The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex pangenome is small and shaped by

sub-lineage-specific regions of difference

Mahboobeh Behruznia[1,2], Maximillian Marin[3], Daniel J. Whiley[1,4], Maha Farhat[3,5], Jonathan C

Thomas[1], Maria Rosa Domingo-Sananes[1], Conor J Meehan[1,6*]

[1] Department of Biosciences, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK

[2] Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham,
Birmingham, UK

[3] Department of Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[4] Medical Technologies Innovation Facility, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK

[5] Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA

[6] Unit of Mycobacteriology, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium

Corresponding author: conor.meehan@ntu.ac.uk

Authors ORCHID IDs: 0000-0002-3302-7272 (MB), 0000-0002-9108-3328 (MM), 0000-0002-

3972-5100 (DW), 0000-0002-3871-5760 (MF), 0000-0002-1599-9123 (JCT), 0000-0002-3339-

8671 (MRDS), 0000-0003-0724-8343 (CJM)

Abstract

The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) is a group of bacteria causing tuberculosis

(TB) in humans and animals. Understanding MTBC genetic diversity is crucial for insights into

its adaptation and traits related to survival, virulence, and antibiotic resistance. While it is

known that within-MTBC diversity is characterised by large deletions found only in certain

lineages (regions of difference [RDs]), a comprehensive pangenomic analysis incorporating

both coding and non-coding regions remains unexplored. We utilised a curated dataset

representing various MTBC genomes, including under-represented lineages, to quantify the

full diversity of the MTBC pangenome. The MTBC was found to have a small, closed

pangenome with distinct genomic features and RDs both between lineages (as previously

known) and between sub-lineages. The accessory genome was identified to be a product of

genome reduction, showing both divergent and convergent deletions. This variation has

implications for traits like virulence, drug resistance, and metabolism. The study provides a

comprehensive understanding of the MTBC pangenome, highlighting the importance of

genome reduction in its evolution, and underlines the significance of genomic variations in

determining the pathogenic traits of different MTBC lineages.

Introduction

The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) is the term used for *M. tuberculosis* and all its variants, many of which used to be separate species (Riojas et al., 2018). These bacteria are the primary cause of tuberculosis (TB) in humans and other animals. Worldwide, TB is the 13th leading cause of death and is once again the top infectious killer following global efforts to combat the COVID-19 pandemic (WHO, 2024). The MTBC comprises both human-associated lineages (L1 to L10) and animal-associated lineages (La1, La2, La3, *M. tuberculosis* var *microti* and *M. tuberculosis* var *pinnipedii*) (Coscolla et al., 2021, Zwyer et al., 2021, Guyeux et al., 2024). The human-adapted MTBC exhibits a strong phylogeographical population structure, with some lineages occurring globally and others showing a strong geographical restriction (Gagneux, 2018). For example, L2 and L4 are widespread globally, with L2 dominating in Southeast Asia and L4 in Europe (Napier et al., 2020). L1 and L3 occur mainly in regions around the Indian Ocean (Menardo et al., 2021), L5 and L6 are highly restricted to West Africa (de Jong et al., 2010) and L7-L10 are exclusively found in Central and East Africa (Blouin et al., 2012, Ngabonziza et al., 2020, Coscolla et al., 2021, Guyeux et al., 2024). Animal-associated lineages refer to lineages of the MTBC that primarily infect and cause disease in animals but can also infect humans in close contact with infected animals (Brites et al., 2018). Little is known about the geographic distribution and host range of animal-associated lineages (Zwyer et al., 2021).

Various factors such as human migration, local adaptation to host population, and host immune response are thought to have contributed to the current phylogeographical distribution of human-adapted MTBC members (Darwin and Stanley, 2022, Hershberg et al., 2008). Additionally, different lineages of MTBC are known to differ in virulence, metabolism

and transmission potential, which can affect the spread of certain lineages and sub-lineages

(Coscolla, 2017, Gagneux et al., 2006). Understanding the genetic diversity within MTBC is

essential for gaining insights into how these bacteria adapt to different host populations and

environments, and identify traits that may be important for survival, virulence, and antibiotic

resistance.

MTBC is recognised for its clonal population structure and little genetic diversity between

different strains, caused by the absence of horizontal gene transfer and plasmids (Godfroid

et al., 2018, Chiner-Oms et al., 2022). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and large

sequence polymorphisms (LSPs) represent the source of MTBC genetic diversity (Gagneux,

2018). Within the MTBC, LSPs which are deletions in genomes relative to the reference

genome H37Rv are referred to as Regions of Difference (RDs) (Bespiatykh et al., 2021). These

RDs are known to differentiate MTBC lineages from each other (Gagneux, 2018), but within-

lineage diversity is thought to be mostly composed of SNPs (Napier et al., 2020). While some

RDs have been described to be differentially present within some lineages (Sanoussi et al.,

2021, Bespiatykh et al., 2021), these are thought to be rare and remain unexplored. RDs can

surpass 10 kb in length and encompass groups of genes with diverse functions, which can be

linked to factors like virulence and metabolic diversity (Bespiatykh et al., 2021, Bottai et al.,

2020). Additionally, the *IS6110* element has a notable impact on the genetic diversity of the

MTBC. Transposition of *IS6110* into various coding or regulatory regions, and genomic

deletions through homologous recombination between proximal copies, can also translate

into significant genotypic variation at strain level (Alonso et al., 2013, Antoine et al., 2021).

These mechanisms can all contribute to a varying repertoire of genetic features, much of

which remains underexplored.

The pangenome represents the set of all genes present in a species. It comprises both the

core genome, which consists of genes shared by all members of the species, and the

accessory genome, which includes genes present in some but not all members. The

accessory genome is often split into shell (present in most but not all genomes) and cloud

(present in only a few genomes). Pangenomes are further classified as either open (each

new genome adds a significant number of new genes to the population's accessory genome)

or closed (newly analysed genomes do not significantly increase the accessory genome size)

(Brockhurst et al., 2019).

Previous research has focused on pangenomic investigations of particular MTBC lineages

(Baena et al., 2023, Ceres et al., 2022, Sanoussi et al., 2021) and a recent study investigated

pangenome of most MTBC lineages using protein sequences (Silva-Pereira et al., 2024).

However, there is a gap in comprehensive pangenomic analyses encompassing both coding

and non-coding regions. Despite the name, prokaryotic pangenome analyses tend to not

focus on full genome comparisons, but rather on protein-coding sequences. This focus

neglects non-coding RNAs and pseudogenes as well as essential elements in intergenic

regions, such as promoters, terminators, and regulatory binding sites, despite their

demonstrated role in selection and phenotypic impact (Tonkin-Hill et al., 2023). Moreover,

the inference drawn from pangenomic analyses is often influenced by short-read datasets. It

has been shown that using short-read datasets can artificially inflate the size of the

pangenome estimates, leading to incorrect assumptions about evolutionary scenarios

(Marin, 2024). Here, we used a diverse collection of closed MTBC genomes including human

and animal-associated lineages to develop our understanding of MTBC pangenome and

evolution incorporating both coding and non-coding regions. The objectives of this study

are: (i) to establish the true size of MTBC pangenome using a highly curated dataset, (ii) to

assess specific biological functions that are prevalent in the core and accessory genome and

(iii) to assess gene content variation at both lineage and sub-lineage levels. By focusing on

sub-lineages, we aim to provide a detailed characterisation of genetic diversity and

structural differences that influence MTBC evolution and functional traits long term. We find

that the MTBC has a small, closed pangenome and genome reduction via RDs is the driving

evolutionary force that shapes the genomic repertoire of the species. We identified distinct

genomic features among lineages and sub-lineages, including sub-lineage specific RDs, that

may account for variations in virulence, metabolism, and antibiotic resistance.

Methods

Summary of sequencing data and quality control

Previous studies have shown that closed genomes should be used for the best estimation of

pangenome size (Marin et al., 2024). From a combination of previously published research

and publicly available data, 328 non-duplicated, complete (single contig) and closed (as

listed on NCBI) genome assemblies were selected for having (i) long-read sequencing only

(Nanopore or PacBio) or (ii) hybrid sequencing with Illumina across the MTBC lineages.

Information related to the geographical location, source of isolation, assembly accessions

and quality metrics can be found in Supplementary Table S1. The quality of all assemblies

was assessed using BUSCO v5.8.2 (Manni et al., 2021) and only assemblies with ≥ 95%

completeness were used for further analysis. For parity, all assemblies were set to start on

dnaA gene using the fixstart command of Circlator v1.5.5 (Hunt et al., 2015). Complete

circular assemblies were annotated using PGAP v6.4 with default options (Tatusova et al.,

2016). This approach is recommended by previous analyses (Marin et al., 2024) as PGAP

tends to annotate pseudogenes in cases of pseudogenisation and frameshift, rather than

annotating them as new coding sequences. Visualisations of the PGAP annotation were

made using the DNA Features Viewer Python library (Zulkower and Rosser, 2020). Lineage

classification was performed using TB-profiler v6.5 with the TBDB v.72ef6fa database (Phelan

et al., 2019) except for *M. tuberculosis* var *microti* (hereafter named *M. microti)* and *M.*

tuberculosis var *pinnipedii* (hereafter named *M. pinnipedii)* which are not classified in this

database and were assigned using spoligotype using SpolPred2 as implemented in TB-

profiler (Napier et al., 2023).

