Salmonella exploits the reactive oxygen species generated by the plant immune system to enhance colonization

Srai Aldin M [1], Tzipilevich E [1,2,3]

[1] MIGAL – Galilee research institute, Kiryat-Shmona, Israel

[2] Tel-Hai Academic College, Kiryat-Shmona, Israel

[3] Corresponding author. Email: elhanant@migal.org.il

Abstract

Understanding bacterial interaction with the plant immune system is essential for building a sustainable food system. Discouraging pathogens enhancing beneficial bacteria and preventing food spoilage and contamination by human enteric pathogens. Immune system activation triggers a burst of toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS). We discovered that the food-poisoning bacterium *Salmonella* exploits the plant ROS to enhance biofilm formation and colonization. Scavenging the ROS with antioxidants or inhibiting their production reduces colonization. Further, combining the antioxidant vitamin C and immune system activation with salicylic acid synergistically inhibited *Salmonella* colonization of the plant model Arabidopsis and edible plants. This safe-to-use combination is an eco-friendly method to prevent plant contamination by *Salmonella*, *Listeria* and enteropathogenic *E. coli.* Finally, we have found that exploiting the plant immunity for enhancing colonization is not exclusive to *Salmonella* and diverse beneficial *pseudomonas* species isolated from plants can also exploit the plant immune system, suggesting that it is a general plant colonization strategy.

Introduction

The increase in the world population, global warming and the reduction in the efficiency of chemical fertilizers and pesticides necessitate the building of sustainable food systems[1]. Understanding plant microbe interaction at the molecular level is an avenue to reach this goal. Better understanding will enable us to discourage pathogens, enhance beneficial bacteria and prevent food spoilage and contamination by human enteric pathogens[2].

Food poisoning by enteric pathogens is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide[3]. The increased consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables (fresh produce), due to awareness of their importance to a healthy lifestyle and the extension of the supply chains, made it a significant source of food-borne illnesses. The Gram-negative bacteria *Salmonella* and *E. coli* and the Gram-positive bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes* are the three main

bacteria contaminating fresh produce[3-5]. Chemical disinfection of fruits and vegetables

adversely affects workers' and consumers' health. Thus, eco-friendly methods to ensure food

safety are highly needed[6].

Research from recent years revealed that human pathogens can colonize plants and may even

utilize plants as a secondary host[5,7]. They exhibit a complex interaction with plants and

activate plant immune responses. Thus, understanding their plant colonization process could

inform rational approaches to fight food contamination. In this regard, utilizing plant

immunity to fight salmonella contamination is extensively explored[6,8]. We have analyzed the

molecular interaction between the enteropathogenic bacteria *Salmonella enterica* and the

plant model Arabidopsis thaliana. As previously shown, the *Salmonella* activates the plant

immune system[9-11], despite exhibition of beneficial lifestyle on Arabidopsis with no obvious

pathogenic symptoms. The first level of plant immunity comprises receptors that recognize

conserved microbial molecules, e.g., flagella, elongation factor Tu, prevalent in both plant

pathogens and non-pathogenic bacteria. Receptor activation leads to a burst of reactive

oxygen species (ROS) and a signal transduction cascade[12]. Specialized plant pathogens employ

a plethora of effectors that target plant immunity, mainly delivered through the type III

secretion system. Thus, they can evade the first protection line and grow to a high number[13].

However, if and how 'non-specialized' plant pathogens including commensal and beneficial

bacteria evade immune system toxicity is much less understood[14].

Bacteria synthesize extracellular matrix to facilitate surface adhesion[15]. The matrix comprises

sugar polymers, protein fibres, extracellular DNA and cell debris. Bacteria encased in a matrix

are known as biofilm[16]. The matrix protects the bacteria from chemical assaults and, as such,

poses a serious challenge for antibiotic treatment[17]. Biofilm formation is essential for root

colonization[15,18]. However, the effect of the biofilm matrix on bacteria dealing with the plant

immune system is not explored in detail. Our research revealed that biofilm formation

protects *Salmonella* from the reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced upon plant immune

system activation. Further, the bacteria exploited the ROS to enhance biofilm formation and

colonization. Thus, immune system recognition paradoxically enhances root colonization.

