Bacteriophage resistance increases bacterial productivity by shifting

population growth dynamics in the soil bacterium *Variovorax* sp.

Authors: Meaghan Castledine[1], Daniel Padfield[1], Rai Lewis[1] & Angus Buckling[1]

[1]College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Environment and Sustainability

Institute, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall, TR10 9EZ, U.K.

Corresponding author: Meaghan Castledine (mcastledine96@gmail.com)

Author contributions: MC and AB conceived and designed the study. Experiments

conducted by MC. DNA extractions for population sequencing conducted by RL.

Bioinformatics conducted by DP and MC. Data analysis conducted by MC. All

authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: We have no competing interests

Acknowledgements: We thank Stineke van Houte and Michael Brockhurst for

helpful feedback on a manuscript draft. This work was funded by grant no.

MR/N0137941/1 for the Great West 4 BIOMED Medical Research Council Doctoral

Training Partnership, awarded to the Universities of Bath, Bristol, Cardiff, and Exeter

from the Medical Research Council/UK Research and Innovation, awarded to MC.

This work was supported by NERC awards NE/V012347/1 and NE/S000771/1

awarded to AB. DP is funded by a NERC independent research fellowship

(NE/W008890/1).

Data availability: All data and R codes used in the analysis will be made available
on GitHub. Assemblies and sequencing data will be available from ENA.

Abstract

Bacteriophages can be important drivers of bacterial densities, and therefore microbial community composition and function. In response to phage infection, bacteria can evolve resistance while phage can reciprocally evolve to increase infectivity. Coevolutionary arms-races between bacteria and phage have been studied in many model systems and in pairs isolated from natural samples. However, how multiple bacteria-phage pairs coevolve simultaneously within a community is poorly understood. Here, we examine how three pairs of coexisting soil bacteria (*Ochrobactrum* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., and *Variovorax* sp.) evolve with three species-specific bacteriophages over eight weeks of experimental evolution, both as host-parasite pairs in isolation and as a mixed community. Coevolution was very limited, with two phages going extinct after two weeks and *Ochrobactrum* phage increasing in infectivity without comparable reciprocal increases in bacterial resistance. One surprising finding was that *Variovorax* sp. increased in density following phage extinction, above that of no-phage controls. We examined the mechanisms behind this density increase through common garden experiments, growth curves, supernatant assays, and sequencing. Our results showed that this density increase resulted from genetic changes and was associated with phage resistance. Growth curves demonstrated that phage resistance shifted population growth curves such that density was higher in the death-phase. That resistance to lytic phage can improve host densities has implications for wider ecology and phage therapy where lytic phages are presumed to have negative effects on their hosts.

Introduction

Bacteriophages can be important drivers of bacterial ecology and evolution [1]. Not only do they lyse bacteria, but the emergence of phage resistance also has important implications for host fitness by imposing growth rate costs, virulence trade-offs, and can even reverse antimicrobial resistance [1–4]. As such, phages are hypothesised to prevent overgrowth of bacteria and maintain community diversity [5]. For instance, phages can limit densities of *Vibrio cholerae*, therefore reducing the likelihood of cholera outbreaks [6]. In recent years, there has been growing interest in the use of phages as therapeutics due to rising antibiotic resistance [7, 8]. Therefore, understanding how bacteria and phage interact has implications in ecological and applied contexts.

Phages can continue to replicate following bacterial resistance evolution by either growing on susceptible populations or coevolving to maintain infectivity [9]. Bacteria-phage coevolution has been characterised in several model systems including *Escherichia coli* [10], Pseudomonads (*P. fluorescens* [11]*, P. syringae* [12])*,* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [13] and can have variable dynamics, such as arms-race or fluctuating selection [14]. Environmental conditions can also play a major role [1], including the importance of being embedded in complex communities. While studies have characterised coevolution in natural, environments, (soil [15], plant leaves [16], digestive tracts [17], and marine systems [18]), these studies typically focus on a single bacteria and phage pair, whereas in nature multiple bacteria-phage pairs coexist together.

There are good reasons to expect that coevolutionary dynamics will be affected by the

presence of other coevolving species pairs as a result of changes in ecological

dynamics and genetic trade-offs [19–24]. A general expectation is that pairwise

reciprocal selection will be weakened in more complex communities as a result of

lower encounter rates resulting in less pronounced and slower coevolution [25]. To

investigate the importance of multiple co-occurring coevolving pairs, we used a three-

species bacterial community including *Ochrobactrum* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., and

Variovorax sp., each of which has a species-specific lytic bacteriophage [26]. We

coevolved these species for eight weeks in the presence and absence of community

members, with and without phage.

We only observed limited coevolution (one cycle of resistance and infectivity) for

Ochrobactrum and its phage, with no coevolution detected between the other pairs.

However, we observed an interesting interaction between *Variovorax* and its phage:

phage increased *Variovorax* densities in both monoculture and polyculture. Phages

have been hypothesised to increase bacterial densities by reducing intraspecific

competition through slowing of growth rates or facilitating diversification into separate

ecotypes [15, 27]. However, mechanisms have yet to be identified. Here, we report on

the population and evolutionary dynamics between *Variovorax* and its phage and

conduct experiments to determine the mechanisms responsible for phage-mediated

increases in *Variovorax* densities.

