Title

Effect of translation enhancing nascent SKIK peptide on the arrest peptides containing consecutive Proline

Author List

Yuma Nishikawa, Riko Fujikawa, Hideo Nakano, Teruyo Ojima-Kato*

*, the person to whom correspondence

Abstract

Ribosome arrest peptides (RAPs) consisting of specific amino acid sequences such as SecM AP and WPPP containing consecutive proline, are known to cause translational stalling in *Escherichia coli*. This work shows that the translation enhancing SKIK peptide tag cancels the translational arrest by WPPP, and the closer the physical distance between the SKIK and WPPP, the greater the canceling effect in both the PURE cell-free protein synthesis and in vivo protein production systems, resulting in significant increment of production of proteins containing such RAPs. Furthermore, we show that nascent SKIK peptide tag and translation elongation factor P (EF-P) which alleviate ribosome pausing in consecutive-Pro rich protein, synergistically promote translation. A kinetic analysis based on the generation of super folder green fluorescent protein under in vitro translation reaction reveals that the ribosome turnover is enhanced by more than 10-fold when the SKIK peptide tag is attached immediately upstream of the SecM AP sequence. Our findings contribute to improving the production of proteins which is key to implementing synthetic biology.

Keywords

Polyproline, Ribosome arrest peptides, SKIK, translation enhancement, elongation factor-P

Introduction

Protein synthesis is a fundamental process in synthetic biology, and the translation by ribosomes is an essential step in protein synthesis. However, not all proteins of interest can be synthesized with high productivity. Researchers often encounter difficult-to-express proteins for unknown reasons. To enhance the productivity of such proteins, various strategies must be considered. These include codon optimization[1–6], loosening the secondary structure of mRNA[7–9] utilizing a combination of host-vector systems comprising ribosome binding site (RBS), promoter, and terminator[10–13], co-expression of molecular chaperons[14], and the use of fusion protein tags (e. g., maltose binding protein domain,

glutathione S-transferase, small ubiquitin-related modifier)[15,16]. Additionally, optimization of culture conditions is crucial[17–19].

Recently, some nascent polypeptides generated during the translation process have been reported as ribosome arrest peptides (RAPs), which cause translational stalling by interacting with internal components of the ribosome when they pass through the ribosome exit tunnel in the nascent state. RAP-mediated translation arrest is directed by the amino acid sequence of the nascent polypeptide chain instead of the mRNA nucleotide sequence. It is independent of codon usages or the secondary structure of mRNA[21].

RAPs have been found in a variety of organisms, including SecM and CmlA leader in *E. coli*[20–23], VemP in *Vibrio alginolyticus*[24,25], and MifM in *Bacillus subtilis*[26]. Among them, SecM is the most studied, and the partial sequence $F^{150}XXXXWIXXXXGIRAGP^{166}$ (X is any amino acid) at the C-terminus is required and sufficient for causing ribosomal stalling[27], where new peptidyl bond formation is inhibited when the 166th Pro is going to be synthesized (P-site: $SecM_{1-165}$-Gly-tRNA, A-site: Pro-tRNA) at the peptidyl-transferase center (PTC). Due to the structural rigidity and unique status as an N-alkylamino acid, Pro-tRNA at the A site slows down the peptidyl bond formation in the RAPs containing SecM and poly-Pro[28]. As such, the WPPP (FXXYXIWPPP: X is any amino acid) sequence, artificially created RAP, efficiently induces ribosomal stalling due to its consecutive Pro residues[29,30]. The universally conserved bacterial elongation factor called elongation factor P (EF-P, PDB 1UEB) is responsible for preventing the translational stalling caused by consecutive Pro in prokaryotic cells[31–34]. The shape and size of EF-P are similar to tRNA and it interacts with the ribosome via the E site in the PTC[35–37]. However, Woolstenhulme et al. showed that EF-P had little or no effect on stalling by the full-length WPPP AP (FXXYXIWPPP) and RXPP motif[30].

We have previously reported that the insertion of an "SKIK peptide tag" composed of the four amino acids Ser-Lys-Ile-Lys at the N-terminus of difficult-to-express proteins, enhances protein production not only in both *E. coli* in vivo and in vitro systems but also in yeast[38]. More recently, we revealed that changing nucleotide sequences corresponding to these four amino acids had no effect on protein production and that the nascent SKIK peptide generated during the translation process could enhance the translation process, but not transcription[39]. Surprisingly, ribosomal stalling by RAPs such as SecM AP (FSTPVWISQAQGIRAGP) and chloramphenicol-induced CmlA leader (KNAD) was canceled by a nascent SKIK peptide generated immediately upstream of the RAPs. Furthermore, the combination study of SKIK peptide tag and SecM AP suggested that the SKIK peptide even at the inner position in the protein could enhance translation and that the distance between SKIK and AP motif might be important for SKIK to effectively reduce

the translational stalling caused by RAPs. However, it should be noted that in our previous study, the SKIK peptide tag showed no significant impact on translation when it was positioned immediately upstream of the WPPP AP (FQKYGIWPPP)[39].

Based on our recent findings, we hypothesized that ribosomal stalling induced by RAPs containing a poly-Pro motif could be alleviated by optimizing the distance between the nascent SKIK peptide and the poly-Pro motif. In this study, we investigated the positional effects of the SKIK peptide tag on the ribosomal stalling caused by the poly-Pro AP motif in both *E. coli* in vitro and in vivo systems. Additionally, we uncovered that EF-P and the SKIK peptide tag synergistically enhance translation, resulting in a significant increase in protein production. Translation promotion was observed only when the SKIK peptide was tagged, not by adding the synthesized free SKIK peptide to the in vitro translation system, emphasizing the importance of the nascent state of the SKIK peptide in counteracting arrest. Furthermore, we present a kinetic analysis to elucidate how the SKIK peptide tag influences on translation.