Revealing the importance of ROS for facilitating biofilm formation and colonization enabled

us to outsmart the bacteria. Scavenging the ROS with antioxidants reduced bacterial

colonization and sensitized the bacteria to the plant immune system activity. The combination

of the antioxidant ascorbic acid (vitamin C) and excessive immune system activation by the

plant hormone salicylic acid (SA) exhibited synergistic inhibition of bacterial contamination.

Further, the combination of vitamin C and SA inhibits plant colonization of various *salmonella*

serovars, *listeria monocytogenes* and enteropathogenic *E. coli*, both in Arabidopsis and in

edible plants, making it a promising method to ensure food safety. Finally, we bring initial

evidence that exploitation of the plant immunity for enhanced colonization is utilized by other

bacteria from the pseudomonas genus.

Results

***Salmonella* exhibited reduced colonization of immune mutant plants.**

We inoculated seedlings with 10^6 CFU/ml of *Salmonella* (the concentration of inoculated

bacteria from here on unless otherwise stated), we used *Salmonella enterica Serovar*

Typhimurium 14028s throughout the paper except for specific experiments. Notably, at this

number the bacteria exhibited beneficial effect and significantly enhanced primary root

length, while 10^8 CFU/ml inhibited root length (Figure S1A), consistent with previous results

showing plant pathogenic effect of *Salmonella* at high concentration [e.g.[11]]. Despite the

beneficial effect *Salmonella* stimulated immune system activation in Arabidopsis (Figure 1A

and Figure S1B), as judged by FrK1 promoter expression, a downstream target of the FLS2

receptor[19] (Figure 1A) and CYP71A12 promoter expression, a gene involve in biosynthesis of

the secondary metabolite camalexin[20] (Figure S1B). To understand how the plant immune

system modulates bacterial colonization, we inoculated bacteria into bbc (*bak1,bkk1,cerk1*)

triple mutant plants, lacking all three co-receptors of the pattern-triggered immunity[21]. We

anticipated overgrowth of *Salmonella* on plants perturbed in immune recognition. However,

when inoculated with 10^6 CFU/ml bacteria exhibited no significant reduction [12% reduction

on bbc compared to Col0 but P = 0.07) (Figure 1B)]. Immune system activation triggers a burst

of ROS production to kill the invading pathogen[22,23]. However, inoculating *Salmonella* into

rbohd rbohf plants perturbed in ROS production in response to immune system activation[24]

revealed a significant reduction rather than an increase in root colonization (Figure 1B),

[compare for example to[23] where Bacilli overgrown on *rbohd rbohf* plants]. Of note, when

seedlings inoculated with 10^8 CFU bacteria exhibited significant overgrowth on bbc mutant

plants (Figure S1C). It has been previously shown that *Salmonella* colonizes the endophyte of

Arabidopsis[11,25]. However, we failed to detect any CFU from crashed roots after surface-

sterilization (we performed 2 experiments with 4 roots in each with zero bacteria detected in

all the roots).

To understand why bacteria failed to properly colonize *rbohd rbohf* plants, we monitored root

colonization by GFP-expressing bacteria. On WT roots, bacteria appeared in long strips (Figure

1C). However, on *rbohd rbohf* plants, bacteria failed to form strips (Figure 1C). Thus, our

results suggest that at low number of bacteria *Salmonella* can resist the activity of the plant

immune system and that ROS production by the plant can even enhance the bacterial

colonization (we discuss the differences between low and high number in the Discussion

section).

Biofilm formation is stimulated by the plant immune system derived ROS and protects the

bacteria from the ROS toxicity.

Biofilm formation is crucial for bacteria to adhere to plants[18]. We examined the colonization

of three biofilm mutant strains: 1. *ΔcsgD*, a master regulator of curli polymers[26]2. *ΔbcsA,*

encoding a cellulose synthase and 3. *ΔcsgB* a minor curli subunit plus *ΔbcsA* double mutant

cells[26-28]. We found that mutant cells exhibited a significant reduction in root colonization

(Figure 2A and Figure S2A-S2B), while PcsgD cells constitutively expressing CsgD[26] exhibited a

significant increase (Figure 2A), confirming the importance of biofilm formation for root

colonization. Notably, the inability of *Salmonella* to form large stripes and patches on *rbohd*

rbohf plants was reminiscent of bacteria perturbed in biofilm formation (Figure S2B). We

hypothesized that plant ROS generated by RbohD and RbohF stimulate biofilm formation on

the root. This idea is consistent with the ability of ROS to enhance biofilm in vitro (Figure 2B).

