1

Root exudation and rhizosphere microbial recruitment are influenced by novel plant trait diversity in carrot genotypes

Hannah M. Anderson[a*], Grace A. Cagle[a], Erica L.-W. Majumder[b], Erin Silva[c], Julie Dawson[d],

Philipp Simon[d,e], Zachary B. Freedman[a]

[a] Department of Soil Science, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[b] Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[c] Department of Plant Pathology, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[d] Department of Plant and Agroecosystem Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[e] United States Department of Agriculture Vegetable Crops Research Unit

*corresponding author: Hannah M. Anderson; handerson33@wisc.edu

Declaration of competing interest

16 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

18 *Keywords: Rhizosphere, Root exudates, Metabolomics, Plant-microbe interactions, Trait*
19 *diversity*

Abstract

Root exudate composition can influence rhizosphere microbial recruitment and is tightly

controlled by plant genetics. However, little research has profiled root exudate in vegetable crops

or determined their role in rhizosphere microbial community and metabolite composition. It is

also not well understood how root exudates and resulting rhizosphere dynamics shift across plant

trait diversity and with the development of novel crop genotypes. To address these knowledge

gaps, this study paired metabolomics and microbiome analyses to evaluate associations between

the composition of exudates, soil bacterial and fungal communities, and soil metabolites across

four genotypes of organically produced carrot of differential breeding histories, including two

experimental genotypes. Plant genotypes modified soil microbial diversity and composition, and

differentially recruited bacterial taxa with demonstrated potential for plant-growth related

functions including ammonia oxidation, nitrogen fixation, and phytohormone production.

Bacterial rhizosphere recruitment from bulk soil was genotype and root exudate-mediated, while

fungal recruitment was not. Moreover, root exudate composition was distinct in an heirloom

genotype and a novel nematode resistant genotype, compared to other genotypes tested. Root

exudate and rhizosphere metabolite composition was decoupled, and soil metabolites strongly

associated with fungal, but not bacterial communities. Taken together, the results of this study

suggest that novel crop trait diversity and breeding histories hold consequences for the functional

potential of soils through the diversification of root exudate mediated plant-microbe interactions.

1. Introduction

Terrestrial plants evolved in close association with soil microorganisms, mediating both positive and negative plant-microbe interactions through root growth and rhizodeposition. The rhizosphere, defined as the narrow zone of soil in direct contact with an actively growing plant root, is a hotspot for plant-soil substrate flow and microbial activity (Kuzyakov & Blagodatskaya 2015). It is well documented that rhizosphere microbial community composition and functions differ from that of the bulk soil, and these differences could ultimately shape plant and soil functional outcomes as a result of shifts in microbial metabolism, for example, by increasing soil nutrient availability (Ling et al. 2022). While the bulk soil microbial community initially shapes the rhizosphere as the "microbial seed bank", observed differences in rhizosphere microbial community composition between plant species and even genotypes during plant development indicate a strong host plant-specific selective pressure (de Ridder-Duine et al. 2005, Micallef et al. 2009, Aira et al. 2010). Oftentimes, the specific plant or soil-based mechanisms resulting in these observed patterns of rhizosphere microbial community assembly remain elusive.

The flux of photosynthetically fixed carbon (C) from plant tissues to the rhizosphere through root exudation is a potential mechanism that can modify soil microbial abundance and activity. As a source of low-molecular weight (LMW) labile C, root exudates provide a readily accessible energy source for microbial metabolism, which can ultimately stimulate microbial recruitment and growth (Meier et al. 2017, Zhou et al. 2022, Liu et al. 2022). Early research on plant root exudation provided the foundational understanding that root exudation is significantly controlled by plant genetics (Lynch & Whipps 1983, Larsen et al. 1998, Tadano et al. 1993, Kamilova et al. 2006). More recent applications of high-throughput metabolomics and microbial

community analysis support the hypothesis that root exudate chemical identity regulates specific

microbial selection in the rhizosphere through preferential uptake and metabolic use (Broeckling

et al. 2008, Eilers et al. 2010, Hugoni et al. 2018, Zhalnina et al. 2018). Additionally, soil

microorganisms produce and excrete LMW metabolites that can shape the biochemical habitat

and carbon availability in the rhizosphere (Bi et al. 2020, Swenson et al. 2015, Song et al. 2020).

Despite the apparent importance of both root exudates and microbial metabolites, there is a lack

of research that has determined the influence of root exudates on soil metabolite composition or

investigated both root and soil metabolomes in tandem to differentiate their effects on

rhizosphere microbial communities. Metabolomics-based root exudate profiling to date has been

mainly applied to grasses and crops used for bioenergy such as switchgrass and sorghum, and

plants are usually grown hydroponically or in artificial soil (Miller et al. 2019, Dietz et al. 2020,

Seitz et al. 2022). There is notably little application of these methods in vegetable crops,

especially in field soil environments, significantly limiting the integration of developments in

plant-microbe interactions into food system sustainability (Neumann et al. 2014, Zhao et al.

2023).

Cultivars developed by plant breeding efforts introduce phenotypic diversity into

agricultural systems, potentially altering rhizosphere functioning through shifts in plant-microbe

interactions across plant genotypes. For example, domestication can alter root exudate profiles

and rhizosphere microbial interactions, suggesting an influence of breeding history in some crops

(Iannucci et al. 2017, Yue et al. 2023). However, whether crop trait diversity affects rhizosphere

plant-microbe interactions in vegetable production systems, and if novel trait introductions can

modify these interactions, has not been determined. An increased understanding of these effects

is important, as crop trait diversity can influence soil biological health by modifying microbial

diversity, activity, and associated carbon and nutrient cycles (Tiemann et al. 2015, Wood et al.

2015, Cortois et al. 2016, Singh et al. 2018, Zhang et al. 2021, Koyama et al. 2022). These

effects are likely to be especially important in agricultural systems with heightened reliance on

soil microbial activity for agronomic outcomes, such as organically managed soils, which is a

rapidly expanding land use (USDA 2021).

In organic agriculture, carrot (*Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus*) is one of the most highly

produced vegetables in the United States, spurring the establishment of breeding programs

prioritizing the development of cultivars that are well-adapted to organic growing conditions and

meet market demand (USDA 2022, Simon et al. 2016, Simon et al. 2021). Pathogenic nematode

resistance and enriched anthocyanin content associated with purple coloration are presently

being explored in experimental carrot lines. These ongoing carrot breeding efforts have

demonstrated potential impacts to rhizosphere functioning in climate-controlled field and

greenhouse settings, making carrot a suitable candidate for exploring plant-microbe interactions

across trait diversity in organic vegetable systems in a field setting (Keller-Pearson et al. 2020,

Triviño et al. 2023). The mechanisms driving these observed shifts in plant-microbe interactions

across carrot cultivar development are currently unknown. Early research using carrot as a model

plant has suggested that root exudation mediates interactions with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi,

suggesting a potential role for these compounds in rhizosphere signaling (Bécard & Piché 1989,

Nagahashi et al. 1999, Nagahashi et al. 2000, Nagahashi et al. 2007, Poulin et al. 1993).

However, root exudates have never been fully profiled in carrots and the biochemical

composition of root exudates in vegetables in general is severely understudied.

The aim of this study was to advance understanding of the mechanisms that shape

rhizosphere microbial communities by co-investigating the diversity of root exudate

composition, soil metabolomes and rhizosphere microbial recruitment in a field setting. In this

way, the objectives of this study were to 1) assess root exudate and soil microbial communities

across genotype diversity in organically produced carrot, and 2) evaluate whether root exudate or

soil metabolites modulate soil microbial assembly in the rhizosphere. Related to objective 1, we

hypothesized that 1.1) across genotypes, plant genetic differences will lead to distinct root

exudate and soil microbial community composition and 1.2) rhizosphere microbial recruitment

will shift with genotype across the growing season. Related to objective 2, we hypothesized that

2.1) root exudates will modulate soil metabolite composition and 2.2) differences in root exudate

and soil metabolite composition across plant genotypes will account for variation in both

bacterial and fungal rhizosphere communities.

2. Methods

2.1 Genotype Selection

To determine the effect of plant trait diversity on soil microbial community assembly,

four genotypes of carrot were selected for a field trial based on previous research and current

breeding efforts (Simon et al. 2016, Keller-Pearson et al. 2020, Simon et al. 2021, Triviño et al.

2023). The selected genotypes are phenotypically diverse in terms of root shape, flavor, and

color, and include one heirloom variety (Red Core Chantenay, hereafter "H"), one hybrid variety

(Bolero, hereafter "Hy"), an experimental nematode resistant line (Nb8503, hereafter "NR"), and

an experimental purple/orange-cored carrot (P0114, hereafter "PO") (Table 1).

2.2 Field Trial

A randomized complete block design field trial (n=5 blocks) was conducted at West

Madison Agricultural Research Station on certified organic land. The soil is classified as a

Kegonsa silt loam and has been cultivated under a diverse organic crop rotation. Crop rotation

history and manure inputs for six years are available in Table S1. Soil chemistry data from

annual soil tests including soil pH, organic matter (%), P (ppm) and K (ppm) is available in

Table S3. The experimental plots received no external fertilizer amendments the year of planting.

Prior to planting, the site was plowed to a depth of 15 cm and existing cover crop residue was

incorporated into the soil. Carrots were established from 6/20/22 to 9/26/22 in $1m^2$ plots seeded

at a rate of 100 seeds/meter using methods that are common in regional production systems. Drip

irrigation was delivered daily. In total, 4 genotypes with 5 replicates yielded 20 experimental

plots.

