Rhesus Cytomegalovirus-encoded Fcγ-binding glycoproteins facilitate viral evasion

from IgG-mediated humoral immunity

Claire E. Otero[bc#], Sophia Petkova[a#], Martin Ebermann[a#], Husam Taher[d], Nessy John[d], Katja

Hoffmann[a], Angel Davalos[f], Matilda J. Moström[e], Roxanne M Gilbride[d], Courtney R. Papen[d],

Aaron Barber-Axthelm[d], Elizabeth A. Scheef[e], Richard Barfield[f], Lesli M. Sprehe[e], Savannah

Kendall[e], Tabitha D. Manuel[e], Nathan H. Vande Burgt[d], Cliburn Chan[f], Michael Denton[d],

Zachary J. Streblow[d], Daniel N.Streblow[d], Scott G Hansen[d], Amitinder Kaur[e], Sallie Permar[b],

Klaus Früh[d], Hartmut Hengel[a], Daniel Malouli[d*], Philipp Kolb[a*]

10
11
12
[a]Institute of Virology, Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
[b]Department of Pediatrics, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York, United States of America
[c]Department of Pathology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America
[d]Vaccine and Gene Therapy Institute, Oregon Health and Science University, Beaverton, Oregon,
United States of America
[e]Tulane National Primate Research Center, Tulane University, Covington, Louisiana, United States of
America
[f]Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United
States of America
*,[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.
23
24   Short Title: RhCMV evasion of humoral immunity.
25
*Co-corresponding authors:
Daniel Malouli
Vaccine and Gene Therapy Institute,
Oregon Health and Science University,
505 NW 185th Ave., Beaverton, OR 97006.
Phone: (503) 418-2738
Fax: (503) 418-2701
E-mail: maloulid@ohsu.edu
34
Philipp Kolb
Institute of Virology,
Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine,
University of Freiburg,
Hermann-Herder-Str. 11
79104 Freiburg, Germany
Phone: +49 761 203-6587
Fax: +49 761 203-6626
E-mail: philipp.kolb@uniklinik-freiburg.de
44

Abstract

Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) encodes four viral Fc-gamma receptors (vFcγRs) that counteract antibody-mediated activation *in vitro*, but their role in infection and pathogenesis is unknown. To examine the *in vivo* function of vFcγRs in animal hosts closely related to humans, we identified and characterized vFcγRs encoded by rhesus CMV (RhCMV). We demonstrate that Rh05, Rh152/151 and Rh173 represent the complete set of RhCMV vFcγRs, each displaying functional similarities to their respective HCMV orthologs with respect to antagonizing host FcγR activation *in vitro*. When RhCMV-naïve rhesus macaques were infected with vFcγR-deleted RhCMV, peak plasma viremia levels and anti-RhCMV antibody responses were comparable to wildtype infections. However, the duration of plasma viremia was significantly shortened in immunocompetent, but not in CD4+ T cell-depleted animals. Since vFcγRs were not required for superinfection, we conclude that vFcγRs delay control by virus-specific adaptive immune responses, particularly antibodies, during primary infection.

Introduction

Cytomegaloviruses (CMVs) are a group of widespread, strictly species-specific β-herpesviruses found in various mammals including primates (*1, 2*). Primary infection of CMV-naïve, immunocompetent hosts generally resolves asymptomatically followed by lifelong persistent infection with periodical reactivation events (*3*). Repeated exposure to viral antigens results in extraordinarily strong CMV-specific humoral and cellular immune responses that control, but do not clear viral infection (*3, 4*). In immunocompromised individuals such as transplant recipients, uncontrolled human CMV (HCMV) infections can lead to severe morbidity and mortality (*5*). Furthermore, in congenitally infected neonates HCMV is the leading cause of non-genetic birth defects including sensorineural hearing loss (*6*) and neurological deficits (*7*). Thus, the development of a prophylactic HCMV vaccine for vulnerable populations has been designated a "Tier I priority" by the Institute of Medicine since 2000 (*8*). To date however, all HCMV vaccine approaches have failed in phase II clinical trials (*9-11*).

As productive HCMV infections are restricted to humans, we previously established a congenital CMV (cCMV) infection model in rhesus macaques (RMs) which allows for the examination of exploratory treatment and vaccine approaches in an evolutionarily closely related host (*12*). In this model, we demonstrated that pre-exposure administration of highly concentrated IgG purified from plasma of RMs with potent anti-CMV IgG responses (HIG) can limit the replication and congenital transmission of rhesus CMV (RhCMV) (*13*). Although no consistent benefit of post-exposure HIG therapy has been demonstrated for cCMV infections across various human clinical trials, it appears that treatment dosage and pharmacokinetics are important parameters of efficacy and protection (*14-18*). In line with these observations, we recently demonstrated that not neutralization, but IgG-Fc-gamma (Fcγ)-mediated anti-viral mechanisms by non-neutralizing IgG, specifically maternal plasma levels of antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP) and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), correlate with a reduced risk of congenital infection (*19, 20*). Intriguingly,

both ADCP and ADCC are mediated by Fcγ receptors (FcγRs) expressed by nearly all

immune cells including neutrophils, macrophages and NK cells.

Several structurally unrelated viral IgG Fc-binding glycoproteins (vFcγRs) have been

identified for different herpesviruses: the gE/gI glycoprotein complex encoded by herpes

simplex virus (HSV)-1 genes *US8* and *US7* respectively (*21-23*), gE encoded by Varicella

zoster virus (VZV) (*24-26*) as well as various glycoproteins examined across different CMV

species (*27-32*). The HCMV genome encodes four vFcγRs, *RL11* (RL11 or gp34),

UL119/118 (UL119/118 or gp68), *RL12* (RL12 or gp95) and *RL13* (RL13 or gpRL13) (*29,*

33). While these proteins can independently interfere with host FcγR activation *in vitro*,

UL119/118 and RL11 can also act cooperatively (*34, 35*).

We recently identified Rh05 (*RL11A*) as the first vFcγR encoded by RhCMV and

demonstrated that Rh05 interferes with host FcγR activation *in vitro.* However, Rh05-deleted

RhCMV did not display any defect *in vivo*, possibly due to the expression of additional

vFcγRs (*28*). Here, we identify Rh152/151 *(Rh152/151)* and Rh173 (*Rh173*) as additional

vFcγRs encoded by RhCMV. Deletion of all three vFcγRs from a previously described full-

length (FL) RhCMV clone representative of low passage primary isolates (*2*), resulted in

restoration of antibody-mediated host FcγR activation *in vitro* and significantly shortened

plasma viremia in immunologically intact, but not CD4+ T cell-depleted, CMV-naïve RM.

These results establish CMV vFcγRs as *bona fide* immune evasion proteins and suggest that

targeting vFcγRs might improve the effectiveness of anti-CMV vaccines or immunotherapies.

Results

Rh152/151 **encodes a UL119/118 vFcγR ortholog that can block host FcγR function.**

Since HCMV encodes multiple vFcγRs, we hypothesized that RhCMV should similarly

encode vFcγRs in addition to Rh05 (*28*). As the RhCMV open reading frame (ORF)

Rh152/151 represents a direct sequence ortholog of the known HCMV vFcγR UL119/118

(gp68), we examined the corresponding protein for its IgG binding activity (*36*). The

Rh152/151 ORF in the fibroblast-adapted laboratory strain 68-1 contains a premature

termination codon removing two YXXΦ sorting motifs (*2, 36*) (Fig 1A). Since C-terminal

modifications of UL119/118 increased surface expression by decreasing internalization (*34*),

we compared IgG-binding of intact Rh152/151, obtained from FL-RhCMV (*2*), with truncated,

strain 68-1-derived Rh152/151 and Rh152/151 in which the predicted transmembrane and

cytoplasmic domains were replaced with that of human CD4 (Fig 1A). All three Rh152/151

constructs were able to bind IgG, with both the truncated and CD4-tail version showing

elevated IgG binding similar to the previously described CD4-tail version of HCMV

UL119/118 (*34*). Similarly, when co-expressed with rhesus CD4 using a T2A linker sequence

(*37*) to test their effect on FcγR activation by a rhesus CD4-specific rhesusized IgG, the

truncated or CD4-tailed versions of Rh152/151 showed stronger antagonization of human

CD16 activation compared to Rh152/151 from FL-RhCMV (Fig. 1B). Furthermore, similar to

the effect of UL119/118 on human CD16 (*34*), Rh152/151 was able to directly interfere with

the binding of rhesus CD16 to immune complexes formed by anti-CD20 IgG (rituximab) on

cells expressing both CD20 and Rh152/151 (Fig. 1C). In contrast, Rh05 was unable to inhibit

CD16 binding (Fig. 1C), consistent with previous results obtained for HCMV RL11 (gp34)

(*34*). This suggests that RhCMV Rh152/151 represents a sequence and functional ortholog

of HCMV UL119/118 (gp68).

The highly polymorphic *RL11* **family member Rh173 (***RL11T***) encodes for an IgG**

binding protein that shows conserved antagonization of host FcγR activation.

In HCMV, three out of four identified vFcγRs are members of the *RL11* gene family, a class

of highly diverse glycoproteins clustered near the 5' terminus of the genome. In order to

determine whether the *RL11* family members encoded by RhCMV contain vFcγRs in addition

to Rh05, we inserted every *RL11* family member annotated in the RhCMV genome into a p-

IRES-eGFP vector. To monitor surface expression, we replaced the predicted N-terminal

signal peptides with the signal peptide of human tapasin followed by a hemagglutinin (HA)

tag. Upon transfection of 293T cells, we measured rhesus IgG1 binding via flow cytometry

(Fig 2A). HA-staining demonstrated that transient transfection of plasmids containing ORFs

Rh06 (*RL11B*), Rh08 (*RL11D*), Rh08.1 (*RL11E*) and Rh22 (*RL11L*) showed very low

expression levels (Fig. 2A). However, in their original sequence these genes lack either a

signal peptide or a transmembrane domain making them unlikely to encode for vFcγR

candidates. Of the *RL11* family members that do encode for both of these features only Rh19

and Rh23 demonstrated below average expression levels, yet expression was likely

sufficient to detect Fcγ binding. As expected, most of the *RL11* family members did not

display IgG binding either at the cell surface or intracellularly upon permeabilization of the

transfected cells. The notable exceptions were Rh05 which we described previously and

Rh173, but not Rh13.1, the orthologue of HCMV RL13 which was previously reported to bind

human IgG (*33*) (Fig 2A). The *Rh173* ORF is the only *RL11* family member not located near

the 5' end of the genome but in a central region homologous to the *ULb'* region of HCMV.

Rh173 shows substantial amino acid sequence diversity across RhCMV isolates, a feature it

shares with the HCMV vFcγR RL12 (gp95) (*38*) (Fig. S1). To determine whether these

sequence variants can antagonize host FcγR activation equally well, we tested several

Rh173 genotypes from different RhCMV isolates in a cell-based FcγR activation reporter

assay (*28, 39*) (Fig. 2B). Plasmids containing the *Rh173* ORFs were co-expressed with

rhesus CD4 via a T2A linker sequence and transfected HeLa cells were incubated with a

rhesus CD4-specific rhesusized mAb and human CD16 reporter cells. All examined Rh173

sequence variants showed a similar reduction of CD16 activation, suggesting a conserved

function not affected by the polymorphisms in the Rh173 ectodomains. In conclusion, we

have identified orthologs for all four known HCMV vFcγRs, but, since Rh13.1, the ortholog of

HCMV RL13, did not show any IgG binding, we conclude that RhCMV encodes three

functional vFcγRs.

