The dependence of shugoshin on Bub1-kinase activity is dispensable for the maintenance of spindle assembly checkpoint response in *Cryptococcus neoformans*

Satya Dev Polisetty[1], Krishna Bhat[1], Kuladeep Das[1], Ivan Clark[2], Kevin Hardwick[2], Kaustuv Sanyal[1, 3*]

[1]Molecular Mycology Laboratory, Molecular Biology and Genetics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bengaluru, India.

[2]Institute of Cell Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

[3]Department of Biological Sciences, Bose Institute, EN 80, Sector V, Bidhannagar, Kolkata, India

*Corresponding author

Kaustuv Sanyal

[1]Molecular Mycology Laboratory, Molecular Biology and Genetics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bengaluru 560064, India. Email: sanyal@jncasr.ac.in

[3]Department of Biological Sciences, Bose Institute, EN 80, Sector V, Bidhannagar, Kolkata 700091, India. Email: sanyal@jcbose.ac.in

Key Words

Abstract

During chromosome segregation, the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) detects errors in kinetochore-microtubule attachments. Timely activation and maintenance of the SAC until defects are corrected is essential for genome stability. Here, we show that shugoshin (Sgo1), a conserved tension-sensing protein, ensures the maintenance of SAC signals in response to unattached kinetochores during mitosis in a basidiomycete budding yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Sgo1 maintains optimum levels of Aurora B kinase [Ipl1] and protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) at kinetochores. The absence of Sgo1 results in the loss of Aurora B[Ipl1] with a concomitant increase in PP1 levels at kinetochores. This leads to a premature reduction in the kinetochore-bound Bub1 levels and early termination of the SAC signals. Intriguingly, the kinase function of Bub1 is dispensable for shugoshin's subcellular localization. Sgo1 is predominantly localized to spindle pole bodies (SPBs) and along the mitotic spindle with a minor pool at kinetochores. In the absence of proper kinetochore-microtubule attachments, Sgo1 reinforces the Aurora B kinase[Ipl1]-PP1 phosphatase balance, which is critical for prolonged maintenance of the SAC response.

Introduction

The precise segregation of duplicated chromosomes in mitosis depends on the stable attachment of kinetochores with microtubules (MTs) and proper orientation on the mitotic spindle. The kinetochore is a multi-subunit protein complex composed of inner and outer layers (Musacchio and Desai, 2017). The inner kinetochore assembles onto centromeric chromatin and is constitutively associated with DNA. Subsequently, the outer kinetochore assembles and promotes the attachment of MTs emanating from microtubule organizing centers: centrosomes in animals or spindle pole bodies (SPBs) in yeast species. Apart from playing a role in MT attachment, the kinetochore also acts as a signaling platform for recruiting mitotic surveillance components that detect unattached or improperly attached kinetochores (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2021, Zich and Hardwick, 2010, Musacchio and Salmon, 2007). Once errors are detected, cells remain arrested at metaphase until rectified.

Mitotic surveillance systems monitoring erroneous kinetochore-MT attachments include the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) and a chromosome passenger complex (CPC) component, Aurora B[Ipl1]. The SAC comprises Mad1, Mad2, BubR1, Bub3, and the kinases Bub1 and Mps1 (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2021). The SAC machinery conveys a 'wait anaphase' signal (MCC, the mitotic checkpoint complex) during cell division in response to unattached kinetochores that inhibits the anaphase-promoting complex (APC/C[Cdc20]) from ubiquitylating B-type cyclins and securin (Hardwick et al., 2000, Musacchio, 2015, Sudakin et al., 2001). Upon the formation of proper kinetochore-MT attachments, the SAC is silenced. APC/C[Cdc20] inhibition is relieved, resulting in the degradation of B-type cyclins and securin, thus promoting mitotic exit and sister chromatid separation (Cohen-Fix et al., 1996, King et al., 1996).

Tension across sister-kinetochores attached to MTs is important in ensuring high fidelity of chromosome segregation. Tensionless kinetochore-MT attachments, if not rectified, result in the missegregation of chromosomes. Aurora B[Ipl1] acts as a tension sensor, detecting tension across sister kinetochores (Biggins and Murray, 2001, Tanaka et al., 2002, Hauf et al., 2003, Pinsky et al., 2006). In response to tensionless kinetochore-MT attachments, phosphorylation of outer kinetochore by Aurora B[Ipl1] results in the destabilization of kinetochore-MT interactions, leading to the generation of unattached kinetochores (Cheeseman et al., 2006, Ciferri et al., 2008) indirectly

activating the SAC. Several reports implicate that Aurora B^{Ipl1} can also directly activate the SAC machinery in response to unattached kinetochores, indicating that the function of Aurora B^{Ipl1} is not just limited to detecting tensionless attachments (Kallio et al., 2002, Petersen and Hagan, 2003, Saurin et al., 2011, Santaguida et al., 2011, Pinsky et al., 2009). The kinase activity of Aurora B^{Ipl1} also counteracts PP1 phosphatase recruitment to kinetochores (Liu et al., 2010). The activity of PP1 at kinetochores is required to terminate the SAC signaling and to help cells proceed into anaphase. PP1 dephosphorylates kinetochore substrates and thus promotes the stabilization of kinetochore-MT attachments (Lara-Gonzalez et al., 2021). Premature recruitment of PP1 to kinetochores results in early termination of SAC signals and leads to chromosome missegregation (Roy et al., 2019).

Shugoshin, another tension sensor, also detects tensionless kinetochore-MT attachments and promotes the biorientation of sister kinetochores during mitosis. Unlike Aurora B^{Ipl1}, shugoshin cannot directly destabilize tensionless attachments but acts as an adaptor protein in recruiting Aurora B^{Ipl1}, thus promoting the biorientation of sister kinetochores. Though shugoshin plays an important role in the biorientation of chromosomes, several reports indicate that during mitosis, it is dispensable for the SAC activation (Indjeian et al., 2005, Vanoosthuyse et al., 2007, Sane et al., 2021). Shugoshin primarily localizes to centromeres, and phosphorylation of histone H2A at T120 in humans/S121 in yeasts by the SAC component Bub1 kinase plays a central role in targeting it to centromeres (Kawashima et al., 2010, Fernius and Hardwick, 2007).

Cryptococcus neoformans, a budding yeast, diverged from other ascomycete yeasts such as *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* at least 500 MYA (Heitman, 2011). *C. neoformans* is an opportunistic pathogen belonging to Basidiomycota and is considered a critical priority fungal pathogen by the World Health Organization (WHO). Several species of *Cryptococcus* cause fungal meningitis (Kronstad et al., 2011), a fatal infection in immunocompromised patients. *C. neoformans* undergoes semi-open mitosis, and its nuclear division occurs in the daughter bud (Kozubowski et al., 2013). This is strikingly different as compared to relatively well-studied yeasts such as *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans* where the nuclear division occurs at the mother-daughter bud-neck junction (Sutradhar et al., 2015). The kinetochore architecture is significantly rewired *in C. neoformans,* where a linker protein bridgin presumably replaced the loss of most inner

kinetochore proteins (van Hooff et al., 2017, Sridhar et al., 2021). Moreover, metazoan-like kinetochore maturation dynamics in *C. neoformans* during cell cycle progression is distinctly different from most other budding yeast species (Kozubowski et al., 2013). The cell cycle-associated dynamics of the centromere-kinetochore complex in *C. neoformans* differ as well. Unlike other well-studied yeasts such as *S. cerevisiae, S. pombe, and C. albicans,* where kinetochores are constitutively clustered, the kinetochores in *C. neoformans* exhibit differential clustering dynamics, where they are unclustered during interphase and cluster during mitosis.

Despite high medical importance, mechanisms monitoring kinetochore-MT attachments have not been extensively studied in basidiomycete yeasts due to lack of molecular tools. Studies to explore the roles of SAC components Bub1 (Madbub), Mps1, and Mad1 in the checkpoint response using *C. neoformans* have just begun (Leontiou et al., 2022, Aktar et al., 2024). Moreover, *C. neoformans* exhibits aneuploidy-mediated fluconazole resistance (Sionov et al., 2010). Studying factors that regulate kinetochore-MT attachments in this organism with rewired kinetochore architecture and metazoan-like mitotic events might shed light on understanding aneuploidy-driven drug resistance.

Here, we probed the role of an evolutionarily conserved protein, shugoshin, in *C. neoformans* in monitoring the kinetochore-MT attachments during mitosis. We propose that shugoshin performs this function by maintaining higher Aurora B^{Ipl1} and lower PP1 levels at kinetochores during MT depolymerizing conditions. Unlike ascomycete yeasts, shugoshin predominantly localizes to SPBs, along spindle MTs and a minor pool at kinetochores during mitosis. Apart from the atypical localization, we provide evidence that support that shugoshin targeting to its subcellular sites is not dependent on the kinase activity of Bub1.

Results

Shugoshin is required for efficient metaphase arrest in response to microtubule depolymerizing drugs

SGO1 (FungiDB ORF ID: CNAG_05516) encodes the only form of shugoshin that is expressed in *C. neoformans.* The putative 645-aa-long protein coded by *SGO1* has an N-terminal coiled-coil domain and a C-terminal basic SGO motif (Fig 1A; Supplementary Fig 1A and B). Multiple sequence alignment of amino acid sequences

revealed the presence of conserved residues required for PP2A binding (Supplementary Fig 1B) (Xu et al., 2009). The SGO motif in *C. neoformans* shugoshin also possesses a conserved lysine residue (K382) that is required for the binding of shugoshin to phosphorylated histone H2A (Supplementary Fig 1B) (Kawashima et al., 2010, Liu et al., 2013, Fernius and Hardwick, 2007). Previous studies reported that shugoshin plays a role in ensuring proper kinetochore-MT attachments during mitosis (see reviews (Gutierrez-Caballero et al., 2012, Marston, 2015)). Mutants affecting kinetochore-MT interactions and proteins participating in the error correction machinery and the SAC, are hypersensitive to MT depolymerizing drugs. To test if Sgo1 contributes to the fidelity of mitotic chromosome segregation, we created *sgo1* null mutants in *C. neoformans.* While Sgo1 was found to be dispensable for growth, *sgo1* null mutant cells displayed sensitivity to a MT-depolymerizing drug, thiabendazole (TBZ), similar to that of SAC mutant *mad2* (Fig 1B). These results suggest that *sgo1Δ* mutant cells in the basidiomycete yeast *C. neoformans* have phenotypes similar to those of ascomycete yeasts such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Candida albicans* (Indjeian et al., 2005, Kitajima et al., 2004, Sane et al., 2021).

Next, we sought to test if enhanced sensitivity to the MT poison observed in *sgo1Δ* mutant cells accentuate when combined with *mad2Δ* mutant. To test this, we created *sgo1Δ mad2Δ* double mutants. Such double mutant cells exhibited synthetic lethality in the presence of TBZ (Fig 1B), indicating that both Sgo1 and Mad2 are required for an efficient SAC response in MT-depolymerizing conditions. This striking synthetic lethality observed suggests that shugoshin is involved in functions such as biorientation apart from promoting the SAC response, which is lost in *mad2Δ*. If shugoshin is required for an efficient SAC response in *C. neoformans*, it is expected that the *sgo1Δ* mutant, when combined with a kinetochore mutant, would enhance sensitivities to TBZ. To explore this possibility, we used null mutant cells of a recently reported basidiomycete-specific kinetochore linker protein bridgin (Bgi1). It has been shown that *bgi1Δ* mutants are viable but exhibit gross chromosomal missegregation (Sridhar et al., 2021). *bgi1Δ* mutants, combined with *mad2Δ*, displayed enhanced sensitivity to TBZ compared to each of the single mutants alone (Sridhar et al., 2021). The spotting assay indicated that *sgo1Δ bgi1Δ* double mutant cells are hypersensitive to TBZ, similar to that of *mad2Δ bgi1Δ* (Supplementary Fig 2). Together, these results

reveal that shugoshin plays a role in proper kinetochore-MT interactions and/or
monitors defective attachments in response to spindle poison.

MT-depolymerizing drugs arrest wild-type cells at metaphase in the large-budded
stage with an undivided nucleus. Only when the defects are rectified will such cells
proceed to complete the cell division. To verify whether *sgo1Δ* mutants can induce
metaphase arrest when MTs are depolymerized, we performed a microscopy-based
assay to score for the cell cycle defects associated with *sgo1Δ* mutants by treating
cells with nocodazole (Fig 1C). Nocodazole-treated wild-type cells of *C. neoformans*
arrest at the large-budded stage with a visibly condensed chromatin mass (Fig 1C).
After 240 min of nocodazole treatment, >90% of wild-type cells were at the large-
budded stage with a condensed undivided nucleus (Fig 1C and Supplementary Fig
1C, D, and E). As expected, *mad2Δ,* a bonafide checkpoint-defective mutant, failed to
arrest cells at the large-budded stage (Fig 1C). In the *sgo1Δ* mutants, though we
initially observed an increase in the proportion of large-budded cells compared to
mad2Δ mutants, after 240 min post-nocodazole treatment, the large-budded cell
population significantly reduced (Fig 1C). Moreover, unlike wild-type, most large-
budded cells in *sgo1Δ* mutants had an uncondensed chromatin mass similar to *mad2Δ*
mutant cells (Supplementary Fig 1C, D, and E). In the case of *sgo1Δ mad2Δ* double
mutants, no significant differences in the proportion of large-budded cells were
observed when compared to *sgo1Δ* and *mad2Δ* single mutants (Fig 1C). Estimating
the ploidy content in nocodazole-treated cells using flow cytometry (Fig 1D) revealed
that wild-type cells arrested at 2n ploidy level. On the other hand, *mad2Δ* cells shifted
towards 4n, indicating a failure in arresting cells at metaphase and initiating the next
cell cycle events. Unlike *mad2Δ, sgo1Δ* cells displayed a ploidy content of 2n and 4n,
revealing the presence of cells that are delayed at metaphase and cells that have
initiated the next round of DNA replication. Similar results were also obtained in *sgo1Δ*
mad2Δ double mutants. Taken together, we show that shugoshin is required for an
efficient metaphase arrest in response to unattached kinetochores induced by MT-
depolymerizing drugs.

sgo1Δ mutant cells fail to maintain the SAC component Bub1 at kinetochores in response to prolonged microtubule depolymerization

Why are *sgo1Δ* mutant cells not arrested when treated with an MT poison at metaphase? It is possible that *sgo1Δ* mutant cells arrest at metaphase immediately after being treated with the MT poison but were unable to maintain the arrest upon prolonged exposure to the drug. To test this, we localized the SAC component Bub1 (Fig 2A) tagged with GFP in wild-type and *sgo1Δ* cells. A recent report (Leontiou et al., 2022) suggested that Bub1 localizes to kinetochores in *C. neoformans* and plays a central role in the SAC-mediated arrest. We synchronized *C. neoformans* cells by arresting them at G2 using hypoxia (Ohkusu et al., 2004) and released them in the presence of nocodazole (refer to Materials and Methods for further details) (Fig 2B). Inducing physical hypoxia in *C. neoformans* results in 'unbudded' G2 arrest. These G2-arrested cells enter mitosis synchronously when subjected to extensive aeration. Upon release into nocodazole-containing media, wild-type cells accumulated at the large-budded stage (Supplementary Fig 3) with GFP-Bub1 signals, which were maintained until the end of the experiment (Fig 2C and D). In the case of *sgo1Δ* cells, the proportion of Bub1-positive large-budded cells increased similarly to that of wild-type until 120 min. However, beyond 200 min post-nocodazole treatment, a sudden decline in the proportion of Bub1-positive large-budded cells was observed in the mutant (Fig 2C and D). Studies in *S. pombe* have shown that the kinase-dead version of Bub1 is checkpoint-proficient but fails to maintain prolonged SAC arrest (Fernius and Hardwick, 2007)**.** A similar observation was reported in *C. neoformans*, where *bub1-kd* mutant cells failed to sustain the arrest induced by nocodazole (Leontiou et al., 2022)**.** To understand how checkpoint responses differ in *sgo1Δ* from *bub1-kd,* we compared GFP-Bub1 localization dynamics in hypoxia-synchronized cells treated with nocodazole (Fig 2C and D). Though neither *sgo1Δ* nor *bub1-kd* mutant cells could maintain the arrest like the wild-type, *bub1-kd* cells were able to maintain the arrest longer than *sgo1Δ* cells.

Moreover, Bub1 signal intensities in *sgo1Δ* and *bub1-kd* mutants were significantly reduced compared to the wild-type (Fig 2E). To further support these observations, we resorted to a single-cell microfluidics assay to quantitatively measure the checkpoint response in individual cells treated with the MT poison (Fig 2F and G). Using this system, we probed the GFP-Bub1 dynamics in wild-type, *sgo1Δ*, *bub1-kd,*

sgo1Δ bub1-kd, and *mad1Δ* mutant cells treated with nocodazole. Similar to the
results observed in the experiment performed with the synchronized cells, neither
sgo1Δ nor *bub1-kd* mutant cells could maintain checkpoint arrest like wild-type as
indicated by the lower mean kinetochore residence times of Bub1 (Fig 2G). Checkpoint
defective *mad1Δ* mutant cells were used as a control. The *sgo1Δ bub1-kd* double
mutant behaved like the *sgo1Δ* single mutant (Supplementary Fig 4A and B). These
results reveal that *sgo1Δ* cells, similar to *bub1-kd* cells, are proficient in checkpoint
activation but cannot maintain checkpoint signals during prolonged treatment with
nocodazole.