Consistently, the colonization of *ΔcsgD* cells isn't reduced when colonizing *rbohd rbohf* plants

(Figure 2C). On the contrary, *ΔcsgD* cells exhibited a significant increase in the colonization of

rbohd rbohf and bbc plants (Figure 2C), as well as *ΔbcsA* and *ΔbcsA ΔcsgB* cells (Figure S2A).

Further, treatment of the roots with Diphenyliodonium (DPI), an inhibitor of the NADPH

oxidase enzymes rbohD and rbohF[23] reduced the growth of WT cells while increase the

growth of *ΔcsgD* cells (Figure S2C-S2D). These results indicate that the immune system can

inhibit the growth of *Salmonella*. However, the ability to form biofilm protected the WT

bacteria from the ROS.

The results so far suggested that ROS positively affect salmonella colonization. Thus,

we wondered if chemical ROS scavengers will inhibit colonization. Indeed, vitamin C and

potassium iodide (KI) inhibited *Salmonella* colonization in a concentration dependent manner

(Figure 2D and figure S3A-S3B). We further concentrated on vitamin C, which is a common

antioxidant and is considered safe for human consumption even at high doses. Of note, the

effect of vitamin C was independent of its acidity as we titrated the pH back to normal.

Vitamin C did not affect biofilm formation *in vitro* (Figure 2B), but it can at least partially

abolish the increase observed upon H_2O_2 addition (Figure 2B).

Salicylic acid and vitamin C synergistically inhibited *Salmonella* colonization of Arabidopsis

and edible plants.

The results so far indicate that *Salmonella* exploits plant immunity to enhance biofilm

formation. Nevertheless, excessive stimulation of the plant immunity using the stress

hormone salicylic acid (SA) significantly inhibited *salmonella* colonization (Figure 3A-3B), [see

also[6]]. Of note, SA did not affect the colonization of pCsgD, suggesting that robust biofilm

matrix can protect the cells even from SA activity (Figure 3B). We next examined the

application of vitamin C and SA together. Applying both chemicals together (50µM SA and

1Mm vitamin C) exhibited synergistic inhibition of salmonella colonization (Figure 3C) (The

observed reduction is significantly lower than the theoretical combination of vitamin C x SA,

P<0.05). Neither the compounds nor their combination affects bacterial growth in vitro

(Figure 3D), indicating that their effect is plant specific.

The genus *Salmonella* harbors more than two thousand different serovars, with varying

abilities cause disease in humans. The combination of vitamin C and SA significantly inhibited

the colonization of 11 different serovars isolated from human patients we tested (Figure 4A),

with inhibition efficiency ranging from 60% (*S. virginia*) to 95%-99% in most other serovars.

We next examine if SA and vitamin C can inhibit plant colonization of other human enteric

pathogens associated with plant food contamination. Examination root colonized by

enteropathogenic *E.coli* (EPEC serotype O127:H6) [29] and the gram-positive pathogen *Listeria*

monocytogenes (strain 10403s) revealed the efficiency of vitamin C and SA and the synergistic

effect of their combination for inhibiting these bacteria as well (Figure 4B-4C).

Next, we explored the effect of vitamin C and SA on *salmonella* colonization of edible plants.

Using sterile alfalfa sprouts and Basil leaves (Ocimum basilicum), we confirmed the effect of

vitamin C and SA on *salmonella* contamination of these economically important foods (Figure

5A-5B). Thus, the effect is extended beyond Arabidopsis to edible plants and beyond the root

to plant leaves, highlighting its general effect. Notably, neither vitamin C nor SA inhibits leaf

colonization alone, but the combination efficiently inhibited colonization (Figure 5B).

The experiments so far have been done on sterile plants grown in axenic conditions without

resident microbiota. We further examined the effect of vitamin C and SA on Salmonella while

colonizing non-sterile alfalfa sprouts. The colonization of nontreated plants by *salmonella* was

reduced by two orders of magnitude compared to sterile plants [compare Figure 5A to Figure

5C], suggesting that competition with the resident microbiota interferes with the pathogen's

colonization. Nevertheless, vitamin C and SA further inhibited the colonization (Figure 5C).

Similar inhibition was observed for *Listeria monocytogenes* (Figure 5D). Thus, our data suggest

that SA and vitamin C or the combination of both is effective way to prevent plant

contamination by enteric pathogens.

