Regulation of virion production by the ORF8 signal peptide across SARS-CoV-2

variants

Mir M. Khalid[1,2], Irene P. Chen[1,2], Frank S. Soveg[1,2], Taha Y. Taha[1,2],Takako Tabata[1,2],

Rahul K. Suryawanshi[1,2], Abdullah M. Syed[1,5], Alison Ciling[1,5], Maria McCavitt-

Malvido[1,2], Ursula Schulze-Gahmen[1,2], Jennifer Hayashi[1,2], Ik-Jung Kim[3], Siew Wai

Fong[6], Jyoti Batra[2,10-12], G. Renuka Kumar[1,2], Laurent Renia[6,7], Lisa FP Ng[6], Nevan J.

Krogan[2,10-12], Jennifer A. Doudna[1,4,5], Eric Verdin[3], Melanie Ott[1,2,8,9*].

[1]Gladstone Institute of Virology, Gladstone Institutes, San Francisco, CA, USA.

[2]University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), San Francisco, CA, USA.

[3] Buck Institute for Research on Aging, Novato, CA, USA.

[4]HHMI, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

[5]Innovative Genomics Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

[6]A*STAR Infectious Diseases Labs (A*STAR ID Labs), Agency for Science, Technology

and Research (A*STAR), Singapore, Singapore.

[7]Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore,

Singapore.

[8]Quantitative Biosciences Institute COVID-19 Research Group (QCRG), University of

California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[9]Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA, USA

[10]Quantitative Biosciences Institute (QBI), University of California, San Francisco; San

Francisco, CA 94158, USA.

[11]Department of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology, University of California, San

Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA.

[12]Gladstone Institute of Data Science and Biotechnology, J. David Gladstone Institutes;

San Francisco, CA 94158, USA.

Mir M. Khalid, Irene P. Chen, Frank S. Soveg contributed equally to this article.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

* For correspondence: Melanie Ott, melanie.ott@gladstone.ucsf.edu

Abstract

The open reading frame 8 (ORF8), an accessory protein of SARS-CoV-2, is

prone to deletions and mutations across different viral variants, which was first

described in several Singapore variants. The reason why viral evolution favors loss or

inactivation of ORF8 is not fully understood, although the effects of ORF8 on

inflammation, immune evasion, and disease severity have been described. Here we

show –using clinical ORF8-deficient viral isolates, virus-like particles (VLPs) and viral

replicons– that ORF8 expression dampens viral particle production. ORF8 physically

interacts with the viral Spike protein and induces Golgi fragmentation, overall

contributing to less virus particle production. Using systematic ORF8 deletions, we

mapped the particle-reducing function to its N-terminal signal peptide. Interestingly, this

part of ORF8 is severely truncated in the recent XBB.1.5 variant, and when restored,

suppresses viral particle production in the context of the entire viral genome.

Collectively, our data support the model that evolutionary pressure exists to delete

ORF8 sequence and expression across SARS-CoV-2 variants to fully enable viral

particle production.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, ORF8, Spike, VLP, replication, XBB.1.5, Signal peptide.

Importance

SARS-CoV-2 variants continue to emerge worldwide with advantages in replication and immune evasion. Many variants have acquired distinct mutations in independent lineages to abolish ORF8 expression. To understand the molecular mechanisms behind this evolutionary trend, we utilized reverse genetics, molecular virology, and confocal microscopy to show that ORF8 has antiviral functions by dampening viral particle production and inducing Golgi stress during infection. Our data demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 is continuing its adaption to optimize viral particle production and other unknown aspects of viral infection.

Introduction

Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, numerous SARS-CoV-2 variants have and continue evolving with enhanced spread and antibody neutralization escape. . The SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein has evolved the most with mutations altering its entry and immune evasion functions . Open reading frame 8 (ORF8) is a SARS-CoV-2 accessory protein that has also undergone continuous evolution since the beginning of the pandemic (**Fig S1**) and is often truncated in emerging viral variants without an apparent decrease in viral fitness (1–4). One such viral variant is an isolate from Singapore, where a 382- nucleotide deletion removed almost the entire ORF8 sequence as well as the 3'-end of ORF7b (5). Many other ORF8 mutations are common in circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants. Mutation S84L is now considered a lineage-defining mutation that is fixed in all circulating SARS-CoV-2, including all major variants of concerns (VOCs) and variants of interest (VOIs) (6) (**Fig S1**). The Alpha variant featured Q27Stop leading to the expression of a t runcated protein (4,7). Similarly, a recent Omicron subvariant XBB.1.5 has an even shorter truncated ORF8 due to G8Stop mutation, which has been observed in all XBB.1.5-descendent variants including XBB.1.16, XBB.1.16, EG.1, EG.5 (4). Despite the many ways ORF8 expression is eliminated in SARS-CoV-2 variants, there was no apparent decrease in viral fitness as some of the variants have dominated globally. Hence, intact ORF8 might be deleterious to certain aspects of the viral life cycle

Many studies have demonstrated that   ORF8 is involved in immune modulation

thereby likely being pro-viral. For example, ORF8 downregulates MHC-I expression and

interferon responses (8,9). A characteristic feature of ORF8 is that it is secreted from

infected cells and is readily detected in COVID-19 patient sera (1,10). As such, the

protein has pro-inflammatory properties and binds for example to the IL17 receptor A

(IL17RA), which might harm the host and thus suppress viral replication in the long-term

(5,11–15). Overall, the role of ORF8 during viral replication is pleiotropic and not yet

fully understood.

In the cell, ORF8 is an ER luminal protein, which binds and colocalizes with the

Spike protein when co-expressed (16,17). ORF8 must transit through the Golgi for

proper modification and secretion with the viral structural proteins that are also

secreted. Structural proteins during this transit assemble into a viral particle and leave

the cell as virions, while ORF8 has been shown to form dimers, adopting an

immunoglobulin-like structure with an N-terminal 15-amino acid signal peptide that

allows entry into the ER lumen (16,18,19). Once secreted, ORF8 elicits proinflammatory

reactions through multiple host protein interactions, including binding to the IL-17RA

(12,14,20). Here, we propose that its transit through the secretory pathway in the

presence of the viral structural proteins, specifically Spike, allows ORF8 to regulate viral

particle production directly. We test this hypothesis with virus-like particles (VLPs) that

resemble authentic viral particles containing all four viral structural proteins packaging

cargo genomes containing a SARS-CoV-2 packaging signal (21). Using this tool and

reverse genetics, we investigated the impact of ORF8 on virion production.

Results

ORF8 expression reduces virion production but not genome replication

First, we compared the infectious virion production of the ancestral isolate WA1,

which does not contain mutations in ORF8, and of the Singapore Isolate (abbreviated

Sing), which lacks most of the ORF8 sequence (del27849_28230 (ORF8Δaa1-112)).

We found that the Singapore isolate produces markedly more infectious virions than the

WA1 isolate, supporting a model where ORF8 negatively regulates the viral life cycle

(**Fig.1A**). To determine whether viral RNA replication is specifically involved, we turned

to a replicon system based on the WA1 genome in which we replaced the Spike coding

region with a secreted nano-luciferase (nLuc) reporter and eGFP (22). The lack of Spike

prevents production of infectious virus upon infection. We generated a replicon lacking

ORF8 expression by inserting a stop codon at the second amino acid (Rep-ORF8-Stop)

(**Fig. 1B**). We transfected BHK-21 cells with either replicon plasmids along with a

nucleocapsid protein (N) expression vector necessary to launch viral replication (22–25)

(22–25) (**Fig.1B and Fig. S2B**). As part of viral replication, the Spike sgRNA will instead

produce nLuc that is secreted into the supernatant. Therefore, luminescence from the

cell culture media serves as a proxy for viral RNA replication as has been validated

previously (22). We did not observe any difference in luminescence between the WA1

and the Rep-ORF8-Stop replicons (**Fig.1B**), indicating that ORF8 expression does not

affect RNA replication.

