Unraveling the Role of MIXL1 Activation in Endoderm Differentiation of Isogenic Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells

Pierre Osteil,[1][*][φ] Sarah Withey,[3] Nicole Santucci,[1]
Nader Aryamanesh,[4] Ignatius Pang,[4] Nazmus Salehin,[2] Jane Sun,[1]
Annie Qin,[1] Jiayi Su,[1] Hilary Knowles,[1] Simon Cai,[4] George Craft,[5] Mark Graham,[5]
Xiucheng Bella Li,[1] Ernst Wolvetang,[3] and Patrick P. L. Tam, [1,2][*]

[1]Embryology Research Unit, Children's Medical Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia,
[2]School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Australia,
[3]Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology, University of Queensland, Australia
[4]Bioinformatics Group, Children's Medical Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia,
[5], Biomedical Proteomics, Children's Medical Research Institute, University of Sydney, Australia
[*] To whom correspondence should be addressed; E-mail: pierre.osteil@uca.fr, ptam@cmri.org.au
[φ] Present address: Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, INSERM, GReD Institute, Faculté de Médecine, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.

Human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSC) possess the ability to differentiate into a multitude of tissue types but display heterogeneity in the propensity of differentiation into specific tissue lineages. An examination of isogenic hiPSC lines revealed variations in the endoderm propensity under directed differentiation conditions. Characterization of the transcriptome and proteome of the hiPSC lines showed that *MIXL1* activity at the early differentiation stage correlated with the efficacy of generating definitive endoderm and further differentiation into endoderm derivatives. Enforced expression of *MIXL1* in the endoderm-incompetent hiPSCs enhanced the propensity of endoderm differentiation, suggesting that modulation of key drivers of lineage differentiation can re-wire hiPSC to the desired lineage propensity for stem cell products.

Introduction

Human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) are noted for their ability to differentiate into a multitude of cell and tissue types [1–6]. Many protocols have been developed to direct the differentiation of hiPSCs to desirable types of cells or tissues, including the endoderm precursor, the definitive endoderm[7–10] and endoderm derivatives such as intestinal cells [11–13] pancreatic cells[14] and hepatocytes[15–18]. A recent study of a bank of hiPSC lines derived from 125 individuals revealed that hiPSC lines respond differently when directed to differentiate to definitive endoderm[19], suggesting that there is innate heterogeneity in the propensity for endoderm differentiation among hiPSC lines. In this study the lineage propensity has been linked to specific quantitative trait loci (QTL). Both genetic determinants [20–22], and somatic or epigenetic memory related to the cell/tissue of origin[23–26], have been shown to underpin the variable lineage specification and differentiation propensity of hiPSCs. The impact of epigenetic memory on establishment of functional tissue nevertheless remains unresolved, even when examining isogenic cell lines from the same cellular resource and reprogrammed under the same

conditions[27]. In the present study, we investigated the propensity of endoderm differentiation of
eleven pluripotent lines of four sets of isogenic hiPSCs by tracking the differentiation from
pluripotent cells to definitive endoderm (DE), hepatocytes and intestinal organoids (hIO). We
showed that in isogenic hiPSCs, early activation and a high level of *MIXL1* expression were
associated with enhanced propensity of endoderm differentiation. In the mouse embryo, *Mixl1*
is expressed in the primitive streak and the nascent mesoderm during gastrulation and
expression persists in the primitive streak of the early-somite-stage embryo[28,29]. Loss of Mixl1
function is associated with deficiency of DE and under-expansion of the nascent mesoderm[30].
In the mouse embryonic stem cells, loss of *Mixl1* function leads to inefficient differentiation of
lateral mesoderm tissue and hematopoietic lineages[31], whereas constitutive *Mixl1* activity
promotes the differentiation of Foxa2+/ECad+ DE cells[32]. In mouse epiblast stem cells, activation
of *Mixl1* at the early phase of differentiation correlates with improved endoderm differentiation[28].
Analysis of the molecular attributes of DE differentiation revealed that the activity of *MIXL1* at
the early phase of hiPSC differentiation promotes the differentiation of SOX17+ DE cells when
confined to defined size micropattern[33]. We further showed that enhanced expression of *MIXL1*
in hiPSCs augmented endoderm propensity, advancing the understanding of how lineage
propensity can be re-wired to generate fit-for-purpose pluripotent stem cells for translational
application.

Results

Early onset of gastrulation is necessary for definitive endoderm formation

Eleven hiPSC lines from four isogenic groups (two males and two females)[34,35] were subjected
to DE differentiation by following the manufacturer instruction (STEMDiff definitive endoderm
protocol) (Figure 1A) and assessed for expression of FOXA2 and SOX17 on Day 4 of
differentiation (Figure 1B and C, Supplementary Figure S1A and B). Among the hiPSCs, C32
had the lowest expression of both endodermal transcription factors, despite comparable cell
morphology to other cell lines (Figure 1C), suggesting that this cell line is not amenable to
definitive endoderm differentiation. To track the developmental trajectory, cells were collected in
triplicate every day from Day 0 (pluripotency) to Day 4 (DE), and the expression of 96 genes
involved in regulating pluripotency to gastrulation was profiled. Line C32 showed the least
progression across the 4 days of differentiation in the PCA plot (Figure 1D). By taking PC1 as
an endoderm differentiation efficiency proxy[19], to infer an endoderm specification pseudotime,
the average of triplicate PC eigenvalue along the PC1 axis was calculated to rank the hiPSC
lines (Figure 1E). The results show that C32 ranked last, indicating that low DE differentiation
efficiency could account for the differentiation failure previously suggested by the absence of
FOXA2 and SOX17 double positive cells.

To unveil the earliest manifestation of such discrepancies, the same PCA as Figure 1D was
plotted for each day, to discern differences among cell lines that may not be visible on the full
timeline of differentiation (Figure 1F). The C32 line displayed disparity on the main axis from the
cohort at Day 1 (Figure 1G). The low differentiation efficiency does not appear to be linked to a
slower down-regulation of pluripotency factors as there are no significant differences among the
11 cell lines for *POU5F1, NANOG, SOX2, PRDM14, ZFP42* and *FGF5* mRNA expression

Figure 1 – Definitive endoderm differentiation heterogeneity among isogenic lines: **A)** Differentiation protocol used to generate definitive endoderm (DE) cells; **B)** Immunostaining images of DE cells on FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta), scale bar = 100µm; **C)** Signal intensity measurement of immunostaining of panel B, n= 3. **D)** PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on DE differentiation time courses. Each day is represented by the same color as Figure 1A (inserted on top left). Purple squares highlight C32 at Day 4 of DE differentiation; **E)** PC1 axis projection of hiPSC at Day 4 of DE differentiation representing efficiency of differentiation as pseudotime. C32 is highlighted in purple. **F)** PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on DE differentiation, identical dataset to figure 1D, but plotted for each day. C32 is highlighted in purple; **G)** Genes' contribution to PC1 and PC2 axis of the Day 1 PCA of Figure 2F. The position of the arrows correlates with the position of the samples on the PCA at Day 1. Colour scale is between 0 and 1. A score of 1 indicates maximum contribution of a particular gene to PC1. **H)** Genes' expression time course during DE differentiation. C32 is highlighted in purple. p.value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001.

down-regulated at Day1 in C32 compared to the cohort (Figure 1H). C32 also has the lowest levels of expression of *SOX17, HHEX, OTX2* and *LHX1* at Day 4. *KRT19, AXIN2, GATA6* and *GATA4* are expressed at a lower level in the C32 line, although this did not reach significance (Supplementary Figure S2Aii). Surprisingly, *FOXA2* and *NODAL* are not dysregulated in the C32 line. In addition, mesodermal genes (*BMP4, MYH7, KLF5, KDR, PDGRFa* and *CD34*) (Supplementary Figure S2Aiii) as well as ectodermal genes (*KRT10, SOX1, NES, FOXD3, PAX6* and *DCX*) (Supplementary Figure S2Aiv) showed no significant difference between C32 and other lines.

In conclusion, the inability of C32 to activate the molecular program of germ layer differentiation to a threshold level may be predictive of the low endoderm propensity of this hiPSC line.

Low endodermal propensity line fails to progress toward functional tissue

Human iPSC lineage propensity was further assessed by the outcome of differentiation into two endoderm derivatives, hepatocytes (HCm) and intestinal organoids (hIOs) (Figure 2A). C32 was compared to the higher DE propensity cell line C11[34,35]. Both cell lines were able to differentiate into hepatocytes (Figure 2C). Microfluidic RT-qPCR analysis of genes specific to hepatocyte development did not reveal any major differences in the transcriptome between these two cell lines during hepatocyte differentiation (Figure 2B). The phenotype of AAT- and ALB-expressing hepatocytes was also similar (Figure 2C, D and Supplementary Figure S1C). However, C32-derived hepatocytes showed lower Cytochrome P450 3A4 activity across replicates (Figure 2E) indicating that C32 hepatocytes might have a less efficient metabolism compared to C11.

In parallel, hIOs were generated from these two cell lines (Figure 2F). At the mid/hindgut budding spheroid stage, the C32 line generated fewer spheroids than the C11 line (Figure 2Gi). The few spheroids that were successfully generated were embedded into Matrigel but did not grow as well as C11 spheroids (Figure 2Gii). Consequently, hIO development was arrested early after passage 3 (Figure 2Giii). The hIO differentiation of C32 line was repeated with changes in seeding densities and batches of cells, but the outcome remained unsuccessful (data not shown). Microfluidic RT-qPCR analysis of genes specific to intestinal organoid differentiation did not show any discernible signature that could be indicative of inefficient differentiation prior to the hIO development failure of the C32 cell line (Figure 2H). In contrast, C11 derived hIOs harbor the representative cell types of the intestinal epithelium: enterocytes (CDX2+), intestinal stem cells (SOX9), enteroendocrine cells (CHGA), goblet cells (UEA-1) and Paneth cells (LYZ) (Figure 2I).

Together, these results indicate that C32 behaved differently from the C11 line, and displayed a lower propensity for endoderm differentiation. The C32 cell line therefore was labelled as a hiPSC line that is refractory to endoderm differentiation in our subsequent analyses.

Hippo signaling is up regulated in the refractory cell line

Bulk RNA-seq was performed at Day 1 of DE differentiation to discover genes involved in differential regulation of endodermal propensity at the early phase of germ layer differentiation

Figure 2 – Low endodermal propensity fails to produce functional tissue: A) Differentiation protocol used to generate hepatocytes from C32 and C11; **B)** PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on hepatocyte differentiation; **C)** Brightfield pictures of hepatocytes differentiation; **D)** Immunostaining images on AAT (green) and ALB (magenta) markers of hepatocytes differentiation; **E)** Results of fluorescent analysis of CYP3A4 activity; **F)** Differentiation protocol used to generate intestinal organoids; **G)** Brightfield pictures of intestinal organoid differentiation at the Hindgut stage (i), spheroid generation stage (ii) and maintenance stage (iii); **H)** PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on intestinal organoid differentiation; **I)** Immunostaining of intestinal organoids of C11 cell lines on different cell types of the gut epithelium: Goblet cells (UEA-1), Intestinal Stem cell (SOX9), enteroendocrine cells (CHGA), epithelium (CDX2) and Paneth cells (LYZ) as well as proliferating cells (Ki67). Nuclei are revealed by DAPI.

