1 Cas9 Nickase-Mediated Contraction of CAG/CTG Repeats *in Vivo* is
2 Accompanied by Improvements in Huntington's Disease Pathology
3

Alvaro Murillo[1], Melanie Alpaugh[2], Ruban Rex Peter Durairaj[1], Emma L. Randall[1], Meghan
Larin[1,3], Laura Heraty[1], Alys N. Aston[1], Alysha S. Taylor[1], Alex Mas Monteys[4], Nina Stöberl[5],
Aeverie E. R. Heuchan[1], Pascale Aeschlimann[1], Kyle Fears[1], Christian Landles[6], Georgina F
Osborne[6], Antoine Mangin[1], Soumyasree Bhattacharyya[1], Emmanouil Metzakopian[7], Nicholas
D. Allen[5], Jack Puymirat[8], Gillian P Bates[6], Beverly L. Davidson[4,9], Francesca Cicchetti[10],
Mariah J. Lelos[5], and Vincent Dion[1,11]*
10
11
12 1: UK Dementia Research Institute at Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, Cardiff,
13 United Kingdom, CF24 4HQ.
14
15 2: Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada
16 N1G 2W1.
17
18 3: Current address: AstraZeneca R&D 1 Francis Crick Avenue Cambridge, UK CB2 0AA.
19
20 4: Raymond G. Perelman Center for Cellular and Molecular Therapeutics, Children's Hospital of
21 Philadelphia, Philadelphia, 19104, USA.
22
23 5: School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, The Sir Martin Evans Building, Museum Avenue, Cardiff,
24 CF10 3AX.
25
26 6: Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Huntington's Disease Centre, Queen Square Institute of
27 Neurology, University College London, London, WC1N 3BG, UK.
28
29 7: UK Dementia Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Clifford Albutt building, Cambridge
30 biomedical campus, Cambridge, CB2 0AH, UK.
31
32 8: Centre de Recherche du CHUQ site LOEX and Department of Neurological Sciences, Hôpital Enfant-
33 Jésus.
34
35 9: Department of Pathology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, 19104, USA.
36
37 10: Centre de Recherche du CHU de Québec, Axe Neurosciences; Département de Psychiatrie et
38 Neurosciences, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada.
39
40 11: Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University, Maindy Road,
41 Cardiff, UK, CF24 4HQ.
42 *: corresponding author: dionv@cardiff.ac.uk

43
44 One sentence summary: The Cas9 nickase contracts CAG/CTG repeats at multiple disease
45 loci in patient-derived cells and improves molecular and behavioral phenotypes in HD.
46

Keywords: CRISPR; Cas9 nickase, gene editing, off-target mutations, Huntington's disease,
myotonic dystrophy type 1, R6/1.

<u>Abstract</u>:

Expanded CAG/CTG repeats cause over 15 different diseases that all remain without
a disease-modifying treatment. Because repeat length accounts for most of the
variation in disease severity, contracting them presents an attractive therapeutic
avenue. Here, we show that the CRISPR-Cas9 nickase targeted to CAG/CTG repeats
leads to efficient contractions in Huntington's disease patient-derived neurons and
astrocytes, and in myotonic dystrophy type 1 patient-derived neurons. The approach
is allele-selective and free of detectable off-target mutations. Striatal injection of the
Cas9 nickase in a mouse model for Huntington's disease using adeno-associated viral
vectors led to contractions in over half the infected cells. Upon injection, we observed
a reduction in the number of inclusion bodies, improved transcriptome, and
ameliorated locomotion. The effects were greater than expected from the contractions
induced and suggest that non-cell autonomous mechanisms may be involved. Our
results provide the proof-of-concept that correction of CAG/CTG repeats can improve
Huntington's disease phenotypes *in vivo*.

Introduction

The heterozygous expansion of CAG/CTG repeats at 15 different loci in the genome causes clinically distinct intractable neurodegenerative and neuromuscular disorders[1], including Huntington's disease (HD) and myotonic dystrophy type 1 (DM1)[2,3]. They are all currently without a disease modifying treatment[4,5]. The size of the expanded repeat tract explains up to 60% of the variation in the age at disease onset in HD[6], with longer repeats leading to more severe phenotypes. This is compounded by repeat expansions accumulating over time in somatic tissues, especially in those cell types that are most affected by the disease[7–9]. Moreover, DNA repair factors involved in somatic expansion have been identified as disease modifiers[10–14]. These observations suggest that somatic expansion is a major driver of disease pathogenesis and that preventing expansions in relevant cell types, or, better, inducing contractions, may provide a much-needed therapeutic avenue.

Several studies have used gene editing *in vivo* at the *DMPK* and *HTT* loci, where repeat expansions cause DM1 and HD, respectively[15–25]. All these approaches mutate the repeat locus, either by excising and producing uncontrollable insertions, deletions, and rearrangements or by mutating the repeat tract using base editors. A small molecule has also been developed that induces contractions of the repeat[26]. Editing efficiencies ranged from 2% to 54% of the targeted cells. Molecular and behavioural rescues have not been consistently tested, but some studies reported partial rescues[15,22].

Importantly, despite patients having a heterozygous expansion, typical gene editing approaches mutate both alleles, which may have undesirable consequences[4,27,28]. Three studies have circumvented this problem by designing sgRNAs that target a specific single-nucleotide polymorphism distinguishing the two *HTT* alleles[16,21,29]. However, this approach reduces the total number of individuals who stand to benefit since the most common variant is found in only 30% of HD individuals of European ancestry[29].

Altogether, these results highlight the need to correct - rather than mutate - the expanded allele. Gene editing approaches need to be efficient, allele-selective, and applicable to as many individuals as possible, regardless of disease. Double-strand breaks, which are highly mutagenic, should be avoided to reduce the risk of off-target

mutations. Importantly, behavioural and molecular pathology must be improved by the
treatment.

We showed previously that the Cas9D10A nickase could induce contractions of
ectopic CAG repeats when targeted directly to the repeat tract in immortalised cells
using one single-guide RNA (sgRNA) in a transcription-dependent and replication-
independent manner[30–32]. Here, we harnessed the Cas9D10A nickase to correct
expanded CAG/CTG repeats and provide the *in vivo* proof-of-concept for the safe
correction of expanded repeats as a therapeutic avenue.

Results

Nickase-induced contractions in patient-derived cells.

We first investigated the possibility of contracting CAG/CTG repeats in disease-relevant cells. Astrocytes contribute considerably to HD pathogenesis[33–37]. We differentiated HD human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) (CS09iHD109-n1), harbouring 130 to 140 CAG/CTG repeats at the *HTT* locus[38], into astrocyte progenitors and then to mature S100β⁺ astrocytes (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1a). We transduced them with a lentivirus expressing Cas9D10A (Supplementary Table 1). A second lentivirus expressed the sgRNA against the repeat tract (sgCTG) and contained a GFP cassette to monitor silencing of the vector. We found that GFP and Cas9D10A expression was sustained over 42 days (Supplementary Fig. 1bc). We assessed repeat size distribution using small-pool PCR (Fig. 1b)[39] and observed a 6-fold increase in the proportion of contracted alleles in the dual treated cultures. We also saw a >2-fold decrease in the number of large alleles (>134 CAGs) compared to populations transduced with Cas9D10A only (P≤0.0001, Fig. 1c). On average, the cells treated with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG had 20 fewer repeats than the cells treated with Cas9D10A only. These results suggest that HD iPSC S100β⁺ astrocytes undergo robust contractions when exposed to both the Cas9D10A and sgCTG.

In HD, the driving factor of pathogenesis is neuronal death, which occurs first in the striatum with medium spiny neurons, followed by neurons in the cortex[40]. We differentiated the same HD iPSCs into neurons (Fig. 1d)[41]. Our cultures were 99% pure as judged by β-tubulin staining (Supplementary Fig. 1d). We exposed the neurons to the Cas9D10A and sgCTG for 21 or 42 days and performed small-pool PCRs (Supplementary Fig. 1ef, Fig. 1e). We found an increase in the frequency of alleles shorter than 127 CAGs over time, reaching a 7-fold increase after 42 days and a 3-fold decrease in the frequency of alleles with over 160 repeats (Fig. 1e-f, P≤0.0013). We confirmed the results using single-molecule real-time (SMRT) long read sequencing (Supplementary Fig. 2). These results suggest that the Cas9D10A can contract expanded CAG/CTG repeats in HD iPSC-derived neurons.

The advantage of our design is that the repeat tract itself is targeted and, therefore, it should induce contractions at multiple disease loci. Although DM1 patients display

debilitating myotonia and muscle wasting, the brain is extensively affected, including
the cortex[42]. We generated cortical neurons from an iPSC line that harbours an
average of 996 CTGs at the *DMPK* locus, with some alleles exceeding 2500 CTGs
(Supplementary Fig. 1g, Fig. 1gh). Although it was not sufficient to lead to repeat sizes
in the non-pathogenic range, the cells treated with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG had
166 fewer repeats on average than the cells expressing only Cas9D10A (Fig. 1i). We
conclude that Cas9D10A induces contractions in at least two distinct disease loci
without changing the Cas9D10A construct or the sgRNA.

One important question is: what is the minimum expansion length that remains a
substrate for Cas9D10A? We have shown previously that repeats of at least 101
CAG/CTGs contract readily to non-pathogenic sizes (i.e., 35 CAGs or lower for HD
and below 50 for DM1) but that 42 repeats remain stable[30]. To determine the threshold
with more precision, we used a HD patient-derived lymphoblastoid cell line that
harbours 60 CAG repeats at the expanded *HTT* locus and delivered both Cas9D10A
and sgCTG via lentiviruses (Supplementary Table 1). Forty-two to 56 days after
transduction of the sgCTG virus, we isolated the cells and performed SMRT
sequencing. We found that cells transduced with both viruses contracted readily
(Supplementary Fig. 3), suggesting that the threshold for contraction with Cas9D10A
is between 42 and 60 repeats.

Figure 1. Cas9D10A-induced contractions in HD and DM1 iPSC-derived cells. A) Top: Representative confocal image of HD iPSC-derived astrocytes stained with S100β and DAPI. Bottom: timeline of the experiments. B) Representative small-pool PCR blot showing contractions in HD iPSC-derived astrocytes that were either transduced with the Cas9D10A only or both the Cas9D10A and the sgCTG for 42 days. C) Quantification of the small-pool PCR blots for HD iPSC-derived astrocytes. D) Top:

Representative confocal image of HD iPSC-derived cortical neurons stained with β-Tubulin III and
DAPI. Bottom: timeline of the experiments. E) Representative small-pool PCR blot showing contractions
in HD iPSC-derived cortical neurons that were transduced with Cas9D10A only or both Cas9D10A and
the sgCTG for 42 days. F) Quantification of the small-pool PCR blots for HD iPSC-derived cortical
neurons. G) Top: Representative confocal image of DM1 iPSC-derived cortical neurons stained with β-
Tubulin III and DAPI. Bottom: timeline of the experiments. H) Representative small-pool PCR blot
showing contractions in DM1 iPSC-derived cortical neurons that were transduced with Cas9D10A only
or both Cas9D10A and the sgCTG for 42 days. I) Quantification of the small-pool PCR blots for DM1
iPSC-derived cortical neurons. 'n' is the number of alleles counted in the small-pool PCR experiments.
Scale bar = 10 μm. Dashed lines in panels C, F, and I indicate modal repeat size.

Off-target mutations remain at background levels in the presence of both Cas9D10A
and sgCTG

An important safety concern in the context of gene editing is the generation of off-
target mutations[43]. Most approaches mitigate this issue by carefully designing the
sgRNA and adjusting the target sequence accordingly. Here, this is not possible as
we target the repeat tract itself. To address whether our approach led to unwanted off-
target mutations, we first used PCR-free whole genome sequencing using Illumina
short-read sequencing. We used the same HD iPSC-derived neurons cultured without
transduction for 42 days and compared them with cultures that were transduced with
either Cas9D10A only or Cas9D10A and the sgCTG. We obtained at least 5.5×10^9
reads per treatment, including $>3.9 \times 10^8$ reads per condition spanning 1830 genes that
contain a targetable CAG/CTG repeat (see methods, Supplementary Table 2). The
frequency of mutations was not different between the transduced and the non-
transduced cells (P>0.31, Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 4). Similar results were found
using the HD iPSC-derived astrocytes (P>0.41, Supplementary Figure 4, Table 1). In
total, we found 8 mutations overlapping with CAG/CTG repeats, but they were not
enriched in the samples treated with Cas9D10A and sgCTG (Fisher's exact test
P>0.26, Supplementary Table 2). These results suggest that the expression of
Cas9D10A together with sgCTG did not induce mutations above the background seen
in untreated cells.

Second, we looked for rearrangements at the non-expanded *HTT* allele 42 days after
treatment in HD iPSC-derived neuronal cultures using the targeted long-read
sequencing data presented above (Supplementary Fig. 2). We used Repeat
Detector[44] to determine repeat size and Sniffles2[45] to detect rearrangements in this
dataset. These analyses suggested that one sample transduced with Cas9D10A and

sgCTG had an insertion downstream of the repeat tract at a frequency of 0.107.
However, we could not identify this rearrangement with the Integrative Genomics
Viewer[46] or by manually looking for the rearranged alleles in the circular consensus
sequences. Moreover, we found no rearrangements in the other 3 samples treated
with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG, which came from the same neuronal epithelium, but
were differentiated separately from neuronal progenitor cells. Thus, rearranged alleles
were not detectable in this sample. We conclude that this was a false negative and
that mutations are rare, if present at all, in the non-expanded alleles upon a 42-day
exposure to Cas9D10A and sgCTG.

Table 1. Analysis of mutations in HD iPSC-derived cortical neurons and astrocytes.

		CAG/CTG repeat genes			Whole Genome		
Cell type	Treatment	# of mutations*	# of reads $\times 10^8$	P-value[§]	# of mutations*	# of reads $\times 10^9$	P-value[§]
Neurons	-	51±15	4.06	-	1558±260	5.6	-
	Cas9D10A	60±18	4.17	0.52	1806±396	5.7	0.23
	Cas9D10A + sgCTG	39±15	3.98	0.31	1512±261	5.5	0.99
Astrocytes	Cas9D10A	26±22	1.53	0.41	1819±793	2.1	0.52
	Cas9D10A + sgCTG	13±4	1.33		1361±308	1.9	

*: The number of mutations obtained per sample (8 samples/treatment for the neuronal cultures and 3 samples/treatment for the astrocytic
cultures). No difference was seen between 21 and 42 days in astrocytes and therefore the data were combined for this analysis.

§: The number of mutations found at the selected 1830 genes and genome-wide were normalised to the total reads per sample and indicated as
the number of mutations normalised to the number of reads (Supplementary Figure 4). Differences in normalised mutations between neuronal
cultures conditions were calculated by one-way ANOVA with a post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test, except for the whole genome data
from astrocytes where we used a Student's t-test.

Expression of AAV-delivered GFP and Cas9D10A in vivo

Next, we established whether we could deliver Cas9D10A and sgCTG directly to the
striatum of mice using recombinant adeno-associated viral vectors (AAV) serotype 9.
The whole CRISPR system is too large to be packaged into a single AAV and therefore
we used a two-vector system with one AAV harbouring Cas9D10A and the other
expressing both sgCTG and GFP (Fig. 2ab, Supplementary Table 3).

When injected in R6/1 mice two days after birth (P2), GFP was detectable throughout
the striatum along with some expression in the cortex 6 weeks after injection
(Supplementary Fig. 5a). Expression was still present 3 months post-injection without
a noticeable drop in expression over time (Supplementary Fig. 5b). AAV9 exhibited
strong tropism to NeuN^{+} neurons and expressed GFP well (Supplementary Fig. 5cd),
consistent with previous work[47]. Furthermore, using qPCR, we found that there were
26 ± 6 copies of the sgCTG/GFP AAV genome per cell in striata, on average, one
month after injection (Supplementary Fig. 5e). These data demonstrate that AAV9 can
infect striatal neurons in R6/1 mice and that GFP is robustly expressed over several
months.

Cas9D10A expression, however, proved more challenging. We tested four different
AAV constructs for Cas9 expression (Supplementary Table 3) that were previously
shown to induce edits *in vivo*[21,48,49] and introduced the D10A mutation. Of the four
constructs injected in wild type and R6/1 mice, one (version 1 - v1) did not express
any detectable Cas9D10A, v2 and v3 were expressed 2 weeks post-injection but
silenced later (Supplementary Fig. 6a-f). The last construct, v4, containing a miniCMV
promoter, was still expressed 2 months after injection in adults, albeit at lower levels
(Supplementary Fig. 6g). When we injected P2 mice, we could detect Cas9D10A for
up to 5 months, but expression decreased over time (Supplementary Fig. 6gh). These
data suggest that Cas9D10A expression wanes over time at a rate heavily dependent
on the construct used. We continued with Cas9D10A v4 to test whether the nickase
can induce contractions *in vivo*.