Aurora B[Ipl1] maintenance at kinetochores is affected in *sgo1Δ* mutants during prolonged checkpoint arrest

Shugoshin interacts with CPC proteins and helps in either the recruitment or
maintenance of CPC components at centromeres/kinetochores in *S. cerevisiae*, *S.*
pombe and humans (Hindriksen et al., 2017, Marston, 2015). Previously, we
established the role of Aurora B[Ipl1] (a CPC component) in regulating nuclear division
during mitosis in *C. neoformans* (Varshney et al., 2019). Although it has been shown
that the entry of Aurora B[Ipl1] into the nucleus coincides with the nuclear envelope
breakdown (NEBD), its localization to kinetochores remained unexplored. In this study,
we colocalized Aurora B[Ipl1] with the inner kinetochore protein CENP-A at different cell
cycle stages (Supplementary Fig 5A). During G2, when kinetochores are clustered,
we could only detect weak signals of Aurora B[Ipl1] that intensified as cells entered
metaphase. Apart from the kinetochore localization, we could also observe spindle-
like localization of Aurora B[Ipl1] during anaphase (Supplementary Fig 5A). Upon
treatment with nocodazole, we observed colocalization of Aurora B[Ipl1] with
kinetochores (Supplementary Fig 5B). To test whether kinetochore localization of
Aurora B[Ipl1] is affected in *sgo1Δ* cells in *C. neoformans*, we synchronized both wild-
type and *sgo1Δ* mutant cells by inducing physical hypoxia followed by a release in
nocodazole-containing media (Fig 2B). We scored for Aurora B[Ipl1]-3xGFP-positive
large-budded cells. In *sgo1Δ,* we observed a reduced proportion of Aurora B[Ipl1]-
positive large-budded cells over time compared to wild-type (Fig 3A, B and
Supplementary Fig 6). Similar to the Bub1 levels in *sgo1Δ* mutant cells, a reduction in
the intensity of the Aurora B[Ipl1] signals in the *sgo1Δ* cells was also observed compared

to the wild-type (Fig 3C). Taken together, we conclude that Sgo1 is required to
maintain Bub1 and Aurora B^{Ipl1} at kinetochores when MTs are depolymerized.

Aurora B^{Ipl1} is required for the maintenance of Bub1 at kinetochores in response
to microtubule depolymerization

Reduced Aurora B^{Ipl1} levels at kinetochores in *sgo1Δ* cells prompted us to test whether
the absence of Aurora B^{Ipl1} leads to failure of checkpoint arrest when treated with MT
depolymerizing agents. For conditional depletion of Aurora B^{Ipl1}, we placed the gene
under the *GAL7* promoter. We treated Aurora B^{Ipl1} –(over)expressed (permissive,
galactose media) and Aurora B^{Ipl1}-depleted (non-permissive, glucose media) cells with
nocodazole (Fig 3D). We assayed for large-budded cells with a condensed chromatin
mass as observed at metaphase. Cells (over)expressing Aurora B^{Ipl1} and treated with
nocodazole displayed a higher proportion of large bud arrested cells. In contrast, cells
depleted of Aurora B^{Ipl1} failed to arrest at the large-budded stage and exhibited an
increase in the proportion of tri-budded cells (Supplementary Fig 7A and B). Moreover,
approximately 50% of the large-budded cells displayed an uncondensed nuclear
mass, similar to what was observed in *mad2Δ* mutants (Supplementary Fig 7A and B).
These results suggest that Aurora B^{Ipl1} is required for an efficient metaphase arrest
when cells are treated with a spindle poison in *C. neoformans*.

To confirm these observations further, we examined GFP-Bub1 localization dynamics
in cells depleted of Aurora B^{Ipl1} and treated with nocodazole (Fig 3E). Aurora B^{Ipl1}-
depleted cells subjected to nocodazole treatment for 120 and 240 min were able to
load Bub1 initially but failed to maintain Bub1 at kinetochores upon prolonged
treatment with nocodazole (Fig 3E and F), similar to what was observed in *sgo1Δ*
mutant cells. We also noticed a significant reduction in the levels of Bub1 at
kinetochores in Aurora B^{Ipl1}-depleted cells similar to that of *sgo1Δ* mutants (Fig 3G).
These results reveal that Aurora B^{Ipl1} in *C. neoformans* helps maintain Bub1 at
kinetochores in the presence of nocodazole.

Shugoshin antagonizes the recruitment of PP1 at kinetochores in response to
nocodazole treatment

The kinase activity of Aurora B^{Ipl1} and the phosphatase activity of PP1 are antagonistic
at kinetochores (Tatchell et al., 2011, Lesage et al., 2011). We hypothesized that a
reduction in Aurora B^{Ipl1} levels leads to increased PP1 levels (Fig 4A), and higher PP1

levels may lead to the loss of Bub1 from kinetochores. We expressed PP1-GFP
(FungiDB ORF ID CNAG_03706; Supplementary Fig 8) in a CENP-A-mCherry
expressing strain (CNKB003). First, we studied the effect of *sgo1Δ* on PP1 localization
during nocodazole treatment (Fig 4B). Both wild-type and *sgo1Δ* cells exhibited GFP-
PP1 signals at kinetochores during the metaphase-arrested stage. Quantifying the
fluorescence signal intensity of PP1 revealed a marginal but significant increase in the
GFP-PP1 signal intensities at kinetochores in *sgo1Δ* compared to wild-type cells (Fig
4C). A similar result was observed when Aurora B^{Ipl1}-depleted cells were treated with
nocodazole (Fig 4D and E). The SAC can be silenced by dephosphorylation of MELT
repeats on Knl1^{Spc105} with the help of PP1. As a result, checkpoint components are
removed from kinetochores (Pinsky et al., 2009, Rosenberg et al., 2011, Meadows et
al., 2011, Espeut et al., 2012, Vanoosthuyse and Hardwick, 2009, Nijenhuis et al.,
2014). Premature recruitment of PP1 to kinetochores is detrimental and leads to
chromosome mis-segregation (Roy et al., 2019). Based on the results described
above, we propose that the increase in PP1 levels at kinetochores leads to the loss of
Bub1 signals in the absence of shugoshin or Aurora B^{Ipl1}, which interferes with the
SAC maintenance in MT depolymerizing conditions.

The tension-sensing function of shugoshin is conserved in *C. neoformans*

Shugoshin senses tensionless attachments by recruiting several factors that play a
role in correcting tensionless attachments (Marston, 2015). Cohesin is a molecular
glue that holds two sister chromatids together during mitosis until metaphase. Cohesin
plays an essential role in generating tension that resists the pulling force of MTs, aiding
high-fidelity chromosome segregation (Guacci et al., 1997, Michaelis et al., 1997).
Loss of cohesion at centromeres results in tensionless kinetochore-MT attachments.
To test if Sgo1 in *C. neoformans* detects tensionless attachments, we depleted one of
the cohesin subunits, Scc1/Mcd1/Rad21, by placing it under the *GAL7* promoter both
in the wild-type and *sgo1Δ* mutant cells. *C. neoformans* Scc1 (FungiDB ORF ID
CNAG_01023) harbors N- and C-terminal Scc1 domains (Supplementary Fig 9A and
B). We show that like *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*, *SCC1* in *C. neoformans* is essential
for viability (Supplementary Fig 9C). Upon depletion of Scc1, a high proportion of wild-
type cells are arrested at the large-budded stage (Supplementary Fig 9D, E, and F).
In contrast, the *sgo1Δ* mutants depleted of Scc1 did not show such an increase in the

proportion of large-budded cells, indicating that shugoshin plays a role in detecting
tensionless kinetochore-MT attachments and delays the cell cycle progression.

Shugoshin is enriched at the spindle pole bodies (SPBs) and spindle during mitosis

Thus far, we reveal an unknown role of Sgo1 in maintaining Bub1 through the balance
of Aurora B^{Ipl1} and PP1 at kinetochores in *C. neoformans*. Next, we examined the cell
cycle localization dynamics of Sgo1 in *C. neoformans*. Shugoshin localizes to the
kinetochore during cell division in most species studied (Marston, 2015)
(Supplementary Fig S11). To find the sub-cellular localization of Sgo1, we expressed
GFP-Sgo1 from its native locus in the CENP-A-mCherry tagged strain. We
synchronized the cells using hypoxia to examine the cell cycle stage-specific
localization of Sgo1. No signals of Sgo1 could be observed during most of the
interphase. In G2, where all centromeres cluster as a single punctum (Kozubowski et
al., 2013, Sridhar et al., 2021), we observed Sgo1 signals near clustered centromeres
(Fig 5A). Upon entry into mitosis, Sgo1 appeared as two distinct puncta that flanked
the bar-like signals of CENP-A. Apart from the punctate signals of Sgo1, we observed
weak signals along the pole-to-pole axis during metaphase and anaphase that
resembled spindle-like localization. To examine whether Sgo1 localizes to spindle pole
bodies (SPBs), we expressed Tub4-mCherry (γ-tubulin) in the GFP-Sgo1 expressing
strain. GFP-Sgo1 signals colocalized with SPBs during G2 and mitotic stages (Fig 5B),
indicating that Sgo1 localizes predominantly to SPBs during the mitosis in *C.*
neoformans.

To test if the spindle-like localization during mitosis depends on the spindle MT
integrity, we arrested cells at metaphase using two methods. First, we used
nocodazole to depolymerize MTs that activate the SAC and arrest cells at metaphase.
Second, we depleted Cdc20, an anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C)
component. Depletion or inactivation of Cdc20 leads to metaphase arrest in *S.*
cerevisiae (Hwang et al., 1998). Upon treating *C. neoformans* cells with nocodazole,
we observed that Sgo1 colocalized with the SPB (Fig 5C). On the other hand, depletion
of Cdc20 by placing it under the galactose regulatable promoter (*GAL7*) resulted in
metaphase arrest, and the spindle-like localization of shugoshin along the pole-to-pole

axis was maintained (Fig 5D). These observations led us to conclude that the spindle-like localization of Sgo1 requires intact MTs during mitosis.

The kinase activity of Bub1 is dispensable for the function and localization of shugoshin during mitosis in *C. neoformans*

Studies from several yeast species and humans reveal that Bub1 is one of the major factors that recruit shugoshin to kinetochores. Although Bub1 plays a critical role, several other proteins such as cohesin, heterochromatin protein (HP1), CPC, and CENP-A also contribute to the targeting of shugoshin to kinetochores, see review (Marston, 2015) (Fig 6A). In the present study, to test if the Bub1 kinase activity required for shugoshin's function is evolutionarily conserved in *C. neoformans*, we utilized the kinase-dead (*bub1-kd*) mutant of Bub1. *In vitro* assays reported earlier (Leontiou et al., 2022) revealed that Bub1 could phosphorylate the reconstituted human H2A containing nucleosomes, and kinase-dead mutants of Bub1 fail to phosphorylate. We performed a genetic interaction assay by scoring the TBZ sensitivity of *sgo1Δ*, *bub1-kd*, and *sgo1Δ bub1-kd* mutants (Fig 6B). If both Sgo1 and Bub1 kinase activity function in the same pathway in *C. neoformans*, one would expect little to no change in the sensitivity of double mutants compared to either of the single mutants, *sgo1Δ* and *bub1-kd*. By dilution spotting assay, we observed that the *bub1-kd sgo1Δ* double mutant cells behaved like that of the *sgo1Δ* mutant (Fig 6B). Moreover, the sensitivity of *sgo1Δ* mutant cells to TBZ is higher than that of *bub1-kd* mutant. There are two possibilities for the above observations. First, the enhanced sensitivity of the *sgo1Δ* mutant could be due to the additional functions of the shugoshin that do not rely on the kinase activity of Bub1. Second, the kinase activity of Bub1 does not play a significant role in dictating the kinetochore/kinetochore proximal localization of Sgo1. To test these possibilities, we have localized GFP-Sgo1 and performed a genetic interaction assay using mutants known to disrupt Bub1 kinase-mediated targeting of shugoshin in other species.

The lysine residue K382 in Sgo1 in *C. neoformans* corresponds to the lysine K492 in Sgo1 in humans (Supplementary Fig 1B). This residue is known to play a role in binding to phosphorylated H2A (Kawashima et al., 2010, Liu et al., 2013). A mutation in the lysine residue of shugoshin in fission yeast (K298) or humans (K492) results in the loss of kinetochore localization of shugoshin in mitosis (Liu et al., 2013,

Kawashima et al., 2010). Similarly, mutating the S121 (budding/fission yeast) or T121 (humans) residue of histone H2A also abrogates the kinetochore localization of the shugoshin (Liu et al., 2013, Kawashima et al., 2010). To test the role of these conserved residues in *C. neoformans*, we mutated K382 in Sgo1 (Supplementary Fig 1B) and T121 in H2A to alanine (Supplementary Fig 10A). The plasmid constructs harboring either wild-type *SGO1* or a *sgo1-K382A* allele were integrated into the *SAFE HAVEN* locus (chromosome 1) (Arras et al., 2015) of the *sgo1Δ* strain. An overlap PCR construct harboring the *h2a-T121A* mutation was transformed into a strain expressing GFP-SGO1 and mCherry-CENP-A. If the kinase activity of Bub1 is indeed dispensable for shugoshin's checkpoint function during mitosis, the strain carrying the *GFP-sgo1-K382A* allele would rescue the sensitivity to spindle poisons. Similarly, strain harboring the *h2a-T121A* allele would not show any sensitivity. Spotting assays on TBZ plates revealed that both sgo1-*K382A* and *h2a-T121A* mutants behaved like wild-type cells (Fig 6C). To further confirm these results, we localized Sgo1 in the above-mentioned mutant strains. Since Sgo1 exhibits both SPB and spindle-like localization during metaphase, we have obtained metaphase cells using hypoxia or thiabendazole arrest followed by release to score for the localization (refer to materials and methods for details). In both budding and fission yeasts, *bub1-kd*, *h2a-SA,* and *sgo-KA* mutants abolish kinetochore localization of shugoshin (Kawashima et al., 2010). In sharp contrast*,* we observed that *sgo1-K382A, h2a-T121A,* and *bub1-kd* mutants in *C. neoformans* did not abolish the SPB or spindle-like localization of Sgo1 during metaphase (Fig 6D and E). Sgo1 levels were unaffected in the above mutants (Supplementary Fig 10B). These results confirm that the kinase activity of Bub1 is dispensable for the recruitment of shugoshin and its SAC maintenance function in *C. neoformans.*

In *C. neoformans,* Sgo1 predominantly localizes to SPBs and the spindle during metaphase. The metaphase spindle localization of Sgo1 overlaps with CENP-A (Fig 5A). To probe if the kinetochores at the metaphase stage bind to Sgo1, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay in Scc1-depleted cells (Fig 6F, top panel). Microscopy analysis of Scc1-depleted cells showed both SPB and spindle-like localization of Sgo1 (Fig 6F, bottom panel) as observed previously (Fig 5). ChIP assay indicates that there is only a minor enrichment of Sgo1 at centromeres in *C. neoformans* (Fig 6G), suggesting that the association of Sgo1 with centromeres could

be transient in nature and/or only a minor pool of Sgo1 is associated with kinetochores
and the majority could be along the spindle.

Discussion

In this study, we characterized the mitotic functions of shugoshin in *C. neoformans.*
The only form of shugoshin, coded by *SGO1*, predominantly localizes to SPBs and
along the mitotic spindle in *C. neoformans*. In response to prolonged MT
depolymerization, Sgo1 maintains adequate Aurora B^{Ipl1} levels at kinetochores to
antagonize premature phosphatase action of PP1 at kinetochores. Thus, by regulating
an optimum balance of the protein kinase Aurora B^{Ipl1} and the protein phosphatase
PP1, shugoshin retains checkpoint responses until errors are corrected. Together, we
report that Sgo1 regulates PP1 levels at kinetochores in response to microtubule
depolymerization. We also demonstrated that the checkpoint maintenance function of
Sgo1 is independent of the evolutionarily conserved Bub1 kinase activity-mediated
targeting of Sgo1 to centromeres/kinetochores.

Sgo1 is not required to activate the SAC; rather, it helps prolong the SAC response by
maintaining the levels of Bub1 at kinetochores in *C. neoformans*. Similarly, Aurora B^{Ipl1}
also helps in the maintenance of Bub1 levels at kinetochores in response to MT
depolymerization conditions. Studies in *S. cerevisiae*, *S. pombe*, flies*,* and humans
reveal that shugoshin interacts with the chromosome passenger complex (CPC) and
is required for either recruitment or maintenance of Aurora B^{Ipl1} to correct tensionless
kinetochore attachments (Hindriksen et al., 2017, Marston, 2015). We show that apart
from maintaining Bub1 levels at kinetochores, Sgo1 is also required to maintain Aurora
B^{Ipl1} levels when treated with nocodazole. Microfluidics and time course assays (Fig
2D, F, and 3B) indicate that *sgo1Δ* mutants can load Bub1 or Aurora B^{Ipl1} at
kinetochores albeit to a lesser level, but over time, the localization of these proteins is
lost in the large-budded cells. This suggests that the loss of Bub1 or Aurora B^{Ipl1} from
kinetochores leads to failure in SAC maintenance in *sgo1Δ* cells during MT
depolymerizing conditions. We hypothesize that Sgo1 regulates the SAC function
through Aurora B^{Ipl1} in two ways that may not necessarily be mutually exclusive: a) by
maintaining high Aurora B^{Ipl1} activity at kinetochores, thereby preventing the action of
PP1 in terminating SAC signals, and b) by regulating Mps1 and Bub3/Bub1 (Saurin et
al., 2011, Roy et al., 2022, Audett et al., 2022) at kinetochores, thereby modulating the

SAC response. The fact that we observe an increase in the levels of PP1 at

kinetochores in the reduced levels of Aurora B^{Ipl1} indicates that Sgo1, more likely, acts

through Aurora B^{Ipl1} to antagonize the activity of PP1 at kinetochores thereby

preventing the premature SAC termination.

