A Real-time Multi-Subject Three Dimensional Pose Tracking System for Analyzing Social Behaviors of Non-human Primates

Chaoqun Cheng[1], Zijian Huang[1], Ruiming Zhang[1], Guozheng Huang[1], Han Wang[1], Likai Tang[1], Xiaoqin Wang[1,2*]

[1]Tsinghua Laboratory of Brain and Intelligence, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.

[2*]Department of Biomedical Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, US.

***Correspondence**: xiaoqin.wang@jhu.edu

Abstract

The ability to track positions and poses (body parts) of multiple monkeys in a 3D space in real time is highly desired by non-human primate (NHP) researchers in behavioral and systems neuroscience because it allows both analyzing social behaviors among multiple NHPs and performing close-loop experiments (e.g., delivering sensory or optogenetics stimulation during a particular behavior). While a number of animal pose tracking systems have been reported, nearly all published work lacks the real-time analysis capacity. Existing methods for tracking freely moving animals have been developed primarily for rodents which typically move on a 2D space. In contrast, NHPs roam in a 3D space and move at a much faster speed than rodents. We have designed a real-time 3D pose tracking system (MarmoPose) based on deep learning to capture and quantify social behaviors in natural environment of a highly social NHP species, the common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*) which has risen to be an important NHP model in neuroscience research in recent years. This system has minimum hardware requirement and can accurately track the 3D poses (16 body locations) of multiple marmosets freely roaming in their homecage. It employs a marmoset skeleton model to optimize the 3D poses and estimate invisible body locations. Furthermore, it achieves high inference speed and provides an online processing module for real-time closed-loop experimental control based on the 3D poses of marmosets. While this system is optimized for marmosets, it can also be adapted for other large animal species in a typical housing environment with minimal modifications.

Introduction

The common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus) has emerged in recent years as a promising non-human primate model in neuroscience research, offering unique advantages over other animal models. Compared to rodents, marmosets have more complex brain architecture and exhibit closer cognitive ability to humans. Unlike larger primates like macaques, marmosets are easier to breed in captivity and have a shorter developmental stage and faster reproductive cycle(*1, 2*). Marmosets have been widely used in various fields of scientific research, including vocal and auditory studies(*3-8*), visual neuroscience(*9-11*), and transgenic studies for disease modelling(*12-16*).

Marmosets are particularly suitable for a wide range of behavioral experiments due to their small body size and social behaviors(*17*). However, most behavioral experiments on marmosets have been conducted based on manual recordings or with movement constraints(*18-20*). Therefore, the ability to automatically capture and quantify behaviors of marmosets in natural environment and social scenarios is highly desired by marmoset research community. Such a system could be integrated with other experimental methodologies to advance marmoset research. For instance, using quantified behaviors to identify the differences in behavioral phenotypes between normal and genetically modified marmosets could shed light on the relationships between genes and behaviors. In addition, synchronizing behavioral quantification with neural activity recording technologies have the potentials to reveal the neural mechanisms underlying behaviors.

In recent years, there has been a rapid development of automated pose tracking systems for animal behavioral studies. DeepLabCut offers multi-animal 2D pose estimation capabilities(*21, 22*), and can be extended to provide low-latency 3D pose estimation for a single animal(*23-25*).

SLEAP provides versatile support for multi-animal 2D pose tracking across a variety of network

architectures(*26, 27*). DANNCE enables direct 3D pose estimation for single rodent and can be

transferred to other species(*28*). MAMMAL provides the capability to capture 3D surface motions

of pigs and dogs(*29*). Additionally, species-specific systems have been developed, such as

OpenMonkeyStudio for macaques(*30*), DeepFly3D for drosophila(*31*) and FreiPose for rats(*32*).

DeepBhvTracking(*33*), MarmoDector(*34*) and FulMAI(*35*) are offline tracking systems

specifically designed for marmosets. However, the functions of these systems are confined to

tracking the positional trajectories of marmosets in 2D or 3D spaces, lacking the crucial pose

information for behavioral analyses. While DeepLabCut offers 2D pose tracking capability for

marmosets, and DANNCE has the potential to be adapted for estimating 3D poses of single

marmoset, a significant amount of new training data are required for new experimental setups,

such as adding more marmosets with new identities, which considerably limits their applications

in marmoset behavioral experiments.

Due to the highly social nature and rapid movements of marmosets in three-dimensional

space, a system capable of tracking 3D poses of multiple marmosets is highly desired. In addition,

the ability to control experimental stimuli in real-time based on the marmoset's positions or

actions(*25*) would give researchers power to conduct a wider range of behavioral and physiological

experiments in freely roaming marmosets. Existing systems are unable to fulfill these specific

requirements. In this study, we have developed an efficient and user-friendly real-time 3D pose

tracking system for multiple marmosets, which can be flexibly adapted by a wide range of

researchers to study the marmoset's natural behaviors.

The MarmoPose described in this report is a deep learning-based 3D pose tracking system,

with minimum hardware requirement, specifically designed for reconstructing 3D poses of single

or multiple marmosets freely moving in their homecage environment. In MarmoPose, multi-view

images captured by four (or more) cameras are first processed by deep neural networks to predict

2D coordinates of 16 body locations of each marmoset. Subsequently, visible 3D body locations

are reconstructed using triangulation while invisible 3D body locations are estimated through a

denoising autoencoder incorporating a marmoset skeleton model. MarmoPose offers several

advantages over existing systems: (1) This is the first system to enable comprehensive 3D pose

tracking for multiple marmosets; (2) This system supports real-time closed-loop experimental

control based on the 3D poses and positions of marmosets, which could be integrated with other

experimental functions including stimulus playback and neural recording; (3) This system employs

a marmoset skeleton model for 3D coordinates optimization, thereby improving the precision of

the reconstructed 3D poses and rendering it possible to estimate invisible body locations in the

cameras' blind spots; (4) This system is flexible as each module can be independently modified to

accommodate new experimental setups, such as varying the number of marmosets in the cage or

different obstacle configurations; (5) This system is designed for user-friendly deployment in a

typical marmoset family cage (0.7m x 1m x 0.8m) without additional modifications and can

therefore be easily adapted to other housing or experimental environments.

