Full title: **Tracking inflammation resolution signatures in lungs after SARS-CoV-2 omicron BA.1 infection of K18-hACE2 mice**

Short title: Inflammation resolution in lungs of a mouse model of SARS-CoV-2 infection

Agnes Carolin[1#], Kexin Yan[1#], Cameron R. Bishop[1#], Bing Tang[1], Wilson Nguyen[1], Daniel J. Rawle[1†], Andreas Suhrbier[1,2†].

[1] QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, 4029, Australia.

[2] GVN Centre of Excellence, Australian Infectious Disease Research Centre, Brisbane, Queensland 4029 and 4072, Australia.

[#] should be considered joint first authors
[†] should be considered joint last authors

[*]Corresponding author.  Email: Andreas. Suhrbier@qimrberghofer.edu.au or Daniel.Rawle@qimrberghofer.edu.au

**Keywords***: SARS-CoV-2, omicron BA.1, K18-hACE2 mice, protective inflammation, inflammation resolution

**Data Availability Statement:** All data is provided in the manuscript and accompanying supplementary files.  Raw sequencing data (fastq files) generated for this publication for RNA-Seq have been deposited in the NCBI SRA, BioProject: PRJNA1081927 and are publicly available.

**Funding:** The authors thank the Brazil Family Foundation (and others) for their generous philanthropic donations that helped set up the PC3 (BSL3) SARS-CoV-2 research facility at QIMR Berghofer MRI, as well as ongoing research into SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 and long-COVID.  A.S. is supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia (Investigator grant APP1173880).

**Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no known competing interests.

# Abstract

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) causes Coronavirus

Disease 2019 (COVID-19), which can result in severe disease, often characterised by a 'cytokine

storm' and the associated acute respiratory distress syndrome. However, many infections with

SARS-CoV-2 are mild or asymptomatic throughout the course of infection. Although blood

biomarkers of severe disease are well studied, less well understood are the inflammatory

signatures in lung tissues associated with mild disease or silent infections, wherein infection and

inflammation are rapidly resolved leading to sequelae-free recovery. Herein we described RNA-

Seq and histological analyses of lungs over time in an omicron BA.1/K18-hACE2 mouse

infection model, which displays these latter features. Although robust infection was evident at 2

days post infection (dpi), viral RNA was largely cleared by 10 dpi. Acute inflammatory

signatures showed a slightly different pattern of cytokine signatures compared with severe

infection models, and where much diminished 30 dpi and absent by 66 dpi. Cellular

deconvolution identified significantly increased abundance scores for a number of anti-

inflammatory pro-resolution cell types at 5/10 dpi. These included type II innate lymphoid cells,

T regulatory cells, and interstitial macrophages. Genes whose expression trended downwards

over 2 – 66 dpi included biomarkers of severe disease and were associated with 'cytokine storm'

pathways. Genes whose expression trended upward during this period were associated with

recovery of ciliated cells, AT2 to AT1 transition, reticular fibroblasts and innate lymphoid cells,

indicating a return to homeostasis. Very few differentially expressed host genes were identified

at 66 dpi, suggesting near complete recovery. The parallels between mild or subclinical

infections in humans and those observed in this BA.1/K18-hACE2 mouse model are discussed

with reference to the concept of "protective inflammation".

# Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused a global pandemic of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), with over 770 million cases and 7 million deaths [1, 2]. Severe acute disease is primarily characterised by infection of the respiratory tract and pathological hyper-inflammatory responses, often referred to as a "cytokine storm" that can lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and ultimately mortality [3-5]. Post-acute and chronic sequelae of COVID-19 are now well described, with post-COVID conditions affecting 10-30% of COVID-19 patients [6] and long-COVID affecting at least 10% of patients [7-9].

The inflammatory mediators that are associated with severe COVID-19, as distinct from mild disease, have been the focus of many studies, with such insights having the potential to identify therapeutic anti-disease interventions [3, 5, 10-17]. Elevated mRNA or protein levels of a range of cytokines have been identified in peripheral blood of severe COVID-19 patients, these include *inter alia* IL-6, IL-1, IL-2, IL-17, IL-18, TNF, IFNG and CSF2 (GM-CSF) (*ibid*). Elevated IL-10 in the peripheral blood has also been associated with severe disease, but may be useful later in the infection to suppress the hyper-cytokinemia associated with ARDS [18-20]. Elevated Th2 [10, 21-23] and Th17 responses [10, 24] have been associated with poor outcomes, with Th1/Th2 [25-27] and Th1/Th17 imbalances [28] also reported to be detrimental. T regulatory cells (Tregs) are viewed as providing protection against the cytokine storm [29], whereas neutrophils promote the latter and contribute to disease severity [10]. Monocytes and macrophages play key protective roles against SARS-CoV-2 infection, but depending on their differentiation and activation state, are also important contributors to over-production of inflammatory cytokines [30, 31]. Higher viral loads appear to positively associate with COVID-

19 severity [32, 33], although this may be associated with more prolonged high viral loads in

patients with severe disease [34].

An estimated 25-30% of SARS-CoV-2 infections are asymptomatic throughout the course of

infection [35, 36], with a constellation of factors potentially contributing [37-39]. Although fatal

disease is associated with higher viral loads, asymptomatic individuals often do not have lower

acute viral loads than symptomatic patients [40-43]. Humans have also been shown to tolerate a

certain level of lower respiratory tract infection (and associated radiographic abnormalities),

without reporting symptoms [44]. Persistent asymptomatics do, nevertheless, appear able to

clear the virus more rapidly [45, 46]. For instance, only minimal PCR-detectable viral RNA was

present in saliva of a small cohort of such individuals by days 9-10 post first positive diagnosis

(after daily saliva testing) [45]. Individuals with silent infections may have lower levels of

circulating proinflammatory cytokines [46], although this is not a universal finding [47]. Silent

or subclinical infections have been associated with powerful antiviral responses [48], early and

robust innate immune responses [49], specific HLA genes [39], and specific gene expression

patterns [50, 51], such as higher plasma levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10, IL-1RA

and IL-19 [47]. Although in humans there can be long-term consequences of asymptomatic

SARS-CoV-2 infections, these are significantly more prevalent after symptomatic infections

[52].

Herein we provide the transcriptional profile of lung infection over time in a model of SARS-

CoV-2 omicron BA.1 infection of K18-hACE2 mice, wherein the majority of mice showed no

significant overt signs or symptoms of disease [53]. The acute phase was characterised by a

significant lung infection and a pattern of proinflammatory cytokine signatures differing slightly

from those reported previously [54]. By 10 days post infection (dpi), virus had been largely

cleared and a series of cell types associated with damage control, suppression of inflammation,

homeostasis and repair were identified by cellular deconvolution. Few differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified in lungs by 66 dpi, arguing that the model describes near complete resolution of the infection, without long-term pulmonary sequelae. The term "protective inflammation" has been coined to describe the inflammatory processes that lead to subclinical or mild disease, resulting in sequelae-free outcomes [55]. Protective responses are likely to have distinct gene expression patterns and modulated cellular infiltrates, when compared with inflammatory disease [39, 56, 57]. Herein we provide insights into the transcriptional signatures in lungs that characterise resolution of inflammation and return to homeostasis, and also identify a series of parallels with human studies.

# Materials and methods

## Ethics statements and regulatory compliance

Collection of nasal swabs from consented COVID-19 patients was approved by the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Human Research Ethics Committee (P3600). Patients self-nominated to provide samples and declared they had COVID-19, with no medical records accessed. Patients took their own nasal swab samples as per rapid antigen test. Patients signed a consent form that was countersigned by the study leader. Consent forms are held on file at QIMR Berghofer MRI. Samples were deidentified and virus isolated from the nasal swab material. All participants were adults with degree-level education. Signing of consent forms was not witnessed due to infection risk.

All mouse work was conducted in accordance with the Australian code for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes (National Health and Medical Research Council, Australia).

Mouse work was approved by the QIMR Berghofer MRI Animal Ethics Committee (P3600).  All

infectious SARS-CoV-2 work was conducted in the BioSafety Level 3 (PC3) facility at the

QIMR Berghofer MRI (Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry, certification Q2326

and Office of the Gene Technology Regulator certification 3445).  Breeding and use of GM mice

was       approved       under       a       Notifiable       Low       Risk       Dealing       (NLRD)       Identifier:

NLRD_Suhrbier_Oct2020: NLRD 1.1(a).  Mice were euthanized using carbon dioxide.

## The SARS-CoV-2 omicron BA.1 isolate

The BA.1 omicron isolate was obtained at QIMR Berghofer MRI from nasal swabs from a

consented COVID-19 patient by culture in Vero E6 cells (ATCC C1008).  The omicron BA.1

isolate, SARS-CoV-2$_{QIMR01}$ (SARS-CoV-2/human/AUS/QIMR01/2022), belongs to the BA.1.17

lineage (GenBank: ON819429 and GISAID EPI_ISL_13414183) [58, 59].

BA.1 viral stocks were propagated in Vero E6 cells as described [60].  UV inactivation of the

BA.1 virus was undertaken using the UVC 500 Ultraviolet Crosslinker (Hoefer) (dose 7650 J/m$^2$

UVC) as described [60].  Virus stocks were titered using cell culture infectious dose 50%

(CCID$_{50}$) assays [61].

## K18-hACE2 mice, infection and monitoring

Heterozygous K18-hACE2 mice (strain B6.Cg-Tg(K18-ACE2) 2Prlmn/J, JAX Stock No:

034860) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory, USA, and were maintained in-house as

heterozygotes by backcrossing to C57BL/6J mice (Animal Resources Center, Canning Vale WA,

Australia). Heterozygotes were inter-crossed to generate homozygous K18-hACE2 mice (on a

C57BL/6J background [62]) as described [53].  Mice were held under standard animal house

conditions (for details see [58]) and homozygous female mice received intrapulmonary infections

delivered via the intranasal route with $5 \times 10^4$ CCID$_{50}$ of virus in 50 μl RPMI 1640 whilst under

light anesthesia as described [63]. For each group for each time point, a comparable mean age

and age distribution of mice was used (mean age 26. 3 $\pm$ SD 1.1. weeks). Mice were weighed

and monitored as described [53, 63]. Mice were euthanized using $CO_2$, lungs were removed,

with the left lung fixed in formalin for histology, the right lung inferior lobe placed in RNAlater

for RNA-Seq and the remaining lobes used for tissue titers determination by CCID$_{50}$ assays using

Vero E6 cells as described [61, 63].

## CCID$_{50}$ assays

Tissue titers were determined as described [60]. Briefly, 5 fold serial dilutions of clarified tissue

homogenates were applied in duplicates to Vero E6 cells in 96 well plates. After 6 days

cytopathic effects were observed by inverted light microscope [61]. The virus titer was

determined by the method of Spearman and Karber [64].

## Histology

Lungs were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sections stained with H&E (Sigma

Aldrich). Slides were scanned using Aperio AT Turbo (Aperio, Vista, CA, USA). Areas with

overt leukocyte infiltrates (with high focal densities of dark purple staining nuclei) were

measured manually using the "Pen Tool" in the Aperio ImageScope software v10 (Leica

Biosystems, Mt Waverley, Australia). White space analysis was undertaken using QuPath v0.2.3

[65].

## Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was undertaken as described using the anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike

monoclonal antibody, SCV2-1E8 [59], except that the monoclonal (IgG2a) was purified using

Protein A affinity chromatography and applied to sections at 4 µg/ml for 1 hr.