Nanopore sequencing and assembly

We sequenced lineages and sub-lineages that either had no sequencing data available in

public databases or were under-represented in the collection. These isolates belonged to L5

(n=2, L5.1 and L5.2), L4.6 (n=3, L4.6.1, L4.6.1.1 and L4.6.1.2), L4.7 (n=3), L9 (n=1), La2 (*M.*

caprae, n =1) and *M. pinnipedii* (n=1). A list of genomes sequenced in this study and their

assembly information can be found in Supplementary Table S1. Raw reads and assembled

genomes were deposited in NCBI under the Bioproject PRJNA1085078.

DNA extraction suitable for long-read sequencing was performed using the Genomic-tip

100/G (Qiagen Inc) as described previously (Ngabonziza et al., 2020). DNA concentration was

quantified using a Qubit fluorometer and 1X dsDNA High Sensitivity (HS) assay kit

(ThermoFisher Scientific). Nanopore sequencing was performed using the native barcoding

kit 24 V14 (SQK-NBD114.24) according to the manufacturer's instruction on the MinION

Mk1C platform with R10.4.1 flow cells. Basecalling was undertaken using Guppy v 6.5.7 with

the super accurate (SUP) model. Sequence adaptors were trimmed using Porechop v0.2.4

with "--end_threshold 95" and "--middle_threshold 85" options

(https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop.git). Sequences were assembled using flye v2.9.2 with

151 default options (Kolmogorov et al., 2019) and iteratively polished using Racon v1.5.0 and

152 the "consensus" subcommand of Medaka v1.8.0

153 (https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka.git). Complete assemblies underwent Circlator,

154 BUSCO and PGAP steps as above.

155 **Pangenome analysis (protein coding genes)**

156 Panaroo v 1.5.1 inferred the MTBC protein-coding pangenome (Tonkin-Hill et al., 2020) with

157 and without the "--merge_paralogs" option to investigate gene duplication (paralogy).

158 Additionally, "--clean-mode strict" and "--core_threshold 0.95" were applied to reduce

159 potential contamination by removing genes found at low frequencies (present in fewer than

160 5% of genomes) and to define core alignment gene set for the subsequent phylogenetics,

161 respectively. Ceres et al. (2022) showed that genes could be over-split by annotation

162 software, creating additional accessory genes, which has been confirmed elsewhere (Marin

163 et al., 2024). To counteract this we followed the approach recommended in Ceres et al.

164 (2022) by merging genes that map to the same gene in a reference genome. The reference

165 pangenome created by Panaroo was compared to the H37Rv genome (standard reference

166 genome for the MTBC) using BLASTn with a similarity e-value cutoff of 1×10^{-10} (Zhang et al.,

167 2000). The BLASTn result was then filtered to only include queries with ≥90% identity and

168 ≥75% of the H37Rv gene length covered using in-house Python scripts

169 (https://github.com/conmeehan/pathophy). A core genome alignment was constructed

170 using the built-in MAFFT aligner included in Panaroo (Katoh et al., 2002). The core

171 phylogeny was created using RAxML-NG v1.2.2 with a GTR substitution model (Kozlov et al.,

172 2019). Tree visualisations were created using the Treeio package v1.20.0 (Wang et al., 2020).

173 **Pangenome graph construction**

The above pangenome analysis only compares coding gene content and omits all non-coding

genes and intra-gene genetic content. To examine the total pangenome, including both

coding and non-coding regions, all genomes were aligned into a graph using Pangraph v0.7.2

(Noll et al., 2023). A minimum genome region block size of 250bp was selected to look only

at large polymorphisms. As above, an additional check was undertaken on blocks labelled as

absent, to ensure they truly are not present in the given genome, and not just mis-labelled

as absent by the Pangraph pipeline. BLASTn was used to compare the consensus sequence

for that region and the genome it is predicted to be absent from. Any BLASTn results with an

e-value less than $1e^{-30}$ and additionally covering 50% of the region length and a 50%

identical match were re-labelled as present. Genomic regions were classified as a core region

if present in all genomes or an accessory region if present in some but not all genomes. The

DNA sequences from genomic blocks present in at least one sub-lineage but completely

absent in others were extracted to look for long-term evolution patterns in the pangenome.

Known Regions of Differences (RDs) were identified using RDscan (Bespiatykh et al., 2021).

Structural variants with Rv (H37Rv gene) loci overlapping previously annotated RDs were

assigned the same RD names. Novel structural variants that did not align with any previously

reported RDs were classified as new RDs if they are present in H37Rv, or as a new LSP if

absent. Accessory regions were further classified as a deletion if present in over 50% of the

sub-lineages or an insertion/duplication if present in less than 50% of sub-lineages. This

approach provides a reference-free perspective for investigating gene content differences

and labels relevant LSPs as reductive (deletions) or increasing (insertions/duplications).

Functional genome analysis

The reference pangenome created by Panaroo was translated using transeq v5.0.0 (Rice et

al., 2000) to obtain the protein sequences. COG functional categories were assigned using

eggNOG Mapper v5.0.2 using default options (Huerta-Cepas et al., 2017). Genes that did not

return a match within the eggNOG database were included in the "not found" category.

Functional domain annotation was performed with InterProScan v5.63-95 (Jones et al.,

2014). For the gene association analysis, the accessory genome (genes present in less than

95% of genomes) was used as input for Coinfinder v1.2.1 (Whelan et al., 2020). The STRING

database (https://string-db.org/) was used to infer interaction networks of genes. Predicted

functional partners with a high confidence interaction score (≥ 0.7) by STRING v12.0 were

considered in this study.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using R studio v2024.04.2+764 (R Core Team, 2023). Due

to the use of multiple public genomes created using different sequencing technologies, we

looked for significant associations between accessory genome size and sequencing

technology. For comparative purposes and to ensure sufficient sample size per group, all

types of Illumina sequencing (e.g., MiSeq, HiSeq, etc) were combined, resulting in

comparisons between different long-read technologies, with or without Illumina hybrid

sequencing. Any sequencing technology group with fewer than three genomes was

excluded. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test for normality and then a Kruskal-Wallis test

was used to look for associations between accessory genome count (the number of missing

genes in a genome) and sequencing platform(s). A Dunn's test was then used to look for

pairwise significant differences with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. The

same process was undertaken on pseudogene counts, based on the GFF files output from

PGAP.

Principal component analysis (PCA) based on gene presence and absence data from

Panaroo-derived accessory genome contents and Pangraph-derived accessory region

contents was performed using the prcomp package in R. The fviz_contrib function in

Factoextra (v1.0.7) was used to identify the contribution of important variables from the PCA

results (Kassambara and Mundt, 2020).

To assess the openness and pairwise uniqueness of the pangenome, micropan was used to

estimate Heaps law, genome fluidity and rarefaction curves with 100 permutations (Snipen

and Liland, 2015). An alpha of 1 or lower in Heap's law indicates an open genome; higher

than 1 indicates a closed genome.

Results

Overview of the genomic dataset

We combined 339 whole-genome sequences covering all known MTBC lineages except L10

for which no closed genome is available (Guyeux et al., 2024). This included 328 genomes

published previously, as well as 11 genomes sequenced here from sub-lineages with few or

no representatives in the public dataset to better cover the known phylogeny of MTBC

(Supplementary Table S1). These include the first closed genomes for L5.2, L9, La2 (formerly

M. caprae) and *M. pinnipedii*. All genomes were sequenced to a depth greater than 30x

coverage, as required for >99% sequence recovery (Sanderson et al., 2024).

Except for Antarctica, our collection includes genomes from every continent, and different

host species including humans, cattle, voles and BCG vaccine strains (Supplementary Figure

S1-A). Africa has the highest diversity of MTBC genomes of all continents, which is consistent

with the fact that the continent is known to have the most diverse MTBC strains

(Supplementary Figure S1-B) (Gagneux, 2018). Most L1 genomes have been collected from

East Africa, South and Southeast Asia, where this lineage is geographically common. L3

genomes were mostly isolated from India, while L2 and L4 genomes have been collected

from different continents, representing the global distribution of these lineages. L5 and L6

genomes were exclusively found in West Africa, where they contribute significantly to the

overall burden of TB in this region (Coscolla et al., 2021).

