Single-cell profiling reveals the intratumor heterogeneity and immunosuppressive microenvironment in cervical adenocarcinoma

Yang Peng[1], Yilin Li[2], Jixing Ao[3], Jia Shen[4, 5, 6], Xiang He[4, 5, 6], Dihong Tang[1], Chaonan Chu[1], Congrong Liu[7, #], Liang Weng[4, 5, 6, #]

[1] Fourth Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Hunan Cancer Hospital/The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, 283 Tongzipo Road, Changsha 410013, Hunan, China

[2] Department of Pathology, Hunan Cancer Hospital/The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, 283 Tongzipo Road, Changsha 410013, Hunan, China

[3] Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Changsha Kexin Cancer Hospital, 292 Fenglinsan Road, Changsha 410004, Hunan, China

[4] Xiangya Cancer Center, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha 410008, China

[5] Key Laboratory of Molecular Radiation Oncology of Hunan Province, Changsha 410008, China

[6] Hunan International Science and Technology Collaboration Base of Precision Medicine for Cancer, Changsha 410008, China

[7] Department of Pathology, Third Hospital, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Peking University Health Science Center, Beijing, 100191, China.

[#] Corresponding to: wengliang@csu.edu.cn (L. W.), congrong_liu@hsc.pku.edu.cn (C. L.)

Abstract

Background: Cervical adenocarcinoma (ADC) is more aggressive compared to other

types of cervical cancer (CC), such as squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). The tumor

immune microenvironment (TIME) and tumor heterogeneity are recognized as pivotal

factors in cancer progression and therapy. However, the disparities in TIME and

heterogeneity between ADC and SCC are poorly understood.

Methods: We performed single-cell RNA sequencing on 11 samples of ADC tumor

tissues, with other 4 SCC samples served as controls. The immunochemistry and

multiplexed immunofluorescence were conducted to validate our findings.

Results: Compared to SCC, ADC exhibited unique enrichments in several

sub-clusters of epithelial cells with elevated stemness and hyper-malignant features,

including the Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster. ADC displayed a highly immunosuppressive

environment characterized by the enrichment of regulatory T cells (Tregs) and

tumor-promoting neutrophils. The Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster recruits Tregs via

ALCAM-CD6 signaling, while Tregs reciprocally induce stemness in the

Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster through TGFβ signaling. Importantly, our study revealed

that the Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster could serve as a valuable predictor of lymph node

metastasis for CC patients.

Conclusions: This study highlights the significance of ADC-specific cell clusters in

establishing a highly immunosuppressive microenvironment, ultimately contributing

to the heightened aggressiveness and poorer prognosis of ADC compared to SCC.

Introduction

Cervical cancer (CC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death among all types of women malignancies (Sung et al., 2021). The two major histological types of CC are squamous cell carcinoma of cervix (SCC) and adenocarcinoma of cervix (ADC), accounting for 70% and 25% of all cases, respectively (Cohen, Jhingran, Oaknin, & Denny, 2019). ADC patients tend to have a higher rate of rapid progression, relapse and insensitivity to chemotherapy, radiotherapy and even immunotherapy, making it a more aggressive phenotype than SCC (Sasieni, Castanon, & Cuzick, 2009). Unfortunately, the reasons are not yet well understood due to its rarity. Furthermore, the standard treatment for both types of CC is not stratified based on pathologic types, which can result in treatment failures of ADC patients. Therefore, there is an urgent need for a comprehensive understanding of ADC.

In 2022, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines recommended pembrolizumab (Keytruda ®, a PD1 blockade) as the first-line combination therapy for late-stage, recurrent and metastatic CC patients with positive PD-L1 expressions (Colombo et al., 2021). It is a milestone that immunotherapy has been updated as a first-line treatment for CC. While it has been reported that 30%-50% of CC patients exhibit positive PD-L1 expression, however, only an estimated 20%-30% are expected to respond positively to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade therapy (Omenai, Ajani, & Okolo, 2022; Sun et al., 2020). Therefore, CC is characterized as an immunosuppressive cancer type, due to modulation of the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) (Cao et al., 2023). TIME, consisting of tumor cells, immune cells, cytokines and their interactions, predominantly determines the efficacy of immunotherapy (Lv et al., 2022). The mechanisms of tumor immune evasion within the TIME are similar across different types of cancer, involving the deactivation of immune surveillance pathways or recruitment of immunorepressive cells (Vinay et al., 2015). However, the specific characteristics of the TIME in ADC, which is known as insensitive to immunotherapy, remain poorly understood.

Persistent infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) is the primary cause of CC

(Mann, Singh, & Kumar, 2023). Approximately 85% of CC patients are identified

with HPV infection, while 5%-15% of patients test negative for HPV (X. Yang et al.,

2023). It is reported that HPV-negative patients tend to experience worse clinical

outcomes, including rapid metastasis to distant organs, and an increased susceptibility

to developing resistance to immunotherapy (Fernandes, Viveros-Carreño, Hoegl,

Ávila, & Pareja, 2022). However, current therapeutic strategies for CC do not take

into account the HPV infection status as a stratification factor. Therefore, it is

imperative to investigate the precise mechanisms underlying the development of

HPV-negatively infected tumors. In addition, an important and unavoidable clinical

issue in CC treatment is post-surgical upstaging, which affects the disease outcome

and treatment approach.

To extensively investigate the cellular and molecular heterogeneity of CC,

particularly ADC, the utilization of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq)

technique is crucially needed. In the current study, we focused on the remodeling of

TIME in ADC and performed scRNA-seq analysis on 11 ADC samples, with another

4 SCC samples included as controls. By high-throughput analysis, we are able to

characterize the landscape of TIME in ADC, with different types of tumor-promoting

cells being recruited in the vicinity of the tumor, thus creating an immunosuppressive

environment in ADC. The cellular crosstalk analysis provides valuable insights into

the interactions between different cell types within the TIME. Furthermore, we have

conducted a screening of novel signature genes as prognostic biomarkers for ADC.

These biomarkers have the potential to accurately predict lymph node metastasis and

may guide personalized treatment decisions more effectively.

Methods

Clinical sample collection

The 15 cases of fresh cervical cancer tissues were collected from Hunan Cancer

Hospital, with informed consent obtained from 15 independent patients. The inclusion

criteria are as follows: 1) The diagnosis of CC, with pathological type of ADC or SCC,

should be confirmed by pathologists; 2) Pre-surgical FIGO stages should be within

the range from IB (which means the tumor mass should be detectable via CT or MRI)

to IIA (which means no parametrial involvement, nor distant metastasis), in which

patients have explicit indication for radical surgery following NCCN guidelines; 3)

No neo-adjuvant treatments should be given before the surgery, including

chemotherapy and radiotherapy; 4) The surgery options should be type-C radical

hysterectomy and include pelvic lymphadenectomy with/ without para-aortic

lymphadenectomy. Detailed information of the 15 patients was listed in

Supplementary Table 1. This study was supervised and approved by the Ethics

Committee Board of Hunan Cancer Hospital.

Sample preparation for single-cell isolation

Immediately after surgical removal, the fresh tumor tissues were washed by saline for

3 times and stored in cold storage solution (MACS® Tissue Storage Solution) for

transportation. To prepare high-quality samples, the tissues were cut into pieces to

$1mm^3$ and were incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. 0.25% trypsin solution was used to

digest the tissue pieces at 37°C for 10 minutes. Then single-cell samples were filtered

through a 70-μm meshed filter and suspended in a red blood cell lysis buffer

(MACS® Red Blood Cell Lysis Solution) for dissolution. Before instrumental

sequencing, suspension of single cells were visualizing by TC20^{TM} Automated Cell

Counter to assess the cell viability. Each sample was confirmed to have a cell viability

rate of over 80%.

Single-cell RNA sequencing

The suspension of single cells was transferred onto the 10X Chromium Single-Cell

instrument to generate single-cell beads in the emulsion (GEMs). Then the

scRNA-seq libraries were constructed by using the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell

3' Reagent Kits v3 (10X Genomics, USA) and sequenced by using the sequencer

Novaseq6000 (Illumina, USA). All procedures were following the manufacturer's

protocol.

Dimension reduction and cell clustering

The gene expression matrixes were generated via the Cell Ranger toolkit (version

5.0.0) of 10X Genomics platform. The package of Seurat (version 4.0.5 R) was used

to normalize, convert and format data. With the function of RunPCA, the principal

component analysis (PCA) was used for data dimension reduction. The functions of

FindNeighbors and FindClusters were used for cell clustering. For visualization, the

RunUMAP function was performed. In each unsupervised cell cluster, the gene

markers were identified by the function of FindAllMarkers with comparison to other

clusters. For data processing, cells with low qualities, which showed <200 expressed

genes or >25% mitochondrial UMIs (unique molecular identifiers), were excluded.

Cell type annotation

The cell typing was conducted and annotated according to the selected gene markers

via CellMarker database and publications (C. Li et al., 2022; Qiu et al., 2023; Xue et

al., 2022). The expression of differential expressed genes (DEGs) was used to

determine each cell type following the rules: $Log_2Foldchange$ should be > 0.2 and

adjusted-P values should be < 0.05.

Pseudotime trajectory analysis

To identify the developmental changes of epithelial cells, the pseudotime trajectory

analyses were performed by using Monocle2 R package (version 2.22.0), in which

Seurat was used as input. Calculated by the Monocle algorithm, the top 50-1000

genes were conducted for differential GeneTest. Then, the DDR-Tree and default

parameters were generated to visualize the translational relationship and
developmental orders among different epithelial sub-clusters.