Results

Overview of the MarmoPose system

MarmoPose is a 3D pose tracking system specifically designed for both single and multiple marmosets. It receives video streams from multiple cameras and outputs estimated body locations of marmosets in a 3D space. We developed the system for a typical marmoset family cage (1m x 0.7m x 0.8m) with four video cameras mounted on the upper corners as shown in Fig. 1a. Marmosets can freely move around in the cage. Two wooden logs, two mental shelves and some small sticks are placed inside the cage to enrich the environment. Note that the cameras are fixed on four top corners inside the homecage so that such a setup does not require any modifications of the cage and thus can be easily deployed in other housing cages.

We selected 16 locations of the body to capture the posture of a marmoset (head, left/right ear, neck, spinemid, left/right elbow/hand/knee/foot, tailbase, tailmid, tailend). As annotated in Fig. 1b, each dot represents one of the body locations and the lines represent the marmoset skeleton. Invisible body locations from this view are indicated by transparent dots. In scenarios involving multiple marmosets, maintaining consistent identification of each individual across different camera views is crucial for accurate 3D triangulation. To ensure reliable identification of individuals, we applied a harmless dye to their ears to clearly distinguish them (For n marmosets, $n-1$ are marked by different colors while one is unmarked). For instance, the marmoset marked with blue dye is correspondingly annotated with blue in Fig. 1b.

Fig. 1c illustrates the workflow of MarmoPose, consisting of two main stages. In the first stage, 2D predictions were generated for each video. For images containing multiple marmosets,

Fig. 1 MarmoPose is a complete system for multi-marmoset 3D pose tracking. a, Experimental
setup of MarmoPose. Four cameras are mounted on the upper corners of the marmoset homecage
(1m x 0.7m x 0.8m), and two wooden logs and two mental shelves are placed in the cage as their
daily environment. Marmosets can freely move without any interference. **b,** Diagram of the whole
workflow of MarmoPose. **c,** Example (cropped) images with manual annotations for the
Marmoset3K dataset. Each dot represents one of the 16 body locations (transparent dots denote

invisible body locations from this camera view), and the lines indicate the marmoset skeleton. **c,** Diagram of the whole workflow of MarmoPose. **d,** Example (cropped) image with predicted bounding boxes, where the instance identity of the marmosets is denoted by the color of the bounding box. **e,** Example (cropped) images with predicted body locations, where invisible body locations are omitted. **f,** Illustration of 3D triangulation with 2D predictions.

we first trained a detection model, adapted from RTMDet(*36*), to detect the bounding box as well

as the identity of each marmoset (Fig. 1d). Subsequently, we trained a pose estimation model,

adapted from RTMPose(*37*), to predict 16 body locations based on the images cropped around

these bounding boxes (Fig. 1e). We adopted the two-stage approach due to its flexibility in

accommodating new experimental setups and the relatively small size of marmosets in each

camera view. To train these deep neural networks, we labeled the Marmoset3K dataset (see

Methods) based on 1527 images containing one marmoset and 1646 images containing two

marmosets across different camera views. Each image was annotated with the 16 body locations

and identity information for each instance. In the second stage, instances with the same identity

from all camera views were integrated and triangulated into 3D poses using camera parameters

(Fig. 1f) which were calibrated once the cameras were fixed (see Methods). Subsequently, we

employed a denoising autoencoder (DAE)(*38*) integrated with prior knowledge of a marmoset

skeleton model to reconstruct invisible body locations (see Fig. 3c). Finally, an optional step could

be performed to refine the 3D poses further using an iterative optimization method (see Methods).

As a result, MarmoPose can accurately estimate 3D poses of the marmosets with both visible and

invisible body locations. To help visualize the estimated 3D poses, MarmoPose also generates

videos combining images from camera views with predicted body locations and identity

information (Fig. 2a). In addition, MarmoPose provides an online process module to enable real-

148 time experimental control based on the 3D poses of marmosets. This module will be discussed in

149 detail below.

Fig. 2 MarmoPose can accurately track 3D poses of multiple marmosets. a, An example
demonstrating one frame of the visualized videos generated by MarmoPose. Four corners show
images from 4 camera views with predicted 2D body parts and identities, while the central part
shows the reconstructed 3D poses. **b**, Box plots of 3D Euclidean errors for MarmoPose and human

variability evaluated on the Marmoset3D test dataset, 16 body locations are grouped into 4
categories based on their anatomical locations (Head: head, left/right ear; Spine: neck, spinemid;
Limbs: left/right elbow/hand/knee/foot; Tail: tailbase, tailmid, tailend). Human variability
indicates the error between hand-labeled ground-truth on the same data from two different people.
(n=258 instances). **c**, 3D reconstruction accuracy as a function of error threshold evaluated on the
test data (n=71 instances) for single marmoset. Body locations are broken down to the same
categories as in **b**. **d,** 3D reconstruction accuracy as a function of error threshold evaluated on the
test data (n=152 instances) for paired marmosets **e-h**, 3D reconstruction errors for single marmoset
and paired marmosets. Each dot represents one of the body locations, with surrounding circles
denoting the 75th percentile of the 3D Euclidean errors, and histograms correspond to full error
distribution evaluated on the test data (n=84 instances for single marmoset dataset; n=146 instances
for paired marmosets dataset)

MarmoPose can accurately track 3D poses of multiple marmosets

Fig. 2a shows a visualized frame generated by MarmoPose. Original images from the four

cameras are annotated with predicted identities, bounding boxes and 2D poses of two marmosets

in the cage. The central plot shows the reconstructed 3D poses of the two marmosets, reflecting

the marmosets' 16 body positions and poses as they roam freely in the cage. This figure

demonstrates that MarmoPose can track the 3D poses of multiple marmosets (see Movie 1).

In order to evaluate the accuracy of MarmoPose quantitatively, we constructed a dataset

named Marmoset3D (see Methods) by triangulating hand-labeled 2D coordinates from multiple

camera views at the same timepoint. The Marmoset3D dataset contained 522 3D ground-truth

instances with 8352 body locations (16 body locations per each instance), consisting of 140

instances collected from 140 timepoints with single marmoset (from four marmosets) and 382

instances collected from 191 timepoints with paired marmosets (from three pairs of marmosets).

