1 *Review*

CUADb-based oligonucleotide insecticides (contact unmodified antisense DNA biotechnology) vs. RNA biocontrols (double-stranded RNA technology): newly born fraternal twins in plant protection

Vol Oberemok[1,2], Kate Laikova[1], Jamin Ali[3], Nikita Gal'chinsky[1,*]

[1] Department of General Biology and Genetics, Institute of Biochemical Technologies, Ecology and Pharmacy, V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University, Simferopol 295007, Republic of Crimea, Russia; voloberemok@gmail.com (V.O.); botan_icus@mail.ru (K.L.)

[2] Laboratory of Entomology and Phytopathology, Dendrology and Landscape Architecture, Nikita Botanical Gardens — National Scientific Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Yalta 298648, Republic of Crimea, Russia; voloberemok@gmail.com (V.O.)

[3] College of Plant Protection, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China; j.alirana@yahoo.com (J. A.)

*   Correspondence: pcr.product@gmail.com

Abstract: Advances in molecular genetics, nucleic acid synthesis, and bioinformatics have created novel opportunities for plant protection. DNA and RNA now serve as active insecticidal ingredients, transcending their traditional role as carriers of genetic information. Critically, nucleic acids function as tools for pest control through two fundamentally distinct mechanisms: DNA containment (DNAc), employing oligonucleotide insecticides based on contact unmodified antisense DNA biotechnology (CUADb), and RNA interference (RNAi), employing RNA biocontrols based on double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) technology. It is essential to recognize that CUADb is not a variant of dsRNA technology; these are separate approaches with unique molecular actions. Early partial understanding of how antisense RNA and DNA oligonucleotides might function emerged in the 1960s–1970s. The role of antisense RNA within dsRNA fragments, central to RNAi, was discovered in the late 1990s as an antiviral immune response in nematodes. The RNAi approach then underwent extensive development for plant protection. In contrast, the CUADb approach originated independently, manifesting as effective oligonucleotide insecticides against lepidopterans in 2008. The subsequent discovery of its DNAc mechanism revealed its fundamental importance in disrupting rRNA biogenesis and ribosome production, a process entirely distinct from RNAi. As separate classes of next-generation insecticides, the end-products of these independent technologies offer long utility duration, low carbon footprint, high selectivity, and rapid environmental biodegradation. Currently, their efficacy profiles diverge: CUADb and DNAc demonstrate optimal results against Sternorrhyncha (e.g., aphids, mealybugs, psyllids, scale insects), while dsRNA technology and RNAi show the strongest insecticidal potential against beetles from the Tenebrionidae and Chrysomelidae families. Therefore, CUADb-based oligonucleotide insecticides represent a mature and distinct platform, not merely a supplementary or embryonic stage relative to RNAi. Highly likely, both technologies will prove effective against specific insect groups and could be deployed complementarily in formulations for broader pest control, leveraging their unique mechanisms of action.

Keywords: oligonucleotide insecticides; CUAD biotechnology; DNA containment; RNA biocontrols; double-stranded RNA technology; RNA interference; next-generation insecticides; plant protection

1. Introduction

The relentless innovation in insect pest control drives continuous replacement of older chemistries (carbamates, organophosphates) by contemporary agents (neonicotinoids, diamides) (van den Berg et al., 2021; Sparks et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023). Yet the intractable challenge of genetic resistance ensures this cycle persists (Sparks et al., 2021; Mogilicherla et al., 2023), demanding insecticides with extended utility, heightened selectivity, enhanced biodegradability, and reduced environmental footprint. Biomolecules represent the frontier for achieving these critical attributes (Christiaens et al., 2020; Oberemok et al., 2022; Palli, 2023; Gal'chinsky et al., 2024). A paradigm shift has emerged where nucleic acids (DNA and RNA) serve as active insecticidal ingredients, enabled by advances in molecular genetics, synthesis, and bioinformatics (Oberemok et al., 2018a; Rank and Koch, 2021; Oberemok et al., 2023; Koeppe et al., 2023). This has given rise to two distinct platforms: oligonucleotide insecticides based on Contact Unmodified Antisense DNA Biotechnology (CUADb) and RNA biocontrols utilizing double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) technology (Nitnavare et al., 2021; Gal'chinsky et al., 2024). Crucially, CUADb and dsRNA/RNAi are fundamentally distinct antisense technologies. Alongside CRISPR/Cas, they represent three core approaches for insect control, each employing unique molecular mechanisms: RNAi functions through guide RNA-mRNA duplexes cleaved by Argonaute nuclease; CUADb operates via guide DNA-rRNA duplexes processed by DNA-guided rRNase such as RNase H1 (DNAc mechanism); and CRISPR/Cas utilizes guide RNA-genomic DNA complexes with Cas nuclease (Kumar et al., 2025). It is essential to emphasize that CUADb constitutes an independent technological platform—not a variant of RNAi. While all three exhibit taxon-specific efficacy enabling combinatorial strategies, their divergent molecular targets preclude universal application, ensuring each will occupy defined niches.

After two decades of development, RNAi still lacks a standardized design algorithm for reliable insecticide development. Conversely, CUADb possesses a validated design framework effective against approximately 10-15% of insect pests (Oberemok et al., 2024a), demonstrating particular efficacy against Sternorrhyncha (e.g., aphids, mealybugs) and superorder Paraneoptera in general (Oberemok et al., 2025a), whereas RNA biocontrols show strongest activity against Coleoptera. This review advances the paradigm shift toward nucleic acid-based solutions, with dedicated focus on CUADb as a transformative technology characterized by its novel DNAc mechanism and advanced insecticidal profile. These independent "fraternal twins", CUADb and dsRNA, hold synergistic potential for developing highly selective, next-generation pest control agents.

2. CUADb-based oligonucleotide insecticides

In 2008, our research group pioneered the use of short unmodified antisense DNA oligonucleotides as contact insecticides (Oberemok, 2008). Initial work on the spongy moth (*Lymantria dispar*) demonstrated that effective gene silencing using oligonucleotide insecticides (oligoRING and oligoRIBO-11) depended critically on target gene selection, specific target regions within those genes, and target gene expression levels (Oberemok et al., 2017; Oberemok et al., 2019). Subsequent research identified rRNA as a prime target, leading to the development in 2019 of oligonucleotide insecticides based on 11-mer DNA sequences complementary to rRNA (Kumar et al., 2025). rRNA is an optimal target

due to its abundance (constituting ~80% of cellular RNA) and the substantial energy investment (>60% of cellular energy) required for ribosome production and maintenance (Szaflarski et al., 2022; Deng et al., 2022). Insect rRNA comprises 28S rRNA (~3900 nt), 18S rRNA (~1920 nt), 5.8S rRNA (~160 nt), 5S rRNA (~120 nt), and mitochondrial rRNAs including 16S rRNA (~1140 nt) and 12S rRNA (~600 nt) (Deng et al., 2022). The first successful rRNA targeting was achieved against *L. dispar* 5.8S rRNA (Oberemok et al., 2019). CUADb-based oligonucleotide insecticides are designed using the DNAInsector program (dnainsector.com) or manually via GenBank sequences of pest pre-rRNA and mature rRNA. Synthesis employs the phosphoramidite method through liquid-phase or solid-phase synthesis using instruments such as the ASM-800 (BIOSSET, Russia), OligoPilot™ (Cytiva, Sweden), or PolyGen 10-Column DNA Synthesizers (Gal'chinsky et al., 2019).

These insecticides demonstrate high efficacy against sternorrhynchans and thrips, with successful targeting documented across multiple species: 28S rRNA in *Unaspis euonymi, Dynaspidiotus britannicus, Icerya purchasi, Ceroplastes japonicus, Aonidia lauri*, and *Coccus hesperidum* (Gal'chinsky et al., 2020; Useinov et al., 2020; Oberemok et al., 2022; Gal'chinsky et al., 2023; Gal'chinsky et al., 2024; Oberemok et al., 2025a); 18S rRNA in *Pseudococcus viburni* (Novikov et al., 2023); mitochondrial 16S rRNA (Oberemok et al., 2025b); and ITS2 regions in *Macrosiphoniella sanborni, Schizolachnus pineti* (Puzanova et al., 2023; Oberemok et al., 2024b), *Trioza alacris* (Oberemok et al., 2024c), and *Tetranychus urticae* (Gavrilova et al., 2025), indicating potential for oligonucleotide acaricides (Kumar et al., 2025). A single contact treatment at 100 ng/µl typically achieves approximately 80% mortality in sternorrhynchans within 3-14 days (Oberemok et al., 2023; Oberemok et al., 2024a). While highly effective against hemipterans and lepidopterans including *L. dispar*, efficacy is notably lower against coleopterans such as *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Oberemok et al., 2018b). The 11-mer length provides species specificity with a uniqueness frequency exceeding $1/4.19 \cdot 10^6$, sufficient for most agricultural applications (Oberemok et al., 2022). rRNA's dual advantages of abundance and inter-species variability make it superior to less concentrated cellular RNAs as a target. Contact delivery (CUADs) outperforms oral delivery (ODUADs) due to digestive DNases and extra-oral salivary barriers in hemipterans (Cao et al., 2018).