## RNA isolation, library preparation, RNA-Seq and bioinformatics

RNA isolation, library preparation and RNA-Seq was undertaken as described [54, 66]. Briefly,

lung tissues were harvested into RNAlater, RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Life

Technologies), and RNA concentration and quality measured using TapeStation D1kTapeScreen

assay (Agilent). cDNA libraries were generated using Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA library

prep kit. RNA-Seq was undertaken as described using Illumina Nextseq 2000 platform

generating 75 bp paired end reads [54]. Mean quality scores were above Q20 for all samples.

Mouse RNA-Seq reads were aligned to a combined mouse (GRCm39, version M27) and SARS-

CoV-2 BA.5 reference genome [53] using STAR aligner. Viral read counts were generated using

Samtools v1.16. Read counts for host genes were generated using RSEM v1.3.1. Genes with

low read counts were identified and removed separately for each time point using DESeq2

v1.40.2. Differentially expressed host genes were identified using DESeq2 using a FDR cut-off

of q<0.05. Pathway analysis was performed with host DEGs using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis

(IPA, v84978992) (QIAGEN) using the Canonical pathways, Up-Stream Regulators (USR) and

Diseases or Functions features as described [62]. Gene Set Enrichment Analyses (GSEAs) were

undertaken using GSEA v4.1.0 with gene sets provided in MSigDB ($\approx$ 45,000 gene sets) and in

the Blood Transcription Modules [67], and gene lists generated using DESeq2 ranked by $\log_2$

196     fold-change. Relative abundances of cell types were estimated in R v4.1.0 from RSEM

197     'expected counts' using SpatialDecon v1.4.3 [68] and cell-type expression matrices obtained

198     from the "Mouse Cell Atlas Lung Cell expression matrix" and the "NanoString Immune Cell

199     Family expression matrix".

## RTqPCR

The RTqPCR was conducted as described [66]. Briefly, cDNA was synthesized from total RNA

with ProtoScript II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (New England Biolabs) and qPCR

performed using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) as per manufacturer's

instructions with primers (Integrated DNA Technologies) for mouse *Oas3* (Forward 5'-

TGGCAATCCCATCAAGCCAT-3' and Reverse 5'- CTGAGGGCTGGTGTCACTTT-3'), *Irf7*

(Forward      5'-ACCGTGTTTACGAGGAACCC-3'      and      Reverse      5'-

GTTCTTACTGCTGGGGCCAT-3'), *Ccl8* (Forward 5'- GGGTGCTGAAAAGCTACGAGAG -

3' and Reverse 5'- GGATCTCCATGTACTCACTGACC -3'). qPCR reactions were performed

in duplicate and averaged. Gene expression was normalized with the house-keeping gene,

*mRpl13a* [69]. The 2-$\Delta\Delta$Ct method was used to calculate the $\log_2$ fold-change [70].

## Statistics

214 The t-test (with Welch's correction) was used if the difference in variances was <4 fold, skewness

215 was > - 2 and kurtosis was <2. The t test significance and variance were determined using

216 Microsoft Excel. Skewness and kurtosis were determined using IBM SPSS Statistics for

217 Windows v19.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Otherwise, the non-parametric Kolmogorov-

218 Smirnov exact test was performed using GraphPad Prism 10.

## Results

## SARS-CoV-2 omicron BA.1 provided robust lung infection in K18-hACE2 mice that was cleared by 10 dpi

K18-hACE2 mice were given an intrapulmonary inoculum via the intranasal route of SARS-CoV-2 BA.1 ($5 \times 10^4$ CCID$_{50}$) or the same inoculum of UV-inactivated BA.1 virus. Lungs were harvested at 2, 5, 10, 30 and 66 days post infection (dpi) and tissue titers (Fig. 1a), RNA-Seq (Fig. 1b and see below) and histology (see below) undertaken. Lung viral titers reached a mean titer of 7.86 $\pm$ SD 0.49 log$_{10}$CCID$_{50}$/g on 2 days post infection (dpi), falling to a mean of 4.04 $\pm$ SD 0.8 log$_{10}$CCID$_{50}$/g at 5 dpi, and by 10 dpi, 3 of 4 BA.1 infected mice showed no detectable virus titers (Fig. 1a). Viral read counts from lungs of BA.1 infected mice, obtained from RNA-Seq data, showed a similar trend, with no significant viral reads detected at 30 and 66 dpi (Fig. 1b). No significant read counts were obtained from mice inoculated with UV-inactivated virus (Fig. 1b). Although different lung lobes were used for viral titrations and for RNA-Seq, there was a highly significant correlation between these two measures of viral load (S1a Fig).

**Fig 1. Viral loads and immunohistochemistry of viral antigen in lungs of BA.1 infected K18-hACE2 mice.** **a** Lung tissue titers at the indicated days post infection with BA.1 (limit of detection ≈ 2 log$_{10}$CCID$_{50}$/g). **b** Viral read counts per million reads, determined by RNA-Seq for K18-hACE2 mice infected with BA.1 or inoculated with UV-inactivated BA.1 virus. Statistics by Kolmogorov Smirnov exact tests. **c** Immunohistochemistry of BA.1-infected lungs at 2 dpi, stained with an anti-spike monoclonal antibody. Staining of bronchial epithelia cells (dark

brown) can be seen top left and bottom right (left image), with enlargements of these two areas shown in the right 2 images. **d** As for c showing staining of cells that surround alveoli with

morphology consistent with that of pneumocytes (alveolar epithelial cells). * alveolar air sacs.

As reported previously [53, 71, 72], most mice in the BA.1/K18-hACE2 model do not develop a brain infection. Brain infection is associated with >20% weight loss and an ensuing ethical requirement for euthanasia (S1b Fig). After infection of K18-hACE2 mice with original strain isolates, mice succumb to brain infections between 4 and 7 dpi [53, 63]. This BA.1 model thus provides a significant lung infection, and permits analysis of long-term responses to SARS-CoV-2.

## BA.1 infected bronchial epithelial cells and pneumocytes in K18-hACE2 mouse lungs

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of lungs taken 2 dpi (peak viral load), using an anti-spike monoclonal antibody [59], illustrated clear staining of bronchial epithelial cells (Fig. 1c) and cells surrounding alveoli that have a morphology consistent with pneumocytes (Fig. 1d). The BA.1/K18-hACE2 model thus recapitulates the main cell tropisms seen in human lung tissues, with infection of bronchial and alveolar epithelial cells by omicron variants well described [73, 74].

## BA.1 induced histopathological lesions in lungs that resolved by 66 dpi

Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained sections of lung tissues from BA.1 infected K18-hACE2 mice illustrated a series of histopathological features (Fig. 2, S2 Fig) previously identified in SARS-CoV-2 mouse models [75], although they appeared less severe than those seen after infection of K18-hACE2 mice with original strain isolates, consistent with previous reports [71, 72]. For instance, in the latter, pronounced sloughing of the bronchial epithelium and fulminant occlusions of bronchi (with serum and red blood cells) were observed [76, 77].

**Fig 2. Histology of lungs after BA.1 infection of K18-hACE2 mice.** **a** H&E staining of lung tissue sections at the indicated days after infection/inoculation. Green dotted lines encircle overt foci of cellular infiltrates. **b** Quantitation of cellular infiltrates. All cellular infiltrates were marked as in "a" and the summed infiltrate areas expressed as a percentage of the lung section area for each mouse. Statistics by Kolmogorov Smirnov exact tests. (For BA.1 infected mice, data for 2, 5 and 10 dpi are not significantly different, while 30 dpi shows a significant reduction from 5 and 10 dpi by t tests, p=0.0.019 and p=0.039, respectively). **c** Whole H&E stained lung sections from day 5 after infection/inoculation, illustrating reduced white space in lungs from infected mice. **d** QuPath digital analysis of white space in H&E stained whole lung sections such as those shown in "c". Statistics by t test.

In BA.1 infected mice (as in other models [58, 75]), focal areas of leucocyte infiltration were clearly evident, characterized by dense clusters of dark-purple staining leukocyte nuclei; although the infiltrates were more diffuse at 2 dpi (Fig. 2a, green dashed lines). These areas were

measured manually using the Aperio ImageScope "Pen Tool" (Fig. 2a, green dashed lines),

providing quantitation of leukocyte infiltration.  Significantly higher levels of infiltration were

seen for BA.1-infected versus UV-inactivated BA.1-inoculated mice at all time-points except 66

dpi, with infiltrates also substantially reduced by 30 dpi (Fig. 2b) (Aperio Positive Pixel Count

assessment of leukocyte infiltrates also showed significantly higher infiltrate levels at 5 dpi; S1c

Fig).  Lung consolidation with resulting loss of white space, primarily as a result of diminished

alveolar air sack volumes, was also clearly seen at 5 dpi (Fig. 2c).  Significant loss of white space

at 5 dpi was confirmed by image analysis of scanned lung sections using QuPath (Fig. 2d).

Minor intrusion of red blood cells and serum into bronchi, and some alveolar edema were also

occasionally observed in BA.1-infected mice (S2 Fig).  H&E images from PBS and naïve control

lungs are shown in S3 Fig.

In summary, lungs of BA.1 infected K18-hACE2 mice displayed some typical acute

histopathological features described previously for SARS-CoV-2 infections, although less severe

than after infection with original strain isolates.  At 30 dpi BA.1 infection-associated

histopathology was clearly resolving, and by 66 dpi had largely resolved.

## Cell types associated with resolution of inflammation were evident on 5 and 10 dpi

BA.1 infected lungs and UV BA.1 inoculated lungs were compared at each time point (2, 5, 10,

30 and 66 dpi) by RNA-Seq, using DESeq2 [78].  Full gene lists and bioinformatic analyses are

provided for 2 dpi (S1 Table), 5 dpi (S2 Table), 10 dpi (S3 Table), 30 dpi (S4 Table), and 66 dpi

(S5 Table).  RTqPCR was undertaken for 3 genes and two time points to validate the RNA-Seq

data, with highly significant concordance emerging for the two methods (S4a Fig).  We have

previously reported high levels of concordance between these two methods in other studies [60,

66].

Ingenuity Pathway analysis (IPA) Diseases or Functions annotations for Activation of

leukocytes, Chemotaxis of leukocytes, Leukocyte migration and Quantity of leukocytes showed a

progressive decline over time, with a slight elevation 10 dpi (Fig. 3a), consistent with Fig. 2b.

To gain insights into the type of cellular infiltrates present in the lungs over time post infection

with BA.1, cellular deconvolution (SpacialDecon) analysis using full gene sets (S1 – S5 Tables)

were used together with the gene expression matrices from the "Mouse Cell Atlas (MCA) Lung

Cell expression matrix" and the "NanoString (NS) Immune Cell Family expression matrix". As

might be expected [79, 80], a series of innate mononuclear leukocyte populations were identified

at 5 dpi, which included macrophages, monocytes, dendritic cells, and NK cells, as well as

gamma delta T cells [81] (Fig. 3b). Increased abundance of nuocytes, ILC2 cells, was also

identified on both 5 and 10 dpi (Fig. 3b,c, nuocytes). These innate effector leukocytes mediate

type-2 immunity [82] and are involved in the initiation of inflammation, but also have key roles

in damage control, homeostasis and repair [83, 84]. Lower frequencies of ILC2 cells have been

associated with severe COVID-19 [85]. An increase abundance score for pericytes was also

identified in infected lungs at 5 dpi (but not other times) (S4b Fig). Pericytes express ACE2 and

binding of spike protein has been shown *in vitro* to induce profound transcriptional changes in

these cells [86, 87], which may explain this result.

