Relationship between weapon size and six key behavioural and physiological traits

in males of the European earwig

Samantha E.M. Blackwell[1], Laura Pasquier[1], Simon Dupont[1], Séverine Devers[1], Charlotte

Lécureuil[1], Joël Meunier[1*]

[1]Institut de Recherche sur la Biologie de l'Insecte (IRBI), UMR CNRS 7261, Université de Tours,

France.

ORCID numbers :

• L Pasquier : 0009-0000-1346-2069

• S Dupont : 0000-0003-4760-6811

• C Lécureuil : 0000-0002-7339-9185

• J Meunier : 0000-0001-6893-2064

*Corresponding author: J Meunier, joel.meunier@univ-tours.fr

13 SUMMARY

In many animals, male weapons are large and extravagant morphological structures that typically

enhance fighting ability and reproductive success. It is generally assumed that growing and

carrying large weapons is costly, thus only males in the best condition can afford it. In the European

earwig, males carry weapons in the form of forceps-like cerci, which can vary widely in size within

populations. While long forceps appear to increase male's access to females, it is surprisingly

unknown whether it also correlates with other important life-history traits. However, this

information is important for determining the potential reliability of forceps length as an indicator

of male quality and the stability of this signalling system. Here, we tested whether forceps length

of earwig males is associated with six important behavioural and physiological traits. We sampled

hundreds of males from two populations, selected the 120 males with the longest and shortest

forceps and then measured their locomotor performance, boldness, aggregation behaviour,

survival under harsh conditions, sperm quantity, and survival after pathogen exposure. Contrary

to our predictions, we found no association between forceps length and any of the traits

measured. This lack of association was consistent between the two populations, although there

were population-specific levels of boldness and survival in harsh conditions. Overall, these results

challenge our current understanding of the function and quality signal of forceps length in this

species and raise questions about the evolutionary drivers that could explain the maintenance of

weapon size diversity within and between populations.

Keywords: Weapons; Forceps; Ornament; Sexual selection; Insect; Behaviour

INTRODUCTION

Animal reproduction often requires males to engage in physical competition and courtship to attract females in search of mates (Davies et al., 2012). From vertebrates to arthropods, these two needs have often led to the evolution of male weapons and ornaments through sexual selection (Emlen, 2008; Goldberg et al., 2019; McCullough et al., 2016). These weapons and ornaments are typically large and extravagant morphological structures that can grow on different parts of the male's body, take a variety of forms such as antlers, horns, spurs, fangs and tusks, and work to enhance the male's fighting ability and/or attractiveness to females (Emlen, 2008). Textbook examples of this enhancement can be found in the white-tailed deer *Odocoileus virginianus*, where males growing the largest antlers are more likely to win fights with other males and have higher annual breeding success (Newbolt et al., 2017), and in the stalk-eyed flies *Cyrtodiopsis whitei* and *C. dahnanni*, where females prefer to mate with males exhibiting the longest eye stalks (Wilkinson et al., 1998).

Despite these benefits, not all males engage in displaying extravagant weapons or ornaments (Emlen, 2008; Goldberg et al., 2019; McCullough et al., 2016). This is because the development and maintenance of these sexually selected traits often comes at a cost to males. This cost can arise from the fact that carrying heavy, bulky weapons (or ornaments) makes males less mobile and more visible to both predators and preys (Oufiero & Garland, 2007). This has been reported in the rubyspot damselfly *Hetaerina americana*, where males with experimentally-enlarged wing spots have lower survival rates due to increased conspicuity to both visually orienting predators and visually orienting prey (Grether, 1997). This cost can also arise because carrying large morphological structures may impose investment trade-offs with physiological

functions ranging from metabolism to muscle development and spermatogenesis, which can be

crucial for male fitness and survival (Emlen, 2001). For example, males with the largest hind leg

weapon pay the highest resting metabolic rate and energy costs in the Hemiptera *Leptoscelis*

tricolor (Somjee et al., 2018). Similarly, growing large mandibles come with low flight-muscle mass

in the stag beetle *Cyclommatus metallifer* (Mills et al., 2016), and males who invest in extravagant

sexual displays show a more rapid decline in spermatogenesis than males who invest less in these

displays in the houbara bustard *Chlamydotis undulata* (Preston et al., 2011). As a result, only the

males in the very best condition are expected to possibly afford the development and

maintenance of extravagant weapons and ornaments (Otte & Stayman, 1979; Emlen & Nijhout,

2000). Determining the reliability of these morphological structures as indicators of the male

quality is therefore crucial to understanding the stability of these signalling systems (Berglund et

al., 1996).

