Malaria-derived hemozoin alters chromatin remodelling and skews dendritic cell responses

to subsequent bacterial infections

Gintare Lasaviciute[1*], Kanwal Tariq[1*], Anaswara Sugathan[1], Jaclyn Quin[2], Ioana Bujila[1], Oleksii

Skorokhod[3], Marita Troye-Blomberg[1], Eva Sverremark-Ekström[1] and Ann-Kristin Östlund Farrants[1#]

[1]Department of Molecular Biosciences, The Wenner-Gren Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm,

Sweden

[2]Central European Institute of Technology, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

[3]Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, University of Torino, Torino, Italy

*These two authors contributed equally to this work

[#]Professor Ann-Kristin Östlund Farrants

Department of Molecular Biosciences, The Wenner-Gren Institute, Stockholm University

SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

Phone: + 46 (0)8 164 097

Email: anki.ostlund@su.se

Abstract

Hemozoin (HZ), the malaria pigment, is released together with the parasite during the blood stage of the disease, which is connected with the pro-inflammatory response to induce T-cells and B-cells. Co-infections with bacteria lead to a more severe disease progression and the underlying mechanisms are poorly understood. Here, we investigated the impact of HZ on the early response of monocyte-derived dendritic cells (moDC) to a common bacterial component, LPS. A short-term HZ exposure for two hours did not induce an inflammatory response, but it did alter the transcriptional response to LPS. In moDC co-exposed to HZ and LPS, the induction of HLR-DR and PD-L1 gene expression was reduced and associated with decreased binding of RELA compared to LPS-stimulated cells. These gene promoters recruited the silencing chromatin remodelling complex NuRD upon co-exposure, instead of the PBAF complex at the promoter in LPS-stimulated cells. Further, HZ maintained transcription of C-type lectin receptors associated to an immature DC phenotype, DC-SIGN (CD209) and macrophage mannose receptor (MMR/CD206). Here, activated RELA and IRF3 were recruited in a PBAF and NCBAF dependent manner. Upon LPS co-exposure, NuRD was replacing these complexes to allow for a reduced transcriptional level of these immature markers. The association of chromatin remodelling complexes did not alter the chromatin state at the promoters, which was changed during differentiation to DCs or even at an earlier point. In conclusion, HZ exposure primes specific gene promoters at an early time point, which results in a different transcriptional response and may also lead to a changed immune reaction to bacterial co-infections.

Keywords: malaria, hemozoin, dendritic cells, chromatin remodelling, SWI/SNF complex

Introduction

The human immune response to malaria is multifaceted and complex since the malaria parasite, Plasmodium, has many life stages and infect several organs in man. During the blood stage, the burst of infected erythrocyte releases the parasite together with erythrocyte malaria specific content that elicit a strong innate pro-inflammatory response, which leads to activation of T-cells and to the clearance of the parasite (reviewed in Miner, 2018). Co-infections with viruses or bacteria usually accelerate both the malaria and the infection, in particular in children and naïve infected individuals. Several lines of evidence show that co-infection with bacteria increases bacteraemia, suggested to be the result of a Plasmodium suppressed innate response (Harding et al., 2020; Pham et al., 2021).

The contribution of different Plasmodium and host molecules to the inflammatory response have been thoroughly studied, in particular hemozoin (HZ) (reviewed in Aldridge and Vogel, 2014; Olivier et al., 2014; Pham et al., 2021), a crystalline pigment from Plasmodium digested haem. HZ is quickly phagocytosed by multinucleated cells after release and is responsible for long term suppression of innate cells *in vivo* by reducing the generation of ROS (Parroche et al., 2007; Harding et al., 2020; Pham et al., 2021). As of today, the response of monocytes and macrophages to HZ is contradictory with both pro-inflammatory responses (Guha et al. 2021; Velagapudi et al., 2019) as well as no response (Couper et al., 2010) reported. For dendritic cells (DC), exposure to infected red blood cells (iRBC) or HZ impairs their function at several stages; the differentiation of monocytes to DC (moDC) *in vitro* (Skrokhod et al., 2004; Diou et al., 2010), moDC maturation upon exposure to stimuli (Urban et al. 1999; Skorokhod et al., 2004; Elliot et al., 2007; Diou et al., 2010; Giusti et al, 2011; Bujila et al., 2014), and impaired T-cell activation (Urban et al., 1999; Millington et al., 2007; Elliot et al., 2007; Guisti et al., 2011; Bujila et al., 2014). Blood-derived DC stimulated with iRBC do not elicit a proinflammatory response, except for production of the chemokines CXCL9, CXCL10 and CCL2 (Götz et al. 2017), and require extracellular ROS in addition to the parasite erythrocyte lysate to give a pro-inflammatory response (Götz et al. 2017; Ty et al. 2019; Götz et al., 2019). This suggests that the higher level of

oxidative stress may be responsible for the early acute inflammatory response and lower the

thresholds for other stimuli.

The cellular response to microbial co-exposure may rely on different pathways. Macrophages and DC

exposed to iRBC and HZ increase intracellular ROS production coupled to the activation of the NLPR3

inflammasome and secretion of IL-1β (Griffith et al., 2009; Shio et al., 2009; Barrera et al. 2011;

Velagapudi et al. 2019), which could influence the response to other stimuli. HZ released from

erythrocytes contains parasite and host molecules, including plasmodium DNA, lipids, protein and

membranes, not only the crystal (Olivier et al. 2014, Pham et al. 2021). The differential impact of HZ

may be caused by the Plasmodium molecules, and both DNA, membrane fractions and host fibrinogen

on the crystal trigger a response in innate cells (Barrera et al. 2011). A receptor for HZ is not identified,

but when phagocytosed, HZ has been shown to activate NFκB signalling in a MYD88 independent way

(Griffith et al. 2009; Velagapudi et al., 2019; Barrera et al., 2011). Pre-incubation of DCs with HZ also

affects subsequent responses to LPS, with an impaired maturation (Skorokhad et al., 2004; Gusti et al.,

2011). These effects may be a result of priming of innate cells to establish a trained or tolerance states

respectively, by altering the histone modification pattern, in particular changing the level of active

histone H3K4me3 at promotors (Schrum et al., 2018). The establishment of a trained and tolerance

state requires several steps and occurs late in the inflammatory process (Netea et al., 2020). However,

iRBC and HZ have been shown to induce early responses in the acute phase, in particular altering the

transcription of genes in innate immune cells within a few hours (Götz et al., 2017). Whether these

changes lead to an altered response to subsequent infections or co-infections, is not known.

Here, we show that exposure of crude HZ early in the acute response modulates the LPS-triggered

response on a transcriptional level. Pre-exposure to HZ prevents the upregulation of HLR-DR and PD-

L1 gene expression, in contrast to inflammatory genes, where HZ cannot influence the subsequent LPS-

induced activation. Furthermore, HZ tends to keep moDC in an immature state (Soilleuxet al., 2002)

with maintained transcription of DC-SIGN and MMR genes. Many immune genes are regulated by NFκB

and IRFs in an SWI/SNF dependent manner with specific constellations operating on different gene

promoters (Loo et al., 2020; Baxter et al., 2023). We show that the HLR-DR and PD-L1 associated with

the BAF180-PBAF variant upon LPS stimulation, and this complex was replaced by the silencing NuRD

complex in co-exposed cells. NuRD has been reported to antagonise the SWI/SNF on immune genes

(Ramirez-Carrozzi et al., 2006). Despite the association of chromatin remodelling factors, the

regulation of these genes is not dependent on chromatin alterations. The genes in the DC response

either established an open configuration during differentiation from monocytes to moDC, or was

already pre-set in a very open state at an even earlier stage.

We propose that HZ primes specific gene promoters to respond differently to subsequent or

simultaneous stimulation by bacteria through the recruitment of SWI/SNF complexes and NuRD, with

a capacity to transiently alter a following T-cell response. At the same time transcription is actively

maintained on immaturity genes important for homeostasis.