2.3 Midseason and Harvest Sampling

To determine the effects of root exudation on soil metabolite composition and microbial

recruitment during organic carrot production, both root exudates and soil were collected 10

weeks post-planting. At this point, carrots were in a vegetative, actively growing state, while

exhibiting the phenotypic traits (e.g. color) of interest in this study, offering a suitable time to

delineate potential differences in root exudation across genotypes. At sampling, 10 carrot roots

were harvested from each plot. Rhizosphere soil was operationally defined as the soil attached to

the carrot root after 5 seconds of gently shaking in the air (Wollum, A. G, 1994). Rhizosphere

soil was gently removed to avoid damage to the roots and homogenized by plot. Bulk soil

samples were collected by taking four soil cores per plot in between carrot rows to a 15 cm depth

(5.7 cm diameter) and homogenizing by plot. Soil was transported to the lab on ice and roots

were transported from the field to the lab in paper bags to maintain dark and warm conditions.

Upon return to the lab, all soil samples were immediately sieved (2 mm) and a subsample was

frozen at −80 ˚C for microbial community and metabolomics analysis.

To determine end-of-season impacts of carrot production on soil microbial community

and metabolite composition, soil samples were taken concurrently with carrot harvest. At 14

weeks post-planting, carrots were harvested at maturity. Rhizosphere and bulk soil samples were

collected and processed in an identical manner as the midseason samples.

2.4 Root Exudate Collection

Root exudates (n=20) were collected hydroponically after midseason harvest using

methods adapted from Williams et al. (2021). First, three roots from each plot were randomly

selected for exudate collection. Prior to root exudate collection, roots were gently rinsed of

remaining soil using autoclaved deionized water and placed into acid washed and autoclaved

glass beakers with 100 mL of molecular grade water as an extraction solution. Beakers were

covered in aluminum foil to mimic dark growing conditions. Roots were submerged to allow for

the diffusion of water-soluble metabolites into the water solution for 1.5 hours, which has been

determined to be an appropriate amount of time for sufficient exudate collection while avoiding

microbial turnover of exudate products (Neumann & Röhmheld, 2009). After roots were

removed, the exudate solutions were immediately filter-sterilized through a 0.22μm filter,

combined by plot, and frozen at −80°C. Tubes were lyophilized and the dried product was stored

at −80°C prior to analysis.

2.5 Microbial Community Sample Preparation and Bioinformatics

DNA was extracted from 0.25g of rhizosphere and bulk soils collected at midseason and

harvest sampling times using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following

manufacturer's instructions, and the resulting purified DNA was stored at −80 °C. PCR reactions

were performed using primer pairs that target the V4 region (~250 bp) of the 16S ribosomal

RNA (rRNA) (hereafter "16S") gene for bacterial communities (5'- 3'; 515f

GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA, 806r GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT) as well as the

internal transcribed spacer region 2 (hereafter "ITS2") (~350-500 bp) for fungal communities

(fITS7 GTGARTCATCGAATCTTTG, ITS4 TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC) (Ihrmark et al.

2012, White 1990, Turner et al. 1999, Kozich et al. 2013). 16S rRNA and ITS2 amplicon DNA

was shipped on dry ice to Michigan State University's Genomics Core (East Lansing, MI, USA)

for library preparation and paired-end sequencing on a MiSeq (2 $\times$ 300 bp, Illumina, San Diego,

CA, USA). Demultiplexed 16S and ITS2 sequences were processed using the DADA2 pipeline

in R version 4.1.3 to construct amplicon sequence variants (Martin 2011, Callahan et al. 2016a,

R Core Team 2022). Taxonomic classification was performed using the SILVA v138.1 and

UNITE v9.0 databases for bacterial and fungal communities, respectively (McLaren & Callahan

2013, Abarenkov et al. 2023). Prior to analysis, sequences were rarefied to the minimum

sequencing depth of 11925 and 9376 sequences per sample for 16S and ITS2 sequences,

respectively in *phyloseq* (McMurdie & Holmes 2013, Callahan et al. 2016b). One 16S sample

(from Block 3 H midseason rhizosphere) was removed from analysis due to poor sequencing

results and one 16S sample (from Block 1 NR harvest rhizosphere) was identified as an outlier

based on NMDS visual inspection and removed from analysis (see Supplementary Materials).

2.6 Root Exudate and Soil Metabolomics Data Acquisition

For untargeted metabolomics preparation and analysis, lyophilized root exudates and 10g of

frozen soil samples were sent on dry ice to Colorado State University Proteomics and

Metabolomics Facility (Fort Collins, CO, USA). Briefly, root exudates and soil samples were

treated with a 50% or 80% MeOH-H_2O solution, and then 1% formic acid was added to the soil

samples. Samples were dried under a N_2-stream, treated with 0.05 mL of methoxyamine

hydrochloride in pyridine (25 mg/mL), and then treated with TMSTFA+1%TMCS for

derivatization prior to analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS; further

detail in Supplementary Materials).

Prepared samples were injected into a Trace 1310 GC coupled to a Thermo ISQ mass

spectrometer. Peak detection, alignment, and filling was performed using XCMS (version 4.2.2),

and RAMClustR (version 1.2.4) was used to additionally normalize, filter, and group features

(Smith et al. 2006, Broeckling, 2014). Feature matching was performed using the NIST 20 GC

Method / Retention Index Database and the MS Dial GC-MS library (Babushok et al. 2007, Lai

et al. 2017).

2.7 Univariate Data Analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to test for significant effects and

interactions of experimental factors Genotype (H, Hy, NR, PO), Time (Midseason, Harvest) and

Soil Compartment (Bulk, Rhizosphere) on microbial richness based on the Chao1 Index (Chao

1984). When applicable, pairwise treatment means were compared with the Fisher's Least

Significant Difference (LSD; de Mendiburu 2023).

The package *ANCOMBC* (Analysis of Compositions of Microbiomes) was used to assess

differentially abundant bacterial and fungal taxa across bulk and rhizosphere soils at the family

level (Lin et al. 2020). Each genotype was analyzed at the midseason and harvest time point

against its own bulk soil, using the bulk soil as a reference to assess differentially abundant taxa

based on fold change values.

Metabolomics analysis was performed in Metaboanalyst 5.0 (Pang et al. 2021). To delineate

which root exudates and soil metabolites were differentially abundant, one-factor ANOVA, fold

change analysis and Wilcoxon t-tests were applied on each metabolite for every pairwise

comparison of experimental factors using a fold change threshold of 1.5. Metabolites with a

log2(fold change) value of >1.5 and a False Discovery Rate-adjusted p-value of <0.10 were

considered significantly (<0.05) or marginally (<0.1) different.

2.8 Multivariate Analysis

To test for significant effects and interactions between carrot genotype, soil compartment,

and time on microbial community composition, permutational analysis of variance

(PERMANOVA) was performed on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities (Anderson 2001). A pairwise

PERMANOVA post- hoc test was applied when appropriate. Similarly, PERMANOVA was

used to distinguish the factors that significantly affected soil metabolome composition based on

Euclidean distances. Multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions (beta dispersion) was

similarly evaluated for microbial community compositions using the function 'betadisper' from

vegan (Oksanen et al. 2022). PERMANOVA was carried out using the 'adonis2' function.

To assess associations between microbial community and metabolome dissimilarities, Mantel

tests between distance matrices were performed in a pairwise manner using the 'mantel' function

from *vegan* (Mantel, 1967; Oksanen et al. 2022). Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and Euclidean

dissimilarity matrices were constructed for microbial community and metabolome datasets,

respectively.

Distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) was used to assess associations between

metabolite concentrations and microbial community dissimilarity. To reduce data redundancy

and the number of explanatory variables, root exudate and soil metabolites were subjected to a

bottom-up clustering method wherein metabolites were clustered by similar behavior within the

dataset using k-means clustering, such that metabolites with highly similar concentrations across

all samples were reduced to a single variable. The minimum number of clusters was chosen such

that within sums of squares was minimized, and that the means of each metabolite which were

significantly different from the averaged value were minimized. Root exudate and soil

metabolite clusters were then used as explanatory variables in dbRDA, and 16S and ITS

communities were modeled separately. The significance of a cluster for explaining variation in

microbial community dissimilarity in each dbRDA was assessed with a permutation test (999

permutations).

Raw sequence fastq files will be deposited into the NCBI SRA repository upon

manuscript acceptance.

3. Results

3.1 Microbial Community Structure

Final feature tables contained 25350 (16S) and 5956 (ITS2) ASVs. The most abundant five

phyla across all bacterial communities, representing nearly 70% of the total community, were

Proteobacteria (26%), Actinobacteriota (14%), Acidobacteriota (13%), Firmicutes (9%) and

Bacteroidota (7%). Likewise, the top three phyla across all fungal communities, representing

96% of the total community, were Ascomycota (59%), Mortierellomycota (19%), and

Basidiomycota (18%). In total, 43 bacterial phyla and 16 fungal phyla were represented by at

least one taxon.