Across the total collection, genomes contained on average 3,950 protein-coding genes (CDS)

(range 3,579-4,018), of which 76 were predicted to be pseudogenes (range 64-93)

(Supplementary Table 1). No significant association was found between the sequencing

platform and pangenome size (Kruskal-Wallis test: p-value < 0.001). Pairwise comparisons

also found no significant differences in pangenome size (Dunn's test: p-value < 0.001).

However, a less stringent threshold (p-value < 0.05) indicated a difference in pangenome size

between Nanopore/Illumina hybrid assemblies and PacBio SMRT (no hybrid assembly), with

Nanopore hybrid assemblies showing lower accessory gene counts. No difference in

pseudogene count was observed based on sequencing technology, suggesting this was not

impacted either.

Pangenome characteristics and functional diversity

Panaroo estimated a protein-coding pangenome (with merged paralogs) consisting of 4,118

genes, including 3,638 core genes (≥99% of genomes) and 480 accessory genes including

180 soft core (≥95% and <99% of genomes), 182 shell (≥15% and <95% of genomes) and 118

cloud (<15% of genomes) genes. After mapping all the genes in the pangenome to H37Rv to

look for over-splitting of annotated genes, we identified 86 genes (2% of total genes) that

were misclassified as accessory genes, including 9 out of 180 soft core, 12 out of 182 shell

and 65 out of 118 cloud genes (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2). This approach reduced

the pangenome size from 4,118 to 4,032 genes and the accessory genome from 480 to 394

genes. Incorporating duplicated genes (i.e. not merging paralogs in Panaroo) increased the

pangenome size to 4,427 genes, including 3,635 core and 792 accessory genes (195 soft

core, 200 shell and 397 cloud). After combing genes based on mapping to H37Rv to reduce

annotation splitting bias, the (including paralogs) pangenome size decreased to 4,321 genes,

including 3,627 core genes and the accessory genome was reduced to 694 (187 soft core,

186 shell and 321 cloud) (Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2).

Heap's Law analysis resulted in an alpha of 2 for the merged paralog dataset and 1.548 for

the unmerged dataset. This indicates a closed or near closed pangenome based on both

datasets. Genome fluidity was estimated to be 0.0085 for the merged paralog dataset and

0.0088 for the unmerged paralog dataset. This indicates any two randomly selected

genomes only differ by 0.85-0.88% of gene content. (Supplementary Figure S2).

All subsequent analyses based on Panaroo was undertaken using the merged paralog

dataset, unless otherwise stated.

Method	Pangenome statistics	Raw estimates	Corrected estimates
Panaroo Merged paralogs	Total Genes	4,118	4,032
	Core genes	3,638	3,627
	Accessory genes:	480	394
Panaroo Including paralogs	Total Genes	4,427	4,321
	Core genes:	3,635	3,627
	Accessory genes:	792	694

Table 1: Pangenome (Panaroo) size estimations with and without corrections and merged

paralogs. Corrected estimates are derived from filtering out false accessory genes through

merging of genes with strong hits to the same gene in H37Rv (≥90% identity and ≥75% gene

length coverage).

To examine genomic diversity among lineages, we investigated variations in the size of the

accessory genome among different lineages. This analysis revealed significant differences in

accessory genome size among different lineages (Kruskal-Wallis test, p= 2.2e-16). Post-hoc

Dunn tests with Bonferroni correction identified significant pairwise differences between

lineages (Supplementary Table S3). L6, La1 and *M. microti* have significantly smaller number

of accessory genes per strain compared to others (Figure 1, Supplementary Table S3); L2 has

a significantly smaller accessory genome in comparison with L1, L3, and L4.

Functional annotation of core and accessory genes showed that genes of unknown function

were the largest functional group in both, with over 20% (Figure 2). The core genome of

MTBC encodes a high proportion of genes involved in lipid transport and metabolism

(category I), transcription (category K) and cellular transport and secretion systems (category

N), indicating the importance of these biological functions in defining the species. Functional

breakdown of the accessory genome also revealed variations in genes involved in DNA

replication, repair and mobile elements (category L) and lipid transport and metabolism

(category I) across the species. The functional composition of core and accessory genes with

the inclusion of paralogs is shown in Supplementary Figure S3.

Pangraph revealed a similar distribution of core and accessory regions (Table 2). In total,

1,338 regions were identified, with 1,015 (75.8%) present in all genomes. The accessory

regions were distributed as follows: 129 (9.6%) in the soft core, 140 (10.4%) in the shell and

54 (4%) in the cloud. Regions ranged in length from 250bp (the set lower limit) to 54.6kb

(mean: 3.2kb, median: 1kb). Some regions (2.3% of total pangenome regions) were found to

be mislabelled as absent in certain genomes, which were corrected using BLAST. This

resulted in small corrections to the pangenome category distributions, primarily with an

increase to the core region and reduction in the cloud accessory region count (Table 2),

similar to the pangenome corrections above. Blocks that had these spurious hits discovered

by BLASTn ranged in size from 254bp to 5.4kb (mean: 815bp, median:584bp), suggesting this

is an issue mainly impacting smaller Pangraph blocks.

After corrections, accessory blocks made up ~23% of the pangenome. These accessory

blocks ranged in size from 250bp to 9.8kb (mean: 993bp; median 629bp). This suggests most

accessory blocks each encompass only one or a few genes each, with most larger regions

(i.e. all above 10kb) being common to all the MTBC strains.

Heaps law based on the Pangraph block distribution resulted in an alpha of 2 and a genome

fluidity of 0.041 (i.e. 4.1% uniqueness between any two genomes). This indicates a closed

genome, similar to the Panaroo-based analyses, but with more unique blocks per genome

when both coding and non-coding regions are considered compared to coding sequences

alone (Supplementary Figure 2).

Pangenome partition	Raw estimates	Corrected estimates
Total Regions	1,338	1,338
Core Regions	1,015	1,040
Soft core	129	124
Shell	140	143
Cloud	54	31

Table 2: **Pangenome graph (Pangraph) size estimations with and without corrections**.

Corrected estimates are derived from filtering out false labelling of absent regions using

BLASTn.

Population structure analysis

The population structure was assessed using SNPs in the core genome (Figure 3A) and PCA

plots were constructed based on presence-absence patterns in the accessory genome

determined with Panaroo (includes coding genes only) and the accessory regions derived

from Pangraph (includes both coding and non-coding genomic regions) (Figure 3B-D;

Supplementary figure S4). In the PCAs, genomes group together based on their lineage,

indicating variations in accessory genome are mainly structured by lineage (Figure 3B-D).

The first principal component (PC1) highlights the contrast between L2 from other members

of MTBC. In all PCA plots, L2 formed a distinct group, defined primarily by the important

variables RD105 and RD207 deletions. RD105 serves as a classic marker for all L2 genomes

and RD207 deletion is found in all L2.2 sub-lineages; the single L2.1 genome is separated

from the L2.2 cluster due to the absence of RD207. The second principal component (PC2)

demonstrates the difference between modern human-associated lineages (L2, L3 and L4)

from other lineages. Important variables driving this separation are the TbD1 gene deletion

in modern lineages, and gene deletions associated with RD11 (prophage phiRv2) in L6, L7,

La1 and La3 genomes and some L1 sub-lineages. L1 genomes exhibit considerable genetic

diversity primarily due to RD3 (related to prophage phiRv1) and RD11. The latter was

identified in all L1.1 sub-lineages and certain L1.2 sub-lineages (L1.2.1, L1.2.2, and L1.2.2.2).

The positioning of the single L8 genome, (positive sign, Figure 3B-D) sits separate from all

other lineages, likely due to being the most basal known lineage (Ngabonziza et al., 2020).

The accessory genome of MTBC sub-lineages

To counteract the potentially misleading effect of mis-annotations of some genomes on

accessory genome analyses, we looked for larger patterns of genomic differences in the

MTBC, shared by multiple genomes. The accessory genome (Panaroo) and accessory region

(Pangraph) patterns outlined above were filtered to look only at those genes and larger

genomic regions present in all strains of one or more sub-lineages and completely absent in

others (i.e. sub-lineage-specific genes and LSPs).

Among the 394 filtered accessory genes, 111 were identified as specific to certain lineages

and sub-lineages (Supplementary Figure S5-A, Supplementary Table S4). Four of these genes

were absent from La3 but present in at least two copies in all other lineages: Rv3117 (cysA3)

and Rv0815c (cysA2), involved in the formation of thiosulfate, and Rv3118 (sseC1) and

Rv0814c (sseC2), involved in sulphur metabolism. Panaroo failed to identify partial gene

deletions caused by RD9, RD10, RD13, RD105, RD207, and RD702. For example, RD702 is

present (i.e. deleted) in all L6 and L9 genomes and covers a 641 bp region (Figure 4). RD702

affects less than a quarter of the *bglS* gene (470 bp from 2,076 bp) and *mymT* gene (126 bp

from 162 bp gene) in the 5' to 3' direction as well as a regulatory RNA (ncRv0186c) in the 3'

to 5' direction. The Pangraph approach identified most partial gene deletion and non-coding

regions of the DNA that were impacted by genomic deletion (relative to H37Rv). However,

the graph approach failed to identify four structural variants, including a gene deletion

corresponding to RD8 (Rv3618) in L6 and the animal-associated genomes.

