1   **Growth parameters of the clupeids *Limnothrissa miodon* and *Stolothrissa tanganicae* in**
2   **northern Lake Tanganyika (Bujumbura sub-basin).**

4   N'sibula Mulimbwa T.[1] *, Cesc Gordó-Vilaseca[2], Leona J. M. Milec[2], Deepti M. Patel[2],
5   Kankonda Busanga Alidor[3], Jean-Claude Micha[4,5], Jouko Sarvala[6] & Joost A. M.
6   Raeymaekers[2]
7
[1] Centre de Recherche en Hydrobiologie, Uvira, R.D. Congo, P.O. Box 73 Sud Kivu
[2] Faculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture, Nord University, N- 8049 Bodø, Norway.
[3] Faculté des sciences, Département d'Hydrobiologie et Aquaculture, Université de Kisangani,
R.D. Congo,
[4] Ecole Régionale post – universitaire d'Aménagement et de de gestion intégrés des Forêts
Tropicales (ERAIFT), UNIKIN, Kinshasa, DR Congo.
[5] Namur University (UNamur) Namur, Belgium.
[6] Department of Biology, University of Turku, Turku FI-20014, Finland.
16
* Corresponding author: mulimbwa49@gmail.com

**SUMMARY**

The analysis of growth parameters in fish stocks, such as the asymptotic length ($L_\infty$) and the
curvature parameter (K) is crucial for the estimation of production rates and total mortality
rates in fisheries management. Here, we estimated the growth parameters of the clupeids
*Limnothrissa miodon* and *Stolothrissa tanganicae* in the northern part of Lake Tanganyika
(Bujumbura sub-basin). Both species are important targets of pelagic fisheries, but the available
estimates are based on scarce size frequency data, and rarely on size as a function of age data.
We provide new estimates of $L_\infty$ and K based on size as a function of age data, with age inferred
from otolith weight. Furthermore, we reanalyze several length frequency datasets using more
sophisticated statistical procedures, and test if growth parameters estimated by length
frequency analysis are consistent with those obtained based on size as a function of age. We
found that length frequency analysis consistently underestimates $L_\infty$ and overestimates K as
compared to length-at-age data. We recommend that length-at-age data should be obtained at
a much broader spatial and temporal scale than currently available to advance our
understanding of the growth dynamics of the two sardine species. This will improve the
assessment of stock productivity and biomass of Lake Tanganyika's pelagic fisheries, as well
as the level of fishing pressure that can be sustained.

Key words: growth, size frequency analysis, daily increments of otoliths, *Stolothrissa*
*tanganicae*, *Limnothrissa miodon*, Lake Tanganyika, Bujumbura sub – basin

## INTRODUCTION

Lake Tanganyika is about 10 million years old (Cohen *et al.* 1993) and is the second deepest and the fifth largest freshwater body in the world (Langenberg, 2008). So far, 1200 animal and plant species have been identified in this lake, ranking among the most diverse lake ecosystems in the world. Among the main groups in this lake, fishes show a high degree of biodiversity (Cohen *et al.* 1993; Van Steenberge *et al.* 2011). Lake Tanganyika's pelagic fisheries is a major source of food and economic activity of the human population living around the lake. These fish feed 12.5 million people in the areas adjacent to Lake Tanganyika (Mölsä et al. 1999; LTA 2022). Two small-sized clupeids, *Stolothrissa tanganicae* and *Limnothrissa miodon*, commonly known as "freshwater sardines", along with the latid perch *Lates stappersii*, account for 60% to 90% of the total commercial catch in the pelagic environment (Mölsä et *al.* 1999). In the mid-1990s, annual harvests from Lake Tanganyika were estimated to range between 165 000-200 000 tons, quantities that translate into annual revenues of tens of millions of US dollars (Mölsä et *al.* 1999).

As for other African Great Lakes, the lack of a long-term monitoring programme for Lake Tanganyika feeds uncertainty about the status of the lake and its pelagic fish stocks (Plisnier et al. 2022). Fisheries statistics published from the North of Lake Tanganyika suggest that annual catch rates (measured as catch per unit effort; CPUE) have been declining since the early 1980s (Mulimbwa 2006; Mulimbwa 2020; LTA Secretariat, 2012; Mushagalusa et al. 2015; Okito et al. 2017; Van der Knaap et al. 2014). The declines have been attributed to excessive fishing pressure and the use of illegal fishing gear (Mulimbwa 2006; Mulimbwa 2020; LTA Secretariat, 2012; Mushagalusa et al. 2015; Okito et al. 2017; Van der Knaap et al. 2014), as well as to global warming (Plisnier, 1997; O'Reilly et al. 2003; Verburg et al. 2003). However, overfishing can only be assessed based on declines in the total annual catch, of which no reliable data exist (Van der Knaap et al. 2014). Interestingly, while fisheries stakeholders in the North of the Lake do indicate a noticeable decrease in fish abundance and size, they do not attribute the problems in the fisheries to overfishing (De Keyzer et al. 2020). Yet another recent study found that CPUE has been stable in the North of Lake Tanganyika since 1993 (Sarvala & Mulimbwa 2023), indicating that not all fisheries statistics show the same trend. The impact of the effects of global warming on the pelagic fisheries has been questioned as well (Sarvala et al. 2006a, Sarvala et al. 2006b; Sarvala & Mulimbwa 2023). These uncertainties confirm that better fisheries monitoring is required to more accurately forecast fishing yields, assess fisheries potential, and understand the impact of fishing activities (Kolding et al. 2019).

One cornerstone of adequate fisheries management concerns the analysis of growth. Growth parameters such as the asymptotic length ($L_\infty$) and the curvature parameter (K) form the basis for the estimation of production rates (recruitment, natural mortality, and fishing mortality) and total mortality rates, which together enable the prediction of fishing yields (Beverton & Hold 1957). $L_\infty$ and K can either be determined based on changes in length frequency distributions over time (lengt-frequency analysis; LFA) or based on length as a function of age data (length-at-age analyis; LAA). LFA has the advantage that size data are easy to obtain. However, the method requires frequent catch data to identify subsequent cohorts and track their modal

growth progression. In environments and species with multiple periods of reproduction throughout the year, multiple overlapping cohorts and multimodal size frequency distributions may complicate cohort identification. Here, LAA might be more powerful. A commonly used technique for age determination in fish involves counting natural growth rings on the otoliths (Beamish & McFarlane 1983). In several tropical fishes, the diurnal transition from light to dark leaves a mark on the otoliths, and these daily increments can be used to estimate fish age in days (Kaningini, 1995; Kumura, 1995; Chifamba 2019). This method is suitable for tropical environments where the temperature is almost constant throughout the year. While counting daily otolith rings can be time consuming, otolith radius and otolith weight tend to correlate well with the number of daily increments and are therefore also suitable to establish length-age relationships (Lou et al. 2005).