Cell malignancy scoring

Among these epithelial cells, the malignant score of each single cell was predicted by
using scCancer R package (Guo et al., 2021). The malignant cells were distinguished
from non-malignant cells by inferring large-scale copy-number variations (CNVs),
which was calculated by the interCNV R package as described (Guo et al., 2021; Xue
et al., 2022).

Signaling pathway enrichment analysis

The biological signaling pathway of each cell cluster was identified by performing
Gene Ontology (GO) analyses and Hallmark Pathway enrichment analyses, according
to the Molecular Signature Database (MSigDB version 7.5.1). Then DEGs of each
cell cluster were converted to ClusterProfiler (version 4.2.2) package for analysis of
predicted functions.

CytoTRACE

In order to predict the differential state of cells, scRNA-seq derived data were pass to
the CytoTRACE software (version 0.3.3) with R package for further analyses.

Survival analysis

The CESC dataset from TCGA database was downloaded from Xena, with clinical
characteristics, survival endpoints and treatments were included. The Kaplan-Meier
survival curves were generated by using R software.

Cellular communication analysis

The possible interactions, with ligand-to-receptor communicating signaling pathway,

between two types of cells were analyzed using the R packages of CellChat (version

1.5.0). Seurat was used for normalization and the rank with significance was

calculated. The probability of ligand-to-receptor interaction in each signaling pathway

was plotted by *P*-value and intensity.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

The paraffin-embedded ADC samples (whether post-surgical or biopsy samples) were

sectioned into 4μm slides. The protocol of IHC strictly followed our previous

published works (Peng et al., 2019). The primary antibody of SLC26A3 was

purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Cat# sc-376187) and diluted at the ratio of

1:20. The protein expression of SLC26A3 was determined using the method of

H-scoring: *H*-score = intensity score × percentage of positivity. The intensity was

scored as: Negative=0, Weak=1, Moderate=2, Strong=3. Samples were grouped as the

higher expression group (H-score ≥ 150) and the lower expression (H-score < 150)

group of SLC26A3.

Multiplexed immunofluorescence (multi-IF)

The multi-IF was performed using the Opal 6-Plex Manual Detection Kit

(NEL811001KT, Akoya), following the manufacturer's instructions. Generally, the

slides were stained with each primary antibody sequentially following the staining

cycle as: (1) antigen retrieval by citrate (pH=6) at water bath for 30 minutes; (2)

independent primary antibody incubation at 4°C atmosphere overnight or 37°C

atmosphere for 1 hour; (3) common HRP-crosslinked secondary antibody incubation

at 37°C atmosphere for 30 minutes; (4) independent opal reactive fluorophore

solution at intended wavelength (opal 570 was excluded in order to save for FOXP3

signal). Each independent primary antibody started a new cycle. After cycles finished,

the slides were prepared for incubation of FOXP3 monoclonal antibody with eFluor™

570 (Thermo Fisher, Cat#41-4777-82) conjugated, as well as DAPI (Sigma) for

nucleus staining.

Statistics

228 Specific analyses of scRNA-seq data were decoded and visualized by using the R

229 software. Statistics were processed using IBM-SPSS Statistics 20.0 software and R

230 software as well, including Student's *t*-test, two-sided Wilcoxon test, etc. Correlations

231 analysis was performed by the *Chi*-square test. Survival analysis was performed by

232 the Kaplan-Meier method. P values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

Results

Single-cell transcriptomic landscape of cervical cancer

We collected 15 samples of cervical cancer tissues from 15 individual patients

immediately after undergoing radical hysterectomy surgery. Among these cases, 11

were ADC types, with 5 being HPV-negative and 6 being HPV-positive. The

remaining 4 cases were SCC types, with an even distribution of HPV-positive and

HPV-negative status (**Supplementary Table 1**). Prior to surgery, all 15 patients were

diagnosed as early FIGO stages (stage I ~IIA) based on evaluation of CT, MRI, and

physical examination. However, after surgery, 5 cases turned out to be upstaged with

pathological evidence of lymph node metastasis (FIGO stage IIICP), requiring theses

patients to receive radiation therapy according to NCCN guidelines. Therefore, in

addition to investigate the difference between histological types and HPV infection

status, we also focused on the issue of post-surgical upstaging by comparing different

FIGO stages.

In order to analyze the genomic profiling, we resolved these samples at the

single-cell level using the 10X genomics chromium platform. The cell viability and

sample quality were ensured beforehand, and a total of 102,012 qualified cells were

utilized for further analysis (**Fig. 1A**). The technique of Uniform Manifold

Approximation and Projection (UMAP) was utilized to reduce the dimension of gene

profiling data for visualization. The obtained cells were primarily categorized into 12

cell clusters, which were further re-clustered into 9 distinct cell types, based on

specific gene markers (C. Li et al., 2022) (**Fig. 1B-C**). These cell types included T

cells (38,627 cells in total, proportioning 37.87%, marked with PTPRC, CD3D, CD3E

and CD3G), epithelial cells (32,199 cells in total, proportioning 31.56%, marked with

EPCAM, SLP1 and CD24), neutrophils (12,586 cells in total, proportioning 12.34%,

marked with CSF3R), macrophages (6,798 cells in total, proportioning 12.34%,

marked with CD68 and CD163), fibroblasts (4,258 cells in total, proportioning 4.17%,

marked with COL1A2 and DCN), plasma cells (4,044 cells in total, proportioning

3.96%, marked with JCHAIN), endothelial cells (1,389 cells in total, proportioning

1.36%, marked with ENG and VWF), B cells (1,326 cells in total, proportioning

1.30%, marked with CD79A and MS4A1) and mast cells (785 cells in total,

proportioning 0.77%, marked with MS4A2) (**Fig. 1D-E** and **Supplementary Table**

2).

According to our scRNA-seq data, ADC exhibited a significant higher proportion

of neutrophils than SCC (20.05% vs. 4.23%). The proportion of T cells was also

higher in ADC (41.13%) compared to SCC (35.48%). Conversely, SCC showed a

nearly threefold enrichment of epithelial cell (47.43%) compared to ADC (16.48%)

(**Fig. 1F**). When considering the HPV infection status, HPV-negative patients

demonstrated decreased proportions of epithelial cells (7.78% vs. 28.38%) and

neutrophils (16.66% vs. 24.68%) compared to HPV-positive patients. The proportion

of T cells enriched in HPV-negative cases (45.77%) was higher than that in

HPV-positive cases (32.42%). HPV-negative patients exhibited prominently higher

proportions of plasma cells (10.13% vs. 1.99%) and B cells (2.84% vs. 0.77%)

compared to HPV-positive patients, even though their proportions were lower than T

cells, epithelial cells and neutrophils (**Supplementary Fig. 1A**). Furthermore, we

conducted a comparison between early-stage and late-stage cases, revealing that

late-stage patients have a higher proportion of epithelial cells (35.50%) than

early-stage cases (28.82%) (**Supplementary Fig. 1B**). In summary, these data

describes primarily a complex microenvironment in cervical cancers, especially in the

ADC type, with varied composition of immune and tumor cells under different

conditions.

The sub-clusters of epithelial cells exhibit elevated stem-like features to promote

the aggressiveness of ADC

The aforehand data has shown that the majority of the CC tumor is composed of

epithelial cells. In order to explore the characteristics of these epithelial cells within

the TIME of ADC, we further classified them into 12 sub-clusters based on the

subsets of differently expressed genes (DEGs) in each group (**Fig. 2A**). Each

sub-cluster was named based on the most prominently up-regulated gene in each

panel of signature genes (**Fig. 2B-C**). Several epithelial cell clusters were strongly

enriched in ADC than SCC, such as Epi_04_TFF2, Epi_06_TMC5, Epi_07_CAPS

and Epi_08_SCGB3A1. Notably, Epi_09_SST, Epi_10_CYSTM1, Epi_11_REG1A

and Epi_12_RRAD were exclusively identified in ADC, not in SCC (**Fig. 2D**). The

stratified enrichment of different cluster between ADC and SCC has captured our

attention for further investigation. Additionally, Epi_09_SST, Epi_10_CYSTM1 and

Epi_11_REG1A were solely enriched in HPV-positive cases, although no distinctive

clusters had been found in HPV-negative patients (**Supplementary Fig. 2A**). When

comparing samples from patients in the early stages with those who have lymph node

metastasis, 3 clusters (Epi_02_IGLC2, Epi_05_CCL5 and Epi_12_RRAD) were

slightly increased among the late-stage patients. Surprisingly, we found that the

sub-cluster Epi_10_CYSTM1 was exclusively present in stage IIIC patients, making

it a potential target for identification of the biomarkers for late stage patients

(**Supplementary Fig. 2B**).

We further evaluated the features of each epithelial cluster, especially their roles in

regulating tumorigenesis. To assess the differentiation potential of each cell cluster,

we employed the Cellular Trajectory Reconstruction Analysis using gene Counts and

Expression (CytoTRACE) method (**Fig. 2E**). The results showed that clusters of

Epi_07_CAPS, Epi_09_SST, Epi_10_CYSTM1 and Epi_12_RRAD ranked the top

four in CytoTRACE score, indicating a significant potential for pluripotency and

differentiation, also referred to stem-like features. Interestingly, Epi_09_SST,

Epi_10_CYSTM1 and Epi_12_RRAD were exclusively enriched in ADC. The

proportion of cluster Epi_07_CAPS, which acquired the highest level of stemness,

was overwhelmingly higher in ADC, although Epi_07_CAPS was also identified in

SCC (**Fig. 2D**). Subsequently, pseudotime analysis was conducted to elucidate the

differentiation trajectories. The results showed that Epi_07_CAPS, Epi_09_SST and

Epi_10_CYSTM1 were positioned towards the end of pseudotime developmental

trajectory, which was in consistence with their high stem-like characteristics and

indicated a higher degree of malignancy in ADC than SCC (**Supplementary Fig. 2C**).