Next, we repeated the assay but included a Spike expression vector in the

transfection to produce single-round infectious viral particles. These particles can be

used to perform single round infection in Vero ACE2 and TMPRSS2 cells.

Luminescence signal after infection indicate the infectious titer of the generated single-

round infectious particles. To investigate the effect of ORF8 expression on particle

production, we used the Rep-ORF8-Stop construct and co-expressed Strep-tagged

ORF8 -or eGFP control plasmid along with the Spike and N expression vectors (**Fig.**

1C). We found that ORF8 expression in producer cells significantly reduced the

production of single-round infectious particles (**Fig. 1D**). We conclude that ORF8 has a

negative impact on particle production or entry but does not affect viral RNA replication.

ORF8 colocalizes with Spike in Cis-Golgi and causes Golgi stress response in

infected cells

During infection, SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins S, N, M, and E as well as

genomic RNA assemble at the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) to produce

infectious virions. We previously showed that ORF8 is an ER-luminal protein and

interacts with Spike when overexpressed (16). To determine whether virally produced

ORF8 colocalizes with Spike during infection, we infected A549-ACE2 cells with the

WA1 and Singapore clinical isolates, and examined ORF8 and Spike localization

relative to GM130, a cis-Golgi marker. ORF8 and Spike colocalized with GM130 only in

WA1-infected cells (**Fig. 2 and Fig. S3**). In addition, we observed Golgi fragmentation

and dispersion in WA1-infected cells, whereas in mock- and Singapore-infected cells,

the GM130 signal showed a normal dotted pattern near the nucleus, despite high viral

particle production in the latter case. This indicates that ORF8 compromises the

integrity of the cellular Golgi apparatus similarly to what was previously observed upon

SARS-CoV-2, flavivirus infection, and flavivirus NS1 protein expression (26–28). These

data indicate that ORF8 colocalizes with Spike in intracellular ER-Golgi compartments

during infection, which appear disrupted and fragmented over time only when ORF8 is

present.

ORF8 reduces VLP production but not VLP entry

To further define the effect of ORF8 on virion production, we generated VLPs in

the presence or absence of ORF8. Similar to replicon particles, VLPs are an authentic

tool for investigating SARS-CoV-2 viral particle production and entry (21,29) as they

also contain the four viral structural proteins S, N, M, and E but instead of a modified or

intact viral genome, they package a reporter RNA with containing the packaging

sequence, named T20 (21). To this end, we transfected HEK-293T cells (producer cells)

with plasmids expressing SARS-CoV-2 S, N, M, and E proteins, a reporter plasmid

containing the T20 packaging signal and firefly luciferase and a plasmid expressing

either eGFP or ORF8 (**Fig. 3A**). Once expressed in HEK-293T cells, the structural

proteins assemble VLPs that contain the T20 reporter RNA and are released into the

culture medium. These VLPs are then used to infect HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2

(receiver cells). The luminescence from infected cells is a measurement of the VLP titer

(21). In a dose-dependent manner, we confirmed that VLPs produced in the presence of

ORF8 show less luminescence in infected cells than VLPs produced in the absence of

ORF8 (**Fig. 3B**).

This can be interpreted as less cellular entry of the VLPs into the receiver cells or

a reduction in producing infectious particles. We, therefore, purified VLPs produced

either in the presence or absence of ORF8 on a 20% sucrose cushion and measured

the proteins contained in this fraction by western blot (**Fig. 3A**). By comparing the

amount of Spike and N proteins on the particles, we found that ORF8 expression leads

to a significant reduction of Spike protein loading and to a lesser extent of N protein

content in the secreted particles (**Fig. 3C-D).** This indicates that VLP production is

reduced when ORF8 is present in producer cells.

To examine further the possibility that virion entry is affected by ORF8, we

generated VLPs without ORF8 and incubated them with recombinant ORF8 before

infecting the receiver cells. We saw no difference in the receiver cells' luminescence or

viability (**Fig.S4A**), indicating that recombinant ORF8 does not interfere with VLP entry

into susceptible cells. We conclude that ORF8 interferes with VLP production in

producer cells but not entry into receiver cells.

We next asked whether ORF8 interacted with the structural proteins within VLP

producing cells. Co-immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed that ORF8 interacted

with the Spike but not with N in the VLP-producing cells (**Fig. 3E**). Due to antibody

issues, we could not determine whether ORF8 interacts with M and E during VLP

production. Notably, our analysis of the producer cells' lysates also showed that ORF8

expression decreased Spike expression in a dose-dependent manner in co-expressing

cells (**Fig. 3F**). This was also observed when we introduced 4-fold more of one of the

structural proteins (S, N, M, and E plasmids) together with steady amounts of ORF8 into

cells to exclude promoter competition or technical issues as an explanation (**Fig.S4 B-**

D). These findings confirm previous data that ORF8 reduces Spike protein expression

and show newly that this happens in VLP-producing cells independently of plasmid

amount or promoter competition.

Given that SARS-CoV-2 VLP production depends on specific Spike

concentrations, we can conclude that ORF8 reduces total VLP production (21). Notably,

we could not detect ORF8 in purified particles. This does not exclude the possibility that

ORF8 can bind Spike on virus particles. Together, these findings suggest that ORF8

specifically reduces Spike amounts in producer cells, thus hindering VLP production

and causing the release of fewer VLPs.

The ORF8 signal peptide (aa 1–15) is sufficient to reduce VLP production.

We tested whether the recent variants Delta or Omicron(BA.1) can escape

ORF8-mediated reduction in VLP production. Interestingly, we saw ORF8 efficiently

reduced VLP production in these variants **(Fig.S5).** In addition, we determined by site-

directed mutagenesis that none of the seven cysteine (C) residues that cause

intermolecular (C20) and intramolecular (C25 with C90, C37 with C102 and C61 with

C83) disulfide bonds within ORF8 are required for the Spike-suppressive activity of

ORF8 (**Fig.S6**).

To find the minimal region of ORF8 responsible for reducing VLP production, we

divided ORF8 into three distinct regions (aa 1–40, aa 41–80, and aa81–121), which we

cloned into an eGFP plasmid to produce eGFP-ORF8 fusion proteins. We also cloned

aa 1–7 and aa 1–26 of ORF8 into the eGFP plasmid, mimicking ORF8 from XBB.1.5

(G8Stop) and Alpha (Q27Stop) variants, respectively. We generated VLP in the

presence of these eGFP-ORF8 constructs. All constructs reduced VLPs production,

relative to the eGFP control plasmid, except for the aa 41-80 construct, which behaved

essentially like the eGFP control (**Fig. 4A**). Interestingly, having the first 26 aa was

enough to reduce VLP production nearly to the same extent as the full-length ORF8,

while having the first 7 aa reduced it by 50% (**Fig. 4A**). The fragments' effect on VLP

production mostly correlated with their ability to bind the Spike protein: we saw little to

no interaction between Spike and aa 1–7 or aa 41–80, but a strong interaction with aa

1–26 and aa 1–40 (**Fig.S7A**). This observation indicates that the first 26 aa of ORF8 are

sufficient to bind Spike and can cause a reduction in VLP production. Nevertheless,

Spike interaction with ORF8 is not necessary for reducing VLP production since aa 81–

121 strongly reduced VLP production even though it did not bind Spike. This last finding

underscores that at least two regions of ORF8 can inhibit VLP production: an N-terminal

portion that binds Spike, and a C-terminal portion that does not. Removal of 40 aa from

the N-terminal, middle, and C-terminal portions of ORF8 confirmed that only the N-

terminal portion is required to bind Spike (**Fig. 4B, S7B**). Since Alpha ORF8 has a stop

codon at position 27 in the N terminus, it still binds Spike, but the lack of the C-terminal

region reduces its additional suppressive effect on VLP production.