**Fig 3. Cellular infiltrates and types identified by RNA-Seq of lungs for 2 – 30 dpi**. **a** Z

scores for the indicated annotations from Ingenuity Pathway analysis (IPA) Diseases or Function

analysis plotted against dpi. (N.I. not identified; for 66 dpi there were insufficient DEGs for IPA

analysis). **b** Using cellular deconvolution (SpatialDecon) and the gene expression matrices from

the "Mouse Cell Atlas (MCA) Lung Cell expression matrix" and the "NanoString (NS) Immune

Cell Family expression matrix", cell types whose abundance scores were significantly different

on day 5 were identified (q values provided). Blue text indicates cell type associated with

inflammation resolution. **c** As for b but for day 10. Blue text indicates cell type associated with

inflammation resolution. We assume "colon" T reg are well annotated in the NS matrices (and

are thus readily identified), and represent tissue T regs, rather than implying that colonic T regs

have migrated to the lungs.

At 10 dpi, in addition to nuocytes, significantly increased abundance of three more cell types

associated with repair and return to homeostasis was evident (Fig. 3c, blue text). Inducible T

regulatory cells (T regs) characterised by low neurophilin expression (Nrp-1$^{lo}$) [88] were more

abundant in infected lungs. T regs secrete *inter alia* IL-10 and are generally protective [89], not

just by dampening proinflammatory responses, but also via prevention of fibrosis and

maintenance of tissue homeostasis [90]. Interstitial macrophages were also elevated in infected

lungs, with these cells having an inherently anti-inflammatory phenotype, secreting *inter alia* IL-

10 (USR z score 1.69, q=1.39E-29, S3 Table) and supporting T regs in the lung [91]. An Ear2+

alveolar macrophage population was also identified, with Nra4a1+, Ear2+ macrophages recently

found to have a novel reparative phenotype [92]. Although perhaps proinflammatory, CD8 T

cells were also more abundant at 10 dpi (Fig. 3c), with CD8 T cells recently identified as key to

protection against SARS-CoV-2 [93].

## Cytokine signatures in BA.1 infected lungs and their resolution by 30-66 dpi

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs, q<0.05) (S1 –S5 Tables) were analyzed by IPA, with cytokine up-stream regulator (USR) z scores and p values illustrated by heat maps (Fig. 4a). The acute cytokine responses were broadly comparable to those published previously for a K18-hACE2 mouse model of SARS-CoV-2 infection with an original strain isolate; specifically, hCoV-19/Australia/QLD02/2020 with the same viral dose of $5x10^4$ $CCID_{50}$, the same route and volume of inoculation, and also female mice (NCBI SRA Bioproject PRJNA767499) [54]. However, when cytokine USR z scores at 2 dpi from BA.1 infection (Fig. 4a) were compared with those from the aforementioned infection with the original strain isolate [54], small differences in z scores (between ≈1 and -1) emerged (Fig. 4b). A series of USRs associated with less severe disease had slightly higher z score in BA.1 infected mice; these included EPO (erythropoietin) [94], IL-15 [95], and increased type I interferon responses [17, 49] (Fig. 4b). The z score was also higher for IL-10, with IL-10 elevated in severe COVID-19, but also able to suppress hyper-cytokinemia [18-20]. Elevated IL-4, IL-9 and IL-13 have been associated with more severe disease [21, 22, 96], although IL-9, IL-13 (and IL-5) are produced by type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2 cells) [97] (see below), and increased IL-4 and IL-13 signatures may (in this context) reflect a relatively more balanced Th1/Th2 response [25, 26]. Elevated OSM and IL-6 are also associated with more severe disease [17, 98]. Another group of cytokines/chemokines associated with increased disease severity (IL-2, IFNG, IL-17, CSF2, CCL5, CXCL8, CCL2 [10, 99, 100] showed slightly lower z scores for BA.1 infected lungs (Fig. 4b). IL-33 may drive fibrosis [101] and also showed a lower USR z score (Fig. 4b).

**Fig 4. Inflammatory pathways identified by RNA-Seq of lungs for 2 – 30 dpi. a** Heat maps of cytokine UpStream Regulator (USR) z scores and significance (p values, all p<0.05) determined by IPA. White boxes means no cytokine USR annotation was returned for 30 dpi by IPA. RNA-Seq identified too few DEGs on 66 dpi for IPA analysis. **b** IPA cytokine USRs from BA.1 infected K18-hACE2 mice (2 dpi) were compared with those previously reported for the more severe infection of K18-hACE2 mice by an original strain isolate [54]. Differences in cytokine z scores for 2 dpi (with a cutoff filter of >0.2 and < -0.2) are plotted. BA.1 infected lungs show slightly increased z scores for some cytokine signatures associated with reduced disease severity (green) and slightly reduced z scores for some cytokine signatures associated with increased disease severity (red).

Importantly, the cytokine response signatures had substantially abated by 30 dpi (Fig. 4a), with the small number of DEGs on day 66 insufficient for meaningful pathway analysis. In addition, few, if any, upregulated inflammatory DEGs were identified at 66 dpi (see below).

# Transcriptional responses 66 dpi reflected adaptive immunity and resolution

The RNA-Seq data sets and bioinformatic analyses comparing lungs from BA.1 infected mice 66 dpi with mice 66 days after inoculation with UV-inactivated virus (S5 Table) are summarized in Fig. 5. Only 29 DEGs were identified, of which 14 were immunoglobulin genes. This represents an insufficient number of DEGs for meaningful pathway analyses. DEGs were thus clustered into several groupings based on function (Fig. 5, described below). A plot of the first two principle components of normalized Variance Stabilizing Transformation (VST) counts from all

experimental groups indicated that the relatively small number of DEGs for day 66 was due to

limited separation between BA.5 infected and UV control groups (S5 Fig).

**Fig 5. DEGs identified for 66 dpi.** RNA-Seq data for day 66 dpi, with DEGs clustered by broad

functions. The whole gene list was also interrogated by GSEAs using gene sets from MSigDB

and Blood transcription modules.

Most of the upregulated DEGs in lungs at 66 dpi were immunoglobulin genes (Fig. 5)

consistent with a more rigorous development of an adaptive immune response in BA.1 infected

mice compared with mice inoculated with UV-inactivated virus. GSEAs also illustrate the

development of T cell responses, with down-regulation of Nr4a family members potentially

suggesting avoidance of T cell exhaustion [102]. However, the three Nr4a genes have a number

of roles in T cell biology [103], and also have a diverse set of other activities in *inter alia*

macrophages [92, 104] and epithelial cells [66].

The three upregulated, non-Ig genes, can be associated with wound healing/tissue repair; Grb7

(Growth factor receptor-bound protein 7) [105], Arfgap3 (ADP-ribosylation factor GTPase-

activating protein 3) [106, 107], and Cap1 (cyclase-associated protein 1) [108]. In addition,

Dusp8 (Dual specificity phosphatase 8) was down-regulated, with Dusp8 protein involved in

negative regulation of MAP kinase superfamily members; thus Dusp down-regulation would

promote cellular proliferation and differentiation [109].

Many of the remaining down-regulated genes have roles in various immune activities (Fig. 5):

Prkd1 (Serine/threonine-protein kinase D1), involved in *inter alia* promoting neutrophil

infiltration [110]; S1pr1 (Sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 1), essential for immune cell

trafficking [111]; Coq10b, required for coenzyme Q function [112, 113]; Kcne4 (Potassium

voltage-gated channel subfamily E member 4), an immune regulator via modulation of Kv1.3

[114]; Atf3, a stress-inducible transcription factor [115]; Marco, a scavenger receptor [116]; and

Thbs1 (thrombospondin), a matricellular protein involved in lung inflammation resolution and

repair [117].  Two stress-inducible genes, Atf3 and Hspa1b (a heat shock protein 70 member)

were down-regulated, with Atf3 and Hsp70 upregulated in a large range of stress responses [66,

115].

In summary, at 66 dpi there were very few DEGs, with no indication of ongoing inflammation

or tissue dysregulation, with identification of only a small number of upregulated genes that

could be associated with wound healing processes.

## Genes whose expression positively correlated with dpi were associated with ciliated epithelial cells and protein synthesis

Using the complete gene sets (S1 – S5 Tables), genes were identified whose expression showed

significant positive correlation with dpi (Pearson correlations, FDR corrected p, q<0.05).  Genes

whose expression also showed significant positive correlation with days post inoculation of UV-

inactivated virus were excluded from the analysis, thereby retaining only genes associated with

active infection. Immunoglobulin genes were also removed as the development of immune

responses is understood and established.  The process identified 2786 genes (S6 Table).

Enrichr and IPA analyses of the 2786 genes indicated a significant association with ciliated

epithelial cells and protein synthesis, with 59 of the 2786 representing ribosomal protein genes

(Fig. 6a; S6 Table).  Ciliated epithelial cells are a known target of SARS-CoV-2 infection [63,

118], with omicron variants showing a higher propensity to infect these cells [73].  The increase

in ciliated epithelial cell genes thus indicates growth/recovery and differentiation of these cells

over the 2-66 days of the experiment.  The increase in signatures associated with protein

translation during this period is consistent with the development of adaptive immune responses

and ongoing tissue repair processes.

**Fig 6. Genes whose expression correlated with dpi.  a** Using the whole gene lists, genes whose

expression showed a statically significant positive correlation with dpi were identified.  After

removing genes that also positively correlated with dpi in the UV-inactivated BA.1 inoculated

control groups, 2786 genes remained.  The 2786 genes were analyzed by Enrichr and IPA (for

the latter the r value was entered in the log2 expression column).  Significant results clustered

into two categories 'Ciliated epithelial cell' and 'Protein synthesis'.  Of the 2786 genes, 59 were

RPL (ribosomal protein) genes.  **b** Using the whole gene lists, genes whose expression showed a

statically significant negative correlation with dpi were identified.  After removing genes that

also negatively correlated with dpi in the UV control groups, 1996 genes remained. The 1996

genes were analyzed by IPA as above, with some top annotations shown. **c** Normalized

expression data (VST - Variance Stabilizing Transformation) for five of the 1996 genes are

plotted against dpi, with linear regression lines and 95% confidence intervals.  Pearson

correlation coefficients (r), coefficients of determination ($r^2$) and corrected significances, FDR

(q), are provided for each gene.  **d** Using cellular deconvolution (as in Fig. 3b,c), cell types

whose abundance scores significantly positive correlated with dpi were identified.  Linear

regression lines and 95% confidence intervals, and Pearson correlation coefficients (r),

coefficients of determination ($r^2$) and corrected significances, FDR (q) are provided.  (Full data

sets are provided in S6 Table).

## Genes whose expression negatively correlated with dpi indicated inflammation resolution

Using the complete gene sets, genes were identified whose expression showed significant negative correlation with dpi (Pearson correlations, q<0.05). Genes whose expression also showed significant negative correlation with days post inoculation of UV-inactivated virus were excluded from the analysis, thereby retaining only genes associated with active infection. The process identified 1996 genes (S6 Table).

IPA analyses of the 1996 genes identified reductions in cell movement/migration (Fig. 6b), consistent with Figs. 2a, b and 3a. A series of cytokine USRs were also down-regulated (S6 Table), with the top cytokine USRs (by negative z score) representing cytokines previously identified as being robustly associated with COVID-19 disease severity [10]. The latter was supported by identification of "Pathogen Induced Cytokine Storm Signaling Pathway" as a top IPA Canonical pathway annotation with a negative z score (Fig. 6b). Also informative were decreasing neutrophil degranulation and fibrosis signatures (Fig. 6b); both phenomena have been associated with long COVID [119, 120].

Negative correlations between expression and dpi are plotted for five of the 1996 genes (Fig. 6c). These genes were selected as their expression levels have been positively correlated with COVID-19 disease severity and/or they have been proposed as potential targets for prognosis or treatment; SerpinE1 [121], Cxcl10 [122], Nfkb1 [123], IL6 [124], and Hspa1b (a Hsp70 family member) [125, 126].