In addition, we performed the malignancy scoring analysis, which demonstrated that

cluster Epi_10_CYSTM1 exhibited the highest degree of malignancy compared to

other clusters (**Fig. 2F**). Interestingly, cluster Epi_10_CYSTM1 displayed a

remarkably diverse developmental profile and was exclusively identified in ADC.

Conversely, Epi_07_CAPS and Epi_12_RRAD, which exhibited high stem-like

characteristics, were ranking lower in terms of malignancy scoring when compared to

other clusters. This observation may partially indicate that high stemness cluster

Epi_10_CYSTM1 is essential for ADC to present more aggressive features.

Next, we proceeded to further investigate cluster Epi_10_CYSTM1, particularly in

the context of ADC. To this end, Gene Ontology Biological Process (GOBP) analysis

on specific signaling pathways based on the gene expression pattern observed in each

cell cluster was performed (**Fig. 2G** and **Supplementary Fig. 2D**). The results

revealed that ADC-specific epithelial cell clusters, particularly the most malignant

cluster Epi_10_CYSTM1, tended to be more active in regulating immunity-related

pathways, which indicated epithelial sub-cluster might be associated with dysfunction

of immunity. Notably, Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster also played a crucial role in

regulating cell-to-cell interaction (**Fig. 2G**). This gives us a hint that ADC cells exert

their tumor-promoting functions via interacting with other types of cells, such as

neutrophils or immune cells. Therefore, further investigation is warranted to explore

the intricate crosstalk networks between epithelial cells and other cell types.

ADC-specific epithelial cluster-derived gene SLC26A3 is a potential prognostic

marker for lymph node metastasis

Given that Epi_10_CYTSM1 represents the most aggressive cell cluster in ADC,

we further examined the relevance of this cluster and the clinical features of CC. As

an alternative, we also examined Epi_12_RRAD (**Supplementary Fig. 3A**), which

was another malignant cluster predominantly found in ADC. We firstly checked the

DEGs of these two clusters. In Epi_12_RRAD cluster, we identified Insulin-like

growth factor 2 (IGF2) and Alcohol dehydrogenase 1C (ADH1C), which were

exclusively expressed in Epi_12_RRAD, as two most specific genes of this cluster

(**Supplementary Fig. 3B**). However, the immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining

showed that IGF2 was almost negative across cases with different clinical stages

(**Supplementary Fig. 3C**). On the other hand, ADH1C was strongly expressed in

both early-stage and late-stage cases (**Supplementary Fig. 3C**). Likewise, the

survival analysis of Epi_12_RRAD-enriched gene signatures did not demonstrate a

significant difference between the two groups (**Supplementary Fig. 3D**). These

results suggest that the Epi_12_RRAD cluster may not play a significant role in the

progression of ADC.

In contrast, the panel genes enriched in Epi_10_CYSTM1 might potentially predict

poor prognosis of CC patients based on TCGA database (**Fig. 3C**). In the cluster of

Epi_10_CYSTM1, the DEG with the highest expression is CYSTM1 (**Fig. 3A**).

However, CYSTM1 was also expressed in other cell clusters and showed low

specificity (**Fig. 3B**). On the other hand, SLC26A3, ORM1 and ORM2 were

specifically enriched in Epi_10_CYSTM1 and could be considered as potential

candidate biomarkers for representation of this cluster. Nevertheless, ORM1/OMR2

were not satisfied to distinguish the severity of CC cases, due to an irrelevance

between expression intensity and clinical stages (**Supplementary Fig. 3E**).

Interestingly, the gene of SLC26A3 derived from Epi_10_CYSTM1 showed the most

potential of prognostic value for CC patients (**Supplementary Fig. 3E**).

An important and unavoidable clinical issue in CC treatment is post-surgical

upstaging, which affects the disease outcome and treatment approach. In order to

examine the fact of post-surgical upstaging (or misdiagnose of early-stage cases), we

merged data from two independent patient cohorts obtained from two clinical centers:

Xiangya hospital (*Cohort 1*) and Hunan cancer hospital (*Cohort 2*). The criterion for

objective selection was outlined below (**Supplementary Fig. 3F**). The rates of

upstaging for each center are 10.85% (23 out of 212 in *Cohort 1*) and 15.31% (214

out of 1398 in *Cohort 2*), respectively. These rates fall within the range reported by

previous studies (Dabi et al., 2018; Thelissen et al., 2022). Interestingly, both cohorts

of data revealed that the misdiagnose rate was significantly higher in HPV-negative

patients than HPV-positive patients, especially among ADC patients. From the data of

Cohort 2, it was observed that ADC patients were more likely to stage up

post-surgically. In *Cohort 1*, we can also observed the same tendency although

without significant difference between different histological types, probably due to a

smaller sample size (**Table. 1-2**).

The identification of Epi_10_CYSTM1 as the only cell cluster found in patients

with stage IIICp raises the possibility that this cluster may be a potential marker to

diagnose patients with lymph node metastasis. Therefore, we detected SLC26A3

expression on biopsy and post-surgical ADC samples to examine whether it was

associated with lymph node metastasis. The results from IHC staining showed that

ADC patients with stage IIIC had a higher expression of SLC26A3 (**Fig. 3D, E** and

Table 3, 4). In summary, our results propose that the Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster could

function as a diagnostic marker to predict both poor prognosis and lymph node

metastasis in ADC patients. Moreover, SLC26A3 could be employed as a marker for

the Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster, aiding in the diagnosis of lymph node metastasis to

prevent post-surgical upstaging in ADC patients in the future.

Enrichment of regulatory T cells indicates an immunosuppressive status of ADC

T cells play a crucial role as the primary effectors of tumor immunity. Our data

reveals that T cells constitute the predominant cell population within the TIME of

cervical cancer (**Fig. 1A**). A total of 52,297 T cells were categorized into 8 main

clusters (**Fig. 4A**). The gene expression patterns of T cells in CC were in accord with

those observed in other types of cancers (Sorin et al., 2023; Xue et al., 2022). Based

on canonical genes (C. Li et al., 2022), these cells were re-clustered as the following 4

types of T cells: exhausted T cells (marked with CD8, TIGIT, PDCD1, LAG3,

HAVCR2), cytotoxic T cells (marked with CD8 and CD3), regulatory T cells (Treg,

marked with CD4, FOXP3 and IL2RA), activated T cells (marked with CD8, C69 and

CD3G) (**Fig. 4A-C**). The gene subset of cytotoxic T cells exhibited a higher

expression of KRT17, KRT19, while Tregs showed a higher expression of genes such

as CCL4, GZMB and CCL3 (**Fig. 4D**). When comparing the checkpoint pathway

states among different T cell clusters, we observed relatively higher levels of LAG3

and PDCD1 (PD1) in exhausted T cells, while CTLA4 and TIG1 were more highly

expressed in Tregs. These genes were identified to present immunosuppressive

functions (**Fig. 4E**). On the other hand, certain genes, such as NKG7, PRF1, INFG

and TNFRSF, which indicate the activation of immune checkpoint pathways,

exhibited low expression levels in cytotoxic T cells and activated T cells (**Fig. 4E**).

These findings lead us to hypothesize that the establishment of an immunosuppressive

TIME in ADC may involve the recruitment of regulatory T cells (Tregs) to the tumor

area and the subsequent inactivation of a substantial proportion of cytotoxic T cells.

We further compared different status of CC with different attribution of T cell

subtypes. It was demonstrated that ADC had a significantly higher proportion of Tregs

compared to SCC (**Fig. 4F**). Meanwhile, ADC patients who tested negative for HPV

showed a higher enrichment of Tregs and a lower proportion of activated T cells

compared to those with HPV-positive status (**Supplementary Fig. 4A**). These

findings shed light on the underlying mechanism for immunotherapy insensitivity of

ADC, especially in HPV-negative status. Furthermore, analyses of GOBP have shown

that Tregs were more active in regulating immune activities in ADC than SCC,

indicating a greater tendency for Tregs to be activated in the TIME of ADC (**Fig. 4G**).

In ADC, the proportion of activated T cells was higher compared to SCC. However,

the GOBP analysis suggested that their leading roles were to promote the apoptosis of

immune cells than to exert cytotoxicity (**Supplementary Fig. 4D**). In addition, in

HPV-negative cases, activated T cells demonstrated a stronger capacity to interact

with other cell types, including neutrophils and epithelial cells (**Supplementary Fig.**

4E). Consequently, it is further proved that the enrichment of Tregs in ADC does

execute immunosuppressive functions.

