Exposure to live saprophytic *Leptospira* before challenge with a pathogenic serovar prevents

severe leptospirosis and promotes kidney homeostasis

Suman Kundu[1†], Advait Shetty[1†#] and Maria Gomes-Solecki[1*]

Affiliation:

[1]Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Biochemistry, University of Tennessee Health

Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of America.

*Correspondence to: mgomesso@uthsc.edu

[†] Equal contribution

[#] Current address: MLM Medical Labs Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee, United States of

America.

ABSTRACT

Previous studies demonstrated that *Leptospira biflexa*, a saprophytic species, triggers innate immune responses in the host during early infection. This raised the question of whether these responses could suppress a subsequent challenge with pathogenic *Leptospira*. We inoculated C3H/HeJ mice with a single or a double dose of *L. biflexa* before challenge with a pathogenic serovar, *L. interrogans* serovar Copenhageni FioCruz (LIC). Pre-challenge exposure to *L. biflexa* did not prevent LIC dissemination and colonization of the kidney. However, it rescued weight loss and mouse survival thereby mitigating disease severity. Unexpectedly, there was correlation between rescue of overall health (weight gain, higher survival, lower kidney fibrosis marker ColA1) and higher shedding of LIC in urine. This stood in contrast to the *L. biflexa* unexposed LIC challenged control. Immune responses were dominated by increased frequency of effector T helper (CD4+) cells in spleen, as well as significant increases in serologic IgG2a. Our findings suggest that exposure to live saprophytic *Leptospira* primes the host to develop Th1 biased immune responses that prevent severe disease induced by a subsequent challenge with a pathogenic species. Thus, mice exposed to live saprophytic *Leptospira* before facing a pathogenic serovar may withstand infection with far better outcomes. Furthermore, a status of homeostasis may have been reached after kidney colonization that helps LIC complete its enzootic cycle.

Significance

Previous evidence of host innate immunity induced by live saprophytic *Leptospira* in mice led us

to posit that these responses might mitigate leptospirosis severity upon a subsequent challenge

with a pathogenic serovar. In this study, we validated our hypothesis. This is important for

development of novel strategies to control leptospirosis and for understanding the

epidemiologic risk factors of this and other infectious diseases transmitted by direct contact

between pathogen and host. Unexpectedly, these studies also show that there is a correlation

between kidney health after *L. interrogans* infection (less fibrosis marker ColA1) and higher

shedding of this spirochete in urine. This suggests that a status of homeostasis may be reached

after kidney colonization by *L. interrogans* that helps the spirochete fulfill its enzootic cycle.

.

Introduction

Leptospirosis, a neglected re-emerging enzootic spirochetal disease, affects millions of people worldwide causing an overall mortality rate of 65000 per year (1). In addition, it causes serious health problems in animals of agricultural interest which leads to substantial economic losses mostly in tropical and subtropical countries. Assessing the true severity of leptospirosis can be incredibly challenging, especially when early diagnosis is difficult due to nonspecific symptoms overlapping with other illnesses (2). Recent outbreaks of both human and canine leptospirosis in New York and California in 2020 to 2022 (3, 4) underscores the need for development of effective strategies to control this disease. Although serovar-specific vaccines are available for animals and at least one is available for humans, no broadly effective vaccine is available for either (5). The absence of an effective cross-protective vaccine candidate increases the risk of disease re-emergence on a global scale. Efforts to use leptospiral surface antigens in various vaccine formulations have shown limited success in conferring protection against leptospiral dissemination and shedding, as well as severe disease. *Leptospira'* immune evasion strategies contribute to the complexities of finding good vaccine candidates.

The genus *Leptospira* is broadly categorized into 2 major clades P and S (Pathogens and Saprophytes) and further categorized into 4 subclades, P1, P2, S1 and S2 based on their virulence properties, growth conditions and genetic make-up (6, 7). Subclade P1 is further divided in two phylogenetically related groups named P1+ (high-virulence pathogens, established pathogenic species, e.g. *L. interrogans*) and P1- (low-virulence pathogens,

phenotypically not well-characterized) (8). *Leptospira* survives in moist conditions and are free-

living organisms naturally found in soil and water bodies (9, 10). The spread of infection occurs

through contaminated water contact with breached skin or mucosal surfaces (11). Saprophytic

strains of *Leptospira,* such as *L. biflexa* (S1), are unable to establish disease due to the lack of

certain virulence factors (7) and have been found in natural environments around the world

alongside pathogenic serovars (6, 11, 12). Moreover, *L. biflexa* exhibits certain niche-specific

adaptations that allow them to persist in both environmental and host setting (13, 14).

Our previous studies (15, 16) demonstrated that *L. biflexa* triggers a robust innate

immune response in mice during the acute phase of infection. This raised the question of

whether saprophytic *Leptospira* induced immune responses could confer any degree of

resistance or immune memory that could suppress a subsequent challenge with a pathogenic

serovar of *Leptospira*. Answering that question was the main goal of the current study.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Male C3H/HeJ mice (n=6-8/group) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor,

ME) and were maintained in a pathogen-free environment in the Laboratory Animal Care Unit

of the University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC). All experiments were performed

in compliance with the UTHSC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), Protocol

no. 19-0062.