Soil bacterial richness varied across genotypes and this effect was dependent on soil

compartment (genotype $\times$ compartment, $p < 0.05$). Specifically, compared to their respective

bulk soils, richness was increased by 19% in the rhizosphere of genotype Hy, and decreased by

10% in genotype PO ($p < 0.05$, Figure 1); neither genotypes NR nor H altered bacterial richness

in their rhizosphere as compared to their respective bulk soils. Additionally, when comparing the

rhizosphere across genotypes, bacterial richness was ~15% higher in genotypes H and Hy as

compared to PO, and no other differences between genotypes were observed. When comparing

the bulk soils across genotypes, bacterial richness also varied ($p < 0.05$). Specifically, bulk soil

bacterial richness from plots planted with Hy was 14% lower than that of plots planted with NR.

Further, the bulk soil of both Hy and NR were similar in richness to PO and H. Bacterial richness

did not change across the two time points in either the rhizosphere or bulk soil.

Fungal richness was variably affected by soil compartment, genotype, and time (genotype $\times$

soil compartment, $p <0.06$, soil compartment $\times$ time, $p < 0.05$). Specifically, compared to its bulk

soil, genotype H increased fungal richness by 13% in the rhizosphere, and no other genotypes

significantly altered fungal diversity between bulk and rhizosphere soil (Figure 1). Across

genotypes, fungal richness was similar in the rhizosphere, however, bulk soil richness varied.

Specifically, bulk soil fungal richness from plots planted with genotype H was 9% lower

compared to other bulk soils. Fungal richness was also 9% greater in the rhizosphere compared

to the bulk soil at midseason, and this difference did not persist through harvest. From mid-

season to harvest, fungal richness declined 8% in the rhizosphere, while bulk soil richness did

not change overtime (Figure S1).

Bacterial community composition was distinct across genotypes, however, this effect was

only present in the rhizosphere and not the bulk soil (PERMANOVA, genotype $\times$ soil

compartment, $p <0.10$, Table 2, Figure 2). Across genotypes, bacterial communities also differed

in the rhizosphere as compared to their respective bulk soils (pairwise PERMANOVA, $p<0.05$)

and exhibited increased dispersion (betadisper, $p<0.05$). In the rhizosphere, when comparing

across genotypes, bacterial communities were different across genotypes Hy, PO and NR, but not

H, as such, H was similar to all genotypes. Across the mid-season and harvest time points,

bacterial community composition was distinct in both rhizosphere and bulk soils (pairwise

PERMANOVA, $p<0.05$).

Fungal communities differed in the rhizosphere as compared to the bulk soil

(PERMANOVA, soil compartment, p<0.01, Table 2, Figure 2), and exhibited increased

dispersion (betadisper, p<0.05). When comparing across genotypes, independent of soil

compartment, fungal communities in plots planted with genotype NR were different from plots

planted with H (PERMANOVA, p<0.05, Table 2). Similar to that observed with the bacteria,

fungal community composition was unique in both rhizosphere and bulk soils across the mid-

season and harvest time points (PERMANOVA, soil compartment × time, p< 0.01, Figure 2).

3.2 Rhizosphere Microbial Recruitment

To determine whether root exudates selectively recruit microbial taxa in the rhizosphere, we

categorized differentially abundant microbial families as positive or negative responders to root

growth in a framework adapted from Zhalnina et al. (2018). Bacterial recruitment at the family

level was distinct across genotypes and across time, though this trend was driven by differences

in abundance of relatively few taxa (Figure 3). Specifically, *Rhodocyclaceae* was the only taxon

to display temporal consistency, and positively responded to genotype NR at both midseason and

harvest. Additionally, *Nitrsosphaeraceae* responded positively to both genotype NR and H at

midseason. At midseason, the top positive responder for each genotype was order

Kapabacteriales (family unclassified), *Rhodocyclaceae,* order SJA-28 (family unclassified), and

Methylophilaceae for genotypes Hy, NR, PO and H, respectively. At harvest, the top positive

responder for each genotype was *Methylophilaceae*, *Flavobacteriaceae*, *Micrococcaceae*, and

Sphingobacteriales, for genotypes Hy, NR, PO and H, respectively. Conversely, the top negative

responders for each genotype at midseason were *Chthonomonadaceae*, phylum Chlorofexi

(family unclassified), *Anaeromyxobacteraceae,* and phylum NB1-j (family unclassified), for

genotypes Hy, NR, PO and H, respectively. At harvest, no negative responders were identified

for genotype Hy. Families *Peptostreptococcaceae*, order *Polyangiales* (family unclassified) and

order *Bacillales* (family unclassified) were top negative responders for genotypes NR, PO and H,

respectively.

Fungal recruitment was also distinct across genotypes and time (Figure 3). At midseason, the

top positive responder for each genotype was *Bulleraceae*, *Alphamycetaceae, Entolomataceae*,

and class *Classiculales* (family unclassified) for genotypes Hy, NR, PO and H, respectively. At

harvest, the top positive responder for each genotype was *Entolomataceae* for genotypes Hy and

H, *Symmetrosporaceae* for genotype NR, and class *Agaricomycetes* for genotype PO.

Orbiliaceae negatively responded to genotype NR at midseason. *Basidiomycota,*

Symmetrosporaceae Agaricomycetes and *Entolomataceae* were top negative responders at

harvest for genotypes Hy, NR, PO and H, respectively.

3.3 Root Exudate and Soil Metabolite Composition

To determine whether carrot genotypes exuded different compositions of low-molecular

weight compounds and if soil metabolites were differentially abundant between genotypes, 128

and 114 unique compounds were annotated for the root exudate and soil metabolite extractions,

respectively. Of those, 33 root exudates and 49 soil metabolites were identified by our database

search. Notably, three unidentified root exudates (C056, C063, C115) made up roughly 50% of

the total spectral abundance of exudates across all genotypes, and 20-30% of the total spectral

abundance was composed of unidentified, low abundance compounds (see Supplemental

Materials).

No root exudates were unique between genotypes, however, 37 compounds were

differentially abundant across genotypes. These included sucrose, citric acid, psicose, malic acid,

ketohexose, galactose, quinic acid, scyllo-inositol, methyl 2,6-dihydroxybenzoate (for simplicity,

hereafter "benzoate"), alpha-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-omega-(9-phenanthryl)octane (hereafter

"octane"), silane-diethylisohexyloxy(3-methylbutoxy; hereafter "silane"), as well as 30

unidentified compounds. Of these 37 compounds, 34 were most abundant in genotype H (Figure

4). Conversely, genotype NR consistently had a lower abundance of most compounds compared

to other genotypes. Of the identified compounds, genotype Hy was more abundant in N3 and

scyllo-inositol. Genotype NR had a lower abundance of all compounds except for citric acid,

which was lowest in genotypes H and PO. Genotype NR was relatively low in sucrose as

compared to other genotypes; sucrose was relatively most concentrated in genotypes PO and H

(Figure 4).

Soil metabolite composition was different in the rhizosphere compared to the bulk soil, and

was different across time, but did not differ between genotypes (PERMANOVA, soil

compartment, $p<0.05$, time, $p<0.05$). Two unidentified compounds were highly different

between soil compartments; specifically, compound C041 was highly abundant in the

rhizosphere and C076 was highly abundant in the bulk soil. More soil metabolites shifted across

time than in response to soil compartment, and several compounds were differentially abundant

at the midseason or harvest sampling point (Figure S2, Table S3).

3.4 Microbe-Metabolite Relationships

Root exudates and soil metabolites were differentially associated with fungal and

bacterial communities (Table 3). Specifically, bacterial, but not fungal community composition

was significantly associated with root exudate chemical composition (mantel test, $p<0.05$) and

was marginally associated with soil metabolite composition ($p<0.1$). Moreover, fungal

community dissimilarity was not significantly associated with the compositional dissimilarity of

either root exudates or soil metabolites (Table 3).

To assess if and how root exudate and soil metabolite concentrations explained soil microbial

community composition, root exudate and soil metabolites were each first clustered into six

groups based on similarity in spectral intensity using k-means clustering. Root exudate

concentrations accounted for a significant proportion of the variation in rhizosphere bacterial

(35%), but not fungal community dissimilarity (Figure 5). Conversely, clustered soil metabolites

accounted for a significant portion of fungal (11%), but not bacterial community dissimilarity

(Figure 6). Root exudate concentrations were not significantly associated with soil metabolite

composition in the rhizosphere. Root exudate clusters, specifically cluster 2 (compounds C056,

C063, C115) and cluster 6 (oxalic acid), were significantly associated with rhizosphere bacterial

communities across genotypes (Figure 5). In the constrained ordination, cluster 2 presented a

positive association across axis 1 and 2, and was positively associated with rhizosphere microbial

community dissimilarity of genotype Hy. Oxalic acid was positively associated with axis 1 and

negatively associated with both axis 2 and rhizosphere microbial community dissimilarity of

genotype NR (Figure 5). Among soil metabolites clusters, cluster 4 and cluster 5 were

significantly associated with fungal community composition. In the constrained ordination, soil

cluster 4 was positively associated with axis 1 and 2 as well as with midseason fungal

communities, and more so of those in the rhizosphere. Soil cluster 5 had a strong positive

association with axis 2 and was positively associated with rhizosphere fungal communities at

harvest (Figure 6).

4. Discussion

Across four phenotypically diverse genotypes of carrot, we determined the influence of

novel trait breeding on root exudate, soil metabolite and soil microbial community composition.

