Biphasic Internetwork Coordination is Funneled through an Electrical Synapse

Barathan Gnanabharathi
Savanna-Rae H Fahoum
Dawn M Blitz

Abbreviated Title: Biphasic Internetwork Coordination

Affiliations:
Department of Biology
Center for Neuroscience and Behavior
Miami University
Oxford OH 45056

Correspondence should be addressed to
Dawn M. Blitz
700 E High St
PSN 212
Oxford OH, 45056
blitzdm@miamoh.edu
513-529-6327

Acknowledgements: We thank Michael Hughes and Anh Vo (Statistical Consulting Center, Miami University) for assistance with statistical analysis.

Conflict of Interest:
The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding sources: National Science Foundation IOS:1755283 (DMB), Biology Department, Miami University

Abstract

Linked rhythmic behaviors, such as respiration/locomotion or swallowing/chewing often
require coordination for proper function. Despite its prevalence, the cellular mechanisms
controlling coordination of the underlying neural networks remain undetermined in most
systems. We use the stomatogastric nervous system of the crab *Cancer borealis* to
investigate mechanisms of internetwork coordination, due to its small, well
characterized feeding-related networks (gastric mill [chewing, ~0.1 Hz]; pyloric [filtering
food, ~1 Hz]). Here, we investigate coordination between these networks during the
Gly[1]-SIFamide (SIF) neuropeptide modulatory state. SIF activates a unique triphasic
gastric mill rhythm in which the typically pyloric-only LPG neuron generates dual pyloric-
plus gastric mill-timed oscillations. Additionally, the pyloric rhythm exhibits increased
cycle frequency during gastric mill rhythm-timed LPG bursts, and decreased cycle
frequency during IC, or IC plus LG gastric mill neuron bursts. Hyperpolarizing current
injections and photoinactivation of network neurons demonstrate that gastric mill rhythm
bursts in IC, but not LG, are responsible for decreasing the pyloric frequency, whereas
LPG increases pyloric frequency through its rectified electrical coupling to pyloric
pacemaker neurons. Surprisingly, LPG photoinactivation also eliminated slowing of the
pyloric rhythm. IC firing frequency and gastric mill burst duration were not altered by
LPG photoinactivation, suggesting that IC slows the pyloric rhythm primarily via synaptic
inhibition of LPG, which then slows the pyloric pacemakers via electrical coupling. Thus,
despite its rectification, an electrical synapse directly conveys endogenous bursting and
indirectly funnels neurotransmitter-mediated inhibition to enable one network to
alternately increase and decrease the frequency of a related network.

Significance Statement

Related rhythmic behaviors frequently exhibit coordination, yet the cellular mechanisms
coordinating the underlying neural networks are not determined in most systems. We
investigated coordination between two small, well-characterized crustacean feeding-
associated networks during a neuropeptide-elicited modulatory state. We find that a
dual fast/slow network neuron directly increases fast network frequency during its slow,
intrinsically generated bursts, via electrical coupling to fast network pacemakers,
despite rectification favoring the opposite direction. Additionally, the fast network is
indirectly slowed during another slow-network phase, via chemical synaptic inhibition
funneled through the same electrical synapse. Thus, a rectifying electrical synapse
alternately reinforces and diminishes neuropeptide actions, enabling distinct frequencies
of a faster network across different phases of a related slower rhythm.

Introduction

Many rhythmic behaviors require coordination for proper function, such as locomotion and respiration, and multiple orofacial behaviors (e.g., respiration, swallowing, chewing, whisking, vocalization) (Barnett et al., 2021; Cao et al., 2012; Hao et al., 2021; Huff et al., 2022; Juvin et al., 2022; Wei et al., 2022). Central pattern generator (CPG) networks controlling rhythmic behaviors are flexible to accommodate changing organismal needs (Bucher et al., 2015; Grillner and El Manira, 2020; Ramirez and Baertsch, 2018). Behavioral coordination requires coordinating the underlying CPG networks. Significant consequences occur from disrupted coordination, such as aspiration of food if swallowing and respiration are not coordinated (Yagi et al., 2017). Thus, it is important to understand the cellular-level mechanisms controlling internetwork coordination.

Coordination can occur in multiple forms. Networks may express correlated changes in frequency or strength, as occurs in respiration to meet the demands of changing locomotor speeds, or at the onset of vocalizations (Anderson et al., 2016; Demartsev et al., 2022; Juvin et al., 2022; Ramirez and Baertsch, 2018). Coordination may also involve relative timing relationships, such as specific delays between movements of different body parts, or a regulated number of cycles of one behavior relative to another (Daley et al., 2013; Juvin et al., 2022; Mulloney and Smarandache-Wellmann, 2012; Wei et al., 2022). Such interactions may occur via direct CPG to CPG projections, or indirectly via feedback from networks to higher-order inputs to a related CPG, for example (Bartos and Nusbaum, 1997; Gariépy et al., 2010; Wood et al., 2004). Additional anatomical substrates to coordinate network activity include sensory

feedback from one behavior influencing related CPGs, or parallel descending input to

related networks (Barnett et al., 2021; Gariépy et al., 2010; Juvin et al., 2022). More

complex behavioral interactions may also occur, such as nesting of the mouse crying

rhythm nested within respiration, or dynamic changes in respiration during singing in

mice, birds, or humans, or while playing wind instruments (Fortune et al., 2011; Hérent

et al., 2023; Higashino et al., 2022; Okobi et al., 2019; Wei et al., 2022). Further,

coordination can be altered by developmental, physiological, or environmental stimuli

(Blitz and Nusbaum, 2008; Clemens et al., 1998a; Moore et al., 2013; Saunders et al.,

2004; Sillar et al., 2023; Stein and Harzsch, 2021). Although insights have been gained

into internetwork coordination, its complexity and plasticity coupled, with often large,

distributed networks make it difficult to fully determine how network coordination is

controlled (Juvin et al., 2022).

Here we used small, well-described networks in the stomatogastric nervous

system (STNS) of the Jonah crab, *Cancer borealis*, to investigate cellular-level

mechanisms of internetwork coordination (Bartos et al., 1999; Bartos and Nusbaum,

1997; Daur et al., 2016; Wood et al., 2004). An in vitro STNS preparation includes the

stomatogastric ganglion (STG, ~30 neurons) containing well-characterized CPGs that

control the gastric mill (food chewing) and pyloric (food filtering) rhythms, plus identified

modulatory and sensory inputs (Fig. 1) (Blitz, 2023; Daur et al., 2016; Nusbaum and

Beenhakker, 2002). The pacemaker-driven pyloric rhythm is constitutively active (~1

Hz), whereas the slower (~0.1 Hz) network-driven gastric mill CPG requires activation

by modulatory or sensory inputs (Blitz, 2023; Nusbaum and Beenhakker, 2002).

Although the gastric mill and pyloric rhythms can occur independently, they are often

coordinated, and the extent and type of coordination is altered by modulatory,

behavioral, and environmental conditions (Bartos et al., 1999; Bartos and Nusbaum,

1997; Blitz et al., 2019; Clemens et al., 1998a; Stein and Harzsch, 2021; Wood et al.,

2004).

We investigated mechanisms of coordination during the modulatory state elicited

by the modulatory commissural neuron 5 (MCN5), or bath application of its

neuropeptide Gly^1-SIFamide (Blitz et al., 2019; Fahoum and Blitz, 2024, 2021). In this

state, the typically pyloric-only LPG switches into dual pyloric and gastric mill rhythm

participation, becoming the third phase of a gastric mill rhythm (Fahoum and Blitz,

2024). This contrasts with biphasic gastric mill rhythm versions (Beenhakker et al.,

2004; Blitz et al., 2008, 1999; Christie et al., 2004). The pyloric rhythm frequency varies

across the Gly^1-SIFamide gastric mill rhythm, but a full description plus identification of

mechanisms of interactions between the two networks is lacking (Blitz et al., 2019). The

well-described connectome and small populations (1-5 neurons/neuron type) enabled

selective manipulation of neuronal populations to determine the full extent of gastric mill

regulation of the pyloric rhythm and its underlying cellular mechanisms during the

unique triphasic Gly^1-SIFamide-elicited gastric mill rhythm. Our results highlight the

complexity of synaptic connectivity that can mediate coordination between related

networks.

Methods

Animals

Wild caught adult male *C. borealis* crabs were procured from The Fresh Lobster Company (Gloucester, MA), maintained in artificial seawater (10-12°C) tanks, and fed twice weekly until used. Prior to dissection, crabs were anesthetized by cold packing in ice for 40 – 50 minutes. The crab foregut was first removed, bisected, and pinned flat, ventral side up, in a Sylgard 170-lined dish (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The STNS was then dissected free from muscles and connective tissue and pinned in a Sylgard 184-lined petri dish (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (Fahoum and Blitz, 2021; Gutierrez and Grashow, 2009). The preparation was kept in chilled (4°C) *C. borealis* physiological saline throughout dissections.

Solutions

C. borealis physiological saline was composed of 440 mM NaCl, 26 mM $MgCl_2$, 13 mM $CaCl_2$, 11 mM KCl, 10 mM Trizma base, 5 mM maleic acid (pH 7.4-7.6). Squid internal electrode solution contained 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 400 mM potassium D-gluconic acid, 10 mM HEPES, 15 mM Na_2SO_4, 20 mM NaCl, pH 7.45 (Hooper et al., 2015). Gly^1-SIFamide (GYRKPPFNG-SIFamide, custom peptide synthesis: Genscript) (Blitz et al., 2019; Dickinson et al., 2008; Huybrechts et al., 2003; Yasuda et al., 2004) was prepared by dissolving it in optima water (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 10 mM, and aliquoting and storing it at -20°C. Aliquots were diluted in physiological saline to a final concentration of 5 µM before use in experiments.

Electrophysiology

The STNS preparation was superfused continuously with chilled *C. borealis*

physiological saline (8 - 10°C), or Gly^1-SIFamide (5 μM) diluted in saline. A switching

manifold enabled uninterrupted superfusion of the STNS preparation during solution

changes. Extracellular activity was recorded from nerves with custom stainless-steel pin

electrodes and a model 1700 A-M Systems Amplifier. The stomatogastric ganglion

(STG) was desheathed to gain access for intracellular recording of STG somata. Light

transmitted through a dark field condenser (MBL-12010 Nikon Instruments) provided

visualization of STG neuron somata. For intracellular recordings of STG neurons, sharp-

tipped glass microelectrodes pulled using a glass electrode puller (P-97, Flaming/Brown

microelectrode puller, Sutter Instrument Co.) were used. Microelectrodes were filled

with a squid internal solution (see Solutions) (resistance: 20 – 40 MΩ) (Hooper et al.,

2015). Axoclamp 900A amplifiers (Molecular Devices) were used to collect intracellular

recordings in current clamp mode. Recordings were collected before, during, and after

the transection of both inferior and superior oesophageal nerves (*ion* and *son,*

respectively), to isolate the STG from descending neuromodulatory inputs. Data

presented were collected after transections were performed, unless otherwise noted.

For intracellular recordings, STG neuronal cell bodies were identified using extracellular

nerve recordings and/or their interactions with other STG neurons. All recordings were

collected using acquisition hardware (Micro1401; Cambridge Electronic Design) and

software (Spike2 Version 8.02e; ~5kHz sampling rate; Cambridge Electronic Design)

and a laboratory computer (Dell).