Gene association analysis using coinfinder confirmed that most accessory genes (as

determined by Panaroo) co-occur in pairs or groups in larger multi-gene RDs (as determined

by Pangraph) (Supplementary Figure S5). Thus, the small accessory genome is contained

within an even smaller number of RDs. Coincident genomic blocks that were associated with

lineages were RD8, RD9, RD10, and RD178, whereas those that were distributed across the

phylogeny belonged to RD3 and RD11.

The filtered Pangraph results identified 82 lineage and sub-lineage-specific genomic regions

ranging from 270 bp to 9.8kb, encompassing a total of 116 genes and 4 non-coding RNA

(Supplementary Table S4). Many known lineage and sub-lineage-specific genomic regions

were consistently identified using both methods (Panaroo and Pangraph) and found to be

differentially present in two or more genomes, including TbD1, RD9, RD10, RD13, RD105,

RD303, RD312, RD316, RD702, RD711, RD713, RD750, ND1, RDoryx, and N-RD25bov/cap

(Figure 4).

Sub-lineage-specific accessory regions were classified as either RDs (deletions relative to

H37Rv; present in most sub-lineages but absent in less than 50%) or LSPs

(insertions/duplications; present in less than 50%). All sub-lineage-specific accessory regions

were found to be RDs, i.e. present in H37Rv (L4.9 in Figure 4) and absent in only a minority

of sub-lineages. Additional analysis of paralogous blocks, those present in all genomes but in

multiple copies in some, did not reveal any new sub-lineage-specific accessory regions.

Overall, these findings point towards deletion-driven evolution of the MTBC.

Discussion

The MTBC accessory genome is small and prone to inflation due to mis-annotation

The MTBC pangenome size has been reported to vary across studies. In a recent

investigation conducted by Marin (2024), the accessory genome ranged from 314 to 2951

genes as a function of the assembly quality, software and specific parameters used. Genome

sequencing using short-read technology has some limitations that can result in incomplete

assemblies, particularly in regions with repetitive sequences that are difficult to sequence

(Meehan et al., 2019). About 10% of the coding sequences of the MTBC genome are

composed of repetitive polymorphic sequences known as the PE (Proline-Glutamic acid) and

PPE (Proline-Proline-Glutamic acid) families, implicated in host-pathogen interactions and

virulence (Cole et al., 1998). Incomplete assemblies can impact the accurate detection of

genes and may lead to artificial inflation of the estimated pangenome size (Ceres et al.,

2022, Marin et al., 2024). We used a curated dataset to address these issues and estimate

the true size of the MTBC pangenome. We showed that the MTBC has a compact accessory

genome, totalling 10% of the total pangenome size (16% when including paralogs). This

finding is consistent with recent research, which found a small accessory genome with a

clonal genetic structure in the MTBC (Baena et al., 2023, Ceres et al., 2022, Silva-Pereira et

al., 2024). Using a BLASTn analysis approach, we identified core genes that were designated

as accessory and further reduced the accessory genome from 480 to 394 genes, a reduction

of almost 20%. For example, two genes labelled as *lppA* and group_1645, both annotated as

lipoproteins, were initially classified as accessory genes. However, these two genes exhibited

98% query coverage and 94% identity to the same gene in H37Rv, leading to their

classification as a single core gene. Notably, 50% of the cloud genes (genes present in less

than 15% of strains) were found to be core genes after this correction. These genes mapped

to the reference genes using the BLAST approach and appeared to be fragmented genes

caused by over-splitting in the PGAP annotation step.

The graph-based approach employed by Pangraph, encompassing all coding and non-coding

regions, found a slightly larger accessory genomic content, with 22% of the total pangenome

lying within accessory regions, after correction for spurious hits using BLASTn. This indicates

that there is more variation to be uncovered when both coding and non-coding portions of

the genome are assessed. However, correction of the Pangraph present/absent region

patterns was required, showing caution and additional scrutiny is needed when assessing

accessory region counts, especially for smaller blocks.

Identification of RDs was also likely impacted by the pangenome construction pipelines.

Many partial RDs known to occur in specific lineages and sub-lineages were not identified by

Panaroo. These results could be explained by the fact that Panaroo uses a relaxed alignment

threshold along with neighbourhood gene information to cluster gene families (Tonkin-Hill et

al., 2020). This can lead to the grouping of partially deleted genes with those that are

complete. Pangraph appeared to overcome many of these issues as it uses the whole

genome information as opposed to coding genes only. Despite these smaller limitations,

both methods yielded consistent results indicating that the accessory genomic content

(including coding and non-coding regions) of the MTBC is small, with much of the accessory

genome contents shared between multiple strains (i.e. in the soft core and shell

compartments). However, this does indicate that moving towards analysis of all genome

regions, such as pangenome graphs, is required to identify the true accessory regions of

difference within a population, especially if that population has a near closed pangenome.

The MTBC accessory genomic diversity is driven by reductive evolution

We employed a pangenomic approach to analyse the genetic diversity within the MTBC,

aiming to understand its evolution and functional potential. In addition to inferring a gene-

based pangenome with Panaroo, we also analysed variation in non-coding regions by

inferring a pangenome graph with Pangraph. Examining non-coding regions is particularly

important for species with limited genetic diversity, as they play a vital role in controlling

gene expression, stress response and host adaptation (Arnvig et al., 2014). Our study

demonstrated that, although both methods produced reliable outcomes, the whole-genome

alignment approach is needed to capture all genetic variations, especially those involving

large structural variants (>200 bp), as much variation appeared to be outside of protein

coding genes. Moreover, this method identified non-coding regions of the genome that were

affected by genomic variation. Results from both methodologies revealed that the accessory

genomic content of MTBC is a product of reductive evolution, that can be classified into

divergent deletions arising from a single event (RDs) and convergent deletions, where the

same deletion arises multiple times from independent events, with the former category

being the more common. Coinfinder results also showed that coincident genes in the

accessory genome primarily belonged to particular lineages.

However, a limitation of this analysis is that syntenic changes (those at the same places in

different genomes) were not accounted for. Therefore, insertions or deletions which do not

radically change the overall copy number but change the genome architecture could still

occur and contribute to the overall evolutionary trajectory of the species. A more in-depth

structural variation analysis accounting for such potential rearrangements is needed to

assess the full impact of large insertions and deletions on the *M. tuberculosis* pangenome.

Regions of difference (RDs), which are large deletions often encompassing multiple genes,

can act as distinctive markers not only for lineages, as demonstrated previously, but also for

sub-lineages. These warrant further investigation to understand the biological consequences

of such deletions on traits like virulence, drug resistance, and metabolism, along with SNP

only analyses. On the other hand, we observed several genomic deletions that appear to be

due to convergent evolution and may indicate gene instability (Brosch et al., 2002). This

includes RD3 and RD11 corresponding to the two prophages phiRv1 and phiRv2. One

drawback of our study was that many of the lineages were poorly represented, especially in

the L5, L6, L9 and all animal-associated lineages. The production of more closed genomes

from the geographic regions where these are abundant (e.g. East and West Africa) will

provide further information about the RD distributions within the MTBC and better

understanding of the driving evolutionary forces.

All analysis undertaken here points towards genomic deletion as the main evolutionary

factor creating the non-SNP-based variation within the MTBC. Reductive evolution is a

driving factor of the other two *Mycobacterium* obligate pathogens, *M. ulcerans* and *M.*

leprae (Stinear et al., 2007). The *M. tuberculosis* genome has also been suggested to be a

product of genome reduction as its genome is much smaller than its sister species' (Orgeur

et al., 2024). Our findings fit within this context, indicating that within-MTBC long-term

evolution also tends to be reductive, with all discovered (sub-)lineage RDs being deletions

relative to the complex as a whole. Much of this reduction appears to occur within the

animal-associated lineages and the closely related human-associated lineages L5/L6/L9

(figure 4). This is not to say duplication is not also an important factor in driving evolution

within this group. It has previously been shown that duplication of genes implicated in drug

resistance and virulence genes (e.g. PE/PPE genes) are common within the accessory

genome, albeit in a lineage-independent manner (Espinoza et al., 2025). While accounting

for duplicated genes did increase the accessory genome size here, especially the cloud

genome (Table 1), this did not translate into significantly different patterns between sub-

lineages. More closed genomes of closely related strains are required to look for duplication-

driven evolution in shorter timescales, especially if this is associated with changes in

virulence.