The fact that Sgo1 is not required for the SAC activation but for the maintenance of

the SAC response via maintaining a balance between Aurora B^{Ipl1}-PP1 at kinetochores

also explains why *sgo1Δ mad2Δ* double mutants are synthetic lethal in the presence

of MT depolymerizing drugs. The observed synergy indicates that both Sgo1 and

Mad2 act in different pathways, where Sgo1 may play other roles such as biorientation

of chromosomes that function (i) via the Aurora B^{Ipl1}-PP1-Bub1 axis; (ii) by recruiting

condensin (Verzijlbergen et al., 2014, Peplowska et al., 2014) to the centromeres

which biases sister kinetochores to bi-orient by affecting the kinetochore geometry;

(iii) by maintaining the centromeric cohesion to prevent premature separation of sister

chromatids, see review (Marston, 2015).

The mechanism of recruitment of shugoshin to kinetochores is a stratified process as

it involves several proteins. Among these, Bub1 plays a critical role in targeting

shugoshin to kinetochores in *S. cerevisiae*, *S. pombe*, *Xenopus laevis,* and humans

(Liu et al., 2013, Kawashima et al., 2010, Boyarchuk et al., 2007) (Fig 6A). In humans,

it has been reported that Cdk1-mediated phosphorylation of shugoshin promotes its

interaction with cohesin and targeting to inner centromeres (Liu et al., 2013). Similarly,

in *S. cerevisiae* (Kiburz et al., 2005) and *S. pombe* (Kitajima et al., 2004) cohesins are

known to interact with shugoshin and this association in *S. cerevisiae* promotes the

recruitment of shugoshin to pericentromeric regions in mitosis (Verzijlbergen et al.,

2014, Kiburz et al., 2005). Apart from cohesins, it has been shown that a

heterochromatin protein, HP1 promotes centromeric recruitment of shugoshin in *S.*

pombe (Yamagishi et al., 2008). It has also been reported that shugoshin and the CPC

complex mutually influence each other's localization at centromeres. In *Drosophila*,

the phosphorylation of MEI-S332 (shugoshin) by Aurora B^{Ipl1} promotes its centromere

localization (Nogueira et al., 2014, Resnick et al., 2006). Studies have also implicated

the role of CENP-A and H3 nucleosomes in targeting shugoshin to centromeres as

well (Wu et al., 2024, Mishra et al., 2018, Luo et al., 2016, Ng et al., 2013, Luo et al.,

2010). Intriguingly, in C. neoformans, the checkpoint maintenance function of Sgo1 is

not mediated through the Bub1-pH2A axis, as disruption of this pathway did not

abolish the Sgo1 localization or the SAC maintenance function. This suggests that
Bub1 is not a major player in recruiting CnSgo1 unlike the other species studied. We
speculate that the Bub1 independent recruitment of CnSgo1 could be due to the non-
canonical localization of the major pool of shugoshin at SPBs and along the mitotic
spindle.

Altogether, this study illustrates the functional diversity of an evolutionarily conserved
protein, shugoshin. A spatio-temporal balance of kinase and phosphatase activity is
crucial for proper kinetochore-MT attachments and SAC signaling. Sgo1 monitors the
kinetochore-MT attachment state in mitosis by balancing Aurora B^{Ipl1} and PP1 levels
at kinetochores. Based on the ChIP data, it is possible that there could be a transient
and/or a minor pool of shugoshin associated with the kinetochores or kinetochore
proximal regions. SPBs and spindle microtubules, along with other proteins such as
HP1, CPC, CENP-A, and histone H3, might promote the kinetochore proximal
association of Sgo1 in *C. neoformans*. This kinetochore proximal localization of
shugoshin may not primarily rely on Bub1 kinase function. It is also possible that CPC
or the activity of polo-like kinase (Cdc5/PLK1) as reported in humans (Wang et al.,
2008) may also play a role in targeting Sgo1 to SPBs. Further investigation is needed
to confirm the importance of SPBs/microtubules in regulating the function of Sgo1 in
this organism of high medical importance.

Materials and Methods

Strains and media growth conditions

C. neoformans cultures were grown and maintained on YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% dextrose) and YPG (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% galactose) media at 30°C unless specified. YPD+1M sorbitol or YPG+1M sorbitol plates were used for transformation. For the selection of transformants, 100 µg/mL of nourseothricin (NAT, clonNAT, Werner BioAgents), 180 µg/mL neomycin (G-418, Sigma-Aldrich), and 220 µg/mL of hygromycin (HiMedia) were used.

Biolistic transformation

The biolistic transformation was done based on a previously described method (Davidson et al., 2000). Approximately 5 mL of *C. neoformans* culture was grown overnight, centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 5 min, and resuspended in 300 µL sterile dH_2O. Around 300 µL of cell suspension was spread on the YPD+1M sorbitol plate and dried. Gold micro-carrier beads (0.6 µm) coated with 2-5 µg of DNA were prepared, and 10 µL of 2.5 M $CaCl_2$, 2 µL of 1M spermidine free base (Sigma-Aldrich) were added. The mixture was vortexed for 30 s, incubated for 10 min at room temperature, centrifuged, washed with 500 µL of 100% ethanol, and resuspended in 10 µL of 100% ethanol. The solution was placed on a micro-carrier disk and allowed to dry. Dried disks were placed in the biolistic transformation apparatus (Biolistic® PDS-1000/He Particle Delivery System). Helium gas pressure of approximately 1300 psi under a vacuum of a minimum of 25 inches of Hg was generated to bombard the cells with micro-carrier beads. The cells were incubated for 5-6 h in YPD+1M sorbitol medium at 30°C. Cells were then plated on YPD+NAT/NEO/HYG selection plate and incubated at 30°C for 3-4 days.

Strains and plasmids

Lists of strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are provided in Tables S1-S3. The construction of *C. neoformans* strains and plasmids is detailed below.

GFP-SGO1 **strains**

The fluorescent fusion protein of shugoshin was generated by cloning the 1200 bp homology region and the *SGO1* promoter into the pVY7 plasmid containing the GFP

and NAT sequences. GFP was tagged to the N-terminal region of the *SGO1* gene. The primers used for generating the clone are listed in Table S3. The 1200 bp homology region and a 500 bp *SGO1* promoter region were amplified from the *SGO1* gene using *C. neoformans* (H99) genomic DNA. The individual PCR fragments were gel purified. The amplified regions were cloned using BamHI, SacI, and NcoI sites. The final clone was linearized using HindIII and transformed into H99 and CNVY101. The transformants obtained were confirmed by PCR.

sgo1Δ strains

An overlap PCR strategy was used to delete *SGO1* gene in the desired strains. Around 1000 bp region upstream to the start codon (ATG) and 1000 bp downstream region of the stop codon of the *SGO1* ORF was amplified using *C. neoformans* (H99) genomic DNA. The *NEO* resistance marker fragment was amplified from the pLK25 plasmid (Table S2). The individual PCR fragments were gel purified. Using these individual PCR amplified fragments, a final overlap cassette of ~3800 bp was constructed using primers (Table S3). The final cassette was used to transform *C. neoformans*. The transformants obtained were confirmed by PCR. To delete the *SGO1* gene in different strain backgrounds, the *NEO* marker was swapped with either *NAT* or *HygB* genes amplified from the pVY7 and pSH7G plasmids (Table S2) using the same set of primers. The *sgo1Δ* cassette was used to transform H99, CNVY108, SHR741, SHR830, IL102, IL143, CNKB003 and CNSD190 strains. The transformants obtained were screened by PCR.

TUB4-mCherry strain

An overlap PCR strategy was used to tag Tub4 with mCherry at C-terminus. Primers used for overlap cassette construction are listed in Table S3. Around 1000 bp region upstream to the stop codon (without including the stop codon) and 1000 bp 3' UTR region after the stop codon was amplified from the *TUB4* ORF using *C. neoformans* (H99) genomic DNA. The mCherry sequence with antibiotic resistance marker NEO was amplified from the pLK25 plasmid (Table S2). The individual PCR fragments were gel purified. A final overlap cassette of ~4500 bp was constructed using individual PCR fragments. The final cassette was transformed into CNSD127 strain. The transformants obtained were confirmed by PCR.

GAL7-3xFLAG tagged SCC1, AURORA B^{IPL1}, CDC20 strains

To place the desired gene under regulatable *GAL7p* along with an N-terminal 3xFLAG sequence, an overlap PCR strategy was used. Around 1000 bp regions upstream (US) and downstream (DS) of the start codon (ATG) of the ORFs of interest were amplified using *C. neoformans* (H99) genomic DNA. *GAL7* promoter with *HygB* resistance gene was amplified from pSH7G plasmid, and the 3xFLAG sequence was introduced using a primer (Table S3). The individual PCR fragments were gel purified. A final overlap cassette was assembled using the individual purified fragments. The cassettes generated were transformed into *C. neoformans* and the positive transformants were confirmed by PCR. The 3xFLAG tagged conditional mutants of *SCC1*, *AURORA B^{IPL1}*, and *CDC20* generated using the strategy mentioned above are listed in Table S1.

AURORA B^{IPL1}-3xGFP mCherry-CENP-A strain

The H99 strain expressing Aurk B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP and mCherry-CENP-A was generated by the random integration of the plasmid pLKB71 (Table S2). The mCherry-CENP-A construct is expressed using the *H3* promoter. To create the strain, the plasmid backbone of pLKB71 was digested with HindIII and transformed into CNNV113, and the transformants were selected on the YPD-agar plate containing hygromycin selection. The colonies obtained were screened using a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 widefield microscope for the transformants positive for the mCherry-CENP-A signal.

GFP-PP1 mCherry-CENP-A strain

The N-terminal GFP fusion protein of PP1 was generated by cloning around 1000 bp homology region and PP1 promoter into the pVY7 plasmid containing GFP and NAT sequences. Around 955 bp PP1 promoter region and 1000 bp PP1 homology region were amplified from PP1 ORF using *C. neoformans* (H99) genomic DNA. The PCR amplicons were gel purified. The digested amplicons of promoter and homology region were cloned into pVY7 using SacI, NcoI, and SpeI sites, respectively. The final clone was linearized using BglII and transformed into the CNVY101 strain, and the positive transformants were confirmed by PCR.

622 ***GFP-sgo1-K382A-SH1, GFP-SGO1-SH1* and *GFP-sgo1-K382A-SH1* *mCherry-***
623 ***CENP-A* strains**

624   The N-terminal GFP fusion protein of wild-type and mutant (K382A) allele of *SGO1*
625   was generated by cloning *SGO1* promoter, *SGO1* ORF, and 3'UTR into the pSD29
626   plasmid (Table S2) containing the GFP, HygB and *SAFE HAVEN* sequences. Around
627   2340 bp of *SGO1* ORF 315 bp of *SGO1* promoter, and 436 bp of 3'UTR were amplified
628   from the *SGO1* gene using *C. neoformans* (H99) genomic DNA. The K382A mutation
629   in the *SGO1* ORF was introduced using overlap PCR. The primers used are listed in
630   Table S3. The individual PCR fragments were gel purified. The purified fragments of
631   the *SGO1* promoter, *SGO1* ORF, and 3'UTR were cloned sequentially using SpeI,
632   BamHI, HpaI, NheI, and ApaI sites. The final clone was linearized using XcmI and
633   transformed into CNSD113. The transformants obtained were confirmed by PCR, and
634   Sanger sequencing was performed to confirm the presence of the *K382A* mutation.
635   The strain harbouring *GFP-sgo1-K382A* allele at *SAFE HAVEN* locus was transformed
636   with mCherry-CENP-A-NAT plasmid linearized using BglII and the positive
637   transformants were confirmed by microscopy.

638 ***h2a-T121A GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A* strain**

639   A 1503 bp fragment of H2A ORF and 3' UTR was amplified by PCR using *C.*
640   *neoformans* (H99) genomic DNA and the T121A mutation was introduced into the
641   1503 bp fragment using overlap PCR strategy. A second fragment containing a
642   Hygromycin marker was amplified from pSH7G. The homology region downstream of
643   H2A 3' UTR was amplified by PCR using *C. neoformans* (H99) genomic DNA. The
644   primers used for generating the construct are listed in Table S3. The three PCR
645   fragments were subsequently fused by overlap PCR. The final overlap product was
646   used to transform the CNSD125 strain using the biolistic transformation method, and
647   the transformants obtained were confirmed by PCR, and Sanger sequencing was
648   performed to confirm the presence of mutation within the gene.

649 ***bub1-kd 3xFLAG GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A* strain**

650   The 3xFLAG-tagged bub1-kd cassette was generated by cloning the 1200 bp
651   homology region of BUB1 ORF harboring point mutations into the pSH7G plasmid
652   containing the HYG sequences. The primers used for generating the clone are listed
653   in Table S3. The 1200 bp homology region was amplified from the *bub1-kd* allele using

654 genomic DNA obtained from the IL143 (*GFP-bub1-kd*) strain. The individual PCR
655 fragments were gel purified. The amplified regions were cloned using SpeI and KpnI
656 sites. The final clone was linearized using ApaI and transformed into CNSD125
657 background using the biolistic transformation method. The transformants obtained
658 were confirmed by PCR.

Thiabendazole sensitivity assay

For assaying sensitivity to thiabendazole, the $O.D_{600}$ values of overnight cultures of
wild-type and mutants used in this study were estimated, and serial dilutions starting
from 10^5 cells to 10^1 cells were spotted on YPD-agar, DMF (Dimethyl Formamide);
control, and YPD-agar plates with different concentrations of thiabendazole (2.0, 2.5,
3.0 and 4.0 µg/mL). The plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 to 48 h and imaged
using a Bio-Rad chemidoc imaging system.

Synchronization of *C. neoformans* by inducing physical hypoxia

For the synchronization of *C. neoformans* cells, we have used a protocol developed
by Ohkusu et al., (Ohkusu et al., 2004) which utilizes a two-step protocol for the
synchronization. *C. neoformans* cells, when grown in hypoxia conditions, result in
unbudded G2 arrest, and cells release synchronously once shifted to extensive
aeration conditions. Hypoxia synchrony is a two-step process. First, cells were grown
at moderate aeration to 4.0 $O.D_{600}$/mL in a 250 mL flask (Borosil Erlenmeyer flask with
a screw cap was used for this experiment) with 50 mL of 1% YPD at 100 rpm, 30 °C.
In the second step, the culture was diluted 1.5 times with fresh 1% YPD (final volume
of 125 mL) and then incubated further for 5 h. The second step induces physical
hypoxia, and at the end of the incubation, most cells get arrested at the unbudded G2
stage.

For the synchronization experiments, we used an overnight culture grown in 1% YPD
to inoculate the secondary culture at 0.1 $O.D_{600}$/mL in 1% YPD and grown to 1
$O.D_{600}$/mL at 180 rpm, 30°C. This log phase culture was used for hypoxia synchrony,
as mentioned above. The percentage of cells in the unbudded G2 stage was confirmed
using a microscope. The culture was diluted to 1 $O.D_{600}$/mL using fresh media,
transferred to a sterile falcon or conical flask with appropriate culture volumes, and
released under extensive aeration conditions (180 rpm, 30°C).

GFP-Bub1 and Aurora B[Ipl1]-3xGFP retention assay

To study the localization dynamics of GFP-Bub1 and Aur B[Ipl1]-3xGFP in response to nocodazole treatment, wild-type, and *sgo1Δ* mutants were subjected to hypoxia synchrony, and the cells were released into 1% YPD media containing 1 µg/mL nocodazole. Time points were collected every 40 minutes until 280 min. The cells collected were imaged using a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 widefield microscope. A circular ROI of 12*12 or 6*6 pixels was used to measure the signal intensities. The presence or absence of GFP-Bub1 signals was scored manually. The cells that show bright punctate localization of Bub1 above the cell background were considered positive for Bub1.

Imaging GFP-Sgo1 localization in *mCherry-CENP-A* and *TUB4-mCherry* strains

For imaging GFP-Sgo1 colocalization with CENP-A- and Tub4-mCherry tagged proteins, we synchronized cells using physical hypoxia. Cells were released into 1% YPD and collected every 10 min starting from 30 to 90 min. Cells were imaged using a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 widefield microscope.

Cell cycle synchronization using thiabendazole (TBZ)

In order to obtain metaphase cells, the overnight-grown culture of *Cryptococcus* was inoculated at 0.2 O.D$_{600}$/mL and grown to log phase. The log phase culture was treated with 10 µg/mL thiabendazole for 2 h. The cells arrested at G2/M were washed twice with 2% YPD and released into fresh pre-warmed 2% YPD by incubating at 30°C, 180 RPM for 2 min. The cells were harvested by spinning at 5000 RPM for 30 seconds, washed twice with sterile water, and imaged using a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 widefield microscope.

Line scan analysis of GFP-Sgo1 signal at metaphase stage.

For measuring the fluorescent intensity of Sgo1 at metaphase in wild-type and the *bub1-kd*, *h2a-T121A,* and *sgo1-K382A* strains, a line of 2-pixel thickness and a length of approximately 3.4 µm was drawn using a line tool available in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). A single Z-plane containing both SPB and spindle signals was considered for intensity measurement. The fluorescence intensity values along the line were measured using the plot profile function. The intensity values of the GFP signal

obtained from different cells were averaged and divided by the average background of the cells. The normalized intensity values were plotted using GraphPad Prism.

Budding index calculation

The proportion of unbudded, small-budded, and large-budded cells was calculated based on the budding index (diameter of daughter cell/diameter of mother cell). The diameters of both mother and daughter cells were calculated along the mother-daughter axis using the line tool in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Fluorescence microscopy

For widefield microscopy, Zeiss Axio Observer 7 equipped with 100x Plan Apochromat 1.4 NA objective, Colibri 7 LED light source, motorized XYZ stage and PCO.edge 4.2 sCMOS camera was used. Images were acquired at 2x2 or 4x4 binning. Zen blue edition (2.3) software was used for controlling the microscope components and acquisition. For imaging, cells grown in culture media were washed twice with autoclaved dH_2O and resuspended in water. The cell suspension was placed on microscope cover glass and imaged.