## Cell types whose abundance scores increased with dpi indicated lung regeneration

Cell types whose abundance scores (determined by cellular deconvolution) showed a significant positive correlation (Pearsons q<0.05) with dpi were identified. The top scoring cell type was ciliated cells (Fig. 6d); consistent with Fig. 6a. The analysis also identified significant increases in AT1 cells, which likely reflects the AT2 to AT1 transition during alveolar epithelial cell regeneration seen after SARS-CoV-2 alveolar damage in mouse models [127]. Increasing abundance scores for reticular fibroblasts, are also consistent with successful lung repair [50, 128], with no indication of significant fibrosis. Increasing numbers of innate lymphoid cells (ILC) likely also reflect the process of returning the tissue to homeostasis [129, 130].

# Discussion

We describe herein the transcriptional profile of BA.1 omicron lung infections in K18-hACE2 mice, and the post-infection recovery up to 66 dpi. The expression of hACE2 via the keratin 18 promoter in the K18-hACE2 mice did not lead to overtly aberrant cell tropism in the lungs, with infection of bronchial and alveolar epithelia cells by omicron variants (Fig. 1c,d) also described in settings where hACE2 is not expressed as a transgene [73, 74]. Although there are some differences in methodologies, the overall consensus is that, when compared to infection with original strain isolates, BA.1 viral loads in lungs are lower and/or are more rapidly cleared in rodent models [71, 72, 131-134]. The BA.1 data presented herein supports this contention when compared with previously published data on infection of K18-hACE2 mice with original strain isolates, where viral loads were generally reported to be >1 log higher [54, 77, 135].

Herein we show that only a small number of DEGs were identified at 66 dpi with BA.1,

arguing that the infection had been resolved and the tissue had largely returned to normal at this

time. UV-inactivated virus was used as a control to exclude responses to viral inoculation and

restrict the analyses to effects arising from replicating virus. Features often associated with post-

acute or long-COVID were not identified, for instance, there were no indications of prolonged

infection [136], persistent inflammation [137], impaired lung regeneration [138], fibrosis [120],

prolonged T cell activation [139], Th17 bias [140], chronic neutrophil activation [119] or clotting

abnormalities [7]. Only 8 mice were analyzed at $\geq$ 30 dpi, which is arguably too low to identify a

potential subset of individuals with post-acute or long-COVID [141, 142]. Nevertheless, the

overall picture that emerges for the BA.1 infection of K18-hACE2 is one of an attenuated,

rapidly resolving, sequelae-free, infection. Importantly, lower viral loads and more rapid viral

clearance are also associated in COVID-19 patients with less severe disease [34] and/or

subclinical infections [45, 46].

An inherent limitation with studies using K18-hACE2 mice is the level to which these animals

recapitulate human infection and disease. For instance, fulminant lethal brain infections, usually

seen 4-7 days after infection with original strain isolates, is not a feature of human disease [53,

143, 144]. However, such lethality is rare after BA.1 infections (S1b Fig), thereby permitting the

investigation of long-term responses presented herein. We have also shown that overlap between

DEGs identified by RNA-Seq analysis of infected lung tissues from humans vs. K18-hACE2

mice is generally quite low; however, a high level of correlation emerged between inflammatory

pathways [54]. Key clinical parameters used to evaluate disease severity in COVID-19 patients

(e.g. oxygen saturation, respiration rate, chest imaging, full blood works) are also not readily

available for mice in BSL3/PC3 settings. In addition, although lung samples are easily obtained

from infected mice, they are not so readily available from COVID-19 patients. Finally, the

plethora of different virus isolates, virus doses, rodent models (including age and gender), and

analytical techniques, complicates detailed comparisons across studies [145-148].  For instance,

although RNA-Seq data suggests increased pathogenicity of delta variants over ancestral

(original) strain isolates in K18-hACE2 mice [146], numerous methodological differences

complicate integration of such data into compelling comparisons with our study.  Finally, it

remains unclear to what extent inbred syngeneic mouse strains (with specific genetic

backgrounds [62]) can faithfully recapitulate the activity of key genetic traits (e.g. HLA-DQA2)

that underpin rapid SARS-CoV-2 clearance in humans [39].

The concept of "protective inflammation" was recently described for COVID-19 [55] and

clearly applies to many other viral infections where subclinical or mild infections are also well

documented [149].  Deleterious or pathological inflammatory disease processes tend to be the

focus of research, with perhaps less attention paid to characterisation of inflammatory responses

that are resolved and lead to subclinical or mild disease and sequelae-free outcomes [150, 151].

Pathological versus protective responses are likely to have distinct gene expression profiles,

patterns, magnitudes and sequence of events [56, 57], with the current study describing the

responses associated with resolution of lung infection and inflammation.  Importantly, at 10 dpi a

series of cell types associated with damage control, suppression of inflammation, homeostasis

and repair showed increased abundance scores (determined using transcription data from lung

tissues and cellular deconvolution).  Specifically these cells were, ILC2 cells [83, 84] (also seen

at 5 dpi), inducible T regs [89, 90], interstitial macrophages [91] and Ear2+ macrophages [92].

Restoration of ciliated cells, regeneration of alveolar epithelial cell with AT2 to AT1 transition

[127], and increases in fibroblast activity without fibrosis [50], also appear to characterize lung

recovery in this model.  Clearly, prompt clearance of virus [45, 49] (rather than viral persistence

[136, 152]) and development of protective adaptive immune responses [48] are also likely to be

key to such outcomes.  The latter has recently been shown to include CD8 T cells [93], which may be represented by a population of CD8b1$^{high}$ cells which reached significance by 10 dpi in the BA.1/K18-hACE2 model.

Defining key aspects of "protective inflammation" remains a challenge for human populations, as individuals with subclinical or mild disease are generally difficult to identify and recruit promptly.  A better understanding of what characterizes rapid, sequelae-free resolution of inflammation, would clearly facilitate development of interventions for COVID-19 associated ARDS, with animal models providing ready access to lung tissues to help unravel the complex mechanisms that underpin such processes.

# Acknowledgements

The authors thank the following staff at QIMR Berghofer MRI; Dr I. Anraku for management of the PC3 facility, Dr Viviana Lutzky for proof reading, Ashwini R Potadar and Crystal Chang for histology services, the animal house staff for mouse breeding and agistment, and Dr. Gunter Hartel for assistance with statistics.

# Supplemental information

The paper is supported by supplementary figures (S1 – S5 Fig) and Tables (S1 – S6 Table).

**S1 Fig. Correlation between RNA-Seq and viral titers for viral load determinations, and overview of change in body weight over time, and ratio of purple over red staining in lungs at each time point.**

**S2 Fig. Histopathological features of viral infection in lungs of BA.1 infected mice in**

**comparison to UV-inactivated BA.1 inoculated mice.**

**S3 Fig. H&E staining of control lungs from K18-hACE2 mice.**

**S4 Fig. RT qPCR validation of RNA-Seq data and increased pericytes abundance score at 5**

**dpi.**

**S5 Fig. First two principle components of normalized VST-transformed counts from all**

**experimental groups.**

**S1 Table Differential expression and pathway analysis for 2 dpi**

**S2 Table Differential expression and pathway analysis for 5 dpi**

**S3 Table Differential expression and pathway analysis for 10 dpi**

**S4 Table Differential expression and pathway analysis for 30 dpi**

**S5 Table Differential expression and pathway analysis for 66 dpi**

**S6 Table Gene expression vs dpi**

# Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** Andreas Suhrbier, Daniel J. Rawle, Cameron R. Bishop.

**Data curation;** Cameron R. Bishop, Andreas Suhrbier.

**Funding acquisition:** Andreas Suhrbier, Daniel J. Rawle.

**Investigation:** Agnes Carolin, Kexin Yan, Bing Tang, Wilson Nguyen.

**Methodology:** Daniel J. Rawle, Cameron R. Bishop, Andreas Suhrbier.

**Supervision:** Daniel J. Rawle, Cameron R. Bishop, Wilson Nguyen, Andreas Suhrbier.

**Visualization:** Andreas Suhrbier, Cameron R. Bishop, Kexin Yan.

**Writing –original draft:** Andreas Suhrbier.

**Writing – review & editing:** Agnes Carolin, Cameron R. Bishop, Daniel J. Rawle.

**References**

1.  WHO COVID-19 dashboard 2023. Available from:
    https://data.who.int/dashboards/covid19/cases.  Accessed Feb 2024
2.  WHO COVID-19 dashboard. Available from
    https://data.who.int/dashboards/covid19/deaths?n=c. Accessed Feb 2024
3.  Silva MJ, Ribeiro LR, Gouveia MI, Marcelino BD, Santos CS, Lima KV, et al.
    Hyperinflammatory Response in COVID-19: A Systematic Review. Viruses.
    2023;15(2):553. https://10.3390/v15020553.
4.  Verma G, Dhawan M, Saied AA, Kaur G, Kumar R, Emran TB. Immunomodulatory
    approaches in managing lung inflammation in COVID-19: A double-edge sword.
    Immunity, Inflammation and Disease. 2023;11(9):e1020.
    https://https://doi.org/10.1002/iid3.1020.
5.  Al-Nesf MAY, Abdesselem HB, Bensmail I, Ibrahim S, Saeed WAH, Mohammed SSI, et
    al. Prognostic tools and candidate drugs based on plasma proteomics of patients with
    severe COVID-19 complications. Nature Communications. 2022;13(1):946.
    https://10.1038/s41467-022-28639-4.
6.  Mueller MR, Ganesh R, Hurt RT, Beckman TJ. Post-COVID Conditions. Mayo Clinic
    Proceedings. 2023;98(7):1071-8. https://https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2023.04.007.
7.  Altmann DM, Whettlock EM, Liu S, Arachchillage DJ, Boyton RJ. The immunology of
    long COVID. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2023;23(10):618-34. https://10.1038/s41577-
    023-00904-7.
8.  Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, Topol EJ. Long COVID: major findings, mechanisms
    and recommendations. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2023;21(3):133-46. https://10.1038/s41579-
    022-00846-2 PMID: 36639608.
9.  Sick and tired: Casting a long shadow.  Report April 2023.
    https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/House/Health_Aged_Care_a
    nd_Sport/LongandrepeatedCOVID/Report. Accesses Feb 2024. .
10. Nasrollahi H, Talepoor AG, Saleh Z, Eshkevar Vakili M, Heydarinezhad P, Karami N, et
    al. Immune responses in mildly versus critically ill COVID-19 patients. Frontiers in
    Immunology. 2023;14:1077236. https://10.3389/fimmu.2023.1077236.
11. Wang J, Jiang M, Chen X, Montaner LJ. Cytokine storm and leukocyte changes in mild
    versus severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: Review of 3939 COVID-19 patients in China and
    emerging pathogenesis and therapy concepts. Journal of Leukocyte Biology.
    2020;108(1):17-41. https://10.1002/jlb.3covr0520-272r.
12. Qin R, He L, Yang Z, Jia N, Chen R, Xie J, et al. Identification of Parameters
    Representative of Immune Dysfunction in Patients with Severe and Fatal COVID-19
    Infection: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clinical Reviews in Allergy &
    Immunology. 2023;64(1):33-65. https://10.1007/s12016-021-08908-8.
13. Kalinina O, Golovkin A, Zaikova E, Aquino A, Bezrukikh V, Melnik O, et al. Cytokine
    Storm Signature in Patients with Moderate and Severe COVID-19. International Journal of
    Molecular Sciences. 2022;23(16):8879. https://10.3390/ijms23168879.
14. Patel J, Bass D, Beishuizen A, Bocca Ruiz X, Boughanmi H, Cahn A, et al. A randomised
    trial of anti-GM-CSF otilimab in severe COVID-19 pneumonia (OSCAR). Eur Respir J.
    2023;61(2):2101870. https://10.1183/13993003.01870-2021 PMID: 36229048.