The virulence potential of sub-lineages is differentially impacted by their accessory

genomic content

The size of the accessory genome content varied significantly among different lineages. L2

genomes exhibited a high degree of clonality and a smaller accessory genome. L2 strains are

characterised by a large number of *IS6110* copies, possibly due to the RD207, which affects

the CRISPR-Cas type III-A system, responsible for adaptive immunity against mobile genetic

elements (Alonso et al., 2013). While RD207 encompasses seven genes (Rv2814c-Rv2820c)

(Bespiatykh et al., 2021), our analysis revealed that only two genes, Rv2819c and Rv2820c,

are specifically absent in L2 and can serve as a genetic marker for this lineage. Other RDs

affecting all L2 genomes are RD3 (9.2 kb), and RD105 (3.4 kb). The latter is exclusively

present in all L2 genomes and previous research proposed a potential role of RD105 in

conferring drug resistance in L2.2 strains (Qin et al., 2019). RD207 (7.4 kb), caused by the

recombination of two *IS6110* elements, is also present in all L2 genomes except for L2.1. L3

genomes exhibited significantly less genomic deletion in comparison with L2, and RDs

affecting all L3 genomes were RD316 (1.2 kb) and RD750 (765 bp). The genes affected by

these RDs are involved in lipid metabolism (category I) and secondary metabolite

biosynthesis and transport respectively (category Q), which may contribute to metabolic

variations in L3 strains. L4 exhibits substantial genetic diversity primarily due to variations in

the presence and absence of specific RDs at the sub-lineage level. For example, RD145 (4.7

kb) and RD182 (6.5 kb) were detected in L4.1.2.1 genomes isolated from Africa, Asia, Europe

and South America. However, none of the affected genes appears advantageous or essential

for MTBC growth and survival and this sub-lineage is successful worldwide

(https://tbdr.lshtm.ac.uk/sra/browse). Other L4 sub-lineage-specific RDs detected in this

study with no known advantage are RD115 in L4.3.4, RD727 in L4.6.1, RD219 in L4.8, RvD1 in

L4.8 and L4.9. RD4 in La1, L5.3 Del in L5.3, and RD750 in L3, RD147c in L1.

Nonetheless, some genomic deletions can affect the genes which confer growth advantages

in various lineages. Disruption of these accessory genes has been shown to provide a fitness

advantage for the *in vitro* growth of H37Rv by analysis of saturated Himar 1 transposon

libraries (DeJesus et al., 2017). For example, RD174 specific to L4.3.4 intersects with RD743

specific to L5, and both deletions affect two genes (Rv1995 and Rv1996) including *cmtR*

transcriptional regulator. The latter regulates bacterial stress response to metal deficiency

and is important during persistence in phagocyte (Flores-Valdez et al., 2020). While the

absence of these genes may not be necessary for *in vitro* growth (DeJesus et al., 2017) the

impact of this deletion may be different in *in vivo* conditions. The low prevalence of L4.3.4

strains in the TB Profiler database may suggest either the lower fitness of this sub-lineage, or

its presence in under-sampled geographic areas. RDs impacting the growth-advantage gene

group were prevalent in L5 genomes (L5.3 Del, RD743 and RD711). For instance, RD711

affects the Rv1335 gene, which is annotated as a sulphur carrier protein involved in cysteine

biosynthesis by PGAP. This may imply that the genome reduction observed in L5 has led to

dependance on host factors for essential nutrients, consequently making these strains

difficult to grow in a culture medium (Sanoussi et al., 2017).

L6 and animal-associated lineages accumulated a higher number of deletions including RD7,

RD8, RD9 and RD10. Comparative analysis of the accessory genome size in L6, La1, and *M.*

microti revealed significant differences compared to other lineages. The identified genomic

regions in L6, L9, and animal-associated lineages impact genes associated with virulence,

potentially explaining their reduced virulence in human hosts. RD7 contains the mammalian

cell entry (*mce3*) operon implicated in the invasion of host cells, while RD9 includes genes

related to biliverdin reduction, potentially aiding in protection against oxidative stress inside

macrophages (Szklarczyk et al., 2023). RD10 impacts genes related to lipid storage crucial for

MTBC transition to a dormant state (Deb et al., 2009). RD1BCG and RD1mic affect genes

encoding the ESX-1 secretion system, crucial for virulence and phagosomal escape, with

RD1BCG being deleted during BCG vaccine development (Szklarczyk et al., 2023). Overall,

the findings of this study suggest that, despite having a compact accessory genome, it can

account for certain biological distinctions among MTBC. While genetic drift has been

proposed as a key driver of diversity in MTBC (Hershberg et al., 2008), recent research has

argued that it does not fully explain the observed low genetic diversity in MTBC. Instead,

purifying selection may be the dominant force, removing harmful mutations and maintaining

genetic uniformity (Stritt and Gagneux, 2023).

A need for more high-quality genomes and annotations

While this dataset represents high-quality MTBC genome sequences that cover most of its

known diversity, it suffers from sampling bias as some lineages are over-represented

whereas others have a few representatives. The over-representation of L2 and L4 in the

dataset is primarily attributed to their association with Bioprojects analysing TB outbreaks or

originating from countries with extensive sampling, highlighting a bias in sequencing efforts

towards more prevalent or historically studied lineages. Many sub-Saharan African countries

do not have a representative sample in our dataset, and this may explain the under-

representation of L5 and L6 in the dataset. These lineages contribute significantly to the

overall burden of TB in West Africa (Coscolla et al., 2021). Additionally, we found that certain

RDs that were previously assumed to be specific to certain lineages were identified in other

lineages (Supplementary table S4). The observed variation in RD distribution emphasizes the

need for an expanded genomic dataset to provide a more comprehensive understanding of

their prevalence, specificity, and implications in the evolution of the MTBC. Future

sequencing efforts should target high-burden TB countries, ensuring a comprehensive

representation of the population structure to enhance our understanding of MTBC diversity

and evolution. Closed genomes for closely related strains are also required to better

understand short-term evolutionary patterns and the impact upon virulence within

circulating strains. Additionally, investigating human transcriptomic data could further

improve our understanding of the MTBC host adaptation, geographic distribution and

pathogenicity.

Alongside these genomes, highly accurate annotation of the genes within is also needed.

The availability of the H37Rv genome, which has undergone many rounds of intense

reannotation (Modlin et al., 2021), allowed for merging of genes that were over-split by

PGAP. However, this meant only genes present in H37Rv could be re-merged where needed,

potentially leaving other genes mis-annotated in the accessory genome. High quality, and

high-throughout, annotation of other genomes will help rectify this in future expanded

studies.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study reveals that the MTBC has a small, near-closed pangenome,

predominantly driven by genome reduction. This observation underlines the importance of

genomic deletions in the evolution of the MTBC. We found many distinct genomic features

across the MTBC, including sublineage-specific RDs, which likely play a crucial role in

variations in virulence, metabolism, and antibiotic resistance. Finally, the study underscores

the impact of non-coding regions and large structural variations on the MTBC's genetic

diversity, offering a new perspective on MTBC pangenomics.

Acknowledgements and funding

We would like to thank all the staff at the BCCM/ITM collection for the growth of strains for

sequencing in this study. We thank Alasdair Hubbard for assistance with Nanopore

sequencing.

C.J.M., D.J.W. and M.B. are supported by the Academy of Medical Sciences (AMS), the

Wellcome Trust, the Government Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy

(BEIS), the British Heart Foundation and Diabetes UK and the Global Challenges Research

Fund (GCRF) via a Springboard grant [SBF006\1090].

Author contributions

M.B. contributed to conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, data

curation, writing -original draft, writing – reviewing & editing, and visualization.

M.M. contributed to methodology, formal analysis, resources, data curation and writing –

reviewing & editing.

D.W. contributed to methodology, formal analysis, data curation, writing – reviewing &

editing.

M.F. contributed to methodology, data curation, writing – reviewing & editing and

supervision.

J.C.T contributed to methodology, resources, and writing – reviewing & editing.

M.R.D.S. contributed to methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, writing –

reviewing & editing and visualisation.

C.J.M. contributed to conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, writing

-original draft, writing – reviewing & editing, supervision, project administration and funding

acquisition.

