Disruption of Circadian Clock Induces Abnormal Mammary Morphology and Aggressive Basal Tumorigenesis by Enhancing LILRB4 Signaling

Short title: Circadian disruption causes tumorigenesis via LILRB4

Authors

Olajumoke Ogunlusi,[1†] Mrinmoy Sarkar,[1†] Arhit Chakrabarti,[2] Devon J Boland,[3] Tristan Nguyen,[1] James Sampson[4], Christian Nguyen,[1] Danielle Fails,[5] Yava Jones-Hall,[6] Loning Fu,[7] Bani Mallick,[2] Alex Keene,[1] Jeff Jones,[1] Tapasree Roy Sarkar[*1]

Affiliations

[1]Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.

[2]Department of Statistics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.

[3]Texas A&M Institute of Genome Sciences & Society (TIGSS), College Station, TX, USA.

[4] Department of Biomedical Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.

[5]Fortis Life Sciences, Montgomery, TX 77356, USA.

[6]Veterinary Pathobiology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.

[7]Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA.

[*]**Corresponding author:** tsarkar@bio.tamu.edu.
[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Epidemiological studies have shown that circadian rhythm disruption (CRD) is associated with the risk of breast cancer. However, the role of CRD in mammary gland morphology and aggressive basal mammary tumorigenesis and the molecular mechanisms underlying CRD and cancer risk remain unknown. To investigate the effect of CRD on aggressive tumorigenesis, a genetically engineered mouse model that recapitulates the human basal type of breast cancer was used for this study. The effect of CRD on mammary gland morphology was investigated using wild-type mice model. The impact of CRD on the tumor microenvironment was investigated using the tumors from LD12:12 and CRD mice via scRNA seq. ScRNA seq was substantiated by multiplexing immunostaining, flow cytometry, and realtime PCR. The effect of LILRB4 immunotherapy on CRD-induced tumorigenesis was also investigated. Here we identified the impact of CRD on basal tumorigenesis and mammary gland morphology and identified the role of LILRB4 on CRD-induced lung metastasis. We found that chronic CRD disrupted mouse mammary gland morphology and increased tumor burden, and lung metastasis and induced an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment by enhancing LILRB4a expression. Moreover, CRD increased the M2-macrophage and regulatory T-cell populations but decreased the M1-macrophage populations. Furthermore, targeted immunotherapy against LILRB4 reduced CRD-induced immunosuppressive microenvironment and lung metastasis. These findings identify and implicate LILRB4a as a link between CRD and aggressive mammary tumorigenesis. This study also establishes the potential role of the targeted LILRB4a immunotherapy as an inhibitor of CRD-induced lung metastasis.

Keywords

Circadian rhythm disruption, breast cancer, LILRB4, tumor microenvironment, immunotherapy.

Introduction

The circadian clock regulates the expression of several genes that impact physiology, metabolic

processes, and health outcomes (Panda, Antoch *et al.* 2002, Storch, Lipan *et al*. 2002, Kelleher,

Rao and Maguire 2014). Epidemiological studies have reported that circadian rhythm disruption

(CRD), such as that which occurs during shift work or travel across time zones, affects human

health and increases the risk of developing cancer, metabolic disorders, and cardiovascular

disease (Hemmer, Mareschal *et al.* 2021). According to the National Health Interview Survey,

12–35% of the US population works irregular schedules, including night and rotating shifts

(CDC 2015). CRD increases the risk of different types of cancer, including lung

(Papagiannakopoulos, Bauer *et al*. 2016, Pariollaud, Ibrahim *et al*. 2022), colon (Chun, Fortin *et*

al. 2022), and breast (Van Dycke, Rodenburg et al. 2015, Hadadi 2020) cancers.

Shiftwork was found to increase the incidence of breast cancer in nurses by approximately 50%,

suggesting a critical role for the circadian clock in breast cancer pathogenesis (Schernhammer,

Laden *et al*. 2001). Circadian clocks regulate the rhythmic expression of numerous genes in

breast tissues (Blakeman, Williams *et al.* 2016). Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) or basal

phenotype (Alluri and Newman 2014) encompasses a breast tumor subtype that is clinically

negative for the expression of the estrogen (ER) and progesterone (PR) receptors and lacks

overexpression of the Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) protein, with unique

prognostic and therapeutic implications (Anders and Carey 2008). TNBC is responsible for more

than 15–20% of all breast cancers, with a mortality rate of 40% (Almansour 2022). TNBC is

relatively aggressive and shows more resistance to chemotherapy than other subtypes of breast

cancer (Almansour 2022). However, the effect of CRD on aggressive TNBC is poorly

understood, and the precise mechanism underlying CRD-induced tumorigenesis is not yet

known.

The mammalian circadian machinery consists of an autoregulatory transcription-translation

feedback loop, where the "positive elements" circadian locomotor output cycle kaput (CLOCK)

and brain and muscle aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator (ARNT)-like protein 1

(BMAL1) heterodimerize through their PAS domains and activate the transcription of the

"negative elements," i.e., the period genes (*Per1* and *Per2)* and the cryptochrome genes (*Cry1*

and *Cry2)*. The PER/CRY heterodimers inhibit the transcription of their gene(s) by blocking

CLOCK/BMAL1-dependent transactivation (Kelleher, Rao and Maguire 2014). This feedback

loop regulates the transcription of an estimated 30% of the genome (Vitaterna, Shimomura and

Jiang 2019). The expression of core circadian clock genes is frequently dysregulated in human

tumors, indicating the tumor-suppressive role of the molecular clock (Battaglin, Chan *et al.* 2021,

Stephenson, Usselmann *et al.* 2021, Chun, Fortin *et al.* 2022). Epidemiological studies have also

emphasized that the risk of developing cancer increases with increasing years of shift work

(Yuan, Zhu *et al.* 2018), indicating that longitudinal experiments are better suited for

characterizing how CRD may affect tumorigenesis.

Here, we investigated how the loss of circadian function impacts mammary glands,

tumorigenesis, and the tumor microenvironment (TME). We used the jet lag schedule, which

mimics the circadian disturbance humans encounter during rotating shift work or frequent jet lag,

and the genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM) of TNBC. We specifically examined the

role of leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor 4a (LILRB4a or LILRB4 or gp49B), which is

known to suppress immunity in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and solid tumors (Deng, Gui *et*

al. 2018, Sharma 2021). Additionally, we investigated whether the elevation of LILRB4

expression could be a key molecular event in CRD-induced mammary tumorigenesis and

immunosuppressive TME. Overall, this study shows how circadian desynchronization affects

mammary gland morphology, aggressive mammary tumorigenesis, and identifies a compelling

target for immunotherapy of CRD-induced tumorigenesis.

Methods

A. Animal husbandry:

The mice were housed under 12 h light and 12 h dark (LD 12:12) and circadian rhythm

disruption (CRD) conditions at room temperature (25°C), and food and water were

provided *ad libitum.* The genetically engineered mice model (GEMM), i.e., FVB-Tg(C3-

1-Tag)cJeg/JegJ mice (Jax stock #013591), were caged until they were 27 weeks old.

Normal FVB mice (Jax stock #001800) and BALB/cJ mice (Jax stock #000651) were

also used in this study. All animal care and treatments were per the Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee of Texas A&M University under protocol # 2022-0094.

Mice were grouped randomly for exposure to standard light conditions (LD 12:12) or

CRD light conditions (consisting of an 8-hour light phase advance repeated every two

days) (Casiraghi, Oda et al. 2012, Papagiannakopoulos, Bauer et al. 2016, Pariollaud,

Ibrahim et al. 2022). Zeitgeber time (ZT) 0 corresponded to the onset of light, while

ZT12 corresponded to the onset of dark. The LD 12:12 and CRD animal groups were

provided access to running wheels (Columbus Instruments) for several weeks. ActogramJ

(ImageJ) was used to assess voluntary running activities (Schmid, Helfrich-Förster and

Yoshii 2011).

B. 4T1 cell mouse TNBC model:

The 4T1 cells were maintained RPMI-1640 (ATCC, 30-2001) supplemented with in 10%

FBS and 1% penicillin and streptomycin in 5% CO_2. Briefly, 10,000 cells suspended in

sterile PBS were injected subcutaneously into the 4th mammary gland fat pad. The mice

were housed in LD or CRD conditions for 3-4 weeks before they were sacrificed to

harvest the tumors. To investigate the effect of immunotherapy on tumor progression and

metastasis, BALB/cJ mice were housed under LD 12:12 conditions for 4-5 days

following injection of 4T1 cells until palpable tumors developed. They were segregated

and assigned randomly either in LD or CRD conditions. On days 6, 9, and 12 (after the

palpable tumors developed), an anti-LILRB4 antibody (ABclonal, #A7073) (polyclonal,

50μg) was injected intratumorally. The animals were checked regularly to observe their

body weight and tumor sizes.

C. Histology and tumor burden analysis:

The tumors and the mammary glands were harvested using aseptic techniques. Tumor

volumes were calculated for each breast tumor using caliper measurements after every 3

days. $Tumor\ volume\ =\ (length\ \times width\verb|^|2\ )/2$ was used to measure the volume of

the   tumors   (Kersemans,   Cornelissen   et   al.   2013),   whereas   the   equation

$Tumor\ burden\ (in\ percentage)\ =\ (Tumor\ weight\ /mice\ body\ weight) \times 100\ \%$

was considered to determine the tumor burden (Hadadi 2020). The tumor, or the lung

samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF) for 48 hours before being

transferred to the Histology core facility at Texas A&M University. The tissue sections

were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for pathological examinations.