Earwigs (Dermaptera) are textbook examples of insects with males displaying a sexually

selected weapon. In this taxonomical group, females have relatively short, straight and hardened

forceps-like cerci typically used to defend the clutch against predators (Meunier, 2024), whereas

males display elongated, curved and hardened forceps-like cerci (hereafter referred to as forceps)

involved in mating courtship and fights against other males (Briceño & Eberhard, 1995; Kamimura,

2014; Walker & Fell, 2001). There are several lines of evidence to suggest that long forceps provide

males with benefits in terms of mating success (Eberhard & Gutierrez, 1991; Kamimura, 2014;

Tomkins & Brown, 2004). For example, males with long forceps are more likely to win fights with

other males by squeezing them between their cerci, and thus gain better access to females in the

toothed earwig *Vostox apicedentatus* (Moore & Wilson, 1993). In the European earwig *Forficula*

auricularia, male forceps are also used in male-male contests as a weapon to deter competitors

prior to mating (Styrsky & Rhein, 1999) or to interrupt mating by non-copulating males (Forslund,

2000, 2003; Walker & Fell, 2001). Long-forceps males are also generally more aggressive, more

readily accepted by females for mating and copulate longer compared to short-forceps males

(reviewed in Kamimura, 2014). Although forceps are involved in male courtship (Walker & Fell,

2001), females do not seem to select their mate on the basis of forceps length (Forslund, 2000,

2003; Radesäter & Halldórsdóttir, 1993; Walker & Fell, 2001).

While the relationship between male forceps length and mating success has been well

documented in earwigs (reviewed in Kamimura, 2014), it remains unclear whether having long or

short forceps is associated with other important life-history traits in these males. However, this is

important information to determine the potential reliability of forceps length as an indicator of

male quality and the stability of this possible signalling system. The very few studies that have

addressed this question focused on male immunity in the European earwig *F. auricularia* (Körner

et al., 2017; Rantala et al., 2007). On the one hand, they show that males with long forceps have

lower basal levels of certain components of the immune system, such as lysozyme activity and

hemocyte concentrations. This suggests that having long forceps comes with an immune cost for

males. On the other hand, they show no association between forceps length and other

components of the immune response, such as encapsulation rate and phenoloxidase activity.

Taken together, these findings suggest that the immune costs of having long forceps may be

relatively limited and raise the question of whether other important life-history traits can be

associated with forceps length.

Here, we investigated whether long and short forceps are associated with six important

behavioural and physiological traits in males of the European earwig. We sampled hundreds of

males in two natural populations of earwigs separated by 400 km and in each population, we

selected the 30 males with the longest and the 30 males with the shortest forceps. We then

performed standard measurements on each male to assess their locomotor performance,

likelihood to flee after a physical disturbance (i.e., boldness), their propensity to aggregate with

conspecifics, as well as their survival rate under harsh environmental conditions (i.e., isolated with

no access to a food source for 31 days), the number of sperm in their seminal vesicles and their

survival rate after exposure to the common entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium brunneum*. If

forceps length is a reliable signal of good quality, we predict that long forceps males would 1) have

higher locomotor performance, 2) be bolder and thus less likely to flee after a simulated attack, 3)

be more social and thus more likely to aggregate with conspecifics, 4) be more resilient to harsh

environmental conditions and thus have a higher survival rate after 31 days of starvation, and/or

would 5) have higher immune defences and thus survive better after a pathogen infection than

short-forceps males. We also predict that 6) long-forceps males would produce more sperm and

contain more sperm in their seminal vesicles than short forceps males, which would be consistent

with the longer duration of copulation reported for the former (Kamimura, 2014).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animal rearing and selection

In July 2022, we field sampled 3000 males and females in a cultivated peach orchard near Valence,

France (Lat 44.9772790, Long 4.9286990) and 400 males and females in a non-cultivated area at

the edge of a forest near Cinais, France (Lat 47.1606970, Long 0.1763663). All these individuals

belong to *F. auricularia* sp. A (González-Miguéns et al., 2020). Immediately after field sampling, we

distributed them by population of origin into 50 plastic terrariums (37 × 22 × 25 cm, balanced sex

ratio) to homogenize nutrition, habitation, and access to mates for the males (Körner et al., 2017).

Three months later (i.e., at the end of the reproductive season), we removed the males from all

the terrariums and, for each population, we visually selected the 30 males exhibiting the longest

forceps and the 30 males exhibiting the shortest forceps (Körner et al., 2017). We then isolated

each male in Petri dishes (diameter 5 cm) to later use them for behavioural and physiological

measurements. Two days after isolation, we confirmed the robustness of our two forceps

categories by measuring the mean of the left and right outer forceps of the 120 males. These

measurements were done to the nearest 0.001 mm using the Leica Application Suite 4.5 software

(Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) on pictures taken under a binocular scope (Leica, MZ

12.5). These measurements confirmed that there was no overlap between the two male

categories (Figure 1). All the remaining males and females that were not used in this experiment

were involved in other experiments that are not presented here.

From field sampling to isolation, we fed animals with artificial food containing mostly

pollen, carrots, and cat food (see details in Kramer et al. 2015). During these three months, we

offered this food *ad libitum* to homogenise the nutritional condition of the males when we started

our measurements. We then kept the isolated males without access to food (except during the

measurement of survival to pathogen infection) to determine their survival rate in harsh

environmental conditions. We kept all animals on a 12h:12h light:dark schedule at 18°C, and both

the terrarium and Petri dishes contained a layer of moist sand.