Including two established and two novel genotypes, we tested the hypothesis that root exudation

mediates microbial rhizosphere recruitment, and that genotype-specific shifts in root exudate

composition would alter microbial communities and soil metabolite composition. In support of

hypothesis 1.1, genotypes differentially exuded low-molecular weight compounds and hosted

compositionally different microbial communities (Figures 2, 4). In support of hypothesis 1.2,

microbial recruitment from a bulk soil to the rhizosphere soil was distinct across genotypes and

across the growing season (Figure 3). Hypothesis 2.1 was not supported, as root exudate and soil

metabolite composition were distinct and were not associated with one another (Table 3).

Finally, hypothesis 2.2 was partially supported, as root exudates were significantly associated

with bacterial and not fungal communities, while soil metabolites were only weakly associated

with fungal and bacterial communities (Figures 5, 6, Table 3).

4.1 Root exudation & soil metabolites exert a differential influence on bacterial and fungal

communities

Root exudates were significantly associated with bacterial, but not fungal communities,

suggesting that bacteria are the primary responders to compositional differences in low

molecular weight compounds from root exudates in the rhizosphere. Additionally, root exudate

chemical composition explained 35% of the variation across the rhizosphere bacterial

communities while categorical differences in genotypes only explained 4% of the variation

(Figure 5). These observations differ from previous work on carrots in a greenhouse setting with

artificial soil, where the carrot genotype accounted for 50% of bacterial variation (Triviño 2023),

alluding to the need to better understand the mechanisms shaping rhizosphere bacterial

communities in field soil.

Our results are indicative of a shared root exudate metabolome across carrot genotypes where

differences in the concentration of individual compounds, rather than the presence of unique

compounds among genotypes, ultimately shape the composition of the rhizosphere bacterial

community. Indeed, the compounds with the strongest associations with bacterial community

compositional dissimilarity were highly abundant across all genotypes. Notably, oxalic acid was

significantly associated with bacterial community composition and is universally recognized for

its role in plant nutrient acquisition through the solubilization of phosphorus (Bolan et al. 1994).

Oxalic acid was previously reported as a major organic acid in root exudates of horticultural

crops (Xiang et al. 2020, Ling et al. 2011, Vančura & Hovadik 1965). Additionally, the

correlation between oxalic acid concentration and bacterial community composition aligns with

previous observations that organic acids can be highly explanatory of bacterial community shifts.

(Ulbrich et al. 2022, Landi et al 2006, Shi et al 2011). This indicates that genotype-specific

differences in oxalic acid production, and other currently unidentified compounds, may affect

bacterial community composition in the carrot rhizosphere.

Soil fungal communities were more strongly associated with the soil metabolome as

compared to the root exudate metabolome. Fungal communities in the rhizosphere were distinct

from the bulk soil, though there were no effects across genotypes, and fungal communities were

not well-explained by root exudate composition. Based on these results, fungal recruitment may

be more mediated by differences in soil carbon availability than specific metabolic capabilities,

as compared to bacteria. Though, soil fungal communities did differ from mid-season to harvest,

and these changes were associated with variation in soil metabolite concentration (Figure 6),

suggesting that fungal metabolism is more influenced by seasonal conditions than plant

genotype. Some of the low molecular weight compounds identified in the soil compartment have

been reported to be produced by fungi, suggesting that they are of microbial and not plant-

derived origin. Specifically, the aromatic compound danthron can be produced by some members

of the Ascomycota (Anisha et al. 2018). Further, an isolate of *Fusarium* has been reported to

produce rhein (You et al. 2013). Both of these compounds are derivatives of anthraquinone,

which is produced by fungi across systems and possesses pathogen suppressive qualities (Gessler

et al. 2013, Fouillaud et al. 2016, Masi et al. 2020). These compounds were members of soil

metabolite clusters four and five, which were significantly associated with fungal community

composition (Figure 6). This suggests certain fungi can modify the soil metabolome in

agricultural settings through the production of danthron, rhein, and possibly several unidentified

compounds. Additionally, greater soil concentrations of glucose, maltotriose and trehalose at

harvest suggest a shift in fungal carbohydrate metabolism throughout the growing season (Table

S3). To further explore this possibility, potential links between bulk soil composition, fungal

communities, and the soil metabolome could be more closely interrogated.

Interestingly, metabolite profiles from rhizosphere soil were neither significantly correlated

with root exudate metabolite composition nor bacterial or fungal community composition. These

observations are counter to the prediction that root exudate composition would directly modulate

metabolite presence in the rhizosphere and govern rhizosphere microbial composition (Bi et al.

2020, Song et al. 2020). It is possible this is because root exudates are directly and quickly

assimilated by rhizosphere bacteria and are not directly incorporated into the rhizosphere

metabolome. It has been previously observed that root exudates only diffuse into a small area,

with estimates of diffusion from 2 to 10 mm of soil away from the root (Sauer et al. 2006,

Raynaud 2010). This result is consistent with the hypothesis that root exudates are rapidly

utilized by microorganisms and have little influence on soil metabolomes. Unique profiles of soil

metabolites as compared to the plant-derived metabolites present in the root exudates in this

study indicates that soil metabolome composition is more greatly shaped by bulk soil

composition and microbial production rather than direct plant inputs. Notably, there is a lack of

literature evaluating root exudates and soil metabolites in tandem as we present here, and more

research is needed to understand if there is a relationship between the two at various spatial

scales in the rhizosphere.

4.2 Root exudation is distinct across genotypes and most different between heirloom and

nematode resistant genotypes

While root exudate profiles were similar in membership overall across genotypes,

abundances of certain compounds were strikingly different among genotypes. Most notably,

genotype H, an heirloom genotype, produced greater abundances of several carbohydrates,

organic acids and numerous unidentified compounds compared to other genotypes, whereas

genotype NR produced less of these compounds as compared to other genotypes (Figure 4).

While underexplored in vegetables, domestication of other crops shifts root exudate profiles,

suggesting that differences between genotypes are a consequence of breeding history, and that

older genotypes may maintain distinct root exudation patterns as compared to more heavily bred

genotypes (Yue et al. 2023). The heirloom genotype H has been previously reported to respond

more positively to root colonization of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, compared to hybrid

genotypes (Pearson et al. 2020). Our results provide further evidence that breeding history could

shift plant-microbe interactions in a vegetable system.

In this study, the heirloom genotype H had higher exudation of organic acids and

carbohydrates, perhaps indicative of greater resource investment in microbial stimulation than

other genotypes. This result is consistent with the emerging hypothesis that heirloom genotypes

benefit more from plant-soil interactions than highly bred genotypes that prioritize yield (Pearson

et al. 2020). Carbohydrates are notably consequential for soil microbes, especially bacteria, in

the rhizosphere due to their abundance in root exudates. Specifically, they have been associated

with enhanced microbial activity, and have been linked to greater phytohormone production in

some plant-associated bacteria as well as greater mineralization of soil organic matter when root

exudate-nitrogen is low, harboring potential benefits for plant growth (Lloyd et al. 2016, Seitz et

al. 2022).

Additionally, in this study, a distinct root exudate profile was observed from the nematode

resistant genotype NR relative to other genotypes (Figure 4). While nematode resistance was not

assessed in this study, relationships between these two traits should be further explored,

especially as nematode presence can influence microbial carbon and nitrogen cycling through

trophic interactions (Kane et al. 2023). Our results suggest that novel trait introduction, in

addition to breeding history of genotypes, might shift root exudate composition, and more

specific links between root exudates and traits should be investigated.

4.3 Bacterial recruitment in the rhizosphere is distinct across genotypes and suggests differential

consequences for soil biological functioning

Bacterial selection in the rhizosphere from a bulk soil varied across genotype, root exudate

composition, and time-point, providing support that microbial recruitment is associated with

plant developmental stage and phenotypic identity (Chaparro et al. 2018, de Ridder-Duine et al.

2005, Micallef et al. 2009, Aira et al. 2010). This genotype-mediated diversification of soil

bacterial communities through root exudation might indicate consequences for community

functional capacities (Figure 3). For example, *Rhodocyclaceae* was selectively enriched in

genotype NR at both midseason and harvest, and has previously been identified as a denitrifying

bacteria, as it contains *nirS* (Yu et al. 2018). *Nitrsosphaeraceae* was positively associated with

genotypes NR and H; *Nitrsosphaeraceae* is an ammonia oxidizing bacteria, which are considered

indicators of soil health (Mundepi et al. 2019). These results align with observations that

genotype NR hosts distinct N-associated functions (e.g., ammonia oxidation; Triviño et al.

2023). Genotype H was additionally enriched with members of the *Chitinophagaceae*, a family

identified as containing N fixing taxa, at midseason (Martin et al. 2022). *Methylophilaceae*, a

methylotroph, was another notable taxon that was enriched in genotype Hy at midseason and

genotype H at harvest. Methylotrophs have been previously identified to utilize root exudates in

the rhizosphere of pea and wheat (Macey, 2017). Further, they have been reported to synthesize

phytohormones including auxin and cytokinins, and their role as plant-growth promoters is being

explored for agricultural uses (Ponnusamy et al. 2017, Ivanova et al. 2001).

Genotype NR had lower abundances of most root exudates (Figure 4), and previous research

has identified this genotype as having relatively higher nitrogen use efficiency, possibly through

the selection of specific bacterial taxa. In contrast, genotype H had higher abundances of most

root exudates, suggesting a larger investment in microbial stimulation overall. These results

suggest that across genotypes, there is a general trend towards functional redundancy and

selection for N-related functions, however, the mechanisms of this selection across genotypes

may be different.