Tumor associated neutrophils (TANs) surrounding ADC tumor area contribute

to the formation of a malignant microenvironment

The large-scale enrichment of specific gene subsets in neutrophils in CC prompted

us to further examine their roles. A total of 12,586 neutrophils were detected and the

composition proportion in ADC (20.05%) was almost five times higher than that in

SCC (4.23%) (**Fig. 1F**). Based on this observation, we hypothesize that ADC-specific

neutrophil clusters may be related to poor prognosis and increased malignancy. All the

cells were initially grouped into 6 clusters based on the gene expression modules (**Fig.**

5A). In the context of cancer, tumor associated neutrophils (TANs) can exhibit dual

functions, either promoting tumor (pro-tumor TANs) or inhibiting tumor progression

(anti-tumor TANs). Based on the consensus markers identified by *Jaillon et al.*

(Jaillon et al., 2020) and *Xue et al.*(Xue et al., 2022), we performed further clustering

of the neutrophils in our dataset, resulting in the identification of 3 sub-types of TANs:

pro-tumor TANs (Neu_03, marked with CD66, CD11 and MT1X), anti-tumor TANs

(Neu_02, marked with CD66, CD11, CCL5, KRT5 and ELF3), TANs with isg

(interferon stimulated genes) and other undefined types (Neu_01, distinguished by

CD66, CD11, IFITM2, S100A8 and LST1) (**Fig. 5B-C**). Generally, the Neu_01

sub-cluster, which consists of TANs with isg with the subset of specific genes,

accounted for the largest proportion among all neutrophils. Notably, the presence of

pro-tumor TANs was significantly higher in ADC compared to SCC (**Fig. 5D**), as well

as in HPV-negative cases compared to HPV-positive cases (**Supplementary Fig. 5A**).

On the other hand, the proportion of anti-tumor TANs in ADC was predominantly

lower than that in SCC (**Fig. 5D**).

We further conducted the GOBP analysis on gene subsets derived from pro-tumor

TANs to explore their potential functions. The findings revealed that pro-tumor TANs

in ADC were more active in regulating cell-to-cell interactions and chemotaxis.

Specifically, they were involved in pathways such as chemokine-mediated or

cytokine-mediated signaling, neutrophil activation, and receptor internalization (**Fig.**

5E). These results suggest that pro-tumor TANs may engage in extensive

communication with various cell types. Interestingly, pro-tumor TANs exhibited

enhanced activation in mediating inhibitory pathways related to immunity (**Fig. 5E**).

These pathways include the negative regulation of leukocyte proliferation and

lymphocyte activation, as well as the suppression of IL-10 production, which is

known to promote immune responses (Saraiva, Vieira, & O'Garra, 2020; Sarvaria,

Madrigal, & Saudemont, 2017).

The role of pro-tumor TANs in association with disease prognosis was further

verified using TCGA database. The patients with higher expression of gene panels

specific to pro-tumor TANs exhibited a poorer prognosis compared to those with

lower expression (**Fig. 5F**). These findings suggest that the increased malignancy

observed in ADC, particularly in HPV-negative cases, may also be attributed to the

enrichment of pro-tumor TANs and evasion of anti-tumor TANs.

Cellular heterogeneity of plasma/B cells in ADC

In our study, we identified 6 distinctive clusters of plasma/B cells based on the set

of gene enrichment: Plasma/B_01(marked with IGHA2, IGHG2 and IGLC2),

Plasma/B_02 (marked with HLA-DRA, HLA-DPB and CD37), Plasma/B_03 (marked

with KRT17 and S100A2), Plasma/B_04 (marked with CCL5, CXCL13, IL-32 and

CCL4), Plasma/B_05 (marked with CXCL8), Plasma/B_06 (marked with HMGB2)

(**Fig. 6A-C**). Among these clusters, the most abundant one was Plasma/B_01_IGHA2

(**Fig. 6D** and **Supplementary Fig. 6A-B**). Interestingly, the proportion of

Plasma/B_01_IGHA2 was found to be significantly higher in ADC compared to SCC,

and it was also slightly higher in HPV-negative cases than in HPV-positive cases (**Fig.**

6D and **Supplementary Fig. 6A**). Generally, B-lymphocytes are known to have

tumor-inhibitory properties. However, the ectopic gene module of plasma/B cells in

ADC suggested that some sub-clusters might present a tumor-promoting role. This

hypothesis was further supported by the results of GOBP analysis, which indicated

that Plasma/B_01_IGHA2 gene signatures were more active in negatively regulating

B cell activation, complement activation and receptor signaling pathway (**Fig. 6E**).

When we combined the top 50 signature genes in Plasma/B_01_IGHA2-enriched

subset and performed the survival analysis using TCGA database, we observed that

higher expression of the signature genes predicted a poorer prognosis of CC patients

(**Fig. 6F**). Taken together, the cellular heterogeneity of plasma/B cells in the TIME of

ADC is well identified at the single-cell level.

Crosstalk among tumor cells, Tregs and neutrophils establishes the

immunosuppressive TIME in ADC

In the above description, we have outlined that certain ADC-enriched cell

sub-clusters, such as Epi_10_CYSTM1, Tregs, and pro-tumor TANs, played

oncogenic roles in regulating various cellular activities, including maintenance of

stemness and evasion of immune surveillance. To gain deeper insights into the

interplay among these clusters and their influence on ADC progression, we harnessed

the Cellchat tool (Jin et al., 2021). Our primary focus revolved around unraveling the

mechanisms governing Treg recruitment to the tumor microenvironment and the

maintenance of stemness within ADC-enriched cell sub-clusters.

Given that the 3 ADC-specific sub-clusters (Epi_07_CAPS, Epi_10_CYSTM1 and

Epi_12_RRAD) of epithelial cells exhibited quite high levels of stem-like properties,

and particularly that Epi_10_CYSTM1 presented the highest degree of malignancy

among them, we further analyzed their interactions with other types of cells. Firstly, in

comparison to SCC, ADC-enriched epithelial sub-clusters exhibited a higher

propensity for interaction with Tregs through ligand-receptor signaling pathways,

including ALCAM (ALCAM>>CD6) and MHC-II (HLA-DR>>CD4) (**Fig. 7A-E**),

which have been reported to be essential for Treg recruitment, expansion and

stabilization to establish the immunosuppressive microenvironment (Chalmers et al.,

2022; Ferragut, Vachetta, Troncoso, Rabinovich, & Elola, 2021; Freitas et al., 2019).

To further uncover the underlying reason for the enhanced stemness observed in ADC

epithelial cells, we focused on the signaling received by Epi_10_CYSTM1 cell cluster.

Interestingly, the Cellchat analysis revealed that, compared to other pathways, such as

CD46>>JAG1 and GZMA>>PARD3, the interaction of TGFβ>>TGFBR between

Tregs and epithelial cells (particularly in cluster Epi_10_CYSTM1) was remarkedly

activated in ADC but not in SCC (**Fig. 7F-G**). TGFβ is a canonical secreted protein

that induces carcinogenesis, such as cancer cell proliferation, invasion and

self-renewal (Derynck, Turley, & Akhurst, 2021; Massagué, 2008; Tauriello, Sancho,

& Batlle, 2022). Thus, we speculate that the recruited Tregs might secrete TGFβ to

stimulate the tumor epithelial cells of ADC, leading to increased stemness.

Additionally, pro-tumor TANs were also recruited to the tumor area and interacted

with Epi_10_CYSTM1, as well as Epi_07_CAPS and Epi_12_RRAD cells, via the

ANNEXIN-to-FPR1/FPR2 signaling pathway (**Supplementary Fig. 7A-B**). Previous

studies have reported that the ANNEXIN pathway can promote the chemotaxis of

neutrophils (Araújo et al., 2021). Likewise, TANs expressing isg, which have been

shown to promote tumorigenesis as mentioned earlier, were also recruited to the

tumor area through the ANNEXIN pathway. These findings suggest that the

aggressive clusters of TANs may contribute to the formation of an ADC-specific

TIME.

To approve these hypotheses, we conducted immunofluorescence (IF) analysis and

observed a greater recruitment of FOXP3$^+$ cells in close proximity to regions

exhibiting high SLC26A3 expression (Epi_10_CYSTM1 cell cluster) (**Fig. 7H**).

Furthermore, when compared to regions with low SLC26A3 expression, tumors

displaying high SLC26A3 levels exhibited significantly increased expression of

Aldehyde Dehydrogenase 1 Family Member A1 (ALDH1A1), a known marker for

cancer stem cells in CC (Douville, Beaulieu, & Balicki, 2009) (**Supplementary Fig.**

7C). Notably, we identified SLC26A3^{high} tumor cells expressing ALCAM in close

proximity to CD6+ Tregs, suggesting potential involvement of ALCAM>>CD6

signaling in Treg recruitment (**Fig. 7I**). Additionally, we observed reduced E-cadherin

expression in tumor cells within SLC26A3high regions, possibly induced by TGFβ

secreted by adjacent Tregs (**Fig. 7J** and **Supplementary Fig. 7D**). Altogether, these

findings confirm the intricate crosstalk between the Epi_10_CYSTM1 cell cluster and

Tregs, which plays a pivotal role in establishing an immunosuppressive TIME and

sustaining heightened stemness in tumor cells.

Discussion

Cervical cancer is often regarded as less aggressive compared to ovarian cancer and

endometrial cancer, primarily due to the effectiveness of HPV vaccines in prevention.

When diagnosed at early stages, the 5-year survival rate for cervical cancer patients

exceeds 90%, surpassing that of other gynecologic malignancies (Gennigens et al.,

2022; Schiffman, Castle, Jeronimo, Rodriguez, & Wacholder, 2007). However, if
cervical cancer metastasizes to the intraperitoneal or retroperitoneal lymph nodes, the
survival rate will decrease to 30%~60% (Cohen et al., 2019). Worse still, the 5-year
survival rate for recurrent CC patients is less than 20% (H. Li, Wu, & Cheng, 2016).
Specifically, when considering the ADC type alone, the prognosis is even worse.
Unfortunately, our understanding for ADC is limited. Most previous studies have
concentrated on SCC type of CC, and obtained heterogenetic information derived
from bulk RNA-seq method. Therefore, it is worthwhile to shift our attention towards
an in-depth investigation on the disparities between ADC and SCC at the
single-cell resolution.