Material and Methods

Human subjects

Peripheral blood cells were retrieved from anonymous, healthy blood donors who cannot be traced back; thus, the project does not require approval from the Swedish ethical review authority.

Natural Hz preparation

Natural HZ was prepared as previously described[1–3]. Briefly, 12 h after schizogony of synchronized FCR3 parasite cultures, the supernatant was collected. A 10%/40% interphase of a 6% mannitol-containing Percoll gradient was used to collect natural HZ, which was then extensively washed with 10 mM phosphate buffer followed by washing with PBS. HZ was opsonized with equal volume of human AB serum for 30 min at 37°C and then it was quantified according to its haem content. HZ preparation with 4 nmol/μl haem content was used in the study. Prior to use, HZ was resuspended by passage through a 0.4 mm needle fitted to a 1 ml syringe.

Cell culture and stimulations

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from buffy coats using Ficoll-Hypaque (Cytiva) gradient centrifugation. Monocytes were enriched from PBMC by negative selection using EasySep[TM] human monocyte kit (STEMCELL Technologies) according to the manufacturer´s instructions. Monocytes were resuspended at $1x10^6$ cells/ml and were seeded in 96-well plates (with 200 μl/well) or 6-well plates (with 2 ml/well) using RPMI-1640 culture medium which was supplemented with 20 mM HEPES, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (all from Cytiva), 5% human AB serum (Sigma-Aldrich), 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, 2% sodium pyruvate (both from Gibco), 35 ng/ml IL-4 and 50 ng/ml GM-CSF (both from PeproTech). On day 3, half of the medium volume was replaced with the fresh medium containing IL-4 and GM-CSF. On day 5, cells were pre-exposed to HZ (5 μl for 96-well plates and 50 μl for 6-well plates) and the plates were centrifuged at 700 r.p.m. for 20 s in order to initiate the contact with Hz. After 2 h, 50 ng/ml of LPS from *Escherichia*

coli O26:B6 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to appropriate wells for additional 2 h or 24 h. Cells assigned to

HZ treatment alone were exposed to HZ at the same time as was added LPS. Cells kept in complete

culture medium served as the control. Supernatants were collected and stored at -20°C until further

analysis, while cells were collected either for western blot, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP),

mRNA expression analysis, ATAC-qPCR or flow cytometry. Throughout the experiment, cells were

incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2.

Immunoblotting

MoDC were harvested in 4xLameli buffer, separated on a 12% PAGE and transferred to a PVDF

(Miilipore) membrane. The membrane was blocked in 5% milk and incubated with primary antibody:

anti-Phospho-IRF3 (Ser 396) (D601M, Cell Signaling), anti-IRF3 (D6I4C, Cell Signaling), anti-Lamin B1

(ab65986, Abcam), anti-Caspase-1 (ab207802, Abcam), anti-beta Tubulin (ab6046, Abcam).

Immunoblots were developed using ECL Western Blot Substrate (BioRad). The quantification of protein

signals was performed by ImageLab™ (ChemiDoc™ Imaging system, BioRad) software by comparing

the signals from each target proteins to control proteins.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

ChIP assays were performed as described previously[4]. Briefly, chromatin from different treatment

groups was cross-linked using 1% formaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich). Chromatin from $1x10^6$ cells was

incubated with IgG (ab171870), H3 (ab1791), H3K27Ac (ab4729), H3K27me3 (ab6002), H3K4me3

(ab8580), RelA (NFκB p65, ab7970), IRF3 (ab76409) (all from Abcam), or BRG1 antibody (generated as

previously described[5]). Protein A/G magnetic beads (ThermoScientific™) were used to precipitate. The

target DNA was amplified by qPCR using KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master mix (Kappa Biosystems Inc. The

results are presented as percentage of input, with the IgG control subtracted from the Ct values

obtained for samples. Primers are listed in the Table S1.

RNA extraction and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invivogen), and reverse transcription of RNA was performed using SuperScript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturers´ instructions. qPCR was performed using KAPA SYBR FAST qPCR Master mix. mRNA expression levels were calculated using $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method and PP1A was used for normalization. Primer pair list can be found in the Table S1.

ATAC-qPCR

Cells were collected and tagged with Tn5 transposase (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was purified using MinElute PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and it was stored at -20°C until further analysis by qPCR. Tagmentation-specific forward primer and gene-specific reverse primer was used for amplification. IL-2 was used for normalization. Primer pair list can be found in the Table S1.

Flow cytometry

Following cell collection on day 5 or day 6, mo-DC were stained with alternating combination of antibodies: CD11c APC (clone 3.9), MMR PerCP-Cy5.5 (clone 15-2), TLR4 PE (clone HTA125), CLEC12A FITC (clone 50C1), CD83 PeCy-7 (clone HB15e), HLA-DR PerCP (clone L243), PD-L1 PE (clone 29E.2A3) (all from BioLegend), CD14 PeCy7 (clone MφP9), DC SIGN BV421 (clone DCN46) and CD86 FITC (clone FUN-1) (all from BD Biosciences). A fixable viability stain 780 (BD Biosciences) was used to exclude non-viable cells. Samples were acquired using FACSVerse flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and FlowJo Software (TreeStar) was used to analyse the data.

Cytometric bead array (CBA)

Soluble levels of IL-12p70, TNF-α, IL-10, IL-6 and IL-1β cytokines were measured in the cell culture supernatant after 2 h of co-exposure using CBA human Inflammatory cytokine kit (BD Biosciences),

while chemokines CXCL8/IL-8, CCL5/RANTES, CXCL9/MIG, CCL2/MCP-1 and CXCL10/IP-10 were

quantified using CBA human chemokine kit (BD Biosciences), according to the instructions from the

manufacturer. Samples were acquired using FACSVerse flow cytometer and FlowJo Software was used

to analyse the data.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Soluble levels of TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β, IL-23 and IL-10 (MabTech AB), as well as IL-18, IL-18BP and IL-RA

(R&D systems, Bio-Techne), were measured in the cell culture supernatant after 2 h and/or 24 h of co-

exposure according to the instructions from the manufacturer. The results were analysed using

SoftMax Pro 5.2 rev C (Molecular Devices Corp.).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism 10 (GraphPad Prism Inc.). Non-parametric

Friedman ANOVA test or non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA test, followed by Dunn´s multiple was

comparison was applied to determine the differences between multiple treatment conditions. Non-

parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test or non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used

to determine the differences between two treatment conditions. Statistical differences were

considered significant if p-values were <0.05.

Results

HZ prevents LPS-induced upregulation of HLA-DR and PD-L1 gene expression

To address the question whether HZ has an impact on the early moDC phenotype and functional response to an unrelated microbial compound such as the bacterial-derived LPS, we pre-incubated cells with HZ for 2 h to allow its phagocytosis before adding LPS as depicted in Figure 1A. To mimic the state of HZ shortly after rupture, we used a crude preparation in which the HZ crystal contained cellular component. HZ was phagocytosed by the cells already after 2 h, as shown by the increased granulation of cells observed by flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure S1A). The exposure to HZ for 2 h did not affect the surface expression of HLA-DR and it did not hamper LPS-induced expression of this marker after 24 h (Figure 1B). As expected, the expression of the maturation marker CD83 was significantly upregulated by LPS after 2 h and 24 h, while HZ exposure slightly delayed this expression at the later time point during co-exposure (Figure 1B). To further assess if moDC remain immature upon HZ addition, we investigated the surface expression of the C-type lectin receptors DC-SIGN (CD209) and MMR (CD206), which are associated with an immature phenotype. The surface expression of both receptors in HZ exposed cells was high and comparable to the unstimulated cells, while LPS caused a downregulation of these receptors after 24h, which was not prevented by HZ pre-exposure (Figure 1C). Further, HZ did not block the LPS-mediated upregulation of co-stimulatory receptor CD86 following 24 h (Figure 1D). The surface expression of PD-L1, which is a negative co-stimulatory receptor important for T-cell inhibition, was significantly upregulated by LPS after 24 h, while HZ co-exposure slightly delayed this expression (Figure 1D).