4.4 Limitations and Future Directions

While we used a GC-MS based approach for this study that is coarser in resolution than

other technologies used in metabolomics inquiries, differences between genotypes may be

discriminated more strongly by employing methods such as high-resolution accurate mass LC-

MS that could further characterize compounds that express genotype-specific patterns.

Additionally, while our results coincide with previous findings that heirloom and nematode

resistant genotypes express distinct characteristics, the inclusion of multiple genotypes of

varying ancestral lineage or degrees of nematode resistance could strengthen this line of inquiry.

To bridge links between plant genetics and root exudation, transcriptomic evaluation of carrot

gene expression to characterize the functional genomics underlying exudate composition should

also be explored. Finally, more quantitative measures of root exudation rates and soil microbial

processes could provide valuable insights into genotype-mediated shifts in soil biological

outcomes.

4.5 Conclusions

The results of this study suggest that plant- soil microbe interactions are influenced by trait

breeding in organic carrot. This aligns with previous findings that carrot genotypes host distinct

root-associated microbiomes that potentially differ in their functionality (Triviño et al. 2023,

Abdelrazek et al. 2020). Moreover, we show that root exudation patterns were distinct across

genotypes. We provide further evidence that selection for microbial taxa with distinct N-related

functions is influenced by genotype identity, and that this selection could explain previously

demonstrated differences in nutrient use efficiency in novel genotypes. Further, the heirloom

genotype potentially enriched plant-growth promoting bacterial activity in the rhizosphere

through exuding relatively greater levels of carbohydrate and organic acid production and

selecting for taxa with demonstrated potential for phytohormone production and nitrogen

fixation, relative to genotypes of contrasting breeding histories. These results indicate that both

breeding history and novel trait introduction in plant genotypes can diversify plant-microbe

interactions and resulting soil biological functional capacities.

Root exudation was significantly associated with bacterial communities, suggesting that

breeding for specific root exudate profiles to target and manipulate rhizosphere bacteria could be

a strategy for plant or soil health objectives. However, more research is needed to understand the

drivers of plant-fungal relationships and potential applications for organic agriculture, especially

as strengthening beneficial fungal associations is a notable priority in organic agroecosystems.

Through the investigation of plant-microbe interactions, we show that cultivar development that

addresses grower needs can occur in tandem with considerations for soil biological functioning,

supporting a systems-based philosophy in agricultural practices.

Funding and Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work that is supported by the National Institute of Food and

Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture Grant No. 5090-21000-073-066-A. We gratefully

acknowledge the research contributions of the West Madison Agricultural Research Station

Staff, members of the Silva and Dawson labs, Dr. Michelle Pearson, Annalise Keaton, Gabriela

Martinez and Kathryn Lindeman. Thank you to the Colorado State University Analytical

Resources Core and the Michigan State University Genomics Research Technology Support

Facility with assistance with metabolomic and microbiome analysis.

References

Abarenkov, Kessy; Zirk, Allan; Piirmann, Timo; Pöhönen, Raivo; Ivanov, Filipp; Nilsson, R. Henrik;

Kõljalg, Urmas (2022): UNITE general FASTA release for Fungi. Version 16.10.2022. UNITE

Community. https://doi.org/10.15156/BIO/2483911

Abdelrazek, S., Simon, P., Colley, M., Mengiste, T., & Hoagland, L. (2020). Crop management system

and carrot genotype affect endophyte composition and Alternaria dauci suppression. *PLoS One*,

15(6), e0233783. htpps://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233783

Aira, M., Gómez-Brandón, M., Lazcano, C, Bååth, E., & Domínguez, J. (2010). Plant genotype strongly

modifies the structure and growth of maize rhizosphere microbial communities. *Soil Biology and*

Biochemistry, *42*(12), 2276–2281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.08.029

Alvey, S., Yang, C.-H., Buerkert, A., & Crowley, D. E. (2003). Cereal/legume rotation effects on

rhizosphere bacterial community structure in west african soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*,

37(2), 73–82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-002-0573-2

Anderson, M.J. 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral

Ecology 26: 32- 46.

Anisha, C., Sachidanandan, P., & Radhakrishnan, E. K. (2018). Endophytic Paraconiothyrium sp. from

Zingiber officinale Rosc. displays broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity by production of

danthron. *Current microbiology*, *75*, 343-352.

Babushok, V. I., Linstrom, P. J., Reed, J. J., Zenkevich, I. G., Brown, R. L., Mallard, W. G., & Stein, S.

E. (2007). Development of a database of gas chromatographic retention properties of organic

compounds. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1157*(1-2), 414-421.

Bécard, G., & Piché, Y. (1989). Fungal growth stimulation by CO2 and root exudates in vesicular-

arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, *55*(9), 2320-2325.

Besserer, A., Puech-Pagès, V., Kiefer, P., Gomez-Roldan, V., Jauneau, A., Roy, S., ... & Séjalon-Delmas,

N. (2006). Strigolactones stimulate arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi by activating mitochondria.

PLoS biology, *4*(7), e226.

Bi, B., Wang, K., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., Fei, H., Pan, R., & Han, F. (2021). Plants use rhizosphere

metabolites to regulate soil microbial diversity. *Land Degradation & Development*, *32*(18), 5267-

5280.

Bolan, N. S., Naidu, R., Mahimairaja, S., & Baskaran, S. (1994). Influence of low-molecular-weight

organic acids on the solubilization of phosphates. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, *18*, 311-319.

Broeckling, C. D., Afsar, F. A., Neumann, S., Ben-Hur, A., & Prenni, J. E. (2014). RAMClust: a novel

feature clustering method enables spectral-matching-based annotation for metabolomics data.

Analytical chemistry, *86*(14), 6812-6817.

Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., & Holmes, S. P. (2016a).

DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature methods*, *13*(7),

581-583.

Callahan, B. J., Sankaran, K., Fukuyama, J. A., McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. P. (2016b). Bioconductor

workflow for microbiome data analysis: from raw reads to community analyses. *F1000Research*,

5.

Chao, A. (1984). Nonparametric estimation of the number of classes in a population. *Scandinavian

Journal of statistics*, 265-270.

Chaparro, J. M., Badri, D. V., & Vivanco, J. M. (2014). Rhizosphere microbiome assemblage is affected

by plant development. *The ISME journal*, *8*(4), 790-803.

Cortois, R., Schröder-Georgi, T., Weigelt, A., van der Putten, W. H., & De Deyn, G. B. (2016). Plant–soil

feedbacks: role of plant functional group and plant traits. *Journal of Ecology*, *104*(6), 1608-1617.

de Ridder-Duine, A. S., Kowalchuk, G. A., Gunnewiek, P. J. K., Smant, W., van Veen, J. A., & de Boer,

W. (2005). Rhizosphere bacterial community composition in natural stands of Carex arenaria

630 (sand sedge) is determined by bulk soil community composition. Soil Biology and Biochemistry,

631 37(2), 349-357.

632 de Ridder-Duine, A. S., Kowalchuk, G. A., Klein Gunnewiek, P. J. A., Smant, W., van Veen, J. A., Dietz,

633 S., Herz, K., Gorzolka, K., Jandt, U., Bruelheide, H., & Scheel, D. (2020). Root exudate

634 composition of grass and forb species in natural grasslands. *Scientific reports*, *10*(1), 10691.

635 Eilers, K. G., Lauber, C. L., Knight, R., & Fierer, N. (2010). Shifts in bacterial community structure

636 associated with inputs of low molecular weight carbon compounds to soil. *Soil Biology and*

637 *Biochemistry*, *42*(6), 896–903.

638 Fouillaud, M., Venkatachalam, M., Girard-Valenciennes, E., Caro, Y., & Dufossé, L. (2016).

639 Anthraquinones and derivatives from marine-derived fungi: Structural diversity and selected

640 biological activities. *Marine drugs*, *14*(4), 64

641 Gessler, N. N., Egorova, A. S., & Belozerskaya, T. A. (2013). Fungal anthraquinones. *Applied*

642 *Biochemistry and Microbiology*, *49*, 85-99

643 Hugoni, M., Luis, P., Guyonnet, J., & Haichar, F. E. Z. (2018). Plant host habitat and root exudates shape

644 fungal diversity. *Mycorrhiza*, *28*, 451-463

645 Iannucci, A., Fragasso, M., Beleggia, R., Nigro, F., & Papa, R. (2017). Evolution of the crop rhizosphere:

646 impact of domestication on root exudates in tetraploid wheat (Triticum turgidum L.). *Frontiers in*

647 *Plant Science*, *8*, 2124.

648 Ihrmark, K., Bödeker, I. T., Cruz-Martinez, K., Friberg, H., Kubartova, A., Schenck, J., ... & Lindahl, B.

649 D. (2012). New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region–evaluation by 454-sequencing of

650 artificial and natural communities. *FEMS microbiology ecology*, *82*(3), 666-677.

651 Ivanova, E. G., Doronina, N. V., & Trotsenko, Y. A. (2001). Aerobic methylobacteria are capable of

652 synthesizing auxins. *Microbiology*, *70*, 392-397.

653 Kamilova, F., Kravchenko, L. V., Shaposhnikov, A. I., Makarova, N., & Lugtenberg, B. (2006). Effects

654 of the TomatoPathogen Fusarium oxysporum f. Sp. Radicis-lycopersici and of the Biocontrol

Bacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 on the Composition of Organic Acids and Sugars

in Tomato Root Exudate. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®*, *19*(10), 1121–1126.

