum*.

Figure 3: Overview of upregulated core DEGs conservation and their place within a protein-protein interaction network.

A. Conservation of core DEGs during extended evolutionary periods. Ancestral characteristics of DEGs were retrieved from the Phytozome database. Orange corresponds to core DEGs (upregulated and downregulated), grey to accessory DEGs, light grey to shell DEGs and white to the total genes in the Arabidopsis Phytozome database. **B.** The protein-protein interaction network, plotted using Cytoscape, displays the components used for its construction: in orange, the proteins encoded by core upregulated DEGs, and in gray, the proteins identified in the bibliography as experimentally interacting with the proteins corresponding to the proteins encoded by core upregulated DEGs. **C.** Topological coefficients associated to core upregulated Brassicaceae, Pentapetalae, Angiosperm, Embryophyte or Viridplaatae DEGs encoded proteins present in protein-protein

interaction network. White violin plot corresponds to the Topological coefficients associated with Brassicaceae,
Pentapetalae, Angiosperm, Embryophyte, or Viridiplantae from all BioGRID database proteins. **D**. Average
number of protein-protein interaction numbers between core upregulated Brassicaceae, Pentapetalae,
Angiosperm, Embryophyte or Viridplantae DEGs encoded proteins and Brassicaceae, Pentapetalae, Angiosperm,
Embryophyte or Viridiplantae BioGrid proteins. Protein-protein interactions between BioGRID proteins were not
used during core upregulated DEGs centralized network construction. Size grey connecting bars are proportional
to average protein-protein interaction.

Disease resistance associates with quantitative expression variation specific to accession subsets

To relate gene expression with disease resistance variation at the species level, we first
evaluated the speed of necrotic lesions progression over time (expressed as the slope of lesion
size as a factor of time) (Barbacci *et al.*, 2020) following the inoculation of detached leaves of
23 accessions by *S. sclerotiorum* (Figure 4A). Susceptibility ranged from slope 0.0226 (Se-0) to
0.0667 (Co-1) representing a ~3 fold increase in susceptibility. In order to associate accession
susceptibility and transcriptome responses during *S. sclerotiorum* infection, we used
expression log fold change (LFC) for all expressed genes to correlate transcriptomes across
accessions. Pearson correlation was calculate between each transcriptome to assess the
overall proximity between accessions. Based on similarity of global gene expression patterns
we identified 4 subsets of 7, 6, 5 and 5 accessions largely consistent with the DEG-based
dendrogram from figure 1C (Figure 4B). The obtained clustering pattern was consistent with
those obtained through distance matrix analyses. We found no evidence for a link between
correlation matrix clustering and environmental parameters at the geographic origin of the
accessions (Table S5). We found also no significant correlations between transcriptome
subsets and phylogenetic clusters, indicating the absence of a phylogenetic signal to define
the four subsets. Each subset included accessions of contrasted resistance levels indicating
that QDR variation associates with at least four distinct patterns of global gene
reprogramming upon inoculation at the species level.

Figure 4: Global gene expression similarity in response to *S. sclerotiorum* reveals 4 accession subsets

A. Susceptibility of 23 accessions at 24 hours after inoculation with a 0.5cm plug on detached leaves. The logarithm of the slope (infection speed) was measured for 33 to 135 leaves in three experiments, with the black horizontal bar representing the mean slope. The color gradient from green to red indicates the range from the most resistant accessions to the most susceptible to Sclerotinia infection. Letters represent statistically grouped results obtained with the Tukey test. **B.** Pearson correlation matrix of the 23 accessions based on global transcriptome profiles during infection. Accession subsets A, B, C, and D are highlighted. Color circles indicates susceptibility to *S. sclerotiorum* for the 23 accessions according to Figure 4A.

Presence-absence of DAP promoter motifs associates with transcriptional response to *S. sclerotiorum*

To document the genetic basis for expression variation upon *S. sclerotiorum* inoculation in *A. thaliana* accession subsets, we determined the correlation between QDR and the expression levels of all genes in each of the four accession subsets using a regression analysis. We identified the top 500 genes with the highest $|R^2|$ with QDR within each subset (Figure 5). Within accession subsets, 43% ± 13% genes exhibited positive correlation with resistance against *S. sclerotiorum*. Comparison of the four top500 lists revealed that 92% were uniquely correlated to QDR in a single accession subset (top500[A] to top500[D], Figure 5B). This approach did not identify genes associated with QDR in all accession subsets, indicating distinct recruitment of resistance or susceptibility genes in each subset. Among top500[A-D] genes, 8.2% corresponded to core DEGs, 72.2% to shell DEGs, and 5.2% to accessory DEGs as defined in Figure 2. To further support the specificity of QDR association in each accession subset, we analyzed QDR-expression correlation values for each top500 gene lists in each accession subsets (Figure 5C). 91.9% of top500[A-D] genes showed highest correlation in their respective accession subset, supporting the view that expression of these genes explained resistance variation specifically within their respective accession subsets.

To provide evidence that cis-regulatory variation contributes to expression polymorphism in QDR-related genes at the species level, we tested for DNA affinity purification (DAP) motif enrichment in promoter regions across 22 accessions. First, considering the top500[A] genes, we identified 236 DAP motifs significantly enriched in the promoter of alleles from subset A accessions compared to orthologs from subsets B, C, and D accessions. We highlight 15 DAP motifs explaining LFC variations among top500[A] alleles (Fisher's exact test p-val<0.01) present in 364 (34.58%) to 31 (0.58%) promoter sequences (Figure 5E). Among those, the MYBrelated_tnt,AT5G56840_colamp_a_m1 motif bound by the transcription factor AT5G56840 was detected in the promoter of 227 out of 500 genes, in 1 to 6 accessions from subset A (Figure S3). To support a role for the MYBrelated_tnt,AT5G56840_colamp_a_m1 DAP motif in the regulation of top500[A] alleles, we compared the distribution of LFC upon *S. sclerotiorum* infection in top500[A] alleles harboring or not this motif in their promoter. We found a significant decreased in LFC (by 0.81 in average) for genes harboring the MYBrelated_tnt,AT5G56840_colamp_a_m1 DAP motif compared to control genes (Figure 5E).