In this study, we estimated growth parameters for *S. tanganicae* and *L. miodon*. Various studies have gained useful insights in the biology of both clupeids, including their evolutionary origin (Milec et al. 2022), population genetic structure (De Keyzer et al. 2019; Junker et al. 2020), position in the food web (Sarvala et al. 1999; Ehrenfels et al. 2023), parasites (Kmentová et al. 2020), reproductive biology (Mulimbwa et al. 2014a; Mulimbwa et al. 2022), and responses to limnological changes (Kimirei & Mgaya, 2007; Plisnier et al. 2009; Mulimbwa et al. 2014b). While *S. tanganicae* is fully pelagic, *L. miodon* makes more use of the littoral areas, including for reproduction and as nursery grounds (Mulimbwa et al. 2022). Both fishes are the target of artisanal fisheries in the pelagic, but in shallow littoral waters, juveniles of *L. miodon* are also subject to the low selectivity of beach seines (Mölsä *et al.* 2002). Basic information for fisheries management, such as age at maturity and size at maturity are available for both species, although there are no in-depth studies assessing the variability in those parameters. In addition, the data are patchy in both time and space. Age at maturity is usually estimated at 8.5 months corresponding to a total length of 78 mm for females and 77 mm for males in *S. tanganicae*, and at 8.6 months corresponding to a total length of 101 mm for females and 95 2mm for males in *L. miodon* (Mannini 1998). Growth parameters have been obtained for both species as well (Chapman & van Well, 1978; Roest, 1978; Coulter, 1991; Moreau et al. 1991; Mulimbwa & Shirakihara, 1994; Kimura 1995; Ahonen 2001; Mulimbwa 2006). Most studies have inferred growth parameters using LFA on length–frequency distributions from catch data. The few exceptions using LAA include Kimura (1991b, c), Kimura (1995) and Ahonen (2001). Especially in *S. tanganicae*, the most abundant cohort is often the one recruited during the dry season (Mulimbwa et al. 2014b). Yet, multiple partially overlapping cohorts do occur in both species, and pose the aforementioned challenge of cohort delineation for the assessment of growth.

To meet the need for more accurate growth data for the two clupeid fishes, we provide new estimates of $L_\infty$ and K based on length-at-age data, with age inferred from otolith weight. Furthermore, we reanalyze three published datasets with length frequency data using more advanced statistical procedures, and test if growth parameters estimated by size frequency analysis are consistent with those obtained based on length-at-age data.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

*Study design*

This study combines datasets from different surveys on the two clupeids that were all conducted
in the northern end of Lake Tanganyika (03° 28' S, 29° 17' E; Figure 1) in the Bujumbura sub-
basin. We included (1) length frequency data for the period 1987-1989 from Mulimbwa and
Shirakihara (1994), (2) length frequency data for the period 1999-2001 from Mulimbwa
(2006), (3) length as a function of age data for the period 2004-2005 from Mulimbwa et al.
(2014b), and length frequency data for the period 2007-2008 from Mulimbwa et al. (2014b)
(Table 1). Sampling and data collection procedures for each data type are described in more
detail below.

136
**Figure 1.** Geographic position of Lake Tanganyika bordered by DR Congo, Burundi, Tanzania
and Zambia. The shaded area marks the Bujumbura sub-basin.

As *S. tanganicae* is entirely pelagic, all data for this species originate from samples collected
in the pelagic environment. In contrast, *L. miodon* occurs in the pelagic environment as well as
in littoral areas of the lake. The data for *L. miodon* were obtained from both the littoral and the
pelagic in 1987 – 1988 and in 1999 – 2001, while in 2004 – 2005 and in 2007-2008 the species
was only sampled in the pelagic.

Because the available length frequency data (1987-1989, 1999-2001, and 2007-2008) and
length at age data (2004-2005) originated from different survey periods, our study design is not
optimal to compare growth parameters based on the two data types. Nevertheless, since the
length-at-age survey period is embedded within the two decades spanning the three length
frequency surveys, it should still be possible to distinguish effects of data type from temporal
patterns, especially when temporal variation is minor.

**Table 1.** Overview of datasets used in this study for the analysis of growth parameters including
survey period, total sample size (N), data type (LF: length frequency data; LA: length-at-age
data), published estimates of $L_\infty$ (TL in cm) and K, and reference. NA indicates that no
information is available from the original publications. Estimates of $L_\infty$ marked with an asterisk
are converted from SL to TL following Mannini *et al.* (1996).

| Survey period (months) | N | Data type | $L_\infty$ | K | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | *S. tanganicae* | | | |
| Nov 1987 - Apr 1989 (18) | 24,167 | LF | 12.34* | 2.14 | Mulimbwa & Shirakihara 1994 |
| May 1999 - Nov 2001 (30) | 84,503 | LF | 11.70 | 2.6 | Mulimbwa 2006 |
| 2004 - 2005 | 260 | LA | NA | NA | Mulimbwa et al. 2014b |
| Feb 2007 - May 2008 (16) | NA | LF | NA | NA | Mulimbwa et al. 2014b |
| | | *L. miodon* | | | |
| Nov 1987 - Apr 1989 (18) | 3,834 | LF | 16.12* | 1.15 | Mulimbwa & Shirakihara 1994 |
| May 1999 - Nov 2001 (27) | 12,272 | LF | 17.90 | 0.74 | Mulimbwa 2006 |
| 2004 - 2005 | 244 | LA | NA | NA | Mulimbwa et al. 2014b |
| Feb 2007 - May 2008 (16) | NA | LF | NA | NA | Mulimbwa et al. 2014b |

*Length-at-age data*

Briefly, 260 specimens of *S. tanganicae* and 244 specimens of *L. miodon* were collected in the
pelagic from the study area in 2004-2005 (Table 1). First, the total length (TL) of each specimen
was measured to the nearest mm, and otoliths were extracted and dried at room temperature at
the CRH laboratory in Uvira (DR Congo). Subsequently, the otoliths were weighed with a
microbalance (µg; dry weight at 20°C) at the University of Turku (Finland). Finally, the age of
these fish was estimated using the relationship between otolith dry weight (ODW) in µg and
age in days based on counts of daily rings following the formula age = (ODW + a)/b, where a
= 99.4, b = 2.42 for *S. tanganicae* and a = 120.8, b = 2.53 for *L. miodon* (Ahonen 2001).