RhCMV vFcγRs are expressed with similar kinetics, localize to subcellular vesicular

structures and are integrated into newly formed virions.

To characterize the RhCMV vFcγRs in the context of infection, we constructed a FL-RhCMV

recombinant devoid of all three vFcγR. We additionally replaced the *Rh13.1* ORF with an

SIVgag antigen which has the dual effect of stabilizing the virus genome during in vitro

propagation and allowing us to specifically monitor immune responses to heterologous

antigens as described before (*2, 40*) (Fig. 3A). Using FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag as parental

recombinant we generated the triple-deletion mutant by sequentially deleting *Rh05,*

Rh152/151 and *Rh173*. As expected, the corresponding mRNAs were no longer detectable

in infected fibroblasts whereas expression of ORFs flanking the deletions was unaffected

(Fig. 3B). The deletion of all three vFcγRs also did not affect virus replication in rhesus

fibroblasts (RFs) when compared to FL-RhCMV (Fig. 3C). Similarly, vFcγR deletion did not

alter the ability of FL-RhCMV to infect epithelial cells *in vitro*, which is in contrast to a FL-

RhCMV-derived recombinant that lacks two subunits of a pentameric complex (PC) required

for infection of most cell types other than fibroblasts (Fig. 3D).

To determine vFcγR expression kinetics, we examined vFcγR mRNA expression

levels over 48 h upon infection of primary rhesus fibroblasts with FL-RhCMV at an MOI of 3.

As representative immediate early (IE), early (E) and late (L) genes we also monitored the

expression of the viral mRNAs *Rh156* (UL123, IE1), *Rh189* (US11) and *Rh137* (UL99) (*41*).

Our results indicate that the three RhCMV encoded vFcγRs are expressed with early-late (E-

L) kinetics (Fig.3E), matching expression kinetics of HCMV encoded vFcγRs (*29*).

To be able to determine the subcellular localization of the vFcγRs in the context of

viral infection we generated a recombinant FL-RhCMV carrying an SIVgag transgene in the

Rh13.1 locus in which the C-terminus of Rh05 was fused to the influenza HA epitope

whereas the C-terminus of Rh173 was fused to the parainfluenza V5 epitope (Fig. 3A).

Rh152/151 was not epitope tagged because we discovered that a mAb (*42*) originally

identified in a screen of RhCMV-specific mouse hybridoma cell lines recognized Rh152/151

(Fig S2) as well as Cynomolgus CMV Cy152/151 (Fig. S3A) although it is unable to

neutralize Rh152/151-mediated antagonization of host CD16 activation (Fig. S3B). We

infected tRFs with the recombinant FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 at an

MOI of 1 and monitored the subcellular localization of each vFcγR by immunofluorescence

analysis (IFA). At 24 hpi, all three vFcγR displayed a punctate vesicular staining pattern

consistent with type I transmembrane glycoproteins locating to subcellular vesicular

structures (Fig. 3F). However, co-staining of the vFcγRs indicated distinct localization of

Rh05 whereas the localization of Rh152/151 and Rh173 seemed to overlap at least partially.

Co-staining with markers for specific cellular compartments indicated that, in addition to early

endosomes, Rh152/151 and Rh173 showed some co-localization with the Golgi-marker

Rab6, whereas Rh05 partially co-localized with lysosomal and autophagosomal markers

LAMP-1 and LC-3 (Fig. 3G, Fig. S6). These staining patterns are consistent with vFcγRs

being endocytosed and ultimately degraded in lysosomes at early times of infection.

However, at later stages of infection at 48 hpi, both Rh152/151 and Rh173 localized to

perinuclear structures in the cell that co-stained with Rab6 and likely represent the viral

assembly compartment (VAC) (Fig. S4, Fig S7).

The presence of vFcγRs in the VAC suggested the possibility that some of them

might be incorporated into virions during viral assembly or envelopment which is consistent

with mass spectrometry of strain 68-1 virions (*36*). To determine whether vFcγRs are indeed

present in virions we gradient-purified virions from the supernatant of fibroblasts infected with

FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5,   FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag   or   triple

deleted   FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/ΔΔΔ.   Interestingly,   each   vFcγR   was   detected   in

immunoblots of purified virions of FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 whereas

they were all absent from FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/ΔΔΔ (Fig. 3H). As Rh152-151 was

detected with a mAb directly as described above, Rh152/151 was also detected in FL-

RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag. As they encode a transmembrane domain, vFcγRs of RhCMV are

likely to be inserted into the virion envelope during assembly matching published results for

HCMV (*43*).

RhCMV encoded vFcγRs antagonize host FcγR activation *in vitro*.

Our data suggest that RhCMV Rh152/151, Rh05 and Rh173 are orthologs of HCMV

UL119/118 (gp68), RL11 (gp34) and RL12 (gp95) respectively (Fig. 4A). To directly test this

relationship regarding their function, we performed a side by side comparison of these

proteins with respect to their ability to inhibit human CD16 activation using an FcγR activation

assay (Fig. 4B). The levels of inhibition confirmed the suggested orthologs and indicate

functional conservation between the RhCMV and HCMV vFcγRs.

To determine the contribution of individual RhCMV vFcγRs to counteracting host FcγR

activation by immune complexes we deleted each individual vFcγR or all three of them from

a recombinant RhCMV strain 68-1 (68-1R) in which ORF *Rh152/151* was repaired by

reversing the single nucleotide change resulting in a premature termination codon (Fig. 4C).

Immunoprecipitations of IgG binding proteins using fully rhesusized IgG (anti-RhCD4, as

above) from metabolically labeled tRFs infected with each of these recombinants revealed in

each case that the expected number of vFcγRs were expressed by the respective

recombinants whereas IgG binding proteins were not present upon deletion of all three

vFcγRs (Fig. 4D). This result further supports the conclusion that we identified the full set of

vFcγRs encoded by RhCMV. Furthermore, de-glycosylation using Endo H (cleavage of pre-

Golgi N-glycans) or PNGase F (de-glycosylation of all N-glycans) confirmed RhCMV vFcγRs

to be transmembrane glycoproteins that are processed via the ER-Golgi pathway similar to

HCMV vFcγRs (*29*). Using these recombinant viruses, we further examined the ability of

RhCMV vFcγRs to inhibit the activation of host FcγR in the context of viral infection. Infected

RFs were incubated with plasma pooled from eight different RhCMV-seropositive RM

followed by incubation with FcγR-activation reporter cells expressing single human or rhesus

FcγRs associated with ADCP or ADCC. (Fig. 4E). Compared to RhCMV containing all three

vFcγRs, an increased stimulation of all human and rhesus FcγRs was observed upon

infection with RhCMV lacking all three vFcγRs consistent with them being able to antagonize

all host FcγRs mediating ADCP or ADCC. This result also indicates that the FcγR activity is

conserved between humans and RM consistent with previous IgG binding studies (*44*). The

absence of either Rh05 or Rh152/151 alone resulted in a complete loss of antagonization

whereas Rh173 deletion alone only marginally increased stimulation, which implies

cooperation between Rh05 or Rh152/151 similar to HCMV UL119/118 and RL11 (*34*). Of

note, the inhibitory effect on human and rhesus CD64, the only high affinity FcγR and main

mediator of ADCP, was especially dependent on the presence of all three vFcγRs. These

observations are consistent with previous observations regarding HCMV (*35*) and is in line

with the synergistic mechanisms postulated between individual HCMV vFcγRs (*34*).

A RhCMV mutant deleted for all three vFcγRs is cleared prematurely from circulation

during primary infection in CMV naïve RM.

To determine the role of vFcγRs on viral replication *in vivo*, we infected four RhCMV-naïve,

male RM intravenously with 1×10^6 PFU of the FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag recombinant

deleted of all three vFcγRs (ΔΔΔ) and monitored the development of plasma viremia over

time by qPCR. As controls, we infected three RhCMV-seronegative male RM with the

parental FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag. We furthermore included historical results of 12

RhCMV-seronegative, female RM infected with RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag into our control

groups. Viral genome copy numbers became detectable in all animals shortly after

inoculation, reaching peak levels around 7 dpi (Fig. 5A). However, the duration of the plasma

viremia in animals infected with the vFcγR deletion mutant was significantly shortened

compared to FL-RhCMV (median time to control 59.5 days versus 31.5 days post infection)

(Fig.5B). The time point of viral clearance from plasma coincided with peak humoral

responses of antibodies binding to whole virions and specific viral glycoproteins (gB, PC)

(Fig. 5C), as well as antibodies mediating viral neutralization, ADCP or ADCC (Fig. 5D).

Interestingly, the kinetics of the RhCMV-specific antibody responses were indistinguishable

from RM inoculated with the parental recombinant. Together these results suggest that

vFcγR-deletion does not affect the induction and specificity of the humoral immune

responses, yet renders the virus more susceptible to antibody-mediated clearance.

Intriguingly, when we depleted CD4 T-cells in RhCMV-naïve RM prior to inoculation we no

longer observed any premature clearance of the (ΔΔΔ) deletion virus from the plasma in

infected animals (Fig. 5E). Since CD4 T cell-depletion results in delayed RhCMV-specific

antibody responses following inoculation (*12*), this result further supports a likely role of

vFcγRs in counteracting the antibody response. Lastly, we investigated whether deletion of

the vFcγRs would preclude superinfection of RhCMV seropositive RM that already have

preexisting CMV specific adaptive immune responses. When inoculated two

immunocompetent, RhCMV seropositive animals with FL-RhCMVΔΔΔ carrying a SIV-5'Pol

antigen in the *Rh13.1* locus we observed strong and lasting SIV-5'Pol-specific CD4 and CD8

T-cell responses that developed shortly after inoculation, indicating that superinfection in the

absence of humoral immune evasion genes is possible (Fig. 5F). In contrast, we previously

reported that RhCMV recombinants devoid of viral gene products that inhibit MHC class-I

mediated antigen presentation to CD8+ T cells lose their ability to superinfect RhCMV

seropositive RM (*40*). Taken together, these results show that vFcγRs support primary

infection of CMV-naïve hosts by counteracting antibody-mediated clearance from circulation,

but they are not required for superinfection.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to identify and characterize the full set of vFcγRs encoded by RhCMV in order to enable their assessment *in vivo* regarding interference with antibody function in a highly relevant animal model for HCMV. In addition to the previously identified Rh05, we now show that Rh152/151 and Rh173 also bind to host IgG and interfere with host FcγR activation by immune complexes. *Rh152/151* was identified by sequence homology to *UL119/118*. Remarkably, clear sequence orthologs of this gene can be found in all examined old and new world NHP CMV genomes and a non-spliced UL119/118-ortholog also displaying IgG-binding *in vitro* has even been identified in guinea pig CMV (GPCMV) (*27*), indicating that the ancestral gene predating all of these modern relatives was likely acquired by a common ancestor millions of years ago. Similar to HCMV UL119/118 (gp68), we observed that Rhesus Rh152/151 is able to directly interfere with the binding of host FcγRs to antibody complexes, indicating that it binds a similar region on IgG. In HCMV this interference does not seem to be a direct competition for IgG interaction for the same binding site since UL119/118 attaches to the CH2-CH3 interdomain region of Fcγ with high affinity whereas host FcγRs bind Fcγ in the CH2 domain and in the CH1-CH2 hinge implying an effect on Fcγ conformation and accessibility (*45*).