A data set from Fahoum and Blitz (2021) was re-used in this study for analysis of

a different set of parameters from the previous study. As described, activity in the

inferior cardiac (IC), lateral gastric (LG), or dorsal gastric (DG) neurons, or all three

neurons simultaneously, was eliminated via hyperpolarizing current injection (-2 to -4

nA). Neurons were hyperpolarized sufficiently to eliminate spike-mediated and graded

transmitter release (Fahoum and Blitz, 2021).

For the established biological model of MCN5 actions, for all experiments using

bath-application of Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 µM), the lateral pyloric (LP) neuron was either

hyperpolarized (-2 to -4 nA) or photoinactivated (Fahoum and Blitz, 2021; Snyder and

Blitz, 2022). To selectively eliminate the influence of the single LP neuron or the two

copies of the LPG neurons, neurons were impaled with a microelectrode that was tip-

filled with AlexaFluor-568 hydrazide (10 mM in 200 mM KCl; Thermo Fisher Scientific)

and backfilled with squid internal solution. The neurons were injected with -5 nA

hyperpolarizing current (for either ~ 30 min, or for 5 to 10 min and the dye then allowed

to diffuse for an additional ~30 min) to fill their soma and neurites with the AlexaFluor-

568 dye. The STG was then illuminated with a Texas red filter set (560 ± 40 nm

wavelength; Leica Microsystems, 3-7 min). The neurons were considered completely

photoinactivated when the membrane potential reached 0 mV, and their action

potentials were absent in either the lateral ventricle nerve (*lvn;* for LP) or the lateral

posterior gastric nerve (*lpgn;* for LPG).

Data analysis

Neuronal Activity Parameters

The number of bursts, burst duration (s), cycle period (s; start of one burst to the start of the subsequent burst of a reference neuron), number of spikes per burst, and firing frequency (Hz; [number of spikes per burst – 1]/burst duration) were quantified using a custom Spike2 script. Each activity parameter was averaged across 20 min, unless otherwise noted. All analyses were performed after the effects of Gly[1]-SIFamide application reached steady state. Steady state was noted when LPG elicited consistent dual-network bursting (e.g., Fig. 1Ciii) with consistent gastric mill-timed bursting of LG, IC, and DG (~ 10 min from the start of Gly[1]-SIFamide bath application). The pyloric cycle period was quantified as the duration(s) from the start of one pyloric dilator (PD) neuron burst to the start of the subsequent PD burst (Bartos et al., 1999). In the Saline:*ions*/*sons* cut condition, in which the *ions/sons* where transected, the pyloric cycle period did not always express a full triphasic pattern, due to the lack of modulatory input (Hamood et al., 2015; Spencer and Blitz, 2016; Zhang et al., 2009). However, when this occurred, the pyloric rhythm returned during the Gly[1]-SIFamide bath application. A Saline:*ions/sons* cut condition was excluded from analysis if PD neuron bursting was intermittently active, was completely absent, or if the PD neurons were rhythmically active but had ≤ 2 action potentials per burst. Pyloric cycle periods were measured across the final 20 min of 30 min Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 µM) bath applications, and across 200 s windows for Saline:Intact STNS and the Saline:*ion/son* cut conditions.

Gastric mill-timed IC bursts were identified as those that had burst durations greater than 0.45 s (Blitz et al., 2019; Fahoum and Blitz, 2024). Multiple IC bursts with

greater than 0.45 s burst durations, were grouped into a single "gastric mill" burst if the

distance between each burst was less than 2 s, otherwise they were considered as

individual bursts. If there was an IC burst with a burst duration less than 0.45 s between

two gastric mill IC bursts that were less than 2 s apart, they were grouped together as

one gastric mill burst. Within an IC gastric mill-timed burst, pyloric-timed IC bursts were

identified if there was an interruption timed to a PD burst (e.g., Fig. 6Ai-ii). We identified

LPG gastric mill-timed bursts (LPG slow bursts) as previously described (Fahoum and

Blitz, 2024, 2021; Snyder and Blitz, 2022). Briefly, from a histogram of all LPG inter-

spike intervals (ISIs) made in Excel (Microsoft), peaks of intraburst (interval between

spikes during a burst) and interburst (interval between spikes between burst) intervals

were identified. The mean ISI was calculated between these two peaks and used to

identify LPG bursts. A custom written Spike2 script was then used to identify LPG

gastric mill-timed slow bursts and exclude pyloric-timed LPG bursts using PD activity as

a reference (Fahoum and Blitz, 2021). Coefficient of variation (CV) of pyloric cycle

periods was calculated as Standard Deviation / Mean.

Analysis of pyloric cycle period relative to gastric mill neuron activity

To analyze pyloric cycle periods based on which gastric mill neurons were active,

burst timings for each neuron over a 20 min window, unless otherwise indicated, were

exported from Spike2 to MATLAB (MathWorks) for further analysis. A custom written

MATLAB script categorized PD cycle periods according to which gastric mill neuron(s)

bursts overlapped with each pyloric cycle. This included any amount of overlap between

a pyloric cycle period and a gastric mill neuron burst. Pyloric cycle periods that did not

overlap with any gastric mill neurons were placed into the SIFbaseline category, i.e., no

gastric mill neurons active. The average pyloric cycle period within each category was

averaged across experiments. For some categories there were no cycle periods in a

particular experiment. Specifically, in the data set of 19 preparations, there were cycle

periods assigned to the IC, LPG:IC, and LPG:DG:IC categories in 9-10 preparations,

while there were cycle periods assigned to the remaining 13 categories in 16-19

preparations. This data set (n=16/19 from Fahoum and Blitz, 2021) and the LPG:Intact

and LPG:Kill condition experiments (n=9/9 from Fahoum and Blitz, 2024) were

reanalyzed from previous studies. Two experiments from the original data set of 11

were excluded from analysis due to a lack of biphasic regulation in the LPG:Intact

condition.

Due to the inter-preparation variability of the pyloric cycle period, to test for

changes in regulation of the pyloric cycle period between LPG:Kill and LPG:Intact

conditions, all pyloric cycle periods were normalized to the average pyloric cycle period

in the SIFbaseline category in each experiment. All pyloric cycle periods after

normalization were plotted as a histogram with a bin size of 3 ms for both conditions.

Further, bin counts for each histogram were normalized to the peak count in that

condition to compare the overall distribution of the two histograms. Normalization and

histogram plotting were performed using a custom written MATLAB script.

Figure preparation and statistical analysis

Burst and spike identification from raw data was performed with custom-written

scripts in Spike2, and exported to either Microsoft Excel or MATLAB for further analysis.

Pyloric cycle period histograms were plotted using a script written in MATLAB. Figures

were created using graphs and recordings exported from MATLAB, SigmaPlot (Systat,

v13), and Spike2 into CorelDraw (Corel, v24). Statistical analyses were performed using

SigmaPlot software and R statistical software (v4.1.1). Data were analyzed for normality

using the Shapiro-Wilk test to determine whether a non-parametric or parametric test

would be used. Paired *t* test, One Way Repeated Measures ANOVA, mixed model

ANOVA, and *post hoc tests* were used as noted. For all tests, we used an alpha level of

0.05. Data are presented as mean ± SE.

Code accessibility

Spike2 and MATLAB scripts used for analysis are available at

https://github.com/blitzdm/GnanabharathiFahoumBlitz2024.

Results

Gly[1]-SIFamide elicits a variable pyloric rhythm

The modulatory projection neuron MCN5 elicits a unique gastric mill rhythm that

can be reproduced in vitro by bath application of the MCN5 neuropeptide Gly[1]-SIFamide

plus elimination of LP neuron activity (Blitz et al., 2019; Fahoum and Blitz, 2024, 2021).

All pyloric and gastric mill neurons are identified, occur with a small copy number (1-5

neurons/type) and the connectivity mapped under multiple modulatory conditions (Fig.

1B) (Daur et al., 2016; Marder and Bucher, 2007). In *C. borealis*, LPG is typically only

active with the pyloric network due to its electrical coupling with the rest of the pyloric

pacemaker ensemble (Fig. 1B-C) (Shruti et al., 2014), but switches into dual-network

activity characterized by longer slower gastric mill-timed bursts (slow bursts) alternating

with shorter duration, faster pyloric-timed bursts during Gly^1-SIFamide application (Fig.

1Ciii) (Blitz et al., 2019; Fahoum and Blitz, 2024, 2021). The unique Gly^1-SIFamide

gastric mill rhythm is characterized by co-activity of LG and IC neurons that are primarily

out of phase with DG neuron activity, followed by LPG neuron gastric mill activity (Blitz

et al., 2019; Fahoum and Blitz, 2024) (Fig. 1C). Anecdotally, the pyloric rhythm

frequency varies throughout the Gly^1-SIFamide gastric mill rhythm, with a correlation

between IC burst duration and extended duration pyloric cycles (Blitz et al., 2019;

Fahoum and Blitz, 2021). Here we investigate in detail the relationship between pyloric

rhythm frequency and the gastric mill rhythm in the Gly^1-SIFamide modulatory state.

We first quantified the variability of the pyloric rhythm by measuring the

coefficient of variation (CV) in control and during the Gly^1-SIFamide gastric mill rhythm.

To eliminate confounds due to a potentially variable set of endogenously active

modulatory inputs, we transected the *ion*s and *son*s to obtain the same baseline

condition across preparations. However, this often results in a very slow pyloric rhythm,

or the pyloric rhythm shutting off entirely (Hamood et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2009).

Therefore, to compare the variability of the pyloric rhythm during Gly^1-SIFamide to a

similarly robust pyloric rhythm, we included a comparison of the pyloric rhythm in saline

in the intact STNS, in addition to during saline superfusion after *ion*/*son* transection. In

an example experiment, the pyloric cycle period was approximately 1.6 s, with a CV of

0.02 (Fig. 1Ci, PD activity in the *pdn,* instantaneous cycle period plotted above traces).

After *ion*/*son* transection, the pyloric cycle period increased to ~ 2.4 s and a CV of 0.05

(Fig.1 Cii). During Gly[1]-SIFamide, a gastric mill rhythm was activated, evident in LG and

DG neuron bursting (Fig. 1Ciii, *lgn, dgn* recordings) and longer duration gastric mill-

timed bursting in the IC neuron (*mvn*). Additionally, the LPG neuron switched from

pyloric-only activity (Fig. 1Ci-ii; *lpgn*, Saline conditions) to dual pyloric and gastric mill

(slow) bursting (Fig. 1Ciii). Although the average pyloric cycle period in Gly[1]-SIFamide

(1.6 s) was similar to the intact STNS in this example, there was a visually evident

increase in variability (CV = 0.22) (Fig. 1Ciii). When quantified across preparations, we

found a consistent increase in pyloric cycle period variability in Gly[1]-SIFamide compared

to Saline, including both Saline:Intact STNS and after Saline:*ion/son* cut (Fig. 1D) (One

Way RM ANOVA, $F_{(18,2)}$ = 30.09, p < 0.001, Table 1). In some experiments there was

no active pyloric rhythm to quantify in the Saline:*ions/sons* cut condition and thus points

between the Saline:Intact STNS and Gly[1]-SIFamide conditions are connected without

an intermediate (Saline:*ions/sons* cut) datapoint (see Methods). Thus, compared to the

pyloric rhythm when influenced by endogenously active modulatory inputs (Saline:Intact

STNS), or a slower pyloric rhythm in the absence of modulatory inputs, the pyloric

rhythm cycle period was more variable in the Gly[1]-SIFamide modulatory state.