ORF8 has a 15 aa signal peptide at its N-terminus. Based on our data with the

truncated ORF8 of the XBB.1.5 and Alpha variants, we speculated that the signal

peptide might play a role in reducing VLP production. We therefore generated a

construct that only expresses the signal peptide of ORF8 and a construct that

expresses ORF8 without its signal peptide and tested their impact on VLP production.

The signal peptide alone significantly reduced VLP production (**Fig. 4C)**. The ORF8

devoid of signal peptide allowed more VLP production but did not fully rescue VLP

production, presumably because it retained the inhibitory C-terminal region of ORF8.

We also found that the ORF8 signal peptide reduced Spike levels and interacted with

Spike, while the ORF8 lacking the signal peptide did not (**Fig. 4D-E**). We performed a

triple alanine scan from aa 16 to 42 in ORF8, and found no changes in VLP production

or interaction with Spike (**Fig. S8A-C**). We conclude that the 15-aa ORF8 signal peptide

is sufficient to bind Spike and reduce VLP production.

Restoration of ORF8 in XBB.1.5 reduces virus and VLP production.

The recently emerged XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, EG.1, and EG.5 lineages share the

G8Stop mutation in ORF8. To determine the relevance of the stop codon, we compared

XBB.1.5, and XBB.1.16 VLP production in the presence of full-length and truncated (aa

1-7) ORF8, compared to eGFP. We saw a significant reduction in VLP production with

full-length ORF8 but not with the truncated version, as expected (**Fig.5A**).

To further prove the importance of the stop codon for viral fitness, we restored

ORF8 expression in XBB.1.5 by converting the stop codon to Glycine (we refer to this

virus as IC-XBB.1.5+ORF8). In A549-ACE2 cells infected by this virus, we saw that

ORF8 colocalized with Spike and GM130, like we previously observed (**Fig.5B**). We

also observed fragmented Golgi morphology upon infection with IC-XBB.1.5+ORF8 but

not by XBB.1.5 (have truncated ORF8). When we infected Calu3 cells with both viruses,

we saw a significant reduction in particle production with IC-XBB.1.5+ORF8 virus at 72

hpi (**Fig.5C**). ORF8 expression in IC-XBB.1.5+ORF8 virus-infected cells was confirmed

(**Fig.5D**). These data indicate that the introduction of a stop codon at position eight as

seen in the XBB.1.5 variant is beneficial for infectious virus production. This supports

our model that ORF8 expression dampens viral particle production that deletions and

mutations affecting the signal peptide of ORF8 are evolutionary events that

counterbalance this suppression (**Fig.5E**).

Discussion:

Most studies so far have focused on the immunomodulatory and host-response

function of ORF8, less is known about the role ORF8 may play in the SARS-CoV-2

replication cycle. We have found that the signal peptide and the C terminal domain of

ORF8 can reduce SARS-CoV-2 virus production by hampering viral particle production.

Notably, we did not find any effects of ORF8 on entry and RNA replication steps. Our

work confirms findings from previous studies, including our own, that described an

interaction between Spike and ORF8, a reduction in Spike pseudovirus particle

productions, but also, importantly, extends our knowledge by showing ORF8 targeting

viral particle productions and causing Golgi fragmentations using live virus, replicon,

and VLP. While we contribute significant knowledge in VLP, replicon and virus-infected

cells, our study also opens several new areas of study..

Our model is that in SARS-CoV-2, which has intact ORF8 or produces signal

peptides of ORF8, viral particle production is dampened, possibly as a way to reduce

immunosurveillance of infected cells (14,16,30) or or as an unwanted effect of ORF8's

other functions benefitting viral replication (8,9,18) (**Fig 5E**). In ORF8-deficient SARS-

CoV-2, viral particle production is not disrupted, leading to increased viral particle

formation (**Fig 5E**). The effect on viral particle production happens through ORF8

binding Spike by its signal peptide. We also found that ORF8 expression in infected

cells can cause Golgi fragmentation.

In case of flavivirus infection, Dengue virus (DENV) NS1 protein can cause Golgi

stress as presented with Golgi dispersion and fragmentation (27). DENV NS1 also has

a 24 aa signal peptide sequence, forms dimer, and gets secreted as a soluble protein

(31,32). ORF8 signal peptide may be behaving in a similar way as NS1. Like NS1,

ORF8 can be secreted by conventional and unconventional secretory pathways (14,33).

Golgi morphology can change when Golgi stress response is activated to cope with

cells' capacity to mature, traffic, and secrete proteins using the Golgi network (34).

Secreted proteins cannot get secreted or delivered to the plasma membrane if Golgi

traffic is disrupted. Previously we saw reduced Spike co-localization in the trans-Golgi

network along with less Spike antigen on cells, when ORF8 and Spike are co-expressed

(16). It is plausible that ORF8 by itself or with other proteins, causes Golgi stress,

reducing Spike availability to be incorporated in viral particles, competing with virus for

its own secretion- hence reducing virus particles to form and exit out of infected cells.

At the same time, secreted ORF8 causes cytokine storms and activation of

inflammatory pathways (14,15). Patients vaccinated with inactivated SARS-CoV-2 have

anti-ORF8 antibodies in their serum- suggesting that the secreted ORF8 (probably in

the inactivated vaccine) can activate immune responses (30). Thus, the secretory

nature of ORF8 can bring more attention to virus-producing cells.

Many SARS-CoV-2 variants encode a truncated version of ORF8 or limit its

expression. The Singapore variant has almost a deleted ORF8, and it has been linked

to reduced severity (5). Similar isolates have been reported in other parts of the world,

such as Australia, Taiwan, and Bangladesh (35). Although these isolates did not

emerge as major variants, their presence shows the continuous evolution of SARS-

CoV-2. In recent lineages, ORF8 deletion or truncations are present in many sequences

(3,4) (**Fig. S1**). For sequences with full-length ORF8, such as BA.5 and XBC, it has

been observed that transcriptional regulatory sequences (TRS) contain a mutation that

is predicted to reduce ORF8 expression. Hisner et al. summarized and analyzed recent

variants with these ORF8 TRS mutations. They predicted that ORF8 ablation would

dominate in future lineages, while current lineages show reduced or removed ORF8

expression (4). The recent XBB.1.5, XBB.16, and EG.5 lineages express a truncated

ORF8, only the N-term 7 amino acids.

It is interesting to see that ORF8 is intact in its natural host. Comparing ORF8 of

Bat coronavirus (RaTG13) with SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 shows different interaction with

monocytes (36), suggesting a potential role for ORF8 in host adaptation. With these

truncations and deletions, it is plausible that SARS-CoV-2 evolution shapes minimal

ORF8 length for optimal viral replication in humans.

