Establishing synthetic ribbon-type active zones in a heterologous expression system

Rohan Kapoor[1,2,3], Niko Schwenzer[4,5,7], Thomas Dresbach[6], Stephan E. Lehnart[4,5,7] & Tobias Moser[1,2,7]

[1]Institute for Auditory Neuroscience and InnerEarLab, University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany.

[2]Auditory Neuroscience and Synaptic Nanophysiology Group, Max-Planck-Institute for Multidisciplinary Sciences, Göttingen, Germany.

[3]IMPRS Molecular Biology, Göttingen Graduate School for Neuroscience and Molecular Biosciences, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

[4]Department of Cardiology and Pneumology, University Medical Center Göttingen; Göttingen, Germany.

[5]Cellular Biophysics & Translational Cardiology Section, Heart Research Center Göttingen, University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany.

[6]Institute of Anatomy and Embryology, University Medical Center Göttingen; Göttingen, Germany.

[7]Cluster of Excellence "Multiscale Bioimaging: from Molecular Machines to Networks of Excitable Cells" (MBExC 2067), University of Göttingen, Germany

Correspondence: tmoser@gwdg.de

Abstract

Encoding of several sensory modalities into neural signals is mediated by ribbon synapses. The synaptic ribbon tethers synaptic vesicles at the presynaptic active zone (AZ) and might act as a super-scaffold organizing AZ topography. Here we employed a synthetic biology approach to reconstitute ribbon-type AZs in HEK293 cells for probing their minimal molecular requirements and studying presynaptic Ca^{2+} channel clustering. Co-expressing a membrane-targeted version of the AZ-protein Bassoon and the ribbon core protein RIBEYE, we observed structures recapitulating basic aspects of ribbon-type AZs, which we call *synthetic* ribbons or *SyRibbons*. *SyRibbons* with Ca^{2+} channel clusters formed upon additional expression of $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} channels and RIM-binding protein 2 (RBP2), known to promote presynaptic Ca^{2+} channel clustering. Confocal and super-resolution microscopy along with functional analysis by patch-clamp and Ca^{2+}-imaging revealed striking similarities and interesting differences of *SyRibbons* in comparison to native IHC ribbon-type AZs. In summary, we identify Ca^{2+} channels, RBP, membrane-anchored Bassoon, and RIBEYE as minimal components for reconstituting a basic ribbon-type AZ. *SyRibbons* might complement animal studies on molecular interactions of AZ proteins.

Keywords: calcium channel/channel cluster/calcium signal/ribbon synapse/synthetic biology

Significance Statement

Encoding of sensory information in our eyes and ears builds on specialized ribbon synapses of sensory cells. Elucidating the molecular underpinning of their fascinating structure and function is an ongoing effort to which we add a bottom-up reconstitution approach in cultured cells. Aiming to recapitulate basic properties of ribbon-type presynaptic active zones of cochlear inner hair cells, we identified a minimal set of proteins that assemble in cellular nanodomains, structurally and functionally alike active zones. While not yet reconstituting synaptic vesicle exocytosis, we consider the established synthetic ribbon-type active zones a valuable platform for studying molecular interactions of active zone proteins. We expect the approach to complement, refine, and reduce experiments on native ribbon synapses asserted from animals.

Introduction

Synapses of sensory receptor cells of the inner ear and the retina are hallmarked by the presence of an electron-dense structure called the synaptic ribbon, which tethers synaptic vesicles (SVs) at the presynaptic active zone (AZ, [Moser *et al*, 2019; Matthews & Fuchs, 2010]). Proposed functional roles of the ribbon include (i) its role as a SV replenishment machine for tireless neurotransmission (Bunt, 1971; Holt *et al*, 2004; Khimich *et al*, 2005; Frank *et al*, 2010; Snellman *et al*, 2011; Graydon *et al*, 2011;

Vaithianathan *et al*, 2016), (ii) "super-scaffold" regulating the abundance, topography, and function of

AZ players such Ca^{2+} channels and release sites and their tight coupling (Khimich *et al*, 2005; Frank *et*

al, 2010; Wong *et al*, 2014; Maxeiner *et al*, 2016; Jean *et al*, 2018; Grabner & Moser, 2021), and iii)

coordination of SV release (Heidelberger *et al*, 1994; Glowatzki & Fuchs, 2002; Singer *et al*, 2004;

Edmonds, 2004; Mehta *et al*, 2013). Yet, our molecular understanding of how these postulated

functions are executed by synaptic ribbons is still limited.

Likewise, the clustering of Ca^{2+} channels at the AZ, how it is varied among different or even the

same synapse types and how the ribbon contributes towards this, remain active areas of research. For

example, ribbon synapses of mature inner hair cells (IHC) assemble $Ca_V1.3$ voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels

at the base of the ribbon, but vary in shape, size and channel complement (20-330) of the $Ca_V1.3$

clusters (Frank *et al*, 2010; Wong *et al*, 2014; Neef *et al*, 2018) partially dependent on the position

within the IHC (Ohn *et al*, 2016; Özçete & Moser, 2021). IHC synapses lacking AZ-anchored ribbons in

Bassoon mutant mice showed fewer Ca^{2+} channels with altered topography (Frank *et al*, 2010; Neef *et*

al, 2018). While genetic disruption of Ca^{2+} channel-tethering AZ proteins RIM2 (Jung *et al*, 2015) and

RBP2 (Krinner *et al*, 2017) reduced the abundance of Ca^{2+} channels at IHC synapses, their topography

retained the typical stripe-like shape of Ca^{2+} clusters. Constitutive deletion of RIBEYE, the core scaffold

protein of the synaptic ribbon, provided, so far, the most direct test of the scaffolding role of the ribbon

(Maxeiner *et al*, 2016; Jean *et al*, 2018; Becker *et al*, 2018; Grabner & Moser, 2021). Here, ribbon-less

synapses of IHCs showed an altered Ca^{2+} channel topography with a more spatially widespread

presynaptic Ca^{2+} signal but intact Ca^{2+} channel complement (Jean *et al*, 2018). Moreover, the voltage-

dependence of activation as well as the inactivation of Ca^{2+} channels appeared to be altered,

suggesting a possible role of the synaptic ribbon in also regulating Ca^{2+} channel physiology (Jean *et al*,

2018). Changes in Ca^{2+} channel physiology upon deletion of RIBEYE were also found for $Ca_V1.4$ at

ribbon-less rod photoreceptor AZs (Grabner & Moser, 2021). Finally, IHCs lacking piccolino, a ribbon-

synapse specific isoform of the AZ protein piccolo, show AZs with smaller synaptic ribbons and altered

clustering yet normal complement of Ca^{2+} channels (Michanski *et al*, 2023).

So how does the synaptic ribbon tune into the orchestra of AZ proteins that collectively

organize Ca^{2+} channel abundance, topography, and function? To what extent can the effects observed

upon disruption of Bassoon be attributed to the concomitant loss of the ribbon? These questions seem

even more relevant given that, so far to our knowledge, no direct interactions between Bassoon (Frank

et al, 2010) or RIBEYE and the Ca^{2+} channel have been described. Here, we adopted a bottom-up

synthetic biology approach to assemble a minimal presynaptic protein machinery required to bring

together RIBEYE and Ca^{2+} channels in a heterologous expression system to assess the role of the

synaptic ribbon in regulating Ca^{2+} channel clustering and physiology. Similar approaches have

previously been employed for reconstituting aspects of conventional synapses, for instance in "hemi-

synapses" between co-cultured neurons and non-neuronal cells overexpressing presynaptic or
postsynaptic components (for review see Craig *et al*, 2006). More recently, Munc13-1 supra-molecular
assemblies which recruit release machinery proteins were reconstituted (Sakamoto *et al*, 2018).

Expression of RIBEYE in synapse-naïve cell lines such as COS-7 cells led to large cytosolic
assemblies (Schmitz *et al*, 2000). Membrane-proximal RIBEYE clusters and ribbon-like electron dense
structures with vesicles could be found in a retinal progenitor cell-line (R28, [Magupalli *et al*, 2008])
yet, these cells might not be considered synapse-naïve. Regardless, the presence and function of Ca^{2+}
channels at synthetic ribbon synapses have not yet been studied. The need for co-expressing at least
three Ca^{2+} channel subunits ($Ca_V\alpha_x$, $Ca_V\beta_x$ and $Ca_V\alpha_2\delta_x$) in synapse-naïve cell lines renders acute co-
expression of AZ multidomain proteins cumbersome. Here we took advantage of synapse-naïve
Human Embryonic Kidney 293 (HEK293) cells stably expressing inducible $Ca_V1.3\alpha_1$, which is the
predominant subtype of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels at IHC ribbon synapses (Brandt *et al*, 2003; Platzer
et al, 2000; Dou *et al*, 2004), as well as constitutively expressed $Ca_V\beta_3$ and $Ca_V\alpha_2\delta_1$. We co-expressed
RIBEYE along with membrane targeted Bassoon and observed structures with striking resemblance to
IHC synaptic ribbon-type AZs. We characterized the structure and function of these synthetic ribbon-
type AZs and identified Bassoon, RBP2, RIBEYE and $Ca_V1.3$ channels as the minimal components
required for assembling a basic ribbon-type AZ. We observed that synthetic ribbons recruit $Ca_V1.3$
channels in large clusters and show localized Ca^{2+} influx upon stimulation. Our results support the role
of synaptic ribbons in promoting $Ca_V1.3$ channel clustering. Synthetic ribbon-type AZs offer a novel
approach for functionally studying protein-protein interaction and will likely complement, refine and
reduce experiments on native ribbon synapses.

Results

Membrane-targeted Bassoon recruits RIBEYE to the cell membrane in HEK293 cells where structures
alike inner hair cell synaptic ribbons are formed.

Human epithelial HEK293 cells have the advantage of not expressing the synaptic machinery
components studied here. This provides a clean background for reconstituting synthetic synapses
from a minimal set of synaptic proteins. Our first step towards assembling a ribbon-type AZ in a
heterologous expression system was to express RIBEYE, the core scaffold protein of the synaptic
ribbon, and target it to the cell membrane. We performed transient transfection of a RIBEYE construct
with a C-terminal EGFP tag in HEK293 cells and observed large spherical clusters of RIBEYE that
appeared largely cytosolic (Fig 1Aii), in contrast to a diffuse cytosolic distribution when merely
expressing EGFP (Fig 1Ai). These RIBEYE clusters form due to self-assembling properties of RIBEYE via
multiple sites of homophilic interaction as have been demonstrated before in several cell lines. They
do not colocalize with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), Golgi apparatus, or lysosomes and hence, are

unlikely to reflect RIBEYE entrapped in protein trafficking pathways or to represent degradation

products of overexpressed RIBEYE (Fig EV1 A, B and C).

Next, for membrane targeting of these cytosolic RIBEYE clusters, we co-expressed Bassoon (Fig 1Aiii).

Prior work on the molecular underpinnings of ribbon synapses had identified the multidomain

cytomatrix of the active zone protein Bassoon (tom Dieck *et al*, 1998) to critically contribute to

anchoring the synaptic ribbon to the AZ membrane (Khimich *et al*, 2005; Dick *et al*, 2003; tom Dieck *et*

al, 2005). Co-expression of full-length Bassoon along with RIBEYE in HEK293 cells showed colocalizing

clusters of the two proteins that, however, remained largely cytosolic (Fig 1Aiv).