A systematic screen of all *RL11* family members encoded by RhCMV for IgG binding identified Rh173 as an additional vFcγR. We focused on this viral gene family as three of four HCMV vFcγRs, RL11 (gp34), RL12 (gp95) and RL13 (gpRL13), as well as the previously identified RhCMV encoded vFcγR Rh05 belong to this family. Intriguingly, both HCMV RL13 and its RhCMV ortholog Rh13.1 restrict virus replication *in vitro* and are hence selected against (*33*). However, only RL13 is also able to bind Fcγ *in vitro.* Within the *RL11* family, *Rh173* exhibits a high degree of sequence variability across RhCMV isolates consistent with continuous immune pressure, but despite this diversity, all tested Rh173 variants demonstrated equivalent vFcγR activity. This extraordinary level of sequence diversity is shared between Rh173 and its functional HCMV ortholog RL12 (gp95).

All identified vFcγRs are expressed with similar E/L kinetics during virus replication,

but their subcellular localization in the infected cell varies significantly. While both

Rh152/Rh151 and Rh05 demonstrate a punctate staining pattern in the infected cell implying

their localization to sub-cellular vesicular compartments, they do not seem to co-localize and

likely locate to the Golgi and lysosomes/autophagosomes, respectively. Yet, deletion of

individual vFcγRs revealed that both Rh05 and Rh152/151 seem to interfere with host FcγR

activation cooperatively, similar to previously reported results for their HCMV orthologs (*34*).

This observation indicates that these protein might interact transiently e.g. on the infected

cell or virion membrane. Deletion of Rh173 alone on the other hand, which largely co-

localizes with Rh152/Rh152, only partially restored activation of the high affinity FcγR CD64.

Further work will be required to determine the details of this potential cooperation.

Deletion of the full set of vFcγRs did not affect replication or tropism *in vitro*, yet it

eliminated viral interference with host FcγR activation. These results indicate that RhCMV

vFcγRs do not seem to play a significant role in viral entry, replication and release in tissue

culture. This conclusion is also supported by the observation that peak viremia following

infection of seronegative RM was not different between vFcγR-deleted FL-RhCMV and

vFcγR-intact FL-RhCMV. Therefore, RhCMV vFcγRs do not seem to be required to

overcome the immediate, innate host response to infection *in vivo*. This is in contrast to viral

proteins such as Rh159 (UL148) that prevent the activation of NK cells through sequestration

of NK cell activating ligands for NKG2D in virally infected cells (*46*). Interestingly, the murine

CMV vFcγR m138 also downregulates NKG2D-ligands and, as a result, affects viral

replication *in vivo*, even before the onset of adaptive immune responses (*47-51*). In contrast,

no additional functions have so far been found for primate CMV vFcγRs.

It was somewhat unexpected that deletion of all vFcγRs from FL-RhCMV did not

prevent superinfection of RhCMV seropositive RM as indicated by the development of *de*

novo T cell responses to the inserted SIV antigen. These data are consistent with prior

results showing that Rh05 is not required for reinfection (*28*). In contrast, viral evasion of

CD8+ T cells seems to be essential to overcome pre-existing immunity (*52*). Thus it seems

that evasion of humoral immunity may be a lesser requirement to overcome compared to T

cell immune evasion of preexisting immune responses during superinfection.

The deletion of vFcγRs also did not affect the initial phases of primary infection.

However, there was a significant impact on viral clearance from circulation that coincided

with the development of a robust anti-viral antibody response. Notably, this analysis does

have limitations in the small number of only male animals infected with vFcγR-deleted FL-

RhCMV and the inclusion of both male and female animals infected with differing

formulations of vFcγR-intact RhCMV. However, we observe remarkably consistent viral load

kinetics among both vFcγR-intact RhCMV subgroups, supporting the validity of the observed

difference in time to clearance. This difference between vFcγR-intact and -deleted FL-

RhCMV was not observed upon deletion of CD4+ T cells from CMV naïve RM prior to

inoculation. Since CD4+ T cells are required for the timely development of antibody

responses and depletion of CD4+ T cells resulted in a delay of RhCMV-specific antibody

responses (*12*), this result strongly suggests that a vFcγR-deleted RhCMV is more

susceptible to antibody control than wildtype RhCMV, consistent with a role in evading the

humoral response. In future experiments, we plan to compare infection of B-cell depleted

animals which is expected to further support this immune evasion function.

Targeting vFcγRs therapeutically, e.g. by using antibodies that block their Fcγ-binding

activities, could potentially increase the ability of anti-viral antibodies to control viral

replication. Previously, we showed that pre-treatment of pregnant animals with HIG from

RhCMV-positive animals prevented congenital transmission of RhCMV in

immunosuppressed, RhCMV-seronegative animals (*12, 13*). However, this protective effect

was only observed when the antibodies were isolated from donors with potent plasma virus

neutralizing activity. The results presented here raise the intriguing possibility that vFcγRs

play a role in limiting the activity of antibody therapies. Blocking vFcγR function, e.g. by

antibody Fab fragments that prevent Fcγ-binding, could thus improve the ability of passively

administered monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies to control viral replication in

immunocompromised individuals, and possibly interrupt transmission to the fetus or

ameliorate fetal disease. Further, inclusion of vFcγRs as antigens in an HCMV vaccine

candidate may generate antibody responses that would block vFcγR-based inhibition of

protective vaccine-elicited humoral immunity. Given our established RM model of congenital

CMV transmission (*12*), we now have the unique opportunity to test these hypotheses in a

relevant animal model for human vaccine development.

Material and Methods

Cells.

All cells were cultured in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere at 37°C. Telomerized rhesus fibroblasts

(tRF), HEK293T cells, 293T-CD20 (kindly provided by Irvin Chen, UCLA (*53*)) and Hela cells

were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with

10% (vol/vol) fetal calf serum (FCS, Biochrom) and antibiotics (1x Pen/Strep, Gibco). tRF

were generated from primary rhesus fibroblasts (RF) obtained from animals housed at

Oregon National Primate Research Center (ONPRC) and life-extended as described

previously (*54*). BW5147 mouse thymoma cells (kindly provided by Ofer Mandelboim,

Hadassah Hospital, Jerusalem, Israel) were maintained at $3x10^5$ to $9x10^5$ cells/ml in Roswell

Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI GlutaMAX, Gibco) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol)

FCS, antibiotics, sodium pyruvate (1x, Gibco) and β-mercaptoethanol (0.1 mM, Gibco).

Rhesus retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells were a kind gift from Dr. Thomas Shenk

(Princeton University, USA) and were cultured in a mixture of DMEM and Ham's F12 at 1:1

ratio supplemented with 5% FBS, antibiotics (1× Pen/Strep, Gibco), 1 mM sodium pyruvate,

and nonessential amino acids.

Cloning of RhCMV encoded vFcγRs and vFcγR candidates into expression plasmids.

Sequences of RhCMV vFcγRs were synthesized as gBlock fragments (IDT) and cloned via

Pst1 and BamH1 digest into a p-IRES-eGFP vector (Addgene) providing a unified tapasin

signal peptide and resulting in an N-terminal HA-epitope tag upon cleavage of the tapsin

signal peptide (*55*). Original signal peptides were predicted using SignalP 5.0 (DTU). CD4-

tailed constructs in which the C-terminal TM and cytoplasmic domains were replaced with

those of human CD4, were designed as described previously (*34*) and synthesized as

gBlocks. A self-cleaving T2A peptide sequence was introduced into the 3' end of the RhCMV

ORF replacing the stop codon as described elsewhere (*37*). gBlock fragments were designed

to encode Rhesus-CD4 (GenBank: M31134.1) and fused to the individual ORFs via the T2A

peptide by insertion downstream of the ORFs cloned into p-IRES-eGFP.

Immunoprecipitation of purified Fab, Fc and IgG from metabolically labeled cells.

tRFs were seeded at $1x10^6$ cells per well (6-well plate) and infected at a multiplicity of

infection (MOI) of 5 with the RhCMV strains 68-1, 68-1R or mutants thereof. Cells were

labelled with [^{35}S]-Met/Cys for 2 h at 72 hpi. After NP-40 cell lysis, immunoprecipitation of

proteins was performed by incubation of lysates with 10 µg/ml anti-Rhesus-CD4 IgG1

(Nonhuman Primate Reagent Resource Cat#PR-0407) for 1h followed by retrieval of proteins

using protein A Sepharose beads (PAS). Proteins were selectively deglycosylated using

Endo H or PNGasF digestion and subsequently separated on a 10% - 13% SDS-PAGE

gradient. Metabolic labelling was visualized using a Typhoon phosphoimager (Cytiva).

Parental virus strains and construction of recombinants.

All RhCMV recombinants generated for this study were based on bacterial artificial

chromosomes (BAC) of either the tissue culture adapted RhCMV 68-1 strain (GenBank

#MT157325) or full-length (FL)-RhCMV (GenBank #MT157327) which was constructed by

reversing genomic inversions, deletions and ORF truncations identified in 68-1 (*2*). The FL-

RhCMV clone now contains a complete genome representative of primary, low passage viral

isolates and demonstrates enhanced virulence and replication in immunocompetent RM

when compared to 68-1 (*2*). FL-RhCMV-based recombinants either contained riboswitches

introduced into the 5' and the 3' flanking regions the Rh13.1 ORF (*2*), or replacements of the

entire Rh13.1 ORF with heterologous antigens derived from simian immunodeficiency virus

(SIV). Since intact Rh13.1 impairs growth in vitro, similar to HCMV RL13 (*56, 57*), these

modifications allow for high-titer stock production without selection against the Rh13.1 ORF

(*2*). Additionally, we used the inserted antigens as immunological makers after inoculating

CMV-positive animals since we can monitor the development of antigen-specific T cell

responses as an indicator for infection and re-infection.

FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5,   FL-

RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/ΔRh05/ΔRh152/152ΔRh173,   and   FL-

RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIV5'pol/ΔRh05/ΔRh152/152ΔRh173   were   all   based   on

RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag, which has been described before (*41*). HA and V5 were added to

Rh05 and Rh173 through sequential homologous *en passant* recombination using specific

primers pairs containing the DNA sequence for the peptide tags. The triple vFcγR deletion

mutant (ΔΔΔ) was based on FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag and constructed by sequentially

deleting the entire coding region of each ORF. For superinfection experiments using the ΔΔΔ

recombinant in RhCMV seropositive RM, SIVgag was replaced with SIV5'pol. RhCMV 68-1R

was constructed by repairing the SNP resulting in a premature termination codon in

Rh152/Rh151 by homologous *en passant* recombination. The same techniques was then

used to either create single deletion mutants of individual vFcγR (Rh05, Rh152/151 or

Rh173) or a triple (ΔΔΔ) vFcγR deletion mutants in which all ORFs were sequentially fully

deleted.

The *en passant* recombination technique (*58*) used to introduce alterations into the parental

BACs had been previously adapted to our RhCMV vector system (*41*). In short, this

technique requires the duplication of a 50-bp stretch of the genome flanking the targeted

genomic locus. This duplication can be achieved through strategic primer design. These

primers are then used to amplify an aminoglycoside 3-phosphotransferase gene conferring

kanamycin resistance (KanR) as a selection marker preceded by an I-SceI homing enzyme

target sequence. After homologous recombination, the selection marker is flanked by the

introduced direct repeats. Expression of I-Sce I by arabinose induction in E.coli strain

GS1783 harboring the RhCMV BACs results in the selective induction of DNA double-strand

breaks in the RhCMV BAC. These breaks are then repaired via recombination of the

repeated DNA sequences by inducing the expression of the λ phage-derived Red

recombination genes through heat shock. This results in complete removal of the KanR

resistance cassette without retaining any DNA sequences introduced during recombineering.