The hand-labeled 2D coordinates were first annotated by one person and then proofread by a

second person to ensure accuracy. However, because marmosets are relatively small in each

camera view and there were no obvious landmarks on their bodies for precise localization, there

was inevitably variability in the 2D coordinates annotated by the two persons. Theoretically, this

human variability is the lower bound of the error of MarmoPose. We computed the human

variability by measuring the error between hand-labeled ground-truth on the same data from two

different persons. Fig. 2b shows the 3D Euclidean errors of MarmoPose and human variability, in

which 16 body locations are grouped into 4 categories based on their anatomical locations for

clarity (Head: head, left/right ear; Spine: neck, spinemid; Limbs: left/right elbow/hand/knee/foot;

Tail: tailbase, tailmid, tailend). MarmoPose can achieve comparable 3D errors to the human

variability, the median error of human variability for these 4 groups are: 0.29mm(Head),

4.77mm(Spine), 6.92mm (Limbs) and 1.12mm(Tail), and the median error of MarmoPose for these

4 groups are: 2.82mm(Head), 8.25mm(Spine), 12.76mm(Limbs) and 8.75mm(Tail).

Given MarmoPose is the first complete system to track 3D poses of multiple marmosets, for

comparison, we trained models using SLEAP with the same dataset to estimate the 2D poses of

multiple marmosets, followed by triangulation using direct linear transformation from four camera

views. Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d illustrate the 3D reconstruction accuracy as a function of error threshold

for both MarmoPose and SLEAP combined with triangulation (abbreviated as Sleap&Tri)

evaluated on the Marmoset3D test dataset of single marmoset and paired marmosets respectively,

where the accuracy is defined as the percentage of 3D body locations with 3D Euclidean errors

below the error threshold, with 16 body locations grouped into four categories. The figures clearly

demonstrate that MarmoPose outperforms Sleap&Tri significantly. Quantitatively, the typical

body length of an adult marmoset is about 20cm (excluding the tail, which is also approximately

20cm long). If we choose 20 mm (about 10% of body length) as a threshold, the accuracy of

MarmoPose is 95% for Head, 93% for Spine, 68% for Limbs and 89% for Tail respectively on the

single marmoset test data (Fig. 2c), and the accuracy of MarmoPose is 94% for Head, 85% for

Spine, 68% for Limbs and 83% for Tail respectively on the paired marmosets test data (Fig. 2d).

Note that even with the largest threshold analyzed, the accuracy still can't reach 100%. This is

partly due to occasional incorrect identification of marmoset identities, leading to significant errors

after 3D triangulation.

Figure 2e and 2g display the 3D reconstruction errors with two representative images. The

75th percentile of the 3D Euclidean errors for each body location is represented by a circle The

error distributions are shown in Fig. 2f and 2h. Generally, body locations on the head have smaller

errors due to the existence of boundary between brown and white hairs, while body locations on

the limbs have larger errors due to high degrees of freedom and frequent occlusion.

MarmoPose can be easily adapted to new experimental setups

MarmoPose provides a detection model and a pose estimation model pre-trained on the

Marmoset3K dataset, containing images of single and paired marmosets. When conducting

experiments, researchers may need to deploy MarmoPose in new experimental setups different

from the default configuration, for example, with a different number of marmosets and additional

items inside the cage. As demonstrated in Fig. 3a, MarmoPose can be easily adjusted to

accommodate these changes by finetuning one of the models with minimal additional effort. For

instance, we conducted a test to track a family of four marmosets with new identities (marked by

new dye colors). Under this circumstance, only the detection model requires finetuning, and only

the bounding boxes with corresponding identities for each instance need to be labeled in the new

training data. In fact, we annotated 100 images (which took less than 1 hour) to finetune the

detection model. As a result, the finetuned model can achieve 93.2% identification accuracy with

precise bounding boxes (Fig. 3b), which can be directly used in experiments involving four

marmosets. Another issue is that the dye colors marked on marmosets will inevitably be occluded

by obstacles or become invisible in some camera views. To mitigate this issue, we designed a

heuristic assignment algorithm (see Methods) to assign the identity labels predicted by the

detection model for each instance, which can further increase the accuracy from 95.1% to 96.5%

for two marmosets and 93.2% to 95.0% for four marmosets, as depicted in Fig. 3b.

Fig. 3 MarmoPose can be easily adapted to new experimental setups and can estimate
invisible body locations using denoising autoencoder. a, Schematic for transferring MarmoPose

to new experimental setups through a two-stage workflow. For incorporating new marmoset
identities (like new colors or other patterns), only bounding boxes and corresponding labels need
to be annotated to finetune the pretrained detection model. For deploying in a complete new
experimental setup (like a scenario with more obstacles), only poses in difficult situations need to
be annotated to finetune the pretrained pose model. **b,** Identification accuracy of the detection
model with and without heuristic assignment evaluated on paired marmosets dataset. **c**, Illustration
of the marmoset skeleton model, used as one of the terms in the loss function of DAE to guide the
reconstruction of missing body locations. Numbers indicating the median length of the distances
between two body locations measured on real marmosets, with different weights assigned based
on their degrees of freedom. **d**, Box plots of 3D Euclidean errors in test data for visible and
invisible body locations. Note that 'neck', 'spinemid' and 'tailbase' have no invisible body
locations because they are used to normalize the poses.

MarmoPose can estimate invisible body locations using denoising autoencoder

In the scenarios involving multiple marmosets freely moving in the homecage, some of their

body locations will inevitably be self-occluded or occluded by other animals and objects like logs

or shelves in the cage, leading to missing data in the reconstructed 3D poses. Inspired by previous

study on 2D human pose estimation(*39*), we trained a denoising autoencoder (DAE) to reconstruct

the missing 3D body locations, which receives 3D coordinates of 16 body locations with missing

data as input and outputs the estimated complete coordinates. We trained the model by randomly

masking some body locations to simulate inputs with missing data based on the Marmoset3D

dataset (see Methods).