To focus on the early moDC response, we further studied the mRNA levels of aforementioned markers together with two chemokine receptors CCR7 and CCR5, which are associated with a mature and immature moDC phenotype, respectively (Sallusto et al., 1998). Interestingly, the LPS-triggered increase in HLA-DR transcripts was markedly prevented when the cells had been pre-exposed to HZ (Figure 1E). DC SIGN and MMR mRNA levels were reduced by LPS compared to the cells only exposed to HZ, and co-exposure to HZ partly abolished this drop in transcription for MMR (Figure 1F). The mRNA

levels of PD-L1 displayed a similar pattern to HLR-DR; pre-treatment with HZ strongly reduced the expression of LPS-induced PD-L1 (Figure 1G). CCR7 gene expression was induced by LPS and further increased when the cells were co-exposed to HZ (Figure 1H). The expression of CD83 (Figure 1E), CD86 (Figure 1F), and CCR5 (Supplementary Figure S1B), did not differ among samples. Taken together, our results suggest that HZ interferes with the stimulation with bacterial antigen during the early induction of gene expression of genes important in antigen presentation and activation of T-cells.

HZ does not influence the secretion of LPS-induced inflammatory and anti-inflammatory factors early during the response

We next investigated whether HZ had an impact on the LPS-induced inflammatory and anti-inflammatory protein production. As expected, LPS induced TNFα and IL-6 release already after 2 h, while IL-23 secretion was induced by LPS after 24 h, regardless if HZ was present or not (Figure 2A-B and Supplementary Figure S2A-B). Further HZ did not interfere with the LPS-induced secretion of CXCL8, CXCL9 or CXCL10 chemokines (Figure 2C). CCL2 was not induced above background, while CCL5 was slightly upregulated in co-exposed cells (Figure 2C). At the transcriptional level co-exposure resulted in enhanced CXCL9 expression, while CXCL10 resembled a similar pattern to that of HLA-DR and PD-L1; the increase was only detected in LPS exposed cells but not in HZ co-exposed cells (Figure 2D). For the other chemokines, mRNA expression varied substantially at 2 h (Figure 2D).

Regarding the anti-inflammatory response, HZ exposure did not interfere with the LPS-induced IL-10 production, neither at the protein nor transcriptional levels 2 h post exposure (Figure 2E). Further, HZ did not have clear effects on the expression of the immunosuppressive markers SOCS2 and IDO1 (Supplementary Figure S2C). We also investigated the scavenger protein Haem oxidase (HO-1) as it might be induced upon haem release. HZ exposure did not trigger the scavenger protein haem oxidase HO-1 mRNA expression, regardless of LPS presence (Supplementary Figure S2C).

HZ accounts for the release of inflammasome-derived IL-1β during co-exposure with LPS

Next, we measured the inflammasome-dependent cytokine IL-1β, which was detectable only in the

LPS and HZ co-exposed cells after 24 h (Figure 2F), preceded by enhanced levels of transcription at 2

h, seen for both LPS stimulation and co-exposed cells (Figure 2G). As the response to HZ has previously

been associated with the activation of NLRP3-inflammasome (Shio et al. 2009; Pack et al. 2021), we

examined the transcriptional induction of other inflammasome components, such as NLRP3, pro-

caspase-I, pro-caspase 4 and pro-caspase-8. The expression of NLRP3 was clearly upregulated by HZ

and LPS co-exposure and by LPS stimulation alone, while the caspases exhibited a varied response to

the treatments (Supplementary Figure S2D). We could not detect any cleavage of pro-caspase I as early

as 2 h after stimulation, showing that 2 h is not sufficient for the two-step reactions during IL-1β release

(Supplementary Figure S2E). During inflammation, the production of IL-1β is balanced by the IL-1

receptor antagonist (IL-1RA) (Gabay et al., 2010). IL-1RA release was lower in LPS exposed and co-

exposed cells after 2 h (Figure 2H), similar to IL-1RA mRNA expression at 2 h (Figure 2I). Still, regardless

of HZ-exposure LPS-stimulation resulted in an increase of IL-1RA secretion after 24 h (Figure 2H). Our

results indicate that HZ is responsible for the IL-1β inflammasome response during co-stimulation with

LPS, which is accompanied by a higher IL-1RA release.

HZ does not interfere with the LPS activation by TLR4

To investigate the mechanism behind the dampening effect of HZ on the transcriptional response of

HLR-DR and PD-L1 but not on inflammatory genes, we assessed whether HZ interfered with the

activation by LPS. The percentage of TLR4 expressing cells significantly decreased after 2 h - both in

cells stimulated with LPS and exposed to LPS and HZ (Figure 3A), showing that HZ did not affect

receptor internalisation. The receptor level was restored at 24 hours, even significantly upregulated in

co-exposed cells (Figure 3A). The receptor for HZ is still not known, but CLEC12A, the receptor for uric

acid (Neumann et al. 2014) has been suggested to be the receptor for phagocytosis of HZ (Raulf et al.

2019). The surface level of CLEC12A did not change in any treatments (Supplementary Figure S3A).

HZ phagocytosis changes the transcription factor recruitment to promoters

TLR4 ligation activates several transcription factors including RELA and IRF3. To investigate the differentiated gene response to HZ in co-exposed cells, we examined the binding of these factors to the promoters of HLA-DR and PD-L1 genes. These promoters bound significant levels of RELA in cells stimulated with only LPS, while HZ and LPS co-exposed cells displayed markedly lower levels of binding (Figure 3B, upper panel). IRF3 was also significantly recruited at the promoter of HLA-DR gene in LPS stimulated cells, but the levels bound was low in all samples (Figure 3B, lower panel). In contrast, HZ did not hamper the binding of RELA at the promoters of inflammatory genes, as it was significantly recruited to the promoters of the primary response gene TNFα and the secondary response genes IL-6 and IL-1β genes in LPS stimulated and HZ and LPS co-exposed cells (Figure 3C, upper panels). A similar trend for RELA was also seen for IL-23 and CCL5 genes (Supplementary Figure S3B). IRF3 did only bind at low levels to the promoters of the inflammatory genes (Figure 3C, lower panels), even to the IRF3 target CCL5 after 2 hours (Supplementary Figure S3B).

We observed that DC-SIGN and MMR were differentially regulated by HZ and LPS with a slight decrease in LPS stimulated cells (Figure 1C, 1F). Published RNA seq data from DCs after 3 h show that DC-SIGN is one of the genes induced by iRBC, whereas the transcript level in LPS-exposed cells remained at the unstimulated level (Götz et al., 2017). Accordingly, we further examined the transcription factor recruitment to DC-SIGN and MMR. Both RELA and IRF3 were bound to the promoters of DC-SIGN and MMR in HZ stimulated cells (Figure 3D), a binding that was counteracted after LPS was added to the cultures (Figure 3D). The RELA and IRF3 recruitment on the CD83 promoter resembled that of HLR-DR and PD-L1 with RELA occupancy in LPS stimulated cells, and low levels of IRF3 (Figure 3E). This indicates that HZ not only impairs the recruitment of activating factor at certain genes, but also activates other groups of gene to maintain the level of transcription without hampering the regulation to other stimuli. Since IRF3 bound to the promoters of DC-SIGN and MMR in HZ exposed cells after 2 h, we examined the activation of IRF3 in the different treatments. IRF3 was activated, as shown with phosphorylated

IRF3, in cells exposed to HZ alone and cells co-exposed with LPS and HZ (Figure 3F), showing that HZ

exposure had an immune modulatory effect by activating both RELA and IRF3.