Final constructs were analyzed by Xma I restriction digest, Sanger sequencing of the altered

genome region, and full-genome analysis by next generation sequencing (NGS) before virus

reconstitution.

Multistep Growth Curves

Primary rhesus fibroblasts (RF) were seeded at 5 x 10^4 cells per well in 24-well plates

(Corning). On the next day, the cells were infected in triplicate with either FL-RhCMV

ΔRh13.1/SIVgag or the FL-RhCMV ΔRh13.1/SIVgag ΔRh05ΔRh152/Rh151ΔRh173 (ΔΔΔ)

recombinant at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01. Starting from day 3 post infection,

supernatants were collected every third day until day 24 and stored at -80°C. To determine

the number of focus forming units (FFU) in each collected sample, 10^4 RF per well were

seeded into 96-well plates (Corning) in quadruplicates and infected the next day with the

supernatant samples. At 72 hpi, the cells were fixed with 100% methanol for 20 minutes at -

20°C and then permeabilized with 2% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% Triton X-100 in

Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) for 15 min at room temperature (RT). Subsequently, the

cells were blocked for 30 min with 2% BSA in PBS (PBA) and stained with a mouse anti-

RhCMV pp65b mAb (clone 19C12.2, generated in house by OHSU monoclonal antibody

shared resource (*59*)) for 1 h at 37°C. After washing three times with PBA, the cells were

stained with an Alexa488-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (Invitrogen) for 1h at

37°C and then with 3 µM DAPI (Invitrogen) for 20 min. Images were acquired using an EVOS

fluorescence microscope (Life Technologies) and the ImageJ software was used to process

the images and count all pp65b+ and DAPI+ cells. Double positive versus single positive

(DAPI+) cell counts were used to calculate the virus titer for each sample as focus forming

units per milliliter (FFU/ml).

Quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis to determine the kinetics

of RhCMV FcγRs transcripts.

RF were seeded in 6-well plates and infected with either FL-RhCMV or FL-RhCMV

ΔRh05ΔRh152/151ΔRh173 (both also containing ΔRh13.1/SIVgag) as a negative control at

a MOI of 5. Subsequently, total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fischer

Scientific) at 4, 8, 12, 24, 36, and 48 h post infection (hpi) following the manufacturer's

instructions. Next, 1 µg of total RNA per sample was DNase I (Zymo Research) treated and

then used to synthesize complementary DNA (cDNA) using the Maxima Reverse

Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). To determine the transcription levels and temporal

kinetics of the RhCMV FcγRs *Rh05, Rh152/151, and Rh173*, qPCR was performed with the

cDNA using TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and QuantStudio 7

Flex Real-Time PCR Systems (Applied Biosystems). Transcript copy numbers of target

genes were determined using the QuantStudio Real-Time PCR Software v1.3 and then

normalized to the housekeeping transcript for Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase

(GAPDH). Data were graphed for each gene and time point as relative mRNA copy numbers.

To determine the kinetic class of each vFcγR transcript, the immediate early (IE) gene

Rh156, the early (E) gene *Rh189,* and the late (L) gene *Rh137* were included as controls as

described previously (*41*). For the q-PCR assay, primers and probes specific to each gene of

interest were used (Table S1). Expression of genes adjacent to the deleted genes in FL-

RhCMV ΔRh05ΔRh152/151ΔRh173 was determined by qualitative PCR using the same

cDNA and primer sets specific to each deleted gene and the corresponding adjacent genes

(Table S1). To confirm the absence of residual viral DNA in the cDNA samples, a set of RNA

samples was used during cDNA synthesis without reverse transcriptase (RT-) treatment.

RhCMV virion isolation and virion proteins detection by immunoblot.

FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag, FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/ΔRh05/ΔRh152/152ΔRh173 (ΔΔΔ)

and FL-RhCMVΔRh13.1/SIVgag/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 virions were purified over a

discontinuous Nycodenz gradient, as described previously (*59*). The virus was isolated from

the culture medium of infected RF. For each virus, 10 T-175 flasks of confluent RFs were

infected at a MOI of 0.05-0.1. Infected cells were harvested after all cells showed maximal

cytopathic effect. The supernatants were first clarified by centrifugation at 7,500 g for 15 min.

The clarified medium was layered over a sorbitol cushion (20% D-sorbitol, 50 mM Tris [pH

7.4], 1 mM MgCl$_2$), and virus was pelleted by centrifugation at 64,000 g for 1 h at 4°C in a

Beckman SW28 rotor. The virus pellet was resuspended in TNE buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 7.4],

100 mM NaCl, and 10 mM EDTA). The virus particles were further purified by layering them

over a discontinuous 5% to 50% Nycodenz gradient (Sigma-Aldrich) in TNE buffer, followed

by centrifugation at 111,000 g for 2 h at 4°C in a Beckman SW41 Ti rotor. The virion bands in

the gradient were extracted with a syringe through the side of the centrifuge tube, and the

particles were pelleted in a Beckman TLA-45 rotor in a Beckman Optima TL 100

Ultracentrifuge at 40,000 g for 1 h and washed twice with TNE buffer. In order to detect

RhCMV FcγRs in the RhCMV virion preparation, pellets were lysed with 200 μl RIPA lysis

buffer (ThermoFischer Scientific) and 200 μl of 2x Laemmli buffer (0.125M Tris HCl pH6.8,

4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 0.004% bromophenol blue). Protein lysates were denatured at 95°C

for 10 min and similar protein amounts were electrophoretically separated on a NuPAGE™

10%, Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen, ThermoFischer Scientific), electrophoretically transferred onto

PVDF transfer membranes (ThermoFischer Scientific) and stained for HA (Sigma-Aldrich,

HA-7), V5 (Invitrogen, E10/V4RR), Rh152/151 , and Rhpp65b using specific antibodies.

Rh152/151 and Rhpp65b were made by OHSU monoclonal antibody shared resource (*42*).

Protein bands were visualized by SuperSignal™ West pico PLUS chemiluminescent

substrate (ThermoFischer Scientific).

Comparison of fibroblasts and epithelial cell infections.

RF and rhesus retinal pigment epithelial cells (RPE) were seeded at 12,000 cells per well

onto 96-well plates. After 24 h, the cells were infected with either FL-RhCMV, vFcγRs

deleted FL-RhCMV (ΔΔΔ), or pentameric complex (PC)-deleted FL-RhCMV (deleted for

Rh157.5 and Rh157.4, the homologues of HCMV UL128 and UL130, all ΔRh13.1/SIVgag) at

a 1:3 serial dilution starting at 1:50 inoculum in DMEM complete. On day 72 hpi, all cells

were fixed with methanol for 20 min at -20°C, then washed three times with PBS.

Subsequently, fixed cells were stained with the mouse α-RhCMV pp65b antibody (clone

19C12.2, generated in house by OHSU monoclonal antibody shared resource) for 1 h at

37°C. Next, cells were stained with Alexa488-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody

(Thermo Fisher) for 1 h at 37°C and then with DAPI (Thermo Fisher) for 10 min at RT.

Images were acquired using the EVOS® FL Auto Imaging System (Thermo Fisher) and

analyzed using the ImageJ software. Relative infection rates were calculated as the ratio of

focus forming units per mL (FFU/mL) in RPE cells versus FFU/mL in RF for each

recombinant. Experiments were performed in triplicate in three biological repeats.

Immunofluorescence assay and fluorescence microscopy.

tRFs were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml

penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. 10^6 TRFs were plated overnight on 12 mm wide, 0.13-

0.17 mm thick glass coverslips in 24-well plates. The next day cells were infected with FL-

RhCMV, FL-RhCMVΔRh152/152 or FL-RhCMV/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 at MOI of 1. At 24 hpi

and 48 hpi, cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed with 4% methanol-free formaldehyde for 10

min at RT. Cells were washed thrice using PBS and blocked and permeabilized using

saponin-BSA buffer for 1 h at RT (0.2% saponin from Millipore and 1% BSA from Fisher).

Cells were incubated with the following primary antibodies for 1 h at RT: Anti-HA (Abcam-

Ab18181), Anti-RhCMV-Rh152/151 (mouse mAb generated in house by OHSU monoclonal

antibody shared resource (*42*)). Anti-V5 (GeneTex-GTX628529), Anti-RhCMV IE2 (mouse

mAb generated in house by OHSU monoclonal antibody shared resource (*42*)), Anti-EEA1

(CST-2411S), Anti-LAMP1 (Thermo-Fisher, PA1-654A), Anti-Rab6A (Thermo-Fisher, PA5-

22127), Anti-ERp57 (Invitrogen-PA3-009). Coverslips were washed thrice for a total of 30

min using saponin-BSA buffer. Secondary antibodies (A21463 anti-mouse 647, A21441 anti-

rabbit 488, A21155 IgG3-594, A21131 IgG2a 488, A21240 IgG1 647, all from Thermo-

Fisher) and DAPI (D1306, Thermo-Fisher) in saponin-BSA buffer were incubated for 1 h at

RT. Cells were washed thrice for a total of 30 min in saponin-BSA buffer followed by a final

wash using PBS. Coverslips were mounted on glass slide using Prolong Gold (P36930,

Thermo-Fisher) and cured for 24-48 h. The cells were imaged using the 100x oil immersion

objective of a Keyence BZ-X710 microscope using its built-in 2.8 megapixel monochrome

CCD camera. To eliminate fluorescence blurring in images, sectional function of 2D

structured illumination and haze reduction features were used. Data was processed using

FIJI software. All the immunofluorescence experiments were independently repeated four

times.

Flow cytometry.

Cells were washed in PBS, equilibrated in staining buffer (PBS, 3% FCS) and pelleted at

1000 g and 10°C for 3 min. Cells were incubated in staining solution (antibody diluted in

buffer as suggested by the supplier). Every incubation step was carried out at 4°C for 1h,

followed by 3 washing steps in staining buffer. Dead cells were stained using DAPI. Cells

were analyzed on a FACS Fortessa LSR instrument (BD Bioscience). Intracellular stains

were performed using the Cytofix/Cytoperm kit according to the supplier's instructions

(Becton Dickinson). HA-epitopes were detected using PE-labeled clone 16B12 (Biolegend).

PE-conjugation was performed using an ab102918 labelling kit (Abcam) as suggested by the

supplier. Data was evaluated using FlowJo 10 software.

Fcγ-receptor activation assay.

The assay was performed as described previously (*28, 34, 39*). Briefly, target cells were

incubated with dilutions of a rhesus-CD4 specific rhesusized IgG1 (*28*) or a serum pool from

8 RhCMV-seropositive rhesus macaques in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FCS for

30 min at 37°C. Cells were washed before co-cultivation with FcγR-activation reporter cells

(ratio E:T 10:1) for 16 h at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere. For all activation assays, mouse

IL-2 secretion was quantified by anti-IL-2 ELISA as described previously (*39*).

CD16 binding assay.

The assay was performed as described previously (*34*). In brief, 293T-CD20 cells expressing

transfected vFcγRs or control constructs were harvested using Accutase® (Sigma-Aldrich) to

retain surface molecules upon detachment. Harvested cells were washed in PBS,

equilibrated in staining buffer (PBS, 3% FCS) and sedimented at 1000g and 10°C for 3 min.

Cells were then incubated with staining buffer containing a humanized human CD20-specific

mAb (rituximab) followed by incubation with recombinant human or rhesus FcγR

ectodomains pre-incubated with a His-tag-specific PE-labeled mAb. His-tagged FcγR

ectodomains were used at a final concentration of 5 µg/ml (Sino Biological). Dead cells were

labeled via DAPI stain. Analysis was performed on a FACS Fortessa LSR instrument (BD

Bioscience).