Bacteria

Non-pathogenic *Leptospira biflexa* serovar Patoc (LB) belonging to subclade S1 was purchased

from ATCC and grown in EMJH media. Pathogenic *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Copenhageni

strain Fiocruz L1-130 (LIC) belonging to subclade P1+ (high-virulence pathogens) (6, 8) was

grown in EMJH media and subsequently passaged in hamster to maintain virulence. EMJH

culture passage 2 was used to inoculate mice (10^8) after counting *Leptospira* under a dark-field

microscope (Zeiss USA, Hawthorne, NY) using a Petroff- Hausser chamber.

Infection of mice and study design

We carried out two experiments set apart by a single or double exposure to a saprophytic

serovar of *Leptospira* (*L. biflexa*) before challenge with a pathogenic serovar (*L. interrogans*).

Groups of mice were inoculated with 10^8 *Leptospira* intraperitoneally (IP) both for exposure to

L. biflexa and for challenge with *L. interrogans*. Each experiment was reproduced once. In the

single exposure study (Fig 1A), Group 1 (n=3) was the naive control which received PBS (PBS^1),

Group 2 (n=4) was inoculated with 10^8 *L. biflexa* once at 6 weeks (LB^1), Group 3 (n=4) received

PBS for 2 weeks followed by challenge with 10^8 *L. interrogans* at 8 weeks (PBS^{1}LIC^1), and Group

4 (n=4) was inoculated with 10^8 *L. biflexa* at 6 weeks and challenged with 10^8 *L. interrogans* at 8

weeks (LB^{1}LIC^1). In the double exposure study (Fig 2A), Group 1 (n=3) was the naive control

which received PBS (PBS^2), Group 2 (n=4) received 10^8 *L. biflexa* IP at 6 and 8 weeks (LB^2), Group

3 (n=4) received PBS for 2 weeks followed by challenge with 10^8 *L. interrogans* at 10 weeks

(PBS^{2}LIC^2), and Group 4 (n=4) was inoculated with 10^8 *L. biflexa* at 6 and 8 weeks and

challenged with 10^8 *L. interrogans* at 10 weeks (LB^{2}LIC^2). Weight was monitored daily. Mice

were euthanized 15 days after *L. interrogans* challenge or when they reached the endpoint

criteria (20% body weight loss post-infection). Blood and kidney were collected at euthanasia:

blood was used for quantification of anti-*Leptospira* antibody; kidney was used for

quantification of *Leptospira* load (16S rRNA) and it was cultured in EMJH media for evaluation

of bacterial viability. Furthermore, kidney samples were stored in 10% formalin for Hematoxylin

and Eosin (H&E) staining. Spleen for flow cytometric analysis was collected from mice after

euthanasia only in the double exposure study given that all mice consistently survived

challenge.

Leptospira **detection through qPCR**

Isolation of genomic DNA from blood, urine and kidney were carried out using NucleoSpin

tissue kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) according to the manufacturers' instructions.

Leptospira 16S rRNA primers (Forward- CCCGCGTCCGATTAG and Reverse-

TCCATTGTGGCCGAACAC) and TAMRA probe (CTCACCAAGGCGACGATCGGTAGC) were used for

detection of *Leptospira* genus using qPCR with a standard curve of 10^5 to 1 *L. interrogans* (17,

18). Similarly, qPCR was performed with kidney tissues placed in EMJH to grow live *Leptospira*

after culturing for 5 days and visually quantified under a dark field microscope (20X, Zeiss USA,

Hawthorne) on d3 and d5 post culture inoculation.

RNA isolation and RT-PCR

Kidneys were stored in RNA later after euthanasia. RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) was used to extract

total RNA from kidney tissue according to the manufacturer's specifications. RNA purity was

measured using a Nanodrop instrument (Thermo Scientific) at A260/280 ratio. cDNA was

prepared using cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). ColA1 primers (Forward-

TAAGGGTACCGCTGGAGAAC, Reverse- GTTCACCTCTCTCACCAGCA), TAMRA probe

(AGAGCGAGGCCTTCCCGGAC) and β-actin primers (Forward- CCACAGCTGAGAGGGAAATC,

Reverse- CCAATAGTGATGACCTGGCCG), TAMRA probe (GGAGATGGCCACTGCCGCATC) were

purchased from Eurofins Genomics.

Histopathology by H&E staining

Kidney tissues were fixed in formalin buffer. Histopathology was performed at the Histology

Department, UT Methodist University Hospital, Memphis, TN. Digital scanning of inflammatory

cell infiltration was measured by taking images of ~ 5 fields per sample under 20x

magnification. Images were captured after digitally scanning the H&E slides using Panoramic

350 Flash III (3D Histech, Hungary) and CaseViewer software.

ELISA

Leptospiral extract for *Leptospira biflexa* and *Leptospira interrogans* were prepared as

described previously (19). Briefly, *Leptospira* was cultured in EMJH media and once confluency

was observed, cells were centrifuged to obtain a pellet. This pellet was then incubated with

BugBuster® solution (1mL) at RT in a shaker incubator (100 rpm) for 20 min and homogenized

by vortexing. Stocks were stored at -20°C. This whole-cell extract of *Leptospira* was then diluted

in 1X sodium carbonate coating buffer. Nunc MaxiSorp flat-bottom 96 well plates (eBioscience,

San Diego, CA) were coated with extracts prepared from 10^7-10^8 bacteria per well and

incubated at 4°C overnight. Cells were washed using 1X PBST the following day and blocked for

1 h using 1% BSA solution, followed by another wash with 1X PBST. Serum samples (1:100)

were added to the antigen coated wells and incubated at 37°C for 1 h, washed twice with 1X

PBST, followed by HRP conjugate secondary anti-mouse- IgG1, IgG2a and IgG3 (1:10000) which

was incubated for 30 mins. After washing the plate 3 times with 1X PBST the color was

developed using TMB Sureblue followed by Stop solution before the absorbance was measured

at OD 450 nm using an ELISA plate reader (Molecular Devices Spetramax).