Kane, J. L., Kotcon, J. B., Freedman, Z. B., & Morrissey, E. M. (2023). Fungivorous nematodes

drive microbial diversity and carbon cycling in soil.

Keller-Pearson, M., Liu, Y., Peterson, A., Pederson, K., Willems, L., Ané, J.-M., & Silva, E. M. (2020).

Inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi has a more significant positive impact on the

growth of open-pollinated heirloom varieties of carrots than on hybrid cultivars under organic

management conditions. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *289*, 106712.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106712

Koyama, A., Dias, T., & Antunes, P. M. (2022). Application of plant–soil feedbacks in the selection of

crop rotation sequences. *Ecological Applications*, *32*(2), e2501.

Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K., & Schloss, P. D. (2013). Development of a

Dual-Index Sequencing Strategy and Curation Pipeline for Analyzing Amplicon Sequence Data

on the MiSeq Illumina Sequencing Platform. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *79*(17),

5112–5120.

Kuzyakov, Y., & Blagodatskaya, E. (2015). Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept &

review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *83*, 184–199.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.025

Lai, Z., Tsugawa, H., Wohlgemuth, G., Mehta, S., Mueller, M., Zheng, Y., ... & Fiehn, O. (2018).

Identifying metabolites by integrating metabolome databases with mass spectrometry

cheminformatics. *Nature methods*, *15*(1), 53-56.

Landi, L., Valori, F., Ascher, J., Renella, G., Falchini, L., & Nannipieri, P. (2006). Root exudate effects

on the bacterial communities, CO_2 evolution, nitrogen transformations and ATP content of

rhizosphere and bulk soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *38*(3), 509-516.

679 Larsen, P. B., Degenhardt, J., Tai, C.-Y., Stenzler, L. M., Howell, S. H., & Kochian, L. V. (1998).

680   Aluminum-Resistant Arabidopsis Mutants That Exhibit Altered Patterns of Aluminum

681   Accumulation and Organic Acid Release from Roots1. *Plant Physiology*, *117*(1), 9–17.

682   https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.117.1.9

683 Lin, H., & Peddada, S. D. (2020). Analysis of compositions of microbiomes with bias correction. *Nature*

684   *communications*, *11*(1), 3514.

685 Ling, N., Raza, W., Ma, J., Huang, Q., & Shen, Q. (2011). Identification and role of organic acids in

686   watermelon root exudates for recruiting Paenibacillus polymyxa SQR-21 in the rhizosphere.

687   *European Journal of Soil Biology*, *47*(6), 374-379.

688 Ling, N., Wang, T., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2022). Rhizosphere bacteriome structure and functions. *Nature*

689   *Communications*, *13*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28448-9

690 Liu, Y., Evans, S. E., Friesen, M. L., & Tiemann, L. K. (2022). Root exudates shift how N mineralization

691   and N fixation contribute to the plant-available N supply in low fertility soils. *Soil Biology and*

692   *Biochemistry*, *165*, 108541.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108541

693 Lloyd, D. A., Ritz, K., Paterson, E., & Kirk, G. J. (2016). Effects of soil type and composition of

694   rhizodeposits on rhizosphere priming phenomena. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *103*, 512-521.

695 Lynch, J. M., & Whipps, J. M. (1990). Substrate flow in the rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil*, *129*(1), 1–10.

696   https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00011685

697 Macey, M. (2017). *Characterisation of methylotrophs in the rhizosphere* (Doctoral dissertation,

698   University of East Anglia).

699 Mantel, N. (1967). The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer*

700   *research*, *27*(2_Part_1), 209-220.

701 Martin, G. D., Morrissey, E. M., Carson, W. P., & Freedman, Z. B. (2022). A legacy of fire emerges from

702   multiple disturbances to most shape microbial and nitrogen dynamics in a deciduous forest. *Soil*

703   *Biology and Biochemistry*, *169*, 108672.

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

EMBnet. journal, *17*(1), 10-12.

Masi, M., & Evidente, A. (2020). Fungal bioactive anthraquinones and analogues. *Toxins*, *12*(11), 714.

McLaren, M. R., & Callahan, B. J. (2021). Silva 138.1 prokaryotic SSU taxonomic training data

formatted for DADA2 [Dataset]. *Zenodo, Geneva, Switzerland*.

McMurdie, P. J., & Holmes, S. (2013). phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and

graphics of microbiome census data. *PloS one*, *8*(4), e61217.

Meier, I. C., Finzi, A. C., & Phillips, R. P. (2017). Root exudates increase N availability by stimulating

microbial turnover of fast-cycling N pools. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *106*, 119–128.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.004

Micallef, S. A., Channer, S., Shiaris, M. P., & Colón-Carmona, A. (2009). Plant age and genotype impact

the progression of bacterial community succession in the Arabidopsis rhizosphere. *Plant*

signaling & behavior, *4*(8), 777-780.

Miller, S. B., Heuberger, A. L., Broeckling, C. D., & Jahn, C. E. (2019). Non-Targeted Metabolomics

Reveals Sorghum Rhizosphere-Associated Exudates are Influenced by the Belowground

Interaction of Substrate and Sorghum Genotype. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*,

20(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20020431

Mundepi, A., Cabrera, M., Norton, J., & Habteselassie, M. (2019). Ammonia oxidizers as biological

health indicators of elevated Zn and Cu in poultry litter amended soil. *Water, Air, & Soil*

Pollution, *230*(10), 239.

Nagahashi, G., & Douds, D. D. (1999). Rapid and sensitive bioassay to study signals between root

exudates and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Biotechnology Techniques*, *13*, 893-897.

Nagahashi, G., & Douds, D. D. (2000). Partial separation of root exudate components and their effects

upon the growth of germinated spores of AM fungi. *Mycological Research*, *104*(12), 1453–1464.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756200002860

Nagahashi, G., & Douds, D. (2007). Separated components of root exudate and cytosol stimulate different

morphological identifiable types of branching responses by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi.

Mycological Research, *111*(4), 487–492. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mycres.2007.02.007

Neumann, G. & Römheld, V. (2009). in The Rhizosphere (eds Pinton, R. et al.) 23–72 (CRC Press, Boca

Raton.)

Neumann, G., Bott, S., Ohler, M. A., Mock, H. P., Lippmann, R., Grosch, R., & Smalla, K. (2014). Root

exudation and root development of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L. cv. Tizian) as affected by different

soils. Frontiers in microbiology, 5, 2.

Oksanen J, Simpson G, Blanchet F, Kindt R Legendre P, Minchin P, O'Hara R, Solymos P, Stevens M,

Szoecs E, Wagner H, Barbour M, Bedward M, Bolker B, Borcard D, Carvalho G, Chirico M, De

Caceres M, Durand S, Evangelista H, FitzJohn R, Friendly M, Furneaux B, Hannigan G, Hill M,

Lahti L, McGlinn D, Ouellette M, Ribeiro Cunha E, Smith T, Stier A, Ter Braak C, Weedon J

(2022). _vegan: Community Ecology Package_. R package version 2.6-4, <https://CRAN.R-

project.org/package=vegan>.

Pang, Z., Chong, J., Zhou, G., de Lima Morais, D. A., Chang, L., Barrette, M., ... & Xia, J. (2021).

MetaboAnalyst 5.0: narrowing the gap between raw spectra and functional insights. *Nucleic acids*

research, *49*(W1), W388-W396.

Ponnusamy, V., Shanmugam, J., Gopal, M., & Sundaram, S. (2017). Perspectives of plant-methylotrophic

interactions in organic farming. *Microorganisms for Green Revolution: Volume 1: Microbes for*

Sustainable Crop Production, 167-187.

Poulin, M. J., Bel-Rhlid, R., Piché, Y., & Chênevert, R. (1993). Flavonoids released by carrot (Daucus

carota) seedlings stimulate hyphal development of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the

presence of optimal CO 2 enrichment. *Journal of chemical ecology*, *19*, 2317-2327.

R Core Team (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL. https://www.R-project.org/.

Raynaud, X. (2010). Soil properties are key determinants for the development of exudate gradients in a

rhizosphere simulation model. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *42*(2), 210-219.

Sauer, D., Kuzyakov, Y., & Stahr, K. (2006). Spatial distribution of root exudates of five plant species as

assessed by 14C labeling. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, *169*(3), 360-362.

Seitz, V. A., McGivern, B. B., Daly, R. A., Chaparro, J. M., Borton, M. A., Sheflin, A. M., Kresovich, S.,

Shields, L., Schipanski, M. E., Wrighton, K. C., & Prenni, J. E. (2022). Variation in Root Exudate

Composition Influences Soil Microbiome Membership and Function. *Applied and Environmental*

Microbiology, *88*(11), e00226-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00226-22

Shi, S., Richardson, A. E., O'Callaghan, M., DeAngelis, K. M., Jones, E. E., Stewart, A., ... & Condron,

L. M. (2011).Effects of selected root exudate components on soil bacterial communities. *FEMS*

microbiology ecology, *77*(3), 600-610.

Simon, P. W., Navazio, J. P., Colley, M., McCluskey, C., Zystro, J., Hoagland, L., ... & Nunez, J. (2017).