Behavioural measurements

From the 5th to the 24th day after isolation, we measured three behaviours in the 120 isolated

males: the locomotor performance, the likelihood of fleeing after a physical disturbance, and the

level of aggregation. All these measurements followed standard protocols for earwigs (Honorio et

al., 2023; Merleau et al., 2022).

First, we measured male's locomotor performance 5 days after isolation. On that day, we

transferred each male to a 3D-printed circular arena (Open field; diameter = 8 cm, height 0.4 cm)

with a cover made of glass, placed on an infrared table and kept in complete darkness. We then

video recorded males' locomotion for 20 minutes (Camera: BASLER BCA 1300, Germany; Media

Recorder v4.0, Noldus Information Systems, Netherland) and subsequently analyzed the resulting

videos with the software Ethovision XT 16 (Noldus Information Systems, Netherland). We defined

the locomotor performance of each male as the total distance he walked (in cm) during the entire

recording (Honorio et al., 2023; Merleau et al., 2022).

Second, we measured the likelihood of fleeing after a physical disturbance 10 days after

isolation. On that day, we carefully opened each Petri dish, pricked the male on the pronotum with

a glass capillary and then recorded whether or not the male's first reaction was to move more

than one body length away from its initial position (i.e., flee).

Finally, we measured the level of aggregation of each male 24 days after isolation. We

placed each male in a 3D-printed arena used in Van Meyel & Meunier, 2022, consisting of four

linearly aligned circular chambers (diameter 4 cm). Three of the chambers were connected by 0.5

cm wide corridors allowing earwigs to move between chambers. The width of the corridor

connecting to the fourth (outer) chamber was reduced to 0.15 cm, which prevented earwig

movement while allowing the circulation of odours and antennal contacts between individuals on

both sides (Van Meyel & Meunier, 2022). We started the experiment by placing two naive males

and one female from the same population (but not involved in any other experiment or

measurement) in this isolation chamber, and the tested male in one of the connected chambers.

We then started to record whether the tested male was in the chamber next to the group of

conspecifics (yes or no) and repeated this measurement by taking pictures every hour for 48 hours

using infrared cameras and the software Pylon Viewer v5.1.0 (Basler©, Ahrensburg, Germany).

For each tested male, we thus obtained an aggregation score ranging from 0 to 48, which was

defined as the total number of pictures in which a male was in the chamber next to the group of

conspecifics. After the 48h of aggregation test, we returned each male to its Petri dish until its use

for subsequent measurements (see below). For ease of handling, all manipulated earwigs were

anaesthetised with CO_2 during the set-up of this last measurement. Overall, the number of males

still alive at the beginning of each of the three measurement (which reflects their survival rate

under harsh environmental conditions) is detailed in Table 1.

Physiological measurements

Of the 91 males still alive on day 31 after isolation (Table 1), we used a random subset of 39 to

count the number of sperm present in their seminal vesicles and the remaining 52 to measure

their survival after pathogen exposure. We counted sperm numbers in 19 males from Cinais (10

short forceps and 9 long forceps) and 20 males from Valence (10 short forceps and 10 long forceps)

following the protocol detailed in Damiens et al. (2002). In brief, we dissected each male under a

binocular, placed their seminal vesicles on a slide with 15 µL of 1x Phosphate Buffered Saline and

then pierced it to release all the sperm. We subsequently dried the plate on a heating block, after

which the smear of sperm was sealed with a 70% ethanol solution and allowed to dry again at

ambient temperature. The slides were then stored at 3°C. Two days later, we deposited 20µL of

DAPI dye (concentration = 10 µmol/L) on the smear, covered it with a small piece of plastic wrap

to allow the dye to infiltrate the cells while not drying out, and 10 minutes later, we replaced the

plastic wrap with a glass slide cover. The slide was then viewed under the microscope at 20x

magnification, and we took pictures of 5 different fields of the smear. Using an Olympus

micrometre calibration slide and the software Image J (Schneider et al., 2012), we then counted

the number of spermatozoa in all 5 fields for each mal. Finally, we used all these numbers to

calculate the number of spermatozoa per millimetre square and multiplied this number by the

total area of the smear to obtain the total number of sperm per male.

We measured the survival rate of males after a pathogen infection by exposing the

remaining 20 males from Cinais (8 long forceps and 12 short forceps) and 32 males from Valence

(18 long forceps and 14 short forceps) to spores of the entomopathogenic fungus *Metharizium*

brunneum. *M. brunneum* (formerly *M. anisopliae*) is a natural and lethal pathogen of *F. auricularia*

(Arcila & Meunier, 2020; Coulm & Meunier, 2021; Günther & Herter, 1974). The infection followed

a standard protocol detailed in Kohlmeier et al. (2016). In brief, we immersed each male in an

Eppendorf tube containing 1.5 mL of a conidiospore suspension of *M. brunneum* diluted in 0.05%

Tween 20 (Sigma P-1379) at a concentration of 10^6 spores/mL. We then gently swirled the tube

from side to side for 4 seconds, removed the male and placed it back in its original Petri dish with

standard food (see above) that was changed twice a week. We then kept the infected males at

20°C on a 12:12 light:dark schedule. We checked them daily for mortality over the course of 45

days.