Flow cytometry

Spleens were chopped into small pieces and macerated to prepare single cell suspensions on

the same day of euthanasia to avoid loss of cell viability. RBC lysis was performed using ACK

lysis buffer (Gibco). AO/PI dual staining was used to count live/dead cells on a Luna counter

(Logos Biosystems, South Korea). 10^6 cells were seeded in a 96 well microtiter plate after

washing with 1X PBS twice. Blocking was performed with anti-mouse CD16/32 antibody (1:100),

followed by 20 minutes incubation on ice. Fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies (Table S1) were

used to stain specific cell surface markers after 30 mins incubation in the dark at 4°C. Freshly

prepared flow staining buffer was used for washing stained cells. Cells were fixed using 4%

Paraformaldehyde for 10-15 mins at room temperature. Beads were stained using specific

fluorochrome conjugated antibodies and used for compensation, while FMO prepared with

spleen cells simultaneously were used for gating controls. Cells were resuspended in flow

staining buffer and the BioRad ZE5 cell analyzer was used for data acquisition. Data analysis was

done using Flow Jo software. Gating strategy used for immunophenotyping of spleen cells is

provided in supplementary Fig S1.

Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test and unpaired t-test with Welch's

correction were used to analyze differences between experimental groups. GraphPad prism

software was used to plot graphs; a value of $p < 0.05$ is considered significant.

Results

Exposure to saprophytic *Leptospira* before infection with a pathogenic serovar prevents

disease and increases survival of C3H-HeJ mice. We inoculated adult C3H-HeJ male mice with a

single dose of *L. biflexa* two weeks before challenge with *L. interrogans* (LB[1]LIC[1]) at 8 weeks (Fig

1A) and measured a significant rescue of weight loss over a period of 15 days as compared to

mice infected at 8 weeks that did not receive *L. biflexa* (PBS[1]LIC[1]) ($p < 0.0001$); unchallenged

control mice that received *L. biflexa* (LB[1]) or PBS (PBS[1]) gained weight throughout the

corresponding 15 days (Fig 1B). Survival curves were generated after the mice reached the

following endpoint criteria: 20% weight loss or 15 days post challenge with *L. interrogans* or 15

days post inoculation with *L. biflexa*/PBS for the controls (Fig 1C). All mice infected with *L.*

interrogans (PBS[1]LIC[1]) reached the 20% weight loss endpoint criteria between d9 and d12 post

infection. In contrast, 3/4 (75%) of the mice that received one dose of *L. biflexa* before

challenge with *L. interrogans* (LB[1]LIC[1]) survived and gained significant body weight (Fig 1B)

which was similar to the naïve control that received only PBS. Analysis of bacterial

dissemination was done by qPCR of the *Leptospira* 16S gene in genomic DNA purified from

blood, kidney tissue and urine. Of note, although 16S rRNA primers can amplify *L. biflexa* 6h

post infection (20), we processed the tissue samples 30 days or 45 days post *L. biflexa* exposure.

We also found that a single exposure to *L. biflexa* before challenge did not prevent

dissemination of pathogenic *L. interrogans* in blood (Fig S2A) or shedding in urine (Fig 1D), or

kidney colonization (Fig 1E). Culture of kidney in EMJH media showed presence of ~ 2500

motile, morphologically intact *L. interrogans* under dark field microscopy which was confirmed

by 16S qPCR (Fig 1F) both on d3 and d5 post culture of kidney collected from LB[1]LIC[1] mice;

kidney from PBS[1], LB[1] and PBS[1]LIC[1] did not produce positive cultures by dark field microscopy or

16S qPCR.

In the double exposure study, mice were inoculated with 2 bi-weekly doses of *L. biflexa*

two weeks before challenge with *L. interrogans* (LB[2]LIC[2]) at 10 weeks in comparison with the

respective controls (Fig 2A). As expected, mice infected at 10 weeks with LIC that did not

receive *L. biflexa* (PBS[2]LIC[2]) lost ~ 11 % of weight on d11 post challenge and did not recover (Fig

2B). In contrast, mice that received a double dose of *L. biflexa* two weeks before challenge at 10

weeks with *L. interrogans* (LB[2]LIC[2]) lost a maximum of 5% weight on d10 and recovered fully by

d15 post infection; unchallenged control mice that received *L. biflexa* (LB[2]) or PBS (PBS[2]) gained

weight throughout the 15 days (Fig 2B). Survival curves generated after the mice reached

endpoint criteria (Fig 2C) show that all experimental groups survived LIC infection. Analysis of

bacterial dissemination showed that a double exposure to *L. biflexa* before challenge did not

prevent dissemination of pathogenic *L. interrogans* in blood (Fig S2B), or shedding in urine (Fig

2D), or kidney colonization (Fig 2E). Culture of kidney in EMJH media showed presence of 5,000-

10,000 motile, morphologically intact *L. interrogans* on d3 and d5 post culture of kidney

collected from PBS[2]LIC[2] mice in contrast to 1,000 to 2,500 live *L. interrogans* observed in culture

from kidney collected from LB^{2}LIC^2 mice which was confirmed by 16S qPCR (Fig 2F); kidney from

PBS^2 and LB^2 mice did not produce positive cultures by dark field microscopy or 16S qPCR.