(gastrulation). Five cell lines were analyzed including two females (C32 and C7) and 3 males
(C9, C11, C16). Transcriptomic differences showed that C32 differs significantly from the 4 other
cell lines (Supplementary Figure S2B and D), displaying enrichment of transcriptomic signature
of mesoderm (circulatory system and heart: *RUNX1, VEGFA*) and ectoderm (neural: *SOX2,*
POU3F2) (Supplementary Figure S2C) derivatives. Most of the genes associated with
gastrulation (e.g. *MIXL1, EOMES, MESP1, APLN, DKK1, GATA6*, etc...) (Supplementary
Figure S2C) were down-regulated in C32. To eliminate the gender bias in the above analysis,
C7, an isogenic clone of C32, was analyzed in parallel. The results of this comparison were
similar to the global analysis. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) analysis revealed that
C7 expressed genes associated with gastrulation (*MIXL1, EOMES, TBXT, SNAI1, CER1*) at a
higher level, while C32 differentially expressed genes associated with cell adhesion (*VIM, EZR,*
FLNA, FLNC, COL1A1, FN1, ITGA2/3/6) and Hippo signaling (*CCN1, CCN2, AMOT, AJUBA,*
CDH11) (Supplementary Figure S2E). The transcriptomic analysis thus highlights a possible
ectoderm and mesoderm bias of the C32 cell line during germ layer differentiation that is in
keeping with its lower endodermal propensity. It also indicated a possible role for altered Hippo
signaling and cell adhesion in negatively modulating endoderm differentiation.

Refractory cell line harbors a unique molecular signature during differentiation of primitive-streak like cells

We further investigated three cell lines (i.e., C32, C11 and C16) by single-cell RNAseq (scRNA-
seq) at 3 time points during differentiation: Day 0 (pluripotency), 1 (peri-gastrulation) and 4
(definitive endoderm) (Figure 3A). After filtering, 41336 cells were retained for analysis. The
tSNE plot (Figure 3B) showed that cells were segregated by time into three major clusters
corresponding to each day. Each cluster were further divided into smaller clusters based on their
transcriptomic differences (Figure 3C). While C32 cells displayed a unique transcriptomic profile
at Day 1, the individual sub-clusters of C32 cells were found within the cell clusters of each of
the other cell lines at Day 4 (Figure 3D), albeit present at different abundance, suggesting that
the molecular signatures were shared by cells of the three hiPSC lines.

To better appreciate the discrepancy of the cells at Day 1, the identity of single cells was
annotated based on a human post-implantation embryo dataset[36], as Epiblast, Primitive Streak,
Nascent Mesoderm, Emergent Mesoderm, Advanced mesoderm and Endoderm
(Supplementary Figure S3A and B). Cells of the C32 line retained an Epiblast signature at Day
1 (55% of cells) and did not display a primitive-streak like cell state like the C11 and C16 cell
lines (Supplementary Figure S3C and D).

Finally, individual gene comparison of cell states across the 4-day differentiation showed C32
cells maintained a robust expression of pluripotency-related factors, *(SOX2, NANOG, POU5F1)*.
Interestingly, Nodal targets and antagonists, *LEFTY1* and *LEFTY2*, were up-regulated at Day 1
(Figure 3E). Genes associated with germ layer differentiation, *MIXL1, LHX1, DKK1, DKK4*, and
endoderm related genes (*GSC, GATA6*) were down-regulated. While *SOX17* and *FOXA2* were
not down-regulated, the proportion of endoderm cells in the C32 line was reduced relative to
other cell lines (Figure 3E and Supplementary Figure S3D), in agreement with the IHC data.
Collectively, scRNA-seq, bulk RNA-seq and microfluidic RT-qPCR all pointed at a failure or a
delay of the C32 line in differentiating to primitive-streak like cells.

Figure 3 – scRNA-seq demonstrates that C32 is part of low propensity cell lines: A) Definitive Endoderm Differentiation protocol used for C32, C16 and C11. Blue circles highlight the samples selected for scRNA-seq. **B)** tSNE plot obtained from scRNA-seq data. **C)** SC3 clustering obtained from scRNA-seq data. **D)** tSNE plot colored for each cell line. **E)** Gene expression for each sample. **F and G)** PCA showing integration of our scRNA-seq data with data from Cuomo et al, **F)** represents cells grouped for each day; **G)** grouped for each sample. The pink arrow indicates time progression during differentiation; **H)** PC1 axis projection of hiPSC at Day 3/4 of DE differentiation representing efficiency of differentiation as pseudotime. **I)** Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) between the Top 20 cell lines (better) (blue) versus the Low 20 (less efficient) cell line (red) represented on a Volcano plot.

Single-cell transcriptomic analysis as a tool to rank lineage propensity

To test if the information learned from the scRNA-seq analysis from our cell line cohort may be extrapolated to hiPSC lines in general, the scRNA dataset was combined with that of 125 hiPSC lines previously profiled for endoderm differentiation *in vitro*[19] (Figure 3F and G). Each cell line was ranked for endoderm propensity based on their PC1 eigenvalue at Day 4 (Figure 3H) as described before. The data show that C32 ranks among the lowest 20% propensity cell lines, while C16 and C11 ranked higher.

Using this classification, the Top 20 cell lines (Top20) and the lowest 20 cell lines (Low20), were analyzed for DEGs. This analysis revealed that genes associated with primitive streak formation were up-regulated in Top20 cell lines (*TBXT, DKK1, SNAI1, MIXL1, LHX1, etc...*) while Low20 cell lines retained a pluripotent signature (*NANOG, DPPA2, ZIC1*) (Figure 3I). The data infer that the Low20 lines are less competent for germ layer differentiation and that the C32 characteristics apply to a number of other hiPSCs of low endoderm differentiation propensity.

We conclude that irrespective of the sequencing technology used (SMART-seq2 vs 10X) and the differentiation protocol (homemade versus commercial), single cell data sets can be used to rank hiPSC cell lines in terms of endodermal differentiation propensity based on PC1 Eigen value score. This in turn provides a useful tool that will enable the identification of cell lines with superior differentiation propensity prior to the use of these cells to productively generate the endodermal derivatives.

Refractory hiPSC showed unique proteomic signature

Although C32 differed from other cell lines at Day 1, the single cell transcriptomic data showed little difference between C32 and the two other cell lines (C11 and C16) at Day 0, while cells were pluripotent and undifferentiated. To explore other signatures that may reflect inter-line differences in endoderm differentiation propensity, the proteome of eleven cell lines was analyzed at Day 0 (Supplementary Figure S4). This discovery proteome screen revealed that C32 clustered separately from other groups of hiPSC lines and its isogenic counterpart, C7 clustered with a different group of female cell lines (Supplementary Figure S4A). Compared to C7, C32 displayed a higher level of expression of pluripotency-related factors, PODXL and FZD7, and downregulation of FN1 (associated with pharyngeal endoderm)[37] (Supplementary Figure S4B and C). Of note, PODXL expression is maintained at Day1 of DE differentiation (Figure S2E) and is also associated with kidney differentiation, a mesoderm derivative[6], correlating with our previous observation that C32 might be poised for mesoderm differentiation. The proteomic signature supports our previous findings that the retention of pluripotency in the C32 line contributes to the failure of differentiation towards the endoderm lineage.

MIXL1 is required for promoting endoderm differentiation

Since our data of the refractory line C32 showed that dysregulation of *MIXL1* expression early in lineage differentiation may underpin the low endoderm propensity, we next tested the requirement of *MIXL1* for endoderm differentiation. To this end, frameshift mutations of *MIXL1* were engineered in C32 and C16 lines by CRISPR editing to generate *MIXL1* loss of function cell lines: C32-MKO line and C16-MKO line respectively. We validated the introduction of the

MIXL1 gene edits by sequencing the targeted regions and confirmed this did not impact
pluripotency of these lines (Supplementary Figure S5).

Separately, the C32 line was engineered by constitutive expression of a dead Cas9, with no
nuclease activity, linked to VP64, a potent transcriptional activator (dCas9-PVP64)[38,39]. This line
was genetically modified further to express two sgRNAs that targeted the dCas9-VP64 to the
promoter of *MIXL1*, in a doxycycline controllable fashion (C32-Dox)[40]. These two guides
(sgRNA4 and 7 – Figure S6F) displayed the strongest activation of the gene compared to 7 other
guides when tested in HEK cells (Figure S6G).

We next quantified *MIXL1* expression in the KO lines and the C32-Dox line with and without
induction at Day 1 of DE differentiation. No *MIXL1* expression can be detected in C32-MKO and
C16-MKO (Figure 4A and B) relative to C32-Dox cells treated with DMSO (C32-Dox0)
(Figure 4A and B). Maximal induction of *MIXL1* expression was achieved, at a saturating
concentration of 2μg/mL Dox. Beyond which, at 16μg/mL Dox, MIXL1 expression was reduced
possibly due to the toxicity that impacts negatively on mitochondrial gene activity. The levels of
induced expression of MIXL1 with 1μg/mL of Doxycycline, quantified by immunofluorescence,
were within the physiological range (comparable to C16 at Day 1). Further studies of C32-Dox
cells were therefore performed at 1μg/mL Doxycycline (C32-Dox1) (Figure 4A and B).

To assess how outcomes of endoderm differentiation were modulated by different levels of
MIXL1 expression, FOXA2 and SOX17 expression was quantified after 4 days of differentiation.
Increasing MIXL1 expression in C32-DOX resulted in higher expression of both markers
(Figure 4C and D), further reinforcing a role of *MIXL1* in promoting endoderm differentiation.
Surprisingly, however, C32-MKO cells displayed FOXA2 and SOX17 expression levels similar
to C16-MKO and C32-Dox0. This finding suggests that MIXL1 dysregulation may not be the sole
cause of inefficient endoderm specification and differentiation. However, these results indicate
that modulation of MIXL1 expression can have an effect on DE formation.