The 2008 discovery was unexpected, contradicting established views that unmodified oligodeoxyribonucleotides are rapidly degraded by DNases (Dias and Stein, 2002) and that rRNA resists RNase H-dependent antisense DNA (Will and Lührmann, 2001; Bachellerie et al., 2002). Prior research focused exclusively on gene downregulation, overlooking insecticidal potential (Dias and Stein, 2002; Oberemok, 2008). Oligonucleotide insecticides (termed olinscides or DNA insecticides) refute these assumptions through a two-step DNA containment (DNAc) mechanism: initial target rRNA "arrest" halts ribosome function and triggers rDNA transcription hypercompensation, followed by degradation of target rRNA by DNA-guided rRNases such as RNase H1 (Kumar et al., 2025; Gal'chinsky et al., 2024). This "genetic zipper" mechanism (Oberemok et al., 2024c) induces metabolic shifts toward lipid-based energy synthesis, enhancing ribosome biogenesis and ATP production. Ultimately, widespread kinase downregulation – including mTOR, which regulates ribosome biogenesis through mTORC1 – causes "kinase disaster" due to ATP insufficiency, while significant RNase H1 upregulation occurs throughout DNAc (Oberemok et al., 2025a).

Three years after this discovery, Wang et al. (2011) adapted the contact concept for dsRNA insecticides. Crucially, DNAc downregulates key RNAi enzymes (DICER1, Argonaute 2, DROSHA), underscoring its mechanistic distinction from RNAi (Oberemok et al., 2025a). Thus, CUADb (DNAc) and dsRNA (RNAi) represent complementary but

fundamentally distinct antisense technologies with synergistic potential in pest management (Kumar et al., 2025) (Table 1).

Table 1. Comparative overview of CUAD biotechnology and dsRNA technology, highlighting differences in active substances, mechanisms, synthesis methods, and pest targets.

Key features	CUAD biotechnology	dsRNA technology
Active substance	ssDNA	dsRNA
Mechanism	DNA containment	RNA interference
Mode of action	ssDNA:rRNA	ssRNA:mRNA
Nuclease involved	DNA-guided rRNase (such as RNase H1)	Argonaute
Synthesis	Liquid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis based on phosphoramidite chemistry	Large-scale cell-free biomanufacturing (cell-free dsRNA production)
Target pests with best outcome	Sternorrhynchans	Beetles

.

A working hypothesis proposes that DNA viruses may exploit the rRNA gene expression hypercompensation observed during the initial step of the DNA containment mechanism to increase cellular ribosome numbers essential for viral replication (Oberemok et al., 2025a). Bioinformatics studies indicate viral hijacking of host genes is common (Cerio et al., 2020). Given rRNA's dominance (~80% of cellular RNA) and the significant energy investment (>60%) in ribosome production (Deng et al., 2022; Szaflarski et al., 2022), DNA viruses likely co-opted rRNA-like sequences during co-evolution (Evseev et al., 2021).

Figure 1. Figure 1. Mechanisms underlying oligonucleotide insecticides and rRNA regulation. Left: DNA containment mechanism (DNAc) for insect pest control. (1) Antisense DNA oligonucleotides complementary to pest mature rRNA or pre-rRNA are applied as insecticides. (2) Duplex formation occurs between the oligonucleotide and target rRNA/pre-rRNA. (3) In the first step of DNAc, antisense DNA arrests rRNA or pre-rRNA, leading to hypercompensation and interference with ribosome biogenesis ('arrested ribosomes'). (4) In the second step, DNA-guided rRNase

mechanism, where DNA viruses regulate host rRNA synthesis and ribosome production by introducing complementary sequences of viral DNA, influencing up to 80% of rRNA output.

Most DNA viruses replicate within the nucleus and interact with the nucleolus—a site critical for ribosome assembly and virus life cycles (Salvetti et al., 2024). Nucleoli facilitate rRNA synthesis, processing, and pre-ribosomal subunit assembly (Rawlinson et al., 2018). We hypothesize that specific DNA viral genome fragments could regulate host rRNA synthesis via an ON/OFF switch mechanism, using complementary interactions between viral DNA and host rRNA to initiate ribosome biogenesis with minimal energy expenditure (Oberemok et al., 2025a) (Figure 1). Additionally, DNAc may function within the innate immune system against ssDNA viruses (major vectors: hemipterans) and DNA viruses infecting hemipterans (Barnes and Turner, 2016; Wang and Blanck, 2021; Guo et al., 2022) by degrading viral DNA fragments (Gal'chinsky et al., 2024).

Targeting conserved regions of insect pest rRNA genes for oligonucleotide insecticide design delays target-site resistance development, as mutations occur less frequently in these areas (Sharma et al., 2014; Oberemok et al., 2019). Should resistance emerge, new effective CUADb insecticides can be designed by shifting the target site adjacent to the resistant region within the rRNA genes (Gal'chinsky et al., 2024). Notably, current CUADb-based pesticides demonstrate efficacy against approximately 30% of the most resistant arthropods (Whalon and Mota-Sanchez, 2015), showing substantial activity against Sternorrhyncha and spider mites (Kumar et al., 2025).

Oligonucleotide insecticides undergo rapid, 'painless' biodegradation in the environment (soils, water, plants) via abiotic factors (temperature, pH, salinity, UV radiation) and biotic factors (microbes, extracellular enzymes) (Barnes and Turner, 2016; Oberemok et al., 2024d). Deoxyribonucleases in tissue homogenates of *Lymantria dispar*, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*, *Icerya purchasi*, *Dynaspidiotus britannicus*, *Aonidia lauri*, and their host plants (*Quercus pubescens*, *Solanum tuberosum*, *Pittosporum tobira*, *Laurus nobilis*) degrade olinscides within 24 hours at 27°C (Oberemok et al., 2018b; Oberemok et al., 2019; Gal'chinsky et al., 2023; Gal'chinsky et al., 2024), while *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* nucleases achieve degradation within 1 hour (Puzanova et al., 2023). Minimal negative impacts are observed on non-target organisms including *Triticum aestivum* (Oberemok et al., 2013; Nyadar et al., 2018), *Quercus robur*, *Malus domestica* (Zaitsev et al., 2015), *Manduca sexta*, *Agrotis ipsilon* (Oberemok et al., 2015), and *Galleria mellonella* (Oberemok et al., 2019). Unprecedented selectivity is evidenced by dramatic efficacy loss following single nucleotide substitutions (Puzanova et al., 2023; Gal'chinsky et al., 2024), although tolerance for non-canonical base pairs (A:C, C:A, G:U) must be considered during design (Gal'chinsky et al., 2024; Oberemok et al., 2024b). Efficacy extends to mixed pest populations (Gal'chinsky et al., 2024), and modern liquid-phase synthesis minimizes greenhouse gas emissions (nitrogen oxide, methane, carbon dioxide) compared to solid-phase methods (Gal'chinsky et al., 2023), addressing climate concerns.

In conclusion, widespread pesticide resistance necessitates novel, highly specific pesticide classes to reduce environmental impact. Oligonucleotide insecticides represent a bold advancement using unmodified antisense oligonucleotides. Mirroring the trajectory of therapeutic oligonucleotides—which overcame early challenges through sustained research and investment (TriLink BioTechnologies, 2025)—CUADb-based pesticides offer selective action, rapid biodegradation, and increasingly cost-effective production, presenting a highly promising approach (Kumar et al., 2022). Our estimates indicate the 'genetic zipper' method (CUADb) can potentially control 10-15% of insect pests using a simple, flexible algorithm (Oberemok et al., 2024c). Formulation additives (spreaders, adhesives, penetrators, UV protectants) may enhance efficacy for other insect orders, pending environmental safety assessment. Future research will undoubtedly uncover

deeper mechanistic details of DNAc and its potential. CUADb paves the way for sustainable, xenobiotic-free agriculture, positioning oligonucleotide pesticides as prospective cornerstone agents in pest control (Oberemok et al., 2025b).