Second, we searched for DAP motifs enriched in upregulated core DEGs relative to top500[A-D]

genes. This identified 34 WRKY DAP motifs enriched in upregulated core DEGs, present in up

to 90.85% (WRKY29_colamp_a DAP motif) in their promoter sequences (Figure F, Table S6).

Upregulated core DEGs harboring a WRKY29 DAP motif present a significant average increased

of 1.31 in LFC compared to upregulated top500[ABCD] genes (Figure 5G).

This revealed differential acquisition of DAP motifs in cis-regulatory regions of core

upregulated DEGs and QDR-associated alleles in subset of *A. thaliana* accessions, possibly

reflecting ancestral and more recent acquisitions respectively. Our study highlights the

significant impact of presence-absence polymorphism at cis-regulatory DAP motifs on gene

responsiveness to *S. sclerotiorum* infection at the *A. thaliana* species level.

Figure 5. Exploring genes expression variation explaining resistance variation across accessions subsets.

A. Heatmap showing expression profiles of the top 500 QDR-mediated genes across the four corresponding accessions subsets. Red corresponds to up-regulated genes, and blue corresponds to down-regulated genes. **B.** Venn diagram comparing the top 500 QDR-associated gene lists corresponding to the four accessions subsets. **C.** Number of genes from the top 500 QDR-associated genes placed in their association cluster showing the highest QDR-expression correlation. **D**. Table of

DAP motifs significantly enriched in promoters of top500[A] genes compared to the promoters of the
top 500[BCD] genes, which significantly affect gene expression within the A subset of accessions. **E.** The
most frequent AT5G56840_colamp_a_m1 DAP motif explaining gene expression modulation in
accessions subset A. Differential gene expression for genes showing a presence or absence of
AT5G56840_colamp_a_m1 DAP motif in promoter sequences across accessions subset A. ** indicate
p-value = 1.65e-06 from fisher test. **F**. Table of top15 WRKY29 DAP motifs significantly enriched in
promoter of upregulated core DEGs compared in promoters of the top 500[ABCD] genes. **G.** The most
frequent WRKY DAP motif, WRKY29_colamp_a, significantly enriched in promoters of upregulated core
DEGs compared to promoters of top500[ABCD] genes. Differential gene expression for genes with a LFC
>2 with WRKY29_colamp_a DAP motif in promoters of upregulated core DEGs or without
WRKY29_colamp_a DAP motif in promoter of top500[ABCD] genes. *** indicate p-value = 2.2e-16 from
Wilcoxon test.

Discussion:

457
458

Quantitative disease resistance provides partial and durable protection against various pathogenic
species across the green lineage. Genetic mechanisms associated with the short and long-term
evolution of QDR remain largely obscure (Kou and Wang, 2010). In a previous study, comparative
transcriptome analysis across plant species highlighted exaptation through regulatory divergence as a
path towards the evolution of QDR against *S. sclerotiorum* (Sucher *et al.*, 2020). Here we analyzed
patterns of QDR transcriptome evolution at the species level through a comprehensive RNAseq
analysis of 23 *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions displaying varying degrees of resistance to *S.*
sclerotiorum .

467
How complex is plant quantitative disease resistance?

The omnigenic model of complex traits proposes that most genes expressed in an infection context
should contribute to the heritability of disease resistance, either through a role in immunity or in its
regulation (Boyle *et al.*, 2017). Interspecific comparison found an average 32.63% genes responsive to
S. sclerotiorum across Pentapetalae, an estimate resulting from the analysis of a single genotype of
each species (Sucher *et al.*, 2020). Here we assessed infra-specific variation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*
gene responsiveness to *S. sclerotiorum*. In each accession, DEGs represented an average 39. 6% of
expressed genes, together accounting for 52.4% of *A. thaliana* pan-transcriptome responsive to *S.*
sclerotiorum infection. This number is consistent for instance with 50.4% of *A. thaliana* genes
differentially regulated upon *Fusarium oxysporum* inoculation (Guo *et al.*, 2021) and suggests that
infra-specific variation may expand the repertoire of QDR genes by ~1.3 fold. We have chosen to map
RNA-seq reads to the TAIR10 reference genome for a more accurate quantification of gene expression.
This approach does not allow to identify accession-specific transcripts that are absent from the

reference genome, and probably underestimates slightly the overall diversity of *S. sclerotiorum*
responsive transcripts in *A. thaliana*.

Among *S. sclerotiorum*-responsive genes in *A. thaliana*, we identified 1049 core up-regulated in all
accessions, suggesting either a role in mediating resistance across various genetic backgrounds (Sucher
et al., 2020; D., Yang *et al.*, 2021) or broad-spectrum manipulation by the fungus. Gene Onthology
analysis associated core upregulated DEGs with general responses to fungal pathogens, such as the
biosynthesis of camalexin, chorismate metabolism, and lignin biosynthesis (Zhu *et al.*, 2013; Zhang *et*
al., 2017). These processes, conserved at the species level, typically contribute to a broad-spectrum
defense against fungal pathogens, including *Botrytis cinerea*, *Colletotrichum higginsianum*, and
Phytophthora parasitica (Le Berre *et al.*, 2017; Nicole E. Soltis *et al.*, 2020; Zhu *et al.*, 2023). Core DEGs
form a bottleneck network where fine-tunable transcriptome modules play a pivotal role in responding
to pathogen genetic diversity (Kim *et al.*, 2014; Hillmer *et al.*, 2017). Mutants in the core DEGs *pad3-1*,
abcg40-2 and *rlp30-1* show increased susceptibility to *S. sclerotiorum*, indicating clear contribution of
some core DEGs to QDR (Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Sucher *et al.*, 2020; Kusch *et al.*, 2022). However, the
relative contribution of many core DEGs to disease resistance remains to be tested, and why the global
pool of core DEGs contributes to different levels of resistance across accessions is unclear. The
contribution of core genes to QDR may be regulated at the post-transcriptional level in a contrasted
manner across accessions. Alternatively, specific core transcript ratio may be required for efficient
QDR, or the precise timing of core transcripts activation may be crucial for QDR, emphasizing the
dynamic role of transcriptional reprogramming as a major contributor to fungal resistance (Niks *et al.*,
2015; French *et al.*, 2016; D., Yang *et al.*, 2021).