We finally wondered if other bacteria may exploit the plant immune system to enhance their

colonization the same way we found in Salmonella. To examine this possibility, we monitored

root colonization by a collection of bacteria from the *Pseudomonadota* phylum isolated from

diverse environments. We found isolates that exploit the plant immune system, as suggested

by the reduction in colonization on bbc triple mutant roots (Figure S4). Interestingly, all the 3

isolates that seem to exploit the plant immune system were isolated from the leaf niche and

may evolve to adapt to the plant environment. However, a larger sample size and a careful

comparison are necessary to support such a conclusion. Anyway, our result suggest that other

bacteria can also exploit the plant immune system to enhance their colonization.

Discussion

Salmonella E.coli and *Listeria* are the three main bacteria causing contamination of fresh

produce, leading to enormous health and economic burdens. In order to develop sustainable

and environmentally friendly methods to control fresh produce contamination by enteric

pathogens, we need a mechanistic understanding of their interaction with plants. Our

research revealed that *salmonella* exploits the plant immune system activation, using plant-

produced ROS to stimulate biofilm formation and root adhesion. Interestingly, biofilm

protects the bacteria from the plant ROS, suggesting a feedback loop where 1. colonization

stimulates immune system activation (Figure 1A). 2. The immune system produces ROS to

inhibit the bacteria. 3. Bacteria can utilize ROS to enhance biofilm formation (Figure 2B) 4.

Biofilm protects bacteria from the immune system (Figure 2C and Figure 3A) and further

enhances colonization [see model in (Figure 6)].

Previous research claimed that *Salmonella* can infiltrate the plant endophytic compartment

and exhibit plant pathogenicity phenotype[11,25]. Our results suggest that pathogenic

phenotypes only manifested at high bacterial concentrations, while low concentration exhibit

beneficial effects (Figure S2A). The difference in the number of inoculated bacteria may also

explain why we failed to observe endophytic infiltration in our system. Remarkably, it was recently demonstrated that non-pathogenic bacteria may elicit pathogenic like effects in Arabidopsis leaves when inoculated at high concentrations[30]. Our data suggest that Salmonella face extensive competition from the resident plant microbiota (compare figure 5A to figure 5D) and the number of *Salmonella* is reduced to ~100 bacteria per sprout when colonized non-sterile sprouts suggesting that 10^5 CFU is an unlikely scenario in the real world.

Work on *Salmonella* infection in human and mice also found indications that salmonella might exploit the ROS produced by the immune system[31]. However, such a phenomenon has not been described in plant. We have previously demonstrated that immune system activation enhances *Bacillus veleznesis* root adhesion[23]. The current work further highlights the complex interaction between bacteria and the immune system, beyond merely bacterial killing or growth inhibition.

We further found that vitamin C and salicylic acid synergistically inhibited salmonella colonization, we hypothesize that vitamin C inhibit biofilm formation exposing the bacteria to the immune system activity stimulated by SA like proteolytic enzymes, antimicrobial molecules etc [32]. Our work demonstrates how mechanistic understanding of the complex interaction between bacterial colonization and plant immunity opens a new avenue for dealing with food contamination. We discovered that safe-to-use antioxidants like vitamin C can inhibit plant colonization by enteric pathogens and synergize with immune system activation by salicylic acid. The results from the root of the model plant arabidopsis were also applied to basil leaves and alfalfa sprouts, even under non-sterile conditions in the presence of resident microbiota. Vitamin C and low doses of salicylic acid are safe for human consumption and have other health benefits on their own[33,34]. Further exploring the utilization of this combination on fresh produce in real world settings is a promising avenue for sustainable food safety.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Immune system activation enhances root colonization by *Salmonella*

(A) 7 days old Arabidopsis seedlings (pFrk1::3xmVenus-NLS) were inoculated with bacteria on MS agar plates. mVenus fluorescence was monitored after 18 hrs using confocal microscopy.

Shown are representative maximal projection x20 fluorescent images out of 4 roots from each

treatment. Red arrow mark nuclear localized mVenus expression, scale bar = 25μm.

(B) Seedlings of the indicated genotypes were inoculated with *Salmonella*, and the number of

colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are averages and standard deviations

(SD) of bacterial colonization from at least 2 independent experiments with 4 seedlings in

each. *** = $P < 0.005$.