Biphasic Regulation of the Pyloric Rhythm Frequency

The larger pyloric cycle period CV during Gly[1]-SIFamide application appeared to

be due to rhythmic changes in cycle period coinciding with activity in some of the gastric

mill neurons. Specifically, in an example experiment, the pyloric cycle period was longer

during times when the IC and LG neurons were active (Fig. 2A, pink box) relative to

pyloric activity when no gastric mill neuron was active (Fig. 2A; SIFbaseline; grey box;

dotted horizontal line across plot of instantaneous cycle period). Shorter duration pyloric

cycle periods relative to SIFbaseline coincided with LPG neuron slow bursts (Fig. 2A;

blue box).

To further examine the relationship between pyloric cycle period and gastric mill

neurons, we measured all pyloric cycle periods across the final 20 min steady-state of

30 min Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 µM) bath applications and grouped them by the gastric mill

neurons that were active. Considering the four gastric mill neurons (LG, LPG, IC, DG),

plus the SIFbaseline condition in which no gastric mill neurons are active, there are 16

possible combinations of active gastric mill neurons during which a pyloric cycle period

might have occurred (Fig. 2B). We focused only on gastric mill-timed bursts (see

Methods; Fahoum and Blitz 2024), including for IC and LPG which express dual-

network activity. The average cycle period occurring during each neuron or combination

of neurons active, per experiment (black dots) and across all experiments (black bars)

are plotted for the 16 different combinations (Fig. 2B). Across 19 preparations, pyloric

cycle periods occurred during each of the 16 conditions in at least some preparations

(IC, LPG:IC, and LPG:DG:IC, n = 9-10; remaining 13 categories, n = 16-19). The total

number of pyloric cycles that occurred during each condition, across 19 preparations,

are indicated above the x axis labels (Fig. 2B; # cycles).

We first visually assessed cycle periods as a function of gastric mill neurons

active, using a dashed line to highlight the average cycle period across preparations

during the SIFbaseline, when no gastric mill neurons were active (grey region, dashed

line). Relative to this baseline, the average cycle period per experiment (dots) tended to

be shorter in duration than SIFbaseline during LPG activity, whether it was LPG alone

or in combination with DG and/or LG neurons (blue region), including the cumulative

average cycle period across preparations (black bars) (n = 16-19; Fig. 2B). Conversely,

average cycle periods during combinations of IC, DG, and LG activity (pink region)

tended to be longer, and thus above SIFbaseline in the graph, including the cumulative

average cycle period (IC, n = 9; IC:DG, IC:LG, IC:DG:LG, n = 19). This aligns with an

earlier study in which longer pyloric cycle periods correlated with longer duration IC

bursts (Blitz et al., 2019). Based on the number of cycles, IC gastric mill-timed bursts

most often coincided with LG activity (Fig. 2B, # cycles). During combinations that

included LPG plus IC or LPG plus IC and LG (IC:LPG, IC:LG:LPG, IC:DG:LPG, All

neurons, n = 10-19; purple regions), the average pyloric cycle period across

preparations was similar to SIFbaseline. Overall, this representation of cycle period as a

function of gastric mill neurons activity suggests that LPG, IC, and LG activity may be

responsible for the increased variability in pyloric cycle period during the Gly[1]-SIFamide

gastric mill rhythm compared to saline (Fig. 1D). Further, effects of LPG versus IC, or IC

and LG, appear to offset the impact of the other neuron(s). That is, for example, during

conditions where LPG was active, pyloric cycle periods were shorter than SIFbaseline,

unless IC (or IC and LG) was active (purple regions). To analyze this data set

statistically, we used a mixed model ANOVA in order to accommodate the large number

of conditions, i.e., combinations of gastric mill neurons active, plus missing values for

some combinations (n = 9-19).

For the statistical analysis of gastric mill neuron activity on the pyloric cycle

period, we considered each gastric mill neuron to be a factor with a full 4-factor within-

subjects mixed model ANOVA, including all higher-order interactions. This model

indicated statistically significant effects of gastric mill neuron combinations on pyloric

cycle period variability ($X^2_{df = 15}$ = 102.57, p < 0.0001). The model complexity was then

iteratively paired down, eliminating non-significant higher-order interactions (Table 2).

The most parsimonious model that still explained the variability in pyloric cycle period

included single factors LPG, IC, LG and 2-factor terms IC:LPG and IC:LG (Table 2). In

this model, there were significant interactions between LG and IC ($X^2_{df = 239}$ = 3.07, p =

0.002) and between LPG and IC ($X^2_{df = 238}$ = -2.38, p = 0.02). Specifically, LG had an

effect on pyloric cycle period when IC was firing a gastric mill burst (p = 0.0011, Table 2)

but not without IC gastric mill activity (p = 0.40, Table 2). However, IC had an effect on

cycle period with (p<0.0001) or without LG activity (p=0.48, Table 2), although a weaker

effect in the absence of LG. This points to IC playing an important role in the longer

cycle pyloric cycle periods, with possible assistance from LG. For the LPG and IC

interaction, LPG had an effect on pyloric cycle period with (p < 0.0001) or without (p =

0.0004, Table 2) IC generating a gastric mill burst. Similarly, IC affected the pyloric

cycle period with (p = 0.008) or without (p < 0.0001, Table 2) LPG firing a gastric mill

burst. Thus, from the overlap in timing and the preceding statistical analysis, it appears

that IC (possibly LG) and LPG are responsible for the increased and decreased cycle

period, respectively, and the consequent higher cycle period variability during the Gly[1]-

SIFamide gastric mill rhythm. We therefore tested the roles of IC, LG, and LPG in

regulating pyloric cycle period by manipulating their activity.

IC, but not LG, is responsible for longer pyloric cycle periods

We first addressed whether IC and or LG were necessary for longer pyloric cycle

periods during the Gly[1]-SIFamide gastric mill rhythm. The pyloric cycle period was

variable in control conditions as is evident in two example experiments (Fig. 3Ai, Bi,

pdn, instantaneous cycle period plot above traces). This included longer pyloric cycle

periods during gastric mill-timed coactivity in LG and IC (Fig. 3; pink boxes). Identified

IC gastric mill bursts (see Methods) (Fahoum and Blitz, 2024), are marked with

brackets. In one instance in the time range shown, when LG fired a gastric mill burst,

but IC produced only pyloric-timed activity that did not reach the level of a gastric mill-

timed burst (Fig. 3Ai; grey box), there was no extension of the pyloric cycle period. This

is consistent with the statistical analysis of data from figure 2B, that LG had an effect on

pyloric cycle period, only when IC was also active (in gastric mill time). To test whether

IC and/or LG was responsible for the longer pyloric cycle periods, we reanalyzed a data

set from Fahoum and Blitz (2021) in which hyperpolarizing current (-2 to -4 nA) was

injected into either IC or LG neurons. In the example experiments, the much longer

cycle periods were eliminated when either LG or IC was hyperpolarized (Fig. 3Aii, Bii).

When IC was hyperpolarized, LG bursting persisted, and was qualitatively similar to pre-

hyperpolarization (Fig. 3Ai-ii). However, when LG was hyperpolarized, IC did not

generate any gastric mill-timed bursts, instead its activity was entirely pyloric-timed (Fig.

3Bii). Longer pyloric cycle periods, and therefore larger cycle period variability, returned

post hyperpolarization, as did IC gastric mill-timed bursting upon removal of

hyperpolarizing current into LG (Fig. 3Aiii, Biii).

To quantify the results of hyperpolarizing IC and LG, we measured pyloric cycle

period CV before (Pre), during (Hype) and after (Post) hyperpolarization (Fig. 4A). The

pyloric cycle period CV decreased when IC was hyperpolarized (Fig. 4Ai; black dots and

connecting lines indicate results from individual experiments, grey bars indicate

averages across experiments) (One Way RM ANOVA, $F_{(5,2)} = 7.43$, $p = 0.01$, Table 3)

and when LG was hyperpolarized (Fig. 4Aii; One Way RM ANOVA, $F_{(3,2)} = 6.09$, $p =$

0.04, Table 3). We also determined that the pyloric cycle period CV was not altered

when DG was hyperpolarized (Fig. 4Aiii; One Way RM ANOVA, $F_{(3,2)} = 1.18$, $p = 0.37$,

Table 3) but it was lower when all three gastric mill neurons (IC, LG and DG) were

hyperpolarized (Fig. 4Aiv; One Way RM ANOVA, $F_{(5,2)} = 9.13$, $p = 0.01$, Table 3). In

the cumulative data, a lower variability when IC or LG or the three gastric mill neurons

were hyperpolarized further indicated that IC and/or LG, but not DG, were responsible

for regulating pyloric cycle period. When LG was hyperpolarized, IC gastric mill bursting

was eliminated in the example experiment in figure 3B. Therefore, we aimed to quantify

IC and LG activity across all experiments when either LG or IC was hyperpolarized.

However, in 3/4 experiments there were no IC gastric mill bursts when LG was

hyperpolarized. Therefore, instead of quantifying IC gastric mill burst parameters, we

reported the number of IC gastric mill bursts before (Pre), during (Hype) and after (Post)

LG hyperpolarization (Fig. 4B). There was a reversible decrease in IC gastric mill bursts

with LG hyperpolarization (Fig. 4B) (One Way RM ANOVA, $F_{(3,2)} = 22.75$, $p = 0.002$,

Table 3). In contrast, when IC was hyperpolarized, LG activity, including burst duration

(Fig. 4Ci), firing frequency (Fig. 4Cii) and number of spikes per burst (Fig. 4Ciii) did not

change (One Way RM ANOVA; $F_{(5,2)} = 0.04 - 1.52$; all conditions, $p > 0.05$, Table 3).

Thus, LG impacts IC activity, but IC does not alter LG activity. It therefore appears likely

that hyperpolarizing LG eliminated extended pyloric cycle periods via elimination of IC

gastric mill bursting. In combination with a significant contribution of LG to pyloric cycle

period variability, only when IC is active (above) these results indicate that IC, but not

LG, gastric mill-timed bursts, is responsible for the longer pyloric cycle periods.

LPG contributes to pyloric cycle period variability in Gly[1]-SIFamide

LPG gastric mill-timed activity correlated with decreased pyloric cycle periods

(Figs. 1, 2, 5). LPG is electrically coupled to the other pyloric pacemaker neurons (Fig.

1B) (Marder and Bucher, 2007; Shruti et al., 2014) and thus hyperpolarizing current

injection into the two LPG neurons could alter the pyloric cycle period. Therefore, to test

whether LPG was responsible for decreased pyloric cycle periods relative to

SIFbaseline (e.g., Fig. 5Ai), the two LPG neurons were photoinactivated (see Methods;

LPG:Kill) to selectively remove them from the network, and pyloric cycle periods during

Gly[1]-SIFamide bath application were compared between LPG:Intact and LPG:Kill.