The CIOA (carrot improvement for organic agriculture) project: location, cropping system and

genetic background influence carrot performance including top height and flavour. *Acta*

Horticulturae, (1153), 1-8.

Simon, P., Colley, M., Hoagland, L., Silva, E., Dawson, J., Dutoit, L., Water, T., Roberts, P., & Sidhu, J.

(2021). *Carrot Improvement For Organic Agriculture With Added Grower And Consumer Value*

[Conference paper]. https://orgprints.org/id/eprint/42315/

Singh, G., Bhattacharyya, R., Das, T. K.,Sharma, A. R., Ghosh, A., Das, S., & Jha, P. (2018). Crop

rotation and residue management effects on soil enzyme activities, glomalin and aggregate

stability under zero tillage in the Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Soil and Tillage Research*, *184*, 291–300.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2018.08.006

Smith, C. A., Want, E. J., O'Maille, G.,Abagyan, R., & Siuzdak, G. (2006). XCMS: processing mass

spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and

identification. *Analytical chemistry*, *78*(3), 779-787.

Song, Y., Li, X., Yao, S., Yang, X., & Jiang, X. (2020). Correlations between soil metabolomics and

bacterial community structures in the pepper rhizosphere under plastic greenhouse cultivation.

Science of the Total Environment, *728*, 138439.

Swenson, T. L., Jenkins, S., Bowen, B. P., & Northen, T. R. (2015). Untargeted soil metabolomics

methods for analysis of extractable organic matter. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *80*, 189–198.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.10.007

Tadano, T., Ozawa, K., Sakai, H., Osaki, M., & Matsui, H. (1993). Secretion of acid phosphatase by the

roots of crop plants under phosphorus-deficient conditions and some properties of the enzyme

secreted by lupin Roots. In N. J. Barrow (Ed.), *Plant Nutrition—From Genetic Engineering to*

Field Practice: Proceedings of the Twelfth International Plant Nutrition Colloquium, 21–26

September 1993, Perth, Western Australia (pp. 99–102). Springer Netherlands.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1880-4_13

Tautenhahn, R., Böttcher, C., & Neumann, S. (2008). Highly sensitive feature detection for high

resolution LC/MS. *BMC bioinformatics*, *9*(1), 1-16.

Tiemann, L. K., Grandy, A. S., Atkinson, E. E., Marín-Spiotta, E., & McDaniel, M. D. (2015). Crop

rotational diversity enhances belowground communities and functions in an agroecosystem.

Ecology letters, *18*(8), 761-771.

Triviño, N. J., Rodriguez-Sanchez, A., Filley, T., Camberato, J. J., Colley, M., Simon, P., & Hoagland, L.

(2023). Carrot genotypes differentially alter soil bacterial communities and decomposition of

plant residue in soil. *Plant and Soil*, 1-20.

Turner, S., Pryer, K. M., Miao, V. P., & Palmer, J. D. (1999). Investigating deep phylogenetic

relationships among cyanobacteria and plastids by small subunit rRNA sequence analysis. *The*

Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology, *46*(4), 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-

7408.1999.tb04612.x

Ulbrich, T. C., Rivas-Ubach, A., Tiemann, L. K., Friesen, M. L., & Evans, S. E. (2022). Plant root

exudates and rhizosphere bacterial communities shift with neighbor context. *Soil Biology and*

Biochemistry, *172*, 108753.

US Department of Agriculture-National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2019. USDA, Washington, DC.

Online: www.nass.usda.gov

US Department of Agriculture-National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2021 Certified Organic Survey.

2022. USDA, Washington, DC. Online: https://www.nass.usda.gov

Vančura, V., & Hovadik, A. (1965). Root exudates of plants: II. Composition of root exudates of some

vegetables. *Plant and Soil*, *22*, 21-32.

White, T. J. B. T. (1990). Analysis of phylogenetic relationships by amplification and direct

sequencing ribosomal RNA genes. *PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications*, 315-

322.

Williams, A., Langridge, H., Straathof, A. L., Fox, G., Muhammadali, H., Hollywood, K. A., Xu, Y.,

Goodacre, R., & deVries, F. T. (2021). Comparing root exudate collection techniques: An

improved hybrid method. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *161*, 108391.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108391

Wollum, A. G. (1994). Soil sampling for microbiological analysis. *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 2*,

Microbiological and Biochemical Properties, *5*, 1-14.

Wood, S. A., Karp, D. S., DeClerck, F., Kremen, C., Naeem, S., & Palm, C. A. (2015). Functional traits

in agriculture: Agrobiodiversity and ecosystem services. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *30*(9),

531–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.06.013

Xiang, L., Chen, X. T., Yu, P. F., Li, X. H., Zhao, H. M., Feng, N. X., ... & Li, Q. X. (2020). Oxalic acid

in root exudates enhances accumulation of perfluorooctanoic acid in lettuce. *Environmental*

Science & Technology, *54*(20), 13046-13055.

You, X., Feng, S., Luo, S., Cong, D., Yu, Z., Yang, Z., & Zhang, J. (2013). Studies on a rhein-producing

endophytic fungus isolated from Rheum palmatum L. *Fitoterapia*, *85*, 161-168.

Yu, Z., Liu, J., Li, Y., Jin, J., Liu, X., & Wang, G. (2018). Impact of land use, fertilization and seasonal

variation on the abundance and diversity of nirS-type denitrifying bacterial communities in a

Mollisol in Northeast China. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, *85*, 4-11.

Yue, H., Yue, W., Jiao, S., Kim, H., Lee, Y. H., Wei, G., ... & Shu, D. (2023). Plant domestication shapes

rhizosphere microbiome assembly and metabolic functions. *Microbiome*, *11*(1), 1-19.

Zhalnina, K., Louie, K. B., Hao, Z., Mansoori, N., Da Rocha, U. N., Shi, S., ... & Brodie, E. L. (2018).

Dynamic root exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences drive patterns in rhizosphere

microbial community assembly. Nature microbiology, 3(4), 470-480.

Zhang, K., Maltais-Landry, G., & Liao, H.-L. (2021). How soil biota regulate C cycling and soil C pools

in diversified crop rotations. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *156*, 108219.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108219

Zhao, Y., Jin, Z., Hong, Y., Zhang, Y., Lu, Z., & Li, Y. (2023). Root Exudates Modulate Rhizosphere

Microbial Communities during the Interaction of Pseudomonas chlororaphi s, β-Aminobutyric

Acid, and Botrytis Cinerea in Tomato Plants. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation, 1-14.

Zhou, J., Zhang, L., Feng, G., & George, T. S. (2022). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have a greater role

than root hairs of maize for priming the rhizosphere microbial community and enhancing

rhizosphere organic P mineralization. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *171*, 108713.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108713

Main Body Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Boxplots demonstrate the differences in bacterial (A) and fungal (B) Chao1 richness between bulk and rhizosphere soils for each genotype. Medians are represented in the IQR region of the box as horizontal black lines, whereas diamonds represent the mean. Letters denote significant to marginally significant differences (p<0.1) between treatment means based on Fischer's LSD.

Figure 2. Global visualization of bacterial (A, B) and fungal (C, D) β-diversity and dispersion. Non-metric multidimensional scaling was performed on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities at three dimensions and visualized using axes 1 and 2. Left: Bulk soils in the ordination are highlighted, and ellipses show the 95% confidence interval for each genotype. Right: Rhizosphere soils in the ordination are highlighted, and ellipses show the 95% confidence interval for each genotype. M, Midseason, H, Harvest.

Figure 3. For each carrot genotype, positive and negative responding bacterial (A) and fungal (B) recruitment in the rhizosphere are mapped across midseason (M) and harvest (H) time-points. Negative log(fold change) values represent taxa of greater relative abundance in the bulk soil, and positive log(fold change) values represent taxa of greater relative abundance in the rhizosphere of the respective genotype. Taxa with NA in their name represent unidentified family-level classification, and the next available classification level is given.

979 **Figure 4**. Differential abundance of root exudates across genotypes. The spectral intensity of
980 compounds was scaled based on deviation from the mean using z-scores, clustered, and grouped
981 by genotype to illustrate up or down expression. N25, "octane"; N27, "silane"; N31, "benzoate".
982 Figure was constructed in Metaboanalyst 5.0.

Figure 5. Distance-based redundancy analysis of root exudate clusters on midseason rhizosphere bacterial communities. Root exudate clusters were modeled against a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix of bacterial communities. Clusters 2 and 6 (large text) significantly explained fungal community composition. Bacterial communities are colored by genotype, and polygons represent the convex hulls of each geometric distribution of points on the ordination within a genotype.

1031

1045
Figure 6. Distance-based redundancy analysis of soil metabolite clusters on soil fungal
communities. Soil metabolite clusters were modeled against a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix of
fungal communities. Clusters 4 and 5 (large text) significantly explained fungal community
composition. Fungal communities are colored by soil compartment and time, and polygons
represent the convex hulls of each geometric distribution on the ordination of points within a
group. BlkH, Bulk soil at harvest; BlkM, Bulk soil at midseason; RhH, Rhizosphere at harvest;
RhM, Rhizosphere at midseason.
1053
1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060 **Table 1.** Assigned traits of each carrot genotype. Acquired from https://carrots.eorganic.info/.