Exposure to HZ does not alter chromatin states

The differential binding of RELA and IRF3 to gene promoters in exposed cells prompted us to

investigate the chromatin states at the different gene promoters. No significant changes were detected

in the chromatin accessibility analysed by ATAC-qPCR at the gene promoters or gene enhancers by any

exposure. Nevertheless, a trend to more accessible chromatin state at the promoter of HLR-DR and

PD-L1, was observed in HZ stimulated cells (Figure 4A) whereas no such pattern was observed in the

other groups of gene, despite the large transcriptional induction of inflammatory genes

(Supplementary Figures S4A and S4B). Many of these genes are also expressed in monocytes and may

therefore already have the chromatin accessibility state established. To test this, the accessibility of

the response genes and moDC marker genes were examined in monocytes and in moDC. The monocyte

marker CD14 was accessible in monocytes and closed in moDC (Supplementary Figure S4C), whereas

DC-SIGN, HLR DR, PD-L1 and TNFα exhibited a higher accessibility in moDC compared to the more

closed configuration in monocytes (Figure 4B). The promoters of IL-6 and IL-1β, as well as MMR had

similar accessibility in monocytes and moDC (Figure 4B). Chromatin changes are also formed by histone

modifications and histone H3K27Ac as well as H3K4me3 have been associated with an active chromatin

state coupled to the development of a trained or tolerised immune phenotype (Netea et al.). HLA DR

and PD-L1 exhibited a trend of higher H3K27Ac at the promoter in cells stimulated by LPS alone (Figure

4C, upper panel), whereas no change was observed H3K4me3 (Figure 4C, lower panel). No changes in

the histone modifications were observed in other groups of genes, except for a higher H3K27Ac level

at the IL-6 promoter compared to unstimulated cells (Supplementary Figures S4D and S4E). We

conclude that the genes important for a DC response already had a hyper-accessibility, established in

differentiation steps prior to the activation of the genes upon stimulation.

Exposure to HZ changes the recruitment of the SWI/SNF complex

Next, we examined the association of SWI/SNF complexes, required for transcriptional activation of many immune genes. The ATPase BRG1/SMARCA4 was recruited to the promoter of HLR DR and PD-L1 in LPS stimulated cells, while co-exposure with HZ and of LPS had reduced levels of BRG1 associated with the promoters, which is in agreement with the reduced levels of transcript (Figure 4D, upper panel). Co-exposure of HZ and LPs led instead to recruitment of CHD4, but not the methyltransferase EZH2 in PCR2, to the promoters (Figure 4D, lower panel and Supplementary S4F). The promoters of the inflammatory genes, TNFα, IL-6, IL-1β, and CCL5, displayed higher BRG1 occupancy in cells stimulated with LPS and most genes retained this high level in cells co-exposed with HZ and LPS (Figure 4E, upper panel and Supplementary Figure S4G, upper panel). CHD4 was only associated with the TNFα promoter in LPS stimulated cells, indicating that repression of the transcription had started on early primary response genes (Figure 4E, lower panel and Supplementary Figures S4G, lower). The IL-23 promoter and IL-10 did not display any changes in the association with BRG1 or CHD4 (Supplementary Figure S4H), suggesting that other factors are operating on these gene promoters. In contrast to the other groups of genes, BRG1 levels associated to the promoters of DC-SIGN and MMR were higher in cells exposed to HZ alone and CHD4 was not detected (Figures 4F). However, co-exposure of HZ and LPS had CHD4 associated with the promoters, suggesting that NuRD antagonises the BRG1 association induced by the pre-treatment for 2 h of HZ. The CD83 gene promoter did not show an enrichment of BRG1 or CHD4 in any exposure, indicating that this gene is not dependent on SWI/SNF complexes and NuRD activities (Figure 4F).

SWI/SNF variants operating on the genes decide transcription factor preference

Different SWI/SNF complex constellations are recruited to specific genes by ncRNAs. To further investigate the specificity of the binding of factors to the different types of genes, we determined the expression of ncRNA regulating the binding of BRG1-SWI/SNF to immune genes. Two LPS-regulated lncRNAs, IL-7-as and FIRRE, affect the association of BRG1 to immune response genes in opposite ways;

IL-7-as enhances, and FIRRE reduces the association (Lu et al., 2018; Liu et al. 2019). Both RNA levels

were significantly induced by LPS stimulation and co-exposure to LPS and HZ for 2 h compared to HZ

exposed and unstimulated cells (Figure 5A), suggesting that the expression of these RNAs does not

explain the differential recruitment of factors to the promoters. Instead, we examined whether the

promoters associated with different SWI/SNF constellations; and used BRD9 as a marker for the non-

canonical SWI/SNF (ncBAF complex), BAF180/PBRM1 for PBAF and BAF250/ARID1 for the common

conventual BAF (cBAF) complex. BAF180 was recruited to the HLA DR and PD-L1 promoters upon LPS

stimulation for 2 h (Figure 5B and Supplementary Figure S5A), something that HZ exposure prevented

for the PD-L1 promoter. This demonstrates that these genes worked with the PBAF constellation,

which associated simultaneously with CHD4 in co-exposed cells; indicating a dynamic balance between

the PBAF and NuRD complexes as also previously reported (Ramirez-Carrozzi et al., 2006; Pundhir et

al., 2023). The inflammatory genes TNFα, IL-6 and IL-1β promoters showed a preference for BRD9,

which associated upon LPS stimulation and co-exposure (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure S5A). IL-

1β, which had low levels of BRG1 associated in co-exposure cells, did not have any of the specific

subunits at the promoter. ARID1 was only found associated with CCL5 in cells stimulated with LPS and

co-exposed cells (Supplementary Figure S5B), which indicates that the cBAF complex is not involved in

the early acute response. IL-23 and IL-10 did not display significant association of any of the subunits,

in agreement with the lack of BRG1 binding (Supplementary Figures S5B and S5C). HZ-exposure to DC-

SIGN and MMR led to both BRD9 and BAF180 associating at their promoters (Figure 5D), both subunits

were lost in the co-stimulated cells together with BRG1. In conclusion, the specificity in the

transcriptional response to HZ is achieved by the recruitment of specific SWI/SNF complexes, with

different features, to the various promoter.

Discussion

Malaria co-infection with bacteria accelerate both the malaria progression and the bacterial infection (Church and Maitland, 2014; Gomez-Perez et al., 2014). The cellular response to malaria antigens and its response during co-infections are multifactorial and contradictory results have been reported (Harding et al. 2017). Here we show that although HZ had only subtle direct effects on gene expression, it modulated the response to bacterial stimuli. HZ did not induce the maturation of moDC and the cells did not secrete inflammatory cytokines and chemokines early in the acute phase after exposure. Instead, HZ exposure hampered early transcriptional activation induced by LPS for HLR-DR, PD-L1 and CXCL10 and at the same time maintained the expression of immaturity markers DC-SIGN and MMR, possibly to dampen or skew a subsequent T-cell response.

The specific response of genes depends on their promoter and enhancer architecture as well as on their chromatin state. Immune genes are divided into groups depending on the type of response elements in regulatory regions. HLR-DR, the PD-L1 as well as the CXCL10 are interferon inducible genes (IGS) (Ivashkiv, 2018; Schoggins, 2019; Naigles et al., 2023) with promoters harbouring interferon-sensitive response elements, that are specifically responsive to IFNγ activation of the transcription factor STAT1 and IRFs (Padmanabhan et al., 2022; Sekrecka et al., 2023). The genes are also regulated by TLR signalling, through the NF-κB pathway in response to pathogens and the promoters harbour NFκB binding. Inflammatory and maturation genes are also induced early by NFκB transcription factors RELA and later by IRF3/IRF7 (Ahmed et al., 2015; Adelaja et al., 2021). While LPS stimulation recruited RELA to both IGS- and inflammatory genes, co-exposure with HZ rather reduced the recruitment of RELA to the IGS genes. This may be attributed to stimulus specific responses and interference between NFκB signals (Adelaja et al. 2021; Cheng et al., 2021). scRNA transcription has shown that although genes respond to the same transcription factors, they are activated at different time points and at different amplitudes. This is caused by specific responses to the type of signal triggered by the stimulus, to the signals secreted by neighbouring cells and the chromatin states of individual cells (Shalek et al., 2016; Adelaja et al., 2021; Cheng et al., 2021; Naigles et al., 2023). In contrast to LPS, HZ activated IRF3

after 2 h but the factor was differentially recruited and it bound to the promoters of DC-SIGN and MMR

and not to other IRF3 targets, such as CCL5. Similar to HLR-DR and PD-L1, co-exposure with HZ and LPS

abolished the binding of both RELA and IRF3, further showing that HZ is modulating the early

transcriptional response.