Next, for plasma membrane-targeting of Bassoon, we generated a construct by removing the first 95

N-terminal amino acids of Bassoon and replacing these with a palmitoylation consensus sequence of

the neuronal Growth Associated Protein 43 (GAP43). We refer to this as palm-Bassoon throughout,

and we used constructs with and without a C-terminal EGFP tag (Fig 1B). Expression of either of these

palm-Bassoon constructs in HEK293 cells showed comparable immunofluorescence patterns with

Bassoon puncta spread across the periphery of the cell, largely colocalizing with the endogenously

expressed membrane protein Na, K-ATPase α_1 (data representative of 3 transfections, Fig 1C, D).

Comparing the ratio of Bassoon signal intensity at the periphery *versus* inside of the cell in randomly

selected single sections from confocal stacks of palm-Bassoon and Bassoon transfected cells (N = 10

cells, 3 transfections per group, Fig 1E) demonstrated a higher Bassoon signal intensity at the periphery

of cells expressing the palm-Bassoon construct (*****P* < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test),

implying successful plasma membrane targeting of Bassoon.

Figure 1 - Membrane targeting of Bassoon using a palmitoylation consensus sequence.

A Representative confocal images of HEK293 cells transfected with (i) GFP only (CtBP2/RIBEYE in
magenta labeling nuclei, GFP in cyan), (ii) RIBEYE-GFP (CtBP2/RIBEYE in magenta, GFP in cyan), (iii)
Bassoon (green) and (iv) RIBEYE-GFP and Bassoon. Note that antibodies against RIBEYE B-domain
and the nuclear transcription factor CtBP2 result in similar staining patterns as CtBP2 is transcribed
from the same gene as RIBEYE and is identical to the RIBEYE-B domain except for the first 20 N-

terminal amino acids. Upper panel shows maximum projections and lower panel shows exemplary
single sections from confocal stacks. Scale bar = 5 µm.

B　Schematic of construct for membrane targeting of Bassoon. The first 95 amino acids from full-
length Bassoon were replaced with a palmitoylation consensus sequence from GAP43. Constructs
without and with a C-terminal GFP tag were used, as depicted.

C　Sample confocal image (single section) showing membrane targeted palm-Bassoon (green)
expressed in HEK293 cells appears as puncta distributed along the periphery of the cell, marked
by Na, K-ATPase α_1(blue). Inset shows maximal projection of confocal section. Scale bar = 5µm.

D　Zoom-in from **(C)** shows colocalisation of palm-Bassoon with membrane marker Na, K-ATPase α1.
Scale bar = 1µm.

E　Quantification of Bassoon signal intensity at periphery *vs* inside of cell. Cells expressing palm-
Bassoon (N = 10 cells) clearly show a higher peripheral distribution compared to cells expressing
full-length Bassoon (N = 10 cells), *****P* < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test. Overlaid data
points represent individual cells, crosses represent the mean values, central band indicates the
median, whiskers represent 90/10 percentiles, and boxes represent 75/25 percentiles.

Figure EV1 - Subcellular distribution of RIBEYE clusters in HEK293 cells.
Maximal projections of confocal sections of HEK293 cells transfected with RIBEYE-GFP (green).
Representative co-staining for **(A)** calnexin (endoplasmic reticulum marker), **(B)** GM130 (cis-Golgi
marker) and **(C)** LAMP1 (lysosomal marker) have been shown respectively (blue). RIBEYE clusters do
not appear to localize in either of the three compartments. Scale bar = 10 µm.

Next, we co-expressed palm-Bassoon and RIBEYE in HEK293 cells and observed colocalizing RIBEYE and

Bassoon immunofluorescent puncta at the periphery of cells (7 transfections; Fig 2Ai). Closer

inspection of these immunofluorescent puncta with STimulated Emission Depletion (STED) nanoscopy

(Fig 2Aii) revealed discrete structures typically consisting of ellipsoidal RIBEYE clusters juxtaposing on

top of plate-like palm-Bassoon structures which seemingly anchor the RIBEYE clusters to the plasma

membrane. Interestingly, we found the morphology of the RIBEYE + palm-Bassoon structures to be

strikingly reminiscent of the arrangement of the two proteins in IHC ribbon synapses, where an

ellipsoid/spherical synaptic ribbon composed of RIBEYE is found seated on a Bassoon plate that

anchors it to the presynaptic AZ membrane (e.g. Wong *et al*, 2014; Michanski *et al*, 2019, 2023) (Fig

2B, i and ii; data from Michanski *et al*, 2023).

We compared the RIBEYE and Bassoon signal intensities at the periphery *versus* inside of the

cell in randomly selected single sections from confocal stacks of HEK cells expressing RIBEYE and palm-

Bassoon (N = 9 cells) and HEK cells expressing RIBEYE and full-length Bassoon (N=9 cells). We found an

increased peripheral distribution of both RIBEYE and Bassoon when using palm-Bassoon (Fig 2C, *****P*

< 0.0001, Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test), implying successful membrane targeting of RIBEYE by palm-

Bassoon. In each transfection, ~10% cells showed co-expression of both RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon (for

representative sample overview see Fig EV2). Of those, cells expressing discrete RIBEYE-palm Bassoon

structures were discernible by the characteristic RIBEYE distribution along the periphery of the cell.

This peripheral distribution in turn seemingly depends upon RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon expression

ratios (shown in Figure EV2B) as cells with little to no palm-Bassoon expression show predominantly

cytosolic RIBEYE puncta and were not used for analysis. For simplicity, we henceforth refer to the

structures composed of RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon in HEK293 cells as *SyRibbons* (for *synthetic* ribbons).

We next performed three-dimensional surface renderings using *Imaris* 9.6 (Oxford

Instruments) to assess these structures. In a given cell, only structures with colocalizing RIBEYE and

palm-Bassoon immunofluorescence were considered for analysis to exclude the occasional non-

membrane localized spots (on average 51.75 ± 40.84 RIBEYE surfaces colocalizing with Bassoon

surfaces per cell; N = 20 cells). The volumes of RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon surfaces of *SyRibbons* were

smaller on average and more variable (average volume ± standard deviation (SD) = 0.19 ± 0.23 μm^3

with a coefficient of variation (CV) = 1.23 for RIBEYE; n_{spots} = 864 and volume = 0.17 ± 0.18 μm^3 with CV

= 1.10 for Bassoon; n_{spots} = 951; data from N = 20 cells, quantifications from 5 sample transfections)

than volumes of synaptic ribbons and Bassoon immunofluorescent puncta from rat IHCs (volume =

0.29 ± 0.17 μm^3, CV = 0.59 for RIBEYE; n_{spots} = 290, and volume = 0.27 ± 0.18 μm^3, CV = 0.67 for Bassoon;

n_{spots} = 314, data from N = 29 cells), (Figure 2D, E). Moreover, volumes of RIBEYE surfaces show a high

positive correlation to volumes of corresponding palm-Bassoon surfaces (P_r = 0.778, *****P* < 0.0001),

implying larger palm-Bassoon structures may recruit bigger RIBEYE structures to the plasma

membrane. We also note that volume of RIBEYE surfaces in *SyRibbons* appears smaller and well-

regulated in contrast to the predominantly large, cytosolic RIBEYE assemblies in cells co-expressing

RIBEYE and full-length Bassoon (volume = 0.30 ± 0.36 μm^3, n_{spots} = 460, N = 10 cells, *****P* < 0.001,

Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon Test). In turn, Bassoon clusters seemed regulated by co-expressed RIBEYE:

Bassoon surfaces at the plasma membrane were larger at *SyRibbons* than in the absence of RIBEYE in

cells only expressing palm-Bassoon (volume = 0.14 ± 0.21 μm^3, n_{spots} = 2217, N = 13 cells, ****$P < 0.001$,

Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon Test).

Figure 2 - Co-expression of RIBEYE with palm-Bassoon results in ribbon-type AZ-like structures

A   (i) Representative confocal image (single section) of a HEK293 cell transfected with RIBEYE-GFP
(magenta) and palm-Bassoon (green). Co-expression of RIBEYE with palm-Bassoon targets RIBEYE
to the cell membrane. Inset shows maximum projection. Scale bar = 5µm. (ii) Exemplary 2-D STED
images for RIBEYE – palm-Bassoon juxtapositions acquired from cells as shown in (i); Scale bar =
500nm; individual channels have been depicted with an intensity-coded look-up table with
warmer colors indicating higher intensity.

B   **(i)** Representative maximum projection of confocal sections of apical organ of Corti from a Wistar
rat (postnatal day 18); data as published in Michanski *et al*, 2023, stainings were for CtBP2/RIBEYE
(magenta; labeling synaptic ribbons and IHC nuclei) and Bassoon (green; spots juxtaposing with
ribbons represent IHC AZs, spots not juxtaposing with ribbons represent efferent synapses formed
by lateral olivocochlear neurons onto SGN boutons). Scale bar = 5µm. **(ii)** Juxtaposing RIBEYE and
Bassoon spots imaged in 2-D STED and confocal mode respectively. Scale bar = 500nm. Note the
striking resemblance to reconstituted RIBEYE + palm-Bassoon structures in HEK293 cells as shown
in **(Aii)**. STED images are from 3 sample transfections, representative of 7 total transfections;
individual channels have been depicted with an intensity-coded look-up table with warmer colors
indicating higher intensity.

C   Quantification of RIBEYE and Bassoon signal intensity at periphery *vs* inside of cell shows a higher
peripheral distribution of RIBEYE and Bassoon in HEK293 + RIBEYE + palm-Bassoon cells (N=9 cells)
as compared to HEK293 + RIBEYE + Bassoon cells (N=9 cells); ****$P < 0.0001$, Mann-Whitney-
Wilcoxon test. Overlaid data points represent individual cells, crosses represent mean values,
central band indicates the median, whiskers represent 90/10 percentiles, and boxes represent
75/25 percentiles.

D   Distribution of volumes of RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon puncta from HEK293 cells expressing RIBEYE
and palm-Bassoon (n = 20 cells, quantifications from 5 sample transfections). Volumes of synaptic
ribbons from rat IHCs (n = 29 cells, 3 rats) have been plotted for comparison.

E   Box plot depicting data from **(D)**. Volumes of RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon puncta are comparable to
246   each other ($P > 0.99$, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multi-comparison), but on an
247   average much smaller and considerably more variable when compared to volumes of RIBEYE and
248   Bassoon puncta from rat IHCs respectively (*****P < 0.0001*, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc*
249   Dunn's multi-comparison). Overlaid plus signs represent individual spots, crosses represent mean
250   values, central band indicates the median, whiskers represent 90/10 percentiles and boxes
251   represent 75/25 percentiles.

252   **F**   RIBEYE puncta in HEK cells expressing RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon (n_{spots} = 864, N = 20 cells) appear
253   more spherical than Bassoon puncta in the same cells (n_{spots} = 961, N = 20 cells; *****P < 0.0001*,
254   Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test). Note the similar trend in IHC
255   synaptic ribbons where RIBEYE puncta are more spherical than Bassoon puncta (n_{spots} = 290 for
256   RIBEYE and n_{spots} = 314 for Bassoon, N = 29 cells, 3 rats; *****P < 0.0001*, Kruskal-Wallis test with
257   *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test). Overlaid plus signs represent individual spots, crosses
258   represent mean values, central band indicates the median, whiskers represent 90/10 percentiles
259   and boxes represent 75/25 percentiles.

Nonetheless, the fact that next to structures with volumes comparable to IHC ribbons, we also
encountered smaller and larger structures, likely reflects poorer regulation of RIBEYE and palm-
Bassoon expression in the heterologous system. RIBEYE clusters constituting *SyRibbons* appeared more
spherical than the plate-like Bassoon structures in the same cells (sphericity Ψ = 0.91 ± 0.05, n_{spots} =
864 for RIBEYE versus Ψ = 0.86 ± 0.07, n_{spots}= 951 for Bassoon, data from N = 20 cells; *****P < 0.0001*,
Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test). This follows the same trend as in
rat IHCs where RIBEYE spots indeed appear more spherical (Ψ = 0.90 ± 0.05, n_{spots} = 290) compared to
Bassoon spots (Ψ = 0.76 ± 0.10, n_{spots} = 314); *****P < 0.0001*, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's
multiple comparison test, data from N = 29 cells (Figure 2F).