Genotype	Code	Characteristic Trait	Color	Root Shape
Red Core Chantenay	H	Heirloom	Orange	Short, cylindrical
Bolero	Hy	Hybrid	Orange	Medium, cylindrical
Nb8503	NR	Nematode Resistant	Orange	Long, thin cylindrical
P0114	PO	Purple	Purple-orange	Medium, conical

1061

1062

1063 **Table 2.** Results of PERMANOVA tests of experimental factors on bacterial and fungal
1064 community composition. P-values for which post-hoc tests were performed on the associated
1065 factor or interaction are bolded. G, Genotype; SC, Soil Compartment; T, Time.

1066

	Bacteria		Fungi	
	R^2	P	R^2	P
Genotype	0.040	0.043	0.043	**0.047**
Soil Compartment	0.029	0.002	0.056	0.001
Time	0.016	0.001	0.033	0.001
Block	0.025	0.001	0.027	0.002
G:SC	0.040	**0.061**	0.04	0.113
G:T	0.036	0.715	0.033	0.481
SC:T	0.016	**0.002**	0.023	**0.005**
G:SC:T	0.038	0.303	0.038	0.184

1067

1068

1069 **Table 3.** Results of Mantel tests between soil microbial and metabolite dissimilarities.

	Bacteria				Fungi			
	Pearson		Spearman		Pearson		Spearman	
	r	P	r	P	r	P	r	P
Soil Metabolome	0.1112	0.109	0.1193	0.086	0.019	0.391	0.037	0.318
Root Exudates	0.2409	0.043	0.236	0.037	0.010	0.47	–.0.002	0.504

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

Supplementary Materials

1076

1077

Figure S1. Fungal richness at each sampling timepoint. Boxplots demonstrate the differences in Chao1 richness between bulk and rhizosphere soils. Medians are represented in the IQR region of the box as horizontal black lines, whereas diamonds represent the mean. Letters denote significant to marginally significant differences (p<0.1) between treatment means based on Fischer's LSD. M, Midseason; H, Harvest, Blk, Bulk soil, Rh, Rhizosphere.

Figure S2. Differential abundance of soil metabolites across sampling timepoints. See Table S3 for metabolite identities. Plot was constructed in Metaboanalyst 5.

Figure S3. Visual inspection of NMDS plot with outliers. (RC3RhM; Red Core Chantenay Block 3, Rhizosphere at Midseason was removed due to extremely poor sequencing results. Nb1RhH; Nb8503 Block 1, Rhizosphere at Harvest was removed due to unusual results as visualized in the NMDS plot).

1172

Table S1. Crop rotation history for experimental plots. Acquired from West Madison
Agricultural Research Station Staff.

Year	Crop	Inputs
2021	Sorghum Sudangrass Cover Crop	
2020	Oat grain	
2019	Pepper	Manure inputs: 88-232-135
2018	Soybean	
2017	Oat grain + straw	
2016	Grass hay	

1175

1176

Table S2. Soil test history for experimental plots. Acquired from West Madison Agricultural
Research Station Staff.

Soil Name	Kegonsa series				
Soil Texture	Silt loam				
Slope	4%				
Test Date	Plow Depth (in)	Avg pH	Avg OM (%)	Avg P (ppm)	Avg K (ppm)
10/22/2021	6	7.3	3	52	185
10/19/2020	6	7.1	3.4	59	145
12/11/2018	7	7.1	2.8	54	143
11/15/2017	7	7.1	2.3	29	94
11/22/2016	7	6.8	2.9	28	123
12/2/2015	7	7.4	2.5	59	220
12/6/2013	7	6.9	2.7	43	179

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192 **Table S3.** Identified soil metabolites referenced in Figure S2.

Compound name	Code	Up-expression
Cyclohexasiloxane, dodecamethyl	N10	Midseason
2,3-Dimethylquinizarin, bis(trimethylsilyl) ether	N20	Midseason
4-Hydroxyanthraquinone-2-carboxylic acid	N33	Midseason
3-Hydroxyflavone, triethylsilyl ether	N39	Midseason
Silane, diethylhexadecyloxy(2-methoxyethoxy)	N44	Midseason
Rhein	N45	Midseason
D-Trehalose	N1	Harvest
DL-beta-Hydroxybutyric acid	N2	Harvest
Glucose_1	N3	Harvest
Maltotriose	N4	Harvest
1-Isopropoxy-2-trihexylsilyloxybenzene	N36	Harvest
Norleucine	N38	Harvest
Methyl 2-Trimethylsiloxy-octadecanoate	N48	Harvest

1212

Additional Metabolomics Methodology

Root exudates: Each sample in the Falcon tube (50 mL,) as provided, was treated with 1 mL 50%
MeOH-Water. Vortexed thoroughly, sonicated (bath) for 30 min, centrifuged (3000 RPM, 15 min, 4°C).
The supernatant was recovered into Glass vial (2 mL) and stored at -20°C overnight. The vials were
centrifuged again centrifuged (3000 RPM, 15 min, 4°C). From the supernatant, 0.2 mL was taken out for
GC/MS derivatization. From the supernatant, 0.04 mL aliquot were taken from each sample to generate
pooled QC samples (7 x 0.2 mL).

Soil: Portions of submitted soil samples were frozen (-80°C) and lyophilized. The lyophilized soil
samples were then pulverized using a bullet blender. ~ 150 mg of powdered soil samples were taken in
Eppendorf tubes and treated with 1 mL water. Vortexed thoroughly, sonicated (bath) for 30 min,
centrifuged (10000 RPM, 15 min, 4°C). From the supernatant, 0.75 mL taken out into new Eppendorf.
Vortexed thoroughly, sonicated (bath) for 30 min, centrifuged (10000 RPM, 15 min, 4°C), frozen, and
lyophilized. To these lyophilized samples, 0.75 mL 80% MeOH-Water with 0.1% formic acid was added.
Vortexed thoroughly, sonicated (bath) for 10 min, centrifuged (10000 RPM, 15 min, 4°C). Stored at -20C
for 2 h and centrifuged again (10000 RPM, 15 min, 4°C). From the supernatant, 0.5 mL for GC. From the
supernatant, 0.1 mL aliquot were taken from each sample to generate pooled QC samples (14 x 0.5 mL).

Derivatization: All samples were dried under N2-stream. The dried samples were treated with 0.05 mL
of methoxyamine hydrochloride in pyridine (25 mg/mL), vortexed and heated at 60°C for 45 min. The
vials were then sonicated for 10 min and incubated again for another 45 min. The samples were then
centrifuged at 2000 RPM for 5 min, treated with MSTFA+1%TMCS (0.05 mL), vortexed thoroughly and
incubated at 60°C for 35 min. The samples were then put into inserts and analyzed by GC/MS.

GC-MS Data Acquisition: Metabolites were detected using a Trace 1310 GC coupled to a Thermo ISQ
mass spectrometer. Samples (1 µL) were injected at a 10:1 split ratio to a 30 m TG-5MS column (Thermo
Scientific, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film thickness) with a 1.2 mL/min helium gas flow rate. GC inlet was
held at 285°C. The oven program starts at 80°C for 30 s, followed by a ramp of 15°C/min to 330°C, and
an 8 min hold. Masses between 50-650 m/z are scanned at 5 scans/sec under electron impact ionization.
Transfer line and ion source are held at 300 and 260°C, respectively. QC samples were injected after
every 6 experimental samples

Data analysis. Peak detection, alignment, and peak filling was performed on .cdf converted files using
XCMS in R version 4.2.2. Additional feature clustering was performed using RAMClustR version 1.2.4
in was used to normalize, filter, and group features into spectra.XCMS (Smith 2006)(Tautenhahn 2008)
output data was transferred to a ramclustR object using the rc.get.xcms.data function. Feature data was
extracted using the xcms featureValues function. Features which failed to demonstrate signal intensity of
at least 1.5 fold greater in QC samples than in blanks were removed from the feature dataset. 718 of 3117
features were removed. Features with missing values were replaced with small values simulating noise.
The absolute value was used as the filled value to ensure that only non-negative values carried forward.
Zero values were treated as missing values. Variance in quality control samples was described using the
rc.qc function within ramclustR. Summary statistics are provided including the relative standard deviation
of QC samples to all samples in PCA space, as well as the relative standard deviation of each
feature/compound in QC samples, plotted as a histogram. Features were normalized by linearly
regressing run order versus qc feature intensities to account for instrument signal intensity drift. Only
features with a regression pvalue less than 0.05 and an r-squared greater than 0.1 were corrected. Of 2399
features, 66 were corrected for run order effects. Features were clustered using the ramclustR algorithm

1256   (Broeckling 2014). Parameter settings were as follows: st = 3, sr = 0.5, maxt = 300, deepSplit = FALSE,
1257   hmax = 0.5, minModuleSize = 2, and cor.method = pearson.

1258   Broeckling, C. D., Afsar, F. A., Neumann, S., Ben-Hur, A., & Prenni, J. E. (2014). RAMClust: a novel feature
1259   clustering method enables spectral-matching-based annotation for metabolomics data. *Analytical*
1260   *chemistry*, *86*(14), 6812-6817.
1261   Tautenhahn, R., Böttcher, C., & Neumann, S. (2008). Highly sensitive feature detection for high resolution
1262   LC/MS. *BMC bioinformatics*, *9*(1), 1-16.
1263   Smith, C. A., Want, E. J., O'Maille, G., Abagyan, R., & Siuzdak, G. (2006). XCMS: processing mass spectrometry
1264   data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. *Analytical*
1265   *chemistry*, *78*(3), 779-787.

1266
1267
1268