Time-lapse microfluidic assays

The utility of microfluidics for single-cell analysis in *Cryptococcus* was demonstrated previously in studies of aging (Orner et al., 2019). We used the Alcatras cell traps (Crane et al., 2014) incorporated into devices allowing for use with multiple strains (Granados et al., 2018, Granados et al., 2017). Devices were moulded in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) from a SU8-patterned wafer with an increased thickness of 7 µm to accommodate the larger size of *C neoformans* cells compared to *S cerevisiae* (manufactured by Micro-resist, Berlin, design available on request). Imaging chambers for individual strains were isolated by arrays of PDMS pillars separated by 2 µm gaps. This prevents the intermixing of strains while cells experience identical media conditions. Before use, the devices were filled with synthetic complete (SC) media, supplemented with 0.2 g/L glucose, and containing 0.05% w/v bovine serum albumin (Sigma) to reduce cell-cell and cell-PDMS adhesion. Cells pre-grown to logarithmic phase in the same media (lacking the BSA) were injected into the device. An EZ flow system (Fluigent) delivered media at 10 µl per minute to the flow chambers and performed the switch to media containing nocodazole after 5 hours. This media

also contained Cy5 dye to allow monitoring of the timing of the media switch. Image

stacks were captured at 2-minute intervals at 4 stage positions for each strain, using

a Nikon TiE epifluorescence microscope with a 60x oil-immersion objective (NA 1.4),

a Prime95b sCMOS camera (Teledyne Photometrics) and OptoLED illumination

(Cairn Research). Image stacks had 5 Z-sections, separated by 0.6 µm, captured

using a piezo lens positioning motor (Pi).

***Time-lapse* microfluidic assay image processing and analysis**

Time-lapse assays were performed as described (Leontiou et al., 2022). Cell outlines

were segmented using the baby algorithm (Pietsch et al., 2023). To quantify the

kinetochore retention time of GFP-Bub1 fluorescence, we create a projection of the

maximum values from all GFP sections, then divide the median fluorescence of the

brightest 5 pixels within each cell by the median fluorescence of the cell as a whole.

This ratio reliably measures protein aggregation at an organelle and has been

extensively used for quantification (Cai et al., 2008, Hao and O'Shea, 2011, Lin et al.,

2015). In the absence of nocodazole, cells with any outlier values for the ratio, defined

as values greater than 3 scaled median absolute deviations away from the median,

are removed. Cells present for fewer than 80% of recorded time points are also

removed. The ratios were normalized for each strain by dividing the mean value for

wild-type cells in the absence of nocodazole, measured in the same experiment. To

calculate the kinetochore retention times, a threshold of 1.36 (Figure 2F and G) and

1.11 (Supplementary Fig 4A and B) was applied to the ratio values at each time point

to determine the presence or absence of Bub1 at the kinetochore (Figure 2G and

Supplementary Fig 4B). Small gaps in localization (due to kinetochore signal dropping

briefly out of focus) were removed by applying a 1-dimensional morphological closing

to the thresholded image, with a 3-pixel (3 timepoint or 6 minutes) structuring element.

For the temporal heat maps (Figure 2F and Supplementary Fig 4A), the data for 30

cells present for the whole experiment are selected for inclusion from each strain

pseudo-randomly using the Matlab rand function. There were a small number of outlier

values within the heat maps, resulting from a fault in the LED control equipment. These

were removed by replacing any values in the 5th percentile of a cell trace with the

median value for all time points. The raw data and code used in data processing and

plotting are available on request.

Flow cytometry

5 mL of *C. neoformans* culture was grown overnight, and 2 $O.D_{600}$ cells were taken and washed with sterile dH2O and fixed in 1 mL of 70% ethanol overnight at 4°C. Fixed cells were centrifuged at 4000 rpm and washed with 1 mL NS buffer(10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$, and 7 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and resuspended in 200 μL of NS buffer added with 2 μg of RNase and incubated at 37°C for 3-4 h. Propidium Iodide (final concentration 12 μg/mL) was added to this mixture and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. 50 μL of the sample was suspended in 2 mL of 1x PBS (Phosphate buffered saline) solution, vortexed, and sonicated for 10 s at 30% Amp. 30,000 cells were analyzed by flow cytometry using FL2-A channel on (FACSAria III; BD Biosciences) at a rate of 500–2,000 events/s.

Western Blotting

For immunoblotting, whole cell lysates were prepared by harvesting 10 $O.D_{600}$ cells cultured using YPD. The cells were washed twice with sterile water and resuspended in 15% TCA (Trichloroacetic acid), and samples were frozen in a -20°C freezer. The cell suspension was thawed on ice and lysed using a mini-bead beater (Biospec product) with 1 min ON and 5 min OFF for three cycles at 3000 RPM. The cell lysate was centrifuged at 13000 RPM at 4°C for 10 min, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was resuspended in 2x sample buffer (0.1 M Tris HCl pH 6.8, 20% glycerol, 4% SDS, 715 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 0.2% bromophenol blue) and incubated for 5 min in a boiling water bath. The samples were cleared by spinning at 13000 RPM for 3 min, and the supernatant was loaded immediately onto an SDS-PAGE gel and separated. The proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad) using the wet transfer method with a transfer time of two and a half hours at 90 V. The transfer efficiency was estimated by staining the blot with Ponceau S solution. The membrane was blocked using 3% skimmed milk and 2% BSA dissolved 1x PBST (0.1% Tween-20) solution at room temperature for 60 minutes. Post blocking, the blot was incubated in 2% skimmed milk and 1% BSA dissolved in 1x PBST solution containing anti-GFP at 1:3000 dilution overnight at 4°C. The membrane was washed thrice for 10 min with 1x PBS solution containing 0.1% tween-20. The blot was incubated with an anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:10000 dilution in 2% skimmed milk and 1% BSA dissolved in

810   1x PBST solution) for 1 hour at room temperature. The membrane was washed thrice

811   for 10 min with 1x PBS + 0.1% tween-20, and the blot was rinsed in 1x PBS and was

812   developed using Bio-Rad clarity ECL solution and imaged using Bio-Rad Chemidoc

813   imaging system.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

The ChIP protocol was adapted from (de Jonge et al., 2020, Mitra et al., 2014). ChIP

was performed in metaphase-arrested cells by depleting Scc1. Overnight cultures of

CNSD185 strain grown in 2% YPG (permissive media) were washed twice with 2%

YPD and inoculated at 0.2 $O.D_{600}$/mL in 150 mL of 2% YPD (Non-permissive) media.

The cells were grown for 6 h at 30°C, 180 RPM. Post 6 h incubation, the extent of

metaphase arrest was assessed using microscopy, and the culture was fixed by

adding freshly prepared methanol-free formaldehyde at 3% final concentration and

incubated at 25°C, 100 RPM for 35 minutes. The fixed cells were quenched with 1.5

M Tris HCl pH 8.0 for 5 mins at 25°C, 100 RPM. The cells were pelleted and washed

with ice-cold water flash frozen using liquid Nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. The cells

were lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1% Triton-X, 140 mM NaCl,

0.1% Na-deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 1x Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma) with 10

cycles of bead beating using a mini-beadbeater (BioSpec product) at 3500 RPM for 2

mins ON and 5 mins OFF on ice.

The lysate was transferred to a chilled 15 mL falcon on ice, and the cross-linked

chromatin was fragmented using an ultrasonicator (Diagenode Bioruptor Pico) at high

frequency, 30 s ON, 30 s OFF mode for 50 cycles to achieve the desired 250-500 size

range. The sample was transferred to a chilled 2 mL tube, and centrifuged at 10000

RPM for 10 min at 4°C. The debris-free supernatant was carefully transferred to a

fresh 2 mL tube and incubated with 20 µL of control trap beads (Chromo Tek) at 11

RPM for 3 h at 4°C. After incubation, bead-free supernatant was recovered by

centrifugation at 500 RCF for 2 min, and GFP-trap and block beads were added to the

respective tubes. The tubes were incubated at 11 RPM rotation for 12 h at 4°C. After

incubation, the beads were recovered by centrifugation at 1000 RCF for 1 min at RT,

and extensive washes were performed at RT with intermittent agitation at 11 RPM for

5 min. First, the beads were washed with 1 mL lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5,

140 mM NaCl, 1% Triton-X 100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 2 mM PMSF), recovered

by centrifugation at 1000 RCF for 1 min at RT and then washed 2 times each with the

following buffers, with recovery at 1000 RCF for 1 min following each wash: (i) 20 mM

Tris HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton-X-100, 0.1% SDS. (ii) 20 mM

Tris HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton-X-100, 0.1% SDS. (iii) 20 mM

Tris HCl pH 8.0, 250 mM LiCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium-deoxycholate, pH

8.0. (iv) TE: 10 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA. The IP complex was recovered by

incubating the beads with 250 µL of elution buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM

EDTA, 1% SDS, 200 mM NaCl) at 65°C for 15 min with intermittent flicking. The

process was repeated with another 250 µL buffer and pooled to obtain a 500 µL IP

sample. The input volume was adjusted to 500 µL with an elution buffer. For de-

crosslinking, 20 µL of 5 N NaCl was added to each sample and incubated overnight at

65°C. Next day, 20 µL 1M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, and 10 µL 0.5 M EDTA pH 8.0 were added

to each tube and treated with Proteinase K (2 µL/sample) at 42°C for 1 h followed by

RNase treatment (5 µL of 10 mg/mL RNase A/sample) at 37°C for 1 h. The DNA was

extracted with an equal volume of Tris pH 8.0-saturated phenol:chloroform:isoamyl

alcohol (25:24:1) and precipitated (with 1 mL of 100% ethanol with 100 µL 3 M sodium

acetate pH 5.3) overnight at -80°C. The DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 14000

RPM for 30 min at 4°C, washed with chilled 70% ethanol, air-dried, and finally

resuspended in 20 µL TE pH 8.0. The ChIP-qPCR reactions were carried out in a total

10 µL volume using CEN and Non-CEN primers listed in Table S3.

Statistics and microscope image analysis

P-values were assessed by unpaired, two-tailed t-test or two-way ANOVA with Tukey's

multiple comparison test using GraphPad Prism 8.00 (GraphPad software). Error bars

represent the standard deviation (SD) or standard error of the mean (SEM) as

mentioned for each experiment. N for each experiment is mentioned in the figure

legends. Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012) was used to process and analyze

microscope images. Fluorescence intensity values of signals were quantified by

drawing a circular region of interest (ROI) of defined pixel size on the sum intensity Z-

projected images (Figure 2E, 3C and G) or on single Z-plane images (Figure 4C and

E). The intensity values were calculated by subtracting the product of mean

background fluorescence intensity and area of the ROI from integrated density, and

the resultant values were plotted using GraphPad Prism.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Sanyal laboratory for their support, discussion, and suggestions on the work. We thank A. A. Jeyaprakash, Institute of Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, UK, for the discussion and suggestions on the work. We thank I. Leontiou and T. Davies (University of Edinburgh) for *bub1-kd* strain construction. We thank the in-house flow cytometry facility at JNCASR and N. Nala for assistance with flow cytometric analysis. We thank V. Yadav, Duke University, USA, for the reagents provided for Tub4-mCherry strain construction. S.D.P. is a Research Associate supported by SERB funding by the Government of India. KB is a senior research fellow (SRF) supported by CSIR, Government of India. KD is senior research fellow (SRF) supported by JNCASR. KH was supported by the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2018-379); IC was supported by the Wellcome Trust-University of Edinburgh Institutional Strategic Support Fund, and we thank P. Swain (University of Edinburgh) for leading on this ISSF funding. KS is a JC Bose National Fellow (JCB/2020/000021) of the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India. Kaustuv Sanyal acknowledges financial support from the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India (IC-12025(22)/3/2023-ICD-DBT), SERB (CRG/2023/001077), and intramural funding from JNCASR.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Shugoshin is required for a robust spindle assembly checkpoint arrest.
(A) Schematic of the predicted motifs present in Sgo1 of *C. neoformans*. **(B)** A ten-fold serial dilution spotting assay to score for the sensitivity of strains CNVY108 (*SGO1 GFP-H4*), CNSD110 (*GFP-H4 sgo1Δ*), SHR741 (*GFP-H4 mad2Δ*), CNSD148 (*GFP-H4 sgo1Δ mad2Δ*) on plates containing the indicated concentrations of thiabendazole (TBZ). No drug represents DMF (dimethyl formamide) only. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 h. **(C)** *Left,* schematic of the assay to score for cell cycle arrest

phenotypes when treated with nocodazole. Cells treated with nocodazole for 120 and 240 min were scored for cell cycle arrest phenotypes. *Right,* bar graphs representing the proportion of large-budded cells in the indicated strains, N=3, n>100, cells counted in each experiment. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test was used to estimate the significance. ****$p<0.0001$, ***$p<0.001$, **$p=0.0019/0.0072$. Non-significant p-values were omitted from the graph. **(D)** *Left*, an outline of the assay to study the effect of nocodazole on the ploidy content of indicated strains using flow cytometry. *Right*, histograms depicting ploidy changes associated with nocodazole treatment.

Figure 2. Shugoshin ensures Bub1 is retained at kinetochores to maintain the spindle assembly checkpoint arrest. (A) Schematic of the SAC pathway and the evolutionarily conserved role of Sgo1 and Aurora B^{Ipl1} in detecting erroneous kinetochore-MT attachments. **(B)** An experimental workflow followed to determine the GFP-Bub1 localization dynamics under nocodazole-treated conditions. **(C)** Sub-cellular localization of GFP-Bub1 localization in IL102 (*SGO1*), IL143 (*bub1-kd*) and CNSD176 (*sgo1Δ*). For representation, cells treated with nocodazole for 120 and 280 min were shown. Scale bar, 5 μm. **(D)** Quantification of the proportion of large-budded cells with GFP-Bub1 signal in indicated strains treated with nocodazole. N=3, n>100 cells were analyzed, and the shaded regions above and below the line graphs represent error bands corresponding to SD. **(E)** Quantification of GFP-Bub1 fluorescence intensity values at 120 min post-nocodazole treatment. N=3, n>100 cells analyzed for each experiment. White circles represent the average intensity values of replicates. A mean of the average intensity values of replicates is represented as a black bar, and error bars represent SD. An unpaired t-test was used to test the significance, ** $p=0.0092$, ns =0.1131 **(F)** Microfluidics assays to determine the retention time of GFP-Bub1 at kinetochores in response to nocodazole treatment. Temporal heat maps of 30 randomly selected cells are shown. The heat map represents the changes in kinetochore localization signal of GFP-Bub1 over time. Each bright track on the *y*-axis of the heat map represents GFP-Bub1 signals from an individual cell (median of the brightest 5 pixels in each cell divided by the overall cell median brightness). The length of each bright track along the *x*-axis represents the time (min). The time of addition of nocodazole (2.5 μg/mL) is considered as 0 h. Images are taken every 2 min for 15 h. Assays were performed using strains IL102

(*GFP-BUB1*), IL143 (*GFP-bub1-kd*), CNSD176 (*GFP-BUB1 sgo1Δ*), and IL089 (*GFP-*
BUB1 mad1Δ) strains. **(G)** Bar graphs representing the quantitative analysis of the
GFP-Bub1 retention time at kinetochores obtained from microfluidics assays in the
above-indicated strains. n=30, error bars represent SEM.

Figure 3. Shugoshin-dependent Aurora B^{Ipl1} pool at kinetochores ensures
maintenance of Bub1 to prolong the checkpoint arrest. (A) Sub-cellular
localization of Aurora B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP localization in CNSD190 (*AURORA B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP*
mCherry-CENP-A SGO1) and CNSD196 (*AURORA B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP mCherry-CENP-A*
sgo1Δ) strains treated with nocodazole. For representation, cells treated with
nocodazole for 120 and 280 min were shown. Scale bar, 5µm. **(B)** Quantification of
the proportion of large-budded cells with Aurora B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP signals in the indicated
strains. N=3, n>100 cells were analyzed, and the shaded regions above and below
the line graph represent error bands corresponding to SD. **(C)** Quantification of Aurora
B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP fluorescence intensity values at 120 min post-nocodazole treatment. N=3,
n>58 cells for each experiment. White circles represent the average intensity values
of replicates. The mean of the average intensity values of replicates is represented as
a black bar. Error bars represent SD. An unpaired t-test was used to test the
significance, ** p= 0.0013. **(D)** Schematic to assess the response of Aurora B^{Ipl1}
(over)expression or depletion to nocodazole treatment. **(E)** Sub-cellular localization of
GFP-Bub1 localization in CNSD205 (*GAL7-3xFLAG-AURORA B^{IPL1} GFP-BUB1*)
Aurora B^{Ipl1} (over)expressed and repressed conditions treated with nocodazole. Cells
treated with nocodazole for 120 and 240 min are shown for representation. Scale bar,
5 µm. **(F)** Bar graphs representing the proportion of large-budded cells with or without
of GFP-Bub1 signals at 120 and 240 min of nocodazole treatment. N=3, n>100 cells
analyzed for each experiment. Error bars represent SD. **(G)** Quantification of GFP-
Bub1 fluorescence intensity values in Aurora B^{Ipl1} (over)expressed and repressed
conditions at 120 min of nocodazole treatment. White circles represent the average
intensity values of replicates. The mean of the average intensity values of the
replicates is represented as a black bar. Error bars represent SD. N=3, n> 79 cells
analyzed for each experiment. An unpaired t-test was used to test the significance,
*p=0.0439.