Limitations of the study

340   Due to antibody issues, we could not determine whether ORF8 might have some

341 roles in the other two structural proteins (M and E) during VLP production but we

342 successfully excluded interactions with N. While we show a role of ORF8 in viral particle

343 production, we are currently distinguishing between possible roles in viral assembly and

344 egress. We are keen to study the function of ORF8 in Golgi fragmentation and the role

345 of this function on viral assembly in future studies. Further investigation on this can lead

346 to an understanding of the biology of SARS-CoV-2 and the role of Golgi stress in viral

347 replication.

Methods

Ethics

We performed all research following all relevant ethical regulations. All work conducted with replication-competent SARS-CoV-2 viruses was done in an approved biosafety level 3 (BSL3) laboratory and experiments approved by the Institutional Biosafety Committee of the University of California, San Francisco, and Gladstone Institutes. The VLP and replicon work was done in an approved biosafety level 2 (BSL2) laboratory.

Virus

SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020 (BEI NR-52281) and Singapore (EPI_ISL_414378) clinical isolates were used for all live virus infection studies. All live virus experiments were performed in a Biosafety Level 3 laboratory. The virus stocks were propagated in Vero-E6 cells, and their sequence was verified by next-generation sequencing. Viral stock titer was calculated using plaque-forming assays.

Infectious clone and replicon generation

Rep-WT, Rep-ORF8-stop, icXBB.1.5, and icXBB.1.5+ORF8 were generated following pGLUE method in the pBAC SARS-CoV-2 construct as previously described (22). Briefly, the SARS-CoV-2 genome was divided into 10 fragments, which were cloned and later ligated via BsaI digestion and Golden Gate assembly protocol as described by Taha et al (22,37). The ligated plasmid was confirmed via nanopore sequencing (Primordium Labs). For XBB.1.5 infectious clone generation, BHK cells

were transfected with 3 µg of pBAC-SARS-COV-2 construct and1 µg of SARS-CoV-2 N

(Delta) plasmid with the X-treme Gene 9 (X9) DNA transfection reagent (Roche:

6365809001) was added 1:3 as DNA:X9. The supernatant was collected after 3 days

post-transfection and used to infect Vero ACE2 TMPRSS2 cells. The sup from infection

was passaged further to achieve high titer. All viruses generated in this study were

sequenced and verified by NGS. All infectious clone experiments were done in BSL3.

For replicon production, BHK cells were transfected with pBAC-SARS-COV-2 construct

(1), SARS-CoV-2 Spike (0.5), SARS-CoV-2 N (0.5), and ORF8(4) plasmid with

indicated molar ratio with Xtreme gene 9. In experiments where eGFP replaced ORF8,

eGFP amount was used half of ORF8 amount (ORF8 expression was lower than

eGFP), and supplemented with vector plasmid. The medium was replaced 12-16 hours

later and added fresh culture media. Medium-containing replicon was collected 48 and

72 hours later post-transfection. For infection, 100µl of replicon was used to infect Vero-

ACE2-TMPRSS2 cells. The cells were plated in 3X10^4 cells/well in 96 well plate the

day before infection. The day after infection, medium was replaced and 72 hours post-

infection 50µl of sup was used for nano-luciferase assay (Promega, N1120) according

to manufacturer protocol. Luminescence was measured using a TECAN plate reader

(Spark).with 30s shaking, auto attenuation, and 1000 ms integration. At least 3

independent experiments and infection were done in three technical replicates.

Cell lines

HEK293T, BHK-21, Calu3 and Vero-E6 were obtained from ATCC were cultured

in DMEM (Corning) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GeminiBio), 1%

glutamine (Corning), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Corning) at 37°C, 5% CO2. Calu3

cells were cultured in Advanced (Gibco) supplemented with 2.5% FBS, 1% GlutaMax,

and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 37°C, 5% CO2. A549 cells stably expressing ACE2

(A549-ACE2) were generated by transducing with ACE2-encoding (generated using

Addgene plasmid no. 154981, a gift from Sonja Best, Rocky Mountain Labs, Hamilton,

MT, USA) lentiviruses and selection with blasticidin (10 µg/mL) for 10 days. ACE2

expression was verified by Western blot.   A549-ACE2 cells were cultured in DMEM

supplemented with 10% FBS, blasticidin (20 µg/ml) (Sigma), and maintained at 37°C

with 5% CO2. The HEK-293T ACE2-TMPRSS2 cell line was generated using sequential

transduction as described in (29). Vero stably coexpressing human ACE2 and

TMPRSS2 cells (gifted from A. Creanga and B. Graham, NIH, Bethesda, MD) were

cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal calf

serum, 100 ug/mL penicillin and streptomycin (Gibco), and 10 µg/mL of puromycin

(Gibco).

Plaque forming assay

Cell supernatants were analyzed for viral production using plaque-forming

assays. Vero ACE2 TMPRSS2 cells were used for the assay and plated 24 hr before

infection. Then the supernatants from producer cell cultures were serially diluted in

DMEM (Corning) and added on to the cells. After a 1 hr absorption period, 2.5% Avicel

(Dupont, RC-591) was overlaid. The overlay was removed 72 hours later, fixed in 10%

formalin for 1 hour, and stained with crystal violet for 10 minutes to visualize plaque

formation. All plaque assays were done in two technical duplicates from 3 independent

experiments.

Plasmids

The plasmids expressing SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 (ORF8-WT) were generous gifts

from Dr. Nevan Krogan (UCSF, The Gladstone Institutes). An ORF8-WT plasmid was

used as a source for generating all the mutated plasmids. Most of the ORF8 mutants

were generated by designing primers with the desired mutations, generating PCR

fragments with these primers and ligating them. The rest of them were generated with

custom gene fragments (gblock(IDT)). All these mutation-containing fragments, PCR

product or gblock, were ligated using NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix

(E2621L). The ligated product was transformed to Mach1-competent cells. The

plasmids expressing SARS-CoV-2 Spike(S), Nucleocapsid (N), Membrane (M), and

Envelop (E) for VLP production were generous gifts from Prof. Jennifer A. Doudna (The

Gladstone Institutes). All plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. All plasmids

and corresponding sequence information are available upon request.

VLP production, purification, and confirmation

VLPs were produced by transfecting plasmids for all the structural proteins (S, N,

M-IRES-E(MiE)) and ORF8 or EGFP, along with a plasmid expressing firefly luciferase

with the SARS-CoV-2 RNA packaging signal(T-20), into HEK 293T cells, with a slight

modification to a previously described protocol (21). The HEK293T cells were seeded in

a 6-well with 0.5X10^6 cells/well. For transfection, S:N:MiE:T-20: ORF8/eGFP plasmids

with 0.125:0.67:0.33:1:0.4 DNA mass ratios for a total of 2.525 μg of DNA were diluted

in 150 μL OptiMEM. The X-treme Gene 9 (X9) DNA transfection reagent (Roche:

6365809001) was added 1:3 as DNA:X9 added to the plasmid dilution and mixed

properly. This mixture was incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature and then

added to cells. The culture media was replaced the next day. The culture media

containing VLP was collected 48 hours post-transfection, spun at 1000 rpm for 5

minutes, filtered through a 0.45 μm filter, and used immediately for infection or stored at

-80°C for later infection. This filtered supernatant is considered as VLP. For the

experiment, where different ORF8 plasmid amounts were used, a vector plasmid was

added to ensure the total ORF8+vector plasmid amount was 0.4μg. For infection, the

HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2 cells (50K cells/condition) were mixed with 100 μl of VLP

and plated immediately in 96-Chimney opaque flat-bottom plates (Grenier). After 24

hours of incubation at 37°C, the cells were lysed with 30 μl/well of passive lysis buffer

(E1941) for 15 minutes. After that, 50 μl of luciferase assay buffer (Promega, E1501)

was added and luminescence was read using the TECAN plate reader (Infinite® 200

PRO) with auto attenuation, and 1000 ms integration.