MIXL1 plays a role in chromatin organization

To elucidate the impact of MIXL1 on chromatin accessibility, Assay for Transposase-Accessible
Chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seq) was performed on C16 and C16-MKO cell lines at Day
1 of DE differentiation, when MIXL1 expression is maximal. Comparing the accessible regions
(or reads pile-up called as peaks) showed that MIXL1 deletion led to multiple changes in
chromatin accessibility (Supplementary Figure S6A), and in particular, less closing regions
(Supplementary Figure S6B), than opening (Supplementary Figure S6C). This observation
suggests that MIXL1 may be responsible for opening and closing regions during germ layer
differentiation. To understand the role of these regions, motif discovery was performed on
differentially accessible chromatin regions. This analysis revealed that peaks with less
accessibility in MKO lines contain the motifs TAATNNNATTA (PROP1, PHOXA2), which is the
dual homeobox motif recognized by MIXL1 (Supplementary Figure S6D). In the absence of
MIXL1, more accessible peaks are associated with TEAD1 and FOXH1 motifs (Supplementary
Figure S6E). FOXH1 is known as a cofactor of GSC which negatively regulates MIXL1 in the
mouse[41]. TEAD1 is the transcription factor bound by YAP/TAZ when Hippo signaling is
inhibited[42]. This is consistent with the observation that the C32 cell line expresses low *MIXL1*

Figure 4 – MIXL1 functional genomic study reveals its role in endodermal differentiation:

A) Immunostaining images on MIXL1 (green) for C16 and C32 either WT or MIXL1-KO (MKO) and C32 with inducible MIXL1 expression under three different concentrations of doxycycline (0, 1 and 2µg/mL) called C32-iMIXL1. Nuclei are revealed by DAPI (white). **B)** MIXL1 signal intensity normalized on DAPI signal for concentration of doxycycline spanning from 0 to 16µg/mL, WT and MKO conditions. 2 levels are highlighted a physiological level, corresponding to the level of C16 and 1ug/mL of doxycycline and an overexpression level corresponding to 2µg/ml. (n= 3). **C)** Immunostaining images of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta) on Day 4 of DE differentiation in MKO and iMIXL1 cell lines. **D)** Quantification of FOXA2 and SOX17 immunostaining.

expression and exhibits up-regulation of YAP/TAZ targets (*CCN1, CCN2*) (Supplementary
Figure S2E).

Collectively the data reveal that MIXL1 may be a pioneer transcription factor involved in
modulating chromatin accessibility of its targets and possibly influences signaling pathways such
as Hippo and WNT, as inferred from the TCF3 motifs found in open regions in the C16-MKO
lines.

Physiological levels of MIXL1 activity can rescue the endoderm propensity of refractory cells in germ layer differentiation.

To assess the function of *MIXL1*, we used the 2D stem cell micropattern model to elucidate its
functional attribute in germ layer differentiation (Figure 5A, Supplementary Figure S7 and S8).
Five cell lines, C32, C32-MKO, C32-Dox, C16 and C16-MKO, were used to generate
micropatterned cultures that recapitulate germ layer formation in response to BMP4[33,43,44].

The emergence of primitive streak-like cells was assessed via the immunostaining of TBXT and
MIXL1 proteins 24h after BMP4 supplementation. In the C32 cell line, similar expression kinetics
were observed, but was temporally delayed from 48h onwards. At 24h MIXL1 signal was almost
undetectable (Figure 5B). In the C16 line TBXT and MIXL1 were expressed at peak level at 24h
followed by decreased expression at 48h. Some cells were co-expressing both proteins (Figure
5C). At 48h, DE differentiation was assessed by FOXA2 and SOX17 expression.
FOXA2+/SOX17+ cells were sparse in the C16 line (Figure 5F). This low propensity for DE cells
in micropattern has already been documented[33,43,45]. However, no double positive cells were
detected in C32 line (Figure 5F). Instead, separate domains of FOXA2+ cells (inner ring) and
SOX17+ cells (outer ring) were identified. These results from the C16 and C32 lines confirm that
a change in culture format could not rescue the phenotype of refractory endoderm differentiation
of the C32 line.

MKO lines were analyzed similarly and MIXL1 could not be detected in both KO lines, and TBXT
did not appear to be affected in its spatiotemporal pattern (Figure 5D and E). Regarding DE
differentiation, the main effect of the loss of MIXL1 activity was the reduced population of
FOXA2+ cells (Figure 5F).

To elucidate the effect of induced *MIXL1* activity on DE differentiation of the incompetent iPSC
line, the C32Dox lines were cultured in micropatterns under BMP4 condition with induction by
DOX at 2 doxycycline concentration: 1μg/mL, corresponding to physiological condition and
2μg/mL, a condition where *MIXL1* is overexpressed. Endoderm differentiation at Day 2 of
differentiation of induced C32Dox line (C32-iMIXL1), compared with C32 line (parental, low
propensity line) and C16 line (high propensity line), was assessed by the presence of SOX17+
and FOXA2+ cells in the micropatterns. C32-induced cells displayed increased number and
density of SOX17+ and FOXA2+ cells (Figure 5G, H) compared to C32 line and C16 line (Figure
5F) and the control condition without doxycycline. Reconstitution of physiological levels of MIXL1
activity therefore restored the endoderm propensity of iPSCs that are inherently incompetent for
endoderm differentiation. In addition, increasing the doxycycline concentration increased the
level of double positive cells in the micropatterned cultures indicating a correlation between
MIXL1 level and DE cells formation.

Figure 5 – MIXL1 induction rescues DE phenotype in a pseudo-embryo model. A) Protocol to establish stem cell-micropattern model of germ layer differentiation; **B to E)** Immunostaining images of MIXL1 and TBXT at 24h and 48h after BMP4 induction on C32 **(B)**, C16 **(C)**, C32-MKO **(D)** and C16-MKO **(E)**. **F** and **G)** Immunostaining images of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta) at 48h after BMP4 induction on C32, C32-MKO, C16 and C16-MKO **(G)** and C32-iMIXL1 under three different concentrations of doxycycline (0, 1 and 2µg/mL). **H)** Average signaling for FOXA2 and SOX17 measured on micropatterns (n= 10).

Discussion

In this study, isogenic human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC) lines were analyzed and compared for their propensity in generating definitive endoderm that is capable of progressing to functional endoderm derivatives, here tested by the formation of intestinal organoids and hepatocytes (See Figure 2). One cell line of the cohort (C32) was found to be inefficient in differentiation towards the endoderm lineage. The low propensity for endoderm derivatives is accompanied by a bias toward the mesoderm lineage. Our results suggest that during germ layer differentiation, C32 activates the genetic program of vascularization and heart formation more efficiently than the rest of the cohort (See Supplementary Figure S2C). In addition, the C32 hiPSC line has been used in a previous study to produce kidney organoid[6] supporting the potential mesoderm bias of the C32 cell line.

We sought to determine molecular markers of endoderm propensity of hiPSC lines, at the pluripotency and early exit stages. Transcriptomic and proteomic analyses did not reveal evident bias in lineage propensity of the refractory line C32, except for a higher level of expression of some pluripotency-related factors at the proteomic level (Supplementary Figure S4). The higher pluripotency level of C32 may underpin the poor performance in endoderm differentiation of this cell line. To gain a holistic view of the differentiation potential of isogenic hiPSC lines, 4 groups of isogenic hiPSC lines (2 males and 2 females) were subjected to deep transcriptomic analysis. This again highlighted the failure of C32 in activating the gastrulation genetic program properly. In other words, C32 differentiation was inefficient and delayed in initiating germ layer formation compared to its isogenic clone C7 and the rest of the cohort.

The single cell transcriptomic data was analyzed in conjunction with the transcriptomic data of a previous large scale study[19] surveying 125 hiPSC lines during definitive endoderm differentiation. Despite the use of different protocols (homemade medium versus commercial kit for the present study) and sequencing chemistry (SMART-seq2 versus 10X Chromium for the present study), the data were remarkably comparable. We found that the cell lines with the lowest differentiation score at the end of the DE differentiation, have a significantly low expression of genes involved in gastrulation including *MIXL1*. These results further validated our microfluidic RT-qPCR findings and enabled the identification of a gene panel and novel tool for ranking hiPSC lines for the propensity of endoderm differentiation and ability to generate mature endoderm tissues.

The transcriptomic survey of the cohort revealed that the gene *MIXL1* is expressed at a low level in endoderm-incompetent cell lines, and this is corroborated via cross comparison of the aforementioned study[19]. Our endoderm differentiation data of stem cell-derived micropattern further points to a causal relationship between the expression of *MIXL1* and efficiency of DE differentiation. We proposed that *MIXL1* is a useful biomarker for screening human pluripotent stem cells for competency of endoderm differentiation by quantification of *MIXL1* expression in cells at 24h of directed differentiation.

The ATAC-seq data pointed to a possible role for MIXL1 in regulating chromatin accessibility. Of note, the target regions opened in the MIXL1-KO cell line are strongly enriched for TEAD1 binding sites. TEAD1 is a transcription factor involved in Hippo signaling[42]. This data, when combined with our observation that Hippo target genes (e.g., *CCN1, CCN2*) are more strongly activated in the refractory C32 line (Supplementary Figure S2E), suggests an important

relationship between chromatin status and the Hippo pathway that is regulated by *MIXL1*. Interestingly, the closed chromatin region in the MIXL1-KO line mainly contains the dual homeobox binding sites TAATNNNATTA, recognized by MIXL1. This suggests that MIXL1 may be involved in regulating accessibility to its own transcriptional targets.

Our study has provided a comprehensive survey of endoderm differentiation in an isogenic cohort and provides a framework for future study of the molecular mechanisms that underpin endoderm specification during germ layer differentiation of pluripotent stem cells and in embryonic development.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the support of Advanced Imaging for all imaging and Vector and Genome Engineering facilities at CMRI for the help in generating the KO lines. The Advanced Microscopy Facility at CMRI for the image generation. Prof. Kristopher Kilian and his team for sharing the micropattern protocols and training. This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (Project Grant ID1127976). PPLT was supported by Senior Principal Research Fellowship (NHMRC Grant ID110751)

Author contributions

PO, EW and PPLT conceived of the study. PO, AW, Ni.S, PPLT designed experimentation. PO and Ni.S were the primary scientist and completed most of the cell and molecular biology, live and fixed imaging, and biochemical analysis. AQ, Jy.S, XBL assisted with molecular biology, live and fixed imaging, and biochemical analysis. HK and Ni.S completed the RNA-seq and scRNA-seq and NA performed the associated analysis. SC helped with preliminary analysis. Ni.S completed ATAC-seq and Na.S the associated analysis. Ja.S, IP, GC and MG completed all mass spectrometry and associated analysis. PO, EW and PPLT secured funding. PO completed experimental analysis and interpretation and created the figures with assistance from NA and PPLT. PO, Ni.S and PPLT wrote the manuscript with assistance from all authors.

Conflict of interest

We the authors declare no conflict of interest

Figures Legend

Figure 1 – Definitive endoderm differentiation heterogeneity among isogenic lines:

A) Differentiation protocol used to generate definitive endoderm (DE) cells; **B)** Immunostaining images of DE cells on FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta), scale bar = 100µm; **C)** Signal intensity measurement of immunostaining of panel B, n= 3. **D)** PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on DE differentiation time courses. Each day is represented by the same color as Figure 1A (inserted on top left). Purple squares highlight C32 at Day 4 of DE differentiation;

E) PC1 axis projection of hiPSC at Day 4 of DE differentiation representing efficiency of
differentiation as pseudotime. C32 is highlighted in purple. **F)** PCA obtained from microfluidic
RT-qPCR data on DE differentiation, identical dataset to figure 1D, but plotted for each day. C32
is highlighted in purple; **G)** Genes' contribution to PC1 and PC2 axis of the Day 1 PCA of Figure
2F. The position of the arrows correlates with the position of the samples on the PCA at Day 1.
Colour scale is between 0 and 1. A score of 1 indicates maximum contribution of a particular
gene to PC1. **H)** Genes' expression time course during DE differentiation. C32 is highlighted in
purple. p.value: * < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001.