Regulatory divergence across *A. thaliana* accessions was generally of low magnitude, questioning the
adaptive value of this diversity. By contrast to tightly regulated core genes, shell and accessory genes
identified in our work may exhibit a high level of transcriptional noise, possibly underlying a bet-
hedging strategy to improve immunity (Viney and Reece, 2013; Urban and Johnston, 2018). In such a
strategy, noise may lead to stochastic activation of diversified immune responses in cell subsets. This
local immune response may be sufficient to limit pathogen colonization or may propagate through cell
to cell communication. Over long evolutionary time, the plasticity of gene expression may also
facilitate the acquisition of precise temporal and spatial expression patterns underlying QDR (Groen *et*
al., 2020; Jones and Vandepoele, 2020). The recent advent of single-cell RNA-sequencing (Tang *et al.*,
2023) will allow testing cell population immunity mechanisms that were not accessible to bulk RNA
analyses.

How predictable is quantitative disease resistance?

There was no detectable phylogenetic signal associated with global transcriptome patterns and resistance phenotype in our 23 *A. thaliana* accession panel, indicating that the heritability of these traits at the species level is complex. Previous analyses reported that transcriptome variation tends to recapitulate evolutionary relationships accurately at the interspecies level but loses precision for closely-related species (Ma *et al.*, 2018; Winkelmüller *et al.*, 2021; Wu *et al.*, 2022). This may be due to transcriptional noise being prevalent in a subset of QDR genes, or to the independent evolution of sequence and regulatory polymorphisms at QDR loci (Tirosh and Barkai, 2008; Harrison *et al.*, 2012; Uebbing *et al.*, 2016). Contrasted contributions of regulatory divergence and sequence variation to the evolution of quantitative plant immunity may indeed result in independence between these polymorphisms at the species level. QDR phenotypes may therefore be difficult to predict from sequence information alone (Gentzbittel *et al.*, 2019).

In a correlation analysis, we identified at least 500 genes by accession subset, the expression of which was significantly associated with QDR phenotype. By training Machine Learning (ML) models on plant transcriptomes, Sia *et al*. found that information on expression of 0.5% of genes could be sufficient to predict classes of disease phenotypes across multiple pathosystems (Sia *et al.*, 2023). The ML analysis of QDR transcriptomes also revealed that multiple independent gene sets could yield similarly good predictions of the disease phenotype (Sia *et al.*, 2023). In agreement, clustering based on global transcriptome response to *S. sclerotiorum* defined four accession subsets, each harboring contrasted levels of resistance, indicating that several regulatory networks may lead to a similar phenotypic response. Sets of genes with expression correlated with resistance differed across subsets suggesting that coordinated evolution of gene expression at the sub-species level could contribute to phenotypic adaptation (Mezey *et al.*, 2008). This is in line with the analysis of the transcriptome of *Brassica rapa* populations over multiple generations, which further showed rapid evolution through regulatory divergence (Hamann *et al.*, 2021).

A corollary of this finding is that globally similar transcriptomes can produce very contrasted disease phenotypes. This may be explained by a prevalent role of regulation at the post-transcriptional level in QDR, although the massive transcriptional reprogramming induced by *S. sclerotiorum* inoculation argues against this hypothesis. Alternatively, the QDR response network may be redundant or structured to canalize similar downstream responses in spite of variable inputs (different pathogen genotypes, environmental conditions, or plant genetic background) (Zhang *et al.*, 2017). This would be consistent with QDR genes from the more recent gene age class showing higher protein-protein connectivity than other genes from the same age class. Considering that lineage-specific genes often participate in adaptation to biotic and abiotic stress (Tian *et al.*, 2012), genes from the most recent age

class may contribute to QDR plasticity. The existence of multiple resistance-associated transcriptomes

at the species level also suggests that even minor transcriptional variations in key regulators may play

a significant role in phenotypic modulation (Jenull *et al.*, 2021). Genes with co-varying expression

patterns, such as the top 500 co-expressed genes by accession subset, exhibit strong plasticity and may

influence the activity of core DEGs (Xing *et al.*, 2018). A limited set of core genes may have a substantial

direct impact on QDR and could each be influenced by numerous minor effect genes due to their

interactions within the broader gene networks (Liu *et al.*, 2019). Exploring regulatory mechanisms and

functions of lineage-specific genes presents an opportunity to identify new contributors to QDR.

556
557 **How does the regulation of fungal-responsive genes evolve?**
558
Our findings support the central role of core up-regulated DEGs in response to *Sclerotinia*, mediating

broad and durable resistance to fungal pathogens. Our analyses suggest that stable transcriptional

patterns of core genes were acquired, at least in part, by combining WRKY motifs in their 5'-regulatory

regions and high network connectivity. The emergence of cis-regulatory variants is recognized as the

primary source driving the acquisition of novel gene expression patterns. Variation in transcriptome

may be facilitated by regulatory elements or epigenetic mechanisms that alter gene expression even

before genetic variants arise in the population (Alvarez *et al.*, 2015). Our analyses point towards the

acquisition of WRKY binding motifs, known for their involvement in transcriptional regulation (Wani *et*

al., 2021) as a potential mechanism for the stabilization of core gene expression at the species level.