References

ALONSO, H., SAMPER, S., MARTÍN, C. & OTAL, I. 2013. Mapping IS6110 in high-copy number
Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains shows specific insertion points in the Beijing
genotype. *BMC Genomics,* 14**,** 422.
ANTOINE, R., GAUDIN, C. & HARTKOORN, R. C. 2021. Intragenic Distribution of IS6110 in
Clinical Mycobacterium tuberculosis Strains: Bioinformatic Evidence for Gene
Disruption Leading to Underdiagnosed Antibiotic Resistance. *Microbiol Spectr,* 9**,**
e0001921.
ARNVIG, K. B., CORTES, T. & YOUNG, D. B. 2014. Noncoding RNA in Mycobacteria.
Microbiology Spectrum, 2**,** 10.1128/microbiolspec.mgm2-0029-2013.
BAENA, A., CABARCAS, F., OCAMPO, J. C., BARRERA, L. F. & ALZATE, J. F. 2023. Large genomic
deletions delineate Mycobacterium tuberculosis L4 sublineages in South American
countries. *PLOS ONE,* 18**,** e0285417.
BESPIATYKH, D., BESPYATYKH, J., MOKROUSOV, I. & SHITIKOV, E. 2021. A Comprehensive
Map of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Complex Regions of Difference. *mSphere,* 6**,**
e0053521.
BLOUIN, Y., HAUCK, Y., SOLER, C., FABRE, M., VONG, R., DEHAN, C., CAZAJOUS, G.,
MASSOURE, P.-L., KRAEMER, P., JENKINS, A., GARNOTEL, E., POURCEL, C. &
VERGNAUD, G. 2012. Significance of the Identification in the Horn of Africa of an
Exceptionally Deep Branching Mycobacterium tuberculosis Clade. *PLOS ONE,* 7**,**
e52841.
BOTTAI, D., FRIGUI, W., SAYES, F., DI LUCA, M., SPADONI, D., PAWLIK, A., ZOPPO, M.,
ORGEUR, M., KHANNA, V., HARDY, D., MANGENOT, S., BARBE, V., MEDIGUE, C., MA,
L., BOUCHIER, C., TAVANTI, A., LARROUY-MAUMUS, G. & BROSCH, R. 2020. TbD1
deletion as a driver of the evolutionary success of modern epidemic *Mycobacterium*
tuberculosis lineages. *Nature Communications,* 11**,** 684.
BRITES, D., LOISEAU, C., MENARDO, F., BORRELL, S., BONIOTTI, M. B., WARREN, R.,
DIPPENAAR, A., PARSONS, S. D. C., BEISEL, C., BEHR, M. A., FYFE, J. A., COSCOLLA, M.
& GAGNEUX, S. 2018. A New Phylogenetic Framework for the Animal-Adapted
Mycobacterium tuberculosis Complex. *Front Microbiol,* 9**,** 2820.
BROCKHURST, M. A., HARRISON, E., HALL, J. P. J., RICHARDS, T., MCNALLY, A. & MACLEAN, C.
2019. The Ecology and Evolution of Pangenomes. *Curr Biol,* 29**,** R1094-r1103.
BROSCH, R., GORDON, S. V., MARMIESSE, M., BRODIN, P., BUCHRIESER, C., EIGLMEIER, K.,
GARNIER, T., GUTIERREZ, C., HEWINSON, G., KREMER, K., PARSONS, L. M., PYM, A. S.,
SAMPER, S., VAN SOOLINGEN, D. & COLE, S. T. 2002. A new evolutionary scenario for
the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences, 99**,** 3684-3689.
CERES, K. M., STANHOPE, M. J. & GRÖHN, Y. T. 2022. A critical evaluation of Mycobacterium
bovis pangenomics, with reference to its utility in outbreak investigation. *Microb*
Genom, 8.
CHINER-OMS, Á., LÓPEZ, M. G., MORENO-MOLINA, M., FURIÓ, V. & COMAS, I. 2022. Gene
evolutionary trajectories in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* reveal temporal signs of
selection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 119**,** e2113600119.
COLE, S. T., BROSCH, R., PARKHILL, J., GARNIER, T., CHURCHER, C., HARRIS, D., GORDON, S. V.,
EIGLMEIER, K., GAS, S., BARRY, C. E., TEKAIA, F., BADCOCK, K., BASHAM, D., BROWN,

D., CHILLINGWORTH, T., CONNOR, R., DAVIES, R., DEVLIN, K., FELTWELL, T., GENTLES, S., HAMLIN, N., HOLROYD, S., HORNSBY, T., JAGELS, K., KROGH, A., MCLEAN, J., MOULE, S., MURPHY, L., OLIVER, K., OSBORNE, J., QUAIL, M. A., RAJANDREAM, M. A., ROGERS, J., RUTTER, S., SEEGER, K., SKELTON, J., SQUARES, R., SQUARES, S., SULSTON, J. E., TAYLOR, K., WHITEHEAD, S. & BARRELL, B. G. 1998. Deciphering the biology of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from the complete genome sequence. *Nature,* 393**,** 537-544.

COSCOLLA, M. 2017. Biological and Epidemiological Consequences of MTBC Diversity. *Adv Exp Med Biol,* 1019**,** 95-116.

COSCOLLA, M., GAGNEUX, S., MENARDO, F., LOISEAU, C., RUIZ-RODRIGUEZ, P., BORRELL, S., OTCHERE, I. D., ASANTE-POKU, A., ASARE, P., SÁNCHEZ-BUSÓ, L., GEHRE, F., SANOUSSI, C. N., ANTONIO, M., AFFOLABI, D., FYFE, J., BECKERT, P., NIEMANN, S., ALABI, A. S., GROBUSCH, M. P., KOBBE, R., PARKHILL, J., BEISEL, C., FENNER, L., BÖTTGER, E. C., MEEHAN, C. J., HARRIS, S. R., DE JONG, B. C., YEBOAH-MANU, D. & BRITES, D. 2021. Phylogenomics of Mycobacterium africanum reveals a new lineage and a complex evolutionary history. *Microb Genom,* 7.

DARWIN, K. H. & STANLEY, S. A. 2022. The aldehyde hypothesis: metabolic intermediates as antimicrobial effectors. *Open Biol,* 12**,** 220010.

DE JONG, B. C., ANTONIO, M. & GAGNEUX, S. 2010. Mycobacterium africanum—Review of an Important Cause of Human Tuberculosis in West Africa. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases,* 4**,** e744.

DEB, C., LEE, C.-M., DUBEY, V. S., DANIEL, J., ABOMOELAK, B., SIRAKOVA, T. D., PAWAR, S., ROGERS, L. & KOLATTUKUDY, P. E. 2009. A Novel In Vitro Multiple-Stress Dormancy Model for Mycobacterium tuberculosis Generates a Lipid-Loaded, Drug-Tolerant, Dormant Pathogen. *PLOS ONE,* 4**,** e6077.

DEJESUS, M. A., GERRICK, E. R., XU, W., PARK, S. W., LONG, J. E., BOUTTE, C. C., RUBIN, E. J., SCHNAPPINGER, D., EHRT, S., FORTUNE, S. M., SASSETTI, C. M. & IOERGER, T. R. 2017. Comprehensive Essentiality Analysis of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis Genome via Saturating Transposon Mutagenesis. *mBio,* 8.

ESPINOZA, M. E., SWING, A. M., ELGHRAOUI, A., MODLIN, S. J. & VALAFAR, F. 2025. Interred mechanisms of resistance and host immune evasion revealed through network-connectivity analysis of M. tuberculosis complex graph pangenome. *mSytems,* 10

FLORES-VALDEZ, M. A., ACEVES-SÁNCHEZ, M. J., PETERSON, E. J. R., BALIGA, N., BRAVO-MADRIGAL, J., DE LA CRUZ-VILLEGAS, M., ARES, M. A., BORN, S., VOSKUIL, M., PÉREZ-PADILLA, N. A., BURCIAGA-FLORES, M., CAMACHO-VILLEGAS, T. A. & ESPINOZA-JORGE, M. G. 2020. Transcriptional portrait of M. bovis BCG during biofilm production shows genes differentially expressed during intercellular aggregation and substrate attachment. *Sci Rep,* 10**,** 12578.

GAGNEUX, S. 2018. Ecology and evolution of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Nat Rev Microbiol,* 16**,** 202-213.

GAGNEUX, S., DERIEMER, K., VAN, T., KATO-MAEDA, M., DE JONG, B. C., NARAYANAN, S., NICOL, M., NIEMANN, S., KREMER, K., GUTIERREZ, M. C., HILTY, M., HOPEWELL, P. C. & SMALL, P. M. 2006. Variable host-pathogen compatibility in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 103**,** 2869-2873.

GODFROID, M., DAGAN, T. & KUPCZOK, A. 2018. Recombination Signal in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Stems from Reference-guided Assemblies and Alignment Artefacts. *Genome Biol Evol,* 10**,** 1920-1926.

GUYEUX, C., SENELLE, G., LE MEUR, A., SUPPLY, P., GAUDIN, C., PHELAN, J., CLARK, T. G., RIGOUTS, L., DE JONG, B., SOLA, C. & REFRÉGIER, G. 2024. Newly Identified *Mycobacterium africanum* Lineage 10, Central Africa. *Emerging Infectious Disease journal,* 30**,** 560.

HERSHBERG, R., LIPATOV, M., SMALL, P. M., SHEFFER, H., NIEMANN, S., HOMOLKA, S., ROACH, J. C., KREMER, K., PETROV, D. A., FELDMAN, M. W. & GAGNEUX, S. 2008. High Functional Diversity in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Driven by Genetic Drift and Human Demography. *PLOS Biology,* 6**,** e311.