(C) *Salmonella* constitutively expressing GFP were inoculated into seedlings of the indicated

genotypes for 48 hrs. Root colonization was monitored by confocal microscopy. Shown are

representative maximal projection x20 fluorescent images and the complementary brightfield

images of the same roots, out of 4 roots from each treatment. Bacteria colonizing WT roots

(Col0) appeared in long stripes, while on roots mutant in ROS production (*rbohd;rbohf*) they

did not form these strips ,scale bar = 50μm.

Figure 2. ROS enhances biofilm formation on the root

(A) 7 days old seedlings were inoculated with the indicated *Salmonella* strains on MS agar

plates, and the number of colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are

averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from 3 seedlings.

(B) Bacteria inoculated into LB with no NaCl with the addition of 100μM H_2O_2, 1mM vitamin

C, a combination of both or no addition, in a multi-well plate. The plate was kept with no

shaking at 23° for 5 days, and adhesion to the well was monitored by crystal violet staining as

an indicator of biofilm matrix formation. Shown are average and SD of at least 6 wells, * = P

< 0.05.

(C) Seedling of WT and the indicated immune mutant plants were inoculated with ΔcsgD

bacteria, and the number of colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are

averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from at least 2 independent

experiments with 4 seedlings in each. * = $P < 0.05$, ** = $P < 0.01$. ΔcsgD bacteria exhibited a

significant increase in colonization on immune system mutants, in contrast to the decrease

exhibited by WT bacteria.

(D) Seedlings were inoculated with bacteria on control plates or plates with the indicated of

vitamin C and the number of colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are

averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from at least 2 experiments

with 4 seedlings in each. All the treatments lead to a significant reduction in root colonization

$P < 0.05$.

Figure 3. Salicylic acid and vitamin C synergistically inhibit root colonization

(A) 7 days old seedlings were inoculated with *Salmonella* on MS agar plates with or without the indicated concentration of salicylic acid, and the number of colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from 2 independent experiments with 4 seedlings in each, all the treatments significantly reduced bacterial colonization P<0.005.

(B) 7 days old seedlings were inoculated with the indicated *Salmonella* strains on MS agar plates with or without salicylic acid 50µM, and the number of colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from 3 independent experiments with 4 seedlings in each, ***=P<0.005, N.S= non-significant.

(C) seedlings were inoculated bacteria on MS agar plates with the indicated chemicals: 50µM salicylic acid, 1mM vitamin C and a combination of both, and the number of colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from 3 independent experiments with 3 seedlings in each. ***=P<0.005.

(C) Bacteria were grown in LB with the addition of the indicated chemicals and OD_{600} measured. Shown are averages and SD of 5 independent cultures for each treatment.

Figure 4. SA and VC affect root colonization by diverse human pathogens

(A) 7 days old seedlings were inoculated with the indicated *Salmonella* serovars on MS agar plates with or without a combination of 50µM salicylic acid and 1mM vitamin C. The number of colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from at least 4 seedlings for each serovar. For all the serovars, the P<0.005, except for *S. Bareilly* and *S.* Havana, where P<0.05.

(B-C) Seedlings were inoculated with either enteropathogenic *E. coli* (O127:H6) (B) or *Listeria monocytogenes* (10403s) with the indicated chemicals: 50µM salicylic acid, 1mM vitamin C and a combination of both, and the number of colonizing bacteria determined after 48hrs. Shown are averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from 3 independent experiments with 3 seedlings in each. ***=P<0.005.

Figure 5. SA and VC affect basil leaves, and alfalfa sprout colonization

(A) 5 days old alfalfa seedlings were inoculated bacteria on MS agar plates with the indicated chemicals: 50µM salicylic acid, 1mM vitamin C and a combination of both, and the number of

colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs. Shown are averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from 3 independent experiments with 3 seedlings in each. ***=P<0.005.

(B) Leaves excised from 5 sterile grown 5 weeks old basil and placed on MS agar plates with the indicated chemicals as described in (A) and the number of colonizing bacteria determined after 48hrs. Shown are averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from 3 independent experiments with 3 seedlings in each. **=P<0.01, ***=P<0.005.

(C-D) nonsterile alfalfa sprouts were inoculated with GFP expressing *Salmonella* (C) and *Listeria* (D) with the indicated chemicals as described in (A), and the number of colonizing bacteria was determined after 48 hrs after plating on semi-selective plates and examination under the microscope. Shown are averages and standard deviations (SD) of bacterial colonization from 3 independent experiments with 3 seedlings in each. *=P<0.05, **=P<0.01, ***=P<0.005.

