Frequent intertrophic transmission of *Wolbachia* by parasitism but not predation

Zhi-Chao Yan, Lan-Da Qi, Han-Le Ji, Xiao-Xiang Wang, Xiao-Yue Hong*, Yuan-Xi Li*

Department of Entomology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China;

* To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Yuan-Xi Li (e-mail: yxli@njau.edu.cn)

Xiao-Yue Hong (e-mail: xyhong@njau.edu.cn)

Abstract

Wolbachia is one of the most pervasive symbionts, estimated to infect ~50% of

arthropod species. It is primarily transmitted vertically, inducing a variety of

fascinating reproductive manipulations to promote its spread within host populations.

However, incongruences between host and *Wolbachia* phylogenies indicate

substantial horizontal transmissions, the mechanisms of which are largely unexplored.

By systematically analyzing *Wolbachia* surface protein (*wsp*) sequences on NCBI, we

found that parasitism, not predation, is the primary route of intertropical *Wolbachia*

transmission. This conclusion held after accounting sampling bias. One example of

frequent *Wolbachia* transfers is between egg parasitoid wasps, *Trichogramma*, and

their lepidopteran hosts. Moreover, both bioinformatics and experimental results

showed that *Wolbachia* from the parasitoid wasp *Encarsia formosa* can be transmitted

to its whitefly host *Bemisia tabaci*, through unsuccessful parasitism. Once *En.*

formosa Wolbachia is transferred to whiteflies, it can be vertically transmitted within

whiteflies and induce fitness costs. To our knowledge, this is the first compelling

evidence that *Wolbachia* can be transmitted from parasitoid wasps to their hosts,

revealing the bidirectional nature of *Wolbachia* transfers between parasitoids and their

hosts. Overall, our findings enrich the current understanding of the horizontal

transmission of *Wolbachia* and shed new light on its ecology and evolution.

Keywords: *Wolbachia*, horizontal transmission, parasitism, parasitoid wasps, *Bemisia*

tabaci, *Encarsia formosa*

Introduction

Symbiosis with microbes, ranging from parasitism to mutualism, is prevalent in both plants and animals (*1, 2*). The ubiquity of microbial symbionts is likely attributed to their profound impact on host biology, including host survival, development, immunity, reproduction, and even behavior (*2, 3*). The transmission mode of symbionts is a key factor in shaping the ecology and evolution of both symbionts and their hosts (*4-6*). In addition to vertical transmission, incongruence between symbionts and host phylogenies indicates a large number of horizontal symbiont transfers across species (*1*). These events are important, as they allow symbionts to expand their host range and enable hosts to acquire new symbionts and alter their fitness. However, the transmission of symbionts has been relatively little studied compared to their function (*1*).

Wolbachia (Rickettsiales, Alphaproteobacteria) is intracellular gram-negative bacteria and one of the most famous endosymbionts that infests ~50% arthropod and several filarial nematode species (*7-9*). On the one hand, *Wolbachia* can induce a range of fascinating phenotypes, including a variety of reproductive manipulations, provision of nutrients, and alteration of host behavior, thus facilitating its spread among populations (*7*). On the other hand, although *Wolbachia* is primarily maternally transmitted, there are widespread and frequent horizontal transfers across hosts (*10, 11*). Together, these characteristics make *Wolbachia* the most infectious microbe on Earth in terms of the number of species it infects (*7, 8*).

Wolbachia has also received much attention for its applications in controlling pests

and vector-borne diseases (*8*). Specific strains of *Wolbachia* are artificially transfected

into target pests and subsequently released into the field to either suppress pest

populations or replace populations to depress the spread of vector-borne diseases (*12,*

13). Understanding how *Wolbachia* spreads horizontally is critical in assessing its

successful application and potential risks (*14*). This is because the released *Wolbachia*

may leak into natural pest populations, frustrating population suppression strategies

based on the cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI) of *Wolbachia*. It may also spread to

nontarget organisms, potentially disrupting their population dynamics, reducing

genetic diversity, and even leading to extinction. Therefore, the lack of a thorough

understanding of *Wolbachia* transmission and its consequences could hinder its

broader application (*14*).

Despite the extensive interest in the horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia*, our

understanding of this subject remains incomplete (*15*). Similar to other symbionts,

Wolbachia host shifts may occur through three main routes: parasitism, predation, and

shared plant or other food sources (*15*). The relative contributions of these three

routes to the transmission process remain unclear. Multiple surveys report a

significant similarity in *Wolbachia* sequences between parasitoid wasps and their

respective hosts, suggesting that parasitism may serve as a primary route for

Wolbachia's host shift (*16-21*). However, without quantitative tests, this observation

could simply reflect a bias in research focus. For the intertrophic transmission of

Wolbachia between parasitoid wasps and their hosts, experimental evidence has

shown that parasitoid wasps can acquire hosts' *Wolbachia* and vertically transmit

them for several generations (*22*). However, it remains uncertain whether *Wolbachia*

can be transferred from parasitoid wasps to their hosts. Some have argued that the

transfer of *Wolbachia* between parasitoid wasps and their hosts is unidirectional, from

host to wasp, as all parasitoid wasps emerge from their hosts, but parasitized hosts are

eventually killed or castrated if not killed immediately (*17, 23, 24*).

In this study, our objective was to elucidate the role of parasitism and other potential

routes in *Wolbachia* horizontal transmission and to investigate whether *Wolbachia* can

be transferred from parasitoids to their hosts. We conducted a systematic survey of

Wolbachia surface protein (*wsp*) sequences from the NCBI database and executed

experiments using the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* and its parasitoid wasp *Encarsia*

formosa. Our study illuminates the crucial role of parasitism in *Wolbachia* intertrophic

transmission, demonstrates the bidirectional nature of *Wolbachia* transfer between

parasitoids and their hosts, and thus expands the current understanding of *Wolbachia*

horizontal transmission.

Results

***Wolbachia* is frequently transmitted between parasitoid wasps and their hosts**

To investigate potential horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia*, we retrieved 4685 *wsp*

sequences from the NCBI database. Out of these 4685 sequences, 4253 could be

assigned to 1377 species. We constructed a phylogenetic tree of *wsp* sequences (Fig.