Figure 2 – Low endodermal propensity fails to produce functional tissue:

A) Differentiation protocol used to generate hepatocytes from C32 and C11; **B)** PCA obtained
from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on hepatocyte differentiation; **C)** Brightfield pictures of
hepatocytes differentiation; **D)** Immunostaining images on AAT (green) and ALB (magenta)
markers of hepatocytes differentiation; **E)** Results of fluorescent analysis of CYP3A4 activity; **F)**
Differentiation protocol used to generate intestinal organoids; **G)** Brightfield pictures of intestinal
organoid differentiation at the Hindgut stage (i), spheroid generation stage (ii) and maintenance
stage (iii); **H)** PCA obtained from microfluidic RT-qPCR data on intestinal organoid
differentiation; **I)** Immunostaining of intestinal organoids of C11 cell lines on different cell types
of the gut epithelium: Goblet cells (UEA-1), Intestinal Stem cell (SOX9), enteroendocrine cells
(CHGA), epithelium (CDX2) and Paneth cells (LYZ) as well as proliferating cells (Ki67). Nuclei
are revealed by DAPI.

Figure 3 – scRNA-seq demonstrates that C32 is part of low propensity cell lines:

A) Definitive Endoderm Differentiation protocol used for C32, C16 and C11. Blue circles
highlight the samples selected for scRNA-seq. **B)** tSNE plot obtained from scRNA-seq data. **C)**
SC3 clustering obtained from scRNA-seq data. **D)** tSNE plot colored for each cell line. **E)** Gene
expression for each sample. **F and G)** PCA showing integration of our scRNA-seq data with data
from Cuomo et al, **F)** represents cells grouped for each day; **G)** grouped for each sample. The
pink arrow indicates time progression during differentiation; **H)** PC1 axis projection of hiPSC at
Day 3/4 of DE differentiation representing efficiency of differentiation as pseudotime. **I)**
Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) between the Top 20 cell lines (better) (blue) versus the
Low 20 (less efficient) cell line (red) represented on a Volcano plot.

Figure 4 – MIXL1 functional genomic study reveals its role in endodermal differentiation:

A) Immunostaining images on MIXL1 (green) for C16 and C32 either WT or MIXL1-KO (MKO)
and C32 with inducible MIXL1 expression under three different concentrations of doxycycline (0,
1 and 2µg/mL) called C32-iMIXL1. Nuclei are revealed by DAPI (white). **B)** MIXL1 signal intensity
normalized on DAPI signal for concentration of doxycycline spanning from 0 to 16µg/mL, WT
and MKO conditions. 2 levels are highlighted a physiological level, corresponding to the level of
C16 and 1ug/mL of doxycycline and an overexpression level corresponding to 2µg/ml. (n= 3).
C) Immunostaining images of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta) on Day 4 of DE
differentiation in MKO and iMIXL1 cell lines. **D)** Quantification of FOXA2 and SOX17
immunostaining.

Figure 5 – MIXL1 induction rescues DE phenotype in a pseudo-embryo model.

A) Protocol to establish stem cell-micropattern model of germ layer differentiation; **B to E)** Immunostaining images of MIXL1 and TBXT at 24h and 48h after BMP4 induction on C32 **(B)**, C16 **(C)**, C32-MKO **(D)** and C16-MKO **(E)**. **F** and **G)** Immunostaining images of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta) at 48h after BMP4 induction on C32, C32-MKO, C16 and C16-MKO **(G)** and C32-iMIXL1 under three different concentrations of doxycycline (0, 1 and 2μg/mL). **H)** Average signaling for FOXA2 and SOX17 measured on micropatterns (n= 10).

Resource availability

Lead contacts

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contacts, Patrick Tam (p.tam@cmri.org.au) and Pierre Osteil (pierre.osteil@uca.fr).

Material availability

The materials used in this study are listed in the key resources table. Materials generated by our laboratory in this study are available on request, however, there are restrictions to the availability of human iPSC lines due to a Material Transfer Agreement.

Data and Code availability

- All raw sequencing data can be found on Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession number GSE260552, GSE260553, GSE260554 and are available as of the date of publication.

- The raw mass spectrometry datasets generated in this study are available via PRIDE: PXD048788, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/projects/PXD048788

- All microfluidic RT-qPCR data can be found on the GitHub page (https://github.com/PierreOsteil/ScriptsForOsteilEtAl2024) and are available as of the date of publication.

- All original codes are available as of the date of publication and can be found on the following GitHub page: https://github.com/PierreOsteil/ScriptsForOsteilEtAl2024. Bioinformatic source codes and their corresponding DOIs are listed in the key resources table

- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.t

Experimental Model and Subject Details

Cell Lines

A cohort of 11 human iPSC lines composed of 2 to 3 isogenic cell lines from 4 patients (2 males and 2 females) was provided by the Australian Institute for Bioengineering and Nanotechnology

(AIBN), University of Queensland. Briefly, hiPSC lines derived from fibroblast cells or foreskin
tissue were generated using a non-integrating episomal reprogramming system (oriP/EBNA1-
based pCEP4 episomal vectors pE-P4EO2SCK2MEN2L and pEP4EO2SET2K from Addgene)
carrying *OCT4, SOX2, KLF4* and *CMYC*. All lines maintained a normal karyotype and were
capable of forming teratomas that contained derivatives of the three germ layers[34,35]. For routine
maintenance, hiPSCs were cultured in mTeSR1 (STEMCELL Technologies) on six-well plates
precoated with hESC-qualified Matrigel (Corning). The culture plates were incubated at 37°C
and 5% CO_2. The medium was changed daily. The colonies morphology was evaluated under
an inverted microscope. Cells were passaged at 70-80% confluency with ReLeSR(STEMCELL
Technologies) at a split ratio of 1/5 to 1/30 depending on the cell line, into a new well of a 6-well
plate. Experiments were approved by the Sydney Children's Hospitals Network Human
Research Ethics Committee under the reference: HREC/17/SCHN/167.

Method details

CRISPR-KO engineering

gRNA design and cloning

Single *S. pyogens* Cas9 gRNA (GCGCCGCGTTTCCAGCGTAC<u>CGG</u>) targeting *MIXL1* exon 1
was designed using Geneious software, (http://www.geneious.com[46]) based on the presence of
a canonical NGG PAM (underlined in gRNA sequence) at the target site. Potential off-target sites
were identified using Geneious software, (http://www.geneious.com[46]). gRNA was cloned in
Addgene plasmid 62988 following adopted protocol from Ran et al[47]. Oligos used for cloning
were:

Forward: 5' CACCGCGCCGCGTTTCCAGCGTAC
Reverse: 5' AAACGTACGCTGGAAACGCGGCGC.

Nucleofection, clone selection and sequencing

Cells were transfected using a plasmid expressing Cas9 protein and gRNA targeting MIXL1 exon
1 following Amaxa™ 4D Transfection protocol for 20 μl Nucleocuvette® Strip using P3 Primary
Cell 4D-Nucleofector® X Kit with program CA-137. After transfection cells were plated into 10
cm dish, coated with hESC-qualified Matrigel (BD Biosciences), prefilled with mTESR medium
(Stem Cell Technologies) mixed with 100% CloneR (Stem Cell Technologies). Twenty-four
hours post transfection cells were puromycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) selected with
concentration of 1μg/ml for the next 48 hours. Following puromycin selection media was
changed every day and the percentage of CloneR (Stem Cell Technologies) in media was
reduced during the next days as single cells were dividing and started forming individual
colonies. Single colonies were picked and transferred individually in a single well of a 96 well
plate where they were grown to be split and frozen for further sequencing analysis. Cells were
detached using ReLeSR (Stem Cell Technologies) and clones were frozen as cell aggregates
in CryoStor® CS10 (Stem Cell Technologies). Clone selection, screening of the CRISPR/Cas9
clones for editing events and validation of allelic deletions of individual clones was done following
protocol from Bauer et al. [48] for genomic deletions in mammalian cells. The PCR was designed
to amplify the sequence flanking the gRNA on exon 1 targeting location with the expected

amplicon of 800bp. PCR analysis for the presence of indels were done with primers: Forward:
5'GGAGGGTATAAGTGCGGCC Reverse: 5'CCTCATCTGTGTCTTCTTCCCG

All PCR reactions were done in 50μl volume using Q5 high fidelity polymerase (NEB) following
NEB Q5 high fidelity PCR protocol. In short, PCR reaction mix was made by mixing 100ng of
genomic DNA sample from each clone with 10μl of 5xQ5 reaction Buffer, 1μl of 10mM dNTPs,
2.5μl of each (forward and reverse) 10 μM primer, 10μl of 5xQ5 High GC Enhancer, 0.5μl of Q5
Polymerase and topped up to 50μl with H$_2$O. PCR reaction started with initial denaturation with
temperature of 98°C for 30s followed by 34 cycles of 10s denaturation at 98°C, annealing at
60°C for 20s and extension at 72°C for 20s ending with final extension at 72°C for 5min. PCR
reaction was run on 1.5% agarose gel where expected amplicon of 800bp for each analyze clone
was detected. In total, 43 samples were separately amplified by PCR and analyzed by
sequencing for the presence of indels at the exon 1 targeted site. Next, sequenced clones were
analyzed for genome editing and indel percentages were calculated via TIDE[49] using a control
chromatogram for comparison. Decomposition windows, left boundaries, and indel ranges were
optimized to have the highest alignment possible. After TIDE analysis 11 clones were selected
for validation of biallelic deletion clones for targeted genomic region of exon 1, which was done
following standard protocol from Bauer et al.[48]

Differentiation protocols

Definitive endoderm differentiation and characterization

For direct differentiation into Definitive Endoderm, the cells were subject to induction using
STEMdiff Definitive Endoderm kit (STEMCELL Technologies) for 4 days, following
manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were passaged into single cells with StemProAccutaseCell
Dissociation Reagent (Life Technologies) and seeded with mTeSR1 containing Y-27632
dihydrochloride Rock Inhibitor (Tocris, Cat. No. 1245). After 24 hours, the cells are washed with
PBS and then cultured for 4 days in STEMdiff Definitive Endoderm Basal medium with
Supplements A and B for the first day and then Supplement B only for the subsequent 3 days,
with daily medium changes. Samples were harvested daily for RNA and Protein extraction (n=3).