Figure EV2 - Membrane localization of RIBEYE depends on expression levels of palm-Bassoon

A Exemplary overview image of HEK293 cells co-transfected with RIBEYE (magenta) and palm-
Bassoon (green) showing how to identify cells with *SyRibbons*. On an average about 10% of
cells appear co-transfected of which some show peripheral distribution of RIBEYE (examples
marked by arrows). Scale bar = 100 μm for overview and 20 μm for zoom-in.

B Peripheral distribution of RIBEYE appears to be dependent on expression levels of palm-
Bassoon. Note the cell in the top panel with little to no palm-Bassoon expression and
predominantly cytosolic RIBEYE puncta. Scale bar = 10 μm.

SyRibbons **employ RBP2 to tether Ca$_V$1.3 channels.**

To study the potential of *SyRibbons* to cluster Ca^{2+} channels, we employed HEK293 cells stably

expressing an inducible transgene of Ca$_V$1.3α$_1$ along with constitutive transgenes for Ca$_V$β$_3$ and

Ca$_V$α$_2$δ$_1$. We either used tetracycline for inducible Ca$_V$1.3α$_1$ channel expression (Fig 3A) or performed

transient transfections with Ca$_V$1.3 constructs containing an N-terminal EGFP- (Fig 3B) or Halo-tag (Fig

3C) for direct fluorescence imaging. Expression of either Ca^{2+} channel complex resulted in small clusters

at the plasma membrane as represented in Fig 3D (average volume 0.08 ± 0.13 μm^3, n$_{spots}$ = 949, N =

12 cells for untagged inducible Ca$_V$1.3α$_1$; 0.07 ± 0.08 μm^3, n$_{spots}$ = 392, N = 11 cells for EGFP-Ca$_V$1.3; and

0.07 ± 0.09 μm^3, n$_{spots}$ = 1281, N = 16 cells for Halo-Ca$_V$1.3α; $P_{untagged/EGFP}$ = 0.547, $P_{untagged/Halo}$ > 0.999,

$P_{Halo/EGFP}$ = 0.582, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test).

291
Figure 3 - HEK293 cells expressing Ca$_V$1.3

A Representative confocal section of a HEK293 cell stably expressing an inducible
Ca$_V$1.3α transgene (untagged) along with constitutive transgenes for Ca$_V$β$_3$ and Ca$_V$α$_2$δ$_1$.
Immunostainings have been performed using antibodies against Ca$_V$1.3 (green) and Na, K-
ATPase α$_1$ (blue, labeling the plasma membrane). Scale bar = 10 µm.

B Representative confocal section of a HEK293 cell stably expressing Ca$_V$β$_3$ and Ca$_V$α$_2$δ$_1$, and
transiently transfected with an N-terminal EGFP- tagged Ca$_V$1.3 construct. Immunostainings
have been performed using antibodies against GFP (green) and Na, K-ATPase α$_1$ (blue). Scale
bar = 10 µm.

C Representative confocal section of a HEK293 cell stably expressing Ca$_V$β$_3$ and Ca$_V$α$_2$δ$_1$ and
transiently transfected with an N-terminal Halo-tagged Ca$_V$1.3 construct. Immunostainings
have been performed using antibodies against Ca$_V$1.3 (green) and Halo tag (magenta). Scale
bar = 10 µm.

D Expression of either of the Ca^{2+} channel complexes result in clusters of comparable sizes, ($P >$
0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test). Overlaid plus signs
represent individual spots, crosses represent mean values, central band indicates the median,
whiskers represent 90/10 percentiles and boxes represent 75/25 percentiles.

Co-expression of palm-Bassoon did not show colocalization with Ca$_V$1.3, which is in line with
observations with full-length Bassoon (Frank *et al*, 2010) and the same applied when co-expressing
RIBEYE and Ca$_V$1.3, arguing against a direct interaction for both (Figure EV3). Rab-interacting molecule-
binding protein (RIM-BP or RBP) links Bassoon to Ca^{2+} channels (Davydova *et al*, 2014) and hence was
an interesting candidate for tethering Ca$_V$1.3 to Bassoon clusters. RBP has been shown to interact with
Ca$_V$1.3 (Hibino *et al*, 2002) and to be required for normal Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} channel clustering at the IHC
ribbon synapse (Krinner *et al*, 2017, 2021). Indeed, when co-expressing RBP2 with palm-Bassoon and
Ca$_V$1.3, we found juxtaposed palm-Bassoon and Ca$_V$1.3 both with immunolabeled (Fig. 4A) and live-
labeled (Fig. 4B, C) proteins indicating successful clustering of Ca$_V$1.3 at *synthetic* AZs.

Figure EV3 - Ca$_V$1.3 does not appear to colocalize directly with RIBEYE or with palm-Bassoon

A   Representative confocal section of a HEK293 cell transfected with Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 (blue) and palm-Bassoon (green). The two proteins do not appear to colocalize. Scale bar = 5 µm.

B   Representative confocal section of a HEK293 cell transfected with Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 (blue) and RIBEYE-GFP (red). RIBEYE and Ca$_V$1.3 also do not appear to colocalize, speaking against a possible direct interaction between the two proteins. Scale bar = 5 µm.

Figure 4 – RBP2 bridges palm-Bassoon and Ca$_V$1.3 to form supra-molecular assemblies at the plasma membrane.

A   Representative confocal images (single sections) of HEK293 cells (fixed) transfected with palm-Bassoon (green), RBP2 (red) and N-terminal Halo-tagged Ca$_V$1.3 (blue). The three colocalizing proteins appear to form supra-molecular assemblies at the cell membrane. Scale bar = 5 μm for left panel showing cell overviews, 2 μm for right panels showing zoom-ins.

B   Representative confocal images (single sections) of live HEK293 cells transfected with palm-Bassoon-GFP (green), RBP2-p2A-mKATE2 (red, representing only mKATE2 signal and not RBP2 localization) and Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 (blue, labeled by with JF-646 HaloTag Ligand before imaging). Scale bar = 5 μm.

C   Exemplary super-resolution STED images from live-labeled samples in (B) showing juxtaposition of palm-Bassoon and Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 signal at the plasma membrane in RBP2 positive cells. Scale bar = 1 μm.

We next performed ruptured whole-cell patch-clamp to assess if RBP2 and/or palm-Bassoon or RIBEYE co-expression results in changes in Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} currents. After tetracycline treatment for Ca$_V$1.3α_1 expression (18-24 hours), cells were transfected with both RBP2-p2A-mKATE2 and palm-Bassoon-GFP, with only palm-Bassoon-GFP, only RBP2-p2A-mKATE2 or RIBEYE-GFP constructs (Figure 5A). After 18-24 hours, the cell culture media was changed, and cells were recorded 24-36 hours afterwards. We used 10 mM [Ca^{2+}]$_e$ and recorded Ca^{2+} current (density) - voltage relations (IVs) ~1 minute after establishing the whole-cell configuration by applying step depolarizations of 20 ms from -86.2 mV to 58.8 mV in 5 mV increments. Ca^{2+} current density was on an average approximately twice as large in cells expressing both RBP2 and palm-Bassoon when compared with induced-only controls (Figure 5B, D; **P = 0.0041, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test). Ca^{2+} current densities also tended to be larger for cells expressing only palm-Bassoon or RBP2, but this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.374 and 0.164 respectively, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test), while for cells expressing RIBEYE there was no trend to differ (P > 0.999, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test). We did not observe any noticeable differences in voltage-dependence, activation kinetics or inactivation kinetics of Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} current upon expression of any of these AZ proteins (Figure 5C, E, F; Figure EV4A, B).

366
Figure 5 - Whole-cell Ca²⁺ current amplitudes increase upon co-expression of RBP2 and palm-
Bassoon.

A Impact of co-expression of different ribbon-type AZ proteins on $Ca_V1.3\alpha_1/\beta_3/\alpha_2\delta_1$ Ca^{2+} currents.
Color code: non-transfected controls expressing only $Ca_V1.3$ (black), co-expression of RBP2 +
palm-Bassoon (data depicted in turquoise), only palm-Bassoon (green), only RBP2 (blue) or only
RIBEYE (magenta). Numbers of recorded cells/group have been indicated in the figure (bottom
right).

B Co-expression of RBP2 and palm-Bassoon seemingly increases the whole-cell Ca^{2+} current density
in HEK293 cells expressing $Ca_V1.3$, $[Ca]_e$ = 10mM. Expression of palm-Bassoon or RBP2
individually also results in a mild increase, but this trend is not statistically significant as shown
in (D). Expression of RIBEYE by itself causes no change in Ca^{2+} current density. Plots show lines
representing mean Ca^{2+} current density traces and shaded area represents $\pm$ SEM.

C We fitted a Boltzmann function to traces in (B) to determine the fractional activation of $Ca_V1.3$
channels. The voltage-dependence of Ca^{2+} current influx does not appear to be different for any
of the four scenarios.

D Box plot depicting peak Ca^{2+} current density values from (A). P values are as follows: **P_{CaV}
$_{only/CaV+RBP2+PBsn}$ = 0.0041, $P_{CaV\ only/CaV+PBsn}$ = 0.3737, $P_{CaV\ only/CaV+RBP2}$ = 0.1643, $P_{CaV\ only/CaV+RIBEYE}$ > 0.999;
Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test.

E,F Box plots depicting voltage of half-maximal activation (V_{half}) and slope (k) of the Boltzmann fits in
(C) show no statistically significant differences.

Figure EV4 - Kinetics of Ca$_V$1.3 channels are not altered upon co-expression of various AZ proteins.

A Average peak amplitude normalized Ca^{2+} current traces in response to depolarizations of 500
ms from -91.2 to -21.2 mV (shaded area represents $\pm$ SEM). Expression of palm-Bassoon+RBP2
(turquoise), only palm-Bassoon (green), RBP2 (blue) or RIBEYE (magenta) do not seem to
impact inactivation of Ca$_V$1.3 channels.

B A power exponential function was fitted to the first 5 ms of current traces (as shown in Figure
5B) to obtain the activation time constant (mean $\pm$ SEM) at different voltages, which do not
appear to be notably impacted.

Larger synthetic ribbon-type AZs establish larger Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} channel clusters.

Next, we tetra-transfected HEK293 cells with palm-Bassoon, Halo-tagged Ca$_V$1.3α_1, RBP2 and RIBEYE
to explore the impact of co-expressing of RIBEYE on the clustering of Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} channels (Figure 6A
for transfection scheme). Using confocal imaging of immunofluorescently labelled RIBEYE, Halo-Ca$_V$1.3
and Bassoon (RBP2 immunofluorescence was imaged using epifluorescence to select tetra-transfected
HEK293 cells), we observed colocalization of Ca$_V$1.3α1, Bassoon and RIBEYE (Fig. 6B). Line profiles
drawn tangentially along the plasma membrane showed that beyond the general distribution of a
Ca$_V$1.3α_1 signal in the plasma membrane, Ca$_V$1.3α_1 signal hotspots occurred underneath the *SyRibbons*
(Fig. 6C). The signal intensity of RIBEYE immunofluorescence positively correlated with the Ca$_V$1.3
signal immunofluorescence, (P_r = 0.839 and 0.886 from two sample line scans, ****P < 0.0001), which
has previously been reported for immunolabeled native ribbon-type AZs of mouse IHCs (Ohn *et al*,
2016). We next turned to 2-color STED imaging which provided improved spatial resolution and
highlighted the confined localization of Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+}-channel clusters underneath *SyRibbons* as shown
in Figure 6D.