Results and Discussion

Influences of the distance between SKIK and WPPP arrest peptide

As described above, the ribosomal stalling by WPPP (FQKYGIWPPP), an artificial AP containing consecutive Pro, was not affected by the SKIK peptide tagging while the ribosomal stalling by SecM AP was more effectively reduced when the SKIK peptide tag was closer[39]. We therefore created DNA constructs corresponding to the amino acid sequence shown in Figure 1 to investigate the hypothesis that an optimal position for the SKIK peptide tag exists concerning the poly-Pro motif. Here, the SKIK tag placed just before the reported AP motif (FQKYGIWPPP) of WPPP was considered the standard (+0 aa). Minus and plus numbers indicate the number of deleted amino acid residues from F in the WPPP AP motif and inserted G, respectively. To verify that only the four amino acids "WPPP" function as an arrestor, a version with -6 aa (SKIKWPPP) where the SKIK moiety was replaced with GGGG or AAAA was also constructed.

In the results of in vitro translation using mRNA, the fluorescence intensity, indicative of the synthesized GFP quantity after 90 minutes of reaction, showed an increasing trend as the distance between SKIK and WPPP decreased (Figure 2A). Remarkably, the fluorescence intensity at -5 aa (28,860), where SKIK and WPPP AP were brought closer, was approximately 5 times higher than at +0 aa (5,660), suggesting that the SKIK tag effectively alleviated ribosomal stalling induced by poly-Pro. Western blotting analysis for His tag detection also revealed an increase in the full-length protein synthesis as the distance between SKIK and poly-Pro decreased. Additionally, insertions of G at +4~7 aa and +13 aa

also exhibited approximately twice the fluorescence intensity of +0 aa, suggesting the possibility of several conditions where SKIK can effectively reduce the ribosomal stalling caused by poly-Pro. It is noteworthy that the fluorescence intensity for -6 aa (SKIKWPPP) was 20,200, while GGGG (sequence GGGGWPPP) and AAAA (AAAWPPP) showed significantly lower intensities. This indicates that the four amino acid WPPP alone can induce translational stalling, and SKIK counteracts this stalling. In the RAP employed in this study, WPPP AP motif (FQKYGIWPPP), it was reported that the presence of F, Y, I, and W upstream of PPP is essential for translational arrest. However, Elgama et al. demonstrated that the strength of translation arrest induced by a poly-Pro motif is influenced by the specific amino acid residues immediately upstream[40]. This may support our findings that SKIK has the potential to alleviate translational arrest induced by poly-Pro, and the effectiveness appears to be distance-dependent for SKIK and poly-Pro.

The translation rate calculated by monitoring the real-time synthesis of sfGFP from mRNA correlated with the final protein synthesis obtained 90 minutes after the reaction (Figure 2A, B). Therefore, based on the 90-minute CFPS conditions in this experiment, it can be concluded that the final amount of the protein is indeed influenced by the translation rate. Nevertheless, as the distance increased beyond "+8 aa," a declining trend in synthesis levels was observed. Upon comparison with the protein production levels of "GGGG," a sequence excluding SKIK, it can be inferred that translational arrest was alleviated in all sequences containing SKIK, albeit with varying degrees.

The more prominent trend of translational arrest alleviation observed in vivo may be attributed to the fact that in vitro reactions were only 90 minutes, while in vivo, we conducted longer expression induction. Additionally, the presence of native EF-P in the living cells could contribute to this difference.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports on function of the SKIK peptide to alleviate the ribosomal stalling by poly-Pro or on the importance of their positional relationship. Therefore, our findings will provide a critical and novel insight in the field of synthetic biology.

Kinetic analysis of the protein synthesis

We then performed a kinetic analysis of translation to better understand the effect of the SKIK peptide tag. Here, SecM AP-sfGFP with and without the SKIK peptide tag and and simple translation reaction model were used (Figure 3A, B). For this analysis, we designate mRNA as "Substrate (S)," sfGFP as "Product (P)," and ribosome as "Enzyme (E)" for convenience. We applied the following three assumptions to simplify the analysis: 1) there is no folding rate limitation for sfGFP, 2) there are sufficient elements necessary for

translation, and no molecules inhibit the translation reaction, and 3) mRNA and ribosome do not dissociate until the translation of single protein molecule is completed.

The translation rate v was defined as follows.

$$v = k_2[ES] \qquad (1)$$

The following equation is given by the steady-state approximation.

$$[ES] = \frac{k_1}{k_2}[E][S] \qquad (2)$$

On the other hand, [E] can be expressed as follows:

$$[E] = [E]_0 - [ES] \qquad (3)$$

The following equation can be derived by substituting (3) into (2).

$$[ES] = \frac{[E]_0[S]}{k_2/k_1 + [S]} \qquad (4)$$

Based on the assumption that $k_2[E]_0 = V_{max}$, substituting equation (1) into (4) results in a Michaelis-Menten-like equation as follows:

$$v = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{k_2/k_1 + [S]} \qquad (5)$$

Where $[S] = k_2/k_1$ when the translation rate is 1/2 V_{max}.