15.    Ghofrani Nezhad M, Jami G, Kooshkaki O, Chamani S, Naghizadeh A. The Role of
Inflammatory Cytokines (Interleukin-1 and Interleukin-6) as a Potential Biomarker in the
Different Stages of COVID-19 (Mild, Severe, and Critical). J Interferon Cytokine Res.
2023;43(4):147-63. https://10.1089/jir.2022.0185 PMID: 37062817.
16.    Mohd Zawawi Z, Kalyanasundram J, Mohd Zain R, Thayan R, Basri DF, Yap WB.
Prospective Roles of Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha (TNF-α) in COVID-19:
Prognosis, Therapeutic and Management. International Journal of Molecular Sciences.
2023;24(7):6142. https://10.3390/ijms24076142.
17.    Arunachalam PS, Wimmers F, Mok CKP, Perera R, Scott M, Hagan T, et al. Systems
biological assessment of immunity to mild versus severe COVID-19 infection in humans.
Science. 2020;369(6508):1210-20. https://10.1126/science.abc6261 PMID: 32788292.
18.    Habel JR, Chua BY, Kedzierski L, Selva KJ, Damelang T, Haycroft ER, et al. Immune
profiling of SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy reveals NK cell and γδ T cell
perturbations. JCI Insight. 2023;8(7):e167157. https://10.1172/jci.insight.167157 PMID:
37036008.
19.    Carlini V, Noonan DM, Abdalalem E, Goletti D, Sansone C, Calabrone L, et al. The
multifaceted nature of IL-10: regulation, role in immunological homeostasis and its
relevance to cancer, COVID-19 and post-COVID conditions. Frontiers in Immunology.
2023;14:1161067. https://10.3389/fimmu.2023.1161067.
20.    Shih L-J, Yang C-C, Liao M-T, Lu K-C, Hu W-C, Lin C-P. An important call: Suggestion
of using IL-10 as therapeutic agent for COVID-19 with ARDS and other complications.
Virulence. 2023;14(1):2190650. https://10.1080/21505594.2023.2190650.
21.    Donlan AN, Sutherland TE, Marie C, Preissner S, Bradley BT, Carpenter RM, et al. IL-13
is a driver of COVID-19 severity. JCI Insight. 2021;6(15):e150107.
https://10.1172/jci.insight.150107 PMID: 34185704.
22.    Gibellini L, De Biasi S, Meschiari M, Gozzi L, Paolini A, Borella R, et al. Plasma
Cytokine Atlas Reveals the Importance of TH2 Polarization and Interferons in Predicting
COVID-19 Severity and Survival. Front Immunol. 2022;13:842150.
https://10.3389/fimmu.2022.842150 PMID: 35386702.
23.    Sasson J, Moreau GB, Petri WA. The role of interleukin 13 and the type 2 immune
pathway in COVID-19: A review. Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology.
2023;130(6):727-32. https://https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2023.03.009.
24.    Pourgholaminejad A, Pahlavanneshan S, Basiri M. COVID-19 immunopathology with
emphasis on Th17 response and cell-based immunomodulation therapy: Potential targets
and challenges. Scand J Immunol. 2022;95(2):e13131. https://10.1111/sji.13131 PMID:
34936112.
25.    Gupta P, Patel K, Garg G, Mathew BJ, Kale D, Singh J, et al. Imbalance of T Helper Cell
Subset Specific Transcription Factors and Associated Cytokines in Patients with Severe
COVID-19. Gene Expression. 2023;22(3):159-66. https://10.14218/GE.2023.00016.
26.    Hasegawa T, Hato T, Okayama T, Ikeo K, Miyamoto Y, Iwanaga N, et al. Th1 cytokine
endotype discriminates and predicts severe complications in COVID-19. European
Cytokine Network. 2022;33(2):1-12. https://10.1684/ecn.2022.0477.
27.    Pavel AB, Glickman JW, Michels JR, Kim-Schulze S, Miller RL, Guttman-Yassky E.
Th2/Th1 Cytokine Imbalance Is Associated With Higher COVID-19 Risk Mortality.
Frontiers in Genetics. 2021;12:706902. https://10.3389/fgene.2021.706902.

28.    Gil-Etayo FJ, Garcinuño S, Utrero-Rico A, Cabrera-Marante O, Arroyo-Sanchez D,
697           Mancebo E, et al. An Early Th1 Response Is a Key Factor for a Favorable COVID-19
698           Evolution. Biomedicines. 2022;10(2):296. https://10.3390/biomedicines10020296.
699    29.    Dhawan M, Rabaan AA, Alwarthan S, Alhajri M, Halwani MA, Alshengeti A, et al.
700           Regulatory T Cells (Tregs) and COVID-19: Unveiling the Mechanisms, and Therapeutic
701           Potentialities with a Special Focus on Long COVID. Vaccines. 2023;11(3):699.
702           https://10.3390/vaccines11030699.
703    30.    Kosyreva A, Dzhalilova D, Lokhonina A, Vishnyakova P, Fatkhudinov T. The Role of
704           Macrophages in the Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2-Associated Acute Respiratory Distress
705           Syndrome. Frontiers in Immunology. 2021;12:682871.
706           https://10.3389/fimmu.2021.682871.
707    31.    Meidaninikjeh S, Sabouni N, Marzouni HZ, Bengar S, Khalili A, Jafari R. Monocytes and
708           macrophages in COVID-19: Friends and foes. Life Sciences. 2021;269:119010.
709           https://https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2020.119010.
710    32.    Dadras O, Afsahi AM, Pashaei Z, Mojdeganlou H, Karimi A, Habibi P, et al. The
711           relationship between COVID-19 viral load and disease severity: A systematic review.
712           Immunity, Inflammation and Disease. 2022;10(3):e580.
713           https://https://doi.org/10.1002/iid3.580.
714    33.    Waller H, Carmona-Vicente N, James A, Govender M, Hopkins FR, Larsson M, et al. Viral
715           load at hospitalization is an independent predictor of severe COVID-19. Eur J Clin Invest.
716           2023;53(1):e13882. https://10.1111/eci.13882 PMID: 36190270.
717    34.    Puhach O, Meyer B, Eckerle I. SARS-CoV-2 viral load and shedding kinetics. Nature
718           Reviews Microbiology. 2023;21(3):147-61. https://10.1038/s41579-022-00822-w.
719    35.    Alene M, Yismaw L, Assemie MA, Ketema DB, Mengist B, Kassie B, et al. Magnitude of
720           asymptomatic COVID-19 cases throughout the course of infection: A systematic review
721           and meta-analysis. PLOS ONE. 2021;16(3):e0249090.
722           https://10.1371/journal.pone.0249090.
723    36.    Oran DP, Topol EJ. The Proportion of SARS-CoV-2 Infections That Are Asymptomatic.
724           Annals of Internal Medicine. 2021;174(5):655-62. https://10.7326/M20-6976.
725    37.    Polyak MJ, Abrahamyan L, Bego MG. Editorial: Immune determinants of COVID-19
726           protection and disease: A focus on asymptomatic COVID and long COVID. Front
727           Immunol. 2023;14:1185693. https://10.3389/fimmu.2023.1185693 PMID: 37056777.
728    38.    Sah P, Fitzpatrick MC, Zimmer CF, Abdollahi E, Juden-Kelly L, Moghadas SM, et al.
729           Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
730           Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2021;118(34):e2109229118.
731           https://10.1073/pnas.2109229118.
732    39.    Lindeboom RGH, Worlock KB, Dratva LM, Yoshida M, Scobie D, Wagstaffe HR, et al.
733           Human SARS-CoV-2 challenge uncovers local and systemic response dynamics. Nature.
734           2024;631(8019):189-98. https://10.1038/s41586-024-07575-x PMID: 38898278.
735    40.    Zuin M, Gentili V, Cervellati C, Rizzo R, Zuliani G. Viral Load Difference between
736           Symptomatic and Asymptomatic COVID-19 Patients: Systematic Review and Meta-
737           Analysis. Infectious Disease Reports. 2021;13(3):645-53. https://10.3390/idr13030061.
738    41.    Tsukagoshi H, Shinoda D, Saito M, Okayama K, Sada M, Kimura H, et al. Relationships
739           between Viral Load and the Clinical Course of COVID-19. Viruses. 2021;13(2):304.
740           https://10.3390/v13020304.

42. Ra SH, Lim JS, Kim GU, Kim MJ, Jung J, Kim SH. Upper respiratory viral load in
asymptomatic individuals and mildly symptomatic patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection.
Thorax. 2021;76(1):61-3. https://10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-215042 PMID: 32963115.
43. Yin S-W, Zhou Z, Wang J-L, Deng Y-F, Jing H, Qiu Y. Viral loads, lymphocyte subsets
and cytokines in asymptomatic, mildly and critical symptomatic patients with SARS-CoV-
2 infection: a retrospective study. Virology Journal. 2021;18(1):126.
https://10.1186/s12985-021-01597-x.
44. Ooi EE, Low JG. Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. The Lancet Infectious Diseases.
2020;20(9):996-8. https://https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30460-6.
45. Bae S, Kim JY, Lim SY, Park H, Cha HH, Kwon J-S, et al. Dynamics of Viral Shedding
and Symptoms in Patients with Asymptomatic or Mild COVID-19. Viruses.
2021;13(11):2133. https://10.3390/v13112133.
46. Long Q-X, Tang X-J, Shi Q-L, Li Q, Deng H-J, Yuan J, et al. Clinical and immunological
assessment of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections. Nature Medicine. 2020;26(8):1200-
4. https://10.1038/s41591-020-0965-6.
47. De Biasi S, Tartaro DL, Gibellini L, Paolini A, Quong A, Petes C, et al. Endogenous
control of inflammation characterizes pregnant women with asymptomatic or
paucisymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nature Communications. 2021;12(1):4677.
https://10.1038/s41467-021-24940-w.
48. Le Bert N, Clapham HE, Tan AT, Chia WN, Tham CYL, Lim JM, et al. Highly functional
virus-specific cellular immune response in asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. Journal
of Experimental Medicine. 2021;218(5):e20202617. https://10.1084/jem.20202617.
49. Le Bert N, Samandari T. Silent battles: immune responses in asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2
infection. Cellular & Molecular Immunology. 2024;21(2):159-70. https://10.1038/s41423-
024-01127-z.
50. Soares-Schanoski A, Sauerwald N, Goforth CW, Periasamy S, Weir DL, Lizewski S, et al.
Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection Is Associated With Higher Levels of Serum IL-
17C, Matrix Metalloproteinase 10 and Fibroblast Growth Factors Than Mild Symptomatic
COVID-19. Front Immunol. 2022;13:821730. https://10.3389/fimmu.2022.821730 PMID:
35479098.
51. Sfikakis PP, Verrou KM, Ampatziadis-Michailidis G, Tsitsilonis O, Paraskevis D, Kastritis
E, et al. Blood Transcriptomes of Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Antibody-Positive Healthy
Individuals Who Experienced Asymptomatic Versus Clinical Infection. Front Immunol.
2021;12:746203. https://10.3389/fimmu.2021.746203 PMID: 34675930.
52. Ma Y, Deng J, Liu Q, Du M, Liu M, Liu J. Long-Term Consequences of Asymptomatic
SARS-CoV-2 Infection: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Environ Res Public
Health. 2023;20(2):1613. https://10.3390/ijerph20021613 PMID: 36674367.
53. Stewart R, Yan K, Ellis SA, Bishop CR, Dumenil T, Tang B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 omicron
BA.5 and XBB variants have increased neurotropic potential over BA.1 in K18-hACE2
mice and human brain organoids. Front Microbiol. 2023;14:1320856.
https://10.3389/fmicb.2023.1320856 PMID: 38075874.
54. Bishop CR, Dumenil T, Rawle DJ, Le TT, Yan K, Tang B, et al. Mouse models of COVID-
19 recapitulate inflammatory pathways rather than gene expression. PLoS Pathog.
2022;18(9):e1010867. https://10.1371/journal.ppat.1010867 PMID: 36155667.
55. Mangalmurti N, Hunter CA. Cytokine Storms: Understanding COVID-19. Immunity.
2020;53(1):19-25. https://10.1016/j.immuni.2020.06.017 PMID: 32610079.