We performed surface renderings of confocally imaged Halo-tagged $Ca_V1.3\alpha_1$, RIBEYE and palm-

Bassoon immunofluorescence spots as described above for estimating the volume of *SyRibbons* and

the $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} channel clusters (Fig.6E, F). This revealed significantly larger $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} channel

clusters when colocalizing with *SyRibbons* in HEK293 + Halo-$Ca_V1.3$ + RBP2 + *SyRibbons* cells (average

volume ± SD = 0.18 ± 0.28 μm^3, n_{spots}= 634, N = 13 cells, data representative of 5 transfections)

compared to non-colocalized $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} channel clusters from the same cells (volume = 0.06 ± 0.10

μm^3, n_{spots}= 1354, N = 13 cells; *****P* < 0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple

comparison test) and $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} clusters in HEK293 cells solely expressing Halo-$Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} channels

(volume = 0.07 ± 0.09μm^3, n_{spots}= 1281, N = 16 cells, data representative of 4 transfections; *****P* <

0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison test). $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} channel cluster

volumes in the latter two did not differ significantly (P = 0.099, Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's

multiple comparison test). Moreover, volumes of $Ca_V1.3$ cluster surfaces show a moderate positive

correlation with volumes of corresponding RIBEYE surfaces (P_r = 0.385, *****P* < 0.0001), similar to

previous observations at IHC ribbon synapses (Frank *et al*, 2009; Michanski *et al*, 2023; Ohn *et al*, 2016).

Figure 6 - Synthetic ribbon-type active zones recruit Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} channels.

A Experimental scheme for expression of Halo tagged Ca$_V$1.3, palm-Bassoon, RIBEYE-GFP and RBP2 in HEK293 cells.

B Representative confocal images (single sections) of HEK293 cells transfected with RBP2 (not shown, expression confirmed using epifluorescence), RIBEYE-GFP (red), palm-Bassoon (green), Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 (blue) shows colocalization of the three latter proteins at the plasma membrane. Scale bar = 5 µm.

C Confocal zoom-ins from **(B)**. Line scans depict an increased Ca$_V$1.3 signal intensity (blue) at sites where RIBEYE (red) and palm-Bassoon (green) clusters localize. Pearson's correlation coefficients (*Pr*) were calculated along the line profiles for RIBEYE and Ca$_V$1.3 signal intensity and indicate a high degree of correlation (~0.8) between the spatial localization of the two. Scale bar = 2 µm.

D Super-resolution STED images showing Ca$_V$1.3 clusters localizing at the base of the *SyRibbons*. Scale bar = 500nm.

E Distribution of volumes from confocal images of Ca$_V$1.3 clusters colocalizing with *SyRibbons* (orange, n$_{spots}$ = 634) and not colocalizing with *SyRibbons* (yellow, n$_{spots}$ = 1354) from HEK293 cells expressing Ca$_V$1.3, RBP2 and *SyRibbons* (N = 13 cells). Pooled volumes of all Ca$_V$1.3 clusters (with and without *SyRibbons*) from these cells have been shown in red (n$_{spots}$ = 1988). Volumes of Ca$_V$1.3 clusters from HEK293 cells expressing only Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 (black, n$_{spots}$ = 1281, N = 16 cells) have been plotted for comparison.

F Box plot depicting data from (E). Ca$_V$1.3 clusters appear larger on an average in cells expressing Ca$_V$1.3, RBP2 and *SyRibbons versus* in cells expressing only Ca$_V$1.3, (***P* = 0.0044). Within cells expressing all four proteins, Ca$_V$1.3 clusters are much larger when colocalizing with *SyRibbons* versus when they do not (*****P < 0.0001,*). Finally, when comparing these clusters with and without *SyRibbons* in cells expressing all four proteins *versus* clusters in cells expressing only Ca$_V$1.3, we observe a significant difference for the former (*****P < 0.0001,*), but not the latter (*P* = 0.099,). Statistical test: Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multiple comparison correction. Overlaid plus signs represent individual spots, crosses represent mean values, central band indicates the median, whiskers represent 90/10 percentiles and boxes represent 75/25 percentiles.

Ca^{2+} imaging reveals increased Ca^{2+} signal intensity at synthetic ribbon-type active zones.

Finally, we set-out to functionally characterize synthetic ribbon-type AZs. We combined patch-clamp recordings of Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} influx with spinning-disk confocal microscopy to visualize Ca^{2+} signals at the synthetic ribbon-type AZs in HEK293 cells. Following tetracycline-induction for Ca$_V$1.3 expression, HEK293 cells were co-transfected with constructs expressing RIBEYE-GFP, untagged palm-Bassoon and RBP2-p2A-mKATE2, (Figure 7A). We recorded mKATE2 positive cells that expressed peripheral GFP puncta, indicative of RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon co-expression. We employed peripheral GFP expression as a proxy of *SyRibbons* for functional analysis (Figure 7B). Ruptured patch-clamp recordings were performed with 10 mM [Ca^{2+}]$_e$ and 10 mM intracellular EGTA to enhance the signal to background ratio for visualizing Ca^{2+} influx using the low-affinity red-shifted Ca^{2+} indicator Calbryte590 (100 µM, k$_d$ = 1.4 µM). After loading the cell for approximately a minute, we first applied step depolarizations of 20 ms from -83 to +62 mV in 5-mV step increments to measure Ca^{2+} current (density)–voltage relations.

We did not observe any changes in either the Ca^{2+} current density (P = 0.293, t-test) or the voltage-

dependence of Ca^{2+} current (P = 0.780, t-test when comparing V_{half}) when comparing cells expressing

$Ca_V1.3$ + RBP2 + *SyRibbons* with cells expressing only $Ca_V1.3$ (Figure 7C and 7D). Next, we applied a

depolarizing pulse to +2 mV for 500 ms and acquired images at a frame rate of 20 Hz (Figure 7E). The

intracellular Ca^{2+} signals mediated by $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} influx were visualized as an increase in Calbryte590

fluorescence. The increase of Calbryte590 fluorescence appeared to spread throughout the HEK293

cell membrane with discrete regions of higher signal intensity at sites underneath the *SyRibbons*

(exemplary cell shown in Figure 7F with zoom-in at site with *SyRibbon* shown in 7G). We note that we

did not find noticeable red fluorescence at *SyRibbons* in the absence of depolarization, which is similar

to findings with Fluo-4FF in IHCs (Frank *et al*, 2009), but different from the situation for the large

spherical presynaptic bodies in bullfrog hair cells that are stained by Fluo-3 (Issa & Hudspeth, 1996).

Line profiles drawn tangentially to the membrane in composite ΔF image of Calbryte590 signal and

RIBEYE-GFP showed a high correlation between the localization of *SyRibbons* and peak intensity of

Calbryte590 fluorescence increase, indicating preferential Ca^{2+} signaling at the *SyRibbons* (two

representative line scans shown in Figure 7H, Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.783 and 0.757).

Recordings were made from 24 cells (7 transfections) and ROIs (diameter = 2 μm) at regions with and

without *SyRibbons* were analyzed as shown in Figure 7I. Calbryte590 $\Delta F_{max}/F_0$ values were calculated

for each ROI which on an average showed higher $\Delta F_{max}/F_0$ values for ROIs with *SyRibbons* (on average

~26% higher) than for ROIs without *SyRibbons* (*P = 0.018, paired t-test, Figure 7J). We note that

occasionally in some cells we observed a higher Calbryte590 signal increase at sites without *SyRibbons*,

and we attribute this to the likely presence of AZ-like clusters composed of RBP2 and palm-Bassoon in

these regions which we could not visualize.

496 **Figure 7 - Ca²⁺ imaging reveals higher Ca²⁺ signal intensity underneath *SyRibbons*.**

497   **A**   Experimental scheme for expression of *SyRibbons*, RBP2 and Ca$_V$1.3 in HEK293 cells for patch
498   clamp in combination with Ca²⁺ imaging using the low affinity Ca²⁺ indicator Calbryte590.

499   **B**   Exemplary cell used for Ca^{2+} imaging. Cells were identified by peripheral RIBEYE-GFP puncta
500   as shown (indicative of RIBEYE and palm-Bassoon co-expression) and mKATE2 signal
501   (indicative of RBP2 expression).

502   **C**   Current density-voltage (IV) relations from whole-cell patch clamp recordings of HEK293 cells
503   expressing $Ca_V1.3$ + RBP2 + *SyRibbons* (n=20, in orange) and only $Ca_V1.3$ (n=10, in black). Lines
504   represent mean current traces, shaded area represents ± SEM. Whole-cell Ca^{2+} current density
505   does not appear to change upon co-expression of RBP2 and *SyRibbons* (P = 0.293, t-test).

506   **D**   The voltage-dependence of Ca^{2+} current influx does not seem to be altered upon co-
507   expression of RBP2 and *SyRibbons* at the whole-cell level.

508   **E**   A depolarizing pulse to +2mV was applied to the cells for 500 ms and the increase in
509   Calbryte590 fluorescence was measured by acquiring images at a frame rate of 20 Hz using a
510   spinning disk confocal microscope.

511   **F**   Representative image of a HEK293 cell expressing *SyRibbons*, RBP2 and $Ca_V1.3$ stimulated as
512   described in (E). RIBEYE-GFP signal has been shown in magenta; the change in Calbryte590
513   fluorescence intensity (ΔF) upon Ca^{2+} binding (the frame at the onset of depolarization to
514   +2mV) has been shown in green. Note the distinct Ca^{2+} signal "hotspots" that co-localize with
515   *SyRibbons*. Scale bar = 10 μm.

516   **G**   Zoom-ins from (F). Frames before, at the onset of, and after stimulation have been shown.
517   Note the localized Ca^{2+} influx at the base of the *SyRibbons*. Scale bar = 1 μm.

518   **H**   Line profiles drawn tangentially to the membrane in composite ΔF image of Calbryte590 signal
519   and RIBEYE-GFP show a high correlation between the localization of *SyRibbons* and peak
520   intensity of Calbryte590 fluorescence increase (P_r = ~0.7). Plots show intensity profiles along
521   line scans for RIBEYE-GFP (magenta) and Calbryte590 (light grey for frames before stimulus,
522   bold green for peak intensity at onset of stimulus, light green lines for decaying intensity
523   during ongoing stimulus and dark grey for frames post-stimulus).

524   **I**   Regions of interest (ROIs) of 2 μm diameter were drawn at sites with (magenta circles) and
525   without (green circles) *SyRibbons* and the corresponding Calbryte590 $\Delta F/F_0$ values were
526   calculated for each ROI.

527   **J**   Plot of average (shaded area represents ± SEM) $\Delta F/F_0$ values from ROIs with and without
528   *SyRibbons* (218 and 139 ROIs respectively from N = 24 cells, data from 7 transfections).

529   **K**   On average, Calbryte590 $\Delta F_{max}/F_0$ was higher for ROIs with *SyRibbons* than ROIs without them
530   in a given cell (*P = 0.018, paired t-test). Dots represent mean of $\Delta F_{max}/F_0$ from ROIs with
531   (magenta) and without (green) *SyRibbons* averaged per cell, error bars represent ± SEM.