The differential binding of transcription factors to promoters and enhancers on the different types of

genes relies on an accessible chromatin state with active histone modification. It is known that

enrichment of H3K4me3 and H3K27Ac at specific genes in monocytes is induced after 24 h following

exposure of either iRBC or HZ (Schrum et al. 2018). We only detected very small changes in the

accessibility or these histone modification at the promoters of the genes upon activation compared to

unstimulated cells after 2 h. Accessible chromatin and establishment of active histone modification is

often correlated with active transcription, but these can be set at different stages. The differentiation

of monocytes to moDC involves loss of H3K4me3 at the promoter of the monocyte marker CD14 gene

and a gain at the DC-SIGN gene (Bullwinkel et al., 2011). Similarly, the accessibility of gene promoter is

pre-set during differentiation (Mansisidor and Risca, 2022), which includes immune genes genomically

primed at an earlier step in oligodendrolia development (Meijer et al., 2022). We investigated the

accessibility during development and show that the CD14 promoter changed from a more open

configuration in monocytes to a closed one in moDC, and the DC-SIGN showed the opposite pattern

and obtained a more accessible configuration. HLR-DR and PD-L1 or the inflammatory genes, which

are expressed upon maturation either gained an open configuration during the differentiation or

already had an open configuration established in an even earlier step. Our results show that

maturation of DCs does not require further alteration of accessibility at the promoters in the early

acute phase and the transcriptional induction of DC depends on the activation and binding of

transcription factor.

Many immune genes require SWI/SNF complexes for transcriptional activation. This can be

counteracted in different ways – either via the NuRD complex that antagonises SWI/SNF activation

(Ramirez-Caross et al 2006; Pundhir et al., 2023) or via EZH2-PCR2 that can silence the genes (Pundhir et al., 2023). We observed that the promoters of several of the investigated genes, including HLR-DR and PD-L1, associated with BRG1 when activated by LPS, and that BRG1 was replaced by the CHD4 when transcription was reduced following HZ and LPS co-exposure. These complexes are tightly correlated with chromatin changes, something we did not observe in our study. However, studies with perturbed SWI/SNF and NuRD factors show that the transcriptional effect is not always the expected (Yildirim et al. 2011; de Dieuleveult et al. 2016; Bornelöv et al. 2018; Lu et al. 2019; Pundhir et al. 2023). It has been shown that SWI/SNF and NuRD are involved in transcriptional changes by regulating RNA pol II occupancy at the gene promoter and in the gene body of highly expressed genes instead of chromatin remodelling (Pundhir et al 2023). We did not detect EZH2 on HLR-DR and PD-L1 promoters, which are commonly silenced by the EZH2-PCR2 Polycomb complexes in cancer cells (Dersh et al., 2021; Xiao et al., 2019; Morel et al. 2021). EZH2 has also been associated with silencing of SWI/SNF activated bivalent genes expressed at a low level by reducing the chromatin accessibility (Pundhir et al. 2023). In connection with our finding, we propose that many genes in the acute phase are induced by activated RELA and IRF3 and regulated by the SWI/SNF and the NuRD complexes through alteration of RNA pol II kinetics rather than chromatin configuration. HZ exposure pre-sets a different balance of the complexes at the HLR-DR and PD-L1 genes as well as the C-lectin genes which results in a compromised gene expression in response to concomitant LPS stimulation.

The specificity of the response was achieved by recruiting different SW/SNF constellations. These constellations operate on different gene elements and regulate cell type specific expression (Innis and Cobot, 2020; Otto et al., 2023). Our results show that these constellations worked with different gene promoters, and the PBAF was associated with the promoters of HLR-DR and PD-L1 upon LPS stimulation and it was replaced by the NuRD complex in co-exposed cells which reduced transcription. PBAF association to promoters often lead to repression, such as repression of genes in T-regs and IFNγ response genes (Miao et al, 2018; Pan et al., 2018; Ahmed et al., 2022; Loo et al. 2020. In contrast to the PBAF operating on HLR-DR and PD-L10, we found that a number of the inflammatory genes

associated with the BRD9-ncBAF upon LPS stimulation, and this complex was not antagonised by NuRD

upon co-exposure with HZ. We propose that the gene architecture determines what type of SWI/SNF

complex that associate with the promoter and sets the regulatory way. PBAF is associated with the

NuRD antagonistic activity which is responsible for the more repressive activity of the PBAF complex.

IL-1β was only secreted from co-exposed cells after 24 h of stimulation, which suggest that neither HZ

or LPS alone could activate the inflammasome. Isolated blood DCs from healthy malaria-naïve

individuals exposed to iRBC do not induce a pro-inflammatory response, but require the co-exposure

of external ROS for a pro-inflammatory response in DCs and macrophages, including IL-1β (Götz et al.

2017). Activation of the inflammasome requires the transcriptional activation of the IL-1β gene and

other inflammasome genes, like NLPR3 and caspases, as well as the activation and assembly of the

inflammasome which has been attributed to HZ (Matikainen et al. 2020). Although the mechanism

behind this is not fully elucidated, HZ is known to activate the STAT pathway by activating LYN/SYK

kinases (Shio et al., 2009) or to produce ROS (Shio et al., 2009; Velagapudi et al., 2019). The release of

IL-1β after 24 h co-exposure with HZ and LPS may have implications in malaria co-infections with

bacteria and contribute to an excessive inflammatory reaction. Equally important is the modulation of

the response, in which HZ-exposure of DCs maintains the cells in a partially immature state, which

might lead to a skewed T-cell activation and fine-tune a homeostatic response to avoid random

maturation. The modulation imposed of certain promoters by short-term HZ exposure primes the cells

for subsequent or simultaneous bacterial infections and these effects may lead to a more severe

disease during co-infections

Author Contributions

AÖF, ESE, MTB designed the study. GL, KT, AS, JQ, AO, IB performed lab work. GL, KT, AS, JQ, IB, ESE and AÖF analysed and/or finalized the data. GL performed statistical analyses. AÖF, GL and ESE wrote the paper.

Funding

This research was funded by The Swedish Cancer Society (19 0453 Pj and 22 2310 Pj to AÖF and 20 1117 Pj and 23 2985 Pj to ESE), The Swedish Research Council (2020-01839 and 2023-02616 to ESE), and Stockholm University.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

Adelaja A, Taylor B, Sheu KM, Liu Y, Luecke S, Hoffmann A. Six distinct NFκB signaling codons convey discrete information to distinguish stimuli and enable appropriate macrophage responses. Immunity. 2021 May 11;54(5):916-930.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.04.011.

Aldridge JR, Vogel IA. Macrophage biology and their activation by protozoan-derived glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors and hemozoin. J Parasitol. 2014 Dec;100(6):737-42. doi: 10.1645/14-646.1

Ahmed AU, Williams BR, Hannigan GE. Transcriptional Activation of Inflammatory Genes: Mechanistic Insight into Selectivity and Diversity. Biomolecules. 2015 Nov 11;5(4):3087-111. doi: 10.3390/biom5043087

Ahmed NS, Gatchalian J, Ho J, Burns MJ, Hah N, Wei Z, Downes M, Evans RM, Hargreaves DC. BRD9 regulates interferon-stimulated genes during macrophage activation via cooperation with BET protein BRD4. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022 Jan 4;119(1):e2110812119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2110812119.

Barrera V, Skorokhod OA, Baci D, Gremo G, Arese P, Schwarzer E. Host fibrinogen stably bound to hemozoin rapidly activates monocytes via TLR-4 and CD11b/CD18-integrin: a new paradigm of hemozoin action. Blood. 2011 May 26;117(21):5674-82. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-10-312413.