D. Immunohistochemistry:

The formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) mouse mammary gland tissues were

deparaffinized by incubating at 65°C for 2 hours, followed by dipping in xylene for 5

minutes each time for two changes. The endogenous peroxidase activity was inhibited by

treating the tissue with hydrogen peroxide. Antigen retrieval was carried out by

incubating the slides in pre-heated citrate antigen retrieval buffer (10 mM citrate, 0.05%

Tween 20, pH 6.0) at 95°C for 20-25 minutes in a water bath. After blocking, the tissues

were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-ki67 polyclonal antibody (ABclonal, A16919),

dissolved in the kit blocking solution. Following incubation with the secondary antibody

availed with the IHC kit, the expression was visualized with DAB peroxidase substrate

(Abcam, ab64264). The slides were counterstained with Mayer's Hematoxylin Solution

(VitroVivo Biotech, VB-3000-1) before being mounted with Optic Mount I™ xylene

mounting medium (Mercedes Scientific) and imaged under the Echo Revolve R4

fluorescent microscope (Echo).

E. Whole mount staining of mouse mammary gland:

The mammary gland tissues were spread on glass slides immediately after harvesting.

The mammary glands were then fixed using Carnoy's fixative solution (VitroVivo

Biotech, VB-3001-1) for three hours, followed by washing in 70% ethanol for 30

minutes. The tissues were incubated overnight at room temperature (RT) with Carmine

Alum Staining Solution (VitroVivo Biotech, VB-3001-2). Stained tissues were

dehydrated with gradients of ethanol concentrations and finally with three changes of

xylene bath of 10 minutes each. The slides were then mounted with Optic Mount I™

xylene mounting medium (Mercedes Scientific) and observed under the microscope.

F. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA Seq):

After brief washing in chilled sterile PBS, the tumors were kept in DMEM (ATCC, 30-2002), supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, F2442) and 1% antibiotic solution (Sigma Aldrich, P4333) on ice until minced and subsequently blended with gentleMACS Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-093-235). MACS Miltenyi Tumor Dissociation Kit for mice (Miltenyi Biotech, 130-096-730) was used for further enzymatic digestion according to the manufacturer's protocol. The suspension of cells was then transferred to the Texas A&M Institute for Genome Sciences and Society (TIGSS) for scRNA-seq. Single-cell sequencing libraries were prepared on the Chromium platform (10x Genomics) using the Single Cell 5' v2 Full Kit (PN-1000263). Cell type assignment was performed manually based on unique marker genes identified in each cell cluster from the UMAP reduction. Markers were cross-referenced with published literature specific to mouse mammary gland tissues. Differentially expressed genes were identified using the MAST (Finak, McDavid et al. 2015) R package, and GO pathway enrichment analysis was performed with the clusterProfiler (Wu, Hu et al. 2021) R package. The CellChat R package analyzed cellular communication using default parameters. The most significant pathways were identified using the "rankNet" function and visualized with the "netVisual_diffInteraction" function.

G. *In vitro* **tumorosphere formation assay:**

The single cells (from tumors) were cultured in ultra-low attachment 96-well plates (ThermoFisher Scientific, 174927) in EpiCult™-B (mouse) medium supplemented with human recombinant EGF (hrEGF) (Stem Cell Technologies, 78006), human recombinant bFGF (hrbFGF) (Stem Cell Technologies, 78003), and heparin (Stem Cell Technologies, 07980). 500 cells per well from LD 12:12 and CRD group tumors were added and

incubated with standard cell culture conditions for 5 days in the CO_2 incubator before

being observed under the microscope.

H. **Organoid assay:**

Singlet cells from tumors (LD and CRD) were resuspended in Geltrex™ (Gibco,

ThermoFisher, A1413202) and plated on a 24-well plate. After the gel was solidified to

form the matrix, EpiCult™-B (mouse) medium supplemented with hrEGF, hrbFGF, and

heparin was added to assess the growth of the mouse mammary tumor cells from LD

12:12 and CRD conditions. After 7 days of incubation, the organoids formed were

imaged under the microscope.

I. **Flow cytometry:**

The dissociated tumor cells were counted and resuspended in 1 ml of cell staining buffer

(CSB) (BioLegend, 420201). Zombie NIR™ Fixable Viability Kit (BioLegend, 423105)

was applied to cells on ice for 20 min. After spinning down at 400 x g for 4 minutes, cells

were resuspended in 50 µl of the CSB and incubated with fluorochrome-conjugated

antibodies (Alexa Fluor® 700 anti-mouse CD4, Pacific Blue™ anti-mouse CD8a, Alexa

Fluor® 488 anti-mouse CD86, PE anti-mouse CD163, Alexa Fluor® 594 anti-mouse

CD45) in the dark for 20 minutes. Cells were fixed and permeabilized using 2%

paraformaldehyde and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS, respectively, and stained with FoxP3

(Alexa Fluor® 488 anti-mouse/rat/human FOXP3) for 20 minutes in the dark at 4°C.

Afterward, stained cells were rinsed with PBS and kept in PBS at 4°C in the dark until

analyzed using Cytek Aurora spectral flow cytometer at TAMU Flow Cytometry Facility.

J. **Quantitative PCR for detecting mRNA levels:**

Total RNA was extracted from mammary glands or tumors using Quick-RNA™

MiniPrep (Zymo Research, R1054), and the purity was analyzed by DeNovix DS-11

Series nanodrop Spectrophotometer (DeNovix). RNA from each sample was reverse

transcribed to cDNA by High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied

Biosystems™, 4368814). Analysis for gene expression by quantitative real-time PCR was

performed using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad, 1725271).

K. Human CRD analysis for breast cancer patients:

All statistical analyses were performed using the R software package (version 4.3.0.).

scRNA-seq data corresponding to the triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) patients from

Wu *et al.*, 2021 (Wu, Al-Eryani et al. 2021) were pre-processed using standard steps

outlined in Hao *et al.,* (Hao, Hao et al. 2021). The uniform manifold approximation and

projection (UMAP) was performed on the processed data. The UMAP plot with cells

clustered according to the inferCNV cell type. The cells which had no infer-CNV call are

denoted as "unknown." To investigate the level of circadian rhythm disruption in each

cell, we calculated the CRD scores for the 358 circadian-related genes (CRGs) following

He *et al.,* 2022 (He, Fan et al. 2022) separately for malignant and non-malignant cells. A

cutoff of 75% based on quartiles was used as the threshold for the CRD level to segregate

the scores as high (denoted as CRD^{hi}) and low (denoted as CRD^{low}). The percentage of

cells that show CRD^{hi} scores by cell type, along with the p-value of the corresponding

Binomial proportion test, is used for this study. The mean normalized expression of the

core circadian genes by the cell type (malignant and non-malignant) is presented in this

study. Bulk RNA-sequencing data from the publicly available TCGA BRCA dataset was

used further to explore the expression pattern of the core circadian genes. The patients

were segregated according to the analyzed tissue type, i.e., "Tumor" and "Surrounding"

tissue. The mean expression of the genes (+/- SEM) over patients by the type of tissue

analyzed. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to test for the difference in expression

between the tumor and surrounding tissues for each gene. The genes showing a

significant difference are labelled in "red", while the insignificant genes are marked in

"black".

L. Blood chemistry and cytokine array:

Pre-mortem terminal blood was collected from the FVB-Tg(C3-1-Tag)cJeg/JegJ mice.

The blood samples were transferred to the Texas A&M TVMDL to analyze the blood

chemistry parameters. The cytokine array was performed using the Proteome Profiler

Mouse XL Cytokine Array kit (R&D Systems, ARY028). The blood samples were kept

undisturbed for 30 minutes at room temperature before being spun at 2000 x g for 10

minutes at 4°C. The serum was separated for the cytokine array profiling according to

the manufacturer's protocol and were scanned using ChemiDoc Imaging System

(BioRad, 12003153).

M. mIF Staining of Mouse Tissues: The panels were developed and optimized for use in

mouse tissue. The following primary antibodies from Fortis Life Sciences, Cell Signaling

Technology, and ABclonal were utilized for both immunohistochemical and

immunofluorescence staining: rabbit anti-mouse ARG1 [BLR161J], rabbit anti-

human/mouse LILRB4 [A7073], rabbit anti-human/mouse CD163 [BLR087G], rabbit

anti-mouse CD8a [BLR173J], and rabbit anti-mouse FOXP3 [D6O8R], and rabbit anti-

mouse CD4 [BLR167J]. Multiplex immunofluorescence staining was performed with the

Akoya Opal™ Polaris 7-color IHC kit fluorophores (Akoya Biosciences

[NEL861001KT]). FFPE tissue sections were baked for 30 minutes, deparaffinized in

xylene, and rehydrated by serial passage through graded concentrations of ethanol.

Endogenous peroxidase in tissues was blocked with 0.9% H_2O_2/methanol for 40 min.

Multiple (7) sequential HIER treatments were performed for 20 min each at 92-96°C in

Citrate pH 6.0 (first cycle) or Tris EDTA pH9 (remaining cycles) buffer. After each HIER

cycle, sections were rinsed with DI water and cooled at RT for 20 minutes. Tissue

sections were blocked with 20% normal goat serum for 20 minutes before incubation

with primary antibody for 20 min. Then, sections were rinsed with TBST for 10 min and

incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary (A120-501P) for 20 min, followed by another

10 min rinse in TBST. Incubation with an Opal fluorophore (Opal480, Opal520, Opal570,

Opal620, Opal690) was then done for 10 min, followed by a 10 min rinse in DI water.