3. RNA biocontrols

The foundation of RNA interference (RNAi) was established by Andrew Fire and Craig Mello's seminal discovery that antisense-strand double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) mediates sequence-specific gene silencing—a breakthrough recognized with the 2006 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine (Fire et al., 1998). This pivotal work catalyzed extensive biotechnological applications in agricultural and forestry research for plant protection. RNAi operates through post-transcriptional and translational suppression of gene expression, utilizing dsRNA molecules typically exceeding 200 base pairs in length that are complementary to target genes (Tomoyasu, 2008; Svoboda, 2020). The mechanism initiates when the RNase III enzyme dicer cleaves long dsRNA into 20–30 nucleotide small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) (Carmell et al., 2002; Obbard et al., 2009; Koeppe et al., 2023). These siRNAs subsequently associate with Argonaute-family proteins to form RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISC) for cytoplasmic mRNA degradation, or RNA-induced transcriptional silencing complexes (RITS) for nuclear gene suppression (Wu et al., 2020; Müller et al., 2020). A parallel pathway involves PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs; 23–36 nucleotides), germline-specific molecules that complex with PIWI-subfamily proteins to repress transposable elements through distinct silencing mechanisms (Bodemann et al., 2012; Chattopadhyay et al., 2022). Collectively, these RNAi pathways play crucial regulatory roles during insect ontogenesis (Figure 2), ultimately disrupting biosynthesis of specific proteins through targeted mRNA degradation or translational blockade.

Figure 2. Main pathway of RNA interference (RNAi) utilized for the development of RNA-based biocontrols. Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) is processed by Dicer into siRNAs, which are incorporated into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). The guide strand directs Argonaute (Ago) to complementary target mRNA, resulting in mRNA cleavage and degradation. This mechanism, distinct from DNA containment (DNAc) and contact unmodified antisense DNA biotechnology (CUADb), forms the basis for RNAi-mediated pest control strategies.

RNAi efficacy exhibits profound taxonomic variation among economically significant insect pests. Coleopterans demonstrate consistently high systemic efficiency

locusts) and Blattodea (cockroaches) generally exhibit robust responses (Irles et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2014; Li et al., 2021; Hoang et al., 2022). Efficiency proves variable in Hymenoptera and Hemiptera due to limited systemic spreading in piercing-sucking insects, attributed primarily to deficient RNA-directed RNA polymerase (RdRP) activity (Christiaens et al., 2014; Jain et al., 2020, 2021). Lepidopterans and dipterans typically display low susceptibility (Miller et al., 2008; Terenius et al., 2011). Consequently, commercial RNAi biopesticide development remains largely confined to coleopteran targets (Bramlett et al., 2020; Rodrigues et al., 2021; Koo et al., 2024), with target mRNAs spanning genes governing development, detoxification, and reproduction (Liu et al., 2020) (Table 1).

Two primary delivery strategies exist: genetically modified (GM) plants expressing dsRNA, and topical application of formulated sprayable products (Ivashuta et al., 2015). GM approaches undergo protracted regulatory evaluation under biotechnology frameworks, whereas sprayable formulations—classified as biochemical pesticides—offer potential time and cost advantages, with registration timelines dependent on final product composition (Dietz-Pfeilstetter et al., 2021; Galli et al., 2024). Currently, few RNAi products are commercially deployed. The landmark SmartStax® PRO corn (US EPA-registered 2017) combines two Bt insecticidal proteins (Cry3Bb1 and Cry34/35Ab1) with DvSnf7 dsRNA targeting vesicle transport in corn rootworm (Head et al., 2017; EPA, 2024). This triple-mode-of-action pyramid achieves 99% suppression of western (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*) and northern (*D. barberi*) corn rootworm larvae, though dsRNA-induced mortality manifests slower than Bt toxicity (≥5 days post-ingestion) (Head et al., 2017). The targeted Snf7 protein functions within the ESCRT-III pathway governing transmembrane protein sorting and degradation (Vaccari et al., 2009; Bolognesi et al., 2012), with documented absence of cross-resistance between DvSnf7 RNAi and Bt toxins (Moar et al., 2017; Khajuria et al., 2018; Reinders et al., 2023). Experimental GM crops demonstrate efficacy against diverse pests including fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*; Xiong et al., 2013), aphids (Mao et al., 2014), Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*; Guo et al., 2018), and spider mites (*Tetranychus urticae*; Shen et al., 2017).

The first exogenous sprayable dsRNA biopesticide, Calantha™ (ledprona; IRAC Group 35), was commercialized by GreenLight Biosciences in 2023 against Colorado potato beetle (Pallis et al., 2023; GreenLight Biosciences, 2025; Narva et al., 2025). Applied at 9.4 g/ha, it induces near-complete feeding cessation within 2-3 days and achieves 90-95% mortality in 1st-2nd instar larvae within 11-26 days. Operational constraints include strict larval-stage specificity, necessity for sequential applications, and recommended rotation with alternate modes of action. Proof-of-concept successes encompass numerous taxa: brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*; Zha et al., 2011), African sweet potato weevil (*Cylas puncticollis*; Prentice et al., 2017), tomato pinworm (*Tuta absoluta*; Camargo et al., 2016), oriental fruit fly (*Bactrocera dorsalis*; Sun et al., 2023), cotton mealybug (*Phenacoccus solenopsis*; Arya et al., 2021), diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*; Chen et al., 2021), fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*; Li et al., 2024; Bera et al., 2025), white-backed planthopper (*Sogatella furcifera*; Mao et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2025), desert locust (*Schistocerca gregaria*; Schellens et al., 2022), and potato psyllid (*Bactericera cockerelli*; Lu et al., 2024)—though none have advanced beyond experimental stages.

Significant challenges impede broader adoption: 1) Target gene selection lacks consensus due to compensatory cellular responses including protein stability, functional redundancy among paralogs, and transcriptional feedback loops (Ulrich et al., 2015; Buer et al., 2024; Cedden et al., 2024); 2) Efficacy varies substantially with developmental stage, tissue type, and delivery method; 3) The Spray-Induced Gene Silencing (SIGS) paradigm suffers mechanistic ambiguity—whether dsRNA uptake occurs primarily via integument

penetration or oral ingestion remains unresolved, confounding optimization efforts (Dalakouras et al., 2021); 4) Specificity limitations: Off-target effects may arise from as few as 15 contiguous base matches (Powell et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2021) or sequence-independent immune activation (Hirai et al., 2004; Brutscher et al., 2017), compounded by formulants (nanocarriers, adjuvants) potentially enhancing non-target exposure (Castellanos et al., 2019; Reinders et al., 2023); 5) Rapid environmental degradation occurs via microbial nucleases in soil/phyllosphere, UV radiation, and precipitation runoff (Dubelman et al., 2014; Parker et al., 2019), with insect-derived nucleases in hemolymph (e.g., *Spodoptera litura*, *Manduca sexta*) and saliva (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*) degrading dsRNA within 0.3–3 hours (Christiaens et al., 2014; Garbutt et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2018). While dsRNA production costs have decreased through cell-free platforms like GreenLight's enzymatic NMP→NTP→dsRNA pipeline (Cunningham et al., 2020; Taning et al., 2020), RNA biocontrols remain challenged by slower lethality and shorter residual activity than conventional insecticides. Sprayable dsRNA offers theoretical advantages over GM crops but requires deeper mechanistic understanding of RNAi pathways and environmental safety validation. Presently, dsRNA insecticides demand optimized design algorithms and expanded target pest spectra, whereas CUADb-based oligonucleotide insecticides primarily require broader validation across additional pest groups (Oberemok et al., 2024d).

4. Conclusion

Although both CUAD biotechnology and double-stranded RNA technology utilize nucleic acids as active ingredients, they represent fundamentally distinct insecticidal approaches with divergent mechanisms and applications (Oberemok et al., 2018a). Oligonucleotide insecticides employ short, unmodified antisense DNA fragments delivered exclusively via contact, operating through the DNA containment (DNAc) mechanism to degrade target ribosomal RNAs in pests. The deoxyribose backbone of these DNA fragments confers greater resistance to environmental hydrolysis than RNA-based counterparts (Thorp, 2000), while their compact size (~11 nucleotides) enables exceptional targeting precision. Ribosomal RNA—constituting approximately 80% of cellular RNA—serves as the optimal target due to its abundance and metabolic significance (Oberemok et al., 2019, 2023; Gal'chinsky et al., 2024; Oberemok et al., 2025a), with current efficacy being most pronounced against sap-feeding sternorrhynchan insects (Gal'chinsky et al., 2024; Oberemok et al., 2024a). In contrast, RNA biocontrols utilize longer double-stranded RNA molecules delivered through either contact or oral routes, functioning via the RNA interference (RNAi) pathway to degrade messenger RNAs. The ribose backbone of these molecules increases susceptibility to environmental hydrolysis (Williams et al., 2016), while their extended length (typically >200 bp) and subsequent enzymatic dicing into smaller fragments complicate selectivity control, necessitating careful risk assessment for non-target organisms (Roberts et al., 2015; Christiaens et al., 2022; Rank and Koch, 2021; Liu et al., 2020). Both classes benefit from cost-effective synthesis: liquid-phase phosphoramidite methods for DNA oligonucleotides (Gal'chinsky et al., 2023; Oberemok et al., 2024b) and cell-free enzymatic production for dsRNA (Taning et al., 2020). Notably, while RNAi mechanisms were characterized years before practical dsRNA insecticides emerged, the DNAc mechanism was discovered following persistent applied research with antisense oligodeoxyribonucleotides in pest control. These complementary technologies will likely demonstrate optimal efficacy against specific pest taxa, offering extended utility through careful design and potential for synergistic integration in multi-target formulations. Their unprecedented safety profiles for non-target organisms and ecosystems—combining high selectivity, rapid environmental biodegra-

dation, and innovative mechanisms—position nucleic acid-based insecticides as indispensable biomolecules for sustainable agriculture's future.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.O.; software, V.O. and N.G.; validation, V.O., and N.G.; formal analysis, V.O. and N.G.; resources, V.O.; data curation, V.O., K.L., J.A., and N.G.; writing—original draft preparation, V.O. and N.G.; writing—review and editing, V.O., K.L., J.A., and N.G.; visualization, V.O. and N.G.; supervision, V.O. and N.G.; project administration, V.O.; funding acquisition, V.O.