*Length frequency data*

For all three studies, samples were taken from artisanal fishing units using lift nets with a mesh
size of 4.4 mm (knot to knot) in the upper four-fifths of the net and 3.3 mm (knot to knot) for
the rest of the net. The samples were collected twice a week during the investigation period.
After collection, samples were sorted by species at the CRH laboratory in Uvira (DR Congo).
Their total lengths (TL) were determined to the nearest mm, and the specimens were grouped
into size classes of 2 mm for *S. tanganicae*, and 4 mm for *L. miodon*, in 1999-2001 and 2007-

2008. The data from 1987-1989 were collected in 5 mm classes for both species. Total sample
sizes for each period are shown in Table 1.

For 1987 – 1988, the original data from Mulimbwa and Shirakihara (1994) could not be
retrieved from the authors. Instead, we digitized the length frequency plots in Mulimbwa and
Shirakihara (1994) (Fig. 2 for *S. tanganicae*; Fig. 4 for *L. miodon*) using WebPlotDigitizer
version 4.6 (Rohatgi 2022). Since length in this study was measured in mm as standard length
(SL), size classes were converted to total length (TL) in mm following the formula TL = a + (b
* SL), where a = 0.8729, b = 1.1562 for *S. tanganicae* and a = 1.6658, b = 1.1873 for *L. miodon*
(Mannini *et al.* 1996).

*Data analysis*

1) Length-at-age analysis

A Von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF) was fitted to the otolith derived length-age data,
and its parameters $L_\infty$ (cm) and K (year$^{-1}$) were determined using the non-linear least squares
method, available within the function "growth_length_age()" in the TropFishR package
(Mildenberg et al. 2017).

2) Length frequency analysis

The growth parameters to fit a VBGF to length frequency data have historically been estimated
using several methods (e.g. the Power-Wetherall or Ford-Walford method), most of which have
been questioned for containing intrinsic biases from different sources (Schwamborn 2018).
However, recent improvements to ELEFAN (electronic length frequency analysis, Pauly &
David 1981), the most used method for fitting a growth curve to length frequency data,
foreshadow a promising new path for length-based studies of aquatic populations. These
advancements include the estimation of both $L_\infty$ and K with fewer assumptions than previous
models (Taylor & Mildenberg 2017), as well as the calculation of their associated uncertainty
using bootstrapping (Schwamborn et al. 2019). We applied these new methods using the
TropFishR and fishboot packages in R (Mildenberg et al. 2017; Taylor & Mildenberg 2017;
Schwamborn et al. 2019) with the parameters shown in Table 2.

ELEFAN relies on attributing different scores to the different length bins, depending on how
much each bin deviates from a moving average across a user-defined number of length bins.
This was done by grouping the size classes into 0.8 cm bin sizes, and a moving average of 5-7
size classes (Table 2), depending on the size of the smallest cohort, following the general rule
of thumb (Taylor & Mildenberg 2017). Afterwards, a score is given to different growth curves,
depending on whether they intersect with positively or negatively scored length bins (Gayanilo
& Pauly 1997). The curve with the highest score is considered the best fitting curve to the data.
Finding the best fitting curve can be computationally costly, and only recently two optimization
approaches ("simulated annealing" and "genetic algorithm") have been implemented for
growth function fitting in R (Taylor & Mildenberg 2017; Mildenberg et al. 2017). Both

optimization approaches can find high-scoring solutions, but because several models often score high, different solutions can be reached depending on initial settings, which end up being an intrinsic source of bias. To overcome this limitation, bootstrapping methodology can be applied, fitting a big number of growth curves with similar scoring solutions, and finally calculating the uncertainty of the mean value of the estimations (Schwamborn et al. 2019). We estimated $L_\infty$ and K of both species from length frequency data by bootstrapping both algorithms, using the package "fishboot" in R (Schwamborn et al. 2019), and we report the probability distribution of the estimations. The total parameter space considered ranged from 1 to 10 for K for both species (Table 2). For $L_\infty$, we considered values from 8 cm to 12 cm for *S. tanganicae*, and from 8 to 16 cm for *L. miodon* (Table 2). Here, the upper values are above the maximum total length reported for each species in Fishbase (*S. tanganicae*: 10 cm SL ~ 11.65 cm TL; *L. miodon*: 17 cm SL ~ 20.35 TL; Froese & Pauly 2017). We manually set a maximum age to each species (Table 2; 18 months for *S. tanganicae* and 30 months for *L. miodon*; Mannini et al. 1996), which is a key step for the calculation of the growth parameters in this method.

**Table 2.** Parameters used in the VBGF fitting using ELEFAN bootstrapping in each of the three surveys based on length frequency data. GA: Genetic algorithm; SA: Simulated annealing; MA: moving average; $L_\infty$: length at infinity; K: annual growth coefficient; t_anchor: peak spawning month; nresamp: number of permutations.

| Species | Algorithm | Parameter (unit) | 1987-1988 | 1999-2001 | 2007-2008 |
|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| *S. tanganicae* | GA, SA | MA (bins) | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| | | $L_\infty$(cm) | 6-12 | 6-12 | 6-12 |
| | | K (year$^{-1}$) | 1-10 | 1-10 | 1-10 |
| | | t_anchor (year) | 0-1 | 0-1 | 0-1 |
| | | nresamp | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| | | Agemax (year) | 18/12 | 18/12 | 18/12 |
| | | bin_size (cm) | 0.8 | 0. 8 | 0. 8 |
| *L. miodon* | GA, SA | MA (bins) | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| | | $L_\infty$(cm) | 8-22 | 8-22 | 8-22 |
| | | K (year$^{-1}$) | 1-10 | 1-10 | 1-10 |
| | | t_anchor (year) | 0-1 | 0-1 | 0-1 |
| | | nresamp | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| | | Agemax (year) | 30/12 | 30/12 | 30/12 |
| | | bin_size (cm) | 0. 8 | 0. 8 | 0. 8 |

3) Consistency between methods

To assess the consistency between length-at-age-based and size frequency-based methods, the agreement of growth parameters ($L_\infty$ and K) between different sampling periods was tested using the 95 % confidence intervals based on LAA or 95 % credibility intervals based on LFA.