Baxter AE, Huang H, Giles JR, Chen Z, Wu JE, Drury S, Dalton K, Park SL, Torres L, Simone BW, Klapholz M, Ngiow SF, Freilich E, Manne S, Alcalde V, Ekshyyan V, Berger SL, Shi J, Jordan MS, Wherry EJ. The SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complexes BAF and PBAF differentially regulate epigenetic transitions in exhausted CD8+ T cells. Immunity. 2023 Jun 13;56(6):1320-1340.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2023.05.008

Bornelöv S, Reynolds N, Xenophontos M, Gharbi S, Johnstone E, Floyd R, Ralser M, Signolet J, Loos R, Dietmann S, Bertone P, Hendrich B. The Nucleosome Remodeling and Deacetylation Complex Modulates Chromatin Structure at Sites of Active Transcription to Fine-Tune Gene Expression. Mol Cell. 2018 Jul 5;71(1):56-72.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.06.003.

Bujila I, Schwarzer E, Skorokhod O, Weidner JM, Troye-Blomberg M, Östlund Farrants AK. Malaria-derived hemozoin exerts early modulatory effects on the phenotype and maturation of human dendritic cells. Cell Microbiol. 2016 Mar;18(3):413-23. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12521.

Bullwinkel J, Lüdemann A, Debarry J, Singh PB. Epigenotype switching at the CD14 and CD209 genes during differentiation of human monocytes to dendritic cells. Epigenetics. 2011 Jan;6(1):45-51. doi: 10.4161/epi.6.1.13314.

Church J, Maitland K. Invasive bacterial co-infection in African children with Plasmodium falciparum malaria: a systematic review. BMC Med. 2014 Feb 19;12:31. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-12-31.

Cheng QJ, Ohta S, Sheu KM, Spreafico R, Adelaja A, Taylor B, Hoffmann A. NF-κB dynamics determine the stimulus specificity of epigenomic reprogramming in macrophages. Science. 2021 Jun 18;372(6548):1349-1353. doi: 10.1126/science.abc0269

Couper KN, Barnes T, Hafalla JC, Combes V, Ryffel B, Secher T, Grau GE, Riley EM, de Souza JB. Parasite-derived plasma microparticles contribute significantly to malaria infection-induced

inflammation through potent macrophage stimulation. PLoS Pathog. 2010 Jan 29;6(1):e1000744. doi:
10.1371/journal.ppat.1000744

de Dieuleveult M, Yen K, Hmitou I, Depaux A, Boussouar F, Bou Dargham D, Jounier S,
Humbertclaude H, Ribierre F, Baulard C, Farrell NP, Park B, Keime C, Carrière L, Berlivet S, Gut M, Gut
I, Werner M, Deleuze JF, Olaso R, Aude JC, Chantalat S, Pugh BF, Gérard M. Genome-wide
nucleosome specificity and function of chromatin remodellers in ES cells. Nature. 2016 Feb
4;530(7588):113-6. doi: 10.1038/nature16505.

Dersh D, Phelan JD, Gumina ME, Wang B, Arbuckle JH, Holly J, Kishton RJ, Markowitz TE, Seedhom
MO, Fridlyand N, Wright GW, Huang DW, Ceribelli M, Thomas CJ, Lack JB, Restifo NP, Kristie TM,
Staudt LM, Yewdell JW. Genome-wide Screens Identify Lineage- and Tumor-Specific Genes
Modulating MHC-I- and MHC-II-Restricted Immunosurveillance of Human Lymphomas. Immunity.
2021 Jan 12;54(1):116-131.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.11.002

Diou J, Tardif MR, Barat C, Tremblay MJ. Dendritic cells derived from hemozoin-loaded monocytes
display a partial maturation phenotype that promotes HIV-1 trans-infection of CD4+ T cells and virus
replication. J Immunol. 2010 Mar 15;184(6):2899-907. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0901513.

Elliott SR, Spurck TP, Dodin JM, Maier AG, Voss TS, Yosaatmadja F, et al. Inhibition of dendritic cell
maturation by malaria is dose dependent and does not require Plasmodium falciparum erythrocyte
membrane protein 1. Infect Immun. 2007;75:3621–32.

Gabay C, Lamacchia C, Palmer G. IL-1 pathways in inflammation and human diseases. Nat Rev
Rheumatol. 2010 Apr;6(4):232-41. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2010.4.

Giusti P, Urban BC, Frascaroli G, Albrecht L, Tinti A, Troye-Blomberg M, Varani S. Plasmodium
falciparum-infected erythrocytes and beta-hematin induce partial maturation of human dendritic
cells and increase their migratory ability in response to lymphoid chemokines.Infect Immun. 2011
Jul;79(7):2727-36. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00649-10

Gómez-Pérez GP, van Bruggen R, Grobusch MP, Dobaño C. Plasmodium falciparum malaria and
invasive bacterial co-infection in young African children: the dysfunctional spleen hypothesis. Malar J.
2014 Aug 26;13:335. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-13-335.

Götz A, Tang MS, Ty MC, Arama C, Ongoiba A, Doumtabe D, Traore B, Crompton PD, Loke P,
Rodriguez A. Atypical activation of dendritic cells by *Plasmodium falciparum*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2017 Dec 5;114(49):E10568-E10577. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1708383114.

Götz A, Ty MC, Rodriguez A. Oxidative Stress Enhances Dendritic Cell Responses to *Plasmodium*
falciparum. Immunohorizons. 2019 Nov 5;3(11):511-518. doi: 10.4049/immunohorizons.1900076

Griffith JW, Sun T, McIntosh MT, Bucala R. Pure Hemozoin is inflammatory in vivo and activates the
NALP3 inflammasome via release of uric acid. J Immunol. 2009 Oct 15;183(8):5208-20. doi:
10.4049/jimmunol.0713552.

Guha R, Mathioudaki A, Doumbo S, Doumtabe D, Skinner J, Arora G, Siddiqui S, Li S, Kayentao K,
Ongoiba A, Zaugg J, Traore B, Crompton PD. Plasmodium falciparum malaria drives epigenetic
reprogramming of human monocytes toward a regulatory phenotype. PLoS Pathog. 2021 Apr
6;17(4):e1009430. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009430

Harding CL, Villarino NF, Valente E, Schwarzer E, Schmidt NW. Plasmodium Impairs Antibacterial
Innate Immunity to Systemic Infections in Part Through Hemozoin-Bound Bioactive Molecules. Front
Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020 Jun 30;10:328. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00328.

Innis SM, Cabot B. GBAF, a small BAF sub-complex with big implications: a systematic review.
Epigenetics Chromatin. 2020 Nov 3;13(1):48. doi: 10.1186/s13072-020-00370-8.

Ivashkiv LB. IFNγ: signalling, epigenetics and roles in immunity, metabolism, disease and cancer
immunotherapy. Nat Rev Immunol. 2018 Sep;18(9):545-558. doi: 10.1038/s41577-018-0029-z.

Loo CS, Gatchalian J, Liang Y, Leblanc M, Xie M, Ho J, Venkatraghavan B, Hargreaves DC, Zheng Y. A
Genome-wide CRISPR Screen Reveals a Role for the Non-canonical Nucleosome-
Remodeling BAF Complex in Foxp3 Expression and Regulatory T Cell Function. Immunity. 2020 Jul
14;53(1):143-157.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.06.011.

Lu Y, Liu X, Xie M, Liu M, Ye M, Li M, Chen XM, Li X, Zhou R. The NF-κB-Responsive Long Noncoding
RNA FIRRE Regulates Posttranscriptional Regulation of Inflammatory Gene Expression through
Interacting with hnRNPU. J Immunol. 2017 Nov 15;199(10):3571-3582. doi:
10.4049/jimmunol.1700091.

Lu X, Chu CS, Fang T, Rayon-Estrada V, Fang F, Patke A, Qian Y, Clarke SH, Melnick AM, Zhang Y,
Papavasiliou FN, Roeder RG. MTA2/NuRD Regulates B Cell Development and Cooperates with OCA-B
in Controlling the Pre-B to Immature B Cell Transition. Cell Rep. 2019 Jul 9;28(2):472-485.e5. doi:
10.1016/j.celrep.2019.06.029.