Figure 4. Sgo1-mediated Aurora B^{Ipl1} recruitment prevents excess PP1
phosphatase at the kinetochore. (A) The effect of *sgo1Δ* on maintaining a balance

between Aurora B^{Ipl1} and PP1 levels at kinetochore in *C. neoformans*. **(B)** Sub-cellular localization of CNKB003 (*GFP-PP1 mCherry-CENP-A SGO1*) and CNSD180 (*GFP-PP1 mCherry-CENP-A sgo1Δ*) cells treated with nocodzole (1 µg/mL) for 120 min. Yellow ovals highlight PP1-GFP signals. **(C)** Quantification of GFP-PP1 fluorescence signal intensity values in the indicated strains treated with nocodazole. N=3, n>100 cells were analyzed for each experiment. White circles represent the average GFP-PP1 signal intensities calculated for each experimental repeat. An unpaired t-test was used to determine statistical significance. **p= 0.0044. The mean of the average intensity values of replicates is represented as a black bar. Error bars represent SD. **(D)** Microscopic images of CNKB023 (*GFP-PP1 mCherry-CENP-A GAL7-3xFLAG AURORA B^{IPL1}*) Aurora B^{Ipl1} (over)expressed and repressed conditions treated with nocodazole (1 µg/mL) for 120 min. **(E)** Quantification of fluorescence intensity values of GFP-PP1 signals in conditions mentioned above. N=4, n=100 cells for each experiment. White circles represent the average intensity values of replicates. Error bars represent SD. The mean of the average intensity values plotted is represented as a black bar. Paired t-test was used to determine statistical significance. **p= 0.0069.

Figure 5. Kinetochore proximal association of Sgo1 in MT-depolymerizing conditions. (A-D) *Left,* microscopic images of GFP-Sgo1 localized with a kinetochore marker, CENP-A, and an SPB marker, Tub4, at different stages of the mitotic cell cycle, in nocodazole treated and Cdc20 depleted conditions. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(A)** CNSD125 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A*). **(B)** CNSD130 (*GFP-SGO1 TUB4-mCherry*). **(C)** Nocodazole (1 µg/mL) treated condition. *Top panel*, CNSD125. *Bottom panel*, CNSD130. **(D)** Cdc20 depletion condition, CNSD167 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A GAL7-3xFLAG-CDC20*). *Right*, line scan profiles of both GFP (Sgo1) and mCherry (CENP-A, Tub4) fluorescence signals. Distance plotted on the *x*-axis represents the length of the line drawn on the image to measure fluorescence intensity. Gray values on the *y*-axis represent the fluorescence signal intensities.

Figure 6. The kinase activity of Bub1 is dispensable for shugoshin-mediated maintenance of the spindle assembly checkpoint. (A) Schematic of the conserved pathway of shugoshin recruitment to kinetochores. **(B)** A ten-fold serial dilution spotting assay to score for the sensitivity of the CNSD110 (*sgo1Δ*), IL143 (*GFP-bub1-kd*), CNSD173 (*sgo1Δ GFP-bub1-kd*) double mutants and SHR866 (*mad2Δ*) to thiabendazole. **(C)** A ten-fold serial dilution spotting assay to score for the sensitivity

of the CNSD125 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A*), CNSD215 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A bub1-kd-3XFLAG*), CNSD219 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A h2a-T121A*), CNSD218 (*sgo1Δ GFP-sgo1-K382A-SH1 mCherry-CENP-A*), CNSD207 (*sgo1Δ GFP-SGO1-SH1*) and CNSD110 (*sgo1Δ*). **(D)** Microscopic images of GFP-Sgo1 localized with CENP-A at metaphase stage in wild-type and mutant backgrounds. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(E)** *Top,* Schematic showing the line scan analysis method adopted to score for the fluorescence intensity of GFP-Sgo1 along the spindle and SPBs. *Middle,* line scan profile of GFP-Sgo1 in the indicated strains synchronized using thiabendazole (10 µg/mL) treatment. *Bottom,* line scan profile of GFP-Sgo1 in the indicated strains synchronized using hypoxia. Distance on the *x*-axis represents the length of the line drawn on the image to measure fluorescence intensity. The *y*-axis represents the fluorescence signal intensity values. N=3, n>20 cells were analysed for each experiment. Each line graph represents mean fluorescence intensity values calculated from three experimental repeats and error bars represent SD. **(F)** *Top,* Schematic of the assay used to arrest cells at metaphase by depleting Scc1. *Below,* microscopic images of GFP-Sgo1 localized with CENP-A metaphase in Scc1 depleted conditions. **(G)** Fold enrichment of Sgo1 at kinetochores in metaphase arrested cell obtained by cross-linking chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) followed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). *CEN2* and *CEN5* represent centromeres 2 and 5, non-CEN region represents *LEU2* locus. N=3, and error bars represent SD.

Supplementary Figure legends

Supplementary Figure 1. *C. neoformans* **Sgo1, carrying a conserved coiled-coil domain and the SGO motif, is required to maintain SAC arrest in nocodazole-treated cells. (A)** The protein sequence of *C. neoformans* Sgo1. **(B)** Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of the CnSgo1 N-terminal coiled-coil and the C-terminal SGO motif with vertebrate and invertebrate species (Cn- *C. neoformans*; Sc-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; Sp- *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*; Hs- *Homo sapiens*; Mm- *Mus musculus*; Xl- *Xenopus laevis*; Dm- *Drosophila melanogaster*). Multiple sequence alignment of Sgo1 homologs was performed using Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011), and the alignment was formatted using Jalview 2 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). *Represent amino acid residues involved in PP2A binding. The dark blue circle represents the key residue (K382 in *C. neoformans*) required for Bub1-mediated

kinetochore proximal centromere localization of shugoshin. The teal blue colored box represents the coiled-coil domain. The light blue colored box represents the conserved basic SGO motif. **(C)** Microscopic images of GFP-H4 in CNVY108 (*SGO1 MAD2*), CNSD117 (*sgo1Δ*), SHR866 (*mad2Δ*), CNSD148 (*sgo1Δ mad2Δ*) treated with nocodazole (1 µg/mL) for 120- and 240-min. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(D)** Bar graphs representing the proportion of unbudded, small-budded, large-budded, and tri-budded cells. N=3, n>100 cells counted in each experiment. **(E)** Bar graphs representing the proportion of large-budded cells of indicated phenotypes, N=3, n>100 cells counted in each experiment.

Supplementary Figure 2. *sgo1Δ bgi1Δ* double mutant cells exhibit enhanced sensitivity to MT depolymerizing drug. A ten-fold serial dilution spotting assay to score for the sensitivity of the wild-type CNVY108 (*SGO1 BGI1 GFP-H4*), CNSD117 (*sgo1Δ GFP-H4)*, SHR741 (*mad2Δ GFP-H4*), SHR830 (*bgi1Δ GFP-H4*), CNSD148 (*sgo1Δ mad2Δ GFP-H4*) and CNSD163 (*sgo1Δ bgi1Δ GFP-H4*) to thiabendazole. No drug represents DMF (Dimethyl formamide) only. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 h.

Supplementary Figure 3. The proportion of large-budded cells scored for the presence or absence of GFP-Bub1 signal post nocodazole treatment. Bar graphs representing the proportion of large-budded cells obtained post nocodazole treatment in the indicated strains, N=3, n>100, cells counted in each experiment. Errors bars represent SD.

Supplementary Figure 4. *bub1-kd sgo1Δ* double mutant cells behave similar to single *sgo1Δ* mutant cells. (A) Microfluidics assay to determine the retention time of GFP-Bub1 at kinetochores in response to nocodazole treatment. Temporal heat maps of 30 randomly selected cells are shown. The heat map represents the changes in the kinetochore localization signal of GFP-Bub1 over time. Each bright track on the *y*-axis of the heat map represents GFP-Bub1 signals from an individual cell (median of the brightest 5 pixels in each cell divided by the overall cell median brightness). The length of each bright track along the *x*-axis represents the time (min). The time of addition of nocodazole (2.5 µg/mL) is considered as 0 hr. Images are taken every 2 min for 10 h. Assay was performed using IL102 (*GFP-BUB1*), IL143 (*GFP-bub1-kd*), CNSD176 (*GFP-BUB1 sgo1Δ*), and CNSD173 (*GFP-bub1-kd sgo1Δ*) strains. **(B)** Bar graphs

representing the quantitative analysis of GFP-Bub1 retention time at kinetochores
obtained from microfluidics assays in the above-indicated strains. n=24, error bars
represent SEM.

Supplementary Figure 5. Aurora B[Ipl1] localizes to the kinetochore (CENP-A)
during G2 and mitosis and exhibits spindle-like localization. (A) Microscopic
images of Aurora B[Ipl1]-3xGFP localized with a kinetochore protein mCherry-CENP-A
at G2, metaphase, and anaphase stages of the cell cycle. **(B)** Colocalization of Aurora
B[Ipl1]-3xGFP with mCherry-CENP-A when treated with nocodazole (1 μg/mL) for 120
min. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Supplementary Figure 6. The proportion of large-budded cells scored for the
presence or absence of Aurora B[Ipl1]-3xGFP signal post nocodazole treatment.
Bar graphs representing the proportion of large-budded cells obtained post
nocodazole treatment in the indicated strains, N=3, n>100, cells counted in each
experiment. Errors bars represent SD.

Supplementary Figure 7. Aurora B[Ipl1] mutants fail to arrest at metaphase in
response to nocodazole treatment. (A) Microscopic images of CNNV104 (*GFP-H4*
GAL7-AURORA B[IPL1]) grown in permissive and non-permissive media in the presence
and absence of nocodazole. Scale bar, 5 μm. Representative images of cells treated
with nocodazole and depleted of Aurora B[Ipl1] for 240 and 480 min were shown. **(B)**
Left, bar graphs representing the proportion of unbudded, small-budded, large-
budded, and tri-budded cells. *Right*, bar graphs representing the proportion of large-
budded cells of indicated phenotype, N=2, n>100 cells counted for each experiment.

Supplementary Figure 8. Sequence conservation of *C. neoformans* PP1. Multiple
sequence alignment of PP1 homolog of *C. neoformans* (CNAG_03706) with vertebrate
and invertebrate species (Cn- *C. neoformans*; Sc- *S. cerevisiae*; Sp- *S. pombe*; Ca-
Candida albicans; Hs- *H. sapiens*; Mm- *M. musculus*; Dm- *D. melanogaster*) was
performed using Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011) and the alignment was formatted
using Jalview 2 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). Highly conserved regions are shaded in
dark blue.

Supplementary Figure 9. Shugoshin responds to tensionless kinetochore-MT
attachments. (A) Pairwise sequence alignment of Scc1 homologs of *C. neoformans*
(CNAG_01023) and *S. cerevisiae* by Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011), and

formatted using Jalview 2 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). Highly conserved regions are shaded in dark blue. The two domains corresponding to N- and C-terminal Scc1 domains (E-values of 2.0e-31 and 2.2e-15, respectively) of CnScc1 are highlighted in violet and green boxes. **(B)** Schematic of the domains present in *C. neoformans* Scc1 protein. **(C)** Plate photographs of strains expressing Scc1 under the regulatable *GAL7* promoter grown in media containing glucose (non-permissive) and galactose (permissive). **(D)** Schematic to check the response of Scc1 repression in *SGO1* and *sgo1Δ* backgrounds. **(E)** Microscopic images of GFP-H4 tagged CNSD181 (*GAL7-3xFLAG-SCC1*) and CNSD182 (*sgo1Δ GAL7-3xFLAG-SCC1*) strains depleted of Scc1. Representative images of cells depleted of Scc1 for 4 and 6 h were shown. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(F)** Bar graphs representing the percentage of unbudded, small-budded, large-budded, and tri-budded cells scored. N=2, n>100 cells for each experiment, error bars represent SD.

Supplementary Figure 10. Conservation of histone H2A sequence in *C. neoformans* and expression levels of GFP-Sgo1 in various mutant strains. (A) Multiple sequence alignment of H2A homologs obtained from *C. neoformans* (Cn)*, S. cerevisiae* (Sc), *S. pombe* (Sp) and *H. sapiens* (Hs). The alignment was performed using Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011) and formatted using Jalview 2 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). The dark blue shaded regions represent highly conserved amino acid residues. Arrow indicates the conserved T121/S120 residue phosphorylated by Bub1. **(B)** Western blot showing the expression levels of GFP-Sgo1 at metaphase in the indicated strains. * Indicates non-specific band used as a loading control. Arrows indicate two bands of GFP-Sgo1. *sgo1Δ* lane represents no tag control.

Supplementary Figure 11. Schematic highlighting the sub-cellular localization of shugoshin reported in various species. *Left*, schematic highlighting the spatial positioning of SPB/centrosome and centromeres in yeasts and metazoans. *Right*, localization of shugoshin with respect to the kinetochore, MTOCs (centrosomes or SPBs), spindle MTs, and telomeres. Filled and open squares represent the presence or absence of shugoshin at the indicated sub-cellular locations. The data was compiled from reviews (Marston, 2015, Gutierrez-Caballero et al., 2012). [1,2] (Mohr et al., 2015, Wang et al., 2008), [3*] (Salic et al., 2004), this study has shown that the purified N-terminal region of shugoshin is capable of binding to MTs *in* vitro. [4] (Sane et al., 2021) and [5] (Tashiro et al., 2016).

 Table S1: List of strains

Strain	Genotype	Source
H99	*MATα* (wild-type)	(Perfect et al., 1993)
CNVY101	*MATa::mCherry-CENP-A-NEO (pLKB74)*	(Kozubowski et al., 2013)
CNVY108	*MATα::GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3)*	(Kozubowski et al., 2013)
SHR741	*MATα::GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3) MAD2::mad2-NEO*	(Sridhar et al., 2021)
SHR830	*MATα::GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3) BGI1::bgi1Δ-HygB*	(Sridhar et al., 2021)
IL143	*MATα::BUB1::bub1Δ-NAT HISp-GFP-bub1-kd-HYGB (chromosome 3, safe haven p37)*	(Leontiou et al., 2022)
IL102	*MATα::BUB1::bub1Δ-NAT HISp-GFP-bub1-HygB (chromosome 3, safe haven p37)*	(Leontiou et al., 2022)
IL089	*MATα::MAD1::mad1Δ HISp:GFP-Bub1: HygB (chromosome 3, safe haven p37)*	(Leontiou et al., 2022)
CNNV113	*MATα:: AURK B^{IPL1}:: AURK B^{IPL1}p- AURK B^{IPL1}-3xGFP-NEO*	(Varshney et al., 2019)
CNNV104	*MATα:GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3), AURK B^{IPL1}::GAL7p- AURK B^{IPL1}- HygB*	(Varshney et al., 2019)
CNSD110	*MATα::SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO*	This Study
CNSD117	*MATα::GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3) SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO*	This Study
CNSD125	*MATa::mCherry-CENP-A-NEO (pLKB74) SGO1::SGO1pr-GFP-SGO1-NAT (pSD10)*	This study
CNSD127	*MATα::SGO1::SGO1p-GFP-SGO1-NAT (pSD10)*	This study
CNSD130	*MATα::SGO1::SGO1p-GFP-SGO1-NAT (pSD10) TUB4::TUB4-mCherry-NEO*	This study
CNSD148	*MATα::GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3) MAD2::mad2-NEO SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO*	This study
CNSD163	*MATα::GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3) BGI1::bgi1Δ- HygB SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO*	This study
CNSD167	*MATa::mCherry-CENP-A-NEO (pLKB74) SGO1::SGO1pr-GFP-SGO1-NAT (pSD10) CDC20p::GAL7p-3xFLAG-CDC20-HYGB*	This study
CNSD173	*MATα::BUB1::bub1Δ-NAT HISp-GFP-bub1-kd-HygB (chromosome 3, safe haven p37) SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO*	This study

CNSD176	*MATα::BUB1::bub1Δ-NAT HISp-GFP-bub1-HygB (chromosome 3, safe haven p37) SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO*	This study
CNSD180	*MATa::mcherry-CENP-A-NEO PP1:: PP1p-GFP-PP1-NAT (pKB004) SGO1::sgo1Δ-HYGB*	This study
CNSD181	*MATα::GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3) SCC1p::GAL7p-3xFLAG-SCC1- HygB*	This study
CNSD182	*MATα::GFP-H4-NAT (pVY3) SCC1p::GAL7p-3xFLAG-SCC1- HygB SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO*	This study
CNSD183	*MATa::mCherry-CENP-A-NEO (pLKB74) SGO1::SGO1pr-GFP-SGO1-NAT (pSD10) SCC1p::GAL7p-3xFLAG-SCC1- HygB*	This study
CNSD190	*MATα::AURK B^{IPL1}:: AURK B^{IPL1}p- AURK B^{IPL1}-3xGFP-NEO mCherry-CENP-A- HygB (pLKB71)*	This study
CNSD196	*MATα:: AURK B^{IPL1}:: AURK B^{IPL1}p- AURK B^{IPL1}-3xGFP-NEO mCherry-CENP-A-HygB (pLKB71) SGO1::sgo1Δ-NAT*	This study
CNSD205	*MATα::BUB1::bub1Δ-NAT HISp-GFP-bub1-HygB (chromosome 3, safe haven p37) AURK B^{IPL1}::GAL7-3xFLAG-AUR B^{IPL1}-NEO*	This study
CNSD207	*MATα::SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO SGO1p-GFP-SGO1-HygB (pSD34) (SAFE HAVEN1, chrormosome1)*	This study
CNSD209	*MATα::SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO SGO1p-GFP-sgo1-K382A- HygB (pSD35) (SAFE HAVEN1, chrormosome1)*	This study
CNSD215	*MATa::mCherry-CENP-A-NEO (pLKB74) SGO1::SGO1pr-GFP-SGO1-NAT (pSD10) Bub1-kd-3xFLAG-HygB (pSD39)*	This study
CNSD218	*MATα::SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO SGO1p-GFP-sgo1-K382A- HygB (pSD35) (SAFE HAVEN1, chrormosome1)*	This study
CNSD219	*MATα::SGO1::sgo1Δ-NEO SGO1p-GFP-sgo1-K382A- HygB (pSD35) (SAFE HAVEN1, chrormosome1) mCherry-CENP-A-NAT (random integration) (pSD41)*	This study
CNKB003	*MATa::mcherry-CENP-A-NEO PP1:: PP1p-GFP-PP1-NAT (pKB004)*	This study
CNKB023	*MATa::mcherry-CENP-A-NEO PP1:: PP1p-GFP-PP1-NAT (pKB004) AURK B^{IPL1}::GAL7-3XFLAG-AURK B^{IPL1}-NEO*	This study