56.  Foy BH, Sundt TM, Carlson JCT, Aguirre AD, Higgins JM. Human acute inflammatory
recovery is defined by co-regulatory dynamics of white blood cell and platelet populations.
Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):4705. https://10.1038/s41467-022-32222-2 PMID: 35995789.
57.  Doran AC, Yurdagul A, Tabas I. Efferocytosis in health and disease. Nature Reviews
Immunology. 2020;20(4):254-67. https://10.1038/s41577-019-0240-6.
58.  Yan K, Dumenil T, Tang B, Le TT, Bishop CR, Suhrbier A, et al. Evolution of ACE2-
independent SARS-CoV-2 infection and mouse adaption after passage in cells expressing
human and mouse ACE2. Virus Evol. 2022;8(2):veac063. https://10.1093/ve/veac063
PMID: 35919871.
59.  Morgan MS, Yan K, Le TT, Johnston RA, Amarilla AA, Muller DA, et al. Monoclonal
Antibodies Specific for SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Suitable for Multiple Applications for
Current Variants of Concern. Viruses. 2023;15(1):139. https://10.3390/v15010139 PMID:
36680179.
60.  Rawle DJ, Le TT, Dumenil T, Yan K, Tang B, Nguyen W, et al. ACE2-lentiviral
transduction enables mouse SARS-CoV-2 infection and mapping of receptor interactions.
PLoS Pathog. 2021;17(7):e1009723. https://10.1371/journal.ppat.1009723 PMID:
34214142.
61.  Yan K, Rawle DJ, Le TT, Suhrbier A. Simple rapid in vitro screening method for SARS-
CoV-2 anti-virals that identifies potential cytomorbidity-associated false positives. Virol J.
2021;18(1):123. https://10.1186/s12985-021-01587-z PMID: 34107996.
62.  Rawle DJ, Le TT, Dumenil T, Bishop C, Yan K, Nakayama E, et al. Widespread
discrepancy in Nnt genotypes and genetic backgrounds complicates granzyme A and other
knockout mouse studies. Elife. 2022;11:e70207. https://10.7554/eLife.70207 PMID:
35119362.
63.  Dumenil T, Le TT, Rawle DJ, Yan K, Tang B, Nguyen W, et al. Warmer ambient air
temperatures reduce nasal turbinate and brain infection, but increase lung inflammation in
the K18-hACE2 mouse model of COVID-19. Sci Total Environ. 2022;859(Pt 1):160163.
https://10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.160163 PMID: 36395835.
64.  TCID50_calculator_v2_17-01-20_MB - Excel sheet to calculate TCID50 titers (Spearman
& Kärber method). https://www.klinikum.uni-heidelberg.de/zentrum-fuer-
infektiologie/molecular-virology/welcome/downloads. Accessed Feb 2024.
65.  Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernández JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD, et al.
QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. Scientific Reports.
2017;7(1):16878. https://10.1038/s41598-017-17204-5.
66.  Bishop CR, Yan K, Nguyen W, Rawle DJ, Tang B, Larcher T, et al. Microplastics
dysregulate innate immunity in the SARS-CoV-2 infected lung. Front Immunol.
2024;15:1382655. https://10.3389/fimmu.2024.1382655 PMID: 38803494.
67.  Li S, Rouphael N, Duraisingham S, Romero-Steiner S, Presnell S, Davis C, et al.
Molecular signatures of antibody responses derived from a systems biology study of five
human vaccines. Nat Immunol. 2014;15(2):195-204. https://10.1038/ni.2789 PMID:
24336226.
68.  Danaher P, Kim Y, Nelson B, Griswold M, Yang Z, Piazza E, et al. Advances in mixed cell
deconvolution enable quantification of cell types in spatial transcriptomic data. Nat
Commun. 2022;13(1):385. https://10.1038/s41467-022-28020-5 PMID: 35046414.
69.  Schroder WA, Le TTT, Major L, Street S, Gardner J, Lambley E, et al. A Physiological
Function of Inflammation-Associated SerpinB2 Is Regulation of Adaptive Immunity. The
Journal of Immunology. 2010;184(5):2663-70. https://10.4049/jimmunol.0902187.

70.    Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time
835            Quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT Method. Methods. 2001;25(4):402-8.
836            https://https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262.
837    71.    Lee NY, Lee YW, Hong SM, On D, Yoon GM, An SH, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron
838            variant causes brain infection with lymphoid depletion in a mouse COVID-19 model. Lab
839            Anim Res. 2023;39(1):8. https://10.1186/s42826-023-00157-4 PMID: 37161442.
840    72.    Liu S, Selvaraj P, Sangare K, Luan B, Wang TT. Spike protein-independent attenuation of
841            SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in laboratory mice. Cell Rep. 2022;40(11):111359.
842            https://10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111359 PMID: 36075211.
843    73.    Wu CT, Lidsky PV, Xiao Y, Cheng R, Lee IT, Nakayama T, et al. SARS-CoV-2
844            replication in airway epithelia requires motile cilia and microvillar reprogramming. Cell.
845            2023;186(1):112-30 e20. https://10.1016/j.cell.2022.11.030 PMID: 36580912.
846    74.    Chiu MC, Li C, Liu X, Yu Y, Huang J, Wan Z, et al. A bipotential organoid model of
847            respiratory epithelium recapitulates high infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. Cell
848            Discovery. 2022;8(1):57. https://10.1038/s41421-022-00422-1.
849    75.    Yinda CK, Port JR, Bushmaker T, Offei Owusu I, Purushotham JN, Avanzato VA, et al.
850            K18-hACE2 mice develop respiratory disease resembling severe COVID-19. PLoS Pathog.
851            2021;17(1):e1009195. https://10.1371/journal.ppat.1009195 PMID: 33465158.
852    76.    Amarilla AA, Sng JDJ, Parry R, Deerain JM, Potter JR, Setoh YX, et al. A versatile reverse
853            genetics platform for SARS-CoV-2 and other positive-strand RNA viruses. Nat Commun.
854            2021;12(1):3431. https://10.1038/s41467-021-23779-5 PMID: 34103499.
855    77.    Mills RJ, Humphrey SJ, Fortuna PRJ, Lor M, Foster SR, Quaife-Ryan GA, et al. BET
856            inhibition blocks inflammation-induced cardiac dysfunction and SARS-CoV-2 infection.
857            Cell. 2021;184(8):2167-82 e22. https://10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.026 PMID: 33811809.
858    78.    Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
859            RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550. https://10.1186/s13059-014-
860            0550-8 PMID: 25516281.
861    79.    Falck-Jones S, Österberg B, Smed-Sörensen A. Respiratory and systemic monocytes,
862            dendritic cells, and myeloid-derived suppressor cells in COVID-19: Implications for
863            disease severity. Journal of Internal Medicine. 2023;293(2):130-43.
864            https://https://doi.org/10.1111/joim.13559.
865    80.    Lee MJ, Blish CA. Defining the role of natural killer cells in COVID-19. Nature
866            Immunology. 2023;24(10):1628-38. https://10.1038/s41590-023-01560-8.
867    81.    von Borstel A, Nguyen THO, Rowntree LC, Ashhurst TM, Allen LF, Howson LJ, et al.
868            Circulating effector γδ T cell populations are associated with acute coronavirus disease 19
869            in unvaccinated individuals. Immunology & Cell Biology. 2023;101(4):321-32.
870            https://https://doi.org/10.1111/imcb.12623.
871    82.    Neill DR, Wong SH, Bellosi A, Flynn RJ, Daly M, Langford TKA, et al. Nuocytes
872            represent a new innate effector leukocyte that mediates type-2 immunity. Nature.
873            2010;464(7293):1367-70. https://10.1038/nature08900.
874    83.    Fonseca W, Lukacs NW, Elesela S, Malinczak CA. Role of ILC2 in Viral-Induced Lung
875            Pathogenesis. Front Immunol. 2021;12:675169. https://10.3389/fimmu.2021.675169
876            PMID: 33953732.
877    84.    Pyung YJ, Park D-J, Kim CG, Yun C-H. Remodeling and Restraining Lung Tissue
878            Damage Through the Regulation of Respiratory Immune Responses. Tissue Engineering
879            and Regenerative Medicine. 2023;20(3):329-39. https://10.1007/s13770-022-00516-7.

85. García M, Kokkinou E, Carrasco García A, Parrot T, Palma Medina LM, Maleki KT, et al. Innate lymphoid cell composition associates with COVID-19 disease severity. Clin Transl Immunology. 2020;9(12):e1224. https://10.1002/cti2.1224 PMID: 33343897.

86. Khaddaj-Mallat R, Aldib N, Bernard M, Paquette AS, Ferreira A, Lecordier S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 deregulates the vascular and immune functions of brain pericytes via Spike protein. Neurobiol Dis. 2021;161:105561. https://10.1016/j.nbd.2021.105561 PMID: 34780863.

87. Avolio E, Srivastava PK, Ji J, Carrabba M, Tsang CTW, Gu Y, et al. Murine studies and expressional analyses of human cardiac pericytes reveal novel trajectories of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein-induced microvascular damage. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2023;8(1):232. https://10.1038/s41392-023-01489-2 PMID: 37268620.

88. Yadav M, Louvet C, Davini D, Gardner JM, Martinez-Llordella M, Bailey-Bucktrout S, et al. Neuropilin-1 distinguishes natural and inducible regulatory T cells among regulatory T cell subsets in vivo. J Exp Med. 2012;209(10):1713-22, S1-19. https://10.1084/jem.20120822 PMID: 22966003.

89. Gladstone DE, D'Alessio F, Howard C, Lyu M-A, Mock JR, Gibbs KW, et al. Randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial of allogeneic cord blood T-regulatory cells for treatment of COVID-19 ARDS. Blood Advances. 2023;7(13):3075-9. https://10.1182/bloodadvances.2022009619.

90. Franco A, Song J, Chambers C, Sette A, Grifoni A. SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific regulatory T cells (Treg) expand and develop memory in vaccine recipients suggesting a role for immune regulation in preventing severe symptoms in COVID-19. Autoimmunity. 2023;56(1):2259133. https://10.1080/08916934.2023.2259133.

91. Bain CC, MacDonald AS. The impact of the lung environment on macrophage development, activation and function: diversity in the face of adversity. Mucosal Immunol. 2022;15(2):223-34. https://10.1038/s41385-021-00480-w PMID: 35017701.