Rhesus macaques.

Indian-origin rhesus macaques were housed at the Tulane National Primate Research

Center, the California National Primate Research Center or the Oregon National Primate

Research Center and maintained in accordance with institutional and federal guidelines for

the care and use of laboratory animals (National Research Council Guide for the Care and

Use of Laboratory Animals. National Academies Press, 8th Ed, Washington, DC, 2011).

RhCMV-seronegative male RM were infected with 1×10^6 plaque forming units (pfu) of either

FL-RhCMV (n = 3) or vFcγR-deleted FL-RhCMVΔΔΔ (n = 4). Blood samples were collected

at 0, 2, 7, 10, 14, 21, 28, 38, 43, 50, 57, and 64 or 65 days post infection (dpi) for FL-RhCMV

infected animals and 0, 2, and 7 dpi and weekly thereafter through 6-7 weeks post infection

for ΔvFcγR RhCMV infected animals. An additional two seropositive rhesus macaques we

inoculated with 1x10^7pfu vFcγR-deleted FL-RhCMVΔΔΔ. Blood samples were collected at

weeks 0, 2, 6, 8. An additional 12 pregnant RhCMV-seronegative RM infected with 1×10^6

PFU each of FL-RhCMV and UCD52 in late first/early second trimester were included as

additional controls to show viral kinetics in animals infected with vFcγR-intact viruses. The

dams were sampled at days 0, 1, 4 post infection and then on a weekly basis thereafter. To

deplete CD4+ T cells, six dams received an 50 mg/kg intravenous dose of recombinant

rhesus anti-CD4 antibody (CD4R1 clone; Nonhuman Primate Reagent Resource) one week

prior to infection with 1×10^6 pfu of either FL-RhCMV (n = 3) or FL-RhCMVΔΔΔ (n = 3) in late

first/early second trimester. Blood was collected on an approximately weekly basis starting at

anti-CD4 infusion through 12 weeks post infection for these dams.

Viral load qPCR. DNA was isolated using the QIAmp DNA minikit (Qiagen). We utilized a

40-cycle real-time qPCR reaction using 5′-GTTTAGGGAACCGCCATTCTG-3′ forward

primer,   5′-GTATCCGCGTTCCAATGCA-3′   reverse   primer,   and   5′-FAM-

TCCAGCCTCCATAGCCGGGAAGG-TAMRA-3′ probe directed against a 108bp region of the

highly conserved RhCMV *IE* gene, using a standard IE plasmid to interpolate the number of

viral DNA copies/ml of plasma. Two out of six replicates above the limit of detection (1-10

copies per well) was required to report a positive result for each plasma sample.

Antibody serology assays.

RhCMV-specific IgG antibody kinetics were measured in plasma by enzyme-linked

immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) using either whole virion preparations of FL-RhCMV or

purified glycoprotein preparations of gB or pentamer as described previously (*13*). Briefly,

high-binding 384-well ELISA plates (Corning) were coated with 15 µl/well of 5120 pfu/ml

RhCMV or 2µg/ml purified gB or pentameric complex (PC) protein in 0.1M sodium

bicarbonate (pH = 9.55) overnight at 4°C. Following overnight incubation, plates were

blocked for 1-2 h with blocking solution (PBS+, 4% whey protein, 15% goat serum, 0.5%

Tween-20), and then 3-fold serial dilutions of plasma (1:30 to 1:65,610) were added to the

wells in duplicate for 1-2 h. Plates were then washed using an automated plate washer

(BioTek) and incubated for 1 h with mouse anti-rhesus IgG HRP-conjugated secondary

antibody (Southern Biotech, clone SB108a) at a 1:5,000 dilution or anti-rhesus IgM HRP-

conjugated secondary antibody (Rockland) at 1:8,000. After two washes, SureBlue Reserve

TMB Microwell Peroxidase Substrate (KPL) was added to the wells for 7 min for whole virion

ELISAs and 3.5 min for glycoprotein ELISAs. The reaction was stopped by addition of 1%

HCl solution (KPL) and plates were read at 450 nm. The cutoff for positive antibody reactivity

was considered to be 3 SDs above the average OD_{450} measured for RhCMV-seronegative

samples at the starting plasma dilution (1:30). ED_{50} was calculated as the sample dilution

where 50% binding occurs by interpolation of the sigmoidal binding curve using four-

parameter logistic regression, and this value was set to half of the starting dilution (15) when

the 1:30 dilution point was negative by our cutoff and when the calculated ED_{50} was below

15. Endpoint titer or area-under-the-curve (AUC) were used to report binding results when

the dilution series resulted in incomplete sigmoidal curves, and thus unreliable ED_{50}

calculations, for the majority of positive samples. Endpoint titer is defined as the last dilution

factor at which there was binding above the positivity cutoff. AUC was calculated by

integrating the OD_{450} over the full dilution series.

Neutralization of RhCMV on fibroblasts was monitored as previously described (*13*).

Briefly, serial dilutions (1:30 to 1:65,610) of heat-inactivated rhesus plasma were incubated

with FL-RhCMV (*2*) (MOI = 1) for 1–2 h at 37°C. The virus/plasma dilutions were then added

in duplicate to 384-well plates containing confluent cultures of TRF cells and incubated at

37°C for 24 h. Infected cells were then fixed for 20 min in 10% formalin and processed for

immunofluorescence with 1 µg/ml mouse anti-RhCMV pp65B or 5 ug/ml mouse anti-

Rh152/151 monoclonal antibody followed by a 1:500 dilution of goat anti-mouse IgG-Alexa

Fluor 488 antibody (Invitrogen). Nuclei were stained with DAPI for 10 min (Invitrogen).

Infection was quantified in each well by automated cell counting software using a Molecular

Devices ImageXpress Pico. Subsequently, the ID_{50} was calculated as the sample dilution

that caused a 50% reduction in the number of infected cells compared with wells treated with

virus only. If the ID_{50} was below the limit of detection (e.g., every value in the series resulted

in a level of infection above 50%), we set the ID_{50} to 15 (half of the first dilution).

Antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis was assessed by conjugation of

concentrated FL-RhCMV to Alexa Fluor 647 using NHS-ester reaction (Invitrogen), which

was allowed to proceed in the dark with constant agitation for 1–2 h and then quenched by

the addition of pH 8.0 Tris hydrochloric acid, and 5,000 pfu of the conjugated virus (10 µl) of

virus and plasma samples in duplicate at a 1:30 dilution were combined in a 96-well U-

bottom plate (Corning) and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. THP-1 monocytes were then added at

50,000 cells per well. Plates were spun for 1 h at 1200xg at 4°C and then transferred to a

37°C incubator for an additional 1 h. Cells were then washed with 1% FBS in PBS and

stained with aqua live/dead (Invitrogen) at 1:1000 for 20 min. Following another wash step,

cells were fixed for 20 min in 10% formalin and resuspended in PBS. Fluorescence was

measured using a BD Fortessa flow cytometer using the high-throughput sampler (HTS)

attachment, and data analysis was performed using FlowJo software (v10.8.1). Results are

reported as the percent of the live population that was AF647+.

Antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity was measured by NK cell degranulation

using NK92.rh.158I.Bb11, an engineered NK cell line expressing the Ile158 variant of rhesus

macaque CD16 (*60*). Confluent TRF cells were infected with 1 MOI FL-RhCMV in low serum

(5%) medium in T75 flasks (ThermoFisher). Mock infection was performed in parallel. After

24 h of infection, cells were dissociated from the flask using TrypLE (Gibco), and 50,000

target cells were seeded in each well of a 96-well flat bottom tissue culture plate (Corning).

Cells were incubated for 16–20 h to allow them to adhere. Then, the target cell medium was

removed, and 50,000 NK92.Rh.CD16-Bb11 cells were added to each well with Brefeldin A

(GolgiPlug, 1:1000, BD Biosciences), monensin (GolgiStop, 1:1500, BD Biosciences),

CD107a-FITC (BD Biosciences, 1:40, clone H4A3), and plasma samples at 1:25 dilution or

purified IgG controls from either seropositive or seronegative plasma donors at 50–250 μg/ml

in RPMI1640 containing 10% FBS, plated in duplicate, and incubated for 6 h at 37ºC and 5%

CO_2. The same samples were added to mock-infected target cells for background

subtraction. NK cells were then collected and transferred to a 96-well V-bottom plate

(Corning). Cells were pelleted and resuspended in aqua live/dead diluted 1:1000 for a 20 min

incubation at RT. Cells were washed with PBS + 1% FBS and stained with CD56-PECy7 (BD

Biosciences, clone NCAM16.2) and CD16 PacBlue (BD Biosciences, clone 3G8) for a 20 min

incubation at RT. Cells were washed twice then resuspended in 10% formalin for 20 min.

Cells were then resuspended in PBS for acquisition. Events were acquired on a BD

Symphony A5 using the HTS attachment, and data analysis was performed using FlowJo

software (v10.8.1). Data is reported as the % of CD107a+ live NK cells (singlets,

lymphocytes, aqua blue–, CD56+, CD107a+) for each sample, background subtracted for

first the no antibody control wells within mock and infected conditions, followed by

subtracting signal from mock infected cells treated with the same sample.

T cell assays.

CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses were measured in PBMC by flow cytometric intracellular

cytokine staining (ICS) as described in detail previously (*41*). Frozen PBMCs were rapidly

thawed at 37°C and washed with R10-media (RPMI 1640 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

supplemented with 10% Newborn Calf Serum (Gibco), 2mM l-glutamine (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), 100U/mL penicillin (Invitrogen), 100µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen), 50µM βME

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) before cells were combined with anti-CD28 (CD28.2, Purified

500ng/test: eBioscience), anti-CD49d mAb (9F10, Purified 500 ng/test: eBioscience), and

test antigen then incubated for 1 h at 37°C before the addition of Brefeldin A, followed by an

additional 8 h incubation. Co-stimulation without antigen served as a negative control. Cells

were then stained with the following fluorochrome conjugated antibodies: anti-CD3 (SP34–2,

Pacific Blue; BD Biosciences), anti-CD8 (SK1, PerCP-eFluor710; Life Tech), anti-CD4 (L200,

BV510; BD Biosciences), anti-CD69 (FN50, PE-TexasRed, BD Biosciences), anti-IFNγ (B27,

APC; BD Biosciences), anti-TNFα (MAb11, PE; BD Biosciences), and Ki67 (B56; FITC, BD

Biosciences). T cell responses to SIV 5'Pol were measured by ICS using a mix of sequential

15-mer peptides (11 amino acid overlap). Stained samples were analyzed on an LSR-II or

FACSymphony A5 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo 10 software

(BD Biosciences). In all analyses, gating on the lymphocyte population was followed by the

separation of the CD3+ T cell subset and progressive gating on CD4+ and CD8+ T cell

subsets. Antigen-responding cells in both CD4+ and CD8+ T cell populations were

determined by their intracellular expression of CD69 and either or both of the cytokines IFN-γ

and TNF-α. Assay limit of detection was determined with 0.05% after background subtraction

being the minimum threshold used in this study. After background subtraction, the raw

response frequencies above the assay limit of detection were "memory-corrected" (i.e.

percent responding out of the memory population), as previously described (*41*).

Statistical analysis.

