1   **A Foxf1-Wnt-Nr2f1 cascade promotes atrial cardiomyocyte differentiation in zebrafish**

3   Ugo Coppola[1], Jennifer Kenney[1], and Joshua S. Waxman[1,2,3,*]

[1]Molecular Cardiovascular Biology Division and Heart Institute, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical

Center, Cincinnati, OH 45229, USA.

[2]Developmental Biology Division, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH

45229, USA.

[3]Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati, College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH 45229, USA.

*corresponding author.

Email: joshua.waxman@cchmc.org

Short title: A regulatory network promoting *nr2f1a* expression

Abstract

Nr2f transcription factors (TFs) are conserved regulators of vertebrate atrial cardiomyocyte (AC)

differentiation. However, little is known about the mechanisms directing Nr2f expression in ACs. Here,

we identified a conserved enhancer 3' to the *nr2f1a* locus, which we call *3'reg1-nr2f1a* (*3'reg1*), that

can promote Nr2f1a expression in ACs. Sequence analysis of the enhancer identified putative Lef/Tcf

and Foxf TF binding sites. Mutation of the Lef/Tcf sites within the *3'reg1* reporter, knockdown of

Tcf7l1a, and manipulation of canonical Wnt signaling support that Tcf7l1a is derepressed via Wnt

signaling to activate the transgenic enhancer and promote AC differentiation. Similarly, mutation of

the Foxf binding sites in the *3'reg1* reporter, coupled with gain- and loss-of-function analysis

supported that Foxf1 promotes expression of the enhancer and AC differentiation. Functionally, we

find that Wnt signaling acts downstream of Foxf1 to promote expression of the *3'reg1* reporter within

ACs and, importantly, both Foxf1 and Wnt signaling require Nr2f1a to promote a surplus of

differentiated ACs. CRISPR-mediated deletion of the endogenous *3'reg1* abrogates the ability of

Foxf1 and Wnt signaling to produce surplus ACs in zebrafish embryos. Together, our data support

that downstream members of a conserved regulatory network involving Wnt signaling and Foxf1

function on a *nr2f1a* enhancer to promote AC differentiation in the zebrafish heart.

Author Summary

Vertebrate hearts are comprised of atrial chambers, which receive blood, and ventricular chambers

which expel blood, whose functions need to be coordinated for proper blood circulation. During

development, different genetic programs direct the development of these chambers within the

vertebrate heart. Members of a family of genes called *Nr2fs* are conserved regulators of atrial

chamber development in vertebrates, with mutations in *Nr2f2* of humans being associated with

congenital heart defects affecting the atrium. Here, we examine how the gene *nr2f1a*, which is

required for normal atrial chamber development in the model zebrafish, is regulated. Using tools,

including transgenic reporter lines and genetic mutants, we identify that factors previously shown to

regulate atrial chamber development in mammals have conserved roles regulating a genetic element

that promotes *nr2f1a* expression within developing atrial cells. Since there is a lack of understanding

regarding regulation of *Nr2f* genes during vertebrate atrial cell development, our work provides

insights into the conservation of genetic networks that promote heart development in vertebrates and

if perturbed could underlie congenital heart defects.

Introduction

Integrated regulatory networks drive chamber-specific atrial and ventricular cardiomyocyte programs from spatially and temporally defined progenitor populations [1–3]. Key factors within these regulatory networks are nuclear receptor subfamily 2 group F members (Nr2fs; formerly called Coup-tfs), which are critical regulators of vertebrate atrial cardiomyocyte (AC) differentiation during earlier stages of cardiogenesis [4] and subsequently maintain AC identity at later stages [4–6]. Although both Nr2f1 and Nr2f2 paralogs in humans and mice are expressed in ACs [5–7], genetic mapping and functional analysis in cell culture and animal models support that Nr2f2 is the predominant Nr2f that is required for AC differentiation in mammals. In humans, genetic mapping studies have associated mutations in *NR2F2* with structural congenital heart defects (CHDs), including atrioventricular septal defects (AVSDs) [7–9], a malformation predominantly caused by failure of ACs at the venous pole to differentiate and form the atrial septum [10]. Consistent with the necessity of Nr2f2 in mammalian AC differentiation, global *Nr2f2* knockout (KO) mice have small, dysmorphic atrial chambers that lack septa [4]. Furthermore, NR2F2 has a greater requirement promoting atrial differentiation compared to NR2F1 in human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cell-derived models [11]. In contrast to mammalian Nr2fs, zebrafish *nr2f1a* is the functional homolog of mammalian Nr2f2, as it is required for AC differentiation and the maintenance of AC identity [12,13]. Hence, loss of *nr2f1a* results in zebrafish embryos with small atria reminiscent of the atrial defects of Nr2f2 global KO mice [4,11].

While studies in human stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes and mice cumulatively have demonstrated that Nr2f2 is a critical node within a regulatory network that simultaneously promotes atrial differentiation and represses ventricular differentiation within cardiomyocytes [4,5,11,14], we have limited understanding of the upstream regulation of *Nr2f* genes that lead to the proper differentiation of vertebrate ACs, with a variety of somewhat disparate signals and factors showing they can regulate Nr2f expression. In many *in vivo* and *in vitro* developmental contexts, retinoic acid (RA) signaling, which is required to limit heart size and promote differentiation of the posterior second heart field (SHF) [15–18], is necessary and sufficient to promote *Nr2f1* and *Nr2f2* expression [19–22]. Furthermore, RA signaling is sufficient to induce AC differentiation in many *in vitro* induced pluripotent stem cell protocols [23–25]. However, despite candidate RA response elements and epigenetic changes near the promoter [22], it has not been shown if RA signaling directly regulates *Nr2f*

expression within differentiating ACs [26]. In human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes, the

TF Isl1, which is required for SHF differentiation, negatively regulates *NR2F1* downstream of RA

signaling [27]. Additionally, Tbx20, a conserved regulator of cardiomyocyte proliferation [28], is able

to promote *Nr2f2* in the ACs of mice through an evolutionarily conserved enhancer [28]. However, as

Isl1 and *Tbx20* are expressed more broadly, and not specifically expressed in differentiating AC

progenitors at the venous pole or differentiated ACs, how these TFs coordinate with other signals to

promote Nr2f2 expression in ACs is not clear. Similarly, Hedgehog (Hh) signaling has been shown to

be able to promote mouse *Nr2f2* via Gli TFs binding to its promoter *in vitro* [29], although this has not

been shown in the heart development. Taken together, it is interesting that many of these factors that

regulate Nr2fs, in particular RA and Hh signaling, participate in a regulatory network that controls the

timing of SHF progenitor differentiation at the venous pole [30,31]. Elegant work from murine and

stem cell models has demonstrated that Hh signaling is an upstream factor in a regulatory network

involving RA and Wnt signaling, Foxf1/2 and Tbx5 TFs that controls the proper timing of SHF

progenitor differentiation at the venous pole of the heart [30,32]. Loss of most of these factors results

in AVSDs in murine models and humans [33,34], again consistent with the failure of proper AC

differentiation and the impairment of dorsal mesenchymal protrusion development, which contributes

to the atrial septum. Despite this understanding of upstream factors in this regulatory network directing

AC differentiation at the venous pole in mammals, the mechanisms promoting Nr2f expression in ACs

and if there is a connection to the Hh-dependent regulatory network controlling posterior SHF addition

are not understood.

Here, we sought to better understand regulatory networks directing Nr2f expression in the

differentiation of ACs. Examining regions of open chromatin from Assay for Transposase-Accessible

Chromatin (ATAC)-seq analysis of embryonic ACs [35] that were conserved within vertebrates [13],

we identified an enhancer 3' to the *nr2f1a* locus, which we named *3'reg1-nr2f1a* (*3'reg1*), that was

able to promote expression within ACs of stable transgenic lines. A Lef/Tcf binding site and multiple

Foxf binding sites were found within the enhancer. Mutation or deletion of the Left/Tcf site led to

ectopic *3'reg1* reporter activation within the heart, while mutation or deletion of the Foxf sites led to

decreased *3'reg1* reporter expression within ACs. Complementary gain- and loss-of-function

experiments manipulating Wnt signaling and Foxf1 coupled with epistasis analysis support a model

whereby Wnt signaling, which functions at the level or downstream of Foxf1, relieves Tcf7l1a-

mediated repression of the *3'reg1* enhancer and promote AC differentiation. However, in contrast to

the established role of Hh signaling functioning upstream of these factors in venous SHF

differentiation in mammals, Hh was found to modestly repress transgenic *3'reg1* reporter expression

and did not affect AC differentiation. Consistent with Nr2f1a functioning downstream of Wnt signaling

and Foxf1, neither is sufficient to promote a surplus of ACs in zebrafish *nr2f1a* mutants. Furthermore,

CRISPR-mediated deletion of the endogenous *3'reg1* enhancer showed that this abrogated the ability

of Wnt signaling and Foxf1 to enhance the number of differentiated ACs. Cumulatively, our results

support that downstream components of a regulatory network involving Wnt signaling and Foxf1,

which control differentiation of the SHF in mammals, promote AC differentiation via directing zebrafish

nr2f1a expression through a conserved 3' enhancer.

Results

A *nr2f1a* enhancer drives expression in ACs

To decipher regulatory networks that control *Nr2f* gene expression with respect to its role in

heart development, we first examined ~1.5 kb upstream of the transcription start site (TSSs) of *nr2f1a*

as well as the 5'-untranslated region (5'-UTR) for the ability to promote expression in ACs (**S1A Fig.**

and S1 Table). Only 1.5 kb upstream were chosen as to not include an adjacent lincRNA, which could

complicate analysis. The 5'-UTR was included because we previously identified a conserved RA

response element in the *nr2f1a* 5'-UTR [13]. Five different constructs encompassing the 1.5 kb of the

nr2f1a promoter and different lengths of 5'-UTR were examined with reporter vectors driving GFP

(**S1A-F Fig.**). In stable transgenic lines, all the cloned regions showed similar broad expression

throughout the embryos, including in the nervous system, somites, and the heart (**S1B-F Fig.**).

Additionally, the promoter constructs were not responsive to manipulation of RA signaling (data not

shown). Thus, regulatory elements contained within the proximal promoter do not appear to drive

nr2f1a expression specifically in ACs, consistent with the broader transcriptional regulation generally

found with proximal promoters [36], and more distal *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) likely promote

AC-specific *nr2f1a* expression in zebrafish.