In order to guide the denoising autoencoder to estimate missing coordinates better, we added

an extra loss term to constrain the lengths between two body locations in the reconstructed 3D

poses based on a prior knowledge of marmosets. We measured the median length of distances

between two body locations on three normal adult marmosets to form a skeleton model (Fig. 3c).

Incorporating this prior knowledge of marmosets into the model enhances its ability to capture the

underlying structure of marmosets. As a result, the denoising autoencoder can more accurately

reconstruct the missing body locations, even with a limited amount of training data. Fig. 3d shows

the 3D error distributions for each body locations when they are visible (computed by triangulation

of multiple 2D predictions) or invisible (estimated by DAE) evaluated on the Marmoset3D test

data. Note that 'neck', 'spindmid' and 'tailbase' don't have corresponding invisible values,

because they are used to normalize the poses during the application of the denoising autoencoder.

It can be observed that for the body locations on the head or spine, the errors of estimated

coordinates are below 10% of the body and tail length (40mm), whereas the errors of tail positions

are highest. This is reasonable because the marmosets' tail is long and flexible, making their

precise locations more difficult to predict. Although missing data predicted by DAE might not

achieve the accuracy level of visible body locations, it still offers a reasonable estimation of the

missing body locations within a controlled experimental setting.

MarmoPose enables real-time experimental control based on 3D poses

One objective of the MarmoPose is to provide an online process module to enable real-

time experimental control by processing images from multiple live video streams and output 3D

poses frame by frame with a small latency. To achieve this goal, we adopted multi-processing and

multi-threading to deal with different tasks in parallel. As the workflow chart shown in Fig. 4a, in

one process, live video streams are first cached by multiple threads, then 2D detection and 3D

reconstruction are performed on the latest cached frame. In the other process, poses and images

are combined together for display and an interface returning the latest poses is provided for

customized experimental control.

Fig. 4 MarmoPose provides an online process module to enable real-time experimental control. a, Schematic of real-time experimental control. Process 2 reads images from cached live video streams and perform 2D detection and 3D triangulation, while process 1 (main process) reads images and poses to display and perform experimental control. **b**, Inference FPS variation across different number of instances in videos, evaluated over varying batch sizes, where each condition is evaluated on four different videos containing 1000 frames. Solid lines represent the original PyTorch model, while dashed lines denote the model deployed using TensorRT in FP16 mode. **c,** Box plots illustrating the 3D Euclidean errors of both the PyTorch model and the TensorRT-deployed model evaluated on the Marmoset3D test dataset. 16 body locations are grouped into 4 categories based on their anatomical locations. **d**, An example showing real-time event detection. The marmoset turns its head from forward to left in 160ms (4 frames), while MarmoPose could feedback the head orientation in less than 40ms and perform real-time experimental control based on the detected event.

The system latency of real-time processing module mainly consists of three parts: 2D pose

estimation, 3D reconstruction and inherent processes such as data transfer and display, where 2D

detection is the most time-consuming part. Actually, deploying the trained PyTorch model using

TensorRT could significantly improve the inference speed on specific hardware. To compare the

performance of the deployed models against the original PyTorch models. We conducted

benchmarks on the combined inference speed of detection and pose estimation models. The

benchmarks were performed across various number of marmosets appearing in the videos and

different inference batch sizes. As illustrated in Fig. 4b, with a batch size of 4, the original PyTorch

model achieves 68fps for videos with 1 instance, 53 fps for 2 instances and 39 fps for 4 instances.

After the deployment via TensorRT, the inference speed was significantly increased to 112 fps for

videos with 1 instance, 100 fps for 2 instances and 82 fps for 4 instances. For processing videos in

real-time, we would combine the frames from different cameras into a pseudo batch for inference

(see Methods). Consequently, the deployed model can seamlessly achieve real-time process by

finishing all computation within 40ms (the time interval between two frames) in a typical setup

involving four cameras and 2 marmosets. It is important to note that deploying the model in FP16

mode inevitably leads to some loss of accuracy. We evaluated the 3D distances errors of the

original model and the deployed model across 4 groups of body locations on the Marmoset3D test

dataset. The average accuracy loss (less than 7%) is reasonable given the significant speed

improvement (Fig. 4c).

We tested MarmoPose in a scenario in which we want to detect the head orientation of a

marmoset and provide real-time feedback to control the stimulus. Poses of the marmoset at

different timepoints are shown in Fig. 4d. The marmoset looks forward at 40ms and then turns to

the left at 80ms. With a minimum latency of less than 40ms here, MarmoPose can reliably track

such quick movements in real-time, thereby providing possibilities for real-time experimental

control.

MarmoPose enables quantitative analysis of behaviors for multiple marmosets

A significant aspect of neuroscience research involves quantifying animal's natural

behaviors(*22, 28, 30, 40*). MarmoPose can be used to quantitatively analyze behaviors of both

single and multiple marmosets in various social scenarios in a homecage environment. To

demonstrate this capacity, we analyzed a 32-minutes video clip containing 48,000 poses of a single

marmoset. We generated behavioral density maps and isolated clusters by applying the watershed

transform(*41*) over a density representation of the t-SNE space (see Methods), where each cluster

represents a typical behavior of the marmosets, including climbing, jumping and walking (Fig. 5a).

This behavior map can be utilized to characterize the differences in behavior between different

instances, or for a single instance across time or in different states.

Furthermore, we analyzed a 27-minutes video clip with two marmosets that were

transferred into a new homecage environment. Fig. 5b illustrates the duration each marmoset spent

in different areas of the cage. Notably, both marmosets frequently climbed the left and right walls,

walked along the wooden logs, and rested on the two metal shelves, but they rarely stayed

underneath these objects. Fig. 5c shows the distances distribution between the two marmosets

during this period. The distance between these two animals peaked at ~20cm and ~60cm,

respectively, indicating that the two marmosets didn't engage in close social behaviors during this

time while they explored the new environment.