Survival analysis comparing time to viremia stabilization, defined as the first timepoint post-

peak viremia that plasma viral load (VL) was below the qPCR limit of detection, between

rhesus macaques infected with FL-RhCMV and ΔvFcγR RhCMV was assessed via an exact

log rank test using the coin package (*61, 62*). Kaplan-Meier estimates of viremic probabilities

were employed for visualization and quantifying median time to viremia stabilization (*63*). All

statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software (www.r-project.org).

Acknowledgments

The authors would first like to acknowledge the rhesus macaques that were used in this

study and thank the faculty and staff of the Departments of Veterinary Medicine and

Collaborative Research at the Tulane National Primate Research Center (TNPRC) and the

Oregon National Primate Research Center (ONPRC) for their excellent care of our research

animals. We acknowledge the Molecular Virology Core at Oregon National Primate Research

Center (ONPRC) for performing viral productions and qPCRs. We are additionally grateful to

the NIH Nonhuman Primate Reagent Resource (R24 OD010976 and NIAID contract HHSN

272201300031C) which provided the CD4-depleting antibody. We further wish to

acknowledge support from the Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Research Design (BERD)

Methods Core. Fig. 1A and Fig. 4A cartoons were generated with Biorender (biorender.com),

License University Medical Center Freiburg, Institute of Virology. We extend

acknowledgements to Estes lab at VGTI for offering their Keyence microscope for generation

of the IFA data.

Funding

This work was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG KO6815/1-11 and

HE2526/9-2) awarded to PK and HH. Funding for *in vivo* studies was NIH/NIAID

3P01AI129859 and NIH/NICHD DP2HD075699 awarded to SRP. CEO received funding from

NIH/NIAID 3P01AI129859-04S1, NIH/NCI T32-CA009111, and 2TL1-TR-2386. The Tulane

National Primate Research Center is supported by NIH/NCRR (OD011104). The Oregon

National Primate Research Center (ONPRC) and the OHSU Molecular Virology Core are

supported by NIH P51OD011092. The Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Research Design

Methods Core is funded by NIH/NCATS UL1TR002553. The funders had no role in study

design, data collection and interpretation, decision to publish, or the preparation of this

manuscript.

Conflict of interest

785   SRP has served as a consultant to Merck, Moderna, Pfizer, GSK, Dynavax, and Hookipa and

786   has led sponsored programs with Moderna and Merck.

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: PK, DM, SRP, AK, KF.

Performed the experiments: CO, ME, SP, HT, KH, PK, MJM, EAS, LMS, SK, TDM, NHVB,

MD, ZS.

Analyzed the data: PK, DM, CO, AD, RB, DS, SGH.

Writing and original draft preparation: PK, DM, CO.

Review and editing: SP, KF, HH, CC.

References

798   1.   A. J. Davison *et al.*, The order Herpesvirales. *Arch Virol* **154**, 171-177 (2009).

799   2.   H. Taher *et al.*, In vitro and in vivo characterization of a recombinant rhesus cytomegalovirus containing a complete genome. *PLoS pathogens* **16**, e1008666 (2020).

802   3.   E. Forte, Z. Zhang, E. B. Thorp, M. Hummel, Cytomegalovirus Latency and Reactivation: An Intricate Interplay With the Host Immune Response. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **10**, 130 (2020).

805   4.   A. W. Sylwester *et al.*, Broadly targeted human cytomegalovirus-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells dominate the memory compartments of exposed subjects. *J Exp Med* **202**, 673-685 (2005).

808   5.   K. Takenaka *et al.*, Cytomegalovirus Reactivation after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation is Associated with a Reduced Risk of Relapse in Patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia Who Survived to Day 100 after Transplantation: The Japan Society for Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation Transplantation-related Complication Working Group. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* **21**, 2008-2016 (2015).

6.   S. C. Dollard, S. D. Grosse, D. S. Ross, New estimates of the prevalence of neurological and sensory sequelae and mortality associated with congenital cytomegalovirus infection. *Rev Med Virol* **17**, 355-363 (2007).

7.   M. C. Cheeran, J. R. Lokensgard, M. R. Schleiss, Neuropathogenesis of congenital cytomegalovirus infection: disease mechanisms and prospects for intervention. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **22**, 99-126, Table of Contents (2009).

8.   in *Vaccines for the 21st Century: A Tool for Decisionmaking,* K. R. Stratton, J. S. Durch, R. S. Lawrence, Eds. (Washington (DC), 2000).

9.   P. D. Griffiths *et al.*, Cytomegalovirus glycoprotein-B vaccine with MF59 adjuvant in transplant recipients: a phase 2 randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **377**, 1256-1263 (2011).

10.   R. F. Pass *et al.*, Vaccine prevention of maternal cytomegalovirus infection. *N Engl J Med* **360**, 1191-1199 (2009).

11.   D. I. Bernstein *et al.*, Safety and efficacy of a cytomegalovirus glycoprotein B (gB) vaccine in adolescent girls: A randomized clinical trial. *Vaccine* **34**, 313-319 (2016).

12.   K. M. Bialas *et al.*, Maternal CD4+ T cells protect against severe congenital cytomegalovirus disease in a novel nonhuman primate model of placental cytomegalovirus transmission. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **112**, 13645-13650 (2015).

13.   C. S. Nelson *et al.*, Preexisting antibodies can protect against congenital cytomegalovirus infection in monkeys. *JCI Insight* **2**, (2017).

14.   G. Nigro, Hyperimmune Globulin for Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection. *N Engl J Med* **386**, 1002-1003 (2022).

15.   K. O. Kagan *et al.*, Outcome of pregnancies with recent primary cytomegalovirus infection in first trimester treated with hyperimmunoglobulin: observational study. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* **57**, 560-567 (2021).

16.   B. L. Hughes *et al.*, A Trial of Hyperimmune Globulin to Prevent Congenital Cytomegalovirus Infection. *N Engl J Med* **385**, 436-444 (2021).

17.   D. Blazquez-Gamero *et al.*, Prevention and treatment of fetal cytomegalovirus infection with cytomegalovirus hyperimmune globulin: a multicenter study in Madrid. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* **32**, 617-625 (2019).

18.   M. G. Revello *et al.*, A randomized trial of hyperimmune globulin to prevent congenital cytomegalovirus. *N Engl J Med* **370**, 1316-1326 (2014).

19.   E. C. Semmes *et al.*, Maternal Fc-mediated non-neutralizing antibody responses correlate with protection against congenital human cytomegalovirus infection. *J Clin Invest* **132**, (2022).

20.   E. C. Semmes *et al.*, ADCC-activating antibodies correlate with protection against congenital human cytomegalovirus infection. *medRxiv*, (2023).

21.   D. C. Johnson, V. Feenstra, Identification of a novel herpes simplex virus type 1-
induced glycoprotein which complexes with gE and binds immunoglobulin. *J Virol* **61**,
2208-2216 (1987).

22.   I. Frank, H. M. Friedman, A novel function of the herpes simplex virus type 1 Fc
receptor: participation in bipolar bridging of antiviral immunoglobulin G. *J Virol* **63**,
4479-4488 (1989).

23.   R. B. Baucke, P. G. Spear, Membrane proteins specified by herpes simplex viruses.
V. Identification of an Fc-binding glycoprotein. *J Virol* **32**, 779-789 (1979).

24.   M. Ogata, S. Shigeta, Appearance of immunoglobulin G Fc receptor in cultured
human cells infected with varicella-zoster virus. *Infection and immunity* **26**, 770-774
(1979).

25.   C. M. Edson, B. A. Hosler, D. J. Waters, Varicella-zoster virus gpI and herpes simplex
virus gE: phosphorylation and Fc binding. *Virology* **161**, 599-602 (1987).

26.   V. Litwin, C. Grose, Herpesviral Fc receptors and their relationship to the human Fc
receptors. *Immunol Res* **11**, 226-238 (1992).

27.   R. Majima, T. Koshizuka, N. Inoue, The Guinea pig cytomegalovirus GP119.1 gene
encodes an IgG-binding glycoprotein that is incorporated into the virion. *Microbiol
Immunol* **65**, 28-39 (2021).

28.   P. Kolb *et al.*, Identification and Functional Characterization of a Novel Fc Gamma-
Binding Glycoprotein in Rhesus Cytomegalovirus. *J Virol* **93**, (2019).

29.   R. Atalay *et al.*, Identification and Expression of Human Cytomegalovirus
Transcription Units Coding for Two Distinct Fc Receptor Homologs. *Journal of
Virology* **76**, 8596-8608 (2002).

30.   B. N. Lilley, H. L. Ploegh, R. S. Tirabassi, Human cytomegalovirus open reading
frame TRL11/IRL11 encodes an immunoglobulin G Fc-binding protein. *J Virol* **75**,
11218-11221 (2001).

31.   R. Thale, P. Lucin, K. Schneider, M. Eggers, U. H. Koszinowski, Identification and
expression of a murine cytomegalovirus early gene coding for an Fc receptor. *J Virol*
68, 7757-7765 (1994).

32.   T. Furukawa, E. Hornberger, S. Sakuma, S. A. Plotkin, Demonstration of
immunoglobulin G receptors induced by human cytomegalovirus. *Journal of clinical
microbiology* **2**, 332-336 (1975).

33.   M. Cortese *et al.*, Recombinant human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) RL13 binds human
immunoglobulin G Fc. *PloS one* **7**, e50166 (2012).

34.   P. Kolb *et al.*, Human Cytomegalovirus antagonizes activation of Fcgamma receptors
by distinct and synergizing modes of IgG manipulation. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

35.   E. Corrales-Aguilar *et al.*, Human cytomegalovirus Fcgamma binding proteins gp34
and gp68 antagonize Fcgamma receptors I, II and III. *PLoS pathogens* **10**, e1004131
(2014).

36.   D. Malouli *et al.*, Reevaluation of the coding potential and proteomic analysis of the
BAC-derived rhesus cytomegalovirus strain 68-1. *J Virol* **86**, 8959-8973 (2012).

37.   Z. Liu *et al.*, Systematic comparison of 2A peptides for cloning multi-genes in a
polycistronic vector. *Sci Rep* **7**, 2193 (2017).

38.   A. Dolan *et al.*, Genetic content of wild-type human cytomegalovirus. *J Gen Virol* **85**,
1301-1312 (2004).

39.   E. Corrales-Aguilar *et al.*, A novel assay for detecting virus-specific antibodies
triggering activation of Fcgamma receptors. *Journal of immunological methods* **387**,
21-35 (2013).

40.   S. G. Hansen *et al.*, Evasion of CD8+ T cells is critical for superinfection by
cytomegalovirus. *Science* **328**, 102-106 (2010).

41.   D. Malouli *et al.*, Cytomegaloviral determinants of CD8(+) T cell programming and
RhCMV/SIV vaccine efficacy. *Sci Immunol* **6**, (2021).

42.   B. J. Burwitz *et al.*, Cross-Species Rhesus Cytomegalovirus Infection of Cynomolgus
Macaques. *PLoS pathogens* **12**, e1006014 (2016).