Large contractions after delivery of Cas9D10A and sgCTG to neonatal mice

Next, we aimed to determine whether Cas9D10A could induce contractions *in vivo*.
Contractions in the mouse brain are measured against an ongoing rate of somatic
expansion. SMRT sequencing of the expanded repeats showed, as expected, that
there was a small but progressive increase in the modal repeat size over time,
accompanied by a bimodality beyond 10 weeks of age (Supplementary Fig. 2e). This
is due to different cell types having different rates of expansion, with the medium spiny
neurons having the largest repeat sizes[50]. The average copy number of Cas9D10A 1
month after injection of R6/1 mice at P2 was 0.3 ± 0.15 copies per cell on average
(Supplementary Fig. 6i). Therefore, the efficiency of contractions is capped at 26% on
average in these experiments, as predicted by a Poisson distribution.

Repeat size in P2-injected mice showed fewer expansions in the expanding medium
spiny neurons as well as an accumulation of contractions over time (Fig. 2d-h).
Specifically, short alleles of 12 to 17 CAGs, which are well within the non-pathogenic
range, appeared 5 months post-injection (Supplementary Fig. 7a-f; Fig. 2d-h). These
large contractions were seen in all 3 mice sequenced at this late time point. The
instability index[51] showed a slower increase over time in the hemisphere injected with
both Cas9D10A and sgCTG compared to Cas9D10A alone (Supplementary Fig. 8a,
P=0.0004), which was accompanied by a more negative contraction index
(Supplementary Fig. 8b, P=0.0002), and a lower expansion index (Supplementary Fig.
8c, P=0.005). We further found that the average repeat size was reduced compared
to mice injected only with Cas9D10A, especially after 4 and 5 months (Supplementary
Fig. 8d, P<0.001). We observed a rise in the percentage of short alleles in the samples
treated with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG from 5.1 ± 1.5% 1-month post-injection to
15.7 ± 7.6% 5 months after the injections (Supplementary Fig. 8d, Fig. 2i, P=0.035).
Given that we have not sorted the infected cells, the expected maximum was 26%.
We conclude that most cells that express Cas9D10A together with sgCTG in the
striatum of R6/1 mice harbor contractions after 5 months.

273
274

Figure 2. CAG repeat contraction in P2- and adult injected R6/1 animals. A) Schematic of the AAV constructs used. B) Schematic of the experimental approach. C) Timeline of the experiment. P2 = 2 days after birth. D) Aggregated graphs of repeat size distribution in hemispheres of R6/1 mice injected with Cas9D10A only (black) versus Cas9D10A + sgCTG (magenta) 1 month, E) 2 months, F) 3 months, G) 4 months and H) 5 months post-injection. Arrow points to large contractions with 17 remaining CAGs. Note that the graphs are normalised to the modal peak size found in each hemisphere (see methods). The mice used in these experiments had a modal repeat size ranging from 138 to 151 CAGs. A nonparametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test showed no significant difference in repeat size in animals sacrificed 1 to 3 months post-injection. After 4 and 5-months, the difference was significant (P value <0.0001 (****)). I) Difference in the area under the curve between the Cas9D10A + sgCTG treatment and Cas9D10A alone (*P<0.05 using a one-way ANOVA). Error bars represent ± standard deviation. Number of animals: Cas9D10A only; 1 month n=4, 2 months n=3; 3 months n=3; 4 months

n=4; 5 months n=3; Cas9D10A + sgCTG; 1 month n=4, 2 months n=3; 3 months n=3; 4 months n=4; 5
months n=3). J) Schematic of the experimental approach for injections in adult mice. K) Timeline of the
experiments. L) Aggregated graphs of repeat size distribution in the striatum of uninjected adult R6/1
mice (black) compared to striata injected with Cas9D10A + sgCTG (magenta) 1 month post-injection.
Unpaired, nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov test returned a significant difference in cumulative
distributions. (P <0.023 (*)). M) Same as L, but 2 months after injection (P<0.0001 (****)). The mice
used in these experiments had a modal repeat size ranging from 138 to 145 CAGs. Number of animals:
uninjected 1-month post-injection n=4, 2 months post-injection n=5; Cas9D10A + sgCTG; 1 month post-
injection n=3, 2 months post-injection n=3.

Contractions in the R6/1 adult striatum upon Cas9D10A and sgCTG delivery

Next, we asked whether contractions also occurred in adult mice upon treatment with

the Cas9D10A. We repeated the experiments, injecting 3.5 months old adult R6/1

mice with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG and comparing them with age-matched un-

injected controls. We tracked the instability at 1 and 2 months post-injection (Fig. 2j-

m). We found robust infection efficiencies with an average of 464 ± 217 and 663 ± 92

AAV DNA copies per cell when injecting AAV-sgCTG-GFP and AAV-Cas9D10A,

respectively, 1 month post-injection (Supplementary Fig. 6jk). Consequently, we saw

contractions *in vivo* 1 and 2 months post-injection (Supplementary Fig. 7g-i; Fig. 2lm)

with the instability index being lower and the contraction index decreasing over time,

indicative of an increase in the number and/or a decrease in the size of the short alleles

(Supplementary Fig. 8e-g). We conclude that our gene editing regimen induces

contractions in adult R6/1 mice after symptom onset.

Optimisation of the AAV constructs for robust expression in vivo

We next optimised the Cas9D10A construct further by using a cell-type specific codon

optimization as described (patent WO2023105212A1). We found that the best

construct for expression in the striatum amongst the ones tested was a skeletal muscle

codon optimised Cas9D10A (v5 - Supplementary Table 3) used together with an

optimised sgRNA scaffold containing an extra loop and a point mutation that improves

editing efficiency[52] injected at a ratio of 1:2 (Supplementary Fig. 10&11). Under these

conditions, injecting 2-month-old animals yielded an average of 330 ± 92 and 1020 ±

173 AAV genomes per cell for the Cas9D10A v5 and the sgCTG-Mut+5 AAVs

(Supplementary Fig. 12), respectively, 3 months post-injection. However, presumably

because of Cas9D10A silencing, immunofluorescence data suggest that 19% of

neurons expressing GFP also expressed Cas9D10A (Supplementary Fig. 13). We

used these conditions to assess the impact of contractions *in vivo*.

CAG repeat contractions translate to shorter polyglutamine stretches.

We assessed whether contractions in the CAG/CTG repeat of the m*HTT* gene could

be observed in the protein. To this end, we used Homogeneous Time Resolved

Fluorescence (HTRF, Figure 3a)[53]. This assay uses striatal extracts together with

antibody combinations to assess how close two epitopes are from each other within

the mHTT protein. To establish the method in R6/1 mice, we first determined levels of

soluble and aggregated mHTT. As determined for other HD mouse models[53], we found

that soluble mHTT decreases over the life span of the R6/1 mice and aggregated

mHTT increases with age (Supplementary Fig. 14a-c). We then compared the levels

of the various forms of mHTT in the mice injected with either Cas9D10A v5 alone or

together with the sgCTG-Mut+5. We found no change in the amounts of aggregating

(4C9 and MW8 antibodies) and soluble (2B7 and MW8 antibodies) mHTT (Fig 3bc).

However, when we used the 2B7 antibody together with MW1, which targets the

polyglutamine tract, we observed a reduction in signal (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Table

4), suggesting that fewer polyglutamines were found after the treatment with

Cas9D10A *in vivo*.

Figure 3. CRISPR-Cas9D10A injection reduces polyQ length and aggregation of mHTT *in vivo*. A)
Schematic scaled diagram of a mHTT with 150 glutamines showing the relative location of HTT
antibodies. Four antibodies detect mHTT exon 1: 2B7 maps to within the first 17 amino acids, MW1
detects expanded polyQ tracts, 4C9 detects the proline-rich region that lies between the two proline

repeats in human HTT and MW8 acts as a neo-epitope antibody to the C-terminus of HTT. B) 4C9-Tb
with MW8-d2 were used to track changes in aggregated mHTT, C) 2B7-Tb with MW8-d2 were used to
track soluble mHTT and D) 2B7-Tb with MW1d2 pair for polyglutamine size assay by HTRF in striatal
lysates from R6/1 mice at 5 months of age (3 months post-injection). (*P<0.05 using a t-test). Error bars
represent ± standard deviation. Number of animals: 5 per group). E) representative z-projection images
of striatal areas from 5-months-old R6/1 animal brains sacrificed 3 months post-injection of Cas9D10A
only or Cas9D10A and sgCTG-Mut+5 and stained with DAPI (blue) and mutant polyglutamine inclusions
(red). F) Analysis of total number of inclusions normalised to the total number of nuclei per image in
striatal regions injected with Cas9D10A and the sgCTG-Mut+5 in comparison with their littermates that
received Cas9D10A only. G) Two-dimensional analysis of the average aggregate size in treated
animals. H) Distribution frequency of all analysed inclusions. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to compare
inclusion size distributions was used. P value <0.0001 (****)(n = 4009 and 2303 inclusions in Cas9D10A
v5-injected only and Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5 injected animals, respectively). I) Quantification of
the number of mHTT positive nuclei in mice injected with Cas9D10A only or together with the sgCTG-
Mut+5. Data are mean ± SD. fgi Student t-test were used (**P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ****P<0.0001). (n= 3-
4 images per mouse, between 1000 and 1900 cells were assessed per mouse, with 3 mice per
condition).

Cas9 nickase and sgRNA delivery in vivo reduces the levels of mHTT inclusions

A molecular hallmark of HD in R6/1 mice is the presence of mHTT aggregates in the
striatum[54]. To determine whether the injection of both the Cas9D10A and sgCTG-
Mut+5 AAVs could improve this phenotype, we performed immunofluorescence using
the specific MW8 antibody[55–57]. We found that the number of inclusions per cell as well
as the size of the remaining inclusions decreased by over 40% in the striatum of mice
injected with both AAVs compared to the ones injected with only Cas9D10A (Fig. 3e-
h). Importantly, fewer cells in the striatum had inclusions (Fig. 3i). These results were
specific for the injected region since a similar analysis in cortical areas of the same
mice did not show any differences between groups (Supplementary Fig. 15d-f). We
conclude that the injection of both the Cas9D10A and the sgCTG-Mut+5 AAVs
decreases the burden of mHTT inclusions in the striatum. This is consistent with
Cas9D10A slowing the transition from diffuse aggregates to inclusions suggested by
the HTRF analysis.

Nickase treatment partially mitigated gene expression alteration in the striatal medium
spiny neurons

Dysregulation of transcription is a hallmark of HD pathology[58,59]. Thus, we aimed to
determine whether the nickase could improve transcriptome dysregulation. To this
end, we performed single-nuclei RNA sequencing of striatal samples from both wild
type and R6/1 littermates 3 months after injecting them with either the Cas9D10A v5
alone or together with sgCTG-Mut+5 AAV. We first identified the medium spiny neuron

population (Supplementary Fig. 16a-c, Fig. 4a) and determined the effect of the
treatment by comparing wild type mice injected with only the Cas9D10A v5 AAV to
those injected with both AAVs. We found that the treatment dysregulated only 100
genes in wild type mice (adjusted p-value = 0.01, fold change = 1.5; Supplementary
Table 5, Supplementary Fig. 16d; Fig. 4b). Similar results were found using a
pseudobulk approach (Supplementary Table 6, Supplementary Fig. 16e).

The effect of expressing mHTT led to more pronounced changes, R6/1 injected with
Cas9D10A alone showed 651 dysregulated genes in medium spiny neurons
compared to wild type littermates with the same treatment (Fig 4c, Supplementary
Table 5). The pathways affected included dopaminergic synapse, calcium signaling,
ribosomes, and multiple pathways of neurodegeneration, as expected from other HD
models[58,59]. Similar results were obtained when we used a pseudo-bulk approach in
medium spiny neurons with ion channels and neurotransmitter receptors being most
affected (Supplementary Fig. 16f). These changes were comparable to those seen in
two other HD mouse models[58,60] (Supplementary Fig. 17).

Next, we compared transcriptome alterations caused by the expression of both the
Cas9D10A and sgRNA-Mut+5 in medium spiny neurons in R6/1 mice (Fig. 4d). We
found that the treatment led to 198 dysregulated genes, with 94% (186) of these being
down-regulated. We assessed whether these changes rescued dysregulated genes
caused by the presence of the HD transgene. To do so, we looked at the subset of
genes that were significantly upregulated due to the R6/1 genotype (401 genes) and
that were also found expressed in R6/1 medium spiny neurons from mice injected with
both Cas9D10A and sgRNA-Mut+5 AAVs (362 genes). We found that in this subset,
15% (53 genes) showed a significantly increased expression (P<0.01,
|log$_2$FC<0.585|), in the animals treated with both AAVs. (Fig. 4e). GO cellular
component analysis showed that neuron to neuron synapse, dense core granule and
synaptic vesicles genes were specifically rescued (Fig. 4f). These changes in gene
expression were not due to a change in the number of medium spiny neurons or glia
present in the dual injected R6/1 animals (Supplementary Fig. 16b) or to off-target
binding of the Cas9 nickase as the misregulated genes were not enriched for genes
containing potential off-target sites (Supplementary Table 5). We conclude that our
gene editing treatment can mitigate some of the transcriptome alterations in the
striatum of R6/1 mice.

Figure 4. Cas9D10A co-injection with a sgCTG-Mut+5 against the repeat tract mitigates genes upregulated in HD medium spiny neurons 3-months post-injection. A) U-MAP plot of all nuclei across striatal regions from disease and control mice showing all major cell types in the brain (MSNs: medium spiny neurons). B) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in medium spiny neurons between wild type (WT) animals injected with Cas9D10A v5 only versus Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5. C) Volcano plot for DEGs in medium spiny neurons between WT versus R6/1 animals injected with Cas9D10A v5 only. D) Volcano plot comparing DEGs in CRISPR-treated R6/1 medium spiny neurons relative to Cas9D10A v5-injected R6/1 medium spiny neurons. Number of animals: WT Cas9D10A v5 only n=3, WT Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5 n=3, R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 only n=3, R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5 n=3. E) Heatmap of the changes in expression due to the co-injection of the Cas9 Cas9D10A v5 and sgCTG-Mut+5 specifically in the genes that are upregulated in the R6/1 medium spiny neurons compared to WT medium spiny neurons shown in C. The threshold for significance for differentially expressed genes was an adjusted P < 0.01 and an absolute log2 fold change > 0.585. F) Gene ontology (GO) cellular component pathways terms for DEGs which are altered by CRISPR injection in R6/1 medium spiny neurons comparing the treated mice with and without both Cas9D10A and sgCTG-Mut+5.

Intrastriatal Cas9 nickase and sgRNA injections improve motor performance.

We investigated whether the Cas9D10A treatment could improve motor performance. Thus, we injected the striata bilaterally of 2-month-old wild type and R6/1 animals with the Cas9D10A v5 alone or together with sgCTG-Mut+5 (Fig. 5a) and tested them one

month after injection. We found significant behavioural impairments in R6/1 mice

expressing Cas9D10A only, versus wild type mice with the same treatment, including

the balance beam and accelerating as well as fixed rotarod (Supplementary Fig. 18a-

d, Supplementary Tables 7 to 10), as expected from previous work[61,62]. The wild type

mice injected with both AAVs were not significantly different from those injected with

only one AAV in these tests. When we performed an open field test (Fig. 5b), we found

that wild type mice injected with both AAVs displayed less rearing than those injected

with only the Cas9D10A AAV, but they remained unaffected in all other measures

(Supplementary Fig. 19a-g). These results suggest that the treatment with both AAVs

has little effect in wild type mice.

When comparing the R6/1 mice expressing Cas9D10A alone in an open field test, we

found significant behavioural impairments compared to wild type littermates injected

with the same AAV. The R6/1 mice showed a 46% reduction in the distance travelled

and velocity as well as a 31% reduction in the total time in movement ($P \leq 0.004$, Fig.

5c-e). When comparing R6/1 mice expressing both Cas9D10A and sgRNA to those

that received only the Cas9D10A AAV, we found an improvement of 61% in distance

travelled and velocity as well as a 35% increase in the total time in movement in R6/1

mice injected with both AAVs compared with those injected with only one AAV

($P \leq 0.004$, Fig. 5c-e). The R6/1 mice injected with both AAVs were not significantly

different from the WT animals. Amelioration of the locomotor phenotype in dual-

injected R6/1 mice was not due to changes in motor coordination or strength because

parameters tested on the rotarod and balance beam were not affected by the injection

of the CRISPR system in R6/1 mice (Supplementary Fig. 18; Supplementary Fig.

19fg). We conclude that the striatal injection of the Cas9D10A v5 together with sgCTG-

Mut+5 improved gross locomotor phenotypes as measured by an open field test in

R6/1 mice.