Fig. 5 MarmoPose enables quantitative analysis of behaviors for multiple marmosets. a, The
density map of t-SNE space overlapped with isolated posture blocks obtained from the watershed
transform of the density map, with illustration of 8 distinct actions, including climbing, jumping,
squatting, proning, standing, walking, walking on sticks and hanging. For each case, the left image

350 is one of the raw images (cropped), and the right image is the reconstructed 3D pose. **b,** Color map
351 of the duration each marmoset spent in different areas in the cage in 27 minutes. **c.** Distance
352 distribution between two marmosets across 27 minutes.

Discussion

Here we described MarmoPose that enables real-time 3D pose tracking for multiple freely

moving marmosets in a housing cage environment. The primary considerations in designing the

MarmoPose are to make it an efficient, cost effective (using minimum hardware), real-time and

easily deployable in typical housing cages. This system is specifically designed for marmosets in

order to optimize its performance. It has several distinct features comparing to other published

pose detection systems for freely moving animals. First, MarmoPose leverages the prior

knowledge of a marmoset skeleton model to estimate invisible body locations and refine 3D poses.

Second, MarmoPose is a user-friendly system that can be deployed in a typical marmoset

homecage environment and be easily adapted to new experimental setups. Third, MarmoPose

provides a online process module for users to perform real-time closed-loop experimental control

based on the 3D poses of marmosets, which can be integrated with other experimental

methodologies such as stimulus playback and neural recording.

In scenarios involving multiple marmosets, particularly when they are in close proximity,

distinguishing individual body locations accurately is a challenging task. This is a common

problem in the field of multi-object pose tracking, which has not been addressed very well. Since

each marmoset occupies only a small part of the whole image, and their rapid movements often

lead to motion blur, it is challenging even for human annotators to accurately locate specific body

locations. Consequently, MarmoPose might not perform optimally in such scenarios. A practical

solution is to keep a balance between specific experimental demands and system accuracy. In many

experimental situations, the focus might be only on a subset of body locations. Therefore, we can

choose to ignore the misidentified data and concentrate on the relevant body locations.

Additionally, in social scenarios, the overall interaction of the marmosets might be more

significant than the precise poses of each body locations. Therefore, it might be more effective to

treat marmosets that are close to each other as a single entity without attempting to distinguish

every body part clearly.

In the current setup, four cameras are fixed on the upper corners inside the homecage to

maximize coverage and minimizes maintenance and potential damages by animals. This is a

simple and effective arrangement, which can be easily deployed in other housings without extra

modifications, and keep the minimum computational cost at the same time. While adding more

cameras to cover more blind spots is a straightforward solution to reduce invisible body locations

and improve the system accuracy, it increases computing loads and slows down the processing

speed. Practically, the number of cameras used should be determined by the specific experimental

demands, the fewer the better. The current arrangement with four cameras is sufficient for a range

of research purposes. For researchers aiming for higher precision, more cameras can be added to

cover more blind spots, which is supported by MarmoPose. However, it is important to consider

that increasing the number of cameras would lead to longer processing time and output latency.

The ability to automatically track poses of freely moving marmosets in 3D space in real-time

using MarmoPose could significantly improve studies of natural behaviors in this field.

Traditionally, studies on vocal communications(*4, 42*) and visual directional preference(*9-11*)

have been relying on manually processed audio and video recordings. MarmoPose could be used

to boost these studies by providing more accurate and comprehensive behavioral quantifications.

Given their social nature and ease of handling, marmosets are ideal for comparative behavioral

experiments with humans. For example, MarmoPose could be used to describe and compare the

behavioral evolution and natural preferences between marmosets and human(*1, 17, 43*). Moreover,

due to their relatively high reproductive cycles compared to other primates, marmosets are well-

suited for transgenic modifications(*14, 44*) and disease modeling(*45-48*). Integrating MarmoPose

with other methodologies such as neural recording and optogenetics offers possibilities to explore

neural mechanisms underlying natural behaviors in both normal and genetically modified

marmosets.

Methods

Datasets

Methods

Datasets

We collected videos for single marmoset and paired marmosets to develop and evaluate

MarmoPose. Videos were all recorded by four synchronized cameras (HIKVISION DS-

2CD252CZY-ZFR) mounted on the upper corners of a typical marmoset homecage (1m x 0.7m x

0.7m) with $1920 \times 1080 \times 3$ frame size at 25 fps. Two wooden logs, two mental shelves and some

small sticks were placed in the homecage to encourage the marmosets to engage in naturalistic

behaviors. We used the annotation tools provided by SLEAP(*27*) to initially label the ground truth,

including 2D locations of 16 body locations for each marmoset and their identities. Subsequently,

a python script was wrote to convert these labels into the COCO(*49*) format in order to train models

incorporated within OpenMMLab.

All of the marmosets are healthy adults with normal body size and weight, and they have

been lived in the same homecage for more than half a year. All of the procedures in these

experiments were approved by the Science and Technology Ethics Committee at Tsinghua

University.

Marmoset3K - Single marmoset. Two human annotators labeled 1527 frames (1527

instances) from 4 different camera views across 16 videos capturing a single marmoset freely

moving in the homecage. Four adult marmosets without additional modifications were used in this

dataset. For each marmoset instance, 16 body locations were labeled (head, leftear, rightear, neck,

spinemid, leftelbow, lefthand, rightelbow, righthand, leftknee, leftfoot, rightknee, rightfoot, tail-

base, tailmid, tailend) carefully. If a body part is occluded from a camera view, it is labeled as

invisible and will not be included in the training and testing process. To train the 2D pose predictor,

we randomly selected 1270 frames as training data and the other 257 frames as test data.

Marmoset3K - Paired marmosets. Three human annotators labeled 1646 frames (3292

instances) from 4 different camera views across 12 videos capturing a pair of marmosets freely

moving in the homecage. Six (three pairs) adult marmosets were used in this dataset. Since the

consistence of marmosets' identities across different camera views is essential for accurate 3D

triangulation, we dyed the ears of one marmoset in each pair with harmless blue color to

significantly distinguish them. For each pair of marmosets, the one dyed blue was annotated ID '1'

and the other one with normal white ears was annotated ID '2', and each instance was also labeled

16 body locations. To train the 2D pose and identity predictor, we randomly selected 1354 frames

as training data and the other 292 frames as test data.