43. G. Vezzani *et al.*, Human immunoglobulins are transported to HCMV viral envelope
by viral Fc gamma receptors-dependent and independent mechanisms. *Front*
Microbiol **13**, 1106401 (2022).
44. Y. N. Chan *et al.*, IgG Binding Characteristics of Rhesus Macaque FcgammaR. *J*
Immunol **197**, 2936-2947 (2016).
45. E. R. Sprague *et al.*, The human cytomegalovirus Fc receptor gp68 binds the Fc
CH2-CH3 interface of immunoglobulin G. *J Virol* **82**, 3490-3499 (2008).
46. E. R. Sturgill *et al.*, Natural Killer Cell Evasion Is Essential for Infection by Rhesus
Cytomegalovirus. *PLoS pathogens* **12**, e1005868 (2016).
47. I. Crnkovic-Mertens *et al.*, Virus attenuation after deletion of the cytomegalovirus Fc
receptor gene is not due to antibody control. *J Virol* **72**, 1377-1382 (1998).
48. J. D. Mintern *et al.*, Viral interference with B7-1 costimulation: a new role for murine
cytomegalovirus fc receptor-1. *J Immunol* **177**, 8422-8431 (2006).
49. G. Angulo *et al.*, Cytomegalovirus restricts ICOSL expression on antigen-presenting
cells disabling T cell co-stimulation and contributing to immune evasion. *Elife* **10**,
(2021).
50. T. Lenac *et al.*, The herpesviral Fc receptor fcr-1 down-regulates the NKG2D ligands
MULT-1 and H60. *J Exp Med* **203**, 1843-1850 (2006).
51. J. Arapovic, T. Lenac Rovis, A. B. Reddy, A. Krmpotic, S. Jonjic, Promiscuity of
MCMV immunoevasin of NKG2D: m138/fcr-1 down-modulates RAE-1epsilon in
addition to MULT-1 and H60. *Molecular immunology* **47**, 114-122 (2009).
52. S. G. Hansen *et al.*, Profound early control of highly pathogenic SIV by an effector
memory T-cell vaccine. *Nature* **473**, 523-527 (2011).
53. K. Morizono *et al.*, Redirecting lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with Sindbis virus-
derived envelope proteins to DC-SIGN by modification of N-linked glycans of
envelope proteins. *J Virol* **84**, 6923-6934 (2010).
54. W. L. Chang, V. Kirchoff, G. S. Pari, P. A. Barry, Replication of rhesus
cytomegalovirus in life-expanded rhesus fibroblasts expressing human telomerase. *J*
Virol Methods **104**, 135-146 (2002).
55. C. Zimmermann *et al.*, HLA-B locus products resist degradation by the human
cytomegalovirus immunoevasin US11. *PLoS pathogens* **15**, e1008040 (2019).
56. A. Ourahmane, L. Hertel, M. A. McVoy, The RL13 Temperance Factor Represses
Replication of the Highly Cell Culture-Adapted Towne Strain of Human
Cytomegalovirus. *Viruses* **15**, (2023).
57. R. J. Stanton *et al.*, Reconstruction of the complete human cytomegalovirus genome
in a BAC reveals RL13 to be a potent inhibitor of replication. *J Clin Invest* **120**, 3191-
3208 (2010).
58. B. K. Tischer, G. A. Smith, N. Osterrieder, En passant mutagenesis: a two step
markerless red recombination system. *Methods Mol Biol* **634**, 421-430 (2010).
59. D. Malouli *et al.*, Cytomegalovirus pp65 limits dissemination but is dispensable for
persistence. *J Clin Invest* **124**, 1928-1944 (2014).
60. C. E. Otero *et al.*, Relationship of maternal cytomegalovirus-specific antibody
responses and viral load to vertical transmission risk following primary maternal
infection in a rhesus macaque model. *PLoS pathogens* **19**, e1011378 (2023).
61. T. Hothorn, K. Hornik, M. A. van de Wiel, A. Zeileis, A Lego System for Conditional
Inference. *The American Statistician* **60**, 257-263 (2006).
62. N. Mantel, Evaluation of survival data and two new rank order statistics arising in its
consideration. *Cancer Chemother Rep* **50**, 163-170 (1966).
63. E. L. Kaplan, P. Meier, Nonparametric Estimation from Incomplete Observations.
Journal of the American Statistical Association **53**, 457-481 (1958).
955
956

 Figure Legends

Fig 1. Rh152/Rh151 binds IgG and antagonizes host FcγR activation by blocking
receptor engagement.

A) 293T cells were transfected with pIRES-eGFP plasmid expressing the indicated
UL119/118 or Rh152/Rh151 constructs or human CD99 as a negative control. Polycistronic
GFP expression was used to gate on transfected cells. The cells were probed for binding of
PE-conjugated rhesusized IgG1 by flow cytometry with (intracellular) or without
permeabilization (cell surface). The cartoon illustrates the orientation and configuration of the
different Rh152/Rh151 constructs in the cellular membrane. Extracellular and cytoplasmic
termini of the proteins are indicated and the modifications to the YXXΦ sorting motifs are
highlighted in green.

B) Transfected Hela cells expressing the RhCD4 target antigen and the indicated vFcγRs in
equimolar amounts from a T2A-linked fusion protein were incubated with graded amounts of
RhCD4-specific rhesusized IgG1 and tested for human CD16 activation using a cell-based
reporter assay as described before (*34*). The bar graph shows area under curve (AUC)
values of the response curves of three independent experiments performed in technical
replicates and normalized to activation in the presence of a non-Fcγ binding glycoprotein
control (human CD99). Error bars = +SD.

C) 293T cells stably expressing human CD20 were transfected with the indicated vFcγRs or
human CD99, incubated with the anti-CD20 antibody Rituximab and probed for rhesus or
human CD16 binding via flow cytometry. The experimental setup is shown in detail on the
left. The bar graphs on the right show the MFI normalized to CD20 expression following
transfection across three independent experiments. Error bars = +SD.

Fig 2. Rh173 binds IgG and antagonizes FcγR activation.

A) 293T cells transfected with a pIRES-eGFP plasmids providing a Tapasin signal peptide
and an N-terminal HA-tag encoding either a known vFcγR, a RhCMV *RL11* family member or

the human CD99 protein as a negative control were probed for binding of PE-conjugated

rhesusized IgG1 with (cell surface) or without permeabilization (intracellular) via flow

cytometry. The presented histograms show a representative experiment. The bar graphs

depict the MFI (from two independent experiments) for intracellular IgG-binding (left panels)

or intracellular protein expression using the N-terminal HA-tag (right panels) and normalized

to UL119/118-CD4 results. Error bars = range. The samples presented with grey bars show

RL11 family members that lack a predicted signal peptide and/or transmembrane domain in

their original sequence.

B) Hela cells were transfected with plasmid pIRES-eGFP expressing a T2A-linked fusion

protein of the RhCD4 target antigen and the indicated Rh173 sequence variants from

published RhCMV sequences. The transfectants were incubated with graded amounts of

RhCD4-specific rhesusized IgG1 and tested for human CD16 activation using a cell-based

FcγR activation reporter assay. Equal transfection efficiency was monitored via polycistronic

GFP expression. The presented bar graph shows the area under the curve (AUC) values of

the response curves of three independent experiments and normalized to activation in the

presence of a non-Fcγ binding glycoprotein control (CD99). Error bars = +SD.

1001

Fig. 3. RhCMV vFcγRs are early late gene products that are non-essential for growth *in*

***vitro* and locate to sub-cellular vesicular structures in infected cells.**

A) Graphical overview of FL-RhCMV-derived recombinants in which vFcγRs were either

deleted or fused to HA or V5 epitope tags. HA and V5 epitope tags are highlighted in blue

and red respectively. Deleted vFcγRs are indicated by highlighting the annotated ORFs in

red.

B) mRNA expression of vFcγR-encoding or neighboring genes was determined by RT PCR.

Total mRNAs were harvested at 36h after infection of rhesus fibroblasts (RFs) with the triple

vFcγRs deletion mutant (ΔΔΔ) (MOI=5). To determine mRNA expression cDNA was

produced by reverse transcriptase (RT) and PCR was performed using primers targeting

either the vFcγR-encoding or the neighboring ORFs. Control samples with no RT treatment

were included. RhCMV IE1 and GAPDH were included as viral and cellular mRNA controls.

C) A multistep growth-curve on RFs was performed to compare the replication kinetics of

vFcγR-deleted recombinant (ΔΔΔ) compared to the vFcγR-intact parental FL-RhCMV-

derived recombinant. RFs were infected at an MOI of 0.01, supernatants were collected at

the indicated time points and the viral titers were determined as focus forming units (FFU)

per ml of supernatant. The arithmetic mean of three biological repeat experiments are shown

(± SEM).

D) RFs and rhesus retinal pigment epithelial cells (RPEs) were infected with serial dilutions

of FL-RhCMV, the triple vFcγR-deletion recombinant (ΔΔΔ), or a FL-RhCMV-derived

recombinant deleted for the pentameric complex (PC) components Rh157.5 (UL128

homolog) and Rh157.4 (UL130 homolog). The infection rates in each cell type were

determined by IFA for pp65b and are presented as the relative ratio of infected epithelial

cells versus fibroblasts.

E) The expression kinetics of the vFcγRs was monitored by infecting RFs with either FL-

RhCMV or the triple vFcγR-deletion mutant (ΔΔΔ) at an MOI of 5. Total mRNA was

harvested at 0, 4, 8, 12, 24, 36, and 48 hpi and cDNA was produced. The copy numbers of

the viral transcripts for each gene determined by real-time quantitative reverse transcription

PCR (qRT-PCR) are graphed in relation to copy numbers of the cellular GAPDH transcript.

Rh156 (UL123, IE1), *Rh189* (US11), and *Rh137* (UL99) (upper panels) were used as

controls representative of IE, E and L kinetic classes during the viral replication cycle.

F) To determine whether vFcγRs co-localize with each other we infected tRFs with FL-

RhCMV/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 at a MOI of 1 for 24 h. Cells were fixed, permeabilized and

stained with anti-HA, anti-Rh152/151 and anti-V5 antibodies for detecting vFcRs alone (top

row) or in combination with each other (bottom row). Uninfected tRF were used as controls.

Shown are representative single cell views. Uncropped images are provided in Fig. S4A. A

parallel analysis at 48 hpi is shown in Fig. S4B and Fig. S4C. Similar results were obtained in

four independent experiments. Fig. S5 shows secondary antibody control staining.

G) To determine the subcellular localization of vFcγRs we infected RFs with FL-RhCMV/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 as in F) and co-stained with antibodies recognizing the cellular markers ERp57 (endoplasmic reticulum), Rab6A (Golgi), EEA1 (early endosome), LAMP1 (lysosome) and LC3 (autophagosome) for detecting specific organelles. Top row: co-staining with Rh05-HA, Middle Row: co-staining with Rh152/151. Bottom row: co-staining with Rh173-V5. The data presented are representative single cell views. Uncropped images are provided in Fig. S6 (bottom row). Uninfected cells are shown in Fig. S6 (top row). A parallel analysis performed at 48 hpi is shown in Fig. S7. Similar results were obtained in four independent experiments.

H) Virions of FL-RhCMV, the triple vFcγR-deletion mutant (ΔΔΔ) or FL-RhCMV/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 were purified over a discontinuous Nycodenz gradient. Lysates were generated and immunoblots were performed for Rh05 (HA), Rh152/151 and Rh173 (V5) as well as pp65 and IE as positive and negative controls respectively.

Fig 4. RhCMV vFcγRs reflect HCMV vFcγR function and efficiently antagonize host FcγR activation *in vitro*.

A) Graphical overview of HCMV vFcγRs and their RhCMV orthologs.

B) Transfected Hela cells expressing both the rhesus CD4 target antigen and the indicated vFcγRs as T2A-linked fusion protein from a pIRES-e-GFP plasmid were incubated with graded amounts of a rhesus CD4-specific rhesusized IgG1 antibody and tested for human CD16 activation using a cell-based reporter assay. Equal transfection was monitored via polycistronic GFP expression. The presented bar graph shows area under curve (AUC) values of the response curves of three independent experiments (Error bars = +SD). Empty vector (e.v.) transfection and expression of a non-Fcγ binding glycoprotein (CD99) served as controls.