When comparing the promoter sequences of QDR-associated genes within accession subsets, we

identified DAP motifs whose presence correlated with gene expression patterns, such as the

waycTTATCCAwwww DNA motif recognized by the MYB-related transcription factor AT5G56840. The

acquisition of this motif was associated with transcriptome modulation in our dataset, consistent with

the role of MYB transcription factors in regulating plant fungal immunity (Zhu *et al.*, 2021; Yu *et al.*,

2023). Given the unique DAP motifs enriched specifically in QDR responsive genes of each accession

subset, we propose that the gain or loss of DNA TF-binding motifs contributes significantly to

transcriptional variation at the species level. Contrary to our expectations, we did not find transposable

element families significantly associated with QDR transcriptome modulation at the species level.

Cis-regulatory variations arise from the emergence of novel enhancer sequences (Long *et al.*, 2016) or

from genome rearrangements that recruit new genes to transcriptionally active regions. Cis-regulatory

variations may also serve as a source for generating allele-specific transcripts involved in QDR (Fukuoka

et al., 2014; P., Yang *et al.*, 2021). Novel enhancer activities often result from the co-option of

transcription factor binding sites already present in ancestral enhancers (Wittkopp and Kalay, 2012;

Macquet *et al.*, 2022). The acquisition of cis-regulatory enhancers in promoter regions is known to

drive the evolution of gene expression, shaping transcriptome patterns related to plant resistance

(Ogasahara *et al.*, 2022). The divergence of cis-regulatory motifs is suggested to be a key contributor

to the rapid transcriptional reprogramming essential for the broad-spectrum efficiency of plant

immune responses (Mine *et al.*, 2018). Most intraspecific variations can be attributed to tightly

constrained cis-associated differences influenced by linkage disequilibrium (Signor and Nuzhdin, 2018).

Consequently, variation in cis-regulatory elements across genotypes depends on various selective

forces, including abiotic and biotic environmental factors (Ricci *et al.*, 2019; Marand *et al.*, 2023). These

environmental constraints likely shaped the genome of accession subsets by acting on specific DAP

DNA motifs, facilitating the introduction of new genes or alleles involved in QDR.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sébastien Carrère and the LIPME bioinformatics platform for assistance with data storage

and manipulation including reads mapping. We are grateful to Adelin Barbacci for help in the

correlation regression analyses, to Marielle Barascud and Rémy Vincent for assistance in sample

collection for RNA sequencing, and to the QIP group at LIPME for discussions and suggestions. This

work was supported by the French Laboratory of Excellence project 'TULIP' (ANR-10-LABX-41; ANR-11-

IDEX-0002-02), l'Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-19-CE20-15, ANR-21-CE20-30), the

European Research Council (ERC-StG-336808), and the INRAE SPE division.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no competing interests to disclose.

References:

Adachi, H., Contreras, M., Harant, A., *et al.* (2019) An N-terminal motif in NLR immune receptors is
functionally conserved across distantly related plant species. *Elife*, **8**, 1–31.

Aerts, N., Pereira Mendes, M. and Wees, S.C.M. Van (2021) Multiple levels of crosstalk in hormone
networks regulating plant defense. *Plant J.*, **105**, 489–504.

Alvarez, M., Schrey, A.W. and Richards, C.L. (2015) Ten years of transcriptomics in wild populations:
What have we learned about their ecology and evolution? *Mol. Ecol.*, **24**, 710–725.

Ando, T., Matsuda, T., Goto, K., *et al.* (2018) Repeated inversions within a pannier intron drive
diversification of intraspecific colour patterns of ladybird beetles. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 1–13.

Badet, T., Léger, O., Barascud, M., *et al*. (2019) Expression polymorphism at the ARPC4 locus links the
actin cytoskeleton with quantitative disease resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in *Arabidopsis*
thaliana. *New Phytol.*, **222**, 480–496.

Badet, T., Voisin, D., Mbengue, M., Barascud, M., Sucher, J., Sadon, P., Balagué, C., Roby, D. and

Raffaele, S. (2017) Parallel evolution of the POQR prolyl oligo peptidase gene conferring plant quantitative disease resistance. *PLoS Genet.*, **13**, 1–24.

Bailey, T.L., Johnson, J., Grant, C.E. and Noble, W.S. (2015) The MEME Suite. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **43**, W39–W49.

Barbacci, A., Navaud, O., Mbengue, M., Barascud, M., Godiard, L., Khafif, M., Lacaze, A. and Raffaele, S. (2020) Rapid identification of an Arabidopsis NLR gene as a candidate conferring susceptibility to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* using time-resolved automated phenotyping. *Plant J.*, **103**, 903–917.

Barco, B., Kim, Y. and Clay, N.K. (2019) Expansion of a core regulon by transposable elements promotes Arabidopsis chemical diversity and pathogen defense. *Nat. Commun.*, **10**, 1–12.

Berre, J.Y. Le, Gourgues, M., Samans, B., Keller, H., Panabières, F. and Attard, A. (2017) Transcriptome dynamic of Arabidopsis roots infected with *Phytophthora parasitica* identifies VQ29, a gene induced during the penetration and involved in the restriction of infection. *PLoS One*, **12**, 1–25.

Bolton, M.D., Thomma, B.P.H.J. and Nelson, B.D. (2006) *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary: Biology and molecular traits of a cosmopolitan pathogen. *Mol. Plant Pathol.*, **7**, 1–16.

Boyle, E.A., Li, Y.I. and Pritchard, J.K. (2017) An Expanded View of Complex Traits: From Polygenic to Omnigenic. *Cell*, **169**, 1177–1186.