Translation rate was determined through real-time monitoring of the fluorescence intensity of sfGFP produced by CFPS using mRNA (0.316–24.2 µM) as the template. As shown in Figure 3C, the production rate of sfGFP increased according to the amount of mRNA template. From this measurement, the translation rate was calculated (Table 1). This revealed that the increase of translation rate was more than 10-fold when the SKIK peptide was tagged, irrespective of mRNA concentrations, reaching a maximum enhancement of 16.8-fold at 15.5 µM.

Based on the translation rates calculated for each mRNA concentration in Table 2, nonlinear regression analysis was performed using R package "renz"[41](Figure 3D). The regression curve fits the experimental data well, and from this, V_{max} and k_2/k_1 were calculated based on Equation (5). As a result, the V_{max} values for SKIK peptide tag absence and presence were determined to be 0.20 mmol$_{GFP}$/mol$_{Ribosome}$/s and 4.50 mmol$_{GFP}$/mol$_{Ribosome}$/s, respectively. The addition of SKIK led to a 22.5-fold increase in V_{max}.

The calculated k_2/k_1 values were 8.44 µM without SKIK and 21.9 µM with SKIK, representing a 2.59-fold increase upon SKIK peptide tag addition (Table 3). $[E]_0$ = 1 µM and $V_{max} = k_2 [E]_0$ were used to calculate k_2, and subsequently, k_1 was calculated from the non-linear regression analysis-derived k_2/k_1. The results are also summarized in Table 3. As evident from this, the addition of the SKIK peptide tag led to values of k_1 and k_2 that were 8.69-fold and 22.5-fold higher, respectively.

As the RBS is the same in the two examined mRNA sequences, it seems unlikely that the affinity between the ribosome and mRNA changes significantly. Therefore, the increase in k_1 due to the addition of SKIK peptide tag implies an in the pool of ribosomes capable of initiating translation. The increase in k_2 implies an acceleration in the rate of translation from initiation to termination. Through these kinetic analyses, it appears that the addition of SKIK peptide tag could increase ribosomal turnover rate.

Regarding studies on kinetic analysis of translation, there have been analyses using the incorporation of radiolabeled amino acids into synthesized proteins[42]. and more recently, elongation rates per codon have been calculated based on ribosome density observed on mRNA through ribosome profiling [43,44]. As far as we know, our analysis, which is based on the production of sfGFP as an indicator, has not been reported previously and is a first attempt. Elongation rate per codon is commonly used as indicator of translation efficiency, and there has been reported that 1.66 codon/s[45] and 10 codon/s[42] in *E. coli* in vivo and in vitro, respectively, 4.2 codon/s for *S. cerevisiae,* and 5.2 codon/s for mouse stem cells[43] In this study, SKIK-SecM AP-sfGFP gene used is consisted of 267 codons. The apparent elongation rate per codon calculated from the estimated V_{max} of SKIK-SecM AP-sfGFP was 1.2 codon/s. Although the value is lower than those reported in previous studies, considering that ribosomal stalling by SecM is not completely canceled by SKIK [39] and our reaction model ignores the translation initiation and termination stages which require time, the calculated elongation rate appears to be reasonable. Although it should be noted that use of this model might be limited, we concluded that the simple translation rate analysis method proposed in this study worked well for our purpose.

Effect of EF-P and SKIK peptide

EF-P is a translation factor reported to promote the synthesis of poly-Pro motif. Therefore, we investigated how the SKIK peptide tag, capable of relieving ribosomal stalling induced by poly-Pro, and EF-P, would affect the translation of sequences containing poly-Pro. We examined using four sequences including -5 aa, +0 aa, GGGG, and AAAA. Among these, the ribosomal stalling was most effectively alleviated by SKIK peptide tag alone in -5 aa (Figure 2A). As a result of EF-P addition at a final concentration

of 1 μM, the protein production was increased to 1.51-fold and 4.40-fold in -5 aa and +0 aa, respectively, compared with no additive. In particular, the protein production was highest for -5 aa with EF-P, indicating a synergistic effect by SKIK peptide tag and EF-P (Figure 4A). It suggests the possibly that EF-P and SKIK peptide tag individually promote translation in this case. By contrast, GGGG and AAAA, which do not contain SKIK, did not show any change in protein levels with addition of EF-P. To confirm the nascent state of SKIK peptide is essential for translation promotion, CFPS of four mRNA templates was conducted with the addition of the chemically synthesized free SKIK peptides at 100 μM which was 100 times higher concentration of ribosome in CFPS reaction. As expected, no influence was observed (Figure 4B). Huter et al. demonstrated that EF-P recognizes the P-site tRNA and the E-site mRNA codon, stabilizing the conformation of the P-site tRNA and thereby promoting peptidyl bond formation[46]. Taken together with the results of additional of free SKIK peptide and our previous study[39], it is likely that nascent state of SKIK peptide tag is crucial for translation promotion. Therefore, we expect that the mechanism of action of externally functioning EF-P and SKIK peptide tag at the nascent state may be different. Since the mechanism of translation enhancement by SKIK peptide tag has not yet been elucidated, we believe that further structural analysis will be necessary.

Conclusions

In this study, we revealed that the translation enhancing SKIK peptide tag effectively alleviates ribosomal stalling induced by the WPPP when the distance between them is closer in both the PURE cell-free protein synthesis and in vivo protein production systems, resulting in significant increment of production of proteins containing such poly-Pro motif. A kinetic analysis based on the simple translation model showed that the ribosome turnover is enhanced by more than 10-fold when the SKIK peptide tag. Furthermore, we found that the use of both SKIK peptide tag and EF-P synergistically enhanced translation for proteins containing poly-Pro. Our findings and simple strategy have practical significance in enhancing protein production and contribute to the advancement of synthetic biology.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. DNA constructs used to study the distance between the SKIK peptide tag and poly-Pro motif of WPPP.