Liu, X.; Lu, Y.; Zhu, J.; Liu, M.; Xie, M.; Ye, M.; Li, M.; Wang, S.; Ming, Z.; Tong, Q.; et al. A Long
Noncoding RNA, Antisense IL-7, Promotes Inflammatory Gene Transcription through Facilitating
Histone Acetylation and Switch/Sucrose Nonfermentable Chromatin Remodeling. J. Immunol. 2019,
203, 1548–1559

Matikainen S, Nyman TA, Cypryk W. Function and Regulation of Noncanonical Caspase-4/5/11
Inflammasome. J Immunol. 2020 Jun 15;204(12):3063-3069. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2000373.

Mansisidor AR, Risca VI. Chromatin accessibility: methods, mechanisms, and biological insights.
Nucleus. 2022 Dec;13(1):236-276. doi: 10.1080/19491034.2022.2143106.

Meijer M, Agirre E, Kabbe M, van Tuijn CA, Heskol A, Zheng C, Mendanha Falcão A, Bartosovic M,
Kirby L, Calini D, Johnson MR, Corces MR, Montine TJ, Chen X, Chang HY, Malhotra D, Castelo-Branco
G. Epigenomic priming of immune genes implicates oligodendroglia in multiple sclerosis
susceptibility. Neuron. 2022 Apr 6;110(7):1193-1210.e13. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2021.12.034.

Miao D, Margolis CA, Gao W, Voss MH, Li W, Martini DJ, Norton C, Bossé D, Wankowicz SM, Cullen D,
Horak C, Wind-Rotolo M, Tracy A, Giannakis M, Hodi FS, Drake CG, Ball MW, Allaf ME, Snyder A,
Hellmann MD, Ho T, Motzer RJ, Signoretti S, Kaelin WG Jr, Choueiri TK, Van Allen EM. Genomic
correlates of response to immune checkpoint therapies in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Science.
2018 Feb 16;359(6377):801-806. doi: 10.1126/science.aan5951

Millington OR, Gibson VB, Rush CM, Zinselmeyer BH, Phillips RS, Garside P, Brewer JM. Malaria
impairs T cell clustering and immune priming despite normal signal 1 from dendritic cells. PLoS
Pathog. 2007 Oct 12;3(10):1380-7. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0030143.

Milner DA Jr. Malaria Pathogenesis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2018 Jan 2;8(1):a025569. doi:
10.1101/cshperspect.a025569.

Morel KL, Sheahan AV, Burkhart DL, Baca SC, Boufaied N, Liu Y, Qiu X, Cañadas I, Roehle K, Heckler M,
Calagua C, Ye H, Pantelidou C, Galbo P, Panja S, Mitrofanova A, Wilkinson S, Whitlock NC, Trostel SY,
Hamid AA, Kibel AS, Barbie DA, Choudhury AD, Pomerantz MM, Sweeney CJ, Long HW, Einstein DJ,
Shapiro GI, Dougan SK, Sowalsky AG, He HH, Freedman ML, Balk SP, Loda M, Labbé DP, Olson BM,
Ellis L. EZH2 inhibition activates a dsRNA-STING-interferon stress axis that potentiates response to
PD-1 checkpoint blockade in prostate cancer. Nat Cancer. 2021 Apr;2(4):444-456. doi:
10.1038/s43018-021-00185-w

Naigles B, Narla AV, Soroczynski J, Tsimring LS, Hao N. Quantifying dynamic pro-inflammatory gene
expression and heterogeneity in single macrophage cells. J Biol Chem. 2023 Oct;299(10):105230. doi:
10.1016/j.jbc.2023.105230

Netea MG, Domínguez-Andrés J, Barreiro LB, Chavakis T, Divangahi M, Fuchs E, Joosten LAB, van der
Meer JWM, Mhlanga MM, Mulder WJM, Riksen NP, Schlitzer A, Schultze JL, Stabell Benn C, Sun JC,
Xavier RJ, Latz E. Defining trained immunity and its role in health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020
Jun;20(6):375-388. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-0285-6.

Olivier M, Van Den Ham K, Shio MT, Kassa FA, Fougeray S. Malarial pigment hemozoin and the innate
inflammatory response. Front Immunol. 2014 Feb 5;5:25. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00025.

Otto JE, Ursu O, Wu AP, Winter EB, Cuoco MS, Ma S, Qian K, Michel BC, Buenrostro JD, Berger B,
Regev A, Kadoch C. Structural and functional properties of mSWI/SNF chromatin remodeling
complexes revealed through single-cell perturbation screens. Mol Cell. 2023 Apr 20;83(8):1350-
1367.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2023.03.013.

Pack AD, Schwartzhoff PV, Zacharias ZR, Fernandez-Ruiz D, Heath WR, Gurung P, Legge KL, Janse CJ,
Butler NS. Hemozoin-mediated inflammasome activation limits long-lived anti-malarial immunity.
Cell Rep. 2021 Aug 24;36(8):109586. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109586

Padmanabhan S, Gaire B, Zou Y, Uddin MM, Vancurova I. IFNgamma-induced PD-L1 expression in
ovarian cancer cells is regulated by JAK1, STAT1 and IRF1 signaling. Cell Signal. 2022 Sep;97:110400.
doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2022.110400.

Pan D, Kobayashi A, Jiang P, Ferrari de Andrade L, Tay RE, Luoma AM, et al. A major chromatin
regulator determines resistance of tumor cells to T cell-mediated killing. Science. (2018)
359(6377):770–5. doi: 10.1126/science.aao1710

Parroche P, Lauw FN, Goutagny N, Latz E, Monks BG, Visintin A, Halmen KA, Lamphier M, Olivier M,
Bartholomeu DC, Gazzinelli RT, Golenbock DT. Malaria hemozoin is immunologically inert but
radically enhances innate responses by presenting malaria DNA to Toll-like receptor 9. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. 2007 Feb 6;104(6):1919-24. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0608745104.

Pundhir S, Su J, Tapia M, Hansen AM, Haile JS, Hansen K, Porse BT. The impact of SWI/SNF and NuRD
inactivation on gene expression is tightly coupled with levels of RNA polymerase II occupancy at
promoters. Genome Res. 2023 Mar;33(3):332-345. doi: 10.1101/gr.277089.122.

Pham TT, Lamb TJ, Deroost K, Opdenakker G, Van den Steen PE. Hemozoin in Malarial Complications:
More Questions Than Answers. Trends Parasitol. 2021 Mar;37(3):226-239. doi:
10.1016/j.pt.2020.09.016
652
Ramirez-Carrozzi VR, Nazarian AA, Li CC, Gore SL, Sridharan R, Imbalzano AN, Smale ST. Selective and
antagonistic functions of SWI/SNF and Mi-2beta nucleosome remodeling complexes during an
inflammatory response. Genes Dev. 2006 Feb 1;20(3):282-96. doi: 10.1101/gad.1383206.

Raulf MK, Johannssen T, Matthiesen S, Neumann K, Hachenberg S, Mayer-Lambertz S, Steinbeis F,
Hegermann J, Seeberger PH, Baumgärtner W, Strube C, Ruland J, Lepenies B. The C-type Lectin
Receptor CLEC12A Recognizes Plasmodial Hemozoin and Contributes to Cerebral Malaria
Development. Cell Rep. 2019 Jul 2;28(1):30-38.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.06.015.

Sallusto F, Schaerli P, Loetscher P, Schaniel C, Lenig D, Mackay CR, Qin S, Lanzavecchia A. Rapid and
coordinated switch in chemokine receptor expression during dendritic cell maturation. Eur J
Immunol. 1998 Sep;28(9):2760-9. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1521-4141(199809)28:09<2760::AID-
IMMU2760>3.0.CO;2-N.