Materials and Methods

Experimental evolution

Species isolates were originally obtained from soil and identified as: *Ochrobactrum* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., and *Variovorax* sp. These species have unique colony morphologies when plated onto King's medium agar (KB agar) and can stably coexist for several weeks [19]. In previous work, species-specific bacteriophages (*Ochrobactrum* phage ORM_20, *Pseudomonas* phage CH7FMC, *Variovorax* phage VAC_51) were identified and characterised for each species [26]. Experimental treatments included: monoculture, monoculture with phage (each bacterial species individually with only its species-specific bacteriophage), polyculture (all three bacteria present) and polyculture with phage (all three bacteria with all three phages present). Each treatment was replicated six times. Each species was grown from one colony (clone) in isolation for two days in 6 mL growth media (1/64 Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB); diluted with demineralised H_2O), static, at 28 °C in 25 mL glass microcosms with loosened plastic lids. Species densities were normalised to ~10^5 CFU/µL as described previously [19, 28]. 10 µL of diluted culture (~10^6 CFUs) of each bacterial species were added to fresh vials. To phage-present cultures, ~10^4 PFUs (plaque forming units) were added (MOI 0.01). Serial 100-fold dilutions (60 µL culture into 6 mL growth media) took place every week for a total of eight weeks. Culture samples were cryogenically frozen at −70 °C in glycerol (final concentration: 25%) every transfer. Cultures were plated every 2nd transfer onto KB agar and incubated for two days at 28 ºC. Additionally, phage extractions were performed every 2nd transfer: 900 µL of culture was vortexed with 100 µL chloroform. Vials were then centrifuged at 14000 rpm (21100 g; Progen GenFuge 24D centrifuge) for five minutes (mins) and the supernatant isolated. Phage densities were calculated via spot assays: phage cultures were diluted and 10 µL of each dilution was spotted onto soft agar overlays of each bacterium, with 100 µL of overnight bacterial culture added to 7 mL soft KB agar. If

128 phages decreased below detectable densities, extracts were amplified in overnight

129 cultures of ancestral strains of each species and re-spotted to confirm extinction.

Measuring phage resistance and bacteria – phage coevolution

We estimated phage resistance to ancestral phage for each species from week two

cultures. Additionally, for *Variovorax* cultures, we estimated phage resistance in week

eight monocultures (phage present and absent). Twelve colonies were picked from

each time point, for each bacterium within each treatment. Colonies were inoculated

into 150 μL TSB and grown overnight at 28 °C in 96-well plates. Phage resistance was

analysed using spot assays as above with ancestral phage.

As only *Ochrobactrum* and its phage coexisted for eight weeks, we were only able to

characterise coevolution for this pair. Twelve bacterial colonies from each treatment

replicate from weeks two, four and six were picked and grown as above. Changes in

phage resistance and infectivity were tested by spotting phages from different

timepoints (ancestral, two, four and six) against bacteria from the same or past/future

timepoints. If bacteria and phage are coevolving via arms-race dynamics, we expect

bacteria to be more resistant to phage from the past and more susceptible to phage

from future timepoints [11, 29]. If dynamics follow a fluctuating-selection dynamic, we

expect bacteria to have greater resistance to contemporary phage populations

(Gandon *et al.*, 2008).

Clonal growth rates

151 Following the results of experimental evolution, we focussed on *Variovorax* and its

152 phage VAC_51. We first wanted to assess whether higher densities conferred to

phage-exposed populations was due to higher growth rates within individual clones

(common garden experiments). From week two and four cultures (the weeks

preceding and following higher densities), twelve colonies were isolated from each

replicate from monoculture treatments. Colonies were individually grown in 1/64 TSB

and density normalised as before. To fresh 6 mL 1/64 TSB, ~10^6 CFUs of each clone

were added to individual vials. Cultures were grown for 1 week, frozen, and plated

from frozen onto KB agar as previous.

Clonal and population sequencing and bioinformatics

To gain insight into the mechanism of resistance and whether this could be linked to

changes in bacterial density and growth rate, isolates were sent for whole genome

sequencing (WGS). Three phage resistant and three phage susceptible clones from

three independent week two polyculture lines were selected for whole genome

sequencing (WGS). Polyculture lines were selected as these were the only treatment

which had phage susceptible and resistant clones coexisting (100% resistance was

found in monoculture lines). This allowed for direct comparison between different

clones that have evolved from the same starting population but only differed in their

resistance profile. DNA extractions were done on each isolate by MicrobesNG using

in-house protocols (MicrobesNG Sequencing Service Methods, 2021). WGS was

performed by MicrobesNG using an Illumina Novaseq 6000 to create 250 base pair

(bp) paired-end reads. In-house processing by MicrobesNG trimmed the adapters and

removed reads with a quality <15.

To try and further understand genetic changes that resulted in increased growth rates

of phage resistant *Variovorax*, cultures from week 7 monoculture lines of evolution

were sent for population sequencing. Overnight cultures (120 µL frozen stock in 1/64 TSB grown shaking at 28 ºC. 1.8 mL was spun at 16000 x g for 5 mins (Progen GenFuge 24D centrifuge). The supernatant was removed and another 1.8 mL of fresh sample was added to each tube and centrifuged for a further 5 mins and supernatant removed. The Qiagen DNeasy Ultra Clean DNA Extraction Kit reagents and protocol were used to extract the gDNA from the cultures. The concentration of gDNA in each sample was determined using the QuBit™ dsDNA HS Assay kit regents and protocol. Sequencing was done by the University of Liverpool's Centre for Genomic Research (CGR) using an Illumina Novaseq to create 150bp paired end reads. The CGR trimmed for the presence of Illumina adapter sequences using Cutadapt (v1.2.1) and removed reads with a minimum quality score of 20 and which were shorter 15bp in length.

For both clonal and population sequencing, we further filtered the trimmed reads to remove Illumina adapter sequences and for reads with a quality score below 10 using TrimGalore (v0.6.4_dev). We used the breseq pipeline (v0.36) – a computational tool for analysing short-read DNA data - to map the trimmed reads to a high quality reference genome of *Variovorax* sp. and predict genetic variants [30]. As our reference genome has two contigs, we added the parameters "-c" and "—contig-reference" so that all the sequences are assumed to have the same average coverage and coverage distribution. In the clonal sequencing, 97.6% of reads mapped to the reference genome (minimum of 95.4%) and giving on average ~876,989 reads per replicate (minimum 444,292), with an average coverage of 62.21 (minimum of 31.84). We only investigated genetic variants that were at a frequency of 1 (we do not expect polymorphisms in any of the predicted genetic variants). For the population

sequencing, we ran breseq in polymorphism mode (-p). Variants with <5% frequency in the population samples were removed.