1a) and extracted the minimum genetic distances of *wsp* between every species pair.

Based on the relationships between species, we defined the species pairs into

categories "Parasitism", "Plant-sharing", "Predation" and "Others" (for details, see

Methods and Materials). Among these species pairs, 16.5% (53 out of 321) in

"Parasitism" pairs had the minimum interspecific *wsp* distances less than 0.01 (i.e., >

99% identity). This proportion is significantly greater than the 6.3% (145 out of 2294)

in "Plant-sharing" pairs, the 1.1% (13 out of 1146) in "Predation" pairs, and the 1.5%

(14120 out of 943315) in "Others" pairs (χ^2 test; all comparisons: $p < 1e^{-5}$).

Consistently, the minimum interspecific *wsp* distances in "Parasitism" relationships

were significantly shorter than those in "Plant-sharing", "Predation", and "Other"

relationships (Fig. 1b; Mann–Whitney U test (MWUT); all comparisons: $p < 1e-12$).

The minimum *wsp* distances in "Plant-sharing" were also significantly smaller than

those in "Others" (Fig. 1b; MWUT; $W = 730215564$, $p < 2.2e^{-16}$). However, the

minimum *wsp* distances showed no significant difference between "Predation" and

"Others" (Fig. 1b; MWUT; $W = 699285646$, $p = 0.096$).

To test whether these effects were merely due to sampling bias, we further obtained

divergent times from TimeTree for 95.2% (901,615 out of 947,376) of the species

pairs. As expected, the minimum interspecific *wsp* distance increased as the divergent

time increased (Fig. 1c; Spearman's correlation; $\rho = 0.14$, $p < 2.2e^{-16}$). Considering the

impact of divergent time, linear regression analyses were conducted with the *wsp*

distance as the dependent variable and divergent time as the independent variable. We

found that both "Parasitism" and "Plant-sharing" had significant effects (both tests: p

$< 2e^{-16}$). The estimated effect of "Parasitism" (-0.28) was more profound than that of

"Plant-sharing" (-0.13) ($p = 3.9e^{-16}$). Given that we cannot obtain divergence times for

all species pairs, especially for those closely related, we also classified the species

pairs according to their last common ancestor. We divided all species pairs into six

categories based on whether the two species belonged to the same genus, family, order,

class, phylum, or kingdom. Similar results were observed (Supplementary Note 1). To

further rule out potential influences of sampling bias, subsampling analyses were

conducted using three methods: 1) shuffling species relationships, 2) subsampling by

controlling divergent time, and 3) subsampling by controlling the last common

ancestor (for details, see Methods and Materials). For all three methods, both

"Parasitism" and "Plant-sharing", but not "Predation", exhibited significantly shorter

minimum interspecific distances of *wsp* than randomly generated controls (Fig. S1;

both "Parasitism" and "Plant-sharing": $p < 0.001$; "Predation": $p > 0.05$). These

results confirmed that parasitism and plant-sharing promote interspecific transfers of

Wolbachia, rather than being due to sampling bias.

An example of frequent *Wolbachia* transfers between parasitoids and their hosts is

observed in *Trichogramma* wasps and their lepidopteran hosts (Fig. 1d).

Trichogramma is a genus of generalist egg parasitoids, targeting mainly lepidopterans

such as moths and butterflies (*25*). Fig. 1d displays representative *wsp* sequences from

Trichogramma and lepidopterans, illustrating potential interspecific transitions of

Wolbachia. In the analyzed dataset, 16 out of 23 (69.6%) *Trichogramma* species

exhibited the minimum interspecific *wsp* distance of less than 0.01 with at least one

lepidopteran species. Similarly, 79 out of 254 (31.1%) surveyed lepidopteran species

displayed a minimum interspecific *wsp* distance of less than 0.01 with at least one

Trichogramma species. These results suggest frequent *Wolbachia* transitions between

Trichogramma wasps and lepidopterans.

Collectively, these findings support that *Wolbachia* are frequently transmitted between

parasitoid wasps and their hosts.

Wsp* phylogeny suggests transfer directions of *Wolbachia* between the whitefly *B.

***tabaci* and its parasitoid wasps**

However, the interspecific identities of *wsp* between parasitoids and their hosts

typically offer no clues about the direction of *Wolbachia* transmission. We noticed

frequent *Wolbachia* transfers between the whitefly *B. tabaci* and its parasitoid wasps

(Fig. 2a). Notably, one of the juvenile parasitoids of *B. tabaci* is *En. formosa*.

Wolbachia induces parthenogenesis and has evolved into an obligate symbiont in *En.*

formosa, exhibiting a 100% infection rate (*26, 27*). This system presents a unique

opportunity to infer the directions of *Wolbachia* transmission between parasitoids and

their hosts.

One clade of the *wsp* phylogeny contained 99 sequences from *B. tabaci*, 14 sequences

from *Encarsia* and *Eretmocerus* parasitoid wasps of *B. tabaci*, and 8 other sequences

(Fig. 2a). The prevalence of *B. tabaci* sequences interspersed with those of the

parasitoids suggests that the transmission direction of *Wolbachia* in this clade may be

primarily from *B. tabaci* to its parasitoid wasps. Notably, in another clade of *wsp*, nine

En. formosa and five *B. tabaci wsp* sequences are clustered together, along with one

wsp sequence detected in cotton and 12 sequences from other parasitoid wasps of *B.*

tabaci, such as *En. inaron*, *En. lutea*, *En. bimaculata*, *Er. mundus*, and *Aimtus*

hesperidum (Fig. 2a). Given that *Wolbachia* is obligate with a 100% infection rate in

En. formosa, it is reasonable to infer that the transmission direction of *Wolbachia* in

this clade was from *En. formosa* to *B. tabaci*.