The amino acid sequences including start M encoded immediately upstream of sfGFP are shown. SKIK and inserted G are shown in red and gray, respectively.

Figure 2. Influences of the distance between SKIK peptide and WPPP poly-Pro motif on protein production and translation rate.

The sample names and sequences are corresponding to those of Figure 1.

A) Fluorescence intensity and Western blotting analysis of sfGFP detecting His tag. In vitro translation was carried out using mRNA templates (1.66 µM) for 90 min (n=2).

B) Translation rate calculated from the real-time monitoring of the fluorescence intensity of the generated sfGFP during in vitro translation (n=2, mRNA concentration; 1.66 µM).

C) Fluorescence intensity of the produced sfGFP in E. coli BL21(DE3) living cell expression system (n=6). SDS-PAGE and CBB staining analysis of the sfGFP bands are shown.

Figure 3. Kinetic analysis of translation by PURE cell-free protein synthesis.

A) mRNA constructs and amino acid sequences of SecM AP and SKIK-SecM AP.

B) Translation reaction model: E, S, and P represent the ribosome, mRNA, and sfGFP (product), respectively. k1 and k2 denote the rate constants for the reactions indicated by the respective arrows. The ES complex encompasses all stages from initiation to termination of translation.

C) Real-time fluorescence intensity measurements. Generation of sfGFP of various mRNA concentrations was monitored during 90min (5,400 s) CFPS reaction. Plus and minus in the parentheses indicate the presence and absence of the SKIK peptide tag, respectively.

D) Nonlinear regression analysis of translation rate.

Figure 4. Effect of EF-P and free SKIK peptide on protein production in CFPS.

A) Fluorescence intensity of sfGFP by in vitro translation using mRNA (1.61 µM) after 90 min reaction. +/− indicates the presence/absence of EF-P (1 µM).

B) Fluorescence intensity of sfGFP by in vitro translation using mRNA after 90 min reaction. The mRNA concentration was 1.19 µM except for SecM AP and SKIK-

SecM AP (2.37 μM). +/− indicates the presence/absence of synthesized free SKIK peptide (100 μM).

Tables

Table 1. Translation rate

mRNA (µM)	v ($\text{mmol}_{GFP}/\text{mol}_{Ribosome}/s$)		$V_{SKIK}/V_{No\ tag}$
	No tag	SKIK	
24.2	0.157	2.25	14.3
15.5	0.121	2.02	16.8
10.7	9.72×10^{-2}	1.61	16.5
6.33	0.105	0.822	7.82
3.16	5.38×10^{-2}	0.514	9.56
1.58	2.68×10^{-2}	0.292	10.9
0.316	5.47×10^{-3}	5.71×10^{-2}	10.4
No template	9.51×10^{-4}		

The translation rate, v, calculated from the plot shown in Figure 3D, and the ratio of v with and without SKIK are presented as $V_{SKIK}/V_{No\ tag}$. No tag and SKIK mean SecM AP-sfGF and SKIK-SecM AP-sfGFP, respectively.

Table 2. Parameters on translation calculated based on real-time translation monitoring

	V_{max} ($\mu mol_{GFP} \cdot mol_{Ribosome}^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$)	k_2/k_1 (μM)	k_1	k_2
No tag	0.20	8.44	2.37×10^7	0.20×10^3
SKIK	4.50	21.9	20.6×10^7	4.50×10^3
SKIK/No tag (-fold)	22.5	2.59	8.69	22.5

V_{max} and k_2/k_1 were calculated using non-linear regression analysis with the renz software, as shown in Figure 3D. The rate constants k_1 and k_2 were estimated from the values of V_{max} and k_2/k_1 obtained through the non-linear regression analysis. The units of k_1 and k_2 are $mol_{GFP} \cdot mol_{Ribosome}^{-1} \cdot s^{-1} \cdot M_{Ribosome}^{-1} \cdot M_{mRNA}^{-1}$ and $mol_{GFP} \cdot mol_{Ribosome}^{-1} \cdot s^{-1} \cdot M_{Ribosome}^{-1} \cdot M_{mRNA}^{-1} mol_{GFP} \cdot mol_{Ribosome}^{-1} \cdot s^{-1} \cdot M_{Ribosome}^{-1}$, respectively. $M_{Ribosome}$ and M_{mRNA} represent the concentrations of ribosome and mRNA in mol/L, respectively.