To characterise the definitive endoderm, cells were seeded onto glass coverslips coated with
hESC-qualified Matrigel (Corning) before treating with STEMdiff™ Definitive Endoderm kit
(STEMCELL) as described above. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at RT for
20 min. They were washed with PBS twice and then permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100
(Merck) in dPBS (Gibco) (PBST) at RT for 5min. The cells were blocked with 3% bovine serum
albumin (Merck Aldrich) in PBST at room temperature for 1 hour. They were incubated with
primary antibody at 4°C overnight (FOXA2 (Abcam) 1:300, SOX17 (R&D Systems) 1:20). Cells
were washed with dPBS three times, then incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies
at RT for 1 hour. The cell nuclei were stained with DAPI (1μg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in
dPBS for 10 min at RT, and then washed three times with dPBS. Cells were mounted with
Fluoromount-G (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and imaged on Ziess Axio Imager Z2 widefield
microscope.

Human Intestinal Organoids differentiation and characterization

hiPSC-derived intestinal organoids were formed using the STEMdiffIntestinal Organoid Kit (StemCell Technologies), following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, cells were passaged as clumps using ReLeSR (StemCell Technologies). Once 80-90% confluency was reached, differentiation was initiated with DE Medium (STEMdiffEndoderm Basal Medium plus STEMdiffDefinitive Endoderm Supplement CJ) for 3 days, with daily medium changes. Subsequent mid-hindgut differentiation was induced with MH Medium (STEMdiffEndoderm Basal Medium plus STEMdiffGastrointestinal Supplement PK and STEMdiffGastrointestinal Supplement UB) for 6 days, with daily medium changes. Free-floating mid-/hindgut spheroids, collected at 24-hour intervals within the MH Medium treatment, were embedded in Matrigel (Corning) in wells of NunclonDelta surface 24-well plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific), overlaid with STEMdiffIntestinal Organoid Growth Medium (STEMdiffIntestinal Organoid Basal Medium plus STEMdiffIntestinal Organoid Supplement (StemCell Technologies) and GlutaMAX (Gibco)), performing medium change every 3 - 4 days, incubating at 37°C with 5% CO_2. After 7 - 10 days of incubation, cultures were passaged. Briefly, all plasticware were pre-wetted with Anti-Adherence Rinsing Solution (StemCell Technologies). Matrigel domes containing organoids were broken manually by pipetting up and down with cold DMEM/F-12 (Gibco), seeding 40-80 organoid fragments per 50μl Matrigel dome.

Organoids were removed from Matrigel similar to that described for splitting organoids above and were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at RT for 30 min. They were washed with PBS twice and then permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Merck) in dPBS (Gibco) (PBST) at RT for 1 hour. The organoids were blocked with CAS-Block (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 90min and then incubated with primary antibody (Sox9 (Merck) 1:500, Ki67 (Abcam) 1:250, CHGA (Novus Biologicals) 1:200, CDX2 (Biogenex) 1:250, Lysozyme (Dako) 1:200) overnight at 4°C. Organoids were washed with PBST four times, then incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies and stain (DAPI (1 μg/ml) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for nuclei in all samples and UEA-1 (Vector Laboratories) 1:200, for select organoids) in CAS-Block at RT for 3 hours. The organoids were then washed four times with PBST, followed by clearing in FUNGI solution (50% (v/v) glycerol, 9.4% (v/v) dH_2O, 10.6M Tris base, 1.1mM EDTA, 2.5M fructose and 2.5M urea) for 40min. Organoids were imaged using a μ-slide (Ibidi) on Zeiss Cell Observer Spinning Disc confocal microscope.

Hepatocytes differentiation and characterization

hiPSC lines were differentiated toward hepatocytes following the protocol from Baxter et al.[50] Briefly, cells were directed into Stage 1a/definitive endoderm-like cells by culturing in RPMI media containing 1mM L-glutamine, 0.5% FBS, 100ng/mL Activin-A and 25ng/mL Wnt3a for 2 days, followed by Stage 1b/definitive endoderm-like cells by culturing in RPMI media containing 1mM l-Glutamine, 0.5% FBS and 100ng/mL Activin-A for a further 2 days. Stage 2/hepatoblast-like cells was initiated by incubating for a further 6 days with Hepatocyte culture medium (HCM) containing 20ng/mL BMP2 and 30 ng/mL Fgf4. Hepatocyte-like cells were made by further incubating the cultures in Stage 3a media (HCM containing 20ng/mL Hepatocyte Growth Factor (Peprotech)) for 5 days followed by a further 15 days in Stage 2b media (HCM containing 10 μg/mL Oncostatin M (R&D Systems Cat No. 295-OM) and 10nM dexamethasone).

565 11 and C32, by incubation with P450-Glo™ CYP3A4 assay reagent (Promega). The analysis
566 was performed according to manufacturer recommendation.

Micropatterns preparation

Micropattern chip fabrication

Micropattern chip fabrication was conducted using the protocol of Lee et al.[51], with specific
modification and optimization. In brief, coverslips were sonicated in 70% ethanol for 15min and
in deionized water for 15min. The clean coverslips were sequentially incubated in 0.5% (3-
aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTS) (Merck) for 3min, 0.5% glutaraldehyde (Merck, Cat. No.
G6257) for 30min. After air drying, the coverslips were deposited on a $20\mu L$ drop made of 10%
acrylamide (Merck), 0.87% bisacrylamide (Merck), 0.1% ammonium persulfate (Merck, Cat. No.
A3678) and 0.1% N,N,N',N'-Tetramethylethylenediamine (Merck), to make the gel at a stiffness
of 100KPa. After the stiffness droplet was semi-solidified, the whole system was submerged into
70% ethanol, resulting in a smooth polyacrylamide gel forming. Gelled coverslips were
sequentially coated with 64% hydrazine hydrate (Fisher Scientific) for 1h and 2% glacial acetic
acid (Merck) for 1h. To generate polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp, SYLGARD™ 184 Silicone
Elastomer Curing Agent and Base (Dow) were mixed at a 1:10 ratio before loading to the stamp
mold, provided by the Kilian Lab at the University of New South Wales. Next, the solidified PDMS
stamp was coated with $25\mu g/mL$ vitronectin (Life Technologies) and 3.5 mg/mL sodium periodate
(Merck) for 1h. After air-drying the stamp, patterned vitronectin was stamped onto the gelled
coverslip at 0.343N for 1min. Stamped gels were stored overnight in PBS + 1% Penicillin-
Streptomycin at 4°C.

Germ layer differentiation on micropatterns and analysis

Differentiation protocol was adapted from Warmflash et al.[44] Since the micropatterned chip
generation required many hands-on manipulations, all culture media were supplemented with
1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. hiPSCs were seeded as single cells to micropattern chip at a density
of 2.5×10^5 cells/cm^2 with $10\mu M$ Y-27632 ROCK inhibitor in mTeSR Plus supplemented 1%
Penicillin-Streptomycin into a total volume of 1mL per well of a 24-well plate. At about 80%
confluency, germ layer differentiation was induced by adding 50 ng/mL BMP4 (R&D) in mTeSR1.
The cells grown on micropatterns were washed with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS
at RT for 20 min. They were washed with PBS twice and then permeabilised with 0.1% Triton X-
100 (Merck) in dPBS (Gibco) (PBST) at RT for 1 hour. The cells were blocked with 3% bovine
serum albumin (Merck) in PBST at room temperature for 1 hour. They were incubated with
primary antibody at 4°C overnight (MIXL1 (Abcam) 1:50, T/Brachyury (Santa Cruz) 1:50, FOXA2
(Abcam) 1:300, SOX17(R&D Systems) 1:20). Cells were washed with PBST three times, then
incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies at RT for 1 hour. The cell nuclei were stained
with DAPI (1 $\mu g/ml$) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in dPBS for 10 min at RT, and then washed twice
more with PBS. Cells were mounted with Fluoromount-G (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
Micropatterned coverslips were imaged on Zeiss AiryScan LSM880 confocal microscope. All
image analysis was performed using a custom macro. The nuclei from micropatterned images
taken were segmented using the StarDist method[52] via Fiji software[53] using default parameters
(except probability/score threshold = 0.7) and the versatile (fluorescent nuclei).pb model. R

software was used with a custom script where target protein immunofluorescence was
normalized to the DAPI intensity of the same cell.

Microfluidic RT-qPCR preparation and analysis

RNA extraction

Snap frozen cell pellets had total RNA extracted using ISOLATE II RNA mini kit (Bioline)
following manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, samples were lysed, homogenized and passed
through a spin column containing a silica membrane to which the RNA binds. DNase 1 digestion
eliminated potential genomic DNA contamination and the preparation was washed to remove
impurities such as cellular debris and salts. The purified RNA was eluted with RNase free water
and total RNA concentration was determined using Nanodrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer
(ThermoFisher Scientific). RNA was used either for Microfluidic RT-qPCR or RNA-sequencing.

cDNA synthesis and preparation

618 Total RNA was adjusted to a concentration of 200ng/μl. A 5μl reaction mix was prepared
619 composing of 1μl Reverse Transcription Master Mix (Fluidigm), 3μl of RNase free water and 1μl
620 of RNA and incubated in a thermocycler using the following conditions: 25°C for 5min, 42°C for
621 30min and 85°C for 5min.

cDNA preamplification

623 3.75μl of preamplification mix (comprising 105.6μl of Preamp MasterMix (Fluidigm), 52μl of 100
624 μM pooled primer and 237.6μl DNAse/RNAse free water) and 1.25μl of cDNA sample was added
625 into each well of a 96 well plate and incubated as follows: 95°C for 2min then 10 cycles of 95°C
626 for 15secand 60°C for 4min.

cDNA clean-up

628 cDNA clean-up was performed by adding 2μl of the following mix: 168μl DNase free water, 24μl
629 10x Exo1 reaction buffer and 48μl Exonuclease I (New England Biolabs), into each well and
630 incubating in a thermocycler for 30min at 37°C followed by 15min at 80°C. Samples were diluted
631 10x with low EDTA TE buffer.

Primer and sample set-up

A sample mix was prepared as follows (per 96-well plate): 495μl of 2X SsoFast EvaGreen
SuperMix with low ROX (Biorad) and 49.5μl 25X DNA Binding Dye (Fluidigm). 4.95μl sample
mix was added with 4.05μl of diluted sample. Primers were prepared in the following mix (per
96-well plate): 450μl 2X Assay Loading Reagent (Fluidigm) and 405μl low EDTA TE buffer. 105μl
of primer mix was added with 0.45μl combined forward and reverse primers. Samples and primer
mixes were loaded onto a 96.96 Dynamic Array IFC plate (Fluidigm) and run on the Biomark
System.