## RESULTS

*S. tanganicae*

Length categories of the length frequency data across time periods ranged between 2.1 and 11.1 cm TL. Recruitment was continuous throughout the year, as indicated by small individuals (2.0 - 4.0 cm) appearing all year round in the length frequency data of the three survey periods. Length-at-age data comprised individuals ranging between 3.3 cm and 10.6 mm for TL, and between 56 and 372 days in age. The length distribution of the length frequency data and the length-at-age data was comparable (Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** Total length distribution (cm) for both species and the four survey periods.

Based on length-frequency data analysis (LFA), estimates of $L_\infty$ (range: 8.67 - 9.56 cm) were consistent between estimation methods with narrow confidence intervals, and showed substantially lower values in 1987-1989 and 1999-2001 than in 2007-2008 (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 1). In contrast, the annual K (range: 4.35 - 7.87) showed very high uncertainty which led to an overlap in confidence intervals between most study periods and estimation methods (Table 3). Based on the length-at-age data (LAA) from 2004-2005, we obtained an estimate of 10.64 cm for $L_\infty$ and 3.18 for K (Table 3). Credibility intervals indicated that all estimates of $L_\infty$ and most estimates of K were respectively significantly lower and higher for LFA than for LAA. According to the LAA curve, *S. tanganicae* grows rapidly during

272 the first five months of its life and growth becomes almost constant after ten months (Figure 3;
273 Figure 4; Supplementary Figure 2).
274
**Table 3**. Growth parameters of clupeids (*S. tanganicae*, *L. miodon*) estimated for different time
periods and using different estimation procedures for length frequency analysis (LFA) and
length-at-age analysis (LAA). Estimation methods include two optimization approaches in the
case of LFA (SA: ELEFAN with simulated annealing; GA: ELEFAN using genetic algorithm),
and the non-linear least-squares method (LSM) in the case of LAA. $L_\infty$: asymptotic total length
(cm), K: annual growth coefficient. CI: Bayesian credibility intervals (in the case of LFA) or
confidence intervals (in the case of LAA).

| Survey period | Analysis | Estimation | $L_\infty$ [95% CI] | K [95% CI] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *S. tanganicae* | | | | |
| 1987-1989 | LFA | GA | 8.78 [8.60-8.88] | 4.96 [3.84-6.94] |
| | | SA | 8.80 [8.32-9.10] | 4.35 [3.25-9.78] |
| 1999-2001 | LFA | GA | 8.67 [8.47-8.80] | 7.86 [6.81-8.79] |
| | | SA | 8.72 [8.18-8.83] | 7.87 [6.4-9.87] |
| 2004-2005 | LAA | LSM | 10.64 [10.32-11.05] | 3.18 [2.76-3.63] |
| 2007-2008 | LFA | GA | 9.41 [9.20-9.60] | 6.64 [3.72-9.35] |
| | | SA | 9.56 [9.02-9.64] | 5.98 [3.61-9.91] |
| *L. miodon* | | | | |
| 1987-1989 | LFA | GA | 11.53 [11.17-11.76] | 3.35 [2.96-4.55] |
| | | SA | 11.41 [11.12-11.80] | 3.97 [2.72-6.10] |
| 1999-2001 | LFA | GA | 10.99 [10.67-11.28] | 2.22 [1.63-2.87] |
| | | SA | 11.10 [10.56-11.36] | 2.13 [1.41-3.01] |
| 2004-2005 | LAA | LSM | 12.82 [12.18-13.64] | 1.73 [1.47-2.01] |
| 2007-2008 | LFA | GA | 9.61 [9.26-9.70] | 3.30 [2.44-9.84] |
| | | SA | 9.61 [8.89-9.87] | 3.65 [2.09-10.17] |

283
284 **Figure 3.** VBGF estimated from length frequency data of 1987-1988, 1999-2001 and 2007-
285 2008 for (A) *Limnothrissa miodon* with Genetic Algorithm; (B) *Limnothrissa miodon* with
286 Simulated Annealing; (C) *Stolothrissa tanganicae* with Genetic Algorithm; and (D)
287 *Stolothrissa tanganicae* with Simulated Annealing. Each plot is superimposed with VBGF
288 estimates from otolith-derived length at age data of 2004-2005.

289
290 *L. miodon*
291
Length categories of the length-frequency data across time periods ranged between 2.4 and
15.2 cm TL. Recruitment occurred less frequently throughout the year than in *S. tanganicae*,
as small individuals (2-6 cm) were less frequent across the three survey periods. Length-at-age
data comprised individuals ranging between 2.5 cm and 13.6 cm for TL, and between 62 and
571 days in age. In 1987-1989, the sampled individuals were markedly larger than in other
years (Figure 2).

298
Based on length-frequency data, estimates of $L_\infty$ (range: 9.61 - 11.53 cm) were consistent across
estimation methods, but values for 2007-2008 were substantially lower than for the other
survey periods (Table 3; Supplementary Figure 1). Ranging between 2.13 and 3.97, the annual
K was more consistent across the three LFA survey periods and estimation methods than
estimations for *S. tanganicae*, although the uncertainty was generally also high (Table 3).
Based on the length-at-age data from 2004-2005, we obtained an estimate of 12.82 cm for $L_\infty$
and 1.73 for K (Table 3). As for *S. tanganicae*, credibility intervals indicated that all estimates
of $L_\infty$ and most estimates of K were respectively significantly lower and higher for LFA than
for LAA. According to the LAA curve, *L. miodon* grows rapidly during the first ten months of

its life and growth becomes almost constant after 18 months (Figure 3; Figure 4; Supplementary
Figure 2).

311
312

**Figure 4.** Length frequency data and corresponding Von Bertalanffy growth curves for the
three survey periods for *Stolothrissa tanganicae* (A, B, C) and *Limnothrissa miodon* (D, E, F).
The red and blue dashed lines mark growth curves estimated with the genetic algorithm and
the simulated annealing algorithm, respectively. For comparison, the growth curves estimated
from length-at-age data are superimposed (green dashed line). The length frequency data are
plotted in dark blue, and blue shades reflect the frequency of each length class.**.**

**DISCUSSION**

The objective of this study was to examine the growth patterns of *S. tanganicae* and *L. miodon*
in the North part of Lake Tanganyika. We compared growth estimates derived from length
frequency data and length-at-age data, collected over different survey periods. This comparison
informs us about the accuracy of size frequency-based estimation methods for growth
parameters, and the necessity of complementing fisheries management with more complete life
history information using age-based data. We consistently found lower estimates of $L_\infty$ and
higher estimates of K for length frequency data than for length at age data, in both species.
Below we discuss the reliability of this result, and its implications for the management of the
artisanal fisheries.