When mutations unique to resistant clones appeared in a hypothetical protein, we aimed to understand the potential role of this protein by simulating its structure. The amino acid sequence of the protein was run through Phyre2 (Protein Homology/analogY Recognition Engine V 2.0) and the top result was selected for predicted protein structure and function [31].

Phage resistance and competition assay

We determined if phage resistance conferred a growth rate benefit to *Variovorax*, thereby leading to higher bacterial densities. Twelve clones per replicate from week two monoculture-lines were isolated and grown in 96-well plates with 150 µL TSB. Phage resistance was determined by streaking isolated colonies against the ancestral phage (streak assay). Week two isolates were chosen as this time-point preceded changes in bacterial density from phage exposure and phage extinction. We elected for phage resistant clones generated during experimental evolution (instead of selecting spontaneous mutants) in the hope that resistance mutations/mechanisms (and therefore phenotypic effects) were consistent with those from the evolution experiments. One phage resistant clone from the phage resistance assays was chosen for each replicate (n = 6), and one clone from each no-phage treatment replicate was isolated as a phage susceptible control (n = 6). Each colony was grown individually in 1/64 TSB individually as described previously. In addition, marked (ancestor-cp; chloramphenicol resistance [32]) and unmarked ancestral *Variovorax* sp. were grown. Cultures were diluted to normalise densities as described previously.

The unmarked ancestral strain and each phage resistant and susceptible colony was

competed against the marked ancestral strain at equal starting densities (~3 x 10^6

CFUs of each competitor inoculated (30 µL diluted culture)). Diluted cultures used in

inoculation were frozen and plated on KB agar as above for starting density estimation.

Cultures were grown for one week as previous and plated from frozen onto KB and

KB-chloramphenicol (25 µg/mL) agar. Relative fitness was calculated from the ratio of

the estimated Malthusian parameters, $m_{resistant}$, $m_{susceptible}$ or $m_{ancestor}$:$m_{ancestor-cp}$, which

were calculated as $m = \ln(N_1/N_0)$, where N_1 is the final density and N_0 is the starting

density [33].

Growth curves

Next, we were interested if phage resistance had shifted the growth curve of

Variovorax populations thereby leading to higher densities at transfer. 120 µL from

each monoculture line from week seven were revived in 6 mL growth medium and

grown shaking for two days at 28 ºC. Densities were normalised as previous and 10

µL was inoculated into fresh growth medium. Every 24 hrs for one week, cultures were

vortexed and optical density (OD_{600}; Multiskan Sky) was measured from a 200 µL

sample.

To characterise the log/exponential growth phase of phage resistant and susceptible

cultures, week seven cultures were revived as previous and 20 µL of diluted culture

(~10^6 CFUs) were inoculated into 180 µL growth medium. Optical density reads were

measured every 45 mins for 24 hrs. This experiment provides higher resolution of

growth during exponential phase.

Supernatant assay

We hypothesised that higher densities and shifts in growth curve may be caused by production of less-toxic metabolites. This hypothesis was tested using a supernatant assay. Here, week seven cultures were revived and normalised as previous using five replicates of resistant and susceptible populations (one replicate each of susceptible and resistant cultures became contaminated and were not used in the experiment). Two replicates of each week seven culture (two technical replicates for each biological replicate) were established to ensure enough supernatant was available for the reciprocal transplant assay. Cultures were grown for one week. On day five, fresh week seven cultures were revived and grown for two days. Week-old cultures were sterilised by passing medium through a 0.22 µm filter syringe. Replicate supernatants from phage resistant and susceptible populations were pooled into separate supernatant stocks. To separate vials, 3 mL of phage resistant and susceptible supernatant was added to 25 mL vials. Two-day (fresh) culture densities were normalised and each culture was inoculated into both supernatant types. This established two controls in which cultures were grown in the same supernatant (e.g. phage resistant in phage resistant supernatant) and treatments in which cultures were transplanted into the opposite supernatant (e.g. phage resistant in phage susceptible supernatant and vice versa). Cultures were grown for two days and plated as previous.

Statistical analyses

All data were analysed using R (v.4.2.1) in RStudio [34] and all plots were made using the package '*ggplot2*' [35]. Model simplification was conducted using likelihood ratio tests and Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison tests were done using the R package '*emmeans*' [36]. Phage resistance was analysed for week two cultures in a linear

model with the proportion of phage resistant isolates (arcsine transformed) analysed

against interacting effects of treatment (monoculture / polyculture) and species. To

assess whether *Ochrobactrum* had coevolved with phage, the proportion of phage

susceptible isolates was analysed in a generalised linear model mixed effects model

with interacting fixed effects of phage time, bacteria time, and treatment (monoculture

or polyculture) with a binomial error structure and a random effect of treatment

replicate.

Variovorax densities were analysed in a linear mixed effects model with density (log10

CFU/mL) tested against interacting fixed effects of phage exposure, culture type

(polyculture or monoculture) and time (weeks two, four, six, eight) with a random effect

of treatment replicate. Clonal densities (log10 CFU/mL) from common garden

experiments were analysed against interacting fixed effects of phage exposure and

time (week two or four) with random effects of treatment replicate and block

(experiment conducted in two blocks for feasibility). In competition assays, relative

fitness was analysed in a linear model with a fixed effect of competitor (ancestor,

phage resistant or susceptible).

One week growth curves were analysed in a mixed effects model with optical density

tested against a fixed term of day and interacting fixed effects of treatment (phage

resistant / susceptible) and a quadratic term of day to account for the non-linear

relationship. A random effect of treatment replicate was included. 24-hour assays of

bacterial growth were used to estimate exponential growth rate. One replicate was

removed from the no-phage treatment for failing to grow. Per replicate, exponential

growth was estimated using rolling regression, taking the steepest slope of the linear

regression between OD_{600} and time in hours in a shifting window of every nine time

points (~6.75 hr). A linear model tested growth rate against treatment.