To purify the VLPs, the transfection was done in 10 cm2 plates with the same

plasmid ratio with total 24.125ug of plasmid and 72.4ul of PEI was used as transfection

reagent. The filtered supernatant of producer cells (collected 48 hr after transfection)

was combined with 0.5–1 ml of a control lentivirus, placed in an ultracentrifuge tube and

a 20% sucrose solution (1/10 of total volume) was carefully added to the bottom of the

tube. PBS was added to adjust volume and balance, and the tubes were spun in an

SW32Ti at 24,000 rpm for 2 hours. The supernatant was decanted and the pellet dried

for 10 mins. The pellet was then resuspended in 90 ul RIPA Buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH

7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) and analyzed by

western blot. The lentivirus served as a control for concentration. The control lentivirus

was produced with a 1:1:0.34 DNA ratio with plasmids pLVX-EF1α-IRES-Puro: psPAX2:

pMD2.6.

Western blot analysis

Cells were collected by spinning at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes, followed by a 1x PBS

wash. Cells were lysed in Flag lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1

mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, supplemented with Halt protease inhibitor cocktail). For infected

cells or purified VLP, RIPA buffer was used to obtain whole-cell lysates. The protein

concentration in the cell lysates was determined using a DC™ protein assay kit

(BioRad, 5000111). The same amounts of proteins were run on 4-20% Mini-

PROTEAN® TGX™ Precast Protein Gels (BioRad, Cat:4561096) or homemade 6-15%

SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Biorad). The membrane

was blocked in 10% non-fat dry milk in TBS-T and stained with primary antibody

overnight at 4°C or 2-3 hours at room temperature. Blots were rinsed with TBS-T three

times for 5 minutes each and stained with secondary HRP antibody (Bethyl A90-516P

(mouse), A120-201P (rabbit) 1:5000). After that three washes were done with TBS-T.

The blot was incubated with a chemiluminescence kit (Roche 12015200001, Thermo

Scientific™ 34096) and images were captured using ChemiDoc™ Imaging System

(Biorad 12003153). Densitometry was done using ImageJ software. All the antibodies

used in this study are listed in Table 2.

Immunoprecipitation

Transfected HEK293T cells were collected at the 48 hr timepoint and lysed in IP

buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP40, supplemented with Halt

protease inhibitor cocktail). For pulldown, 0.5-1 mg of lysate was incubated overnight

with 30 ul of Strep-Tactin Sepharose resin (IBA Life Science, 2-1201-002), rotating at

4°C. Bound protein was washed five times with IP buffer and eluted with Strep-Tactin

elution buffer (IBA Life Sciences, 2-1000-025). Eluted samples were analyzed by

western blot.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

A549-ACE2 cells were infected with MOI 0.5 and plated onto 22 mm^2 no. 1.5

coverslips. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with methanol on

ice for 10 min, and blocked in 3% bovine serum albumin. Cells were then

immunostained with the antibodies indicated in Table 2. The coverslips were mounted

onto glass slides using ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, P36934) and

analyzed by confocal microscopy (Olympus FV3000RS) using a Olympus UPLAN S-

APO 60× OIL OBJ,NA1.35,WD0.15MM objective. The resulting Z-stack was

reconstructed and rendered in 3D using Imaris software (Oxford Instruments).

VLP entry assay with recombinant ORF8

Flag-tagged ORF8 (conc. 1.7mg/ml) was produced by Chempartner (Batch:

CP20210318-MA, diluted in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris, 200mM NaCl, 1mM DTT,

pH 8.0). We tested 2 concentrations 1ug/ml and 10ug/ml. Three independent batches of

VLPs were produced without ORF8, and 100 ul of each batch was incubated with

recombinant ORF8 for 1.5 hr at 37°C. This was used to infect HEK293T-ACE2-

TMPRSS2 cells that had been plated the day before. 24 hr after infection, cell viability

and infection was meaused using Cell titre Glo assay (Promega, G7571), and

Luciferase assay system (Promega, E1501).

Quantification and statistical analysis

The number of experiments and replicates are indicated in individual figure

legends. Data were processed and visualized using GraphPad Prism. All quantified data

are represented as mean ± SD, as indicated, and quantification details are available in

figure legends. Western blot band intensities were quantified using ImageJ.

Data availability

All data supporting the present study's findings are available in the article,

extended data and supplementary figures, or from the corresponding authors on

request. Source data are provided with this paper.

Acknowledgments

M.O. received support from the National Institutes of Health (U19AI135990), the

James B. Pendleton Charitable Trust, the Roddenberry Foundation, P. and E. Taft, and

the Gladstone Institutes. M.O. is a Chan Zuckerberg Biohub – San Francisco

Investigator. M.O. also thanks Fast Grants and the Innovative Genomics Institute for

their support. J.A.D. acknowledges support from the National Institutes of Health

(R21AI59666) and from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the Gladstone

Institutes. I.P.C. was supported by NIH/NIAID (F31 AI164671-01). M.M.K. and M.O.

highly acknowledge senior scientific editor Françoise Chanut for her valuable input in

editing the manuscript. We thank Chia-Lin Tsou and Tan Yee Joo for helping with

shipment logistics for the Singapore isolate (EPI_ISL_414378).

Author contributions

Conceptualization: M.M.K., M.O.; Methodology and Investigation, M.M.K., I.P.C,

F.W.S, T.Y.T., T.T., R.K.S., A,M.S., A.C., M.M.M., U.S.G., J.H., I.J.K., J.B.; Writing,

M.M.K., M.O.; Analysis, M.M.K., I.P.C., Visualization, M.M.K., Isolate acquisition:

S.W.F., G.R.K, L.R., L.F.P.N., Supervision Funding Acquisition, N.J.K., J.A.D., E.V.,

M.O.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

 Figures

Figure 1: SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 reduces virus production but not genome replication. **A.**

Top: Schematic diagram of WA1 (BEI NR-52281) and Singapore clinical isolate

(EPI_ISL_414378). The Singapore isolate has a 382 nt deletion, comprising the 3' end

of ORF7b and removing almost all of the ORF8. Bottom: PFU assay of WA1 and

Singapore isolate in HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2 (HEK293T-A/T) cells. hpi: hours post-

infection. **B.** Top: Schematic diagram of SARS-CoV-2 Spike-deleted replicon system. In

Rep-WT, the whole SARS-CoV-2 genome except the Spike region was cloned into the

pBAC vector using a pGLUE method previously developed by our lab (22). Spike is

replaced with eGFP-nLuc, which is a reporter for genome replication in producer cells

and infection in infected cells. The nLuc(Nano Luciferase) has a secretion signal

peptide, which allows quantification of replication or infection from the supernatant. In

Rep-ORF8-Stop, a stop codon at the second amino acid (K2Stop) prevents ORF8

synthesis. Bottom: genome replication for Rep-WT and Rep-ORF8-Stop plasmids.

Luminescence of cell culture supernatant at different time points, normalized to Rep-WT

condition at 48h time point. BHK-21 cells were transfected with different replicon

plasmids in the presence of the Nucleocapsid (N) of SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant. **C.**

Workflow for replicon production and infection experiment. BHK-21 cells are transfected

with a replicon plasmid, plasmids expressing Spike and N from the SARS-CoV-2 Delta

variant, and a plasmid expressing Strep-tagged eGFP (control) or Strep-tagged ORF8.