Figure 5. Injection of the Cas9D10A targeting the CAG repeats improves motor phenotypes in R6/1
HD mice in open field test. A) Schematic of the experimental approach with wild type (WT) and R6/1
animal bilaterally injected with the Cas9D10A v5 only or the Cas9D10A v5 and the sgCTG-Mut+5 AAVs.
B) Open field schematic showing criteria adopted for defining arena areas; total area 80x80; external
area of the arena 40x40 total; internal arena of the arena 40x40 in the centre of the arena (red square).
C) Total distance travelled (WT Cas9D10A v5 only vs R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 only: P≤0.0001; R6/1
Cas9D10A v5 only vs R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 together with sgCTG-Mut+5: P≤0.004). D) Total time in
movement (WT Cas9D10A v5 only vs R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 only: P≤0.0001; R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 only vs
R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 together with sgCTG-Mut+5: P≤0.0005), and E) velocity (WT Cas9D10A v5 only vs
R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 only: P≤0.0001; R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 only vs R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 together with
sgCTG-Mut+5: P≤0.004) in WT and R6/1 mice injected with the Cas9D10A only or the Cas9D10A with
sgCTG-Mut+5. Data presented is the average of two trials per mouse with the standard deviation
between groups (for individual trials, see Supplementary Fig. 20). N of animals =14-16 per group.
(**P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ****P<0.0001). Statistical analyses are in Supplementary Table 7.

Discussion

Here we provide evidence that Cas9D10A can induce contractions when targeted to expanded CAG/CTG repeats at different disease loci, cell types, and *in vivo*. Cas9D10A did not increase off-target mutation frequencies above background and contractions only occurred on the expanded allele. To our knowledge, the data presented here is the first example of a gene editing approach using a single Cas9 and sgRNA pair capable of correcting the mutation that causes clinically distinct disorders in a safe and efficient manner. *In vivo*, nickase-mediated contractions translated to fewer glutamines in the human mHTT fragment and were accompanied by the improvement of molecular and locomotor phenotypes of HD mice.

Importantly, contraction efficiency improved over time *in vivo*, suggesting that contracted alleles will accumulate for as long as expression of both Cas9D10A and sgCTG is maintained. Thus, the duration of the expression is expected to be a rate limiting factor for the editing efficiency and it is unknown how long expression would be needed for clinically relevant improvements in patients. On the other hand, the propensity of Cas9D10A to be silenced *in vivo* means that self-inactivating approaches, which involve the generation of double-stranded breaks[16], may not be needed, potentially improving safety.

Although we speculate that contractions drive much of the improvements that we observed, other mechanisms are likely to be also at play. For instance, it may be that some of the changes in gene expression induced by the injection of the AAVs may be beneficial to HD pathology. Another possibility is that the reduction in somatic expansion that accompanies contractions plays a role. This is attractive because several drivers of somatic expansion impact the age at disease onset in HD[11,12]. However, reducing expansions when the repeat tract is already large, as in this mouse model, may have only limited effect on disease onset and progression[63,64]. In these cell types, improvements may require contractions beyond simply prevention of somatic expansion. We also considered that the presence of Cas9D10A bound to DNA would change expression of CAG/CTG-containing genes, but found no evidence to support this hypothesis from the snRNA-seq data. Intriguingly, the size of the mHTT inclusions were reduced uniformly across striatal sections and not only in cells that were infected by both AAVs, suggesting a potential non-cell autonomous effect of

contractions on the surrounding cells. Such an effect may potentiate the improvements

induced by the treatment. This is in line with previous observations that *HTT* silencing

in neurons improved astrocyte phenotypes[65]. Moreover, Oura *et al*[66] used a chimeric

mouse approach to show that as few as 20% of edited cells, all of which had the same

repeat excision, led to an improvement in HTT inclusion pathology, weight, and

clasping phenotypes compared to R6/2 HD mice without any edited cells. Regardless

of the exact mechanism, our data suggest that expressing Cas9D10A and a sgRNA

against the repeat tract itself leads to significant improvements in the molecular and

the locomotor phenotypes.

One limitation of our work is the use of two different AAVs to deliver Cas9D10A and

sgCTG. This is common to other gene editing approaches as well[23,24]. One way

around this is to use smaller Cas9 orthologs, for example the Cas9 from *S. aureus*[67],

as has been done *in vivo* for the *DMPK* locus[19,20]. Unexpectedly, this did not lead to

higher levels of editing compared to a two-vector system[19,20]. Therefore, the size of

the Cas9 enzyme and its delivery in the brain remain important challenges.

It is noteworthy that upon expression of the Cas9D10A we induced exclusively repeat

contractions, rather than a collection of uncontrolled insertions and deletions[15–25,66,68–

70]. Our approach is as efficient as excising the repeat tract, while remaining more

precise and avoiding unwanted on-target and off-target mutations. This is of note

because other approaches that involve base editing of the repeat tract targeted all

CAG/CTG repeats, including non-expanded repeats[23,24]. The Cas9 nickase approach

is therefore more precise and produces fewer unnecessary mutations.

A gene editing approach would have several advantages over more traditional

approaches, including antisense oligonucleotides and microRNAs, which reduce the

amount of *HTT* or *DMPK* mRNA[5,71,72]. Specifically, our gene editing approach would

permanently improve the most proximal cause of the disease by reducing the size of

the expanded CAG/CTG repeat. Recently, a small molecule that targets DNA

secondary structures formed by expanded CAG/CTG repeats was shown to induce

contractions *in vivo*[26,73]. However multiple stereotactic injections or continuous supply

of the drug over 16 weeks were required for the effect to be significant. A gene editing

approach would potentially require only a single dose. Crucially, from a patient benefit

standpoint, it is imperative that we develop multiple approaches to maximise the

chances of delivering successful drugs into the clinic.

Methods

iPSC cultures and differentiation conditions

For the HD iPSC-derived astrocytes, we obtained CS09iHD109-n1[38] iPSCs from the Cedars-Sinai Medical Center's David and Janet Polak Foundation Stem Cell Core Laboratory. We found the expanded *HTT* allele to be around 135 CAG repeats and the short one having 19 units. Cultures of iPSCs were tested regularly and found to be negative for the presence of mycoplasma using a service provided by Eurofins. IPSCs were grown in plates coated with Matrigel in E8 Flex Medium at 37°C, 5% CO_2. For astrocyte differentiation iPSCs grown in E8 medium were supplemented with Rho-associated protein kinase inhibitor (RI) at approximately 60% confluency for 24 h. Neural progenitors (NPCs) were first derived by culture in SLI medium (advanced DMEM F-12 supplemented with 1x PenStrep, 1x Glutamax, 1% Neurobrew (w/o) vitamin A, 10 µM SB-431542, 200 nM LDN-193189, 1.5 µM IWR-1) for 8 days. On day 8, cells were treated with RI for 1 h and split 1:4 into NB medium (advanced DMEM F-12 1x PenStrep, 1x Glutamax, 2% Neurobrew (w/o) vitamin A) supplemented with RI for 24 h. From day 14 to 16, 20 µM PluriSIn was added to the medium to eliminate any undifferentiated iPSCs. On day 16, differentiated NPCs were frozen. Astrocyte progenitor cells (APCs) were differentiated from these NPCs as previously described[74]. Six million NPCs were thawed into NB medium containing 20 µM PluriSIn. After 2 days, the medium was changed to NF (advanced DMEM F-12 containing 1x PenStrep, 1x Glutamax, 2% Neurobrew with vitamin A, 20 ng ml^{-1} fibroblast growth factor 2 "Improved Sequence" (FGF-2 IS) and cells were allowed to reach confluency. They were then passaged three times in NEL medium (advanced DMEM F-12 with 1x PenStrep, 1x Glutamax, 2% Neurobrew with vitamin A, 20 ng ml^{-1} epidermal growth factor 20 ng ml^{-1} leukaemia inhibitory factor). CD44 positive cells were collected using the DB FACSAria Fusion and maintained into NEF medium (advanced DMEM F-12 supplemented with 1x PenStrep, 1x Glutamax, 2% Neurobrew with vitamin A, 20 ng ml^{-1} epidermal growth factor, 20 ng ml^{-1} FGF-2 IS). To mature astrocytes, APCs were seeded onto Matrigel coated 6 well plates at 200,000 cells per well with STEMdiff™ Astrocyte Maturation medium (STEMCELL Technologies). After 2 weeks, the media was changed to advanced DMEM F-12 with 2% FBS for another 2 weeks. Once mature, astrocytes were plated in advanced DMEM F-12 medium without FBS for treatment with Cas9D10A.

CS09iHD109-n1 and DM1 iPSCs (GM24559, obtained from the Coriell Institute) were
differentiated to cortical neurons[74] by first bringing the cells to confluency and
maintaining them in neuronal induction medium (0.5x advanced DMEM F-12 and 0.5x
Neurobasal medium supplemented with 25 U ml^{-1} PenStrep, 2.5 µg ml^{-1} insulin
solution human, 50 µM 2-Mercaptoethanol, 1x MEM non-essential amino acids
solution, 500 µM sodium pyruvate solution, 1x N2 supplement, 1x B27 supplement,
1mM L-Glutamine, 10 µM SB-431542, 1 µM dorsomorphin dihydrochloride) for 12
days. The resulting neuroepithelium was disaggregated and plated in a new precoated
2x Matrigel well with neuronal maintenance media (NMM; 50x advanced DMEM F-12
and 50x Neurobasal medium supplemented with 25 U ml^{-1} PenStrep, 2.5µg ml^{-1}
insulin, 50 µM 2-Mercaptoethanol, 1x MEM non-essential amino acids, 500 µM sodium
pyruvate solution, 1x N2 supplement, 1x B27 supplement, and 1 mM L-Glutamine)
also containing 20 ng ml^{-1} human FGF-2 IS. Once neuronal rosettes were visible,
Neural Rosette Selection Reagent (Stem Cell Tech) was added to the culture for 1 h
at 37°C for NPC selection. NPCs in suspension were collected and plated. NPC were
maintained in NMM+FGF-2 IS. 50,000 live cells cm^{-2} and 30,000 live cells cm^{-2} of HD
and DM1 NPC, respectively, were plated for neuronal differentiation in wells pre-
coated with Laminin from Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm murine sarcoma basement
membrane (Merck). Cells were plated in NMM and half the media was replaced twice
a week. Neuronal cultures were kept for 3 weeks for maturation before being used for
lentiviral transduction.

Mature neuronal and astrocytic cultures were transduced with lentiviral vectors at a
multiplicity of infection of 5. The lentiviruses drive the expression of the Cas9D10A
together with a blasticidin resistance gene, BSD, alone or together with the sgCTG
cassette (Supplementary Table 1). Transduced cells were selected using blasticidin
(5 µg ml^{-1}) for 7 days. Cells were collected with scrapers 3 and 6 weeks after the
infection to isolate DNA and measure repeat size.

Lymphoblastoid cultures

Lymphoblastoid cell line from a Huntington's disease patient (GM03620, obtained from
the Coriell Institute) was cultured in RPMI with 2mM L-Glutamine + 15%FBS media.

Cell cultures were transduced with lentiviral vectors expressing Cas9D10A (pLenti-
EF1alpha-Cas9D10A nickase-Blast; Supplementary Table 1) at a multiplicity of
infection of 10 along with 5 µg ml^{-1} polybrene. Transduced cells were selected using
blasticidin (10 µg ml^{-1}) for a minimum of 14 days. Then, cells were verified to be
expressing Cas9D10A at multiple timepoints throughout to measure nickase protein
expression via western blot (Supplementary Fig. 3a) and further transduced with a
lentiviral   vector   expressing   the   sgCTG   (pLV(gRNA)-CMV-eGFP:T2A:Hygro-
U6(sgCTG); Supplementary Table 1) at a multiplicity of infection 20 + 5 µg ml^{-1}
polybrene on day 0 of the experiment. Transduced cells were selected using
hygromycin (50 µg ml^{-1}) for 28 days. Cells were collected 42 and 56 days after the
infection with the sgCTG to isolate DNA and measure repeat size using long-read
sequencing.

Immunostaining

Mature astrocytes were plated onto CELLSTAR 96-well tissue culture plates (Greiner
Bio-One   Ltd.),   fixed   with   4%   paraformaldehyde   (PFA),   washed   with   PBS,
permeabilized with 0.4% Triton-X in PBS, washed with PBS, and then blocked for 1 h
at RT with 0.2% Triton-X in PBS + 1% normal goat serum (NGS, Merck). Antibodies
used   and   their   concentration   is   found   in   Supplementary   Table   11.   Cells   were
counterstained with DAPI (1 µg ml^{-1}) before imaging with the Opera Phenix High
Content Screening System (Perkin Elmer).

NPCs were plated on 13 mm coverslips for neuronal differentiation. Neurons were
fixed in 4% PFA. Cells were washed in PBS, blocked, and permeabilized for 1 h in 1%
BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 4% NGS in PBS, and incubated with primary antibody in
1% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1% NGS in PBS overnight at 4°C. After washing with
PBS, cells were incubated with appropriate Alexa-conjugated secondary antibody in
1% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were
counterstained with DAPI Staining Reagent (1 µg ml^{-1}; Chemometec) and after several
washes in PBS, coverslips were mounted onto SuperFrost Plus slides (Thermo Fisher
Scientific) with Fluoromount aqueous mounting medium (Merck) and imaged with the
Leica SP8 confocal microscope. Images were captured using LAS X software and
were analysed with Fiji software.

Flow cytometry

Mature cultures were resuspended by Accutase (Fisher Scientific) and fixed with PFA 2%, Saponin 0,1%, in PBS. Fixation step was inactivated using 1.25 M glycine. Cells were washed/blocked with 500 µl of blocking solution (0.5% Saponin; 0.5% BSA; in PBS) and incubated with primary antibody in 300 µl 0.5% Saponin; 0.5% BSA; 1% NGS in PBS for 30 min at 4°C. After washing with a cleaning buffer (0.1% Saponin; 0.2% BSA; in PBS), cells were incubated with appropriate Alexa-conjugated secondary antibody in the cleaning buffer for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were counterstained with DAPI Staining Reagent (1 ng ml^{-1}; Fisher Scientific). Finally, cells were resuspended in 0.5% BSA and EDTA 5 mM in PBS. Samples were run on the BD FACSAria Fusion and analysed using FlowJo v10 software.

Small-pool PCR

Small-pool PCR was done as previously described[30,31], but using primers listed in Supplementary Table 12. Genomic DNA was extracted with the NucleoSpin Tissue DNA extraction kit (Macherey-Nagel). PCRs were run using between 10-500 pg of DNA measured by Quant-iT Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (ThermoFisher) to find the amount of amplifiable alleles for each sample. The products were run on 1.5% agarose gels in 1X TAE buffer and transferred onto a GeneScreen Hybridization Transfer Membrane. The hybridization was done at 48°C (for *HTT* blots) or 51°C (for *DMPK* blots) in ULTRAhyb Ultrasensitive Hybridization buffer (Fisher Scientific) with a ^{32}P-labelled oligo containing 10 CAG repeats (oVIN-100, Supplementary Table 12). The membranes were exposed to a phosphor screen and scanned using Bio-Rad PMI Personal Molecular Imager. Images were captured using Quantity One 1-D Analysis Software (Basic V4.6.6) and analysed with Fiji version 1.53f51. Blinded allele counts were completed for each membrane based on allele frequency calculated by Poisson distribution as described[31]. Each band was sized using the ladder run on either side of the gel. For the *HTT* locus in astrocytes, we excluded repeats below 34 repeats so as to not conflate contractions with the non-expanded allele. For the *DMPK* locus, small-pool PCRs, sizing was further divided between fragment sizes of 2 kb and 3 kb by fitting an exponential decay of the distance travelled by the ladder bands. This

allowed us to subdivide this region of over 580 repeats into three bins, where most of
the contractions were observed. Differences between conditions were determined
using a Mann-Whitney U Test calculated by GraphPad Prism software (v.10.0.0).

Potential off-target identification

To assess potential off-target effects of our CRISPR-Cas9 system targeting CAG/CTG
repeats in the human genome (GRCh38/hg38), we used the CasOFFinder web tool[75].
We used two different queries CTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTG and
CTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTGC to capture potential binding. All analyses were
conducted using the 5'-NRG-3' PAM sequence, allowing up to 2 mismatches. This
resulted in 1846 potential off-target genes genome-wide. Coverage analysis of those
genes revealed 16 genes with very low coverage, which were filtered out, leading to a
total of 1830 potential off-target loci (Supplementary Table 2). The same queries were
used on the mouse genome (mm10). We found 3132 potential off-targets
(Supplementary Table 5).

Whole genome sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from cells using the Macherey-Nagel NucleoSpin Tissue
DNA mini kit and made into dual-indexed single stranded DNA libraries using 'Illumina
DNA PCR-free Prep kit, Tagmentation' (Illumina). Quantification of the libraries was
done using the KAPA Illumina Universal Library Quant kit (Roche). The sequencing
was performed on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 using the v1.5 S4 reagent kit, 300 cycles
using XP 4-lane splitter kit. Together the 33 samples generated 1600Gb of raw data.