C) Overview over the RhCMV 68-1 based recombinants. To create RhCMV 68-1R, we repaired a premature termination codon in *Rh152/151*. Single and triple deletion mutants of

the vFcγRs were based in the repaired 68-1 strain. All deletions are indicated by highlighting

the corresponding ORFs in red.

D) Telomerized rhesus fibroblasts (tRF) were infected with RhCMV 68-1 or 68-1R virus or

68-1R –derived single vFcγR deletion mutants at an MOI of 5 for 72 h and then labeled with

[^{35}S]-Met/Cys for 2 h. Whole cell lysates were prepared and precipitations of IgG binding

molecules were performed using ProteinG bound rhesus-CD4 specific rhesusized IgG1.

Lysates from each condition were split and either left untreated, or digested with either

EndoH or PNGaseF. All samples were analyzed via gradient SDS-PAGE and subsequent

autoradiography. One of two independent experiments is presented here.

E) RFs were infected with RhCMV 68-1, 68-1R virus or single vFcγR deletion mutants at an

MOI of 2 for 48h and then incubated with a 1:10 dilution of a pooled sera from eight CMV

seropositive RM. The samples were assessed for human or rhesus FcγR activation using a

cell-based reporter assay. Resulting mIL-2 ELISA OD values were normalized to the mean

activation on vFcγR deleted virus for each subgroup. vFcγRs expressed by viruses used for

infection are indicated below. *mock infected cells. Error bars = +SD. Three independent

experiments performed in technical triplicates are presented here.

1083

Fig 5. RhCMV encoded vFcγRs are essential for maintenance of viremia *in vivo*.

A) Four RhCMV-seronegative male RM were inoculated with a FL-RhCMVΔΔΔ. As a control,

three RhCMV-seronegative male RM and 12 RhCMV-seronegative female RM were

inoculated with a FL-RhCMV. DNA was isolated form the plasma samples. Viral genome

copy number were determined by qPCR using primer/probe sets targeting exon 1 of the IE

locus. Copy numbers are reported via interpolation of a standard curve.

B) Kaplan-Meyer survival analysis demonstrating the timing of control of viremia, defined as

the first time point at which RhCMV is below the LOD (1 copy/well). The median time to

control was 31.5 days post infection in animals infected with vFcγR-deleted RhCMV

compared to 59.5 days in animals infected with FL-RhCMV (p = 0.031).

C) IgG binding to whole FL-RhCMV virions, RhCMV gB, and RhCMV pentamer was

measured by ELISA.

D) Neutralization of FL-RhCMV on fibroblasts. ADCP is reported as the percentage of live

THP-1 cells containing fluorescently labeled FL-RhCMV after incubation with plasma. ADCC

is reported as the percentage of live rhesus CD16-expressing NK92 cells expressing the

degranulation marker CD107a following co-incubation with FL-RhCMV infected fibroblasts

and plasma samples. All responses demonstrate comparable magnitude and kinetics

between animals infected with FL-RhCMV (n = 3) versus vFcγR-deleted RhCMVΔΔΔ (n = 4).

E) Viral load kinetics in plasma of CD4+ T cell-depleted RhCMV-naïve animals infected with

vFcγR-deleted RhCMV (black) versus FL-RhCMV (red).

F) Two RhCMV-seropositive RMs were inoculated with 5×10^6 PFU of vFcγR-deleted

RhCMVΔΔΔ carrying an SIV-5'pol transgene as an immunological marker replacing the

Rh13.1 ORF. The onset of SIV 5'Pol-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses and the

boosting of RhCMV-specific T cell responses were measured in peripheral blood by

intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) for IFN-γ and TNF-α using either a pool of 15mer

peptides overlapping by 11 amino acids (AA) corresponding to SIV-5'pol (*52*) or RhCMV

lysates. The frequency of IFN-γ+ and/or TNF-α+ memory T cells is shown for each individual

animal and each indicated time point post-inoculation.

1112

1113   **Fig S1. RhCMV vFcγR amino acid sequence alignments.**

1114   Amino acid sequence alignments of RhCMV vFcγRs across all published full-length RhCMV

1115   genome sequences from primary isolates and excluding laboratory adapted strain

1116   sequences.

1117

Fig S2. **A RhCMV-specific mAb recognizes Rh152/151.**

A mAb from a screen of RhCMV specific mouse hybridoma cell lines (*42*) was tested for

RhCMV protein specificity. tRFs were infected with FL-RhCMV or FL-RhCMVΔRh152/151 at

MOI 1. IFA was performed at 24 hpi and 48 hpi using anti-IE2 and anti-RhCMV antibodies for

detecting infected cells. Uninfected tRFs show absence of fluorescence signals, while at 24

hpi and 48 hpi cells show nuclear IE2 staining. The RhCMV-specific antibody displayed a

punctate patterns at both time points. In contrast, FL-RhCMVΔRh152/151-infected cells

displayed a loss of RhCMV-specific antibody binding, while preserving IE2 detection. This

indicated that the RhCMV-specific antibody recognizes the Rh152/151 protein in RhCMV

infected cells. This conclusion was confirmed by immunoblot analysis where the same

RhCMV-specific mAb detected a protein band that was absent from FL-RhCMVΔRh152/151-

infected cell lysates. IE2 and β-actin were included as infection and loading control,

respectively.

1131

Fig S3. A Rh152/151 specific mAb from mouse immunization does not impact vFcγR

function.

A) Hela cells transfected with RhCMV vFcγRs or control proteins (rhesus-CD4, human

CD99) were probed for recognition by the PE-labeld Rh152-151 specific mAb via flow

cytometry. Cyn = Cynomolgus. Rh = Rhesus. Three independent experiments. Error bars =

+SD.

B) Human CD16 activation was tested on Hela cells transfected with rhesus-CD4 and the

indicated vFcγRs or a human CD99 control from T2A-linked constructs to ensure equimolar

expression. Cells were pre-incubated with the Rh152-151 specific mAb or not before addition

of rhesus-CD4 specific mAb and co-culture with human CD16 reporter cells. Three

independent experiments. Error bars = +SD.

1143

Fig S4. IFA for vFcγR co-localization at 24h post-infection

tRFs were infected with RhCMV FL+Rh05-HA+Rh173-V5 at MOI 1 and

immunofluorescence assay was performed at 24 hpi and 48 hpi. Cells were probed using

anti-HA, anti-Rh152/151 and anti-V5 antibodies for detecting vFcγRs. Data presented in the

top row is a 100X microscopic view image at 24 hpi (cropped images in Fig 3F), while the

center row shows the 100X microscopic view image at 48 hpi. The bottom row shows a

cropped representative single cell at 48 hpi (marked with white arrow).

1151

Fig S5. Control IFA for secondary antibody binding

To investigate whether secondary antibodies could bind to vFcγRs and result in non-specific

signals, tRFs were infected with FL-RhCMV/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 at MOI 1 and IFA was

performed at 48 hpi. Cells were probed using either anti-IE2 or anti-RhCMV antibodies for

detecting infected cells, and additionally also probed with all florescent conjugated secondary

antibodies. No non-specific vFcγR binding of secondary antibodies was observed in infected

cells.

1159

Fig S6. Co-localization of vFcγRs with markers of cellular organelles

Organelle staining pattern in uninfected tRF cells are shown in top row. In bottom row, tRFs

were infected with FL-RhCMV/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 at MOI 1 and IFA was performed at 24

hpi. Cells were probed using anti-HA, anti-Rh152/151 and anti-V5 antibodies for detecting

vFcRs. Additionally, cells were probed using anti-ERp57, Rab6A, LAMP1 and LC3 for

detecting specific organelles. Data presented in bottom row is the complete 100X

microscopic view image at 24 hpi (cropped images in Fig 3G).

1167

Fig S7. IFA for vFcγR co-localization at 24h post-infection

tRFs were infected with FL-RhCMV/Rh05-HA/Rh173-V5 at MOI 1 and immunofluorescence

assay was performed at 48 hpi. Cells were probed using anti-HA, anti-Rh152/151 and anti-

V5 antibodies for detecting vFcRs. Additionally, cells were probed using anti-ERp57, Rab6A,

LAMP1 and LC3 for detecting specific organelles. The data presented in top panel is cropped

representative single cell view from 100X microscopic view image. Bottom row shows

cropped representative single cell at 48 hpi (marked with white arrow).

1175

Fig. 1

A

Cell surface | Intracellular

Rh152/151
FL-RhCMV | 68-1 | CD4-tailed
Extracellular
Transmembrane
Cytoplasmic

CD99
HCMV: UL119/118, UL119/118-CD4
RhCMV: Rh152/151 (FL), Rh152/151 (68-1), Rh152/151-CD4

Fcγ binding (Rhesus IgG1-PE)

Human CD4

ITYVLMRQRNPWA YRRLDEEKPYPVPYFKQW

ITYVLMRQRNP

B

mIL-2 (ELISA)

3. CD16A reporter cells
2. anti-RhCD4
1. Hela (RhCD4-T2A-vFcγR)

CD16/FcRIII activation
[area under curve norm. to CD99 control]

0.0   0.5   1.0

CD99

UL119/118
UL119/118-CD4
Rh152/151 (FL)
Rh152/151 (68-1)
Rh152/151-CD4

C

αHis-PE
CD16-His
Rituximab(Rtx)
vFcγR
stable CD20 expression

MFI x10³ (norm. to CD20 expression)

0   1   2   3   4   5

HCMV: UL119/118 CD4, CD99 — Human CD16
RhCMV: Rh152/151 CD4, Rh05 CD4, CD99 — Rhesus CD16

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig 4

Fig. 5

Table S1. Primers and probes for the RT-qPCR/RT-PCR analyses.

Rh 03.1	Forward	5' GGCTGCTGAACGCAAGCT 3'
	Reverse	5' TGTAGGCAAACAGGAACAGCAA 3'
Rh05	Forward	5' AGTATCGGCCGCTGAATGAG 3'
	Reverse	5' TCCCGTCCGGAAAATCG 3'
	Probe	6FAMACCCTGCGCCTCAGMGBNFQ
Rh06	Forward	5' TGAAAAAGCGCCATAAAAATTCT 3'
	Reverse	5' TGGTTGGGAATACAAGTTGAATCC 3'
Rh150	Forward	5' GCAACGCCTTTCAGAACCTT 3'
	Reverse	5' AGGACGGTGATGTTGTGCAA 3'
Rh152/151	Forward	5' TCGGAACACTGGGCTATATCG 3'
	Reverse	5' ACAGAAGGGCAAATAGCATGAAG 3'
	Probe	6FAMCTTGGCCTTCCTCCMGBNFQ
Rh154	Forward	5' CTGAACTGGTGGCCTCGAA 3'
	Reverse	5' AGTTGCGCTAACAGAACAATCATC 3'
Rh172	Forward	5' GGCGGCGATCGCATT 3'
	Reverse	5' CCACTCTCTGGTTCGCTTCAT 3'
Rh173	Forward	5' ATACTACCGTCAACGCCACTCA 3'
	Reverse	5' TTCTCTCTGGACGGTATCGAACA 3'
	Probe	6FAMACGACACAGAACAGCMGBNFQ
Rh174	Forward	5' GCTCCGGCTGCCACAA 3'
	Reverse	5' CAGTGATGCAACGCACTTCCT 3'

Fig. S1

Fig. S2

Fig. S3

Fig. S4

Fig. S5

Fig. S6

Fig. S7