Photoinactivation of two neurons does not alter the response to bath-applied Gly[1]-

SIFamide, and responses to two consecutive bath applications of Gly[1]-SIFamide are not

different (Fahoum and Blitz, 2024).

In the absence of the LPG neurons (LPG:Kill), the pyloric cycle period variability

decreased (Fig. 5Aii) compared to the LPG:Intact condition. Eliminating LPG prevented

the periodic decreases in pyloric cycle period. Across experiments, the pyloric cycle

period CV was lower after LPG:Kill, compared to LPG:Intact (Fig. 5B; LPG:Intact [blue

dots] CV = 0.30 ± 0.03 ; LPG:Kill [black dots] CV = 0.11 ± 0.03; n = 9; two-tailed paired

t-test; t(8) = 7.78; p < 0.001). However, the decreased variability did not appear to be

due to only elimination of shorter pyloric cycle periods. The longer duration pyloric cycle

periods were also mostly eliminated in the LPG:Kill condition as can be seen in the

example experiment (Fig. 5Aii). Assessing regulation of pyloric cycle period across

preparations was complicated by the absence of LPG which eliminated several

combinations of active gastric mill neurons. Thus, we chose instead to examine the

distribution of cycle periods in the LPG:Intact vs LPG:Kill conditions. There is variability

in SIFbaseline pyloric cycle period across preparations (e.g., Fig. 2B, grey box), and

photoinactivation of the two LPG neurons shifted the SIFbaseline to shorter pyloric cycle

periods (compare instantaneous pyloric cycle period plot in Fig. 5Ai-ii), likely due to

removal of "electrical drag" on the pyloric pacemaker neuron AB (Kepler et al., 1990).

Thus, to determine whether there was any change in pyloric cycle period regulation

between the two conditions, we normalized each pyloric cycle period to the average

SIFbaseline cycle period in that preparation and accumulated all normalized pyloric

cycle period durations during each condition into their respective histograms (Fig. 5C)

(LPG:Intact, blue and LPG:Kill, grey). We also normalized pyloric cycle period count per

bin to the highest count for each condition to better enable comparison of the

histograms. In the LPG:Intact condition, in addition to the peak around 1 (SIFbaseline,

arrowhead), there were shorter pyloric cycle periods (<1; "Faster" bracket) and a

distributed set of bins at longer cycle periods (>1; "Slower" bracket) (Fig. 5C, blue

histogram). However, in the LPG:Kill condition (Fig. 5C, grey histogram), there was a

single grouping of bars centered around 1 (SIFbaseline) without a population of slower

or faster cycle periods. Therefore, removing LPG from the network prevented the

increase and the decrease in pyloric cycle period. Electrical coupling between LPG and

the pyloric pacemaker can explain why the pyloric rhythm was faster during the strong

depolarizations of LPG slow bursts. However, this does not explain why removing LPG

also eliminated slowing of the pyloric rhythm. Further, we showed above that IC gastric

mill bursting was responsible for extending the pyloric cycle period, slowing the rhythm

(Figs. 3-4). This combination of findings led us to ask whether photoinactivation of LPG

alters IC gastric mill activity.

IC gastric mill bursts were not impacted by LPG photoinactivation

As discussed previously, IC displays both pyloric- and gastric mill-timed bursts,

with a gastric mill burst often consisting of multiple pyloric-timed bursts (Fig. 6A). In an

example expanded region, a single IC gastric mill burst (Fig. 6Ai, brackets above *mvn*

recording) in the LPG:Intact condition consists of four pyloric-timed bursts (Fig. 6Ai,

brackets below *mvn* recording). In the LPG:Kill condition, based on established criteria

for IC gastric mill bursts (Blitz et al., 2019; Fahoum and Blitz, 2024), a single IC gastric

mill burst is approximately the same duration as in the LPG:Intact condition, but consists

of seven pyloric bursts, compared to four with LPG neurons intact (Fig. 6A). In a

previous study, there was no difference in IC activity between LPG:Intact and LPG:Kill,

including no difference in burst duration, firing frequency, and number of spikes per

burst for gastric mill bursts and for IC pyloric bursts within IC gastric mill bursts (Fahoum

and Blitz, 2024). Because our LPG:Intact, LPG:kill dataset was a subset from the

previous study (n = 9/11, see Methods), we reanalyzed the data to verify the result in

the specific experiments used here. We found no difference in number of IC gastric mill

bursts (Fig. 6Bi; Table 4), IC gastric mill burst duration (s) (Fig. 6Bii; Table 4), number of

IC spikes per gastric mill burst (Fig. 6Biii; Table 4), or firing frequency (Hz) (Fig. 6Biv;

Table 4). There was also no difference in the number of IC pyloric bursts (Fig. 6Ci;

Table 4), IC pyloric burst duration (s) (Fig. 6Cii; Table 4), or IC pyloric firing frequency

(Hz) (Fig. 6Civ; Table 4), although there was a trend toward shorter pyloric-timed burst

duration (Fig. 6Cii; Table 4), and there was a decrease in the number of spikes per

pyloric-timed burst (Fig. 6Ciii; Table 4). The difference between these results and the

previous study likely reflects the removal of two preparations in which there was little

pyloric regulation in the control condition. For the nine preparations in which there was

typical pyloric regulation in control, the tendency for IC pyloric bursts to be shorter, with

fewer action potentials in the LPG:Kill condition fits with the loss of long-duration pyloric

cycle periods. When longer pyloric cycle periods are eliminated, IC neuron pyloric

bursts are shortened as they are interrupted by inhibition from the pyloric pacemaker

ensemble at the start of each pyloric cycle (e.g., Fig. 6A). Importantly however, IC

gastric mill bursts were not altered by LPG:Kill and IC firing frequency, a measure of the

strength of neuronal activity, was not altered for IC gastric mill or pyloric bursts (Fig.

6Biv, Civ).

Same IC activity level does not slow the pyloric rhythm in the LPG:Kill condition

To determine the relationship between the strength (firing frequency) of IC gastric

mill bursts and pyloric cycle period before versus after LPG:Kill, we plotted

instantaneous pyloric cycle period against IC firing frequency (Hz) for all pyloric cycles

across a 20 min steady state region of Gly^1-SIFamide application for each of nine

preparations (Fig. 7). Within a preparation, across the same IC firing frequencies, there

were many longer duration pyloric cycle periods in LPG:Intact (blue circles) but many

fewer longer duration cycles in the LPG:Kill condition (grey circles) (Fig. 7; n = 9). This

indicated that LPG was playing a major role in the ability of IC to increase pyloric cycle

period. The occasional ability of IC to increase pyloric cycle period in the absence of

LPG indicated a weaker, or only occasionally modulated, connection to the other pyloric

pacemaker neurons (PD and AB) (Figs. 7, 8). These data thus suggest that while IC

activity strength is not affected by LPG photoinactivation, its ability to slow the pyloric

rhythm requires the presence of LPG.

Discussion

In this study, we show that the frequency of the faster pyloric rhythm varies

between three levels in time with different components of the related, slower gastric mill

rhythm during the Gly^1-SIFamide neuropeptide modulatory state. The LPG neuron

switches from pyloric-only activity to dual pyloric and gastric mill activity during Gly^1-

SIFamide application (Fahoum and Blitz, 2024, 2021; Snyder and Blitz, 2022).

Surprisingly, we found that this dual-network LPG neuron is necessary for both

decreasing and increasing the pyloric frequency. This occurs due to LPG intrinsic

gastric mill-timed bursts directly increasing the frequency of the pyloric pacemaker

neuron oscillations, and the IC neuron indirectly slowing the pyloric pacemaker

oscillations primarily via its chemical synaptic inhibition of LPG. This complex biphasic

regulation of a related network is distinct in both form and mechanism from previous

examples of internetwork coordination in the STNS during other modulatory states,

emphasizing the flexible nature of such coordination.

Coordination of Related Behaviors

There are multiple ways in which coordination occurs between different

behaviors and between their underlying CPG networks. Here we focused on a single

modulatory state and how the activity of one network varies within a single cycle of a

second network's activity. Unlike other gastric mill rhythm versions, the Gly^1-SIFamide

gastric mill rhythm is triphasic (Blitz et al., 2019; Fahoum and Blitz, 2024). During this

triphasic gastric mill rhythm, there is a "baseline" pyloric frequency due to Gly^1-

SIFamide modulatory actions, and the pyloric rhythm is regulated away from this

baseline in opposing directions during different phases of the slower gastric mill rhythm.

The specific behavioral reason for phasic increases and decreases in pyloric frequency

during the Gly^1-SIFamide rhythm is not known. In general, food is mechanically broken

down by teeth within the gastric mill region, moves through the cardiopyloric valve into

the pyloric chamber, and is filtered in the pylorus before moving into the midgut for

chemical digestion and absorption of nutrients (Heinzel et al., 1993; Selverston and

Moulins, 1987). Larger particles can be moved back into the gastric mill chamber for

further processing by the teeth (McGaw and Curtis, 2013). Different rates of pyloric

contractions during different gastric mill phases might facilitate movements through the

valve with optimal timing relative to teeth movements, either chewed food into the

pylorus, or larger particles and gastric juices back into the gastric mill chamber.

However, these are only speculations, as the complexity of teeth movements along

multiple axes, plus the convoluted folds, many bristles/filtering apparatus and overall

three-dimensional shape of the pyloric chamber make it difficult to determine the

implications of coordination between these regions (Heinzel, 1988; Heinzel et al., 1993;

Selverston and Moulins, 1987). Although difficult to assess the behavioral roles,

coordination does appear to be important for these related behaviors as it occurs during

multiple modulatory states in vitro and in vivo (Bartos et al., 1999; Bartos and Nusbaum,

1997; Clemens et al., 1998a, 1998b; Stein and Harzsch, 2021; Wood et al., 2004).

Other gastric mill rhythm versions are biphasic, and when pyloric rhythm

variability has been quantified, the pyloric frequency during one phase of the gastric mill

rhythm is at a "baseline" frequency due to modulatory input, and regulated away from

this baseline during the other phase of the gastric mill rhythm (Bartos and Nusbaum,

1997). A cellular mechanism identified for this coordination is that a gastric mill neuron

provides local, presynaptic inhibition of a modulatory neuron, decreasing modulatory

excitation of the pyloric network during one phase of the gastric mill rhythm (Bartos and

Nusbaum, 1997; Coleman et al., 1995; Coleman and Nusbaum, 1994; Nusbaum et al.,

1992). Thus, there is indirect communication between the two networks via a local

feedback synapse. Indirect interactions between networks can also occur via long-

distance feedback from network neurons to modulatory inputs or via motor efference

copy to related networks (Blitz and Nusbaum, 2008; Lambert et al., 2023; Wood et al.,

2004). Although there are both local and long-distance feedback projections to the

source of Gly[1]-SIFamide (MCN5) (Blitz et al., 2019; Norris et al., 1996), they do not

contribute to the internetwork regulation described here, as the neuropeptide was bath-

applied. Instead, we found that synapses between network neurons underly biphasic

regulation of the pyloric rhythm.