L. biflexa exposure before challenge with _L. interrogans_ mitigates renal histopathological

changes. As expected, H&E staining of histological slices of all kidneys from mice challenged

with _L. interrogans_ in both single and double exposure experiments (PBS^{1}LIC^1 and PBS^{2}LIC^2)

showed signs of inflammation with increased immune cell infiltration (Fig 3A and 3C). In

contrast, H&E staining of kidney slices from the groups of mice exposed to _L. biflexa_ before _L._

interrogans challenge (LB^{1}LIC^1 and LB^{2}LIC^2) showed reduced immune cell infiltration. We also

measured expression of a marker (ColA1) for kidney fibrosis. In both experiments, kidneys from

mice challenged with _L. interrogans_ (PBS^{1}LIC^1 and PBS^{2}LIC^2) had significantly higher expression

of ColA1 as compared to the controls; in contrast, kidneys from mice challenged with _L._

interrogans after exposure to _L. biflexa_ (LB^{1}LIC^1 and LB^{2}LIC^2) were not different than the

controls (Figs 3B and 3D).

In addition, we were able to collect kidneys from experimental mice subjected to the

double exposure of _L. biflexa_ because they all survived subsequent challenge with _L._

interrogans. As such, we did a comparative gross morphological analysis between the 4 groups

(Fig S3). We found that kidney from LB^{2}LIC^2 mice maintained their normal gross anatomy and

coloration as did kidneys from control mice (PBS^2 and LB^2), in contrast to kidneys from mice

challenged with _L. interrogans_ that were not previously exposed to _L. biflexa_ (PBS^{2}LIC^2).

Serologic IgG2a responses to *L. interrogans* were significantly higher in mice pre-exposed to *L.*

***biflexa* before challenge with *L. interrogans*.** In both single and double *L. biflexa* exposure

experiments we measured anti-*L. interrogans* total IgM, total IgG, and IgG subtypes IgG1, IgG2a

and IgG3 in serum collected 2 weeks after challenge with *L. interrogans* (IgG subtypes shown in

Figs 4A and 4B). In both experiments, total IgM and IgG were significantly increased in PBS-LIC

and LB-LIC when compared to the respective controls, but not between PBS-LIC and LB-LIC

groups.   Regarding IgG isotypes, IgG1 was generally low and IgG2a as well as IgG3 were

generally high in groups infected with *L. interrogans*. Although differences between groups

(PBS^2v PBS^2LIC^2 and $LB^{1/2}$ v $LB^{1/2}LIC^{1/2}$) were significant, differences between the LIC infected

groups ($PBS^{1/2}LIC^{1/2}$ v $LB^{1/2}LIC^{1/2}$) were not significant for IgG1 and for IgG3 in contrast to IgG2a

(p=0.001 for single exposure and p=0.0095 for double exposure).

Exposure to non-pathogenic *L. biflexa* before pathogenic *L. interrogans* challenge induced

increased frequencies of effector helper T cells in spleen. We immunophenotyped the spleen

cells from the mice subjected to double *L. biflexa* exposure because all animals survived to the

term of the experiment. Mice in the single exposure experiment met endpoint criteria before

the   term   of   the   experiment   and   thus   we   were   not   able   to   process   spleen   for

immunophenotyping. In the *L. biflexa* double exposure experiment, we measured increased

frequencies in B cells when LIC infected mice were compared to the respective controls, but not

between PBS^2LIC^2 and LB^2LIC^2 mice (Fig 5A). We measured decreased frequencies in T cells

when LIC infected mice were compared to the respective controls but not between PBS^2LIC^2

and LB^2LIC^2 mice (Fig 5B). No differences were observed in NK cells between any of the groups

(Fig 5C). We measured increased frequencies in helper T cells between all groups; of note,

PBS^{2}LIC^2 vs LB^{2}LIC^2 p=0.006 (Fig 5D). We also measured decreased frequencies in cytotoxic T

cells between all groups; of note PBS^{2}LIC^2 vs LB^{2}LIC^2 p=0.0056 (Fig 5E). Furthermore, T cell

subset typing (Fig 6) showed that frequency of early effector CD4+ T helper cells (Fig 6B, CD44-

CD62L-) and effector T helper cells (Fig 6C, CD44+CD62L-) were significantly increased when

compared between the LIC challenged groups and the respective controls (except PBS^2 v

PBS^{2}LIC^2 early effectors) and that frequency of early effector and effector T helper cells were

higher in the LB^{2}LIC^2 group than PBS^{2}LIC^2. No major changes were measured in memory CD4+ T

helper cells (Fig 6D, CD44+CD62L+). In the CD8+ cytotoxic T cell subsets, we measured

significant decreases in frequency of naïve T cells between LIC infected groups and the

respective controls (Fig 6E, CD62L+CD44-) and this was replicated in the CD8+ cytotoxic

memory except that differences with the LIC infected groups were not significant (Fig 6H,

CD44+CD62L+).