Supernatants are collected at 72hr time points and used to infect Vero-ACE2-TMPRSS2

cells, later the supernatant of the infected cells was used for luminescence. Cell lysates

are collected to confirm protein expression by western blot. **D.** Luminescence of culture

media of replicon-infected Vero-ACE2-TMPRSS2 cells at 72 hpi. For each independent

experiment, luminescence was normalized to the eGFP control condition. For each

condition, three technical replicates were done for luminiscence measurements with

total 3 independent experiments were done. Data are represented as means plus SD.

The P values were calculated by One-way ANOVA test (**B**), Two-way ANOVA test and

two tailed paired t test (**D**). *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$. n = 3 independent

experiments.

Figure 2: SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 co-localizes with Spike in cis-Golgi (GM130) and induces

stress in Golgi (appears disrupted and fragmented). A549-ACE2 cells were infected with

WA1 (BEI NR-52281) and Singapore (EPI_ISL_414378) clinical isolates.

Immunostaining was done with antibodies against ORF8 (Green), Spike (Turquoise)

and the cis-Golgi marker GM130 (Red). DAPI (Blue) was used to stain nuclei. White

dotted square area was enhanced three times. White bar = 8 μm. n = 3 independent

experiments.

Figure 3: SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 expression reduces the production of virus-like particles.

A. Schematic representation of virus-like particle (VLP) production, confirmation, and

quantification. Plasmids encoding SARS-CoV-2 (B.1 lineage) structural proteins

(S(Spike), N (nucleocapsid protein), MiE (membrane and envelope, expressed from the

same plasmid), and the SARS-CoV-2 packaging signal with firefly luciferase reporter (T-

20) were transfected in HEK293T cells, along with either a plasmid encoding a Strep-

tagged eGFP (control), or three different doses of a plasmid encoding a Strep-tagged

ORF8. VLP-containing culture media was collected 48 hr after transfection. This VLP

was either used to infect HEK293T-ACE2-TMPRSS2 cells (HEK293T-A/T) or purified on

20% sucrose cushion for further analysis. For infection in HEK293T-A/T (VLP receiving

cells), luminescence was measured from the cell 24 hr later. Cell lysates were collected

from transfected HEK293T cells (VLP producer cells). Both cell lysates, and purified

VLP were ran on SDS-PAGE gel to assess protein expression by western blot. **B.**

Luminescence readout of HEK293T-A/T cells infected with VLPs produced in the

presence of increasing amounts ORF8, normalized to the eGFP control. For each

condition, three technical replicates were done for luminescence measurements with

total 3 independent experiments were done. **C.** Western blot analysis of proteins in the

concentrated VLP fractions. P24 was added to the VLPs prior to sucrose purification as

a control for concentration. **D.** Spike and N amounts in concentrated VLP particles as a

function of ORF8 plasmid amounts, normalized to the eGFP control condition. Protein

amounts were derived from densitometry analysis of western blots. **E.** Western blot

analysis of HEK293T cells lysates (Input) and co-immunoprecipitation by Strep pull

down (IP:Strep). For immunoprecipitation, the same amount of cell lysate across all

conditions was pulled down with streptactin sepharose resin. **F.** Quantification of Spike

in the HEK293T cells lysates as a function of ORF8 plasmid dose. Spike abundance

was measured by densitometry analysis of western blots and normalized to the eGFP

control condition. Data are represented as means plus SD. The P values were

calculated by One-way ANOVA test. *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$; ns, not

significant. n = 3 independent experiments

Figure 4: Expression of the N-terminal 15 aa signal peptide of ORF8 reduces SARS-

CoV-2 VLP production. **A -C.** Normalized luminescence of VLP-infected cells. VLPs

were produced in the presence of different fragments of ORF8. The ORF8 fragments

were expressed as fusions to eGFP. Luminescence is normalized to the eGFP control

condition in each experiments. For each condition, three technical replicates were done

for luminescence measurements with total 3 independent experiments were done. FL =

Full Length, SP = Signal peptide. **D.** Expression of Spike and N protein in VLP-

producing cells. Densitometry of western blos was used for protein quantification. **E.**

Representative western blot of VLP-producing cells. Immunoprecipitation was done

using Strep pulldown. Data are represented as means plus SD. The P values were

calculated by One-way ANOVA test. *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, $P \leq 0.01$; ***, $P \leq 0.001$; ns, not

significant. n = 3 independent experiments.

Figure 5: Truncated ORF8 from XBB.1 variants increases VLP production. **A.**

Normalized luminescence of cells infected with VLPs from various XBB variants. VLPs

were produced in the presence of different ORF8s. For each condition, three technical

replicates were done for luminescence measurements with total 3 independent

experiments were done. **B.** A549-ACE2 cells were infected with recombinant XBB. IC-

XBB.1.5 (contains naturally occurring ORF8-G8Stop mutation) and IC-XBB.1.5+ORF8

(ORF8 full-length expression was restored by restoring Glycine at amino acid 8 position

in ORF8). Immunostaining was done with antibodies against ORF8 (Green), Spike

(Turquoise) and the cis-Golgi marker GM130 (Red). DAPI (Blue) was used to stain

nuclei. White dotted square area was enhanced three times. White bar = 8 μm. **C.**

Plaque forming assay in Calu3 cells infected with the recombinant XBB.1.5 virus. **D.**

Representative western blot of cells infected with recombinant XBB.1.5 virus. **E.** A

model summarizing the role of ORF8 hampering SARS-CoV-2 viral particle production.

In the presence of ORF8, ORF8 interacts with Spike, reducing its expression. We also

observed Golgi fragmentation and dispersion in isolates that express ORF8. This led to

disrupted viral particle production, reducing viral particle production. The signal peptide

sequence alone is enough to interact with spikes and reduce spike expression and viral

particle production. Without ORF8, all the structural proteins are properly expressed and

particle production is not hampered. We also observed intact Golgi in infected cells by

ORF8 deleted or truncated isolates. Thus leads to increased or normal levels of viral

particle generation. Data are represented as means plus SD. The P values were

calculated by One-way **(A)** ANOVA test, and Two-way (**C**) ANOVA test. *, $P \leq 0.05$; **, P

≤ 0.01; ***, $P \leq 0.001$. n = 3 independent experiments.

661   **Fig 1:**

A.

B.

C.

D.

666   **Fig 2:**

Fig 3:

670   **Fig 4:**

672   **Fig 5:**

Figure 5: Restoration of ORF8 in XBB1.5 reduces virus production

674 **Tables**

675 **Table 1:** List plasmids used in this study.