Given the conservation of their expression within ACs, we next postulated that *Nr2f* genes

might have conserved CREs that promote their AC expression. To identify conserved CREs that

promote expression specifically in the ACs, we examined regions of open chromatin found in our

recently reported ATAC-seq on isolated ACs from 48 hours post-fertilization (hpf) embryos [35] for

conservation using genomic alignments of all vertebrate *Nr2f1* loci with VISTA plots (**Fig. 1A-C and**

S2A,B,D,F,H Fig.). Although there is a conserved gene desert 5' to vertebrate *Nr2f* genes, we did not

find conservation of sequences within regions of open chromatin in the ~700kb 5' distal the zebrafish

nr2f1a. The regions of open chromatin with conserved sequence in vertebrate genomes were

primarily within ~20kb 5' and 3' of the *nr2f1a* locus, with the conservation in 3' regions of open

chromatin extending to the syntenic gene *fam172a* [37] (**S2A Fig.**). We identified 7 conserved open

regions flanking *nr2f1a* (**Fig. 1A-C**, **S2A,B,D,F,H Fig., and S3A-D Fig.**), which were subsequently

analyzed via cloning into a GFP reporter vector [38]. Two of the putative enhancers did not drive

significant GFP expression in transient transgenic embryos and were not studied further. The rest of

the putative enhancers were named according to their 5' and 3' positions relative to *nr2f1a* (**Fig. 1A**

and S2A Fig.). Those that did drive tissue-specific expression in transient transgenics were raised to

confirm their expression in stable transgenic lines. Two of the transgenic enhancer reporters showed

expression within subdomains that are reminiscent of the endogenous extra-cardiac *nr2f1a*

expression [39]. *5'reg1-nr2f1a* was expressed in the anterior hindbrain and branchial arches (**S2C**

Fig.). *3'reg4-nr2f1a* was expressed in the medial eye, nasal pits, and anterior branchial arch (**S2I**

Fig.). Two putative enhancers, *3'reg2-nr2f1a* and *3'reg3-nr2f1a,* were expressed within the heart by

48 hpf, but did not show atrial-specific expression (**S2E,G Fig.**). However, the *3'reg1-nr2f1a* enhancer

(henceforth referred to as *3'reg1*) showed expression specifically within ACs by 24 hpf, which was

maintained through 72 hpf (**Fig. 1D-H**). Moreover, the strongest expression of the *3'reg1* reporter was

at the venous pole of the atrium and it tapered toward the atrioventricular canal/outflow pole of the

atrium (**Fig. 1E-H**). Although *nr2f1a* expression is first detectable by early somitogenesis stages in

the ALPM [13], with the present analysis we did not identify CREs that drove expression during earlier

stages in the ALPM. Thus, we identified a conserved putative *nr2f1a* enhancer that is able to promote

expression within zebrafish ACs by the early heart tube stage.

Wnt signaling promotes Nr2f1a expression in ACs

To determine signals that could regulate *3'reg1*, we performed TF binding site (TFBS) analysis

using the CIS-BP [40,41], TomTom [42,43], and JASPAR [44] databases. Among the different

putative TFBSs identified within *3'reg1* were a nuclear hormone receptor (NHR) binding site, a Lef/Tcf

binding site, and multiple Foxf binding sites (**Fig. 1C and S4A Fig.**), reflecting signals, including RA

and Wnt signaling, that are involved in promoting the proper timing of AC differentiation from the

posterior SHF progenitors in mice [15,16,32,45]. Furthermore, there was enriched conservation of

nucleotides in the regions of the enhancers harboring the potential binding sites, although there was

less conservation over the putative Lef/Tcf binding site (**Fig. 1C**). We next systematically interrogated

the requirement of each of these sites in promoting *3'reg1:GFP* expression in ACs. Since RA signaling

potentially regulates *Nr2f* expression during atrial differentiation [13,25,46], we initially examined the

requirement of the NHR site (**S4A-C Fig.**). However, deletion of the NHR site did not alter expression

of the reporter compared to the unaltered *3'reg1:GFP* reporter in transient transgenic embryos nor

did modulation of RA signaling via treatment with RA and the RA signaling inhibitor DEAB affect

expression in stable transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* lines (data not shown**).** Therefore, RA signaling does not

appear to regulate *nr2f1a* expression through this enhancer.

Lef/Tcf TFs are mediators of canonical Wnt signaling [47,48], with numerous *in vivo* and *in*

vitro studies having shown it controls differentiation of ACs [49,50]. Furthermore, we have shown that

increased Wnt signaling during later somitogenesis immediately prior to the formation of the heart

tube is necessary and sufficient to specifically produce an increase in ACs in zebrafish embryos [51].

Additionally, studies have demonstrated that Wnt signaling is expressed in a gradient extending from

the venous pole of the zebrafish heart [51–53], which is reminiscent of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter. We

found that either deletion or targeted mutation of the Lef/Tcf site both resulted in an expansion of the

reporter throughout the heart by 48 hpf relative to the *3'reg1:GFP* in transient transgenic embryos

(**Fig. 2A-F**). As this result suggests the site may be used to restrict enhancer expression, we reasoned

that Tcf7l1a (formerly called Tcf3a), a transcriptional repressor whose repression is relieved by Wnt

signaling [54] that we have previously implicated in early cardiomyocyte development [53], may be

limiting the expression of the reporter within the heart at these stages of cardiogenesis. To determine

if Tcf71a limits expression of the reporter, *3'reg1:GFP* embryos were injected with an established

morpholino (MO) that identically phenocopies the zebrafish *tcf7l1a* mutants [55]. We found that

Tcf7l1a depletion produced pan-cardiac expression of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter (**Fig. 2G-I**), supporting

that Tcf7l1a is required to limit *3'reg1* reporter expression within the heart.

As the activation of the transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* reporter via Tcf7l1a depletion would predict

Wnt signaling should also regulate the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter expression within the heart, we

manipulated Wnt signaling in *3'reg1:GFP* embryos at the 20 somite (s) stage with treatments of BIO

and XAV939 (XAV), which respectively activate and inhibit Wnt signaling [56]. The 20s stage was

chosen because we previously found that modulation of Wnt signaling could specifically affect AC

number slightly prior to this stage at ~16s [51,53]. Although the effect of Wnt signaling on AC

differentiation was not examined previously at the 20s stage, this stage was also just prior to when

we can detect transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* reporter expression. We found that by 48 hpf the hearts of the

embryos treated with BIO showed pan-cardiac GFP expression (**Fig. 3A,B,D**), similar to perturbation

of the Lef/Tcf sites in the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter (**Fig. 2C-E**) and Tcf7l1a depletion (**Fig. 2G,H**), while

XAV abrogated GFP expression within the atria (**Fig. 3A,C,D**). Since our data supported that Wnt

signaling activates the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter, likely via derepression of Tcf7l1a [53], we tested if Wnt

signaling at these stages impacts Nr2f1a expression within the heart. As a proxy for affecting Nr2f1a

expression, we quantified the number of Nr2f1a[+] cardiomyocyte nuclei within the hearts of 48 hpf

embryos treated with BIO and XAV beginning at the 20s stage (**Fig. 3E-H**). Although we did not

observe obvious ectopic Nr2f1a expression within ventricular cardiomyocytes (VCs) as with the

3'reg1:GFP reporter, BIO treatment at the 20s stage produced a surplus of Nr2f1a[+]/Ahmc[+]

cardiomyocytes (ACs) by 48 hpf. Conversely, XAV treatment caused a decrease in Nr2f1a[+]/Amhc[+]

cardiomyocytes (ACs) within the atrium, with the Amhc[+] ACs qualitatively having a reduction in Nr2f1a

expression within their nuclei. Based on the effects of Wnt signaling on Nr2f1a in ACs and our

previous observations that manipulation of Wnt signaling at similar somitogenesis stages specifically

affected AC production [51], we tested the impact of Wnt manipulation on ACs using the

myl7:DsRed2-NLS transgene [57]. In agreement with the assessment of Nr2f1a[+] cardiomyocytes,

BIO and XAV treatment at the 20s stage respectively produced a surplus and decrease in the number

of ACs (*myl7*:DsRed2-NLS[+]/Amhc[+] cardiomyocytes) by 48 hpf (**Fig. 3I-L**). However, we did not detect

changes in the number of VCs (**S5A Fig.**), similar to what we have reported previously [51,53]. Thus,

Wnt signaling is necessary and sufficient at the 20s stage to activate the transgenic *3'reg1:GFP*

reporter and promote a surplus of differentiated ACs, likely through derepression of Tcf7l1a.

Foxf1 promotes Nr2f1a expression in ACs

In mice, Foxf1 and Foxf2 TFs control the proper differentiation of posterior SHF progenitors

downstream of Hh signaling [30,58]. Thus, we were intrigued by the conserved Foxf sites within the

3'reg1 enhancer (**Fig. 1C**). We found that in transient transgenic embryos deletion or mutation of each

of the 3 Foxf binding sites diminished the percentage of embryos with GFP in their atria relative to

injection of the wild-type (WT) *3'reg1* reporter (**Fig. 4A-C**), suggesting the putative Foxf sites may be

required to promote *3'reg1:GFP* expression. To further test this hypothesis, murine *Foxf1* mRNA was

injected into *3'reg1:GFP* transgenic embryos. We found Foxf1 was sufficient to expand and promote

3'reg1:GFP expression into VCs by 48 hpf (**Fig. 4D,E,G**). Conversely, injecting a dominant-negative

murine *Foxf1* (*dnFoxf1*) mRNA into the *3'reg1:GFP* transgenic embryos was able to inhibit reporter

expression within the heart (**Fig. 4D,F,G**). Although zebrafish mutants for *foxf1* have been described [59], if they have cardiac defects was not reported. Nevertheless, we sought to determine if *foxf1* is required for *3'reg1:GFP* reporter expression and we targeted zebrafish *foxf1* using the CRISPR-Cas12a system [60–62] with guides we found were highly efficient in creating deletions (**S6A,B Fig.**). Although we did not observe overt cardiac defects, injection of the *foxf1* CRISPRs was sufficient to reduce expression of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter in transgenic embryos (**S6C-E Fig.**). Given the effects on the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter, we asked if Foxf1 was sufficient to promote Nr2f1a$^+$ ACs and increase the number of differentiated ACs. We found that embryos injected with *Foxf1* or *dnFoxf1* mRNA respectively showed increases and decreases in both Nr2f1a$^+$/Amhc$^+$ and *myl7:DsRed2-NLS$^+$*/Amhc$^+$ cardiomyocytes within their hearts at 48 hpf (**Fig. 4H-O**), without affecting the number of VCs (**S5B Fig.**). As with Wnt inhibition, embryos injected with the *dnFoxf1* mRNA qualitatively had reduced expression of Nr2f1a within the atrium at 48 hpf (**Fig. 4J**). Thus, our data suggest that Foxf1 can activate the transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* reporter and promote an increase in differentiated Nr2f1a-expressing ACs.