Bound primary and secondary antibodies were then subject to the next HIER treatment

(as aforementioned) for 20 min. After washing in DI water and cooling at RT for 20 min,

the process of staining and antibody removal was repeated for the desired number of

targets. Finally, the tissue specimens were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

(DAPI) for 10 min and mounted in VECTASHIELD Vibrance Antifade Mounting

Medium (ThermoFisher Scientific). Akoya Biosciences' PhenoImager HT was used for

multispectral imaging at 40× magnification.

N. Statistical analysis:

GraphPad Prism8 was used as the Statistical analysis tool except for the assessment of the

rhythmicity that was carried out using the JTK cycle. The significance was assessed using

either an Unpaired t-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA), with a false positive threshold

of 0.05 considered acceptable (p<0.05). Unless otherwise mentioned, the data were

presented as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM).

Results

Chronic CRD results in abnormal mammary gland morphology

The jet-lag paradigm mimics the CRD that humans face during shift work (Lee, Donehower et

al. 2010) or travel across time zones. Mice were exposed to an 8 h light advance repeated every

2–3 days (Fig. 1A). Female Friend LeukemiaVirus B (FVB) mice (4 weeks old) were housed

under normal light and dark (LD 12:12), or chronic CRD conditions for 8 weeks before the

mammary glands were collected every 4 h for 24 h. We confirmed that this jet-lag protocol

disrupted circadian activity in our mouse model by assessing the locomotor activity (Fig. 1B).

CRD did not significantly impact the weight of mice (Fig. S1A). Real-time PCR analysis showed

that CRD led to the loss of rhythmicity (*P*JTKcycle < 0.05) for most genes, including *Cry1*,

Cry2, *Bmal1*, *Clock,* and *Per1* (Fig. 1C). *Per2* expression remained rhythmic in mice exposed to

CRD (Fig. 1C), suggesting the desynchronization of the core clock components. To understand

the effect of CRD on the mammary glands, we examined whole-mount preparations of inguinal

mammary glands from LD and CRD mice at 12 weeks. Chronic CRD decreased branching

(bifurcations) (Fig. 1D, upper panel, 1E, S1B) and the number of terminal end buds (TEBs) (Fig.

1D, lower panel, 1F, S1B) in the mammary glands. Compared with the mammary glands of LD

mice (control), CRD mice showed a high frequency of ductal hyperplasia as found by using

hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (Fig. 1G) and by using Ki67 (Fig. 1H, S1C) staining of the

mammary glands.

Chronic CRD accelerates aggressive mammary tumorigenesis and lung metastasis

To investigate the effect of CRD on aggressive basal tumorigenesis, we used preclinical GEMM

of human basal tumors (FVB-Tg(C3-1-Tag)cJeg/JegJ (Maroulakou, Anver et al. 1994, Usary

2017) that provides a model for examining how CRD impacts aggressive mammary

tumorigenesis and metastasis. Female hemizygous mice develop mammary gland

adenocarcinomas at approximately 24 weeks of age (Maroulakou, Anver et al. 1994, Usary

2017). To investigate the effect of CRD on tumorigenesis, mice were placed under LD or CRD

conditions when they were 8 weeks old and maintained under CRD conditions through the end

of the study at 27 weeks (Fig. 2A). The growth of primary tumors was measured every 3 days

throughout this period. Because of the highly aggressive tumors in CRD mice, we euthanized the

animals at 27 weeks. All mice were euthanized, and the tumor tissues were processed for other

analyses. CRD did not significantly impact the weight of mice (Fig. S2A). Peripheral blood cell

counts showed no significant differences between those mice (Fig. S2B, S2C). No significant

changes were noted in the blood glucose, cholesterol, or amylase (Fig. S2D-F) levels in CRD

mice, although the albumin-to-globulin ratio (AGR) was decreased (Fig. S2G). A high AGR

shows a good prognosis for survival in different solid-tumor patients (He, Pan et al. 2017).

Therefore, CRD negatively affects cancer prognosis by decreasing the AGR. scRNAseq (Fig.

S3A) and real-time PCR (Fig. S3B) of tumor samples showed that CRD did not substantially

alter the expression of Per genes (*Per1*, and *Per2*) (Fig. S3A, B) or other clock genes (*Clock*,

Cry2) (Fig. S3A, B). *Cry1* expression significantly increased in CRD-induced tumors (Fig. S3B).

Animals placed in CRD conditions had a substantially increased mammary tumor burden (Fig.

2B) compared to the LD animals. CRD also accelerated tumor initiation at 18 weeks. In contrast,

the average time of the tumor initiation for LD mice was 22 weeks (Fig. 2C). However, no

difference was observed in the spectrum of tumor grades assessed using histopathology (Elston

and Ellis 2002) between the two groups, with most tumors being grade 2 (Fig. S4A, B). Several

technical differences, such as in the mouse genetic background (MMTV:PyMT C57BL/6J versus

FVB-Tg(C3-1-TAg)cJeg/JegJ) and conditions of the animal facilities (such as light exposure)

may explain why Hadadi *et al.* (Hadadi 2020) observed an effect of CRD on tumor grade, but the

same was not found in our study. The number of metastatic foci in the lungs was significantly

higher in the CRD mice (Fig. 2D, E). To investigate the impact of CRD on tumor progression,

4T1 (mice triple-negative breast cancer cell line) cells were introduced into the female BALB/cJ

mice via orthotopic transplantation into the 4th mammary glands. When mice developed palpable

tumors (~4-5 days after injection), they were placed into LD or CRD conditions for 3 weeks until

tumors became aggressive. CRD led to a modest but non-significant increase in tumor burden

(Fig. S4C). This data suggests that CRD does not affect mammary tumor progression. However,

we did observe a significant increase in the formation of lung metastasis foci (Fig 2F, G). Flow

cytometry was performed to investigate whether a higher metastatic potential was related to a

higher cancer stem cell (CSC) population in CRD tumors. We observed a significant increase in

the percentage of CSCs expressing CD49f markers (Fig. 2H, S4D) in CRD tumors compared

with that in LD tumors. To investigate whether this enrichment was associated with an increase

in the stemness potential of primary tumors, a mammosphere assay was performed using CRD

and LD GEMM tumors. CRD tumor cells had a significantly higher (P<0.0001) mammosphere

formation efficiency than LD tumor cells (Fig. 2I, S4E). Recent studies have used three-

dimensional (3D) organoids as *in vitro* organs, with numerous applications ranging from disease

modeling to drug screening (Meyer, Howden *et al.* 2011). Using small intestinal crypt-derived

organoids, a recent study showed that genetic disruption of the circadian clock accelerates

intestinal organoid proliferation (Chun, Fortin *et al*. 2022). We established 3D organoids

(tumoroids) from LD and CRD tumors (Fig. 2J). Overall, CRD tumors exhibited more aggressive

organoids than LD tumors.

Circadian desynchronization alters the TME

Breast TME is essential for the survival and immunosuppression of tumor cells (Binnewies,

Roberts *et al*. 2018). We performed scRNA analysis on cells isolated from GEMM basal tumor

tissues. Single cells were isolated from the tumors (Rodriguez de la Fuente, Law *et al.* 2021)

without surface marker selection. Using proper QC and filtration, we obtained single-cell

transcriptomic data for the studied tumors (N= 2/set). To analyze different cell populations in the

TME, 5,804 QC-positive single cells (LD and CRD tumors) were clustered according to their

expression profiles (Fig. 3A), and 20,764 genes were identified. The Seurat package was used for

preliminary clustering. Cells were clustered based on specific cell markers. Using the established

expression markers, such as for endothelial cells (*KLF2*)(Sangwung, Zhou *et al.* 2017), M2

macrophages (*SLC2A1*)(Wang, Wang *et al*. 2023), TNBC cancer cells (*TRPS1*)(Ai, Yao *et al.*

2021), proliferating cells (*TOP2A)*(Neubauer, Wirtz *et al.* 2016), myeloid cells (*FTH1*)(Moreira,

Silva *et al.* 2022), progenitor cells (*NES*)(Bernal and Arranz 2018), adipocytes

(*CLEC11A*)(Merrick, Sakers *et al.* 2019), mesenchymal cells (*S100A4*)(Fei, Qu *et al.* 2017),

angiogenic cells (*RPL35A*)(Wu 2021), breast cancer stem cells *(FXYD3)*(Li, Nishimura et al.

2023), dendritic cells or DCs (*CD68*) (Strobl, Scheinecker *et al.* 1998), cancer-associated

fibroblast or CAFs (*COL1A2*)(Han, Liu and Yin 2020), epithelial cells (*EPCAM*)(Trzpis,

McLaughlin *et al.* 2007), cycling cells (*CDK4*)(Baker, Poulikakos *et al.* 2022), and B-cells

(*CD83*) (Grosche, Knippertz *et al.* 2020), we found that CRD altered different cell populations in

the TME (Fig. 3B). This showed that CRD induced a higher number of M2-macrophage, TNBC

cancer cells, cycling cells, adipocytes, CAFs and proliferating cells but a lower number of B-

cells, and DCs in the tumor (Fig. 3B). We next analyzed putative ligand–receptor (L-R)

interactions in LD and CRD tumors and modeled cell–cell interactions between different cell

types that play vital roles in maintaining cellular homeostasis. Cellular communication was

investigated using CellChat, which shows the differences in the total number of L-R interactions

between different cell types in the tumors (Fig. 3C). For example, the interaction between CAF

with proliferating cells, TNBC cells, and M2 macrophages increased under CRD conditions

compared to LD conditions (Fig. 3C). We identified 3429 and 3992 critical ligand–receptor

pairings in LD and CRD tumors, respectively (Fig. S5A). Ligand–receptor interactions with

increased and decreased strength were also identified (Fig. S5B). CellChat also identified

signaling pathways linked to ligand–receptor interactions (Fig. 3D). Specific signaling pathways,

including those involving fibroblast growth factor (FGF), WNT, and platelet-derived growth

factor (PDGF), were exclusively detected in CRD tumors. Oncostatin M, Tumor necrosis factor

(TNF), and NOTCH, were detected solely in LD tumors, and signaling pathways, including

transforming growth factor β (TGFβ), epidermal growth factor (EGF) and fibronectin 1, were

detected in both LD and CRD tumors (Fig. 3D). Gene Ontology (GO) analysis (Fig. 3E)

indicated that genes associated with the acetyl CoA metabolic process, antigen processing (and

presentation), nuclear division, regulation of cellular pH, carboxylic acid catabolic process,

chromosome segregation were upregulated in CRD.