Discussion

Understanding host immune responses to *Leptospira* infection is crucial for advancing our

ability to develop new control measures for leptospirosis (19, 21-25). Given their widespread

presence in nature, the likelihood of humans or animals getting exposed to non-pathogenic

serovars of *Leptospira* is likely high (10, 11). Our previous studies showed innate immunity

engagement during saprophytic *L. biflexa* infection in mice (15, 16). In addition, *L. biflexa*

extracts can be used to detect *Leptospira*-specific antibody in up to 67% of serum from patients

with clinically confirmed leptospirosis (19) which points to a high degree of immunodominant

cross-reactive epitopes between *L. biflexa* and pathogenic *Leptospira*. Further, the current

hypothesis regarding evolution of *Leptospira* species is that symbiosis of *Leptospira* with

eukaryotes emerged from free-living ancestral species (26); in other words, pathogenic

Leptospira may have evolved from an environmental ancestor (6). Thus, we hypothesized that

these highly cross-reactive immunodominant epitopes may also induce cross-protective

immune responses. The objective of the current study was to assess whether exposure to a live

saprophytic serovar of *Leptospira* provides any heterologous cross-species protection against a

subsequent challenge with a pathogenic serovar in a mammalian host (mouse).

In the initial analysis of pathogenesis (Fig 1, Fig 2, Fig S2) we observed that prior

exposure to one or two doses of saprophytic *L. biflexa* rescues weight loss in mice challenged

with pathogenic *L. interrogans* at 8 weeks (LB^1LIC^1) and at 10 weeks (LB^2LIC^2), respectively.

Weight gain correlated with survival (75% survival in LB^1LIC^1 versus 0% survival of the PBS^1LIC^1

group) in the single exposure experiment, where we expected all mice infected at 8 weeks with

pathogenic *L. interrogans* (PBS^1LIC^1) to irreversibly lose weight and meet endpoint criteria for

euthanasia before the 2 week term of the experiment (23, 27). Loss of mice due to irreversible

weight loss is not expected if mice are infected with LIC at 10 weeks of age (17), as observed in

the *L. interrogans* control group in the double exposure experiment (PBS^2LIC^2). In both

experiments, mice exposed to *L. biflexa* before challenge with LIC, produced evidence of *L.*

interrogans dissemination in blood, shedding in urine and kidney colonization of the kidney.

Histological inspection of kidney slices (Fig 3) showed that exposure to a saprophytic

Leptospira before challenge supported normal structural morphology and prevented infiltration

of immune cells in both single and double exposure experiments; in addition, it significantly

reduced a fibrosis marker (ColA1) in the single exposure experiment. In the double exposure

experiment, differences in ColA1 fibrosis marker are not significant between the two LIC

infected groups because 10-week old C3H-HeJ infected with LIC are more resistant to pathology

resultant from infection. Our findings are intriguing as they suggest that while prior live

saprophytic exposure did not prevent infection or leptospiral dissemination, it may confer

protection against kidney fibrosis.

Our data also shows that prior exposure to non-pathogenic *Leptospira* before

pathogenic challenge, induced higher antibody titer in the serum, specifically IgG2a antibodies

against *L. interrogans* in both single and double exposure experiments (Fig 4). Increased IgG2a

response in serum is associated with induction of a Th1 biased immune response. Others have

recently found that saprophytic *L. biflexa* induced Th1 responses, higher T cell proliferation and

IFN-γ producing CD4+ T cells (28). Persistent IgM and strain specific IgG responses was

observed during a homologous leptospiral challenge in C57BL6/J mice (22). In our study,

exposure to a saprophytic *Leptospira* induced antibody responses that may provide

heterologous protection against the pathogenic strain of *Leptospira*. This supports a promising

broad-spectrum efficacy. Thus, live vaccines derived from a saprophytic strain of *Leptospira*

could offer broader protection and overcome the limitation of serovar specificity often

observed with killed whole-cell vaccines based on pathogenic strains.

Differences in antibody titer among the *L. interrogans* infected group pre-exposed to

saprophytic *L. biflexa* can be attributed to the robust trafficking and differentiation of B and

helper T cells (CD4+) measured in spleen (Fig 5 and Fig 6). Presence of effector helper T (CD4+)

cells in the spleen indicate a robust cellular immune response as these cells produce cytokines

that play a pivotal role in activating other immune cells, including antibody-producing B cells.

Moreover, our findings align with another observation which further reinforces the potential

immunostimulatory properties of components (polar lipids) derived from saprophytic *L. biflexa*,

indicating that these components could play a crucial role in inducing robust B cell responses

(29). Induction of helper T cell responses along with dynamic transition from naïve to early

effector and effector without T helper memory reflects an orchestrated immune response upon

pathogenic challenge in the saprophytic pre-exposed group that is typical of effective responses

to vaccines. Previous studies have highlighted the significance of activated CD4+ T cells during

Leptospira infection in providing protective immunity to the host and mitigating the severity of

leptospirosis by releasing cytokines (30). Correlating induction of chemo-cytokines by

saprophytic *Leptospira* with subsequent adaptive immune responses, such as the activation of

CD4+ T cells or the production of specific antibodies, provides insights into how innate immune

signals drive the adaptive immune response against a pathogenic threat. It may also aid in

identifying key signaling molecules or pathways that could be targeted for therapeutic

interventions or vaccine design.