1135 **Table S2: List of plasmids**

Plasmid	Description	Source
pVY7	*H3p-GFP-NAT* from pCN19 cloned into pBSII using SacI-ApaI	(Yadav and Sanyal, 2018)
pSH7G	*HygB-GAL7-GFP* pBlueScriptII KS(-)	(Sridhar et al., 2021)
pLKB71	pXLI + *mCherry-CENP-A-HygB*	From Joseph Heitman, Duke University, US
pSD10	*H3p* of pVY7 replaced with *SGO1p* (SacI/NcoI) + *SGO1* homology region (BamHI)	This study
pSD29	*GAL7p of p*SH7G replaced with *SGO1p* (SpeI/BamHI)+*SGO1* 3'UTR (NheI/ApaI)+*SAFE HAVEN1* (ApaI)	This study
pSD34	*SGO1* allele (HpaI/NheI) cloned into pSD29	This study
pSD35	*sgo1-K382A* allele (HpaI/NheI) cloned into pSD29	This study
pSD39	*GAL7-GFP of pSH7G replaced with bub1-kd* homology region harbouring *K1011R* and *D1149N* (SpeI/KpnI)	This study
pSD41	pXLI + *mCherry-CENP-A-NAT*	This study
pKB004	*H3p* of pVY7 replaced with *PP1p* (SacI/NcoI) +*PP1* homology region (SpeI)	This study

 Table S3: List of primers

Primer number	Sequence 5'-3'	Purpose
SDP1	CCGATGAGCGTACACCACTTAC	*SGO1* deletion overlap primers
SDP2	CCAGCTCACATCCTCGCAGCTGCTACGAGATTGAGACGAATG	
SDP3	CATTCGTCTCAATCTCGTAGCAGCTGCGAGGATGTGAGCTGG	
SDP4	CTCCCAACTTCCCCGCTTTTGGTTTATCTGTATTAACACGGAAGAG	
SDP5	CTCTTCCGTGTTAATACAGATAAACCAAAAGCGGGGAAGTTGGGAG	
SDP6	ATCAGAACGCACGTCGCAC	
SDP7	CAGGCAGAGGATTGGGAAGTC	
SDP8	CCAGTTCCTCAAAGAGCTTGAC	*SGO1* deletion confirmation primer
SDP20	ACTGAGCTCACTATCTGCAAAATCGACTTG	*SGO1pr-GFP-SGO1* N-terminal tagging
SDP21	CATGCCATGGCCTGTATTTACGCCTGGAG	
SDP22	CGCGGATCCATGTCCCAGAGGCAAGGCAG	
SDP23	CGCGGATCCGTTTCATCTCGACCACAGGTC	
SDP24	GGCACAAGCTGGAGTACAAC	Universal forward primer for tagging confirmation
SDP25	CTTCAGGTTCAGCATTGTCTG	
SDP27	ACTGGGCCCACACGATGTTTTCCATCAG	*SAFE HAVEN1* cloning
SDP28	ACTGGGCCCGGTCTCACAATCATCAACATC	
SDP54	AACAGTGATGGCAGCGTC	*TUB4-mCherry* C-terminal tagging overlap
SDP55	CGCATCCAACGTCATCCAAG	
VYP360	CTTGTGAACAATGCTGATAGTGTGG	
VYP361	CTCGCCCTTGCTCACCATCTCCCCCCCAAAATCGATATAATCC	
VYP362	GGATTATATCGATTTTGGGGGGGAGATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAG	
VYP363	CGAAGTGCGTACTTGAAAGAAGTCCAAGCTTGGTACCGAGCTC	
VYP364	GAGCTCGGTACCAAGCTTGGACTTCTTTCAAGTACGCACTTCG	
VYP365	TGATGATCTCCAAGCTCTTCAC	
SDP108	TTGTTGTTACCATCATCCTCTC	Universal confirmation Reverse primer for deletion cassettes
SDP110	AGATCGACAACATGCGACC	

SDP111	CTGCAGATATCCATCACACTGGGAAGGGACCGTTTTTGACTAAC	*GAL7-3xFLAG-Cdc20* tagging overlap
SDP112	GTTAGTCAAAAACGGTCCCTTCCCAGTGTGATGGATATCTGCAG	
SDP113	ATGGTCTTTGTAGTCCATCATTCTCAGGAGAGAATTGAGTG	
SDP114	ATGGACTACAAAGACCATGACGGTGATTATAAAGATC ATGACATCGACTACAAGGATGACGATGACAAGCTTGGAGA ACATACATCTGGC	
SDP115	CCGTGCCAACTCATGACC	
SDP116	GGATGTCGGCAATGAATTCAG	*GAL7-3xFLAG-Cdc20* integration confirmation
SDP162	AGCCTCATCCGACTTCACAG	*GAL7-3xFLAG-SCC1* tagging overlap
SDP163	GCAGATATCCATCACACTGGCCAACACGTACACGTCAACC	
SDP164	GGTTGACGTGTACGTGTTGGCCAGTGTGATGGATATCTGC	
SDP165	CACCGTCATGGTCTTTGTAGTCCATTCTCAGGAGAGAATTG AGTGC	
SDP166	ATGGACTACAAAGACCATGACGGTGATTATAAAGATCATGA CATCGACTACAAGGATGACGATGACAAGATCTTGAACGAAC TGATCAAGTC	
SDP167	CCATCTCGACATCATAGTCGTC	
SDP168	CCTTACGACCACAACCAGC	*GAL7-3xFLAG-SCC1* integration confirmation
SDP191	TATGACCTAGAACTGACAGACTCG	*GAL7-3xFLAG-AURK B^{IPL1}* *tagging overlap*
SDP192	GCAGATATCCATCACACTGGGGAGGCCAAATCTGGAGG	
SDP193	CCTCCAGATTTGGCCTCCCCAGTGTGATGGATATCTGC	
SDP195	ATGGACTACAAAGACCATGACGGTGATTATAAAGATCATGACATCGACTACAAGGAT GACGATGACAAGTCCTCGCAGAATGTTTCTGG	

SDP196	CTCGTCAAATCTGCCTAATCTGG	
SDP197	GTCCTGTAGAAGTTGAATTGC	*GAL7-3xFLAG-AURK B^{IPL1}* integration confirmation
SDP170	TTCGTCGACAACGCTAGCTAATGGCGACGATAATGATG	*SGO1* 3'UTR cloning for complementation
SDP171	TATGGGCCCTGCACTTGCGATAGATATCG	
SDP172	ACTGTTAACATGTCCCAGAGGCAAGGC	*SGO1* gene amplification for sgo1 deletion complementation
SDP173	GTTGCTAGCTTACTGCAAGACTCCAACGGC	
SDP198	CCCATCAGGTTTCCGCATGGCTCTTTCGAAATTATCAGCTAACG	*sgo1-K382A* allele amplification for sgo1 deletion complementation
SDP199	CGTTAGCTGATAATTTCGAAAGAGCCATGCGGAAACCTGATGGG	
SDP201	CTGAAGGAACTGGAAGAGG	*sgo1-K382A* sequencing primer
SDP212	GTGACTAGTAGGCGCTCTTCTGTCGAG	
SDP213	TCAGGTACCCTACTTGTCATCGTCATCCTTGTAGTCGATGTCATGATCTTTATAATCACCGTCATGGTCTTTGTAGTCAAGCTTCCTTGAAGTCGTAG	*bub1-kd* homology cloning primers
SDP214	ATGTCTTCCGGTGGCAAAGGC	*h2a-T121A* overlap cassette primers
SDP215	GCCTTGGCCTTGCCCTTAGCCTATGATATACATCAGCTGCTGATC	
SDP216	GATCAGCAGCTGATGTATATCATAGGCTAAGGGCAAGGCCAAGGC	
SDP217	GATATCCATCACACTGGCGCATCTCCTACTCATCGGCCATCAG	
SDP218	CTGATGGCCGATGAGTAGGAGATGCGCCAGTGTGATGGATATC	
SDP219	GGTTCATCAACAATTGTAATTCTCGGTTTATCTGTATTAACAC	
SDP220	GTGTTAATACAGATAAACCGAGAATTACAATTGTTGATGAACC	
SDP221	GATCTCCTCTGTGTCGGACATG	
SDP222	CCATCCAACAAGTCTTTCC	*h2a-T121A* sequencing and confirmation primer
SDP223	CTCCACACAAGAGACTCC	

SDP224	CAGCTATGACCATGATTACG	*bub1-kd-3xFLAG* confirmation primers
KBP001	ACGGAGCTCGACGTCGTACAAATGCATAC	*GFP-PP1* N-terminal tagging
KBP002	GGCCCATGGTTTATACGAAGTACGTTATATGTG	*GFP-PP1* N-terminal tagging
KBP003	TATACTAGTATGGGAGAACAGCCTGAAATTG	
KBP005	ATCACTAGTCAATAAACAAGCTCACTTGGAAGG	
KBP006	GCCGCCGTATCCACCGTATTTC	
VYP51	TTGAATCCTGCAGATCACAC	*CEN5* qPCR primers
VYP52	CAGCACAGTCAGTTTCATG	
VYP67	CAGACCCTTCCTTCAGCCG	*CEN2* qPCR primers
VYP68	TGGCAAGGAGTCGTCAGCG	

References

AKTAR, K., DAVIES, T., LEONTIOU, I., CLARK, I., SPANOS, C., WALLACE, E., TUCK, L., JEYAPRAKASH, A. A.
& HARDWICK, K. G. 2024. Conserved signalling functions for Mps1, Mad1 and Mad2 in the
Cryptococcus neoformans spindle checkpoint. *PLoS Genet,* 20**,** e1011302.

ARRAS, S. D., CHITTY, J. L., BLAKE, K. L., SCHULZ, B. L. & FRASER, J. A. 2015. A genomic safe haven for
mutant complementation in Cryptococcus neoformans. *PLoS One,* 10**,** e0122916.

AUDETT, M. R., JOHNSON, E. L., MCGORY, J. M., BARCELOS, D. M., SZALAI, E. O., PRZEWLOKA, M. R. &
MARESCA, T. J. 2022. The microtubule- and PP1-binding activities of Drosophila melanogaster
Spc105 control the kinetics of SAC satisfaction. *Mol Biol Cell,* 33**,** ar1.

BIGGINS, S. & MURRAY, A. W. 2001. The budding yeast protein kinase Ipl1/Aurora allows the absence
of tension to activate the spindle checkpoint. *Genes Dev,* 15**,** 3118-29.

BOYARCHUK, Y., SALIC, A., DASSO, M. & ARNAOUTOV, A. 2007. Bub1 is essential for assembly of the
functional inner centromere. *J Cell Biol,* 176**,** 919-28.

CAI, L., DALAL, C. K. & ELOWITZ, M. B. 2008. Frequency-modulated nuclear localization bursts
coordinate gene regulation. *Nature,* 455**,** 485-90.

CHEESEMAN, I. M., CHAPPIE, J. S., WILSON-KUBALEK, E. M. & DESAI, A. 2006. The conserved KMN
network constitutes the core microtubule-binding site of the kinetochore. *Cell,* 127**,** 983-97.

CIFERRI, C., PASQUALATO, S., SCREPANTI, E., VARETTI, G., SANTAGUIDA, S., DOS REIS, G., MAIOLICA,
A., POLKA, J., DE LUCA, J. G., DE WULF, P., SALEK, M., RAPPSILBER, J., MOORES, C. A., SALMON,
E. D. & MUSACCHIO, A. 2008. Implications for kinetochore-microtubule attachment from the
structure of an engineered Ndc80 complex. *Cell,* 133**,** 427-39.

COHEN-FIX, O., PETERS, J. M., KIRSCHNER, M. W. & KOSHLAND, D. 1996. Anaphase initiation in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae is controlled by the APC-dependent degradation of the anaphase
inhibitor Pds1p. *Genes Dev,* 10**,** 3081-93.

CRANE, M. M., CLARK, I. B., BAKKER, E., SMITH, S. & SWAIN, P. S. 2014. A microfluidic system for
studying ageing and dynamic single-cell responses in budding yeast. *PLoS One,* 9**,** e100042.

DAVIDSON, R. C., CRUZ, M. C., SIA, R. A., ALLEN, B., ALSPAUGH, J. A. & HEITMAN, J. 2000. Gene
disruption by biolistic transformation in serotype D strains of Cryptococcus neoformans.
Fungal Genet Biol, 29**,** 38-48.

DE JONGE, W. J., BROK, M., KEMMEREN, P. & HOLSTEGE, F. C. P. 2020. An Optimized Chromatin
Immunoprecipitation Protocol for Quantification of Protein-DNA Interactions. *STAR Protoc,* 1**,**
100020.

ESPEUT, J., CHEERAMBATHUR, D. K., KRENNING, L., OEGEMA, K. & DESAI, A. 2012. Microtubule binding
by KNL-1 contributes to spindle checkpoint silencing at the kinetochore. *J Cell Biol,* 196**,** 469-
82.

FERNIUS, J. & HARDWICK, K. G. 2007. Bub1 kinase targets Sgo1 to ensure efficient chromosome
biorientation in budding yeast mitosis. *PLoS Genet,* 3**,** e213.

GRANADOS, A. A., CRANE, M. M., MONTANO-GUTIERREZ, L. F., TANAKA, R. J., VOLIOTIS, M. & SWAIN,
1175   P. S. 2017. Distributing tasks via multiple input pathways increases cellular survival in stress.
1176   *Elife,* 6.

1177   GRANADOS, A. A., PIETSCH, J. M. J., CEPEDA-HUMEREZ, S. A., FARQUHAR, I. L., TKACIK, G. & SWAIN, P.
1178   S. 2018. Distributed and dynamic intracellular organization of extracellular information. *Proc*
1179   *Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 115**,** 6088-6093.

1180   GUACCI, V., KOSHLAND, D. & STRUNNIKOV, A. 1997. A direct link between sister chromatid cohesion
1181   and chromosome condensation revealed through the analysis of MCD1 in S. cerevisiae. *Cell,*
1182   91**,** 47-57.

1183   GUTIERREZ-CABALLERO, C., CEBOLLERO, L. R. & PENDAS, A. M. 2012. Shugoshins: from protectors of
1184   cohesion to versatile adaptors at the centromere. *Trends Genet,* 28**,** 351-60.

1185   HAO, N. & O'SHEA, E. K. 2011. Signal-dependent dynamics of transcription factor translocation
1186   controls gene expression. *Nat Struct Mol Biol,* 19**,** 31-9.

1187   HARDWICK, K. G., JOHNSTON, R. C., SMITH, D. L. & MURRAY, A. W. 2000. MAD3 encodes a novel
1188   component of the spindle checkpoint which interacts with Bub3p, Cdc20p, and Mad2p. *J Cell*
1189   *Biol,* 148**,** 871-82.

1190   HAUF, S., COLE, R. W., LATERRA, S., ZIMMER, C., SCHNAPP, G., WALTER, R., HECKEL, A., VAN MEEL, J.,
1191   RIEDER, C. L. & PETERS, J. M. 2003. The small molecule Hesperadin reveals a role for Aurora B
1192   in correcting kinetochore-microtubule attachment and in maintaining the spindle assembly
1193   checkpoint. *J Cell Biol,* 161**,** 281-94.

1194   HEITMAN, J. 2011. Microbial Pathogens in the Fungal Kingdom. *Fungal Biol Rev,* 25**,** 48-60.

1195   HINDRIKSEN, S., LENS, S. M. A. & HADDERS, M. A. 2017. The Ins and Outs of Aurora B Inner Centromere
1196   Localization. *Front Cell Dev Biol,* 5**,** 112.

1197   HWANG, L. H., LAU, L. F., SMITH, D. L., MISTROT, C. A., HARDWICK, K. G., HWANG, E. S., AMON, A. &
1198   MURRAY, A. W. 1998. Budding yeast Cdc20: a target of the spindle checkpoint. *Science,* 279**,**
1199   1041-4.

1200   INDJEIAN, V. B., STERN, B. M. & MURRAY, A. W. 2005. The centromeric protein Sgo1 is required to
1201   sense lack of tension on mitotic chromosomes. *Science,* 307**,** 130-3.

1202   KALLIO, M. J., MCCLELAND, M. L., STUKENBERG, P. T. & GORBSKY, G. J. 2002. Inhibition of aurora B
1203   kinase blocks chromosome segregation, overrides the spindle checkpoint, and perturbs
1204   microtubule dynamics in mitosis. *Curr Biol,* 12**,** 900-5.

1205   KAWASHIMA, S. A., YAMAGISHI, Y., HONDA, T., ISHIGURO, K. & WATANABE, Y. 2010. Phosphorylation
1206   of H2A by Bub1 prevents chromosomal instability through localizing shugoshin. *Science,* 327**,**
1207   172-7.

1208   KIBURZ, B. M., REYNOLDS, D. B., MEGEE, P. C., MARSTON, A. L., LEE, B. H., LEE, T. I., LEVINE, S. S.,
1209   YOUNG, R. A. & AMON, A. 2005. The core centromere and Sgo1 establish a 50-kb cohesin-
1210   protected domain around centromeres during meiosis I. *Genes Dev,* 19**,** 3017-30.

1211   KING, R. W., DESHAIES, R. J., PETERS, J. M. & KIRSCHNER, M. W. 1996. How proteolysis drives the cell
1212   cycle. *Science,* 274**,** 1652-9.

KITAJIMA, T. S., KAWASHIMA, S. A. & WATANABE, Y. 2004. The conserved kinetochore protein
shugoshin protects centromeric cohesion during meiosis. *Nature,* 427**,** 510-7.