CRD induces immunosuppressive TME and turns tumors "cold"

The TME is critical for tumor progression and metastasis. Tumors are "hot" when they show

signs of inflammation characterized by an infiltration of T cells mobilizing to fight the cancerous

cells, whereas nonimmunogenic "cold" tumors lack infiltrating T cells, which makes it

challenging to provoke an immune response with immunotherapy drugs (Ren, Guo *et al.* 2022).

To investigate whether the increased prevalence of metastasis in CRD mice resulted from

changes in the TME, we characterized different immune cell populations in the TME of LD and

CRD tumors using scRNA-seq, flow cytometry, and multiplexing immunostaining (MxIF). We

determined whether CRD could influence the proportion of various immune cells in the TME

and, in turn, promote tumor progression and metastasis. scRNA-seq with GEMM tumors showed

that CRD reduced cytotoxic T-cell infiltration (Fig. 4A) and enhanced regulatory T-cell (T_{reg})

infiltration (Fig. 4B). CRD induced M2-macrophage populations while decreasing M1-

macrophage populations (Fig. 4C). There was an increase in S100A4$^+$ and α-smooth muscle

actin (α-SMA$^+$) CAF populations in CRD-induced tumors compared to those in LD tumors (Fig.

4D). CRD increased EMT gene expressions, such as fibronectin 1 (*FN1*) and Snail (Snail 1) (Fig.

4E). Flow cytometry analysis with 4T1 tumors supported the scRNA seq data. FACS showed that

CRD significantly enhanced the T_{reg} (CD4$^+$FoxP3$^+$) population (Fig. 4F). CRD induced M2-

macrophage infiltration while reducing M1-macrophage infiltration (Fig. 4G). Tumors from mice

under CRD conditions exhibited a ratio (M1:M2) that favored M2-mediated immunosuppression

(Fig. 4H) and facilitated tumor growth. CRD significantly enhanced CD45$^+$ immune cell

population in tumors (Fig. 4I). CRD reduced CD8 T-cells (cytotoxic T-cells) modestly, but not

significantly (Fig. 4J). Our MxIF assay showed that CD8 T-cell infiltration was decreased, and

FOXP3 (T_{reg}) expression was enhanced in CRD-induced GEMM tumors compared with those in

LD GEMM tumors (Fig. 4K), making the tumor "cold." Our data suggest that CRD enhances

tumor progression and lung metastasis by enhancing the immunosuppressive microenvironment

and increasing the CSC population. Our scRNA-seq, FACS, and MxIF results confirmed the

"cold" characteristics of CRD tumors. The gating strategy used for flow cytometry is shown in

Fig. S6. Chemokines play a pivotal role in the migration pattern of immune cells into the tumor

and facilitate the immunosuppressive TME by enhancing the differentiation and infiltration of

immunosuppressive cells, such as T_{reg} cells, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), and

tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). To investigate whether CRD altered the chemokine-

cytokine network in the studied mice, we quantified the levels of 40 circulating chemokines in

the plasma of LD and CRD mice using a Proteome Profiler. Marked increases in circulating IFN-

γ, IL-1β, G-CSF, and IL-16 levels were observed in CRD mice (Fig. S7A). As plasma

cytokine/chemokine levels do not represent the TME, we used the data from our scRNA-seq and

real-time PCR to assess chemokine/cytokine network expression levels in LD and CRD tumors.

Levels of specific cytokines/chemokines known to favor an immunosuppressive

microenvironment (Vilgelm and Richmond 2019), such as CCL12 (Fig. 4L) and CXCL5(Fig.

4M), were upregulated in CRD-induced GEMM tumors. Levels of CCL28, known to induce T_{reg}

infiltration and create an immunosuppressive environment (Yang 2017), were upregulated in

CRD tumors, as shown using scRNA-seq (Fig. S7B), but not significantly, as shown using real-

time PCR (Fig. S7C). Levels of IL-17β and IL10, were upregulated modestly (but not

significantly) in CRD-induced 4T1 tumors (Fig. S7C).

Malignant human breast cancer cells have high CRD

Earlier studies (Hadadi 2020) and the present study used GEMMs to investigate the effect of

CRD on tumorigenesis. However, more insights are needed into the molecular mechanisms

underlying CRD at the single-cell level and in human tumors. To investigate the process

underlying CRD during mammary tumorigenesis, we used a scRNA sequence dataset from a

previously published study (Wu, Al-Eryani *et al.* 2021). The dataset included TNBC, HER2-

positive, and luminal tumors. Using large-scale copy number variation (CNV) from the

transcriptomics data (Tirosh, Izar *et al.* 2016), we separated definitive malignant cells from

potential nonmalignant cell populations in TNBC (Fig. 5A), luminal (ER$^+$/PR$^+$) (Fig. S8A), and

HER2 tumors (Fig. S8B). Based on a previous study (He, Fan *et al.* 2022), the CRD score was

calculated using the expression of 358 circadian-related genes (He, Fan *et al*. 2022). Next, we

quantified the CRD scores of malignant (and nonmalignant) cells in different types of human

breast tumors. Aggressive TNBC comprised significantly (P < 0.01) more malignant cells with

high CRD scores (Fig. 5B) than luminal tumors (Fig. S8C). Malignant cells in HER2 tumors also

showed a high CRD score (Fig S8D). *Cry1*, *Per1*, and *Per2* expression decreased in TNBC

malignant cells compared to non-malignant cells, as shown using the scRNA-seq dataset (Fig.

5C). To define the role of CRD in large patient populations, we used publicly available RNA-seq

data from tumors (N=1104 patients) and surrounding tissues (N = 113) of patients with breast

cancer in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). The expression of core clock genes (*Per1*, *Per2*,

Per3, *Cry2*, *Rorc*, *Rorb*, *Nr1d1*, and *Arnt2*) was significantly (P < 0.05) lower in the tumors than

in the surrounding tissues (Fig. 5D). These data suggest that the core clock is disrupted in human

breast tumors.

CRD creates "cold" tumors by inducing LILRB4 expression

Next, to identify the molecular mechanism that regulates the CRD-induced immunosuppressive

TME, we searched for transcripts differentially expressed between the samples (mammary

tumors and glands) collected from mice exposed to ordinary light and dark conditions (LD

12:12) and mice subjected to CRD. Differential gene expression (DGE) analysis of our scRNA-

seq data revealed that LILRB4 was upregulated in the tumor samples from CRD-induced

GEMMs (Fig. 6A). LILRB4, an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif-containing

receptor, suppresses T-cell activity via a signaling pathway involving apolipoprotein E (APOE),

SH2-domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase-2 (SHP-2), urokinase-like plasminogen activator (uPAR or Plaur), and arginase 1 (ARG-1) (*29*). Recent studies have shown that LILRB4 creates an immunosuppressive microenvironment in AML (Deng, Gui *et al*. 2018) and solid cancers (Sharma 2021) by inhibiting CD8$^+$ T-cell infiltration and inducing T$_{reg}$. Real-time PCR showed that CRD enhanced LILRB4 levels significantly in the CRD-induced GEMM tumors (Fig. 6B), and CRD-induced 4T1 tumors (Fig. 6C). The immunofluorescence analysis showed an elevation of ARG1 expression in CRD-induced GEMM tumors (Fig. 6D). The transcript level of ARG1 was significantly increased in CRD-induced tumors (Fig. 6E). Increased LILRB4 expression enhanced the immunosuppressive TME as CD8 infiltration decreased, whereas M2-macrophage (CD163) and T$_{reg}$ (FOXP3) populations increased in CRD-induced mammary tumors from GEMMs, as shown using immunofluorescence assay (Fig. 6F). Furthermore, LILRB4 transcript levels were significantly increased in CRD-induced mammary glands (Fig. 6G). Mammary gland LILRB4 transcript levels exhibited robust diurnal oscillations, increasing during the light phase under normal and dark conditions. Under CRD, the amplitude of this rhythm was dampened, but the phase was reserved (or only slightly shifted), and the transcript level peaked during the dark phase (Fig. S9B). The transcript level of ARG1 increased modestly but non-significantly in the CRD-induced mammary glands (Fig. S9C). The transcript level of the chemokine CCL12, increased significantly in CRD-induced mammary glands (Fig. S9D). The transcript level of CXCL5 is raised modestly but not significantly in CRD-induced mammary glands (Fig. S9E). Overall, these changes in the immune milieus of the CRD-induced mammary microenvironment can decrease adaptive immunity and enhance susceptibility to cancer and other diseases.