The supernatant assay was analysed in a linear mixed effects model with density

(log10 CFU/mL) analysed against interacting fixed effects of supernatant type and

original treatment with a random effect of treatment replicate.

To test whether consistent genetic differences occur within treatments, we performed

nonmetric multidimensional scaling on the Euclidean distance matrix of SNPs/indels

and their proportions in each population using '*metaMDS*' in the R package '*vegan*'

[37]. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling aims to collapse information from multiple

dimensions (i.e., from different populations and multiple SNPs/indels per population)

into just a few, allowing differences between samples to be visualized and interpreted.

Permutational ANOVA tests were run using '*vegan::adonis*', with Euclidean distance

as the response term and treatment as the predictor variable. Additionally, we

analysed whether evolution with phage affected the genetic difference of each

population (phage, no phage) from the ancestral population. The genetic distance from

the ancestral population was calculated as the sum of the difference of the proportion

of each SNP/indel in each population from the ancestral proportion. Genetic distance

was then analysed in a linear model analysing distance against phage

presence/absence.

Results

Phage resistance and bacteria-phage coevolution

Two bacteriophages, for *Pseudomonas* and *Variovorax*, went extinct after just two-

four weeks, therefore we estimated phage resistance at week two. Here, phage

resistance levels were species specific (ANOVA comparing models with and without

species x treatment interaction: $F_{2,29}$ = 3.40, p = 0.047; Figure S1). For *Ochrobactrum*,

phage resistance was inhibited in polyculture with no resistant clones while in

monoculture 54.2% (SE (standard error) $\pm$20.8) clones evolved resistance (Tukey

HSD comparing resistance in polyculture to monoculture: estimate = -0.873, t-ratio =

-3.983, p-value <0.001; Figure S1). Similarly, *Pseudomonas* did not evolve resistance

in polyculture while 6.94% (SE $\pm$4.52) of clones were resistant in monoculture;

although this was not significantly different (Tukey HSD: estimate = -0.157, t-ratio = -

0.753, p-value = 0.457; Figure S1). *Variovorax* evolved 100% resistance in

monoculture and 90.3% (SE $\pm$6.6) resistance in polyculture which was non-

significantly different (Tukey HSD: estimate = -0.215, t-ratio = -1.027, p-value = 0.313;

Figure S1).

As only *Ochrobactrum* coexisted with its phage (Figure S2, S3), we determined if

coevolution had occurred by measuring the resistance of bacteria to phage both within

and between time points. In polyculture, low frequency resistance (4.17%, SE $\pm$ 2.4%)

was detected against ancestral phage only at week six, ruling out significant

coevolution. In monoculture, 54.2% (SE $\pm$ 20.8%) of clones were resistant to the

ancestral phage by week two. Phage infectivity increased through time (ANOVA

comparing models with and without phage time: χ^2_3 = 122.7, p <0.001; Tukey HSD

comparing phage infectivity between ancestral phage, time-points two or four to six: p

<0.001; Figure S4). Mean bacterial susceptibility generally increased (resistance

declined) at week four (ANOVA comparing models with and without bacterial time-

point: χ_2^2 = 27.52, p < 0.001; Tukey HSD comparing resistance between weeks two and four: estimate = -1.12, z-ratio = -4.76, p <0.001; weeks four and six: estimate = 0.972, z-ratio = 4.09, p <0.001) then increased at week six back to levels non-significantly different to week two (Tukey HSD weeks two and six: estimate = -0.15, z-ratio = -0.72, p = 0.752). However, bacteria from each time point showed similar patterns of resistance to phages isolated from past, contemporary, and future time-points (ANOVA comparing models with and without phage time x bacteria time interaction: χ_6^2 = 11.36, p = 0.078; Figure S4). These results are suggestive of limited asymmetrical coevolution in monoculture with bacteria evolving resistance to phage (although resistance did not go to fixation), and phage slowly evolving increased infectivity against a subset of bacterial mutants through time.

Phage increased *Variovorax* density

We observed an interesting interaction between *Variovorax* and its lytic phage that warranted further investigation. Irrespective of ecological context and time, *Variovorax* densities were significantly higher where phage were present ($\bar{x}$ = $10^{7.79}$ CFU/mL, 95%CI = $10^{7.74}$ - $10^{7.85}$) rather than absent ($\bar{x}$ = $10^{7.69}$ CFU/mL, 95%CI = $10^{7.63}$ - $10^{7.74}$; ANOVA comparing models with and without phage: χ_1^2 = 7.21, p = 0.007; Tukey HSD: p = 0.013; Figure 1; other species and phages densities presented in Figure S2 and S3). While in weeks two and four, *Variovorax* densities were higher in polyculture compared to monoculture, this difference became non-significant at weeks six and eight (interaction between time and treatment: χ_3^2 = 31.54, p < 0.001; Table S1). Phage densities did not differ between monoculture ($\bar{x}$ = $10^{4.50}$ CFU/mL, SE = 0.20) or polyculture ($\bar{x}$ = $10^{4.25}$ CFU/mL, SE = 0.13), and phages in all replicates went extinct after week two (Figure S3).

Figure 1. Changes in *Variovorax* density over eight weeks in (a) monoculture and (b) polyculture (two other bacterial species present) in the absence (blue) and presence (brown) of bacteriophage. Large points with bars and lines represent the means with standard errors. Small points and lines represent separate treatment replicates.