More recently, researchers have started to utilize scRNA-seq approach to
investigate the tumor microenvironment of CC. For instance, Cao *et al* compared 5
cases of SCC tumor tissues with paired adjacent normal tissues and described the
immune landscape in which specific clusters of T and B cells exhibited
immune-exhausting or activating processes (Cao et al., 2023). Another study
conducted by *Qiu et al* aimed to elucidate the distinct molecular patterns of immune
reactions between ADC and SCC in the context of different HPV infection status at
the single-cell level (Qiu et al., 2023). However, due to limited samples tested in these
studies, our knowledge on the TIME in CC is yet inadequate. In the current study, we
have generated a genomic atlas of ADC, which may depict the landscape of TIME
built by both ADC tumor cells and neighboring cells. Apart from the predominant
presence of epithelial cells, the cell clusters in ADC are majorly composed of T cells,
tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs), and plasma/B cells. The gene subsets identified
within ADC-abundant cell sub-clusters, including Epi_10_CYSTM1, Tregs,
pro-tumor TANs and P/B_01_IGHA2, exert potential roles in promoting both the
carcinogenesis and immunosuppression of ADC. In the TIME of ADC, the unique
module of cellular interaction has been decoded and validated that the
immunosuppressive Tregs and the tumor-promoting TANs are recruited to tumor cells

via chemo-attraction. Finally, our hypothesis from scRNA-seq data is further

confirmed using clinical samples, providing evidence that SLC26A3 is a reliable

biomarker for ADC (**Supplementary Fig. 8**).

It has been reported that immunotherapies are less effective on ADC patients,

especially those who are not infected with HPV (Attademo et al., 2020; Ferrall, Lin,

Roden, Hung, & Wu, 2021). As the two major components of ADC cell types, T cells

and epithelial cells have been shown to be the dominant regulators in the TIME due to

their reciprocal interaction dynamics. We identified several ADC-specific epithelial

cell clusters that exhibit strong stemness and aggressiveness. Among these clusters,

Epi_10_CYSTM1 demonstrated the highest level of malignancy, but the underlying

mechanism behind this behavior remains unknown. The Cellchat analysis revealed

that Tregs might quite actively crosstalk to Epi_10_CYSTM1. To confirm this,

immunostaining for co-localization demonstrated an increased aggregation of Tregs

around tumor cells exhibiting overexpression of SLC26A3. It has also been widely

reported that tumor cells acquire higher stemness present more aggressive features of

resistance to immunotherapy (Dianat-Moghadam et al., 2022). This study confirmed

that TGFβ produced by Tregs induce the stemness of Epi_10_CYSTM1 cells by

activating downstream oncogenic pathways, inducing EMT on tumor epithelial cells

(Nixon, Gao, Wang, & Li, 2023). Interestingly, Epi_10_CYSTM1 cells express

ALCAM, which is a soluble ligand, and bind to its receptor CD6 on Tregs, activating

chemotaxis and facilitating Treg recruitment. The infiltration of a large number of

Tregs in ADC significantly accelerates immune evasion (**Supplementary Fig. 8**).

Therefore, the presence of a higher number of Tregs infiltrating the tumor area of

ADC may explain the increased resistance to immunotherapy. It is worth noting that,

in comparison to the proportions of Tregs, the majority of T cell fractions in the CC

tumor area are comprised of cytotoxic T cells and exhausted T cells. However, their

distribution is relatively equal, indicating the dysfunctional status of the majority of

infiltrated T cells in the CC tumor area.

Another interesting phenomenon observed in our sing-cell sequencing data is the

involvement of TANs in the regulatory interactions within the TIME of ADC. It has

been clearly investigated that pro-tumor TANs, characterized by CD66, CD11, CD170

and PD-L1, exert the oncogenic roles by promoting tumor cell proliferation,

angiogenesis, inducing genetic instability, and most importantly causing

immunosuppression (Jaillon et al., 2020). In this study, pro-tumor TANs are

predominantly enriched in ADC tissues compared to SCC, especially in HPV-negative

ADC cases. This suggests that ADC-specific epithelial cell sub-clusters actively

engage in the recruitment of pro-tumor TANs through the ANXA1-FPR1/FPR2

ligand-receptor interaction.

The anti-tumor function of B cells has been identified previously (Bod et al., 2023;

Helmink et al., 2020). However, our study has presented a specific cluster of

plasma/B cells with tumor-promoting functions. The overexpression of gene

signatures from Plasma/B_01_IGH2 cells is associated with poor regression in CC

patients. Interestingly, Plasma/B_01-IGHA2 was found to be closely related to the PC

Cluster (Plasma cell Cluster) in Cao's study due to the shared gene set (Cao et al.,

2023), such as IGHA1, IGHA2, IGHG2, IGHG4, *etc.* However, their roles were

contradictory. According to that study, PC cluster was derived from the local

expansion of TIME and showed potential effectiveness in anti-tumor immunity. In our

case, Plasma/B_01-IGHA2 had a reverse effect and played a tumor-promoting role in

ADC. This difference in function is likely attributed to the distinct cell types and their

interactions in ADC, instead of SCC. Although the cell-to-cell interaction did not

yield positive results, probably owing to the small cell proportion, the underneath

dynamics of B cells still need further investigation.

Most importantly, in this study SLC26A3 is identified as a promising diagnostic

biomarker to predict lymph node metastasis in ADC patients at stage IIIC. In 2018,

the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) updated a new

criterion of stage IIIC by including lymph node status, which indicates that the

therapeutic strategy is completely different from early stages. There are two

stratification of stage IIIC: IIICp (p stands for pathological findings of LN, which

means misdiagnosis) and IIICr (r stands for radiological findings of LN, which means

pre-surgical evidence) (Bhatla, Aoki, Sharma, & Sankaranarayanan, 2018).

Unfortunately, radiological imaging tools do have some limitations, as CT or MRI can

only detect suspiciously metastatic LN when the minimum diameter is ≥ 10mm (Saleh

et al., 2020). However, over 10% of CC patients who are initially diagnosed with

early-stage and deemed suitable for radical surgery, end up being upstaged due to

lymph node metastasis discovered after the surgery (Dabi et al., 2018; Thelissen et al.,

2022). For these patients, they require additional radiotherapy such as external beam

irradiation besides surgery (Abu-Rustum et al., 2020). However, if the presence of

lymph node metastasis could have been accurately diagnosed in biopsy sample, the

optimal therapeutic approach for these patients would be radiotherapy alone.

Moreover, combination of both surgery and radiotherapy may lead to more

complications for patients than radiotherapy alone, without offering additional

benefits (Cushman et al., 2018; Kashima et al., 2023; J. Yang et al., 2015). To solve

this problem, a reliable biomarker is required to diagnose LN metastasis in

conjunction with radiographic tool. Combining two large cohorts of clinical data, we

identified that CC patients with ADC types were more vulnerable to be misdiagnosed

for clinical staging. Derived from scRNA-seq data, SLC26A3 could be employed as a

marker for the Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster, which was found to be exclusively enriched

in stage IIIC cases of ADC. The IHC staining further confirmed that ADC patients at

stage IIIC exhibited higher expression of SLC26A3 compared to early stages (FIGO

stage I~ II). Furthermore, we tested on biopsy samples and it implied that SLC26A3

could be a significant predictor of LN metastasis. Our study for the first time provides

evidence that ADC patients are at a higher risk of developing lymph node metastasis,

which can be challenging to detect solely through radiological imaging techniques.

However, by incorporating lymph node imaging with biomarkers such as SLC26A3, it

may significantly reduce the misdiagnosis rate among FIGO IIICp patients.

In summary, we characterized the genomic landscape of the TIME in ADC at a

single-cell resolution. Our study revealed the presence of specific epithelial cell

clusters in ADC that exhibited high aggressiveness. The enriched gene signatures

within these clusters may serve as potential therapeutic targets to improve the efficacy

of immunotherapy. The interactions between tumor epithelial cells and other types of

cells, such as T cells and neutrophils, comprehensively depict a highly

immunosuppressive microenvironment of ADC. Moreover, our investigation extended

to the issue of upstaging at the single-cell level, with the identification of potential

biomarkers from specific tumor cell clusters showing promise as diagnostic indicators

for the precise diagnosis of cervical cancer patients.