ATAC-seq samples preparation and analysis

Cell Preparation

hiPSC were collected at Day1 of the DE diff protocol (STEMDiff) and were processed following [54]. Briefly, 5×10^4 cells were collected at Day 1 of DE differentiation and lysed in cold lysis buffer (10 mM Tris·Cl, pH 7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1% (v/v) Igepal CA-630). Intact nuclei were separated by centrifugation at 500xg and immediately digested in transposase mix containing $25\mu l$ 2x Tagment DNA buffer, $2.5\mu l$ Tagment DNA enzyme I (Illumina) and $22.5\mu l$ nuclease-free water for 30min at 37°C. Digested chromatin fragments were then purified using the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen), according to manufacturer's instructions. The fragments of DNA were then pre-amplified by adding $10\mu L$ purified DNA sample, $10\mu L$ RNase-free water, $2.5\mu L$ of each primer (Where each reaction had non-barcoded primer "Ad1_noMix" and one barcoded primer 'Ad2.1' - 'Ad2.9' added) and $25\mu L$ NEBNext High-Fidelity 2x PCR Master Mix (NEB) and was run under the following conditions: 72°C for 5min, 98°C for 30secand then 5 cycles of 98°C for 10sec, 63°C for 30sec and 72°C for 1min. The number of additional cycles to run was calculated by running a RT-qPCR side reaction - a reaction mixture containing $5\mu L$ of the pre-amplified PCR product, $3.9\mu L$ nuclease-free water, $0.25\mu L$ of each primer, $0.6\mu l$ 25x SYBR Green and $5\mu L$ NEBNext High-Fidelity 2x PCR Master Mix was run under the following conditions: 98°C for 30secand then 20 cycles of 98°C for 10sec, 63°C for 30sec and 72°C for 1min. The linear fluorescence versus cycle number was plotted and the cycle number (N) required to reach one-third of the maximum relative fluorescence was determined. The final amplification reaction (the remaining $45\mu l$ pre-amplified PCR product) was run under the following conditions: 98°C for 30sec and then N cycles of 98°C for 10sec, 63°C for 30sec and 72°C for 1min. Amplified samples were then purified using AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter) to remove small fragments and primer-dimers less than 100 bp long (1.3x beads) and large fragments (0.5x beads) using a Dynamag-2 magnet (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

To determine the integrity, fragment size and concentration, the DNA library was analyzed using the Agilent HSD5000 ScreenTape System (Agilent). Libraries were then 101 bp paired-end sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Illumina)

Data analysis

ATAC-seq reads were processed using the alignment and filtering functions of the PreNet pipeline[54]. Paired-end reads were mapped to the hg38 genome using bowtie2[55], allowing for local mapping, a maximum insert size of 2000 bp and a maximum of 4 multimapping hits (–local -X 2000 -k 4). Multimapping reads were allocated using 'assignmultimappers.py' from the ENCODE ATAC-seq pipeline (https://github.com/ENCODE-DCC/atac-seq-pipeline/tree/master/src). Reads with MAPQ < 30 were excluded and only unique, paired reads that aligned outside blacklisted regions[56] were used for subsequent analyses. Filtering steps were performed using samtools[57] and sambamba[58]. Qualifying reads were then converted to pseudo-single end reads and peaks were detected using MACS2[59], with BED input files and reads shifted by -100 bp and extended to 200bp to capture Tn5 transposase events: -f BED –shift -100 –extend 200 -q 0.05. Biological replicates were analyzed individually and then consensus peak list was created to include only peaks appearing in at least two of the three replicates. Accessibility within a pooled consensus peak list was estimated by quantifying Tn5 events in each of the biological replicates using featureCounts[60]. The DESeq2[61] package within

R was used to identify differentially accessible regions. The regions were filtered for adjusted
P¬-value < 0.05 and an absolute Log2(Fold change) > 1. Coverage tracks were created using
the bamCoverage function of deepTools[62] (–normalization RPKM -bs 10) and visualized within
Integrative Genomics Viewer.[63] findMotifsGenome.pl from HOMER[64] was used to identify over
enriched motifs, between 6 bp and 12 bp in size, within regions of differential accessibility using
a repeat masked version of the hg38 sequence (-mask -len 6,7,8,9,10,11,12). Coverage tracks
summarizing and combining biological replicates were created using WiggleTools[65] to quantify
the mean coverage per 10 bp bin. These tracks were used for heatmap visualizations created
using plotHeatmap from deeptools.

Bulk RNA sequencing analysis

Cell preparation and library prep

694 Illumina RNA Library prep was performed by GENEWIZ
695 (https://www.genewiz.com/Public/Services/Next-Generation-Sequencing). Samples at Day 1 of
696 DE differentiation (1 - 20μg RNA) were run on HiSeq4000 with a read depth of 20M paired end
697 reads (2x 150PE).

Data pre-processing

699 Details of the procedure can be found in Aryamanesh and colleagues [66]

Statistical Analysis

701 Details of the procedure can be found in Aryamanesh and colleagues [66]

Single-cell RNA sequencing

Cell preparation

hiPSCs were dissociated using Accutase and counted to load 10,000 cells into on channel of a
10X Chromium chip. One channel per sample was used. After emulsion cell lysis and RNA was
extracted followed by library preparation. Libraries were sent to Novogene for sequencing.

Single cell suspensions were passed through 40μm cell strainer (Corning) and concentration
was adjusted to 1000 cells/μL. Suspensions were loaded in single-cell-G Chip (10X Genomics)
for target output of 10,000 cells per sample. Single-cell droplet capture was performed on the
Chromium Controller (10X Genomics). cDNA library preparation was performed in accordance
with the Single-Cell 3' v 3.0 or v3.1 protocol. Libraries were evaluated for fragment size and
concentration using Agilent HSD5000 ScreenTape System (Agilent).

Samples were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq4000 instrument according to manufacturer's
instructions (Illumina). Sequencing was carried out using 2x150 paired-end (PE) configuration
with a sequencing depth of 20,000 reads per cell. Sequencing was performed by GENEWIZ.

Data pre-processing

717 Details of the procedure can be found in Aryamanesh and colleagues [66]

718 **Statistical Analysis**

719 Details of the procedure can be found in Aryamanesh and colleagues [66]

721 Proteomics

722 Sample were prepared and data were obtained by the Proteomic facility at CMRI.

723 Proteomics sample preparation and Mass spectrometry
724
725 Eleven hiPSC lines from four isogenic groups were prepared for proteomics analyses using the
726 Accelerated Barocycler lysis and extraction digestion sample preparation method[67]. The tryptic
727 peptides were desalted using Waters Oasis C18 HLB 30mg SPE cartridges. The amount of
728 peptide in each sample was measured using the absorption of 280 nm light (Implen
729 Nanophotometer, Labgear, Australia).
730
731 A reference sample was prepared by pooling equal amount of peptide from 25 of the 45 hiPSC
732 samples. Aliquots containing 10 µg of peptide from each sample were labeled with tandem mass
733 tag (TMT) 16-plex reagents (TMTpro, Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's
734 instructions. Three separate TMT16plex sets were prepared with eleven samples per set and
735 the reference sample included in each set.
736

#	hiPSC cell line_Name	TMT_Set-1	TMT_Set-2	TMT_Set-3	TMTpro 16-plex label
1	Eu79	+	+	+	126
2	Eu86	+	+	+	127N
3	Eu87	+	+	+	127C
4	C9	+			128N
5	C11			+	128C
6	C16	+			129N
7	C7	+			129C
8	C32	+			130C
9	C4	+	+	+	131N
10	C2	+	+	+	131C
11	C3		+	+	132N
12	Universal / reference sample				134

737 +, samples were combined to produce the reference sample used as the common sample in the three TMT 16plex experiments.
738
739

High pH fractionation was performed using the Pierce High pH Reversed-Phase Peptide
Fractionation Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. A total of 80 μg of peptide for each
of the TMT16-plex sets were loaded, washed with water then 5% Acetonitrile/0.1% Triethylamine
solution. A total of fifteen High-pH step elution's were collected from 8% to 50% Acetonitrile /
0.1% Triethylamine. The high-pH elution's were dried to completeness and resuspended in 0.1%
Formic acid and the peptide concentration was determined using the absorption of 280 nm light.
746
747 The peptides from each High pH elution were resolved by reversed phase chromatography on
748 a 300 x 0.075 mm column packed with ReproSil Pur C18 AQ 1.9 mm resin (Dr Maisch, Germany)
749 using an Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The column was heated
750 to 50 °C using a column oven (PRSO-V1, Sonation lab solutions).
751
The chromatography buffer A was 0.1% formic acid in water and buffer B was 0.1% formic acid,
90% acetonitrile and 9.99% water and the flow rate was 250 nL/min. For each high pH fraction,
1 to 2 μg of peptide was directly loaded onto the column in 99% buffer A and 1% buffer B for 30
min. The gradient started from 1% to 7% buffer B in 6 min, then to 30% buffer B in 51 min, then
to 35% buffer B in 10 min and to 99% buffer B in 3 min and held at 99% buffer B for 8 min. MS
acquisition was performed for the entire 120 min. The fifteen high pH elution steps collected for
each TMT16-plex set were individually analysed using a data-dependent acquisition LC-MS/MS
method. Between each TMT16plex set, one blank was run.
760
Peptides were detected by tandem mass spectrometry using a Q Exactive Plus hybrid
quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The Nanospray Flex ion
source (Thermo Fisher Scientific) spray operated at 2.3 kV. The capillary temperature was
250°C and the S lens radio frequency level was 50. The MS scan was from m/z 375 to 1500 at
a resolution of 70,000 full width at half maximum with an automatic gain control target of 3×10^6
counts for a maximum ion time of 100 ms. For each MS scan, up to 12 of the most intense ions
above a threshold of 5.2×10^4 counts were selected for an MS/MS scan. MS/MS scans were at
a resolution of 35,000 full width at half maximum for a maximum ion time of 115 ms and
automatic gain control target of 2×10^5 counts. The isolation window was 1.1 units of the m/z
scale, the fixed first mass was set at m/z 120 and the normalized collision energy was 30.
Peptides with charge state <2 + or >8 + or with unassigned charge were excluded. Dynamic
exclusion of previously scanned peptides was for 35 s.
773
The raw LC-MS/MS data were processed with MaxQuant v1.6.7.0 [68] using the following settings:
The fasta file was the Human reference proteome downloaded from UniProtKB on January 12,
2022 and containing 101,017 entries including protein isoforms and Retention time standards.
Protease specificity was Trypsin/P with up to 3 missed cleavages. Carbamidomethyl (C) was a
fixed modification and the TMTpro 16plex reagents were designated isobaric labels.
Deamidation (N and Q), oxidation (M) and acetylation (protein N-terminus) were variable
modifications. A maximum of 5 modifications per peptide was allowed. The minimum score for
modified peptides was 40. The minimum peptide length was 6 and maximum peptide mass was
6,000 Da. The peptide spectrum match, protein, and modification site false discovery rate was
1%. A dependent peptide search was performed with a 1% false discovery rate. Modified
peptides and their counterpart non-modified peptides were excluded from protein quantification.
A second peptide search was enabled. The tolerance for MS and MS/MS spectra was 4.5 ppm

and 20 ppm, respectively. All other settings were left as the default within MaxQuant v1.6.7.0.
The three TMTpro 16plex sets were searched together (Set1, Set2, Set3); fractions 1 to 15 for
each TMT set representing the High pH elution fractions.