Statistical analyses

We conducted all statistical analyses using the software R v4.3.2 (https://www.r-project.org/)

loaded with the packages *DHARMa* (Hartig, 2020), *car* (Fox & Weisberg, 2019), *survival* (Therneau,

2020) and *emmeans* (Lenth, 2022). We analysed locomotor performance, sperm count and

aggregation score using three general linear models (*lm* in R), while we analysed the likelihood to

flee (yes or no) and whether males were dead 31 days after isolation (yes or no) using two

generalized linear model (*glm* in R) with binomial error distributions. Finally, we analysed the

survival rate of the pathogen-exposed males using a Cox proportional hazard regression model

allowing for censored data (*Coxph* in R), i.e., males still alive 45 days after exposure to the

pathogen. In these six models, we entered the type of forceps length (long or short), the

population of origin of the males (Cinais or Valence) and the interaction between these two

variables as explanatory factors. Overall, we checked that all model assumptions were met (e.g.,

homoscedasticity and normality of residuals) using the *DHARMa* package. To this end, we log-

transformed male locomotor performance and log+1-transformed the aggregation scores. In the

model where we found a significant interaction, we conducted pairwise comparisons using the

estimated marginal means of the models and we corrected P values for multiple testing using the

Tukey method, as implemented in the *emmeans* R package.

RESULTS

Overall, there was no association between forceps length and the six traits measured. This applied

to male locomotor performance, likelihood of fleeing after a physical disturbance, aggregation

score, sperm count and survival after pathogen infection (Figure 2; Table 2). There was also no

difference between males with short and long forceps in terms of survival under harsh

environmental conditions, although males with long (not short) forceps survived better when they

came from Cinais compared to Valence (Figure 2; interaction in Table 2; pairwise comparisons:

Long forceps Cinais vs Valence: Z = -3.311; P = 0.005; Short forceps Cinais vs Valence: Z = -0.611;

P = 0.929). Regardless of forceps length, males from Cinais were less likely to flee after a physical

disturbance than males from Valence (Table 2). This difference between the two populations was

absent for all other traits measured (Table 2). There was no interaction between the population

of origin and forceps length for any of the traits measured, except for survival under harsh

environmental conditions (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The display of large, extravagant weapons often comes at a cost to the males (Emlen, 2008;

Goldberg et al., 2019; McCullough et al., 2016). It is therefore expected that only males in the best

condition can afford them and thus that these large weapons signal good male quality (Otte &

Stayman, 1979; Emlen & Nijhout, 2000). Here, we tested this prediction in the European earwig

by investigating whether male forceps length is associated with six important behavioural and

physiological traits. Contrary to our predictions, we found no association between forceps length

and any of the traits measured. Having long or short forceps was not linked to any difference in

terms of locomotor performance, boldness (i.e., likelihood to flee after a physical disturbance) or

aggregation behaviour. It also had no effect on male survival rate under harsh environmental

conditions (i.e., isolated without access to a food source for 31 days), the amount of sperm present

in their seminal vesicles, or their survival after pathogen infection. These findings were consistent

between the two populations, although some of the traits measured were population specific:

males were overall bolder when they came from Cinais, and males with long (not short) forceps

survived better in harsh conditions when they also came from Cinais.

Our results contrast with much of the literature reporting associations between sexually

selected male attributes and physiological, behavioural or immunological traits in arthropods

(Emlen, 2008; Goldberg et al., 2019; McCullough et al., 2016). However, the European earwig is

not the only case where such associations appear to be lacking (Emlen, 2008; Swallow & Husak,

2011). For example, carrying giant claw is not associated with the efficiency of escape behavior

and the level of metabolic costs in two fiddler crabs (Pena & Levinton, 2021; Tullis & Straube,

2017). Similarly, bearing large horns does not reflect male growth, mobility, or immunity in the

rhinoceros beetle *Trypoxylus dichotomus* (McCullough & Emlen, 2013; McCullough & Tobalske,

2013). It has been suggested that this apparent lack of general association may be due to the fact

that the performance of each weapon size depend on the environment in which the weapons are

used and/or because weapon sizes reflect alternative reproductive tactics (McCullough et al.,

2016; McCullough & Emlen, 2013). This could be the case with the European earwig. In this

species, males with large forceps have been suggested to be more active in guarding of females,

while males with small forceps are more active in sneaking into copulations (Kamimura, 2014;

Tomkins & Brown, 2004). Having long forceps should therefore be rewarded more frequently

when the encounter rate between competitors is high (Hunt & Simmons, 2001), such as in high

population densities. Consistent with this prediction, Tomkins & Brown (2004) found that the

proportion of long forceps males increased with population densities across 46 island and

mainland sites in the UK. Another possible explanation for our results is that the association

between male forceps length and the six traits measured could have been masked by differences

in the amount of resources available to each male and/or used by each male for these traits (van

Noordwijk & de Jong, 1986). In our study, all males were kept under identical laboratory conditions

and fed *ad libitum* for three months prior to our measurement. This explanation would therefore

suggest that the variation in resources influencing investment decisions is determined prior to

field sampling, e.g. during development or early adulthood. Previous data suggest that it could be

the case in the European earwig. In this species, early life conditions have long-term effects on the

physiology and behaviour of adults (Raveh et al., 2016; Thesing et al., 2015; Wong & Kölliker, 2014)

and inter-individual variation in female condition can mask investment trade-off between egg

quantity and quality (Koch & Meunier, 2014). Overall, our findings call for future studies to confirm

whether males with short or long forceps have alternative reproductive tactics, whether their

reproductive success depends on population densities and whether early life conditions can affect

the likelihood to detect the association between forceps length and the six traits measured.