***Wolbachia* can be transmitted from the parasitoid wasp *En. formosa* to its**

whitefly host in cage experiments

Bemisia tabaci is a species complex of at least 40 cryptic species (*28*). Infection rates

of *Wolbachia* reported across multiple populations within these cryptic species exhibit

dramatic fluctuations, ranging from 0% to 100% (*28-31*). To investigate whether

Wolbachia can be transmitted from parasitoid wasps to their whitefly hosts, we first

established a *Wolbachia*-free iso-female line of *B. tabaci* Q biotype. Subsequently, we

conducted outdoor cage experiments using the *Wolbachia*-free *B. tabaci* and its

parasitoid *En. formosa*, which was 100% infected with *Wolbachia* (Fig. 2b). After

introducing *En. formosa*, the *Wolbachia* infection rate in whiteflies increased from

zero to 4.17% after 20 days and reached 10.83% after 100 days (Fig. 2c; ANOVA;

$F_{5,17}$=11.44, $p < 0.001$). Correspondingly, the female ratio of whiteflies decreased

from 69.17% to 60.08% (Fig. 2d; ANOVA; $F_{5,17}$=3.14, $p = 0.048$). In contrast, the

whitefly population with no exposure to wasps maintained a zero infection rate of

Wolbachia (Fig. 2c), and the female ratio showed no significant changes (Fig. 2d;

ANOVA; $F_{5,17} = 0.076$, $p = 0.99$). Additionally, the Sanger sequencing results showed

that *wsp* sequences from both whitefly and *En. formosa* were identical (Fig. S2).

These results indicate that *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa* can be rapidly transmitted to

its whitefly host.

Parasitism failure transmits *Wolbachia* from the parasitoid wasp *En. formosa* to

its whitefly host

We hypothesized that *Wolbachia* was transmitted from parasitoid wasps to their hosts

through unsuccessful parasitism. To test this hypothesis, we performed parasitism

experiments on wasp individuals and applied irradiation treatment to wasps to reduce

their parasitism success rate. After 60 Gy irradiation, the fecundity of *En. formosa* in

12 h significantly decreased (Fig. 3a; Student's t test; $t = 12.91$, $p < 0.001$), and the

parasitism success rate on whiteflies drastically declined from 78.8% to 9.9% (Fig. 3b;

Student's t test; $t = 13.09$, $p < 0.001$). Correspondingly, the *Wolbachia* infection rate

increased from 7.5% to 78.3% in whiteflies survived from parasitism (Fig. 3c;

Student's t test; $t = 6.61$, $p < 0.001$), despite a decrease in the *Wolbachia* titer in *En.*

formosa post irradiation (Fig. S3). More details can be found for irradiation treatments

at different dosages (Supplementary Note 2). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

assays further showed that *Wolbachia* was injected into the host nymphs along with

En. formosa eggs (Fig. 3 d-f and S4). These results indicate that parasitism failure can

transfer *Wolbachia* from the parasitoid wasps *En. formosa* to their whitefly hosts.

Vertical transmission and fitness cost of *Wolbachia* in whiteflies after horizontal

transfer from *En. formosa*

Next, we investigated the vertical transmission and fitness cost of *Wolbachia* in its

new host, *B. tabaci*, following its horizontal transfer from *En. formosa*. The vertical

transmission rate varied from 22.2% to 33.3% across generations in whiteflies, with a

slight but statistically insignificant increase from G_1 to G_5 (Fig. 4b; ANOVA; $F_{4,40} =$

2.09, $p = 0.10$). Moreover, *Wolbachia* was also detected in the G_3 whiteflies,

specifically in the nymph's bacteriocytes, the abdomen of male adults, and the ovaries

of female adults (Fig. 4 and S5). MLST typing confirmed that the *Wolbachia* strain

introduced into the whiteflies was identical to the strain from *En. formosa* (Fig. S6).

We then examined the impact of the introduced *Wolbachia* on the fitness of whiteflies.

Compared to uninfected females, *Wolbachia*-infected females showed decreased

fecundity (Fig. 5a; Student's t test; $t = 8.51$, $p < 0.001$). Moreover, offspring from

infected mothers exhibited a diminished egg hatching rate (Fig. 5b; Student's t test; $t =$

8.33, $p < 0.001$), a lower survival rate among nymphs (Fig. 5c; Student's t test; $t =$

13.54, $p < 0.001$), and a decreased ratio of females in adults (Fig. 5d; Student's t test; t

$= 12.29$, $p < 0.001$), although there was no significant difference in the development

time from egg to adult (Fig. 5e; Student's t test; $t = 1.51$, $p < 0.001$). We also

investigated the effects of paternal infection with *Wolbachia*. Regardless of whether

the female was infected with *Wolbachia*, the infection status in males showed no

significant influence on their fecundity, the hatching rate of their offspring's eggs, the

survival rate of their nymph offspring, or the female ratio of their adult offspring

(Table 1; Student's t test; all comparisons: $p > 0.05$).

Collectively, these results indicate that *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa*, when shifted

into the new host *B. tabaci*, exhibits a low rate of vertical transmission and a

substantial fitness cost, without apparent reproductive manipulation phenotypes.

Discussion

The effect of parasitism on *Wolbachia* horizontal transmission

As with previous studies, we utilized the sequence similarities of *wsp* to infer

potential horizontal transfers of *Wolbachia*. Here, we systematically investigated all

wsp sequences in the NCBI database, enabling us to examine the effect of potential

factors such as parasitism, plant-sharing, and predation. Our findings clearly indicate

that parasitism exhibits shorter interspecific *wsp* distances than plant-sharing and

predation.

Moreover, the fact that herbivores sharing plants have identical *wsp* sequences does

not necessarily imply plant-mediated horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia*. This is because

species that share the same plants often have recent divergent times (Fig. 1b), which

increases the potential for hybridization and also sharing common parasitoid wasps.

Therefore, the plant-sharing category overestimates the extent of plant-mediated

Wolbachia horizontal transmission, further supporting the notion that parasitism is the

primary route of *Wolbachia* horizontal transmission.