Demultiplexing was done using bcl2fastq conversion software (Illumina) and the
paired-end sequences were aligned to GRCh38/hg38 with the Burrows-Wheeler
Aligner (BWA)[76] version bwa/0.7.17 and the mem algorithm. Subsequently, the
Sequence Alignment/Map (SAM)[77] files produced were sorted via the Picard
(picard/2.27.5 - https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). These files were then
converted to binary alignment/map (BAM) format and indexed using SAMtools
(samtools/1.21)[77].

To assess sequence coverage, genome-wide and potential off-target candidate
regions, Mosdepth[78] (0.3.11) was applied to all BAM files. The resulting coverage bed

files were combined to create an average coverage using an in-house python script
(available on https://github.com/DionLab/Murillo-et-al). Read groups were attributed to
the BAM files with the Picard tool, which also facilitated the subsequent analysis for
single nucleotide polymorphisms using bcftools[79] version 1.21 with htslib version 1.22.

To capture rare mosaic variants, we used an approach similar to one used by Dong et
al[80]. Variant calling was performed on the whole genome as well as candidate off-
target regions specified in the BED file using Bcftools mpileup[77]. Variants with an allele
frequency of at least 0.05 and DP2+DP3 ≥ 2. The output was compressed to BCF
format following the standard bcftools workflow for each sample. Variants were further
filtered for quality using the bcftools filter, excluding those with the following criteria:
"QUAL < 30 or DP < 10 or MQ<40 or VAF ≥ 0.2, calculated as (DP4[2] +DP4[3]) /
(DP4[0]+DP4[1]+DP4[2]+DP4[3])". Filtered results from all VCF files for 33 samples
with 4 lanes were subsequently compiled into a single CSV (Supplementary Table 2)
format using a custom Python script for further analysis. Only variants that were found
in more than one lane were considered and we removed the ones found at day 0 as
they were already in the population before the treatments. We ran this analysis on
both the 1830 potential off-targets and the whole genome separately.

Long read sequencing of repeats

Expanded repeats were sequenced as before using SMRT HiFi amplicon
sequencing[44] (see Supplementary Table 12 for primers). Mouse samples were
mechanically disaggregated in Nucleospin Tissue DNA extraction T1 buffer using a
mini hand-held homogenizer (ThermoFisher). Genomic DNA was extracted with the
NucleoSpin Tissue DNA extraction kit (Macherey-Nagel). Three PCRs (3 x 50 µl
volume) per sample were combined and cleaned with AMPure PB beads according to
PacBio's barcoded overhang adapter protocol (Pacific Biosciences #101-791-700).
Barcoded sample pool purity was analysed on the 5200 Fragment Analyser (Agilent)
and final library concentration determined using Invitrogen Qubit 1X HS dsDNA kit.
Sample pools were sequenced using the Pacific Biosciences Sequel IIe.

Precise CAG/CTG repeat sizes were determined using Repeat Detector[44] (version
1.0.15eb445). Unaligned reads were assessed using the restrictive profile with a
repeat size range of [0–250]. For each analysis, the -–with-revcomp option was

enabled and data was output to a histogram (-o histogram option). Obtained
histograms were plotted using GraphPad Prism software (v.10.0.0). As the sequences
are unaligned when we determine repeat size, the CCSs containing between 0 and 5
CAG/CTGs are removed from analysis as they include a large proportion of truncated
PCR products and other sequences that do not align with the *HTT* locus.

Alignment of long reads

The repeat regions were aligned with the NGMLR aligner using the *HTT* region from
GRCh38 containing 19 CAG repeats as reference sequence. The resulting SAM files
were converted into BAM and .bai index files using Picard and Samtools, respectively.
The bam files were checked for percentage of mapped reads using Samtools flagstat
command. The indexed BAM files were then visualised using the Integrative Genomics
Viewer (IGV 2.16.2)[46] to assess the alignment quality and to confirm the presence of
the expected CAG repeat count. Sniffles2[45] was run on the aligned BAM files for
identifying rearrangements.

Area under the curve, repeat size indices, and comparisons

To calculate the difference in the area under the curve (Supplementary Fig. 9), we
used the histograms generated by Repeat Detector. The total read counts were
normalised for each sample and adjusted such that the mode is set to 0. Then we
subtracted the frequency of reads of the treated samples from those in the control
conditions for each repeat size. The positive values were then added together to
produce the difference in the area under the curve. It represents the frequency of
alleles that has changed compared to the control samples. We also generated delta
plots to compare the differences in repeat size between two treatments. To do so,
allele frequencies were normalized to the number of total reads in the samples and
grouped into 5-repeat bins. Then the relative frequencies of the experimental samples
were deducted from that of the control sample. Statistical distribution analysis using a
matched-pair Wilcoxon or unpaired Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests was performed without
binning. The instability index was calculated as previously described for images[51], but
using normalised read frequencies and a 5% threshold. The expansion and
contraction indices were calculated such that expansion index + contraction index =
instability index.

Mouse housing

C57BL/6J R6/1 mice[81] (B6.Cg-Tg(HDexon1)61Gpb/J) were maintained as hemizygotes with *ad libitum* access to food and water and maintained in a temperature and humidity-controlled environment on a 12 h dark/light cycle. Health and wellbeing of the animals were monitored daily and their weight were checked every week in a T1 biosafety level 2 animal facility. All experimental procedures done in Cardiff followed protocols in accordance with the United Kingdom Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986. All experimental procedures performed on R6/1 mice were approved by Cardiff University Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board and carried out under Home Office Licenses P49E8C976 and PP7595333. We did not go beyond 2 months in these experiments because the R6/1 mice become markedly unwell beyond this age and to continue would have risked unnecessary suffering. Stereotactic injections done in wild type mice presented in Supplementary Fig. 6a-d were done at the Center de Recherche du CHU de Québec (Québec, QC, Canada). All procedures on these animals were completed in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care and were approved by the Comité de Protection des Animaux du CRCHUQ-UL under protocol number 2019-49, CHU-17-106.

Stereotactic injections

The R6/1 mice used here were of both sexes and had between 138 and 151 CAG/CTG repeats. Mice were anaesthetised with isoflurane and placed in a stereotactic frame. In P2 animals, a syringe was used to penetrate the skin and skull. In adult (3.5 months old) mice, the scalp was shaved, a longitudinal incision was made to expose the skull surface, and 2 burr holes were drilled above the infusion sites. A 4 µl viral suspension (4.8×10^{10} vg of Cas9D10A v4 and sgCTG/GFP AAVs in 1:1 ratio) was stereotactically injected into the striatum of adult mice according to the Paxinos and Franklin mouse brain atlas (AP +0.8; ML +-1.8; DV -2.2 mm from bregma). 2-months old animals were injected in the same adult coordinates with a 4 µl viral suspension (7.98×10^{10} vg of Cas9D10A v5 and sgCTG-Mut+5/GFP AAVs in 1:2 ratio). P2 mice were stereotactically injected with 1 µl (1.44×10^{10} vg of Cas9D10A v4 and sgCTG/GFP AAVs in 1:1 ratio) virus suspension into the striatum (AP +2.3; ML +-1.4; DL 1.8 mm from lambda). Hamilton syringes 701 N 10 µl and 5 µl FIX NDL (26S/51/3) were used for the infusion in adult and post-natal mice respectively. The infusion rate was 200 nL

min^{-1}, and the needle remained in place for 5 min after infusion for vector absorption

before removal. In adult mice, the injection site was closed with stitches, and mice

recovered in incubators. For the wild type animals injected with the different constructs

found in Supplementary Fig. 6a-d, each construct was injected together with the

sgCTG AAV9 into the cortex and the striatum (AP: +/- 1.6, MD: +/- 1.4, DV -0.75) using

a total of 6x10^{10} vg, at a ratio of Cas9D10A to sgCTG of 1:1, divided equally between

the two hemispheres. Animals were between 8 and 9 months of age.

Behavioural tests

Male and female mice were injected at 2 months of age and tested 1 month post-

injection. Mice were handled for 1 minute per day for 5 days each before the first

behavioural test. For motor coordination assessment, mice were placed on a rotarod

with a fixed speed of 12 rpm and 24 rpm (two total trials, 3 hours apart) and the time

of the first fall and the total number of falls were recorded until the sum of latencies to

fall reached a total of 60 s per trial. For accelerated rotarod, animals were placed on

the rod with a constant increase from 5 to 40 rpm over the 5-minute trial. A balance

beam test was used to evaluate fine motor coordination and balance. Mice were

placed at one end of the beam, and the time to reach an escape box containing nesting

material and located in the opposite end was recorded. The beam dimensions were:

L80 cm, W0.5–1.5 cm, H34–54 cm with an incline of 17°. The house dimensions were:

L11 cm, W11 cm, H10 cm. Mice were allowed to rest for 3 hours before the next trial

with a total of two trials. Muscular strength was measured by placing the mice on top

of a wire rectangle of approximately 20 cm × 21 cm, surrounded by tape to prevent

mice walking off the edge, and after a light shaking so mice gripped the wires, the lid

was turned upside down. Latency to the first fall was recorded within the total 60 s of

the test. Open field test was conducted by positioning the animal at the centre of the

white open field arena (80 cm x 80 cm x 30 cm). The locomotive behaviour was

monitored for a duration of 5 minutes with the EthoVision tracking software. All

experiments were conducted during the light phase and were performed and analysed

in a blinded manner.

Perfusion and immunostaining of mouse tissues

R6/1 animals were deeply anaesthetised with Dolethal (Vetoquino) and transcardially

perfused with 4% PFA in PBS. Brains were removed, 2 h post-fixed in 4% PFA, and

stored in 30% sucrose in PBS at 4°C. Wild type animals presented in Supplementary

Figure 6a-d were anaesthetised the same way, but the perfusion was done with PBS.

30 µm coronal sections were cut with a freezing sliding microtome and stored at −20°C

in cryoprotectant solution (30% ethylene glycol, 30% glycerol, 20 mM PBS) until

processing. Free-floating brain sections were washed in PBS and an antigen retrieval

step was performed. For IBA1, GFAP and HA antibodies immunostaining, tissue

sections were incubated for 30 minutes at 75°C in sodium citrate buffer pH 6. For

GFPs, NeuN and DARPP-32 immunostaining, tissue sections were boiled in citrate

buffer pH 6 for 2 minutes. Brain sections were then washed in PBS and blocked and

permeabilized for 1 h in 1% BSA, 0.2% Triton X-100, and 4% NGS in PBS for IBA1

and GFAP antibodies; 1 h in 1% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100, and 4% NGS in PBS for

NeuN and DARPP-32 antibodies; 1 h in 1% BSA, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 4% NGS in

PBS for HA antibody. Then, floating sections were incubated with primary antibodies

in 1% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1% NGS in PBS overnight at 4°C. After washing

with PBS, sections were incubated with appropriate Alexa-conjugated secondary

antibody in 1% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Tissues

were stained with DAPI and after several washes in PBS, sections were mounted onto

SuperFrost Plus slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific), air-dried, and coverslipped with

Fluoromount aqueous mounting medium (Merck). Leica SP8 confocal (LAS X

software) and Evos FL Auto 2 (Invitrogen EVOS FL Auto 2.0 Imaging System)

microscopes were used to capture images.

Quantification of the inclusion bodies was done using the total number of cells or

nuclei, as measured by DAPI staining, taking 3 to 4 images from 3 different mice at

several different antero-posterior and dorso-ventral coordinates in the striatum. The

reported decreases were calculated as 100% minus the percentage of the Cas9D10A

treatment alone. We reported the results the same way for the size of the inclusion

bodies and their intensities. All image capture and analysis were done where we are

blind to the treatment done. Total cells and inclusions quantification were performed

with ImageJ (v2.3.051).

Western blotting

To assess Cas9 levels in astrocytic and lymphoblastoid cultures, proteins were

extracted using RIPA buffer supplemented with cOmplete^{TM} EDTA-free protease

inhibitors. Mouse brain tissues were mechanically disaggregated in RIPA buffer

supplemented with cOmplete^{TM} EDTA-free protease inhibitors using a mini hand-held

homogenizer (ThermoFisher). Samples were incubated for 30 min in a rotator at 4°C

and soluble fractions were collected by centrifugation (30 min; 13000g at 4°C). Protein

concentrations were determined using Pierce BCA protein assay (ThermoFisher). 10

to 30 µg protein was loaded on 4-12% Bis-Tris Plus precast gels (ThermoFisher) and

run in 1X MES buffer (ThermoFisher) alongside Bio-Rad Kaleidoscope molecular

weight marker. Proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane using the

Bolt system (ThermoFisher). The results were imaged on the Odyssey Imager (LI-

COR Biosciences).

HTRF assay

HTRF assay was performed as previously described[53,82]. In summary, a 5% (w/v) total

protein homogenate was prepared in ice-cold bioassay buffer (PBS, 1% Triton-X-100)

with complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche), by homogenizing three times

for 30 s in lysing matrix D tubes at 6.5 m s^{-1} (MP Biomedicals) in a Fast-Prep-24TM

instrument (MP Biomedicals). Lysates were snap frozen and used for assays the

following day. Tissue homogenates to a final volume of 10 µL were pipetted in triplicate

into a 384-well proxiplate (Greiner Bio-One). Antibody concentrations used were 1 ng

of donor per well and 40 ng of acceptor per well. For HTRF assays, antibodies were

added per well in 5 µL HTRF detection buffer [50 mM NaH2PO4, 0.2 M KF, 0.1%

bovine serum albumin, 0.05% Tween-20] with complete protease inhibitor cocktail

tablets (Roche). Plates were incubated for 3 h on an orbital shaker (250 rpm) at room

temperature, before reading on an EnVision (Revvity) plate reader using optimised

HTRF detection parameters as described previously[82] .

Single-nuclei RNA sequencing and analysis

Library preparation and sequencing: Nuclear isolation was performed in a Genomics

Chromium platform from 12 striatal samples. Droplet-based snRNA sequencing

libraries were prepared at the UK DRI Single Cell and Spatial Omics Facility using the

Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3' Kit v3.1 (10x Genomics, Pleasanton CA) according

to the manufacturer's protocol. The library was sequenced at UCL genomic facility

using NovaSeq 6000 S4 v1.5 (200 Cycles) with configuration 28-10-10-90.

<u>Processing, quality control, and filtering:</u> Raw FASTQ files were processed using Cell

Ranger v8.0.0 [83](10x Genomics) on a high-performance computing cluster. A custom

mouse reference genome was created by adding GFP, Cas9D10A, and human

huntingtin exon1 (*huHTT*) transgene sequences to the standard mouse genome

(GRCm39). The data were processed using Seurat v5.0 in R[84–87]. Quality control

metrics were calculated including mitochondrial gene percentage using the

PercentageFeatureSet() function with the pattern "^mt-". Cells were filtered to retain

those with 200-5000 detected genes and less than 5% mitochondrial reads. Sex

information was mapped to each sample and converted to numeric format for

downstream regression.

<u>Normalization and batch effect correction:</u> Unique Molecular Identifier (UMI) counts

from each cell were normalized using SCTransform with the mitochondrial gene

percentage, total UMI count (nCount_RNA), and sex regressed out using

vars.to.regress[88]. Principal component analysis was performed on the normalized data

using RunPCA() with default parameters, and the first 20 principal components were

selected for downstream analysis. Batch effect correction was performed using

Harmony integration implemented through the RunHarmony() function[89]. Integration

was performed on the SCTransform-normalized data using sample identity (orig.ident)

as the batch variable with theta = 1. The integrated representation was saved as

"pca_integrated" for subsequent clustering and visualization.

<u>Cell clustering, visualization, and identification:</u> Cell clustering was performed using

the integrated principal components with the FindNeighbors() and FindClusters()

functions in Seurat[84–87,90]. A resolution of 0.5 was used for Louvain clustering. UMAP

(Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection) dimensionality reduction was

performed using RunUMAP() on both unintegrated and integrated PCA embeddings

with default parameters.

Cell type annotation was performed using a curated list of marker genes for 13 cell

types[58]. Direct and indirect medium spiny neurons were pooled for the subsequent

analyses. Module scores were calculated for each cell type using AddModuleScore()

with the respective marker gene sets. Automatic cell type assignment was performed

by calculating average module scores for each cluster and assigning the cell type with

the highest average score using Seurat v5.0 [84–88,90].

Single-nuclei differential expression analysis: Cell type-specific differential expression

analysis was performed using FindMarkers() implemented in Seurat v5.0 [84–88,90]. Prior

to analysis, SCTransform models were prepared using PrepSCTFindMarkers() to

ensure compatibility across sample subsets.