Table 3. DNA primers used in this study

Name	sequence (5′→3′)
G1_F_v2	AAATAAAAGGTTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G1_R_v2	TCTGGAAACCTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
G2_F	AAATAAAAGGTGGATTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G2_R	CTTCTGGAATCCACCTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
G3_F	GGTGGAGGTTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G3_R_v2	CTTCTGGAAACCTCCACCTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
G4_F	ATAAAAGGTGGAGGTGGCTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGG
G4_R	GCCACCTCCACCTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCC
G5_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGATTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G5_R	GAATCCGCCACCTCCACCTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
G6_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGAGGTTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G7_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGAGGTGGCTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G7<_R	ACCTCCGCCACCTCCACCTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
G8_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGAGGTTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G9_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGAGGTGGCTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G10_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGAGGTGGCGGTGGAGGATTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G11_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGAGGTGGCTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCC
G12_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGAGGTGGCGGTGGAGGAGGTGGTTTCCAGAAGTACGGGATT TGGCC
G13_F	GGTGGAGGTGGCGGAGGTGGCGGTGGAGGAGGTGGTGGATTCCAGAAGTACGG GATTTGGCC
F_Fw	AAAATAAAACAGAAGTACGGGATTTGGCCGC
FQ_Fw	AAAATAAAAAAGTACGGGATTTGGCCGCCC
FQK_Fw	AAAATAAAATACGGGATTTGGCCGCCCCC
FQKY_Fw	AAAATAAAAGGGATTTGGCCGCCCCCTG
FQKYG_Fw	AAAATAAAAATTTGGCCGCCCCCTGCAAG
FQKYGI_Fw	AAAATAAAATGGCCGCCCCCTGCAAGTAAA
F_Rv	GTACTTCTGTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
FQ_Rv	CCCGTACTTTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
FQK_Rv	AATCCCGTATTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
FQKY_Rv	CCAAATCCCTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
FQKYG_Rv	CGGCCAAATTTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG
FQKYGI_Rv	GGGCGGCCATTTTATTTTAGACATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAG

G_replce_	ATGGGTGGCGGAGGGTGGCCGCCCCCTGCAAGTAAAG
G_replce_Rv	CCACCCTCCGCCACCCATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAAACAAAATTATTTC
A_replace_F	ATGGCAGCTGCCGCGTGGCCGCCCCCTGCAAGTAAAG
A_replace_R	CCACGCGGCAGCTGCCATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAAACAAAATTATTTC
F1	ATCTCGATCCCGCGAAATTAATACG
R1	TCCGGATATAGTTCCTCCTTTCAG

Methods

DNA primer list

DNA primers used in this research are summarized in Table 3.

Plasmid construction

Total 21 plasmids were prepared by whole plasmid PCR using our previously constructed pET22b-SKIK-WPPP-sfGFP-His as the template and DNA primers listed in Table 1. DpnI treated PCR products were purified with silica column (FastGene Gel/PCR Extraction Kit, Nippon Genetics Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and then HiFi assembly reaction (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) was carried out to connect each ends of linear DNA products. The plasmids were prepared using plasmid mini prep kit (FastGene Plasmid Mini Kit, Nippon Genetics Co., Ltd.) from overnight cultured *E. coli* DH5alpha transformants.

Cell-free protein synthesis (CFPS).

DNA fragments containing T7 promoter and terminator used for CFPS were prepared by PCR with the primer pair F1 and R1, constructed plasmids as the template, and Tks Gflex DNA polymerase (98˚C 1 min; (98˚C 10 sec; 50˚C 5 sec; 68˚C 30 sec) x 30 cycles; 68˚C 1min; 12˚C ∞, Takara, Kusatsu, Japan). Amplified DNA was purified using silica column and used for in vitro mRNA synthesis. For in vitro mRNA synthesis, the T7 RiboMAX Large-Scale RNA Production System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used and the synthesized mRNAs were purified with NucleoSpin RNA Plus (Takara). The concentration of mRNA was measured by Nano Drop One (Thermo Fisher scientific, MA, USA) and the molecular weight were calculated using RNA Molecular Weight Calculator on AAT Bioquest (https://www.aatbio.com).

CFPS reactions were carried out with PURE frex 2.0 (GeneFrontier Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) under the following condition. Solution I, 4 μl; Solution II, 0.5 μl; Solution III (20 μM ribosome), 0.5 μl; 10 mM cysteine, 0.5 μl; RNase Inhibitor (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan), 1 μl; incubated at 37˚C for 90 min. The final concentration of the template mRNA depends on the examination. An elongation factor EF-P (GeneFrontier Corporation) and synthesized SKIK peptide dissolved in nuclease-free water (94.6% purity, GenScript, Tokyo) was added to achieve a final concentration of 1 μM and 100 μM, respectively, as needed.

In vivo expression

Terrific broth (Bacto tryptone, 12 g; yeast extract, 24 g; KH_2PO_4, 6.8 g; $Na_2PO_4 \cdot 12H_2O$, 7.1 g; $MgSO_4$, 0.15 g; $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 2.58 g; 10%(w/v) glucose, 5 mL; and 8%(w/v) lactose; 25 mL, per 1 L, adjusted pH 7.4) was used as the autoinduction medium. The single colonies

were inoculated to 4 mL of LB liquid and grown with shake at 37°C for 19 h. Then the aliquots (50 μl) were transferred to the 1 mL of terrific broth and cultured at 30°C until the OD600 reached 2.3 ～ 6.9. All media were supplemented with 100 μg/mL ampicillin. After collecting the bacterial cells by centrifugation and washing with PBS, the OD600 values of each sample were adjusted to the same. Protein was extracted from the cells using E.coli / Yeast Protein Extraction Buffer (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), and the lysate fraction was used for analysis.