Directions of *Wolbachia* transmission between parasitoids and their hosts

However, investigations based on *Wolbachia* sequence similarity have significant

limitations. First, determining the direction of transfer is challenging based solely on

the identity of *Wolbachia* strains. In certain exceptional cases, inferences of transfer

direction might be drawn from the prevalence of *Wolbachia* infection in the two

species (*15*). For example, *Wolbachia* is obligate in *En. formosa* and exhibits a 100%

infection rate across all populations (*27*). This unique instance of horizontal

Wolbachia transfer between *En. formosa* and its whitefly hosts provides compelling

evidence, suggesting that *Wolbachia* is likely transmitted from the parasitoid wasp to

its host, rather than the reverse. Second, the PCR detection of *wsp* does not

necessarily indicate horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia* (*24*). Instead, it could merely

represent contamination that arises during predation or parasitism. The contamination

can be *Wolbachia*-infected tissues or even just fragmented *Wolbachia* DNA, which

could be found on the surface, within the gut, or inside the body cavity (as in the case

of parasitized hosts).

To verify *Wolbachia* transmission from parasitoid wasps to their hosts, we conducted

outdoor cage experiments and indoor tests using *Wolbachia*-free whitefly *B. tabaci*

and its parasitoid *En. formosa*. *Wolbachia* was detected by nested PCR in whitefly

adults (G_0) that survived parasitism. *Encarsia formosa* parasitizes *B. tabaci* nymphs,

which undergo a pseudo-pupal stage to reach adulthood (*26, 32*). In our experiments,

PCR detection of *Wolbachia* typically occurred 3~7 days post-parasitism, minimizing

risks of contamination from parasitism. *Wolbachia* was also detected by PCR in

subsequent generations (G1−G5) of whiteflies and induced notable fitness costs. PCR

sequencing confirmed that the *Wolbachia* strain in the *B. tabaci* matched that of *En.*

formosa. Furthermore, FISH assays revealed a tissue-specific distribution of

Wolbachia in both nymphs and adults of the whiteflies, matching previously reported

patterns (*33*). Collectively, these findings provide compelling evidence that *Wolbachia*

from *En. formosa* can be horizontally transmitted to *B. tabaci*, beyond mere DNA

contamination.

Although previous research has demonstrated that parasitoids can acquire *Wolbachia*

from their hosts, the reverse direction of transmission, from host to parasitoid, has

been largely overlooked and lacks supportive experimental evidence. One possible

reason for this oversight is that all parasitoids emerge from their hosts, but hosts are

eventually killed by the parasitism of parasitoid wasps (*17, 23, 24*). However,

parasitoid wasps' success in parasitizing their hosts does not always reach 100% (*34*).

Some hosts can manage to survive after parasitism. Various factors can influence the

outcome of parasitoid-host interactions, including environmental conditions, the

species and genotype of both wasps and hosts, the host's age, and the presence of

symbiotic bacteria within the host (*35-37*). Moreover, we used radiation to reduce the

parasitism success rate, which notably enhanced the transfer of *Wolbachia* from *En.*

formosa to its whitefly host.

Potential intertrophic transmission network of *Wolbachia*

A previous study reported that parasitoid wasps can act as vectors to transmit

Wolbachia, without the necessity of being infected themselves (*38*). Through the

probing actions of the *Eretmocerus* parasitoid, *Wolbachia* can be transmitted among

whitefly hosts (*38*). This is often referred to as the 'dirty needle' model. Conversely,

hosts can also serve as vectors for *Wolbachia* transmission among parasitoid wasps.

Wolbachia can be transferred from infected to noninfected *Trichogramma* wasps

through superparasitism (*39, 40*). However, the *Wolbachia* transmission of these two

modes is restricted within the same trophic level. In contrast, the transmission of

Wolbachia between parasitoid wasps and hosts can cross trophic levels. Our findings,

when combined with existing knowledge, suggest that the intertrophic transmission of

Wolbachia is bidirectional between parasitoid wasps and their hosts. This greatly

enhances our understanding of the horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia*.

Interestingly, we found on NCBI that a strain of *Wolbachia* detected in the cotton

plant was identical to the *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa*, based on the *wsp* sequence

and MSLT typing (Fig. 2 and S6). This *Wolbachia* strain was probably transmitted to

the cotton plant from the feeding of whiteflies (*41*). Given that *En. formosa* is 100%

infected with its obligate *Wolbachia* strain, a possible transmission route could be

from *En. formosa* to whiteflies via parasitism and then to the cotton plant through the

feeding of whiteflies. This finding indirectly supports our conclusion that *Wolbachia*

can be transmitted from parasitoid wasps to their hosts. This suggests that once the

Wolbachia of parasitoids is transmitted to herbivorous hosts, it may further spread to

host plants. The reverse transmission route can also be possible. It is likely that

Wolbachia's widespread and complex horizontal transmission network is established

through such bidirectional transmissions across multiple trophic levels, e.g.,

"plant-herbivore-parasitoid-hyperparasitoid". Further investigations are needed to test

these hypotheses.

***Wolbachia* establishment after host transfers**

Moreover, the physical transfer of *Wolbachia* often represents merely the first step of

its establishment in a new host (*15*). Several subsequent steps are required for

Wolbachia to establish itself within new species, e.g., entry into germ cells, vertical

transmission, and mechanisms that promote its spread within the population (*15*).

First, we found that *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa* was enriched in the ovaries of

whiteflies and vertically transmitted after entering *B. tabaci*. However, the vertical

transmission efficiency is low, ranging from 22.2% to 33.3%. We also noted an

absence of reproductive manipulations by the newly introduced *Wolbachia* in

whiteflies. The reduced female ratio after infection does not support the induction of

parthenogenesis, feminization or male-killing by *Wolbachia*. We neither observed

cytoplasmic incompatibility, where the mating of infected males and uninfected

females resulted in reduced offspring hatching.

In contrast, the introduced *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa* reduced whiteflies' egg

laying and hatching, larval survival, and female proportion, demonstrating significant

fitness costs in the new host. This is likely due to *Wolbachia*'s coevolution with its

host in *En. formosa*, which may have led to the loss of its ability to colonize new host

species. Given the low vertical transmission rate, high fitness cost, and lack of clear

reproductive manipulations, it is reasonable to predict that the spread of *Wolbachia* in

its new host population will be limited. Finally, these factors, together with the

frequency of *Wolbachia* introductions by parasitoids and its spread via parasitoid or

plant vectors, shape the dynamics and equilibrium of *Wolbachia*. These dynamics

could shift with the emergence of reproductive manipulation or other beneficial

phenotypes that promote *Wolbachia* spread, probably through gene mutation,

recombination or horizontal gene transfer within *Wolbachia* (*2*). There are still many

questions waiting to be further studied in these steps of *Wolbachia* host shifts.