Differential expression analysis was conducted using Seurat's FindMarkers function

with the MAST framework. Analysis was restricted to genes expressed in at least 10%

of cells in either comparison group, with logfc.threshold = 0.

Pseudo-bulk differential expression analysis: We performed pseudobulk differential

expression (DE) analysis separately on each annotated cell type using DESeq2

(v1.48.2)[91]. For each annotated cell type, raw UMI counts from the Seurat object were

aggregated by its *orig.ident* to generate sample-level pseudobulk count matrices,

treating each replicate as a separate unit for statistical testing. Genes with less than

10 UMI counts in all samples or expressed in less than two replicates per group were

excluded. Differential expression testing was performed using a design formula of ~

sample_group. For each comparison, DESeq2 was utilized to estimate dispersion and

fit negative binomial generalized linear models. This resulted in csv files with

normalized counts and differential gene expression statistics for all genes

(Supplementary Tables 5 and 6).

Filtering and pathway enrichment analysis: The threshold for significance for

differentially expressed genes were adjusted p-value < 0.01 and absolute $\log_2$ fold

change > 0.585 . Pathway enrichment analysis was performed on these filtered gene

sets using the enrichR package. The Gene Ontology Cellular Component (2023

version) was used and the enrichment results were ranked by p-value and combined

score, with specific tracking of Huntington's disease-related pathways across all

comparisons. For KEGG pathway analysis, Tubb3 emerged as the most stable

housekeeping gene calculated by the coefficient of variation and used as

housekeeping gene for DGE normalization. We used a targeted gene panel from the

KEGG Huntington's Disease pathway (hsa05016, MSigDB M13486), which is
available through the Molecular Signatures Database.

Comparison with previously published datasets: To compare gene expression at the
single-nucleus level against published datasets, we first subdivided our MSN
population into the direct (dMSN) and indirect (iMSN) medium spiny neurons. For each
subtype, we extracted lists of significantly differentially expressed genes comparing
R6/1 mice and wildtype littermates treated with the Cas9D10A AAV only. These were
compared to differentially expressed genes reported by Lee et al[58] and Lim et al[92] for
R6/2 mice as well as compared to zQ175 mice[58]. We determined the direction of gene
expression changes by using the sign of the $\log_2$ fold-change in each dataset and
assessed whether they were concordant with our observations. A Fisher's exact test
was used to determine whether the number of common / divergent genes were
different between datasets (Supplementary Fig. 17).

Off-target enrichment analysis: We extracted the set of genes overlapping Cas9D10A
potential off-target loci in the mouse genome. We used a Fisher's exact test
(Supplementary Table 5) to determine whether off-target containing genes were more
likely to be differentially regulated compared to the total number of dysregulated
genes. We used the pseudobulk medium spiny neurons for this analysis
(Supplementary Fig. 16e-g).

Q-PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed using the Applied Biosystems
QuantStudio 7 Flex Real-Time PCR system and analysed with the QuantStudio Real-
Time PCR Software (v1.7 Thermo Fisher). Briefly, qPCR was assayed in a total
volume of 10 µl reaction mixture containing the ready-to-use FastStart Universal SYBR
Green Master (ROX) (Thermo Fisher) and 0.5 µM of forward and reverse mix
appropriate primers (Supplementary Table 12). All qPCR reactions were run in
triplicates. Mean cycle threshold (Ct) values for each reaction were recorded and the
relative DNA copies were calculated and normalised to Actin (2^(ActinCt-geneCt)).

Statistics

Total number of alleles amplified by Small-pool PCR was calculated using Poisson
distribution as described[30]. We determined P-values for small-pool PCR using a Mann-

Whitney nonparametric U-test comparing control and Cas9D10A + sgCTG conditions.
We determined statistical significance between the Cas9D10A only and Cas9D10A +
sgCTG HD iPSC-derived neuronal populations shown in Supplementary Fig. 2cd
using a Student's t-test. A nonparametric paired Wilcoxon test was used to compare
two hemispheres from the same animal and a nonparametric unpaired Kolmogorov-
Smirnov test when comparing samples from different animals. Delta plots used
aggregated data visualization purposes only, not for statistical testing. A Student's t-
test was also used HTRF and aggregate measures. Furthermore, differences in
instability indices over time were calculated using a one-way ANOVA with a post-hoc
Tukey's multiple comparison test. We used two-way ANOVA to estimate differences
on treatment and time, followed by a post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test. The
same test was used for behavioral analysis. For repeat size comparison, in allele
frequency or delta plot analysis, after long-read sequencing two tests were used. For
comparison of repeat instability between striatal samples within the same animal a
paired nonparametric Wilcoxon signed rank test was used. For comparison of repeat
sizes between different animals and in the lymphoblastoid experiments, an unpaired
nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used. Statistical analyses were done
using GraphPad Prism software version 10.0.0 and were always two-tailed tests using
a significance cut off at $P=0.05$.

Data availability statement

The CCSs and snRNA-seq sequences are available from SRA
(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) PRJNA1077893.

Code availability statement

Custom scripts are available at https://github.com/DionLab/Murillo-et-al.

1021

Acknowledgements

Mouse image (Fig. 5b) and brain slice (Fig. 2bj&5a) were created with BioRender.com (agreement numbers are MK28EFZJ1S and JM28EFZ3G9, respectively). We would like to thank Andrew Jefferson for training and for keeping the fluorescence microscopes in excellent working order and Joanne Morgan and the core team at the Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics at Cardiff University for support with sequencing. Also, we would also like to thank Daria Gavriouchkina and Modesta Blunskyte from the UK DRI Single Cell and Spatial Omics Facility for their help in single nuclei RNA-seq library preparation. We thank the UCL genomic facility staff for the snRNA-seq sequencing. We thank Vanessa Wheeler and Geneviève Gourdon for critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank Sarah Langley for advice with the snRNA-seq data analysis and Sandeep Sundara Rajan for designing the Cas9D10A v5. This research was undertaken using the supercomputing facilities at Cardiff University operated by Advanced Research Computing at Cardiff (ARCCA) on behalf of the Cardiff Supercomputing Facility and the HPC Wales and Supercomputing Wales (SCW) projects. We acknowledge the support of the latter, which is part-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) via the Welsh Government. We also thank the University of Lausanne for providing some plasmids used in this study.

Funding

This work is supported by the UK Dementia Research Institute [award numbers UK DRI-TAP2022FA2, DRI-TAP2022FA14, and UK DRI-3204] through UK DRI Ltd, principally funded by the UK Medical Research Council. VD is further supported by a professorship from the Academy of Medical Sciences (AMSPR1\1014) and the Moondance Foundation Laboratories. This project is part of the UCL Neurogenetic Therapies Programme (to V.D.), generously funded by The Sigrid Rausing Trust. It was partially supported by a grant from Huntington Society of Canada (to FC, VD, and Vanessa Wheeler) and by the Myotonic Dystrophy Foundation (to VD, JP, and Geneviève Gourdon). NS was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme awarded to NDA under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 813851. GPB is supported by the CHDI Foundation. A.M. holds a Future Leaders in Neuroscience Research Award from the Neuroscience and Mental Health Innovation Institute at Cardiff University. MJL is funded by an MRC Programme grant (MR/T033428/1).

Author contributions

AMurillo: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

MA: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing

RRPD: Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – review & editing

ELR: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

MLarin: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing

LH: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

ANA: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

AST: Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – review & editing

AMM: Resources, Writing – review & editing

NS: Investigation, Resources, Writing – review & editing

AERH: Formal Analysis, Writing – review & editing

PA: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

KF: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

CL: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

GFO: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

AMangin: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

SB: Methodology, Writing – review & editing

EM: Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing

NDA: Resources, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing

JP: Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding Acquisition, Writing – review & editing

GPB: Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing

BLD: Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing

FC: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing

MLelos: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing

VD: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

Conflict of interests

V.D. declares that he has had a research contract with Pfizer Inc unrelated to this work in the past 5 years. B.L.D. serves on the advisory board of Latus Bio, Seamless Bio, and Carbon Biosciences and has sponsored research unrelated to this work from Latus Bio. E.M. is an inventor listed under patent WO2023105212A1, cited herein and V. D, A. Murillo, A. Mangin, and E.M. are named inventors on patent application

1093   EP25387174.3, which covers systems and compositions. All other authors declare no

1094   competing interests.

1095

1096

References

References

1. Khristich, A. N. & Mirkin, S. M. On the wrong DNA track: Molecular mechanisms of

repeat-mediated genome instability. *J Biol Chem* **295**, 4134–4170 (2020).

2. The Huntington's Disease Collaborative Research Group. A novel gene containing a

trinucleotide repeat that is expanded and unstable on Huntington's disease

chromosomes. *Cell* **72**, 971–983 (1993).

3. Fu, Y. H. *et al.* An unstable triplet repeat in a gene related to myotonic muscular

dystrophy. *Science* **255**, 1256–1258 (1992).

4. Tabrizi, S. J. *et al.* Potential disease-modifying therapies for Huntington's disease:

lessons learned and future opportunities. *Lancet Neurol* **21**, 645–658 (2022).

5. Pascual-Gilabert, M., Artero, R. & López-Castel, A. The myotonic dystrophy type 1

drug development pipeline: 2022 edition. *Drug Discov Today* **28**, 103489 (2023).

6. McAllister, B. *et al.* Timing and Impact of Psychiatric, Cognitive, and Motor

Abnormalities in Huntington Disease. *Neurology* **96**, e2395–e2406 (2021).

7. Morales, F. *et al.* Individual-specific levels of CTG•CAG somatic instability are

shared across multiple tissues in myotonic dystrophy type 1. *Human Molecular*

Genetics **32**, 621–631 (2023).

8. Kennedy, L. *et al.* Dramatic tissue-specific mutation length increases are an early

molecular event in Huntington disease pathogenesis. *Human Molecular Genetics*

12, 3359–3367 (2003).

9. Mätlik, K. *et al.* Cell-type-specific CAG repeat expansions and toxicity of mutant

Huntingtin in human striatum and cerebellum. *Nat Genet* 1–12 (2024)

doi:10.1038/s41588-024-01653-6.

10. Genetic Modifiers of Huntington's Disease (GeM-HD) Consortium. Identification of

Genetic Factors that Modify Clinical Onset of Huntington's Disease. *Cell* **162**, 516–526 (2015).

11. Genetic Modifiers of Huntington's Disease (GeM-HD) Consortium. CAG Repeat Not Polyglutamine Length Determines Timing of Huntington's Disease Onset. *Cell* **178**, 887-900.e14 (2019).

12. Genetic Modifiers of Huntington's Disease (GeM-HD) Consortium. Genetic modifiers of somatic expansion and clinical phenotypes in Huntington's disease highlight shared and tissue-specific effects. *Nat Genet* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-025-02191-5 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41588-025-02191-5.

13. Bettencourt, C. *et al.* DNA repair pathways underlie a common genetic mechanism modulating onset in polyglutamine diseases. *Annals of Neurology* **79**, 983–990 (2016).

14. McAllister, B. *et al.* Exome sequencing of individuals with Huntington's disease implicates FAN1 nuclease activity in slowing CAG expansion and disease onset. *Nat Neurosci* **25**, 446–457 (2022).

15. Yang, S. *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing ameliorates neurotoxicity in mouse model of Huntington's disease. *J Clin Invest* **127**, 2719–2724 (2017).

16. Merienne, N. *et al.* The Self-Inactivating KamiCas9 System for the Editing of CNS Disease Genes. *Cell Rep* **20**, 2980–2991 (2017).

17. Duarte, F. *et al.* Limitations of Dual-Single Guide RNA CRISPR Strategies for the Treatment of Central Nervous System Genetic Disorders. *Human Gene Therapy* **34**, 958–974 (2023).

18. Duarte, F. *et al.* Semi-automated workflows to quantify AAV transduction in various

brain areas and predict gene editing outcome for neurological disorders. *Molecular Therapy - Methods & Clinical Development* **29**, 254–270 (2023).

19. Lo Scrudato, M. *et al.* Genome Editing of Expanded CTG Repeats within the Human DMPK Gene Reduces Nuclear RNA Foci in the Muscle of DM1 Mice. *Molecular Therapy* **27**, 1372–1388 (2019).

20. Cardinali, B. *et al.* Time-controlled and muscle-specific CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of CTG-repeat expansion in the DMPK gene. *Molecular Therapy - Nucleic Acids* **27**, 184–199 (2022).

21. Monteys, A. M., Ebanks, S. A., Keiser, M. S. & Davidson, B. L. CRISPR/Cas9 Editing of the Mutant Huntingtin Allele In Vitro and In Vivo. *Mol Ther* **25**, 12–23 (2017).

22. Yan, S. *et al.* Cas9-mediated replacement of expanded CAG repeats in a pig model of Huntington's disease. *Nat. Biomed. Eng* **7**, 629–646 (2023).

23. Choi, D. E. *et al.* Base editing strategies to convert CAG to CAA diminish the disease-causing mutation in Huntington's disease. *eLife* **12**, RP89782 (2024).

24. Matuszek, Z. *et al.* Base editing of trinucleotide repeats that cause Huntington's disease and Friedreich's ataxia reduces somatic repeat expansions in patient cells and in mice. *Nat Genet* 1–15 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41588-025-02172-8.

25. Izzo, M. *et al.* Muscle-specific gene editing improves molecular and phenotypic defects in a mouse model of myotonic dystrophy type 1. *Clin Transl Med* **15**, e70227 (2025).

26. Nakamori, M. *et al.* A slipped-CAG DNA-binding small molecule induces trinucleotide-repeat contractions in vivo. *Nat Genet* **52**, 146–159 (2020).

27. Bečanović, K. *et al.* A SNP in the HTT promoter alters NF-κB binding and is a bidirectional genetic modifier of Huntington disease. *Nat Neurosci* **18**, 807–816

1169   (2015).

28. Van Raamsdonk, J. M. *et al.* Loss of wild-type huntingtin influences motor
    dysfunction and survival in the YAC128 mouse model of Huntington disease.
    Human Molecular Genetics **14**, 1379–1392 (2005).

29. Fang, L. *et al.* Haplotyping SNPs for allele-specific gene editing of the expanded
    huntingtin allele using long-read sequencing. *Human Genetics and Genomics
    Advances* **4**, 100146 (2023).

30. Cinesi, C., Aeschbach, L., Yang, B. & Dion, V. Contracting CAG/CTG repeats using
    the CRISPR-Cas9 nickase. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13272 (2016).

31. Aeschbach, L. & Dion, V. Minimizing carry-over PCR contamination in expanded
    CAG/CTG repeat instability applications. *Sci Rep* **7**, 18026 (2017).

32. Larin, M. *et al.* Cas9 Nickase-mediated contractions of CAG/CTG repeats are
    transcription-dependent and replication-independent. *NAR Molecular Medicine*
    ugae013 (2024) doi:10.1093/narmme/ugae013.

33. Jy, S. *et al.* Expression of mutant huntingtin in glial cells contributes to neuronal
    excitotoxicity. *The Journal of cell biology* **171**, (2005).

34. Garcia, V. J. *et al.* Huntington's Disease Patient-Derived Astrocytes Display
    Electrophysiological Impairments and Reduced Neuronal Support. *Frontiers in
    Neuroscience* **13**, (2019).

35. Ah, J. *et al.* Frequency of nuclear mutant huntingtin inclusion formation in neurons
    and glia is cell-type-specific. *Glia* **65**, (2017).

36. Faideau, M. *et al.* In vivo expression of polyglutamine-expanded huntingtin by
    mouse striatal astrocytes impairs glutamate transport: a correlation with
    Huntington's disease subjects. *Human Molecular Genetics* **19**, 3053–3067 (2010).

37. Estrada-Sánchez, A. M. & Rebec, G. V. Corticostriatal dysfunction and glutamate

transporter 1 (GLT1) in Huntington's disease: Interactions between neurons and

astrocytes. *Basal Ganglia* **2**, 57–66 (2012).

38. HD iPSC Consortium, T. Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells from Patients with

Huntington's Disease Show CAG-Repeat-Expansion-Associated Phenotypes. *Cell*

Stem Cell **11**, 264–278 (2012).

39. Monckton, D. G., Wong, L. J., Ashizawa, T. & Caskey, C. T. Somatic mosaicism,

germline expansions, germline reversions and intergenerational reductions in

myotonic dystrophy males: small pool PCR analyses. *Hum Mol Genet* **4**, 1–8 (1995).

40. Bates, G. P. *et al.* Huntington disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* **1**, 15005 (2015).

41. Bunting, E. L. *et al.* Antisense oligonucleotide-mediated MSH3 suppression reduces

somatic CAG repeat expansion in Huntington's disease iPSC-derived striatal

neurons. *Sci Transl Med* **17**, eadn4600 (2025).

42. De Serres-Bérard, T., Pierre, M., Chahine, M. & Puymirat, J. Deciphering the

mechanisms underlying brain alterations and cognitive impairment in congenital

myotonic dystrophy. *Neurobiol Dis* **160**, 105532 (2021).