Discussion

In this study, we reconstituted and characterized a minimal ribbon-type AZ model system using heterologous expression. Co-expressing $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} channels with membrane targeted Bassoon for RIBEYE anchorage to the plasma membrane and attracting exogenous RBP2 for clustering Ca^{2+} channels in HEK293 cells led to structures recapitulating basic aspects of IHC ribbon synapses. Despite the poor regulation of transgene expression in the synapse-naïve HEK293 cells, the AZ-like structures, in the subset of cells were similar in morphology to the native IHC AZs. This applied to the *synthetic* ribbons as well as to the AZ-like clusters of Bassoon, RBP2 and $Ca_V1.3$ Ca^{2+} channels. However, all three components exhibited variability beyond the substantial natural heterogeneity found among the IHC AZs (Moser *et al*, 2023). Functionally, Bassoon and RBP2 co-expression resulted in larger whole-cell $Ca_V1.3$ currents. While additional expression of RIBEYE does not seem to enhance whole-cell Ca^{2+} currents, we demonstrate a stronger, localized Ca^{2+} influx at *SyRibbons*. As *SyRibbons* partially resemble native hair cell AZs, we expect this easily available and experimentally accessible system to serve as an advanced testbed for functional interactions of AZ proteins and Ca^{2+} channels. Further efforts towards reconstituting synaptic vesicles and their release sites will help to enhance the utility of these synthetic AZs.

Synthetic active zone reconstitution model to study ribbon synapse assembly

By demonstrating that membrane-targeted Bassoon suffices to anchor ribbon-like RIBEYE assemblies, this work adds to the top-down and bottom-up evidence for a key role of Bassoon in attracting RIBEYE to the presynaptic density at the AZ in photoreceptors and hair cells (Dick *et al*, 2003; Khimich *et al*, 2005; Jing *et al*, 2013; tom Dieck *et al*, 2005). Additional expression of multi-domain proteins of the presynaptic density such as CAST (Ohtsuka *et al*, 2002; Inoue *et al*, 2006) might replace the need for artificial palmitoylation of Bassoon for membrane targeting. Furthermore, our results support a model of a bidirectional control of AZ size and shape by RIBEYE and proteins of the presynaptic density. The amount of RIBEYE assembled in membrane localized *SyRibbons* appeared regulated strongly contrasting the seemingly unregulated cytosolic RIBEYE assemblies dominating HEK293 cells in the absence of membrane-targeted Bassoon. Vice versa, clusters of Bassoon at the plasma membrane were larger at *SyRibbons* than in the absence of RIBEYE. Finally, the size of *SyRibbons* seemingly scaled with the size of $Ca_V1.3$ and Bassoon clusters similar to what is observed in IHCs (Ohn *et al*, 2016). Such a model is consistent with results from genetic perturbation of native ribbon synapses: i) disruption of RIBEYE leads to a disintegration of Bassoon into smaller subclusters in IHCs (Jean *et al*, 2018), and ii) disruption of CAST and ELKS reduces the size of the ribbon-type AZs in rod photoreceptors (tom Dieck *et al*, 2012; Hagiwara *et al*, 2018). We speculate that achieving the full extent of large ribbon-type AZs such as in rod photoreceptors as well as regulating the size of ribbon-type AZs at a specific synapse

according to the precise functional demands requires such a functional interplay between proteins of

the presynaptic density and RIBEYE. We note that other ribbon-resident proteins such as piccolino

likely contribute to this fine-tuning of the size and shape of ribbon-type AZs. Indeed, disruption of

piccolino in IHCs reduced the average ribbon size (Müller *et al*, 2019; Michanski *et al*, 2023). One

exciting example of ribbon synapse heterogeneity manifests itself in IHCs where synapses show a

spatial size gradient that likely relates to the diverse molecular and functional properties of the

postsynaptic SGNs (reviewed in Moser *et al*, 2023).

Insights into presynaptic Ca^{2+} channel clustering and function

Current discussion of the clustering of Ca$_V$ Ca^{2+} channels offers two different models: low affinity

protein-protein interactions (e.g. Hibino *et al*, 2002; Kaeser *et al*, 2011) via specific domains and liquid-

liquid phase separation involving intrinsically disordered domains (e.g. Heck *et al*, 2019). Work on

ribbon synapses has considered several layers of organizing Ca$_V$ Ca^{2+} channels at the AZ that seem more

compatible with the former model: i) macro-clustering to which the synaptic ribbon contributes as a

"super-scaffold" (Jean *et al*, 2018; Neef *et al*, 2018; Frank *et al*, 2010; Maxeiner *et al*, 2016), ii) tethering

and micro-clustering by RBPs, RIMs, CAST and ELKS (Liu *et al*, 2011; Grabner *et al*, 2015; Jung *et al*,

2015; Krinner *et al*, 2017; Luo *et al*, 2017; Hagiwara *et al*, 2018) and iii) nanoscale coupling of Ca$_V$ Ca^{2+}

channels and SV release sites (Brandt, 2005; Jarsky *et al*, 2010; Wong *et al*, 2014; Maxeiner *et al*, 2016;

Özçete & Moser, 2021; Grabner & Moser, 2021; Jaime Tobón & Moser, 2023) for which a role of the

ribbon has been more controversial (e.g. Maxeiner *et al*, 2016; Grabner & Moser, 2021). MINFLUX

nanoscopy of rod photoreceptor AZs recently revealed a well-ordered double-line array topography of

Ca$_V$ Ca^{2+} channels, RIM, Bassoon and ubMunc13-2 at the presynaptic membrane on both sides of the

ribbon (Grabner *et al*, 2022). Clearly, we could not fully reconstitute this complex organization with

the minimal set of molecular players at *syRibbons* in HEK293 cells. Yet, we demonstrate augmentation

of Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} currents and clusters by co-expression of RBP and Bassoon and a positive effect of

syRibbons on local Ca^{2+} signaling, recapitulating micro- and macro-clustering of Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+} channels.

However, we note that the functional impact of ribbon-mediated macro-clustering of Ca$_V$1.3 Ca^{2+}

channels remains unclear at the moment. Our Ca^{2+} imaging data seems more reminiscent of previous

work on ribbon-type AZs of immature IHCs (Wong *et al*, 2014) where a broader distribution of Ca$_V$1.3

and ribbons go along with an immature state of the IHC AZs. One clear limitation of the synthetic AZ

system in HEK293 cells is the lack of SVs and the molecular machinery required for SV release.

Nonetheless, our work paves the way for future studies including stable co-expression of AZ proteins

including of the release site marker Munc13-1 (Sakamoto *et al*, 2018; Böhme *et al*, 2016) and potential

delivery of SV machinery via co-expression (Park *et al*, 2021) or from synaptosomes. Alternatively,

using neurosecretory cells such as pheochromocytoma cells (PC12) or adrenal chromaffin cells in

primary culture, offer the advantage of providing synaptic-like microvesicles and a large set of

components of the presynaptic machinery such that expression of exogenous proteins might be limited

to RIBEYE. On the other hand, the complex molecular background involving various types of Ca_V

channels will likely complicate the interpretation. Regardless, *SyRibbons* in cultured cells offer great

availability and as adherent cells, are well accessible to sophisticated analysis by techniques such

MINFLUX nanoscopy and cryo-electron tomography. Future use of polycistronic gene expression or

stable cell lines expressing all components could help make the system become even more widely

applicable.

Materials and Methods

HEK cell culture and transfections

In this study, we used human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells stably expressing a tetracycline-

inducible human $Ca_V1.3$ pore forming α_1-D subunit transgene (CACNA1D, NM_000720.2), and showing

constitutive expression of $Ca_V\beta_3$ (CACNB3, NM_000725.2) and $Ca_V\alpha_2\delta$-1 (CACNA2D1, NM_000722.2).

The stably expressing cell line was acquired from Charles River Laboratories, Cleveland, Ohio, USA (Cat.

No. CT6232). The cells were cultured as per product protocols in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium

(DMEM) containing GlutaMax, high glucose and pyruvate (Gibco, Life Tech., 31966047), supplemented

with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS; Gibco, Life Tech., A5256701) and 100 units/ml of Penicillin-

Streptomycin (Gibco, Life Tech., 15070063). Additionally, the media was supplemented with 0.6 μM of

Isradipine (Sigma Aldrich, I6658) and the following selection antibiotics (in mg/ml): 0.005 Blasticidin

(Invivogen, ant-bl-05), 0.50 Geneticin (G418 Sulfate; Gibco, Life Tech., 10131027), 0.10 Zeocin

(InvivoGen, ant-zn-05) and 0.04 Hygromycin (Thermo Fisher, 10687010). Cells were cultured in a

humidified incubator at 37°C with 5% (v/v) CO_2 saturation. Cells were split at ~70% confluency every

3-4 days to prevent adverse effects on cell growth and channel expression, by dissociating the cell

using Accutase (Sigma-Aldrich, A6964). The passage number did not exceed more than 26 passages.

For experiments, cells were grown in media lacking selection antibiotics. For induction of α_1-D subunit

expression, cells were treated with selection antibiotic-free medium containing 3 μg/ml tetracycline.

For transfection, 6.8 μg polyethylenimine (PEI, 25kDa linear, Polysciences, 23966) was added along

with a total of 2 μg of DNA to a final volume of 100 μl of DMEM (without FBS). The PEI/DNA mixture

was thoroughly mixed and allowed to incubate for 30 min at room temperature before being added to

the cells (30 μl/well in a 24-well-plate containing 500 μl media, cell confluency ~50-70%). For all

experiments with co-transfections, we used an equimolar ratio of DNA (total amount of DNA was kept

2 μg in a 100 μl transfection mix). After 18-24 hours, transfection media was replaced with fresh media

devoid of selection antibiotics. Cells were used for experiments (immunocytochemistry, patch clamp

and Ca^{2+} imaging) 24-48 hours after changing the media or as specified. If cell confluency was too high,

cells were reseeded to an appropriate confluency and allowed to settle for at least 12 hours before

commencement of experiments.

Expression vectors for RIBEYE, Bassoon, Ca$_V$1.3 and RBP2

Table EV1: List of plasmids

Plasmid Name	Backbone	Promoter	Insert	Antibiotic Resistance	Regulatory Elements	Reference
RIBEYE-GFP	pAAV-GFP	CMV-HBA	human RIBEYE-GFP	Ampicillin	WPRE	
Bassoon	pCS2+	CMV	Rat Bassoon	Kanamycin	SV40 poly(A)	
Palm-Bassoon-GFP	pEGFP-C1	CMV enhancer	Palm-rat Bassoon (95-3938)-EGFP	Kanamycin	SV40 poly(A)	
Palm-Bassoon-STOP	pEGFP-C1	CMV enhancer	Palm-rat Bassoon (95-3938)-STOP	Kanamycin	SV40 poly(A)	
EGFP-Ca$_V$1.3	pHTN	CMV enhancer-promoter	EGFP-human *CACNA1D*	Ampicillin	SV40 poly(A)	(Schwenzer *et al*, 2024)
Halo-Ca$_V$1.3	pHTN	CMV enhancer-promoter	HaloTag-human *CACNA1D*	Ampicillin	SV40 poly(A)	
RBP2-p2A-mKATE2	f(syn)w	CMV enhancer	mKATE2-p2A-RBP2	Ampicillin	WPRE, SV40 poly(A)	
RBP2	pAAV	CMV enhancer-HBA	RBP2	Ampicillin	WPRE, SV40 poly(A)	

The RIBEYE-GFP construct used consisted of a human RIBEYE cDNA cloned inframe into a pAAV-GFP

vector driven by a hybrid CMV-enhancer-human-beta-actin promoter (CMV-HBA) and containing a

downstream Woodchuck Hepatitis Virus (WHV) Posttranscriptional Regulatory Element (WPRE) for

mRNA stabilization. All Bassoon constructs encode rat Bassoon. The untagged full-length constructs

were cloned in the pCS2+ vector. For the generation of palm-Bassoon constructs, we used a pEGFP-C1

vector backbone with a CMV promoter and kanamycin resistance cassette. The insert comprised of a

palmitoylation   consensus   sequence   of   GAP43

(ATGCTGTGCTGTATGAGAAGAACCAAACAGGTTGAAAAGAATGATGAGGACCAAAAGATTTCCGGACTCAGA

TCTCGAG), followed by a cDNA sequence encoding amino acids 95-3938 of rat Bassoon, and a C-

terminal monomeric GFP. For the untagged version, the GFP tag was replaced by a STOP codon. To

generate the Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 plasmid (Schwenzer *et al*, 2024), human Ca$_V$1.3 cDNA (accession number

NM_001128840.2) was de-novo synthesized and assembled into a HaloTag vector (Promega G7721)

using restriction cloning, thus encoding an N-terminal fusion of Ca$_V$1.3 to HaloTag linked by a 'GGS'

sequence. The analogous GFP-Ca$_V$1.3 plasmid was generated by exchanging the HaloTag sequence for

mEGFP using restriction cloning. The RBP2 construct with an mKATE2 tag comprised of an insert coding

for mouse RBP2 (accession ID NP_001074857.1) with a p2A cleavage site followed by a C-terminal

mKATE2 cloned inframe into a f(syn)w-mKATE2-p2A vector driven by a CMV promoter-enhancer and

containing downstream WPRE sequence. The untagged version was made by cloning the RBP2 cDNA

from this plasmid and replacing RIBEYE-GFP in the pAAV-CMV-HBA-RIBEYE-GFP-WPRE plasmid by in-

fusion cloning (Takara Bio USA, Inc.).