Conclusions

By investigating *wsp* sequences from the NCBI database, we found frequent

intertrophic transmission of *Wolbachia* by parasitism but not predation. Combining

bioinformatics and experimental approaches, we demonstrated that *Wolbachia* can be

transmitted from the parasitoid wasp *En. formosa* to the host *B. tabaci*. To our

knowledge, this is the first compelling evidence that *Wolbachia* can be transmitted

from parasitoid wasps to their hosts, thus revealing the bidirectional nature of

Wolbachia transfers between parasitoids and their hosts. These findings enrich our

knowledge of the *Wolbachia* transmission network and have significant implications

for understanding the ecology of *Wolbachia*, as well as for evaluating the release of

Wolbachia in pest control.

Materials and Methods

***Wsp* sequence retrieval and phylogenetic analyses**

tblastn was conducted against the NCBI "nr/nt" database using the *wsp* protein

AAS14719.1 from *Wolbachia* of *Drosophila melanogaster* (July 2023). Default

settings were used with a maximum target sequence of 5000 and the organism

limitation of *Wolbachia* (taxid:953). The sequences were filtered to remove those

shorter than 300 bp or containing premature stop codons. *Wsp* sequences were

translated into proteins, aligned using MAFFT v7.475 (*42*), and reverse translated into

codons using PAL2NAL v14 (*43*). The phylogenetic trees were constructed using

IQ-Tree v2.2.0 (*44*), with the best model selected by the built-in ModelFinder (*45*).

The phylogenetic trees were visualized using iTOL v6 (*46*).

Statistics for genetic distances of *wsp*

Genetic distances of *wsp* sequences were extracted from the *wsp* phylogeny using a

custom Python script. Species interaction relationships were extracted from the GloBI

database (August 2023) (*47*). Parasitic associations were extracted using interaction

types of "parasiteOf" or "parasitoidOf", excluding social parasitism to focus on direct

biological parasitism. Predation associations were extracted using interaction types of

"preysOn" or "eats". Given the relatively broad dietary range of predators, a

genus-to-genus expansion was adopted for the predation relationships. Herbivorous

interactions were extracted using the interaction types "hasHost" or "eats",

specifically targeting taxa within the kingdom Plantae. Extracted relationships were

manually curated to verify the accuracy. Divergent times between species were

extracted from TimeTree v5 via its application programming interface (API)

(September 2023) (*48*). To test the effects of special features of sampled species pairs,

three subsampling methods were employed using a custom Python script. For each

method, 1000 replicates were randomly generated. For species pair shuffling, in every

replication, the pairs of species were randomly rearranged to create new combinations.

To control divergent time, pairs of species were randomly sampled from the

background to match the divergent times in the tested category (i.e., parasitism,

plant-sharing or predation). The sampling background pools were all species pairs

excluding the specific tested category. A similar process was applied to control the last

common ancestor. Linear model analyses, statistical tests, and data visualization were

executed using R v4.3.1.

Insect rearing

Both whiteflies *B. tabaci* and the parasitoid wasps *En. formosa* were maintained in

nylon cages at 25±1°C, 70±5% RH, and a L:D photoperiod of 16:8 h. *Bemisia tabaci*

was originally collected from the campus of Nanjing Agricultural University in 2012

and determined to be the Q biotype. A *Wolbachia*-free iso-female line of *B. tabaci* was

then established. *En. formosa* was initially acquired from Beijing Ecoman

Biotechnology Co., Ltd. in 2013 and was maintained on *B. tabaci* on tomato plants.

PCR and Sanger sequencing

Bemisia tabaci or *En. formosa* were initially washed using ethanol and ultrapure

water three times each. DNA was extracted from individuals using the STE method

(*49*). To avoid false-negative results, a nest-PCR targeting the *wsp* gene was employed

for detecting *Wolbachia* in whiteflies (Fig. S7) as previously described (*49*). PCRs

were performed using DreamTaq Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific)

according to the manufacturer's protocol. To confirm whether the *Wolbachia* present

in whiteflies and their parasitoid wasps belonged to the same strain, PCR and Sanger

sequencing were performed on *Wolbachia* genes, namely, *wsp*, *gatB*, *coxA*, *hcpA*, *ftsZ*,

and *fbpA*, for MSLT typing (*50*). The primers used for *wsp* nest-PCR and MSLT

typing are listed in Table S1.

FISH detection of *Wolbachia*

The location of *Wolbachia* in whiteflies was determined using fluorescence in situ

hybridization as previously reported (*27*). To enhance the detection signal, two 5'

rhodamine-labeled probes, W1: 5′-AATCCGGCCGARCCG ACCC-3′ and W2:

5′-CTTCTGTGAGTACCGTCATTATC-3′, were used to target *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA

(*51*). Stained samples were examined under a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope

(Carl Zeiss, Germany). The specificity of the method was confirmed using

Wolbachia-free whiteflies as negative controls.

Outdoor cage experiments for *Wolbachia* transmission

To assess the potential transmission of *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa* to *B. tabaci*, six cages (80 cm x 80 cm x 80 cm, enclosed with a 120 mesh nylon screen) were established on the Nanjing Agricultural University campus. Initially, six 20-cm tall tomato plants were placed in each cage, with two additional plants introduced at 30-day intervals. Each cage was populated with 100 female and 100 male *Wolbachia*-free whiteflies. After a 20-day period, ten *En. formosa* wasps were introduced into each of three randomly selected cages, following the random sampling of 40 adult whiteflies from each cage. Subsequently, an additional 40 adult whiteflies were sampled from each cage at 20-day intervals. These sampled whiteflies were sexed based on their morphologies and screened for *Wolbachia* infection using *wsp* nest PCR.