Hh signaling represses *3'reg1* reporter expression

In mice, Hh signaling has been established as a key regulator of posterior SHF differentiation upstream of factors, including Foxf1 and Foxf2, and Wnt signaling [29–31], and has previously been implicated in regulating Nr2f2 expression in P19 cells [63]. Thus, we asked if Hh signaling could affect the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter within the atria. Transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* embryos were treated with the Hh signaling inhibitor Cyclopamine (CYA) [64] and the Hh signaling activator SAG [65] beginning at the 20s stage, which is after previous reports demonstrated that Hh signaling affects the production of cardiac progenitors [55]. However, in contrast to the effects of Wnt and Foxf1 manipulation, embryos treated with CYA at the 20s stage unexpectedly showed a modest expansion of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter within the atrium, while SAG-treated *3'reg1:GFP* embryos showed a reduction of *3'reg1*:GFP-expression (**S7A-D Fig.**). To confirm that Hh signaling represses *3'reg1:GFP* reporter expression, we examined the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter in *smoothened* (*smo)* mutants. Consistent with CYA treatments, we found a modest expansion of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter within the atria of *smo* mutants (**S7E-G Fig.**). However, despite the effects on the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter within the atrium, modulation of Hh

signaling did not affect the number of Nr2f1a$^+$ ACs (**S7H-K Fig.**). As Hh signaling may be upstream

of Wnt signaling in posterior SHF differentiation in mammals [31,66], we also concurrently

manipulated Wnt and Hh signaling at the 20s stage with the aforementioned drugs. We found that

simultaneously inhibiting or activating Wnt and Hh signaling on decreased and increased expression

of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter within the heart, similar to inhibiting or activation of Wnt signaling alone

(**S7L-O Fig.**). Therefore, our data suggest that in contrast to mammalian venous pole differentiation

Hh signaling is likely not affecting AC differentiation at these stages of cardiogenesis nor is it

promoting Nr2f expression in ACs upstream of Wnt or Foxf1 in zebrafish embryos.

Wnt signaling functions downstream of Foxf1

To decipher the functional relationship between Wnt signaling/Tcf7l1a and Foxf1 on activation

of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter within the atrium, we simultaneously manipulated these signals within the

transgenic embryos. While *3'reg1:GFP* embryos injected with *Foxf1* mRNA had pan-cardiac

expression, the majority of *Foxf1* mRNA-injected *3'reg1:GFP* embryos treated with XAV at the 20s

stage lose *3'reg1:GFP* reporter expression within the atrium by 48 hpf, similar to XAV treatments

alone (**Fig. 5A-E**). We next simultaneously injected *Foxf1* and zebrafish *tcf7l1a* mRNAs into the

3'reg1:GFP embryos. Consistent with the Tcf7l1a functioning as a repressor, *tcf7l1a* overexpression

abrogated *3'reg1:GFP* reporter expression within the atrium (**Fig. 5F,H,J**). When both TFs were co-

overexpressed in *3'reg1:GFP* embryos, we did not observe pan-cardiac expression of the *3'reg1:GFP*

reporter within the atrium at 48 hpf (**Fig. 5F-J**). Next, we co-injected the *tcf7l1a* MO and *dnFoxf1*

mRNA into the *3'reg1:GFP* embryos. In this scenario, we found that the *dnFoxf1* was not sufficient to

block the pan-cardiac expression induced by Tcf7l1a depletion (**Fig. 5K-O**). Since these data

suggested that Wnt signaling may function downstream of Foxf1, we asked if Foxf1 was sufficient to

promote an increase in Wnt signaling within embryos. RT-qPCR on 24 hpf embryos injected with

Foxf1 mRNA promoted a modest but significant increase in the expression of *axin1*, a Wnt responsive

gene that negatively regulates canonical Wnt signaling [67] , and a decrease in the expression of the

Wnt signaling inhibitor *dkk1a* [67] (**S8A,B Fig.**). Cumulatively, these data suggest that Wnt signaling

functions downstream, or parallel, to Foxf1 in the activation of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter.

Wnt signaling and Foxf1 require Nr2f1a to promote surplus ACs

Nr2f1a is required for AC differentiation within the early zebrafish heart [12]. As our results support that enhanced Wnt signaling and Foxf1 are sufficient to promote more differentiated ACs, we asked if this ability was dependent on Nr2f1a. Embryos resulting from crosses of $nr2f1a^{+/-}$ fish that carry the *myl7:DsRed2-NLS* transgene were treated with BIO at the 20s stage. We found that BIO treatment was not sufficient to produce an increase of ACs in 48 hpf *nr2f1a* mutants, while it was sufficient to produce an increase in the number of ACs in their WT siblings (**Fig. 6A-E**). Similarly, injection of *Foxf1* mRNA into embryos resulting from crosses of $nr2f1a^{+/-}$ fish that carry the *myl7:DsRed2-NLS* transgene showed that *Foxf1* mRNA was no longer sufficient to produce a surplus of ACs in *nr2f1a* mutants, unlike their control sibling embryos (**Fig. 6F-J**). Thus, our data support that Nr2f1a is required for Wnt signaling and Foxf1 to be sufficient to promote a surplus of ACs.

Wnt signaling and Foxf1 require *3'reg1* to promote ACs

Since the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter is expressed in ACs, we wanted to determine if the endogenous *3'reg1* enhancer is required *in vivo* to promote or maintain Nr2f1a expression in ACs. Therefore, we deleted the endogenous *3'reg1* enhancer in zebrafish using a CRISPR-Cas12a system [68] (**Fig. 7A and S9A,B Fig.**). We found that embryos with homozygous deletion of the endogenous *3'reg1* enhancer overtly did not show defects (**S9C,D Fig.**). Furthermore, there was no difference in the number of ACs (Nr2f1a+/Amhc+ cardiomyocytes) in the hearts of *3'reg1* deletion mutants compared to their WT sibling embryos at 48 hpf (**Fig. 7B,C,F,G,H,K**), suggesting that *in vivo* deletion of the *3'reg1* enhancer alone is not sufficient to abrogate proper N2f1a expression within the atrium. Consequently, we then asked if Wnt signaling and Foxf1 require the endogenous *3'reg1* enhancer to be able to produce a surplus of Nr2f1a+ ACs. We found that both BIO treatment and Foxf1 mRNA injection were unable to produce a surplus of Nr2f1a+/Amhc+ cardiomyocytes within the hearts of homozygous *3'reg1* deletion mutants (**Fig. 7B-K**). Overall, these data suggest that the endogenous *3'reg1* is required to mediate the sensitivity of Nr2f1a and AC differentiation to Wnt signaling and Foxf1 in the zebrafish atrium.

Discussion

In the present study, our data show that in zebrafish embryos Wnt signaling and Foxf1 function upstream of Nr2f1a in promoting AC differentiation via regulating a conserved *Nr2f1* enhancer, which is located ~2.5kb 3' to zebrafish *nr2f1a* (**Fig. 8**). Previous work has placed both these signals downstream of Hh signaling in a regulatory network that controls the differentiation of ACs from the posterior SHF in mice [31,32,66]. Thus, our data support that there are conserved roles for these downstream factors in promoting the proper number of differentiated ACs in the hearts of vertebrates. Numerous studies have investigated the different roles of canonical Wnt signaling in cardiac development in mice, zebrafish, and stem cells [32,33,47,53,69]. Furthermore, our previous study examining the temporal requirements of Wnt signaling in zebrafish showed that it is necessary and sufficient to specifically promote surplus ACs during later somitogenesis immediately prior to the formation of the heart tube [51,53], a time point when posterior SHF progenitors are adding to the zebrafish heart [70]. Additional work in zebrafish has shown that Wnt signaling at the lateral borders of the heart field and at the venous pole is required for the differentiation of pacemaker cardiomyocytes [71]. Our present study corroborates the role for Wnt signaling in promoting the proper number of ACs as the heart tube is forming during late somitogenesis, which we propose is through affecting the differentiation of ACs from the zebrafish posterior SHF. Furthermore, our work supports that the ability of Wnt signaling to promote ACs necessitates Nr2f1a, whose expression is regulated via the derepression of Tcf7l1a.

Despite the established roles of Foxf1/2 TFs in regulating differentiation of the posterior SHF in mice [58,72,73], if Foxf TFs play similar roles in zebrafish heart development has not been investigated. Previous work examining Foxf factors in zebrafish demonstrated redundant requirements for Foxf1/2 TFs in craniofacial development [59,74]. Similar to the roles of Foxf1/2 in mice [30,58,72], our data suggest that the Foxf TFs are necessary and sufficient to promote the formation of ACs in zebrafish embryos. Although our data did not yet specifically address the temporal or spatial requirements of Foxf factors, the necessity of Nr2f1a in promoting the proper number of differentiated ACs would also support a model that affects the differentiation of zebrafish posterior SHF progenitors. Thus, as with Wnt signaling, our data support a conserved requirement for Foxf TFs upstream of Nr2f1a in controlling the differentiation of ACs at the venous pole in vertebrates. However,

although we found that depletion of *foxf1* affected the expression of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter, zebrafish

foxf1 crispants did not overtly have cardiac defects. Similarly, Wnt signaling and Foxf1 could induce

ectopic *3'reg1:GFP* reporter in VCs, but neither were able to induce ectopic Nr2f1a in VCs. Hence,

the reporter may be more sensitive to manipulation of Wnt signaling and Foxf factors than

endogenous Nr2f1a due to a lack of input from additional CREs. Nevertheless, we postulate that

multiple *foxf* factors in zebrafish likely function redundantly to promote AC differentiation of zebrafish,

as with Foxf1/2 in mice [58,72], which will be investigated more in the future.

Integrating how Wnt signaling and Foxf TFs function upstream of Nr2f1a, our analysis

supports that Wnt signaling, via derepression of Tcf7l1a, functions downstream, or at the level, of

Foxf1. This functional relationship is reminiscent of other developmental contexts, such as

osteogenesis [75,76], where Foxf factors have been found to regulate the canonical Wnt signaling

pathway [77]. Despite their functional relationship in promoting the differentiation of zebrafish ACs,

we have not determined the specific mechanism by which Foxf factors regulate Wnt signaling in this

context. Given the adjacent Foxf sites and Lef/Tcf site within the *3'reg1* CRE, one might predict that

Foxf factors, which can act as pioneer factors [78,79], facilitate the derepression of Tcf7l1a via making

the CRE accessible to Wnt signaling. However, our data would argue against this model, as we find

Tcf7l1a does not appear to require Foxf for the derepression. Another possibility is that Foxf reinforces

the activation of the CRE via simultaneously acting on the *3'reg1* enhancer and independently

promoting Wnt signaling via derepression of Tcf7l1a. Additionally, while Foxf1 can function as a

transcriptional activator [80], it is predominantly known as a transcriptional suppressor [81,82]. The

dependence of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter on the putative Foxf sites would minimally suggest that in this

context these sites are being utilized by a transcriptional activator, which correlates with the evidence

that Foxf1 is able to promote *3'reg1:GFP* reporter expression and atrial differentiation. Nevertheless,

we acknowledge that in the absence of evidence for direct binding to the endogenous *3'reg1*

enhancer, other scenarios are plausible, including that Foxf1 represses a Wnt inhibitor. However, in

mice, in addition to repression of factors that limit differentiation of the posterior SHF, Foxf1/2 are also

required for the activation of chamber-specific differentiation programs [30] which could also suggest

that it has dual functions as a repressor and activator in this context.