Schoggins JW. Interferon-Stimulated Genes: What Do They All Do? Annu Rev Virol. 2019 Sep
29;6(1):567-584. doi: 10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015756

Schrum JE, Crabtree JN, Dobbs KR, Kiritsy MC, Reed GW, Gazzinelli RT, Netea MG, Kazura JW, Dent
AE, Fitzgerald KA, Golenbock DT. Cutting Edge: *Plasmodium falciparum* Induces Trained Innate
Immunity. J Immunol. 2018 Feb 15;200(4):1243-1248. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1701010

Sekrecka A, Kluzek K, Sekrecki M, Boroujeni ME, Hassani S, Yamauchi S, Sada K, Wesoly J, Bluyssen
HAR.Time-dependent recruitment of GAF, ISGF3 and IRF1 complexes shapes IFNalpha
and IFNgamma-activated transcriptional responses and explains mechanistic and functional overlap.
Cell Mol Life Sci. 2023 Jun 22;80(7):187. doi: 10.1007/s00018-023-04830-8.

Shalek AK, Satija R, Shuga J, Trombetta JJ, Gennert D, Lu D, Chen P, Gertner RS, Gaublomme JT, Yosef
N, Schwartz S, Fowler B, Weaver S, Wang J, Wang X, Ding R, Raychowdhury R, Friedman N, Hacohen
N, Park H, May AP, Regev A. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals dynamic paracrine control of cellular
variation. Nature. 2014 Jun 19;510(7505):363-9. doi: 10.1038/nature13437.

Shio MT, Eisenbarth SC, Savaria M, Vinet AF, Bellemare MJ, Harder KW, Sutterwala FS, Bohle DS,
Descoteaux A, Flavell RA, Olivier M. Malarial hemozoin activates the NLRP3 inflammasome through
Lyn and Syk kinases. PLoS Pathog. 2009 Aug;5(8):e1000559. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000559.

Skorokhod, O. A., M. Alessio, B. Mordmuller, P. Arese, and E. Schwarzer. 2004. Hemozoin (malarial
pigment) inhibits differentiation and maturation of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells: a
peroxisome proliferator-activated receptorgamma-mediated effect. J. Immunol. 173: 4066 – 4074.
683
Soilleux EJ, Morris LS, Leslie G, Chehimi J, Luo Q, Levroney E, Trowsdale J, Montaner LJ, Doms RW,
Weissman D, Coleman N, Lee B. Constitutive and induced expression of DC-SIGN on dendritic cell and
macrophage subpopulations in situ and in vitro. J Leukoc Biol. 2002 Mar;71(3):445-57.

Ty MC, Zuniga M, Götz A, Kayal S, Sahu PK, Mohanty A, Mohanty S, Wassmer SC, Rodriguez A.
Malaria inflammation by xanthine oxidase-produced reactive oxygen species. EMBO Mol Med. 2019
Aug;11(8):e9903. doi: 10.15252/emmm.201809903.

Velagapudi R, Kosoko AM, Olajide OA. Induction of Neuroinflammation and Neurotoxicity by
Synthetic Hemozoin. Cell Mol Neurobiol. 2019 Nov;39(8):1187-1200. doi: 10.1007/s10571-019-
00713-4.

Yildirim O, Li R, Hung JH, Chen PB, Dong X, Ee LS, Weng Z, Rando OJ, Fazzio TG. Mbd3/NURD complex
regulates expression of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine marked genes in embryonic stem cells. Cell. 2011
Dec 23;147(7):1498-510. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.11.054.

Xiao G, Jin LL, Liu CQ, Wang YC, Meng YM, Zhou ZG, Chen J, Yu XJ, Zhang YJ, Xu J, Zheng L. EZH2
negatively regulates PD-L1 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Immunother Cancer. 2019 Nov
14;7(1):300. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0784-9

FIGURES

Figure 1. HZ prevents the upregulation of HLA-DR and PD-L1 transcripts during co-exposure with LPS.

(A) Experimental model of monocytes differentiation to mo-DC during 5 days culture and exposure to different stimuli. MoDC were pre-exposed to HZ for 2 h, then LPS was added for additional 2 h or 24 h (co-exposure condition). Cells assigned to HZ treatment alone were exposed to HZ at the same time as was added LPS, while cells kept in complete culture medium served as the control. The mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) of **(B)** HLA-DR and CD83, **(C)** DC SIGN and MMR, **(D)** CD86 and PD-L1, expression in moDC after 2 h exposure (upper graphs) and 24 h exposure (lower graphs). The relative mRNA expression of **(E)** HLA-DR and CD83, **(F)** DC SIGN and MMR, **(G)** CD86 and PD-L1, and **(H)** CCR7, in moDC after short-term exposure. (B-H) Paired Friedman test followed by Dunn´s multiple comparison was used to determine statistical difference, n.s.=p>0.05, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, n=4-12.

Figure 2. Both HZ and LPS are required for the release of inflammasome-derived IL-1β. **(A)** Soluble

levels of TNF-α and IL-6 in moDC after 2 h exposure. **(B)** Relative mRNA expression of TNF-α and IL-6.

(C) Soluble levels of CXCL8, CXCL9, CXCL10, CCL2 and CCL5 after 2 h exposure. **(D)** Relative mRNA

expression of CXCL8, CXCL9, CXCL10, CCL2 and CCL5. **(E)** Soluble levels and relative mRNA expression

of IL-10 after short term exposure. **(F, H)** Soluble levels of IL-1β and IL-1RA after 2 h and 24 h exposure.

(G, I) Relative mRNA expression of IL-1β and IL-1RA. mRNA levels were measured in all samples after

2 h of exposure. (A-I) Paired Friedman test followed by Dunn´s multiple comparison was used to

determine statistical difference, n.s.=p>0.05, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, n=4-14.

Figure 3. HZ influences transcription factor recruitment to the promoters of moDC genes after short term exposure. (A) The percentage of TLR4 expressing cells among CD11c^{+} moDC population. Paired Friedman test followed by Dunn´s multiple comparison was used to determine statistical difference, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. The enrichment of RelA and IRF3 at the promoters of **(B)** HLA-DR and PD-L1, **(C)** TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β, **(D)** DC SIGN and MMR, and **(E)** CD83, genes. (B-E) Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA test, followed by Dunn´s multiple was comparison was applied to determine significant differences, n.s.=p>0.05,*p<0.05, **p<0.01. **(F)** The representative picture and quantitative analysis of IRF3 protein and its phosphorylated form measured by western blot. IRF3 levels were normalized to Lamin levels. The data are present as median with interquartile range. N=2-12.

Figure 4. Exposure to HZ does not alter chromatin state but it changes the recruitment of SWI/SNF complex proteins to the promoters of moDC genes. (A) Relative chromatin accessibility at the promoters of HLA-DR and PD-L1 genes. Non-parametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was applied to determine significant differences, n.s.=p>0.05. **(B)** Relative chromatin accessibility at the promoters of HLA-DR, PD-L1, DC SIGN, MMR, TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β, in isolated monocytes and differentiated moDC on day 5. Non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used to determine the differences, *p<0.05, **p<0.01. **(C)** The recruitment of HS27Ac (upper graphs) and H3K4me3 (lower graphs), at the promoters of HLA-DR and PD-L1 genes. The enrichment of BRG1 and CHD4 at the promoters of **(D)** HLA-DR and PD-L1, **(E)** TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β, **(F)** DC SIGN and MMR, and **(G)** CD83, genes in moDC. (C-G) Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA test, followed by Dunn´s multiple was comparison was applied to determine significant differences, n.s.=p>0.05, *p<0.05, **p<0.01. N=3-9.

Figure 5. Differential recruitment of BRD9 and BAF180 proteins at the promoters of moDC genes. (A) Relative expression of ncRNA IL-7as and ncRNA FIRRE in moDC after 2 h exposure. The enrichment of BRD9 and BAF180/PBMR1 proteins at the promoters of **(B)** HLA-DR and PD-L1, **(C)** TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1β, **(D)** DC SIGN and MMR, genes. (A-D) Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA test, followed by Dunn´s multiple was comparison was applied to determine significant differences, n.s.=p>0.05, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, n=3-7.