Notwithstanding these underlying mechanisms, they also emphasize that forceps size is not a good

predictor of the six behavioural and physiological traits measured in males.

While we found no overall difference between short- and long-forceps males, our data

reveal population differences in terms of males' boldness and resistance to starvation. Cinais had

males that were generally bolder, as well as males with long forceps that survived food deprivation

better than Valence. These effects are unlikely to reflect a plastic response to the direct

environment of the males tested (e.g. population differences in terms of nutritional status), as

they were all reared under common laboratory conditions for months prior to the start of our

experiments. Instead, it could reflect population idiosyncrasies that have affected their

development, such as climatic conditions (Valence is warmer than Cinais), exposure to

phytosanitary products (e.g. Valence is a cultivated orchard, whereas Cinais is an uncultivated

forest edge) or population-specific genetic background. In line with this hypothesis, several life

history traits of the European earwig are shaped by the duration of cold during egg development,

the level of warm temperatures during nymph development and, more generally, by seasonal

parameters encountered by offspring during development (Coulm & Meunier, 2021; Körner et al.,

2018; Tourneur & Meunier, 2020). Similarly, recent studies have shown that the European earwig

can be sensitive to exposure to even low levels of pesticides, which can lead to populations

specificities in terms of earwig physiology, morphology and behaviour (Fricaux et al., 2023; Le

Navenant et al., 2019; Malagnoux, Capowiez, et al., 2015; Malagnoux, Marliac, et al., 2015;

Mauduit et al., 2021; Meunier et al., 2020). Regardless of the nature of these population

idiosyncrasies, our study shows that they do not affect the (lack of) association between forceps

length and the six traits measured.

Overall, our findings questions the robustness of our understanding of forceps length

diversity in terms of function, maintenance and use as a possible quality signal in the European

earwig (McCullough et al., 2016). To date, our knowledge of these questions is exclusively based

on laboratory experiments in which females were presented to one or two males (reviewed in

Kamimura, 2014). While the results of these studies suggest that males can gain fitness benefit

from growing long compared to short forceps (Forslund, 2000, 2003; Radesäter & Halldórsdóttir,

1993; Styrsky & Rhein, 1999; Walker & Fell, 2001), they contain two important limitations. First,

they considered mating success (i.e., success in gaining copulation) and not reproductive success

(i.e. success in producing offspring). As these two parameters are not necessarily related

(Thompson et al., 2011), it cannot be excluded that short forceps males have a similar or even

higher reproductive output than their counterparts. This could be because mating is more efficient

in short compared to long forceps males (Brown, 2006), or because short forceps males have

alternative reproductive tactics to long forceps males (see above; Tomkins & Brown, 2004). One

study used a genetic approach to study reproductive success and found no association between

male forceps length and the number of offspring sired (Sandrin et al., 2015). However, this study

only focused on length variation within short forceps males. The second limitation is that all these

studies have examined mating success in groups of a maximum of two males. This number is far

less than the hundreds of individuals that typically constitute earwig aggregates (Meunier, 2024)

and thus cannot rule out the possibility that the apparent advantage of long-forceps males in pairs

or trios may disappear or even become a disadvantage under different demographic conditions

(Hunt & Simmons, 2001; Oliveira et al., 2008; Tomkins & Brown, 2004). Hence, our current

understanding of the relationship between forceps length and male fitness should be treated with

caution in the European earwig. Our results thus call for future studies to determine the function

and reliability of male forceps length under natural conditions, and the evolutionary drivers that

explain the maintenance of its diversity within and between populations.

338 Acknowledgments

339 We thank Lucas Marie-Orléach for his comments on a previous version of this manuscript. We

340 thank Jean-Christophe Lenoir and Armand Guillermin for their help with field sampling, and the

341 INRAE unité expérimentale Recherche Intégrée Gotheron for giving us access to their orchards for

342 earwig field sampling. This project has been partly funded by the French National Research Agency

343 (ANR-20-CE02-0002 to J.M).

345 Data accessibility

346 All data and R script are available online: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10843578

348 Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare that they have no financial conflict of interest with the content of this article.