Marmoset3D. Ground truth of 3D poses are required in training denoising autoencoder

and evaluating the accuracy of MarmoPose, which could be obtained by triangulating precisely

hand-labeled 2D coordinates from multiple camera views at the same timepoint. To establish the

Marmoset3D dataset, three human annotators labeled 522 3D ground truth, consisting of 140

instances triangulating from 560 images containing single marmoset and 382 instances

triangulating from 191 images containing paired marmosets. Two annotators labeled the images

first and then one annotator proofread the labels to ensure the accuracy.

2D pose tracking models training

MarmoPose employs a detection model adopted from Rtmdet(*36*) and a pose estimation

model adopted from RTMPose(*37*) for 2D pose tracking. Both of the models were trained on the

Marmoset3K dataset, with a division of 80% (2624 images, 3978 instances) for training and 20%

(549 images, 841 instances) for test. The original images, with a resolution of ($1080 \times 1920 \times 3$),

was downscaled to $640 \times 640 \times 3$ as the input of the detection model, then the bounding boxes

predicted by detection model were cropped and resized to $512 \times 512 \times 3$ as the input of the pose

estimation model. Training for both networks was conducted on a single NVIDIA RTX 4090 GPU

using PyTorch 2.1.0.

TensorRT deployment

After training the PyTorch models, we deployed them using TensorRT with the tools

provided by MMDeploy to achieve faster inference speed. To accommodate inputs of various sizes,

we configured dynamic input shapes, ranging from (1, 3, 640, 640) to (16, 3, 640, 640) for the

detection model, and from (1, 3, 512, 512) to (64, 3, 512, 512) for the pose estimation model. FP16

precision was enabled to achieve real-time processing in the online module.

Heuristic assignment of animal identities

Generally, the detection model will produce bounding boxes with duplicated labels. Given

that each label instance appears only once in an image, we designed a heuristic assignment

algorithm to refine the bounding boxes. In each iteration, we select the bounding box with the

highest score from the remaining set, then we exclude any bounding boxes that have an intersection

Over Union (IOU) overlap higher than 0.8 with the selected box. This process is repeated until the

remaining set is empty or the number of selected bounding boxes matches the number of instances.

SLEAP models training

For comparing with MarmoPose, we trained top-down models using SLEAP on the same

Marmoset3K dataset. For the centroid model, we set 'Max Stride' to 32, 'filters rate' to 1.5 and

'sigma' to 2.5. Meanwhile, for the centered-instance model with id, we set 'Max Stride' to 64,

'filters rate' to 1.5 and 'sigma' to 2.5, the weights of loss for pose and identity are 999 and 0.01

respectively.

Camera calibration

For camera calibration, we used the model and methods provided by Anipose(*24, 50*) to

estimate the intrinsic and extrinsic parameters of multiple cameras. The calibration process

involved placing a standard checkerboard pattern (11×9 squares with 45mm square size in this

study) in the homecage and rotating it to various angles, then the recorded videos from different

camera views are used for calibration.

In order to align the default coordinate system with actual spatial dimensions, we

developed a labeling tool for users to define new coordinate system. This tool allows users to

define a new coordinate system by marking three specific points in at least two camera views: the

origin point, a point on the x-axis, and a point on the y-axis, then these points will be triangulated

into 3D coordinates and cameras' extrinsic parameters will be updated to fit the new coordinate

system.

Triangulation

In order to mitigate the impact of outlier 2D predictions, we first generated a set of

candidate 3D poses through direct linear transformation (*24, 51*) across various combination of the

camera views. Subsequently, a weighted reprojection error was computed for each candidate, and

the one with the smallest error was selected as the final 3D pose. Where the weight of each point

was determined by multiplying the likelihood of the point by the likelihood of the instance's

bounding box.

Marmoset Skeleton Model

The marmoset skeleton model was established by manually measurement. Three normal

adult marmosets were selected to measure the length of predefined body location pairs, and the

median length was adopted as the reference value, as shown in Fig. 3c.

Pose normalization

The reconstructed 3D poses are in the real spatial space where similar poses might have

totally different coordinates, thus it is essential to normalize them into a unified coordinates system

for effective DAE model training and subsequent analysis. We used three body locations to define

the new coordinates system: the middle of spine ('spinemid') as the original point; the upper end

of spine ('neck') defining the x-axis; the lower end of spine ('tailbase') lying on the x-z plane.

Then the original 3D poses are rotated into this unified coordinate system with standardize pose

lengths by dividing each 3D coordinate with the distance between 'spinemid' and 'neck'.

Denoising autoencoder

Inspired by a pose estimation work on human(*39*), we adopted variational autoencoder to

fill in the missing data caused by occlusion, which receives incomplete 3D poses as input and

output the predicted complete 3D poses. Specifically, each pose is represented by a matrix $M_{n \times 3}$,

where *n* is the number of body locations (*n* = 16 by default), and the elements are the 3D

coordinated of each body part in the real spatial space. We first normalized them into a unified

coordinated system, and then flatten the matrix into a vector $V_{1 \times 3n}$ as the input of the DAE. With

the Marmoset3D dataset, we generated incomplete 3D poses by randomly masking i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$)

body locations to simulate the occlusion in real scenarios. These masked 3D poses and

corresponding complete 3D poses are used as input and output of the DAE respectively during

training.

In this study, the encoder of DAE is composed of 2 fully connected hidden layers, with 128

and 256 hidden units respectively. Symmetrically, the decoder also consists of 2 fully connected

hidden layers with 256 and 128 hidden units. We used 40 latent dimensions to represent the input.

The loss function consists of three parts: MSE calculating the difference between ground truth and

reconstructed poses; D_{KL} measuring the difference between the latent distribution and the standard

normal distribution; and joint loss constraining the length between some body locations. Joint loss

incorporates prior knowledge of the marmoset skeleton model into the model for guiding the

reconstruction of missing body locations, making the DAE work better with few training data. The

skeleton model is constructed by measuring the median length of distances between two body

locations which are relatively fixed on adult marmosets, and each body part pair will be assigned

with different weights based on their degrees of freedom. The DAE is trained with the Adam

optimizer with a learning rate of 10^{-3}.