While other researchers have explored vaccination strategies using live attenuated or

mutant strains of pathogenic serovars, our approach was to utilize a live saprophytic bacterial

strain which is unique in the field (21, 23, 31, 32). We previously showed that oral delivery of a

probiotic strain, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, reduces the severity of leptospirosis by recruiting

myeloid cells (21) which suggests that a general phenomenon of trained immunity may be

involved.   Current vaccines based in inactivated pathogenic species provide equivalent

protection to the one achieved in this study (5, 33) with the caveat of being serovar specific (32-

34). Although our current study conclusively shows protection from severe leptospirosis after

heterologous challenge, it remains to be shown if protection extends to multiple pathogenic

serovars of *Leptospira*. Using a live saprophytic strain of *Leptospira* as control strategy could

pave the way for development of novel broadly effective vaccines against leptospirosis. Such a

vaccine could have a substantial economic impact if applied to animals of agricultural interest.

Another interesting aspect of our current study is that it shows that exposure to a live

saprophytic strain of *Leptospira* provides protection against a pathogenic serovar. Thus, in the

real-life scenario where individuals or animals may naturally encounter a saprophytic *Leptospira*

species, they may develop immune responses that mitigate severe disease outcomes if the host

later encounters a pathogenic strain of *Leptospira*. By exploring the immune dynamics during

the co-exposure to different *Leptospira* serovars, this study could open avenues of research on

strategies that leverage natural exposure to saprophytic species to devise safe control

measures against leptospirosis. This concept is important for understanding the epidemiological

risk factors of leptospirosis and it should be applicable to other infectious diseases caused by

direct contact between the pathogen and mucosal membranes or abraded host skin.

Importantly, we found that in mice pre-exposed to live saprophytic *Leptospira* there was

a correlation between kidney health after LIC infection (less infiltration of immune cells in

kidney and less fibrosis marker ColA1) and higher shedding of live LIC in urine. This suggest that

a status of homeostasis was reached after kidney colonization that helps the spirochete

complete its enzootic cycle. Additional research is needed to fully understand the mechanisms

involved in kidney homeostasis after LIC infection.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript. All relevant

data are available from the authors.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID),

United States National Institutes of Health (NIH), grant numbers R01 AI139267 (MGS), R21 AI

142129 (MGS). The content of this manuscript is totally the responsibility of the authors and

does not involve the official views of NIAID or NIH.

Competing Interests

The authors have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

MGS is responsible for the overall concept. SK, AS conducted the experiments. SK, MGS

designed the experiments and analyzed the data. MGS secured funding, administered the

project and supervised personnel. SK and MGS wrote the manuscript.

References

1. Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Torgerson P, Martinez-Silveira MS, et al. Global
Morbidity and Mortality of Leptospirosis: A Systematic Review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.
2015;9(9):e0003898.

2.   Haake DA, Levett PN. Leptospirosis in humans. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.
2015;387:65-97.
3.   Health N. Increase in Leptospirosis Cases in New York City. In: Hygiene NYCDoHa, editor.
New York, USA2021.
4.   Health LP. Leptospirosis in Dogs in Los Angeles County in 2021. 2022.
5.   Barazzone GC, Teixeira AF, Azevedo BOP, Damiano DK, Oliveira MP, Nascimento A, et al.
Revisiting the Development of Vaccines Against Pathogenic Leptospira: Innovative Approaches,
Present Challenges, and Future Perspectives. Front Immunol. 2021;12:760291.
6.   Vincent AT, Schiettekatte O, Goarant C, Neela VK, Bernet E, Thibeaux R, et al. Revisiting
the taxonomy and evolution of pathogenicity of the genus Leptospira through the prism of
genomics. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(5):e0007270.
7.   Picardeau M. Virulence of the zoonotic agent of leptospirosis: still terra incognita? Nat
Rev Microbiol. 2017;15(5):297-307.
8.   Giraud-Gatineau A, Ayachit G, Nieves C, Dagbo KC, Bourhy K, Pulido F, et al. Inter-
species Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals a Constitutive Adaptation Against Oxidative Stress for
the Highly Virulent Leptospira Species. Mol Biol Evol. 2024;41(4).
9.   Narkkul U, Thaipadungpanit J, Srilohasin P, Singkhaimuk P, Thongdee M,
Chaiwattanarungruengpaisan S, et al. Optimization of Culture Protocols to Isolate Leptospira
spp. from Environmental Water, Field Investigation, and Identification of Factors Associated
with the Presence of Leptospira spp. in the Environment. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2020;5(2).
10.   Benacer D, Woh PY, Mohd Zain SN, Amran F, Thong KL. Pathogenic and saprophytic
Leptospira species in water and soils from selected urban sites in peninsular Malaysia. Microbes
Environ. 2013;28(1):135-40.
11.   Ko AI, Goarant C, Picardeau M. Leptospira: the dawn of the molecular genetics era for
an emerging zoonotic pathogen. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7(10):736-47.
12.   Guglielmini J, Bourhy P, Schiettekatte O, Zinini F, Brisse S, Picardeau M. Genus-wide
Leptospira core genome multilocus sequence typing for strain taxonomy and global
surveillance. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(4):e0007374.
13.   Zhang JJ, Hu WL, Yang Y, Li H, Picardeau M, Yan J, et al. The sigma factor sigma(54) is
required for the long-term survival of Leptospira biflexa in water. Mol Microbiol. 2018.
14.   Castiblanco-Valencia MM, Fraga TR, Breda LC, Vasconcellos SA, Figueira CP, Picardeau
M, et al. Acquisition of negative complement regulators by the saprophyte Leptospira biflexa
expressing LigA or LigB confers enhanced survival in human serum. Immunol Lett. 2016;173:61-
8.
15.   Shetty A, Kundu S, Gomes-Solecki M. Inflammatory Signatures of Pathogenic and Non-
Pathogenic Leptospira Infection in Susceptible C3H-HeJ Mice. Front Cell Infect Microbiol.
2021;11:677999.
16.   Kundu S, Shetty A, Gomes-Solecki M. Necroptosis Contributes to Persistent
Inflammation During Acute Leptospirosis. Front Immunol. 2022;13:810834.
17.   Nair N, Guedes MS, Werts C, Gomes-Solecki M. The route of infection with Leptospira
interrogans serovar Copenhageni affects the kinetics of bacterial dissemination and kidney
colonization. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14(1):e0007950.
18.   Richer L, Potula HH, Melo R, Vieira A, Gomes-Solecki M. Mouse model for sublethal
Leptospira interrogans infection. Infect Immun. 2015;83(12):4693-700.