Brillada, C., Teh, O.K., Ditengou, F.A., *et al*. (2021) Exocyst subunit Exo70B2 is linked to immune signaling and autophagy. *Plant Cell*, **33**, 404–419.

Coolen, S., Proietti, S., Hickman, R., *et al*. (2016) Transcriptome dynamics of Arabidopsis during sequential biotic and abiotic stresses. *Plant J.*, **86**, 249–267.

Corwin, J.A. and Kliebenstein, D.J. (2017) Quantitative Resistance: More Than Just Perception of a Pathogen. *Plant Cell*, **29**, 655–665.

Derbyshire, M., Denton-Giles, M., Hegedus, D., *et al*. (2017) The complete genome sequence of the phytopathogenic fungus *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* reveals insights into the genome architecture of broad host range pathogens. *Genome Biol. Evol.*, **9**, 593–618.

Derbyshire, M.C. and Denton-Giles, M. (2016) The control of sclerotinia stem rot on oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*): current practices and future opportunities. *Plant Pathol.*, **65**, 859–877.

Desclos-Theveniau, M., Arnaud, D., Huang, T.Y., Lin, G.J.C., Chen, W.Y., Lin, Y.C. and Zimmerli, L. (2012) The Arabidopsis lectin receptor kinase LecRK-V.5 represses stomatal immunity induced by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000. *PLoS Pathog.*, **8**.

Dodds, P.N. and Rathjen, J.P. (2010) Plant immunity: Towards an integrated view of plant-pathogen interactions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, **11**, 539–548.

Eckardt, N.A. (2011) BIK1 function in plant growth and defense signaling. *Plant Cell*, **23**, 2806.

Emms, D.M. and Kelly, S. (2019) OrthoFinder: Phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biol.*, **20**, 1–14.

Filichkin, S.A., Breton, G., Priest, H.D., *et al*. (2011) Global profiling of rice and poplar transcriptomes highlights key conserved Circadian-controlled pathways and cis-regulatory modules. *PLoS One*, **6**.

French, E., Kim, B.S. and Iyer-Pascuzzi, A.S. (2016) Mechanisms of quantitative disease resistance in plants. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.*, **56**, 201–208.

Fukuoka, S., Yamamoto, S.-I., Mizobuchi, R., *et al*. (2014) Multiple functional polymorphisms in a single disease resistance gene in rice enhance durable resistance to blast. *Sci. Rep.*, **4**, 4550.

Gentzbittel, L., Ben, C., Mazurier, M., Shin, M.G., Lorenz, T., Rickauer, M., Marjoram, P., Nuzhdin, S.
V. and Tatarinova, T. V. (2019) WhoGEM: An admixture-based prediction machine accurately
predicts quantitative functional traits in plants. *Genome Biol.*, **20**, 1–20.

Goodstein, D.M., Shu, S., Howson, R., *et al.* (2012) Phytozome: A comparative platform for green plant
genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **40**, 1178–1186.

Gou, M., Balint-Kurti, P., Xu, M. and Yang, Q. (2023) Quantitative disease resistance: Multifaceted
players in plant defense. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.*, **65**, 594–610.

Groen, S.C., Ćalić, I., Joly-Lopez, Z., *et al.* (2020) The strength and pattern of natural selection on gene
expression in rice. *Nature*, **578**, 572–576.

Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W. and Gascuel, O. (2010) New
algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the
performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.*, **59**, 307–321.

Guo, L., Yu, H., Wang, B., *et al.* (2021) Metatranscriptomic comparison of endophytic and pathogenic
fusarium-arabidopsis interactions reveals plant transcriptional plasticity. *Mol. Plant-Microbe*
Interact., **34**, 1071–1083.

Hamann, E., Pauli, C.S., Joly-Lopez, Z., Groen, S.C., Rest, J.S., Kane, N.C., Purugganan, M.D. and
Franks, S.J. (2021) Rapid evolutionary changes in gene expression in response to climate
fluctuations. *Mol. Ecol.*, **30**, 193–206.

Han, X. and Tsuda, K. (2022) Evolutionary footprint of plant immunity. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, **67**,
102209.

Harrison, P.W., Wright, A.E. and Mank, J.E. (2012) The evolution of gene expression and the
transcriptome-phenotype relationship. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.*, **23**, 222–229.

Hillmer, R.A., Tsuda, K., Rallapalli, G., *et al.* (2017) The highly buffered Arabidopsis immune signaling
network conceals the functions of its components G. Coaker, ed. *PLoS Genet.*, **13**, e1006639.

Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., Haeseler, A. Von, Minh, B.Q. and Vinh, L.S. (2018) UFBoot2: Improving
the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **35**, 518–522.

Iakovidis, M., Chung, E.H., Saile, S.C., Sauberzweig, E. and Kasmi, F. El (2022) The emerging frontier
of plant immunity's core hubs. *FEBS J.*, **290**, 3311–3335.

Ito, H., Gaubert, H., Bucher, E., Mirouze, M., Vaillant, I. and Paszkowski, J. (2011) An siRNA pathway
prevents transgenerational retrotransposition in plants subjected to stress. *Nature*, **472**, 115–
120.

Jenull, S., Tscherner, M., Kashko, N., Shivarathri, R., Stoiber, A., Chauhan, M., Petryshyn, A.,
Chauhan, N. and Kuchler, K. (2021) Transcriptome Signatures Predict Phenotypic Variations of
Candida auris. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.*, **11**, 1–13.

Jones, D.M. and Vandepoele, K. (2020) Identification and evolution of gene regulatory networks:
insights from comparative studies in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, **54**, 42–48.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., Haeseler, A. von and Jermiin, L.S. (2017)
ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods*, **14**, 587–
589.