Real-time control module

The real-time control module in MarmoPose consists of two processes: (1) Prediction

process. It reads the latest images from multiple live video streams cached by separate threads,

then perform 2D detection and 3D triangulation and transmit the results to the main process.

Customized predictors are used which stores the previous positions of marmosets for dynamic

cropping. (2) Main process. It reads poses and images from the prediction process and display the

real-time results, and also provides an interface for users to perform customized event detection

and corresponding experimental control. An interface, receiving the latest 2D and 3D poses of

marmosets, is provided in the real-time module, allowing users to perform customized event

detection and corresponding experimental control. In the scenario of head orientation detection,

we first got the head orientation of the marmoset by computing the vector from the middle of 'left

ear' and 'right ear' to 'head' in the real space, then we defined the head orientation as 'left' if the

angle between the head orientation vector and the normal vector of left side of the cage (i.e. x-z

plane in the default coordinate system) is less than 45 degrees, and 'forward' if the angle is between

45 and 135 degrees, and 'right' if the angle is larger than 135 degrees.

Cameras produced live video streams at 25fps with $1920 \times 1080 \times 3$ frame size, and frames

are read by Real-Time Stream Protocol (RTSP). Latencies were evaluated on a computer with Intel

Core i7- 13700K CPU, NVIDIA GeForce GTX 4090 GPU and 64GB Ram.

Behavior map

For the creation of a behavioral map, a 32-minutes video clip containing 48000 poses of a

single marmoset was analyzed. 97-dimensional features are selected for each 3D pose, including

the non-locomoter movement (3x16=48 dims, derived by subtracting the 'spinemid' coordinated

from each of the 3D pose without rotation), the locomotion speed (3x16=48 dims), and the hight

of the 'spinemid' body location (1 dim). Then principal component analysis (PCA) was performed

to reduce the features to 20 dimensions which account for 95.7% of the data variance.

Subsequently, t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE) was employed to generate

the 2-dimensional embedding of all samples. By manually reviewing the poses at each local

density peak, we identify 8 distinct postures of the marmoset, including climbing, jumping,

squatting, proning, standing, walking, walking on sticks and hanging.

References

1. N. W. Prins *et al.*, Common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus) as a primate model for behavioral neuroscience studies. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* **284**, 35-46 (2017).
2. N. Schiel, A. Souto, The common marmoset: an overview of its natural history, ecology and behavior. *Developmental Neurobiology* **77**, 244-262 (2017).
3. C. T. Miller, X. Wang, Sensory-motor interactions modulate a primate vocal behavior: antiphonal calling in common marmosets. *Journal of comparative physiology A* **192**, 27-38 (2006).
4. C. T. Miller, K. Beck, B. Meade, X. Wang, Antiphonal call timing in marmosets is behaviorally significant: interactive playback experiments. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A* **195**, 783-789 (2009).
5. C. T. Miller, K. Mandel, X. Wang, The communicative content of the common marmoset phee call during antiphonal calling. *American journal of primatology* **72**, 974-980 (2010).
6. J. A. Agamaite, C.-J. Chang, M. S. Osmanski, X. Wang, A quantitative acoustic analysis of the vocal repertoire of the common marmoset (Callithrix jacchus). *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **138**, 2906-2928 (2015).
7. Y. B. Gultekin, D. G. Hildebrand, K. Hammerschmidt, S. R. Hage, High plasticity in marmoset monkey vocal development from infancy to adulthood. *Science Advances* **7**, eabf2938 (2021).
8. M. S. Osmanski, X. Wang, Perceptual specializations for processing species-specific vocalizations in the common marmoset (<i>Callithrix jacchus</i>). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**, e2221756120 (2023).
9. R. A. de Boer, A. M. Overduin-de Vries, A. L. Louwerse, E. H. Sterck, The behavioral context of visual displays in common marmosets (Callithrix jacchus). *American Journal of Primatology* **75**, 1084-1095 (2013).
10. J. F. Mitchell, J. H. Reynolds, C. T. Miller, Active vision in marmosets: a model system for visual neuroscience. *Journal of Neuroscience* **34**, 1183-1194 (2014).
11. J. F. Mitchell, D. A. Leopold, The marmoset monkey as a model for visual neuroscience. *Neuroscience research* **93**, 20-46 (2015).
12. H.-J. Han, S. J. Powers, K. L. Gabrielson, The Common Marmoset—Biomedical Research Animal Model Applications and Common Spontaneous Diseases. *Toxicologic pathology* **50**, 628-637 (2022).
13. C. Perez-Cruz, J. de Dios Rodriguez-Callejas, The common marmoset as a model of neurodegeneration. *Trends in Neurosciences*, (2023).
14. A. Bert, D. H. Abbott, K. Nakamura, E. Fuchs, The marmoset monkey: a multi-purpose preclinical and translational model of human biology and disease. *Drug discovery today* **17**, 1160-1165 (2012).
15. H. Okano, K. Hikishima, A. Iriki, E. Sasaki, The common marmoset as a novel animal model system for biomedical and neuroscience research applications. *Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine* **17**, 336-340 (2012).
16. H. Okano, P. Mitra, Brain-mapping projects using the common marmoset. *Neuroscience Research* **93**, 3-7 (2015).
17. C. T. Miller *et al.*, Marmosets: a neuroscientific model of human social behavior. *Neuron* **90**, 219-233 (2016).
18. J. Burkart, A. Heschl, Geometrical gaze following in common marmosets (Callithrix jacchus). *Journal of Comparative Psychology* **120**, 120 (2006).
19. S. Spadacenta, P. W. Dicke, P. Thier, Reflexive gaze following in common marmoset monkeys. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 15292 (2019).