In mammals, Hh signaling sits atop a regulatory network that controls the proper timing of

differentiation from posterior SHF progenitors through Tbx5 and Foxf TFs, and Wnt and RA signaling

[30,32,69]. Although our data support that downstream factors in this regulatory network, Wnt

signaling and Foxf TFs, are conserved in regulating posterior SHF addition in zebrafish, our data and

previous studies do not support the conservation of the upstream factors [30,31]. Previous work

established that in zebrafish Hh signaling is required during early patterning stages to promote the

proper number of differentiated ACs and VCs [55], while a requirement at later stages of

somitogenesis and posterior SHF addition equivalent to those examined in this study was not found.

Consistent with the previous observations of the temporal requirements of Hh signaling [55,83], we

did not find it was required upstream of Wnt signaling or Foxf. Instead, we found that there was a

minimal effect of Hh signaling repressing the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter, which was the opposite of what

would be expected from the mammalian data. Furthermore, we did not find evidence that Hh regulates

Nr2f1a or affects the number of differentiated ACs in zebrafish during late somitogenesis and early

heart tube formation. Previous work has also established that Tbx5, which functions downstream of

Hh and RA signaling in mice in posterior SHF differentiation [30–32], is not required for zebrafish atrial

differentiation [84–86]. It is interesting to consider the lack of conservation of these upstream factors

in the regulation of the vertebrate posterior SHF. The posterior SHF-derived cells that contribute to

the single atrium and venous pole in zebrafish are significantly less than that found in mice [70,83].

By virtue of its lack of requirement, our work would support the proposed hypothesis whereby

heterochrony of a Hh-dependent regulatory network may have contributed to the enlargement of the

posterior SHF and the concurrent the evolution of the pulmonary system in air-breathing vertebrates

[69,83].

Specifically evaluating the *3'reg1* enhancer, our data support that it is highly conserved among

vertebrates, but is absent in agnathans (hagfish and lamprey) and birds. A lack of this CRE in

agnathans could suggest that it evolved beginning in cartilaginous fishes. Although not explored here,

Nr2f2 genes, which diverged from *Nr2f1* early in the vertebrate lineage [37], also have a conserved

putative enhancer located in a similar region (**S10 Fig.**), suggesting these enhancers may have had

a similar origin at least in agnathans prior to the divergence of these paralogs. Although the *Nr2f1*

3'reg1 is lost in birds, the conservation of the putative *Nr2f2-3'reg1* within birds could reflect the

greater reliance of *Nr2f2* within their hearts similar to its role in mammals. The general conservation of the *3'reg1* in vertebrates is interesting in light that it has been suggested that CREs regulating cardiac expression are actually poorly conserved in vertebrates [87]. Additionally, deletion of the *3'reg1* did not cause overt loss of or failure to maintain Nr2f1a and ACs deficits. Thus, other CREs are likely involved in or compensate for the loss of the *3'reg1*, which is not uncommon [88], and may also be a reason its loss could be tolerated in agnathans and birds. We focused on the *3'reg1* because of the functional importance of *nr2f1a* in zebrafish atrial differentiation. Our data support that Wnt signaling and Foxf factors require the endogenous *3'reg1* enhancer to be able to augment the number of ACs, even if the element itself is not required to promote or maintain normal Nr2f1a expression within the heart. Furthermore, although this was the only conserved *Nr2f1* CRE thus far that we identified promotes AC-specific expression, we did find nearby CREs that promoted expression more broadly in cardiomyocytes. We have not yet examined the coordination of these CREs and if expression driven with the region 3' to *nr2f1a* encompassing all the CREs better reflects endogenous *nr2f1a* within ACs. Additionally, as the transgenic *3'reg1* reporter expression initiates at early heart tube stages, which is later than endogenous *nr2f1a* expression occurs in the anterior lateral plate mesoderm during early somitogenesis, and demonstrates a graded pattern, this would suggest that this enhancer is required to promote or maintain *nr2f1a* expression within more venous ACs at later stages.

In summary, our data have provided insights into a Foxf-Wnt-Nr2f regulatory network that determines the number of differentiated ACs within the zebrafish heart. Our study has implications for our understanding of the development and evolution of gene regulatory networks controlling posterior SHF and AC differentiation in vertebrates and given the conserved requirements of Nr2f factors could be used to inform us of mechanisms underlying congenital heart defects that affect the atria in mammals. Future studies will be aimed at deciphering the specific transcriptional mechanisms that control the logic of this regulatory network and expanding our analysis to additional CREs directing *Nr2f1* and *Nr2f2* expression in ACs.

Funding

Work in the manuscript was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants R01 HL168790

and R01 HL137766 to J.S.W., by American Heart Association (AHA) postdoctoral fellowship 831018

to U.C., and by AHA Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship (SURF) grant 18UFEL33930019

to J.K.. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or

preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kendall Martin for technical assistance, training, and reading of the manuscript.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All zebrafish husbandry and experiments were performed following protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center (Protocol IACUC2023-1048).

Zebrafish husbandry and lines used

Adult zebrafish were raised and maintained under standard laboratory conditions [89]. WT fish used were mixed AB-TL background. Transgenic and mutant lines used were: *Tg(-1.5_nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1020}*, *Tg(-1.4_nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1021}*, *Tg(-0.7s_nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1022}*, *Tg(-0.7m_nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1023}*, *Tg(-0.7l_nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1024}*, *Tg(5'reg1-nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1025}*, *Tg(3'reg1-nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1026}*, *Tg(3'reg2-nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1027}*, *Tg(3'reg3-nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1028}*, *Tg(3'reg4-nr2f1a:GFP)^{ci1029}*, *Tg(−5.1myl7:DsRed2-NLS)^{f2}* [57], *Tg(-5.1myl7:EGFP)^{twu26}* [90], *smo^{s294}* [91], *nr2f1a^{ci1009}* [35].

Analysis of *Nr2f* loci and TFBS analysis

ATAC-seq data used in this study on flow-sorted zebrafish ACs at 48 hpf and alignments shown were reported previously (GEO, Accession # GSE 194054) [35]. Regions of open chromatin 700 kb upstream (a gene desert) and 18 kb downstream of the *nr2f1a* zebrafish locus were initially examined within the UCSC browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu). Open chromatin in these regions of the zebrafish genome adjacent to the *nr2f1a* locus that appeared to have conservation based on alignments with genomes available in the UCSC genome browser were selected for additional analysis of conservation through alignments using mVista (http://genome.lbl.gov/vista/mvista/submit.shtml) and subsequent reciprocal nBLASTs on Ensembl (Ensembl.org). Specific sequences from species corresponding to the conserved regions of open chromatin flanking *Nr2f1* loci in other species were retrieved from Ensembl: Australian ghostshark (*Callorhinchus_milii*-6.1.3), Tropical clawed frog (UCB_*Xtro*_10.0), Green anole (*AnoCar*2.0v2), Chicken (bGalGal1.mat.broiler.GRCg7b), House mouse (GRCm39), and Human (GRCh38.p14). The conserved regions in the different species, corresponding to the open chromatin in the zebrafish ACs,

470   were manually aligned using Clustal Omega [92]. Sequences with a high degree of conservation from

471   the alignment were analyzed for the presence of conserved TFBS using CIS-BP [40,41] , TomTom

472   [42,43], and JASPAR databases [44].

Cloning of Plasmids

The *nr2f1a* promoter and enhancer reporters were generated using established Gateway

cloning methods [93]. The designated regions of the *nr2f1a* promoter were cloned into *pDONR P4-*

P1r to generate 5'-entry clones. Subsequently, each of the *p5E-nr2f1a* promoter clones were

combined with *pME-EGFP* middle-entry and *p3E-polyA* 3'-entry clones into the previously reported

pDestTol2p2a-cry:DsRed destination vector [93] to generate the *nr2f1a* promoter reporter constructs.

The putative enhancer regions were cloned into the *pE1b-GFP-Tol2-Gateway* vector (Addgene,

plasmid # 37846) [38]. A QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent, Cat # 200524) was

employed to generate the designated deletions and mutations of the putative binding sites within the

3'reg1-nr2f1a:GFP reporter vector. Primers used for cloning are listed in **S2 Table**.

The murine *Foxf1* construct (gift of V. Kalinichenko) was reported previously [72]. The

construct contains N-terminal Flag and C-terminal His tags. This construct was subcloned into

pCS2p+DEST1, a version of *pCS2-Dest1* [94] with a Pst1 site added, using Gateway cloning. The

dnFoxf1 expression construct was generated via fusing the Engrailed transcriptional repressor

domain with a 21 bp linker to the 5'-end of the murine *Foxf1* construct using PCR and placed into the

pCS2p+DEST1 vector.

Morpholino (MO), mRNA, and plasmid injections

492   The *tcf7l1a* (aka *tcf3a*) MO was reported previously [95]. 1 ng of *tcf7l1a* MO was injected.

493   mRNAs for *Foxf1*, *dnFoxf1*, and zebrafish *tcf7l1a [54]* were generated from linearized plasmid using

494   a Sp6 Message Machine kit (Thermo-Fisher, Cat # AM1340). 50 pg of mRNA for *Foxf1* and *dnFoxf1*

495   were injected. 5 pg of zebrafish *tcf7l1a* was injected. All injections were performed at the one-cell

496   stage.

Generation of transgenic lines

Tol2 mRNA was generated from linearized plasmid using a Sp6 Message Machine kit

(Thermo-Fisher, Cat # AM1340) [93]. For transient transgenesis and the generation of stable

transgenic lines, 25 pg of plasmid DNA was co-injected with 25 pg of *Tol2* mRNA. For stable

transgenic lines, fish were raised to adulthood and founders were identified via outcrossing to WT

fish. The transgenic F1 fish were then selected and raised. At least two independent transgenic lines

were initially examined and raised to confirm the equivalency of expression patterns. Only one

transgenic line for each stable transgenic reporter was maintained. For experiments, homozygous

transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* reporter fish were outcrossed to WT AB/TL fish.