Figure 6. A model describing how *Salmonella* exploits the plant immune system and how we can outsmart it

(A) The plant recognizes the *Salmonella* and activates an immune response and ROS production (1) to inhibit bacterial colonization (2). *Salmonella* exploits the ROS for enhancing biofilm formation and promoting root colonization (3). The biofilm formation further protects the bacteria from the immune system activity and ROS toxicity (4). Reducing ROS by antioxidants like VC inhibits colonization by interfering with biofilm formation (5) and, in turn, makes the bacteria vulnerable to the strong immune system activated by SA (6). Thus, VC and SA exhibit synergistic activity in preventing plant contamination by *Salmonella* and other pathogens.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Edward Miao (Duke), Prof Sheng Yang He (Duke), Prof Ferric Fang (WU), Prof. Niko Geldner (UNIL), Prof Anat Herskovits (TAU), Prof Ute Romling (KI) for bacterial strains and Arabidopsis lines. The research was funded by the Israeli Department of Agriculture Grant code: 21-01-0075.

In memory of Prof. Philip Benfey a great mentor and person.

Material and methods

Plant and bacterial strains are listed in Table S1

Plant growth

Arabidopsis seedlings and sterile alfalfa seedlings were grown on 0.5 MS media containing 1.1 gr Murashige and Skoog basal salts (in 500 ml ddH2O), 1% sucrose, 1% agar and 5 ml (in 500 ml ddH2O) MES (50 gr/l, pH=5.8 with NaOH). Arabidopsis seeds were stratified for 2 d in a 4C dark room and grown vertically for 7 days under long-day light conditions. Sterile alfalfa seedlings were grown vertically for 5 days under long-day light conditions. Non-sterile sprouts were bought in the local market. Basil plants were grown in a sterile box on 0.5 MS media for 5 weeks under long-day light conditions and then leaves were excised for the bacterial inoculation experiments.

Monitoring bacterial growth and plant colonization

Bacterial inoculation and CFU measurement was done as described previously [35]. Briefly, fresh bacterial colonies were grown at 250 RPM, shaking overnight in LB media at 23°. The next day, the culture was diluted 1:500 in fresh LB and grown at 37° for $OD_{600}=1$. We washed the bacteria in 10mM $MgCl_2$ buffer and then diluted the culture 1:100 in 10mM $MgCl_2$. 2µL of the diluted culture was gently applied on the tip of the root/stem (alfalfa)/cut leaf surface (basil) and placed on an ½ MS agar plate with no sucrose. For figure S1A (2) bacteria were added to the MS media before pouring the plates to the concentration denoted. The square plates were kept in a vertical position during the incubation time at 23° under long-day light conditions (16 h light/8 h darkness) in a plant growth chamber. For bacterial CFU counting and microscopy, plants were incubated with bacteria for 48 hrs. Then, the inoculated plant roots were cut and washed three times in sterile water. The seedlings were transferred to a tube with 1 ml of $MgCl_2$ and vortexed vigorously for 20 seconds, then serial dilutions were plated on LB plates for CFU counting or observed under confocal microscope Zeiss LSM980. The whole root and stem were taken from Arabidopsis and alfalfa, while a circle cut of 5mm in diameter was taken from the basil leaves. For colonization of non-sterile sprouts, we used GFP *Salmonella* and GFP *Listeria* and plated the samples on Kanamycin plates for the Salmonella and Listeria selective enrichment supplement containing plates (Sigma) for the Listeria. The identity of the bacteria was validated by monitoring the GFP expression under a binocular (Leica). When indicated, the following chemicals were added to the MS media: salicylic acid, KI, Diphenyl iodonium (DPI) all the chemicals purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The

pH of the MS was titrated back to normal after the addition of salicylic acid and ascorbic acid

with NaOH. For measuring endophytic colonization seedlings were grown with *Salmonella* as

described above, after 48 hrs the seedlings were surface sterilized for 5 minutes in 10%

hypochlorite + 1% Tween 20. Then the roots were washed 3 times in sterilized water, the root

were crushed in 10mM $MgCl_2$ and plated on LB agar plates.

Bacterial growth in the presence SA and vitamin C was measured in 48 well plates. Overnight

cultures were diluted into fresh media with or without the chemicals, and OD_{600} was

measured every 10 minutes for 12 hours in Tecan spark 10M.