Funding: The research obtained funding from the Russian Science Foundation № 25-16-20070, https://rscf.ru/project/25-16-20070/ (accessed on 12 August 2025) (Section 1 and 2) and obtained funding within the framework of a state assignment V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University for 2024 and the planning period of 2024–2026 No. FZEG-2024–0001 (Section 3 and 4).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created.

Acknowledgments: We thank our many colleagues, too numerous to name, for the technical advances and lively discussions that prompted us to write this review. We apologize to the many colleagues whose work has not been cited. We are very much indebted to all anonymous reviewers and our colleagues from the lab on DNA technologies, PCR analysis, and creation of DNA insecticides (V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University, Department of General Biology and Genetics), and OLINSCIDE BIOTECH LLC for valuable comments on our manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Arora, A.K.; Chung, S.H.; Douglas, A.E. Non-Target Effects of dsRNA Molecules in Hemipteran Insects. *Genes.* **2021**, *12*, 407. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12030407

2. Arya, S.K.; Singh, S.; Upadhyay, S.K.; Tiwari, V.; Saxena, G.; Verma, P.C. RNAi-based gene silencing in *Phenacoccus solenopsis* and its validation by in planta expression of a double-stranded RNA. *Pest Management Science.* **2021**, *77(4)*, 1796-1805.

3. Bachellerie, J.P.; Cavaille, J.; Huttenhofer, A. The expanding snoRNA world. *Biochimie.* **2002**, *84*, 775-790.

4. Bachman, P.; Fischer, J.; Song, Z.; Urbanczyk-Wochniak, E.; Watson, G. Environmental Fate and Dissipation of Applied DsRNA in Soil, Aquatic Systems, and Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 21.

5. Barnes, M.A.; Turner, C.R. The ecology of environmental DNA and implications for conservation genetics. *Conserv. Genet.* **2016**, *17*, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-015-0775-4

6. Baum, J.A.; Bogaert, T.; Clinton, W.; Heck, G.R.; Feldmann, P.; Ilagan, O.; Johnson, S.; Plaetinck, G.; Munyikwa, T.; Pleau, M.; et al. Control of coleopteran insect pests through RNA interference. *Nat Biotechnol.* **2007**, *25(11)*, 1322-6. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1359

7. Bera, P.; Suby, S.B.; Dixit, S.; Vijayan, V.; Kumar, N.; Sekhar, J.C.; Vadassery, J. Identification of novel target genes for RNAi mediated management of the pest, Fall Armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*, JE Smith). *Crop Protection.* **2025**, *187*, 106972.

8. Bodemann, R.R.; Rahfeld, P.; Stock, M.; Kunert, M.; Wielsch, N.; Groth, M.; Frick, S.; Boland, W.; Burse, A. (2012). Precise RNAi-mediated silencing of metabolically active proteins in the defence secretions of juvenile leaf beetles. *Proceedings of the Biological Sciences.* **2012**, *279*, 4126–4134. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1342

9. Bolognesi, R.; Ramaseshadri, P.; Anderson, J.; Bachman, P.; Clinton, W.; Flannagan, R.; Ilagan, O.; Lawrence, C.; Levine, S.; Moar, W.; et al. Characterizing the mechanism of action of double-stranded RNA activity against western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte). *PLoS One.* **2012**, *7(10)*, e47534. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047534

10. Bramlett, M.; Plaetinck, G.; Maienfisch, P. RNA-based biocontrols—a new paradigm in crop protection. *Engineering.* **2020**, *6*, 522–527. https://doi.org/10.1007/10.1016/j.eng.2019.09.008

11. Brutscher, L.M.; Daughenbaugh, K.F.; Flenniken, M.L. Virus and dsRNA-triggered transcriptional responses reveal key components of honey bee antiviral defense. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 1–15.

12.   Buer, B.; Do□nitz, J.; Milner, M.; Mehlhorn, S.; Hinners, C.; Siemanowski-Hrach, J.; Ulrich, J.K.; Grossmann, D.; Cedden, D.;
Nauen, R.; et al. Superior target genes and pathways for RNAi mediated pest control revealed by genome wide analysis in the
red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*. bioRxiv. **2024**. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.24.577003

13.   Cagliari, D.; Dias, N.P.; Galdeano, D.M.; dos Santos, E.Á.; Smagghe, G.; Zotti, M.J. Management of pest insects and plant dis-
eases by non-transformative RNAi. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2019,** *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01319

14.   Camargo, R.A.; Barbosa, G.O.; Possignolo, I.P.; Peres, L.E.; Lam, E.; Lima, J.E.; Figueira, A.; Marques-Souza, H. RNA interfer-
ence as a gene silencing tool to control *Tuta absoluta* in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*). *PeerJ.* **2016**, *4*, e2673.

15.   Cao, M.; Gatehouse, J.A.; Fitches, E.C. A Systematic Study of RNAi Effects and dsRNA Stability in *Tribolium castaneum* and
Acyrthosiphon pisum, Following Injection and Ingestion of Analogous dsRNAs. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 1079.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19041079

16.   Carmell, M. A.; Xuan, Z.; Zhang, M. Q.; Hannon, G. J. The Argonaute family: tentacles that reach into RNAi, developmental
control, stem cell maintenance, and tumorigenesis. *Genes Dev.* **2002**, *16*, 2733–2742.

17.   Castellanos, N.L.; Smagghe, G.; Sharma, R.; Oliveira, E.E.; Christiaens, O. Liposome encapsulation and EDTA formulation of
dsRNA targeting essential genes increase oral RNAi-caused mortality in the Neotropical stink bug *Euschistus heros. Pest Manag*
Sci. **2019**, *75(2)*, 537-548. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ps.5167

18.   Cedden, D.; Bucher G. The quest for the best target genes for RNAi-mediated pest control. *Insect Mol Biol.* **2024**,
https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12966

19.   Cerio, R.J.; Vandergaast, R.; Friesen, P.D. Host insect inhibitor-of-apoptosis SfIAP functionally replaces baculovirus IAP but is
differentially regulated by its N-terminal leader. *J. Virol.* **2020**, *84*, 11448-11460.

20.   Chattopadhyay, T.; Biswal, P.; Lalruatfela, A.; Mallick, B. Emerging roles of PIWI- interacting RNAs (piRNAs) and PIWI pro-
teins in head and neck cancer and their potential clinical implications Biochim. *Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer.* **2022**, *1877(5)*, 188772.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2022.188772

21.   Chen, J.; Peng, Y.; Zhang, H.; Wang, K.; Zhao, C.; Zhu, G.; Reddy Palli, S.; Han, Z. . Off-target effects of RNAi correlate with the
mismatch rate between dsRNA and non-target mRNA. *RNA Biol.* **2021**, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2020.1868680

22.   Chen, J.Z.; Jiang, Y.X.; Li, M.W.; Li, J.W.; Zha, B.H.; Yang, G. Double-stranded RNA-degrading enzymes reduce the efficiency
of RNA interference in *Plutella xylostella. Insects.* **2021**, *12(8)*, 712.

23.   Christiaens, O.; Sweet, J.; Dzhambazova, T.; Urru, I.; Smagghe, G.; Kostov, K.; Arpaia, S. Implementation of RNAi-based ar-
thropod pest control: environmental risks, potential for resistance and regulatory considerations. *Journal of Pest Science.* **2022**,
95, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-021-01439-3

24.   Christiaens, O.; Swevers, L.; Smagghe, G. DsRNA Degradation in the Pea Aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*) Associated with Lack of
Response in RNAi Feeding and Injection Assay. *Peptides.* **2014**, *53*, 307-314.

25.   Christiaens, O.; Whyard, S.; Vélez, A.M.; Smagghe, G. Double-Stranded RNA Technology to Control Insect Pests: Current
Status and Challenges. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 451. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00451

26.   Cunningham, D.S.; MacEachran, D.; Abshire, J.R.; Dhamankar, H.; Iwuchukwu, I.; Gupta, M.; Moura, M.E.; Sudharsan, N.;
Skizim, N.; Jain, R.; et al. Methods and compositions for nucleoside triphosphate and ribonucleic acid production. Washington,
DC: U.S. Patent US20190144489A1, 8 December **2020**.