To investigate whether LILRB4 expression was responsible for creating an immunosuppressive

TME, we explored the effects of LILRB4-immunotherapy on tumor progression in CRD mice by

treating them with an LILRB4 antibody (Sharma 2021). Briefly, 4T1 cells were orthotopically

transplanted into BALB/cJ mice to develop TNBC tumors. Four to five days (~4-5) days after

the transplantation, once palpable tumors developed, mice were placed into LD or CRD

condition. The LILRB4 antibody was administered on days 6, 9, and 12 after developing

palpable tumors. Mice were sacrificed 3 weeks after the development of palpable tumors (day

21) (Fig. 4H), and lung metastasis and the TME were examined. We observed a significant

decrease (P<0.0001) in the prevalence of lung metastasis in LILRB4-antibody treated mice under

CRD condition (Fig. 6I). Flow cytometric analysis showed an inhibition of the T_{reg} cell

population in LILRB4-antibody treated tumors under CRD condition, which alleviate the

immunosuppressive microenvironment (Fig. 6J). LILRB4-targeted therapy inhibited ARG1

transcript level (Fig. 6K) in CRD-induced tumors. We also observed a modest but non-significant

decrease in CCL12 (Fig. S9F) and CXCL5 (Fig. S9G) transcript levels in CRD-induced tumors.

No significant difference was observed in the number of lung metastasis foci in LD mice treated

with the LILRB4-antibody (Fig S9H). LILRB4-antibody treatment under LD conditions does not

decrease the T_{reg} population (Fig. S9I), ARG1 transcript level (Fig. S9J), and the chemokines

(CCL12, CXCL5) (Fig. S9K, L) significantly. These findings fortify the notion that elevated

LILRB4 contributes to CRD-induced aggressive tumorigenesis.

Discussion

Following the chronic jet lag protocol, here, we showed that CRD interrupted the biological

clock, affected the mammary gland morphology, and accelerated aggressive basal mammary

tumorigenesis and lung metastasis by altering the TME. CRD induced an immunosuppressive

TME and turned the tumors "cold." Malignant human breast cancer cells were shown to have

high CRD scores. We also identified the molecular mechanisms underlying CRD-induced

aggressive mammary tumorigenesis: CRD created "cold" tumors by inducing LILRB4

expression.

CRD-induced mammary glands exhibited underdeveloped (and abnormal) TEBs and

reduced branching morphology. CRD-induced mice showed mammary gland ductal hyperplasia,

which can be the early sign of ductal cancer (Behbod, Gomes and Machado 2018), as seen by

H&E and Ki67 staining. This indicates the role of the circadian clock in mammary gland

development and the potential role of CRD in breast cancer initiation. Our study showed that

CRD accelerated spontaneous mammary tumor initiation and lung metastasis but not tumor

progression, indicating that CRD affects the early events of tumor initiation, rather than the

growth of the established tumors. LILRB4 expression was increased in mammary glands and

CRD-induced mammary tumors, revealing an unidentified mechanism responsible for CRD-

induced tumorigenesis, lung metastasis, and mammary gland development. The jet lag protocol

used here mimics the effects of rotating shift work or frequent eastbound trans-meridian flights

and has been previously shown to cause severe perturbations of circadian rhythmicity

(Papagiannakopoulos, Bauer *et al*. 2016, Hadadi, Taylor *et al.* 2020, Pariollaud, Ibrahim et al.

2022). Consistent with the results of published studies (Pariollaud, Ibrahim et al. 2022), we

found that CRD affected the rhythmicity of many clock genes (e.g., *Clock, Bmal1, Per1*, and

Cry1) in the mammary glands; however, *Per2* expression remained rhythmic after CRD,

indicating that *Per2* is more sensitive to phase entrainment signals of molecular oscillators in

peripheral tissues.

Using scRNA-seq and TCGA data, we showed that the expression of certain circadian

genes was decreased in aggressive human TNBCs compared with that in the surrounding

mammary tissues. Our analysis also revealed that the malignant cells in aggressive human

TNBCs had higher CRD scores and downregulated *PER1*, *PER2*, and *CRY1* significantly than

nonmalignant cells.

Our study showed that CRD induced an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment,

which could be involved in increased tumor burden in mice maintained under the CRD schedule.

The TME consists of natural killer cells, $CD8^+$ T cells, $CD4^+$ helper T (T_h) cells,

proinflammatory macrophages (M1), and DCs, which elicit antitumor immune responses,

whereas the presence of MDSCs and $FOXP3^+$ T_{reg} counteracts tumor immunity (Labani-

Motlagh, Ashja-Mahdavi and Loskog 2020). Our scRNA-seq study showed that CRD decreased

cytotoxic T-cell infiltration and the DC population. This supports the recent finding that DC and

T-cell-autonomous circadian clocks are responsible for time-of-the-day-dependent antitumor

effects (Wang, Barnoud *et al.* 2023). CRD-induced $S100a4^+$ CAF, and α-SMA^+ CAF populations

are known to promote tumor progression and metastasis and contribute to an immunosuppressive

TME (Costa, Kieffer *et al.* 2018). We found an increase in the M2 macrophage population and a

decrease in the M1 macrophage population in CRD tumors. M2 macrophages play a significant

role in creating an immunosuppressive microenvironment (Hao, Lu *et al.* 2012), whereas M1

macrophages induce inflammation and kill tumor cells (Hao, Lu *et al.* 2012, Pan, Yu *et al.* 2020).

Our FACS results corroborated the scRNA-seq results and confirmed that CRD enhanced the T_{reg}

and M2 macrophage population. CRD increased the leukocyte ($CD45^+$) population,

corroborating that the jet lag schedule enhances leukocyte levels in melanoma (Aiello, Fedele *et*

al. 2020).

Our scRNA-seq and real-time PCR studies showed that CRD induced a pro-tumorigenic and

immunosuppressive microenvironment by increasing the expression of CCL12 and CXCL5. The

upregulation of CCL12 (human orthologs of CCL2) (Yang J 2020) and CXCL5 reportedly leads

to the accumulation of MDSCs (Li, Shi *et al.* 2021). MDSCs create an immunosuppressive

environment by suppressing T-cell responses and CD8$^+$ T-cell infiltration (Li, Shi *et al.* 2021).

CRD also enhances CCL28 production, which leads to the recruitment of T$_{reg}$ cells in the TME

(Ren, Yu *et al.* 2016).

We further demonstrated that CRD enhanced the expression of LILRB4 *in vivo* in healthy

mammary glands and mammary tumors. Recent studies have shown that LILRB4 creates an

immunosuppressive microenvironment in AML (Deng, Gui *et al.* 2018) and solid cancers

(Sharma 2021) by inhibiting CD8$^+$ T-cell infiltration and inducing T$_{reg}$. LILRB4 inhibited the

differentiation of CD8$^+$ and CD4$^+$ T cells (Deng, Gui *et al.* 2018). By activating the JAK/STAT

signaling pathway, LILRB4 controls the expression of cytokines in macrophages (Truong, Hong

et al. 2019). In different malignant cancers, LILRB4 expression in MDSCs is associated with

decreased survival in patients (de Goeje, Bezemer *et al.* 2015). A recent study showed that

LILRB4 blockade increased the proportions of tumor immune infiltrates, effector (T$_{eff}$) levels,

and altered the TME toward reduced immunosuppression in solid tumors (Sharma 2021).

Together, these findings reveal that LILRB4 creates an immunosuppressive microenvironment,

thereby decreasing its antitumor efficacy. Our study showed that CRD activated LILRB4

signaling in healthy mammary glands and aggressive TNBCs. Using real-time PCR and

immunofluorescence analysis, we observed increased *ARG1* expression in CRD-induced tumors.

Analysis of the TME using multiplexing immunostaining showed an immunosuppressive

microenvironment as the cytotoxic T-cell and M1 macrophage populations decreased. In

contrast, T_{reg}, and M2 macrophage populations were increased in CRD tumors. We found that the

disruption of circadian rhythm upregulated LILRB4 expression, which correlated with mammary

tumor progression and abnormal morphology in the mammary glands.

CRD upregulated the expression of immunosuppressive chemokines (CCL12) in mouse

mammary glands. CCL2 (an orthologous of mouse CCL12) increases stromal density and

elevates cancer risk (Sun, Glynn *et al.* 2017). An immunosuppressive environment can increase

susceptibility to opportunistic infections (Shu Kurizky, Dos Santos Neto *et al.* 2020) in CRD-

induced patients. This study demonstrated how an inhibitory immune receptor altered the TME

and influenced aggressive mammary tumorigenesis in response to CRD. We showed that the

targeted LILRB4 immunotherapy reduced CRD-induced lung metastasis by inhibiting

immunosuppressive TME.

Our findings suggest that LILRB4 is a potential therapeutic target for mitigating CRD-

induced cancer risk in populations exposed to chronic CRD, such as shift workers. LILRB4

inhibition was also found to improve the immunosuppressive microenvironment. However, how

the disruption of the cell-autonomous molecular clock by CRD could enhance LILRB4

expression remains unknown. Further investigations are needed to determine why and how CRD

enhances LILRB4 expression. Additional investigations are required to determine whether the

chronic elevation of LILRB4 levels in the mammary glands in response to CRD occurs early in

the disease process and whether LILRB4 is present in other anatomical locations.

In conclusion, our study provides the link between CRD and aggressive basal mammary

tumorigenesis, metastasis, and abnormal mammary gland morphology. Based on our data, we

propose a signaling cascade (**Fig. 7**) as a possible mechanism behind CRD-induced mammary

gland disruption, enhanced aggressive tumorigenesis, lung metastasis, and immunosuppressive

TME.