Plasmid name	content	Addgene No
pLVX-EF1a-IRES-Puro	Vector	N/A
eGFP-2xStrep	eGFP	141395
CoV2-Spike-D614G	B.1 Spike	177960
CoV2-Spike-Delta	Delta Spike	N/A
CoV2-Spike-Omicron	Omicron (BA.1) Spike	N/A
CoV2-Spike-XBB.1.5	XBB.1.5 Spike	N/A
CoV2-Spike-XBB.1.16	XBB.1.16 Spike	N/A
CoV2-N-B.1.1.7	B.1 N	177958
CoV2-N-Delta	Delta N	N/A
CoV2-N-Omicon	Omicron (BA.1) N	N/A
CoV2-N-XBB.1.5	XBB.1.5 N	N/A
CoV2-N-XBB.1.16	XBB.1.5 N (Same mutations)	N/A
CoV2-M-IRES-E	B.1 (M, and E)	177938
CoV2-M-IRES-E-Delta	Delta (M, and E)	N/A
CoV2-M-IRES-E-Omicon	Omicron (BA.1) (M, and E)	N/A
CoV2-M-IRES-E-XBB.1.5	XBB.1.5 (M, and E)	N/A
CoV2-M-IRES-E-XBB.1.16	XBB.1.5 (M, and E) (Same mutation)s	N/A
Luc-T20	Luciferase	177941
ORF8-FL-2Xstrep-eGFP	Full length ORF8 fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-aa1-40-2Xstrep-eGFP	ORF8 aa2-40 fused eGFP	N/A

ORF8-aa41-80-2XStrep-eGFP	ORF8 aa41-80 fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-aa41-121-2XStrep-eGFP	ORF8 aa41-121 fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-Δ2-40-2Xstrep-eGFP	ORF8 except aa2-40 fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-Δ41-80-2XStrep-eGFP	ORF8 except aa41-80 fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-Δ41-121-2XStrep-eGFP	ORF8 except aa41-121 fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-aa1-7-2Xstrep-eGFP	XBB.1.5 ORF8-fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-aa1-26-2Xstrep-eGFP	Alpha ORF8-fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-SP-2Xstrep-eGFP	ORF8 aa1-15 fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-ΔSP-2Xstrep-eGFP	ORF8 except aa1-15 fused eGFP	N/A
ORF8-WT or ORF8-FL	Full length ORF8	141390
ORF8-C20A-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with aa20 changed to Alanine	N/A
ORF8-C25A-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with aa25 changed to Alanine	N/A
ORF8-C37A-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with aa37 changed to Alanine	N/A
ORF8-C61A-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with aa61 changed to Alanine	N/A
ORF8-C83A-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with aa83 changed to Alanine	N/A
ORF8-C90A-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with aa90 changed to Alanine	N/A
ORF8-C102A-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with aa102 changed to Alanine	N/A
ORF8-NoCys-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with all cysteine changed to Alanine	N/A
ORF8-S84L-2XStrep	FL-ORF8 with aa84 changed to leucine	N/A
ORF8-AAA-16-18	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids 16-18	N/A
ORF8-AAA-19-21	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids-AAA-19-21	N/A
ORF8-AAA-22-24	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids-AAA-22-24	N/A
ORF8-AAA-25-27	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids-AAA-25-27	N/A

ORF8-AAA-28-30	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids-AAA-28-30	N/A
ORF8-AAA-31-33	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids-AAA-31-33	N/A
ORF8-AAA-34-36	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids-AAA-34-36	N/A
ORF8-AAA-37-39	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids-AAA-37-39	N/A
ORF8-AAA-40-42	FL-ORF8 with triple Alanine substitution at amino acids-AAA-40-42	N/A
Rep-ORF8-WT	Spike deleted (replaced with eGFP-nLuc) Replicon (pBAC) plasmid of WA1 expressing ORF8	N/A
Rep-ORF8-Stop	Spike deleted (replaced with eGFP-nLuc) Replicon (pBAC) plasmid of WA1 with ORF8 having stop codon at 2nd amino acid	N/A
IC-XBB.1.5	Infectious clone (pBAC) plasmid of XBB.1.5 expressing trancated ORF8 (G8Stop)	N/A
IC-XBB.1.5+ORF8	Infectious clone(pBAC) plasmid of XBB.1.5 expressing full length ORF8	N/A

677 **Table 2:** List antibodies used in this study.

Antibody	Manufacturer	Cat: No
SARS-CoV2 Spike(S2)	Abcam	ab272504
SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 Alexa 647	R&Dsystems	FAB105403R
SARS-CoV2 Spike Alexa 594	Novus Biologicals	NBP2-90980AF594
SARS-CoV2 Nucleocapsid (N)	Abcam	ab273434
SARS-CoV2 Nucleocapsid (N)	Sino-biological	40143-MM05
SARS-CoV2 ORF8	Abcam	ab283914
Anti-HIV-1 P24	Sigma Aldrich	SAB3500946
Strep Tag II	Qiagen	1023944
Flag	Sigma Aldrich	F3165
hACE2	Proteintech	28868-1-AP
hTMPRSS2	Abcam	ab109131
GAPDH	Cell Signaling	5174S
GAPDH	Santa Cruz	sc-365062
GM130- Alexa Fluor™ 555	ThermoFisher Scientific	PA1-077-A555
Hoescht 33342	Invitrogen	H3570
Mouse IgG-AlexaFluor549	Invitrogen	A11005
Rabbit IgG-AlexaFluor488	Invitrogen	A11008
Rabbit IgG-HRP	Bethyl	A120-201P
Mouse IgG-HRP	Bethyl	A90-516P

References

1. Chan JFW, Kok KH, Zhu Z, Chu H, To KKW, Yuan S, et al. Genomic characterization of the 2019 novel human-pathogenic coronavirus isolated from a patient with atypical pneumonia after visiting Wuhan. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020 Jan 28;9(1):221–36.

2. Alkhansa A, Lakkis G, El Zein L. Mutational analysis of SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 during six months of COVID-19 pandemic. Gene Rep. 2021 Jun 1;23:101024.

3. Zinzula L. Lost in deletion: The enigmatic ORF8 protein of SARS-CoV-2. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2021 Jan 29;538:116–24.

4. Ryan Hisner, Federico Gueli, Thomas P. Peacock. Virological. 2023 [cited 2023 Dec 5]. Repeated loss of ORF8 expression in circulating SARS-CoV-2 lineages - SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus. Available from: https://virological.org/t/repeated-loss-of-orf8-expression-in-circulating-sars-cov-2-lineages/931

5. Young BE, Fong SW, Chan YH, Mak TM, Ang LW, Anderson DE, et al. Effects of a major deletion in the SARS-CoV-2 genome on the severity of infection and the inflammatory response: an observational cohort study. The Lancet. 2020 Aug 29;396(10251):603–11.

6. Tang X, Wu C, Li X, Song Y, Yao X, Wu X, et al. On the origin and continuing evolution of SARS-CoV-2. Natl Sci Rev. 2020 Jun;7(6):1012–23.

7. Pereira F. SARS-CoV-2 variants combining spike mutations and the absence of ORF8 may be more transmissible and require close monitoring. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2021 Apr;550:8–14.

8. Zhang Y, Chen Y, Li Y, Huang F, Luo B, Yuan Y, et al. The ORF8 protein of SARS-CoV-2 mediates immune evasion through down-regulating MHC-I. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021 Jun 8;118(23):e2024202118.

9. Li JY, Liao CH, Wang Q, Tan YJ, Luo R, Qiu Y, et al. The ORF6, ORF8 and nucleocapsid proteins of SARS-CoV-2 inhibit type I interferon signaling pathway. Virus Res. 2020 Sep;286:198074.

10. Wang X, Lam JY, Wong WM, Yuen CK, Cai JP, Au SWN, et al. Accurate Diagnosis of COVID-19 by a Novel Immunogenic Secreted SARS-CoV-2 orf8 Protein. mBio. 2020 Oct 20;11(5):e02431-20.

11. Lin X, Fu B, Yin S, Li Z, Liu H, Zhang H, et al. ORF8 contributes to cytokine storm during SARS-CoV-2 infection by activating IL-17 pathway. iScience. 2021 Apr;24(4):102293.