92. Chen J, Huang XR, Yang F, Yiu WH, Yu X, Tang SCW, et al. Single-cell RNA Sequencing Identified Novel Nr4a1(+) Ear2(+) Anti-Inflammatory Macrophage Phenotype under Myeloid-TLR4 Dependent Regulation in Anti-Glomerular Basement Membrane (GBM) Crescentic Glomerulonephritis (cGN). Adv Sci (Weinh). 2022;9(18):e2200668. https://10.1002/advs.202200668 PMID: 35484716.

93. Antoun E, Peng Y, Dong T. Vaccine-induced CD8+ T cells are key to protection from SARS-CoV-2. Nature Immunology. 2023;24(10):1594-6. https://10.1038/s41590-023-01621-y.

94. Yağcı S, Serin E, Acicbe Ö, Zeren Mİ, Odabaşı MS. The relationship between serum erythropoietin, hepcidin, and haptoglobin levels with disease severity and other biochemical values in patients with COVID-19. International Journal of Laboratory Hematology. 2021;43(S1):142-51. https://https://doi.org/10.1111/ijlh.13479.

95. Kandikattu HK, Venkateshaiah SU, Kumar S, Mishra A. IL-15 immunotherapy is a viable strategy for COVID-19. Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews. 2020;54:24-31. https://https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2020.06.008.

96. Sadhu S, Dalal R, Dandotiya J, Binayke A, Singh V, Tripathy MR, et al. IL-9 aggravates SARS-CoV-2 infection and exacerbates associated airway inflammation. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):4060. https://10.1038/s41467-023-39815-5 PMID: 37429848.

97. Kral M, van der Vorst EPC, Surnov A, Weber C, Döring Y. ILC2-mediated immune crosstalk in chronic (vascular) inflammation. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1326440. https://10.3389/fimmu.2023.1326440 PMID: 38179045.

98. Ghosn L, Chaimani A, Evrenoglou T, Davidson M, Grana C, Schmucker C, et al.
Interleukin-6 blocking agents for treating COVID-19: a living systematic review. Cochrane
Database Syst Rev. 2021;3(3):CD013881. https://10.1002/14651858.CD013881 PMID:
33734435.
99. Patterson BK, Seethamraju H, Dhody K, Corley MJ, Kazempour K, Lalezari J, et al. CCR5
inhibition in critical COVID-19 patients decreases inflammatory cytokines, increases CD8
T-cells, and decreases SARS-CoV2 RNA in plasma by day 14. International Journal of
Infectious Diseases. 2021;103:25-32. https://https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.101.
100. Pius-Sadowska E, Niedźwiedź A, Kulig P, Baumert B, Sobuś A, Rogińska D, et al.
CXCL8, CCL2, and CMV Seropositivity as New Prognostic Factors for a Severe COVID-
19 Course. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2022;23(19):11338.
https://10.3390/ijms231911338.
101. Zizzo G, Cohen PL. Imperfect storm: is interleukin-33 the Achilles heel of COVID-19?
Lancet Rheumatol. 2020;2(12):e779-e90. https://10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30340-4 PMID:
33073244.
102. Chen J, López-Moyado IF, Seo H, Lio C-WJ, Hempleman LJ, Sekiya T, et al. NR4A
transcription factors limit CAR T cell function in solid tumours. Nature.
2019;567(7749):530-4. https://10.1038/s41586-019-0985-x.
103. Odagiu L, May J, Boulet S, Baldwin TA, Labrecque N. Role of the Orphan Nuclear
Receptor NR4A Family in T-Cell Biology. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2020;11:624122.
https://10.3389/fendo.2020.624122 PMID: 33597928.
104. Phelan DE, Shigemura M, Aldhafiri S, Mota C, Hall TJ, Sznajder JI, et al. Transcriptional
Profiling of Monocytes Deficient in Nuclear Orphan Receptors NR4A2 and NR4A3
Reveals Distinct Signalling Roles Related to Antigen Presentation and Viral Response.
Frontiers in Immunology. 2021;12:2447. https://10.3389/fimmu.2021.676644.
105. Paudyal P, Shrestha S, Madanayake T, Shuster CB, Rohrschneider LR, Rowland A, et al.
Grb7 and Filamin-a associate and are colocalized to cell membrane ruffles upon EGF
stimulation. J Mol Recognit. 2013;26(11):532-41. https://10.1002/jmr.2297 PMID:
24089360.
106. Liu X, Wang X, Xu T, Liu X, Li L. Effects of Different Delivery Modes on the Expression
of Vesicle Transport-Related Genes in Female Pelvic Floor Muscle Repair After Injury.
Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2023;196(2):667-78. https://10.1007/s12010-023-04510-0
PMID: 37171760.
107. Li S, Wang Z, Chen M, Xiao Y, Min J, Hu M, et al. ArfGAP3 regulates vesicle transport
and glucose uptake in myoblasts. Cell Signal. 2023;103:110551.
https://10.1016/j.cellsig.2022.110551 PMID: 36476390.
108. Zhang X, Pizzoni A, Hong K, Naim N, Qi C, Korkhov V, et al. CAP1 binds and activates
adenylyl cyclase in mammalian cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
2021;118(24):e2024576118. https://10.1073/pnas.2024576118.
109. Ding T, Zhou Y, Long R, Chen C, Zhao J, Cui P, et al. DUSP8 phosphatase: structure,
functions, expression regulation and the role in human diseases. Cell & Bioscience.
2019;9(1):70. https://10.1186/s13578-019-0329-4.
110. Schönfelder J, Seibold T, Morawe M, Sroka R, Schneider N, Cai J, et al. Endothelial
Protein kinase D1 is a major regulator of post-traumatic hyperinflammation. Frontiers in
Immunology. 2023;14:1093022. https://10.3389/fimmu.2023.1093022.
111. Rivera J, Proia RL, Olivera A. The alliance of sphingosine-1-phosphate and its receptors in
immunity. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2008;8(10):753-63. https://10.1038/nri2400.

112. Tsui HS, Pham NVB, Amer BR, Bradley MC, Gosschalk JE, Gallagher-Jones M, et al. Human COQ10A and COQ10B are distinct lipid-binding START domain proteins required for coenzyme Q function. J Lipid Res. 2019;60(7):1293-310. https://10.1194/jlr.M093534 PMID: 31048406.

113. Mantle D, Heaton RA, Hargreaves IP. Coenzyme Q10 and Immune Function: An Overview. Antioxidants. 2021;10(5):759. https://10.3390/antiox10050759.

114. Colomer-Molera M, Sastre D, Sole Codina L, Vallejo-Gracia A, Navarro Perez M, Capera-Aragones J, et al. Kv1.3-dependent immune system activation is regulated by KCNE4. Biophysical Journal. 2023;122(3):14a. https://https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2022.11.302.

115. Li D, Jing J, Dong X, Zhang C, Wang J, Wan X. Activating transcription factor 3: A potential therapeutic target for inflammatory pulmonary diseases. Immunity, Inflammation and Disease. 2023;11(9):e1028. https://https://doi.org/10.1002/iid3.1028.

116. Palecanda A, Paulauskis J, Al-Mutairi E, Imrich A, Qin G, Suzuki H, et al. Role of the Scavenger Receptor MARCO in Alveolar Macrophage Binding of Unopsonized Environmental Particles. Journal of Experimental Medicine. 1999;189(9):1497-506. https://10.1084/jem.189.9.1497.

117. Tabary M, Gheware A, Penaloza HF, Lee JS. The matricellular protein thrombospondin-1 in lung inflammation and injury. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2022;323(3):C857-C65. https://10.1152/ajpcell.00182.2022 PMID: 35912991.

118. Schreiner T, Allnoch L, Beythien G, Marek K, Becker K, Schaudien D, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection Dysregulates Cilia and Basal Cell Homeostasis in the Respiratory Epithelium of Hamsters. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(9):5124. https://10.3390/ijms23095124 PMID: 35563514.

119. Woodruff MC, Bonham KS, Anam FA, Walker TA, Faliti CE, Ishii Y, et al. Chronic inflammation, neutrophil activity, and autoreactivity splits long COVID. Nature Communications. 2023;14(1):4201. https://10.1038/s41467-023-40012-7.

120. Cui L, Fang Z, De Souza CM, Lerbs T, Guan Y, Li I, et al. Innate immune cell activation causes lung fibrosis in a humanized model of long COVID. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2023;120(10):e2217199120. https://10.1073/pnas.2217199120.

121. Kellici TF, Pilka ES, Bodkin MJ. Therapeutic Potential of Targeting Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1 in COVID-19. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2021;42(6):431-3. https://10.1016/j.tips.2021.03.006 PMID: 33867130.

122. Lorè NI, De Lorenzo R, Rancoita PMV, Cugnata F, Agresti A, Benedetti F, et al. CXCL10 levels at hospital admission predict COVID-19 outcome: hierarchical assessment of 53 putative inflammatory biomarkers in an observational study. Molecular Medicine. 2021;27(1):129. https://10.1186/s10020-021-00390-4.

123. Kircheis R, Haasbach E, Lueftenegger D, Heyken WT, Ocker M, Planz O. NF-κB Pathway as a Potential Target for Treatment of Critical Stage COVID-19 Patients. Frontiers in Immunology. 2020;11:598444. https://10.3389/fimmu.2020.598444.

124. Nikkhoo B, Mohammadi M, Hasani S, Sigari N, Borhani A, Ramezani C, et al. Elevated interleukin (IL)-6 as a predictor of disease severity among Covid-19 patients: a prospective cohort study. BMC Infectious Diseases. 2023;23(1):311. https://10.1186/s12879-023-08294-w.

125. Zangeneh Z, Khamisipour G. Elevated HSP70 and HSP90 as Predictive Markers of Immune Activation and Lung Injury in SARS-COV-2 Disease. Iranian Journal of Immunology. 2023;20(3):368-73. https://10.22034/iji.2023.97202.2488.

126. Prerna J, Swati G, Shailendra M, Kamini J, Manisha M, Rumaisha S, et al. Host inducible-HSP70A1A is an irresistible drug target to combat SARS-CoV2 infection and pathogenesis. bioRxiv. 2023:2023.05.05.539661. https://10.1101/2023.05.05.539661.

127. Dinnon KH, Leist SR, Okuda K, Dang H, Fritch EJ, Gully KL, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection produces chronic pulmonary epithelial and immune cell dysfunction with fibrosis in mice. Science Translational Medicine. 2022;14(664):eabo5070. https://10.1126/scitranslmed.abo5070.

128. Ghonim MA, Boyd DF, Flerlage T, Thomas PG. Pulmonary inflammation and fibroblast immunoregulation: from bench to bedside. J Clin Invest. 2023;133(17):e170499. https://10.1172/JCI170499 PMID: 37655660.

129. Monticelli LA, Sonnenberg GF, Abt MC, Alenghat T, Ziegler CG, Doering TA, et al. Innate lymphoid cells promote lung-tissue homeostasis after infection with influenza virus. Nat Immunol. 2011;12(11):1045-54. https://10.1031/ni.2131 PMID: 21946417.

130. Gomes AMC, Farias GB, Dias-Silva M, Laia J, Trombetta AC, Godinho-Santos A, et al. SARS-CoV2 pneumonia recovery is linked to expansion of innate lymphoid cells type 2 expressing CCR10. European Journal of Immunology. 2021;51(12):3194-201. https://https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.202149311.

131. Shuai H, Chan JF, Hu B, Chai Y, Yoon C, Liu H, et al. The viral fitness and intrinsic pathogenicity of dominant SARS-CoV-2 Omicron sublineages BA.1, BA.2, and BA.5. EBioMedicine. 2023;95:104753. https://10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104753 PMID: 37579626.

132. Shuai H, Chan JF, Hu B, Chai Y, Yuen TT, Yin F, et al. Attenuated replication and pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron. Nature. 2022;603(7902):693-9. https://10.1038/s41586-022-04442-5 PMID: 35062016.