43. Han, H. A., Pang, J. K. S. & Soh, B.-S. Mitigating off-target effects in CRISPR/Cas9-

mediated in vivo gene editing. *J Mol Med* **98**, 615–632 (2020).

44. Taylor, A. S. *et al.* Repeat Detector: versatile sizing of expanded tandem repeats and

identification of interrupted alleles from targeted DNA sequencing. *NAR Genomics*

and Bioinformatics **4**, lqac089 (2022).

45. Smolka, M. *et al.* Detection of mosaic and population-level structural variants with

Sniffles2. *Nat Biotechnol* 1–10 (2024) doi:10.1038/s41587-023-02024-y.

46. Robinson, J. T. *et al.* Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**, 24–26 (2011).

47. Marco, S., Murillo, A. & Pérez-Otaño, I. RNAi-Based GluN3A Silencing Prevents and

1218   Reverses Disease Phenotypes Induced by Mutant huntingtin. *Mol Ther* **26**, 1965–

1219   1972 (2018).

1220   48. Suzuki, K. *et al.* In vivo genome editing via CRISPR/Cas9 mediated homology-

1221   independent targeted integration. *Nature* **540**, 144–149 (2016).

1222   49. Bubeck, F. *et al.* Engineered anti-CRISPR proteins for optogenetic control of

1223   CRISPR–Cas9. *Nat Methods* **15**, 924–927 (2018).

1224   50. Kovalenko, M. *et al.* Msh2 Acts in Medium-Spiny Striatal Neurons as an Enhancer of

1225   CAG Instability and Mutant Huntingtin Phenotypes in Huntington's Disease Knock-

1226   In Mice. *PLOS ONE* **7**, e44273 (2012).

1227   51. Lee, J.-M. *et al.* A novel approach to investigate tissue-specific trinucleotide repeat

1228   instability. *BMC Systems Biology* **4**, 29 (2010).

1229   52. Dang, Y. *et al.* Optimizing sgRNA structure to improve CRISPR-Cas9 knockout

1230   efficiency. *Genome Biology* **16**, 280 (2015).

1231   53. Landles, C. *et al.* Mutant huntingtin protein decreases with CAG repeat expansion:

1232   implications for therapeutics and bioassays. *Brain Communications* **6**, fcae410

1233   (2024).

1234   54. DiFiglia, M. *et al.* Aggregation of Huntingtin in Neuronal Intranuclear Inclusions and

1235   Dystrophic Neurites in Brain. *Science* **277**, 1990–1993 (1997).

1236   55. Bayram-Weston, Z., Jones, L., Dunnett, S. B. & Brooks, S. P. Comparison of mHTT

1237   Antibodies in Huntington's Disease Mouse Models Reveal Specific Binding Profiles

1238   and Steady-State Ubiquitin Levels with Disease Development. *PLOS ONE* **11**,

1239   e0155834 (2016).

1240   56. Ko, J., Ou, S. & Patterson, P. H. New anti-huntingtin monoclonal antibodies:

implications for huntingtin conformation and its binding proteins. *Brain Research Bulletin* **56**, 319–329 (2001).

57. Landles, C. *et al.* Proteolysis of mutant huntingtin produces an exon 1 fragment that accumulates as an aggregated protein in neuronal nuclei in Huntington disease. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 8808–8823 (2010).

58. Lee, H. *et al.* Cell Type-Specific Transcriptomics Reveals that Mutant Huntingtin Leads to Mitochondrial RNA Release and Neuronal Innate Immune Activation. *Neuron* **107**, 891-908.e8 (2020).

59. Handsaker, R. E. *et al.* Long somatic DNA-repeat expansion drives neurodegeneration in Huntington's disease. *Cell* **188**, 623-639.e19 (2025).

60. Lim, R. G. *et al.* Huntington disease oligodendrocyte maturation deficits revealed by single-nucleus RNAseq are rescued by thiamine-biotin supplementation. *Nat Commun* **13**, 7791 (2022).

61. Murillo, A. *et al.* Cas9 nickase-mediated contraction of CAG/CTG repeats at multiple disease loci. 2024.02.19.580669 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.02.19.580669 (2024).

62. Brooks, S. P. *et al.* Longitudinal analysis of the behavioural phenotype in R6/1 (C57BL/6J) Huntington's disease transgenic mice. *Brain Research Bulletin* **88**, 94–103 (2012).

63. Gray, M. *et al.* Full-Length Human Mutant Huntingtin with a Stable Polyglutamine Repeat Can Elicit Progressive and Selective Neuropathogenesis in BACHD Mice. *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 6182–6195 (2008).

64. Aldous, S. G. *et al.* A CAG repeat threshold for therapeutics targeting somatic instability in Huntington's disease. *Brain* **147**, 1784–1798 (2024).

65. Gangwani, M. R. *et al.* Neuronal and astrocytic contributions to Huntington's

disease dissected with zinc finger protein transcriptional repressors. *Cell Reports*

42, 111953 (2023).

66. Oura, S. *et al.* Precise CAG repeat contraction in a Huntington's Disease mouse

model is enabled by gene editing with SpCas9-NG. *Commun Biol* **4**, 1–13 (2021).

67. Ran, F. A. *et al.* In vivo genome editing using Staphylococcus aureus Cas9. *Nature*

520, 186–191 (2015).

68. Wang, Y. *et al.* Therapeutic Genome Editing for Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1 Using

CRISPR/Cas9. *Molecular Therapy* **26**, 2617–2630 (2018).

69. van Agtmaal, E. L. *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9-Induced (CTG·CAG)n Repeat Instability in the

Myotonic Dystrophy Type 1 Locus: Implications for Therapeutic Genome Editing.

Mol Ther **25**, 24–43 (2017).

70. Mosbach, V. *et al.* Resection and repair of a Cas9 double-strand break at CTG

trinucleotide repeats induces local and extensive chromosomal deletions. *PLOS*

Genetics **16**, e1008924 (2020).

71. Estevez-Fraga, C., Tabrizi, S. J. & Wild, E. J. Huntington's Disease Clinical Trials

Corner: August 2023. *Journal of Huntington's Disease* **12**, 169–185 (2023).

72. Mathews, E. W. *et al.* Suppression of Huntington's Disease Somatic Instability by

Transcriptional Repression and Direct CAG Repeat Binding. *Nat Commun* **16**, 10009

(2025).

73. Hasuike, Y. *et al.* CAG repeat-binding small molecule improves motor coordination

impairment in a mouse model of Dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy. *Neurobiol*

Dis **163**, 105604 (2022).

74. Shi, Y., Kirwan, P. & Livesey, F. J. Directed differentiation of human pluripotent stem

cells to cerebral cortex neurons and neural networks. *Nat Protoc* **7**, 1836–1846

(2012).

75. Bae, S., Park, J. & Kim, J.-S. Cas-OFFinder: a fast and versatile algorithm that

searches for potential off-target sites of Cas9 RNA-guided endonucleases.

Bioinformatics **30**, 1473–1475 (2014).

76. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).

77. Danecek, P. *et al.* Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience* **10**, giab008

(2021).

78. Pedersen, B. S. & Quinlan, A. R. Mosdepth: quick coverage calculation for genomes

and exomes. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 867–868 (2018).

79. Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association

mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data.

Bioinformatics **27**, 2987–2993 (2011).

80. Dong, Y. *et al.* Genome-Wide Off-Target Analysis in CRISPR-Cas9 Modified Mice and

Their Offspring. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics* **9**, 3645–3651 (2019).

81. Mangiarini, L. *et al.* Exon 1 of the HD Gene with an Expanded CAG Repeat Is

Sufficient to Cause a Progressive Neurological Phenotype in Transgenic Mice. *Cell*

87, 493–506 (1996).

82. Landles, C. *et al.* Development of novel bioassays to detect soluble and aggregated

Huntingtin proteins on three technology platforms. *Brain Commun* **3**, fcaa231

(2021).

83. Zheng, G. X. Y. *et al.* Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells.

Nat Commun **8**, 14049 (2017).

84. Hao, Y. *et al.* Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell

analysis. *Nat Biotechnol* **42**, 293–304 (2024).

85. Stuart, T. *et al.* Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. *Cell* **177**, 1888-

1902.e21 (2019).

86. Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell

transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat*

Biotechnol **36**, 411–420 (2018).

87. Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-

3587.e29 (2021).

88. Hafemeister, C. & Satija, R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell

RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biology* **20**,

296 (2019).

89. Korsunsky, I. *et al.* Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with

Harmony. *Nat Methods* **16**, 1289–1296 (2019).

90. Satija, R., Farrell, J. A., Gennert, D., Schier, A. F. & Regev, A. Spatial reconstruction

of single-cell gene expression data. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 495–502 (2015).

91. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and

dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* **15**, 550 (2014).

92. Lim, R. G. *et al.* Huntington disease oligodendrocyte maturation deficits revealed by

single-nucleus RNAseq are rescued by thiamine-biotin supplementation. *Nat*

Commun **13**, 7791 (2022).

93. Mali, P. *et al.* RNA-Guided Human Genome Engineering via Cas9. *Science* **339**, 823–

826 (2013).

94. Sanjana, N. E., Shalem, O. & Zhang, F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries

for CRISPR screening. *Nat Methods* **11**, 783–784 (2014).

95. Ruiz Buendía, G. A. *et al*. Three-dimensional chromatin interactions remain stable

upon CAG/CTG repeat expansion. *Sci Adv* **6**, eaaz4012 (2020).

96. Dion, V., Lin, Y., Hubert, L., Waterland, R. A. & Wilson, J. H. Dnmt1 deficiency

promotes CAG repeat expansion in the mouse germline. *Hum Mol Genet* **17**, 1306–

1317 (2008).

1343

1344   # Supplementary Material

1345 # Cas9 Nickase-Mediated Contraction of CAG/CTG Repeats *in Vivo* is

1346 # Accompanied by Improvements in Huntington's Disease Pathology

1347

Alvaro Murillo[1], Melanie Alpaugh[2], Ruban Rex Peter Durairaj[1], Emma L. Randall[1], Meghan
Larin[1,3], Laura Heraty[1], Alys N. Aston[1], Alysha S. Taylor[1], Alex Mas Monteys[4], Nina Stöberl[5],
Aeverie E. R. Heuchan[1], Pascale Aeschlimann[1], Kyle Fears[1], Christian Landles[6], Georgina F
Osborne[6], Antoine Mangin[1], Soumyasree Bhattacharyya[1], Emmanouil Metzakopian[7], Nicholas
D. Allen[5], Jack Puymirat[8], Gillian P Bates[6], Beverly L. Davidson[4,9], Francesca Cicchetti[10],
Mariah J. Lelos[5], and Vincent Dion[1,11]*

1354

1355 1: UK Dementia Research Institute at Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, Cardiff,
1356 United Kingdom, CF24 4HQ.

1357

1358 2: Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada
1359 N1G 2W1.

1360

1361 3: Current address: AstraZeneca R&D 1 Francis Crick Avenue Cambridge, UK CB2 0AA.

1362

1363 4: Raymond G. Perelman Center for Cellular and Molecular Therapeutics, Children's Hospital of
1364 Philadelphia, Philadelphia, 19104, USA.

1365

1366 5: School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, The Sir Martin Evans Building, Museum Avenue, Cardiff,
1367 CF10 3AX.

1368

1369 6: Department of Neurodegenerative Disease, Huntington's Disease Centre, Queen Square Institute of
1370 Neurology, University College London, London, WC1N 3BG, UK.

1371

1372 7: UK Dementia Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Clifford Albutt building, Cambridge
1373 biomedical campus, Cambridge, CB2 0AH, UK.

1374

1375 8: Centre de Recherche du CHUQ site LOEX and Department of Neurological Sciences, Hôpital Enfant-
1376 Jésus: LOEX, CHU de Québec-Université Laval Research Center, Quebec City, QC, Canada.

1377

1378 9: Department of Pathology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, 19104, USA.

1379

1380 10: Centre de Recherche du CHU de Québec, Axe Neurosciences; Département de Psychiatrie et
1381 Neurosciences, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada.

1382

1383 11: Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University, Maindy Road,
1384 Cardiff, UK, CF24 4HQ.
1385 *: corresponding author: dionv@cardiff.ac.uk

1386

Supplementary Figure 1: CAG/CTG repeat contractions in HD iPSC-derived astrocytes and neurons.
A) HD iPSC-derived astrocyte cultures were sorted using CD44, ensuring that they were all of an astrocytic lineage. We found little replication in these cultures over 21 and 42 days after transduction with Cas9D10A and sgCTG as measured by Ki67 staining. B) Lentivirus-encoded GFP expression on the sgCTG vector over 42 days 42 days time course in HD iPCS-derived S100β⁺ astrocytes (pLV[gRNA]-CMV-EGFP-U6>{sgCTG}). C) Cas9D10A expression in HD iPSC-derived S100β⁺ astrocytes over 21 and 42 days from (pLenti-EF1alpha-Cas9D10A nickase-Blast). D) Characterisation of the HD iPSC-derived cortical neurons by the expression of Tuj1 as a marker for neurons. Left: Representative flow cytometry histogram of cultures stained with β-tubulin III (Tuj1; magenta) and only with the secondary antibody (black), suggesting low variability in Tuj1 expression and high quality neuronal cultures Right: quantification of 2 to 3 independent experiments. N = number of cells. E) Timeline and representative small pool PCR

1399   blot of HD iPSC-derived neuronal cultures grown in the presence of both Cas9D10A and sgCTG or
1400   untreated for 21 days. F) Quantification of the small pool PCRs. P-value determined using a Mann-
1401   Whitney U test comparing non-transduced and Cas9D10A + sgCTG. G) DM1 iPSC-derived neuronal
1402   cultures stained for Ki67, as a marker of proliferation, and Tuj1, as a marker of neuronal cell type.

1403
Supplementary Figure 2: SMRT sequencing reproduces expected patterns of repeat size distribution.
A) SMRT sequencing of DNA from HD iPSC-derived cortical neurons showing changes in repeat size
between cultures of HD-derived cortical neurons that have not been transduced (n=4), transduced with
Cas9D10A only (n=4), compared to the starting repeat size distribution (n=2). Arrow indicates the
accumulation of expansions over the 42 days period. The calculated AUC difference for expansions
between day 0 and non-transduced cells at 42 days was 5.6 % whereas between D0 Cas9D10A only
the AUC was 7.8%. B) SMRT sequencing of DNA from HD iPSC-derived cortical neurons showing both
the non-pathogenic and the expanded allele (left) and the change in repeat size between the cells
treated with Cas9D10A only versus those treated with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG for 42 days (n=4
per condition). Analysis of the difference in the area under the curve (AUC) shows that 7% of the cells
transduced with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG had shorter alleles above those treated with Cas9D10A
only. This is comparable to small-pool PCR data. C) Instability indices of the HD iPSC-derived cortical
neurons treated with Cas9D10A only (black boxes) and Cas9D10A + sgCTG (white boxes) (n=4 per
condition). **:P<0.01 calculated using a Student's t-test test. D) Same as in (C) but plotting both the
expansion and contraction indices. *: P<0.05. E) Representative example time course analysis using
SMRT sequencing showing the expected increase in the frequency of longer alleles over several weeks
in the R6/1 striatum. These data show that the approach can measure somatic expansions readily.

1421

Supplementary Figure 3. As few as 60 CAG/CTG repeats are a target for contraction by the Cas9D10A. A) Western blot of Cas9D10A and GFP expression from lymphoblastoid cultures transduced with pLenti-EF1alpha-Cas9D10A nickase-Blast and pLV(gRNA)-CMV-eGFP:T2A:Hygro-U6(sgCTG) at the indicated post-infection days. Full blots are found in Supplementary Fig. 21. B) Aggregated graphs of repeat size distribution in lymphoblastoid cultures with Cas9D10A only (magenta) and Cas9D10A + sgCTG (blue) at 42 days of treatment versus day 0 (black). C) Same as B at 56 days after the treatment time point. D-E) Delta plots (see methods) of the data presented in B and C showing the difference at 42 days (D) and 56 days (E) after continuous treatment. This is compared to the starting repeat size distribution at D0. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests to compare cumulative distributions were used in all graphs. P value <0.05 (*); <0.0001 (****). Data are mean ± SD (D0 n = 1; D42 and D56 Cas9D10A only n=1; D42 and D56 Cas9D10A + sgCTG = 2).

1433
1434
<u>Supplementary Figure 4.</u> Analysis of mutations in HD iPSC-derived cells. This figure provides a
graphical representation of the data listed in Table 1. A) The number of mutations found at the selected
1830 genes were normalised to the total reads per sample and indicated as the number of mutations
normalised to 10^6 reads. B) The number of mutations found genome-wide were normalised to the total
reads per sample and indicated as the number of mutations normalised to 10^6 reads. Differences in
normalised mutations between neuronal cultures conditions were calculated by one-way ANOVA with
a post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test, except for the whole genome data from astrocytes where
we used a Student's t-test.