27.   Dalakouras, A.; Vlachostergios, D. Epigenetic approaches to crop breeding: current status and perspectives. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2021**,
72, 5356–5371. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erab227

28.   Darlington, M.; Jurat-Fuentes, J.L.; Kogel, K.; Rathore, K.; Smagghe, G.; Whyard, S. RNA interference in agriculture: methods,
applications, and governance. *CAST.* **2024**, *72*. https://doi.org/10.62300/IRNE9191

29.   Deng, Z.L.; Mu□nch, P.C.; Mreches, R.; McHardy, A.C. Rapid and accurate identification of ribosomal RNA sequences via
deep learning. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2022**, *50(10)*, e60. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac112

30.   Dias, N.; Stein, C.A. Antisense oligonucleotides: basic concepts and mechanisms. *Mol Cancer Ther.* **2002,** *1(5)*, 347-55.

31.   Dietz-Pfeilstetter, A.; Mendelsohn, M.; Gathmann, A.; Klinkenbuß, D. Considerations and regulatory approaches in the USA
and in the EU for dsRNA-based externally applied pesticides for plant protection. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 974.
https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpls.2021.682387

32.   Dubelman, S.; Fischer, J.; Zapata, F.; Huizinga, K.; Jiang, C.; Uffman, J.; Levine, S.; Carson, D. Environmental Fate of Dou-
ble-Stranded RNA in Agricultural Soils. *PLoS One.* **2014**, *9*, e93155.

33.   Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Pesticide product label, SmartStax PRO Enlist Refuge Advanced. Available online:
https://www3.epa.gov/pesticides/chem_search/ppls/062719-00707-20170608.pdf. (accessed on 19 April 2025).

34. Evseev, P.V.; Landysheva, Y.G.; Landyshev, N.N.; Ignatov, A.N. Presence of rRNA-like regions in Genbank viral sequences. *2021 IEEE Ural-Siberian Conference on Computational Technologies in Cognitive Science, Genomics and Biomedicine (CSGB)*. **2021**, 310-314. https://doi.org/10.1109/CSGB53040.2021.9496035

35. Fire, A.; Xu, S.; Montgomery, M.K.; Kostas, S.A.; Driver, S.E.; Mello, C.C. Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature*. **1998**, *391*, 806-811.

36. Flenniken, M.L.; Andino, R. Non-specific dsRNA-mediated antiviral response in the honey bee. *PLoS One*. **2013**, *8*, e77263.

37. Gal'chinsky, N.; Useinov, R.; Yatskova, E.; Laikova, K.; Novikov, I.; Gorlov, M.; Trikoz, N.; Sharmagiy, A.; Plugatar, Y.; Oberemok, V. A breakthrough in the efficiency of contact DNA insecticides: rapid high mortality rates in the sap-sucking insects *Dynaspidiotus britannicus* Comstock and *Unaspis euonymi* Newstead. *J Plant Prot Res*, **2020**, *60(2)*, 220-223. https://doi.org/10.24425/jppr.2020.133315

38. Gal'chinsky, N.V.; Yatskova, E. V.; Novikov, I.A.; Sharmagiy, A.K.; Plugatar, Y.V.; Oberemok, V.V. Mixed insect pest populations of Diaspididae species under control of oligonucleotide insecticides: 3'-end nucleotide matters. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*. **2024**, 105838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2024.105838

39. Gal'chinsky, N.V.; Yatskova, E.V.; Novikov, I.A.; Useinov, R.Z.; Kouakou, N.J.; Kouame, K.F.; Kra, K.D.; Sharmagiy, A.K.; Plugatar, Y.V.; Laikova, K.V.; et al. *Icerya purchasi* Maskell (Hemiptera: Monophlebidae) Control Using Low Carbon Footprint Oligonucleotide Insecticides. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 11650. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241411650

40. Galli, M.; Feldmann, F.; Vogler, U.K.; Kogel, K.H. Can biocontrol be the game-changer in integrated pest management? A review of definitions, methods and strategies. *J Plant Dis Prot*. **2024**, *131*, 265–291. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-024-00878-1

41. Garbutt, J.S.; Bellés, X.; Richards, E.H.; Reynolds, S.E. Persistence of double-stranded RNA in insect hemolymph as a potential determiner of RNA interference success: evidence from *Manduca sexta* and *Blattella germanica*. *J Insect Physiol*. **2013**, *59*, 171-8.

42. Gavrilova, D.; Grizanova, E.; Novikov, I.; Laikova, E.; Zenkova, A.; Oberemok, V.; Dubovskiy, I. Antisense DNA acaricide targeting pre-rRNA of two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* as efficacy-enhancing agent of fungus *Metarhizium robertsii*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*. **2025**, *211*, 108297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2025.108297

43. GreenLight Biosciences. Available online: https://www.greenlightbiosciences.com/international-crop-network-validates-ledprona-as-a-new-mode-of-action-group/ (accessed on 20 January 2025).

44. Guan, R.B.; Li, H.C.; Fan, Y.J.; Hu, S.R.; Christiaens, O.; Smagghe, G.; Miao, X.X. A Nuclease Specific to Lepidopteran Insects Suppresses RNAi. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2018**, *293*, 6011-6021. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA117.001553

45. Guo, P.P.; Yang, X.B.; Yang, H.; Zhou, C.; Long, G.Y.; Jin, D.C. Knockdown of the β-N-acetylhexosaminidase genes by RNA interference inhibited the molting and increased the mortality of the white-backed planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera*. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*. **2025**, *207*, 106216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2024.106216

46. Guo, W.; Bai, C.; Wang, Z.; Wang, P.; Fan, Q.; Mi, X.; Wang, L.; He, J.; Pang, J.; Luo, X.; et al. Double-strand- ed RNAs high-efficiently protect transgenic potato from *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* by disrupting juvenile hormone biosynthesis. *J Agric Food Chem*. **2018**, *66*, 11990-11999. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b03914

47. Guo, X.; Wang, Y.; Sinakevitch, I.; Lei, H.; Smith, B.H. Comparison of RNAi knockdown effect of tyramine receptor 1 induced by dsRNA and siRNA in brains of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*. **2018**, *111*, 47–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2018.10.005

48. Guo, Y.; Ji, N.; Bai, L.; Ma, J.; Li, Z. Aphid viruses: a brief view of a long history. *Front Insect Sci*. **2022**, *2*, 846716. https://doi.org/10.3389/finsc.2022.846716

49. Head, G.P.; Carroll, M.W.; Evans, S.P.; Rule, D.M.; Willse, A.R.; Clark, T.L.; Storer, N.P.; Flannagan, R.D.; Samuel, L.W.; Meinke, L.J. Evaluation of SmartStax and SmartStax PRO maize against western corn rootworm and northern corn rootworm: efficacy and resistance management. *Pest Manag Sci*. **2017**, *73*, 1883-1899. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4554

50. Hirai, M.; Terenius, O.; Li, W.; Faye, I. Baculovirus and dsRNA induce Hemolin, but no antibacterial activity, in *Antheraea pernyi*. *Insect Mol. Biol.* **2004**, *13*, 399–405.

51. Hoang, T.; Foquet, B.; Rana, S.; Little, D.W.; Woller, D.A.; Sword, G.A.; Song, H. Development of RNAi methods for the Mormon cricket, *Anabrus simplex* (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae). *Insects*. **2022**, *13*. https://doi.org/1010.3390/insects13080739

52. Irles, P.; Silva-Torres, F.A.; Piulachs, M.-D. RNAi reveals the key role of Nervana 1 in cockroach oogenesis and embryo development. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. **2013**, *43*, 178–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.12.003

53. Ivashuta, S.I.; Zhang, Y.; Wiggins, E.B.; Ramaseshadri, P.; Segers, G.C.; Johnson, S.; Meyer, S.E.; Kerstetter, R.A.; McNulty, B.C.; Bolognesi, R.; et al. Environmental RNAi in herbivorous insects. *RNA*. **2015**, *21*, 840-850. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.048116.114

54. Jain, R.G.; Robinson, K.E.; Asgari, S.; Mitter, N. Current scenario of RNAi-based hemipteran control. *Pest Manag Sci.* **2021**, *77(5)*,
2188-2196. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6153

55. Jain, R.G.; Robinson, K.E.; Fletcher, S.J.; Mitter, N. RNAi-Based Functional Genomics in Hemiptera. *Insects.* **2020**, *11(9)*, 557.
https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11090557

56. Khajuria, C.; Ivashuta, S.; Wiggins, E.; Flagel, L.; Moar, W.; Pleau, M.; Miller, K.; Zhang, Y.; Ramaseshadri, P.; Jiang, C.; et al.
Development and characterization of the first dsRNA-resistant insect population from western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica*
virgifera virgifera LeConte. *PLoS One.* **2018**, *13(5)*, e0197059. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0197059

57. Koeppe, S.; Kawchuk, L.; Kalischuk, M. RNA Interference Past and Future Applications in Plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 9755.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24119755

58. Koo, J.; Palli, S.R. Recent advances in understanding of the mechanisms of RNA interference in insects. *Insect Molecular Biology.*
2024, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12941

59. Kumar, H.; Gal'chinsky, N.; Sweta, V.; Negi, N.; Filatov, R.; Chandel, A.; Ali, J.; Oberemok, V.; Laikova, K. Perspectives of
RNAi, CUADb and CRISPR/Cas as Innovative Antisense Technologies for Insect Pest Control: From Discovery to Prac-
tice. *Insects* **2025**, *16*, 746. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects16070746

60. Kumar, H.; Sharma, M.; Chandel, A. DNA Insecticides: Future of Crop Protection. *Agriculture & Food: e-Newsletter.* **2022**, *4(6)*,
551.