Wolbachia* transmission from irradiated *En. formosa* to *B. tabaci

To explore the possibility of *Wolbachia* transmission from *En. formosa* to *B. tabaci* due to unsuccessful parasitism, we subjected *En. formosa* wasps to radiation at the Nanjing Aerospace Irradiation Center. Wasps that had emerged within 24 h were exposed to Co^{60} radiation at doses of 60, 80 and 100 Gy (dose rate of 2 Gy/min). A single irradiated wasp was subsequently introduced into a Petri dish, which contained a tomato leaf infested with *Wolbachia*-free 3rd or 4th instar whitefly nymphs. The parasitic behaviors of *En. formosa* were monitored under a Nikon SMZ800 stereomicroscope (Nikon Instrument Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Wasps were removed after 12 h of parasitism. Only whitefly nymphs that had been punctured by wasps were

kept until the emergence of either parasitoid wasps or whiteflies. The newly emerged

whiteflies were then collected for *Wolbachia* detection using *wsp* nested PCR. In each

replication, five irradiated *En. formosa* were randomly selected for parasitization,

with four replications performed in total. Nonirradiated wasps were used as controls.

***Wolbachia* transmission across generations in whiteflies**

The parasitized and subsequently emerged whiteflies were denoted as the initial

generation (G_0). To investigate the transmission rate of *Wolbachia* across whitefly

generations, a pair of female and male newly emerged whitefly adults (G_0) were

randomly chosen and introduced into a Petri dish containing a tomato leaf. After 5 d

of oviposition, the whitefly adults (G_0) were removed and sampled for *Wolbachia*

detection using *wsp* nested PCR. Only the eggs laid by *Wolbachia*-infected females

were allowed to develop into adults. In a similar manner, upon the emergence of G_1

adults, whiteflies were paired and placed into individual Petri dishes to produce the G_2

generation and then sampled for *Wolbachia* detection. This procedure was repeated

from the G_0 to G_5 generations. A parallel control experiment was conducted using

Wolbachia-free whiteflies. Nine replications were conducted for each generation.

Effects of *Wolbachia* on whitefly fitness

Given the inability to obtain a whitefly strain with 100% *Wolbachia* infection, we

selected individuals from the infected whitefly population, which initially acquired

Wolbachia through parasitism of irradiated *En. formosa* and subsequently maintained

for over five generations. Whitefly nymphs were individually isolated into PCR tubes

at their 4th instar stage. After emergence, female and male whitefly adults were paired

and allowed to oviposit for five days within a Petri dish containing a tomato leaf.

These adults were then removed for *Wolbachia* detection via PCR. For the

Wolbachia-infected female adults, we recorded several fitness parameters. These

included the total number of eggs laid, the number of first instar nymphs, the

developmental time from egg to eclosion, and the number of eclosed male and female

offspring. This procedure was replicated with 60 pairs, and whiteflies from the

original *Wolbachia*-free population were used as controls. Additionally, we examined

the effects of various paternal and maternal infection status combinations on whitefly

fitness. A similar procedure was employed, with the exception that all whitefly adults

were sourced from the infected population, and their infection status was determined

through *wsp* nested PCR.

Statistics of the experimental data

Statistical analysis of experimental data was conducted using SPSS version 18.0

(SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). The proportions were arcsine-square root transformed.

Two independent samples were compared using Student's T test. One-way ANOVA

was performed for analysis of multiple samples. Means were then compared using

Honest Student's Tukey test. Data were visualized using GraphPad Prism 6 software

(GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA).

Data and code availability

The alignment files, phylogenetic trees, and custom scripts can be accessed on

FigShare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24718119).

References

1.   M. Bright, S. Bulgheresi, A complex journey: transmission of microbial symbionts. *Nat.*

472   *Rev. Microbiol.* **8**, 218-230 (2010).

473   2.   J. Perreau, N. A. Moran, Genetic innovations in animal-microbe symbioses. *Nat. Rev.*

474   *Genet.* **23**, 23-39 (2022).

475   3.   A. E. Douglas, Multiorganismal insects: diversity and function of resident

476   microorganisms. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **60**, 17-34 (2015).

477   4.   A. Mestre, R. Poulin, J. Hortal, A niche perspective on the range expansion of

478   symbionts. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **95**, 491-516 (2020).

479   5.   J. L. Sachs, R. G. Skophammer, J. U. Regus, Evolutionary transitions in bacterial

480   symbiosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **108 Suppl 2**, 10800-10807 (2011).

481   6.   R. M. Fisher, L. M. Henry, C. K. Cornwallis, E. T. Kiers, S. A. West, The evolution of

482   host-symbiont dependence. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15973 (2017).

483   7.   J. H. Werren, L. Baldo, M. E. Clark, *Wolbachia*: master manipulators of invertebrate

484   biology. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **6**, 741-751 (2008).

485   8.   R. Kaur *et al.*, Living in the endosymbiotic world of *Wolbachia*: a centennial review.

486   *Cell Host Microbe* **29**, 879-893 (2021).

487   9.   J. Porter, W. Sullivan, The cellular lives of *Wolbachia*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **21**,

488   750-766 (2023).

489   10.   M. Scholz *et al.*, Large scale genome reconstructions illuminate *Wolbachia* evolution.

490   *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 5235 (2020).

491   11.   E. Vancaester, M. Blaxter, Phylogenomic analysis of *Wolbachia* genomes from the

492   Darwin Tree of Life biodiversity genomics project. *PLoS Biol.* **21**, e3001972 (2023).

12.   X. Zheng *et al.*, Incompatible and sterile insect techniques combined eliminate

mosquitoes. *Nature* **572**, 56-61 (2019).

13.   A. A. Hoffmann *et al.*, Successful establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to

suppress dengue transmission. *Nature* **476**, 454-457 (2011).

14.   E. L. Loreto, G. L. Wallau, Risks of *Wolbachia* mosquito control. *Science* **351**, 1273

(2016).

15.   E. Sanaei, S. Charlat, J. Engelstadter, *Wolbachia* host shifts: routes, mechanisms,

constraints and evolutionary consequences. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **96**,

433-453 (2021).

16.   H. Noda *et al.*, Infection shared among planthoppers (Homoptera: Delphacidae) and

their endoparasite (Strepsiptera: Elenchidae): a probable case of interspecies

transmission. *Mol. Ecol.* **10**, 2101-2106 (2001).