Immunocytochemistry and Imaging

HEK293 cells plated on poly-L-lysine coated coverslips were fixed with 99% chilled methanol at -20°C

for 2 minutes as previously described (Picher *et al*, 2017b). The coverslips were washed thoroughly

three times with PBS at room temperature (5 – 10 min). Blocking and permeabilization was performed

with GSDB (goat serum dilution buffer: 16% normal goat serum, 450 mM NaCl, 0.3% Triton X-100,

20mM phosphate buffer, pH ~7.4) for 45-60 minutes at room temperature. Samples were incubated

with respective primary antibodies (diluted in GSDB, refer to Table EV2) overnight at 4°C or for 2 hours

at RT. The samples were then washed three times (5–10 minutes each) with wash buffer (450 mM

NaCl, 0.3% Triton X-100, 20mM phosphate buffer, pH ~ 7.4). Incubation with appropriate secondary

antibodies (also diluted in GSDB refer to Table EV2) was performed for 1 hour at room temperature in

a light-protected wet chamber. Lastly, the coverslips were washed three times with wash buffer (5-10

minutes each) and one final time with PBS, before mounting onto glass slides with a drop of

fluorescence mounting medium (Mowiol 4-88, Carl Roth). For live cell imaging of cells transfected with

Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 (Figure 4B, C), cells plated on glass-bottomed dishes were treated with Janelia Fluor 646

HaloTag Ligand (Promega, Cat. No. GA1120) at a final labelling concentration of 200nM (in cell culture

media). Cells were incubated with the ligand for ~60 min at 37°C with 5% (v/v) CO$_2$ saturation, after

which the media was replaced with an equal volume of fresh warm culture media.

Images from fixed and live samples were acquired in confocal/ STED mode using an Olympus IX83

inverted microscope combined with an Abberior Instruments Expert Line STED microscope (Abberior

Instruments GmbH). We used lasers at 488, 561, and 633 nm for excitation and a 775 nm (1.2W) laser

for stimulated emission depletion. 1.4 NA 100X or 20X oil immersion objectives were used for fixed

samples, and a water immersion 60X objective was used for live samples. Confocal stacks or single

sections were acquired using *Imspector* Software (pixel size = 80 X 80 nm along xy, 200 nm along z).

For 2D-STED images, a pixel size of 15 X 15 nm (in xy) was used. Images in figure EV2A were acquired

using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Germany). All acquired images were

visualized using NIH ImageJ software and adjusted for brightness and contrast. Samples and their

corresponding controls were processed in parallel using identical staining protocols, laser excitation

powers and microscope settings.

Table EV2: List of antibodies

Antibody	Host specie	Company	Dilution	Identifier
Anti-GFP	Chicken	Abcam	1:200	Ab13970
Anti-CtBP2	Mouse (monoclonal IgG1)	BD Biosciences	1:200	612044
Anti-RIBEYE-A	Guinea pig	Synaptic Systems	1:200	192104
Anti-RIBEYE-A	rabbit	Synaptic Systems	1:200	192103
Anti-RIBEYE-B	rabbit	Synaptic Systems	1:200	192003
Anti-Bassoon	chicken	Synaptic Systems	1:200	141016
Anti-Bassoon	mouse	Abcam	1:200	Ab82958
Anti-Na, K-ATPase α_1	mouse	Abcam	1:200	Ab7671
Anti-Calnexin	rabbit	Abcam	1:200	Ab22595
Anti-GM130	mouse	BD Biosciences	1:200	610822
Anti-LAMP1 (CD107A)	mouse	eBioscience	1:200	14-1079-80
Anti-Halo	mouse	Promega	1:200	G9211
Anti-RBP2	rabbit	Synaptic Systems	1:200	316103
Anti-Ca$_V$1.3	rabbit	Alomone	1:100	ACC005
Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-chicken	Goat IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen	1:200	A11039
Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-guinea pig	Goat IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen	1:200	A11073
Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-rabbit	Goat IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen	1:200	A11008
Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-mouse	Goat IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen	1:200	A11001
Alexa Fluor 405 conjugated anti-rabbit	Goat IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen	1:200	A31556

Alexa Fluor 546 conjugated anti-mouse	Goat IgG (H+L)	MoBiTec	1:200	A11003
Alexa Fluor 568 conjugated anti-chicken	Goat IgG (H+L)	Abcam	1:200	Ab175711
Alexa Fluor 568 conjugated anti-guinea pig	Goat IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen	1:200	A11075
Alexa Fluor 568 conjugated anti-rabbit	Goat IgG (H+L)	Thermo Fisher	1:200	A11011
Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated anti-mouse	Goat IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen	1:200	A21236
Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated anti-rabbit	Goat IgG (H+L)	Invitrogen	1:200	A21244
STAR Red conjugated anti-chicken	Goat IgY	Abberior	1:200	2-0102-011-2
STAR 635p conjugated anti-mouse	Goat IgG (H+L)	Abberior	1:200	ST635P-1001-500UG
STAR 635p conjugated anti-rabbit	Goat IgG (H+L)	Abberior	1:200	2-0012-007-2
STAR 580 conjugated anti-mouse	Goat IgG (H+L)	Abberior	1:200	ST580-1001-500UG

Patch clamp and calcium imaging

$Ca_V1.3$ stably expressing HEK293 cells were plated on coverslips till about 40-50% confluency was
achieved, following which they were induced for $Ca_V1.3\alpha1$-D expression by incubating them for 24-48
hours in induction media supplemented with tetracycline. For transfected cells, transfection was
performed after 24hrs of induction in the induction media as explained above. Cells were recorded in
extracellular solution containing (in mM): 150 choline-Cl, 10 HEPES, 1 $MgCl_2$ and 10 $CaCl_2$; pH was
adjusted to 7.3 with CsOH and osmolality was 300-310 mOsm/kg. For electrophysiological recordings
in Figure 5 and Figure S4, pipette solution contained (in mM): 140 NMDG, 10 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 5 EGTA,
3 Mg-ATP and 1 $MgCl_2$. pH was adjusted to 7.3 with methanesulfonic acid and osmolality was around

290 mOsm/kg. For Ca^{2+} imaging in Figure 7, the pipette solution contained (in mM): 111 Cs-glutamate,

1 $MgCl_2$, 1 $CaCl_2$, 10 EGTA, 13 TEA-Cl, 20 HEPES, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP, 1 L-glutathione, 0.1 Calbryte590

(AAT Bioquest, Cat. No. 20706); pH was adjusted to 7.3, osmolality to 290 mOsm/kg. Resistance of

patch pipettes was 3–7 MΩ. HEK293 cells were rupture patch clamped with EPC-10 amplifier (HEKA

electronics, Germany) controlled by *Patchmaster* software at room temperature, as described

previously (Picher *et al*, 2017b, 2017a). Cells were kept at a holding potential of -91.2 or -88 mV. All

voltages were corrected for liquid junction potential offline (21.2 mV or 18 mV). Currents were leak

corrected using a p/10 protocol. Recordings were discarded when leak current exceeded -50 pA, R_s

exceeded 15 MΩ or offset potential fluctuated more than 5 mV. Current voltage (IV) relations were

recorded around 1 minute after rupturing the cell, by applying increasing step-depolarisation pulses of

voltage ranging from -86.2 mV to 58.8 mV, in steps of 5 mV. Depolarisation pulses of 500 ms were used

for measure $Ca_V1.3$ inactivation in Figure EV4A.

For Ca^{2+} imaging experiments, we used a Yokogawa CSU-X1A spinning-disk confocal scanner, mounted

on an upright microscope (Zeiss Examiner) with a 63X, 1.0 NA objective (W Plan-Apochromat, Zeiss).

Images were acquired using an Andor Zyla sCMOS 4.2 camera, controlled by *VisiView* 5.0 software

(Visitron Systems GmbH). GFP, mKATE2 and the low-affinity Ca^{2+} indicator Calbryte590 were excited

by a VS Laser Merge System (Visitron Systems) with 488 and 561 nm laser lines. Spinning disk was set

to 5000 rpm. mKATE2 positive cells showing peripheral GFP expression (indicative of RIBEYE and palm-

Bassoon co-expression) were identified and used for recordings. The cell was loaded for approximately

a minute with Calbryte590 dye, after which we applied step depolarizations of 20 ms from -83 to +62

mV in 5-mV step increments to measure Ca^{2+} current (density)–voltage relations. Next, a central plane

of the cell was selected and the GFP fluorescence (green channel) of the cell showing *SyRibbons* was

imaged. This was immediately followed by imaging of the increments of Calbryte590 fluorescence (red

channel) in the same plane triggered by a depolarizing pulse to +2 mV for 500 ms (frame rate = 20 Hz).

For Ca^{2+} imaging experiments, we also included occasionally occurring HEK293 cells which were

electrically coupled with other neighbouring HEK293 cells. For such cells, we could not fully

compensate for the slow capacitive currents and we did not use them for electrophysiological analysis.

Data analysis

Analysis of confocal images

All images were processed using NIH ImageJ software to make z-projections and multi-channel

composites, and figures were created using Adobe Illustrator. For analysis of membrane distribution,

a region of interest (ROI) of 1 µm thickness was drawn along the periphery of the cell which was

identified either using Na, K ATPase α_1 immunofluorescence or by enhancing the contrast in images

of transfected cells so that the cell boundary becomes distinguishable. Mean pixel intensity was

measured along this ROI and for the area enclosed within the ROI. The ratio of the mean pixel

intensity (periphery: inside) per cell was reported as an average from at least three central planes;

we did not use the basal and top plane. For volumetric fits of *SyRibbons,* IHC ribbons and Ca^{2+} channel

clusters, we used the inbuilt surface detection algorithm from Imaris 9.6 (Oxford Instruments). The

surface detail was set to 0.16 µm and largest sphere diameters for background subtraction were set

as follows: for RIBEYE/CtBP2 0.3 µm, for Bassoon 0.1 µm and for $Ca_V1.3$ 0.08 µm. Thresholding was

performed based on the quality of immunofluorescence to ensure all discernible surfaces were

detected. All surfaces with less than 10 voxels were filtered out. A manual check was done to include

undetected surfaces, remove surfaces not localizing within cell of interest, and split surfaces that

would occasionally be clubbed together. For analysis of *SyRibbons*, only RIBEYE surfaces colocalizing

with palm-Bassoon surfaces were used for quantifications (at 0.06 µm or less). Occasionally we

would detect surfaces with volumes larger than 2 μm^3 (usually cytosolic) or smaller than 0.02 μm^3,

which were not considered for analysis. For $Ca_V1.3$ cluster volumetric analysis, clusters classified as

+SyRibbons were at 0.045 µm or less from palm-Bassoon positive RIBEYE surfaces. All other clusters

were classified as *–SyRibbons*. Only clusters localized at the periphery of the cell were used for

analysis.