In addition to phasic coordination, there may be correlated changes in the overall

activity levels of related networks such as increased respiratory activity to match more

intense forms of locomotion, or increased swallowing frequency to match increased

chewing rates (Hao et al., 2021; Hérent et al., 2023). In the crustacean STNS, when the

pyloric and gastric mill networks are both active, their activity level is coordinated such

that faster pyloric rhythms occur with faster gastric mill rhythms (Bartos et al., 1999;

Powell et al., 2021; Saideman et al., 2007). Correlated changes in frequency and/or

strength can reflect common inputs. In the crab STNS, the modulatory neuron MCN1

acts on both networks, and increasing MCN1 firing frequency speeds both rhythms

(Bartos et al., 1999; Bartos and Nusbaum, 1997). Similarly, in lamprey, rodents, and

cats, the mesencephalic locomotor region provides parallel input to respiratory and

locomotor CPGs (Hérent et al., 2023; Juvin et al., 2022; Ryczko and Dubuc, 2013).

Some related behaviors display coupling of their activity, with a discrete number

of cycles of one pattern occurring relative to a full cycle of the other pattern. Coupling

occurs between locomotion and chewing, and locomotion and respiration in humans,

other mammals and birds; between whisking and respiration in rodents; and between

pyloric and gastric mill rhythms in crustaceans (Bartos et al., 1999; Clemens et al.,

1998a; Juvin et al., 2022; Maezawa et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2013; Powell et al., 2021).

Coupling of rhythmic behaviors may arise from mechanical forces. For example, flight

muscle attachment to structures essential for respiration in birds, and the movement of

internal organs in galloping horses may contribute to coupling between locomotion and

respiration (Juvin et al., 2022). Similarly, accessory teeth movements are mechanically

coupled to the cardiopyloric valve in the stomatogastric system (McGaw and Curtis,

2013). However, direct synaptic connections between CPGs can also contribute to this

type of internetwork coordination (Juvin et al., 2022). In rodents, proper timing of

swallowing within the respiratory cycle, and 1:1 coupling of whisking and fast sniffing,

appear to be due to synapses between these related CPGs (Huff et al., 2022; Moore et

al., 2013). These coupling ratios between networks are not fixed and can vary during

different forms of locomotion, or different breathing behaviors such as fast sniffing and

slow breathing (Juvin et al., 2022; Moore et al., 2013; Saunders et al., 2004). In the crab

STNS, a direct inhibitory synapse from the pyloric pacemaker neuron onto one of the

gastric mill CPG neurons is essential for coupling between the pyloric and gastric mill

rhythms driven by the modulatory neuron MCN1. This internetwork synapse allows the

pyloric rhythm to control the speed of the gastric mill rhythm (Bartos et al., 1999; Nadim

et al., 1998). However, during the Gly[1]-SIFamide rhythm, the speed of the pyloric

rhythm does not regulate LPG gastric mill bursting, reinforcing the flexible nature of

internetwork coordination (Fahoum and Blitz, 2024).

Electrical and Chemical Transmission Mediate Coordination

Due to the well-described small networks in the STNS, we were able to ascertain

the cellular mechanisms underlying the biphasic internetwork interactions. During Gly[1]-

SIFamide application, IC gastric mill bursting is necessary for prolonging the pyloric

cycle period. Hyperpolarizing the IC neuron eliminated longer pyloric cycle periods,

even if LG, the other neuron typically active during longer pyloric cycle periods, was still

bursting. Periodic long-duration IC bursts also inhibit the pyloric rhythm during activation

of another STNS modulatory neuron, MCN7, which releases the neuropeptide proctolin,

although the particular IC target(s) among the five-neuron pyloric pacemaker ensemble

(1 copy of AB, 2 copies each of PD and LPG) was not determined (Blitz et al., 1999). It

was recently determined that Gly[1]-SIFamide enhances a typically ineffective IC to LPG

synapse (Fahoum and Blitz, 2023). IC chemical synapses within the STG, including the

IC to LPG synapse, are fast inhibitory glutamatergic connections that are blocked by the

chloride channel blocker picrotoxin (Fahoum and Blitz, 2024; Marder and Bucher,

2007). Inhibitory neuron connections between networks are implicated in other

internetwork interactions, although further exploration is still needed for a mechanistic

understanding at the cellular level (Huff et al., 2022). Unexpectedly, photoinactivation of

LPG almost entirely eliminates IC inhibition of the pyloric pacemaker neurons, despite

no change in the strength of IC activity. This result indicates that the modulated IC to

LPG synapse is primarily responsible for IC inhibition of the pyloric rhythm, although

there may be an additional small contribution of an IC to AB and/or PD synapse, at least

in some preparations (Fig. 8). In most modulatory conditions, the AB neuron is the

conditional oscillator, and PD and LPG are co-active due to electrical coupling among

this five-neuron ensemble (Marder and Bucher, 2007). LPG to PD and LPG to AB

synapses are rectifying such that hyperpolarizing current flows preferentially from LPG

to PD and AB (Shruti et al., 2014) (Fahoum, Nadim, Blitz unpublished). Due to this

directional electrical coupling, IC inhibition of LPG, during longer-duration gastric mill IC

bursts, inhibits LPG to directly regulate LPG gastric mill-timed activity (Fahoum and

Blitz, 2024), and indirectly inhibit the pyloric pacemakers to slow the pyloric rhythm

during the IC:LG phase of the Gly[1]-SIFamide gastric mill rhythm. The reason for the

"funneling" of IC chemical inhibition through the electrical synapse from LPG to AB/PD

is not known. However, it may represent a conservation of function with the IC to LPG

synapse playing roles in both gastric mill pattern generation as well as its coordination

with the pyloric network.

Dual-network activity under particular modulatory conditions is common among

related networks (Bartlett and Leiter, 2012; Dickinson, 1995; Hooper and Moulins, 1990;

Koch et al., 2011; Meyrand et al., 1994; Tryba et al., 2008). While this typically occurs

due to internetwork synapses, the LPG neuron dual-activity occurs via modulation of

LPG intrinsic properties. LPG retains its pyloric-timed activity because of its electrical

coupling to the other pacemaker neurons and then periodically generates slower, longer

duration gastric mill-timed bursts via intrinsic voltage-dependent currents (Fahoum and

Blitz, 2021; Snyder and Blitz, 2022). During LPG gastric mill bursts, the LPG membrane

potential remains depolarized across multiple pyloric cycles. Our results here indicate

that a sufficient amount of current during this maintained depolarization passes into

AB/PD to increase pyloric rhythm frequency, despite the rectification in which

depolarizing current flows better from AB/PD to LPG. Electrical synapses are subject to

modulation (Kothmann et al., 2009; Lane et al., 2018; O'Brien, 2014). It is possible that

Gly[1]-SIFamide modulates the LPG to AB/PD electrical synapses to decrease or

eliminate the rectification. However, there does not appear to be any change in

electrical coupling strength or rectification during Gly[1]-SIFamide application (Fahoum,

Nadim, Blitz, unpublished). Electrical synapses alone and in combination with chemical

synapses can have surprising and complex effects on network outputs (Alcamí and

Pereda, 2019; Connors, 2017; Marder et al., 2017; Vaughn and Haas, 2022).

Rectification of electrical synapses occurs to different extents and can further add to the

complexity of electrical synapse contributions, such as enabling coincidence detection

and amplification of chemical synapses, and shaping network sensitivity to chemical

synapses (Connors, 2017; Edwards et al., 1998; Gutierrez and Marder, 2013; Liu et al.,

2017; Mendoza and Haas, 2022; Palacios-Prado et al., 2014; Rash et al., 2013; Vaughn

and Haas, 2022).

Here we find that the same rectifying electrical synapse alternately reinforces and

diminishes modulatory neuropeptide effects on network frequency. These actions occur

due to intrinsic currents enabling reinforcement, and chemical synaptic currents leading

to diminished peptide actions. LPG thus serves as a point of convergence for

coordination, despite the two network interactions acting in opposite directions. Due to

the fluid nature of network composition, dual-network neurons such as LPG provide

flexibility by adding and subtracting their activity to different behavioral outputs

(Ainsworth et al., 2011; Clapp et al., 2011; Dickinson et al., 1990; Hooper and Moulins,

1989; Roopun et al., 2008; Weimann et al., 1991). Additionally, dual-network neurons

can provide flexibility in coordinating network activity by serving as a variable link

between networks. This ability however depends on whether they are recruited into new

networks as passive followers, or as active components with sufficient synaptic access

to a new network to mediate coordination (Fahoum and Blitz, 2024, 2023; Hooper and

Moulins, 1990).

Dysfunctional internetwork coordination can impact health and well-being, such

as an insufficient oxygen supply relative to metabolic demand if respiration is not

coordinated with other motor activity, decreased food intake or choking due to improper

coordination of breathing and swallowing, or disrupted communication abilities arising

from problems coordinating vocalization and respiration (Barlow, 2009; Juvin et al.,

2022; Yagi et al., 2017). Thus, it is important to continue adding to our understanding of

internetwork coordination among the many behaviors that require coordination,

including understanding how coordination varies across behavioral and environmental

conditions (Clemens et al., 1998b; Juvin et al., 2022; Stein and Harzsch, 2021; Stickford

and Stickford, 2014).

Ainsworth M, Lee S, Cunningham MO, Roopun AK, Traub RD, Kopell NJ, Whittington MA (2011) Dual gamma rhythm generators control interlaminar synchrony in auditory cortex. J Neurosci 31:17040–17051.

Alcamí P, Pereda AE (2019) Beyond plasticity: the dynamic impact of electrical synapses on neural circuits. Nat Rev Neurosci 20:253–271.

Anderson T, Garcia AJ, Baertsch NA, Pollak J, Bloom JC, Wei AD, Rai KG, Ramirez JM (2016) A novel excitatory network for the control of breathing. Nature 536:76–80.

Barlow SM (2009) Central pattern generation involved in oral and respiratory control for feeding in the term infant. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 17:187–193.

Barnett WH, Baekey DM, Paton JFR, Dick TE, Wehrwein EA, Molkov YI (2021) Heartbeats entrain breathing via baroreceptor-mediated modulation of expiratory activity. Exp Physiol 106:1181–1195.

Bartlett D, Leiter JC (2012) Coordination of breathing with nonrespiratory activities. Comp Physiol 2:1387–1415.

Bartos M, Manor Y, Nadim F, Marder E, Nusbaum MP (1999) Coordination of fast and slow rhythmic neuronal circuits. J Neurosci 19:6650–6660.

Bartos M, Nusbaum MP (1997) Intercircuit control of motor pattern modulation by presynaptic inhibition. J Neurosci 17:2247–2256.

Beenhakker MP, Blitz DM, Nusbaum MP (2004) Long-Lasting Activation of Rhythmic Neuronal Activity by a Novel Mechanosensory System in the Crustacean Stomatogastric Nervous System. J Neurosci 91:78–91.

Blitz DM (2023) Neural circuit regulation by identified modulatory projection neurons. Front Neurosci 17.

Blitz DM, Christie AE, Coleman MJ, Norris BJ, Marder E, Nusbaum MP (1999) Different proctolin neurons elicit distinct motor patterns from a multifunctional neuronal network. J Neurosci 19:5449–5463.