References

Arcila, F., & Meunier, J. (2020). Friend or foe? The apparent benefits of gregarine (Apicomplexa:

Sporozoa) infection in the European earwig. *International Journal for Parasitology*, *50*(6–

7), 461–469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.01.007

Berglund, A., Bisazza, A., & Pilastro, A. (1996). Armaments and ornaments: An evolutionary

explanation of traits of dual utility. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *58*(4), 385–

399. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1996.tb01442.x

Briceño, R. D., & Eberhard, W. G. (1995). The functional morphology of male cerci and

associated characters in 13 species of tropical earwigs (Dermaptera: Forficulidae,

Labiidae, Carcinophoridae, Pygidicranidae). *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology*, *555*,

1–63. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.555

Brown, G. S. (2006). *Sperm competition and male forceps dimorphism in the European earwig*

Forficula auricularia *(Dermaptera: Forficulina)* (Issue October). University of St. Andrews.

Coulm, M., & Meunier, J. (2021). Effects of temperature, fungal infection and weight on

intermoult duration and survival of starving larvae in the European earwig. *Journal of*

Insect Physiology, *132*, 104262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2021.104262

Damiens, D., Bressac, C., Brillard, J.-P., & Chevrier, C. (2002). Qualitative aspects of sperm stock

in males and females from Eupelmus orientalis and Dinarmus basalis (Hymenoptera:

Chalcidoidea) as revealed by dual fluorescence. *Physiological Entomology*, *27*(2), 97–

102. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3032.2002.00274.x

Davies, N. B., Krebs, J. R., & West, S. A. (2012). *An introduction to behavioural ecology*. Wiley-

Blackwell.

Eberhard, W. G., & Gutierrez, E. E. (1991). Male dimorphisms in beetles and earwigs and the

question of developmental constraints. *Evolution*, *45*(1), 18–28.

https://doi.org/10.2307/2409478

Emlen, D. J. (2001). Costs and the diversification of exaggerated animal structures. *Science*,

291(5508), 1534–1536. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.291.5508.1534

Emlen, D. J. (2008). The evolution of animal weapons. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and*

Systematics, *39*(1), 387–413.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173502

Forslund, P. (2000). Male-male competition and large size mating advantage in European

earwigs, *Forficula auricularia*. *Animal Behaviour*, *59*(4), 753–762.

https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1999.1359

Forslund, P. (2003). An experimental investigation into status-dependent male dimorphism in

the European earwig, *Forficula auricularia*. *Animal Behaviour*, *65*(2), 309–316.

https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2003.2046

Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2019). *An {R} Companion to Applied Regression.* Thousand Oaks CA:

Sage.

Fricaux, T., Le Navenant, A., Siegwart, M., Rault, M., Coustau, C., & Le Goff, G. (2023). The

Molecular Resistance Mechanisms of European Earwigs from Apple Orchards Subjected

to Different Management Strategies. *Insects*, *14*(12), 944.

https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14120944

Goldberg, D. L., Landy, J. A., Travis, J., Springer, M. S., & Reznick, D. N. (2019). In love and war:

The morphometric and phylogenetic basis of ornamentation, and the evolution of male

display behavior, in the livebearer genus *Poecilia*. *Evolution*, *73*(2), 360–377.

https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13671

González-Miguéns, R., Muñoz-Nozal, E., Jiménez-Ruiz, Y., Mas-Peinado, P., Ghanavi, H. R., &

García-París, M. (2020). Speciation patterns in the *Forficula auricularia* species complex:

Cryptic and not so cryptic taxa across the western Palaearctic region. *Zoological Journal*

of the Linnean Society, *190*(3), 788–823. https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlaa070

Grether, G. F. (1997). Survival cost of an intrasexually selected ornament in a damselfly.

Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, *264*(1379),

207–210. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1997.0029

Günther, K., & Herter, K. (1974). Dermaptera (Ohrwürmer). In J. G. Helmcke, D. Starck, & H.

Wermuth (Eds.), *Handbuch der Zoologie*.

Hartig, F. (2020). *DHARMa: Residual diagnostic for hierarchical (Multi-level / mixed) regression*

models. (p. v 0.3.3.0). http://florianhartig.github.io/DHARMa/

Honorio, R., Moreau, S., Lécureuil, C., & Meunier, J. (2023). Cadmium does not affect post-

hatching maternal care or early offspring development in earwigs. *Behavioral Ecology*,

34(3), 399–407. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arad011

Hunt, J., & Simmons, L. W. (2001). Status-dependent selection in the dimorphic beetle

Onthophagus taurus. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological*

Sciences, *268*(1484), 2409–2414. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1758

Kamimura, Y. (2014). Pre- and postcopulatory sexual selection and the evolution of sexually

dimorphic traits in earwigs (Dermaptera). *Entomological Science*, *17*(2), 139–166.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ens.12058

416 Kohlmeier, P., Holländer, K., & Meunier, J. (2016). Survival after pathogen exposure in group-

417  living insects: Don't forget the stress of social isolation! *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*,

418  *29*(9), 1867–1872. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12916

419 Körner, M., Foitzik, S., & Meunier, J. (2018). Extended winters entail long-term costs for insect

420  offspring reared in an overwinter burrow. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, *74*, 116–122.