609
List of abbreviations:

LD   Light and Dark
CRD   Circadian Rhythm Disruption
LILRB4   leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor 4
TNBC   Triple-negative Breast Cancer
ER   Estrogen receptor
PR   Progesterone receptor
HER2   Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2
SCN   Suprachiasmatic nucleus
CLOCK   Circadian locomotor output cycles kaput
BMAL-1   Brain and muscle Arnt-like protein-1
PAS   Per-Arnt-Sim domain
PER   Period
CRY   Cryptochrome
TME   Tumor microenvironment
GEMM   Genetically engineered mouse model
FVB   Friend LeukemiaVirus B
TEB   Terminal end bud
H&E   Hematoxylin and Eosin
AGR   Albumin-to-globulin ratio
scRNA-seq   Single-cell RNA sequence
CD   Cluster of differentiation
KLF2   Krüppel-like factors2
SLC2A1   Solute Carrier Family 2 Member 1
TRPS1   Transcriptional Repressor GATA Binding 1
TOP2A   Topoisomerase II Alpha
FTH1   Ferritin heavy chain 1
NES   Nestin

638	CLEC11A	C-Type Lectin Domain Containing 11A
639	S100A4	S100 Calcium Binding Protein A4
640	RPL35A	Ribosomal Protein L35a
641	FXYD3	FXYD Domain Containing Ion Transport Regulator 3
642	COL1A2	Collagen Type I Alpha 2 Chain
643	EPCAM	Epithelial cellular adhesion molecule
644	CDK	Cyclin-dependent kinase
645	M1	Type1 macrophage
646	M2	Type2 macrophage
647	CAFs	Cancer-associated fibroblasts
648	L-R	Ligand-receptor
649	FGF	Fibroblast growth factor
650	PDGF	Platelet-derived growth factor
651	TNF	Tumor necrosis factor
652	NOTCH	Neurogenic locus notch homolog protein
653	TGFβ	transforming growth factor-beta
654	CoA	Coenzyme A
655	MxIF	Multiplex immunofluorescence
656	Treg	Regulatory T-cell
657	α-SMA	Alpha-smooth muscle actin
658	FN1	fibronectin 1
659	FACS	Fluorescence-activated cell sorting
660	FoxP3	forkhead box P3
661	CSC	Cancer stem cell
662	MDSCs	Myeloid-derived suppressor cells
663	TAMs	Tumor-associated macrophages
664	IFN	Interferon
665	IL	Interleukin
666	G-CSF	Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor
667	CCL2/12	Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2/12
668	CXCL5	C-X-C motif chemokine 5

PCR Polymerase chain reaction

TCGA The Cancer Genome Atlas

DGE Differential gene expression

APOE apolipoprotein E

SHP-2 SH2 domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase-2

uPAR Urokinase-like plasminogen activator

ARG1 Arginase 1

AML Acute Myeloid Leukemia

mIHC multiplex immunofluorescence histochemistry

ARNT2 Aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator 2

NR1D1 Nuclear Receptor Subfamily 1 Group D Member 1

RORB & C RAR Related Orphan Receptor B and C

ITIMs Immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motifs

ITAMs Immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activating motifs

APCs Antigen-presenting cells

JAK/STAT Janus kinase/signal transducers and activators of transcription

Availability of data and materials

All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the
Supplementary Materials.
688
689 **Competing interests:** Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
690
691 **Funding:** This work was funded by the Texas A&M University Faculty Development Grant (to
692 T.R.S) and Strategic Transformative Research Funds (STRP to TRS), NIH grant NIH 5
693 P01HL160471 (to A.K) and NIH R01NS131628 (to A.K), NIH R35GM151020 (to J.J), and NSF
694 CCF-1934904 (to B.M).
695
Author contributions: Conceptualization: T.R.S. Methodology: O.O., M.S., A.C, D.J.B., T.N.,
D.F., Y.J-H., J.J and T.R.S. Investigation: O.O., M.S., A.C, D.J.B., T.N.,C.,N., T.R.S.
Visualization: O.O, M.S., D.F., YJ-H., T.R.S. Supervision: T.R.S. Analysis of data: T.R.S., B.M.,
J.J., A.K. Writing—original draft: O.O., M.S., T.R.S. Review and editing: A.K., B.M., L.F and
J.J.
Acknowledgments
We thank Dr. Mahul Chakraborty for helping with real-time PCR, Dr. Gus Wright for helping
with flow cytometry experiments and analysis, histology core facility (Texas A&M University)

for histology work, Ashley Nguyen, David Arreola, Subiksha Sankar, and Aaryan Amin for
helping with circadian cabinets.
713 **Reference:**

714
715 Ai, D., et al. (2021). "TRPS1: a highly sensitive and specific marker for breast carcinoma,
716 especially for triple-negative breast cancer." Modern Pathology **34**(4): 710-719.

717
718 Aiello, I., et al. (2020). "Circadian disruption promotes tumor-immune microenvironment
719 remodeling favoring tumor cell proliferation." Sci Adv **6**(42).

720
721 Alluri, P. and L. A. Newman (2014). "Basal-Like and Triple-Negative Breast Cancers: Searching for
722 Positives Among Many Negatives." Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America **23**(3): 567-577.

723
724 Almansour, N. M. (2022). "Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: A Brief Review About Epidemiology,
725 Risk Factors, Signaling Pathways, Treatment and Role of Artificial Intelligence." Front Mol. Biosci
726 **9**.

727
728 Anders, C. and L. A. Carey (2008). "Understanding and treating triple-negative breast cancer."
729 Oncology (Williston Park) **22**(11): 1233-1239; discussion 1239-1240, 1243.

730
731 Baker, S. J., et al. (2022). "CDK4: a master regulator of the cell cycle and its role in cancer."
732 Genes Cancer **13**: 21-45.

733
734 Battaglin, F., et al. (2021). "Clocking cancer: the circadian clock as a target in cancer therapy."
735 Oncogene **40**(18): 3187-3200.

736
737 Behbod, F., et al. (2018). "Modeling Human Ductal Carcinoma In Situ in the Mouse." J Mammary
738 Gland Biol Neoplasia **23**(4): 269-278.

739
Bernal, A. and L. Arranz (2018). "Nestin-expressing progenitor cells: function, identity and
therapeutic implications." Cell Mol Life Sci **75**(12): 2177-2195.

Binnewies, M., et al. (2018). "Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for
effective therapy." Nat Med **24**(5): 541-550.

Blakeman, V., et al. (2016). "Circadian clocks and breast cancer." Breast Cancer Res **18**(1): 89.

Casiraghi, L. P., et al. (2012). "Forced Desynchronization of Activity Rhythms in a Model of
Chronic Jet Lag in Mice." Journal of Biological Rhythms **27**(1): 59-69.

CDC (2015). "Work Organization Characteristics (NHIS 2015) Charts; National Health Interview
Survey (NHIS 2015)." https://wwwn.cdc.gov/Niosh-whc/chart/OHS-
WORKORG?OU=*&T=OU&V=R.

Chun, S. K., et al. (2022). "Disruption of the circadian clock drives Apc loss of heterozygosity to
accelerate colorectal cancer." Science Advances **8**(32): eabo2389.

Costa, A., et al. (2018). "Fibroblast Heterogeneity and Immunosuppressive Environment in
Human Breast Cancer." Cancer Cell **33**(3): 463-479.e410.

de Goeje, P. L., et al. (2015). "Immunoglobulin-like transcript 3 is expressed by myeloid-derived
suppressor cells and correlates with survival in patients with non-small cell lung cancer."
Oncoimmunology **4**(7): e1014242.

Deng, M., et al. (2018). "LILRB4 signalling in leukaemia cells mediates T cell suppression and
tumour infiltration." Nature **562**(7728): 605-609.

Elston, C. W. and I. O. Ellis (2002). "Pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer. I. The value
of histological grade in breast cancer: experience from a large study with long-term follow-up."
Histopathology **41**(3A): 154-161.

Fei, F., et al. (2017). "Role of metastasis-induced protein S100A4 in human non-tumor
pathophysiologies." Cell Biosci **7**: 64.

Finak, G., et al. (2015). "MAST: a flexible statistical framework for assessing transcriptional
changes and characterizing heterogeneity in single-cell RNA sequencing data." Genome Biology
16(1): 278.

Grosche, L., et al. (2020). "The CD83 Molecule - An Important Immune Checkpoint." Front
Immunol **11**: 721.

Hadadi, E., et al. (2020). "Chronic circadian disruption modulates breast cancer stemness and
immune microenvironment to drive metastasis in mice." Nature Communications **11**(1): 3193.

Hadadi, E., Taylor, W., Li, X. M., Aslan, Y., Villote, M., Rivière, J., Duvallet, G., Auriau, C., Dulong,
S., Raymond-Letron, I., Provot, S., Bennaceur-Griscelli, A., & Acloque, H. (2020). "Chronic
circadian disruption modulates breast cancer stemness and immune microenvironment to drive
metastasis in mice." Nature communications **11**(1).

Han, C., et al. (2020). "Biomarkers for cancer-associated fibroblasts." Biomark Res **8**(1): 64.

Hao, N. B., et al. (2012). "Macrophages in tumor microenvironments and the progression of
tumors." Clin Dev Immunol **2012**: 948098.

Hao, Y., et al. (2021). "Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data." Cell **184**(13): 3573-
3587.e3529.

He, J., et al. (2017). "Prognostic Effect of Albumin-to-Globulin Ratio in Patients with solid
tumors: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis." J Cancer **8**(19): 4002-4010.