References

Abu-Rustum, N. R., Yashar, C. M., Bean, S., Bradley, K., Campos, S. M., Chon, H.
S., . . . Motter, A. D. (2020). NCCN Guidelines Insights: Cervical Cancer,
Version 1.2020. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw, 18*(6), 660-666.
doi:10.6004/jnccn.2020.0027

Araújo, T. G., Mota, S. T. S., Ferreira, H. S. V., Ribeiro, M. A., Goulart, L. R., &
Vecchi, L. (2021). Annexin A1 as a Regulator of Immune Response in Cancer.
Cells, 10(9). doi:10.3390/cells10092245

Attademo, L., Tuninetti, V., Pisano, C., Cecere, S. C., Di Napoli, M., Tambaro, R., . . .
Pignata, S. (2020). Immunotherapy in cervix cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev, 90*,
102088. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2020.102088

Bhatla, N., Aoki, D., Sharma, D. N., & Sankaranarayanan, R. (2018). Cancer of the
cervix uteri. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet, 143 Suppl 2*, 22-36.
doi:10.1002/ijgo.12611

Bod, L., Kye, Y. C., Shi, J., Torlai Triglia, E., Schnell, A., Fessler, J., . . . Kuchroo, V.
K. (2023). B-cell-specific checkpoint molecules that regulate anti-tumour
immunity. *Nature, 619*(7969), 348-356. doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06231-0

Cao, G., Yue, J., Ruan, Y., Han, Y., Zhi, Y., Lu, J., . . . Li, F. (2023). Single-cell
dissection of cervical cancer reveals key subsets of the tumor immune
microenvironment. *Embo j, 42*(16), e110757. doi:10.15252/embj.2022110757

Chalmers, S. A., Ayilam Ramachandran, R., Garcia, S. J., Der, E., Herlitz, L.,
Ampudia, J., . . . Putterman, C. (2022). The CD6/ALCAM pathway promotes
lupus nephritis via T cell-mediated responses. *J Clin Invest, 132*(1).
doi:10.1172/jci147334

709 Cohen, P. A., Jhingran, A., Oaknin, A., & Denny, L. (2019). Cervical cancer. *Lancet,*
710   *393*(10167), 169-182. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(18)32470-x

711 Colombo, N., Dubot, C., Lorusso, D., Caceres, M. V., Hasegawa, K.,
712   Shapira-Frommer, R., . . . Monk, B. J. (2021). Pembrolizumab for Persistent,
713   Recurrent, or Metastatic Cervical Cancer. *N Engl J Med, 385*(20), 1856-1867.
714   doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2112435

715 Cushman, T. R., Haque, W., Menon, H., Rusthoven, C. G., Butler, E. B., Teh, B. S., &
716   Verma, V. (2018). Postoperative chemoradiotherapy versus radiotherapy alone
717   for elderly cervical cancer patients with positive margins, lymph nodes, or
718   parametrial invasion. *J Gynecol Oncol, 29*(6), e97.
719   doi:10.3802/jgo.2018.29.e97

720 Dabi, Y., Simon, V., Carcopino, X., Bendifallah, S., Ouldamer, L., Lavoue, V., . . .
721   Touboul, C. (2018). Therapeutic value of surgical paraaortic staging in locally
722   advanced cervical cancer: a multicenter cohort analysis from the
723   FRANCOGYN study group. *J Transl Med, 16*(1), 326.
724   doi:10.1186/s12967-018-1703-4

725 Derynck, R., Turley, S. J., & Akhurst, R. J. (2021). TGFβ biology in cancer
726   progression and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 18*(1), 9-34.
727   doi:10.1038/s41571-020-0403-1

728 Dianat-Moghadam, H., Mahari, A., Salahlou, R., Khalili, M., Azizi, M., &
729   Sadeghzadeh, H. (2022). Immune evader cancer stem cells direct the
730   perspective approaches to cancer immunotherapy. *Stem Cell Res Ther, 13*(1),
731   150. doi:10.1186/s13287-022-02829-9

732 Douville, J., Beaulieu, R., & Balicki, D. (2009). ALDH1 as a functional marker of
733   cancer stem and progenitor cells. *Stem Cells Dev, 18*(1), 17-25.
734   doi:10.1089/scd.2008.0055

735 Fernandes, A., Viveros-Carreño, D., Hoegl, J., Ávila, M., & Pareja, R. (2022). Human
736   papillomavirus-independent cervical cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer, 32*(1), 1-7.
737   doi:10.1136/ijgc-2021-003014

738 Ferragut, F., Vachetta, V. S., Troncoso, M. F., Rabinovich, G. A., & Elola, M. T.
739   (2021). ALCAM/CD166: A pleiotropic mediator of cell adhesion, stemness
740   and cancer progression. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev, 61*, 27-37.
741   doi:10.1016/j.cytogfr.2021.07.001

742 Ferrall, L., Lin, K. Y., Roden, R. B. S., Hung, C. F., & Wu, T. C. (2021). Cervical
743   Cancer Immunotherapy: Facts and Hopes. *Clin Cancer Res, 27*(18),
744   4953-4973. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-20-2833

745 Freitas, R. F., Basto, A., Almeida, S. C. P., Santos, R. F., Gonçalves, C. M.,
746   Corria-Osorio, J., . . . Graca, L. (2019). Modulation of CD4 T cell function via
747   CD6-targeting. *EBioMedicine, 47*, 427-435. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.08.008

748 Gennigens, C., Jerusalem, G., Lapaille, L., De Cuypere, M., Streel, S., Kridelka, F., &
749   Ray-Coquard, I. (2022). Recurrent or primary metastatic cervical cancer:
750   current and future treatments. *ESMO Open, 7*(5), 100579.

doi:10.1016/j.esmoop.2022.100579

Guo, W., Wang, D., Wang, S., Shan, Y., Liu, C., & Gu, J. (2021). scCancer: a package
for automated processing of single-cell RNA-seq data in cancer. *Brief*
Bioinform, 22(3). doi:10.1093/bib/bbaa127

Helmink, B. A., Reddy, S. M., Gao, J., Zhang, S., Basar, R., Thakur, R., . . . Wargo, J.
A. (2020). B cells and tertiary lymphoid structures promote immunotherapy
response. *Nature, 577*(7791), 549-555. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1922-8

Jaillon, S., Ponzetta, A., Di Mitri, D., Santoni, A., Bonecchi, R., & Mantovani, A.
(2020). Neutrophil diversity and plasticity in tumour progression and therapy.
Nat Rev Cancer, 20(9), 485-503. doi:10.1038/s41568-020-0281-y

Jin, S., Guerrero-Juarez, C. F., Zhang, L., Chang, I., Ramos, R., Kuan, C. H., . . . Nie,
Q. (2021). Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat.
Nat Commun, 12(1), 1088. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21246-9

Kashima, Y., Murakami, K., Miyagawa, C., Takaya, H., Kotani, Y., Nakai, H., &
Matsumura, N. (2023). Treatment for Locally Resectable Stage IIIC1 Cervical
Cancer: A Retrospective, Single-Institution Study. *Healthcare (Basel), 11*(5).
doi:10.3390/healthcare11050632

Li, C., Wu, H., Guo, L., Liu, D., Yang, S., Li, S., & Hua, K. (2022). Single-cell
transcriptomics reveals cellular heterogeneity and molecular stratification of
cervical cancer. *Commun Biol, 5*(1), 1208. doi:10.1038/s42003-022-04142-w

Li, H., Wu, X., & Cheng, X. (2016). Advances in diagnosis and treatment of
metastatic cervical cancer. *J Gynecol Oncol, 27*(4), e43.
doi:10.3802/jgo.2016.27.e43

Lv, B., Wang, Y., Ma, D., Cheng, W., Liu, J., Yong, T., . . . Wang, C. (2022).
Immunotherapy: Reshape the Tumor Immune Microenvironment. *Front*
Immunol, 13, 844142. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.844142

Mann, M., Singh, V. P., & Kumar, L. (2023). Cervical cancer: a tale from HPV
infection to PARP inhibitors. *Genes Dis, 10*(4), 1445-1456.
doi:10.1016/j.gendis.2022.09.014

Massagué, J. (2008). TGFbeta in Cancer. *Cell, 134*(2), 215-230.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.07.001

Nixon, B. G., Gao, S., Wang, X., & Li, M. O. (2023). TGFβ control of immune
responses in cancer: a holistic immuno-oncology perspective. *Nat Rev*
Immunol, 23(6), 346-362. doi:10.1038/s41577-022-00796-z

Omenai, S. A., Ajani, M. A., & Okolo, C. A. (2022). Programme death ligand 1
expressions as a surrogate for determining immunotherapy in cervical
carcinoma patients. *PLoS One, 17*(2), e0263615.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0263615

Peng, Y., Kajiyama, H., Yuan, H., Nakamura, K., Yoshihara, M., Yokoi, A., . . .
Kikkawa, F. (2019). PAI-1 secreted from metastatic ovarian cancer cells
triggers the tumor-promoting role of the mesothelium in a feedback loop to
accelerate peritoneal dissemination. *Cancer Lett, 442*, 181-192.

doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2018.10.027

Qiu, J., Qu, X., Wang, Y., Guo, C., Lv, B., Jiang, Q., . . . Hua, K. (2023). Single-Cell
Landscape Highlights Heterogenous Microenvironment, Novel Immune
Reaction Patterns, Potential Biomarkers and Unique Therapeutic Strategies of
Cervical Squamous Carcinoma, Human Papillomavirus-Associated (HPVA)
and Non-HPVA Adenocarcinoma. *Adv Sci (Weinh), 10*(10), e2204951.
doi:10.1002/advs.202204951

Saleh, M., Virarkar, M., Javadi, S., Elsherif, S. B., de Castro Faria, S., & Bhosale, P.
(2020). Cervical Cancer: 2018 Revised International Federation of
Gynecology and Obstetrics Staging System and the Role of Imaging. *AJR Am
J Roentgenol, 214*(5), 1182-1195. doi:10.2214/ajr.19.21819

Saraiva, M., Vieira, P., & O'Garra, A. (2020). Biology and therapeutic potential of
interleukin-10. *J Exp Med, 217*(1). doi:10.1084/jem.20190418