Because length frequency and length at age data were collected in different survey periods,
estimation procedure and survey period are confounded in our study, and therefore do not allow
us to directly compare the results. Nevertheless, because the differences in estimates between
the two procedures largely exceed the differences between survey periods within LFA, it is
unlikely that temporal variation alone can account for the observed differences between the
two methods. It is indeed more likely that the disparities between data types and estimation
methods stem from methodological nuances that influence the estimation of growth
parameters. Otolith-based methods are believed to provide accurate estimations of age, which
together with direct measurements of length, should provide accurate growth curves
(Schwamborn et al. 2023). Ahonen (2001) demonstrated that the age of the two sardine species
can be reliably estimated from otolith dry weight (Pearson correlation between otolith dry
weight and age based on counts of daily otolith rings: $r^2 = 0.88$ for *S. tanganicae*; $r^2 = 0.96$ for
*L. miodon*). While this relationship was established using individuals from the central part of
Lake Tanganyika (Kigoma, Malagarasi and Kipili; Ahonen 2001), we applied it here to
individuals from the North of Lake. Nevertheless, this should yield acceptable results, and
overall, the accuracy of our LAA-based estimates likely exceeds the accuracy of our LFA-
based estimates.

In general, LFA is highly sensitive to sampling bias, and particularly to the lack of large, old
individuals, which leads to biased estimations under high fishing pressure (Schwamborn 2018;
Schwamborn et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2021). Other sources of sampling bias include the
concentration of fishing efforts on commercially valuable areas, and differences in fishing
gears and methods. These potential issues with LFA likely apply to the two Lake Tanganyika
sardines, as notified previously by Mulimbwa & Shirakihara (1994). In the present study, the
discrepancy between LFA-based and LAA-based estimates suggest that the largest individuals
are missing in the length-frequency data of both species. The length-frequency data further
indicated that the upper size range of *L. miodon* declined substantially with time. Yet,
representative sampling for size is particularly challenging in *L. miodon* because large, mature
individuals are more commonly observed in littoral areas than in the pelagic zone, so the
sampling effort in each area can directly affect the results (Mulimbwa & Shirakihara 1994;
Mulimbwa et al. 2022). Since no *L. miodon* were collected in the littoral areas during the most
recent survey periods (2004-2005 and 2007-2008), it remains unclear if the reduction of the
bigger size classes and the decrease in LFA-based estimates of $L_\infty$ over time is due to fishing
pressure or due to sampling bias. Ideally then, changes in growth parameters should be
investigated using length-at-age data. Our LAA-based estimates of $L_\infty$ for both *S. tanganicae*
and *L. miodon* from catches in 2004-2005 were substantially lower than the LAA-based
estimate for both species from catches in 1990 by Kimura (1995) (Figure 5). However, both
studies were conducted at opposite ends of the lake, and spatial variation in environmental
conditions may also affect growth trajectories. Overall, better data are required to confirm the
possibility of fisheries-induced trends.

Another source of inaccuracy of LFA is the aggregation of individuals into length bins, which
has the potential to obscure the age structure within each bin (Taylor & Mildenberger 2017;
Schwamborn, 2018). This can manifest as well in lower $L_\infty$ values and higher K values,

particularly with bigger bin sizes (Wang et al. 2020). However, a recent study directly comparing LFA and LAA in lane snapper (*Lutjanus synagris*) found no difference in $L_\infty$ and K between the two methods (Schwamborn et al. 2023). Interestingly, from the single other length-at-age data available for the two Lake Tanganyika sardines, Kimura (1995) concluded that the growth parameters obtained from length-at-age data (based on daily counts of otolith rings) generally agreed with those previously estimated from analyses of length–frequency distributions. However, close inspection of Kimura's (1995) results reveals that the author's otolith-based estimates for $L_\infty$ almost always exceeded the estimates for $L_\infty$ from length-frequency studies, and *vice versa* for K, and thus seemed to be subject to the same bias we report here.

Yet, both our LAA-based and LFA-based estimates for the growth trajectory of the two sardine species from the North of Lake Tanganyika also substantially deviated from estimates of previous studies (Table 1; Figure 5). This includes both LAA-based and LFA-based studies from various regions of Lake Tanganyika (Figure 5), but also the length frequency datasets from the North of Lake Tanganyika for which we re-estimated the growth parameters (Figure 5; Table 1; Mulimbwa & Shirakihara 1994; Mulimbwa 2006). Almost all studies reported significantly larger values of $L_\infty$, and therefore lower values of K than the present study (Figure 5). This was true for both species, although the discrepancy for K between previous studies and the present study was more pronounced in *S. tanganicae*, while the discrepancy for $L_\infty$ was more pronounced in *L. miodon* (Figure 5). For the LFA-based estimates, this discrepancy could be explained by the fact that previous studies are largely based on outdated methods such as the Ford-Walford plot, which are known to generate inaccurate results (Schwamborn 2018). Indeed, the improved ELEFAN methods we used here are based on fewer assumptions and allow for screening a large range of parameter values, which should lead to more accurate and easily reproducible results (Mildenberger et al. 2017; Schwamborn et al. 2019). Still, the subjective choice of suitable parameter space for $L_\infty$ can often result in biased results with narrow confidence intervals (Schwamborn et al. 2023). For this reason, we opted for upper parameter limits above the maximum length reported in Fishbase for each species, which should result in unbiased estimations with realistic uncertainty estimates. Despite these improved estimation procedures, some of our LFA-based estimates, especially for K, come with very high uncertainty, which may indicate that the quality of the available length frequency data is insufficient to achieve robust conclusions. This leaves little room for scientific advice beyond improving monitoring efforts to obtain better and more accurate growth parameters based on LFA.

**Figure 5.** Plots of the annual growth coefficient (K) against asymptotic total length ($L_\infty$ in cm)
for *S. tanganicae* (red) and *L. miodon* (blue) based on LFA (circles) or LAA (triangles). Data
points with error bars (95 % confidence intervals) are from Table 3. Data points without error
bars are derived from the overview provided by Table 9 and Figure 47 in Mannini et al. (1996),
in addition to estimates by Ahonen (2001) and Mulimbwa (2006). Labeled data points are based
on LFA data by Mulimbwa & Shirakihara (1994) (As: *S. tanganicae*; Al: *L. miodon*), LFA data
by Mulimbwa (2006) (Bs: *S. tanganicae*; Bl: *L. miodon*), LAA data by Kimura (1995) (Cs: *S. tanganicae*; Cl: *L. miodon*), and LAA data by Ahonen (2001) (Ds: *S. tanganicae*; Dl: *L. miodon*).