17.   F. Vavre, F. Fleury, D. Lepetit, P. Fouillet, M. Bouletreau, Phylogenetic evidence for

horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in host-parasitoid associations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*

16, 1711-1723 (1999).

18.   P. Kittayapong, W. Jamnongluk, A. Thipaksorn, J. R. Milne, C. Sindhusake, Infection

complexity among insects in the tropical rice-field community. *Mol. Ecol.* **12**,

1049-1060 (2003).

19.   H. Hou, G. Zhao, C. Su, D. Zhu, *Wolbachia* prevalence patterns: horizontal

transmission, recombination, and multiple infections in chestnut gall wasp-parasitoid

communities. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **168**, 752-765 (2020).

20.   J. L. Morrow, M. Frommer, D. C. A. Shearman, M. Riegler, Tropical tephritid fruit fly

515  community with high incidence of shared strains as platform for horizontal

516  transmission of endosymbionts. *Environ. Microbiol.* **16**, 3622-3637 (2014).

517 21. D. Kageyama, S. Narita, T. Imamura, A. Miyanoshita, Detection and identification of

518  *Wolbachia* endosymbionts from laboratory stocks of stored-product insect pests and

519  their parasitoids. *J. Stored. Prod. Res.* **46**, 13-19 (2010).

520 22. B. D. Heath, R. D. J. Butcher, W. G. F. Whitfield, S. F. Hubbard, Horizontal transfer of

521  between phylogenetically distant insect species by a naturally occurring mechanism.

522  *Curr. Biol.* **9**, 313-316 (1999).

523 23. M. Aboumourad, H. zu Dohna, Unidirectional and heterogenous *Wolbachia* transfer

524  rates among insect host orders. *Preprint at*

525  *https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-2698051/v1*, (2023).

526 24. D. P. Hughes, P. Pamilo, J. Kathirithamby, Horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* by

527  strepsipteran endoparasites? A response to Noda et al., 2001. *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 507-509

528  (2004).

529 25. S. M. Smith, Biological control with *Trichogramma*: advances, successes, and

530  potential of their use. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **41**, 375-406 (1996).

531 26. M. S. Hoddle, R. G. Van Driesche, J. P. Sanderson, Biology and use of the whitefly

532  parasitoid *Encarsia formosa. Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **43**, 645-669 (1998).

533 27. X. X. Wang, L. D. Qi, R. Jiang, Y. Z. Du, Y. X. Li, Incomplete removal of with

534  tetracycline has two-edged reproductive effects in the thelytokous wasp

535  (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 44014 (2017).

536 28. S. A. Andreason *et al.*, Whitefly Endosymbionts: Biology, Evolution, and Plant Virus

537   Interactions. *Insects* 11, 775 (2020).

538   29. X. L. Bing *et al.*, Diversity and evolution of the *Wolbachia* endosymbionts of Bemisia

539   (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) whiteflies. *Ecol. Evol.* 4, 2714-2737 (2014).

540   30. E. Zchori-Fein, T. Lahav, S. Freilich, Variations in the identity and complexity of

541   endosymbiont combinations in whitefly hosts. *BMC Microbiol.* 5, 310 (2014).

542   31. G. Gueguen *et al.*, Endosymbiont metacommunities, mtDNA diversity and the

543   evolution of the *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) species complex. *Mol. Ecol.*

544   19, 4365-4376 (2010).

545   32. I. D. Weber, C. Czepak, K. C. Albernaz-Godinho, K. M. B. Borges, A. S. G. Coelho,

546   Validation of a sampling method: using one square centimeter for sampling the

547   immature stages of Bemisia tabaci in soybean. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 170, 488-494

548   (2022).

549   33. P. Shi *et al.*, *Wolbachia* has two different localization patterns in whitefly *Bemisia*

550   *tabaci* AsiaII7 Species. *PLoS One* 11, e0162558 (2016).

551   34. a. M P Hassell, J. K. Waage, Host-parasitoid population interactions. *Annu. Rev.*

552   *Entomol.* 29, 89-114 (1984).

553   35. K. M. Oliver, J. A. Russell, N. A. Moran, M. S. Hunter, Facultative bacterial symbionts

554   in aphids confer resistance to parasitic wasps. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100,

555   1803-1807 (2003).

556   36. R. Arunkumar *et al.*, Natural selection has driven the recurrent loss of an immunity

557   gene that protects against a major natural parasite. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 120,

558   (2023).

559   37.   J. J. Zhang *et al.*, Effects of host-egg ages on host selection and suitability of four

560   Chinese Trichogramma species, egg parasitoids of the rice striped stem borer, *Chilo*

561   *suppressalis. Biocontrol* **59**, 159-166 (2014).

562   38.   M. Z. Ahmed *et al.*, The intracellular bacterium uses parasitoid wasps as phoretic

563   vectors for efficient horizontal transmission. *PLoS Pathog.* **11**, e1004672 (2015).

564   39.   M. E. Huigens *et al.*, Infectious parthenogenesis. *Nature* **405**, 178-179 (2000).

565   40.   M. E. Huigens, R. P. de Almeida, P. A. Boons, R. F. Luck, R. Stouthamer, Natural

566   interspecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of parthenogenesis-inducing

567   *Wolbachia* in *Trichogramma* wasps. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **271**, 509-515 (2004).

568   41.   S. J. Li *et al.*, Plant-mediated horizontal transmission of between whiteflies. *ISME J.*

569   **11**, 1019-1028 (2017).

570   42.   K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

571   improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772-780 (2013).

572   43.   M. Suyama, D. Torrents, P. Bork, PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein sequence

573   alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**,

574   W609-612 (2006).

575   44.   B. Q. Minh *et al.*, IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic

576   inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530-1534 (2020).

577   45.   S. Kalyaanamoorthy, B. Q. Minh, T. K. F. Wong, A. von Haeseler, L. S. Jermiin,

578   ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods*

579   **14**, 587-589 (2017).

46.   I. Letunic, P. Bork, Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and

annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W242-245 (2016).

47.   J. H. Poelen, J. D. Simons, C. J. Mungall, Global biotic interactions: An open

infrastructure to share and analyze species-interaction datasets. *Ecol. Inform.* **24**,

148-159 (2014).