Kim, Y., Tsuda, K., Igarashi, D., Hillmer, R.A., Sakakibara, H., Myers, C.L. and Katagiri, F. (2014)
Mechanisms underlying robustness and tunability in a plant immune signaling network. *Cell Host*
Microbe, **15**, 84–94.

Kou, Y. and Wang, S. (2010) Broad-spectrum and durability: understanding of quantitative disease
resistance. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, **13**, 181–185.

Kusch, S., Larrouy, J., Ibrahim, H.M.M., *et al.* (2022) Transcriptional response to host chemical cues
underpins the expansion of host range in a fungal plant pathogen lineage. *ISME J.*, **16**, 138–148.

Lasky, J.R., Marais, D.L. Des, Lowry, D.B., Povolotskaya, I., McKay, J.K., Richards, J.H., Keitt, T.H. and
Juenger, T.E. (2014) Natural variation in abiotic stress responsive gene expression and local
adaptation to climate in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **31**, 2283–2296.

Liu, X., Li, Y.I., Pritchard, J.K., Liu, X., Li, Y.I. and Pritchard, J.K. (2019) Trans Effects on Gene Expression
Can Drive Omnigenic Inheritance Theory Trans Effects on Gene Expression Can Drive Omnigenic
Inheritance. *Cell*, **177**, 1022-1034.e6.

Long, H.K., Prescott, S.L. and Wysocka, J. (2016) Ever-Changing Landscapes: Transcriptional Enhancers
in Development and Evolution. *Cell*, **167**, 1170–1187.

Love, M.I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.*, **15**, 1–21.

Ma, S., Avanesov, A.S., Porter, E., Lee, B.C., Mariotti, M., Zemskaya, N., Guigo, R., Moskalev, A.A.
and Gladyshev, V.N. (2018) Comparative transcriptomics across 14 Drosophila species reveals
signatures of longevity. *Aging Cell*, **17**, 1–15.

Macquet, J., Mounichetty, S. and Raffaele, S. (2022) Genetic co-option into plant–filamentous
pathogen interactions. *Trends Plant Sci.*, **27**, 1144–1158.

Makarevitch, I., Waters, A.J., West, P.T., Stitzer, M., Hirsch, C.N., Ross-Ibarra, J. and Springer, N.M.
(2015) Transposable Elements Contribute to Activation of Maize Genes in Response to Abiotic
Stress. *PLoS Genet.*, **11**.

Marand, A.P., Eveland, A.L., Kaufmann, K. and Springer, N.M. (2023) cis-Regulatory Elements in Plant
Development, Adaptation, and Evolution. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, **74**, 111–137.

Mezey, J.G., Nuzhdin, S. V., Ye, F. and Jones, C.D. (2008) Coordinated evolution of co-expressed gene
clusters in the Drosophila transcriptome. *BMC Evol. Biol.*, **8**, 1–17.

Mine, A., Seyfferth, C., Kracher, B., Berens, M.L., Becker, D. and Tsuda, K. (2018) The Defense
Phytohormone Signaling Network Enables Rapid, High-Amplitude Transcriptional
Reprogramming during Effector-Triggered Immunity. *Plant Cell*, **30**, 1199–1219.

Monteiro, F. and Nishimura, M.T. (2018) Structural, functional, and genomic diversity of plant NLR
proteins: An evolved resource for rational engineering of plant immunity. *Annu. Rev.*
Phytopathol., **56**, 243–267.

Moscou, M.J., Lauter, N., Steffenson, B. and Wise, R.P. (2011) Quantitative and qualitative stem rust
resistance factors in barley are associated with transcriptional suppression of defense regulons.
PLoS Genet., **7**, e1002208.

Mwape, V.W., Mobegi, F.M., Regmi, R., Newman, T.E., Kamphuis, L.G. and Derbyshire, M.C. (2021)
Analysis of differentially expressed *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* genes during the interaction with
moderately resistant and highly susceptible chickpea lines. *BMC Genomics*, **22**, 1–14.

Nakamura, T., Yamada, K.D., Tomii, K. and Katoh, K. (2018) Parallelization of MAFFT for large-scale
multiple sequence alignments. *Bioinformatics*, **34**, 2490–2492.

Nelson, R.M., Pettersson, M.E. and Carlborg, Ö. (2013) A century after Fisher: Time for a new
paradigm in quantitative genetics. *Trends Genet.*, **29**, 669–676.

Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., Haeseler, A. Von and Minh, B.Q. (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective
stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **32**, 268–
274.

Niks, R.E., Qi, X. and Marcel, T.C. (2015) Quantitative Resistance to Biotrophic Filamentous Plant
Pathogens: Concepts, Misconceptions, and Mechanisms. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, **53**, 445–470.

O'Malley, R.C., Huang, S.S.C., Song, L., Lewsey, M.G., Bartlett, A., Nery, J.R., Galli, M., Gallavotti, A.
and Ecker, J.R. (2016) Cistrome and Epicistrome Features Shape the Regulatory DNA Landscape.
Cell, **165**, 1280–1292.

Ogasahara, T., Kouzai, Y., Watanabe, M., et al. (2022) Time-series transcriptome of Brachypodium
distachyon during bacterial flagellin-induced pattern-triggered immunity. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **13**, 1–
16.

Oughtred, R., Rust, J., Chang, C., et al. (2021) The BioGRID database: A comprehensive biomedical
resource of curated protein, genetic, and chemical interactions. *Protein Sci.*, **30**, 187–200.

Pertea, G. and Pertea, M. (2020) GFF Utilities: GffRead and GffCompare. *F1000Research*, **9**, 1–20.

Peyraud, R., Mbengue, M., Barbacci, A. and Raffaele, S. (2019) Intercellular cooperation in a fungal
plant pathogen facilitates host colonization. , **116**, 3193–3201.

Pi, L., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Wang, N., Yin, Z. and Dou, D. (2023) A G-type lectin receptor-like kinase in
Nicotiana benthamiana enhances resistance to the fungal pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* by
complexing with CERK1/LYK4. *Phytopathol. Res.*, **5**.