Drug treatments

All drug treatments were performed on embryos beginning at the 20s stage in 2 mL of embryo

water with drugs at the specified concentrations in 3 mL glass vials. 30 embryos/vial were used for all

experiments. Treated embryos in vials were placed on a nutator in an incubator at 28.5°C for the

duration of the treatments. Concentrations of drugs used for treatments were: 1 µM RA (Sigma

R2625), 2.5 µM DEAB (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat # D86256), 5 µM BIO (Tocris, Cat # 3194), 10 µM XAV939

(Tocris, Cat # 3748), 75 µM CYA (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat # C4116), 10 µM SAG (Tocris, Cat # 4366). All

the drugs were rinsed out with blue water three times at 48 hpf prior to processing for IHC performed

as described below.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and cardiomyocyte quantification

IHC was performed as has been previously reported [18]. Briefly, embryos at the indicated

stages were fixed for 1 hr at RT in 1% formaldehyde/1X PBS in 3 ml glass vials. Embryos were

washed 1X in PBS and then 2X in 0.1% saponin/1X PBS, followed by blocking in 0.1% saponin/0.5%

sheep serum/1X PBS (Saponin blocking solution) for one hr. Primary antibodies were applied to the

embryos in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Embryos were then gently washed 3X with 0.1%

saponin/1X PBS followed by 2 hr incubation with secondary antibodies at RT. Secondary antibodies

were washed out with 0.1% saponin/1X PBS. Primary antibodies used were: rabbit anti-Nr2f1a (1:100;

custom antibody [12]), rabbit anti-DsRED (1:1000; Clontech, Cat # 632496), chicken anti-GFP (1:250;

Invitrogen, Cat # A10262), mouse anti-Amhc (1:10, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Cat #

S46), mouse anti-Mhc (1:10, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Cat #, MF20). Secondary

antibodies used: Goat anti-mouse IgG1 DyLight (1:250, Biolegend, Cat # 409109), Goat anti-chicken

IgY Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500, Invitrogen, Cat # A11039), Goat anti-rabbit IgG TRITC (1:100, Southern

Biotech, Cat # 4050-03), Goat anti-mouse IgG2b TRITC (Southern Biotech, 1:100, Cat # 1090-03).

Cardiomyocytes were quantified as previously reported [46]. Briefly, embryos were mounted ventral

side down on coverslips. Images of the hearts were taken using a Nikon A1R Confocal Microscope

with a 16X water immersion objective and the resonance scanner. The number of $Nr2f1a^+$ and

myl7:DsRed2-NLS$^+$ nuclei in Amhc+ cardiomyocytes were counted in the images using Fiji [96].

Embryos were genotyped following imaging and cardiomyocyte quantification.

In situ hybridization (ISH)

Whole-mount ISH was conducted similar to what has been previously reported [18]. Briefly,

embryos were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde/1X PBS in 3 ml glass vials.

Subsequently, embryos were rinsed with PBS/0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) 3X and then dehydrated with

increased percentage of methanol in PBST in series to 100% methanol and stored overnight at 4°C.

Embryos were then rehydrated using a PBST/methanol series to 100% PBST, and then washed 4X

in PBST. Digoxygenin-labeled *GFP* (ZDB-EFG-070117-2) riboprobe was used. Anti-Digoxygenin-AP,

Fab fragment (Millipore-Sigma, Cat # 11093274910) was used to detect the Digoxygenin-labeled

riboprobe in embryos. 3.5μL/mL NBT (Sigma-Roche, Cat # 11383213001) plus 3.5μL/mL BCIP

(Sigma-Roche, Cat # 11383221001) in the Staining buffer (0.1M Tris-HCl, pH 9.5/0.1M MgCl2/1M

NaCl/0.1% Tween-20) were used for the coloration reaction. Embryos were then rinsed with PBST

3X and dehydrated with a methanol/PBST series to 100% methanol, stored overnight at 4°C, followed

by rehydration using a PBST/methanol series to 100% PBST. The embryos were then put in a

glycerol/PBST series to 80% glycerol/PBST for imaging with a Zeiss_v12 M2Bio Stereo Microscope.

Real Time qPCR

Total RNA isolation and RT-qPCR were performed as previously described [97]. Whole

embryo RNA was obtained from groups of 30 24 hpf embryos using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Cat #

15596026) and Purelink RNA Microkit (Invitrogen, Cat # 12183016). cDNA was generated using 1μg

total RNA and the Superscript Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen, Cat # 18080-051). RT-qPCR for *dkk1a* and *axin1*, was carried out using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Cat # 4368706) in a BioRad CFX-96 PCR machine. Expression levels were standardized to *β-actin* expression [97] and data were analyzed using the Livak $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ Method [98]. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Primers used are listed in **S3 Table.**

Generation of zebrafish mutants and crispants

The *3'reg1* deletion mutants and *foxf1* crispants were made using CRISPR/Cas12a (Cfp1), similar to what has been reported [60]. *Acidaminococcus sp. BV3L6 (As)* crRNAs were generated to the 5'- and 3'- sequences of the *3'reg1-nr2f1a* enhancer and the coding region of *foxf1* exon1 using CHOPCHOP (http://chopchop.cbu.uib.no) [61]. The target-specific sequences at the 3'-end of the *As* crRNAs were fused with the common portion of the *As* crRNA with a T7 site at the 5'-end using PCR. The *As* crRNAs were then generated using T7 MEGAScript Kit (Thermo Fisher, Cat # AM1333). A solution of 2 *As* crRNAs (380 pg/µl) were incubated at RT with *As* Cas12a protein (2 µg/µl; IDT, Cat # 10001272) for 20 minutes prior to injection. 760 ng of the crRNA mixture was co-injected along with 4 ng of AsCas12a protein. Multiple pools of 10 embryos were screened for efficacy of the crRNA pairs in generating deletions with PCR. For the *3'reg1* enhancer, sibling embryos from injections that showed efficiency of the crRNAs in creating the appropriate deletions were raised to adulthood. Multiple pools of F1 progeny from founders were then screened for deletions using PCR, followed by cloning and sequencing. A *3'reg1* allele with a 354 bp deletion was identified and subsequently recovered. For the *foxf1* crispants, the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter embryos were scored for the presence of GFP and the individual embryos were screened for the efficacy of the deletions following imaging. Oligos used for generation of the sgRNA are listed in **S4 Table**. Primers used for genotyping and testing gRNA efficiency are listed in **S5 Table**.

Statistical analysis

To determine if two proportions were statistically distinct, we performed Fisher's exact test. To determine if proportions involving more than 2 conditions were statistically different, we performed a Chi-square test. To determine if the qPCR results were significant, we performed a Student's t-test

with Welch's correction. To compare 3 or more distinct conditions (cell quantification), we performed

an ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. Statistical analyses were performed utilizing

GraphPad Prism. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analysis.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The *3'reg1-nr2f1a* enhancer is expressed in the atrium. A) ATAC-seq profiling from ACs

showing regions of open chromatin near the *nr2f1a* locus (purple). Black bars indicate called regions

of open chromatin. Black box indicates *3'reg1*. **B)** VISTA plot showing conservation of zebrafish

3'reg1 enhancer in *Callorhincus milli* (Australian ghostshark), *Xenopus tropicalis* (Tropical clawed

frog), *Anolis carolinensis* (Green Anole), *Mus musculus* (House mouse), and *Homo sapiens* (human).

Pink indicates >50% conservation of regulatory regions with zebrafish *3'reg1*. Median lines in

individual VISTA plots indicate 75% conservation. **C)** Clustal alignment of *3'reg1* from the VISTA plot

in indicated species. Black asterisks indicate conserved nucleotides. Red asterisks indicate partially

conserved nucleotides. Conserved putative binding sites for Foxf (blue shade) and Lef/Tcf (purple

shade) TFs. **D)** Schematic of the *3'reg1:GFP* reporter vector. **E)** *In situ* hybridization (ISH) for *gfp* in

transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* embryo at 24 hpf (n=24). Venous pole of the heart tube (black arrowhead).

View is lateral with anterior left and dorsal up. Scale bar: 200µm. **F-H)** Confocal images of hearts from

transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* embryos stained for *3'reg1*:GFP (green), Vmhc (VCs – red), and Amhc (ACs

– blue). 36 hpf (n=5), 48 hpf (n=7), 72 hpf (n=5). *3'reg1:GFP* in the atria of the hearts (white

arrowhead). Images are frontal views with the arterial pole up. n indicates the number of embryos

examined for a representative experiment. Scale bar: 50 µm. **** indicate P < 0.0001.

Figure 2. Tcf7l1a restricts *3'reg1* reporter expression within the heart. A) Schematics of

3'reg1:GFP reporter constructs. Foxf sites (blue), Lef/Tcf site (purple), mutated Lef/Tcf site (red), and

deleted Lef/Tcf site (black). **B)** Sequences of the WT (purple), mutated (red), and deleted Left/Tcf

sites within the *3'reg1* enhancer. **C-E)** Confocal images of hearts from embryos injected with the

3'reg1:GFP, *3'reg1-mTcf:GFP*, *3'reg1-ΔTcf:GFP* constructs. **F)** The percentage of transient

transgenic embryos with reporter atrial, pan-cardiac, and lacking expression in their hearts.

3'reg1:GFP (n=200); *3'reg1-mTcf:GFP* (n=101); *3'reg1-ΔTcf:GFP* (n=102). **G,H)** Confocal images of

hearts from control and *tcf7l1a* MO-injected transgenic *3'reg1:GFP* embryos. **I)** The percentage of

stable *3'reg1:GFP* embryos with reporter atrial and pan-cardiac expression in their hearts. Control

(n=152); *tcf7l1a-MO* (n=92). Images are frontal views with the arterial pole up. Hearts are stained for

3'reg1:GFP (green), Vmhc (red), Amhc (blue). Scale bars: 50 µm. **** indicate P < 0.0001.

Figure 3. Wnt signaling promotes *3'reg1* reporter expression and AC differentiation. A-C) Confocal images of hearts from control, BIO-, and XAV-treated *3'reg1:GFP* embryos stained for *3'reg1*:GFP (green), Vmhc (red), Amhc (blue). **D)** The percentage of control and treated *3'reg1*:GFP embryos with atrial, pan-cardiac, and lacking expression in their hearts. Control (n=35); BIO (n=32); XAV (n=49). **E-G)** Confocal images of hearts from control, BIO-, and XAV-treated embryos stained for Nr2f1a (green), Mhc (pan-cardiac, red), Amhc (blue). **H)** The number of Nr2f1a$^+$/Amhc$^+$ cardiomyocytes with the hearts of control, BIO-, and XAV-treated embryos. Control (n=12); BIO (n=11); XAV (n=11). **I-K)** Confocal images of hearts from control, BIO-, and XAV-treated *myl7:DsRed2-NLS* (*myl7:DRN*) embryos stained for DsRed-NLS (pan-cardiac nuclei - red) and Amhc (blue). **L)** The number of *myl7:*DsRed2-NLS$^+$/Amhc$^+$ cardiomyocytes (ACs) within the hearts of control, BIO-, and XAV-treated *myl7:DsRed2-NLS* embryos. Control (n=9); BIO (n=10); XAV (n=8). Scale bars: 50 µm. Error bars in graphs indicate s.e.m.. *** indicate P < 0.001. **** indicate P < 0.0001.