KOZUBOWSKI, L., YADAV, V., CHATTERJEE, G., SRIDHAR, S., YAMAGUCHI, M., KAWAMOTO, S., BOSE,
I., HEITMAN, J. & SANYAL, K. 2013. Ordered kinetochore assembly in the human-pathogenic
basidiomycetous yeast Cryptococcus neoformans. *mBio,* 4**,** e00614-13.

KRONSTAD, J. W., ATTARIAN, R., CADIEUX, B., CHOI, J., D'SOUZA, C. A., GRIFFITHS, E. J., GEDDES, J. M.,
HU, G., JUNG, W. H., KRETSCHMER, M., SAIKIA, S. & WANG, J. 2011. Expanding fungal
pathogenesis: Cryptococcus breaks out of the opportunistic box. *Nat Rev Microbiol,* 9**,** 193-
203.

LARA-GONZALEZ, P., PINES, J. & DESAI, A. 2021. Spindle assembly checkpoint activation and silencing
at kinetochores. *Semin Cell Dev Biol,* 117**,** 86-98.

LEONTIOU, I., DAVIES, T., CLARK, I., AKTAR, K., SURESH, A. P., ABAD, M. A., SPANOS, C., LEE, K.-T.,
BAHN, Y.-S., JEYAPRAKASH, A. A. & HARDWICK, K. G. 2022. Bub1 kinase acts as a signalling hub
for the entire Cryptococcus neoformans spindle assembly checkpoint pathway. *bioRxiv***,**
2022.09.21.508923.

LESAGE, B., QIAN, J. & BOLLEN, M. 2011. Spindle checkpoint silencing: PP1 tips the balance. *Curr Biol,*
21**,** R898-903.

LIN, Y., SOHN, C. H., DALAL, C. K., CAI, L. & ELOWITZ, M. B. 2015. Combinatorial gene regulation by
modulation of relative pulse timing. *Nature,* 527**,** 54-8.

LIU, D., VLEUGEL, M., BACKER, C. B., HORI, T., FUKAGAWA, T., CHEESEMAN, I. M. & LAMPSON, M. A.
2010. Regulated targeting of protein phosphatase 1 to the outer kinetochore by KNL1 opposes
Aurora B kinase. *J Cell Biol,* 188**,** 809-20.

LIU, H., JIA, L. & YU, H. 2013. Phospho-H2A and cohesin specify distinct tension-regulated Sgo1 pools
at kinetochores and inner centromeres. *Curr Biol,* 23**,** 1927-33.

LUO, J., DENG, X., BUEHL, C., XU, X. & KUO, M. H. 2016. Identification of Tension Sensing Motif of
Histone H3 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Its Regulation by Histone Modifying Enzymes.
Genetics, 204**,** 1029-1043.

LUO, J., XU, X., HALL, H., HYLAND, E. M., BOEKE, J. D., HAZBUN, T. & KUO, M. H. 2010. Histone h3 exerts
a key function in mitotic checkpoint control. *Mol Cell Biol,* 30**,** 537-49.

MARSTON, A. L. 2015. Shugoshins: tension-sensitive pericentromeric adaptors safeguarding
chromosome segregation. *Mol Cell Biol,* 35**,** 634-48.

MEADOWS, J. C., SHEPPERD, L. A., VANOOSTHUYSE, V., LANCASTER, T. C., SOCHAJ, A. M., BUTTRICK,
G. J., HARDWICK, K. G. & MILLAR, J. B. 2011. Spindle checkpoint silencing requires association
of PP1 to both Spc7 and kinesin-8 motors. *Dev Cell,* 20**,** 739-50.

MICHAELIS, C., CIOSK, R. & NASMYTH, K. 1997. Cohesins: chromosomal proteins that prevent
premature separation of sister chromatids. *Cell,* 91**,** 35-45.

MISHRA, P. K., THAPA, K. S., CHEN, P., WANG, S., HAZBUN, T. R. & BASRAI, M. A. 2018. Budding yeast
CENP-A(Cse4) interacts with the N-terminus of Sgo1 and regulates its association with
centromeric chromatin. *Cell Cycle,* 17**,** 11-23.

MITRA, S., GOMEZ-RAJA, J., LARRIBA, G., DUBEY, D. D. & SANYAL, K. 2014. Rad51-Rad52 mediated
1253   maintenance of centromeric chromatin in Candida albicans. *PLoS Genet,* 10**,** e1004344.

1254   MOHR, L., BUHEITEL, J., SCHOCKEL, L., KARALUS, D., MAYER, B. & STEMMANN, O. 2015. An
1255   Alternatively Spliced Bifunctional Localization Signal Reprograms Human Shugoshin 1 to
1256   Protect Centrosomal Instead of Centromeric Cohesin. *Cell Rep,* 12**,** 2156-68.

1257   MUSACCHIO, A. 2015. The Molecular Biology of Spindle Assembly Checkpoint Signaling Dynamics. *Curr
1258   Biol,* 25**,** R1002-18.

1259   MUSACCHIO, A. & DESAI, A. 2017. A Molecular View of Kinetochore Assembly and Function. *Biology
1260   (Basel),* 6.

1261   MUSACCHIO, A. & SALMON, E. D. 2007. The spindle-assembly checkpoint in space and time. *Nat Rev
1262   Mol Cell Biol,* 8**,** 379-93.

1263   NG, T. M., LENSTRA, T. L., DUGGAN, N., JIANG, S., CETO, S., HOLSTEGE, F. C., DAI, J., BOEKE, J. D. &
1264   BIGGINS, S. 2013. Kinetochore function and chromosome segregation rely on critical residues
1265   in histones H3 and H4 in budding yeast. *Genetics,* 195**,** 795-807.

1266   NIJENHUIS, W., VALLARDI, G., TEIXEIRA, A., KOPS, G. J. & SAURIN, A. T. 2014. Negative feedback at
1267   kinetochores underlies a responsive spindle checkpoint signal. *Nat Cell Biol,* 16**,** 1257-64.

1268   NOGUEIRA, C., KASHEVSKY, H., PINTO, B., CLARKE, A. & ORR-WEAVER, T. L. 2014. Regulation of
1269   centromere localization of the Drosophila Shugoshin MEI-S332 and sister-chromatid cohesion
1270   in meiosis. *G3 (Bethesda),* 4**,** 1849-58.

1271   OHKUSU, M., RACLAVSKY, V. & TAKEO, K. 2004. Induced synchrony in Cryptococcus neoformans after
1272   release from G2-arrest. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek,* 85**,** 37-44.

1273   ORNER, E. P., ZHANG, P., JO, M. C., BHATTACHARYA, S., QIN, L. & FRIES, B. C. 2019. High-Throughput
1274   Yeast Aging Analysis for Cryptococcus (HYAAC) microfluidic device streamlines aging studies
1275   in Cryptococcus neoformans. *Commun Biol,* 2**,** 256.

1276   PEPLOWSKA, K., WALLEK, A. U. & STORCHOVA, Z. 2014. Sgo1 regulates both condensin and
1277   Ipl1/Aurora B to promote chromosome biorientation. *PLoS Genet,* 10**,** e1004411.

1278   PERFECT, J. R., KETABCHI, N., COX, G. M., INGRAM, C. W. & BEISER, C. L. 1993. Karyotyping of
1279   Cryptococcus neoformans as an epidemiological tool. *J Clin Microbiol,* 31**,** 3305-9.

1280   PETERSEN, J. & HAGAN, I. M. 2003. S. pombe aurora kinase/survivin is required for chromosome
1281   condensation and the spindle checkpoint attachment response. *Curr Biol,* 13**,** 590-7.

1282   PIETSCH, J. M. J., MUNOZ, A. F., ADJAVON, D. A., FARQUHAR, I., CLARK, I. B. N. & SWAIN, P. S. 2023.
1283   Determining growth rates from bright-field images of budding cells through identifying
1284   overlaps. *Elife,* 12.

1285   PINSKY, B. A., KUNG, C., SHOKAT, K. M. & BIGGINS, S. 2006. The Ipl1-Aurora protein kinase activates
1286   the spindle checkpoint by creating unattached kinetochores. *Nat Cell Biol,* 8**,** 78-83.

1287   PINSKY, B. A., NELSON, C. R. & BIGGINS, S. 2009. Protein phosphatase 1 regulates exit from the spindle
1288   checkpoint in budding yeast. *Curr Biol,* 19**,** 1182-7.

RESNICK, T. D., SATINOVER, D. L., MACISAAC, F., STUKENBERG, P. T., EARNSHAW, W. C., ORR-WEAVER,
T. L. & CARMENA, M. 2006. INCENP and Aurora B promote meiotic sister chromatid cohesion
through localization of the Shugoshin MEI-S332 in Drosophila. *Dev Cell,* 11**,** 57-68.

ROSENBERG, J. S., CROSS, F. R. & FUNABIKI, H. 2011. KNL1/Spc105 recruits PP1 to silence the spindle
assembly checkpoint. *Curr Biol,* 21**,** 942-7.

ROY, B., HAN, S. J. Y., FONTAN, A. N., JEMA, S. & JOGLEKAR, A. P. 2022. Aurora B phosphorylates Bub1
to promote spindle assembly checkpoint signaling. *Curr Biol,* 32**,** 237-247 e6.

ROY, B., VERMA, V., SIM, J., FONTAN, A. & JOGLEKAR, A. P. 2019. Delineating the contribution of
Spc105-bound PP1 to spindle checkpoint silencing and kinetochore microtubule attachment
regulation. *J Cell Biol,* 218**,** 3926-3942.

SALIC, A., WATERS, J. C. & MITCHISON, T. J. 2004. Vertebrate shugoshin links sister centromere
cohesion and kinetochore microtubule stability in mitosis. *Cell,* 118**,** 567-78.

SANE, A., SRIDHAR, S., SANYAL, K. & GHOSH, S. K. 2021. Shugoshin ensures maintenance of the spindle
assembly checkpoint response and efficient spindle disassembly. *Mol Microbiol,* 116**,** 1079-
1098.

SANTAGUIDA, S., VERNIERI, C., VILLA, F., CILIBERTO, A. & MUSACCHIO, A. 2011. Evidence that Aurora
B is implicated in spindle checkpoint signalling independently of error correction. *EMBO J,* 30**,**
1508-19.

SAURIN, A. T., VAN DER WAAL, M. S., MEDEMA, R. H., LENS, S. M. & KOPS, G. J. 2011. Aurora B
potentiates Mps1 activation to ensure rapid checkpoint establishment at the onset of mitosis.
Nat Commun, 2**,** 316.

SCHINDELIN, J., ARGANDA-CARRERAS, I., FRISE, E., KAYNIG, V., LONGAIR, M., PIETZSCH, T., PREIBISCH,
S., RUEDEN, C., SAALFELD, S., SCHMID, B., TINEVEZ, J. Y., WHITE, D. J., HARTENSTEIN, V.,
ELICEIRI, K., TOMANCAK, P. & CARDONA, A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-
image analysis. *Nat Methods,* 9**,** 676-82.

SIEVERS, F., WILM, A., DINEEN, D., GIBSON, T. J., KARPLUS, K., LI, W., LOPEZ, R., MCWILLIAM, H.,
REMMERT, M., SODING, J., THOMPSON, J. D. & HIGGINS, D. G. 2011. Fast, scalable generation
of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol,* 7**,**
539.

SIONOV, E., LEE, H., CHANG, Y. C. & KWON-CHUNG, K. J. 2010. Cryptococcus neoformans overcomes
stress of azole drugs by formation of disomy in specific multiple chromosomes. *PLoS Pathog,*
6**,** e1000848.

SRIDHAR, S., HORI, T., NAKAGAWA, R., FUKAGAWA, T. & SANYAL, K. 2021. Bridgin connects the outer
kinetochore to centromeric chromatin. *Nat Commun,* 12**,** 146.

SUDAKIN, V., CHAN, G. K. & YEN, T. J. 2001. Checkpoint inhibition of the APC/C in HeLa cells is mediated
by a complex of BUBR1, BUB3, CDC20, and MAD2. *J Cell Biol,* 154**,** 925-36.

SUTRADHAR, S., YADAV, V., SRIDHAR, S., SREEKUMAR, L., BHATTACHARYYA, D., GHOSH, S. K., PAUL,
R. & SANYAL, K. 2015. A comprehensive model to predict mitotic division in budding yeasts.
Mol Biol Cell, 26**,** 3954-65.

TANAKA, T. U., RACHIDI, N., JANKE, C., PEREIRA, G., GALOVA, M., SCHIEBEL, E., STARK, M. J. &
NASMYTH, K. 2002. Evidence that the Ipl1-Sli15 (Aurora kinase-INCENP) complex promotes
chromosome bi-orientation by altering kinetochore-spindle pole connections. *Cell,* 108**,** 317-
29.

TASHIRO, S., HANDA, T., MATSUDA, A., BAN, T., TAKIGAWA, T., MIYASATO, K., ISHII, K., KUGOU, K.,
OHTA, K., HIRAOKA, Y., MASUKATA, H. & KANOH, J. 2016. Shugoshin forms a specialized
chromatin domain at subtelomeres that regulates transcription and replication timing. *Nat
Commun,* 7**,** 10393.

TATCHELL, K., MAKRANTONI, V., STARK, M. J. & ROBINSON, L. C. 2011. Temperature-sensitive ipl1-
2/Aurora B mutation is suppressed by mutations in TOR complex 1 via the Glc7/PP1
phosphatase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 108**,** 3994-9.

VAN HOOFF, J. J., TROMER, E., VAN WIJK, L. M., SNEL, B. & KOPS, G. J. 2017. Evolutionary dynamics of
the kinetochore network in eukaryotes as revealed by comparative genomics. *EMBO Rep,* 18**,**
1559-1571.

VANOOSTHUYSE, V. & HARDWICK, K. G. 2009. A novel protein phosphatase 1-dependent spindle
checkpoint silencing mechanism. *Curr Biol,* 19**,** 1176-81.

VANOOSTHUYSE, V., PRYKHOZHIJ, S. & HARDWICK, K. G. 2007. Shugoshin 2 regulates localization of
the chromosomal passenger proteins in fission yeast mitosis. *Mol Biol Cell,* 18**,** 1657-69.

VARSHNEY, N., SOM, S., CHATTERJEE, S., SRIDHAR, S., BHATTACHARYYA, D., PAUL, R. & SANYAL, K.
2019. Spatio-temporal regulation of nuclear division by Aurora B kinase Ipl1 in Cryptococcus
neoformans. *PLoS Genet,* 15**,** e1007959.

VERZIJLBERGEN, K. F., NERUSHEVA, O. O., KELLY, D., KERR, A., CLIFT, D., DE LIMA ALVES, F.,
RAPPSILBER, J. & MARSTON, A. L. 2014. Shugoshin biases chromosomes for biorientation
through condensin recruitment to the pericentromere. *Elife,* 3**,** e01374.

WANG, X., YANG, Y., DUAN, Q., JIANG, N., HUANG, Y., DARZYNKIEWICZ, Z. & DAI, W. 2008. sSgo1, a
major splice variant of Sgo1, functions in centriole cohesion where it is regulated by Plk1. *Dev
Cell,* 14**,** 331-41.

WATERHOUSE, A. M., PROCTER, J. B., MARTIN, D. M., CLAMP, M. & BARTON, G. J. 2009. Jalview
Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics,* 25**,**
1189-91.

WU, F., AKBAR, H., WANG, C., YUAN, X., DOU, Z., MULLEN, M., NIU, L., ZHANG, L., ZANG, J., WANG, Z.,
YAO, X., SONG, X. & LIU, X. 2024. Sgo1 interacts with CENP-A to guide accurate chromosome
segregation in mitosis. *J Mol Cell Biol,* 15.

XU, Z., CETIN, B., ANGER, M., CHO, U. S., HELMHART, W., NASMYTH, K. & XU, W. 2009. Structure and
function of the PP2A-shugoshin interaction. *Mol Cell,* 35**,** 426-41.

YADAV, V. & SANYAL, K. 2018. Sad1 Spatiotemporally Regulates Kinetochore Clustering To Ensure
High-Fidelity Chromosome Segregation in the Human Fungal Pathogen Cryptococcus
neoformans. *mSphere,* 3.

YAMAGISHI, Y., SAKUNO, T., SHIMURA, M. & WATANABE, Y. 2008. Heterochromatin links to
centromeric protection by recruiting shugoshin. *Nature,* 455**,** 251-5.

ZICH, J. & HARDWICK, K. G. 2010. Getting down to the phosphorylated 'nuts and bolts' of spindle
checkpoint signalling. *Trends Biochem Sci,* 35**,** 18-27.

Figures

Figure 1. Shugoshin is required for a robust spindle assembly checkpoint arrest.

(A) Schematic of the predicted motifs present in Sgo1 of *C. neoformans*. **(B)** A ten-

fold serial dilution spotting assay to score for the sensitivity of strains CNVY108 (*SGO1*

GFP-H4), CNSD110 (*GFP-H4 sgo1Δ*), SHR741 (*GFP-H4 mad2Δ*), CNSD148 (*GFP-*

H4 sgo1Δ mad2Δ) on plates containing the indicated concentrations of thiabendazole

(TBZ). No drug represents DMF (dimethyl formamide) only. The plates were incubated

at 30°C for 24 h. **(C)** *Left,* schematic of the assay to score for cell cycle arrest

phenotypes when treated with nocodazole. Cells treated with nocodazole for 120 and

240 min were scored for cell cycle arrest phenotypes. *Right,* bar graphs representing

the proportion of large-budded cells in the indicated strains, N=3, n>100, cells counted

in each experiment. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test was used

to estimate the significance. ****$p<0.0001$, ***$p<0.001$, **$p=0.0019/0.0072$. Non-

significant p-values were omitted from the graph. **(D)** *Left*, an outline of the assay to

study the effect of nocodazole on the ploidy content of indicated strains using flow

cytometry. *Right*, histograms depicting ploidy changes associated with nocodazole

treatment.