Blitz DM, Christie AE, Cook AP, Dickinson PS, Nusbaum MP (2019) Similarities and differences in circuit responses to applied Gly^1-SIFamide and peptidergic (Gly^1 -SIFamide) neuron stimulation. J Neurophysiol 121:950–972.

Blitz DM, Nusbaum MP (2008) State-dependent presynaptic inhibition regulates central pattern generator feedback to descending inputs. J Neurosci 28:9564–9574.

Blitz DM, White RS, Saideman SR, Cook A, Christie AE, Nadim F, Nusbaum MP (2008) A newly identified extrinsic input triggers a distinct gastric mill rhythm via activation of modulatory projection neurons. J Exp Biol 211:1000–1011.

Bucher D, Haspel G, Golowasch J, Nadim F (2015) Central pattern generators In: ELS , John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: Chichester.

Cao Y, Roy S, Sachdev RNS, Heck DH (2012) Dynamic Correlation between Whisking
and Breathing Rhythms in Mice. J Neurosci 32:1653–1659.

Christie AE, Stein W, Quinlan JE, Beenhakker MP, Marder E, Nusbaum MP (2004)
Actions of a histaminergic/peptidergic projection neuron on rhythmic motor patterns
in the stomatogastric nervous system of the crab *Cancer borealis*. J Comp Neurol
469:153–169.

Clapp WC, Rubens MT, Sabharwal J, Gazzaley A (2011) Deficit in switching between
functional brain networks underlies the impact of multitasking on working memory
in older adults. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:7212–7217.

Clemens S, Combes D, Meyrand P, Simmers J (1998a) Long-term expression of two
interacting motor pattern-generating networks in the stomatogastric system of freely
behaving lobster. J Neurophysiol 79:1396–1408.

Clemens S, Massabuau JC, Legeay A, Meyrand P, Simmers J (1998b) In vivo
modulation of interacting central pattern generators in lobster stomatogastric
ganglion: influence of feeding and partial pressure of oxygen. J Neurosci 18:2788–
2799.

Coleman MJ, Meyrand P, Nusbaum MP (1995) A switch between two modes of
synaptic transmission mediated by presynaptic inhibition. Nature 378:502–505.

Coleman MJ, Nusbaum MP (1994) Functional consequences of compartmentalization
of synaptic input. J Neurosci 14:6544–6552.

Connors BW (2017) Synchrony and so much more: Diverse roles for electrical synapses
in neural circuits. Dev Neurobiol 77:610–624.

Daley MA, Bramble DM, Carrier DR (2013) Impact Loading and Locomotor-Respiratory
Coordination Significantly Influence Breathing Dynamics in Running Humans. PLoS
One 8:70752.

Daur N, Nadim F, Bucher D (2016) The complexity of small circuits: the stomatogastric
nervous system. Curr Opin Neurobiol 41:1–7.

Demartsev V, Manser MB, Tattersall GJ (2022) Vocalization-associated respiration
patterns: thermography-based monitoring and detection of preparation for calling. J
Exp Biol 225.

Dickinson PS (1995) Interactions among neural networks for behavior. Curr Op
Neurobiol 5:792–798.

Dickinson PS, Mecsas C, Marder E (1990) Neuropeptide fusion of two motor-pattern
generator circuits. Nature 344:155–157.

Dickinson PS, Stemmler EA, Cashman CR, Brennan HR, Dennison B, Huber KE,
Peguero B, Rabacal W, Goiney CC, Smith CM, Towle DW, Christie AE (2008)

SIFamide peptides in clawed lobsters and freshwater crayfish (Crustacea, Decapoda, Astacidea): A combined molecular, mass spectrometric and electrophysiological investigation. Gen and Comp Endocrinol 156:347–360.

Edwards DH, Yeh SR, Krasne FB (1998) Neuronal coincidence detection by voltage-sensitive electrical synapses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:7145–7150.

Fahoum S-RH, Blitz DM (2024) Switching neuron contributions to second network activity. J Neurophysiol 131:417–434.

Fahoum S-RH, Blitz DM (2023) Parallel Peptide Actions Underlie Recruitment and Coordination of a Dual-Network Neuron. bioRxiv 2023.12.15.571956.

Fahoum S-RH, Blitz DM (2021) Neuronal switching between single- and dual-network activity via modulation of intrinsic membrane properties. J Neurosci 41:7848–7863.

Fortune ES, Rodríguez C, Li D, Ball GF, Coleman MJ (2011) Neural mechanisms for the coordination of duet singing in wrens. Science (1979) 334:666–670.

Gariépy JF, Missaghi K, Dubuc R (2010) The interactions between locomotion and respiration. Prog Brain Res 187:173–188.

Grillner S, El Manira A (2020) Current principles of motor control, with special reference to vertebrate locomotion. Physiol Rev 100:271–320.

Gutierrez GJ, Grashow RG (2009) *Cancer borealis* stomatogastric nervous system dissection. J Vis Exp 23:1–5.

Gutierrez GJ, Marder E (2013) Rectifying electrical synapses can affect the influence of synaptic modulation on output pattern robustness. J Neurosci 33:13238–13248.

Hamood AW, Haddad SA, Otopalik AG, Rosenbaum P, Marder E (2015) Quantitative reevaluation of the effects of short- and long-term removal of descending modulatory inputs on the pyloric rhythm of the crab, *Cancer borealis*. eNeuro 2:1–13.

Hao N, Sasa A, Kulvanich S, Nakajima Y, Nagoya K, Magara J, Tsujimura T, Inoue M (2021) Coordination of Respiration, Swallowing, and Chewing in Healthy Young Adults. Front Physiol 12:696071.

Heinzel HG (1988) Gastric mill activity in the lobster. I. Spontaneous modes of chewing. J Neurophysiol 59:528–550.

Heinzel HG, Weimann JM, Marder E (1993) The behavioral repertoire of the gastric mill in the crab, *Cancer pagurus*: an in situ endoscopic and electrophysiological examination. J Neurosci 13:1793.

Hérent C, Diem S, Usseglio G, Fortin G, Bouvier J (2023) Upregulation of breathing rate during running exercise by central locomotor circuits in mice. Nat Commun 14:2–17.

Higashino M, Miyata K, Kudo K (2022) Coordination dynamics of thoracic and
abdominal movements during voluntary breathing. Sci Rep 12:13266.

Hooper SL, Moulins M (1990) Cellular and synaptic mechanisms responsible for a long-
lasting restructuring of the lobster pyloric network. J Neurophysiol 64:1574.

Hooper SL, Moulins M (1989) Switching of a neuron from one network to another by
sensory-induced changes in membrane properties. Science (1979) 244:1587–
1589.

Hooper SL, Thuma JB, Guschlbauer C, Schmidt J, Büschges A (2015) Cell dialysis by
sharp electrodes can cause nonphysiological changes in neuron properties. J
Neurophysiol 114:1255–1271.

Huff A, Karlen-Amarante M, Pitts T, Marino Ramirez J (2022) Optogenetic stimulation of
pre-Botzinger complex reveals novel circuit interactions in swallowing-breathing
coordination. PNAS 119:1–11.

Huybrechts J, Nusbaum MP, Bosch L Vanden, Baggerman G, De Loof A, Schoofs L
(2003) Neuropeptidomic analysis of the brain and thoracic ganglion from the Jonah
crab, *Cancer borealis*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 308:535–544.

Juvin L, Colnot E, Barrière G, Thoby-Brisson M, Morin D (2022) Neurogenic
mechanisms for locomotor-respiratory coordination in mammals. Front Neuroanat
16.

Kepler TB, Marder EVE, Abbott LF (1990) The effect of electrical coupling on the
frequency of model neuronal oscillators. Science (1979) 248:83–85.

Koch H, Garcia AJ, Ramirez J-M (2011) Network reconfiguration and neuronal plasticity
in rhythm-generating networks. Integr Comp Biol 51:856–868.

Kothmann WW, Massey SC, O'Brien J (2009) Dopamine-stimulated dephosphorylation
of connexin 36 mediates AII amacrine cell uncoupling. J Neurosci 29:14903–14911.

Lambert FM, Beraneck M, Straka H, Simmers J (2023) Locomotor efference copy
signaling and gaze control: An evolutionary perspective. Curr Opin Neurobiol 82.

Lane BJ, Kick DR, Wilson DK, Nair SS, Schulz DJ (2018) Dopamine maintains network
synchrony via direct modulation of gap junctions in the crustacean cardiac
ganglion. Elife 7:e39368.

Liu P, Chen B, Mailler R, Wang ZW (2017) Antidromic-rectifying gap junctions amplify
chemical transmission at functionally mixed electrical-chemical synapses. Nat
Commun 8:14818.

Maezawa H, Koganemaru S, Matsuhashi M, Hirata M, Funahashi M, Mima T (2020)
Entrainment of chewing rhythm by gait speed during treadmill walking in humans.
Neurosci Res 156:88–94.

Marder E, Bucher D (2007) Understanding circuit dynamics using the stomatogastric
nervous system of lobsters and crabs. Ann Rev Physiol 69:291–316.

Marder E, Gutierrez GJ, Nusbaum MP (2017) Complicating connectomes: Electrical
coupling creates parallel pathways and degenerate circuit mechanisms. Dev
Neurobiol 77:597–609.

McGaw IJ, Curtis DL (2013) A review of gastric processing in decapod crustaceans. J
Comp Physiol B 183:443–465.

Mendoza AJ, Haas JS (2022) Intrinsic Sources and Functional Impacts of Asymmetry at
Electrical Synapses. eNeuro 9:1–14.

Meyrand P, Simmers J, Moulins M (1994) Dynamic construction of a neural network
from multiple pattern generators in the lobster stomatogastric nervous system. J
Neurosci 14:630–644.

Moore JD, Deschênes M, Furuta T, Huber D, Smear MC, Demers M, Kleinfeld D (2013)
Hierarchy of orofacial rhythms revealed through whisking and breathing. Nature
2013 497:7448 497:205–210.

Mulloney B, Smarandache-Wellmann C (2012) Neurobiology of the crustacean
swimmeret system. Prog Neurobiol 96:242–267.

Nadim F, Manor Y, Nusbaum MP, Marder E (1998) Frequency regulation of a slow
rhythm by a fast periodic input. J Neurosci 18:5053–5067.

Norris BJ, Coleman MJ, Nusbaum MP (1996) Pyloric motor pattern modification by a
newly identified projection neuron in the crab stomatogastric nervous system. J
Neurophysiol 75:97–108.

Nusbaum MP, Beenhakker MP (2002) A small-systems approach to motor pattern
generation. Nature 417:343–350.

Nusbaum MP, Weimann JM, Golowasch J, Marder E (1992) Presynaptic control of
modulatory fibers by their neural network targets. J Neurosci 12:2706–2714.

O'Brien J (2014) The ever-changing electrical synapse. Curr Opin Neurobiol 29:64–72.

Okobi DE, Banerjee A, Matheson AMM, Phelps SM, Long MA (2019) Motor cortical
control of vocal interaction in neotropical singing mice. Science (1979) 363:983–
988.

Palacios-Prado N, Huetteroth W, Pereda AE (2014) Hemichannel composition and
electrical synaptic transmission: molecular diversity and its implications for
electrical rectification. Front Cell Neurosci 8:324.