*Conclusions and implications for fisheries management*

The lower $L_\infty$ and higher K values obtained with LFA could significantly impact the estimation
of important population parameters for fisheries management. Notably, underestimating the
asymptotic length can bias the perception of the maximum size that a species can attain,
potentially affecting assessments of stock health and overall biomass. For instance, fisheries
managers may ignore the protection of larger, older individuals that exceed the estimated
asymptotic length and that contribute disproportionately to reproduction and overall population
resilience (Birkeland & Dayton 2005). Conversely, overestimating the growth constant can
influence predictions of population productivity and the determination of sustainable fishing
quotas. Overestimating K could therefore result in overly optimistic assessments of the
population's growth potential and the level of fishing pressure that can be sustained.

Our study underscores the need for future research aimed at advancing our understanding of
growth dynamics and their implications for the pelagic fisheries of Lake Tanganyika. We
recommend that length-at-age data are obtained at a much broader spatial and temporal scale
than is currently available. However, as length frequency data can be more easily collected,
such data will remain our primary source of information and should continue to be obtained.
Furthermore, both length frequency data and length-at-age data should be collected for the

same study periods to refine our comprehension of the discrepancies between the two methods. In addition, it is important that all samples in a time series come from the same landing site and fishing area to rule out that changes in length frequencies over time are due to sampling bias. Overall, inaccuracies in growth parameter estimations might inadvertently lead to unsustainable exploitation levels, compromising the long-term viability of the fishery and cascading into ecological imbalances. As we have shown, it is straightforward to reanalyze existing length frequency data whenever the original data can be retrieved, but it is also possible to regenerate the data after digitizing published length frequency plots.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Jeppe Kolding and one anonymous reviewer for critical feedback. This study was partially funded by the Research Council of Norway through project 343414 "CHIPOLATA - Climate change and harvesting impacts on the pelagic fish community of Lake Tanganyika".

## REFERENCES

**AHONEN H. (2001).** Age and growth of the pelagic clupeids in Lake Tanganyika estimated by otolith microstructure analysis. M.Sc. thesis, *University of Turku, Finland*.

**BEAMISH R.J. & MCFARLANE G.A. (1983).** The forgotten requirement for age validation in fisheries biology. *Trans.Am. Fish. Soc.* 112: 735-743.

**BEVERTON R.J.H. & HOLT S.J. (1957).** On the dynamics of exploited fish populations. Gt Britain Fish Invest. Ser. 2, Vol. 19: 1-533.

**CHIFAMBA C.P. (2019)**. The biology and impacts of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Limnothrissa miodon* introduced in Lake Kariba. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.

**BIRKELAND C. & DAYTON P.K. (2005).** The importance in fishery management of leaving the big ones. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 20: 356–358. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TREE.2005.03.015

**COHEN A.S., BILLS R., COCQUYT C.Z. & CALJON A.G. (1993).** IMPACT of sediment pollution on biodiversity in Lake Tanganyika. *Conservation Biology* 7: 667-677. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1993.07030667.x

**COULTER G.W. (ED.). (1991).** Lake Tanganyika and its life. *British Museum (Natural history) and Oxford University Press. London Oxford and New York.* 354 pp.

**DE KEYZER E.L.R., DE CORTE Z., VAN STEENBERGE M., RAEYMAEKERS J.A.M., CALBOLI F.C.F., KMENTOVÁ N., MULIMBWA N., VIRGILIO M., VANGESTEL C., MULUNGULA P.M., VOLCKAERT F.A.M. & VANHOVE M.P.M. (2019).** First genomic study on Lake Tanganyika sprat *Stolothrissa tanganicae*: a lack of population structure calls for integrated management of this important fisheries target species. BMC Evolutionary Biology 19: 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-018-1325-8

**DE KEYZER E.L.R., MASILYA MULUNGULA P., ALUNGA LUFUNGULA G., AMISI MANALA C., ANDEMA MUNIALI A., BASHENGEZI CIBUHIRA P., BASHONGA BISHOBIBIRI A., BASHONGA RAFIKI A., HYANGYA LWIKITCHA B., HUGÉ J., HUYGHE C.E.T., ITULAMYA KITUNGANO C., JANSSENS DE BISTHOVEN L., KAKOGOZO BOMBI J., KAMAKUNE SABITI S., KIRIZA KATAGATA I., KWIBE ASSANI D., LUBUNGA DUNIA P., LUMAMI KAPEPULA V., LWACHA F., MAZAMBI LUTETE J., SHEMA MUHEMURA F., MILEC L.J.M., MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T., MUSHAGAULUSA MULEGA A., MUTEREZI BUKINGA F., MUZUMANI RISASI D., MWENYEMALI BANAMWEZI D., KAHINDO N'DJUNGU J., NABINTU BUGABANDA N., NTAKOBAJIRA KARANI J.-P., RAEYMAEKERS J.A.M., RIZIKI WALUMONA J., SAFARI RUKAHUSA R., VANHOVE M.P.M., VOLCKAERT F.A.M., WEMBO NDEO O. & VAN STEENBERGE M. (2020).** Local perceptions on the state of fisheries and fisheries management at Uvira, Lake Tanganyika, DR Congo. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 46: 1740–1753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2020.09.003

EHRENFELS B., JUNKER J., NAMUTEBI D., CALLBECK C.M., DINKEL C., KALANGALI A., KIMIREI I.A., MBONDE A.S., MOSILLE J.B., EMMANUEL A. SWEKE E.A., SCHUBERT C.J., SEEHAUSEN O., WAGNER C.E. & WEHRLI, B. (2023). Isotopic signatures induced by upwelling reveal regional fish stocks in Lake Tanganyika. PLOS ONE 18(11): e0281828. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0281828

FROESE R. & PAULY D. (2017). FishBase [online]. [Cited 27 February 2024]. http://www.fishbase.org.

GAYANILO F.C. & PAULY D. 1997. FAO – ICLARM. Stock Assessment Tools ( FISAT). FAO Computerised information Series (Fisheries) 8, 262 p.

JUNKER J., RICK J.A., MCINTYRE P.B., KIMIREI I., SWEKE E. A., MOSILLE J. B., WEHRLI B., DINKEL C., MWAIKO S., SEEHAUSEN O. & WAGNER C. E. (2020). Structural genomic variation leads to genetic differentiation in Lake Tanganyika' s sardines. *Molecular Ecology* 29: 3277–3298. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15559

KANINGINI M. (1995). Etude de la croissance, de la reproduction et de l'exploitation de *Limnothrissa miodon* au lac Kivu. Thèse de doctorat. Presse Universitaire de Namur (Belgique).