He, L., et al. (2022). "Single-cell transcriptomic analysis reveals circadian rhythm disruption
associated with poor prognosis and drug-resistance in lung adenocarcinoma." J Pineal Res **73**(1):
e12803.

Hemmer, A., et al. (2021). "The Effects of Shift Work on Cardio-Metabolic Diseases and Eating
Patterns." Nutrients **13**(11).

Kelleher, F. C., et al. (2014). "Circadian molecular clocks and cancer." Cancer Lett **342**(1): 9-18.

Kersemans, V., et al. (2013). "Subcutaneous tumor volume measurement in the awake,
manually restrained mouse using MRI." Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging **37**(6): 1499-
1504.

Labani-Motlagh, A., et al. (2020). "The Tumor Microenvironment: A Milieu Hindering and
Obstructing Antitumor Immune Responses." Front Immunol **11**: 940.

Lee, S., et al. (2010). "Disrupting circadian homeostasis of sympathetic signaling promotes
tumor development in mice." PLoS One **5**(6): e10995.

BACKGROUND: Cell proliferation in all rapidly renewing mammalian tissues follows a circadian rhythm that is often disrupted in advanced-stage tumors. Epidemiologic studies have revealed a clear link between disruption of circadian rhythms and cancer development in humans. Mice lacking the circadian genes Period1 and 2 (Per) or Cryptochrome1 and 2 (Cry) are deficient in cell cycle regulation and Per2 mutant mice are cancer-prone. However, it remains unclear how circadian rhythm in cell proliferation is generated in vivo and why disruption of circadian rhythm may lead to tumorigenesis. METHODOLOGY/PRINCIPAL FINDINGS: Mice lacking Per1 and 2, Cry1 and 2, or one copy of Bmal1, all show increased spontaneous and radiation-induced tumor development. The neoplastic growth of Per-mutant somatic cells is not controlled cell-autonomously but is dependent upon extracellular mitogenic signals. Among the circadian output pathways, the rhythmic sympathetic signaling plays a key role in the central-peripheral timing mechanism that simultaneously activates the cell cycle clock via AP1-controlled Myc induction and p53 via peripheral clock-controlled ATM activation. Jet-lag promptly desynchronizes the central clock-SNS-peripheral clock axis, abolishes the peripheral clock-dependent ATM activation, and activates myc oncogenic potential, leading to tumor development in the same organ systems in wild-type and circadian gene-mutant mice. CONCLUSIONS/SIGNIFICANCE: Tumor suppression in vivo is a clock-controlled physiological function. The central circadian clock paces extracellular mitogenic signals that drive peripheral clock-controlled expression of key cell cycle and tumor suppressor genes to generate a circadian rhythm in cell proliferation. Frequent disruption of circadian rhythm is an important tumor promoting factor.

Li, K., et al. (2021). "Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as immunosuppressive regulators and therapeutic targets in cancer." Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy **6**(1): 362.

Li, M., et al. (2023). "FXYD3 functionally demarcates an ancestral breast cancer stem cell subpopulation with features of drug-tolerant persisters." J Clin Invest **133**(22).

Maroulakou, I. G., et al. (1994). "Prostate and mammary adenocarcinoma in transgenic mice carrying a rat C3(1) simian virus 40 large tumor antigen fusion gene." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **91**(23): 11236-11240.

Merrick, D., et al. (2019). "Identification of a mesenchymal progenitor cell hierarchy in adipose tissue." Science **364**(6438).

Meyer, J. S., et al. (2011). "Optic vesicle-like structures derived from human pluripotent stem cells facilitate a customized approach to retinal disease treatment." Stem Cells **29**(8): 1206-1218.

859   Moreira, A. C., et al. (2022) H-Ferritin Produced by Myeloid Cells Is Released to the Circulation
860   and Plays a Major Role in Liver Iron Distribution during Infection. International Journal of
861   Molecular Sciences **23**, DOI: 10.3390/ijms23010269

862
863   Neubauer, E., et al. (2016). "Comparative evaluation of three proliferation markers, Ki-67,
864   TOP2A, and RacGAP1, in bronchopulmonary neuroendocrine neoplasms: Issues and prospects."
865   Oncotarget **7**(27): 41959-41973.

866
867   Pan, Y., et al. (2020). "Tumor-Associated Macrophages in Tumor Immunity." Front Immunol **11**:
868   583084.

869
870   Panda, S., et al. (2002). "Coordinated transcription of key pathways in the mouse by the
871   circadian clock." Cell **109**(3): 307-320.

872
873   Papagiannakopoulos, T., et al. (2016). "Circadian Rhythm Disruption Promotes Lung
874   Tumorigenesis." Cell Metabolism **24**(2): 324-331.

875
876   Pariollaud, M., et al. (2022). "Circadian disruption enhances HSF1 signaling and tumorigenesis in
877   Kras-driven lung cancer." Science Advances **8**(39): eabo1123.

878
879   Ren, L., et al. (2016). "Hypoxia-induced CCL28 promotes recruitment of regulatory T cells and
880   tumor growth in liver cancer." Oncotarget **7**(46): 75763-75773.

881
882   Ren, X., et al. (2022). "Immunological Classification of Tumor Types and Advances in Precision
883   Combination Immunotherapy." Front Immunol **13**: 790113.

884
885   Rodriguez de la Fuente, L., et al. (2021). "Tumor dissociation of highly viable cell suspensions for
886   single-cell omic analyses in mouse models of breast cancer." STAR Protoc **2**(4): 100841.

887
888   Sangwung, P., et al. (2017). "KLF2 and KLF4 control endothelial identity and vascular integrity."
889   JCI Insight **2**(4): e91700.

890
891   Schernhammer, E. S., et al. (2001). "Rotating night shifts and risk of breast cancer in women
892   participating in the nurses' health study." J Natl Cancer Inst **93**(20): 1563-1568.

893
Schmid, B., et al. (2011). "A New ImageJ Plug-in "ActogramJ" for Chronobiological Analyses."
Journal of Biological Rhythms **26**(5): 464-467.

Sharma, N., Atolagbe, O., Ge, Z., Allison, J (2021). "LILRB4 suppresses immunity in solid tumors
and is a potential target for immunotherapy." J of Exp Medicine **218**(7).

Shu Kurizky, P., et al. (2020). "Opportunistic tropical infections in immunosuppressed patients."
Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol **34**(4): 101509.

Stephenson, E. M., et al. (2021). "Cancer clocks in tumourigenesis: the p53 pathway and
beyond." Endocrine-Related Cancer **28**(4): R95-R110.

Storch, K. F., et al. (2002). "Extensive and divergent circadian gene expression in liver and heart."
Nature **417**(6884): 78-83.

Strobl, H., et al. (1998). "Identification of CD68+lin− Peripheral Blood Cells with Dendritic
Precursor Characteristics1." The Journal of Immunology **161**(2): 740-748.

Sun, X., et al. (2017). "CCL2-driven inflammation increases mammary gland stromal density and
cancer susceptibility in a transgenic mouse model." Breast Cancer Res **19**(1): 4.

Tirosh, I., et al. (2016). "Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by
single-cell RNA-seq." Science **352**(6282): 189-196.

Truong, A. D., et al. (2019). "Characterization and functional analyses of novel chicken leukocyte
immunoglobulin-like receptor subfamily B members 4 and 5." Poult Sci **98**(12): 6989-7002.

Trzpis, M., et al. (2007). "Epithelial cell adhesion molecule: more than a carcinoma marker and
adhesion molecule." Am J Pathol **171**(2): 386-395.

Usary, J., Darr, UB., Pfefferle, A, Perou, C. (2017). "Genetically Engineered Mouse Models of
Distinct Breast Cancer Subtypes." Curr Protoc Pharmacol **72**(14): 38.31-38.11.

Van Dycke, K. C. G., et al. (2015). "Chronically Alternating Light Cycles Increase Breast Cancer
Risk in Mice." Current biology : CB **25**(14): 1932-1937.

Vilgelm, A. E. and A. Richmond (2019). "Chemokines Modulate Immune Surveillance in
Tumorigenesis, Metastasis, and Response to Immunotherapy." Frontiers in Immunology **10**.

Vitaterna, M. H., et al. (2019). "Genetics of Circadian Rhythms." <u>Neurol Clin</u> **37**(3): 487-504.

Wang, C., et al. (2023). "Dendritic cells direct circadian anti-tumour immune responses." <u>Nature</u>
614(7946): 136-143.

Wang, Y., et al. (2023). "Cell death-related biomarker SLC2A1 has a significant role in prognosis
prediction and immunotherapy efficacy evaluation in pan-cancer." <u>Frontiers in Genetics</u> **13**.

Wu, G., Sun, D., Liao, Y., Shang, K., Lu, C. (2021). "RPL35A is a key promotor involved in the
development and progression of gastric cancer." <u>Cancer Cell International</u> **21**(497).

Wu, S. Z., et al. (2021). "A single-cell and spatially resolved atlas of human breast cancers."
<u>Nature genetics</u> **53**(9): 1334-1347.

Wu, T., et al. (2021). "clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics
data." <u>The Innovation</u> **2**(3): 100141.

Yang J, A. M., Ling S, Teitz-Tennenbaum S, Zemans RL, Osterholzer JJ, Sisson TH, Kim KK. (2020).
"Diverse Injury Pathways Induce Alveolar Epithelial Cell CCL2/12, Which Promotes Lung
Fibrosis." <u>Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.</u> **62**(5): 622-632.