Powell D, Haddad SA, Gorur-Shandilya S, Marder E (2021) Coupling between fast and
slow oscillator circuits in *Cancer borealis* is temperature-compensated. Elife
10:e60454.

Ramirez J-M, Baertsch N (2018) Defining the rhythmogenic elements of mammalian
breathing. Physiology 33:302–316.

Rash JE, Curti S, Vanderpool KG, Kamasawa N, Nannapaneni S, Palacios-Prado N,
Flores CE, Yasumura T, O'Brien J, Lynn BD, Bukauskas FF, Nagy JI, Pereda AE
(2013) Molecular and Functional Asymmetry at a Vertebrate Electrical Synapse.
Neuron 79:957–969.

Roopun AK, Kramer MA, Carracedo LM, Kaiser M, Davies CH, Traub RD, Kopell NJ,
Whittington MA (2008) Temporal interactions between cortical rhythms. Front
Neurosci 2:145–154.

Ryczko D, Dubuc R (2013) The multifunctional mesencephalic locomotor region. Curr
Pharm Des 19:4448–4470.

Saideman SR, Blitz DM, Nusbaum MP (2007) Convergent motor patterns from
divergent circuits. J Neurosci 27:6664–6674.

Saunders SW, Rath D, Hodges PW (2004) Postural and respiratory activation of the
trunk muscles changes with mode and speed of locomotion. Gait Posture 20:280–
290.

Selverston A, Moulins M (1987) The Crustacean Stomatogastric System, The
Crustacean Stomatogastric System. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Shruti S, Schulz DJ, Lett KM, Marder E (2014) Electrical coupling and innexin
expression in the stomatogastric ganglion of the crab *Cancer borealis*. J
Neurophysiol 112:2946–2958.

Sillar KT, Simmers J, Combes D (2023) From tadpole to adult frog locomotion. Curr
Opin Neurobiol 82:102753.

Snyder RR, Blitz DM (2022) Multiple intrinsic membrane properties are modulated in a
switch from single- to dual-network activity. J Neurophysiol 128:1181–1198.

Spencer RM, Blitz DM (2016) Network feedback regulates motor output across a range
of modulatory neuron activity. J Neurophysiol 115:3249–3263.

Stein W, Harzsch S (2021) The neurobiology of ocean change – insights from decapod
crustaceans. Zoology 144:125887.

Stickford ASL, Stickford JL (2014) Ventilation and locomotion in humans: Mechanisms,
implications, and perturbations to the coupling of these two rhythms. Springer Sci
Rev 2:95–118.

Tryba AK, Peña F, Lieske SP, Viemari J-C, Thoby-Brisson M, Ramirez J-M (2008)
Differential modulation of neural network and pacemaker activity underlying eupnea
and sigh-breathing activities. J Neurophysiol 99:2114–2125.

Vaughn MJ, Haas JS (2022) On the diverse functions of electrical synapses. Front Cell
Neurosci 16.

Wei XP, Collie M, Dempsey B, Fortin G, Yackle K (2022) A novel reticular node in the
brainstem synchronizes neonatal mouse crying with breathing. Neuron 110:644-
657.e6.

Weimann JM, Meyrand P, Marder E (1991) Neurons that form multiple pattern
generators: Identification and multiple activity patterns of gastric/pyloric neurons in
the crab stomatogastric system. J Neurophysiol 65:111–122.

Wood DE, Manor Y, Nadim F, Nusbaum MP (2004) Intercircuit control via rhythmic
regulation of projection neuron activity. J Neurosci 24:7455–7463.

Yagi N, Oku Y, Nagami S, Yamagata Y, Kayashita J, Ishikawa A, Domen K, Takahashi
R (2017) Inappropriate Timing of Swallow in the Respiratory Cycle Causes
Breathing-Swallowing Discoordination. Front Physiol 8:676.

Yasuda A, Yasuda-Kamatani Y, Nozaki M, Nakajima T (2004) Identification of
GYRKPPFNGSIFamide (crustacean-SIFamide) in the crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*
by topological mass spectrometry analysis. Gen and Comp Endocrinol 135:391–
400.

Zhang Y, Khorkova O, Rodriguez R, Golowaschi J (2009) Activity and neuromodulatory
input contribute to the recovery of rhythmic output after decentralization in a central
pattern generator. J Neurophysiol 101:372–386.

1040

1041

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1. Gly^1-SIFamide elicited a variable pyloric rhythm. *A,* Schematic of the

 isolated stomatogastric nervous system (STNS) of the crab, *Cancer borealis*. The STNS

 consists of the paired commissural ganglia (CoG), the single oesophageal ganglion

 (OG) and the single stomatogastric ganglion (STG), plus motor and connecting nerves.

 The STG consists of neuropil and the cell bodies of both the gastric mill and pyloric

 network neurons. The line breaks on the *ion* and *son* indicates where they were cut to

 isolate the STG network (Saline:*ions/sons* cut). Two compartments were created with a

 Vaseline wall across the dish and separately superfused. Gly^1-SIFamide (5 µM) was

 bath-applied selectively to the posterior compartment containing the STG. *B,* Schematic

 of the pyloric and gastric mill connectome. Resistor symbols indicate electrical coupling,

 diode symbols indicate rectification of electrical coupling, and ball and stick symbols

 indicate chemical inhibition. Colors indicate neurons that participate in the Gly^1-

 SIFamide rhythm and are discussed in this study. *C,* Representative

 electrophysiological traces of the pyloric (*pdn*, *mvn, lpgn*) and gastric mill (*mvn, dgn* and

 lgn) networks in an example experiment in Saline:Intact STNS (**Ci**), Saline:*ions/sons* cut

 (**Cii**) and bath-applied Gly^1-SIFamide (5 µM) (**Ciii**) conditions. The instantaneous pyloric

 cycle period is plotted at the top of each set of traces. All conditions are from the same

 experiment. *D,* The coefficient of variation (CV) of the pyloric cycle period is plotted for

 three experimental conditions: 1) in saline prior to isolation of the STG network

 (Saline:Intact STNS, n = 19), 2) in saline with *ions/sons* cut to isolate the STG network

 from descending modulatory inputs (Saline:*ions/sons* cut, n = 12) and 3) during 5 µM

 Gly^1-SIFamide bath application (SIFamide, n = 19). Each dot represents individual

experiments and lines connecting across the experimental conditions depict data points

from the same preparation. The different n value for *ion*s/*son*s cut is due to the lack of a

pyloric rhythm in seven preparations in this condition. In the absence of modulatory

inputs, the pyloric rhythm sometimes shuts off (Zhang et al., 2009; Hamood et al., 2015;

Hamood and Marder, 2015). ***p < 0.001, One Way RM ANOVA, Holm-sidak *post hoc*.

Neurons: AB, anterior burster; AM, anterior median; DG, dorsal gastric; GM, gastric mill;

IC, inferior cardiac; Int1, Interneuron 1; LG, lateral gastric; LP, lateral pyloric; LPG,

lateral posterior gastric; MG, medial gastric; PD, pyloric dilator; PY, pyloric; VD,

ventricular dilator. Nerves: *dgn*, dorsal gastric nerve; *ion*, inferior oesophageal nerve;

lgn, lateral gastric nerve; *lpgn*, lateral posterior gastric nerve; *lvn*, lateral ventricular

nerve; *mvn*, median ventricular nerve; *pdn*, pyloric dilator nerve; *son*, superior

oesophageal nerve; *stn*, stomatogastric nerve.

1077

Figure 2. Pyloric cycle periods occurred at three different levels during the Gly[1]-

SIFamide gastric mill rhythm. *A,* The pyloric rhythm occurs with a shorter cycle period

during LPG activity (blue box), with a longer cycle period during LG:IC activity (pink box)

and an intermediate level in the absence of any gastric mill-timed bursting (SIFbaseline;

grey box). Representative traces show an example rhythm during Gly[1]-SIFamide bath

application, with instantaneous pyloric cycle period plotted at the top. Dotted line across

instantaneous cycle period plot indicates SIFbaseline cycle period. *B,* The average

pyloric cycle period is plotted for no gastric mill neuron activity (SIFbaseline; grey box)

and during activity of each combination of 1, 2, 3, and 4 gastric mill neurons (n = 19).

Each dot represents the average pyloric cycle period during that particular neuron

activity across a 20 min analysis window during Gly^1-SIFamide steady state in a single

experiment. Black bars indicate the mean pyloric cycle period across all experiments

during that neuronal activity. Numbers at the bottom of the graph depict the total

number of pyloric cycles contributing to the averages for that neuronal activity. Dotted

line represents average pyloric cycle period during SIFbaseline. Blue box highlights

neuronal activity combinations that include LPG, pink box highlights combinations that

include IC, and purple boxes highlight neuronal activity that include both LPG and IC.

1095

Figure 3. IC or LG hyperpolarization eliminated longer cycle periods and

decreased pyloric cycle period variability. *A*, Extracellular and intracellular

recordings monitor the pyloric rhythm (*pdn*) and gastric mill-timed bursting in the IC and

LG neurons in control (Pre; ***Ai***, ***Bi***; Top), during IC (***Aii***) or LG (***Bii***) hyperpolarization,

and after hyperpolarization (Post; ***Aiii***, ***Biii***), all during Gly^1-SIFamide bath application. A

and B are from different experiments. Pyloric cycle period on top of the extracellular *pdn*

recording plots the instantaneous pyloric cycle period during each condition. Note the

absence of longer pyloric cycle periods during both LG and IC hyperpolarization (pink

boxes indicate LG:IC activity, grey box indicates LG activity without an IC gastric mill

burst (Ai). IC gastric mill bursts are identified by brackets above the IC recordings.

Downward filled arrowheads indicate hyperpolarizing current injection (Aii and Bii).

Downward white arrowheads above instantaneous pyloric cycle period indicate the

SIFbaseline cycle period (dashed line).

1109

Figure 4. IC hyperpolarization decreased pyloric cycle period variability without altering LG activity. *A*, The average CV of pyloric cycle period across 200 sec windows is plotted for IC (*Ai*, n = 6), LG (*Aii*, n = 4), DG (*Aiii*, n = 4) or all three neurons (*Aiv*, n = 6) before (Pre), during (Hype) and after (Post) hyperpolarization. ***B*,** The number of IC gastric mill bursts (burst duration > 0.45 s) occurring before (Pre), during (Hype) and after (Post) LG hyperpolarization is plotted (n = 4). ***C*,** LG activity parameters including burst duration (*Ci*), firing frequency (*Cii*) and number of spikes per burst (*Civ*) before (Pre), during (Hype) and after (Post) IC hyperpolarization are plotted (n = 6). For all graphs, data points represent individual experiments connected by lines across conditions. Grey bars indicate the average across experiments. *p < 0.05, One Way RM ANOVA, Holm-sidak *post hoc* test.