Phage resistant clones have higher densities than phage susceptible clones

To assess whether higher densities in phage-exposed populations was likely a result of a genetic change (as opposed to epigenetic or physiological), we measured the densities of individual clones (isolated from weeks two (pre phage loss) and four (post phage loss) from monoculture treatments) grown in isolation in common garden experiments. All clones isolated from phage treatments were phage resistant as phage resistance reached fixation in monoculture, while all clones from the no-phage treatment were susceptible. Consistent with the population dynamics during

experimental evolution, clones isolated from phage-exposed replicates had

significantly higher densities ($\bar{x}$ = $10^{7.51}$ CFU/mL, 95%CI = $10^{5.60}$ - $10^{9.41}$) than phage-

unexposed clones ($\bar{x}$ = $10^{7.29}$ CFU/mL, 95%CI = $10^{5.38}$ - $10^{9.19}$; ANOVA comparing

models with and without phage: χ^2_1= 6.60, p = 0.102; Tukey HSD: p = 0.018; Figure

2). Additionally, there was a significant independent effect of time with clones from

week four ($\bar{x}$ = $10^{7.49}$ CFU/mL, 95%CI = $10^{5.30}$ - $10^{9.68}$) reaching higher densities than

clones from week two ($\bar{x}$ = $10^{7.31}$ CFU/mL, 95%CI = $10^{5.12}$ - $10^{9.49}$; Tukey HSD: p <

0.001; Figure 2). Overall, these results suggest effects imposed by phage were

hereditary as phage did not need to be actively present for effects to be observed.

Figure 2. Common garden experiments showing the density of individual clones

(points) which have been isolated from evolution lines where phage have been

absent or present. Tops and bottoms of the bars represent the 75th and 25th

percentiles of the data, the middle lines are the medians, and the whiskers extend from their respective hinge to the smallest or largest value no further than 1.5* interquartile range. Points represent individual clones.

Fitness advantage is associated with resistance mutations

Given the consistent higher growth rate that phage exposed populations and phage resistant clones had over phage absent populations and phage susceptible clones, we looked at whether there were underlying genetic changes that could explain this. We sequenced 3 resistant and 3 sensitive clones coexisting within 3 polyculture treatment replicates. Of the phage resistant clones, 2/3 clones had a missense mutation at the same locus (gene ID: 01575) and 1 had a substitute mutation at a nearby locus (gene ID: 01584; 10.4k base pairs downstream; this was also the only mutation in this clone) that was not found in phage susceptible isolates. No other mutations were found at the same loci across clones. While identified in a hypothetical protein, the predicted protein based on amino acid sequence of 01575 suggests that the mutation occurred in a gene responsible for a membrane protein with 99% similarity to cellulose synthase (Figure S5). Single point mutations and receptor loss are consistent with surface modification forms of resistance and suggest the phage has not lysogenised into the chromosome to confer resistance. The phage's DNA sequence has previously shown no genes relating to lysogeny [26]. Generally, few mutations were found, with one resistant clone having four mutations while the other two had only one mutation each. Similarly, one phage susceptible clone had two mutations while the other two had one mutation. 01584 sequence had no comparable genes or protein structures in BLAST or Phyre2.

We sequenced whole populations taken from seven weeks of evolution in monoculture

(*Pseudomonas* and *Ochrobactrum* absent), with the aim of identifying further genetic

changes linked to phage resistance and increased density. In total, 239 genetic

variants were found in both resistant and susceptible populations. 117 variants were

only found in phage resistant populations and 114 were only found in phage

susceptible populations. Most variants were found at low frequency (96.8% of variants

at <50% frequency) with an average resistant and susceptible population having 147

variants. 60 genes had variants only in phage resistant populations, while no variants

were found in the same genes in phage susceptible populations; likewise, 64 genes

had variants only in phage susceptible populations. 5/6 phage resistant populations

had at least one unique genetic variant at high frequency (>50%) while only 2/6 phage

susceptible populations had variants at a high frequency, suggesting specific

mutations were selected by phage. 2/6 different phage susceptible populations

(distinct to the other susceptible populations mentioned in the previous sentence) had

unique variants in *cysB* gene at >50% frequency, however 11/12 populations had

variants in this gene unrelated to treatment differences. While we did not observe

mutations in 01575 (mutation found in 2/3 clones above), mutations were found in

01584 (mutation found in 1/3 clones above) in 4/6 phage resistant populations (92.7%,

68.2%, 12.3%, 9.6%). Mutations selected to high frequency were also found in *prpR*

(69.3% in 1 population; transcription regulator gene) and in five other hypothetical

proteins or intergenic regions (Figure 3). The lack of convergence suggests phage

resistance may be maintained by several different mechanisms that have the same

phenotypic effects (resistance and higher population density). As a result, we did not

find phage presence significantly differed in genetic composition (PERMANOVA: $F_{1,10}$

= 0.889, p = 0.81, Figure S6) or distance from the ancestral genome (ANOVA: $F_{1,10}$ =

0.547, p = 0.477). Overall, evidence from fixation of resistance (100% clones tested

being resistant to phage), unique mutations and common garden experiments suggest

a link between resistance and phenotypic increases in population density; however,

mechanistic links cannot be made between genotypes and phenotypes owing to the

lack of convergent evolution.

Figure 3. The frequency of genetic variants found in phage resistant but not phage susceptible populations. Where multiple variants are found in the same gene, the average has been presented for space purposes. Each replicate population

is identified on the x-axis. Genes of known function are named on the y-axis while

hypothetical proteins are given a numerical denomination. Gene names separated by

a forward slash indicate mutations in intergenic regions. Samples indicate each of the

six replicate populations that evolved in the presence of phage.

Phage resistant clones do not have a fitness advantage

We next determined whether phage resistance conferred a fitness advantage in the

absence of phage. In competition assays with a marked ancestral strain, relative

fitness was not significantly affected by phage resistance ($F_{2,15}$ = 0.460, p = 0.640;

Figure 4). The greater density associated with resistance did not therefore result in a

competitive fitness advantage.

Figure 4. Relative fitness of ancestral, phage susceptible and resistant isolates

against a marked ancestral strain of *Variovorax*. Points represent independent

replicates. Tops and bottoms of the bars represent the 75th and 25th percentiles of

the data, the middle lines are the medians, and the whiskers extend from their

respective hinge to the smallest or largest value no further than 1.5* interquartile

range.