421  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.03.021

422 Körner, M., Vogelweith, F., Foitzik, S., & Meunier, J. (2017). Condition-dependent trade-off

423  between weapon size and immunity in males of the European earwig. *Scientific Reports*,

424  *7*(1), 7988. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08339-6

425 Kramer, J., Thesing, J., & Meunier, J. (2015). Negative association between parental care and

426  sibling cooperation in earwigs: A new perspective on the early evolution of family life?

427  *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *28*(7), 1299–1308. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12655

428 Le Navenant, A., Siegwart, M., Maugin, S., Capowiez, Y., & Rault, M. (2019). Metabolic

429  mechanisms and acetylcholinesterase sensitivity involved in tolerance to chlorpyrifos-

430  ethyl in the earwig *Forficula auricularia*. *Chemosphere*, *227*, 416–424.

431  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.04.065

432 Lenth, R. V. (2022). *Emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package*

433  *version 1.7.2. Https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans* [Computer software].

434  https://cran.r-project.org/package=emmeans

435 Malagnoux, L., Capowiez, Y., & Rault, M. (2015). Impact of insecticide exposure on the

436  predation activity of the European earwig *Forficula auricularia*. *Environmental Science*

and Pollution Research, *22*(18), 14116–14126. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-

4520-9

Malagnoux, L., Marliac, G., Simon, S., Rault, M., & Capowiez, Y. (2015). Management strategies

in apple orchards influence earwig community. *Chemosphere*, *124*(1), 156–162.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.12.024

Mauduit, E., Lécureuil, C., & Meunier, J. (2021). Sublethal exposure to deltamethrin stimulates

reproduction and has limited effects on post-hatching maternal care in the European

earwig. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*(29), 39501–39512.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13511-7

McCullough, E. L., & Emlen, D. J. (2013). Evaluating the costs of a sexually selected weapon: Big

horns at a small price. *Animal Behaviour*, *86*(5), 977–985.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.08.017

McCullough, E. L., Miller, C. W., & Emlen, D. J. (2016). Why sexually selected weapons are not

ornaments. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *31*(10), 742–751.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.07.004

McCullough, E. L., & Tobalske, B. W. (2013). Elaborate horns in a giant rhinoceros beetle incur

negligible aerodynamic costs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

280(1758), 20130197. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.0197

Merleau, L.-A., Larrigaldie, I., Bousquet, O., Devers, S., Keller, M., Lécureuil, C., & Meunier, J.

(2022). Exposure to pyriproxyfen (juvenile hormone agonist) does not alter maternal

care and reproduction in the European earwig. *Environmental Science and Pollution*

Research, *29*(48), 72729–72746. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-20970-z

Meunier, J. (2024). The biology and social life of earwigs (Dermaptera). *Annual Review of*

Entomology, *69*, 259–276. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-013023015632

Meunier, J., Dufour, J., Van Meyel, S., Rault, M., & Lécureuil, C. (2020). Sublethal exposure to

deltamethrin impairs maternal egg care in the European earwig *Forficula auricularia*.

Chemosphere, *258*, 127383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127383

Mills, M. R., Nemri, R. S., Carlson, E. A., Wilde, W., Gotoh, H., Lavine, L. C., & Swanson, B. O.

(2016). Functional mechanics of beetle mandibles: Honest signaling in a sexually

selected system. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological Genetics and*

Physiology, *325*(1), 3–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1961

Moore, A. J., & Wilson, P. (1993). The evolution of sexually dimorphic earwig forceps: Social

interactions among adults of the toothed earwig, *Vostox apicedentatus*. *Behavioral*

Ecology, *4*(1), 40–48. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/4.1.40

Newbolt, C. H., Acker, P. K., Neuman, T. J., Hoffman, S. I., Ditchkoff, S. S., & Steury, T. D. (2017).

Factors influencing reproductive success in male white-tailed deer: Male Breeding

Success in Deer. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *81*(2), 206–217.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21191

Oliveira, R. F., Taborsky, M., & Brockmann, H. J. (2008). *Alternative reproductive tactics: An*

integrative approach. Cambridge University Press.

Oufiero, C. E., & Garland, T. (2007). Evaluating performance costs of sexually selected traits.

Functional Ecology, *21*(4), 676–689. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01259.x

Pena, R. C., & Levinton, J. (2021). Two species of fiddler crab show no cost of bearing a sexually

selected giant claw in predator escape behaviors. *Journal of Experimental Marine*

Biology and Ecology, *541*, 151570. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2021.151570

Preston, B. T., Jalme, M. S., Hingrat, Y., Lacroix, F., & Sorci, G. (2011). Sexually extravagant males

age more rapidly: Sexually extravagant males age rapidly. *Ecology Letters*, *14*(10), 1017–

1024. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01668.x

Radesäter, T., & Halldórsdóttir, H. (1993). Two male types of the Common earwig: Male-male

competition and mating success. *Ethology*, *95*(2), 89–96.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0310.1993.tb00460.x

Rantala, M. J., Roff, D. a, & Rantala, L. M. (2007). Forceps size and immune function in the

earwig Forficula auricularia L. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *36*, 331–332.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2007.00741.x

Sandrin, L., Meunier, J., Raveh, S., Walser, J.-C., & Kölliker, M. (2015). Multiple paternity and

mating group size in the European earwig, *Forficula auricularia*. *Ecological Entomology*,

40(2), 159–166. https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12171

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), 671–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

Somjee, U., Woods, H. A., Duell, M., & Miller, C. W. (2018). The hidden cost of sexually selected

traits: The metabolic expense of maintaining a sexually selected weapon. *Proceedings of*

the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *285*(1891), 20181685.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1685

Styrsky, J. D., & Rhein, S. V. (1999). Forceps size does not determine fighting success in

European earwigs. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, *12*(4), 475–482.