61. Li, L.; Jing, A.; Xie, M.; Li, S.; Ren, C. Applications of RNA interference in American cockroach. *Journal of Visualized Experiments.*
2021, *(178)*, e63380. https://doi.org/10.3791/63380

62. Li, N.; Xu, X.; Li, J.; Hull, J.J.; Chen, L.; Liang, G. A spray-induced gene silencing strategy for *Spodoptera frugiperda* oviposition
inhibition using nanomaterial-encapsulated dsEcR. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules.* **2024**, *281*, 136503.

63. Liu, S.; Jaouannet, M.; Dempsey, D.A.; Imani, J.; Coustau, C.; Kogel, K.H. RNA-based technologies for insect control in plant
production. *Biotechnol Adv.* **2020**, *39*, 107463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2019.107463

64. Lomate, P.R.; Bonning, B.C. Distinct Properties of Proteases and Nucleases in the Gut, Salivary Gland and Saliva of Southern
Green Stink Bug, *Nezara viridula. Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 27587.

65. Lu, J.; Shen, J. Target genes for RNAi in pest control: A comprehensive overview. *Entomologia Generalis.* **2024**, *44(1)*.

66. Mao, J.; Zeng, F. Plant-mediated RNAi of a gap gene-enhanced tobacco tolerance against the *Myzus persicae. Transgenic Res.*
2014, *23*, 145-152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248- 013-9739-y

67. Mao, J.; Zeng, F. Plant-mediated RNAi of a gap gene-enhanced tobacco tolerance against the *Myzus persicae. Transgenic Res.*
2014, *23*, 145-152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11248- 013-9739-y

68. Martinez, Z.; De Schutter, K.; Van Damme, E.J.M.; Vokgel, E.; Wynant, N.; Vanden Broeck, J.; Christiaens, O.; Smagghe, G.
Accelerated delivery of dsRNA in lepidopteran midgut cells by a Galanthus nivalis lectin (GNA)-dsRNA-binding domain fu-
sion protein. *Pestic Biochem* Phys. **2021**, *175*, 104853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pestbp.2021.104853

69. Miller, S.C.; Brown, S.J.; Tomoyasu, Y. Larval RNAi in Drosophila? *Development Genes and Evolution.* **2008**, *218*, 505–510.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-008-0238-8

70. Moar, W.; Khajuria, C.; Pleau, M.; Ilagan, O.; Chen, M.; Jiang, C.; Price, P.; McNulty, B.; Clark, T.; Head, G. Cry3Bb1-Resistant
Western Corn Rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* (LeConte) Does Not Exhibit Cross-Resistance to DvSnf7 dsRNA. *PLoS*
One. **2017**, *12(1)*, e0169175. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169175

71. Mogilicherla, K.; Roy, A. Epigenetic regulations as drivers of insecticide resistance and resilience to climate change in arthro-
pod pests. *Front Genet.* **2023**, *13*, 1044980. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.1044980

72. Müller, M.; Fazi, F.; Ciaudo, C. Argonaute Proteins: From Structure to Function in Development and Pathological Cell Fate
Determination. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* **2020**, *7*, 360. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2019.00360.

73. Narva, K.; Toprak, U.; Alyokhin, A.; Groves, R.; Jurat-Fuentes, J.L.; Moar, W.; Nauen, R.; Whipple, S.; Head, G. Insecticide
resistance   management   scenarios   differ   for   RNA-based   sprays   and   traits.   *Insect   Mol   Biol.*   **2025**,
https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12986

74. Nitnavare, R.B.; Bhattacharya, J.; Singh, S.; Kour, A.; Hawkesford, M.J.; Arora, N. Next Generation dsRNA-Based Insect Con-
trol: Success So Far and Challenges. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 673576. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.673576

75. Novikov, A.; Yatskova, E.; Bilyk, A.; Puzanova, Y.; Sharmagiy, A.; Oberemok, V. Efficient Control of the Obscure Mealybug
Pseudococcus viburni with DNA Insecticides. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology-Animal.* **2023**, *59*, 92-108.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-023-00795-x

76. Nyadar, P.M.; Oberemok, V.; Omelchenko, A.; Kerimova, S.; Seidosmanova, E.; Krasnodubiets, A.; Shumskykh, M.; Bekirova,
V.; Galchinsky, N.; Vvdensky, V. DNA Insecticides: The Effect of Concentration on Non-Target Plant Organisms Such as
Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *J. Plant Prot.Res.* **2019**, *59*, 60-68. https://doi.org/10.24425/jppr.2019.126038

77. Obbard, D.J.; Gordon, K.H.; Buck, A.H.; Jiggins, F.M. The evolution of RNAi as a defence against viruses and transposable
elements. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* **2009**, *364(1513)*, 99-115. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0168

78. Oberemok, V.; Gal'chinsky, N.; Novikov, I.; Sharmagiy, A.; Yatskova, E.; Laikova, E.; Plugatar, Y. Ribosomal RNA-Specific
Antisense DNA and Double-Stranded DNA Trigger rRNA Biogenesis and Insecticidal Effects on the Insect Pest *Coccus*
hesperidum. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2025a**, *26*, 7530. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26157530

79. Oberemok, V.; Laikova, K.; Andreeva, O.; Dmitrienko, A.; Rybareva, T.; Ali, J.; Gal'chinsky, N. DNA-Programmable Oligonu-
cleotide Insecticide Eriola-11 Targets Mitochondrial 16S rRNA and Exhibits Strong Insecticidal Activity Against Woolly Apple
Aphid (*Eriosoma lanigerum*) Hausmann. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2025c**, *26*, 7486. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26157486

80. Oberemok, V.V. Method of elimination of phyllophagousinsects from order Lepidoptera. UA Patent 36445, 19 May 2008.

81. Oberemok, V.V.; Gal'chinsky, N.V.; Useinov, R.Z.; Novikov, I.A.; Puzanova, Y.V.; Filatov, R.I.; Kouakou, N.J.; Kouame, K.F.;
Kra, K.D.; Laikova, K.V. Four Most Pathogenic Superfamilies of Insect Pests of Suborder Sternorrhyncha: Invisible
Superplunderers of Plant Vitality. *Insects.* **2023**, *14(5)*, 462. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14050462

82. Oberemok, V.V.; Laikova, K.; Shumskykh, M.; Kenyo, I.; Kasich, I.; Deri, K.; Seidosmanova, E.; Krasnodubets, K.; Bekirova, V.;
Gal'chinsky, N. A primary attempt of *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* control using contact DNA insecticide based on short antisense
oligonucleotide of its CYP6B gene. *J. Plant. Prot. Res.* **2018**, *58*, 106-108. https://doi.org/10.24425/119124

83. Oberemok, V.V.; Laikova, K.V.; Andreeva, O.A.; Gal'chinsky, N.V. Biodegradation of insecticides: oligonucleotide insecticides
and double-stranded RNA biocontrols paving the way for eco-innovation. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **2024**, *12*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1430170

84. Oberemok, V.V.; Laikova, K.V.; Gal'chinsky, N.V. Contact unmodified antisense DNA (CUAD) biotechnology: list of pest
species successfully targeted by oligonucleotide insecticides. *Front. Agron.* **2024**, *6*, 1415314.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fagro.2024.1415314

85. Oberemok, V.V.; Laikova, K.V.; Gal'chinsky, N.V.; Useinov, R.Z.; Novikov, I.A.; Temirova, Z.Z.; Shumskykh, M.N.;
Krasnodubets, A.M.; Repetskaya, A.I.; Dyadichev, V.V.; et al. DNA insecticide developed from the *Lymantria dispar* 5.8S ribo-
somal RNA gene provides a novel biotechnology for plant protection. *Sci Rep.* **2019**, *9(1)*, 6197.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42688-8