Phage resistance affects growth dynamics

We next investigated if density benefits resulting from resistance arose from changes

in growth dynamics. This may have arisen if, for example, logistic growth rates of

resistant isolates were slowed, resulting in resources becoming less exhausted when

cultures were transferred to fresh media on day seven. As such, we measured the

growth curves of *Variovorax* populations isolated from week seven of experimental

evolution over one week. Here, there was a significant interaction between treatment

and day of measurement (ANOVA comparing models with and without the interaction:

χ_1^2 = 7.25, p = 0.007), suggesting phage resistance had altered population growth

dynamics (Figure 5). Consistent with our previous observation based on CFU counts

(Figure 2), optical density after one week was higher in phage resistant ($\bar{x}$ = 0.067,

95%CI = 0.062 - 0.072) than susceptible ($\bar{x}$ = 0.057, 95%CI = 0.053 - 0.062)

populations (Figure 5). Consistent with an absence of fitness differences between

susceptible and resistant isolates (Figure 4), there was no significant difference in

exponential growth rate measured in the 24 hr growth rate assay ($F_{1,9}$ = 0.622, p =

0.451) or carrying capacity in the one week growth rate assay (phage resistant: $\bar{x}$ =

0.08, 95%CI = 0.075 – 0.085; phage susceptible: $\bar{x}$ = 0.076, 95%CI = 0.072 – 0.081;

Figure 5). Phage resistant isolates show similar growth dynamics to susceptible

isolates, except they show a slower decline in density by day 7.

504　Figure 5. The seven-day growth curves of (a) phage susceptible and (b) phage

505　resistant *Variovorax* populations that had evolved for seven weeks. Points

506　represent individual replicate populations measured repeatedly through time. Solid

507　lines represent the mean predictions and shaded bands represent the 95% confidence

508　intervals.

510　The supernatant of phage resistant populations is not less toxic

If bacterial populations can maintain a higher density during the stationary/death

phase, this suggests that bacteria are potentially producing fewer toxic metabolites

and/or are less susceptible to them. We investigated these possibilities by growing

week seven populations in spent supernatants from either their own treatment or the

opposite treatment. However, population density was non-significantly different

between populations irrespective of prior phage exposure ($\chi^2_1 = 1.48$, p = 0.224) or

supernatant type (χ_1^2 = 2.12, p = 0.145; interaction between effects: χ_1^2 = 0.148, p =

0.7004; Figure 6).

Figure 6. Density changes when populations which are (a) phage susceptible

and (b) phage resistant, are grown in supernatant isolated from either

population. E.g. phage susceptible growing in supernatant from phage susceptible

populations (same evolutionary history) or phage susceptible growing in supernatant

from phage resistant populations (different evolutionary history). Points represent

densities of individual replicates while lines indicate the change when that same

population is grown in a different supernatant.

Discussion

Bacteriophages have been previously shown to positively influence bacterial densities,

but only when propagated in monoculture and the mechanism was not determined

[15]. Here, we showed that evolved resistance to phage changed the growth curves

of *Variovorax* populations resulting in higher densities under the weekly transfer

regime. High levels of resistance, and the associated positive effect on density, was

observed for several weeks past phage extinction within monocultures (no other

bacterial species present) and in a community context. That this effect was consistent

in both the presence and absence of other species is particularly interesting as the

growth of this species is enhanced by the presence of the other two species [19, 28].

As such, irrespective of the metabolic state the bacteria are in (feeding on the media

or on supernatant), the phage had a positive effect on *Variovorax* density. Previous

work showing a phage-mediated increase in bacterial density occurred in monoculture

and not polyculture [15], suggesting a different mechanism to that observed here.

Phage resistance did not have a significant effect on exponential growth rate but did

appear to affect the death phase, with phage resistant populations maintaining a

higher density. Although we did not find evidence that this was linked to a change in

metabolites produced after one week, it may reflect a broader ability of the bacteria

being able to cope in stressful conditions. *Variovorax* is a metabolically plastic species

and is capable of being lithoautotrophic or chemoorganotrophic, including the

metabolism of toxic or complex compounds [38]. Benefits from phage-exposure were

not linked directly to a single phage resistance mutation, however resistance was

found to likely emerge via mutations in a surface protein, possibly linked to nutrient

acquisition or metabolism, often with missense mutations resulting in a loss of function.

Loss of this receptor could have shifted *Variovorax* metabolism to give the effects

shown. However, confirming this is challenging due to the complexity of this nutrient

medium. Additionally, population sequencing revealed multiple mutations emerging

and several reached high frequencies (>50%) in 5/6 phage treatment populations,

although with a lack of convergent evolution. All high frequency genetic variants were

in hypothetical proteins, making mechanistic inferences impossible within this project.

Interestingly, only two no-phage culture had a unique mutation that reached high

frequency (>50%) compared to 5/6 phage cultures, suggesting phage presence

increased the likelihood of variants reaching a high frequency.

While resistance was clearly beneficial in the presence and absence of phage,

reaching and being maintained at very high frequencies, we did not find any phage

resistance emerge in phage-free controls across eight weeks. This was because there

was no selective cost or benefit of phage resistant mutants competing against phage

sensitive clones in the absence of phage, and hence resistant mutations would not

have increased in frequency. Nevertheless, we may expect this resistance-linked

growth phenotype to be favoured in highly structured metapopulations, where limited

dispersal can result in different patches seeded by single clones with the most

productive patches (i.e. those seeded with resistant clones) producing more individual

to colonise new patches [39]. The resistance mutations may also allow populations to

subsequently explore alternative evolutionary trajectories in the longer term [40].

In many well-studied model systems bacteria and phage coexist either through

resistant and susceptible genotypes being maintained in bacterial populations or

phage coevolve to maintain infectivity [9], although there are clear exceptions (e.g.

[41]). Here, we found an absence of coevolution in two bacteria-phage pairs with two

phages going extinct after two weeks and limited coevolution in one pair. For

Variovorax, our genetic analyses suggest the receptor for infection was lost which

would have made this very challenging for phage to coevolve. Another bacteria and

phage used in this study, *Pseudomonas* and phage CHF7MC failed to coexist with

phage similarly going extinct after two weeks. *Pseudomonas* phage was driven extinct

with little resistance evolution, suggesting the phage was poorly adapted to this host.