Swallow, J., & Husak, J. (2011). Compensatory traits and the evolution of male ornaments.

Behaviour, *148*(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1163/000579510X541265

Therneau, T. M. (2020). *A Package for Survival Analysis in R* [Computer software].

https://cran.r-project.org/package=survival

Thompson, D. J., Hassall, C., Lowe, C. D., & Watts, P. C. (2011). Field estimates of reproductive

success in a model insect: Behavioural surrogates are poor predictors of fitness. *Ecology*

Letters, *14*(9), 905–913. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01655.x

Tomkins, J. L., & Brown, G. S. (2004). Population density drives the local evolution of a threshold

dimorphism. *Nature*, *431*, 1099–1103. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02936.1.

Tourneur, J.-C., & Meunier, J. (2020). Variations in seasonal (not mean) temperatures drive

rapid adaptations to novel environments at a continent scale. *Ecology*, *101*(4), e02973.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2973

Tullis, A., & Straube, C. H. T. (2017). The metabolic cost of carrying a sexually selected trait in

the male fiddler crab *Uca pugilator*. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *220*, 3641–3648.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.163816

Van Meyel, S., & Meunier, J. (2022). Costs and benefits of isolation from siblings during family

life in adult earwigs. *Animal Behaviour*, *193*, 91–99.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2022.09.003

Walker, K. A., & Fell, R. D. (2001). Courtship roles of male and female European earwigs,

Forficula auricularia L. (Dermaptera: Forficulidae), and sexual use of forceps. *Journal of*

Insect Behavior, *14*(1), 1–17.

Wilkinson, G. S., Kahler, H., & Baker, R. H. (1998). Evolution of female mating preferences in

stalk-eyed flies. *Behavioral Ecology*, *9*(5), 525–533.

https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/9.5.525

Table 1 – Number of males used for each measurement. The observed reduction over days reflects

their mortality during isolation and in absence of any food source. Males are distributed according

to their forceps-length category and their population of origin.

Measurement day	Type of measurement	Large forceps males		Short forceps males	
		Cinais	Valence	Cinais	Valence
0	Male isolation	30	30	30	30
5	Locomotor performance	30	29	30	30
10	Response to physical disturbance	30	29	30	30
24	Aggregation	18	28	22	24
31	Sperm count & survival to pathogen	17	28	22	24

534   **Table 2** – Effects of male forceps length and population of origin of the three physiological and

535   three behaviours measured in this study. Significant p-values are in bold.

	Population of origin	Forceps length	Interaction
Locomotor performance	$F_{1,115} = 0.21$; $P = 0.648$	$F_{1,115} = 1.14$ $P = 0.287$	$F_{1,115} = 1.25$; $P = 0.265$
Likelihood of fleeing away after a physical disturbance	LR $\chi^2_1 = 8.74$; $P = $ **0.003**	LR $\chi^2_1 = 1.06$; $P = 0.303$	LR $\chi^2_1 = 0.57$; $P = 0.450$
Aggregation score	$F_{1,88} = 3.83$; $P = 0.054$	$F_{1,88} = 0.004$; $P = 0.948$	$F_{1,88} = 0.02$; $P = 0.878$
Survival rate under harsh environmental conditions	LR $\chi^2_1 = 7.88$; $P = $ **0.005**	LR $\chi^2_1 = 0.02$; $P = 0.885$	LR $\chi^2_1 = 4.22$; $P = $ **0.039**
Sperm count	$F_{1,35} = 0.42$; $P = 0.522$	$F_{1,35} = 0.19$; $P = 0.667$	$F_{1,35} = 0.75$; $P = 0.391$
Survival rate after infection	LR $\chi^2_1 = 0.02$; $P = 0.891$	LR $\chi^2_1 = 0.15$; $P = 0.695$	LR $\chi^2_1 = 0.46$; $P = 0.496$

Figure 1 – Forceps length distribution of the 120 selected males with (blue) short and (orange)

long forceps in (A) Cinais and (B) Valence populations. Dashed lines show the mean values per

forceps category for each population.

Figure 2 – Association between forceps length and male (A) locomotor performance, (B) likelihood

of fleeing after a physical disturbance, (C) propensity to aggregate with conspecifics, (D) likelihood

of being alive after 31 days in social isolation and without food access, (E) sperm count in the

vesicle and (F) survival rate after pathogen infection. Males came from Cinais (square) or Valence

(triangle). Different letters *P* <0.05.