48.   S. Kumar *et al.*, TimeTree 5: an expanded resource for species divergence times. *Mol.*

Biol. Evol. **39**, msac174 (2022).

49.   H. L. Ji, L. D. Qi, X. Y. Hong, H. F. Xie, Y. X. Li, Effects of host sex, plant species, and

putative host species on the prevalence of *Wolbachia* in natural populations of

Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae): a modified nested PCR study. *J. Econ.*

Entomol. **108**, 210-218 (2015).

50.   L. Baldo *et al.*, Multilocus sequence typing system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia*

pipientis. Appl. Environ. Microb. **72**, 7098-7110 (2006).

51.   A. Heddi, A. M. Grenier, C. Khatchadourian, H. Charles, P. Nardon, Four intracellular

genomes direct weevil biology: nuclear, mitochondrial, principal endosymbiont, and

Wolbachia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **96**, 6814-6819 (1999).

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by "Shuangchuang Doctor" Foundation of Jiangsu Province (202030472), Nanjing Agricultural University startup fund (804018), the Hainan Major Science and Technology Project (ZDKJ2021007), and the Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest of China (201303019). Bioinformatic analyses were supported by the high-performance computing platform of Bioinformatics Center, Nanjing Agricultural University.

Author contributions

Y.X.L., X.Y.H., and Z.C.Y. conceptualized and designed the research; Z.C.Y. carried out the bioinformatics analysis; L.D.Q., H.L.J., and X.X.W. conducted the experiments; Y.X.L. and Z.C.Y. interpreted the results; Z.C.Y. and L.D.Q. prepared the visualization; Z.C.Y. wrote the initial manuscript; Y.X.L. and X.Y.H revised the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures and Tables

Table 1. Fitness in *Bemisia tabaci* of different cross combinations

Cross	n	Fecundity (eggs)	Egg hatching rate (%)	Immature survival rate (%)	Female proportion (%)
♀- × ♂-	20	54.0 ± 19.5 a	91.61 ± 2.99 a	73.31 ± 5.29 a	65.81 ± 8.19 a
♀- × ♂+	20	51.7 ± 20.5 a	90.88 ± 4.99 a	72.85 ± 6.33 a	66.43 ± 7.43 a
♀+ × ♂-	20	34.0 ± 12.3 b	82.19 ± 4.54 b	53.66 ± 6.58 b	42.57 ± 9.10 b
♀+ × ♂+	20	28.8 ± 11.1 b	82.16 ± 5.36 b	55.35 ± 7.21 b	48.03 ± 11.99 b

Data are presented as the means ± standard errors (SE) (n = 20). ♀: female adult; ♂: male adult; +: *Wolbachia* infected; -: *Wolbachia* uninfected.

Fig. 1. Parasitism facilitates interspecific horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia*. (a) Phylogeny of *Wolbachia* surface protein (*wsp*) genes retrieved from NCBI. **(b)** Effect of parasitism, plant-sharing, and predation on the genetic distance of *wsp* between species. **(c)** Relationship between species divergence time and the genetic distance of *wsp* between species. **(d)** Phylogeny of representative *wsp* sequences from *Trichogramma* wasps and lepidopterans. Color red and black represent *wsp* sequences from *Trichogramma* wasp and lepidopterans, respectively.

Fig. 2. Transfer of *Wolbachia* from the parasitoid wasp, *Encarsia formosa*, to its host, *Bemisia tabaci*. (**a**) Phylogenetic analysis of the *wsp* gene from *B. tabaci* and its parasitoid wasps. The colors black, red, purple, and gray represent *wsp* sequences from *B. tabaci*, *En. formosa*, other parasitoids of *B. tabaci*, and other species, respectively. (**b**) Scheme of the experimental design for studying the transmission of *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa* to *B. tabac*. (**c–d**) Effects of *En. formosa* on (**c**) the infection rate of *Wolbachia* and (**d**) the proportion of females in *B. tabaci* populations. Data are presented as the means ± standard errors (SE) (n = 3).

Non-parasitized
B. tabaci 3rd instar nymph

Parasitized
B. tabaci 3rd instar nymph

Parasitized
B. tabaci 2nd instar nymph

Fig. 3. Parasitism failure mediates *Wolbachia* transfer from *En. formosa* to *B.*
***tabaci*. (a–c)** Effects of 60 Gy radiation on **(a)** the fecundity of *En. formosa* over a
12-hour period, **(b)** the rate of successful parasitism, and **(c)** the *Wolbachia* infection
rate in surviving whiteflies. Data are presented as the means + SEs (n = 20). ***: $p <$
0.001. **(d–f)** Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) visualization of *Wolbachia* in
nonparasitized and parasitized 3rd instar nymphs, as well as parasitized 2nd instar
nymphs of *B. tabaci*. The images present a combination of bright field and
fluorescence. E: injected eggs from *En. formosa*.

Fig. 4. Vertical transmission of *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa* in *B. tabaci*. (a) Scheme of the study design for *Wolbachia* vertical transmission in *B. tabaci*. Adult whiteflies that survived from *En. formosa* parasitism were denoted as G_0. After pairing and oviposition, the infection status of *Wolbachia* in the female parent was examined. Only the offsprings from *Wolbachia*-infected female whiteflies were maintained. **(b)** The vertical transmission rate of *Wolbachia* across five generations in *B. tabaci*. Data are presented as the means + SEs (n = 9). ns.: no significant differences. **(c–e)** FISH visualization of *Wolbachia* in G_3 *B. tabaci* **(c)** nymph, **(d)** male adult, and **(e)** female adult. The images present a combination of bright field and fluorescence. B: bacteriocyte; E: eggs in the ovary of a female whitefly.

662
663 **Fig. 5. Fitness costs in *B. tabaci* induced by *Wolbachia* from *En. formosa*. (a–e)**
664 The effects of *En. formosa Wolbachia* on *B. tabaci* **(a)** female fecundity, **(b)** egg
665 hatching rate, **(c)** immature survival rate, **(d)** female proportion, and **(e)**
666 developmental time. Data are presented as the means + SEs (n = 60). ***: $p < 0.001$;
667 ns.: no significant differences.