1443
Supplementary Figure 5: GFP expression in P2-injected mice. A) Coronal sections through the mouse
brain showing GFP expression in the injected hemisphere 6 weeks post-injection (p.i.) throughout the
striatum (dashed lines) and into much of the cortex. B) GFP and actin expression 2 and 3 months p.i.
in striatum (S) and cerebellum (C). C) Representative example of GFP colocalization with NeuN+
neurons in the striatum. D) GFP expression in the cortex did not colocalize with NeuN, but rather the
GFP positive cells had an astrocytic morphology. Scale bar = 25 µm. E) qPCR results for the number
of GFP DNA found inCas9D10A-only or Cas9D10A + sgCTG-injected striatum, showing that there
were, on average, 26 ± 6 copies of the AAV per cell 1 month after injection n=4 per condition.

1452
Supplementary Figure 6: Cas9D10A expression *in vivo*. A) Immunofluorescence 1 month post-
injection of Cas9D10A expressed from pFBZHmCMV_SpCas9D10A AAV9 injected into wild type
striatum. B) Same as A, but using pAAV-nEFCas9D10A. C) Same as A but using pAAV-CMV-
SpyCas9D10A. D) Same as A but using pX551-miniCMV-SpCas9D10A. Scale bars = 1000 μm E)
Western blot of Cas9D10A expression from the indicated AAVs in the striatum of adult wild type mice
15 days post-injection (p.i.). This gel was spliced for ease of comparison. Full blots are found in

Supplementary Fig. 21. F) Western blot of Cas9D10A expression in the striatum of adult R6/1 mice
injected with the indicated AAVs 1 month post-injection. G) Western blot of Cas9D10A expression in
the striatum of adult R6/1 mice from an AAV9 packaged using pX551-miniCMV-SpCas9D10A, 1 and 2
months after injection. H) Cas9D10A expression in the striatum of P2-injected R6/1 mice using an AAV9
packaged from pX551-miniCMV-SpCas9D10A, 4 and 5 months post-injection. I) qPCR data quantifying
the number of Cas9D10A AAV genomes present compared to the amount of actin. This was done using
striatum DNA of P2-injected R6/1 mice 1 month after injection in mice injected with Cas9D10A AAV
only (black) or both AAVs (pink). Each dot is a different mouse, n=4 per condition. J) Same as (I), but
mice were injected at 3.5 months of age and analysed one month later for the GFP AAV. K) Same as
J, but the data shown is for the number of Cas9D10A AAV DNA copies (Number of animals: uninjected
n=4; Cas9D10A + sgCTG n=3).

1470

1471

Supplementary Figure 7. Nickase treatment induces contractions that accumulate over time. A)
Aggregated delta plot of repeat size distribution in hemispheres of P2 R6/1 mice injected with
Cas9D10A + sgCTG subtracting Cas9D10A only repeat size distribution (see methods) at 1 month, B)
2 months, C) 3 months, D) 4 months and E) 5 months. F) Combined time points to show changes over
time. Delta-Plot comparing 1M vs 4M and 1vs 5M PI were compared using an unpaired, nonparametric
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. P value <0.0001 (****). G) Aggregated delta plot of repeat size distribution in
hemispheres of adult R6/1 mice injected with Cas9D10A + sgCTG subtracting noninjected repeat size
distribution (see methods) at 1 month and H) 2 months post-injection. I) Combined time points to show
changes over time. Delta plots of 1 month and 2 months post-injection were compared using an
unpaired, nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov test . P value <0.002 (**).

1482

Supplementary Figure 8. CRISPR-CasD10A reduces instability and increases contraction index. A) Instability index of P2 injected mice treated with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG (magenta) versus Cas9D10A only (black). A two-way ANOVA showed an effect of the treatment ($P<0.001$, magenta asterisks), with a post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test showing significant differences 4 and 5 months post-injection (*$P<0.05$, ***$P<0.001$, black asterisks). B) The same data as in A, but showing only the contraction index (more negative values indicating more contractions). *$P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$. C) Same as in F but only showing the expansion index.**$P<0.01$. D) The average repeat size over time. **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$ using a two-way ANOVA. Error bars represent standard deviation. Number of animals: Cas9D10A only; 1 month n=4, 2 months n=3; 3 months n=3; 4 months n=4; 5 months n=3; Cas9D10A + sgCTG; 1 month n=4, 2 months n=3; 3 months n=3; 4 months n=4; 5 months n=3). E) Instability index of the adult mice treated with both Cas9D10A and sgCTG (magenta) versus uninjected (black). A two-way ANOVA showed an effect of the treatment *$P<0.05$. F) The same data as E, but showing only the contraction index (more negative values indicating more/larger contractions). Magenta asterisks: Two way ANOVA, ****$P<0.0001$, post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test at individual time points (black asterisks) *$P<0.05$, ****$P<0.0001$. G) Same as in E but only showing the expansion index. Error bars represent ± standard deviation. Number of animals: uninjected 1 month post-injection n=4, 2 months post-injection n=5; Cas9D10A + sgCTG; 1 month post-injection n=3, 2 months post-injection n=3.

1501

<u>Supplementary Figure 9:</u> Explanation of how the difference in the area under the curve was calculated.

This is an example dataset. Repeat Detector is used to determine repeat sizes. Then repeat size

between 0 and 5 CAGs are removed as they are low quality reads that do not align to the *HTT* locus

and truncated PCR products. Then the graphs are normalised from CCS counts and for the modal

repeat size of each sample. This prevents taking small expansions in the untreated samples to inflate

the number of contractions. The difference in the area under the curve is calculated for both shorter and

longer alleles.

1509

<u>Supplementary Figure 10.</u> Instability of CAG repeats in adult-injected mice over time.A) Aggregated

graphs of repeat size distribution in the striatum of adult R6/1 mice injected with the Cas9D10A v5 only

(black) compared to striata injected with Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5 (magenta) 1 month post-

injection. B) Same as A but 2 and C) 3 months-post-injection. D) Aggregated graphs of repeat size

distribution in the striatum of adult R6/1 mice injected with the Cas9D10A v4 only (green) compared to

striata injected with Cas9D10A v4 + sgCTG (blue) 1 month post-injection.E) Same as D but 2 and F) 3

months-post-injection. Note that the graphs are normalized to the modal peak size found in each mouse

(see methods). T test, paired nonparametric test Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test to compare

cumulative distributions (*P value <0.05; **P value <0.01; ****P value <0.0001). Number of animals:

Cas9D10A v5 only; 1 month n=3, 2 months n=3; 3 months n=3; Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5; 1 month

n=3, 2 months n=3; 3 months n=3); Cas9D10A v4 only; 1 month n=2, 2 months n=3; 3 months n=3;

Cas9D10Av4 + sgCTG-Mut+5; 1 month n=2, 2 months n=3; 3 months n=3).

1522

Supplementary Figure 11. Optimizing the AAV cargos. 2-month-old R6/1 mice were injected in the
striatum with the Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5 ratio 1:2 or Cas9 v4 + sgCTG ratio 1:1. The other
striatum received the respective Cas9D10A AAV only. A) Delta plot analysis revealed significant
differences in the contractions induced between treatments at 1, 2 (B) or 3 (C) months post-injection.
Unpaired nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to compare cumulative distributions
(**P<0.01) D) Area under the curve comparing the Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5 treatment with
Cas9D10A v5 alone (*P<0.05 using a one-way ANOVA). E) Analysis of the modal CAG repeat size
showed no difference between groups by 2-way ANOVA test. F) Instability index of the mice treated
versus Cas9 only. A two-way ANOVA showed an effect of the treatment reducing repeats only in the
Cas9v5 and sgCTG-Mut+5 treated mice. G) Same as in F, but showing only the expansion index and
H) contraction index. D-H) Cohorts show an effect of the timing as expected by the two-way ANOVA
analysis (P<0.0001) . Two way ANOVA, *P<0.05, **P<0.0, ****P<0.0001, post-hoc Tukey's multiple
comparison test. Error bars represent ± standard deviation. Number of animals: indicated in
Supplementary Fig. 10.

1537

Supplementary Figure 12. Cas9 nickase optimized and scAAV-sgCTG-Mut+5 express in mouse brain. A) Representative image of 2-months-old wild type injected mouse sacrificed 15 days PI. Immunofluorescence against Cas9OPTI with the anti-HA antibody reveal co-expression with GFP express by the AAV genome. B) 3-months post-injected brain analysis showed that a single injection of AAV9 resulted in widespread and efficient transduction of GFP detected by IF with anti-GFP antibody C) Western blot shows high levels of Cas9D10A expression as well as GFP 3-month PI. Full blots are found in Supplementary Fig. 21. D) DNA extracted from striatal samples were used to determine the number of Cas9OPTi (D) and GFP (E) AAV genome copies by qPCR in control and treated mouse samples. Data are mean ± SD (n = 4 animals per condition).

Supplementary Figure 13. Infection rates of sgCTG-Mut+5/GFP and Cas9D10A v5 AAVs injected in
the mouse striatum . A) Representative images of 5-months-old R6/1+ injected with the nickase v5 and
sgCTG-Mut+5/GFP mouse sacrificed 3 months post-injection. Immunofluorescence with the anti-GFP
antibody reveals co-expression with other cell type markers: Iba1 (microglia), GFAP (astrocytes), NeuN
(neurons), DARPP-32 (medium spiny neurons). Cas9D10A was detected using an anti-HA antibody.
Scale bar: 10μm. B) Quantification of striatal cells positive for a given marker in R6/1 mice injected with
the Cas9D10A only compared with those injected with the Cas9D10A and sgCTG-Mut+5 show no
significant differences in the total cell types or in total cell per mm^2 (DAPI+cells). Quantification of
transduced cells with the sgCTG-Mut+5/GFP (green bars) shows a tropism for neurons of the AAV9.
Percentages indicate the proportion of GFP+ for each cell marker. C) Quantification of striatal cells
positive for GFP that co-express the nickase detected with the anti-HA antibody (19.2±4.6). Data are
mean ± SD (n = 3 animals per group, average of 298 cells per image from 5 striatal fields at different
antero-posterior and dorso-ventral levels were assessed per mouse).

1562

1563

Supplementary Figure 14. mHTT expression analysis by HTRF assay over the time. Cortical tissues from 1 and 2-months-old control wild type (WT) and R6/1 were used to validate the assay in the R6/1 mouse HD model. The expression of mHTT was compared with striatal tissue samples from experimental animals in Fig. 3b-d. A) 4C9-Tb with MW8-d2 was used to track aggregated mutant HTT, B) 2B7-Tb with MW8d2 were used to track changes in soluble mutant HTT and C) 2B7-Tb with MW1-d2 were used for polyglutamine size assay by HTRF in striatal lysates from R6/1 mice at 1, 2 and 5 months of age. Data are mean ± SD (n 1–5 animals per group). 2-way ANOVA test was run in 5-months old animals (see Supplementary Table 4) with a post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test showing significant differences between WT and R6/1 animals (####P<0.0001, black hash) and between R6/1 injected with Cas9D10A only versus dual AAVs injection (**P<0.01, black asterisks).

1574

1575

Supplementary Figure 15. Cas9 nickase brain injection improves mHTT aggregation pathology in striatal areas but not in uninjected cortical areas. A) Average intensity of inclusions between groups and B) intensity distribution shows no differences between animal groups, suggesting that the remaining foci, although smaller, remain inclusions (4009 and 2303 inclusions assessed in total in Cas9 only and Cas9 + sgCTG injected animals respectively). C) Representative z-projection images of layer 2-3 cortical areas from the same preparations as in Fig. 3e of 5-months-old R6/1 animal brains sacrificed 3 months post-injection of the Cas9D10A only or Cas9D10A and sgCTG and stained with DAPI (blue) and mutant polyglutamine inclusions (red). mHTT analysis in cortical areas revealed no significant differences between R6/1 animal groups in number of inclusions per cell (D), inclusions sizes (E) or

1585   intensity (F). Data are mean ± SD from a Student t-test. (n = 3-4 images per mouse, ~900-1600 cells
1586   assessed total per mouse, with n = 3 mice per condition).

1587

<u>Supplementary Figure 16.</u> CRISPR injection mitigates disease-associated transcription pathology in
HD medium spiny neurons and pseudo-bulk differential expression analysis. A) Cell type frequency
distribution found in striatal tissue per sample condition. B) Cell types distribution in R6/1 mice injected
with the Cas9D10A only compared with those injected with the Cas9D10A and sgCTG-Mut+5. C) Cell
type proportion distribution for all samples combined. D) Heatmap of normalized gene expression
showing a select subset of DEGs in HD-relevant pathways. The DEGs (rows) are colour-coded on the

right by the direction of gene expression in the specified region (right columns). Horizontal bars in the
left column are colour-coded for pathway gene related categories in HD. E) Volcano plot for
differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in medium spiny neurons (MSNs) with a pseudo-bulk differential
expression analysis between wild type animals injected with Cas9D10A v5 only versus Cas9D10A v5
+ sgCTG-Mut+5, F) WT versus R6/1 animals injected with Cas9D10A v5 only and G) CRISPR-treated
R6/1 MSNs relative to Cas9D10A v5-injected R6/1. Number of animals: WT Cas9D10A v5 only n=3,
WT Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-Mut+5 n=3, R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 only n=3, R6/1 Cas9D10A v5 + sgCTG-
Mut+5 n=3.

1602

A.

dMSN | iMSN

B.

Comparison	Pathway	Number of DEGs 1st transgenic mice vs WT	Number of DEGs 2nd transgenic mice vs WT	Number of overlapping genes	Fisher test	Percentage in same direction
R6/2 (9w)§ vs R6/2 (3M)$	Direct	1049	459	372	<0.0001	99.7
R6/2 (9w)§ vs R6/2 (3M)$	Indirect	566	466	230	0.0918	100
zQ175 (6M)§ vs R6/2 (9w)§	Direct	626	1049	474	<0.0001	100
zQ175 (6M)§ vs R6/2 (9w)§	Indirect	566	919	429	<0.0001	100
zQ175 (6M)§ vs R6/2 (3M)$	Direct	626	459	241	0.0050	99.2
zQ175 (6M)§ vs R6/2 (3M)$	Indirect	566	466	230	0.0918	97.8
R6/1 (5M)* vs R6/2 (9w)§	Direct	767	1049	254	0.0020	98.8
R6/1 (5M)* vs R6/2 (9w)§	Indirect	634	919	233	0.0005	99.1
R6/1 (5M)* vs R6/2 (3M)$	Direct	767	459	195	<0.0001	100
R6/1 (5M)* vs R6/2 (3M)$	Indirect	634	466	190	0.0101	100
R6/1 (5M)* vs zQ175 (6M)§	Direct	767	626	155	0.1151	100
R6/1 (5M)* vs zQ175 (6M)§	Indirect	634	566	164	0.3827	99.4

1603

<u>Supplementary Figure 17.</u> Differential expression analysis in medium spiny neurons (MSNs) from
R6/1 mice injected with the Cas9D10A only show similar alterations as other HD animal models. A)
Venn diagrams with the number of genes altered compared to wild type animals. Diagrams show the

comparison of D1+ MSNs of the direct pathway (dMSNs) and D2+ MSNs of the indirect pathway
(iMSNs) of the indicated mouse models and ages from: *: animals from this study; §: animals from Lee
et al[58] . $: animals from Lim et al[92] . B) Table summarizing differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in
MSNs from previous Venn diagrams. Fisher's exact test was run using total DEGs and overlapping
genes to assess similarities between animal models.

1612

1613

Supplementary Figure 18. Treated R6/1 animals do not improve in motor coordination tests. A)
Average of the timing needed for the animals to cross the beam was measured and no differences
induced by the treatment were found. B) We measured the first fall timing in the accelerated rotarod
and no improvement after the treatment was found in R6/1. C) First fall timing (left) and total number of
falls (right) from a fixed rotarod set at 12 rpm for 3-month-old mice of the indicated genotypes that
received bilateral intrastriatal injections of Cas9D10A only and the Cas9D10A and the sgCTG-Mut+5

at 2 months of age. D) Same as C) with the rotarod set at 24rpm. All data are an average of two trials.

N of animals=14-16 per group. Statistical analyses are in Supplementary Table 8.

1622

Supplementary Figure 19. Injection with the CRISPR system does not alter or rescue exploratory and anxiety parameters. A) Average of total distance traveled in the external part of the arena. B) Average time in movement on the external part of the arena. C) Average of the total movement time in the central part of the arena. D) Total movement and stop time in the external part of the arena. E) Exploratory behavioural measured by the rearing frequency shows no differences between R6/1 animal groups but it is reduced in wild type (WT) animals. F) Animal muscular strengths are not affected by the injections. G) Weight of the animals were measured before the injection and every week after. Normalization per gender and groups shows no differences caused by the treatments. N of animals=14-16 per group. Statistical analyses are in Supplementary Table 9.