Figure 4. Foxf1 promotes *3'reg1* reporter expression within the heart. A) Schematics of *3'reg1:GFP* reporter constructs with WT, deleted, and mutated Foxf sites. Foxf sites (blue), mutated Foxf sites (red), deleted Foxf sites (black), and Lef/Tcf site (purple). **B)** Sequences of the WT (blue), mutated (red), and deleted (dashes) Foxf sites within the *3'reg1* enhancer. **C)** The percentage of transient transgenic embryos with reporter expression in the atria. Control (*3'reg1*) (n=150); *mFoxf-A* (n=60); Δ*Foxf-A* (n=101); *mFoxf-B* (n=49); Δ*Foxf-B* (n=200); *mFoxf-C* (n=98); Δ*Foxf-C* (n=195). **D-F)** Confocal images of hearts from control uninjected, *Foxf1* mRNA and *dnFoxf1* mRNA injected *3'reg1:GFP* embryos stained for *3'reg1*:GFP (green), Vmhc (red), Amhc (blue). **G)** The percentage of injected *3'reg1:GFP* embryos with atrial expression, pan-cardiac expression, and lacking expression. Control (n=35); *Foxf1* (n=29); *dnFoxf1* (n=59). **H-J)** Confocal images of hearts from control, *Foxf1* mRNA, and *dnFoxf1* mRNA injected embryos stained for Nr2f1a (green), Mhc (red), Amhc (blue). **K)** The number of Nr2f1a$^+$/Amhc$^+$ cardiomyocytes (ACs) within the hearts of control, *Foxf1* mRNA, and *dnFoxf1* injected embryos. Control (n=8); *Foxf1* (n=11); *dnFoxf1* (n=8). **L-N)** Confocal images of hearts from control, *Foxf1* mRNA, and *dnFoxf1* injected *myl7:DsRed2-NLS* (*myl7:DRN*) embryos stained for DsRed-NLS (red) and Amhc (blue). **O)** Quantification of the number of *myl7:*DsRed2-

NLS⁺/Amhc⁺ cardiomyocytes (ACs) within the hearts of control, *Foxf1* mRNA, and *dnFoxf1* injected

myl7:DsRed2-NLS embryos. Control (n=10); *Foxf1* (n=13); *dnFoxf1* (n=11). Scale bars: 50 µm. Error

bars in graphs indicate s.e.m.. *** indicate P < 0.001. **** indicate P < 0.0001.

Figure 5. Tcf7l1a limits the ability of Foxf1 to promote *3'reg1* reporter expression. A-D) Confocal

images of hearts from control, *Foxf1* mRNA injected, XAV-treated, and *Foxf1* mRNA injected plus

XAV-treated *3'reg1:GFP* embryos . **E)** The percentage of control, *Foxf1* mRNA injected, XAV-treated,

and *Foxf1* mRNA injected plus XAV-treated *3'reg1:GFP* embryos with atrial expression, pan-cardiac

expression, and lacking expression. Control (n=26); *Foxf1* (n=24); XAV n=98; *Foxf1*-XAV (n=103). **F-**

I) Confocal images of hearts from control, *Foxf1* mRNA injected, *tcf7l1a* mRNA injected, and *Foxf1*

mRNA and t*cf7l1a* mRNA co-injected *3'reg1:GFP* embryos. **E)** The percentage of control, *Foxf1*

mRNA injected, *tcf7l1a* mRNA injected, and *Foxf1* mRNA and t*cf7l1a* mRNA co-injected *3'reg1:GFP*

embryos with atrial expression, pan-cardiac expression, and lacking expression. Control (n=39),

Foxf1 (n=34); *tcf7l1a* (n=95); *Foxf1-tcf7l1a* (n=51). **K-N)** Confocal images of hearts from control,

dnFoxf1 mRNA injected, *tcf7l1a* MO injected, and *Foxf1* mRNA and t*cf7l1a* MO co-injected

3'reg1:GFP embryos. **O)** The percentage of control, *dnFoxf1* mRNA injected, *tcf7l1a* MO injected,

and *Foxf1* mRNA and t*cf7l1a* MO *3'reg1:*GFP embryos with atrial expression, pan-cardiac expression,

and lacking expression. Control (n=27), *dnFoxf1* (n=102); *Tcf7l1a-MO* (n=96); *dnFoxf1-tcf7l1aMO*

(n=100). Hearts are stained for *3'reg1*:GFP (green), Vmhc (red), Amhc (blue)Scale bars: 50 µm. ****

indicate P < 0.0001.

Figure 6. Wnt signaling and Foxf1 require Nr2f1a to promote a surplus of cardiomyocytes. A-

D) Confocal images of hearts from untreated and BIO-treated WT and *nr2f1a⁻ᐟ⁻ myl7:DsRed2-NLS*

embryos. **E)** The number of *myl7*:DsRed2-NLS⁺/Amhc⁺ cardiomyocytes in hearts of untreated and

BIO-treated WT and *nr2f1a⁻ᐟ⁻ myl7:DsRed2-NLS* embryos. Untreated WT (n=12); untreated *nr2f1a⁻ᐟ⁻*

(n=7); treated WT (n=11); treated *nr2f1a⁻ᐟ⁻* (n= 10). **F-I)** Confocal images of hearts from uninjected

and *Foxf1* mRNA-injected WT and *nr2f1a⁻ᐟ⁻ myl7:DsRed2-NLS* embryos. **J)** The number of

myl7:DsRed2-NLS⁺/Amhc⁺ cardiomyocytes in uninjected and *Foxf1* mRNA-injected WT and *nr2f1a⁻ᐟ⁻*

myl7:DsRed2-NLS embryos. Uninjected WT (n=7); Uninjected *nr2f1a⁻ᐟ⁻* (n=5); Inj. WT (n=10); Injected

nr2f1a⁻ᐟ⁻ (n=5). Hearts are stained for DsRed-NLS (red) and Amhc (blue). Scale bars: 50 µm. Error

bars in graphs indicate s.e.m.. ** indicate P < 0.01. **** indicate P < 0.001. **** indicate P < 0.0001.

ns indicates not a statistically significant difference.

Figure 7. Wnt signaling and Foxf1 require 3*'reg1* to promote a surplus ACs. A) Schematic of

3'reg1 enhancer deletion generated using CRISPR/Cas12a. Arrows indicate location of the guides.

B-E) Confocal images of hearts from untreated and BIO-treated WT and *3'reg1*$^{\Delta/\Delta}$ embryos stained

for Nr2f1a (green), Mhc (red), and Amhc (blue). BIO was unable to promote an increase in ACs in

3'reg1⁻ᐟ⁻ embryos. **E)** The number of Nr2f1a⁺/Amhc⁺ cardiomyocytes in untreated and BIO-treated WT

and *3'reg1⁻ᐟ⁻* embryos. Untreated WT (n=9); untreated *3'reg1⁻ᐟ⁻* (n=5); treated WT (n=11); treated

3'reg1⁻ᐟ⁻ (n=4). **F-I)** Confocal images of hearts from uninjected and *Foxf1* mRNA-injected WT and

3'reg1⁻ᐟ⁻ embryos stained for Nr2f1a (green), Mhc (red), and Amhc (blue). **J)** The number of

Nr2f1a⁺/Amhc⁺ cardiomyocytes in uninjected and *Foxf1* mRNA-injected WT and Nr2f1a⁺ *3'reg1⁻ᐟ⁻*

embryos. Uninjected WT (n=11); Uninjected *3'reg1⁻ᐟ⁻* (n=4); Injected WT (n=12); Injected *3'reg1⁻ᐟ⁻* (n=

4). Scale bar: 50 µm. Error bars in graphs indicate s.e.m.. **** indicate P < 0.0001. ns indicates not a

statistically significant difference.

Figure 8. A Wnt-Foxf1-Nr2f1a cascade directs atrial cardiomyocyte differentiation. Model

depicting the Wnt signaling and Foxf1-dependent regulatory network that controls Nr2f1a expression

and the role of the *3'reg1* enhancer in the atrial cardiomyocyte differentiation during early heart tube

development.

References

1.   Targoff KL, Colombo S, George V, Schell T, Kim S-H, Solnica-Krezel L, et al. Nkx genes are
essential for maintenance of ventricular identity. Development. 2013;140: 4203–4213.
doi:10.1242/dev.095562

2.   van Weerd JH, Christoffels VM. The formation and function of the cardiac conduction system.
Development. 2016;143: 197–210. doi:10.1242/dev.124883

3.   Bruneau BG, Riley P. Heart Development and Disease. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press;
2020. Available: https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=pwE6yAEACAAJ

4.   Pereira FA, Qiu Y, Zhou G, Tsai M-J, Tsai SY. The orphan nuclear receptor COUP-TFII is
required for angiogenesis and heart development. Genes & Development. 1999. pp. 1037–1049.
doi:10.1101/gad.13.8.1037

5.   Devalla HD, Schwach V, Ford JW, Milnes JT, El-Haou S, Jackson C, et al. Atrial-like
cardiomyocytes from human pluripotent stem cells are a robust preclinical model for assessing
atrial-selective   pharmacology.   EMBO   Mol   Med.   2015;7:   394–410.
doi:10.15252/emmm.201404757

6.   Li G, Xu A, Sim S, Priest JR, Tian X, Khan T, et al. Transcriptomic Profiling Maps Anatomically
Patterned Subpopulations among Single Embryonic Cardiac Cells. Dev Cell. 2016;39: 491–507.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.10.014

7.   Al Turki S, Manickaraj AK, Mercer CL, Gerety SS, Hitz M-P, Lindsay S, et al. Rare variants in
NR2F2 cause congenital heart defects in humans. Am J Hum Genet. 2014;94: 574–585.
doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.03.007

8.   Schott JJ, Benson DW, Basson CT, Pease W, Silberbach GM, Moak JP, et al. Congenital heart
disease caused by mutations in the transcription factor NKX2-5. Science. 1998;281: 108–111.
doi:10.1126/science.281.5373.108

9.   Nakamura E, Makita Y, Okamoto T, Nagaya K, Hayashi T, Sugimoto M, et al. 5.78 Mb terminal

deletion of chromosome 15q in a girl, evaluation of NR2F2 as candidate gene for congenital heart
defects. Eur J Med Genet. 2011;54: 354–356. doi:10.1016/j.ejmg.2010.12.004