Yang, Z., L., Liu, K.Y., Lin, F.J., Shi, H.M., Ou, Z.L. (2017). "CCL28 promotes breast cancer growth
and metastasis through MAPK-mediated cellular anti-apoptosis and pro-metastasis." <u>Oncology</u>
<u>Reports</u> **38**(3): 1393-1401.

Yuan, X., et al. (2018). "Night Shift Work Increases the Risks of Multiple Primary Cancers in
Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of 61 Articles." <u>Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers</u>
<u>Prev</u> **27**(1): 25-40.

962
963

Figures

966
Fig. 1. CRD severely impairs rhythmicity and disrupts mammary gland morphogenesis.
(A) Schematic representation of the CRD protocol. **(B)** FVB female mice were housed under LD
12:12 or CRD for 8 weeks. Representative actograms of LD and CRD mice. LD: 12 h light and
12 h dark; CRD: circadian rhythm disruption using jet lag protocol, represented by a shortening
of the dark period by 8 h every third day. **(C)** Mammary glands were collected at the indicated
times. Gene expression normalized to GAPDH expression was measured using quantitative real-
time PCR data represented as mean ± SEM for n=3 females per time point and light condition.
Rhythmicity was determined using JTK_Cycle analyses; *PJTKcycle < 0.05, **PJTKcycle <
0.01, ***PJTKcycle < 0.001, and ****PJTKcycle < 0.0001. CRD disrupts branching
morphogenesis in WT virgin FVB mice. **(D, E, F)** Representative images of branching and
development of terminal ducts in LD and CRD animals are shown in LD and CRD-induced
mammary glands **(D, E, F)**, scale bar: 350 μm, (*P < 0.05, error bars indicate SEM, n = 3). **(G)**
The effect of CRD on mammary ductal hyperplasia is shown by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)
staining of the mammary gland **(G)** and by using Ki67 **(H)** of the mammary glands. Scale bar:
100 μm.

Fig. 2. CRD increases aggressive mammary tumorigenesis and lung metastasis. Experimental timeline for evaluation of the effect of CRD on spontaneous TNBC in (FVB-Tg(C3-1-TAg)cJeg (C3-TAg) mice **(A)**. Dashed lines highlight the start and end points of the experiment. **(B)** Tumor burden (tumor to body weight ratio) as % in LD (n = 9) or CRD (n = 10) conditions. Column data represent the mean ± SEM values for individual animals. The effect of CRD on tumor initiation is shown in **(C)**. Number of metastatic foci in the lungs of LD (n=6) and CRD (n =7) mice at the time of their sacrifice is shown in **(D)**. P-value obtained from a binomial two-sided test. Indicated (n) represents the number of independent experiments as biological replicates. Metastatic foci in the lungs are shown using H&E staining, scale bar 150 μm **(E)**. CRD-induced lung metastasis in 4T1 tumor model in BALB/cJ mice as shown by the graphical representation **(F)** and H&E staining **(G),** scale bar 100 μm. **(H)** The percentage of CD49f-breast cancer stem cell (CSC) subpopulations in LD and CRD tumors is shown as a graph. Results represent the mean ± SEM of 3–4 independent experiments. P-value obtained from an unpaired two-sided *t*-test. Mammosphere formation efficiency (MFE%) of LD and CRD tumor cells (n =3) is shown in Figure. **(I)** with lines indicating the mean ± SEM. P-value obtained from an unpaired two-sided *t*-test. The formation of organoids (tumoroids) from LD and CRD tumors is shown in the **J**. Scale bar, 250 μm.

1001
Fig. 3. CRD alters the tumor microenvironment. Cell clusters from 10x Genomics scRNA-seq
analysis visualized using Uniform Manifold Approximation (UMAP). Colors indicate clusters of
various cell types **(A)**. Fraction of cells from tumors (LD and CRD) in each cluster **(B)**. Clusters
were annotated for their cell types as predicted using canonical markers and signature-based
annotation with Garnett. Expression markers for endothelial cells (*KLF2*), M2 macrophages
(*SLC2A1*), TNBC cancer cells (*TRPS1*), proliferating cells (*TOP2A*), myeloid cells (*FTH1*),
progenitor cells (*NES*), adipocytes (*CLEC11A*), mesenchymal cells (*S100A4*), angiogenic cells
(*RPL35A*), breast cancer stem cells (*FXYD3*), dendritic cells (*CD68*), cancer-associated fibroblast
(*COL1A2*), epithelial cells (*EPCAM*), cycling cells (*CDK4*), and B-cells (*CD83*). The
comparison of the total number of interactions among different cell populations between LD and

CRD tumors is shown in **C**. Edge width is proportional to the number of interactions, which
assesses the number of ligand–receptor pairs contributing to the communication between two
interacting cell populations. CellChat analysis quantitatively analyzes intercellular
communication networks **(D)**. The analysis shows differential ligand–receptor interactions
between LD and CRD tumors. Significant signaling pathways were ranked based on differences
in the information flow within the inferred networks between LD and CRD tumors. Dot plot
profiling of the Gene Ontology (GO) analyses in each cluster **(E)**. The dot plot represents the
average expression of GOs per cluster. The color gradient of dots represents the expression level,
whereas the size represents the percentage of cells expressing any genes per cluster. The number
indicates the total number of genes identified belonging to GO pathways significantly enriched
in the cluster.
1023

1024
Fig. 4. CRD turns tumors "cold." Single-cell RNA seq (scRNA Seq) study showed the
cytotoxic T cells **(A)**, regulatory T cells (T_reg) **(B)**, Macrophages (M1 and M2) **(C)**, cancer-
associated fibroblast (CAF) population based on S100a4, and α-SMA markers, and epithelial to
mesenchymal (EMT) markers (Fibronectin and Snail1) **(E)** in LD and CRD-induced tumors.
Flow cytometry revealed a significant increase in the FoxP3⁺ T_reg population in the tumors of
CRD mice (n = 3) **(F)**. The percentage of antitumor M1 macrophage population and pro-tumor
M2 macrophage population (n = 3-4) in LD and CRD-induced 4T1 tumors is shown in **(G)**, and
an overall ratio of M1/M2 is shown in **(H)**. The percentage of total leukocytes (CD45⁺ cells) in

LD and CRD-induced tumors is shown in **(I)**. P-value obtained from an unpaired two-sided *t*-
test. The percentage of cytotoxic T cells (CD8$^+$ T cells) in LD and CRD-induced tumors is shown
in **(J)**. Representative multiplexing immunostaining image (MxIF) of cytotoxic CD8 T-cell
(CD8, green), T$_{reg}$ (FOXP3, cyan) in the LD and CRD-induced GEMM tumors (n = 4) Scale
bar= 100μm **(K)**. The expression of chemokines CCL12 **(L)** and CXCL5 **(M),** is shown using
real-time PCR in LD and CRD-induced tumors (n = 3 - 4).
1039

Fig. 5. The human circadian clock is disrupted in breast cancer. UMAP of malignant and nonmalignant cells (total cells = 42,512) captured across patients with (n = 9) triple-negative breast tumors. Moving from the plot in **A**, 30,719 cells had an unknown inferCNV call and 11,793 had a known inferCNV call. These 11,793 single cells were used for downstream analysis to detect "malignant" and "nonmalignant" cells. The percentage of malignant and nonmalignant cells with CRD^{high} is shown in **B**. The mean normalized expression values of the core circadian genes by the cell type (malignant and nonmalignant) are presented in **C**. Red represents significant changes in expression between malignant and nonmalignant cells. **(D)** The mean expression of the clock genes (+/- standard error of mean) over patients by the type of tissue analyzed in TNBC tumors (n = 1104) and surrounding tissue (n = 113) using TCGA.

Fig. 6. CRD enhances LILRB4a expression to create an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. (**A**) Heatmaps of differentially expressed genes between LD 12:12 and CRD tumors identified using DESeq2 analyses of scRNA-seq. The transcript level of LILRB4 was analyzed in LD and CRD-induced GEMM tumors (**B**) and 4T1 tumors (**C**) using real-time PCR. (**D**) The expression of arginase 1 (ARG1, a downstream target of LILRB4a) was analyzed using immunofluorescence. Scale bar: 300μm (**E**) The transcript level of ARG1 in LD and CRD-induced GEMM tumors was analyzed using real-time PCR. (**F**) Representative MxIF showing the expression of LILRB4, CD8, CD163, and FOXP3 markers in LD and CRD-induced GEMM tumors. Scale bar: 50μm. (**G**) The transcript level of LILRB4 in LD and CRD-induced mammary glands was analyzed using real-time PCR. (**H**) 4T1 cells were injected into the mammary fat

pads of BALB/cJ mice for the LILRB4-targeted immunotherapy. The number of metastatic foci in the lungs of CRD (control) and CRD (LILRB4-antibody) mice at the time of their sacrifice is shown in **I.** The percentage of T_{reg} cells in control and LILRB4-antibody-treated CRD-induced tumors is shown in **J.** The transcript level of ARG1 in control and LILRB4-antibody-treated CRD-induced tumors is shown by real-time PCR **(K).**

Fig 7. Diagrammatic representation of the molecular mechanism of CRD-induced immunosuppressive microenvironment in breast cancer. CRD enhances aggressive TNBC and lung metastasis by creating an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. CRD also disrupts the mammary gland morphology. Our study revealed that CRD enhanced LILRB4 expression, which induces an immunosuppressive "cold" TME by increasing the M2 macrophage and T_{reg} populations and decreasing M1 macrophage infiltration. Inhibition of LILRB4 via LILRB4-targeted antibody alleviates CRD-induced immunosuppressive TME and inhibits lung metastasis.