Pink, H., Talbot, A., Carter, R., *et al*. (2023) Identification of Lactuca sativa transcription factors
impacting resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* through predictive network inference. *bioRxiv*, **549542**.

Pruitt, R.N., Locci, F., Wanke, F., *et al.* (2021) The EDS1–PAD4–ADR1 node mediates Arabidopsis
pattern-triggered immunity. *Nature*, **598**, 495–499.

Ricci, W.A., Lu, Z., Ji, L., et al. (2019) Widespread long-range cis-regulatory elements in the maize
genome. *Nat. Plants*, **5**, 1237–1249.

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J. and Smyth, G.K. (2010) edgeR : a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 139–140.

Roux, F., Voisin, D., Badet, T., Balagué, C., Barlet, X., Huard-Chauveau, C., Roby, D. and Raffaele, S.
(2014) Resistance to phytopathogens e tutti quanti: Placing plant quantitative disease resistance
on the map. *Mol. Plant Pathol.*, **15**, 427–432.

Rubiato, H.M., Liu, M., O'Connell, R.J. and Nielsen, M.E. (2022) Plant SYP12 syntaxins mediate an
evolutionarily conserved general immunity to filamentous pathogens. *Elife*, **11**, 1–21.

Shankar, R., Bhattacharjee, A. and Jain, M. (2016) Transcriptome analysis in different rice cultivars
provides novel insights into desiccation and salinity stress responses. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 1–15.

Shumate, A. and Salzberg, S.L. (2021) Liftoff: Accurate mapping of gene annotations. *Bioinformatics*,
37, 1639–1643.

Sia, J., Zhang, W., Cheng, M., Bogdan, P. and Cook, D.E. (2023) Machine learning general
transcriptional predictors of plant disease. *bioRxiv*, **10.1101/20**.

Signor, S.A. and Nuzhdin, S. V. (2018) The Evolution of Gene Expression in cis and trans. *Trends Genet.*,
34, 532–544.

Soltis, Nicole E., Caseys, C., Zhang, W., Corwin, J.A., Atwell, S. and Kliebenstein, D.J. (2020) Pathogen

genetic control of transcriptome variation in the *Arabidopsis thaliana - Botrytis cinerea* pathosystem. *Genetics*, **215**, 253–266.

Soltis, Nicole E, Zhang, W., Corwin, J.A., Atwell, S. and Daniel, J. (2020) Pathogen genetic control of transcriptome variation in the. *Genetics*, **215**, 253–266.

Stotz, H.U., Sawada, Y., Shimada, Y., Hirai, M.Y., Sasaki, E., Krischke, M., Brown, P.D., Saito, K. and Kamiya, Y. (2011) Role of camalexin, indole glucosinolates, and side chain modification of glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanates in defense of Arabidopsis against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant J.*, **67**, 81–93.

Studer, A., Zhao, Q., Ross-Ibarra, J. and Doebley, J. (2011) Identification of a functional transposon insertion in the maize domestication gene tb1. *Nat. Genet.*, **43**, 1160–1163.

Sucher, J., Mbengue, M., Dresen, A., Barascud, M., Didelon, M., Barbacci, A. and Raffaele, S. (2020) Phylotranscriptomics of the pentapetalae reveals frequent regulatory variation in plant local responses to the fungal pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Cell*, **32**, 1820–1844.

Tang, B., Feng, L., Hulin, M.T., Ding, P. and Ma, W. (2023) Cell-type-specific responses to fungal infection in plants revealed by single-cell transcriptomics. *Cell Host Microbe*, **31**, 1732-1747.e5..

Tian, C.F., Zhou, Y.J., Zhang, Y.M., *et al.* (2012) Comparative genomics of rhizobia nodulating soybean suggests extensive recruitment of lineage-specific genes in adaptations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **109**, 8629–8634.

Tirosh, I. and Barkai, N. (2008) Evolution of gene sequence and gene expression are not correlated in yeast. *Trends Genet.*, **24**, 109–113.

Tsuda, K. and Somssich, I.E. (2015) Transcriptional networks in plant immunity. *New Phytol.*, **206**, 932–947.

Uebbing, S., Künstner, A., Mäkinen, H., et al. (2016) Divergence in gene expression within and between two closely related flycatcher species. *Mol. Ecol.*, **25**, 2015–2028.

Urban, E.A. and Johnston, R.J. (2018) Buffering and Amplifying Transcriptional Noise During Cell Fate Specification. *Front. Genet.*, **9**, 1–14.

Viney, M. and Reece, S.E. (2013) Adaptive noise. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, **280**.

Wang, X., Werren, J.H. and Clark, A.G. (2016) Allele-Specific Transcriptome and Methylome Analysis Reveals Stable Inheritance and Cis-Regulation of DNA Methylation in Nasonia. *PLoS Biol.*, **14**, 1–21.

Wang, Z., Ma, L.Y., Cao, J., Li, Y.L., Ding, L.N., Zhu, K.M., Yang, Y.H. and Tan, X.L. (2019) Recent Advances in Mechanisms of Plant Defense to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Front. Plant Sci.*, **10**, 1–14.

Wang, Z., Yang, L., Jander, G., Bhawal, R., Zhang, S., Liu, Z., Oakley, A. and Hua, J. (2022) AIG2A and AIG2B limit the activation of salicylic acid-regulated defenses by tryptophan-derived secondary metabolism in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, **34**, 4641–4660.

Wani, S.H., Anand, S., Singh, B., Bohra, A. and Joshi, R. (2021) WRKY transcription factors and plant defense responses: latest discoveries and future prospects. *Plant Cell Rep.*, **40**, 1071–1085.