Figure 2. Shugoshin ensures Bub1 is retained at kinetochores to maintain the spindle assembly checkpoint arrest. (A) Schematic of the SAC pathway and the evolutionarily conserved role of Sgo1 and Aurora B^{Ipl1} in detecting erroneous kinetochore-MT attachments. **(B)** An experimental workflow followed to determine the GFP-Bub1 localization dynamics under nocodazole-treated conditions. **(C)** Sub-

cellular localization of GFP-Bub1 localization in IL102 (*SGO1*), IL143 (*bub1-kd*) and CNSD176 (*sgo1Δ*). For representation, cells treated with nocodazole for 120 and 280 min were shown. Scale bar, 5 μm. **(D)** Quantification of the proportion of large-budded cells with GFP-Bub1 signal in indicated strains treated with nocodazole. N=3, n>100 cells were analyzed, and the shaded regions above and below the line graphs represent error bands corresponding to SD. **(E)** Quantification of GFP-Bub1 fluorescence intensity values at 120 min post-nocodazole treatment. N=3, n>100 cells analyzed for each experiment. White circles represent the average intensity values of replicates. A mean of the average intensity values of replicates is represented as a black bar, and error bars represent SD. An unpaired t-test was used to test the significance, ** p=0.0092, ns =0.1131 **(F)** Microfluidics assays to determine the retention time of GFP-Bub1 at kinetochores in response to nocodazole treatment. Temporal heat maps of 30 randomly selected cells are shown. The heat map represents the changes in kinetochore localization signal of GFP-Bub1 over time. Each bright track on the *y*-axis of the heat map represents GFP-Bub1 signals from an individual cell (median of the brightest 5 pixels in each cell divided by the overall cell median brightness). The length of each bright track along the *x*-axis represents the time (min). The time of addition of nocodazole (2.5 μg/mL) is considered as 0 h. Images are taken every 2 min for 15 h. Assays were performed using strains IL102 (*GFP-BUB1*), IL143 (*GFP-bub1-kd*), CNSD176 (*GFP-BUB1 sgo1Δ*), and IL089 (*GFP-BUB1 mad1Δ*) strains. **(G)** Bar graphs representing the quantitative analysis of the GFP-Bub1 retention time at kinetochores obtained from microfluidics assays in the above-indicated strains. n=30, error bars represent SEM.

Figure 3. Shugoshin-dependent Aurora B^{Ipl1} pool at kinetochores ensures maintenance of Bub1 to prolong the checkpoint arrest. (A) Sub-cellular localization of Aurora B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP localization in CNSD190 (*AURORA B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP mCherry-CENP-A SGO1*) and CNSD196 (*AURORA B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP mCherry-CENP-A sgo1Δ*) strains treated with nocodazole. For representation, cells treated with nocodazole for 120 and 280 min were shown. Scale bar, 5µm. **(B)** Quantification of the proportion of large-budded cells with Aurora B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP signals in the indicated strains. N=3, n>100 cells were analyzed, and the shaded regions above and below the line graph represent error bands corresponding to SD. **(C)** Quantification of Aurora B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP fluorescence intensity values at 120 min post-nocodazole treatment. N=3, n>58 cells for each experiment. White circles represent the average intensity values of replicates. The mean of the average intensity values of replicates is represented as

a black bar. Error bars represent SD. An unpaired t-test was used to test the

significance, ** p= 0.0013. **(D)** Schematic to assess the response of Aurora B[Ipl1]

(over)expression or depletion to nocodazole treatment. **(E)** Sub-cellular localization of

GFP-Bub1 localization in CNSD205 (*GAL7-3xFLAG-AURORA B[IPL1] GFP-BUB1*)

Aurora B[Ipl1] (over)expressed and repressed conditions treated with nocodazole. Cells

treated with nocodazole for 120 and 240 min are shown for representation. Scale bar,

5 μm. **(F)** Bar graphs representing the proportion of large-budded cells with or without

of GFP-Bub1 signals at 120 and 240 min of nocodazole treatment. N=3, n>100 cells

analyzed for each experiment. Error bars represent SD. **(G)** Quantification of GFP-

Bub1 fluorescence intensity values in Aurora B[Ipl1] (over)expressed and repressed

conditions at 120 min of nocodazole treatment. White circles represent the average

intensity values of replicates. The mean of the average intensity values of the

replicates is represented as a black bar. Error bars represent SD. N=3, n> 79 cells

analyzed for each experiment. An unpaired t-test was used to test the significance,

*p=0.0439.

Figure 4. Sgo1-mediated Aurora B^Ipl1 recruitment prevents excess PP1 phosphatase at the kinetochore. (A) The effect of *sgo1Δ* on maintaining a balance between Aurora B^Ipl1 and PP1 levels at kinetochore in *C. neoformans*. **(B)** Sub-cellular localization of CNKB003 (*GFP-PP1 mCherry-CENP-A SGO1*) and CNSD180 (*GFP-PP1 mCherry-CENP-A sgo1Δ*) cells treated with nocodzole (1 µg/mL) for 120 min. Yellow ovals highlight PP1-GFP signals. **(C)** Quantification of GFP-PP1 fluorescence signal intensity values in the indicated strains treated with nocodazole. N=3, n>100 cells were analyzed for each experiment. White circles represent the average GFP-PP1 signal intensities calculated for each experimental repeat. An unpaired t-test was used to determine statistical significance. **p= 0.0044. The mean of the average intensity values of replicates is represented as a black bar. Error bars represent SD. **(D)** Microscopic images of CNKB023 (*GFP-PP1 mCherry-CENP-A GAL7-3xFLAG AURORA B^IPL1*) Aurora B^Ipl1 (over)expressed and repressed conditions treated with nocodazole (1 µg/mL) for 120 min. **(E)** Quantification of fluorescence intensity values of GFP-PP1 signals in conditions mentioned above. N=4, n=100 cells for each

experiment. White circles represent the average intensity values of replicates. Error bars represent SD. The mean of the average intensity values plotted is represented as a black bar. Paired t-test was used to determine statistical significance. **p= 0.0069.

Figure 5. Kinetochore proximal association of Sgo1 in MT-depolymerizing conditions. (A-D) *Left,* microscopic images of GFP-Sgo1 localized with a kinetochore marker, CENP-A, and an SPB marker, Tub4, at different stages of the mitotic cell cycle, in nocodazole treated and Cdc20 depleted conditions. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(A)** CNSD125 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A*). **(B)** CNSD130 (*GFP-SGO1 TUB4-mCherry*). **(C)** Nocodazole (1 µg/mL) treated condition. *Top panel*, CNSD125. *Bottom panel*, CNSD130. **(D)** Cdc20 depletion condition, CNSD167 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A GAL7-3xFLAG-CDC20*). *Right*, line scan profiles of both GFP (Sgo1) and mCherry (CENP-A, Tub4) fluorescence signals. Distance plotted on the *x*-axis

1468 represents the length of the line drawn on the image to measure fluorescence

1469 intensity. Gray values on the *y*-axis represent the fluorescence signal intensities.

Figure 6. The kinase activity of Bub1 is dispensable for shugoshin-mediated maintenance of the spindle assembly checkpoint. (A) Schematic of the conserved pathway of shugoshin recruitment to kinetochores. **(B)** A ten-fold serial dilution spotting assay to score for the sensitivity of the CNSD110 (*sgo1Δ*), IL143 (*GFP-bub1-kd*), CNSD173 (*sgo1Δ GFP-bub1-kd*) double mutants and SHR866 (*mad2Δ*) to thiabendazole. **(C)** A ten-fold serial dilution spotting assay to score for the sensitivity of the CNSD125 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A*), CNSD215 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A bub1-kd-3XFLAG*), CNSD219 (*GFP-SGO1 mCherry-CENP-A h2a-T121A*), CNSD218 (*sgo1Δ GFP-sgo1-K382A-SH1 mCherry-CENP-A*), CNSD207 (*sgo1Δ GFP-SGO1-SH1*) and CNSD110 (*sgo1Δ*). **(D)** Microscopic images of GFP-Sgo1 localized with CENP-A at metaphase stage in wild-type and mutant backgrounds. Scale bar, 5 µm. **(E)** *Top,* Schematic showing the line scan analysis method adopted to score for the fluorescence intensity of GFP-Sgo1 along the spindle and SPBs. *Middle,* line scan profile of GFP-Sgo1 in the indicated strains synchronized using thiabendazole (10 µg/mL) treatment. *Bottom*, line scan profile of GFP-Sgo1 in the indicated strains synchronized using hypoxia. Distance on the *x*-axis represents the length of the line drawn on the image to measure fluorescence intensity. The *y*-axis represent the fluorescence signal intensity values. N=3, n>20 cells were analysed for each experiment. Each line graph represents mean fluorescence intensity values calculated from three experimental repeats and error bars represent SD. **(F)** *Top,* Schematic of the assay used to arrest cells at metaphase by depleting Scc1. *Below*, microscopic images of GFP-Sgo1 localized with CENP-A metaphase in Scc1 depleted conditions. **(G)** Fold enrichment of Sgo1 at kinetochores in metaphase arrested cell obtained by cross-linking chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) followed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). *CEN2* and *CEN5* represent centromeres 2 and 5, non-CEN region represents *LEU2* locus. N=3, and error bars represent SD.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. *C. neoformans* **Sgo1, carrying a conserved coiled-coil domain and the SGO motif, is required to maintain SAC arrest in nocodazole-treated cells. (A)** The protein sequence of *C. neoformans* Sgo1. **(B)** Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of the

CnSgo1 N-terminal coiled-coil and the C-terminal SGO motif with vertebrate and invertebrate

species (Cn- *C. neoformans*; Sc- *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; Sp- *Schizosaccharomyces*

pombe; Hs- *Homo sapiens*; Mm- *Mus musculus*; Xl- *Xenopus laevis*; Dm- *Drosophila*

melanogaster). Multiple sequence alignment of Sgo1 homologs was performed using Clustal

Omega (Sievers et al., 2011), and the alignment was formatted using Jalview 2 (Waterhouse

et al., 2009). *Represent amino acid residues involved in PP2A binding. The dark blue circle

represents the key residue (K382 in *C. neoformans*) required for Bub1-mediated kinetochore

proximal centromere localization of shugoshin. The teal blue colored box represents the

coiled-coil domain. The light blue colored box represents the conserved basic SGO motif. **(C)**

Microscopic images of GFP-H4 in CNVY108 (*SGO1 MAD2*), CNSD117 (*sgo1Δ*), SHR866

(*mad2Δ*), CNSD148 (*sgo1Δ mad2Δ*) treated with nocodazole (1 µg/mL) for 120- and 240-min.

Scale bar, 5 µm. **(D)** Bar graphs representing the proportion of unbudded, small-budded,

large-budded, and tri-budded cells. N=3, n>100 cells counted in each experiment. **(E)** Bar

graphs representing the proportion of large-budded cells of indicated phenotypes, N=3, n>100

cells counted in each experiment.

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

Supplementary Figure 2. *sgo1Δ bgi1Δ* double mutant cells exhibit enhanced sensitivity

to MT depolymerizing drug. A ten-fold serial dilution spotting assay to score for the sensitivity

of the wild-type CNVY108 (*SGO1 BGI1 GFP-H4*), CNSD117 (*sgo1Δ GFP-H4)*, SHR741

(*mad2Δ GFP-H4*), SHR830 (*bgi1Δ GFP-H4*), CNSD148 (*sgo1Δ mad2Δ GFP-H4*) and

CNSD163 (*sgo1Δ bgi1Δ GFP-H4*) to thiabendazole. No drug represents DMF (Dimethyl

formamide) only. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 h.

Supplementary Figure 3. The proportion of large-budded cells scored for the presence

or absence of GFP-Bub1 signal post nocodazole treatment. Bar graphs representing the

proportion of large-budded cells obtained post nocodazole treatment in the indicated strains,

N=3, n>100, cells counted in each experiment. Errors bars represent SD.

Supplementary Figure 4. *bub1-kd sgo1Δ* **double mutant cells behave similar to single** *sgo1Δ* **mutant cells. (A)** Microfluidics assay to determine the retention time of GFP-Bub1 at kinetochores in response to nocodazole treatment. Temporal heat maps of 30 randomly selected cells are shown. The heat map represents the changes in the kinetochore localization signal of GFP-Bub1 over time. Each bright track on the *y*-axis of the heat map represents GFP-Bub1 signals from an individual cell (median of the brightest 5 pixels in each cell divided by the overall cell median brightness). The length of each bright track along the *x*-axis represents the time (min). The time of addition of nocodazole (2.5 μg/mL) is considered as 0 hr. Images are taken every 2 min for 10 h. Assay was performed using IL102 (*GFP-BUB1*), IL143 (*GFP-bub1-kd*), CNSD176 (*GFP-BUB1 sgo1Δ*), and CNSD173 (*GFP-bub1-kd sgo1Δ*) strains. **(B)** Bar graphs representing the quantitative analysis of GFP-Bub1 retention time at kinetochores obtained from microfluidics assays in the above-indicated strains. n=24, error bars represent SEM.

Supplementary Figure 5. Aurora B[Ipl1] localizes to the kinetochore (CENP-A) during G2

and mitosis and exhibits spindle-like localization. (A) Microscopic images of Aurora B[Ipl1]-

3xGFP localized with a kinetochore protein mCherry-CENP-A at G2, metaphase, and

anaphase stages of the cell cycle. **(B)** Colocalization of Aurora B[Ipl1]-3xGFP with mCherry-

CENP-A when treated with nocodazole (1 µg/mL) for 120 min. Scale bar, 5 µm.

Supplementary Figure 6. The proportion of large-budded cells scored for the presence

or absence of Aurora B^{Ipl1}-3xGFP signal post nocodazole treatment. Bar graphs

representing the proportion of large-budded cells obtained post nocodazole treatment in the

indicated strains, N=3, n>100, cells counted in each experiment. Errors bars represent SD.

Supplementary Figure 7. Aurora B^{Ipl1} mutants fail to arrest at metaphase in response to nocodazole treatment. (A) Microscopic images of CNNV104 (*GFP-H4 GAL7-AURORA B^{IPL1}*) grown in permissive and non-permissive media in the presence and absence of nocodazole. Scale bar, 5 µm. Representative images of cells treated with nocodazole and depleted of Aurora B^{Ipl1} for 240 and 480 min were shown. **(B)** *Left*, bar graphs representing the proportion of unbudded, small-budded, large-budded, and tri-budded cells. *Right*, bar graphs representing the proportion of large-budded cells of indicated phenotype, N=2, n>100 cells counted for each experiment.

Supplementary Figure 8. Sequence conservation of *C. neoformans* PP1. Multiple sequence alignment of PP1 homolog of *C. neoformans* (CNAG_03706) with vertebrate and invertebrate species (Cn- *C. neoformans*; Sc- *S. cerevisiae*; Sp- *S. pombe*; Ca- *Candida albicans*; Hs- *H. sapiens*; Mm- *M. musculus*; Dm- *D. melanogaster*) was performed using Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011) and the alignment was formatted using Jalview 2 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). Highly conserved regions are shaded in dark blue.

Supplementary Figure 9. Shugoshin responds to tensionless kinetochore-MT
attachments. (A) Pairwise sequence alignment of Scc1 homologs of *C. neoformans*
(CNAG_01023) and *S. cerevisiae* by Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011), and formatted
using Jalview 2 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). Highly conserved regions are shaded in dark blue.
The two domains corresponding to N- and C-terminal Scc1 domains (E-values of 2.0e-31 and
2.2e-15, respectively) of CnScc1 are highlighted in violet and green boxes. **(B)** Schematic of
the domains present in *C. neoformans* Scc1 protein. **(C)** Plate photographs of strains
expressing Scc1 under the regulatable *GAL7* promoter grown in media containing glucose
(non-permissive) and galactose (permissive). **(D)** Schematic to check the response of Scc1

repression in *SGO1* and *sgo1Δ* backgrounds. **(E)** Microscopic images of GFP-H4 tagged

CNSD181 (*GAL7-3xFLAG-SCC1*) and CNSD182 (*sgo1Δ GAL7-3xFLAG-SCC1*) strains

depleted of Scc1. Representative images of cells depleted of Scc1 for 4 and 6 h were shown.

Scale bar, 5 μm. **(F)** Bar graphs representing the percentage of unbudded, small-budded,

large-budded, and tri-budded cells scored. N=2, n>100 cells for each experiment, error bars

represent SD.

Supplementary Figure 10. Conservation of histone H2A sequence in *C. neoformans* and expression levels of GFP-Sgo1 in various mutant strains. (A) Multiple sequence alignment of H2A homologs obtained from *C. neoformans* (Cn)*, S. cerevisiae* (Sc), *S. pombe* (Sp) and *H. sapiens* (Hs). The alignment was performed using Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011) and formatted using Jalview 2 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). The dark blue shaded regions represent highly conserved amino acid residues. Arrow indicates the conserved T121/S120 residue phosphorylated by Bub1. **(B)** Western blot showing the expression levels of GFP-Sgo1 at metaphase in the indicated strains. * Indicates non-specific band used as a loading control. Arrows indicate two bands of GFP-Sgo1. *sgo1Δ* lane represents no tag control.

Supplementary Figure 11. Schematic highlighting the sub-cellular localization of
shugoshin reported in various species. *Left*, schematic highlighting the spatial positioning
of SPB/centrosome and centromeres in yeasts and metazoans. *Right*, localization of
shugoshin with respect to the kinetochore, MTOCs (centrosomes or SPBs), spindle MTs, and
telomeres. Filled and open squares represent the presence or absence of shugoshin at the
indicated sub-cellular locations. The data was compiled from reviews (Marston, 2015,
Gutierrez-Caballero et al., 2012). [1,2] (Mohr et al., 2015, Wang et al., 2008), [3*] (Salic et al.,
2004), this study has shown that the purified N-terminal region of shugoshin is capable of
binding to MTs *in* vitro. [4] (Sane et al., 2021) and [5] (Tashiro et al., 2016).