Analysis of patch clamp and Ca^{2+} imaging data

Analysis of electrophysiology data and figure preparation was performed using Igor Pro 6 and 7

(WaveMetrics Inc.) and final figures were compiled using Adobe Illustrator. For analyzing current

density-voltage relations (IVs), the evoked Ca^{2+} current was averaged from 5 to 10 ms after

depolarization start. Cells expressing current amplitudes less than -49 pA and with current density

greater than -60 pA/pF were not taken into account for analysis.

Ca^{2+} imaging data analysis was performed using a customized script in ImageJ. Briefly, we performed

background subtraction in time series with Calbryte590 signal and then averaged 5 frames before

stimulation and subtracted these from an average of three frames during stimulation to obtain ΔF

images. For visualization, the images were smoothened (3 X 3 unweighted smoothening) and a

composite was created by overlaying the ΔF images and the RIBEYE-GFP channel. For analyzing $\Delta F/F_0$,

we drew circular regions of interest (ROIs) of 2µm diameter at sites with and without *SyRibbons* in the

background subtracted time series. The $\Delta F_{max}/F_0$ was calculated as the of average $\Delta F/F_0$ in first three

frames of peak Ca^{2+} signal intensity at stimulation onset in each ROI. To minimize bias while assigning

regions as with or without *SyRibbons*, ROIs were assigned in the RIBEYE-GFP channel, without looking

at the corresponding Calbryte590 signal.

Statistics

Data sorting and statistics were performed using MS Excel, *Igor Pro 7* and/or *GraphPad Prism 10*. Numerical data is represented mainly as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The normality of data was assessed using Jarque-Bera test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and the equality of variances was checked using *F*-test. When comparing two samples, a two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test (or paired *t*-test for Figure 7J) was performed for normally distributed samples with equal variances. If the conditions for normality and equality of variances were not met, an unpaired Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test was performed. When comparing multiple samples, a one-way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's test was used for normally distributed data with equal variances. For samples which were not normally distributed, we used Kruskal-Wallis test with *post hoc* Dunn's multi-comparison correction. All box plots are depicted with crosses representing mean values, central bands indicating median, whiskers for 90/10 percentiles, boxes for 75/25 percentiles and individual data points overlaid. Non-significant differences have been indicated as n.s., while significant *P* values have been depicted as **P* for < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, and *****P* < 0.0001.

Acknowledgments:

We would like to thank Dr. Kathrin Kusch for advice on cloning, Dr. Jakob Neef for critical feedback on the manuscript, and Nare Karagulyan for valuable discussions regarding Ca^{2+} imaging. We are grateful to Sandra Gerke, Christiane Senger-Freitag, Sina Langer and Ina Preuss for expert technical assistance and Patricia Räke-Kügler for the administrative support during this study. We would also like to thank Prof. Erwin Neher and Prof. Silvio Rizzoli for their feedback throughout the course of this study. Lastly, we would like to thank lab rotation students Gantavya Arora and Ugur Coskun for their initial contributions to the project.

Funding:

RK was supported by funding from the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes. This work was further supported by funding of the European Union (ERC, "DynaHear", grant agreement No. 101054467), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) via the EXC 2067/1 (MBExC) to SEL and TM, and Fondation Pour l'Audition (FPA RD-2020-10). Open access funding provided by Max Planck Society.

Author Contributions: TM and RK designed the study. RK performed the experiments and the analysis under supervision of TM. TD, NS and SEL prepared and characterized expression plasmids for membrane targeted Bassoon (TD) and EGFP/Halo-tagged $Ca_V1.3\alpha1$ (NS, SEL). RK and TM prepared the manuscript with contribution of all authors.

Disclosure and Competing Interests Statement: The authors declare that no competing

interests exist.

References

Ann Marie Craig, Ethan R. Graf, & Michael W. Linhoff (2006) How to build a central synapse: clues
from cell culture. *Trends Neurosci* Volume 29: 8–20

Becker L, Schnee ME, Niwa M, Sun W, Maxeiner S, Talaei S, Kachar B, Rutherford MA & Ricci AJ
(2018) The presynaptic ribbon maintains vesicle populations at the hair cell afferent fiber
synapse. *eLife* 7: e30241

Böhme MA, Beis C, Reddy-Alla S, Reynolds E, Mampell MM, Grasskamp AT, Lützkendorf J, Bergeron
DD, Driller JH, Babikir H, *et al* (2016) Active zone scaffolds differentially accumulate Unc13
isoforms to tune Ca^{2+} channel–vesicle coupling. *Nat Neurosci* 19: 1311–1320

Brandt A (2005) Few CaV1.3 Channels Regulate the Exocytosis of a Synaptic Vesicle at the Hair Cell
Ribbon Synapse. *J Neurosci* 25: 11577–11585

Brandt A, Striessnig J & Moser T (2003) Ca $_V$ 1.3 Channels Are Essential for Development and
Presynaptic Activity of Cochlear Inner Hair Cells. *J Neurosci* 23: 10832–10840

Bunt AH (1971) Enzymatic digestion of synaptic ribbons in amphibian retinal photoreceptors. *Brain*
Res 25: 571–577

Davydova D, Marini C, King C, Klueva J, Bischof F, Romorini S, Montenegro-Venegas C, Heine M,
Schneider R, Schröder MS, *et al* (2014) Bassoon specifically controls presynaptic P/Q-type
Ca(2+) channels via RIM-binding protein. *Neuron* 82: 181–194

Dick O, tom Dieck S, Altrock WD, Ammermüller J, Weiler R, Garner CC, Gundelfinger ED &
Brandstätter JH (2003) The Presynaptic Active Zone Protein Bassoon Is Essential for
Photoreceptor Ribbon Synapse Formation in the Retina. *Neuron* 37: 775–786

tom Dieck S, Altrock WD, Kessels MM, Qualmann B, Regus H, Brauner D, Fejtová A, Bracko O,
Gundelfinger ED & Brandstätter JH (2005) Molecular dissection of the photoreceptor ribbon
synapse: physical interaction of Bassoon and RIBEYE is essential for the assembly of the
ribbon complex. *J Cell Biol* 168: 825–836

tom Dieck S, Sanmartí-Vila L, Langnaese K, Richter K, Kindler S, Soyke A, Wex H, Smalla KH, Kämpf U,
Fränzer JT, *et al* (1998) Bassoon, a novel zinc-finger CAG/glutamine-repeat protein selectively
localized at the active zone of presynaptic nerve terminals. *J Cell Biol* 142: 499–509

tom Dieck S, Specht D, Strenzke N, Hida Y, Krishnamoorthy V, Schmidt K-F, Inoue E, Ishizaki H,
Tanaka-Okamoto M, Miyoshi J, *et al* (2012) Deletion of the presynaptic scaffold CAST reduces
active zone size in rod photoreceptors and impairs visual processing. *J Neurosci* 32: 12192–
12203

Dou H, Vazquez AE, Namkung Y, Chu H, Cardell EL, Nie L, Parson S, Shin H-S & Yamoah EN (2004) Null
mutation of alpha1D Ca^{2+} channel gene results in deafness but no vestibular defect in mice. *J*
Assoc Res Otolaryngol JARO 5: 215–226

Edmonds BW (2004) Evidence that fast exocytosis can be predominantly mediated by vesicles not
docked at active zones in frog saccular hair cells. *J Physiol* 560: 439–450

Frank T, Khimich D, Neef A & Moser T (2009) Mechanisms contributing to synaptic Ca2+ signals and
their heterogeneity in hair cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 106: 4483–4488

Frank T, Rutherford MA, Strenzke N, Neef A, Pangršič T, Khimich D, Fejtova A, Gundelfinger ED,
Liberman MC, Harke B, *et al* (2010) Bassoon and the synaptic ribbon organize Ca^{2+} channels
and vesicles to add release sites and promote refilling. *Neuron* 68: 724–738

Glowatzki E & Fuchs PA (2002) Transmitter release at the hair cell ribbon synapse. *Nat Neurosci* 5:
147–154

Grabner CP, Gandini MA, Rehak R, Le Y, Zamponi GW & Schmitz F (2015) RIM1/2-Mediated
Facilitation of Cav1.4 Channel Opening Is Required for Ca2+-Stimulated Release in Mouse
Rod Photoreceptors. *J Neurosci* 35: 13133–13147

Grabner CP, Jansen I, Neef J, Weihs T, Schmidt R, Riedel D, Wurm CA & Moser T (2022) Resolving the
molecular architecture of the photoreceptor active zone with 3D-MINFLUX. *Sci Adv* 8:
eabl7560

Grabner CP & Moser T (2021) The mammalian rod synaptic ribbon is essential for Cav channel
facilitation and ultrafast synaptic vesicle fusion. *eLife* 10: e63844

Graydon CW, Cho S, Li G-L, Kachar B & von Gersdorff H (2011) Sharp Ca2+ Nanodomains beneath the
Ribbon Promote Highly Synchronous Multivesicular Release at Hair Cell Synapses. *J Neurosci*
31: 16637–16650

Hagiwara A, Kitahara Y, Grabner CP, Vogl C, Abe M, Kitta R, Ohta K, Nakamura K, Sakimura K, Moser
T, *et al* (2018) Cytomatrix proteins CAST and ELKS regulate retinal photoreceptor
development and maintenance. *J Cell Biol* 217: 3993–4006

Heck J, Parutto P, Ciuraszkiewicz A, Bikbaev A, Freund R, Mitlöhner J, Andres-Alonso M, Fejtova A,
Holcman D & Heine M (2019) Transient Confinement of CaV2.1 Ca2+-Channel Splice Variants
Shapes Synaptic Short-Term Plasticity. *Neuron* 103: 66-79.e12

Heidelberger R, Heinemann C, Neher E & Matthews G (1994) Calcium dependence of the rate of
exocytosis in a synaptic terminal. *Nature* 371: 513–515

Hibino H, Pironkova R, Onwumere O, Vologodskaia M, Hudspeth AJ & Lesage F (2002) RIM - binding
proteins (RBPs) couple Rab3 - interacting molecules (RIMs) to voltage - gated Ca2+ channels.
Neuron 34: 411–423

Holt M, Cooke A, Neef A & Lagnado L (2004) High Mobility of Vesicles Supports Continuous
Exocytosis at a Ribbon Synapse. *Curr Biol* 14: 173–183

Inoue E, Deguchi-Tawarada M, Takao-Rikitsu E, Inoue M, Kitajima I, Ohtsuka T & Takai Y (2006) ELKS,
a protein structurally related to the active zone protein CAST, is involved in Ca2+-dependent
exocytosis from PC12 cells. *Genes Cells* 11: 659–672

Jaime Tobón LM & Moser T (2023) Ca2+ regulation of glutamate release from inner hair cells of
hearing mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 120: e2311539120

Jarsky T, Tian M & Singer JH (2010) Nanodomain control of exocytosis is responsible for the signaling
capability of a retinal ribbon synapse. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 30: 11885–11895