12. Ponde NO, Shoger KE, Khatun MS, Sarkar MK, Dey I, Taylor TC, et al. SARS-CoV-
2 ORF8 Mediates Signals in Macrophages and Monocytes through MyD88
Independently of the IL-17 Receptor. J Immunol. 2023 Jun 2;211(2):252–60.

13. Wu X, Xia T, Shin WJ, Yu KM, Jung W, Herrmann A, et al. Viral Mimicry of
Interleukin-17A by SARS-CoV-2 ORF8. Damania B, editor. mBio. 2022 Apr
26;13(2):e00402-22.

14. Lin X, Fu B, Xiong Y, Xing N, Xue W, Guo D, et al. Unconventional secretion of
unglycosylated ORF8 is critical for the cytokine storm during SARS-CoV-2 infection.
Perlman S, editor. PLOS Pathog. 2023 Jan 23;19(1):e1011128.

15. Wu X, Manske MK, Ruan GJ, Witter TL, Nowakowski KE, Abeykoon JP, et al.
Secreted ORF8 induces monocytic pro-inflammatory cytokines through NLRP3
pathways in patients with severe COVID-19. iScience. 2023 Jun;26(6):106929.

16. Kim IJ, Lee Y ho, Khalid MM, Chen IP, Zhang Y, Ott M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 protein
ORF8 limits expression levels of Spike antigen and facilitates immune evasion of
infected host cells. J Biol Chem. 2023 Aug;299(8):104955.

17. Chou JM, Tsai JL, Hung JN, Chen IH, Chen ST, Tsai MH. The ORF8 Protein of
SARS-CoV-2 Modulates the Spike Protein and Its Implications in Viral Transmission.
Front Microbiol [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Dec 5];13. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.883597

18. Matsuoka K, Imahashi N, Ohno M, Ode H, Nakata Y, Kubota M, et al. SARS-CoV-2
accessory protein ORF8 is secreted extracellularly as a glycoprotein homodimer. J
Biol Chem. 2022 Mar;298(3):101724.

19. Flower TG, Buffalo CZ, Hooy RM, Allaire M, Ren X, Hurley JH. Structure of SARS-
CoV-2 ORF8, a rapidly evolving immune evasion protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021
Jan 12;118(2):e2021785118.

20. Gordon DE, Jang GM, Bouhaddou M, Xu J, Obernier K, White KM, et al. A SARS-
CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. Nature. 2020
Jul;583(7816):459–68.

21. Syed AM, Taha TY, Tabata T, Chen IP, Ciling A, Khalid MM, et al. Rapid
assessment of SARS-CoV-2–evolved variants using virus-like particles. Science.
2021 Dec 24;374(6575):1626–32.

22. Taha TY, Chen IP, Hayashi JM, Tabata T, Walcott K, Kimmerly GR, et al. Rapid
assembly of SARS-CoV-2 genomes reveals attenuation of the Omicron BA.1 variant
through NSP6. Nat Commun. 2023 Apr 21;14(1):2308.

23. Thi Nhu Thao T, Labroussaa F, Ebert N, V'kovski P, Stalder H, Portmann J, et al.
Rapid reconstruction of SARS-CoV-2 using a synthetic genomics platform. Nature.
2020 Jun;582(7813):561–5.

24. Zhang Y, Song W, Chen S, Yuan Z, Yi Z. A bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)-
vectored noninfectious replicon of SARS-CoV-2. Antiviral Res. 2021 Jan
1;185:104974.

25. Kim BK, Choi WS, Jeong JH, Oh S, Park JH, Yun YS, et al. A Rapid Method for
Generating Infectious SARS-CoV-2 and Variants Using Mutagenesis and Circular
Polymerase Extension Cloning. Microbiol Spectr. 2023 Mar 6;11(2):e03385-22.

26. Scherer KM, Mascheroni L, Carnell GW, Wunderlich LCS, Makarchuk S, Brockhoff
M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein adheres to replication organelles before
viral assembly at the Golgi/ERGIC and lysosome-mediated egress. Sci Adv. 2022
Jan 7;8(1):eabl4895.

27. Viettri M, Zambrano JL, Rosales R, Caraballo GI, Gutiérrez-Escolano AL, Ludert JE.
Flavivirus infections induce a Golgi stress response in vertebrate and mosquito cells.
Sci Rep. 2021 Dec 6;11(1):23489.

28. Zhang J, Kennedy A, Xing L, Bui S, Reid W, Joppich J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 triggers
Golgi fragmentation via down-regulation of GRASP55 to facilitate viral trafficking
[Internet]. Cell Biology; 2022 Mar [cited 2024 Jan 31]. Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.03.04.483074

29. Syed AM, Ciling A, Taha TY, Chen IP, Khalid MM, Sreekumar B, et al. Omicron
mutations enhance infectivity and reduce antibody neutralization of SARS-CoV-2
virus-like particles. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2022 Aug 2;119(31):e2200592119.

30. Cheng SMS, Lau JJ, Tsang LCH, Leung K, Lee CK, Hachim A, et al. Serological
assays for differentiating natural COVID-19 infection from vaccine induced immunity.
J Clin Virol. 2024 Feb;170:105621.

31. Falgout B, Chanock R, Lai CJ. Proper processing of dengue virus nonstructural
glycoprotein NS1 requires the N-terminal hydrophobic signal sequence and the
downstream nonstructural protein NS2a. J Virol. 1989 May;63(5):1852–60.

32. Flamand M, Megret F, Mathieu M, Lepault J, Rey FA, Deubel V. Dengue Virus Type
1 Nonstructural Glycoprotein NS1 Is Secreted from Mammalian Cells as a Soluble
Hexamer in a Glycosylation-Dependent Fashion. J Virol. 1999 Jul;73(7):6104–10.

33. Zhang S, He Y, Wu Z, Wang M, Jia R, Zhu D, et al. Secretory pathways and
multiple functions of nonstructural protein 1 in flavivirus infection. Front Immunol.
2023 Jul 13;14:1205002.

34. Kim WK, Choi W, Deshar B, Kang S, Kim J. Golgi Stress Response: New Insights
into the Pathogenesis and Therapeutic Targets of Human Diseases. Mol Cells. 2023
Apr;46(4):191–9.

35. Su YCF, Anderson DE, Young BE, Linster M, Zhu F, Jayakumar J, et al. Discovery
and Genomic Characterization of a 382-Nucleotide Deletion in ORF7b and ORF8
during the Early Evolution of SARS-CoV-2. mBio. 2020 Jul 21;11(4):e01610-20.

36. Chen X, Zhou Z, Huang C, Zhou Z, Kang S, Huang Z, et al. Crystal Structures of
Bat and Human Coronavirus ORF8 Protein Ig-Like Domain Provide Insights Into the
Diversity of Immune Responses. Front Immunol. 2021 Dec 17;12:807134.

37. Suryawanshi RK, Taha TY, McCavitt-Malvido M, Silva I, Khalid MM, Syed AM, et al.
Previous exposure to Spike-providing parental strains confers neutralizing immunity
to XBB lineage and other SARS-CoV-2 recombinants in the context of vaccination.
Emerg Microbes Infect. 2023 Dec 8;12(2):2270071.

38. Hadfield J, Megill C, Bell SM, Huddleston J, Potter B, Callender C, et al. Nextstrain:
real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics. 2018 Dec 1;34(23):4121–3.

39. Emma B. Hodcroft. CoVariants: SARS-CoV-2 Mutations and Variants of Interest.
[cited 2024 Jan 2]. CoVariants: Variants. Available from:
https://covariants.org/variants

798