19.   Fortes-Gabriel E, Guedes MS, Shetty A, Gomes CK, Carreira T, Vieira ML, et al. Enzyme
immunoassays (EIA) for serodiagnosis of human leptospirosis: specific IgG3/IgG1 isotyping may
further inform diagnosis of acute disease. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2022;16(2):e0010241.

20.   Surdel MC, Anderson PN, Hahn BL, Coburn J. Hematogenous dissemination of
pathogenic and non-pathogenic Leptospira in a short-term murine model of infection. Front
Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:917962.

21.   Wunder EA, Adhikarla H, Hamond C, Owers Bonner KA, Liang L, Rodrigues CB, et al. A
live attenuated-vaccine model confers cross-protective immunity against different species of
the Leptospira genus. Elife. 2021;10.

22.   Vernel-Pauillac F, Murray GL, Adler B, Boneca IG, Werts C. Anti-Leptospira
immunoglobulin profiling in mice reveals strain specific IgG and persistent IgM responses
associated with virulence and renal colonization. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021;15(3):e0008970.

23.   Potula HH, Richer L, Werts C, Gomes-Solecki M. Pre-treatment with Lactobacillus
plantarum prevents severe pathogenesis in mice infected with Leptospira interrogans and may
be associated with recruitment of myeloid cells. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11(8):e0005870.

24.   Santecchia I, Vernel-Pauillac F, Rasid O, Quintin J, Gomes-Solecki M, Boneca IG, et al.
Innate immune memory through TLR2 and NOD2 contributes to the control of Leptospira
interrogans infection. PLoS Pathog. 2019;15(5):e1007811.

25.   Murray GL, Simawaranon T, Kaewraemruaen C, Adler B, Sermswan RW. Heterologous
protection elicited by a live, attenuated, Leptospira vaccine. Vet Microbiol. 2018;223:47-50.

26.   Thibeaux R, Iraola G, Ferres I, Bierque E, Girault D, Soupe-Gilbert ME, et al. Deciphering
the unexplored Leptospira diversity from soils uncovers genomic evolution to virulence. Microb
Genom. 2018;4(1).

27.   Shetty A, Kundu S, Vernel-Pauillac F, Ratet G, Werts C, Gomes-Solecki M. Transient
Presence of Live Leptospira interrogans in Murine Testes. Microbiol Spectr.
2022;10(3):e0277521.

28.   Krangvichian P, Techawiwattanaboon T, Palaga T, Ritprajak P, Kueanjinda P,
Kaewraemruaen C, et al. Impaired functions of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells and
induction of regulatory T cells by pathogenic Leptospira. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.
2023;17(11):e0011781.

29.   Faisal SM, Yan W, McDonough SP, Chang CF, Pan MJ, Chang YF. Leptosome-entrapped
leptospiral antigens conferred significant higher levels of protection than those entrapped with
PC-liposomes in a hamster model. Vaccine. 2009;27(47):6537-45.

30.   Volz MS, Moos V, Allers K, Luge E, Mayer-Scholl A, Nockler K, et al. Specific CD4+ T-Cell
Reactivity and Cytokine Release in Different Clinical Presentations of Leptospirosis. Clin Vaccine
Immunol. 2015;22(12):1276-84.

31.   Lauretti-Ferreira F, Silva PLD, Alcantara NM, Silva BF, Grabher I, Souza GO, et al. New
strategies for Leptospira vaccine development based on LPS removal. PLoS One.
2020;15(3):e0230460.

32.   Teixeira AF, Fernandes LGV, Cavenague MF, Takahashi MB, Santos JC, Passalia FJ, et al.
Adjuvanted leptospiral vaccines: Challenges and future development of new leptospirosis
vaccines. Vaccine. 2019;37(30):3961-73.

33.   Vernel-Pauillac F, Werts C. Recent findings related to immune responses against
leptospirosis and novel strategies to prevent infection. Microbes Infect. 2018;20(9-10):578-88.