Biofilm formation assay

Quantification of biofilm formation was done as described previously [36]. Briefly, the overnight

culture of bacteria was diluted into LB with no NaCl in a multi-well plate. The plates were

incubated at 23° for 5 days without shaking. After 5 days the media was poured, and a solution

of 0.1% crystal violet was added and incubated for 10 min. Next, the wells were washed 3

times with tape water and dried on the bench. A solution of 33% acetic acid was added to

dissolve the crystal violet bound to the wall, and the OD_{570} was measured.

Pseudomonas isolation

We isolated *pseudomonases* from the local area (Kiryat Shmona, north of Israel) from 3

different niches: Local soil, local stream, and mint leaves. The soil was vigorously shaken in

LB. The leaf was ground in LB. The samples were plated on Pseudomonas isolation agar

(Sigma) for 48 hours at 30°. Colonies were re-streaked on Pseudomonas isolation agar to get

pure colonies, and the bacterial identity was determined by 16S sequencing (Table S1).

Data analysis

Root length was manually measured in imageJ. Statistical analysis (student T-tset) was done

in Excel. Data representation in graphs was done in Excel.

References

1 Benaissa, A. Rhizosphere: Role of bacteria to manage plant diseases and sustainable
agriculture-A review. *J Basic Microbiol* (2023). https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.202300361
2 Zdolec, N., Lorenzo, J. M. & Ray, R. C. Use of Microbes for Improving Food Safety and Quality.
Biomed Res Int **2018**, 3902698 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/3902698
3 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/food-safety.
4 Kowalska, B. Fresh vegetables and fruit as a source of Salmonella bacteria. *Ann Agric Environ*
Med **30**, 9-14 (2023). https://doi.org/10.26444/aaem/156765
5 Truong, H. N. *et al.* Plants as a realized niche for Listeria monocytogenes. *Microbiologyopen*
10, e1255 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.1255

6   George, A. S. & Brandl, M. T. Plant Bioactive Compounds as an Intrinsic and Sustainable Tool
to Enhance the Microbial Safety of Crops. *Microorganisms* **9** (2021).
https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9122485
7   Lim, J. A., Lee, D. H. & Heu, S. The interaction of human enteric pathogens with plants. *Plant*
Pathol J **30**, 109-116 (2014). https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.RW.04.2014.0036
8   Melotto, M., Panchal, S. & Roy, D. Plant innate immunity against human bacterial pathogens.
Front Microbiol **5**, 411 (2014). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00411
9   Garcia, A. V. *et al.* Salmonella enterica flagellin is recognized via FLS2 and activates PAMP-
triggered immunity in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol Plant* **7**, 657-674 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/sst145
10   Roy, D., Panchal, S., Rosa, B. A. & Melotto, M. Escherichia coli O157:H7 induces stronger plant
immunity than Salmonella enterica Typhimurium SL1344. *Phytopathology* **103**, 326-332
(2013). https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-09-12-0230-FI
11   Schikora, A., Carreri, A., Charpentier, E. & Hirt, H. The dark side of the salad: Salmonella
typhimurium overcomes the innate immune response of Arabidopsis thaliana and shows an
endopathogenic lifestyle. *PLoS One* **3**, e2279 (2008).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002279
12   Jones, J. D. & Dangl, J. L. The plant immune system. *Nature* **444**, 323-329 (2006).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05286
13   Xin, X. F., Kvitko, B. & He, S. Y. Pseudomonas syringae: what it takes to be a pathogen. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol **16**, 316-328 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.17
14   Fitzpatrick, C. R. *et al.* The Plant Microbiome: From Ecology to Reductionism and Beyond. *Annu*
Rev Microbiol **74**, 81-100 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-022620-014327
15   Yaron, S. & Romling, U. Biofilm formation by enteric pathogens and its role in plant
colonization and persistence. *Microb Biotechnol* **7**, 496-516 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12186
16   Flemming, H. C. *et al.* The biofilm matrix: multitasking in a shared space. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **21**,
70-86 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00791-0
17   Bano, S. *et al.* Biofilms as Battlefield Armor for Bacteria against Antibiotics: Challenges and
Combating Strategies. *Microorganisms* **11** (2023).
https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11102595
18   Morris, C. E. & Monier, J. M. The ecological significance of biofilm formation by plant-
associated bacteria. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **41**, 429-453 (2003).
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.41.022103.134521
19   Zhou, F. *et al.* Co-incidence of Damage and Microbial Patterns Controls Localized Immune
Responses in Roots. *Cell* **180**, 440-453 e418 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.01.013
20   Millet, Y. A. *et al.* Innate immune responses activated in Arabidopsis roots by microbe-
associated molecular patterns. *Plant Cell* **22**, 973-990 (2010).
https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.069658
21   Yuan, M. *et al.* Pattern-recognition receptors are required for NLR-mediated plant immunity.
Nature **592**, 105-109 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03316-6
22   Pfeilmeier, S. *et al.* The plant NADPH oxidase RBOHD is required for microbiota homeostasis
in leaves. *Nat Microbiol* **6**, 852-864 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-021-00929-5
23   Tzipilevich, E., Russ, D., Dangl, J. L. & Benfey, P. N. Plant immune system activation is necessary
for efficient root colonization by auxin-secreting beneficial bacteria. *Cell Host Microbe* **29**,
1507-1520 e1504 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2021.09.005
24   Torres, M. A., Jones, J. D. & Dangl, J. L. Pathogen-induced, NADPH oxidase-derived reactive
oxygen intermediates suppress spread of cell death in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nat Genet* **37**,
1130-1134 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1639
25   Iniguez, A. L. *et al.* Regulation of enteric endophytic bacterial colonization by plant defenses.
Mol Plant Microbe Interact **18**, 169-178 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-18-0169