1632

Supplementary Figure 20. Locomotor activity is stable between Open Field trials. Same data as in
Fig. 5c-e and Supplementary Fig. 19ab showing differences between 2 performed open field trials; first
trial left column and second trial right column. A) Total distance moved in the 5 min test. B) Same as A
but total distance in the external part of the arena. C) Same as A but with the total movement time. D)
Same as C but total movement time in the external part of the arena. E) Mean velocity in the total arena.
N of animals=14-16 per group. Statistical analyses are in Supplementary Table 10.

1639

1640 **Supplementary Figure 21:** Unaltered full western blots membranes. Black boxes indicate where the
1641 blots were cropped.

1642
1643　　　**Supplementary Figure 22**: Unaltered full small-pool PCR membranes from Fig. 1beh and
1644　Supplementary Fig. 1e. Black boxes indicate where the membranes were cropped.

1645 **Supplementary Table 1**: Plasmids used herein.

Name	Description	Addgene number	Reference
pcDNA3.3-TOPO - Cas9D10A nickase	Cas9_D10A expression plasmid. Also harbors a G418 resistance gene. Used as a positive control for Cas9D10A detection in HEK293 cells using western blotting.	41816	[93]
pPN10 – sgCTG	Expresses the sgRNA against $(CUG)_6C$ from a U6 promoter. Also contains a Puromycin resistance gene.	114385	[30]
pLenti-EF1alpha-Cas9D10A nickase-Blast	Expresses Cas9D10A together with a blasticidin resistance gene. Suitable for lentivirus packaging. Used with the HD lymphoblastoid cell line, iPSC-derived astrocytes and iPSC-derived neurons.	63593	[94]
pLV(gRNA)-CMV-eGFP-U6(sgCTG)	Contains a eGFP gene along with a U6 promoter driving the sgCTG (target sequence: $(CUG)_6C$). Used with the HD iPSC-derived astrocytes.	216732	This study
pLV(gRNA)-CMV-eGFP:T2A:Hygro-U6(sgCTG)	Contains a eGFP gene with a T2A sequence for hygromycin resistant gene along with a U6 promoter driving the sgCTG (target sequence: $(CUG)_6C$). Used with the HD lymphoblastoid cell line.	-	This study
pLenti-U6-sgCTG-CAG-Cas9D10A nickase-Blast	Expresses Cas9D10A under a CAG promoter together with a blasticidin resistance gene, along with a U6 promoter driving the sgCTG (target sequence: $(CUG)_6C$). Suitable for lentivirus packaging. Used in the iPSC-derived neurons.	216733	This study
pAAV-U6-sgCTG-CMV-GFP	AAV plasmid containing sgCTG (sgCTG (target sequence: $(CUG)_6C$) driven by a U6 promoter and eGFP under the control of the CMV promoter. Used for the *in vivo* experiments.	216734	This study
pFBZHmCMV_SpCas9D10A	AAV plasmid containing spCas9. Plasmid contains a CMV promoter, and	-	This study

	SpCas9 was cloned upstream of a minimal poly A sequence.		
pX551-miniCMV-SpCas9D10A nickase	Expresses SpCas9-D10A nickase from a miniCMV promoter. For AAV packaging. Modified from pX551-miniCMV-SpCas9, which was a gift from Alex Hewitt (Addgene #107031)	216735	This study
pAAV-nEF-SpCas9D10A nickase	Expresses Cas9-D10A nickase from a nEF promoter. For AAV packaging. Derived from pAAV-nEF-SpCas9 (Addgene #87115)[48].	216736	This study
pAAV-CMV-SpCas9D10A nickase	Expresses Cas9-D10A nickase from a CMVd1 promoter. For AAV packaging. Derived from pAAV-CMV-SpCas9 (Addgene #113034)[49]	216737	This study
scAAV-U6-sgCTG-Mut+5-CMV-GFP	AAV plasmid containing sgCTG (sgCTG (target sequence: $(CUG)_6$) with Mut+5 optimization driven by a U6 promoter and eGFP under the control of the CMV promoter. Used for the *in vivo* experiments	-	This study
pAAV_miniCMV_HA_Cas9D10A_Muscle codon Optimized	Expresses SpCas9-D10A nickase muscle optimization with 3xHA tag sequences under a miniCMV promoter. For AAV packaging. Modified from 216735	-	This study

1646

1647

1648   **Supplementary Table 2**: Whole Genome Sequencing of off-target mutations found in

1649   HD iPSc-derived cells and in genes containing a potential off-target site. See Excel

1650   sheets attached.

1651

1652

1653 **Supplementary Table 3.** CRISPR system AAV construct versions used in this study

Name	Other names	Description	Plasmid in table 3	Used in figures:	Addgene number	Reference
Cas9D 10A v1	Nickase v1	No optimization of spCas indicated	pFBZHmCMV_SpCas9D10A	Supplementary Fig. 6a	-	This study
Cas9D 10A v2	Nickase v2	No optimization of spCas indicated	pX551-miniCMV-SpCas9D10A nickase	Suppl Fig6A-F	216735	This study
Cas9D 10A v3	Nickase v3	Optimization of nuclear transport of spCas9.	pAAV-nEF-SpCas9D10A nickase	Suppl Fig6A-E	216736	This study
Cas9D 10A v4	Nickase v4	human codon–optimized spCas9 from F Ann Ran et al., 2013	pAAV-CMV-SpCas9D10A nickase	Fig 2;Suppl Fig5-11	216737	This study
Cas9D 10A v5	Nickase v5	spCas9D10A mouse muscle codon optimization	pAAV_miniCMV_HA_Cas9D10A_Muscle codon Optimized	Fig 3-5;Suppl Fig10-19	-	This study
sgCTG-1	Guide-1	Express sgCTG (sgCTG (target sequence: $(CUG)_6C$) with an original tracrRNA sequence	pAAV-U6-sgCTG-CMV-GFP	Fig 2;Suppl Fig5-11	216734	This study
sgCTG-2	Guide-2	sgCTG-1 adapted for higher efficiency following Ying Dang et al., 2015 modification of the "Mut+5". (target sequence: $(CUG)6$)	scAAV-U6-sgCTG-Mut+5-CMV-GFP	Fig 3-5;Suppl Fig10-19	-	This study

1654

1655   **Supplementary Table 4:** statistics of HTRF tests Supplementary Figure 14

HTRF soluble assay 2B7-tb with MW8-d2	
2-way-ANOVA post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 15)} = 572.8$, P<0.0001
Treatment	$F_{(1, 15)} = 3.375$, P=0.0861
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 15)} = 2.917$, P=0.1083
HTRF polyglutamine assay 2B7-tb with MW1-d2; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 16)} = 522.9$, P<0.0001
Treatment	$F_{(1, 16)} = 522.9$, P<0.0001
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 16)} = 522.9$, P<0.0001
HTRF aggregate assay 4C9-tb with MW8-d2; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 16)} = 413.3$, P<0.0001
Treatment	$F_{(1, 16)} = 1.176$, P=0.2942
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 16)} = 1.204$, P=0.2888

1656

1657

HTRF soluble assay 2B7-tb with MW8-d2
2-way-ANOVA post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test

1658   **Supplementary Table 5:** snRNA-seq extended data. Including cell cluster module

1659   score, single cell DE output & pathways, pathway files, heatmaps selected genes, cell

1660   maker lists, RStudio package versions, and the list of potential off-target genes and

1661   differentially expressed genes in those potential off-targets. See Excel sheets attached.

1662

1663   **Supplementary Table 6:** snRNA-seq extended data of pseudo-bulk DE output.

1664   Including pseudo-bulk DE output of all cell clusters.

1665   **Supplementary Table 7:** statistics of behavioral tests figure 5

Open Field-Total distance moved 2-way-ANOVA post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 15.03, P=0.0003
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 4.351, P=0.0416
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 7.791, P=0.0072
Open Field-Total time in movement; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 12.07, P=0.0010
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 3.792, P=0.0565
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 14.37, P=0.0004
Open Field-Velocity; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 15.02, P=0.0003
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 4.352, P=0.0415
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 7.781, P=0.0072

1666

1667

1668   **Supplementary Table 8:** statistics of behavioral tests Supplementary Fig. 18

Balance beam; 2-way-ANOVA post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 31.34, P<0.0001
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 3.198, P=0.0791
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 3.375, P=0.0715
Accelerated rotarod-latency to fall; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 21.05, P<0.0001
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 6.043, P=0.0171
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.01428, P=0.9053
Fixed rotarod-12rpm latency to fall; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 19.82, P<0.0001
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.2038, P=0.6534
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 1.351, P=0.2501
Fixed rotarod-12rpm total falls in 60 seconds; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 33.01, P<0.0001
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 2.979, P=0.0899
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 1.282, P=0.2624
Fixed rotarod-24rpm latency to fall; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 34.25, P<0.0001
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 1.891, P=0.1746
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.3699, P=0.5455
Fixed rotarod-24rpm total falls in 60 seconds; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 20.00, P<0.0001
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 5.205, P=0.0264
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 1.018, P=0.3173

1669

1670

1671   **Supplementary Table 9:** statistics of behavioral tests Supplementary Fig. 19

Open Field-Total distance moved external arena 2-way-ANOVA post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 16.09, P=0.0002
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 6.451, P=0.0139
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 5.434, P=0.0234
Open Field-Total time in movement in external arena; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 16.85, P=0.0001
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 4.598, P=0.0364
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 11.12, P=0.0015
Open Field-Total distance moved central arena; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 8.612e-005, P=0.9926
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 3.445, P=0.0687
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 7.220, P=0.0095
Open Field-Total time external arena; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 6.713, P=0.0122
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.8767, P=0.3531
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.001078, P=0.9739
Open Field-Rearing Frequency; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 12.31, P=0.0009
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 7.451, P=0.0085
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 11.94, P=0.0011
Muscular strength; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 0.8711, P=0.3547
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.8711, P=0.3547
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.8711, P=0.3547
Weight; 3-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	F (1, 56) = 0.9942, P=0.3230
Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.1308, P=0.7189
Timing	F (4, 224) = 9.325, P<0.0001
Genotype X Treatment	F (1, 56) = 0.008928, P=0.9251
Genotype X Timing	F (4, 224) = 3.608, P=0.0071
Treatment X Timing	F (4, 224) = 1.184, P=0.3186
Genotype X Treatment X Timing	F (4, 224) = 0.4213, P=0.7932

1672

1673

1674   **Supplementary Table 10:** statistics of behavioral tests Supplementary Fig. 20

Open Field-Total distance moved 1st trial	
2-way-ANOVA post-hoc Tukey's multiple comparison test	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 13.70$, P=0.0005
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 4.285$, P=0.0431
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 7.788$, P=0.0072
Open Field-Total distance moved 2nd trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 11.51$, P=0.0013
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 3.052$, P=0.0861
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 5.368$, P=0.0242
Open Field-Total distance moved external arena 1st trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 15.53$, P=0.0002
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 6.155$, P=0.0161
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 5.672$, P=0.0207
Open Field-Total distance moved external arena 2nd trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 11.46$, P=0.0013
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 4.660$, P=0.0352
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 3.510$, P=0.0662
Open Field-Total time in movement 1st trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 9.515$, P=0.0032
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 3.709$, P=0.0592
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 9.453$, P=0.0033
Open Field-Total time in movement 2nd trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 11.43$, P=0.0013
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 2.975$, P=0.0901
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 15.52$, P=0.0002
Open Field-Total time in movement external arena 1st trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 14.60$, P=0.0003
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 4.493$, P=0.0385
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 7.184$, P=0.0096
Open Field-Total time in movement external arena 2nd trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 13.81$, P=0.0005
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 3.337$, P=0.0731
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 11.62$, P=0.0012
Open Field-Velocity 1st trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 13.69$, P=0.0005
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 4.287$, P=0.0430
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 7.776$, P=0.0072
Open Field-Velocity 2nd trial; 2-way-ANOVA	
Genotype	$F_{(1, 56)} = 11.49$, P=0.0013
Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 3.053$, P=0.0861
Genotype X Treatment	$F_{(1, 56)} = 5.365$, P=0.0242

1675

1676

1677 **Supplementary Table 11:** Antibodies used in this study.

1678

Antibody	Reference	Species	Clonality	Method and concentration
Anti-HA-Tag (C29F4)	Cell Signalling-3724T	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:500)
Anti- Anti-huntingtin Hybridoma MW8	11719267 11792860	Mouse	Monoclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:1000)
Anti-S100 beta antibody [EP1576Y] - Astrocyte Marker	ab52642	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:100)
Anti-Beta III Tubulin, Cy3 Conjugate Antibody	AB15708C3	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:1000)
Anti-CRISPR/Cas9 monoclonal antibody 4G10	C15200216-100	Mouse	Monoclonal	Western blotting (1:1000)
Anti-β-Actin	A5441	Mouse	Monoclonal	Western blotting (1:2000)
Anti-Green Fluorescent Protein	MAB3580	Mouse	Monoclonal	Western blotting (1:1000)
Anti-Green Fluorescent Protein	ZRB1097	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:1000)
Anti-NeuN clone A60	MAB377	Mouse	Monoclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:500)
Anti-iba1	09-19741	Rabbit	Polyclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:2000)

1679

Anti-GFAP	MAB360	Mouse	Monoclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:1000)
Anti-Green Fluorescent Protein	AB16901	Chicken	Polyclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:1000)
Anti-DARPP-32	2306T	Rabbit	Monoclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:500)
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 488	A11008	Goat	Polyclonal	Immunofluorescence (1:1000)
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 680	A21058	Goat	Polyclonal	Western blotting (1:1000)
Anti-β-Tubulin III antibody clone SDL.3D10	T8660	Mouse	Monoclonal	FACS (1:500)
Anti-human CD44 Antibody, FITC Conjugate Antibody	130-113-896	Mouse	Monoclonal	FACS (1:500)
Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor™ 568	A-11031	Goat	Polyclonal	FACS (1:1000)
Anti-HTT aa 1-17 2B7	CHDI Foundation	Mouse	Monoclonal	HTRF (1ng well^{-1})
Anti-polyQ MW1	CHDI Foundation	Mouse	Monoclonal	HTRF (40ng well^{-1})
Anti-HTT aa 51-71 4C9	CHDI Foundation	Mouse	Monoclonal	HTRF (1ng well^{-1})

Anti-HTT end at proline MW8	CHDI Foundation	Mouse	Monoclonal	HTRF (40ng well^{-1})

1680

1681

1682 **Supplementary Table 12:** Primers used herein.

Primer	Sequence (5' to 3')	Application	Reference
oVIN-1333	CCGCTCAGGTTCTGCTTTTA	Amplification of the *HTT* locus in astrocytes for small-pool PCR.	[95]
oVIN-1334	CAGGCTGCAGGGTTACCG	Amplification of the *HTT* locus in astrocytes for small-pool PCR.	[95]
oVIN-1345	ATGAAGGCCTTCGAGTCCCTCAAGTCCTTC	Amplification of the *HTT* locus in neurons for small-pool PCR.	This study
oVIN-1347	CGGCTGAGGCAGCAGCGGCTGT	Amplification of the *HTT* locus in neurons for small-pool PCR.	This study
oVIN-1251	GAGCGTGGGTCTCCGCCCAG	Amplification of the *DMPK* locus for small pool PCR.	[95]
oVIN-1252	CACTTTGCGAACCAACGATA	Amplification of the *DMPK* locus for small pool PCR.	[95]

oVIN-100	AGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGC	Small-pool PCR probe for the repeats	[96]
oVIN-1321	GCCTCCCTTACCATGCAGT	Amplifies human *HTT* locus for PacBio amplicon sequencing.	This study
oVIN-3490	ACAAGGGAAGACCCAAGTGA	Amplifies human *HTT* locus for PacBio amplicon sequencing.	This study
oVIN2612	AAGGGCATCGACTTCAAGGA	Amplification of GFP by qPCR	This study
oVIN2613	GGCGGATCTTGAAGTTCACC	Amplification of GFP by qPCR	This study
oVIN2622	CGATAAGAACCTGCCCAACG	Amplification of SpCas9v4 by qPCR	This study
oVIN2623	GGTTGGTCTTGAACAGCAGG	Amplification of SpCas9 by qPCR	This study
oVIN3321	GGAGACGGGGTCACCCACAC	Amplification of mouse β-actin for qPCR	This study
oVIN3322	AGCCTCAGGGCATCGGAACC	Amplification of mouse β-actin for qPCR	This study

oVIN3766	CCTCACCTTCAGAATCCCGT	Amplification of SpCas9v5 by qPCR	This study
oVIN3767	CCTTGTCCACAACCTCCTCG	Amplification of SpCas9v5 by qPCR	This study

1683