10. Ahmed I, Anjum F. Atrioventricular Septal Defect. StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls
Publishing; 2023. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32965865

11. Wu S-P, Cheng C-M, Lanz RB, Wang T, Respress JL, Ather S, et al. Atrial identity is determined
by a COUP-TFII regulatory network. Dev Cell. 2013;25: 417–426.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2013.04.017

12. Duong TB, Ravisankar P, Song YC, Gafranek JT, Rydeen AB, Dohn TE, et al. Nr2f1a balances
atrial chamber and atrioventricular canal size via BMP signaling-independent and -dependent
mechanisms. Dev Biol. 2018;434: 7–14. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.11.010

13. Dohn TE, Ravisankar P, Tirera FT, Martin KE, Gafranek JT, Duong TB, et al. Nr2f-dependent
allocation of ventricular cardiomyocyte and pharyngeal muscle progenitors. PLoS Genet.
2019;15: e1007962. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007962

14. Xie X, Qin J, Lin S-H, Tsai SY, Tsai M-J. Nuclear receptor chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-
transcription factor II (COUP-TFII) modulates mesenchymal cell commitment and differentiation.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108: 14843–14848. doi:10.1073/pnas.1110236108

15. Niederreither K, Subbarayan V, Dollé P, Chambon P. Embryonic retinoic acid synthesis is
essential for early mouse post-implantation development. Nat Genet. 1999;21: 444–448.
doi:10.1038/7788

16. Niederreither K, Vermot J, Messaddeq N, Schuhbaur B, Chambon P, Dollé P. Embryonic retinoic
acid synthesis is essential for heart morphogenesis in the mouse. Development. 2001;128:
1019–1031. doi:10.1242/dev.128.7.1019

17. Ryckebusch L, Wang Z, Bertrand N, Lin S-C, Chi X, Schwartz R, et al. Retinoic acid deficiency
alters second heart field formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 2913–2918.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0712344105

18. Duong TB, Holowiecki A, Waxman JS. Retinoic acid signaling restricts the size of the first heart
field within the anterior lateral plate mesoderm. Dev Biol. 2021;473: 119–129.
doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2021.02.005

19. Pereira FA, Tsai MJ, Tsai SY. COUP-TF orphan nuclear receptors in development and
differentiation. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2000;57: 1388–1398. doi:10.1007/PL00000624

20. Lin F-J, Qin J, Tang K, Tsai SY, Tsai M-J. Coup d'Etat: an orphan takes control. Endocr Rev.
2011;32: 404–421. doi:10.1210/er.2010-0021

21. Love CE, Prince VE. Expression and retinoic acid regulation of the zebrafish nr2f orphan nuclear
receptor genes. Dev Dyn. 2012;241: 1603–1615. doi:10.1002/dvdy.23838

22. Laursen KB, Mongan NP, Zhuang Y, Ng MM, Benoit YD, Gudas LJ. Polycomb recruitment
attenuates retinoic acid–induced transcription of the bivalent NR2F1 gene. Nucleic Acids Res.
2013;41: 6430–6443. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt367

23. Lee JH, Protze SI, Laksman Z, Backx PH, Keller GM. Human Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Atrial
and Ventricular Cardiomyocytes Develop from Distinct Mesoderm Populations. Cell Stem Cell.
2017;21: 179–194.e4. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2017.07.003

24. Lemme M, Ulmer BM, Lemoine MD, Zech ATL, Flenner F, Ravens U, et al. Atrial-like Engineered
Heart Tissue: An In Vitro Model of the Human Atrium. Stem Cell Reports. 2018;11: 1378–1390.
doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.10.008

25. Wiesinger A, Boink GJJ, Christoffels VM, Devalla HD. Retinoic acid signaling in heart
development: Application in the differentiation of cardiovascular lineages from human pluripotent
stem cells. Stem Cell Reports. 2021;16: 2589–2606. doi:10.1016/j.stemcr.2021.09.010

26. D'Aniello E, Waxman JS. Input overload: Contributions of retinoic acid signaling feedback
mechanisms to heart development and teratogenesis. Dev Dyn. 2015;244: 513–523.
doi:10.1002/dvdy.24232

27. Quaranta R, Fell J, Rühle F, Rao J, Piccini I, Araúzo-Bravo MJ, et al. Revised roles of ISL1 in a

hES cell-based model of human heart chamber specification. Elife. 2018;7. doi:10.7554/eLife.31706

28. Boogerd CJ, Zhu X, Aneas I, Sakabe N, Zhang L, Sobreira DR, et al. Tbx20 Is Required in Mid-Gestation Cardiomyocytes and Plays a Central Role in Atrial Development. Circ Res. 2018;123: 428–442. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.311339

29. Krishnan V, Pereira FA, Qiu Y, Chen CH, Beachy PA, Tsai SY, et al. Mediation of Sonic hedgehog-induced expression of COUP-TFII by a protein phosphatase. Science. 1997;278: 1947–1950. doi:10.1126/science.278.5345.1947

30. Hoffmann AD, Yang XH, Burnicka-Turek O, Bosman JD, Ren X, Steimle JD, et al. Foxf genes integrate tbx5 and hedgehog pathways in the second heart field for cardiac septation. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004604. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004604

31. Xie L, Hoffmann AD, Burnicka-Turek O, Friedland-Little JM, Zhang K, Moskowitz IP. Tbx5-hedgehog molecular networks are essential in the second heart field for atrial septation. Dev Cell. 2012;23: 280–291. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2012.06.006

32. Steimle JD, Rankin SA, Slagle CE, Bekeny J, Rydeen AB, Chan SS-K, et al. Evolutionarily conserved Tbx5-Wnt2/2b pathway orchestrates cardiopulmonary development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115: E10615–E10624. doi:10.1073/pnas.1811624115

33. Briggs LE, Burns TA, Lockhart MM, Phelps AL, Van den Hoff MJB, Wessels A. Wnt/β-catenin and sonic hedgehog pathways interact in the regulation of the development of the dorsal mesenchymal protrusion. Dev Dyn. 2016;245: 103–113. doi:10.1002/dvdy.24339

34. Hong N, Zhang E, Xie H, Jin L, Zhang Q, Lu Y, et al. The transcription factor Sox7 modulates endocardiac cushion formation contributed to atrioventricular septal defect through Wnt4/Bmp2 signaling. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12: 393. doi:10.1038/s41419-021-03658-z

35. Martin KE, Ravisankar P, Beerens M, MacRae CA, Waxman JS. Nr2f1a maintains atrial nkx2.5 expression to repress pacemaker identity within venous atrial cardiomyocytes of zebrafish. Elife. 2023;12. doi:10.7554/eLife.77408

36. Zabidi MA, Stark A. Regulatory Enhancer-Core-Promoter Communication via Transcription
Factors and Cofactors. Trends Genet. 2016;32: 801–814. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2016.10.003

37. Coppola U, Waxman JS. Origin and evolutionary landscape of Nr2f transcription factors across
Metazoa. PLoS One. 2021;16: e0254282. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0254282

38. Birnbaum RY, Clowney EJ, Agamy O, Kim MJ, Zhao J, Yamanaka T, et al. Coding exons function
as tissue-specific enhancers of nearby genes. Genome Res. 2012;22: 1059–1068. Available:
https://genome.cshlp.org/content/22/6/1059.short

39. Bradford YM, Van Slyke CE, Ruzicka L, Singer A, Eagle A, Fashena D, et al. Zebrafish
information network, the knowledgebase for Danio rerio research. Genetics. 2022;220.
doi:10.1093/genetics/iyac016

40. Weirauch MT, Yang A, Albu M, Cote AG, Montenegro-Montero A, Drewe P, et al. Determination
and inference of eukaryotic transcription factor sequence specificity. Cell. 2014;158: 1431–1443.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.08.009

41. Lambert SA, Yang AWH, Sasse A, Cowley G, Albu M, Caddick MX, et al. Similarity regression
predicts evolution of transcription factor sequence specificity. Nat Genet. 2019;51: 981–989.
doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0411-1

42. Gupta S, Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Bailey TL, Noble WS. Quantifying similarity between motifs.
Genome Biol. 2007;8: R24. doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r24

43. Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, et al. MEME SUITE: tools for
motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37: W202–8. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp335

44. Castro-Mondragon JA, Riudavets-Puig R, Rauluseviciute I, Lemma RB, Turchi L, Blanc-Mathieu
R, et al. JASPAR 2022: the 9th release of the open-access database of transcription factor
binding profiles. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50: D165–D173. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1113

45. Niederreither K, Dollé P. Retinoic acid in development: towards an integrated view. Nat Rev
Genet. 2008;9: 541–553. doi:10.1038/nrg2340

46. Song YC, Dohn TE, Rydeen AB, Nechiporuk AV, Waxman JS. HDAC1-mediated repression of

the retinoic acid-responsive gene ripply3 promotes second heart field development. PLoS Genet.

2019;15: e1008165. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1008165

47. Cadigan KM, Waterman ML. TCF/LEFs and Wnt signaling in the nucleus. Cold Spring Harb

Perspect Biol. 2012;4. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a007906

48. Wang Z, Li Z, Ji H. Direct targeting of β-catenin in the Wnt signaling pathway: Current progress

and perspectives. Med Res Rev. 2021;41: 2109–2129. doi:10.1002/med.21787

49. Liang W, Han P, Kim EH, Mak J, Zhang R, Torrente AG, et al. Canonical Wnt signaling promotes

pacemaker cell specification of cardiac mesodermal cells derived from mouse and human

embryonic stem cells. Stem Cells. 2020;38: 352–368. doi:10.1002/stem.3106

50. Willems L, Daniëls A, Fanton Y, Linsen L, Evens L, Bito V, et al. Differentiation of Human Cardiac

Atrial Appendage Stem Cells into Adult Cardiomyocytes: A Role for the Wnt Pathway? Int J Mol

Sci. 2020;21. doi:10.3390/ijms21113931

51. Dohn TE, Waxman JS. Distinct phases of Wnt/β-catenin signaling direct cardiomyocyte formation

in zebrafish. Dev Biol. 2012;361: 364–376. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.10.032

52. Ueno S, Weidinger G, Osugi T, Kohn AD, Golob JL, Pabon L, et al. Biphasic role for Wnt/β-

catenin signaling in cardiac specification in zebrafish and embryonic stem cells. Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences. 2007;104: 9685–9690. doi:10.1073/pnas.0702859104

53. Sorrell MRJ, Dohn TE, D'Aniello E, Waxman JS. Tcf7l1 proteins cell autonomously restrict

cardiomyocyte and promote endothelial specification in zebrafish. Dev Biol. 2013;380: 199–210.

doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.05.016

54. Dorsky RI, Itoh M, Moon RT, Chitnis A. Two tcf3 genes cooperate to pattern the zebrafish brain.

Development. 2003;130: 1937–1947. doi:10.1242/dev.00402

55. Thomas NA, Koudijs M, van Eeden FJM, Joyner AL, Yelon D. Hedgehog signaling plays a cell-

autonomous role in maximizing cardiac developmental potential. Development. 2008;135: 3789–

3799. doi:10.1242/dev.024083

56. Telias M, Ben-Yosef D. Pharmacological Manipulation of Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling Pathway in
Human Neural Precursor Cells Alters Their Differentiation Potential and Neuronal Yield. Front
Mol Neurosci. 2021;14: 680018. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2021.680018

57. Mably JD, Mohideen MAPK, Burns CG, Chen J-N, Fishman MC. heart of glass regulates the
concentric growth of the heart in zebrafish. Curr Biol. 2003;13: 2138–2147.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2003.11.055

58. Ormestad M, Astorga J, Carlsson P. Differences in the embryonic expression patterns of mouse
Foxf1 and -2 match their distinct mutant phenotypes. Dev Dyn. 2004;229: 328–333.
doi:10.1002/dvdy.10426

59. Xu P, Balczerski B, Ciozda A, Louie K, Oralova V, Huysseune A, et al. Fox proteins are modular
competency factors for facial cartilage and tooth specification. Development. 2018;145.
doi:10.1242/dev.165498

60. Moreno-Mateos MA, Fernandez JP, Rouet R, Vejnar CE, Lane MA, Mis E, et al. CRISPR-Cpf1
mediates efficient homology-directed repair and temperature-controlled genome editing. Nat
Commun. 2017;8: 2024. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01836-2

61. Liu G, Zhang Y, Zhang T. Computational approaches for effective CRISPR guide RNA design
and evaluation. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2020;18: 35–44. doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2019.11.006

62. Paul B, Montoya G. CRISPR-Cas12a: Functional overview and applications. Biomed J. 2020;43:
8–17. doi:10.1016/j.bj.2019.10.005

63. Bräutigam C, Raggioli A, Winter J. The Wnt/β-catenin pathway regulates the expression of the
miR-302 cluster in mouse ESCs and P19 cells. PLoS One. 2013;8: e75315.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075315

64. Che J, Zhang F, Zhao C, Hu X, Fan S. Cyclopamine is a novel Hedgehog signaling inhibitor with
significant anti-proliferative, anti-invasive and anti-estrogenic potency in human breast cancer

cells. Oncol Lett. 2013;5: 1417–1421. doi:10.3892/ol.2013.1195

65. Rimkus TK, Carpenter RL, Qasem S, Chan M, Lo H-W. Targeting the Sonic Hedgehog Signaling

Pathway: Review of Smoothened and GLI Inhibitors. Cancers . 2016;8.

doi:10.3390/cancers8020022

66. Hoffmann AD, Peterson MA, Friedland-Little JM, Anderson SA, Moskowitz IP. sonic hedgehog

is required in pulmonary endoderm for atrial septation. Development. 2009;136: 1761–1770.

doi:10.1242/dev.034157

67. Liu J, Xiao Q, Xiao J, Niu C, Li Y, Zhang X, et al. Wnt/β-catenin signalling: function, biological

mechanisms, and therapeutic opportunities. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2022;7: 3.

doi:10.1038/s41392-021-00762-6

68. Liu K, Petree C, Requena T, Varshney P, Varshney GK. Expanding the CRISPR Toolbox in

Zebrafish for Studying Development and Disease. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2019;7: 13.

doi:10.3389/fcell.2019.00013

69. Rankin SA, Steimle JD, Yang XH, Rydeen AB, Agarwal K, Chaturvedi P, et al. Tbx5 drives

Aldh1a2 expression to regulate a RA-Hedgehog-Wnt gene regulatory network coordinating

cardiopulmonary development. Elife. 2021;10. doi:10.7554/eLife.69288

70. Lazic S, Scott IC. Mef2cb regulates late myocardial cell addition from a second heart field-like

population of progenitors in zebrafish. Dev Biol. 2011;354: 123–133.

doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.03.028

71. Ren J, Han P, Ma X, Farah EN, Bloomekatz J, Zeng X-XI, et al. Canonical Wnt5b Signaling

Directs Outlying Nkx2.5+ Mesoderm into Pacemaker Cardiomyocytes. Dev Cell. 2019;50: 729–

743.e5. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2019.07.014

72. Kalinichenko VV, Gusarova GA, Kim I-M, Shin B, Yoder HM, Clark J, et al. Foxf1

haploinsufficiency reduces Notch-2 signaling during mouse lung development. Am J Physiol

Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2004;286: L521–30. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00212.2003

73. Ustiyan V, Bolte C, Zhang Y, Han L, Xu Y, Yutzey KE, et al. FOXF1 transcription factor promotes

lung morphogenesis by inducing cellular proliferation in fetal lung mesenchyme. Dev Biol.

2018;443: 50–63. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.08.011

74. Askary A, Xu P, Barske L, Bay M, Bump P, Balczerski B, et al. Genome-wide analysis of facial

skeletal   regionalization   in   zebrafish.   Development.   2017;144:   2994–3005.

doi:10.1242/dev.151712

75. Shen G, Ren H, Shang Q, Zhao W, Zhang Z, Yu X, et al. Foxf1 knockdown promotes BMSC

osteogenesis in part by activating the Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathway and prevents

ovariectomy-induced   bone   loss.   EBioMedicine.   2020;52:   102626.

doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102626

76. Ji W, Sun X. Methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 promotes osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow

mesenchymal stem cells through regulating forkhead box F1/Wnt/β-Catenin axis. Bioengineered.

2022;13: 583–592. doi:10.1080/21655979.2021.2012357

77. Koch S. Regulation of Wnt Signaling by FOX Transcription Factors in Cancer. Cancers . 2021;13.

doi:10.3390/cancers13143446

78. Zaret KS, Carroll JS. Pioneer transcription factors: establishing competence for gene expression.

Genes Dev. 2011;25: 2227–2241. doi:10.1101/gad.176826.111

79. Iwafuchi-Doi M, Donahue G, Kakumanu A, Watts JA, Mahony S, Pugh BF, et al. The Pioneer

Transcription Factor FoxA Maintains an Accessible Nucleosome Configuration at Enhancers for

Tissue-Specific Gene Activation. Mol Cell. 2016;62: 79–91. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.03.001

80. Wang S, Yan S, Zhu S, Zhao Y, Yan J, Xiao Z, et al. FOXF1 Induces Epithelial-Mesenchymal

Transition in Colorectal Cancer Metastasis by Transcriptionally Activating SNAI1. Neoplasia.

2018;20: 996–1007. doi:10.1016/j.neo.2018.08.004

81. Lo P-K, Lee JS, Liang X, Han L, Mori T, Fackler MJ, et al. Epigenetic inactivation of the potential

tumor suppressor gene FOXF1 in breast cancer. Cancer Res. 2010;70: 6047–6058.

doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-1576

82. Wu C-Y, Chan C-H, Dubey NK, Wei H-J, Lu J-H, Chang C-C, et al. Highly Expressed FOXF1
Inhibit Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Growth via Inducing Tumor Suppressor and G1-Phase Cell-
Cycle Arrest. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21. doi:10.3390/ijms21093227

83. Rowton M, Perez-Cervantes C, Hur S, Jacobs-Li J, Lu E, Deng N, et al. Hedgehog signaling
activates a mammalian heterochronic gene regulatory network controlling differentiation timing
across lineages. Dev Cell. 2022;57: 2181–2203.e9. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2022.08.009

84. Pi-Roig A, Martin-Blanco E, Minguillon C. Distinct tissue-specific requirements for the zebrafish
tbx5 genes during heart, retina and pectoral fin development. Open Biol. 2014;4: 140014.
doi:10.1098/rsob.140014

85. Boyle Anderson EAT, Ho RK. A transcriptomics analysis of the Tbx5 paralogues in zebrafish.
PLoS One. 2018;13: e0208766. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0208766

86. Boyle-Anderson EAT, Mao Q, Ho RK. Tbx5a and Tbx5b paralogues act in combination to control
separate vectors of migration in the fin field of zebrafish. Dev Biol. 2022;481: 201–214.
doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2021.10.008

87. Blow MJ, McCulley DJ, Li Z, Zhang T, Akiyama JA, Holt A, et al. ChIP-Seq identification of weakly
conserved heart enhancers. Nat Genet. 2010;42: 806–810. doi:10.1038/ng.650

88. Cannavò E, Khoueiry P, Garfield DA, Geeleher P, Zichner T, Gustafson EH, et al. Shadow
Enhancers Are Pervasive Features of Developmental Regulatory Networks. Curr Biol. 2016;26:
38–51. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.034

89. Westerfield M. The Zebrafish Book; A guide for the laboratory use of zebrafish (Danio rerio). (No
Title). 2007 [cited 9 Jan 2024]. Available: https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1370283694361132063

90. Huang C-J, Tu C-T, Hsiao C-D, Hsieh F-J, Tsai H-J. Germ-line transmission of a myocardium-
specific GFP transgene reveals critical regulatory elements in the cardiac myosin light chain 2
promoter of zebrafish. Dev Dyn. 2003;228: 30–40. doi:10.1002/dvdy.10356

91. Aanstad P, Santos N, Corbit KC, Scherz PJ, Trinh LA, Salvenmoser W, et al. The extracellular

domain of Smoothened regulates ciliary localization and is required for high-level Hh signaling. Curr Biol. 2009;19: 1034–1039. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.04.053

92. Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG. Multiple sequence alignment using ClustalW and ClustalX. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2002;Chapter 2: Unit 2.3. doi:10.1002/0471250953.bi0203s00

93. Kwan KM, Fujimoto E, Grabher C, Mangum BD, Hardy ME, Campbell DS, et al. The Tol2kit: A multisite gateway-based construction kit forTol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. Dev Dyn. 2007;236: 3088–3099. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21343

94. Villefranc JA, Amigo J, Lawson ND. Gateway compatible vectors for analysis of gene function in the zebrafish. Dev Dyn. 2007;236: 3077–3087. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21354

95. Lewis JL, Bonner J, Modrell M, Ragland JW, Moon RT, Dorsky RI, et al. Reiterated Wnt signaling during zebrafish neural crest development. Development. 2004;131: 1299–1308. doi:10.1242/dev.01007

96. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

97. D'Aniello E, Rydeen AB, Anderson JL, Mandal A, Waxman JS. Depletion of retinoic acid receptors initiates a novel positive feedback mechanism that promotes teratogenic increases in retinoic acid. PLoS Genet. 2013;9: e1003689. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003689

98. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001;25: 402–408. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Figure 3

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 8