86. Oberemok, V.V.; Laikova, K.V.; Repetskaya, A.I.; Kenyo, I.M.; Gorlov, M.V.; Kasich, I.N.; Krasnodubets, A.M.; Gal'chinsky,
N.V.; Fomochkina, I.I.; Zaitsev, A.S.; et al. A Half-Century History of Applications of Antisense Oligonucleotides in Medicine,
Agriculture and Forestry: We Should Continue the Journey. *Molecules.* **2018**, *23(6)*, 1302.
https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23061302

87. Oberemok, V.V.; Laikova, K.V.; Zaitsev, A.S.; Shumskykh, M.N.; Kasich, I.N.; Gal'chinsky, N.V.; Bekirova, V.V.; Makarov,
V.V.; Agranovsky, A.A.; Gushchin, V.A.; et al. Molecular Alliance of *Lymantria dispar* Multiple Nucleopolyhedrovirus and a
Short Unmodified Antisense Oligonucleotide of Its Anti-Apoptotic IAP-3 Gene: A Novel Approach for Gypsy Moth Con-
trol. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 2446. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112446

88. Oberemok, V.V.; Laikova, V.K.; Zaitsev, S.A.; Nyadar, M.P.; Shumskykh, N.M.; Gninenko, I.Y. DNA insecticides based on iap3
gene fragments of cabbage looper and gypsy moth nuclear polyhedrosis viruses show selectivity for non-target insects. *Arch.*
Biol. Sci. **2015**, *67*, 785-792.

89. Oberemok, V.V.; Novikov, I.A.; Yatskova, E.V.; Bilyk, A.I.; Sharmagiy, A.K.; Gal'chinsky, N.V. Potent and selective 'genetic
zipper' method for DNA-programmable plant protection: innovative oligonucleotide insecticides against *Trioza alacris* Flor.
Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric. **11**, 144. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-024-00668-9

90. Oberemok, V.V.; Nyadar, P.; Zaytsev, O.; Levchenko, N.; Shiyntum, H.; Omelchenko, O. Pioneer evaluation of the possible side
effects of the DNA insecticides on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Int. J. Biochem. Biophys.* **2013**, *1*, 57-63.

91. Oberemok, V.V.; Puzanova, Y.V.; Gal'chinsky, N.V. The 'genetic zipper' method offers a cost-effective solution for aphid con-
trol. *Front. Insect Sci.* **2024**, *4*, 1467221. https://doi.org/10.3389/finsc.2024.1467221

92. Oberemok, V.V.; Useinov, R.Z.; Skorokhod, O.A.; Gal'chinsky, N.V.; Novikov, I.A.; Makalish, T.P.; Yatskova, E.V.; Sharmagiy,
A.K.; Golovkin, I.O.; Gninenko, Y.I.; et al. Oligonucleotide Insecticides for Green Agriculture: Regulatory Role of Contact DNA
in Plant–Insect Interactions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 15681. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms232415681

93. OECD. *Considerations for the Environmental Risk Assessment of the Application of Sprayed or Externally Applied dsRNA-Based Pesti-*
cides. Series on Pesticides No. 104, ENV/JM/MONO 2020. Paris: OECD (2020) p. 26 Available online:

https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2020/09/considerations-for-the-environmental-risk-assessment-of-the-application-of-sprayed-or-externally-applied-ds-rna-based-pesticides_898e0d9f/576d9ebb-en.pdf (accessed on 12 August 2025).

94. Palli, S.R. RNAi turns 25: contributions and challenges in insect science. *Front. Insect Sci.* **2023**, *3*, 1209478. https://doi.org/10.3389/finsc.2023.1209478

95. Pallis, S.; Alyokhin, A.; Manley, B.; Rodrigues, T.; Barnes, E.; Narva, K. Effects of Low Doses of a Novel dsRNA-based Biopesticide (Calantha) on the Colorado Potato Beetle. *J Econ Entomol.* **2023**, *116(2)*, 456-461. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toad034

96. Parker, K.M.; Barragán Borrero, V.; van Leeuwen, D.M.; Lever, M.A.; Mateescu, B.; Sander, M. Environmental Fate of RNA Interference Pesticides: Adsorption and Degradation of Double-Stranded RNA Molecules in Agricultural Soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53*, 3027-3036.

97. Peng, Y.; Wang, K.; Fu, W.; Sheng, C.; Han, Z. Biochemical Comparison of dsRNA Degrading Nucleases in Four Different Insects. *Front. Physiol.* **2018**, *9*, 624. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00624

98. Powell, M.; Pyati, P.; Cao, M.; Bell, H.; Gatehouse, J.A.; Fitches, E. Insecticidal effects of dsRNA targeting the Diap1 gene in dipteran pests. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 1-13.

99. Powell, M.E.; Bradish, H.M.; Gatehouse, J.A.; Fitches, E.C. Systemic RNAi in the small hive beetle *Aethina tumida* Murray (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae), a serious pest of the European honey bee Apis mellifera. *Pest Management Science.* **2017**, *73*, 53–63. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4365

100. Prentice, K.; Christiaens, O.; Pertry, I.; Bailey, A.; Niblett, C.; Ghislain, M.; Gheysen, G.; Smagghe, G. RNAi-based gene silencing through dsRNA injection or ingestion against the African sweet potato weevil *Cylas puncticollis* (Coleoptera: Brentidae). *Pest management science.* **2017**, *73(1)*, 44-52.

101. Puzanova, Y.V.; Novikov, I.A.; Bilyk, A.I.; Sharmagiy, A.K.; Plugatar, Y.V.; Oberemok, V.V. Perfect Complementarity Mechanism for Aphid Control: Oligonucleotide Insecticide Macsan-11 Selectively Causes High Mortality Rate for *Macrosiphoniella sanborni* Gillette. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 11690. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241411690

102. Ramaseshadri, P.; Segers, G.; Flannagan, R.; Wiggins, E.; Clinton, W.; Ilagan, O.; McNulty, B.; Clark, T.; Bolognesi, R. Physiological and cellular responses caused by RNAi- mediated suppression of Snf7 orthologue in western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera*) larvae. *PLoS One.* **2013**, *8(1)*, e54270. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054270

103. Rank, A.P.; Koch, A. Lab-to-Field Transition of RNA Spray Applications - How Far Are We? *Front Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 755203. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.755203

104. Rawlinson, S.M.; Zhao, T.; Rozario, A.M.; Rootes, C.L.; McMillan, P.J.; Purcell, A.W.; Woon, A.; Marsh, G.A.; Lieu, K.G.; Wang, L.F.; et al. Viral regulation of host cell biology by hijacking of the nucleolar DNA-damage response. *Nat Commun.* **2018**, *9(1)*, 3057. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05354-7

105. Reinders, J.D.; Moar, W.J.; Head, G.P.; Hassan, S.; Meinke, L.J. Effects of SmartStax® and SmartStax® PRO maize on western corn rootworm (*Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* LeConte) larval feeding injury and adult life history parameters. *PLoS One.* **2023**, *18(7)*, e0288372. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0288372

106. Roberts, A.F.; Devos, Y.; Lemgo, G.N.Y.; Zhou, X. Biosafety research for non-target organism risk assessment of RNAi-based GE plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 958. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00958

107. Rodrigues, T.; Sridharan, K.; Manley, B.; Cunningham, D.; Narva, K. Development of dsRNA as a Sustainable Bioinsecticide: From Laboratory to Field. In B. Rauzan and B. A. Lorsbach (eds.). Crop Protection Products for Sustainable Agriculture. **2021**; pp. 65-82. https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2021-1390.ch005

108. Rodrigues, T.B.; Dhandapani, R.K.; Duan, J.J.; Palli, S.R. RNA interference in the Asian Longhorned beetle: identification of key RNAi genes and reference genes for RT-qPCR. *Scientific Reports.* **2017**, *7*, 8913. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08813-1

109. Rodrigues, T.B.; Mishra, S.K.; Sridharan, K.; Barnes, E.R.; Alyokhin, A.; Tuttle, R.; Kokulapalan, W.; Garby, D.; Skizim, N.; Tang, Y.W.; et al. First sprayable double-stranded RNA-bases biopesticide product target Type-5 Colorado potato beetle. *Front Plant Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 728652. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.728652

110. Rodrigues, T.B.; Rieske, L.K.; Duan, J.; Mogilicherla, K.; Palli, S.R. Development of RNAi method for screening candidate genes to control emerald ash borer, *Agrilus planipennis*. *Scientific Reports.* **2017**, *7*, 7379. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07605-x

111. Salvetti, A.; Greco, A. Viruses and the nucleolus: the fatal attraction. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* **2024**, *1842(6)*, 840-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.12.010

112. Santos, D.; Vanden Broeck, J.; Wynant, N. Systemic RNA interference in locusts: reverse genetics and possibilities for locust pest control. *Current Opinion in Insect Science.* **2014**, *6*, 9–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2014.09.013

113. Schellens, S.; Lenaerts, C.; Pérez Baca, M.D.R.; Cools, D.; Peeters, P.; Marchal, E.; Vanden Broeck, J. Knockdown of the Halloween genes Spook, Shadow and Shade influences oocyte development, egg shape, oviposition and hatching in the desert locust. *International journal of molecular sciences.* **2022**, *23(16)*, 9232.