KOLDING J., VAN ZWIETEN P., MARTTIN F., FUNGE-SMITH S. & POULAIN F. (2019). Freshwater small pelagic fish and fisheries in major African lakes and reservoirs in relation to food security and nutrition. *FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture* Technical Paper No 642. Rome, FAO. 124 pp.

KIMIREI I. A. & MGAYA Y. D. (2007). INFLUENCE of environmental factors on seasonal changes in clupeid catches in the Kigoma area of Lake Tanganyika. African Journal of Aquatic Science 32: 291–298.

KIMURA S. (1991B). Growth of *Stolothrissa tanganicae* estimated from daily otolith rings in southern Lake Tanganyika. In Kawanabe, H. and M. Nagoshi (eds), Ecological and limnological study on Lake Tanganyika and its adjacent regions, 7: 60-61.

KIMURA S. (1991C). Growth of *Limnothrissa miodon* estimated from daily otolith rings in southern Lake Tanganyika. In Kawanabe, H. and M. Nagoshi (eds), Ecological and limnological study on Lake Tanganyika and its adjacent regions, 7: 62-64.

KIMURA S. (1995). Growth of the clupeid fishes, *Stolothrissa tanganicae* and *Limnothrissa miodon*, in the Zambian waters of Lake Tanganyika. *J. Fish Biol.* 47: 569 - 575.

KMENTOVÁ N., KOBLMÜLLER S., VAN STEENBERGE M., RAEYMAEKERS J.A.M., ARTOIS T., DE KEYZER E.L.R., MILEC L., MUTEREZI BUKINGA F., MULIMBWA N., MULUNGULA P.M., NTAKIMAZI G., VOLCKAERT F.A.M., GELNAR M. & VANHOVE M.P.M. (2020). Weak population structure and recent demographic expansion of the monogenean parasite *Kapentagyrus* spp. infecting clupeid fishes of Lake Tanganyika, East Africa. *International Journal for Parasitology* 50: 471–486. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.02.002

**LANGENBERG V.T. (2008).** On the limnology of Lake Tanganyika. Thesis Wageningen University, The Netherlands. ISBN 978-90-8504-784-1.

**LTA SECRETARIAT (2012).** Report on regional lake-wide fisheries frame survey on Lake Tanganyika 2011. *LTA/Tech Doc/2012*/01. Bujumbura, Burundi.

**LOU D.C., MAPSTONE B.D., RUSS G.R., DAVIES C.R. & BEGG G.A. (2005).** Using otolith weight-age relationships to predict age-based metrics of coral reef fish populations at different spatial scales. Fisheries Research 71: 279-294.

**MANNINI P., ARO E., KATONDA I., KASSAKA B., MAMBONA C., MILINDI G., PAFFEN P. & VERBURG P. (1996).** Pelagic Fish Stocks of Lake Tanganyika: biology and exploitation. FAO/FINNIDA Research for the Management of the Fisheries of Lake Tanganyika. GCP/ RAF/271/FIN – TD/53 (En): 60p.

**MANNINI P. (1998).** Thesis. Ecology of the Pelagic Fish Resources of Lake Tanganyika. *University of Hull*.

**MILDENBERGER T. K., TAYLOR M. H. & WOLFF M. (2017).** TropFishR: an R package for fisheries analysis with length-frequency data. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8: 1520–1527). https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12791

**MILEC L.J.M., VANHOVE M.P.M., MUTEREZI BUKINGA F., DE KEYZER E.L.R., LUMAMI KAPEPULA V., MASILYA MULUNGULA P., MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T., WAGNER C.E. & RAEYMAEKERS J.A.M. (2022).** Complete mitochondrial genomes and updated divergence time of the two freshwater clupeids endemic to Lake Tanganyika (Africa) suggest intralacustrine speciation. *BMC Ecology & Evolution* 22: 127. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-022-02085-8

**MOREAU J., MUNYANDORERA J. & NYAKAGENI B. (1991).** Evaluation des paramètres demographiques chez *Stolothrissa tanganicae* et *Limnothrissa miodon* du lac Tanganyika. Verh . Internat. Verein. Limnol. 24: 2552 – 2558.

**MÖLSÄ H., REYNOLDS J.E., COENEN E. J. & LINDQVIST O.V. (1999).** Fisheries research towards resource management on Lake Tanganyika. *Hydrobiologia* 407: 1 - 24.

**MÖLSÄ H., SARVALA J., BADENDE S., CHITAMWEBWA D., KANYARU R., MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T., & MWAPE L. (2002).** Ecosystem monitoring in the development of sustainable fisheries in Lake Tanganyika. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health and Management* 5: 267 -281.

**MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T. & SHIRAKIHARA K. (1994).** Growth, recruitment and reproduction of sardines (*Stolothrissa tanganicae* and *Limnothrissa miodon*) in Northwestern Lake Tanganyika. *Tropics* 4: 57-67.

**MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T. (2006).** Assessment of the commercial artisanal fishing impact on three endemic pelagic fish stocks, *Stolothrissa tanganicae*, *Limnothrissa miodo*n and *Lates*

*stappersi* in Bujumbura and Kigoma sub-basins of Lake Tanganyika. *Verh.Internat. Verein. Limnol*. 29: 1189 - 1193.

**MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T., SARVALA J & RAEYMAEKERS JAM (2014a).** Reproductive activities of two zooplanktivorous clupeid fish in relation to the seasonal abundance of copepod prey in the northern end of Lake Tanganyika. *Belgian Journal of Zoology* 144: 77-92.

**MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T., RAEYMAEKERS J.A.M & SARVALA J. (2014b).** Seasonal changes in the pelagic catch of two clupeid zooplanktivores in relation to the abundance of copepod zooplankton in the northern end of Lake Tanganyika. *Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management* 17: 25-33.

**MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T., MILEC L.J.M., RAEYMAEKERS J.A.M., SARVALA J., PLISNIER P.-D., MARWA B. & MICHA J.-C. (2022).** Spatial and seasonal variation in reproductive indices of the clupeids *Limnothrissa miodon* and *Stolothrissa tanganicae* in the Congolese waters of northern Lake Tanganyika. *Belgian Journal of Zoology* 152: 13–31. https://doi.org/10.26496/bjz.2022.96.

**MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T. (2020).** Dynamique de *Limnothrissa miodon*, *Stolothrissa tanganicae* et de *Lates stappersi*, en vue de la gestion durable de la pêche au lac Tanganyika (sous bassin de Bujumbura). *Rapport Mesurable, Rapportage et Vérifiable (MRV) CEBioS* 2020 Partenatiat Cooperation Belge au Développement (C.B.D)/ Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, Bruxelles.