HUERTA-CEPAS, J., FORSLUND, K., COELHO, L. P., SZKLARCZYK, D., JENSEN, L. J., VON MERING, C. & BORK, P. 2017. Fast Genome-Wide Functional Annotation through Orthology Assignment by eggNOG-Mapper. *Mol Biol Evol,* 34**,** 2115-2122.

HUNT, M., SILVA, N. D., OTTO, T. D., PARKHILL, J., KEANE, J. A. & HARRIS, S. R. 2015. Circlator: automated circularization of genome assemblies using long sequencing reads. *Genome Biology,* 16**,** 294.

JONES, P., BINNS, D., CHANG, H. Y., FRASER, M., LI, W., MCANULLA, C., MCWILLIAM, H., MASLEN, J., MITCHELL, A., NUKA, G., PESSEAT, S., QUINN, A. F., SANGRADOR-VEGAS, A., SCHEREMETJEW, M., YONG, S. Y., LOPEZ, R. & HUNTER, S. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics,* 30**,** 1236-40.

KASSAMBARA, A. & MUNDT, F. Extract and Visualize the Results of Multivariate Data Analyses [R package factoextra version 1.0.7]. 2020.

KATOH, K., MISAWA, K., KUMA, K. & MIYATA, T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res,* 30**,** 3059-66.

KOLMOGOROV, M., YUAN, J., LIN, Y. & PEVZNER, P. A. 2019. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nature Biotechnology,* 37**,** 540-546.

KOZLOV, A. M., DARRIBA, D., FLOURI, T., MOREL, B. & STAMATAKIS, A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. *Bioinformatics,* 35**,** 4453-4455.

MANNI, M., BERKELEY, M. R., SEPPEY, M., SIMÃO, F. A. & ZDOBNOV, E. M. 2021. BUSCO Update: Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage for Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. *Mol Biol Evol,* 38**,** 4647-4654.

MARIN, M. 2024. Analysis of the limited *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* pangenome reveals potential pitfalls of pan-genome analysis approaches

MEEHAN, C. J., GOIG, G. A., KOHL, T. A., VERBOVEN, L., DIPPENAAR, A., EZEWUDO, M., FARHAT, M. R., GUTHRIE, J. L., LAUKENS, K., MIOTTO, P., OFORI-ANYINAM, B., DREYER, V., SUPPLY, P., SURESH, A., UTPATEL, C., VAN SOOLINGEN, D., ZHOU, Y., ASHTON, P. M., BRITES, D., CABIBBE, A. M., DE JONG, B. C., DE VOS, M., MENARDO, F., GAGNEUX, S., GAO, Q., HEUPINK, T. H., LIU, Q., LOISEAU, C., RIGOUTS, L., RODWELL, T. C., TAGLIANI, E., WALKER, T. M., WARREN, R. M., ZHAO, Y., ZIGNOL, M., SCHITO, M., GARDY, J., CIRILLO, D. M., NIEMANN, S., COMAS, I. & VAN RIE, A. 2019. Whole genome sequencing of Mycobacterium tuberculosis: current standards and open issues. *Nature Reviews Microbiology,* 17**,** 533-545.

MENARDO, F., RUTAIHWA, L. K., ZWYER, M., BORRELL, S., COMAS, I., CONCEIÇÃO, E. C., COSCOLLA, M., COX, H., JOLOBA, M., DOU, H. Y., FELDMANN, J., FENNER, L., FYFE, J., GAO, Q., GARCÍA DE VIEDMA, D., GARCIA-BASTEIRO, A. L., GYGLI, S. M., HELLA, J., HIZA, H., JUGHELI, L., KAMWELA, L., KATO-MAEDA, M., LIU, Q., LEY, S. D., LOISEAU, C.,

740   MAHASIRIMONGKOL, S., MALLA, B., PALITTAPONGARNPIM, P.,
741   RAKOTOSAMIMANANA, N., RASOLOFO, V., REINHARD, M., REITHER, K., SASAMALO,
742   M., SILVA DUARTE, R., SOLA, C., SUFFYS, P., BATISTA LIMA, K. V., YEBOAH-MANU, D.,
743   BEISEL, C., BRITES, D. & GAGNEUX, S. 2021. Local adaptation in populations of
744   Mycobacterium tuberculosis endemic to the Indian Ocean Rim. *F1000Res,* 10**,** 60.
745 MODLIN, S. J., ELGHRAOUI, A., GUNASEKARAN, D., ZLOTNICKI, A. M., DILLON, N. A.,
746   DHILLON, N., KUO, N., ROBINHOLD, C., CHAN, C. K., BAUGHN, A. D. & VALAFAR, F.
747   2021. Structure-Aware Mycobacterium tuberculosis Functional Annotation Uncloaks
748   Resistance, Metabolic, and Virulence Genes. *mSystems,* 6**,** e00673-21.
749 NAPIER, G., CAMPINO, S., MERID, Y., ABEBE, M., WOLDEAMANUEL, Y., ASEFFA, A., HIBBERD,
750   M. L., PHELAN, J. & CLARK, T. G. 2020. Robust barcoding and identification of
751   Mycobacterium tuberculosis lineages for epidemiological and clinical studies.
752   *Genome Medicine,* 12**,** 114.
753 NAPIER, G., COUVIN, D., REFRÉGIER, G., GUYEUX, C., MEEHAN, C. J., SOLA, C., CAMPINO, S.,
754   PHELAN, J. & CLARK, T. G. 2023. Comparison of in silico predicted Mycobacterium
755   tuberculosis spoligotypes and lineages from whole genome sequencing data.
756   *Scientific Reports,* 13**,** 11368.
757 NGABONZIZA, J. C. S., LOISEAU, C., MARCEAU, M., JOUET, A., MENARDO, F., TZFADIA, O.,
758   ANTOINE, R., NIYIGENA, E. B., MULDERS, W., FISSETTE, K., DIELS, M., GAUDIN, C.,
759   DUTHOY, S., SSENGOOBA, W., ANDRÉ, E., KASWA, M. K., HABIMANA, Y. M., BRITES,
760   D., AFFOLABI, D., MAZARATI, J. B., DE JONG, B. C., RIGOUTS, L., GAGNEUX, S.,
761   MEEHAN, C. J. & SUPPLY, P. 2020. A sister lineage of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis
762   complex discovered in the African Great Lakes region. *Nature Communications,* 11**,**
763   2917.
764 NOLL, N., MOLARI, M., SHAW, L. P. & NEHER, R. A. 2023. PanGraph: scalable bacterial pan-
765   genome graph construction. *Microbial Genomics,* 9.
766 ORGEUR, M., SOUS, C., MADACKI, J. & BROSCH, R. 2024. Evolution and emergence of
767   Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews,* 48.
768 PHELAN, J. E., O'SULLIVAN, D. M., MACHADO, D., RAMOS, J., OPPONG, Y. E. A., CAMPINO, S.,
769   O'GRADY, J., MCNERNEY, R., HIBBERD, M. L., VIVEIROS, M., HUGGETT, J. F. & CLARK, T.
770   G. 2019. Integrating informatics tools and portable sequencing technology for rapid
771   detection of resistance to anti-tuberculous drugs. *Genome Medicine,* 11**,** 41.
772 QIN, L., WANG, J., LU, J., YANG, H., ZHENG, R., LIU, Z., HUANG, X., FENG, Y., HU, Z. & GE, B.
773   2019. A deletion in the RD105 region confers resistance to multiple drugs in
774   Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *BMC Biol,* 17**,** 7.
775 R CORE TEAM 2023. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
776   for Statistical Computing. *R Core Team.* Vienna, Austria.
777 RICE, P., LONGDEN, I. & BLEASBY, A. 2000. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open
778   Software Suite. *Trends Genet,* 16**,** 276-7.
779 RIOJAS, M. A., MCGOUGH, K. J., RIDER-RIOJAS, C. J., RASTOGI, N. & HAZBÓN, M. H. 2018.
780   Phylogenomic analysis of the species of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex
781   demonstrates that Mycobacterium africanum, Mycobacterium bovis, Mycobacterium
782   caprae, Mycobacterium microti and Mycobacterium pinnipedii are later heterotypic
783   synonyms of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol,* 68**,** 324-332.
784 SANDERSON, N. D., HOPKINS, K. M. V., COLPUS, M., PARKER, M., LIPWORTH, S., CROOK, D. &
785   STOESSER, N. 2024. Evaluation of the accuracy of bacterial genome reconstruction
786   with Oxford Nanopore R10.4.1 long-read-only sequencing. *Microb Genom,* 10.