437	26	Newman, S. L., Will, W. R., Libby, S. J. & Fang, F. C. The curli regulator CsgD mediates stationary
438		phase counter-silencing of csgBA in Salmonella Typhimurium. *Mol Microbiol* **108**, 101-114
439		(2018). https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13919
440	27	Ahmad, I. *et al.* Complex c-di-GMP signaling networks mediate transition between virulence
441		properties and biofilm formation in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *PLoS One* **6**,
442		e28351 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028351
443	28	Grantcharova, N., Peters, V., Monteiro, C., Zakikhany, K. & Romling, U. Bistable expression of
444		CsgD in biofilm development of Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium. *J Bacteriol* **192**,
445		456-466 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01826-08
446	29	Levine, M. M. *et al.* Escherichia coli strains that cause diarrhoea but do not produce heat-labile
447		or heat-stable enterotoxins and are non-invasive. *Lancet* **1**, 1119-1122 (1978).
448		https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(78)90299-4
449	30	Miebach, M., Faivre, L., Schubert, D., Jameson, P. & Remus-Emsermann, M. Nonpathogenic
450		leaf-colonizing bacteria elicit pathogen-like responses in a colonization density-dependent
451		manner. *Plant Environ Interact* **5**, e10137 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1002/pei3.10137
452	31	Rhen, M. Salmonella and Reactive Oxygen Species: A Love-Hate Relationship. *J Innate Immun*
453		**11**, 216-226 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1159/000496370
454	32	Yalpani, N., Silverman, P., Wilson, T. M., Kleier, D. A. & Raskin, I. Salicylic acid is a systemic
455		signal and an inducer of pathogenesis-related proteins in virus-infected tobacco. *Plant Cell* **3**,
456		809-818 (1991). https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.3.8.809
457	33	Suliburska, J. & Cholik, R. S. Risks and benefits of salicylates in food: a narrative review. *Nutr*
458		*Rev* (2023). https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nuad136
459	34	Mousavi, S., Bereswill, S. & Heimesaat, M. M. Immunomodulatory and Antimicrobial Effects
460		of Vitamin C. *Eur J Microbiol Immunol (Bp)* **9**, 73-79 (2019).
461		https://doi.org/10.1556/1886.2019.00016
462	35	Tzipilevich, E. & Benfey, P. N. Phage-Resistant Bacteria Reveal a Role for Potassium in Root
463		Colonization. *mBio* **12**, e0140321 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01403-21
464	36	Trampari, E. *et al.* Exposure of Salmonella biofilms to antibiotic concentrations rapidly selects
465		resistance with collateral tradeoffs. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* **7**, 3 (2021).
466		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-020-00178-0

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A — Sterile alfalfa sprouts

B — Sterile basil leaves

C — *Salmonella non-sterile sprouts*

D — *Listeria non-sterile sprouts*

Figure 6

A

Salicyilic acid

Immune
system +
activity

Vitamin C

ROS

1