114. Sharma, V.K.; Sharma, R.K.; Singh, S.K. Antisense oligonucleotides: modifications and clinical trials. *Med. Chem. Comm.* **2014**, *5*, 1454-1471.

115. Shen, G.M.; Song, C.G.; Ao, Y.Q.Y.; Xiao, Y.H.; Zhang, Y.J.; Pan, Y.; He, L. Transgenic cotton expressing CYP392A4 double-stranded RNA decreases the reproductive ability of *Tetranychus cinnabarinus. Insect Sci.* **2017**, *24*, 559–568. https://doi.org/10.1111/1744- 7917.12346

116. Sparks, T.C.; Storer, N.; Porter, A.; Slater, R.; Nauen, R. Insecticide resistance management and industry: the origins and evolution of the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) and the mode of action classification scheme. *Pest Manag Sci.* **2021**, *77(6)*, 2609-2619. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6254

117. Sun, Z.; Liu, J.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, J.; Zhong, G. RNAi-mediated knockdown of α-Spectrin depresses reproductive performance in female *Bactrocera dorsalis. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology.* **2023**, *196*, 105611.

118. Svoboda, P. Key Mechanistic Principles and Considerations Concerning RNA Interference. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1237. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01237

119. Szaflarski, W.; Leśniczak-Staszak, M.; Sowiński, M.; Ojha, S.; Aulas, A.; Dave, D.; Malla, S.; Anderson, P.; Ivanov, P.; Lyons, S.M. Early rRNA processing is a stress-dependent regulatory event whose inhibition maintains nucleolar integrity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2022**, *50(2)*, 1033-1051. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1231

120. Taning, C.N.T.; Arpaia, S.; Christiaens, O.; Dietz-Pfeilstetter, A.; Jones, H.; Mezzetti, B.; Sabbadini, S.; Sorteberg, H.; Sweet, J.; Ventura, V.; et al. RNA-Based Biocontrol Compounds: Current Status and Perspectives to Reach the Market. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2020**, *76*, 841-845. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5686

121. Terenius, O.; Papanicolaou, A.; Garbutt, J.S.; Eleftherianos, I.; Huvenne, H.; Kanginakudru, S.; Albrechtsen, M.; An, C.; Aymeric, J.L.; Barthel, A.; et al. (2011). RNA interference in Lepidoptera: an overview of successful and unsuccessful studies and implications for experimental design. *J. Insect Physiol.* **2011**, *57*, 231-245

122. Thorp, H.H. The importance of being r: greater oxidative stability of RNA compared with DNA. *Chem Biol.* **2000**, *7(2)*, 33-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1074-5521(00)00080-6

123. Tomoyasu, Y.; Miller, C.; Tomita, S.; Schoppmeier, M.; Grossmann, D.; Bucher, G. Exploring systemic RNA interference in insects: a genome- wide survey for RNAi genes in Tribolium. *Genome biology.* **2008**, *9(1)*.

124. TriLink BioTechnologies. Feasibility of Antisense Oligonucleotides as DNAInsecticides. Available online: https://www.trilinkbiotech.com/blog/feasibility-of-antisense-oligonucleotides- as-dna-insecticides/ (accessed on 19 April 2025).

125. Ulrich, J.; Dao, V.A.; Majumdar, U.; Schmitt-Engel, C.; Schwirz, J.; Schultheis, D.; Stro□hlein, N.; Troelenberg, N.; Grossmann, D.; Richter, T.; et al. Large scale RNAi screen in *Tribolium* reveals novel target genes for pest control and the proteasome as prime target. *BMC Genomics.* **2015**, *16*, 674.

126. Useinov, R.Z.; Gal'chinsky, N.; Yatskova, E.; Novikov, I.; Puzanova, Y.; Trikoz, N.; Sharmagiy, A.; Plugatar, Y.; Laikova, K.; Oberemok, V. To bee or not to bee: creating DNA insecticides to replace non-selective organophosphate insecticides for use against the soft scale insect *Ceroplastes Japonicus* green. *J Plant Prot Res.* **2020**, *60*, 406-409.

127. Vaccari, T.; Rusten, T.E.; Menut, L.; Nezis, I.P.; Brech, A.; Stenmark, H.; Bilder, D. Comparative analysis of ESCRT-I, ESCRT-II and ESCRT-III function in Drosophila by efficient isolation of ESCRT mutants. *J Cell Sci.* **2009**, *122(Pt 14)*, 2413-23. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.046391

128. van den Berg, H.; daSilva Bezerra, H.S.; Al-Eryani, S.; Chanda, E.; Nagpal, B.N.; Knox, T.B.; Velayudhan, R.; Yadav, R.S. Recent trends in global insecticide use for disease vector control and potential implications for resistance management. *Sci Rep.* **2021**, *11(1)*, 23867. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03367-9

129. Vilkhovoy, M.; Adhikari, A.; Vadhin, S.; Varner, J.D. The Evolution of Cell Free Biomanufacturing. *Processes.* **2020**, *8*, 675. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8060675

130. Wang, W.; Han, B.W.; Tipping, C.; Ge, D.T.; Zhang, Z.; Weng, Z.; Zamore, P.D. Slicing and binding by Ago3 or Aub trigger Piwi-bound piRNA production by distinct mechanisms. *Mol. Cell.* **2015**, *59*, 819-30

131. Wang, X.W.; Blanc, S. Insect transmission of plant single-stranded DNA viruses. *Annu Rev Entomol.* **2021**, *66*, 389-405. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-060920-094531

132. Wang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Li, H.; Miao, X. Second-generation sequencing supply an effective way to screen RNAi targets in large scale for potential application in pest insect control. *PloS One.* **2011**, *6*, e18644. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018644

133. Whalon, M.E.; Mota-Sanchez, R.M.; Hollingworth, R.M. Arthropods Resistant to Pesticides Database (ARPD). Available online: http://www.pesticideresistance.org (accessed on 8 December 2015).

134. Will, C.L.; Luhrmann, R. Spliceosomal UsnRNP biogenesis, structure and function. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* **2001**, *13*, 290-301.

135. Williams, J.S.; Lujan, S.A.; Kunkel, T.A. Processing ribonucleotides incorporated during eukaryotic DNA replication. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* **2016**, *17(6)*, 350-63. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2016.37

136. Wu, J.; Yang, J.; Cho, W.C.; Zheng, Y. Argonaute proteins: Structural features, functions and emerging roles. *J Adv Res.* **2020**, *24*, 317-324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2020.04.017.

137. Wu, W.; Shan, H.W.; Li, J.M.; Zhang, C.X.; Chen, J.P.; Mao, Q. Roles of bacterial symbionts in transmission of plant virus by hemipteran vectors. *Front Microbiol.* **2022**, *13*, 805352. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.805352

138. Xiong, Y.; Zeng, H.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, D.; Qiu, D. Silencing the HaHR3 gene by transgenic plant-mediated RNAi to disrupt *Helicoverpa armigera* development. *Int J Biol Sci.* **2013**, *9*, 370-381. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.5929

139. Yoshiyama, N.; Tojo, K.; Hatakeyama, M. A survey of the effectiveness of non-cell autonomous RNAi throughout development in the sawfly, *Athalia rosae* (Hymenoptera). *Journal of Insect Physiology.* **2013**, *59*, 400–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.01.009

140. Zaitsev, A.S.; Omel'chenko, O.V.; Nyadar, P.M.; Oberemok, V.V. Influence of DNA oligonucleotides used as insecticides on biochemical parameters of *Quercus robur* and *Malus domestica. Bull. Transylvania Univ. Bras. Ser. II For. Wood Ind. Agric. Food Eng.* **2015**, *8*, 37-46.

141. Zha, W.; Peng, X.; Chen, R.; Du, B.; Zhu, L.; He, G. Knockdown of midgut genes by dsRNA-transgenic plant-mediated RNA interference in the hemipteran insect *Nilaparvata lugens. PloS One.* **2011**, *6(5)*, e20504.

142. Zheng, J.; Xu, Y. A Review: Development of Plant Protection Methods and Advances in Pesticide Application Technology in Agro-Forestry Production. *Agriculture.* **2023**, *13*, 2165. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13112165

143. Zotti, M.; dos Santos, E.A.; Cagliari, D.; Christiaens, O.; Taning, C.N.T.; Smagghe, G. RNA interference technology in crop protection against arthropod pests, pathogens and nematodes. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2018**, *74*, 1239-1250.