Preparation of Standard sfGFP

E. coli BL21(DE3) was transformed with pET22b-sfGFP-His and incubated in 100 mL of LB medium at 37°C until the OD600 value reached 0.4~0.6. IPTG was then added at a final concentration of 0.1 mM and incubated at 37°C for another 5 h. Bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation (4°C, 9000 rpm, 10 min), resuspended in 10 mL of PBS, and then the bacteria were crushed by sonication (30 min). The supernatant of the lysate was applied to a Ni-NTA agarose HP column equilibrated with Binding buffer (20 mM pH 8.0 Sodium Phosphate Buffer, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole), and the column was washed 3 times with 6 ml of binding buffer and eluted 6 times with 1 ml of Elution buffer (20 mM pH 8.0 Sodium Phosphate Buffer, 0.5 M NaCl, 500 mM Imidazole). The eluate was added to the dialysis membrane and dialyzed for a total of 24 h (Buffer was changed twice during the dialysis). The concentration of purified GFP was calculated from the A280 nm value (A.U.) measured by Nano Drop One. Molecular weight (27788.3 Da) and molar extinction coefficient (19,035 $M^{-1}cm^{-1}$) of GFP were calculated using ProtParam tool on Expasy (https://www.expasy.org)[47].

Fluorescence measurement

Each CFPS reaction solution was diluted 25-fold with water and dispensed 50 μL per well into Flat Bottom Microfluor Plates Black (Thermo Fisher scientific, MA, USA), and the fluorescence intensity of sfGFP was measured by a microplate reader (Infinite 200 PRO, TECAN, ZH, Switzerland) at excitation wavelength 485 nm (bandwidth 9 nm)/emission wavelength of 535 nm (bandwidth 20 nm).

Real time monitoring of the translation in CFPS

The reaction was performed using a real-time PCR system (StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System, Life Technologies, CA, USA) by incubating at 37°C, and the fluorescence intensity was measured every minute after 30 seconds. Fluorescence intensity per GFP molecule

count was calculated using purified sfGFP (100 μM, 1 μM, 0.01 μM) as standard and plotted against time. In the resulting increase curve, the rate of increase was calculated at the interval where the slope was the greatest and it was linear for all samples, which was defined as the "translation rate".

Western Blotting

The samples (1 μL) were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitro cellulose membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA). The membrane was blocked using 3% skim milk in PBST (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4, 0.05 % Tween-20; pH 7.4) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing three times with PBST, the membrane was incubated with Anti-His-tag mAb-HRP-DirectT (MBL, Tokyo, Japan) at 1:5000 dilution with Can Get Signal Solution 2 (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan) for 1 h at RT. After washing with PBST three times, blots were visualized with TMB solution (Nacalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan).

Author Information
Corresponding author
Teruyo Ojima-Kato - Laboratory of Molecular Biotechnology, Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5290-5176; E-mail: teruyo@agr.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Authors
Yuma Nishikawa - Laboratory of Molecular Biotechnology, Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan
Riko Fujikawa - Laboratory of Molecular Biotechnology, Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan
Hideo Nakano - Laboratory of Molecular Biotechnology, Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan

Author contributions
Y.N, H.N, and T.O.K designed the study and analyzed the data. Y.N performed CFPS experiments. R.F performed in vivo expression experiments. Y.N. and T.O.K wrote the manuscript. T.O.K. supervised the project.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by Japan Science and Technology agency FOREST Program (grant No. JPMJFR2204) and KAKENHI 23K04989. PURE frex 2.0 and supplemental components used in this study were provided by Dr. Takashi Kanamori (GeneFrontier Corporation). We thank Mrs. Yamauchi for her help to the preparation of the purified sfGFP. We also thank Prof. Hisashi Hemmi (Nagoya University) and Prof. Tomokazu Ito (Nagoya University)for their kind advice and help on translation analysis.

References

(1)   Makrides, S. C. Strategies for Achieving High-Level Expression of Genes in
        Escherichia Coli. *Microbiol Rev* **1996**, *60* (3). https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.60.3.512-
        538.1996.

(2)   Gvritishvili, A. G.; Leung, K. W.; Tombran-Tink, J. Codon Preference Optimization
        Increases Heterologous PEDF Expression. *PLoS One* **2010**, *5* (11).
        https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015056.

(3)   Bentele, K.; Saffert, P.; Rauscher, R.; Ignatova, Z.; Blüthgen, N. Efficient Translation
        Initiation Dictates Codon Usage at Gene Start. *Mol Syst Biol* **2013**, *9*.
        https://doi.org/10.1038/msb.2013.32.

(4)   Wu, X.; Jörnvall, H.; Berndt, K. D.; Oppermann, U. Codon Optimization Reveals
        Critical Factors for High Level Expression of Two Rare Codon Genes in Escherichia
        Coli: RNA Stability and Secondary Structure but Not TRNA Abundance. *Biochem
        Biophys Res Commun* **2004**, *313* (1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.11.091.

(5)   Saito, Y.; Kitagawa, W.; Kumagai, T.; Tajima, N.; Nishimiya, Y.; Tamano, K.;
        Yasutake, Y.; Tamura, T.; Kameda, T. Developing a Codon Optimization Method for
        Improved Expression of Recombinant Proteins in Actinobacteria. *Sci Rep* **2019**, *9*
        (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44500-z.

(6)   Gingold, H.; Dahan, O.; Pilpel, Y. Dynamic Changes in Translational Efficiency Are
        Deduced from Codon Usage of the Transcriptome. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2012**, *40* (20),
        10053–10063. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks772.

(7)   Jana, S.; Deb, J. K. Strategies for Efficient Production of Heterologous Proteins in
        Escherichia Coli. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2005.
        https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1814-0.

(8)   Emory, S. A.; Bouvet, P.; Belasco, J. G. A 5′-Terminal Stem-Loop Structure Can
        Stabilize MRNA in Escnerichia Coli. *Genes Dev* **1992**, *6* (1).
        https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.6.1.135.