Jean P, Morena DL de la, Michanski S, Tobón LMJ, Chakrabarti R, Picher MM, Neef J, Jung S, Gültas M,
Maxeiner S, *et al* (2018) The synaptic ribbon is critical for sound encoding at high rates and
with temporal precision. *eLife* 7: e29275

Jing Z, Rutherford MA, Takago H, Frank T, Fejtova A, Khimich D, Moser T & Strenzke N (2013)
Disruption of the presynaptic cytomatrix protein bassoon degrades ribbon anchorage,
multiquantal release, and sound encoding at the hair cell afferent synapse. *J Neurosci* 33:
4456–4467

Jung S, Oshima-Takago T, Chakrabarti R, Wong AB, Jing Z, Yamanbaeva G, Picher MM, Wojcik SM,
Göttfert F, Predoehl F, *et al* (2015) Rab3-interacting molecules 2α and 2β promote the
abundance of voltage-gated CaV1.3 Ca2+ channels at hair cell active zones. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci 112: E3141–E3149

Kaeser PS, Deng L, Wang Y, Dulubova I, Liu X, Rizo J & Südhof TC (2011) RIM proteins tether Ca2+
channels to presynaptic active zones via a direct PDZ-domain interaction. *Cell* 144: 282–295

Khimich D, Nouvian R, Pujol R, tom Dieck S, Egner A, Gundelfinger ED & Moser T (2005) Hair cell
synaptic ribbons are essential for synchronous auditory signalling. *Nature* 434: 889–894

Krinner S, Butola T, Jung S, Wichmann C & Moser T (2017) RIM-Binding Protein 2 Promotes a Large
Number of CaV1.3 Ca2+-Channels and Contributes to Fast Synaptic Vesicle Replenishment at
Hair Cell Active Zones. *Front Cell Neurosci* 11: 334

Krinner S, Predoehl F, Burfeind D, Vogl C & Moser T (2021) RIM-Binding Proteins Are Required for
Normal Sound-Encoding at Afferent Inner Hair Cell Synapses. *Front Mol Neurosci* 14: 651935

Liu KSY, Siebert M, Mertel S, Knoche E, Wegener S, Wichmann C, Matkovic T, Muhammad K, Depner
H, Mettke C, *et al* (2011) RIM-binding protein, a central part of the active zone, is essential
for neurotransmitter release. *Science* 334: 1565–1569

Luo F, Liu X, Südhof TC & Acuna C (2017) Efficient stimulus-secretion coupling at ribbon synapses
requires RIM-binding protein tethering of L-type Ca $^{2+}$ channels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 114:
E8081–E8090

Magupalli VG, Schwarz K, Alpadi K, Natarajan S, Seigel GM & Schmitz F (2008) Multiple RIBEYE-RIBEYE
interactions create a dynamic scaffold for the formation of synaptic ribbons. *J Neurosci Off J*
Soc Neurosci 28: 7954–7967

Matthews G & Fuchs P (2010) The diverse roles of ribbon synapses in sensory neurotransmission. *Nat*
Rev Neurosci 11: 812–822

Maxeiner S, Luo F, Tan A, Schmitz F & Südhof TC (2016) How to make a synaptic ribbon: RIBEYE
deletion abolishes ribbons in retinal synapses and disrupts neurotransmitter release. *EMBO J*
35: 1098–1114

Mehta B, Snellman J, Chen S, Li W & Zenisek D (2013) Synaptic Ribbons Influence the Size and
Frequency of Miniature-like Evoked Postsynaptic Currents. *Neuron* 77: 516–527

Michanski S, Kapoor R, Steyer AM, Möbius W, Früholz I, Ackermann F, Gültas M, Garner CC, Hamra
FK, Neef J, *et al* (2023) Piccolino is required for ribbon architecture at cochlear inner hair cell
synapses and for hearing. *EMBO Rep* 24: e56702

Michanski S, Smaluch K, Steyer AM, Chakrabarti R, Setz C, Oestreicher D, Fischer C, Möbius W, Moser
T, Vogl C, *et al* (2019) Mapping developmental maturation of inner hair cell ribbon synapses
in the apical mouse cochlea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 116: 6415–6424

Moser T, Grabner CP & Schmitz F (2019) Sensory processing at ribbon synapses in the retina and the
cochlea. *Physiol Rev* 100: 103–144

Moser T, Karagulyan N, Neef J & Jaime Tobón LM (2023) Diversity matters — extending sound
intensity coding by inner hair cells via heterogeneous synapses. *EMBO J* n/a: e114587

Müller TM, Gierke K, Joachimsthaler A, Sticht H, Izsvák Z, Hamra FK, Fejtová A, Ackermann F, Garner
CC, Kremers J, *et al* (2019) A multiple Piccolino-RIBEYE interaction supports plate-shaped
synaptic ribbons in retinal neurons. *J Neurosci* 39: 2038–18

Naoum P. Issa & A. J. Hudspeth (1996) Characterization of fluo-3 labelling of dense bodies at the hair
cell's presynaptic active zone. *J Neurocytol* 25: 257–266

Neef J, Urban NT, Ohn T-L, Frank T, Jean P, Hell SW, Willig KI & Moser T (2018) Quantitative optical
nanophysiology of Ca^{2+} signaling at inner hair cell active zones. *Nat Commun* 9: 290

Ohn T-L, Rutherford MA, Jing Z, Jung S, Duque-Afonso CJ, Hoch G, Picher MM, Scharinger A, Strenzke
N & Moser T (2016) Hair cells use active zones with different voltage dependence of Ca^{2+}
influx to decompose sounds into complementary neural codes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 113:
E4716–E4725

Ohtsuka T, Takao-Rikitsu E, Inoue E, Inoue M, Takeuchi M, Matsubara K, Deguchi-Tawarada M, Satoh
K, Morimoto K, Nakanishi H, *et al* (2002) Cast: a novel protein of the cytomatrix at the active
zone of synapses that forms a ternary complex with RIM1 and munc13-1. *J Cell Biol* 158: 577–
590

Özçete ÖD & Moser T (2021) A sensory cell diversifies its output by varying Ca^{2+} influx-release
coupling among active zones. *EMBO J* 40: e106010

Park D, Wu Y, Lee S-E, Kim G, Jeong S, Milovanovic D, Camilli PD & Chang S (2021) Cooperative
function of synaptophysin and synapsin in the generation of synaptic vesicle-like clusters in
non-neuronal cells. *Nat Commun* 12

Picher MM, Gehrt A, Meese S, Ivanovic A, Predoehl F, Jung S, Schrauwen I, Dragonetti AG, Colombo
R, Camp GV, *et al* (2017a) Ca2+-binding protein 2 inhibits Ca2+-channel inactivation in mouse
inner hair cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 114: E1717–E1726

Picher MM, Oprişoreanu A-M, Jung S, Michel K, Schoch S & Moser T (2017b) Rab Interacting
Molecules 2 and 3 Directly Interact with the Pore-Forming CaV1.3 Ca2+ Channel Subunit and
Promote Its Membrane Expression. *Front Cell Neurosci* 11

Platzer J, Engel J, Schrott-Fischer A, Stephan K, Bova S, Chen H, Zheng H & Striessnig J (2000)
Congenital deafness and sinoatrial node dysfunction in mice lacking class D L-type Ca^{2+}
channels. *Cell* 102: 89–97

Sakamoto H, Ariyoshi T, Kimpara N, Sugao K, Taiko I, Takikawa K, Asanuma D, Namiki S & Hirose K
(2018) Synaptic weight set by Munc13-1 supramolecular assemblies. *Nat Neurosci* 21: 41–49

Schmitz F, Königstorfer A & Südhof TC (2000) RIBEYE, a component of synaptic ribbons: a protein's
journey through evolution provides insight into synaptic ribbon function. *Neuron* 28: 857–
872

Schwenzer N, Tsukanov R, Kohl T, Basak S, Seibertz F, Voigt N, Enderlein J & Lehnart SE (2024)
Nanoscale architecture and dynamics of CaV1.3 channel clusters in cardiac myocytes
revealed by single channel nanoscopy. *bioRxiv*

Singer JH, Lassová L, Vardi N & Diamond JS (2004) Coordinated multivesicular release at a
mammalian ribbon synapse. *Nat Neurosci* 7: 826–833

Snellman J, Mehta B, Babai N, Bartoletti TM, Akmentin W, Francis A, Matthews G, Thoreson W &
Zenisek D (2011) Acute destruction of the synaptic ribbon reveals a role for the ribbon in
vesicle priming. *Nat Neurosci* 14: 1135–1141

Vaithianathan T, Henry D, Akmentin W & Matthews G (2016) Nanoscale dynamics of synaptic vesicle
trafficking and fusion at the presynaptic active zone. *eLife* 5: e13245

Wong AB, Rutherford MA, Gabrielaitis M, Pangršič T, Göttfert F, Frank T, Michanski S, Hell S, Wolf F,
Wichmann C, *et al* (2014) Developmental refinement of hair cell synapses tightens the
coupling of Ca2+ influx to exocytosis. *EMBO J* 33: 247–264

A + Palm-Bassoon + RBP2 + Halo-Ca_V1.3 (fixed)

Cell Overview | Merge | Bassoon | RBP2 | Halo-Ca_V1.3
Single Section

B + Palm-Bassoon-GFP + RBP2-p2A-mKATE2 + Halo-Ca_V1.3 (live cells)

Merge | GFP(Bassoon) | mKATE2 (RBP2) | JF646 (Halo-Ca_V1.3)
Single Section

C Merge | Bassoon Confocal | Halo-Ca_V1.3 STED | Merge | Bassoon Confocal | Halo-Ca_V1.3 STED

A Plating → at 40-50% confluency → Transfection + RIBEYE-GFP + Palm-Bassoon + RBP2 + Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 1:1:1:1 → 18-24 hours → Media Changed → 24-36 hours → Fixation and Immunostainings → HEK293 cells +Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 +RBP2 +SyRibbons

B | Merge | RIBEYE | Bassoon | Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 |

Single Section
RIBEYE
Bassoon
Halo-Ca$_V$1.3

Single Section
RIBEYE
Bassoon
Halo-Ca$_V$1.3

C Confocal Zoom-In
P_r(RIBEYE,Ca$_V$1.3) = 0.839

RIBEYE Bassoon Halo-Ca$_V$1.3

I/I_{max}
Distance (µm)

Confocal Zoom-In
P_r(RIBEYE,Ca$_V$1.3) = 0.886

I/I_{max}
Distance (µm)

D | Merge | RIBEYE Confocal488 | Bassoon STED580 | Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 STED635 |

E
% Spots
Cumulative pdf
Volume (µm³)

F
Volume (µm³)
n.s.
****
**
****

☐ Ca$_V$1.3 spots, HEK293+Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 (n$_{spots}$=1281, N=16 cells)
☐ Ca$_V$1.3 spots, HEK293+Tetra-Transfection (n$_{spots}$=1988, N=13 cells)
☐ Ca$_V$1.3 spots (+SyRibbons), HEK293+Tetra-Transfection (n$_{spots}$=634, N=13 cells)
☐ Ca$_V$1.3 spots (-SyRibbons), HEK293+Tetra-Transfection (n$_{spots}$=1354, N=13 cells)

+ RIBEYE-GFP

A Merge — Calnexin — RIBEYE/CtBP2

B Merge — GM130 — RIBEYE/CtBP2

C Merge — LAMP1 — RIBEYE/CtBP2

A + Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 + palm-bassoon

Merge | Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 | Bassoon

B + Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 + RIBEYE-GFP

Merge | Halo-Ca$_V$1.3 | RIBEYE