Sarvaria, A., Madrigal, J. A., & Saudemont, A. (2017). B cell regulation in cancer and
anti-tumor immunity. *Cell Mol Immunol, 14*(8), 662-674.
doi:10.1038/cmi.2017.35

Sasieni, P., Castanon, A., & Cuzick, J. (2009). Screening and adenocarcinoma of the
cervix. *Int J Cancer, 125*(3), 525-529. doi:10.1002/ijc.24410

Schiffman, M., Castle, P. E., Jeronimo, J., Rodriguez, A. C., & Wacholder, S. (2007).
Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *Lancet, 370*(9590), 890-907.
doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(07)61416-0

Sorin, M., Rezanejad, M., Karimi, E., Fiset, B., Desharnais, L., Perus, L. J. M., . . .
Walsh, L. A. (2023). Single-cell spatial landscapes of the lung tumour immune
microenvironment. *Nature, 614*(7948), 548-554.
doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05672-3

Sun, J. Y., Zhang, D., Wu, S., Xu, M., Zhou, X., Lu, X. J., & Ji, J. (2020). Resistance
to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade cancer immunotherapy: mechanisms, predictive
factors, and future perspectives. *Biomark Res, 8*, 35.
doi:10.1186/s40364-020-00212-5

Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., &
Bray, F. (2021). Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of
Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA
Cancer J Clin, 71*(3), 209-249. doi:10.3322/caac.21660

Tauriello, D. V. F., Sancho, E., & Batlle, E. (2022). Overcoming TGFβ-mediated
immune evasion in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer, 22*(1), 25-44.
doi:10.1038/s41568-021-00413-6

Thelissen, A. A. B., Jürgenliemk-Schulz, I. M., van der Leij, F., Peters, M., Gerestein,
C. G., Zweemer, R. P., & van Rossum, P. S. N. (2022). Upstaging by
para-aortic lymph node dissection in patients with locally advanced cervical
cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gynecol Oncol, 164*(3),
667-674. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2021.12.026

Vinay, D. S., Ryan, E. P., Pawelec, G., Talib, W. H., Stagg, J., Elkord, E., . . . Kwon, B.

S. (2015). Immune evasion in cancer: Mechanistic basis and therapeutic
strategies. *Semin Cancer Biol, 35 Suppl*, S185-s198.
doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.03.004

Xue, R., Zhang, Q., Cao, Q., Kong, R., Xiang, X., Liu, H., . . . Zhang, N. (2022).
Liver tumour immune microenvironment subtypes and neutrophil
heterogeneity. *Nature, 612*(7938), 141-147. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05400-x

Yang, J., Ding, C., Zhang, T., Zhang, L., Lv, T., Ge, X., . . . Li, J. (2015). Clinical
features, outcome and risk factors in cervical cancer patients after surgery for
chronic radiation enteropathy. *Radiat Oncol, 10*, 128.
doi:10.1186/s13014-015-0433-5

Yang, X., Li, Y., Tang, Y., Li, Z., Wang, S., Luo, X., . . . Luo, M. (2023). Cervical
HPV infection in Guangzhou, China: an epidemiological study of 198,111
women from 2015 to 2021. *Emerg Microbes Infect, 12*(1), e2176009.
doi:10.1080/22221751.2023.2176009

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Natural Science Foundation of China	82002753	Yang Peng
Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province	2021JJ40324	Yang Peng
National Natural Science Foundation of China	82072882	Congrong Liu

Author contributions

Yang Peng, Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data
curation, Visualization, Writing – original draft preparation, Project administration,
Funding acquisition; Yilin Li, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Resources,
Data curation, Visualization; Jixing Ao, Methodology, Validation, Software,
Resources, Investigation, Data curation; Jia Shen, Software, Validation, Investigation,
Data curation, Visualization; Xiang He, Software, Investigation, Data curation,
Visualization; Dihong Tang, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – review &
editing; Chaonan Chu, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing; Congrong Liu,

Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing,
Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition; Liang Weng,
Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation,
Writing – original draft preparation, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project
administration.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Specimen collection and pathological data used in this study were approved by the
Ethics Committee of Hunan Cancer Hospital (No. KYJJ-2020-036). Written informed
consent was obtained from patients for use of samples. This study was also in
accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and none of any procedures conducted
interfered with the treatment plan of patients.

Data availability
In this study, the single-cell RNA sequencing data generated is available in the
Genome Sequence Archive for Human (GSA-Human, from China National Center for
Bioinformation) by accession number: PRJCA023028
(https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa-human/). These original sequencing data can be obtained
only for non-profitable use, under request from the corresponding authors.

Competing interests
All authors declare no competing interests of publication.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. The single-cell genomic atlas of cervical cancer. A. The schematic design

of sample collection, single-cell RNA sequencing, data processing and clinical

validating through 10X genomics platform. The sketch of scRNA-sq machine, as well

as the plot of dimension-reduction visualization, was originally cited from the official

website of 10X genonics: https://www.10xgenomics.com. **B.** UMAP plots of all single

cells by original clustering and cell type re-clustering, according to the published

lineage-specific marker genes, respectively. **C.** Violin plots demonstrating the

expression of marker genes that correspond to each of the major cell types. **D.** UMAP

plots demonstrating the most typical marker gene specific to each type of cell cluster.

E. UMAP plot and histogram plot showing the occupation ratios of the major cell

types in each individual sample, with HPV status and histological type summarized. **F.**

UMAP (up) and histogram (down) plots to show the differences of distribution and

proportion of each cell type between different histological types (ADC vs. SCC).

Figure 2. The scRNA-seq data reveals the malignant features of tumor epithelial

cells. A. UMAP plots showing the distribution of epithelial cells in 15 samples and the

sub-clustering into 12 clusters according to ectopic gene expressions. Each cluster of

epithelial cells was named using the most highly enriched gene. **B.** Heatmap plot

showing the annotation of each epithelial sub-cluster with top 5 DEGs. **C.** UMAP

plots demonstrating the most specific gene as the marker for each sub-cluster. **D.**

UMAP (left) and histogram (right) plots to compare the differences of distribution and

proportion of each epithelial cell sub-cluster between different histological types

(ADC vs. SCC). **E.** UMAP plot of CytoTRACE showing the thermal imaging

projection of predicted developmental order (left) and histogram plot showing the

ranking of CytoTRACE scores (right). **F.** UMAP plot of malignancy analysis

demonstrating the cell malignancy features (up) and ranking of scores (down). **G.**

GOBP analyses showing the top 15 enriched signaling pathways of Epi_10_CYSTM1

that are more active in ADC than SCC (up), particularly pathways related to

cell-to-cell interactions (down). The histogram data are transformed from

-Log_{10}(*P*-value) for visualization. ***Abbreviations:*** Ig: immunoglubolin; MHC: major

histocompatibility complex.

Figure 3. SLC26A3 is identified as a potential prognostic and diagnostic

indicator for lymph node metastasis of CC patients. A. Heatmap showing the top

50 DEGs of Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster in comparison to all the other sub-clusters (Red:

Epi_10_CYSTM1 cluster; Green: other epithelial cell sub-clusters). **B.** Violin plots

showing the expression difference between two groups (top), with UMAP plots

(bottom) demonstrating the specificity of each candidate gene, filtering SLC26A3 as

the most identical marker for this sub-cluster. **C.** Kaplan-Meier curve showing the

overall survival rates of CC patients stratified by the top 50 genes-scaled signature of

Epi_CYSTM1. **D.** IHC staining showing the protein expression of SLC26A3 in

surgically-resected ADC samples which are classified as early stages (FIGO stage

I-IIA) and late stages (FIGO stage IIIC1~2p). Images from 6 individual cases were

shown as representatives for each group. **E.** IHC staining showing the protein

expression of SLC26A3 in biopsy ADC samples which are classified as early stages

(FIGO stage I-IIA) and late stages (FIGO stage IIIC1~2p). Images from 4 individual

cases were shown as representatives for each group. The method of *H*-score is used

and the scoring system is as follows: negative (0), weak (1), intermediate (2), and

strong (3). Expression is quantified by the H-score method.

Figure 4. Cellular and molecular heterogeneity of T cells in ADC. A. UMAP plots

showing the sample distribution (left), original cell clustering of T cells (middle, 8

clusters in total) and re-clustering of T cell subtypes (right, 4 major sub-clusters:

exhausted T cell, cytotoxic T cell, regulatory T cell and activated T cell) according to

acknowledged marker genes. **B.** Violin plot showing the expression of specific marker

genes that annotate each sub-type of T cells. **C.** UMAP plots showing the widely

recognized classification markers that denote each type of T cell sub-cluster. **D.** Dot

heatmap plot of row-scaled expression of overexpressed genes for each sub-cluster of

T cells. **E.** Dot heatmap plots that demonstrate the level of marker genes representing

the signaling pathways of immune checkpoint activation and inhibition for grouped

sub-clusters. **F.** Differences of distribution and proportion of each T cell sub-cluster

between different histological types (ADC vs. SCC). **G.** GOBP analysis of

Treg-enriched immunity-related pathways that are more active in ADC than SCC. The

histogram data are transformed from -Log10 (*P*-value). ***Abbreviation:*** Treg:

regulatory T cell.