20. S. Pandey, S. Simhadri, Y. Zhou, Rapid head movements in common marmoset monkeys. *Iscience*
23, 100837 (2020).
21. A. Mathis *et al.*, DeepLabCut: markerless pose estimation of user-defined body parts with deep
learning. *Nat Neuroscience* **21**, 1281-1289 (2018).
22. J. Lauer *et al.*, Multi-animal pose estimation, identification and tracking with DeepLabCut. *Nature*
Methods **19**, 496-504 (2022).
23. T. Nath *et al.*, Using DeepLabCut for 3D markerless pose estimation across species and behaviors.
Nat Protocols **14**, 2152-2176 (2019).
24. P. Karashchuk *et al.*, Anipose: A toolkit for robust markerless 3D pose estimation. *Cell Reports* **36**,
109730 (2021).
25. G. A. Kane, G. Lopes, J. L. Saunders, A. Mathis, M. W. Mathis, Real-time, low-latency closed-
loop feedback using markerless posture tracking. *eLife* **9**, e61909 (2020).
26. T. D. Pereira *et al.*, Fast animal pose estimation using deep neural networks. *Nature methods* **16**,
117-125 (2019).
27. T. D. Pereira *et al.*, SLEAP: A deep learning system for multi-animal pose tracking. *Nature*
Methods **19**, 486-495 (2022).
28. T. W. Dunn *et al.*, Geometric deep learning enables 3D kinematic profiling across species and
environments. *Nat Methods* **18**, 564-573 (2021).
29. L. An *et al.*, Three-dimensional surface motion capture of multiple freely moving pigs using
MAMMAL. *Nature Communications* **14**, 7727 (2023).
30. P. C. Bala *et al.*, Automated markerless pose estimation in freely moving macaques with
OpenMonkeyStudio. *Nature Communications* **11**, 4560 (2020).
31. S. Günel *et al.*, DeepFly3D, a deep learning-based approach for 3D limb and appendage tracking
in tethered, adult Drosophila. *Elife* **8**, e48571 (2019).
32. C. Zimmermann, A. Schneider, M. Alyahyay, T. Brox, I. Diester, FreiPose: a deep learning
framework for precise animal motion capture in 3D spaces. *BioRxiv*, 2020.2002. 2027.967620
(2020).
33. G. Sun *et al.*, DeepBhvTracking: a novel behavior tracking method for laboratory animals based
on deep learning. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience* **15**, 750894 (2021).
34. T. Yabumoto *et al.*, MarmoDetector: A novel 3D automated system for the quantitative assessment
of marmoset behavior. *Journal of neuroscience methods* **322**, 23-33 (2019).
35. T. Yurimoto *et al.*, Development of a 3D tracking system for multiple marmosets under free-
moving conditions. *Communications Biology* **7**, 216 (2024).
36. C. Lyu *et al.*, Rtmdet: An empirical study of designing real-time object detectors. *arXiv preprint*
arXiv:2212.07784, (2022).
37. T. Jiang *et al.*, RTMPose: Real-Time Multi-Person Pose Estimation based on MMPose. *arXiv*
preprint arXiv:2303.07399, (2023).
38. P. Vincent, H. Larochelle, Y. Bengio, P.-A. Manzagol, in *Proceedings of the 25th international*
conference on Machine learning. (2008), pp. 1096-1103.
39. N. Carissimi, P. Rota, C. Beyan, V. Murino, in *Proceedings of the European Conference on*
Computer Vision (ECCV) Workshops. (2018), pp. 0-0.
40. P. Wei *et al.*, Social Behavior Atlas: A few-shot learning framework for multi-animal 3D social
pose estimation, identification, and behavior embedding. (2023).
41. J. B. Roerdink, A. Meijster, The watershed transform: Definitions, algorithms and parallelization
strategies. *Fundamenta informaticae* **41**, 187-228 (2000).
42. S. J. Eliades, C. T. Miller, Marmoset vocal communication: behavior and neurobiology.
Developmental neurobiology **77**, 286-299 (2017).

43.   T. D. Pereira, J. W. Shaevitz, M. Murthy, Quantifying behavior to understand the brain. *Nature*
neuroscience **23**, 1537-1549 (2020).
44.   E. Sasaki *et al.*, Generation of transgenic non-human primates with germline transmission. *Nature*
459, 523-527 (2009).
45.   Y. Kobayashi *et al.*, Pre-evaluated safe human iPSC-derived neural stem cells promote functional
recovery after spinal cord injury in common marmoset without tumorigenicity. *PloS one* **7**, e52787
(2012).
46.   R. Carrion Jr, J. L. Patterson, An animal model that reflects human disease: the common marmoset
(Callithrix jacchus). *Current opinion in virology* **2**, 357-362 (2012).
47.   S. Anwar Jagessar *et al.*, The different clinical effects of anti-BLyS, anti-APRIL and anti-CD20
antibodies point at a critical pathogenic role of γ-herpesvirus infected B cells in the marmoset EAE
model. *Journal of neuroimmune pharmacology* **8**, 727-738 (2013).
48.   D. Smith, P. Trennery, D. Farningham, J. Klapwijk, The selection of marmoset monkeys (Callithrix
jacchus) in pharmaceutical toxicology. *Laboratory Animals* **35**, 117-130 (2001).
49.   T.-Y. Lin *et al.*, in *Computer Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland,*
September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13. (Springer, 2014), pp. 740-755.
50.   Z. Y. Zhang, A flexible new technique for camera calibration. *Ieee T Pattern Anal* **22**, 1330-1334
(2000).
51.   S. Sheshadri, B. Dann, T. Hueser, H. Scherberger, 3D reconstruction toolbox for behavior tracked
with multiple cameras. *Journal of Open Source Software* **5**, 1849 (2020).

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by Tsinghua University Non-human Primate Research Center (THU-NPRC). We thank Dr. Li Luo and veterinary and husbandry staff at THU-NPRC for help with this research.

Author Contributions

C.C. and X.W. designed the study. C.C. developed the system. Z.H. helped develop the setup for video recording. C.C., R.Z., G.H, H.W. and L.T. labeled the dataset for model training and test. C.C. and X.W. wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

All data, code, and materials used in the analyses will be made publicly available on GitHub upon publication of this paper.