(9)   Frederick, M. I.; Heinemann, I. U. Regulation of RNA Stability at the 3′ End.
        Biological Chemistry. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1515/hsz-2020-0325.

(10)   Gold, L. Posttranscriptional Regulatory Mechanisms in Escherichia Coli. *Annual
        Review of Biochemistry*. 1988.
        https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.57.070188.001215.

(11)   De Smit, M. H.; Van Duin, J. Secondary Structure of the Ribosome Binding Site
        Determines Translational Efficiency: A Quantitative Analysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
        A* **1990**, *87* (19). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.19.7668.

(12) Hall, M. N.; Gabay, J.; Débarbouillé, M.; Schwartz, M. A Role for MRNA Secondary Structure in the Control of Translation Initiation. *Nature* **1982**, *295* (5850). https://doi.org/10.1038/295616a0.

(13) Kastelein, R. A.; Berkhout, B.; Van Duin, J. Opening the Closed Ribosome-Binding Site of the Lysis Cistron of Bacteriophage MS2. *Nature* **1983**, *305* (5936). https://doi.org/10.1038/305741a0.

(14) Kyratsous, C. A.; Silverstein, S. J.; DeLong, C. R.; Panagiotidis, C. A. Chaperone-Fusion Expression Plasmid Vectors for Improved Solubility of Recombinant Proteins in Escherichia Coli. *Gene* **2009**, *440* (1–2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2009.03.011.

(15) Marblestone, J. G. Comparison of SUMO Fusion Technology with Traditional Gene Fusion Systems: Enhanced Expression and Solubility with SUMO. *Protein Science* **2006**, *15* (1), 182–189. https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.051812706.

(16) LaVallie, E. R.; McCoy, J. M. Gene Fusion Expression Systems in Escherichia Coli. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* **1995**, *6* (5). https://doi.org/10.1016/0958-1669(95)80083-2.

(17) Corisdeo, S.; Wang, B. Functional Expression and Display of an Antibody Fab Fragment in Escherichia Coli: Study of Vector Designs and Culture Conditions. *Protein Expr Purif* **2004**, *34* (2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2003.11.020.

(18) Gupta, P.; Sahai, V.; Bhatnagar, R. Enhanced Expression of the Recombinant Lethal Factor of Bacillus Anthracis by Fed-Batch Culture. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **2001**, *285* (4). https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2001.5282.

(19) Yee, L.; Blanch, H. W. Recombinant Protein Expression in High Cell Density Fed-Batch Cultures of Escherichia Coli. *Bio/Technology* **1992**, *10* (12). https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1292-1550.

(20) Muto, H.; Nakatogawa, H.; Ito, K. Genetically Encoded but Nonpolypeptide Prolyl-TRNA Functions in the A Site for SecM-Mediated Ribosomal Stall. *Mol Cell* **2006**, *22* (4), 545–552. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2006.03.033.

(21) Nakatogawa, H.; Ito, K. *Secretion Monitor, SecM, Undergoes Self-Translation Arrest in the Cytosol the Export Status of SecM Affects Translation of SecA Encoded in the Same Messenger RNA Strand. The Cis-Specific Effect Suggests That the SecM Translocation In*; 2001; Vol. 7.

(22) Lovett, P. S. Translation Attenuation Regulation of Chloramphenicol Resistance in Bacteria - A Review. *Gene* **1996**, *179* (1). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(96)00420-9.

(23) Ishii, E.; Chiba, S.; Hashimoto, N.; Kojima, S.; Homma, M.; Ito, K.; Akiyama, Y.; Mori, H.; Randall, L. L. Nascent Chain-Monitored Remodeling of the Sec Machinery

for Salinity Adaptation of Marine Bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2015**, *112* (40). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1513001112.

(24) Mori, H.; Sakashita, S.; Ito, J.; Ishii, E.; Akiyama, Y. Identification and Characterization of a Translation Arrest Motif in VemP by Systematic Mutational Analysis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2018**, *293* (8), 2915–2926. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M117.816561.

(25) Kolář, M. H.; Nagy, G.; Kunkel, J.; Vaiana, S. M.; Bock, L. V.; Grubmüller, H. Folding of VemP into Translation-Arresting Secondary Structure Is Driven by the Ribosome Exit Tunnel. *Nucleic Acids Res* **2022**, *50* (4), 2258–2269. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac038.

(26) Chiba, S.; Lamsa, A.; Pogliano, K. A Ribosome-Nascent Chain Sensor of Membrane Protein Biogenesis in Bacillus Subtilis. *EMBO Journal* **2009**, *28* (22). https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2009.280.

(27) Nakatogawa, H.; Ito, K. The Ribosomal Exit Tunnel Functions as a Discriminating Gate. *Cell* **2002**, *108* (5), 629–636. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00649-9.

(28) Pavlov, M. Y.; Watts, R. E.; Tan, Z.; Cornish, V. W.; Ehrenberg, M.; Forster, A. C. *Slow Peptide Bond Formation by Proline and Other N-Alkylamino Acids in Translation*; 2008. www.pnas.orgcgidoi10.1073pnas.0809211106.

(29) Tanner, D. R.; Cariello, D. A.; Woolstenhulme, C. J.; Broadbent, M. A.; Buskirk, A. R. Genetic Identification of Nascent Peptides That Induce Ribosome Stalling. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2009**, *284* (50). https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.039040.