Data cleaning, normalization and hypothesis testing

The data cleaning, normalization and hypothesis testing were performed using the
ProteomeRiver pipeline[69] and the implementation is briefly described here. To extract the protein
abundance data from the MaxQuant output, the 'proteinGroups.txt' output file from MaxQuant[70]
were processed. Each protein group must have at least one unique peptide. Any proteins were
removed from further analysis if they match any entries in the contaminants or the reverse
sequence decoy databases, in which the protein accession starts with CON_ or REV_ prefixes
respectively. The 'reporter intensity corrected' column were used for further analysis. Proteins
with one or more missing values in any samples were removed from further analysis.

The following rules from Engholm-Keller et al.[71] were used to identify a representative UniProt
accession for each protein group. 1. For proteins that mapped to multiple UniProtKB protein
accessions, the accession with the highest 'protein existence (PE)' value was kept as the best
evidence. Where the protein accession was an isoform (therefore lacking PE information), the
PE value was taken from the parent protein. 2. When the PE value was equal, a Swiss-Prot (sp)
entry was taken over a TrEMBL (tr) entry. 3. If both entries were Swiss-Prot, the non-isoform
was selected. 4. If both entries were isoforms, the longest isoform was selected.

To perform data normalization, samples were log (base 2) transformed and between sample
normalization were performed using scaled normalization from the 'limma' R package. The
remove unwanted variation 'ruv' R package[72] was used to remove batch effects. The method
relies on having a set of endogenous negative control proteins, which are proteins with little
changes in protein abundances between different cell types or experimental treatments. For this
study, a set of 500 empirical negative control proteins with high q-values indicating little or no
change in protein expression across sample were identified from an initial ANOVA test. The
RUVIII method[73] was used to remove the unwanted variations across the samples and six
unwanted components ($k = 7$) were removed by the tool. The RUVIII method requires the
experiment design matrix, a matrix describing the replicates for each treatment condition, and
the list of negative control proteins.

Differential abundance analysis of proteins was performed using the adjusted abundance matrix.
Differential abundance analysis of proteins involved pairwise hypothesis testing of samples from
FA3 cell line with samples of another type of cell line and all possible pairs were analyzed. Linear
model for comparing each pair of time points was fitted using the 'lmFit' function and the p-values
calculated using the empirical Bayes method 'eBayes' function. Trended and robust analysis
were enabled. The false discovery rate correction was applied to the moderated p-values by
calculating the q-values[74]. Significant differentially expressed proteins were defined as those
with q-values less than 0.05.

Clustering Analysis

For a protein to be included in the clustering, it must be statistically down-regulated (q-value <0.05) in six or more FA3 versus another cell line, or statistically up-regulated (q-value <0.05) in six or more FA3 versus another cell line. The protein must also be statistically significant (q-value <0.05) in at least one of the comparisons of FA3 with any of the KO or DOX cell lines. The z-standardized log2 abundance of the sample, excluding samples of the KO or DOX cell lines, were used in the clustering analysis (e.g. 10 values were used in clustering). Consensus clustering were performed 'diceR' R library[75]. All statistically significant differentially abundant proteins. After assessment with the consensus clustering tools, using multiple clustering algorithms, including 'pam', 'km', 'som', 'hc', and 'diana', and different distance metrics 'euclidean', 'canberra', 'minkowski', and 'spearman', self-organizing maps (som) with seven clusters (k=7) was identified to be a reliable method to use. The diceR tool automatically identifies robust consensus clusters by merging the results from 100 runs of self-organizing maps. The clusters identified were used for functional enrichment analysis (see below for details).

Functional Enrichment

Functional enrichments were performed using the Fisher's exact test implemented in the 'clusterProfiler' R library[76]. The background list of proteins included all the proteins in the dataset after the data cleaning step. The query list of proteins includes the following: 1) significantly differentially abundant proteins with positive log fold-change, 2) significantly differentially abundant proteins with negative log fold-change, 3) the list of proteins from each cluster from self-organizing maps. Gene ontology annotations from UniProt[77] and the KEGG[78] and Reactome[79] pathway databases were used for the enrichment analyses.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R software. The type of statistical test performed, the meaning of dispersion and precision measurements as well as the significance of each experiment is indicated in the corresponding figure, figure legends and/or in the method details. Outliers have been omitted to facilitate visualization. For micropattern quantification, images were taken from 3 to 10 micropatterns within a coverslip. For microfluidic PCR, three biological replicates were collected for each cell line and timepoint.

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1: A) Brightfield pictures of definitive endoderm differentiation for the 5 cell lines. Day4 images were zoomed in (inlet) to show the cell morphology of the endodermal cells at the end of the differentiation protocol. Scale bar are indicated on the lowest right image of each panel; **B)** Immunostaining quantification of FOXA2 (green) and SOX17 (magenta); related to Figure 1; **C)** Immunostaining images on AAT (green) and ALB (magenta) markers of hepatocytes differentiation;

Figure S2: A) Genes' expression time course during DE differentiation related to **i)** Pluripotency,
ii) Endoderm, **iii)** Mesoderm and **iv)** Ectoderm. C32 is highlighted in purple. B) Heatmap of
differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of C32 cell lines versus the rest of the cell line used in the
study. **C)** Venn diagram of up and down DEGs compared with relevant ontologies. **D)** PCA
representing data of bulk RNAseq of Day1 samples. **E)** DEGs between C32 and C7. Dots that
are colored have a p.value < 0.05.

Figure S3: A) Schematic of the annotation transfer performed in our study; **B)** Result of the
annotation transfer applied on our scRNA-seq dataset; **C)** The same results facetted for each
cell line; **D)** Stacked barplot representing the proportion of each cell type for each cell line.

Figure S4: A) PCA representing the proteomic signature of hiPSC**; B)** Volcano plot representing
peptides significantly differentially expressed between C32 and C7; **C)** Allocation of peptides to
proteins and respective LogFC

Figure S5: Staining for SOX2 (green) and OCT4 (magenta) on three colonies of **A)** C16; **B)**
C16-MKO; **C)** C32; **D)** C32-MKO**.** Scale bar = 100µm

Figure S6: A) Volcano plot representing Differentially Accessible Chromatins (DACs) region
between C16 and C16-MKO. **B and C)** Heatmap showing ATAC-seq signal from C16 and C16-
KO for DACs **(B)** more accessible in C16 and **(C)** in C16-MKO. **D and E)** Motifs enriched in
DACs more accessible in **(D)** C16 and **(E)** C16-MKO. **F)** Genomic region of 350bp upstream of
Mixl1 TSS. 7 guides RNA predicted by Benchling are highlighted. **G)** RT-qPCR results on *Mixl1*
expression in HEK cells transfected with single guides or tandems.

Figure S7: Panels of 10 micropatterns at 48h post BMP4 treatment, stained with FOXA2, SOX17
and DAPI for **A)** C32, **B)** C32-MKO, **C)** C16 and **D)** C16-MKO. **E)** Indicates the average cell
density for all micropattern analyzed.

Figure S8: A) Panels of 9 micropatterns at 48h post BMP4 treatment, stained with FOXA2,
SOX17 and DAPI for C32-iMXL1 at 0, 1 and 2µg/mL of Doxycycline. **B)** Indicates the average
cell density for all micropattern analyzed.

References

1. Takahashi, K. *et al.* Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells from Adult Human Fibroblasts by Defined Factors. *Cell* **131**, 861–872 (2007).

2. Dimos, J. T. *et al.* Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Generated from Patients with ALS Can Be Differentiated into Motor Neurons. *Science* **321**, 1218–1221 (2008).

3. Friedman, C. E. *et al.* Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis of Cardiac Differentiation from Human PSCs Reveals HOPX-Dependent Cardiomyocyte Maturation. *Cell Stem Cell* **23**, 586-598.e8 (2018).

4. Nayler, S. P. *et al.* Human iPSC-Derived Cerebellar Neurons from a Patient with Ataxia-Telangiectasia Reveal Disrupted Gene Regulatory Networks. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **11**, 321 (2017).

5. Park, I.-H. *et al.* Disease-Specific Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Cell* **134**, 877–886 (2008).

6. Takasato, M. *et al.* Kidney organoids from human iPS cells contain multiple lineages and model human nephrogenesis. *Nature* **526**, 564–568 (2015).

7. Cheng, X. *et al.* Self-Renewing Endodermal Progenitor Lines Generated from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **10**, 371–384 (2012).

8. D'Amour, K. A. *et al.* Efficient differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to definitive endoderm. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **23**, 1534–1541 (2005).

9. Loh, K. M. *et al.* Efficient Endoderm Induction from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells by Logically Directing Signals Controlling Lineage Bifurcations. *Cell Stem Cell* **14**, 237–252 (2014).

10. Green, M. D. *et al.* Generation of anterior foregut endoderm from human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 267–272 (2011).

11. McCracken, K. W., Howell, J. C., Wells, J. M. & Spence, J. R. Generating human intestinal tissue

from pluripotent stem cells in vitro. *Nat. Protoc.* **6**, 1920–1928 (2011).

12. Miura, S. & Suzuki, A. Brief summary of the current protocols for generating intestinal organoids.

Dev. Growth Differ. **60**, 387–392 (2018).

13. Spence, J. R. *et al.* Directed differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells into intestinal tissue

in vitro. *Nature* **470**, 105–109 (2011).

14. D'Amour, K. A. *et al.* Production of pancreatic hormone–expressing endocrine cells from human

embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **24**, 1392–1401 (2006).

15. Hannan, N. R. F., Segeritz, C.-P., Touboul, T. & Vallier, L. Production of hepatocyte-like cells from

human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 430–437 (2013).

16. Varghese, D. S., Alawathugoda, T. T. & Ansari, S. A. Fine Tuning of Hepatocyte Differentiation

from Human Embryonic Stem Cells: Growth Factor *vs.* Small Molecule-Based Approaches. *Stem*

Cells Int. **2019**, 1–18 (2019).

17. Basma, H. *et al.* Differentiation and Transplantation of Human Embryonic Stem Cell–Derived

Hepatocytes. *Gastroenterology* **136**, 990-999.e4 (2009).

18. Hay, D. C. *et al.* Highly efficient differentiation of hESCs to functional hepatic endoderm requires

ActivinA and Wnt3a signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**, 12301–12306 (2008).

19. Cuomo, A. S. E. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-sequencing of differentiating iPS cells reveals dynamic

genetic effects on gene expression. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 810 (2020).

20. Burrows, C. K. *et al.* Genetic Variation, Not Cell Type of Origin, Underlies the Majority of

Identifiable Regulatory Differences in iPSCs. *PLOS Genet.* **12**, e1005793 (2016).

21. Kajiwara, M. *et al.* Donor-dependent variations in hepatic differentiation from human-induced

pluripotent stem cells. *PNAS* **109**, 12538–12543 (2012).

22. Kyttälä, A. *et al.* Genetic Variability Overrides the Impact of Parental Cell Type and Determines

iPSC Differentiation Potential. *Stem Cell Rep.* **6**, 200–212 (2016).