**MUSHAGALUSA C.D., MICHA J.-C., NTAKIMAZI G. & NSHOMBO M. (2015).** Brief evaluation of the current state of fish stocks landed by artisanal fishing units from the extreme northwest part of Lake Tanganyika. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies* 2: 41-48.

**OKITO M.G., MICHA J.-C., HABARIGURA J. B., NTAKIMAZI G. & NSHOMBO M.V. (2017).** Socio-economics of artisanal fisheries in Burundian waters of Lake Tanganyika at Mvugo and Muguruka. *International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences,* 11: 1991-8631.

**O'REILLY C.M., ALIN S.R., PLISNIER P.D., COHEN A.S. & MCKEE B.A. (2003).** Climate change decreases aquatic ecosystem productivity of Lake Tanganyika, Africa. *Nature* 424: 766-768.

**PAULY D. & DAVID N. (1981).** ELEFAN I, a BASIC program for the objective extraction of growth parameters from length-frequency data. *Beriche der Deutschen Wissenschaftlichen Kommission für Meeresforschung* 28: 205-211.

**PLISNIER P.-D. (1997).** Climate, limnology, and fisheries changes of Lake Tanganyika. FAO/FINNIDA Research for the Management of the Fisheries of Lake Tanganyika. GCP/RAF/271/FIN-TD/72 (En):38p.

**PLISNIER P.-D., MGANA H., KIMIREI I., CHANGE A., MAKASA L., CHIMANGA J., ZULU F., COCQUYT C., HORION S., BERGAMINO N., NAITHANI J., DELEERSNIJDER E., ANDRÉ L.,**

DESCY J.P. & CORNET Y. (2009). Limnological variability and pelagic fish abundance (*Stolothrissa tanganicae* and *Lates stappersii*) in Lake Tanganyika. Hydrobiologia 625: 117–134.

ROHATGI A. (2022). WebPlotDigitizer: Version 4.6. Pacifica, California, USA. https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer

ROEST, 1978. Bibliography of fisheries and limnology for Lake Tanganyika. United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization, CIFA Occasional Paper, 6: 1-12 and supplements.

SARVALA. J. & MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T. (2023). GLOW10 2023. Dar es Salaam 15–17 February 2023.

SARVALA J., LANGENBERG V.T., SALONEN K., CHITAMWEBWA D., COULTER G.W., HUTTULA T., KANYARU R., KOTILAINEN P., MAKASA L., MULIMBWA N. & MÖLSÄ H. (2006A). Fish catches from Lake Tanganyika mainly reflect changes in fishery practices, not climate. Verh. Int. Ver. Theor. Angew. Limnol. 29: 1182–1188.

SARVALA J., LANGENBERG V.T., SALONEN K., CHITAMWEBWA D., COULTER G.W., HUTTULA T., KOTILAINEN P., MULIMBWA N. & MÖLSÄ H. (2006B). Changes in dissolved silica and transparency are not sufficient evidence for decreased primary productivity due to climate warming in Lake Tanganyika. Reply to comment by Verburg, Hecky and Kling. Verh. Int. Ver. Theor. Angew. Limnol. 29: 2339–2342.

SARVALA J., SALONEN K., JÄRVINEN M., ARO E., HUTTULA T., KOTILAINEN P., KURKI H., LANGENBERG V.T., MANNINI P., PELTONEN A., PLISNIER P.-D., VUORINEN I., MÖLSÄ H. & LINDQVIST O. (1999). Trophic structure of Lake Tanganyika: carbon flows in the pelagic food web. *Hydrobiologia* 407:149-173.

SCHWAMBORN R. (2018). How reliable are the Powell–Wetherall plot method and the maximum-length approach? Implications for length-based studies of growth and mortality. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 28: 587–605. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-018-9519-0

SCHWAMBORN R., MILDENBERGER T.K. & TAYLOR M.H. (2019). Assessing sources of uncertainty in length-based estimates of body growth in populations of fishes and macroinvertebrates with bootstrapped ELEFAN. Ecological Modelling 393: 37–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2018.12.001

TAYLOR M.H. & MILDENBERGER T.K. (2017). Extending electronic length frequency analysis in R. Fisheries Management and Ecology 24: 230-238. https://doi.org/10.1111/fme.12232.

VAN DER KNAAP M., KATONDA I. & DE GRAAF G.J. (2014). Lake Tanganyika fisheries frame survey analysis: assessment of the options for management of the fisheries of Lake Tanganyika. Aquatic *Ecosystem Health and Management* 17: 4-13.

**VAN STEENBERGE M., VANHOVE M.P.M., MUZUMANI RISASI D., MULIMBWA N'SIBULA T.,**
**MUTEREZI BUKINGA F., PARISELLE A., GILLARDIN C., VREVEN E., RAEYMAEKERS J.A.M.,**
**HUYSE T., VOLCKAERT F.A.M., NSHOMBO MUDERHWA V. & SNOEKS J. (2011).** A recent
inventory of the fishes of the north-western and central western coast of Lake Tanganyika
(Democratic Republic Congo). Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria 41: 201–214.
https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2011.41.3.08

**VERBURG P., HECKY R.E. & KLING H. (2003)**. Ecological consequences of a century of
warming in Lake Tanganyika. *Science* (80) 301: 505- 507.

**VON BERTALANFFY L. (1938).** A quantitative theory of organic growth. *Hum. Biol.* 10: 181 –
213

**WANG K., ZHANG C., XU B., XUE Y. & REN Y. (2020).** Selecting optimal bin size to account
for growth variability in Electronic LEngth Frequency ANalysis (ELEFAN). Fisheries
Research 225: 105474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2019.105474

**WANG K., ZHANG C., SUN M., XU B., JI Y., XUE Y. & REN Y. (2021).** Fishing pressure and
lifespan affect the estimation of growth parameters using ELEFAN. Fisheries Research, 238,
105903. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2021.105903

 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**
647

648
649
650 **Supplementary Figure 1.** Probability distribution of estimated parameters using genetic and
651 simulated annealing algorithms for *Stolothrissa tanganicae* and *Limnothrissa miodon* in each
652 study period.

653
654 **Supplementary Figure 2.** Von Bertalanffy growth functions (VBGF) for *Stolothrissa*
655 *tanganicae* and *Limnothrissa miodon*, estimated from otolith-derived length-age relationships.