34.   Adler B. Vaccines against leptospirosis. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2015;387:251-72.
502

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Weight loss, kidney colonization, shedding in urine and survival to challenge with

***Leptospira interrogans* following a single exposure to *L. biflexa*.** Male C3H/HeJ mice were

inoculated once with 10^8 *L. biflexa* (LB) at 6 weeks and they were challenged with 10^8 *L.*

interrogans serovar Copenhageni FioCruz (LIC) at 8 weeks. A) experimental layout; B) body

weight measurements (%) acquired for 15 days post challenge with LIC; C) mouse survival

within the 15 days post challenge with LIC; D) 16s rRNA qPCR quantification of live LIC in urine;

E) 16s rRNA qPCR quantification of *Leptospira* burden in kidney tissue harvested on d15 post

challenge with LIC and F) 16s rRNA qPCR from kidney EMJH cultures containing live *Leptospira*

previously observed by dark field microscopy (DFM). DFM positive culture from the total data is

represented in numbers under the graph. Statistical analysis was performed by ordinary one-

way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison correction between challenged groups

and their respective controls, *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$ and ****$p<0.0001$, N = 6-8 mice

per group. Data represents two independent experiments.

Figure 2: Weight loss, kidney colonization, shedding in urine and survival to challenge with

***Leptospira interrogans* following a double exposure to *L. biflexa*.** Male C3H/HeJ mice were

inoculated twice with 10^8 *L. biflexa* at 6 and 8 weeks, and at 10 weeks they were challenged

with 10^8 *L. interrogans* ser Copenhageni FioCruz (LIC). A) experimental layout; B) body weight

measurements (%) acquired for 15 days post challenge with LIC; C) mouse survival within the 15

days post challenge with LIC; D) 16s rRNA qPCR quantification of live LIC in urine; E) 16s rRNA

qPCR quantification of *Leptospira* burden in kidney tissue harvested on d15 post challenge with

LIC and F) 16s rRNA qPCR from kidney EMJH cultures containing live *Leptospira* previously

observed by dark field microscopy (DFM). DFM Positive culture from the total data is

represented in numbers under the graph. Statistical analysis was performed by ordinary one-

way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison correction between challenged groups

and their respective controls,$*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$ and $****p<0.0001$. N = 6-8 mice

per group. Data represents two independent experiments.

Figure 3: Kidney histopathology and quantification of renal fibrosis. Representative H & E-

stained kidney tissue sections from both single and double exposure studies are included in A

and C, respectively. The images were captured at 40X magnification. B and D represent the

mRNA expression of kidney fibrosis marker ColA1 by qPCR normalized to endogenous b-actin

expression. Data was analyzed by ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple

comparison correction between challenged groups with their respective controls; * p values are

included in the graphs. Data represents one of two independent experiments.

Figure 4: Detection of IgG1, IgG2a and IgG3 specific to *L. interrogans* in serum from

experimental mice. A) represents IgG isotypes specific to *L. interrogans* in 10-week serum of

mice exposed once to *L. biflexa* before *L. interrogans* challenge. B) represents IgG isotypes

specific to *L. interrogans* in 12-week serum of mice exposed twice to *L. biflexa* before *L.*

interrogans challenge. Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison

correction test was used to compare between challenged groups with their respective controls;

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 and ns= not significant; N= 6-8 mice per group.

Data represents two independent experiments.

Figure 5: Frequency of lymphocytes in spleen of mice subjected to a double exposure of *L.*

***biflexa* before challenge with *L. interrogans*.** A to E) show B cell (CD19+), T cell (CD3+), NK cell

(CD49b+), Helper T cell (CD4+) and Cytotoxic T cell (CD8+) frequencies in groups of

experimental mice. Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison

correction test was used to compare between challenged groups and their respective controls;

**p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 and ns= not significant; N= 3-4 mice per group. Data

represents one of two independent experiments.

Figure 6: Frequency of T cell subsets (CD62L/CD44) in spleen of mice subjected to a double

exposure of *L. biflexa* before challenge with *L. interrogans*. A to D) represent naïve, early

effector, effector and memory subsets of CD4+ helper T lymphocytes, respectively. E to H)

represent naive, early effector, effector and memory subsets of CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes,

respectively. Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison correction test

was used to compare between challenged groups and their respective controls; *p<0.05,

**p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 and ns= not significant; N = 3-4 mice per group. Data

represents one of two independent experiments.

A Single exposure study

H&E (40x)

PBS[1]   LB[1]   PBS[1]LIC[1]   LB[1]LIC[1]

B ColA1

mRNA fold induction

p=0.0003   p=0.3933
p=0.0017

C Double exposure study

H&E (40x)

PBS[2]   LB[2]   PBS[2]LIC[2]   LB[2]LIC[2]

D ColA1

mRNA fold induction

p=0.0354   p=0.1083
p=0.9043

PBS   *L. biflexa*   PBS + *L. interrogans*   *L. biflexa* + *L. interrogans*

A

Single exposure

C3H/HeJ

1 2 3 4 5 | LB | LIC | pi | Euthanasia

6 Wk | 8 Wk | 10 Wk

L. interrogans specific IgG isotypes

OD 450 nm

IgG1 IgG2a IgG3

Groups
- Gr1: PBS[1]
- Gr2: LB[1]
- Gr3: PBS[1]LIC[1]
- Gr4: LB[1]LIC[1]

B

Double exposure

C3H/HeJ

1 2 3 4 5 | LB | LB | LIC | pi | Euthanasia

6 Wk | 8 Wk | 10 Wk | 12 Wk

L. interrogans specific IgG isotypes

OD 450 nm

IgG1 IgG2a IgG3

Groups
- Gr1: PBS[2]
- Gr2: LB[2]
- Gr3: PBS[2]LIC[2]
- Gr4: LB[2]LIC[2]