23. Nishizawa, M. *et al.* Epigenetic Variation between Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Lines Is

an Indicator of Differentiation Capacity. *Cell Stem Cell* **19**, 341–354 (2016).

24. Ohi, Y. *et al.* Incomplete DNA methylation underlies a transcriptional memory of somatic cells in

human iPS cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **13**, 541–549 (2011).

25. Phetfong, J. *et al.* Cell type of origin influences iPSC generation and differentiation to cells of the

hematoendothelial lineage. *Cell Tissue Res.* **365**, 101–112 (2016).

26. Shao, K. *et al.* Induced Pluripotent Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Clones Retain Donor-derived

Differences in DNA Methylation Profiles. *Mol. Ther.* **21**, 240–250 (2013).

27. Sanchez-Freire, V. *et al.* Effect of Human Donor Cell Source on Differentiation and Function of

Cardiac Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **64**, 436–448 (2014).

28. Pearce, J. J. H. & Evans, M. J. Mml, a mouse Mix-like gene expressed in the primitive streak. *Mech.*

Dev. **87**, 189–192 (1999).

29. Wolfe, A. D. & Downs, K. M. Mixl1 localizes to putative axial stem cell reservoirs and their

posterior descendants in the mouse embryo. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **15**, 8–20 (2014).

30. Hart, A. H. *et al.* Mixl1 is required for axial mesendoderm morphogenesis and patterning in the

murine embryo. *Development* **129**, 3597–3608 (2002).

31. Ng, E. S. *et al.* The primitive streak gene *Mixl1* is required for efficient haematopoiesis and BMP4-

induced ventral mesoderm patterning in differentiating ES cells. *Development* **132**, 873–884

(2005).

32. Lim, S. M. *et al.* Enforced Expression of *Mixl1* During Mouse ES Cell Differentiation Suppresses

Hematopoietic Mesoderm and Promotes Endoderm Formation. *Stem Cells* **27**, 363–374 (2009).

33. Tewary, M. *et al.* *High-Throughput Micro-Patterning Platform Reveals Nodal-Dependent*

Dissection of Peri-Gastrulation-Associated versus Pre-Neurulation Associated Fate Patterning.

http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/465039 (2018) doi:10.1101/465039.

34. Becherel, O. J. *et al.* A new model to study neurodegeneration in ataxia oculomotor apraxia type

2. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **24**, 5759–5774 (2015).

35. Briggs, J. A. *et al.* Integration-Free Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells Model Genetic and Neural

Developmental Features of Down Syndrome Etiology. *Stem Cells* **31**, 467–478 (2013).

36. Tyser, R. C. V. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomic characterization of a gastrulating human embryo.

Nature **600**, 285–289 (2021).

37. Wang, X. *et al.* Fn1 Regulates the Third Pharyngeal Pouch Patterning and Morphogenesis. *J. Dent.*

Res. **101**, 1082–1091 (2022).

38. Omachi, K. & Miner, J. H. Comparative analysis of dCas9-VP64 variants and multiplexed guide

RNAs mediating CRISPR activation. *PLoS ONE* **17**, e0270008 (2022).

39. Jinek, M. *et al.* A programmable dual RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial

immunity. *Science* **337**, 816–821 (2012).

40. Perez-Pinera, P. *et al.* RNA-guided gene activation by CRISPR-Cas9-based transcription factors.

Nat. Methods **10**, 973–976 (2013).

41. Izzi, L. *et al.* Foxh1 recruits Gsc to negatively regulate Mixl1 expression during early mouse

development. *EMBO J.* **26**, 3132–3143 (2007).

42. Ota, M. & Sasaki, H. Mammalian Tead proteins regulate cell proliferation and contact inhibition

as transcriptional mediators of Hippo signaling. *Development* **135**, 4059–4069 (2008).

43. Morgani, S. M., Metzger, J. J., Nichols, J., Siggia, E. D. & Hadjantonakis, A.-K. Micropattern

differentiation of mouse pluripotent stem cells recapitulates embryo regionalized cell fate

patterning. *eLife* **7**, e32839 (2018).

44. Warmflash, A., Sorre, B., Etoc, F., Siggia, E. D. & Brivanlou, A. H. A method to recapitulate early

embryonic spatial patterning in human embryonic stem cells. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 847–854 (2014).

45. Plouhinec, J.-L., Simon, G., Vieira, M., Collignon, J. & Sorre, B. Dissecting signaling hierarchies in

the patterning of the mouse primitive streak using micropatterned EpiLC colonies. *Stem Cell Rep.*

S2213-6711(22)00262–4 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2022.05.009.

46. Kearse, M. *et al.* Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the

organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1647–1649 (2012).

47. Ran, F. A. *et al.* Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat. Protoc.* **8**, 2281–2308

(2013).

48. Bauer, D. E., Canver, M. C. & Orkin, S. H. Generation of Genomic Deletions in Mammalian Cell

Lines via CRISPR/Cas9. *J. Vis. Exp.* 52118 (2014) doi:10.3791/52118.

49. Etard, C., Joshi, S., Stegmaier, J., Mikut, R. & Strähle, U. Tracking of Indels by DEcomposition is a

Simple and Effective Method to Assess Efficiency of Guide RNAs in Zebrafish. *Zebrafish* **14**, 586–

588 (2017).

50. Baxter, M. *et al.* Phenotypic and functional analyses show stem cell-derived hepatocyte-like cells

better mimic fetal rather than adult hepatocytes. *J. Hepatol.* **62**, 581–589 (2015).

51. Lee, L. H. *et al.* Micropatterning of human embryonic stem cells dissects the mesoderm and

endoderm lineages. *Stem Cell Res.* **2**, 155–162 (2009).

52. Weigert, M., Schmidt, U., Haase, R., Sugawara, K. & Myers, G. Star-convex Polyhedra for 3D Object

Detection and Segmentation in Microscopy. in *2020 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of*

Computer Vision (WACV) 3655–3662 (IEEE, Snowmass Village, CO, USA, 2020).

doi:10.1109/WACV45572.2020.9093435.

53. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**,

676–682 (2012).

54. Salehin, N., Tam, P. P. L. & Osteil, P. Prenet: Predictive network from ATAC-SEQ data. *J. Bioinform.*

Comput. Biol. **18**, 2040003 (2020).

55. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 357–

359 (2012).

56. Amemiya, H. M., Kundaje, A. & Boyle, A. P. The ENCODE Blacklist: Identification of Problematic

Regions of the Genome. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 9354 (2019).

57. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–2079

(2009).

58. Tarasov, A., Vilella, A. J., Cuppen, E., Nijman, I. J. & Prins, P. Sambamba: fast processing of NGS

alignment formats. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 2032–2034 (2015).

59. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Model-based Analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol.* **9**, R137 (2008).

60. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning

sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

61. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-

seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

62. Ramírez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis.

Nucleic Acids Res. **44**, W160–W165 (2016).

63. Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Robinson, J. T. & Mesirov, J. P. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): high-

performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Brief. Bioinform.* **14**, 178–192 (2013).

64. Heinz, S. *et al.* Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-

regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol. Cell* **38**, 576–589

(2010).

65. Zerbino, D. R., Johnson, N., Juettemann, T., Wilder, S. P. & Flicek, P. WiggleTools: parallel

processing of large collections of genome-wide datasets for visualization and statistical analysis.

Bioinformatics **30**, 1008–1009 (2014).

66. Aryamanesh, N. A Reproducible and Dynamic Workflow for Analysis and Annotation of scRNA-

Seq Data. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2490**, 101–140 (2022).

67. Lucas, N. *et al.* Accelerated Barocycler Lysis and Extraction Sample Preparation for Clinical

Proteomics by Mass Spectrometry. *J. Proteome Res.* **18**, 399–405 (2019).

68. Tyanova, S., Temu, T. & Cox, J. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass spectrometry-

based shotgun proteomics. *Nat. Protoc.* **11**, 2301–2319 (2016).

69. Pang, Ignatius C, Waardenberg, Ashley J, Aryamanesh, Nader, & Graham, Mark E. ProteomeRiver

pipeline. (2023).

70. Cox, J. & Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-

range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **26**, 1367–

1372 (2008).

71. Engholm-Keller, K. *et al.* The temporal profile of activity-dependent presynaptic phospho-

signalling reveals long-lasting patterns of poststimulus regulation. *PLOS Biol.* **17**, e3000170

(2019).

72. Gagnon-Bartsch, J. A. & Speed, T. P. Using control genes to correct for unwanted variation in

microarray data. *Biostatistics* **13**, 539–552 (2012).

73. Molania, R., Gagnon-Bartsch, J. A., Dobrovic, A. & Speed, T. P. A new normalization for Nanostring

nCounter gene expression data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, 6073–6083 (2019).

74. Storey, J. D. A Direct Approach to False Discovery Rates. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.* **64**,

479–498 (2002).

75. Chiu, D. S. & Talhouk, A. diceR: an R package for class discovery using an ensemble driven

approach. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**, 11 (2018).

76. Wu, T. *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *The*

Innovation **2**, 100141 (2021).

77. The UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. **51**, D523–D531 (2023).

78. Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M. & Ishiguro-Watanabe, M. KEGG for

taxonomy-based analysis of pathways and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D587–D592 (2023).

79. Gillespie, M. *et al.* The reactome pathway knowledgebase 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, D687–

D692 (2022).

1060

Figure S2

A

Tyser et al., 2021, Nature

- Ectoderm (amniotic/embryonic)
- Epiblast
- Primitive streak
- Nascent mesoderm
- Axial mesoderm
- Endoderm
- Emergent mesoderm
- Advanced mesoderm
- Extraembryonic mesoderm
- Haemato-endothelial progenitors
- Erythroblasts

Annotation transfer

B

Annotations:
- Advanced Mesoderm
- Emergent Mesoderm
- Endoderm
- Epiblast
- Nascent Mesoderm
- Primitive Streak

C

C32   C11   C16

D

Cell proportion per annotation

Idenfier	Name	logFC
Q6GYQ0	RALGAPA1, GARNL1, KIAA0884, TULIP1	1.19771
P48681	NES, Nbla00170	0.75524
O75084	FZD7	0.712103
O00592	PODXL, PCLP, PCLP1	0.701107
P14209	CD99, MIC2, MIC2X, MIC2Y	0.682289
Q9H2G4	TSPYL2, CDA1, DENTT, TSPX, HRIHFB2216	0.66118
P09234	SNRPC	0.659824
Q07352	ZFP36L1, BERG36, BRF1, ERF1, RNF162B, TIS11B	0.590807
P11137	MAP2	0.569085
Q9HCJ6	VAT1L, KIAA1576	0.562332
P48509	CD151, TSPAN24	0.55891
Q96QD9	FYTTD1, UIF	-0.56781
Q13614	MTMR2, KIAA1073	-0.56782
P06732	CKM, CKMM	-0.58283
Q96QT6	PHF12, KIAA1523	-0.6327
P42226	STAT6	-0.75175
P04040	CAT	-0.94585
Q9Y446	PKP3	-1.05386
P02751	FN1, FN	-1.05565