(30) Woolstenhulme, C. J.; Parajuli, S.; Healey, D. W.; Valverde, D. P.; Petersen, E. N.; Starosta, A. L.; Guydosh, N. R.; Johnson, W. E.; Wilson, D. N.; Buskirk, A. R. Nascent Peptides That Block Protein Synthesis in Bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2013**, *110* (10). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1219536110.

(31) Doerfel, L. K.; Wohlgemuth, I.; Kothe, C.; Peske, F.; Urlaub, H.; Rodnina, M. V. EF-P Is Essential for Rapid Synthesis of Proteins Containing Consecutive Proline Residues. *Science (1979)* **2013**, *339* (6115). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1229017.

(32) Ude, S.; Lassak, J.; Starosta, A. L.; Kraxenberger, T.; Wilson, D. N.; Jung, K. Translation Elongation Factor EF-P Alleviates Ribosome Stalling at Polyproline Stretches. *Science (1979)* **2013**, *339* (6115). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1228985.

(33) Peil, L.; Starosta, A. L.; Lassak, J.; Atkinson, G. C.; Virumäe, K.; Spitzer, M.; Tenson, T.; Jung, K.; Remme, J.; Wilson, D. N. Distinct XPPX Sequence Motifs

Induce Ribosome Stalling, Which Is Rescued by the Translation Elongation Factor EF-P. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2013**, *110* (38). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1310642110.

(34) Hersch, S. J.; Wang, M.; Zou, S. B.; Moon, K. M.; Foster, L. J.; Ibba, M.; Navarre, W. W. Divergent Protein Motifs Direct Elongation Factor P-Mediated Translational Regulation in Salmonella Enterica and Escherichia Coli. *mBio* **2013**, *4* (2). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00180-13.

(35) Blaha, G.; Stanley, R. E.; Steitz, T. A. Formation of the First Peptide Bond: The Structure of EF-P Bound to the 70S Ribosome. *Science (1979)* **2009**, *325* (5943). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175800.

(36) Hanawa-Suetsugu, K.; Sekinet, S. I.; Sakai, H.; Hori-Takemoto, C.; Terada, T.; Unzai, S.; Tame, J. R. H.; Kuramitsu, S.; Shirouzu, M.; Yokoyama, S. Crystal Structure of Elongation Factor P from Thermus Thermophilus HB8. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2004**, *101* (26). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308667101.

(37) Yanagisawa, T.; Sumida, T.; Ishii, R.; Takemoto, C.; Yokoyama, S. A Paralog of Lysyl-TRNA Synthetase Aminoacylates a Conserved Lysine Residue in Translation Elongation Factor P. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **2010**, *17* (9). https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1889.

(38) Ojima-Kato, T.; Nagai, S.; Nakano, H. N-Terminal SKIK Peptide Tag Markedly Improves Expression of Difficult-to-Express Proteins in Escherichia Coli and Saccharomyces Cerevisiae. *J Biosci Bioeng* **2017**, *123* (5), 540–546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2016.12.004.

(39) Ojima-Kato, T.; Nishikawa, Y.; Furukawa, Y.; Kojima, T.; Nakano, H. Nascent MSKIK Peptide Cancels Ribosomal Stalling by Arrest Peptides in Escherichia Coli. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2023**, *299* (5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2023.104676.

(40) Elgamal, S.; Katz, A.; Hersch, S. J.; Newsom, D.; White, P.; Navarre, W. W.; Ibba, M. EF-P Dependent Pauses Integrate Proximal and Distal Signals during Translation. *PLoS Genet* **2014**, *10* (8). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004553.

(41) Aledo, J. C. Renz: An R Package for the Analysis of Enzyme Kinetic Data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **2022**, *23* (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-022-04729-4.

(42) Pavlov, M. Y.; Ehrenberg, M. Rate of Translation of Natural MRNAs in an Optimized in Vitro System. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **1996**, *328* (1). https://doi.org/10.1006/abbi.1996.0136.

(43) Sharma, A. K.; Sormanni, P.; Ahmed, N.; Ciryam, P.; Friedrich, U. A.; Kramer, G.; O'Brien, E. P. A Chemical Kinetic Basis for Measuring Translation Initiation and

Elongation Rates from Ribosome Profiling Data. *PLoS Comput Biol* **2019**, *15* (5). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007070.

(44) Correction to: The Impact of Ribosomal Interference, Codon Usage, and Exit Tunnel Interactions on Translation Elongation Rate Variation (PLOS Genetics, (2018), 14, 1, (E1007166), 10.1371/Journal.Pgen.1007166). *PLoS Genetics*. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007620.

(45) Pedersen, S. Escherichia Coli Ribosomes Translate in Vivo with Variable Rate. *EMBO J* **1984**, *3* (12). https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1984.tb02227.x.

(46) Huter, P.; Arenz, S.; Bock, L. V.; Graf, M.; Frister, J. O.; Heuer, A.; Peil, L.; Starosta, A. L.; Wohlgemuth, I.; Peske, F.; Nováček, J.; Berninghausen, O.; Grubmüller, H.; Tenson, T.; Beckmann, R.; Rodnina, M. V.; Vaiana, A. C.; Wilson, D. N. Structural Basis for Polyproline-Mediated Ribosome Stalling and Rescue by the Translation Elongation Factor EF-P. *Mol Cell* **2017**, *68* (3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.10.014.

(47) Wilkins, M. R.; Gasteiger, E.; Bairoch, A.; Sanchez, J. C.; Williams, K. L.; Appel, R. D.; Hochstrasser, D. F. Protein Identification and Analysis Tools in the ExPASy Server. *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)*. 1999. https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-584-7:531.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

B

Figure 4