SANOUSSI, C. N., APOS, DIRA, COSCOLLA, M., OFORI-ANYINAM, B., OTCHERE, I. D., ANTONIO,
M., NIEMANN, S., PARKHILL, J., HARRIS, S., YEBOAH-MANU, D., GAGNEUX, S.,
RIGOUTS, L., AFFOLABI, D., DE JONG, B. C. & MEEHAN, C. J. 2021. Mycobacterium
tuberculosis complex lineage 5 exhibits high levels of within-lineage genomic
diversity and differing gene content compared to the type strain H37Rv. *Microbial*
Genomics, 7.
SANOUSSI, C. N. D., AFFOLABI, D., RIGOUTS, L., ANAGONOU, S. & DE JONG, B. 2017.
Genotypic characterization directly applied to sputum improves the detection of
Mycobacterium africanum West African 1, under-represented in positive cultures.
PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 11**,** e0005900.
SILVA-PEREIRA, T. T., SOLER-CAMARGO, N. C. & GUIMARÃES, A. M. S. 2024. Diversification of
gene content in the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex is determined by
phylogenetic and ecological signatures. *Microbiology Spectrum,* 0**,** e02289-23.
SNIPEN, L. & LILAND, K. H. 2015. micropan: an R-package for microbial pan-genomics. *BMC*
Bioinformatics, 16**,** 79.
STINEAR, T. P., SEEMANN, T., PIDOT, S., FRIGUI, W., REYSSET, G., GARNIER, T., MEURICE, G.,
SIMON, D., BOUCHIER, C., MA, L., TICHIT, M., PORTER, J. L., RYAN, J., JOHNSON, P. D.,
DAVIES, J. K., JENKIN, G. A., SMALL, P. L., JONES, L. M., TEKAIA, F., LAVAL, F., DAFFÉ,
M., PARKHILL, J. & COLE, S. T. 2007. Reductive evolution and niche adaptation
inferred from the genome of *Mycobacterium ulcerans*, the causative agent of Buruli
ulcer. *Genome Res,* 17**,** 192-200.
STRITT, C. & GAGNEUX, S. 2023. How do monomorphic bacteria evolve? The Mycobacterium
tuberculosis complex and the awkward population genetics of extreme clonality. *Peer*
Community Journal, 3.
SZKLARCZYK, D., KIRSCH, R., KOUTROULI, M., NASTOU, K., MEHRYARY, F., HACHILIF, R.,
GABLE, A. L., FANG, T., DONCHEVA, N. T., PYYSALO, S., BORK, P., JENSEN, L. J. & VON
MERING, C. 2023. The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association
networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest.
Nucleic Acids Res, 51**,** D638-d646.
TATUSOVA, T., DICUCCIO, M., BADRETDIN, A., CHETVERNIN, V., NAWROCKI, E. P., ZASLAVSKY,
L., LOMSADZE, A., PRUITT, K. D., BORODOVSKY, M. & OSTELL, J. 2016. NCBI
prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res,* 44**,** 6614-24.
TONKIN-HILL, G., GLADSTONE, R. A., PÖNTINEN, A. K., ARREDONDO-ALONSO, S., BENTLEY, S.
D. & CORANDER, J. 2023. Robust analysis of prokaryotic pangenome gene gain and
loss rates with Panstripe. *Genome Res,* 33**,** 129-140.
TONKIN-HILL, G., MACALASDAIR, N., RUIS, C., WEIMANN, A., HORESH, G., LEES, J. A.,
GLADSTONE, R. A., LO, S., BEAUDOIN, C., FLOTO, R. A., FROST, S. D. W., CORANDER, J.,
BENTLEY, S. D. & PARKHILL, J. 2020. Producing polished prokaryotic pangenomes with
the Panaroo pipeline. *Genome Biology,* 21**,** 180.
WANG, L. G., LAM, T. T., XU, S., DAI, Z., ZHOU, L., FENG, T., GUO, P., DUNN, C. W., JONES, B.
R., BRADLEY, T., ZHU, H., GUAN, Y., JIANG, Y. & YU, G. 2020. Treeio: An R Package for
Phylogenetic Tree Input and Output with Richly Annotated and Associated Data. *Mol*
Biol Evol, 37**,** 599-603.
WHELAN, F. J., RUSILOWICZ, M. & MCINERNEY, J. O. 2020. Coinfinder: detecting significant
associations and dissociations in pangenomes. *Microb Genom,* 6.

WHO. 2024. *Global tuberculosis report 2023* [Online]. World Health Organization. Available:
https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/tb-reports/global-
tuberculosis-report-2023 [Accessed].
ZHANG, Z., SCHWARTZ, S., WAGNER, L. & MILLER, W. 2000. A greedy algorithm for aligning
DNA sequences. *J Comput Biol,* 7**,** 203-14.
ZULKOWER, V. & ROSSER, S. 2020. DNA Features Viewer: a sequence annotation formatting
and plotting library for Python. *Bioinformatics,* 36**,** 4350-4352.
ZWYER, M., ÇAVUSOGLU, C., GHIELMETTI, G., PACCIARINI, M. L., SCALTRITI, E., VAN
SOOLINGEN, D., DÖTSCH, A., REINHARD, M., GAGNEUX, S. & BRITES, D. 2021. A new
nomenclature for the livestock-associated Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex
based on phylogenomics. *Open Res Eur,* 1**,** 100.

Figures

Figure 1: Boxplot showing the distribution of accessory genes within each lineage. Lineages with a

small number of genomes were excluded from the statistical analysis. L6, La1 and *M. microti* have

significantly smaller accessory genomes compared to other lineages.

Figure 2: Analysis of the functional components in the core (A) and accessory (B) genome using

EggNOG mapper and InterProScan. Core and accessory genes are derived from Panaroo with

merged paralogs. For without merged paralogs see Supplementary Figure S3.

Figure 3: (A) phylogenetic tree based on MTBC core genome. PCA based on the accessory genome data from (B) Panaroo (merged paralogs), (C) Panaroo (no merged paralogs) and (D) accessory regions data from Pangraph.

Figure 4: Sub-lineage specific regions of differences (RDs) identified using pangenome-based approaches. Sub-lineages are shown on the Y-axis coloured as

per the legend. H37Rv sits within L4.9 at the top of the Y-axis. RDs (structural variants present in all members of one or more sub-lineages and absent in all

members of one or more other sub-lineages) are listed on the X-axis, grouped by their pattern of presence/absence. Only RDs detected by both the Panaroo

861   and Pangraph-based approaches are shown. Grey boxes indicate that the region is absent from that sub-lineage. Only RDs that are due to divergent

862   evolution and found present or absent in 2 or more genomes are shown here.

Supplementary file legends

Supplementary Table S1. An overview of the genome dataset, both publicly acquired data

and those strains sequenced within this study including assembly accessions and online

locations, where relevant. BUSCO completeness information for each genome is also

provided, as is the CDS and pseudogene count as predicted by PGAP.

Supplementary Table S2. A list of all gene groups which were combined to reduce over-

splitting of pangenome due to annotation errors. Each gene groups name is listed alongside

its original classification (Core, Sort-core, Cloud, Shell) and its new classification after

merging with others after annotation correction. This is shown for both the pangenome with

and without merged paralog setting enabled.

Supplementary Table S3. Pairwise comparisons of accessory genome size between lineages.

A * indicates a significant difference between these lineages. Comparisons on both merged

and unmerged paralog datasets are shown.

Supplementary Table S4. A list of all RDs (known and new) along with the genes contained

in each and the lineages the regions are absent from.

Supplementary Figure S1: The geography of the dataset. (A) sample collection distribution by

country; (B) the number of genomes of each lineage included from each continent.

Supplementary Figure S2: Pangenome openness assessment using Heap's Law analysis, genome

fluidity and rarefaction curve for A) Panaroo with merged paralogs; B) Panaroo with unmerged

paralogs and C) Pangraph blocks.

Supplementary Figure S3: Analysis of the functional components in the core (A) and accessory (B)

genome using EggNOG mapper and InterProScan. Core and accessory genes are derived from

Panaroo without merged paralogs.

Supplementary Figure S4: MTBC accessory genome distribution based on (A) Panaroo (merged

paralogs) and (B) Pangraph. The MTBC phylogenetic tree is shown on the left beside a coloured bar

indicating the sub-lineage of each tip genome. The accessory genes/regions are indicated by columns

in the heatmap with a blue box if present in that strain's genome.

Supplementary Figure S5: Coinfinder gene association heatmap. Coinfinder reveals gene

association patterns within the accessory genome of the MTBC. Accessory genes, listed on the

X-axis, cluster into groups (shown here by varying colours of the blocks).

Supplementary Figure S6: MTBC accessory genome distribution based on (A) Panaroo (merged

paralogs) and (B) Pangraph. The MTBC phylogenetic tree is shown on the left beside a coloured bar

indicating the sub-lineage of each tip genome. The accessory genes/regions are indicated by columns

in the heatmap with a blue box if present in that strain's genome.

901