Ochrobactrum and its phage were the only pair to coexist, however phage increased

in infectivity asymmetrically to increases in resistance. Studying bacteria-phage pairs

beyond model systems, which are presumably often selected precisely because they

do clearly coevolve, will provide much greater insight into interactions in bacteria-

phage interactions in nature.

That phage can have effects on hosts following extinction has implications in natural

and applied contexts. Phages are sometimes assumed to maintain diversity by

regulating host densities through lysis of fast growing populations and imposing fitness

costs [5]. Our results suggest lytic phages can sometimes increase bacterial densities,

which may contribute to patterns observed in some systems where bacteria such as

SAR11 can maintain high densities despite high viral loads [42]. If phages can

increase bacterial densities, this may reduce overall diversity by favouring populations

with specific phage pairs. If phages can improve the density of soil bacteria, this may

have positive impacts on carbon cycling and crop yield. *Variovorax* species, for

instance, are symbionts to plants and are found in the rhizosphere where they can

improve plant growth [38]. Alternatively, if phages are used therapeutically [7, 8] then

density enhancement of target bacterial pathogens is clearly detrimental. Using

phages with clinical samples *in vitro* and analysing changes in bacterial genotypes

and phenotypes would allow physicians to assess whether a phage is suitable for a

patient's treatment [43].

The influence of phages on bacterial populations are highly diverse, making

predictions and generalisations challenging from model systems to phages in nature.

Our work highlights the surprising outcomes that can arise from bacteria-phage

interactions, and that the simple assumption that lytic phages negatively affect host

densities or coevolve may not always be true. Wider research analysing the effects of

different phages on host bacteria, both during and after phage extinction would give

important insight into how phage therapy may affect pathogens during infection and

how phages operate in nature.

Supplementary Information

618
619 Figure S1. The proportion of phage resistant bacteria after two weeks evolving with

620 phage in polyculture and monoculture. Points with bars represent the means with

621 standard errors. Individual points represent separate treatment replicates. O,

622 *Ochrobactrum*; P, *Pseudomonas*; V, *Variovorax*.

Figure S2. Changes in bacterial density through time in the presence (Y) and absence

(N) of phage in (a) monoculture and (b) polyculture. Points with bars represent the

means with standard errors. Individual points represent separate treatment replicates.

Lines connect points from the same treatment replicate.

629 Figure S3. Changes in phage density through time for (a) *Ochrobactrum* phage

630 ORM_20, (b) *Pseudomonas* phage CHF7MC and (c) *Variovorax* phage VAC_51.

631 Points with bars represent the means with standard errors. Individual points represent

632 separate treatment replicates. Lines connect points from the same treatment replicate.

Figure S4. Changes in phage infectivity (the proportion of phage susceptible bacteria) to *Ochrobactrum* through time, demonstrated by assaying phages from different time-points against bacteria from different time-points. Points with bars represent the means with standard errors. Individual points represent separate treatment replicates.

Image coloured by rainbow N → C terminus
Model dimensions (Å): **X**:47.764 **Y**:50.150 **Z**:50.004

Figure S5. Predicted protein structure of an identified hypothetical protein, mutated in

phage resistant isolates. This protein held 99% similarity to membrane protein

cellulose synthase. Protein predicted and visualised using Phyre2 with 99.7%

confidence.

Figure S6. The divergence of each treatment group as indicated by Euclidean

distances of each gene (arrows) from the ancestral genome. Points represent

individual replicates sequenced from phage resistant or susceptible treatment groups.

Table S1. Tukey HSD contrasts comparing *Variovorax* densities in polyculture (poly.) and monoculture (mono.) through time. P-values adjusted using the Tukey method of comparing a family of three estimates.

Contrast	Week	Estimate	t-ratio	p-value
Poly. - Mono.	2	0.530	7.202	<0.001
Poly. - Mono.	4	0.406	5.519	<0.001
Poly. - Mono.	6	0.062	0.842	0.402
Poly. - Mono.	8	0.060	0.822	0.413

References

1. Chevallereau A, Pons BJ, van Houte S, Westra ER. Interactions between bacterial and phage communities in natural environments. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2022; **20**: 49–62.

2. Winter C, Bouvier T, Weinbauer MG, Thingstad TF. Trade-Offs between Competition and Defense Specialists among Unicellular Planktonic Organisms: the 'Killing the Winner' Hypothesis Revisited. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2010; **74**: 42–57.

3. Chan BK, Sistrom M, Wertz JE, Kortright KE, Narayan D, Turner PE. Phage selection restores antibiotic sensitivity in MDR Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Sci Rep* 2016; **6**: 26717.

4. Markwitz P, Lood C, Olszak T, van Noort V, Lavigne R, Drulis-Kawa Z. Genome-driven elucidation of phage-host interplay and impact of phage resistance evolution on bacterial fitness. *ISME J* 2021.

5. Breitbart M, Bonnain C, Malki K, Sawaya NA. Phage puppet masters of the marine microbial realm. *Nat Microbiol* 2018; **3**: 754–766.

6. Jensen MA, Faruque SM, Mekalanos JJ, Levin BR. Modeling the role of bacteriophage in the control of cholera outbreaks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006; **103**: 4652–4657.

7.   Oechslin F. Resistance Development to Bacteriophages Occurring during Bacteriophage

Therapy. *Viruses* 2018; **10**: 351.

8.   Abedon ST. Use of phage therapy to treat long-standing, persistent, or chronic bacterial

infections. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2019; **145**: 18–39.

9.   Hampton HG, Watson BNJ, Fineran PC. The arms race between bacteria and their

phage foes. *Nature* 2020; **577**: 327–336.

10.   Mizoguchi K, Morita M, Fischer CR, Yoichi M, Tanji Y, Unno H. Coevolution of

Bacteriophage PP01 and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Continuous Culture. *Appl*

Environ Microbiol 2003; **69**: 170–176.