133. Barut GT, Halwe NJ, Taddeo A, Kelly JN, Schön J, Ebert N, et al. The spike gene is a major determinant for the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-BA.1 phenotype. Nature Communications. 2022;13(1):5929. https://10.1038/s41467-022-33632-y.

134. Chen D-Y, Chin CV, Kenney D, Tavares AH, Khan N, Conway HL, et al. Spike and nsp6 are key determinants of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 attenuation. Nature. 2023;615(7950):143-50. https://10.1038/s41586-023-05697-2.

135. Winkler ES, Bailey AL, Kafai NM, Nair S, McCune BT, Yu J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection of human ACE2-transgenic mice causes severe lung inflammation and impaired function. Nat Immunol. 2020;21(11):1327-35. https://10.1038/s41590-020-0778-2 PMID: 32839612.

136. Chen B, Julg B, Mohandas S, Bradfute SB, Force RMPT. Viral persistence, reactivation, and mechanisms of long COVID. eLife. 2023;12:e86015. https://10.7554/eLife.86015.

137. Yin K, Peluso MJ, Luo X, Thomas R, Shin M-G, Neidleman J, et al. Long COVID manifests with T cell dysregulation, inflammation and an uncoordinated adaptive immune response to SARS-CoV-2. Nature Immunology. 2024;25:218–25. https://10.1038/s41590-023-01724-6.

138. Zhao F, Ma Q, Yue Q, Chen H. SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Lung Regeneration. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2022;35(2):e0018821. https://10.1128/cmr.00188-21 PMID: 35107300.

139. Santopaolo M, Gregorova M, Hamilton F, Arnold D, Long A, Lacey A, et al. Prolonged T-cell activation and long COVID symptoms independently associate with severe COVID-19 at 3 months. eLife. 2023;12:e85009. https://10.7554/eLife.85009.

140. De Biasi S, Meschiari M, Gibellini L, Bellinazzi C, Borella R, Fidanza L, et al. Marked T cell activation, senescence, exhaustion and skewing towards TH17 in patients with

COVID-19 pneumonia. Nature Communications. 2020;11(1):3434. https://10.1038/s41467-020-17292-4.

141. Di Gennaro F, Belati A, Tulone O, Diella L, Fiore Bavaro D, Bonica R, et al. Incidence of long COVID-19 in people with previous SARS-Cov2 infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 120,970 patients. Internal and Emergency Medicine. 2023;18(5):1573-81. https://10.1007/s11739-022-03164-w.

142. Robertshaw M, Kershaw CD. Post COVID Interstitial Lung Abnormalities—Incidence and Management. Current Pulmonology Reports. 2023;12(2):64-9. https://10.1007/s13665-023-00307-y.

143. Carossino M, Kenney D, O'Connell AK, Montanaro P, Tseng AE, Gertje HP, et al. Fatal Neurodissemination and SARS-CoV-2 Tropism in K18-hACE2 Mice Is Only Partially Dependent on hACE2 Expression. Viruses [Internet]. 2022; 14(3).

144. Yang J-H, Yang M-S, Kim D-M, Kim B, Tark D, Kang S-M, et al. Delta (B1.617.2) variant of SARS-CoV-2 induces severe neurotropic patterns in K18-hACE2 mice. Scientific Reports. 2023;13(1):3303. https://10.1038/s41598-023-29909-x.

145. Choi S, Lee J, Kim S, Lee YW, Kim GC, Hong SM, et al. A longitudinal molecular and cellular lung atlas of lethal SARS-CoV-2 infection in K18-hACE2 transgenic mice. EBioMedicine. 2024;99:104932. https://10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104932 PMID: 38118400.

146. Lee KS, Wong TY, Russ BP, Horspool AM, Miller OA, Rader NA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant induces enhanced pathology and inflammatory responses in K18-hACE2 mice. PLoS One. 2022;17(8):e0273430. https://10.1371/journal.pone.0273430 PMID: 36037222.

147. da Silva Santos Y, Gamon TH, de Azevedo MS, Telezynski BL, de Souza EE, de Oliveira DB, et al. Virulence Profiles of Wild-Type, P.1 and Delta SARS-CoV-2 Variants in K18-hACE2 Transgenic Mice. Viruses [Internet]. 2023; 15(4).

148. Kim JA, Kim SH, Kim JJ, Noh H, Lee SB, Jeong H, et al. Immune Cells Are Differentially Affected by SARS-CoV-2 Viral Loads in K18-hACE2 Mice. Immune Netw. 2024;24(2):e7. https://10.4110/in.2024.24.e7 PMID: 38725670.

149. Rawle DJ, Hugo LE, Cox AL, Devine GJ, Suhrbier A. Generating prophylactic immunity against arboviruses in vertebrates and invertebrates. Nat Rev Immunol. 2024:(Online ahead of print). https://org/10.1038/s41577-024-01016-6.

150. Lee AC, Zhang AJ, Chan JF, Li C, Fan Z, Liu F, et al. Oral SARS-CoV-2 Inoculation Establishes Subclinical Respiratory Infection with Virus Shedding in Golden Syrian Hamsters. Cell Rep Med. 2020;1(7):100121. https://10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100121 PMID: 32984855.

151. Everett HE, Lean FZX, Byrne AMP, van Diemen PM, Rhodes S, James J, et al. Intranasal Infection of Ferrets with SARS-CoV-2 as a Model for Asymptomatic Human Infection. Viruses. 2021;13(1):113. https://10.3390/v13010113.

152. Proal AD, VanElzakker MB, Aleman S, Bach K, Boribong BP, Buggert M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 reservoir in post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC). Nature Immunology. 2023;24(10):1616-27. https://10.1038/s41590-023-01601-2.

# Figure 1

# Figure 2

**a**

|  | Day 2 | Day 5 | Day 10 | Day 30 | Day 66 |

SARS-CoV-2 BA.1 Infected

UV-inactivated virus inoculation

100 μm

**b**

% of lung section area with overt leucocyte infiltrates + SE

p=0.008
p=0.016
p=0.016
p=0.008

● BA.1 infection
● UV-inactivated BA.1 inoculation

Days post-infection/inoculation

**c**

BA.1 infection          UV-inactivated BA.1 inoculation

Day 5 post-infection/inoculation

1 mm

**d**

% of lung section area that is white space + SE

p=0.008

● BA.1 infection
● UV-inactivated BA.1 inoculation

Days post-infection/inoculation

# Figure 3

# Figure 4

# Figure 5

**Lungs, BA.1 vs. UV-BA.1, day 66 (29 DEGs, q<0.05)**

↑ **Plasma cell development**

| DEG | log$_2$FC |
|---|---|
| Igkv3-10 | 6.89 |
| Ighg2c | 6.39 |
| Igkv1-88 | 5.92 |
| Ighv8-12 | 5.64 |
| Igkv3-2 | 5.42 |
| Igkv4-91 | 5.02 |
| Igkv6-17 | 4.86 |
| Ighv1-7 | 4.76 |
| Igkv12-46 | 4.56 |
| Igkv8-28 | 4.50 |
| Igkv10-94 | 3.67 |
| Igkv6-15 | 3.54 |
| Ighv1-26 | 3.02 |
| Igkc | 2.40 |

**Plasma cells & B cells, immunoglobulins (M156.0)**
BTM GSEA
NES= 2.1 q=0.026

**MM-GOBP_ Immunoglobulin production**
MSigBD GSEA
NES= 3.31 q<0.001

↑ **T cell responses**

**T cell activation (M7.3)**
BTM GSEA
NES= 2.06 q=0.028

↓ **T cell exhaustion?**

| DEG | log$_2$FC |
|---|---|
| Nr4a1 | -3.12 |
| (Nr4a2 | -1.7*) |
| Nr4a3 | -2.75 |

**GSEAs**
MSigDB
Blood transcription modules

↓ **Stress response DEGs**

| DEG | log$_2$FC |
|---|---|
| ATF3 | -1.81 |
| Hspa1b | -3.12 |

↓ **DEGs associated with immune functions**

| DEG | log$_2$FC | Function |
|---|---|---|
| Prkd1 | -0.60 | Promotes neutrophil infiltration |
| S1pr1 | -0.62 | Regulates immune reponses |
| Coq10b | -1.03 | Aerobic respiration/antioxidant |
| Kcne4 | -1.41 | Immune regulator |
| Atf3 | -1.81 | Stress-inducible transcription factor |
| Marco | -1.83 | Scavenger receptor |
| Thbs1 | -1.96 | Matricellular protein, damage control |

**DEGs associated with ↑ tissue repair**

| DEG | log$_2$FC | Function |
|---|---|---|
| Grb7 | 0.56 | Cell migration |
| Arfgap3 | 0.34 | Vesicle Transport |
| Cap1 | 0.28 | cAMP proliferation |
| Dusp8 | -1.00 | MAPK inhibitor |

# Figure 6

**a**  Genes whose expression levels positively correlated with dpi (Pearson correlations, q<0.05) n= 2786

Enrichr and IPA analyses

↑ **Ciliated epithelial cell**

**Enrichr**
Descartes Cell Types and Tissue 2021    Ciliated epithelial cells in Lung    q=1.11e-19, Combined score 134
CellMarker Augmented 2021    Ciliated cell:Lung    q=1.08e-13, Combined score 115

**IPA Diseases or Functions**    Formation of Cilia    p=7.88e-11    z= 2.58

↑ **Protein synthesis**

**Enrichr**
GO Biological Process 2023  Cytoplasmic Translation. q= 3.26e-11, Combined score 181

59 ribosomal protein genes (RPL)

**b**  Genes whose expression levels negatively correlated with dpi (Pearson correlations, q<0.05) n=1996

IPA analysis

↓ **Cell movement/migration**   ↓ **Cytokine responses**   ↓ **Cytokine storm, fibrosis and neutrophil degranulation**

**IPA Diseases or Functions (top annotations)**
Cell movement    p=1.75e-85  z= -14.71
Migration of cells  p=3.11e-85  z= -14.16

**IPA Cytokine USRs (top annotations)**
TNF   p=7.3e-73    z= -13.17
IFNG  p=1.4e-83    z= -12.42
IL1B  p=7.7e-54    z= -9.4
CSF2 p=2.5e-52    z= -9.33

**IPA Canonical (top examples)**
Neutrophil degranulation    -log$_{10}$p=19.3    z= -9.59
Pathogen Induced Cytokine
  Storm Signaling Pathway    -log$_{10}$p=22.6    z= -8.79
Pulmonary Fibrosis Idiopathic
  Signaling pathway    -log$_{10}$p=17.9    z= -8.3

**c**

Serpine1 — q=1.33e-4, r= -0.89, r$^2$=0.78
Cxcl10 — q=2.72e-3, r= -0.75, r$^2$=0.56
Nfkb1 — q=2.52e-3, r= -0.75, r$^2$=0.57
IL6 — q=3.1e-2, r= -0.6, r$^2$=0.36
Hspa1b — q=4.92e-2, r= -0.56, r$^2$=0.31

Normalized VST read counts — Days post infection

**d**  Cell types whose abundance scores positively correlated with dpi (Pearson correlations, q<0.05)

Ciliated cell (MCA) — q = 9.16e-05, r = 0.82, r$^2$=0.67
AT1 cell (MCA) — q = 2.66e-02, r = 0.55, r$^2$=0.30
Fibroblastic reticular (NS) — q = 0.018, r = 0.63, r$^2$=0.39
ILC, innate lymphoid cell (NS) — q = 0.018, r = 0.63, r$^2$=0.40

Abundance score — Days post infection