Figure 5. LPG photoinactivation decreased pyloric cycle period variability in Gly[1]-SIFamide. *Ai*, In control Gly[1]-SIFamide with LPG neurons intact, there are shorter cycle periods during LPG gastric mill-timed bursts (blue box), compared to SIFbaseline (dashed line) and longer cycle periods during IC activity (pink box). ***Aii*,** After both LPG neurons were photoinactivated (see Methods), pyloric cycle period variability appeared lower. Pink box highlights an IC gastric mill burst (group of pyloric-timed bursts > 0.45 s duration). The small units in the *lpgn* recording are PY neurons. ***B*,** The pyloric cycle period coefficient of variation (CV) is plotted for a 20 min window of steady state activity in the LPG:Intact (blue dots) and LPG:Kill (black dots) conditions (n = 9). Each pair of dots plus their connecting lines represents a single preparation. Grey bars indicate the average CV across experiments in each condition. ***p < 0.001, paired t-test. ***C*,**

Overlaid histograms (bin size: 3 ms) of all pyloric cycle periods across 20 min windows

during steady state Gly^1-SIFamide application in the LPG:Intact (blue) and LPG:Kill

(grey) conditions (n = 9). Each individual cycle period was normalized to the average

SIFbaseline of the same preparation. Bin count of each condition was normalized to the

peak count of that condition to facilitate comparison of histograms. Brackets on top of

histogram indicate, faster pyloric frequency (shorter pyloric cycle periods), SIFbaseline

(arrow) and slower pyloric frequency (longer pyloric cycle periods).

1140

Figure 6. IC firing frequency was not affected by LPG photoinactivation. *A*,

Representative traces illustrate differences in IC gastric mill-timed activity (brackets on

top of *mvn* recording) before (*Ai*, LPG:Intact) and after (*Aii*, LPG:Kill) photoinactivation

of the two LPG neurons. Pyloric-timed IC bursts within each IC gastric mill burst were

identified if there was a gap between IC action potentials that coincided with a PD

neuron burst (brackets below *mvn* recording). *B*, Neuronal activity parameters for IC

gastric mill-timed bursts across 20 min windows during steady state Gly^1-SIFamide bath

application are plotted, including number of bursts (*Bi*), burst duration (*Bii*), number of

spikes/burst (*Biii*), and firing frequency (*Biv*). *C*, Neuronal activity for IC pyloric-timed

bursts within each gastric mill-timed burst is plotted, including number of bursts (*Ci*)

burst duration (*Cii*), number of spikes/burst (*Ciii*), and firing frequency (*Civ*). Blue dots

indicate LPG:Intact condition and black dots indicate LPG:Kill condition. All dots

represent average activity parameter per experiment with lines connecting the two

conditions in each experiment. Grey bars indicate averages across experiments. *p <

0.05, paired t-test.

1156

Figure 7. The same IC firing frequency did not alter pyloric cycle period in the absence of LPG neurons. Instantaneous pyloric cycle period is plotted against IC firing frequency in the LPG:Intact (blue dots) and LPG:Kill (grey dots) during 20 min windows. Each graph plots the data from a single experiment and each dot represents the instantaneous pyloric cycle period and the IC firing frequency within its pyloric-timed burst during the same pyloric cycle. Only cycle periods overlapping with IC gastric mill bursts (IC bursts > 0.45 s; see Fig. 6) are plotted.

1164

Figure 8. Biphasic regulation of the pyloric cycle during the Gly^1-SIFamide-elicited gastric mill rhythm occurs via rectifying electrical coupling between the LPG neurons and the other pyloric pacemaker ensemble neurons. Slow gastric mill bursting in LPG allows sufficient current though the rectifying electrical synapses (diode symbols) to the AB and PD neurons to increase the frequency of the pyloric rhythm. IC gastric mill bursting is necessary for rhythmic slowing of the pyloric rhythm. However, this inhibition appears to act primarily via chemical synaptic inhibition of the LPG neuron (thick black stick/ball), with a much weaker contribution via chemical inhibition of the AB and/or PD neuron (thin dotted lines). Triphasic pyloric cycle period durations are depicted below with schematized AB/PD activity during SIFbaseline (grey), speeding due to LPG gastric mill-timed slow bursting (blue) and slowing caused by IC gastric mill bursting (pink).

1177

1178 Table 1. Pyloric cycle period CV in saline (intact STNS or with *ion*s/*son*s transected) and during
1179 bath application of Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 μM).

Pyloric Cycle Period CV Mean ± SEM				p-value		
Saline:Intact STNS (n = 19)	Saline:*ion*/*son* cut (n = 12)	Gly[1]-SIFamide (n = 19)	F (df)	Saline:Intact STNS vs SIFamide	Saline:*ion*/*son* cut vs SIFamide	Saline:Intact STNS vs Saline:*ion*/*son* cut
0.03 ± 0.002	0.08 ± 0.01	0.29 ± 0.04	30.09 (18,2)	<0.001	<0.001	0.182

1180 One-way repeated measures ANOVA, Holm-Sidak *post hoc*; CV, coefficient of variation; df,
1181 degrees of freedom

1182

1183 Table 2. Statistical analysis of active gastric mill neuron contributions to pyloric cycle period
1184 variability during Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 µM) application.

1185

Model Complexity		$X^{2(a,b)}$, df t^c, df	p-value
4-factor interaction term removed		0.0612^a, 1	0.8047
All 3-factor interaction terms removed		2.9444^b, 4	0.5672
Final Model:		1.019^b, 5	0.961
Includes LPG, LG, IC, LPG:IC, LG:IC		4.015^a, 10	0.946
Post hoc comparisons of significant 2-factor interactions in final model	LG:IC Present	-3.30^c, 240	0.011
	LG:IC Absent	0.84^c, 239	0.40
	IC:LG Present	-7.15^c, 238	<0.0001
	IC:LG Absent	-1.99^c, 241	0.048
	LPG:IC Present	-6.35^c, 238	<0.0001
	LPG:IC Absent	-3.57^c, 238	0.0004
	IC:LPG Present	-2.69^c, 239	0.008
	LPG:IC Absent	-6.23^c, 238	<0.0001

1202 4-factor within-subjects mixed model ANOVA with iterative elimination of non-significant higher-
1203 order interactions. Models were compared to full model[a] or previous level of complexity[b] using a
1204 likelihood ratio test. [C]*post hoc* comparisons of estimated marginal means.

1206 Table 3. Pyloric cycle period CV and IC and LG burst parameters in Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 µM)
1207 before, during, and after hyperpolarization of gastric mill neurons.

Manipulation	Parameter	Mean ± SEM			F (df)	p-value		
		Pre	Hype	Post		Pre vs Hype	Hype vs Post	Pre vs Post
Hype IC (n = 6)	Pyloric Cycle Period CV	0.30± 0.06	0.09± 0.02	0.25± 0.07	7.43 (5,2)	0.01	0.04	0.39
Hype LG (n = 4)		0.28± 0.09	0.06± 0.02	0.17±4 0.06	6.09 (3,2)	0.04	0.15	0.22
Hype DG (n = 4)		0.21± 0.05	0.19± 0.06	0.17± 0.04	1.18 (3,2)	ns	ns	ns
Hype IC/LG/DG (n = 6)		0.27± 0.066	0.10± 0.05	0.26± 0.06	9.13 (5,2)	0.01	0.01	0.86
Hype LG (n = 4)	# of IC Bursts	12.75± 1.80	1.50± 1.50	12.75± 1.44	22.75 (3,2)	0.003	0.002	1
Hype IC (n = 6)	LG Burst Duration (s)	4.63± 1.01	5.08± 0.95	6.51± 1.25	1.52 (5,2)	ns	ns	ns
	LG # Spikes/Burst	15.34± 5.16	16.50± 5.54	21.79± 5.73	0.49 (5,2)	ns	ns	ns
	LG Firing Freq. (Hz)	2.90± 0.39	2.91± 0.49	3.07± 0.46	0.04 (5,2)	ns	ns	ns

1208 Pre, before hyperpolarization of neuron(s); Hype, during hyperpolarization of neuron(s); Post,
1209 after hyperpolarization of neuron(s); CV, coefficient of variation; df, degrees of freedom; ns, p*ost*
1210 *hoc* not performed when p > 0.05; One-way repeated measures ANOVA, Holm-Sidak *post hoc*

1211

1212   Table 4. IC gastric mill and pyloric burst parameters during LPG Intact and LPG

1213   photoinactivated (Killed) conditions in Gly[1]-SIFamide (5 μM).

		Mean ± SEM			
		LPG Intact	LPG Killed	*t, df*	*p-value*
IC Gastric mill bursts (n = 9)	# bursts	68.89 ± 9.50	68.33 ± 9.98	0.12, 8	0.91
	Burst Duration (s)	5.08 ± 0.65	4.33 ± 0.34	1.48, 8	0.18
	# Spikes/Burst	64.59 ± 9.53	55.02 ± 7.04	1.71, 8	0.13
	Firing Freq. (Hz)	12.96 ± 1.02	12.47 ± 0.86	0.93, 8	0.37
IC, pyloric bursts (n = 9)	# bursts	249.56 ± 35.09	262.22 ± 35.02	-0.78, 8	0.46
	Burst Duration (s)	1.31 ± 0.14	1.01 ± 0.20	2.00, 8	0.08
	# Spikes/Burst	19.02 ± 2.16	13.37 ± 2.03	2.81, 8	0.02
	Firing Freq. (Hz)	14.14 ± 1.61	13.83 ± 1.72	0.65, 8	0.53

1214   During Gly[1]-SIFamide application; paired t-test

1215

Figure 1
Gnanabharathi, Fahoum & Blitz

A.

Gly[1] - SIFamide (5 μM)

SIFbaseline: 2 s

Pyloric cycle period

pdn (PD)

lpgn (LPG)

dgn (DG)

IC   VD

mvn

lgn (LG)

5 s

B.

Figure 2
Gnanabharathi, Fahoum & Blitz

Gly¹ - SIFamide (5 μM)

Figure 3
Gnanabharathi, Fahoum & Blitz

Figure 4
Gnanabharathi, Fahoum & Blitz

Ai. LPG:Intact

Aii. LPG:Kill

B.

C.

Figure 5
Gnanabharathi, Fahoum & Blitz

Gly[1] - SIFamide (5 μM)

Ai. LPG:Intact

Pyloric cycle period
SIFbaseline
1.4s

pdn (PD)

lpgn (LPG)

VD   IC   Gastric mill-timed burst

mvn

Pyloric-timed burst

Aii. LPG:Kill

1.0s

IC   Gastric mill-timed burst

VD

Pyloric-timed burst

5 s

Gastric mill-timed IC bursts

Bi. # Bursts

Bii. Burst Duration (s)

Biii. # Spikes/Burst

Biv. Firing Frequency (Hz)

Pyloric-timed IC bursts

Ci. # Bursts

Cii. Burst Duration (s)

Ciii. # Spikes/Burst *

Civ. Firing Frequency (Hz)

● LPG:Intact ● LPG:Kill

Figure 6
Gnanabharathi, Fahoum & Blitz

Figure 7
Gnanabharathi, Fahoum & Blitz

Gly¹-SIFamide (5 µM)

Pyloric-Timed Activity | Gastric Mill-Timed Activity

AB
LPG
PD
IC

AB/PD
(Pyloric Pacemaker)

SIFbaseline | Speeding | Slowing

— Chemical synaptic inhibition
— Rectifying electrical synapse
— Electrical synapse

Figure 8
Gnanabharathi, Fahoum & Blitz