11.   Buckling A, Rainey PB. Antagonistic coevolution between a bacterium and a

bacteriophage. *Proc Royal Soc B* 2002; **269**: 931–936.

12.   Dewald-Wang EA, Parr N, Tiley K, Lee A, Koskella B. Multiyear Time-Shift Study of

Bacteria and Phage Dynamics in the Phyllosphere. *The American Naturalist* 2022; **199**:

126–140.

13.   Osada K, Takeuchi I, Miyanaga K, Tanji Y. Coevolution between Staphylococcus

aureus isolated from mastitic milk and its lytic bacteriophage ΦSA012 in batch co-

culture with serial transfer. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 2017; **126**: 16–23.

14.   Gandon S, Buckling A, Decaestecker E, Day T. Host-parasite coevolution and patterns

of adaptation across time and space. *J Evol Biol* 2008; **21**: 1861–1866.

15.   Gómez P, Buckling A. Bacteria-Phage Antagonistic Coevolution in Soil. *Science* 2011;

332: 106–109.

16.   Koskella B, Parr N. The evolution of bacterial resistance against bacteriophages in the

horse chestnut phyllosphere is general across both space and time. *Phil Trans R Soc B*

2015; **370**: 20140297.

17.   LeGault KN, Hays SG, Angermeyer A, McKitterick AC, Johura F, Sultana M, et al.

Temporal shifts in antibiotic resistance elements govern phage-pathogen conflicts.

Science 2021; **373**: eabg2166.

18.   Piel D, Bruto M, Labreuche Y, Blanquart F, Goudenège D, Barcia-Cruz R, et al. Phage–

host coevolution in natural populations. *Nat Microbiol* 2022; **7**: 1075–1086.

19.   Castledine M, Padfield D, Buckling A. Experimental (co)evolution in a multi-species

microbial community results in local maladaptation. *Ecol Lett* 2020; **23**: 1673–1681.

20.   Friman V-P, Buckling A. Effects of predation on real-time host-parasite coevolutionary

dynamics. *Ecol Lett* 2013; **16**: 39–46.

21.   Lawrence D, Fiegna F, Behrends V, Bundy JG, Phillimore AB, Bell T, et al. Species

Interactions Alter Evolutionary Responses to a Novel Environment. *PLoS Biol* 2012;

10: e1001330.

22.   Harrison E, Hall JPJ, Paterson S, Spiers AJ, Brockhurst MA. Conflicting selection alters

the trajectory of molecular evolution in a tripartite bacteria-plasmid-phage interaction.

Mol Ecol 2017; **26**: 2757–2764.

23.   Hall JPJ, Harrison E, Brockhurst MA. Competitive species interactions constrain abiotic

adaptation in a bacterial soil community. *Evolution Letters* 2018; **2**: 580–589.

24.   Scanlan PD, Hall AR, Blackshields G, Friman V-P, Davis MR, Goldberg JB, et al.

Coevolution with Bacteriophages Drives Genome-Wide Host Evolution and Constrains

the Acquisition of Abiotic-Beneficial Mutations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*

2015; **32**: 1425–1435.

25.   Brockhurst MA, Morgan AD, Rainey PB, Buckling A. Population mixing accelerates

coevolution. *Ecology Letters* 2003; **6**: 975–979.

26. Castledine M, Newbury A, Lewis R, Hacker C, Meaden S, Buckling A. Antagonistic

Mobile Genetic Elements Can Counteract Each Other's Effects on Microbial

Community Composition. *mBio* 2023; e00460-23.

27. Brockhurst MA, Rainey PB, Buckling A. The effect of spatial heterogeneity and

parasites on the evolution of host diversity. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 2004; **271**: 107–111.

28. Padfield D, Castledine M, Pennycook J, Hesse E, Buckling A. Short-term relative

invader growth rate predicts long-term equilibrium proportion in a stable, coexisting

microbial community. *bioRxiv* 2020.

29. Morgan AD, Gandon S, Buckling A. The effect of migration on local adaptation in a

coevolving host–parasite system. *Nature* 2005; **437**: 253–256.

30. Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes

from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods Mol Biol* 2014; **1151**: 165–

188.

31. Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. The Phyre2 web portal for

protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc* 2015; **10**: 845–858.

32. Walker-Sünderhauf D, Klümper U, Gaze WH, Westra ER, van Houte S. Interspecific

competition can drive the loss of conjugative plasmids from a focal species in a

microbial community. *bioRxiv* 2022.

33. Lenski RE, Rose MR, Simpson SC, Tadler SC. Long-Term Experimental Evolution in

Escherichia coli. I. Adaptation and Divergence During 2,000 Generations. *Am Nat* 1991;

138: 1315–1341.

34. Team RC. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. 2013.

35. Wickham H. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. 2016. Springer, New York.

36. Lenth R. Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. 2018. R

Package Version.

37. Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, et al. vegan:

Community Ecology Package. 2019.

38. Satola B, Wübbeler JH, Steinbüchel A. Metabolic characteristics of the species

Variovorax paradoxus. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2013; **97**: 541–560.

39. Griffin AS, West SA, Buckling A. Cooperation and competition in pathogenic bacteria.

Nature 2004; **430**: 1024–1027.

40. Salathé M, Soyer OS. Parasites lead to evolution of robustness against gene loss in host

signaling networks. *Mol Syst Biol* 2008; **4**: 202.

41. Lythgoe KA, Chao L. Mechanisms of coexistence of a bacteria and a bacteriophage in a

spatially homogeneous environment. *Ecol Letters* 2003; **6**: 326–334.

42. Giovannoni SJ. SAR11 Bacteria: The Most Abundant Plankton in the Oceans. *Annu Rev*

Mar Sci 2017; **9**: 231–255.

43. Castledine M, Padfield D, Sierocinski P, Soria Pascual J, Hughes A, Mäkinen L, et al.

Parallel evolution of Pseudomonas aeruginosa phage resistance and virulence loss in

response to phage treatment in vivo and in vitro. *eLife* 2022; **11**: e73679.