Figure 5. The heterogeneity of tumor associated neutrophils in ADC. A. UMAP

plots showing the distribution of neutrophils in 15 samples (left), original clustering

(middle) the re-clustering (right) into 3 sub-clusters according to gene markers of

tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs). **B.** Dot heatmap showing the top 5 DEGs in

each sub-cluster of TANs. **C.** UMAP plots for annotation of each sub-type of TANs

with published marker genes presented: pro-tumor TANs, anti-tumor TANs and TANs

with isg. **D.** UMAP and histogram plots to compare the differences of distribution and

proportion of each sub-types of TANs between different histological types. **E.** GOBP

analyses of signaling pathways that are more active in ADC than SCC, in terms of the

pro-tumor TANs cluster. The histogram data are transformed from -Log_{10} (*P*-value). **F.**

Kaplan-Meier curve showing the overall survival rate of CC patients stratified by the

top 90 genes-scaled signature of pro-TANs.

Figure 6. Phenotype diversity of plasma/B cells in ADC. A. UMAP plots showing

the distribution of plasma/B cells in 15 samples (left) and the re-clustering into 6

clusters (right) according to ectopic expressions of genes. **B.** Plot heatmap showing

the annotation of each sub-cluster with top 5 DEGs. **C.** UMAP plots demonstrating

the most specific genes as the marker for each sub-cluster. **D.** UMAP and histogram

plots to compare the differences of distribution and proportion of each plasma/B cell

sub-cluster between different histological types. **E.** GOBP analysis of

immunity-related signaling pathways that are more active in ADC, in terms of

Plasma/B_01_IGHA2 sub-cluster. The histogram data are transformed from -Log_{10}

(*P*-value). **F.** Kaplan-Meier curve showing the overall survival rate of CC patients

stratified by the top 50 genes-scaled signature of Plasma/B_01_IGHA2.

Figure 7. The cellular interaction modules of sub-clusters of T cells, neutrophils

and tumor epithelial cells. A. Circle plots showing the interacting networks between

epithelial cell sub-clusters and T cell sub-clusters via the pathway of ALCAM, by

comparing ADC and SCC. **B.** Circle plots simplified from **A** to show the interactions

among target cell clusters via ALCAM pathway. **C.** Circle plots showing the

interacting networks between epithelial cell sub-clusters and T cell sub-clusters via

the pathway of MHC-II, by comparing ADC and SCC. **D.** Circle plots simplified from

C to show the interactions among target cell clusters via MHC-II pathway. From **A** to

D, The direction of each arrow shows the regulation from outputting cells to incoming

cells. The width of the each line shows the predicted weight and strength of regulation.

E. Bubble plot showing the probability of ligand-to-receptor combination of each

pathway between two different target sub-clusters of cells, by comparing ADC with

SCC. **F.** Circle plots showing Tregs regulate epithelial cells via the TGF-β pathway,

which is solely activated in ADC. **G.** Bubble plot showing the probability of

ligand-to-receptor combination of TGF-β pathway between Tregs and epithelial cells,

by comparing ADC with SCC. The pathways of ADGRE5, CD46, GZMA and

NAMPT are used as negative controls**. H.** Dual IF staining confirming that in

SLC26A3$^{\text{high}}$ regions of CC tissues, more FOXP3^{+} cells are recruited than in

SLC26A3$^{\text{low}}$ regions (left). The numbers of recruited FOXP3^{+} cell are quantified

using histogram plot (right). Three individual samples with ROI were calculated and

P<0.01 were marked with **, showing significant difference. **I.** Multiplexed IF

staining confirming the interaction between CD6 (on FOXP3$^+$ cells) and ALCAM (on

SLC26A3$^+$ epithelial cells) in the ALCAM pathway. **J.** Multiplexed IF staining

showing that the recruitment of FOXP3+ cells towards SLC26A3+ cells might induce

EMT (marked with E-cadherin) and increase the stemness (marked with ALDH1A1)

of tumor cells, via TGF-β pathway.

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030 **Tables**

1031　**Table 1. The association between post-surgical upstaging and clinical**
1032　**characteristics in patient cohort 1 (from Xiangya hospital)**

1033

Characteristics	Cases number	Upstaging after surgery		χ^2	P value
		Yes	No		
Total	215				
Histological types	209				
ADC	55	8 (14.55%)	47 (85.45%)	0.955	0.328
SCC	154	15 (9.74%)	139 (90.26%)		
HPV status	196				
HPV+	181	18 (9.94%)	163 (90.06%)	3.887	0.049*
HPV-	15	4 (26.67%)	11 (73.33%)		
ADC with HPV status	50				
HPV+	40	4 (10.00%)	36 (90.00%)	5.357	0.021*
HPV-	10	4 (40.00%)	6 (60.00%)		
SCC with HPV status	143				
HPV+	138	13 (9.42%)	125 (90.58%)	0.518	0.472
HPV-	5	0 (0.00%)	5 (100%)		

1034

* $P < 0.05$ was considered as significantly different. $P < 0.01$ was presented as **.

In each comparison panel, patients with insufficient data were excluded from analysis.

The total number is 215, among which 6 cases are specific histological types (3 are

neuroendocrine carcinoma (Neuro); 2 are adenosquamous carcinoma; 1 is malignant

melanoma) and has been excluded for comparison between ADC and SCC. Because

the HPV status information is unclear in 16 cases, a total number of 196 cases have

been used for comparison between different HPV statuses. As for sub-classification

between different HPV status under different histological types, 3 Neuro cases have

been excluded.

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056　**Table 2. The association between post-surgical upstaging and clinical**

Characteristics	Cases number	Upstaging after surgery		χ^2	P value
		Yes	No		
Total	1398				
Histological types	1336				
ADC	190	40 (21.05%)	150 (78.95%)	4.911	0.027 *
SCC	1146	169 (14.75%)	977 (85.25%)		
HPV status	1166				
HPV+	1031	131 (12.71%)	900 (87.29%)	66.616	<0.001**
HPV-	135	54 (40.00%)	81 (60.00%)		
ADC with HPV status	162				
HPV+	131	18 (13.74%)	113 (86.26%)	24.999	<0.001**
HPV-	31	17 (54.84%)	14 (45.16%)		
SCC with HPV status	958				
HPV+	864	109 (12.62%)	755 (87.38%)	40.229	<0.001**
HPV-	94	35 (37.23%)	59 (62.77%)		
Neuro with HPV status	27				
HPV+	21	3 (14.29%)	18 (85.71%)	0.964	0.326
HPV-	6	0 (0.00%)	6 (100.00%)		
ADSCC with HPV status	14				
HPV+	12	1 (8.33%)	11 (91.67%)	2.431	0.119
HPV-	2	1 (50.00%)	1 (50.00%)		

1059

* $P < 0.05$ was considered as significantly different. $P < 0.01$ was presented as **.
Neuro is short for neuroendocrine carcinoma；ADSCC is short for adenosquamous
carcinoma of cervix.
In each comparison panel, patients with insufficient data were excluded from analysis.
The total number is 1398 and 62 cases have been excluded for comparison between
ADC and SCC, due to special pathological types (Carcinosarcoma: 1; Melanoma: 1;
Neuroendocrine carcinoma: 38; Basaloma: 1; Adenosquamous carcinoma: 18;
Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor: 1; Fibroblastic sarcoma: 1; Adenosarcoma:
1). Because the HPV status information is unclear in 232 cases, a total number of
1166 cases has been used for comparison between different HPV status. As for
sub-classification between two HPV statuses under different histological types, the
excluded case numbers for each group are as follows due to unknown HPV status: 28
in ADC; 188 in SCC; 11 in Neuro; 4 in ADSCC (**Supplementary Fig. 3F**).

1073

1074

1075

1076   **Table 3. The association between clinical characteristics and SLC26A3 protein**

1077   **expression via IHC tested on post-surgical samples**

1078

Characteristics	Cases number	SLC26A3 expression		χ^2	*P* value
		High	Low		
Total	56				
Age	56				
⩾ 50	28	8 (28.57%)	20 (71.43%)	0.717	0.397
< 50	28	11 (39.29%)	17 (60.71%)		
Histological grading	51				
G1，G1-2	20	5 (25.00%)	15 (75.00%)	1.527	0.217
G2，G2-3，G3	31	13 (41.94%)	18 (50.06%)		
FIGO stages	56				
I-II (LN-M -)	47	12 (25.53%)	35 (74.47%)	9.198	**0.002****
IIICp (LN-M +)	9	7 (77.78%)	2 (22.22%)		
HPV status	53				
HPV+	44	13 (29.55%)	31 (70.45%)	2.254	0.133
HPV-	9	5 (55.56%)	4 (44.44%)		

1079   * *P* < 0.05 was considered as significantly different. *P* < 0.01 was presented as **.

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096   **Table 4. The association between clinical characteristics and SLC26A3 protein**

1097   **expression via IHC tested on biopsy small specimens**

1098

Characteristics	Cases number	SLC26A3 expression		χ^2	*P* value
		High	**Low**		
Total	43				
Age					
≥ 50	16	3 (18.75%)	13 (81.25%)	4.605	0.032
< 50	27	14 (51.85%)	13 (48.15%)		
Histological grading					
G1，G1-2	9	4 (44.44%)	5 (55.56%)	0.115	0.735
G2，G2-3，G3	34	13 (38.24%)	21 (61.76%)		
FIGO stages					
I-II (consistent staging)	29	7 (24.14%)	22 (75.86%)	8.833	**0.003 ***
IIICp (upstaging)	14	10 (71.43%)	4 (28.57%)		
HPV status					
HPV+	32	10 (31.25%)	22 (68.75%)	3.592	0.058
HPV-	11	7 (63.64%)	4 (36.36%)		

1099   * *P* < 0.05 was considered as significantly different. *P* < 0.01 was presented as **.

1100

1101