Westrick, N.M., Ranjan, A., Jain, S., Grau, C.R., Smith, D.L. and Kabbage, M. (2019) Gene regulation of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* during infection of Glycine max: On the road to pathogenesis. *BMC Genomics*, **20**, 1–22.

Winkelmüller, T.M., Entila, F., Anver, S., *et al.* (2021) Gene expression evolution in pattern-triggered immunity within *Arabidopsis thaliana* and across Brassicaceae species. *Plant Cell*, **33**, 1863–1887.

Wittkopp, P.J. and Kalay, G. (2012) Cis-regulatory elements: Molecular mechanisms and evolutionary
processes underlying divergence. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, **13**, 59–69.

Wu, J., Zhao, Q., Yang, Q., *et al.* (2016) Comparative transcriptomic analysis uncovers the complex
genetic network for resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in *Brassica napus*. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 1–16.

Wu, Q., Tong, W., Zhao, H., *et al.* (2022) Comparative transcriptomic analysis unveils the deep
phylogeny and secondary metabolite evolution of 116 Camellia plants. *Plant J.*, **111**, 406–421.

Xing, S., Tao, C., Song, Z., Liu, W., Yan, J., Kang, L., Lin, C. and Sang, T. (2018) Coexpression network
revealing the plasticity and robustness of population transcriptome during the initial stage of
domesticating energy crop *Miscanthus lutarioriparius*. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, **97**, 489–506.

Yang, D., Li, S., Xiao, Y., Lu, L., Zheng, Z., Tang, D. and Cui, H. (2021) Transcriptome analysis of rice
response to blast fungus identified core genes involved in immunity. *Plant Cell Environ.*, **44**, 3103–
3121.

Yang, P., Scheuermann, D., Kessel, B., *et al.* (2021) Alleles of a wall-associated kinase gene account for
three of the major northern corn leaf blight resistance loci in maize. *Plant J.*, **106**, 526–535.

Yu, C.P., Chen, S.C.C., Chang, Y.M., *et al.* (2015) Transcriptome dynamics of developing maize leaves
and genomewide prediction of cis elements and their cognate transcription factors. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. U. S. A., **112**, E2477–E2486.

Yu, Yongbo, Zhang, S., Yu, Yang, Cui, N., Yu, G., Zhao, H., Meng, X. and Fan, H. (2023) The pivotal role
of MYB transcription factors in plant disease resistance. *Planta*, **258**, 1–10.

Zhang, J., Li, Y., Bao, Q., Wang, H. and Hou, S. (2022) Plant elicitor peptide 1 fortifies root cell walls
and triggers a systemic root-to-shoot immune signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Signal. Behav.*, **17**.

Zhang, W., Corwin, J.A., Copeland, D., *et al.* (2017) Plastic Transcriptomes Stabilize Immunity to
Pathogen Diversity: The Jasmonic Acid and Salicylic Acid Networks within the Arabidopsis/
Botrytis Pathosystem. *Plant Cell*, **29**, 2727–2752.

Zhang, W., Corwin, J.A., Copeland, D.H., Feusier, J., Eshbaugh, R., Cook, D.E., Atwell, S. and
Kliebenstein, D.J. (2019) Plant–necrotroph co-transcriptome networks illuminate a metabolic
battlefield. *Elife*, **8**, 1–32.

Zhang, W., Fraiture, M., Kolb, D., *et al.* (2013) Arabidopsis RECEPTOR-LIKE PROTEIN30 and receptor-
like kinase SUPPRESSOR OF BIR1-1/EVERSHED mediate innate immunity to necrotrophic fungi.
Plant Cell, **25**, 4227–4241.

Zhou, J., Sun, A. and Xing, D. (2013) Modulation of cellular redox status by thiamine-activated NADPH
oxidase confers Arabidopsis resistance to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. *J. Exp. Bot.*, **64**, 3261–3272.

Zhu, Q.H., Stephen, S., Kazan, K., Jin, G., Fan, L., Taylor, J., Dennis, E.S., Helliwell, C.A. and Wang,
M.B. (2013) Characterization of the defense transcriptome responsive to *Fusarium oxysporum*-
infection in Arabidopsis using RNA-seq. *Gene*, **512**, 259–266.

Zhu, X., Li, X., He, Q., Guo, D., Liu, C., Cao, J., Wu, Z., Kang, Z. and Wang, X. (2021) TaMYB29: A Novel
R2R3-MYB Transcription Factor Involved in Wheat Defense Against Stripe Rust. *Front. Plant Sci.*,
12.

Zhu, Y., Duan, L., Zhu, C., Wang, L., He, Z., Yang, M. and Zhou, E. (2023) Dual Transcriptome Analysis
Reveals That ChATG8 Is Required for Fungal Development, Melanization and Pathogenicity during
the Interaction between *Colletotrichum higginsianum* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*,
24.

Supplementary data

Supplemental Figures 1: Maximum distance of Log Fold Change by gene.

Density plot illustrating the maximum distance of Log Fold Change for each genes. The vertical dashed
line indicates a maximum distance of 2 and 10 Log Fold Change.

Supplemental Figures 2 : Log fold change for genes misregulated in 23 accessions exhibits higher stability compared to genes misregulated in fewer accessions.

A. Density plot illustrating the standard deviation of log fold change for genes misregulated in 2 to 23 accessions. **B.** Density plot generated from a randomized table. Genetic data was randomized by randomly shuffling the log fold change values within each accession. The number of accessions showing misregulation was estimated for each gene, along with the corresponding log fold change standard deviation.

Supplemental Figures 3: Variability in the presence of AT5G56840 DAP motif in promoters of top500[A] across the accessions subset A.

The graph illustrates number of top500[A] genes containing the AT5G56840 DAP motif across the number of accessions for subset A, including Ang-0, Co-1, Se-0, Van-0, Kyoto and Ws-0. Mt-0 promoter sequences were not available.